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#### Abstract

We consider the Grassmann graph of $k$-dimensional subspaces of an $n$-dimensional vector space over the $q$-element field and its subgraph $\Gamma(n, k)_{q}$ formed by non-degenerate linear $[n, k]_{q}$ codes. We assume that $1<$ $k<n-1$. It is well-known that every automorphism of the Grassmann graph is induced by a semilinear automorphism of the corresponding vector space or a semilinear isomorphism to the dual vector space; the second possibility is realized only if $n=2 k$. Our results are the following: if $q \geq 3$ or $k \neq 2$, then every isomorphism of $\Gamma(n, k)_{q}$ to a subgraph of the Grassmann graph can be uniquely extended to an automorphism of the Grassmann graph; in the case when $q=k=2$, there are subgraphs of the Grassmann graph isomorphic to $\Gamma(n, k)_{q}$ and such that isomorphisms between these subgraphs and $\Gamma(n, k)_{q}$ cannot be extended to automorphisms of the Grassmann graph.


## 1. Introduction

Every linear $[n, k]_{q}$ code is identified with a certain $k$-dimensional subspace of the $n$-dimensional vector space $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ ( $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ is the field of $q$ elements). Two distinct linear $[n, k]_{q}$ codes are adjacent if they have the maximal number of common codewords, equivalently, the intersection of the corresponding $k$-dimensional subspaces are ( $k-$ $1)$-dimensional. Therefore, the simple graph formed by all linear $[n, k]_{q}$ codes such that two codes are connected by an edge if they are adjacent coincides with the Grassmann graph of $k$-dimensional subspaces of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$. The case when $k=1, n-1$ is trivial (any two distinct $k$-dimensional subspaces are adjacent) and we assume that $1<k<n-1$.

If a linear $[n, k]_{q}$ code $C$ is degenerated (the restriction of a certain coordinate functional to $C$ is zero), then a generator matrix of $C$ contains zero column and $C$, in fact, is a linear $[n-1, k]_{q}$ code. For this reason, it natural to consider nondegenerate codes only. Denote by $\Gamma(n, k)_{q}$ the subgraph of the Grassmann graph formed by all non-degenerate linear $[n, k]_{q}$ codes. Some distance properties and automorphisms of this graph are investigated in [2, 3.

Chow's theorem [1] provides a description of all automorphisms of the Grassmann graph; every such automorphism is induced by a semilinear automorphism of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ or a semilinear isomorphism to the dual vector space (the second possibility is realized only if $n=2 k$ ). Our main result is the following: if $q \geq 3$ or $k \geq 3$, then every subgraph of the Grassmann graph isomorphic to $\Gamma(n, k)_{q}$ can be obtained form $\Gamma(n, k)_{q}$ by an automorphism of the Grassmann graph. For the case when $q=k=2$ we construct counterexample.
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## 2. Result

Let $V$ be an $n$-dimensional vector space over a field $\mathbb{F}$ (not necessarily finite) and let $\mathcal{G}_{k}(V)$ be the Grassmannian consisting of all $k$-dimensional subspaces of $V$. Two $k$-dimensional subspaces of $V$ are called adjacent if their intersection is $(k-1)$ dimensional, or equivalently, their sum is $(k+1)$-dimensional. The Grassmann graph $\Gamma_{k}(V)$ is the simple graph whose vertex set is $\mathcal{G}_{k}(V)$ and two $k$-dimensional subspaces are connected by an edge in this graph if they are adjacent. In the cases when $k=1, n-1$, any two distinct $k$-dimensional subspaces are adjacent. The Grassmann graph is connected. Chow's theorem [1] provides a description of automorphisms of $\Gamma_{k}(V)$ for $1<k<n-1$ (if $k=1, n-1$, then every bijective transformation of $\mathcal{G}_{k}(V)$ is a graph automorphism).

Recall that a map $l: V \rightarrow V$ is called semilinear if

$$
l(x+y)=l(x)+l(y)
$$

for all $x, y \in V$ and there is a homomorphism $\sigma: \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ such that

$$
l(a x)=\sigma(a) l(x)
$$

for all $a \in \mathbb{F}$ and $x \in V$. In the case when $l$ is bijective and $\sigma$ is a field automorphism, we say that $l$ is a semilinear automorphism of $V$. Every semilinear automorphism induces an automorphism of the graph $\Gamma_{k}(V)$. Let $\omega$ be a nondegenerate symmetric form on $V$. For every subspace $X \subset V$ we denote by $X_{\omega}^{\perp}$ the orthogonal complement of $X$ associated to the form $\omega$. Two subspace of the same dimension are adjacent if and only if their orthogonal complements are adjacent, i.e. the orthocomplementary map $X \rightarrow X_{\omega}^{\perp}$ is an isomorphism between the Grassmann graphs $\Gamma_{k}(V)$ and $\Gamma_{n-k}(V)$; this is an automorphism of $\Gamma_{k}(V)$ if $n=2 k$. Chow's theorem states that every automorphism $f$ of $\Gamma_{k}(V), 1<k<n-1$ is induced by a semilinear automorphism of $V$ or $n=2 k$ and $f$ is the composition of the orthocomplementary map and a graph automorphism induced by a semilinear automorphism of $V$.

Suppose that $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{F}_{q}$ is the finite field consisting of $q$ elements and $V=\mathbb{F}^{n}$. The standard basis of $V$ is formed by the vectors

$$
e_{1}=(1,0, \ldots, 0), \ldots, e_{n}=(0, \ldots, 0,1)
$$

Let $C_{i}$ be the kernel of the $i$-th coordinate functional $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \rightarrow x_{i}$, i.e. the hyperplane of $V$ spanned by all $e_{j}$ with $j \neq i$. Every $k$-dimensional subspaces of $V$ can be interpreted as a linear $[n, k]_{q}$ code; such a code $C \subset V$ is non-degenerate if the restriction of every coordinate functional to $C$ is non-zero, i.e. $C$ is not contained in any coordinate hyperplane $C_{i}[4]$. All non-degenerate linear $[n, k]_{q}$ codes form the set

$$
\mathcal{C}(n, k)_{q}=\mathcal{G}_{k}(V) \backslash\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{G}_{k}\left(C_{i}\right)\right) .
$$

Denote by $\Gamma(n, k)_{q}$ the restriction of the Grassmann graph $\Gamma_{k}(V)$ to the set $\mathcal{C}(n, k)_{q}$, i.e. $\Gamma(n, k)_{q}$ is the simple graph whose vertex set is $\mathcal{C}(n, k)_{q}$ and two vertices are connected by an edge if the corresponding $k$-dimensional subspaces are adjacent. This graph is connected and some interesting properties of the path distance for this graph can be found in [2]. By [3], every automorphism of $\Gamma(n, k)_{q}, 1<k<n-1$ is induced by a monomial semilinear automorphism of $V$ (a semilinear automorphism is monomial if it sends every $e_{i}$ to a scalar multiple of $e_{j}$ ).

Theorem 1. Suppose that $1<k<n-1$. If $q \geq 3$ or $k \neq 2$, then every isomorphism of $\Gamma(n, k)_{q}$ to a subgraph of $\Gamma_{k}(V)$ can be uniquely extended to an automorphism of $\Gamma_{k}(V)$. In the case when $q=k=2$, there are subgraphs of $\Gamma_{k}(V)$ isomorphic to $\Gamma(n, k)_{q}$ and such that isomorphisms between these subgraphs and $\Gamma(n, k)_{q}$ cannot be extended to automorphisms of $\Gamma_{k}(V)$.

Remark 1. If $k=1, n-1$, then every injective map of $\mathcal{C}(n, k)_{q}$ to $\mathcal{G}_{k}(V)$ is an isomorphism of $\Gamma(n, k)_{q}$ to a subgraph of $\Gamma_{k}(V)$ and every bijective transformation of $\mathcal{G}_{k}(V)$ is an automorphism of $\Gamma_{k}(V)$. Therefore, each isomorphism of $\Gamma(n, k)_{q}$ to a subgraph of $\Gamma_{k}(V)$ can be extended to an automorphism of $\Gamma_{k}(V)$, but such an extension is not unique.

Remark 2. Let us take a basis of $V$ and consider the hyperplanes $H_{1}, \ldots, H_{n} \subset V$ spanned by vectors from this basis. The restriction of $\Gamma_{k}(V)$ to the set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{G}_{k}(V) \backslash\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{G}_{k}\left(H_{i}\right)\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is isomorphic to $\Gamma(n, k)_{q}$. In the case when $1<k<n-1$, Theorem 1 implies the following: if $q \geq 3$ or $k \neq 2$, then every subgraph of $\Gamma_{k}(V)$ isomorphic to $\Gamma(n, k)_{q}$ is the restriction of $\Gamma_{k}(V)$ to a subset of type (11) or $n=2 k$ and this subgraph is the restriction of $\Gamma_{k}(V)$ to the set formed by the orthogonal complements of elements from a subset of type (1).

## 3. Preliminary

3.1. Maximal cliques in Grassmann graphs. Recall that a subset in the vertex set of a graph is called a clique if any two vertices from this subset are connected by an edge. A clique $\mathcal{X}$ is said to be maximal if every clique containing $\mathcal{X}$ coincides with $\mathcal{X}$.

If $1<k<n-1$, then every maximal clique of $\Gamma_{k}(V)$ is of one of the following types:

- the star $\mathcal{S}(X), X \in \mathcal{G}_{k-1}(V)$ which consists of all $k$-dimensional subspaces containing $X$;
- the top $\mathcal{T}(Y)=\mathcal{G}_{k}(Y), Y \in \mathcal{G}_{k+1}(V)$.

An $m$-dimensional vector space $W$ over $\mathbb{F}$ contains precisely

$$
[m]_{q}=\frac{q^{m}-1}{q-1}=q^{m-1}+\cdots+q+1
$$

1-dimensional subspaces; this is also the number of hyperplanes in $W$. Since $\mathcal{S}(X)$, $X \in \mathcal{G}_{k-1}(V)$ can be identified with $\mathcal{G}_{1}(V / X)$, every star of $\Gamma_{k}(V)$ consists of

$$
[n-k+1]_{q}
$$

elements. Every top of $\Gamma_{k}(V)$ contains precisely

$$
[k+1]_{q}
$$

elements. Stars and tops have the same number of elements if and only if $n=2 k$.
The intersection of two distinct maximal cliques of the same type contains at most one element. This intersection is non-empty if and only if the associated ( $k-1$ )-dimensional or $(k+1)$-dimensional subspaces are adjacent.

For a $(k-1)$-dimensional subspace $X \subset V$ and a $(k+1)$-dimensional subspace $Y \subset V$ the intersection of the associated star and top of $\Gamma_{k}(V)$ is non-empty if and
only if $X \subset Y$. In this case, $\mathcal{S}(X) \cap \mathcal{T}(Y)$ contains precisely $q+1$ elements. Every such intersection is called a line of $\mathcal{G}_{k}(V)$.
3.2. Maximal cliques in the graphs of non-degenerate linear codes. As above, we assume that $1<k<n-1$. For a ( $k-1$ )-dimensional subspace $X \subset V$ and a $(k+1)$-dimensional subspace $Y \subset V$ we denote by $\mathcal{S}^{c}(X)$ and $\mathcal{T}^{c}(Y)$ the intersection of $\mathcal{C}(n, k)_{q}$ with the star $\mathcal{S}(X)$ and the top $\mathcal{T}(Y)$, respectively. Each of these intersections is a clique of $\Gamma(n, q)_{k}$ (if it is non-empty), but this clique need not to be maximal.

For a subspace $X \subset V$ denote by $c(X)$ the number of the coordinate hyperplanes containing $X$. If $X$ is $(k-1)$-dimensional, then

$$
c(X) \leq n-k+1
$$

and, by [3, Lemma 1],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathcal{S}^{c}(X)\right|=(q-1)^{c(X)-1} q^{n-k-c(X)+1} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $X$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}(n, k-1)_{q}$, then $\mathcal{S}^{c}(X)=\mathcal{S}(X)$ is a maximal clique of $\Gamma_{k}(V)$ and, consequently, it is a maximal clique of $\Gamma(n, k)_{q}$.
Proposition 1. For $a(k-1)$-dimensional subspace $X \subset V$ the following assertions are fulfilled:
(i) If $q \geq 3$, then $\mathcal{S}^{c}(X)$ is a maximal clique of $\Gamma(n, k)_{q}$.
(ii) $\operatorname{For} q=2$ the set $\mathcal{S}^{c}(X)$ is a maximal clique of $\Gamma(n, k)_{q}$ if and only if

$$
c(X) \leq n-k-1
$$

(iii) If $\mathcal{S}^{c}(X)$ is a maximal clique of $\Gamma(n, k)_{q}$, then there is no $(k+1)$-dimensional subspace $Y \subset V$ such that $\mathcal{S}^{c}(X)=\mathcal{T}^{c}(Y)$.

Proof. The statements (i) and (ii) are Propositions 1 and 2 from 3.
(iii). If $X$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}(n, k-1)_{q}$, then $\mathcal{S}^{c}(X)=\mathcal{S}(X)$ is a star of $\Gamma_{k}(V)$, i.e. it is not contained in a top of $\Gamma_{k}(V)$. Consider the case when $X \notin \mathcal{C}(n, k-1)_{q}$.

Suppose that $q \geq 3$. By (2),

$$
\left|\mathcal{S}^{c}(X)\right| \geq(q-1)^{n-k} \geq(q-1)^{2} \geq q+1
$$

If $\mathcal{S}^{c}(X)=\mathcal{T}^{c}(Y)$ for a certain $(k+1)$-dimensional subspace $Y \subset V$, then $\mathcal{S}^{c}(X)$ is contained in the line $\mathcal{S}(X) \cap \mathcal{T}(Y)$. Since $X \notin \mathcal{C}(n, k-1)_{q}$, there is a coordinate hyperplane $C_{i}$ containing $X$. The subspace $Y \cap C_{i}$ is $k$-dimensional (indeed, $\mathcal{T}^{c}(Y)$ is empty if $\left.Y \subset C_{i}\right)$; this is an element of the line $\mathcal{S}(X) \cap \mathcal{T}(Y)$ which do not belong to $\mathcal{C}(n, k)_{q}$. Hence $\mathcal{S}^{c}(X)=\mathcal{T}^{c}(Y)$ contains not greater than $q$ elements, a contradiction.

If $q=2$, then $c(X) \leq n-k-1$ and (2) shows that

$$
\left|\mathcal{S}^{c}(X)\right| \geq q^{2}=4>3=q+1
$$

which means that $\mathcal{S}^{c}(X)$ is not contained in a line of $\mathcal{G}_{k}(V)$.
We say that $\mathcal{S}^{c}(X)$ is a star of $\Gamma(n, k)_{q}$ only in the case when it is a maximal clique of $\Gamma(n, k)_{q}$. If $X$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}(n, k-1)_{q}$, then $\mathcal{S}^{c}(X)=\mathcal{S}(X)$ is said to be a maximal star of $\Gamma(n, k)_{q}$.
Remark 3. If $q=2$ and $\mathcal{S}^{c}(X)$ is not a maximal clique of $\Gamma(n, k)_{q}$, then one of the following possibilities is realized:

- $c(X)=n-k$ and $\mathcal{S}^{c}(X)$ contains precisely two elements;
- $c(X)=n-k+1$ and $\mathcal{S}^{c}(X)$ consists of a unique element.

See the proof of Proposition 2 from [3] for the details.
Let $Y$ be a $(k+1)$-dimensional subspace of $V$. Then $\mathcal{T}^{c}(Y)$ is empty if $Y$ does not belong to $\mathcal{C}(n, k+1)_{q}$. Consider the case when $Y \in \mathcal{C}(n, k+1)_{q}$. For every $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ the $k$-dimensional subspace $Y \cap C_{i}$ does not belong to $\mathcal{T}^{c}(Y)$. Some of the subspaces

$$
Y \cap C_{1}, \ldots, Y \cap C_{n}
$$

may be coincident and we denote by $n(Y)$ the number of distinct elements in this collection. Then

$$
k+1 \leq n(Y) \leq n
$$

(the first inequality follows from the fact that $Y^{*}$ is spanned by the restrictions of the coordinate functionals to $Y$ ) and $\mathcal{T}^{c}(Y)$ contains precisely

$$
[k+1]_{q}-n(Y)
$$

elements. We say that $\mathcal{T}^{c}(Y)$ is a top of $\Gamma(n, k)_{q}$ if it is a maximal clique of $\Gamma(n, k)_{q}$. In this case, there is no $(k-1)$-dimensional subspace $X \subset V$ such that $\mathcal{T}^{c}(Y)=\mathcal{S}^{c}(X)$ (the statement (iii) of Proposition (1).

Proposition 2. The following two conditions are equivalent:

- $\mathcal{T}^{c}(Y)$ is a top of $\Gamma(n, k)_{q}$ for every $Y \in \mathcal{C}(n, k+1)_{q}$;
- $[k+1]_{q}-(q+1)>n$.

Proof. See [3, Corollary 1].
Since

$$
[k+1]_{q}-(q+1)=q^{k}+\cdots+q^{2} \geq q^{k} \geq 2 k
$$

the second condition from Proposition 2 holds, for example, if $2 k>n$.
Remark 4. In the case when the second condition from Proposition 2 does not hold, there are $Y \in \mathcal{C}(n, k+1)_{q}$ such that $\mathcal{T}^{c}(Y)$ is a proper subset in a certain star of $\Gamma(n, k)_{q}$ or empty [3, Propositions 4 and 5].

## 4. General lemmas

Let $f$ be an isomorphism of $\Gamma(n, k)_{q}$ to a certain subgraph $\Gamma$ of $\Gamma_{k}(V)$ and let $1<k<n-1$. Then $f$ is an adjacency preserving injection of $\mathcal{C}(n, k)_{q}$ to $\mathcal{G}_{k}(V)$, i.e. if $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}(n, k)_{q}$ are adjacent, then $f(X)$ and $f(Y)$ are adjacent. It is clear that $f$ transfers maximal cliques of $\Gamma(n, k)_{q}$ to maximal cliques of $\Gamma$. Every maximal clique of $\Gamma$ is a (not necessarily maximal) clique of $\Gamma_{k}(V)$, i.e. it is a subset of a star or a top of $\Gamma_{k}(V)$. It must be pointed out that $f$ need not to be adjacency preserving in both directions: $f(X)$ and $f(Y)$ may be adjacent for non-adjecent $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}(n, k)_{q}$. For this reason the intersection of a maximal clique of $\Gamma_{k}(V)$ with the vertex set of $\Gamma$ need not to be a clique of $\Gamma$ and we cannot state that $f$ sends distinct maximal cliques of $\Gamma(n, k)_{q}$ to subsets of distinct maximal cliques of $\Gamma_{k}(V)$.

Lemma 1. One of the following possibilities is realized:

- $f$ sends every maximal star of $\Gamma(n, k)_{q}$ to a star of $\Gamma_{k}(V)$;
- $n=2 k$ and $f$ transfers all maximal stars of $\Gamma(n, k)_{q}$ to tops of $\Gamma_{k}(V)$.

Proof. (1). If $2 k<n$, then the number of elements in a star of $\Gamma_{k}(V)$ and, consequently, in a maximal star of $\Gamma(n, k)_{q}$ is greater than the number of elements in a top of $\Gamma_{k}(V)$. Therefore, $f$ transfers all maximal stars of $\Gamma(n, k)_{q}$ to stars of $\Gamma_{k}(V)$.
(2). In the case when $n=2 k$, stars and tops of $\Gamma_{k}(V)$ have the same number of elements. Thus, $f$ transfers every maximal star of $\Gamma(n, k)_{q}$ to a star or a top of $\Gamma_{k}(V)$. Suppose that $X \in \mathcal{C}(n, k-1)_{q}$ and $f$ sends $\mathcal{S}(X)$ to a certain top of $\Gamma_{k}(V)$. Note that $\mathcal{C}(n, k-1)_{q}$ consists of one element if $q=2$ and $n=2 k=4$ (the 1-dimensional subspace containing the vector $(1, \ldots, 1)$ ); for this case the statement is trivial.

In the general case, for any $Y \in \mathcal{C}(n, k-1)_{q}$ adjacent to $X$ we take a $(k+1)$ dimensional subspace $Z$ containing $X+Y$. Then $Z \in \mathcal{C}(n, k+1)_{q}$ and $\mathcal{T}^{c}(Z)$ contains the lines

$$
\mathcal{S}(X) \cap \mathcal{T}(Z) \text { and } \mathcal{S}(Y) \cap \mathcal{T}(Z)
$$

which means that $\mathcal{T}^{c}(Z)$ is a top of $\Gamma(n, k)_{q}$. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a maximal clique of $\Gamma_{k}(V)$ containing $f\left(\mathcal{T}^{c}(Z)\right)$. It is clear that $f(\mathcal{S}(X))$ and $\mathcal{X}$ are distinct. The intersection of $\mathcal{S}(X)$ and $\mathcal{T}^{c}(Z)$ contains more that one element and, consequently,

$$
|f(\mathcal{S}(X)) \cap \mathcal{X}|>1
$$

Since $f(\mathcal{S}(X))$ is a top of $\Gamma_{k}(V)$, the latter implies that $\mathcal{X}$ is a star of $\Gamma_{k}(V)$. The intersection of $\mathcal{S}(Y)$ and $\mathcal{T}^{c}(Z)$ contains more than one element, i.e. $f(\mathcal{S}(Y))$ and $\mathcal{X}$ are distinct maximal cliques of $\Gamma_{k}(V)$ whose intersection contains more than one element. Since $\mathcal{X}$ is a star of $\Gamma_{k}(V), f(\mathcal{S}(Y))$ is a top of $\Gamma_{k}(V)$.

So, $f(\mathcal{S}(Y))$ is a top of $\Gamma_{k}(V)$ for every $Y \in \mathcal{C}(n, k-1)_{q}$ adjacent to $X$. Using the connectedness of $\Gamma(n, k-1)_{q}$, we establish that $f$ sends every maximal star of $\Gamma(n, k)_{q}$ to a top of $\Gamma_{k}(V)$.
(3). Suppose that $2 k>n$. In this case, $\mathcal{T}^{c}(Y)$ is a top of $\Gamma(n, k)_{q}$ for every $Y \in \mathcal{C}(n, k+1)_{q}$ (Proposition 2). The number of elements in every top of $\Gamma(n, k)_{q}$ is greater than the number of elements in a star of $\Gamma_{k}(V)$. Indeed, a top of $\Gamma(n, k)_{q}$ contains not less that

$$
[k+1]_{q}-n
$$

elements, a star of $\Gamma_{k}(V)$ contains precisely

$$
[n-k+1]_{q}
$$

elements and

$$
\begin{gathered}
{[k+1]_{q}-n-[n-k+1]_{q}=\frac{q^{k+1}-q^{n-k+1}}{q-1}-n=\frac{q^{n-k+1}\left(q^{2 k-n}-1\right)}{q-1}-n=} \\
q^{n-k+1}\left(q^{2 k-n-1}+\cdots+q+1\right)-n \geq q^{k}-n \geq 2 k-n>0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore, $f$ transfers tops of $\Gamma(n, k)_{q}$ to subsets in tops of $\Gamma_{k}(V)$.
Let $X \in \mathcal{C}(n, k-1)_{q}$. We take any $(k+1)$-dimensional subspace $Y \subset V$ containing $X$. Then $Y$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}(n, k+1)_{q}$ and

$$
\left|\mathcal{S}(X) \cap \mathcal{T}^{c}(Y)\right|>1
$$

Since $f\left(\mathcal{T}^{c}(Y)\right)$ is contained in a top of $\Gamma_{k}(V)$, for $f(\mathcal{S}(X))$ one of the following possibilities is realized:
(a) $f(\mathcal{S}(X))$ is a star of $\Gamma_{k}(V)$;
(b) $f(\mathcal{S}(X))$ and $f\left(\mathcal{T}^{c}(Y)\right)$ both are contained in a certain top of $\Gamma_{k}(V)$.

We need to show that (b) is impossible. Suppose that $f(\mathcal{S}(X))$ and $f\left(\mathcal{T}^{c}(Y)\right)$ are contained in $\mathcal{T}(Z)$ for a certain $(k+1)$-dimensional subspace $Z \subset V$. For any $Y^{\prime} \in \mathcal{C}(n, k+1)_{q}$ containing $X$ and distinct from $Y$ we have

$$
\left|\mathcal{S}(X) \cap \mathcal{T}^{c}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)\right|>1
$$

which implies that a top of $\Gamma_{k}(V)$ containing $f\left(\mathcal{T}^{c}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)\right)$ intersects $\mathcal{T}(Z)$ in a subset containing more than one element and, consequently,

$$
f\left(\mathcal{T}^{c}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)\right) \subset \mathcal{T}(Z)
$$

Since each of $\mathcal{T}^{c}(Y), \mathcal{T}^{c}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)$ contains not less than $[k+1]_{q}-n$ elements and their intersection is one-element,

$$
\left|\mathcal{T}^{c}(Y) \cup \mathcal{T}^{c}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)\right| \geq 2[k+1]_{q}-2 n-1=[k+1]_{q}+\left(q^{k}+\cdots+q+1\right)-2 n-1
$$

We have $2 k>n$ and $1<k<n-1$ which implies that $k \geq 3$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\mathcal{T}^{c}(Y) \cup \mathcal{T}^{c}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)\right| \geq[k+1]_{q}+q^{k}+q^{k-1}+q^{k-2}+q^{k-3}-2 n-1 \geq \\
{[k+1]_{q}+2 k+2(k-1)+2(k-2)+2(k-3)-2 n-1=[k+1]_{q}+8 k-2 n-13 \geq} \\
{[k+1]_{q}+8 k-2(2 k-1)-13=[k+1]_{q}+4 k-11>[k+1]_{q}=|\mathcal{T}(Z)|}
\end{gathered}
$$

which means that the case (b) is not realized.
In the case when the second possibility from Lemma 1 is realized, we consider the composition of the orthocomplementary map and $f$. This is an isomorphism of $\Gamma(n, k)_{q}$ to a subgraph of $\Gamma_{k}(V)$ sending every maximal star of $\Gamma(n, k)_{q}$ to a star of $\Gamma_{k}(V)$. If this map is extendable to an automorphism of $\Gamma_{k}(V)$, then the same holds for $f$.

From this moment, we assume that $f$ transfers every maximal star of $\Gamma(n, k)_{q}$ to a star of $\Gamma_{k}(V)$. Then $f$ induces an injective map

$$
f_{k-1}: \mathcal{C}(n, k-1)_{q} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{k-1}(V)
$$

such that

$$
f(\mathcal{S}(X))=\mathcal{S}\left(f_{k-1}(X)\right)
$$

for every $X \in \mathcal{C}(n, k-1)_{q}$. Denote by $\Gamma_{k-1}$ the restriction of the Grassmann graph $\Gamma_{k-1}(V)$ to the image of $f_{k-1}$. Since the intersection of two distinct stars

$$
\mathcal{S}(X), \mathcal{S}(Y), \quad X, Y \in \mathcal{G}_{k-1}(V)
$$

is non-empty if and only if $X, Y$ are adjacent, the map $f_{k-1}$ is an isomorphism of $\Gamma(n, k-1)_{q}$ to $\Gamma_{k-1}$.

Now, we show that $f_{k-1}$ sends every maximal star of $\Gamma(n, k-1)_{q}$ to a star of $\Gamma_{k-1}(V)$ if $k \geq 3$. By Lemma 1, we only need to consider the case when $n=2(k-1)$. Let us take a $k$-dimensional subspace $Y \subset V$ containing the 1-dimensional subspaces

$$
Q_{1}=\mathbb{F}(1, \ldots, 1), Q_{2}=\mathbb{F}(1,0,1, \ldots, 1), Q_{3}=\mathbb{F}(0,1,1, \ldots, 1)
$$

For any $(k-3)$-dimensional subspace $Z \subset Y$ satisfying

$$
Z \cap\left(Q_{1}+Q_{2}+Q_{3}\right)=0
$$

the subspaces

$$
Z+Q_{1}+Q_{2}, Z+Q_{1}+Q_{3}, Z+Q_{2}+Q_{3}
$$

form a clique in $\Gamma(n, k-1)_{q}$ which is not contained in a star. Therefore, $\mathcal{T}^{c}(Y)$ is a top of $\Gamma(n, k-1)_{q}$. If $M \in \mathcal{T}^{c}(Y)$, then

$$
Y \in \mathcal{S}(M) \text { and } f(Y) \in \mathcal{S}\left(f_{k-1}(M)\right)
$$

which implies that $f_{k-1}(M) \subset f(Y)$, i.e.

$$
f_{k-1}\left(\mathcal{T}^{c}(Y)\right) \subset \mathcal{T}(f(Y))
$$

If a $(k-2)$-dimensional subspace $X \subset Y$ contains $Q_{1}$, then $X \in \mathcal{C}(n, k-2)_{q}$ and

$$
\left|\mathcal{S}(X) \cap \mathcal{T}^{c}(Y)\right|>1
$$

consequently,

$$
\left|f_{k-1}(\mathcal{S}(X)) \cap \mathcal{T}(f(Y))\right|>1
$$

Since $f_{k-1}(\mathcal{S}(X))$ and $\mathcal{T}(f(Y))$ are distinct maximal cliques of $\Gamma_{k-1}(V)$ and the intersection of two distinct tops of $\Gamma_{k-1}(V)$ contains at most one element, $f_{k-1}(\mathcal{S}(X))$ is a star of $\Gamma_{k-1}(V)$.

So, if $k \geq 3$, then $f_{k-1}$ sends every maximal star of $\Gamma(n, k-1)_{q}$ to a star of $\Gamma_{k-1}(V)$, i.e. it induces an isomorphism $f_{k-2}$ of $\Gamma(n, k-2)_{q}$ to a certain subgraph $\Gamma_{k-2}$ of $\Gamma_{k-2}(V)$. We construct recursively a sequence

$$
f_{k}=f, f_{k-1}, \ldots, f_{1}
$$

where

$$
f_{i}: \mathcal{C}(n, i)_{q} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{i}(V)
$$

is an isomorphism of $\Gamma(n, i)_{q}$ to a certain subgraph $\Gamma_{i}$ of $\Gamma_{i}(V)$ and

$$
f_{i}(\mathcal{S}(X))=\mathcal{S}\left(f_{i-1}(X)\right)
$$

for every $X \in \mathcal{C}(n, i-1)_{q}$ and $i \geq 2$.
Lemma 2. The isomorphism $f_{i}, i \in\{2, \ldots, k\}$ sends every star of $\Gamma(n, i)_{q}$ to $a$ subset in a star of $\Gamma_{i}(V)$.
Proof. We prove the statement for $i=k$ (the general case is similar). Let $X$ be a $(k-1)$-dimensional subspace of $V$ such that $\mathcal{S}^{c}(X)$ is a non-maximal star of $\Gamma(n, k)_{q}$. Then $X \notin \mathcal{C}(n, k-1)_{q}$. We take $Q \in \mathcal{C}(n, 1)_{q}$ (note that $\left.Q \not \subset X\right)$ and $Y \in \mathcal{C}(n, k-1)_{q}$ which is the sum of $Q$ and a $(k-2)$-dimensional subspace of $X$. There are $X_{1}, X_{2} \in \mathcal{S}^{c}(X)$ such that

$$
Z_{j}=Q+X_{j}, \quad j \in\{1,2\}
$$

are distinct $(k+1)$-dimensional subspaces (otherwise, $\mathcal{S}^{c}(X)$ is contained in $\mathcal{T}^{c}(Z)$ for a certain $(k+1)$-dimensional subspace $Z \subset V$ which is impossible). Observe that every $\mathcal{T}^{c}\left(Z_{j}\right)$ is a top of $\Gamma(n, k)_{q}$. Indeed, $\mathcal{T}^{c}\left(Z_{j}\right)$ contains $X_{j}, Q+X$ and $Q+X^{\prime}$, where $X^{\prime}$ is a $(k-1)$-dimensional subspace of $X_{j}$ distinct from $X$; these subspaces form a clique of $\Gamma(n, k)_{q}$ which is not contained in a star.

Each $\mathcal{T}^{c}\left(Z_{j}\right)$ intersects the star $\mathcal{S}^{c}(X)$ and the maximal star $\mathcal{S}(Y)$ in subsets containing more than one element. Since $f(\mathcal{S}(Y))$ is a star of $\Gamma_{k}(V)$, every $f\left(\mathcal{T}^{c}\left(Z_{j}\right)\right)$ is contained in a top of $\Gamma_{k}(V)$. This means that for $f\left(\mathcal{S}^{c}(X)\right)$ one of the following possibilities is realized:
(a) $f\left(\mathcal{S}^{c}(X)\right)$ is contained in a star of $\Gamma_{k}(V)$;
(b) there is a top of $\Gamma_{k}(V)$ containing $f\left(\mathcal{S}^{c}(X)\right)$ and both $f\left(\mathcal{T}^{c}\left(Z_{j}\right)\right), j \in\{1,2\}$.

Show that the case (b) is impossible.
Suppose that $q \geq 3$. Then

$$
\left|\mathcal{S}^{c}(X)\right| \geq(q-1)^{n-k}
$$

by (2) and

$$
\left|\mathcal{T}^{c}\left(Z_{j}\right)\right| \geq[k+1]_{q}-n=q^{k}+\cdots+q+1-n
$$

Observe that

$$
\mathcal{T}^{c}\left(Z_{1}\right) \cap \mathcal{T}^{c}\left(Z_{2}\right)=\mathcal{S}^{c}(X) \cap \mathcal{T}^{c}\left(Z_{1}\right) \cap \mathcal{T}^{c}\left(Z_{2}\right)=\{X+Y\}
$$

and

$$
\left|\mathcal{S}^{c}(X) \cap \mathcal{T}^{c}\left(Z_{j}\right)\right| \leq q+1
$$

By the inclusion-exclusion principle, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\mathcal{S}^{c}(X) \cup \mathcal{T}^{c}\left(Z_{1}\right) \cup \mathcal{T}^{c}\left(Z_{2}\right)\right| \geq(q-1)^{n-k}+2\left(q^{k}+\cdots+q+1-n\right)-2(q+1)-1+1 \geq \\
2(n-k)+2\left(q^{k}+\cdots+q^{2}\right)-2 n=\left(q^{k}+\cdots+q+1\right)+\left(q^{k}+\cdots+q^{2}\right)-q-1-2 k> \\
q^{k}+\cdots+q+1=[k+1]_{q}
\end{gathered}
$$

which contradicts to (b).
Suppose that $q=2$. In this case, $\mathcal{S}^{c}(X)$ contains not less than 4 elements (by the statement (ii) from Proposition 1 and (2)). If $j \in\{1,2\}$, then for every $(k-1)$ dimensional subspace $N \subset X_{j}$ the subspace $N+Q$ belongs to $\mathcal{T}^{c}\left(Z_{j}\right)$; this subspace belongs to $\mathcal{S}^{c}(X)$ only in the case when $N=X$. Therefore, each $\mathcal{T}^{c}\left(Z_{j}\right)$ contains

$$
[k]_{2}-1=2^{k-1}+\cdots+2
$$

elements which do not belong to $\mathcal{S}^{c}(X)$. This means that the union of our three maximal cliques contains not less than

$$
4+2\left(2^{k-1}+\cdots+2\right)>[k+1]_{2}
$$

elements and (b) is impossible.

## 5. Proof of Theorem [1. The case $q \geq 3$

Suppose that $q \geq 3$. In this case, $\mathcal{S}^{c}(X)$ is a star of $\Gamma(n, i)_{q}$ for every $(i-1)$ dimensional subspace $X \subset V$ (the statement (i) of Proposition 1). By Lemma 2, the isomorphism $f_{i}, i \in\{2, \ldots, k\}$ transfers every star of $\Gamma(n, i)_{q}$ to a subset in a star of $\Gamma_{i}(V)$. Since the intersection of two distinct stars of $\Gamma_{i}(V)$ contains at most one element, the image of every star of $\Gamma(n, i)_{q}$ is contained in a unique star of $\Gamma_{i}(V)$. Therefore, for every $j \in\{1, \ldots, k-1\}$ the isomorphism $f_{j}$ can be extended to a transformation $g_{j}$ of $\mathcal{G}_{j}(V)$ such that

$$
f_{j+1}\left(\mathcal{S}^{c}(X)\right) \subset \mathcal{S}\left(g_{j}(X)\right)
$$

for every $X \in \mathcal{G}_{j}(X)$. Note that

$$
g_{j}\left(\mathcal{G}_{j}(Y)\right) \subset \mathcal{G}_{j}\left(f_{j+1}(Y)\right)
$$

for every $Y \in \mathcal{C}(n, j+1)_{q}$.
Lemma 3. The transformation $g_{1}$ is bijective.
Proof. It is sufficient to show that $g_{1}$ is injective. Since $q \geq 3$, we can take $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3} \in \mathcal{C}(n, 1)_{q}$ whose sum is 3-dimensional. For example, the 1-dimensional subspaces

$$
\mathbb{F}(1, \ldots, 1), \mathbb{F}(\alpha, 1, \ldots, 1), \mathbb{F}(\alpha, \alpha, 1, \ldots, 1), \quad \alpha \neq 0,1
$$

are as required. If

$$
g_{1}(P)=g_{1}(Q)=P^{\prime}
$$

for distinct 1-dimensional subspaces $P, Q \subset V$, then $f_{2}$ sends $\mathcal{S}^{c}(P)$ and $\mathcal{S}^{c}(Q)$ to subsets of $\mathcal{S}\left(P^{\prime}\right)$. There is $i \in\{1,2,3\}$ such that $P_{i} \not \subset P+Q$. The maximal star $\mathcal{S}\left(P_{i}\right)$ intersects $\mathcal{S}^{c}(P)$ and $\mathcal{S}^{c}(Q)$ in distinct elements $\left(P_{i}+P\right.$ and $P_{i}+Q$,
respectively). Then $f_{2}\left(\mathcal{S}\left(P_{i}\right)\right)$ intersects $\mathcal{S}\left(P^{\prime}\right)$ in two distinct elements. Since $f_{2}\left(\mathcal{S}\left(P_{i}\right)\right)$ is a star of $\Gamma_{2}(V)$, we have

$$
f_{2}\left(\mathcal{S}\left(P_{1}\right)\right)=\mathcal{S}\left(P^{\prime}\right)
$$

which contradicts the injectivity of $f_{2}$.
Lemma 4. For every 2-dimensional subspace $X \notin \mathcal{C}(n, 2)_{q}$ there are $X_{1}, X_{2} \in$ $\mathcal{C}(n, 1)_{q}$ such that $X+X_{1}$ and $X+X_{2}$ are distinct elements of $\mathcal{C}(n, 3)_{q}$ and each of them defines a top of $\Gamma(n, 2)_{q}$.
Proof. Without loss of generality we can assume that $X \subset C_{1}$, i.e. the first coordinate of every vector belonging to $X$ is zero. Then $X$ contains a non-zero vector $x$ such that the first and the second coordinates of $x$ are zero. Indeed, if

$$
y=\left(0, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right), z=\left(0, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)
$$

are linearly independent vectors of $X$ and $y_{2} \neq 0, z_{2} \neq 0$, then there is a linear combination $a y+b z$ such that the first and the second coordinates are zero.

Let $\alpha$ be a non-zero element of the field $\mathbb{F}$ distinct from 1. First, we consider the case when $X$ contains the 1-dimensional subspace

$$
Q=\mathbb{F}\left(0,0, x_{3}, \ldots, x_{n}\right),
$$

where every $x_{i}$ is zero or $\alpha$. The 1-dimensional subspaces

$$
P_{1}=\mathbb{F}(1, \ldots, 1), P_{2}=\mathbb{F}(\alpha, 1, \ldots, 1), P_{3}=\mathbb{F}(\alpha, \alpha, 1, \ldots, 1)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{1}^{\prime} & =\mathbb{F}\left(1,1,1-x_{3}, \ldots, 1-x_{n}\right), \\
P_{2}^{\prime} & =\mathbb{F}\left(\alpha, 1,1-x_{3}, \ldots, 1-x_{n}\right), \\
P_{3}^{\prime} & =\mathbb{F}\left(\alpha, \alpha, 1-x_{3}, \ldots, 1-x_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

belong to $\mathcal{C}(n, 1)_{q}$ and

$$
P_{i}^{\prime} \subset Q+P_{i}
$$

for every $i \in\{1,2,3\}$. Each $X+P_{i}$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}(n, 3)_{q}$. If $T$ is a 1-dimensional subspace of $X$ distinct from $Q$, then

$$
Q+P_{i}, T+P_{i}, T+P_{i}^{\prime}
$$

form a clique of $\Gamma(n, 2)_{q}$ which is not contained in a star. Therefore, $\mathcal{T}^{c}\left(X+P_{i}\right)$ is a top of $\Gamma(n, 2)_{q}$.

The subspace $P_{1}+P_{2}+P_{3}$ is 3 -dimensional. If $X$ is not contained in $P_{1}+P_{2}+P_{3}$, then we have

$$
X+P_{i} \neq X+P_{j}
$$

for some distinct $i, j$. If $X$ is contained in $P_{1}+P_{2}+P_{3}$, then $X$ intersects $P_{2}+P_{3}$ in a 1-dimensional subspace $Q^{\prime}$ distinct from $P_{2}, P_{3}$. This subspace contains the vector

$$
v=(\alpha, 1, \ldots, 1)+a(\alpha, \alpha, 1, \ldots, 1)=(\alpha+a \alpha, 1+a \alpha, 1+a, \ldots, 1+a)
$$

for a certain non-zero $a \in \mathbb{F}$. Since $Q^{\prime} \subset X \subset C_{1}$, we have $\alpha+a \alpha=0$. Then $a+1=0$ and all coordinates of $v$, except the second, are zero. So, $X$ contains the 1-dimensional subspace $Q^{\prime}=\mathbb{F} e_{2}$. The 1-dimensional subspaces

$$
P_{4}=\mathbb{F}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha, 1, \ldots, 1) \text { and } P_{4}^{\prime}=\mathbb{F}(\alpha, \alpha-1, \alpha, 1, \ldots, 1)
$$

belong to $\mathcal{C}(n, 1)_{q}$. Also,

$$
P_{4}^{\prime} \subset Q^{\prime}+P_{4}
$$

and $X+P_{4} \in \mathcal{C}(n, 3)_{q}$. If $T$ is a 1-dimensional subspace of $X$ distinct from $Q^{\prime}$, then

$$
Q^{\prime}+P_{4}, T+P_{4}, T+P_{4}^{\prime}
$$

form a clique of $\Gamma(n, 2)_{q}$ which is not contained in a star. Thus $X+P_{4}$ defines a top of $\Gamma(n, 2)_{q}$. Since $P_{4}$ is not contained in $P_{1}+P_{2}+P_{3}$,

$$
X+P_{i} \neq X+P_{4}
$$

for every $i \in\{1,2,3\}$.
In the case when $Q$ is not contained in $X$, we take a monomial linear automorphism $l$ of $V$ such that $l(Q) \subset X$. Then all $l\left(P_{i}\right), l\left(P_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ belong to $\mathcal{C}(n, 1)_{q}$, each $X+l\left(P_{i}\right)$ defines a top of $\Gamma(n, 2)_{q}$ and we have

$$
X+l\left(P_{i}\right) \neq X+l\left(P_{j}\right)
$$

for some distinct $i, j$.
Recall that the projective space associated to $V$ is the point-line geometry whose points are 1-dimensional subspaces of $V$ and the lines are subsets of type $\mathcal{G}_{1}(X)$, where $X$ is a 2-dimensional subspace of $V$. By the Fundamental Theorem of Projective Geometry, every bijective transformation of $\mathcal{G}_{1}(V)$ sending lines to lines is induced by a semilinear automorphism of $V$.

Lemma 5. The bijective transformation $g_{1}$ sends lines to lines.
Proof. If $X \in \mathcal{C}(n, 2)_{q}$, then

$$
g_{1}\left(\mathcal{G}_{1}(X)\right) \subset \mathcal{G}_{1}\left(f_{2}(X)\right) .
$$

Since $g_{1}$ is bijective, we have

$$
g_{1}\left(\mathcal{G}_{1}(X)\right)=\mathcal{G}_{1}\left(f_{2}(X)\right) .
$$

Let $X$ be a 2-dimensional subspace of $V$ which does not belong to $\mathcal{C}(n, 2)_{q}$. By Lemman there are $X_{1}, X_{2} \in \mathcal{C}(n, 1)_{q}$ such that

$$
Z_{1}=X+X_{1} \text { and } Z_{2}=X+X_{2}
$$

are distinct elements of $\mathcal{C}(n, 3)_{q}$ and each of them defines a top of $\Gamma(n, 2)_{q}$. We have

$$
\left|\mathcal{S}\left(X_{i}\right) \cap \mathcal{T}^{c}\left(Z_{i}\right)\right|>1
$$

for $i \in\{1,2\}$ which implies that $f_{2}\left(\mathcal{T}^{c}\left(Z_{i}\right)\right)$ is contained in a top of $\Gamma_{2}(V)$, i.e.

$$
f_{2}\left(\mathcal{T}^{c}\left(Z_{i}\right)\right) \subset \mathcal{T}\left(Z_{i}^{\prime}\right)
$$

for a certain 3-dimensional subspace $Z_{i}^{\prime} \subset V$. Every 1-dimensional subspace $P \subset Z_{i}$ is contained in a certain $Y \in \mathcal{T}^{c}\left(Z_{i}\right)$ and

$$
g_{1}(P) \subset f_{2}(Y) \subset Z_{i}^{\prime}
$$

which means that $g_{1}\left(\mathcal{G}_{1}\left(Z_{i}\right)\right)$ is contained in $\mathcal{G}_{1}\left(Z_{i}^{\prime}\right)$. Since $g_{1}$ is bijective,

$$
g_{1}\left(\mathcal{G}_{1}\left(Z_{i}\right)\right)=\mathcal{G}_{1}\left(Z_{i}^{\prime}\right)
$$

and $Z_{1}^{\prime} \neq Z_{2}^{\prime}$. Recall that $X=Z_{1} \cap Z_{2}$. This implies that $g_{1}$ sends $\mathcal{G}_{1}(X)$ to $\mathcal{G}_{1}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$, where $X^{\prime}=Z_{1}^{\prime} \cap Z_{2}^{\prime}$.

So, $g_{1}$ is induced by a semilinear automorphism $l$ of $V$. Then $f_{2}$ also is induced by $l$ and the statement is proved for $k=2$. Consider the case when $k \geq 3$.

Lemma 6. If $X \in \mathcal{C}(n, k)_{q}$ contains $P \in \mathcal{C}(n, 1)_{q}$, then

$$
f(X)=l(X)
$$

Proof. Let $Q$ be a 1-dimensional subspace of $X$. Consider a sequence

$$
X_{2} \subset \cdots \subset X_{k-1} \subset X_{k}=X
$$

where every $X_{i}$ is an $i$-dimensional subspace and $X_{2}=P+Q$. Then $X_{i} \in \mathcal{C}(n, i)$ for every $i \in\{2, \ldots, k\}$ and

$$
f_{i-1}\left(X_{i-1}\right) \subset f_{i}\left(X_{i}\right)
$$

if $i \geq 3$. This implies that

$$
g_{1}(Q) \subset f_{2}(P+Q) \subset f(X)
$$

Since $g_{1}$ is bijective, we have

$$
g_{1}\left(\mathcal{G}_{1}(X)\right)=\mathcal{G}_{1}(f(X))
$$

On the other hand, $g_{1}$ is induced by $l$ and

$$
g_{1}\left(\mathcal{G}_{1}(X)\right)=\mathcal{G}_{1}(l(X))
$$

which gives the claim.
Let $X$ be a $(k-1)$-dimensional subspace of $V$. There exist $X_{1}, X_{2} \in \mathcal{C}(n, 1)_{q}$ such that

$$
Z_{1}=X+X_{1} \text { and } Z_{2}=X+X_{2}
$$

are distinct elements of $\mathcal{C}(n, k)_{q}$. Indeed, the sum of

$$
P_{1}=\mathbb{F}(1, \ldots, 1), P_{2}=\mathbb{F}(\alpha, 1, \ldots, 1), \ldots, P_{k+1}=\mathbb{F}(\underbrace{\alpha, \ldots, \alpha}_{k}, 1, \ldots, 1)
$$

with $\alpha \neq 0,1$ is a $(k+1)$-dimensional subspace which implies that there are at least two distinct $X+P_{i}$. We have

$$
l(X)=l\left(Z_{1}\right) \cap l\left(Z_{2}\right)=f\left(Z_{1}\right) \cap f\left(Z_{2}\right)=g_{k-1}(X)
$$

Therefore, $g_{k-1}$ is induced by $l$. On the other hand,

$$
g_{k-1}\left(\mathcal{G}_{k-1}(Y)\right) \subset \mathcal{G}_{k-1}(f(Y))
$$

for every $Y \in \mathcal{C}(n, k)_{q}$ and we obtain that $f(Y)=l(Y)$. So, $f$ is induced by $l$.

## 6. Proof of Theorem [1. The case $q=2, k \geq 3$

Suppose that $q=2$. In this case, $\mathcal{C}(n, 1)_{2}$ consists of one element, the 1-dimensional subspace containing the vector $(1, \ldots, 1)$. By the statement (ii) of Proposition 1. for a 1-dimensional subspace $P \subset V$ the set $\mathcal{S}^{c}(P)$ is a star of $\Gamma(n, 2)_{2}$ if and only if the weight of $P$ (the number of non-zero coordinates of the non-zero vector belonging to $P$ ) is greater than 2. Let $\mathcal{G}_{1}^{\prime}(V)$ be the set of all such 1-dimensional subspaces of $V$. By Lemma 2, there is a map

$$
g_{1}: \mathcal{G}_{1}^{\prime}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{1}(V)
$$

such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{2}\left(\mathcal{S}^{c}(P)\right) \subset \mathcal{S}\left(g_{1}(P)\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $P \in \mathcal{G}_{1}^{\prime}(V)$.
Lemma 7. If $k \geq 3$, then $g_{1}$ is injective.

Proof. Let $T$ be the 1-dimensional subspace containing the vector $(1, \ldots, 1)$, i.e. the unique element of $\mathcal{C}(n, 1)_{2}$. Then $f_{2}(\mathcal{S}(T))$ is a star of $\Gamma_{2}(V)$. If $g_{1}(T)=g_{1}(P)$ for a certain $P \in \mathcal{G}_{1}^{\prime}(V)$ distinct from $T$, then $f_{2}(\mathcal{S}(P))$ is contained in $f_{2}(\mathcal{S}(T))$ which contradicts the injectivity of $f_{2}$.

Let $P$ and $Q$ be distinct elements of $\mathcal{G}_{1}^{\prime}(V)$ different from $T$. If $T$ is not contained in $P+Q$, then we establish that $g_{1}(P) \neq g_{1}(Q)$ as in the proof of Lemma3. Suppose that $T \subset P+Q$ and $i$ is the weight of $P$. First, we consider the case when $P$ contains the vector

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{i}, 0, \ldots, 0) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $Q$ contains the vector

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{i}, 1, \ldots, 1) . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $P$ and $Q$ belong to $\mathcal{G}_{1}^{\prime}(V)$, the both $i$ and $n-i$ are not less than 3 . Let $P^{\prime}$ and $Q^{\prime}$ be the 1-dimensional subspaces containing the vectors

$$
(0,1, \ldots, 1) \text { and }(1, \ldots, 1,0)
$$

respectively. The 2-dimensional subspaces

$$
X=P+P^{\prime}, Y=P^{\prime}+Q^{\prime}, Z=Q+Q^{\prime}
$$

belong to $\mathcal{C}(n, 2)_{2}$ and

$$
f_{2}(X)=g_{1}(P)+g_{1}\left(P^{\prime}\right), f_{2}(Y)=g_{1}\left(P^{\prime}\right)+g_{1}\left(Q^{\prime}\right), f_{2}(Z)=g_{1}(Q)+g_{1}\left(Q^{\prime}\right)
$$

Observe that $X+Y$ and $Y+Z$ are distinct elements of $\mathcal{C}(n, 3) 2^{11}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{3}(X+Y) & =f_{2}(X)+f_{2}(Y)=g_{1}(P)+g_{1}\left(P^{\prime}\right)+g_{1}\left(Q^{\prime}\right) \\
f_{3}(Y+Z) & =f_{2}(Y)+f_{2}(Z)=g_{1}(Q)+g_{1}\left(P^{\prime}\right)+g_{1}\left(Q^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

If $g_{1}(P)=g_{1}(Q)$, then

$$
f_{3}(X+Y)=f_{3}(Y+Z)
$$

which is impossible. In the general case, there is a monomial linear automorphism $l$ of $V$ transferring (4) and (5) to the non-zero vectors belonging to $P$ and $Q$, respectively. Applying the above arguments to the 2-dimensional subspaces

$$
P+l\left(P^{\prime}\right), l\left(P^{\prime}\right)+l\left(Q^{\prime}\right), Q+l\left(Q^{\prime}\right)
$$

we show that $g_{1}(P) \neq g_{1}(Q)$.
From this moment, we suppose that $k \geq 3$. We extend $g_{1}$ to a transformation of $\mathcal{G}_{1}(V)$. Let $P$ be a 1-dimensional subspace of $V$ which does not belong to $\mathcal{G}_{1}^{\prime}(V)$. This is equivalent to the fact that the weight of $P$ is not greater than 2 . In the case when $P$ is of weight 2 , there are precisely two elements of $\mathcal{C}(n, 2)_{2}$ containing $P$ (Remark 3). If $X$ and $Y$ are such elements of $\mathcal{C}(n, 2)_{2}$, then $f_{2}(X), f_{2}(Y)$ are adjacent and we define $g_{1}(P)$ as their intersection. In the case when the weight of $P$ is 1 , the 2 -dimensional subspace $X$ spanned by $P$ and the vector $(1, \ldots, 1)$ is the unique element of $\mathcal{C}(n, 2)_{2}$ containing $P$. Let $P^{\prime}$ and $P^{\prime \prime}$ be the 1-dimensional subspaces of $X$ distinct from $P$. They belong to $\mathcal{G}_{1}^{\prime}(V)$ and $g_{1}\left(P^{\prime}\right) \neq g_{1}\left(P^{\prime \prime}\right)$.

[^1]We define $g_{1}(P)$ as the 1-dimensional subspace of $f_{2}(X)$ distinct from $g_{1}\left(P^{\prime}\right)$ and $g_{1}\left(P^{\prime \prime}\right)$.

So, we obtain a transformation $g_{1}$ of $\mathcal{G}_{1}(V)$ such that (3) holds for every 1-dimensional subspace $P \subset V$ and

$$
g_{1}\left(\mathcal{G}_{1}(X)\right) \subset \mathcal{G}_{1}\left(f_{2}(X)\right)
$$

for every $X \in \mathcal{C}(n, 2)_{2}$.
Lemma 8. The extended transformation $g_{1}$ is bijective.
Proof. As in the proof of Lemma 7 we suppose that $T$ is the 1-dimensional subspace containing the vector $(1, \ldots, 1)$. For every 1 -dimensional subspace $P$ of weight 1 we have $g_{1}(P) \neq g_{1}(T)$ by the definition. If $P$ is a 1 -dimensional subspace of weight 2 and $g_{1}(P)=g_{1}(T)$, then $f_{2}(\mathcal{S}(P))$ is contained in $f_{2}(\mathcal{S}(T))$ which is impossible.

Let $P$ and $Q$ be distinct 1-dimensional subspaces of $V$ different from $T$ and such that the weight of at least one of them is not greater than 2 . In the case when $T \not \subset P+Q$, we use reasonings from the proof of Lemma 3 Suppose that $T \subset P+Q$. If one of $P, Q$ is of weight 1 , then $g_{1}(P) \neq g_{1}(Q)$ by the definition. For the case when the weights of $P$ and $Q$ are not less than 2 we apply arguments used in the proof of Lemma 7

As above, we suppose that $k \geq 3$ and prove the direct analogue of Lemma 5
Lemma 9. The bijective transformation $g_{1}$ sends lines to lines.
Proof. If $X \in \mathcal{C}(n, 2)_{2}$, then

$$
g_{1}\left(\mathcal{G}_{1}(X)\right)=\mathcal{G}_{1}\left(f_{2}(X)\right) .
$$

Consider a 2-dimensional subspace $X \subset V$ which does not belong to $\mathcal{C}(n, 2)_{2}$. Let $Q_{1}, Q_{2}, Q_{3}$ be the 1-dimensional subspaces of $X$.

For every 1-dimensional subspace $P \subset V$ we denote by $\mathcal{N}(P)$ the set of all indices $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that the $i$-coordinate of the non-zero vector of $P$ is zero. The weight of $P$ is equal to $n-|\mathcal{N}(P)|$. Since $X$ does not belong to $\mathcal{C}(n, 2)_{2}$,

$$
\mathcal{N}\left(Q_{1}\right) \cap \mathcal{N}\left(Q_{2}\right) \cap \mathcal{N}\left(Q_{3}\right) \neq \emptyset .
$$

If $t \in\{1,2,3\}$ and $\{1,2,3\} \backslash\{t\}=\{i, j\}$, then

$$
\mathcal{N}\left(Q_{t}\right)=\left(\mathcal{N}\left(Q_{i}\right) \cap \mathcal{N}\left(Q_{j}\right)\right) \cup\left(\mathcal{N}\left(Q_{i}\right) \cup \mathcal{N}\left(Q_{j}\right)\right)^{c}
$$

(for $I \subset\{1, \ldots, n\}$ we denote by $I^{c}$ the complement $\{1, \ldots, n\} \backslash I$ ). Therefore, if there are distinct $i, j \in\{1,2,3\}$ such that
(6) $\mathcal{N}\left(Q_{i}\right) \not \subset \mathcal{N}\left(Q_{j}\right), \mathcal{N}\left(Q_{j}\right) \not \subset \mathcal{N}\left(Q_{i}\right)$ and $\mathcal{N}\left(Q_{i}\right) \cup \mathcal{N}\left(Q_{j}\right) \neq\{1, \ldots, n\}$,
then the same holds for any pair $i, j \in\{1,2,3\}$. If for some distinct $i, j \in\{1,2,3\}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{N}\left(Q_{i}\right) \cup \mathcal{N}\left(Q_{j}\right)=\{1, \ldots, n\} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\{1,2,3\} \backslash\{i, j\}=\{t\}$, then

$$
\mathcal{N}\left(Q_{t}\right)=\mathcal{N}\left(Q_{i}\right) \cap \mathcal{N}\left(Q_{j}\right) .
$$

Conversely, if $\mathcal{N}\left(Q_{t}\right) \subset \mathcal{N}\left(Q_{i}\right)$ for some distinct $i, t \in\{1,2,3\}$, then (7) holds for $j \in\{1,2,3\} \backslash\{i, t\}$. So, one of the following two possibilities is realized:
(i) (6) holds for any pair $i, j \in\{1,2,3\}$;
(ii) there are distinct $i, j \in\{1,2,3\}$ satisfying (7).

The case (i). The sets

$$
\left(N\left(Q_{1}\right) \cup N\left(Q_{2}\right)\right)^{c},\left(N\left(Q_{1}\right) \cup N\left(Q_{3}\right)\right)^{c},\left(N\left(Q_{2}\right) \cup N\left(Q_{3}\right)\right)^{c}
$$

are non-empty. Also, they are mutually disjoint (otherwise, there is $s \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that the $s$-coordinate of the non-zero vector of $Q_{i}$ is non-zero for every $i \in$ $\{1,2,3\}$ which is impossible). Without loss of generality we can assume that

$$
3 \notin N\left(Q_{1}\right) \cup N\left(Q_{2}\right), 2 \notin N\left(Q_{1}\right) \cup N\left(Q_{3}\right), 1 \notin N\left(Q_{2}\right) \cup N\left(Q_{3}\right) .
$$

Let $P, P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}$ be the 1-dimensional subspaces of $V$ containing the vectors

$$
(1, \ldots, 1),(0,1, \ldots, 1),(1,0,1, \ldots, 1),(1,1,0,1, \ldots, 1)
$$

respectively. Then

$$
Z=P+X \text { and } Z_{i}=P_{i}+X, i \in\{1,2,3\}
$$

belong to $\mathcal{C}(n, 3)_{2}$; furthermore, we have the following:

- $P+Q_{i}$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}(n, 2)_{2}$ for every $i \in\{1,2,3\}$;
- $P_{j}+Q_{i}$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}(n, 2)_{2}$ if and only if $i \neq j$.

This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{1}\left(Q_{i}\right) \subset f_{2}\left(P+Q_{i}\right) \subset f_{3}(Z), \quad i \in\{1,2,3\} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{1}\left(Q_{i}\right) \subset f_{2}\left(P_{j}+Q_{i}\right) \subset f_{3}\left(Z_{j}\right), \quad i \neq j \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assert that $Z \neq Z_{i}$ for every $i \in\{1,2,3\}$. Suppose, for example, that $Z=Z_{1}$. Then $P \subset Z_{1}$. Since $P_{1}+Q_{1}$ does not belong to $\mathcal{C}(n, 2)_{2}, P$ is contained in $P_{1}+Q_{2}$ or $P_{1}+Q_{3}$. If $P \subset P_{1}+Q_{2}$, then $Q_{2}$ contains the vector $e_{1}$. Recall that $1 \notin \mathcal{N}\left(Q_{3}\right)$ and, consequently,

$$
\mathcal{N}\left(Q_{3}\right) \subset\{2, \ldots, n\}=\mathcal{N}\left(Q_{2}\right)
$$

which contradicts our assumption. Similarly, we show that $P \not \subset P_{1}+Q_{3}$, a contradiction.

We cannot state that $Z_{i} \neq Z_{j}$ for distinct $i, j$. Therefore, the subset of $\mathcal{C}(n, 3)_{2}$ formed by $Z$ and all $Z_{i}, i \in\{1,2,3\}$ is 2 -element or it is contained in a star of $\Gamma(n, 3)_{2}$. Since $f_{3}$ sends stars of $\Gamma(n, 3)_{2}$ to subsets in stars of $\Gamma_{3}(V)$, for each of these cases the intersection of $f_{3}(Z)$ and all $f_{3}\left(Z_{i}\right)$ is a certain 2 -dimensional subspace $X^{\prime}$. By (8) and (9), every $g_{1}\left(Q_{i}\right)$ is contained in $f_{3}(Z)$ and $f_{3}\left(Z_{j}\right)$ if $j \neq i$ which means that $g_{1}\left(Q_{i}\right) \subset X^{\prime}$. So, $g_{1}$ sends $\mathcal{G}_{1}(X)$ to $\mathcal{G}_{1}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$.

The case (ii). Without loss of generality we assume that

$$
\mathcal{N}\left(Q_{1}\right) \cup \mathcal{N}\left(Q_{2}\right)=\{1, \ldots, n\}
$$

As above, $P$ is the 1-dimensional subspace containing the vector $(1, \ldots, 1)$ and $Z=P+X$. Let $Q_{i}^{\prime}, i \in\{1,2,3\}$ be the 1-dimensional subspace of $P+Q_{i}$ distinct from $P$ and $Q_{i}$. Then

$$
\mathcal{N}\left(Q_{i}^{\prime}\right)=\mathcal{N}\left(Q_{i}\right)^{c}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{N}\left(Q_{1}^{\prime}\right) \cap \mathcal{N}\left(Q_{2}^{\prime}\right)=\left(\mathcal{N}\left(Q_{1}\right) \cup \mathcal{N}\left(Q_{2}\right)\right)^{c}=\emptyset
$$

The latter implies that $Q_{1}^{\prime}+Q_{2}^{\prime}$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}(n, 2)_{2}$. It follows from our assumption that

$$
\mathcal{N}\left(Q_{3}\right)=\mathcal{N}\left(Q_{1}\right) \cap \mathcal{N}\left(Q_{2}\right)
$$

and, consequently,

$$
\mathcal{N}\left(Q_{3}^{\prime}\right)=\mathcal{N}\left(Q_{1}^{\prime}\right) \cup \mathcal{N}\left(Q_{2}^{\prime}\right) .
$$

Then

$$
\mathcal{N}\left(Q_{1}\right) \cap \mathcal{N}\left(Q_{2}^{\prime}\right) \cap \mathcal{N}\left(Q_{3}^{\prime}\right)=\mathcal{N}\left(Q_{1}\right) \cap \mathcal{N}\left(Q_{2}\right)^{c} \neq \emptyset
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{N}\left(Q_{2}\right) \cap \mathcal{N}\left(Q_{1}^{\prime}\right) \cap \mathcal{N}\left(Q_{3}^{\prime}\right)=\mathcal{N}\left(Q_{2}\right) \cap \mathcal{N}\left(Q_{1}\right)^{c} \neq \emptyset
$$

which shows that $Q_{1}+Q_{2}^{\prime}$ and $Q_{1}^{\prime}+Q_{2}$ do not belong to $\mathcal{C}(n, 2)_{2}$. So, $Z$ contains precisely four elements of $\mathcal{C}(n, 2)_{2}$ :

$$
P+Q_{i}, i \in\{1,2,3\} \text { and } Q_{1}^{\prime}+Q_{2}^{\prime}
$$

The isomorphism $f_{2}$ transfers them to elements of $\mathcal{C}(n, 2)_{2}$ contained in $f_{3}(Z)$. Observe that $g_{1}(P)$ is contained in all $f_{2}\left(P+Q_{i}\right)$ and $f_{2}\left(Q_{1}^{\prime}+Q_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ intersects

$$
f_{2}\left(P+Q_{1}\right), f_{2}\left(P+Q_{2}\right), f_{2}\left(P+Q_{3}\right)
$$

in $g\left(Q_{1}^{\prime}\right), g\left(Q_{2}^{\prime}\right), g\left(Q_{3}\right)$, respectively. This implies that $g_{1}\left(Q_{i}\right), i \in\{1,2,3\}$ form a line of the projective space associated to $V$, see Fig.1.
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So, $g_{1}$ is induced by a semilinear automorphism $l$ of $V$. Since

$$
g_{1}\left(\mathcal{G}_{1}(X)\right)=\mathcal{G}_{1}\left(f_{2}(X)\right)
$$

for every $X \in \mathcal{C}(n, 2)_{2}, f_{2}$ is also induced by $l$.
Lemma 10. If $X \in \mathcal{C}(n, k)_{2}$ contains $(1, \ldots, 1)$ or $v_{i}=(1, \ldots, 1)-e_{i}$, then

$$
f(X)=l(X)
$$

Proof. If $X$ contains $(1, \ldots, 1)$, then we use arguments from the proof of Lemma 6
Suppose that $X$ contains $v_{i}$. Since $X$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}(n, k)_{2}, X \cap C_{i}$ is a hyperplane of $X$. For every vector $x \in X \backslash C_{i}$ the 2-dimensional subspace $Y$ containing $x$ and $v_{i}$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}(n, 2)_{2}$. We consider a sequence of subspaces

$$
Y=Y_{2} \subset \cdots \subset Y_{k-1} \subset Y_{k}=Y
$$

where $Y_{i} \in \mathcal{C}(n, i)_{2}$ for every $i \in\{2, \ldots, k\}$, and establish that $l(Y) \subset f(X)$. Therefore,

$$
l\left(X \backslash C_{i}\right) \subset f(X)
$$

which gives the claim.

Denote by $\mathcal{G}_{k-1}^{\prime}(V)$ the set of all $(k-1)$-dimensional subspaces $X \subset V$ satisfying

$$
c(X) \leq n-k-1
$$

The statement (ii) of Proposition 1 says that $\mathcal{S}^{c}(X)$ is a star of $\Gamma(n, k)_{2}$ if and only if $X$ belongs to $\mathcal{G}_{k-1}^{\prime}(V)$. By Lemma 2, there is a map

$$
g_{k-1}: \mathcal{G}_{k-1}^{\prime}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{k-1}(V)
$$

such that

$$
f\left(\mathcal{S}^{c}(X)\right) \subset \mathcal{S}\left(g_{k-1}(X)\right)
$$

for every $X \in \mathcal{G}_{k-1}^{\prime}(V)$. Note that $g_{k-1}$ is an extension of $f_{k-1}$.
Let $\mathcal{G}_{k-1}^{\prime \prime}(V)$ be the set formed all $(k-1)$-dimensional subspaces $X \subset V$ such that

$$
c(X) \leq n-k
$$

If a $(k-1)$-dimensional subspace $X \subset V$ does not belong to $\mathcal{G}_{k-1}^{\prime \prime}(V)$, then $c(X)=$ $n-k+1$ which implies that $X$ is spanned by some $e_{i_{1}}, \ldots, e_{i_{k-1}}$.

Now, we extend $g_{k-1}$ to a map of $\mathcal{G}_{k-1}^{\prime \prime}(V)$. By Remark 3, for a $(k-1)$-dimensional subspace $X \subset V$ satisfying $c(X)=n-k$ there are precisely two elements of $\mathcal{C}(n, k)_{2}$ containing $X$. If $Y$ and $Y^{\prime}$ are such elements of $\mathcal{C}(n, k)_{2}$, then $f(Y), f\left(Y^{\prime}\right)$ are adjacent and we define $g_{k-1}(X)$ as their intersection.

Lemma 11. For every $X \in \mathcal{G}_{k-1}^{\prime \prime}(V)$ there exist $Y, Y^{\prime} \in \mathcal{C}(n, k)_{2}$ such that $X=$ $Y \cap Y^{\prime}$ and each of $Y, Y^{\prime}$ contains $(1, \ldots, 1)$ or $v_{i}\left(v_{i}\right.$ is as in Lemma 10).
Proof. If $X$ contains $(1, \ldots, 1)$ or $v_{i}$, then any two distinct $k$-dimensional subspaces containing $X$ are as required.

Suppose that $(1, \ldots, 1)$ and all $v_{i}$ do not belong to $X$. Let $I$ be the set of all indices $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $X$ contains a vector whose $i$-coordinate is non-zero. Since $c(X) \leq n-k$, this set contains at least $k$ indices. For every $i \in I$ we denote by $Y_{i}$ the subspace containing $X$ and $v_{i}$. Let also $Y$ be the subspace containing $X$ and $(1, \ldots, 1)$. By our assumption, $Y$ and all $Y_{i}$ are $k$-dimensional. Then these subspaces belong to $\mathcal{C}(n, k)_{2}$.

We assert that $Y_{i} \neq Y$ for a certain $i \in I$. Indeed, if $Y=Y_{i}$ for all $i \in I$, then $Y$ contains the vector $(1, \ldots, 1)-v_{i}=e_{i}$ for every $i \in I$. Since $|I| \geq k$, the subspace $Y$ is spanned by some $e_{i_{1}}, \ldots, e_{i_{k}}$ which contradicts the fact that $(1, \ldots, 1)$ belongs to $Y$.

Let $X \in \mathcal{G}_{k-1}^{\prime \prime}(V)$ and let $Y, Y^{\prime} \in \mathcal{C}(n, k)_{2}$ be as in Lemma 11. Then

$$
f(Y)=l(Y), f\left(Y^{\prime}\right)=l\left(Y^{\prime}\right)
$$

(by Lemma 10) and

$$
g_{k-1}(X)=f(Y) \cap f\left(Y^{\prime}\right)=l(Y) \cap l\left(Y^{\prime}\right)=l\left(Y \cap Y^{\prime}\right)=l(Y)
$$

i.e. $g_{k-1}$ is induced by $l$.

Every $X \in \mathcal{C}(n, k)_{2}$ contains two distinct $Y, Y^{\prime} \in \mathcal{G}_{k-1}^{\prime \prime}(V)$ (otherwise there are distinct ( $k-1$ )-dimensional subspaces $Z, Z^{\prime} \subset X$ satisfying $c(Z)=c\left(Z^{\prime}\right)=n-k+1$ which is impossible). Since

$$
g_{k-1}(Y)=l(Y) \text { and } g_{k-1}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)=l\left(Y^{\prime}\right)
$$

are distinct $(k-1)$-dimensional subspaces of $f(X)$, we have

$$
f(X)=l(Y)+l\left(Y^{\prime}\right)=l\left(Y+Y^{\prime}\right)=l(X)
$$

So, $f$ is induced by $l$.

## 7. Proof of Theorem [1. The case $q=2, k=2$

For a non-empty subset $I \subset\{1, \ldots, n\}$ we denote by $P_{I}$ the 1-dimensional subspace of $V$ containing the vector whose $i$-coordinate is 1 for every $i \in I$ and is 0 if $i \notin I$. Let $H$ be the $(n-1)$-dimensional subspace spanned by all $P_{I}$, where $I$ is an $(n-1)$-element subset of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ containing $n$ (there are precisely $n-1$ such subsets). We decompose $\mathcal{C}(n, 2)_{2}$ in three subsets $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}$ as follows: $\mathcal{A}$ consists of all elements of $\mathcal{C}(n, 2)_{2}$ containing $P_{\{1, \ldots, n\}}, \mathcal{B}$ is formed by all elements contained in $H$ and

$$
\mathcal{C}=\mathcal{C}(n, 2)_{2} \backslash(\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B})
$$

Consider $X \in \mathcal{C}$. Then $X$ intersects $H$ in a 1-dimensional subspace and we suppose that $P_{I}, P_{J}$ are the remaining two 1-dimensional subspaces of $H$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
I \cup J=\{1, \ldots, n\} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $P_{(I \cap J)^{c}}$ is the 1-dimensional subspace of $X$ containing in $H$ (for every $S \subset$ $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ we write $S^{c}$ for the complement of $S$ in $\left.\{1, \ldots, n\}\right)$. Then $P_{I^{c}}, P_{J^{c}}$ are contained in $H$. Indeed, the 2-dimensional subspaces

$$
P_{I}+P_{I^{c}}, P_{J}+P_{J^{c}}
$$

both contain $P_{\{1, \ldots, n\}}$ and each of the 1-dimensional subspaces $P_{\{1, \ldots, n\}}, P_{I}, P_{J}$ is not in $H$. Denote by $X^{c}$ the 2-dimensional subspace of $V$ containing $P_{I^{c}}$ and $P_{J^{c}}$. It is clear that $X^{c} \subset H$. By (10), we have $I^{c} \cap J^{c}=\emptyset$. This implies that the third 1-dimensional subspace of $X^{c}$ (distinct from $\left.P_{I^{c}}, P_{J^{c}}\right)$ is $P_{(I \cap J)^{c}}$ and $X^{c}$ does not belong to $\mathcal{C}(n, 2)_{2}$.

Let $h$ be the map of $\mathcal{C}(n, 2)_{2}$ to $\mathcal{G}_{2}(V)$ which leaves fixed every element of $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$ and sends every $X \in \mathcal{C}$ to $X^{c}$. Since $X^{c}$ does not belong to $\mathcal{C}(n, 2)_{2}$ for each $X \in \mathcal{C}$, this map is injective. Consider the restriction of $\Gamma_{2}(V)$ to the image of $h$. Removing from this restriction all edges connecting $h(X)$ and $h(Y)$ if $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}(n, 2)_{2}$ are not connected by an edge in $\Gamma(n, 2)_{2}$, we obtain a subgraph of $\Gamma_{2}(V)$ isomorphic to $\Gamma(n, 2)_{2}$.

For every non-empty subset $I \subset\{1, \ldots, n\}$ precisely one of the 1-dimensional subspaces $P_{I}, P_{I^{c}}$ is contained in $H$ (indeed, $P_{\{1, \ldots, n\}}$ is contained in $P_{I}+P_{I^{c}}$ and not in $H$ ). The 2-dimensional subspaces

$$
X=P_{\{1,2\}}+P_{\{2, \ldots, n\}} \text { and } Y=P_{\{3, \ldots, n\}}+P_{\{1,2,3\}}
$$

are non-adjacent elements of $\mathcal{C}(n, 2)_{2}$, but $h(X)$ and $h(Y)$ are adjacent. So, $h$ is adjacency preserving only in one direction which means that $h$ cannot be extended to an automorphism of $\Gamma_{2}(V)$.
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