

THE GRAPHS OF NON-DEGENERATE LINEAR CODES

MARK PANKOV

ABSTRACT. We consider the Grassmann graph of k -dimensional subspaces of an n -dimensional vector space over the q -element field and its subgraph $\Gamma(n, k)_q$ which consists of all non-degenerate linear $[n, k]_q$ codes. We assume that $1 < k < n - 1$. It is well-known that every automorphism of the Grassmann graph is induced by a semilinear automorphism of the corresponding vector space or a semilinear isomorphism to the dual vector space and the second possibility is realized only for $n = 2k$. Our result is the following: if $q \geq 3$ or $k \neq 2$, then every isomorphism of $\Gamma(n, k)_q$ to a subgraph of the Grassmann graph can be uniquely extended to an automorphism of the Grassmann graph; in the case when $q = k = 2$, there are subgraphs of the Grassmann graph isomorphic to $\Gamma(n, k)_q$ and such that isomorphisms between these subgraphs and $\Gamma(n, k)_q$ cannot be extended to automorphisms of the Grassmann graph.

1. INTRODUCTION

Grassmann graphs are interesting for many reasons. First of all, these are classical examples of distance regular graphs [1]. Also, they are closely connected to one class of Tits buildings [2, 11, 14, 15]. The first significant result concerning Grassmann graphs was Chow's theorem [4] which describes their automorphisms. A survey of results in that spirit can be found in [12]. Recently, Chow's theorem was used to prove some Wigner-type theorems for Hilbert Grassmannians [5, 6, 13].

The Grassmann graph formed by k -dimensional subspaces of an n -dimensional vector space over the q -element field can be considered as the graph of linear $[n, k]_q$ codes, where two codes are connected by an edge if they have the maximal number of common codewords (we assume that $1 < k < n - 1$, since in the cases $k = 1, n - 1$ the Grassmann graph is complete). In practice, only non-degenerate linear codes are useful (a code is non-degenerate if every coordinate functional is not vanished on this code). For a degenerate linear $[n, k]_q$ code every generator matrix contains zero column which means that we have, in fact, a linear $[n - 1, k]_q$ code.

The graph (the subgraph of the Grassmann graph) formed by non-degenerate linear $[n, k]_q$ codes is investigated in [7, 8]. By [7], the distances in this graph coincide with the distances in the Grassmann graph if and only if

$$n < (q + 1)^2 + k - 2;$$

maximal cliques and automorphisms are determined in [8]. Subgraphs of the Grassmann graph formed by different families of linear codes are considered in [3, 9, 10].

By Chow's theorem, every automorphism of the Grassmann graph is induced by a semilinear automorphism of the corresponding vector space or a semilinear isomorphism to the dual vector space and the second possibility is realized only for

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05C60, 94B05, 94B27.

Key words and phrases. linear code, Grassmann graph, adjacency preserving map.

$n = 2k$. We show that for the case when $q \geq 3$ or $k \geq 3$ every subgraph Γ of the Grassmann graph isomorphic to the graph of non-degenerate linear $[n, k]_q$ codes can be obtained from this graph by an automorphism of the Grassmann graph; in other words, there is a coordinate system such that Γ is the graph of non-degenerate codes or $n = 2k$ and Γ is formed by all codes dual to non-degenerate codes.

In the case when $q = k = 2$, we construct a counterexample showing that the above statement fails.

2. RESULT

Let V be an n -dimensional vector space over a field \mathbb{F} (not necessarily finite) and let $\mathcal{G}_k(V)$ be the Grassmannian consisting of all k -dimensional subspaces of V . Two k -dimensional subspaces of V are called *adjacent* if their intersection is $(k-1)$ -dimensional, or equivalently, their sum is $(k+1)$ -dimensional. The *Grassmann graph* $\Gamma_k(V)$ is the simple graph whose vertex set is $\mathcal{G}_k(V)$ and two vertices are connected by an edge in this graph if the corresponding k -dimensional subspaces are adjacent. In the cases when $k = 1, n-1$, any two distinct k -dimensional subspaces are adjacent. The Grassmann graph is connected. Chow's theorem [4] provides a description of automorphisms of $\Gamma_k(V)$ for $1 < k < n-1$ (if $k = 1, n-1$, then every bijective transformation of $\mathcal{G}_k(V)$ is a graph automorphism).

Recall that a transformation $l : V \rightarrow V$ is called *semilinear* if

$$l(x + y) = l(x) + l(y)$$

for all $x, y \in V$ and there is a homomorphism $\sigma : \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ such that

$$l(ax) = \sigma(a)l(x)$$

for all $a \in \mathbb{F}$ and $x \in V$. In the case when l is bijective and σ is a field automorphism, we say that l is a *semilinear automorphism* of V . Every semilinear automorphism of V induces an automorphism of the graph $\Gamma_k(V)$. Let ω be a non-degenerate symmetric form on V . For every subspace $X \subset V$ we denote by X_ω^\perp the orthogonal complement of X associated to the form ω . Two subspaces $X, Y \subset V$ of the same dimension are adjacent if and only if their orthogonal complements $X_\omega^\perp, Y_\omega^\perp$ are adjacent, i.e. the orthocomplementary map $X \rightarrow X_\omega^\perp$ provides an isomorphism between $\Gamma_k(V)$ and $\Gamma_{n-k}(V)$; this is an automorphism of $\Gamma_k(V)$ if $n = 2k$. Chow's theorem states that every automorphism of $\Gamma_k(V)$, $1 < k < n-1$ is induced by a semilinear automorphism of V or it is the composition of the orthocomplementary map and a graph automorphism induced by a semilinear automorphism of V ; the second possibility is realized only for $n = 2k$.

Suppose that $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_q$ is the finite field consisting of q elements and $V = \mathbb{F}^n$. The standard basis of V is formed by the vectors

$$e_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0), e_2 = (0, 1, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, e_n = (0, \dots, 0, 1).$$

Let C_i be the kernel of the i -th coordinate functional $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \rightarrow x_i$, i.e. the hyperplane of V spanned by all e_j with $j \neq i$. Every k -dimensional subspaces of V is a *linear $[n, k]_q$ code*. Such a code C is *non-degenerate* if the restriction of every coordinate functional to C is non-zero, i.e. C is not contained in any coordinate hyperplane C_i [16]. All non-degenerate linear $[n, k]_q$ codes form the set

$$\mathcal{C}(n, k)_q = \mathcal{G}_k(V) \setminus \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{G}_k(C_i) \right).$$

Denote by $\Gamma(n, k)_q$ the restriction of the Grassmann graph $\Gamma_k(V)$ to the set $\mathcal{C}(n, k)_q$, i.e. $\Gamma(n, k)_q$ is the simple graph whose vertex set is $\mathcal{C}(n, k)_q$ and two vertices are connected by an edge if the corresponding k -dimensional subspaces are adjacent. This graph is connected [7]. By [8], every automorphism of $\Gamma(n, k)_q$, $1 < k < n-1$ is induced by a monomial semilinear automorphism of V (a semilinear automorphism sending every e_i to a scalar multiple of e_j).

Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1. *Suppose that $1 < k < n - 1$. If*

$$(1) \quad q \geq 3 \text{ or } k \geq 3,$$

then every isomorphism of $\Gamma(n, k)_q$ to a subgraph of $\Gamma_k(V)$ can be uniquely extended to an automorphism of $\Gamma_k(V)$. In the case when $q = k = 2$, there are subgraphs of $\Gamma_k(V)$ isomorphic to $\Gamma(n, k)_q$ and such that isomorphisms between these subgraphs and $\Gamma(n, k)_q$ cannot be extended to automorphisms of $\Gamma_k(V)$.

Remark 1. If $k = 1, n - 1$, then every injective map of $\mathcal{C}(n, k)_q$ to $\mathcal{G}_k(V)$ is an isomorphism of $\Gamma(n, k)_q$ to a subgraph of $\Gamma_k(V)$ and every bijective transformation of $\mathcal{G}_k(V)$ is an automorphism of $\Gamma_k(V)$. In this case, each isomorphism of $\Gamma(n, k)_q$ to a subgraph of $\Gamma_k(V)$ can be extended to an automorphism of $\Gamma_k(V)$, but such an extension is not unique.

Remark 2. Let us take a basis of V and consider the hyperplanes $H_1, \dots, H_n \subset V$ spanned by vectors from this basis. The restriction of $\Gamma_k(V)$ to the set

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{G}_k(V) \setminus \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{G}_k(H_i) \right)$$

is isomorphic to $\Gamma(n, k)_q$. If $1 < k < n-1$ and (1) holds, then Theorem 1 states that every subgraph Γ of $\Gamma_k(V)$ isomorphic to $\Gamma(n, k)_q$ can be obtained from $\Gamma(n, k)_q$ by an automorphism of $\Gamma_k(V)$, i.e. Γ is the restriction of $\Gamma_k(V)$ to a subset of type (2) or $n = 2k$ and Γ is the restriction of $\Gamma_k(V)$ to the set formed by the orthogonal complements of elements from a subset of type (2).

3. PRELIMINARY

3.1. Maximal cliques in the Grassmann graph. Recall that a subset in the vertex set of a graph is called a *clique* if any two vertices from this subset are connected by an edge. A clique \mathcal{X} is said to be *maximal* if every clique containing \mathcal{X} coincides with \mathcal{X} .

If $1 < k < n - 1$, then every maximal clique of $\Gamma_k(V)$ is of one of the following types:

- the star $\mathcal{S}(X)$, $X \in \mathcal{G}_{k-1}(V)$ which consists of all k -dimensional subspaces containing X ;
- the top $\mathcal{T}(Y) = \mathcal{G}_k(Y)$, $Y \in \mathcal{G}_{k+1}(V)$.

An m -dimensional vector space W over \mathbb{F} contains precisely

$$[m]_q = \frac{q^m - 1}{q - 1} = q^{m-1} + \dots + q + 1$$

1-dimensional subspaces; this is also the number of hyperplanes in W . Since $\mathcal{S}(X)$, $X \in \mathcal{G}_{k-1}(V)$ can be identified with $\mathcal{G}_1(V/X)$, every star of $\Gamma_k(V)$ consists of

$$[n - k + 1]_q$$

elements. Every top of $\Gamma_k(V)$ contains precisely

$$[k+1]_q$$

elements. Stars and tops have the same number of elements if and only if $n = 2k$.

The intersection of two distinct maximal cliques of the same type contains at most one element. This intersection is non-empty if and only if the associated $(k-1)$ -dimensional or $(k+1)$ -dimensional subspaces are adjacent.

For a $(k-1)$ -dimensional subspace $X \subset V$ and a $(k+1)$ -dimensional subspace $Y \subset V$ the intersection of the associated star and top of $\Gamma_k(V)$ is non-empty if and only if $X \subset Y$. In this case, $\mathcal{S}(X) \cap \mathcal{T}(Y)$ contains precisely $q+1$ elements. Every such intersection is called a *line* of $\mathcal{G}_k(V)$.

3.2. Maximal cliques in the graph of non-degenerate linear codes. As in the previous subsection, we assume that $1 < k < n-1$. For a $(k-1)$ -dimensional subspace $X \subset V$ and a $(k+1)$ -dimensional subspace $Y \subset V$ we denote by $\mathcal{S}^c(X)$ and $\mathcal{T}^c(Y)$ the intersection of $\mathcal{C}(n, k)_q$ with the star $\mathcal{S}(X)$ and the top $\mathcal{T}(Y)$, respectively. Each of these intersections is a clique of $\Gamma(n, q)_k$ (if it is non-empty), but such a clique need not to be maximal.

Denote by $c(X)$ the number of the coordinate hyperplanes containing a subspace $X \subset V$. If $X \in \mathcal{C}(n, k-1)_q$, then $\mathcal{S}^c(X) = \mathcal{S}(X)$ is a maximal clique of $\Gamma_k(V)$ and, consequently, it is a maximal clique of $\Gamma(n, k)_q$. If X is a $(k-1)$ -dimensional subspace which does not belong to $\mathcal{C}(n, k-1)_q$, then

$$0 < c(X) \leq n - k + 1$$

and, by [8, Lemma 1],

$$(3) \quad |\mathcal{S}^c(X)| = (q-1)^{c(X)-1} q^{n-k-c(X)+1}$$

(the equality does not hold if $c(X) = 0$).

Proposition 1. *For a $(k-1)$ -dimensional subspace $X \subset V$ the following assertions are fulfilled:*

- (i) *If $q \geq 3$, then $\mathcal{S}^c(X)$ is a maximal clique of $\Gamma(n, k)_q$.*
- (ii) *For $q = 2$ the set $\mathcal{S}^c(X)$ is a maximal clique of $\Gamma(n, k)_q$ if and only if*

$$c(X) \leq n - k - 1.$$

- (iii) *If $\mathcal{S}^c(X)$ is a maximal clique of $\Gamma(n, k)_q$, then there is no $(k+1)$ -dimensional subspace $Y \subset V$ such that $\mathcal{S}^c(X) = \mathcal{T}^c(Y)$.*

Proof. The statements (i) and (ii) are Propositions 1 and 2 from [8].

(iii). If X belongs to $\mathcal{C}(n, k-1)_q$, then $\mathcal{S}^c(X) = \mathcal{S}(X)$ is a star of $\Gamma_k(V)$, i.e. it is not contained in a top of $\Gamma_k(V)$. Consider the case when $X \notin \mathcal{C}(n, k-1)_q$.

Suppose that $q \geq 3$. By (3),

$$|\mathcal{S}^c(X)| \geq (q-1)^{n-k} \geq (q-1)^2 = q^2 - 2q + 1 \geq 3q - 2q + 1 = q + 1.$$

If $\mathcal{S}^c(X) = \mathcal{T}^c(Y)$ for a certain $(k+1)$ -dimensional subspace $Y \subset V$, then $\mathcal{S}^c(X)$ is contained in the line $\mathcal{S}(X) \cap \mathcal{T}(Y)$. Since $X \notin \mathcal{C}(n, k-1)_q$, there is a coordinate hyperplane C_i containing X . The subspace $Y \cap C_i$ is k -dimensional ($\mathcal{T}^c(Y)$ is empty if $Y \subset C_i$); this is an element of the line $\mathcal{S}(X) \cap \mathcal{T}(Y)$ which do not belong to $\mathcal{C}(n, k)_q$. Hence $\mathcal{S}^c(X) = \mathcal{T}^c(Y)$ contains not greater than q elements, a contradiction.

If $q = 2$, then $c(X) \leq n - k - 1$ and (3) shows that

$$|\mathcal{S}^c(X)| \geq q^2 = 4 > 3 = q + 1$$

which means that $\mathcal{S}^c(X)$ is not contained in a line of $\mathcal{G}_k(V)$. \square

We say that $\mathcal{S}^c(X)$ is a *star* of $\Gamma(n, k)_q$ only in the case when it is a maximal clique of $\Gamma(n, k)_q$. If X belongs to $\mathcal{C}(n, k - 1)_q$, then $\mathcal{S}^c(X) = \mathcal{S}(X)$ is said to be a *maximal star* of $\Gamma(n, k)_q$.

Remark 3. If $q = 2$ and $\mathcal{S}^c(X)$ is not a maximal clique of $\Gamma(n, k)_q$, then one of the following possibilities is realized:

- $c(X) = n - k$ and $\mathcal{S}^c(X)$ contains precisely two elements;
- $c(X) = n - k + 1$ and $\mathcal{S}^c(X)$ consists of a unique element.

See the proof of Proposition 2 from [8] for the details.

Let Y be a $(k + 1)$ -dimensional subspace of V . Then $\mathcal{T}^c(Y)$ is empty if Y does not belong to $\mathcal{C}(n, k + 1)_q$. Consider the case when $Y \in \mathcal{C}(n, k + 1)_q$. For every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ the k -dimensional subspace $Y \cap C_i$ does not belong to $\mathcal{T}^c(Y)$. Some of the subspaces

$$Y \cap C_1, \dots, Y \cap C_n$$

may be coincident and we denote by $n(Y)$ the number of distinct elements in this collection. Then

$$k + 1 \leq n(Y) \leq n$$

(the first inequality follows from the fact that Y^* is spanned by the restrictions of the coordinate functionals to Y) and $\mathcal{T}^c(Y)$ contains precisely

$$[k + 1]_q - n(Y)$$

elements. We say that $\mathcal{T}^c(Y)$ is a *top* of $\Gamma(n, k)_q$ if it is a maximal clique of $\Gamma(n, k)_q$. In this case, there is no $(k - 1)$ -dimensional subspace $X \subset V$ such that $\mathcal{T}^c(Y) = \mathcal{S}^c(X)$ (the statement (iii) of Proposition 1).

Proposition 2. *The following two conditions are equivalent:*

- $\mathcal{T}^c(Y)$ is a top of $\Gamma(n, k)_q$ for every $Y \in \mathcal{C}(n, k + 1)_q$;
- $[k + 1]_q - (q + 1) > n$.

Proof. See [8, Corollary 1]. \square

Since

$$[k + 1]_q - (q + 1) = q^k + \dots + q^2 \geq q^k \geq 2k,$$

the second condition from Proposition 2 holds, for example, if $2k > n$.

Remark 4. In the case when the second condition from Proposition 2 fails, there are $Y \in \mathcal{C}(n, k + 1)_q$ such that $\mathcal{T}^c(Y)$ is a proper subset in a certain star of $\Gamma(n, k)_q$ or empty [8, Propositions 4 and 5].

4. GENERAL LEMMAS

Let f be an isomorphism of $\Gamma(n, k)_q$ to a certain subgraph Γ of $\Gamma_k(V)$ and let $1 < k < n - 1$. Then f is an adjacency preserving injection of $\mathcal{C}(n, k)_q$ to $\mathcal{G}_k(V)$, i.e. if $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}(n, k)_q$ are adjacent, then $f(X)$ and $f(Y)$ are adjacent. It is clear that f transfers maximal cliques of $\Gamma(n, k)_q$ to maximal cliques of Γ . Every maximal clique of Γ is a (not necessarily maximal) clique of $\Gamma_k(V)$, i.e. it is a subset of a star or a top of $\Gamma_k(V)$. It must be pointed out that f need not to be adjacency preserving in both directions: $f(X)$ and $f(Y)$ may be adjacent for non-adjacent $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}(n, k)_q$ (two adjacent k -dimensional subspaces of V is not necessarily connected by an edge of Γ). For this reason the intersection of a maximal clique of $\Gamma_k(V)$ with the vertex set of Γ need not to be a clique of Γ and we cannot state that f sends distinct maximal cliques of $\Gamma(n, k)_q$ to subsets of distinct maximal cliques of $\Gamma_k(V)$.

Lemma 1. *One of the following possibilities is realized:*

- f sends every maximal star of $\Gamma(n, k)_q$ to a star of $\Gamma_k(V)$;
- $n = 2k$ and f transfers all maximal stars of $\Gamma(n, k)_q$ to tops of $\Gamma_k(V)$.

Proof. (1). If $2k < n$, then the number of elements in a star of $\Gamma_k(V)$ and, consequently, in a maximal star of $\Gamma(n, k)_q$ is greater than the number of elements in a top of $\Gamma_k(V)$. Therefore, f transfers all maximal stars of $\Gamma(n, k)_q$ to stars of $\Gamma_k(V)$.

(2). In the case when $n = 2k$, stars and tops of $\Gamma_k(V)$ have the same number of elements. Thus, f transfers every maximal star of $\Gamma(n, k)_q$ to a star or a top of $\Gamma_k(V)$. Suppose that $X \in \mathcal{C}(n, k - 1)_q$ and f sends $\mathcal{S}(X)$ to a certain top of $\Gamma_k(V)$. Note that $\mathcal{C}(n, k - 1)_q$ consists of one element if $q = 2$ and $n = 2k = 4$ (the 1-dimensional subspace containing the vector $(1, \dots, 1)$); for this case the statement is trivial.

In the general case, for any $Y \in \mathcal{C}(n, k - 1)_q$ adjacent to X we take a $(k + 1)$ -dimensional subspace Z containing $X + Y$. Then $Z \in \mathcal{C}(n, k + 1)_q$ and $\mathcal{T}^c(Z)$ contains the lines

$$\mathcal{S}(X) \cap \mathcal{T}(Z) \text{ and } \mathcal{S}(Y) \cap \mathcal{T}(Z)$$

which means that $\mathcal{T}^c(Z)$ is a top of $\Gamma(n, k)_q$. Let \mathcal{X} be a maximal clique of $\Gamma_k(V)$ containing $f(\mathcal{T}^c(Z))$. It is clear that $f(\mathcal{S}(X))$ and \mathcal{X} are distinct. The intersection of $\mathcal{S}(X)$ and $\mathcal{T}^c(Z)$ contains more than one element and, consequently,

$$|f(\mathcal{S}(X)) \cap \mathcal{X}| > 1.$$

Since $f(\mathcal{S}(X))$ is a top of $\Gamma_k(V)$, the latter implies that \mathcal{X} is a star of $\Gamma_k(V)$. The intersection of $\mathcal{S}(Y)$ and $\mathcal{T}^c(Z)$ contains more than one element, i.e. $f(\mathcal{S}(Y))$ and \mathcal{X} are distinct maximal cliques of $\Gamma_k(V)$ whose intersection contains more than one element. Since \mathcal{X} is a star of $\Gamma_k(V)$, $f(\mathcal{S}(Y))$ is a top of $\Gamma_k(V)$.

So, $f(\mathcal{S}(Y))$ is a top of $\Gamma_k(V)$ for every $Y \in \mathcal{C}(n, k - 1)_q$ adjacent to X . Using the connectedness of $\Gamma(n, k - 1)_q$, we establish that f sends every maximal star of $\Gamma(n, k)_q$ to a top of $\Gamma_k(V)$.

(3). Suppose that $2k > n$. In this case, $\mathcal{T}^c(Y)$ is a top of $\Gamma(n, k)_q$ for every $Y \in \mathcal{C}(n, k + 1)_q$ (Proposition 2). The number of elements in every top of $\Gamma(n, k)_q$ is greater than the number of elements in a star of $\Gamma_k(V)$. Indeed, a top of $\Gamma(n, k)_q$ contains not less than

$$[k + 1]_q - n$$

elements, a star of $\Gamma_k(V)$ contains precisely

$$[n - k + 1]_q$$

elements and

$$[k+1]_q - n - [n-k+1]_q = \frac{q^{k+1} - q^{n-k+1}}{q-1} - n = \frac{q^{n-k+1}(q^{2k-n} - 1)}{q-1} - n = q^{n-k+1}(q^{2k-n-1} + \dots + q + 1) - n \geq q^k - n \geq 2k - n > 0.$$

Therefore, f transfers tops of $\Gamma(n, k)_q$ to subsets in tops of $\Gamma_k(V)$.

Let $X \in \mathcal{C}(n, k-1)_q$. We take any $(k+1)$ -dimensional subspace $Y \subset V$ containing X . Then Y belongs to $\mathcal{C}(n, k+1)_q$ and

$$|\mathcal{S}(X) \cap \mathcal{T}^c(Y)| > 1.$$

Since $f(\mathcal{T}^c(Y))$ is contained in a top of $\Gamma_k(V)$, for $f(\mathcal{S}(X))$ one of the following possibilities is realized:

- (a) $f(\mathcal{S}(X))$ is a star of $\Gamma_k(V)$;
- (b) $f(\mathcal{S}(X))$ and $f(\mathcal{T}^c(Y))$ both are contained in a certain top of $\Gamma_k(V)$.

We need to show that (b) is impossible. Suppose that $f(\mathcal{S}(X))$ and $f(\mathcal{T}^c(Y))$ are contained in $\mathcal{T}(Z)$ for a certain $(k+1)$ -dimensional subspace $Z \subset V$. For any $Y' \in \mathcal{C}(n, k+1)_q$ containing X and distinct from Y we have

$$|\mathcal{S}(X) \cap \mathcal{T}^c(Y')| > 1$$

which implies that a top of $\Gamma_k(V)$ containing $f(\mathcal{T}^c(Y'))$ intersects $\mathcal{T}(Z)$ in a subset containing more than one element and, consequently,

$$f(\mathcal{T}^c(Y')) \subset \mathcal{T}(Z).$$

Since each of $\mathcal{T}^c(Y), \mathcal{T}^c(Y')$ contains not less than $[k+1]_q - n$ elements and their intersection is one-element,

$$|\mathcal{T}^c(Y) \cup \mathcal{T}^c(Y')| \geq 2[k+1]_q - 2n - 1 = [k+1]_q + (q^k + \dots + q + 1) - 2n - 1.$$

We have $2k > n$ and $1 < k < n - 1$ which implies that $k \geq 3$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{T}^c(Y) \cup \mathcal{T}^c(Y')| &\geq [k+1]_q + q^k + q^{k-1} + q^{k-2} + q^{k-3} - 2n - 1 \geq \\ [k+1]_q + 2k + 2(k-1) + 2(k-2) + 2(k-3) - 2n - 1 &= [k+1]_q + 8k - 2n - 13 \geq \\ [k+1]_q + 8k - 2(2k-1) - 13 &= [k+1]_q + 4k - 11 > [k+1]_q = |\mathcal{T}(Z)| \end{aligned}$$

which means that the case (b) is not realized. \square

In the case when the second possibility from Lemma 1 is realized, we consider the composition of the orthocomplementary map and f . This is an isomorphism of $\Gamma(n, k)_q$ to a subgraph of $\Gamma_k(V)$ sending every maximal star of $\Gamma(n, k)_q$ to a star of $\Gamma_k(V)$. If this map is extendable to an automorphism of $\Gamma_k(V)$, then the same holds for f .

From this moment, we assume that f transfers every maximal star of $\Gamma(n, k)_q$ to a star of $\Gamma_k(V)$. Then f induces an injective map

$$f_{k-1} : \mathcal{C}(n, k-1)_q \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{k-1}(V)$$

such that

$$f(\mathcal{S}(X)) = \mathcal{S}(f_{k-1}(X))$$

for every $X \in \mathcal{C}(n, k-1)_q$. Denote by Γ_{k-1} the restriction of the Grassmann graph $\Gamma_{k-1}(V)$ to the image of f_{k-1} . Since the intersection of two distinct stars

$$\mathcal{S}(X), \mathcal{S}(Y), \quad X, Y \in \mathcal{G}_{k-1}(V)$$

is non-empty if and only if X, Y are adjacent, the map f_{k-1} is an isomorphism of $\Gamma(n, k-1)_q$ to Γ_{k-1} .

Now, we show that f_{k-1} sends every maximal star of $\Gamma(n, k-1)_q$ to a star of $\Gamma_{k-1}(V)$ if $k \geq 3$. By Lemma 1, we only need to consider the case when $n = 2(k-1)$. Let us take a k -dimensional subspace $Y \subset V$ containing the 1-dimensional subspaces

$$Q_1 = \mathbb{F}(1, \dots, 1), \quad Q_2 = \mathbb{F}(1, 0, 1, \dots, 1), \quad Q_3 = \mathbb{F}(0, 1, 1, \dots, 1).$$

For any $(k-3)$ -dimensional subspace $Z \subset Y$ satisfying

$$Z \cap (Q_1 + Q_2 + Q_3) = 0$$

the subspaces

$$Z + Q_1 + Q_2, \quad Z + Q_1 + Q_3, \quad Z + Q_2 + Q_3$$

form a clique in $\Gamma(n, k-1)_q$ which is not contained in a star. Therefore, $\mathcal{T}^c(Y)$ is a top of $\Gamma(n, k-1)_q$. If $M \in \mathcal{T}^c(Y)$, then

$$Y \in \mathcal{S}(M) \quad \text{and} \quad f(Y) \in \mathcal{S}(f_{k-1}(M))$$

which implies that $f_{k-1}(M) \subset f(Y)$, i.e.

$$f_{k-1}(\mathcal{T}^c(Y)) \subset \mathcal{T}(f(Y)).$$

If a $(k-2)$ -dimensional subspace $X \subset Y$ contains Q_1 , then $X \in \mathcal{C}(n, k-2)_q$ and

$$|\mathcal{S}(X) \cap \mathcal{T}^c(Y)| > 1;$$

consequently,

$$|f_{k-1}(\mathcal{S}(X)) \cap \mathcal{T}(f(Y))| > 1.$$

Since $f_{k-1}(\mathcal{S}(X))$ and $\mathcal{T}(f(Y))$ are distinct maximal cliques of $\Gamma_{k-1}(V)$ and the intersection of two distinct tops of $\Gamma_{k-1}(V)$ contains at most one element, $f_{k-1}(\mathcal{S}(X))$ is a star of $\Gamma_{k-1}(V)$.

So, if $k \geq 3$, then f_{k-1} sends every maximal star of $\Gamma(n, k-1)_q$ to a star of $\Gamma_{k-1}(V)$, i.e. it induces an isomorphism f_{k-2} of $\Gamma(n, k-2)_q$ to a certain subgraph Γ_{k-2} of $\Gamma_{k-2}(V)$. We construct recursively a sequence

$$f_k = f, f_{k-1}, \dots, f_1,$$

where

$$f_i : \mathcal{C}(n, i)_q \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_i(V)$$

is an isomorphism of $\Gamma(n, i)_q$ to a certain subgraph Γ_i of $\Gamma_i(V)$ and

$$f_i(\mathcal{S}(X)) = \mathcal{S}(f_{i-1}(X))$$

for every $X \in \mathcal{C}(n, i-1)_q$ and $i \geq 2$.

Lemma 2. *The isomorphism f_i , $i \in \{2, \dots, k\}$ sends every star of $\Gamma(n, i)_q$ to a subset in a star of $\Gamma_i(V)$.*

Proof. We prove the statement for $i = k$ (the general case is similar). Let X be a $(k-1)$ -dimensional subspace of V such that $\mathcal{S}^c(X)$ is a non-maximal star of $\Gamma(n, k)_q$. Then $X \notin \mathcal{C}(n, k-1)_q$. We take $Q \in \mathcal{C}(n, 1)_q$ (note that $Q \not\subset X$) and $Y \in \mathcal{C}(n, k-1)_q$ which is the sum of Q and a $(k-2)$ -dimensional subspace of X . There are $X_1, X_2 \in \mathcal{S}^c(X)$ such that

$$Z_j = Q + X_j, \quad j \in \{1, 2\}$$

are distinct $(k+1)$ -dimensional subspaces (otherwise, $\mathcal{S}^c(X)$ is contained in $\mathcal{T}^c(Z)$ for a certain $(k+1)$ -dimensional subspace $Z \subset V$ which is impossible). Observe

that every $\mathcal{T}^c(Z_j)$ is a top of $\Gamma(n, k)_q$. Indeed, $\mathcal{T}^c(Z_j)$ contains X_j , $Q + X$ and $Q + X'$, where X' is a $(k - 1)$ -dimensional subspace of X_j distinct from X ; these subspaces form a clique of $\Gamma(n, k)_q$ which is not contained in a star.

Each $\mathcal{T}^c(Z_j)$ intersects the star $\mathcal{S}^c(X)$ and the maximal star $\mathcal{S}(Y)$ in subsets containing more than one element. Since $f(\mathcal{S}(Y))$ is a star of $\Gamma_k(V)$, every $f(\mathcal{T}^c(Z_j))$ is contained in a top of $\Gamma_k(V)$. This means that for $f(\mathcal{S}^c(X))$ one of the following possibilities is realized:

- (a) $f(\mathcal{S}^c(X))$ is contained in a star of $\Gamma_k(V)$;
- (b) there is a top of $\Gamma_k(V)$ containing $f(\mathcal{S}^c(X))$ and both $f(\mathcal{T}^c(Z_j))$, $j \in \{1, 2\}$.

Show that the case (b) is impossible.

Suppose that $q \geq 3$. Then

$$|\mathcal{S}^c(X)| \geq (q - 1)^{n-k}$$

by (3) and

$$|\mathcal{T}^c(Z_j)| \geq [k + 1]_q - n = q^k + \cdots + q + 1 - n.$$

Observe that

$$\mathcal{T}^c(Z_1) \cap \mathcal{T}^c(Z_2) = \mathcal{S}^c(X) \cap \mathcal{T}^c(Z_1) \cap \mathcal{T}^c(Z_2) = \{X + Y\}$$

and

$$|\mathcal{S}^c(X) \cap \mathcal{T}^c(Z_j)| \leq q + 1.$$

By the inclusion-exclusion principle, we have

$$|\mathcal{S}^c(X) \cup \mathcal{T}^c(Z_1) \cup \mathcal{T}^c(Z_2)| \geq (q - 1)^{n-k} + 2(q^k + \cdots + q + 1 - n) - 2(q + 1) - 1 + 1 \geq$$

$$2(n - k) + 2(q^k + \cdots + q^2) - 2n = (q^k + \cdots + q + 1) + (q^k + \cdots + q^2) - q - 1 - 2k >$$

$$q^k + \cdots + q + 1 = [k + 1]_q^1$$

which contradicts (b).

Suppose that $q = 2$. In this case, $\mathcal{S}^c(X)$ contains not less than 4 elements (by the statement (ii) from Proposition 1 and (3)). If $j \in \{1, 2\}$, then for every $(k - 1)$ -dimensional subspace $N \subset X_j$ the subspace $N + Q$ belongs to $\mathcal{T}^c(Z_j)$; this subspace belongs to $\mathcal{S}^c(X)$ only in the case when $N = X$. Therefore, each $\mathcal{T}^c(Z_j)$ contains

$$[k]_2 - 1 = 2^{k-1} + \cdots + 2$$

elements which do not belong to $\mathcal{S}^c(X)$. This means that the union of our three maximal cliques contains not less than

$$4 + 2(2^{k-1} + \cdots + 2) > [k + 1]_2$$

elements and (b) is impossible. \square

¹We use the assumption that $q \geq 3$. If $k \geq 3$, then $q^k + \cdots + q^2 - q - 1 - 2k \geq 2k + q(q - 1) - 1 - 2k = q(q - 1) - 1 > 0$. If $k = 2$, then $q^2 - q - 1 - 2k = q(q - 1) - 5 > 0$.

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1. THE CASE $q \geq 3$

Suppose that $q \geq 3$. In this case, $\mathcal{S}^c(X)$ is a star of $\Gamma(n, i)_q$ for every $(i - 1)$ -dimensional subspace $X \subset V$ (the statement (i) of Proposition 1). By Lemma 2, the isomorphism f_i , $i \in \{2, \dots, k\}$ transfers every star of $\Gamma(n, i)_q$ to a subset in a star of $\Gamma_i(V)$. Since the intersection of two distinct stars of $\Gamma_i(V)$ contains at most one element, the image of every star of $\Gamma(n, i)_q$ is contained in a unique star of $\Gamma_i(V)$. Therefore, for every $j \in \{1, \dots, k - 1\}$ the isomorphism f_j can be extended to a transformation g_j of $\mathcal{G}_j(V)$ such that

$$f_{j+1}(\mathcal{S}^c(X)) \subset \mathcal{S}(g_j(X))$$

for every $X \in \mathcal{G}_j(X)$. Note that

$$g_j(\mathcal{G}_j(Y)) \subset \mathcal{G}_j(f_{j+1}(Y))$$

for every $Y \in \mathcal{C}(n, j + 1)_q$.

Lemma 3. *The transformation g_1 is bijective.*

Proof. It is sufficient to show that g_1 is injective. Since $q \geq 3$, we can take $P_1, P_2, P_3 \in \mathcal{C}(n, 1)_q$ whose sum is 3-dimensional. For example, the 1-dimensional subspaces

$$\mathbb{F}(1, \dots, 1), \mathbb{F}(\alpha, 1, \dots, 1), \mathbb{F}(\alpha, \alpha, 1, \dots, 1), \quad \alpha \neq 0, 1$$

are as required. If

$$g_1(P) = g_1(Q) = P'$$

for distinct 1-dimensional subspaces $P, Q \subset V$, then f_2 sends $\mathcal{S}^c(P)$ and $\mathcal{S}^c(Q)$ to subsets of $\mathcal{S}(P')$. There is $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ such that $P_i \not\subset P + Q$. The maximal star $\mathcal{S}(P_i)$ intersects $\mathcal{S}^c(P)$ and $\mathcal{S}^c(Q)$ in distinct elements ($P_i + P$ and $P_i + Q$, respectively). Then $f_2(\mathcal{S}(P_i))$ intersects $\mathcal{S}(P')$ in two distinct elements. Since $f_2(\mathcal{S}(P_i))$ is a star of $\Gamma_2(V)$, we have

$$f_2(\mathcal{S}(P_i)) = \mathcal{S}(P')$$

which contradicts the injectivity of f_2 (since $f_2(\mathcal{S}^c(P))$ and $f_2(\mathcal{S}^c(Q))$ both are contained in $\mathcal{S}(P')$). \square

Lemma 4. *For every 2-dimensional subspace $X \notin \mathcal{C}(n, 2)_q$ there are $X_1, X_2 \in \mathcal{C}(n, 1)_q$ such that $X + X_1$ and $X + X_2$ are distinct elements of $\mathcal{C}(n, 3)_q$ and each of them defines a top of $\Gamma(n, 2)_q$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we can assume that $X \subset C_1$, i.e. the first coordinate of every vector belonging to X is zero. Then X contains a non-zero vector x such that the first and the second coordinates of x are zero. Indeed, if

$$y = (0, y_2, \dots, y_n), \quad z = (0, z_2, \dots, z_n)$$

are linearly independent vectors of X and $y_2 \neq 0, z_2 \neq 0$, then there is a linear combination $ay + bz$ such that the first and the second coordinates are zero.

Let α be a non-zero element of the field \mathbb{F} distinct from 1. First, we consider the case when X contains a 1-dimensional subspace

$$Q = \mathbb{F}(0, 0, x_3, \dots, x_n),$$

where every x_i is zero or α . The 1-dimensional subspaces

$$P_1 = \mathbb{F}(1, \dots, 1), \quad P_2 = \mathbb{F}(\alpha, 1, \dots, 1), \quad P_3 = \mathbb{F}(\alpha, \alpha, 1, \dots, 1)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} P'_1 &= \mathbb{F}(1, 1, 1 - x_3, \dots, 1 - x_n), \\ P'_2 &= \mathbb{F}(\alpha, 1, 1 - x_3, \dots, 1 - x_n), \\ P'_3 &= \mathbb{F}(\alpha, \alpha, 1 - x_3, \dots, 1 - x_n) \end{aligned}$$

belong to $\mathcal{C}(n, 1)_q$ and

$$P'_i \subset Q + P_i$$

for every $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Each $X + P_i$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}(n, 3)_q$. If T is a 1-dimensional subspace of X distinct from Q , then

$$Q + P_i, T + P_i, T + P'_i$$

form a clique of $\Gamma(n, 2)_q$ which is not contained in a star. Therefore, $\mathcal{T}^c(X + P_i)$ is a top of $\Gamma(n, 2)_q$.

The subspace $P_1 + P_2 + P_3$ is 3-dimensional. If X is not contained in $P_1 + P_2 + P_3$, then we have

$$X + P_i \neq X + P_j$$

for some distinct i, j . If X is contained in $P_1 + P_2 + P_3$, then X intersects $P_2 + P_3$ in a 1-dimensional subspace Q' distinct from P_2, P_3 . This subspace contains the vector

$$v = (\alpha, 1, \dots, 1) + a(\alpha, \alpha, 1, \dots, 1) = (\alpha + a\alpha, 1 + a\alpha, 1 + a, \dots, 1 + a)$$

for a certain non-zero $a \in \mathbb{F}$. Since $Q' \subset X \subset C_1$, we have $\alpha + a\alpha = 0$. Then $a + 1 = 0$ and all coordinates of v , except the second, are zero. So, X contains the 1-dimensional subspace $Q' = \mathbb{F}e_2$. The 1-dimensional subspaces

$$P_4 = \mathbb{F}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha, 1, \dots, 1) \quad \text{and} \quad P'_4 = \mathbb{F}(\alpha, \alpha - 1, \alpha, 1, \dots, 1)$$

belong to $\mathcal{C}(n, 1)_q$. Also,

$$P'_4 \subset Q' + P_4$$

and $X + P_4 \in \mathcal{C}(n, 3)_q$. If T is a 1-dimensional subspace of X distinct from Q' , then

$$Q' + P_4, T + P_4, T + P'_4$$

form a clique of $\Gamma(n, 2)_q$ which is not contained in a star. Thus $X + P_4$ defines a top of $\Gamma(n, 2)_q$. Since P_4 is not contained in $P_1 + P_2 + P_3$,

$$X + P_i \neq X + P_4$$

for every $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$.

In the general case, there is a monomial linear automorphism l of V such that $l^{-1}(X)$ contains a non-zero vector $(0, 0, x_3, \dots, x_n)$, where every x_i is zero or α . Then all $l(P_i)$ belong to $\mathcal{C}(n, 1)_q$, each $X + l(P_i)$ defines a top of $\Gamma(n, 2)_q$ and

$$X + l(P_i) \neq X + l(P_j)$$

for some distinct i, j . □

Recall that the projective space associated to V is the point-line geometry whose points are 1-dimensional subspaces of V and the lines are subsets of type $\mathcal{G}_1(X)$, where X is a 2-dimensional subspace of V . By the Fundamental Theorem of Projective Geometry, every bijective transformation of $\mathcal{G}_1(V)$ sending lines to lines is induced by a semilinear automorphism of V .

Lemma 5. *The bijective transformation g_1 sends lines to lines.*

Proof. If $X \in \mathcal{C}(n, 2)_q$, then

$$g_1(\mathcal{G}_1(X)) \subset \mathcal{G}_1(f_2(X)).$$

Since g_1 is bijective, we obtain that

$$g_1(\mathcal{G}_1(X)) = \mathcal{G}_1(f_2(X)).$$

Let X be a 2-dimensional subspace of V which does not belong to $\mathcal{C}(n, 2)_q$. By Lemma 4, there are $X_1, X_2 \in \mathcal{C}(n, 1)_q$ such that

$$Z_1 = X + X_1 \text{ and } Z_2 = X + X_2$$

are distinct elements of $\mathcal{C}(n, 3)_q$ and each of them defines a top of $\Gamma(n, 2)_q$. We have

$$|\mathcal{S}(X_i) \cap \mathcal{T}^c(Z_i)| > 1$$

for $i \in \{1, 2\}$ which implies that $f_2(\mathcal{T}^c(Z_i))$ is contained in a top of $\Gamma_2(V)$, i.e.

$$f_2(\mathcal{T}^c(Z_i)) \subset \mathcal{T}(Z'_i)$$

for some 3-dimensional subspace $Z'_i \subset V$, $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Every 1-dimensional subspace $P \subset Z_i$ is contained in a certain $Y \in \mathcal{T}^c(Z_i)$ and

$$g_1(P) \subset f_2(Y) \subset Z'_i$$

which means that $g_1(\mathcal{G}_1(Z_i))$ is contained in $\mathcal{G}_1(Z'_i)$. Since g_1 is bijective,

$$g_1(\mathcal{G}_1(Z_i)) = \mathcal{G}_1(Z'_i)$$

and $Z'_1 \neq Z'_2$. We have $X = Z_1 \cap Z_2$ which implies that g_1 sends $\mathcal{G}_1(X)$ to $\mathcal{G}_1(X')$, where $X' = Z'_1 \cap Z'_2$. \square

So, g_1 is induced by a semilinear automorphism l of V . Then f_2 also is induced by l and the statement is proved for $k = 2$. Consider the case when $k \geq 3$.

Lemma 6. *If $X \in \mathcal{C}(n, k)_q$ contains $P \in \mathcal{C}(n, 1)_q$, then*

$$f(X) = l(X).$$

Proof. Let Q be a 1-dimensional subspace of X . Consider a sequence

$$P \subset X_2 \subset \cdots \subset X_{k-1} \subset X_k = X,$$

where every X_i is an i -dimensional subspace and X_2 contains Q . Then $X_i \in \mathcal{C}(n, i)$ for every $i \in \{2, \dots, k\}$ and

$$f_{i-1}(X_{i-1}) \subset f_i(X_i)$$

if $i \geq 3$. This implies that

$$g_1(Q) \subset f_2(X_2) \subset f(X).$$

Since g_1 is bijective, we have

$$g_1(\mathcal{G}_1(X)) = \mathcal{G}_1(f(X)).$$

On the other hand, g_1 is induced by l and

$$g_1(\mathcal{G}_1(X)) = \mathcal{G}_1(l(X))$$

which gives the claim. \square

Lemma 7. *For every $(k - 1)$ -dimensional subspace $X \subset V$ there are $Z_1, Z_2 \in \mathcal{C}(n, k)_q$ such that $X = Z_1 \cap Z_2$ and each Z_i contains an element of $\mathcal{C}(n, 1)_q$.*

Proof. If X contains an element of $\mathcal{C}(n, 1)_q$, then any two distinct k -dimensional subspaces containing X are as required. Suppose that there is no element of $\mathcal{C}(n, 1)_q$ contained in X . Let α be an element of \mathbb{F} distinct from 0, 1. Each of the 1-dimensional subspaces

$$P_1 = \mathbb{F}(1, \dots, 1), P_2 = \mathbb{F}(\alpha, 1, \dots, 1), \dots, P_{k+1} = \mathbb{F}(\underbrace{\alpha, \dots, \alpha}_k, 1, \dots, 1)$$

is not contained in X , i.e. every $X + P_i$ is an element of $\mathcal{C}(n, k)_q$. Since the subspace $P_1 + \dots + P_{k+1}$ is $(k+1)$ -dimensional, there are at least two distinct $X + P_i$. \square

Let X be a $(k-1)$ -dimensional subspace of V and let Z_1, Z_2 be as in Lemma 7. Then $f(Z_i) = l(Z_i)$ (by Lemma 6) and

$$l(X) = l(Z_1) \cap l(Z_2) = f(Z_1) \cap f(Z_2) = g_{k-1}(X).$$

Therefore, g_{k-1} is induced by l . On the other hand,

$$g_{k-1}(\mathcal{G}_{k-1}(Y)) \subset \mathcal{G}_{k-1}(f(Y))$$

for every $Y \in \mathcal{C}(n, k)_q$ and we obtain that $f(Y) = l(Y)$. So, f is induced by l .

6. PROOF OF THEOREM 1. THE CASE $q = 2, k \geq 3$

Suppose that $q = 2$. In this case, $\mathcal{C}(n, 1)_2$ consists of one element, the 1-dimensional subspace containing the vector $(1, \dots, 1)$. By the statement (ii) of Proposition 1, for a 1-dimensional subspace $P \subset V$ the set $\mathcal{S}^c(P)$ is a star of $\Gamma(n, 2)_2$ if and only if the weight of P (the number of non-zero coordinates of the non-zero vector belonging to P) is greater than 2. Let $\mathcal{G}'_1(V)$ be the set of all such 1-dimensional subspaces of V . By Lemma 2, there is a map

$$g_1 : \mathcal{G}'_1(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_1(V)$$

such that

$$(4) \quad f_2(\mathcal{S}^c(P)) \subset \mathcal{S}(g_1(P))$$

for every $P \in \mathcal{G}'_1(V)$.

Lemma 8. *If $k \geq 3$, then g_1 is injective.*

Proof. Let T be the 1-dimensional subspace containing the vector $(1, \dots, 1)$, i.e. the unique element of $\mathcal{C}(n, 1)_2$. Then $f_2(\mathcal{S}(T))$ is a star of $\Gamma_2(V)$. If $g_1(T) = g_1(P)$ for a certain $P \in \mathcal{G}'_1(V)$ distinct from T , then $f_2(\mathcal{S}^c(P))$ is contained in $f_2(\mathcal{S}(T))$ which contradicts the injectivity of f_2 .

Let P and Q be distinct elements of $\mathcal{G}'_1(V)$ different from T . If T is not contained in $P + Q$, then $T + P$ and $T + Q$ are distinct elements of $\mathcal{C}(n, 2)_2$. Since

$$g_1(P) \neq g_1(T) \neq g_1(Q),$$

we have

$$f_2(T + P) = g_1(T) + g_1(P) \quad \text{and} \quad f_2(T + Q) = g_1(T) + g_1(Q).$$

These subspaces are distinct and, consequently, $g_1(P) \neq g_1(Q)$.

Suppose that $T \subset P + Q$ and i is the weight of P . First, we consider the case when P contains the vector

$$(5) \quad (\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_i, 0, \dots, 0).$$

Then Q contains the vector

$$(6) \quad \underbrace{(0, \dots, 0)}_i, 1, \dots, 1).$$

Since P and Q belong to $\mathcal{G}'_1(V)$, the both i and $n - i$ are not less than 3. Let P' and Q' be the 1-dimensional subspaces containing the vectors

$$(0, 1, \dots, 1) \quad \text{and} \quad (1, \dots, 1, 0),$$

respectively. The subspaces

$$X = P + P', \quad Y = P' + Q', \quad Z = Q + Q'$$

are mutually distinct elements of $\mathcal{C}(n, 2)_2$, each of them does not contain T . Therefore,

$$f_2(X) = g_1(P) + g_1(P'), \quad f_2(Y) = g_1(P') + g_1(Q'), \quad f_2(Z) = g_1(Q) + g_1(Q')$$

are mutually distinct. The subspaces $X + Y$ and $Y + Z$ are distinct elements of $\mathcal{C}(n, 3)_2^2$. Then

$$f_3(X + Y) = f_2(X) + f_2(Y) = g_1(P) + g_1(P') + g_1(Q'),$$

$$f_3(Y + Z) = f_2(Y) + f_2(Z) = g_1(Q) + g_1(P') + g_1(Q')$$

are distinct. The latter implies that $g_1(P) \neq g_1(Q)$. In the general case, there is a monomial linear automorphism l of V transferring (5) and (6) to the non-zero vectors belonging to P and Q , respectively. Applying the above arguments to the 2-dimensional subspaces

$$P + l(P'), \quad l(P') + l(Q'), \quad Q + l(Q')$$

we establish that $g_1(P) \neq g_1(Q)$. \square

From this moment, we suppose that $k \geq 3$.

We extend g_1 to a transformation of $\mathcal{G}_1(V)$. Let P be a 1-dimensional subspace of V which does not belong to $\mathcal{G}'_1(V)$. This is equivalent to the fact that the weight of P is not greater than 2. In the case when P is of weight 2, there are precisely two elements of $\mathcal{C}(n, 2)_2$ containing P (Remark 3). If X and Y are such elements of $\mathcal{C}(n, 2)_2$, then $f_2(X), f_2(Y)$ are adjacent and we define $g_1(P)$ as their intersection. In the case when the weight of P is 1, the 2-dimensional subspace X spanned by P and the vector $(1, \dots, 1)$ is the unique element of $\mathcal{C}(n, 2)_2$ containing P . Let P' and P'' be the 1-dimensional subspaces of X distinct from P . They belong to $\mathcal{G}'_1(V)$ and $g_1(P') \neq g_1(P'')$. We define $g_1(P)$ as the 1-dimensional subspace of $f_2(X)$ distinct from $g_1(P')$ and $g_1(P'')$.

So, we obtain a transformation g_1 of $\mathcal{G}_1(V)$ such that (4) holds for every 1-dimensional subspace $P \subset V$ and

$$g_1(\mathcal{G}_1(X)) \subset \mathcal{G}_1(f_2(X))$$

for every $X \in \mathcal{C}(n, 2)_2$.

Lemma 9. *The extended transformation g_1 is bijective.*

²Note that $X + Y$ and $Y + Z$ are distinct elements of $\mathcal{C}(n, 3)_2$ even if the both i and $n - i$ are not less than 2. This fact will be used to prove Lemma 9.

Proof. It is sufficient to show that the extension is injective. As in the proof of Lemma 8, we assume that T is the 1-dimensional subspace containing the vector $(1, \dots, 1)$. For every 1-dimensional subspace P of weight 1 we have $g_1(P) \neq g_1(T)$ by the definition. If P is a 1-dimensional subspace of weight 2 and $g_1(P) = g_1(T)$, then $f_2(\mathcal{S}^c(P))$ is contained in $f_2(\mathcal{S}(T))$ which contradicts the injectivity of f_2 .

Let P and Q be distinct 1-dimensional subspaces of V different from T and such that the weight of at least one of them is not greater than 2. In the case when $T \not\subset P+Q$, we use reasonings from the proof of Lemma 8. Suppose that $T \subset P+Q$. If one of P, Q is of weight 1, then $g_1(P) \neq g_1(Q)$ by the definition. For the case when the weights of P and Q are not less than 2 we apply arguments used in the proof of Lemma 8. \square

Now, we prove the direct analogue of Lemma 5.

Lemma 10. *The bijective transformation g_1 sends lines to lines.*

Proof. If $X \in \mathcal{C}(n, 2)_2$, then $g_1(\mathcal{G}_1(X))$ is contained in $\mathcal{G}_1(f_2(X))$. Since g_1 is bijective, we have

$$g_1(\mathcal{G}_1(X)) = \mathcal{G}_1(f_2(X)).$$

Consider a 2-dimensional subspace $X \subset V$ which does not belong to $\mathcal{C}(n, 2)_2$. Let Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 be the 1-dimensional subspaces of X .

For every 1-dimensional subspace $P \subset V$ we denote by $\mathcal{N}(P)$ the set of all indices $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that the i -coordinate of the non-zero vector of P is zero. The weight of P is equal to $n - |\mathcal{N}(P)|$. Since X does not belong to $\mathcal{C}(n, 2)_2$,

$$\mathcal{N}(Q_1) \cap \mathcal{N}(Q_2) \cap \mathcal{N}(Q_3) \neq \emptyset.$$

If $t \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $\{1, 2, 3\} \setminus \{t\} = \{i, j\}$, then

$$\mathcal{N}(Q_t) = (\mathcal{N}(Q_i) \cap \mathcal{N}(Q_j)) \cup (\mathcal{N}(Q_i) \cup \mathcal{N}(Q_j))^c$$

(for $I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ we denote by I^c the complement $\{1, \dots, n\} \setminus I$). Therefore, if there are distinct $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ such that

$$(7) \quad \mathcal{N}(Q_i) \not\subset \mathcal{N}(Q_j), \mathcal{N}(Q_j) \not\subset \mathcal{N}(Q_i) \text{ and } \mathcal{N}(Q_i) \cup \mathcal{N}(Q_j) \neq \{1, \dots, n\},$$

then the same holds for any distinct $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. On the other hand, if for some distinct $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ we have

$$(8) \quad \mathcal{N}(Q_i) \cup \mathcal{N}(Q_j) = \{1, \dots, n\}$$

and $\{1, 2, 3\} \setminus \{i, j\} = \{t\}$, then

$$\mathcal{N}(Q_t) = \mathcal{N}(Q_i) \cap \mathcal{N}(Q_j).$$

Conversely, if $\mathcal{N}(Q_t) \subset \mathcal{N}(Q_i)$ for some distinct $i, t \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, then (8) holds for $j \in \{1, 2, 3\} \setminus \{i, t\}$.

So, one of the following two possibilities is realized:

- (i) (7) holds for any distinct $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$;
- (ii) there are distinct $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ satisfying (8).

The case (i). The sets

$$(\mathcal{N}(Q_1) \cup \mathcal{N}(Q_2))^c, (\mathcal{N}(Q_1) \cup \mathcal{N}(Q_3))^c, (\mathcal{N}(Q_2) \cup \mathcal{N}(Q_3))^c$$

are non-empty. Furthermore, these sets are mutually disjoint (otherwise, there is $s \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that the s -coordinate of the non-zero vector of Q_i is non-zero for

every $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ which is impossible). Without loss of generality we can assume that

$$3 \notin \mathcal{N}(Q_1) \cup \mathcal{N}(Q_2), 2 \notin \mathcal{N}(Q_1) \cup \mathcal{N}(Q_3), 1 \notin \mathcal{N}(Q_2) \cup \mathcal{N}(Q_3).$$

Then

$$1, 2 \notin \mathcal{N}(Q_3), 1, 3 \notin \mathcal{N}(Q_2), 2, 3 \notin \mathcal{N}(Q_1)$$

which implies that $i \in \mathcal{N}(Q_i)$ for every $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ (otherwise, there is $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ such that the i -coordinates of the non-zero vectors of Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 are non-zero which is impossible). Let P, P_1, P_2, P_3 be the 1-dimensional subspaces of V containing the vectors

$$(1, \dots, 1), (0, 1, \dots, 1), (1, 0, 1, \dots, 1), (1, 1, 0, 1, \dots, 1),$$

respectively. Then

$$Z = P + X \text{ and } Z_i = P_i + X, i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$$

belong to $\mathcal{C}(n, 3)_2$; furthermore, the following assertions are fulfilled:

- $P + Q_i$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}(n, 2)_2$ for every $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$;
- $P_j + Q_i$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}(n, 2)_2$ if and only if $i \neq j$.

This implies that

$$(9) \quad g_1(Q_i) \subset f_2(P + Q_i) \subset f_3(Z), \quad i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$$

and

$$(10) \quad g_1(Q_i) \subset f_2(P_j + Q_i) \subset f_3(Z_j), \quad i \neq j.$$

We assert that $Z \neq Z_i$ for every $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Suppose, for example, that $Z = Z_1$. Then $P \subset Z_1$. Recall that $Z_1 = P_1 + X$. It is clear that $P \neq P_1$ and $P \not\subset X$. Also, $P_1 + Q_1$ does not belong to $\mathcal{C}(n, 2)_2$. Therefore, P is contained in $P_1 + Q_2$ or $P_1 + Q_3$. If $P \subset P_1 + Q_2$, then Q_2 contains the vector e_1 . Since $1 \notin \mathcal{N}(Q_3)$, we have

$$\mathcal{N}(Q_3) \subset \{2, \dots, n\} = \mathcal{N}(Q_2)$$

which contradicts our assumption. Similarly, we show that $P \not\subset P_1 + Q_3$ and get a contradiction.

We cannot state that $Z_i \neq Z_j$ for distinct i, j . The subset of $\mathcal{C}(n, 3)_2$ formed by Z and all $Z_i, i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ contains at least 2 elements and it is contained in a star of $\Gamma(n, 3)_2$. Since f_3 sends stars of $\Gamma(n, 3)_2$ to subsets in stars of $\Gamma_3(V)$, the intersection of $f_3(Z)$ and all $f_3(Z_i)$ is a 2-dimensional subspace X' . By (9) and (11), every $g_1(Q_i)$ is contained in $f_3(Z)$ and $f_3(Z_j)$ if $j \neq i$ which means that $g_1(Q_i) \subset X'$. So, g_1 sends $\mathcal{G}_1(X)$ to $\mathcal{G}_1(X')$.

The case (ii). Without loss of generality we assume that

$$(11) \quad \mathcal{N}(Q_1) \cup \mathcal{N}(Q_2) = \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

As above, P is the 1-dimensional subspace containing the vector $(1, \dots, 1)$ and $Z = P + X$. Let $Q'_i, i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ be the 1-dimensional subspace of $P + Q_i$ distinct from P and Q_i . Then

$$\mathcal{N}(Q'_i) = \mathcal{N}(Q_i)^c$$

and

$$\mathcal{N}(Q'_1) \cap \mathcal{N}(Q'_2) = (\mathcal{N}(Q_1) \cup \mathcal{N}(Q_2))^c = \emptyset.$$

The latter implies that $Q'_1 + Q'_2$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}(n, 2)_2$. Note that Q_3 is the third 1-dimensional subspace of $Q'_1 + Q'_2$.

By (11), we have

$$\mathcal{N}(Q_3) = \mathcal{N}(Q_1) \cap \mathcal{N}(Q_2)$$

and, consequently,

$$\mathcal{N}(Q'_3) = \mathcal{N}(Q'_1) \cup \mathcal{N}(Q'_2).$$

Then

$$\mathcal{N}(Q_1) \cap \mathcal{N}(Q'_2) \cap \mathcal{N}(Q'_3) = \mathcal{N}(Q_1) \cap \mathcal{N}(Q'_2) = \mathcal{N}(Q_1) \cap \mathcal{N}(Q_2)^c \neq \emptyset$$

and

$$\mathcal{N}(Q_2) \cap \mathcal{N}(Q'_1) \cap \mathcal{N}(Q'_3) = \mathcal{N}(Q_2) \cap \mathcal{N}(Q'_1) = \mathcal{N}(Q_2) \cap \mathcal{N}(Q_1)^c \neq \emptyset$$

which means that $Q_1 + Q'_2$ and $Q'_1 + Q_2$ do not belong to $\mathcal{C}(n, 2)_2$. Note that the intersection of these 2-dimensional subspaces is Q'_3 .

So, Z contains precisely four elements of $\mathcal{C}(n, 2)_2$; these are

$$P + Q_i, i \in \{1, 2, 3\} \text{ and } Q'_1 + Q'_2.$$

The isomorphism f_2 transfers them to some 2-dimensional subspaces of $f_3(Z)$. Observe that $g_1(P)$ is contained in all $f_2(P + Q_i)$ and $f_2(Q'_1 + Q'_2)$ intersects

$$f_2(P + Q_1), f_2(P + Q_2), f_2(P + Q_3)$$

in $g(Q'_1), g(Q'_2), g(Q_3)$ (respectively), see Fig.1. This implies that all $g_1(Q_i)$ form a line of the projective space associated to V . \square




FIGURE 1.

So, g_1 is induced by a semilinear automorphism l of V . Since

$$g_1(\mathcal{G}_1(X)) = \mathcal{G}_1(f_2(X))$$

for every $X \in \mathcal{C}(n, 2)_2$, f_2 also is induced by l .

Lemma 11. *If $X \in \mathcal{C}(n, k)_2$ contains*

$$(1, \dots, 1) \text{ or } v_i = (1, \dots, 1) + e_i,$$

then

$$f(X) = l(X).$$

Proof. If X contains $(1, \dots, 1)$, then we use arguments from the proof of Lemma 6.

Suppose that X contains v_i . Since X belongs to $\mathcal{C}(n, k)_2$, the subspace $X \cap C_i$ is a hyperplane of X . For every vector $x \in X \setminus C_i$ the 2-dimensional subspace Y containing x and v_i belongs to $\mathcal{C}(n, 2)_2$. We consider a sequence of subspaces

$$Y = Y_2 \subset \dots \subset Y_{k-1} \subset Y_k = X,$$

where $Y_i \in \mathcal{C}(n, i)_2$ for every $i \in \{2, \dots, k\}$, and establish that $l(Y) \subset f(X)$. Therefore,

$$l(X \setminus C_i) \subset f(X)$$

which gives the claim. \square

Denote by $\mathcal{G}'_{k-1}(V)$ the set of all $(k-1)$ -dimensional subspaces $X \subset V$ satisfying

$$c(X) \leq n - k - 1.$$

The statement (ii) of Proposition 1 says that $\mathcal{S}^c(X)$ is a star of $\Gamma(n, k)_2$ if and only if X belongs to $\mathcal{G}'_{k-1}(V)$. By Lemma 2, there is a map

$$g_{k-1} : \mathcal{G}'_{k-1}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{k-1}(V)$$

such that

$$f(\mathcal{S}^c(X)) \subset \mathcal{S}(g_{k-1}(X))$$

for every $X \in \mathcal{G}'_{k-1}(V)$. Note that g_{k-1} is an extension of f_{k-1} .

Let $\mathcal{G}''_{k-1}(V)$ be the set of all $(k-1)$ -dimensional subspaces $X \subset V$ such that

$$c(X) \leq n - k.$$

If a $(k-1)$ -dimensional subspace $X \subset V$ does not belong to $\mathcal{G}''_{k-1}(V)$, then $c(X) = n - k + 1$ which implies that X is spanned by some $e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_{k-1}}$.

We extend g_{k-1} to a map of $\mathcal{G}''_{k-1}(V)$. By Remark 3, for a $(k-1)$ -dimensional subspace $X \subset V$ satisfying $c(X) = n - k$ there are precisely two elements of $\mathcal{C}(n, k)_2$ containing X . If Y and Y' are such elements of $\mathcal{C}(n, k)_2$, then $f(Y), f(Y')$ are adjacent and we define $g_{k-1}(X)$ as their intersection.

Lemma 12. *For every $X \in \mathcal{G}''_{k-1}(V)$ there are $Y, Y' \in \mathcal{C}(n, k)_2$ such that $X = Y \cap Y'$ and each of Y, Y' contains $(1, \dots, 1)$ or v_i (v_i is as in Lemma 11).*

Proof. If X contains $(1, \dots, 1)$ or v_i , then any two distinct k -dimensional subspaces containing X are as required.

Suppose that $(1, \dots, 1)$ and all v_i do not belong to X . Let I be the set of all indices $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that X contains a vector whose i -coordinate is non-zero. Since $c(X) \leq n - k$, this set contains at least k indices. For every $i \in I$ we denote by Y_i the subspace containing X and v_i . Let also Y be the subspace containing X and $(1, \dots, 1)$. By our assumption, Y and all Y_i are k -dimensional; furthermore, these subspaces belong to $\mathcal{C}(n, k)_2$.

We assert that there is $i \in I$ such that $Y_i \neq Y$. Indeed, if $Y = Y_i$ for all $i \in I$, then Y contains the vector $(1, \dots, 1) + v_i = e_i$ for every $i \in I$. Since $|I| \geq k$, the subspace Y is spanned by some e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_k} which contradicts the fact that $(1, \dots, 1)$ belongs to Y . \square

Let $X \in \mathcal{G}''_{k-1}(V)$ and let $Y, Y' \in \mathcal{C}(n, k)_2$ be as in Lemma 12. Then

$$f(Y) = l(Y), \quad f(Y') = l(Y')$$

(by Lemma 11) and

$$g_{k-1}(X) = f(Y) \cap f(Y') = l(Y) \cap l(Y') = l(Y \cap Y') = l(X).$$

Therefore, g_{k-1} is induced by l .

Every $X \in \mathcal{C}(n, k)_2$ contains two distinct $Y, Y' \in \mathcal{G}_{k-1}''(V)$ (otherwise, there are distinct $(k-1)$ -dimensional subspaces $Z, Z' \subset X$ satisfying $c(Z) = c(Z') = n-k+1$; then $X = Z + Z'$ and X is spanned by some e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_k} which is impossible). Since

$$g_{k-1}(Y) = l(Y) \quad \text{and} \quad g_{k-1}(Y') = l(Y')$$

are distinct $(k-1)$ -dimensional subspaces of $f(X)$, we have

$$f(X) = l(Y) + l(Y') = l(Y + Y') = l(X).$$

So, f is induced by l .

7. PROOF OF THEOREM 1. THE CASE $q = 2, k = 2$

For a non-empty subset $I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ we denote by P_I the 1-dimensional subspace of V containing the vector whose i -coordinate is 1 for every $i \in I$ and 0 if $i \notin I$. Let H be the hyperplane of V spanned by all P_I , where I is an $(n-1)$ -element subset of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ containing n . It is easy to see that $P_{\{1, \dots, n\}}$ is not contained in H . We decompose $\mathcal{C}(n, 2)_2$ in three subsets $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}$ as follows:

- \mathcal{A} consists of all elements of $\mathcal{C}(n, 2)_2$ containing $P_{\{1, \dots, n\}}$;
- \mathcal{B} is formed by all elements of $\mathcal{C}(n, 2)_2$ contained in H ;
- $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}(n, 2)_2 \setminus (\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B})$.

Consider $X \in \mathcal{C}$. This subspace intersects H in a 1-dimensional subspace and we suppose that P_I, P_J are the remaining two 1-dimensional subspaces of H . Then

$$(12) \quad I \cup J = \{1, \dots, n\}$$

and $P_{I^c \cup J^c}$ is the 1-dimensional subspace of X contained in H (recall that for every $S \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ we denote by S^c the complement of S in $\{1, \dots, n\}$). Then P_{I^c}, P_{J^c} are contained in H . Indeed, the 2-dimensional subspaces

$$P_I + P_{I^c}, \quad P_J + P_{J^c}$$

both contain $P_{\{1, \dots, n\}}$ and each of the 1-dimensional subspaces $P_{\{1, \dots, n\}}, P_I, P_J$ is not in H . Denote by X^c the 2-dimensional subspace of V containing P_{I^c} and P_{J^c} . It is clear that $X^c \subset H$. By (12), we have $I^c \cap J^c = \emptyset$ and the third 1-dimensional subspace of X^c is $P_{I^c \cup J^c}$. Since $P_{\{1, \dots, n\}}$ is not contained in H and, consequently, in X^c , we have

$$I^c \cup J^c \neq \{1, \dots, n\}$$

which shows that X^c does not belong to $\mathcal{C}(n, 2)_2$. Observe that $P_{I^c \cup J^c}$ is the intersection of X and X^c .

If $Y \in \mathcal{C}$ is distinct from X , then X^c and Y^c are distinct. Indeed, if $X^c = Y^c$ for a certain $Y \in \mathcal{C}$ distinct from X , then Y contains $P_{I \cap J}$ and one of the subspaces P_I, P_J which contradicts the fact that $Y \in \mathcal{C}(n, 2)_2$.

Let h be the map of $\mathcal{C}(n, 2)_2$ to $\mathcal{G}_2(V)$ which leaves fixed every element of $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$ and sends every $X \in \mathcal{C}$ to X^c . Since X^c does not belong to $\mathcal{C}(n, 2)_2$ for each $X \in \mathcal{C}$ and $X^c \neq Y^c$ for distinct $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$, this map is injective.

Lemma 13. *The map h is adjacency preserving.*

Proof. Let X and Y be adjacent elements of $\mathcal{C}(n, 2)_2$. We need to show that $h(X), h(Y)$ are adjacent. Since the restriction of h to $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$ is identity, the statement holds if X, Y both belong to $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$.

We will use the following properties of $Z \in \mathcal{C}$:

- the 1-dimensional subspace $Z \cap H$ is contained in Z^c ;

- if $P_I \subset Z$ is not contained in H , then $P_{I^c} \subset Z^c$.

Suppose that $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$. If the 1-dimensional subspace $X \cap Y$ is contained in H , then

$$X \cap Y = X^c \cap Y^c$$

which means that $h(X) = X^c, h(Y) = Y^c$ are adjacent. If $X \cap Y = P_I$ is not contained in H , then

$$X^c \cap Y^c = P_{I^c}$$

and $h(X) = X^c, h(Y) = Y^c$ are adjacent.

Consider the case when $X \in \mathcal{C}$ and $Y \in \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$. If the 1-dimensional subspace $X \cap Y$ is contained in H , then X^c contains $X \cap Y$ and $h(X) = X^c, h(Y) = Y$ are adjacent. Assume that $X \cap Y = P_I$ is not contained in H . Then $Y \in \mathcal{A}$. Since $P_{\{1, \dots, n\}} \subset Y$, the third 1-dimensional subspace of Y is P_{I^c} and we have

$$X^c \cap Y = P_{I^c}$$

which implies that $h(X) = X^c, h(Y) = Y$ are adjacent. \square

Consider the restriction of $\Gamma_2(V)$ to the image of h . Removing from this restriction all edges connecting $h(X)$ and $h(Y)$ if $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}(n, 2)_2$ are not adjacent, we obtain a subgraph of $\Gamma_2(V)$ isomorphic to $\Gamma(n, 2)_2$.

Now, we show that h is adjacency preserving only in one direction. This means that h cannot be extended to an automorphism of $\Gamma_2(V)$.

The 2-dimensional subspaces

$$X = P_{\{1, 3, \dots, n\}} + P_{\{2, \dots, n\}} \quad \text{and} \quad Y = P_{\{1, 2, 4, \dots, n\}} + P_{\{3, \dots, n\}}$$

belong to $\mathcal{C}(n, 2)_2$. The third 1-dimensional subspaces of X and Y are $P_{\{1, 2\}}$ and $P_{\{1, 2, 3\}}$, respectively. Therefore, X and Y are not adjacent. We have $X \in \mathcal{B}$ (since H contains $P_{\{1, 3, \dots, n\}}$ and $P_{\{2, \dots, n\}}$). Observe that

$$P_{\{1, \dots, n\}} \subset P_{\{1, 2\}} + P_{\{3, \dots, n\}}, \quad P_{\{1, \dots, n\}} \not\subset H, \quad P_{\{1, 2\}} \subset H,$$

i.e. H does not contain $P_{\{3, \dots, n\}}$ and, consequently, $Y \in \mathcal{C}$. The subspaces

$$h(X) = X = P_{\{1, 3, \dots, n\}} + P_{\{1, 2\}} \quad \text{and} \quad h(Y) = Y^c = P_{\{1, 2, 4, \dots, n\}} + P_{\{1, 2\}}$$

are adjacent.

REFERENCES

- [1] A.E. Brouwer, A.M. Cohen, A. Neumaier, *Distance-regular graphs*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete/Results in Mathematics and Related Areas 18, Springer, 1989.
- [2] F. Buekenhout, A.M. Cohen, *Diagram geometry. Related to classical groups and buildings* Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete/Results in Mathematics and Related Areas 57, Springer, 2013.
- [3] I. Cardinali, L. Giuzzi, M. Kwiatkowski, On the Grassmann graph of linear codes, *Finite Fields Appl.* 75(2021), article 101895.
- [4] W. L. Chow, *On the geometry of algebraic homogeneous spaces*, *Ann. Math.* 50(1949), 32-67.
- [5] G.P. Gehér, P. Šemrl, *Isometries of Grassmann spaces*, *J. Funct. Anal.* 270 (2016), 1585–1601.
- [6] G.P. Gehér, *Wigner's theorem on Grassmann spaces*, *J. Funct. Anal.* 273(2017), 2994–3001.
- [7] M. Kwiatkowski, M. Pankov, *On the distance between linear codes*, *Finite Fields Appl.* 39(2016), 251-263.
- [8] M. Kwiatkowski, M. Pankov, *Chow's theorem for linear codes*, *Finite Fields Appl.* 46(2017), 147-162.
- [9] M. Kwiatkowski, M. Pankov, A. Pasini, *The graphs of projective codes*, *Finite Fields Appl.* 54(2018), 15-29.

- [10] M. Kwiatkowski, M. Pankov, *The graph of 4-ary simplex codes of dimension 2*, Finite Fields Appl. 67(2020), article 101709.
- [11] M. Pankov, *Grassmannians of classical buildings*, World Scientific, 2010.
- [12] M. Pankov, *Geometry of semilinear embeddings. Relations to graphs and codes*, World Scientific, 2015.
- [13] M. Pankov, *Wigner-type theorem for Hilbert Grassmannian*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series 460, Cambridge University Press, 2020.
- [14] A. Pasini, *Diagram geometries*, Oxford Science Publications, 1994.
- [15] E. Shult, *Points and lines: Characterizing the classical geometries*, Universitext, Springer, 2011.
- [16] M. Tsfasman, S. Vlăduț, D. Nogin, *Algebraic Geometry Codes. Basic notions*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 2007.

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF WARMIA AND MAZURY,
SŁONECZNA 54, OLSZTYN, POLAND

Email address: `pankov@matman.uwm.edu.pl`