THE GRAPHS OF NON-DEGENERATE LINEAR CODES

MARK PANKOV

ABSTRACT. We consider the Grassmann graph of k-dimensional subspaces of an n-dimensional vector space over the q-element field and its subgraph $\Gamma(n,k)_q$ formed by non-degenerate linear $[n,k]_q$ codes. We assume that 1 < k < n-1. It is well-known that every automorphism of the Grassmann graph is induced by a semilinear automorphism of the corresponding vector space or a semilinear isomorphism to the dual vector space; the second possibility is realized only if n = 2k. Our results are the following: if $q \ge 3$ or $k \ne 2$, then every isomorphism of $\Gamma(n,k)_q$ to a subgraph of the Grassmann graph can be uniquely extended to an automorphism of the Grassmann graph; in the case when q = k = 2, there are subgraphs of the Grassmann graph isomorphic to $\Gamma(n,k)_q$ and such that isomorphisms between these subgraphs and $\Gamma(n,k)_q$ cannot be extended to automorphisms of the Grassmann graph.

1. INTRODUCTION

Every linear $[n, k]_q$ code is identified with a certain k-dimensional subspace of the n-dimensional vector space \mathbb{F}_q^n (\mathbb{F}_q is the field of q elements). Two distinct linear $[n, k]_q$ codes are adjacent if they have the maximal number of common codewords, equivalently, the intersection of the corresponding k-dimensional subspaces are (k-1)-dimensional. Therefore, the simple graph formed by all linear $[n, k]_q$ codes such that two codes are connected by an edge if they are adjacent coincides with the Grassmann graph of k-dimensional subspaces of \mathbb{F}_q^n . The case when k = 1, n - 1 is trivial (any two distinct k-dimensional subspaces are adjacent) and we assume that 1 < k < n - 1.

If a linear $[n, k]_q$ code C is degenerated (the restriction of a certain coordinate functional to C is zero), then a generator matrix of C contains zero column and C, in fact, is a linear $[n - 1, k]_q$ code. For this reason, it natural to consider nondegenerate codes only. Denote by $\Gamma(n, k)_q$ the subgraph of the Grassmann graph formed by all non-degenerate linear $[n, k]_q$ codes. Some distance properties and automorphisms of this graph are investigated in [2, 3].

Chow's theorem [1] provides a description of all automorphisms of the Grassmann graph; every such automorphism is induced by a semilinear automorphism of \mathbb{F}_q^n or a semilinear isomorphism to the dual vector space (the second possibility is realized only if n = 2k). Our main result is the following: if $q \ge 3$ or $k \ge 3$, then every subgraph of the Grassmann graph isomorphic to $\Gamma(n, k)_q$ can be obtained form $\Gamma(n, k)_q$ by an automorphism of the Grassmann graph. For the case when q = k = 2 we construct counterexample.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 51E22, 94B27.

Key words and phrases. linear code, Grassmann graph, adjacency preserving map.

MARK PANKOV

2. Result

Let V be an n-dimensional vector space over a field \mathbb{F} (not necessarily finite) and let $\mathcal{G}_k(V)$ be the Grassmannian consisting of all k-dimensional subspaces of V. Two k-dimensional subspaces of V are called *adjacent* if their intersection is (k-1)dimensional, or equivalently, their sum is (k + 1)-dimensional. The Grassmann graph $\Gamma_k(V)$ is the simple graph whose vertex set is $\mathcal{G}_k(V)$ and two k-dimensional subspaces are connected by an edge in this graph if they are adjacent. In the cases when k = 1, n - 1, any two distinct k-dimensional subspaces are adjacent. The Grassmann graph is connected. Chow's theorem [1] provides a description of automorphisms of $\Gamma_k(V)$ for 1 < k < n - 1 (if k = 1, n - 1, then every bijective transformation of $\mathcal{G}_k(V)$ is a graph automorphism).

Recall that a map $l: V \to V$ is called *semilinear* if

$$l(x+y) = l(x) + l(y)$$

for all $x, y \in V$ and there is a homomorphism $\sigma : \mathbb{F} \to \mathbb{F}$ such that

$$l(ax) = \sigma(a)l(x)$$

for all $a \in \mathbb{F}$ and $x \in V$. In the case when l is bijective and σ is a field automorphism, we say that l is a *semilinear automorphism* of V. Every semilinear automorphism induces an automorphism of the graph $\Gamma_k(V)$. Let ω be a nondegenerate symmetric form on V. For every subspace $X \subset V$ we denote by X_{ω}^{\perp} the orthogonal complement of X associated to the form ω . Two subspace of the same dimension are adjacent if and only if their orthogonal complements are adjacent, i.e. the orthocomplementary map $X \to X_{\omega}^{\perp}$ is an isomorphism between the Grassmann graphs $\Gamma_k(V)$ and $\Gamma_{n-k}(V)$; this is an automorphism of $\Gamma_k(V)$ if n = 2k. Chow's theorem states that every automorphism f of $\Gamma_k(V)$, 1 < k < n - 1 is induced by a semilinear automorphism of V or n = 2k and f is the composition of the orthocomplementary map and a graph automorphism induced by a semilinear automorphism of V.

Suppose that $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_q$ is the finite field consisting of q elements and $V = \mathbb{F}^n$. The standard basis of V is formed by the vectors

$$e_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, e_n = (0, \dots, 0, 1).$$

Let C_i be the kernel of the *i*-th coordinate functional $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \to x_i$, i.e. the hyperplane of V spanned by all e_j with $j \neq i$. Every k-dimensional subspaces of V can be interpreted as a *linear* $[n, k]_q$ code; such a code $C \subset V$ is non-degenerate if the restriction of every coordinate functional to C is non-zero, i.e. C is not contained in any coordinate hyperplane C_i [4]. All non-degenerate linear $[n, k]_q$ codes form the set

$$\mathcal{C}(n,k)_q = \mathcal{G}_k(V) \setminus \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{G}_k(C_i)\right).$$

Denote by $\Gamma(n, k)_q$ the restriction of the Grassmann graph $\Gamma_k(V)$ to the set $\mathcal{C}(n, k)_q$, i.e. $\Gamma(n, k)_q$ is the simple graph whose vertex set is $\mathcal{C}(n, k)_q$ and two vertices are connected by an edge if the corresponding k-dimensional subspaces are adjacent. This graph is connected and some interesting properties of the path distance for this graph can be found in [2]. By [3], every automorphism of $\Gamma(n, k)_q$, 1 < k < n-1 is induced by a monomial semilinear automorphism of V (a semilinear automorphism is monomial if it sends every e_i to a scalar multiple of e_j). **Theorem 1.** Suppose that 1 < k < n-1. If $q \ge 3$ or $k \ne 2$, then every isomorphism of $\Gamma(n,k)_q$ to a subgraph of $\Gamma_k(V)$ can be uniquely extended to an automorphism of $\Gamma_k(V)$. In the case when q = k = 2, there are subgraphs of $\Gamma_k(V)$ isomorphic to $\Gamma(n,k)_q$ and such that isomorphisms between these subgraphs and $\Gamma(n,k)_q$ cannot be extended to automorphisms of $\Gamma_k(V)$.

Remark 1. If k = 1, n - 1, then every injective map of $\mathcal{C}(n, k)_q$ to $\mathcal{G}_k(V)$ is an isomorphism of $\Gamma(n, k)_q$ to a subgraph of $\Gamma_k(V)$ and every bijective transformation of $\mathcal{G}_k(V)$ is an automorphism of $\Gamma_k(V)$. Therefore, each isomorphism of $\Gamma(n, k)_q$ to a subgraph of $\Gamma_k(V)$ can be extended to an automorphism of $\Gamma_k(V)$, but such an extension is not unique.

Remark 2. Let us take a basis of V and consider the hyperplanes $H_1, \ldots, H_n \subset V$ spanned by vectors from this basis. The restriction of $\Gamma_k(V)$ to the set

(1)
$$\mathcal{G}_k(V) \setminus \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{G}_k(H_i)\right)$$

is isomorphic to $\Gamma(n, k)_q$. In the case when 1 < k < n - 1, Theorem 1 implies the following: if $q \geq 3$ or $k \neq 2$, then every subgraph of $\Gamma_k(V)$ isomorphic to $\Gamma(n, k)_q$ is the restriction of $\Gamma_k(V)$ to a subset of type (1) or n = 2k and this subgraph is the restriction of $\Gamma_k(V)$ to the set formed by the orthogonal complements of elements from a subset of type (1).

3. Preliminary

3.1. Maximal cliques in Grassmann graphs. Recall that a subset in the vertex set of a graph is called a *clique* if any two vertices from this subset are connected by an edge. A clique \mathcal{X} is said to be *maximal* if every clique containing \mathcal{X} coincides with \mathcal{X} .

If 1 < k < n - 1, then every maximal clique of $\Gamma_k(V)$ is of one of the following types:

- the star $\mathcal{S}(X), X \in \mathcal{G}_{k-1}(V)$ which consists of all k-dimensional subspaces containing X;
- the top $\mathcal{T}(Y) = \mathcal{G}_k(Y), Y \in \mathcal{G}_{k+1}(V).$

An *m*-dimensional vector space W over \mathbb{F} contains precisely

$$[m]_q = \frac{q^m - 1}{q - 1} = q^{m-1} + \dots + q + 1$$

1-dimensional subspaces; this is also the number of hyperplanes in W. Since $\mathcal{S}(X)$, $X \in \mathcal{G}_{k-1}(V)$ can be identified with $\mathcal{G}_1(V/X)$, every star of $\Gamma_k(V)$ consists of

$$[n-k+1]_q$$

elements. Every top of $\Gamma_k(V)$ contains precisely

$$[k+1]_q$$

elements. Stars and tops have the same number of elements if and only if n = 2k.

The intersection of two distinct maximal cliques of the same type contains at most one element. This intersection is non-empty if and only if the associated (k-1)-dimensional or (k+1)-dimensional subspaces are adjacent.

For a (k-1)-dimensional subspace $X \subset V$ and a (k+1)-dimensional subspace $Y \subset V$ the intersection of the associated star and top of $\Gamma_k(V)$ is non-empty if and

only if $X \subset Y$. In this case, $\mathcal{S}(X) \cap \mathcal{T}(Y)$ contains precisely q + 1 elements. Every such intersection is called a *line* of $\mathcal{G}_k(V)$.

3.2. Maximal cliques in the graphs of non-degenerate linear codes. As above, we assume that 1 < k < n-1. For a (k-1)-dimensional subspace $X \subset V$ and a (k+1)-dimensional subspace $Y \subset V$ we denote by $S^c(X)$ and $\mathcal{T}^c(Y)$ the intersection of $\mathcal{C}(n,k)_q$ with the star S(X) and the top $\mathcal{T}(Y)$, respectively. Each of these intersections is a clique of $\Gamma(n,q)_k$ (if it is non-empty), but this clique need not to be maximal.

For a subspace $X \subset V$ denote by c(X) the number of the coordinate hyperplanes containing X. If X is (k-1)-dimensional, then

$$c(X) \le n - k + 1$$

and, by [3, Lemma 1],

(2)
$$|\mathcal{S}^{c}(X)| = (q-1)^{c(X)-1}q^{n-k-c(X)+1}.$$

If X belongs to $\mathcal{C}(n, k-1)_q$, then $\mathcal{S}^c(X) = \mathcal{S}(X)$ is a maximal clique of $\Gamma_k(V)$ and, consequently, it is a maximal clique of $\Gamma(n, k)_q$.

Proposition 1. For a (k-1)-dimensional subspace $X \subset V$ the following assertions are fulfilled:

- (i) If $q \geq 3$, then $\mathcal{S}^{c}(X)$ is a maximal clique of $\Gamma(n,k)_{q}$.
- (ii) For q = 2 the set $\mathcal{S}^{c}(X)$ is a maximal clique of $\Gamma(n, k)_{q}$ if and only if

$$c(X) \le n - k - 1.$$

(iii) If $\mathcal{S}^{c}(X)$ is a maximal clique of $\Gamma(n,k)_{q}$, then there is no (k+1)-dimensional subspace $Y \subset V$ such that $\mathcal{S}^{c}(X) = \mathcal{T}^{c}(Y)$.

Proof. The statements (i) and (ii) are Propositions 1 and 2 from [3].

(iii). If X belongs to $\mathcal{C}(n, k-1)_q$, then $\mathcal{S}^c(X) = \mathcal{S}(X)$ is a star of $\Gamma_k(V)$, i.e. it is not contained in a top of $\Gamma_k(V)$. Consider the case when $X \notin \mathcal{C}(n, k-1)_q$.

Suppose that $q \ge 3$. By (2),

$$|\mathcal{S}^{c}(X)| \ge (q-1)^{n-k} \ge (q-1)^{2} \ge q+1.$$

If $\mathcal{S}^{c}(X) = \mathcal{T}^{c}(Y)$ for a certain (k + 1)-dimensional subspace $Y \subset V$, then $\mathcal{S}^{c}(X)$ is contained in the line $\mathcal{S}(X) \cap \mathcal{T}(Y)$. Since $X \notin \mathcal{C}(n, k-1)_q$, there is a coordinate hyperplane C_i containing X. The subspace $Y \cap C_i$ is k-dimensional (indeed, $\mathcal{T}^{c}(Y)$ is empty if $Y \subset C_i$); this is an element of the line $\mathcal{S}(X) \cap \mathcal{T}(Y)$ which do not belong to $\mathcal{C}(n, k)_q$. Hence $\mathcal{S}^{c}(X) = \mathcal{T}^{c}(Y)$ contains not greater than q elements, a contradiction.

If q = 2, then $c(X) \leq n - k - 1$ and (2) shows that

$$|\mathcal{S}^{c}(X)| \ge q^{2} = 4 > 3 = q+1$$

which means that $\mathcal{S}^{c}(X)$ is not contained in a line of $\mathcal{G}_{k}(V)$.

We say that $\mathcal{S}^{c}(X)$ is a *star* of $\Gamma(n, k)_{q}$ only in the case when it is a maximal clique of $\Gamma(n, k)_{q}$. If X belongs to $\mathcal{C}(n, k-1)_{q}$, then $\mathcal{S}^{c}(X) = \mathcal{S}(X)$ is said to be a *maximal star* of $\Gamma(n, k)_{q}$.

Remark 3. If q = 2 and $S^{c}(X)$ is not a maximal clique of $\Gamma(n, k)_{q}$, then one of the following possibilities is realized:

• c(X) = n - k and $S^{c}(X)$ contains precisely two elements;

• c(X) = n - k + 1 and $S^{c}(X)$ consists of a unique element.

See the proof of Proposition 2 from [3] for the details.

Let Y be a (k + 1)-dimensional subspace of V. Then $\mathcal{T}^c(Y)$ is empty if Y does not belong to $\mathcal{C}(n, k + 1)_q$. Consider the case when $Y \in \mathcal{C}(n, k + 1)_q$. For every $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ the k-dimensional subspace $Y \cap C_i$ does not belong to $\mathcal{T}^c(Y)$. Some of the subspaces

$$Y \cap C_1, \ldots, Y \cap C_n$$

may be coincident and we denote by n(Y) the number of distinct elements in this collection. Then

$$k+1 \le n(Y) \le n$$

(the first inequality follows from the fact that Y^* is spanned by the restrictions of the coordinate functionals to Y) and $\mathcal{T}^c(Y)$ contains precisely

$$[k+1]_q - n(Y)$$

elements. We say that $\mathcal{T}^{c}(Y)$ is a *top* of $\Gamma(n,k)_{q}$ if it is a maximal clique of $\Gamma(n,k)_{q}$. In this case, there is no (k-1)-dimensional subspace $X \subset V$ such that $\mathcal{T}^{c}(Y) = \mathcal{S}^{c}(X)$ (the statement (iii) of Proposition 1).

Proposition 2. The following two conditions are equivalent:

- $\mathcal{T}^{c}(Y)$ is a top of $\Gamma(n,k)_{q}$ for every $Y \in \mathcal{C}(n,k+1)_{q}$;
- $[k+1]_q (q+1) > n.$

Proof. See [3, Corollary 1].

Since

$$[k+1]_q - (q+1) = q^k + \dots + q^2 \ge q^k \ge 2k,$$

the second condition from Proposition 2 holds, for example, if 2k > n.

Remark 4. In the case when the second condition from Proposition 2 does not hold, there are $Y \in C(n, k+1)_q$ such that $\mathcal{T}^c(Y)$ is a proper subset in a certain star of $\Gamma(n, k)_q$ or empty [3, Propositions 4 and 5].

4. General Lemmas

Let f be an isomorphism of $\Gamma(n, k)_q$ to a certain subgraph Γ of $\Gamma_k(V)$ and let 1 < k < n-1. Then f is an adjacency preserving injection of $\mathcal{C}(n, k)_q$ to $\mathcal{G}_k(V)$, i.e. if $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}(n, k)_q$ are adjacent, then f(X) and f(Y) are adjacent. It is clear that f transfers maximal cliques of $\Gamma(n, k)_q$ to maximal cliques of Γ . Every maximal clique of Γ is a (not necessarily maximal) clique of $\Gamma_k(V)$, i.e. it is a subset of a star or a top of $\Gamma_k(V)$. It must be pointed out that f need not to be adjacency preserving in both directions: f(X) and f(Y) may be adjacent for non-adjecent $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}(n, k)_q$. For this reason the intersection of a maximal clique of $\Gamma_k(V)$ with the vertex set of Γ need not to be a clique of Γ and we cannot state that f sends distinct maximal cliques of $\Gamma(n, k)_q$ to subsets of distinct maximal cliques of $\Gamma_k(V)$.

Lemma 1. One of the following possibilities is realized:

- f sends every maximal star of $\Gamma(n,k)_q$ to a star of $\Gamma_k(V)$;
- n = 2k and f transfers all maximal stars of $\Gamma(n, k)_q$ to tops of $\Gamma_k(V)$.

Proof. (1). If 2k < n, then the number of elements in a star of $\Gamma_k(V)$ and, consequently, in a maximal star of $\Gamma(n,k)_q$ is greater than the number of elements in a top of $\Gamma_k(V)$. Therefore, f transfers all maximal stars of $\Gamma(n,k)_q$ to stars of $\Gamma_k(V)$.

(2). In the case when n = 2k, stars and tops of $\Gamma_k(V)$ have the same number of elements. Thus, f transfers every maximal star of $\Gamma(n, k)_q$ to a star or a top of $\Gamma_k(V)$. Suppose that $X \in \mathcal{C}(n, k-1)_q$ and f sends $\mathcal{S}(X)$ to a certain top of $\Gamma_k(V)$. Note that $\mathcal{C}(n, k-1)_q$ consists of one element if q = 2 and n = 2k = 4 (the 1-dimensional subspace containing the vector $(1, \ldots, 1)$); for this case the statement is trivial.

In the general case, for any $Y \in \mathcal{C}(n, k-1)_q$ adjacent to X we take a (k+1)dimensional subspace Z containing X + Y. Then $Z \in \mathcal{C}(n, k+1)_q$ and $\mathcal{T}^c(Z)$ contains the lines

$$\mathcal{S}(X) \cap \mathcal{T}(Z)$$
 and $\mathcal{S}(Y) \cap \mathcal{T}(Z)$

which means that $\mathcal{T}^{c}(Z)$ is a top of $\Gamma(n, k)_{q}$. Let \mathcal{X} be a maximal clique of $\Gamma_{k}(V)$ containing $f(\mathcal{T}^{c}(Z))$. It is clear that $f(\mathcal{S}(X))$ and \mathcal{X} are distinct. The intersection of $\mathcal{S}(X)$ and $\mathcal{T}^{c}(Z)$ contains more that one element and, consequently,

$$|f(\mathcal{S}(X)) \cap \mathcal{X}| > 1$$

Since $f(\mathcal{S}(X))$ is a top of $\Gamma_k(V)$, the latter implies that \mathcal{X} is a star of $\Gamma_k(V)$. The intersection of $\mathcal{S}(Y)$ and $\mathcal{T}^c(Z)$ contains more than one element, i.e. $f(\mathcal{S}(Y))$ and \mathcal{X} are distinct maximal cliques of $\Gamma_k(V)$ whose intersection contains more than one element. Since \mathcal{X} is a star of $\Gamma_k(V)$, $f(\mathcal{S}(Y))$ is a top of $\Gamma_k(V)$.

So, $f(\mathcal{S}(Y))$ is a top of $\Gamma_k(V)$ for every $Y \in \mathcal{C}(n, k-1)_q$ adjacent to X. Using the connectedness of $\Gamma(n, k-1)_q$, we establish that f sends every maximal star of $\Gamma(n, k)_q$ to a top of $\Gamma_k(V)$.

(3). Suppose that 2k > n. In this case, $\mathcal{T}^c(Y)$ is a top of $\Gamma(n,k)_q$ for every $Y \in \mathcal{C}(n, k+1)_q$ (Proposition 2). The number of elements in every top of $\Gamma(n,k)_q$ is greater than the number of elements in a star of $\Gamma_k(V)$. Indeed, a top of $\Gamma(n,k)_q$ contains not less that

$$[k+1]_q - n$$

elements, a star of $\Gamma_k(V)$ contains precisely

$$[n-k+1]_{a}$$

elements and

$$[k+1]_q - n - [n-k+1]_q = \frac{q^{k+1} - q^{n-k+1}}{q-1} - n = \frac{q^{n-k+1}(q^{2k-n}-1)}{q-1} - n = q^{n-k+1}(q^{2k-n-1} + \dots + q+1) - n \ge q^k - n \ge 2k - n > 0.$$

Therefore, f transfers tops of $\Gamma(n,k)_q$ to subsets in tops of $\Gamma_k(V)$.

Let $X \in \mathcal{C}(n, k-1)_q$. We take any (k+1)-dimensional subspace $Y \subset V$ containing X. Then Y belongs to $\mathcal{C}(n, k+1)_q$ and

$$|\mathcal{S}(X) \cap \mathcal{T}^c(Y)| > 1.$$

Since $f(\mathcal{T}^{c}(Y))$ is contained in a top of $\Gamma_{k}(V)$, for $f(\mathcal{S}(X))$ one of the following possibilities is realized:

- (a) $f(\mathcal{S}(X))$ is a star of $\Gamma_k(V)$;
- (b) $f(\mathcal{S}(X))$ and $f(\mathcal{T}^{c}(Y))$ both are contained in a certain top of $\Gamma_{k}(V)$.

We need to show that (b) is impossible. Suppose that $f(\mathcal{S}(X))$ and $f(\mathcal{T}^c(Y))$ are contained in $\mathcal{T}(Z)$ for a certain (k + 1)-dimensional subspace $Z \subset V$. For any $Y' \in \mathcal{C}(n, k + 1)_q$ containing X and distinct from Y we have

$$|\mathcal{S}(X) \cap \mathcal{T}^c(Y')| > 1$$

which implies that a top of $\Gamma_k(V)$ containing $f(\mathcal{T}^c(Y'))$ intersects $\mathcal{T}(Z)$ in a subset containing more than one element and, consequently,

$$f(\mathcal{T}^c(Y')) \subset \mathcal{T}(Z).$$

Since each of $\mathcal{T}^{c}(Y)$, $\mathcal{T}^{c}(Y')$ contains not less than $[k+1]_{q} - n$ elements and their intersection is one-element,

$$|\mathcal{T}^{c}(Y) \cup \mathcal{T}^{c}(Y')| \ge 2[k+1]_{q} - 2n - 1 = [k+1]_{q} + (q^{k} + \dots + q + 1) - 2n - 1.$$

We have 2k > n and 1 < k < n - 1 which implies that $k \ge 3$. Therefore,

$$|\mathcal{T}^{c}(Y) \cup \mathcal{T}^{c}(Y')| \ge [k+1]_{q} + q^{k} + q^{k-1} + q^{k-2} + q^{k-3} - 2n - 1 \ge$$

$$\begin{split} [k+1]_q + 2k + 2(k-1) + 2(k-2) + 2(k-3) - 2n - 1 &= [k+1]_q + 8k - 2n - 13 \geq \\ [k+1]_q + 8k - 2(2k-1) - 13 &= [k+1]_q + 4k - 11 > [k+1]_q = |\mathcal{T}(Z)| \end{split}$$

which means that the case (b) is not realized.

In the case when the second possibility from Lemma 1 is realized, we consider the composition of the orthocomplementary map and f. This is an isomorphism of $\Gamma(n,k)_q$ to a subgraph of $\Gamma_k(V)$ sending every maximal star of $\Gamma(n,k)_q$ to a star of $\Gamma_k(V)$. If this map is extendable to an automorphism of $\Gamma_k(V)$, then the same holds for f.

From this moment, we assume that f transfers every maximal star of $\Gamma(n,k)_q$ to a star of $\Gamma_k(V)$. Then f induces an injective map

$$f_{k-1}: \mathcal{C}(n, k-1)_q \to \mathcal{G}_{k-1}(V)$$

such that

$$f(\mathcal{S}(X)) = \mathcal{S}(f_{k-1}(X))$$

for every $X \in \mathcal{C}(n, k-1)_q$. Denote by Γ_{k-1} the restriction of the Grassmann graph $\Gamma_{k-1}(V)$ to the image of f_{k-1} . Since the intersection of two distinct stars

$$\mathcal{S}(X), \mathcal{S}(Y), X, Y \in \mathcal{G}_{k-1}(V)$$

is non-empty if and only if X, Y are adjacent, the map f_{k-1} is an isomorphism of $\Gamma(n, k-1)_q$ to Γ_{k-1} .

Now, we show that f_{k-1} sends every maximal star of $\Gamma(n, k-1)_q$ to a star of $\Gamma_{k-1}(V)$ if $k \geq 3$. By Lemma 1, we only need to consider the case when n = 2(k-1). Let us take a k-dimensional subspace $Y \subset V$ containing the 1-dimensional subspaces

$$Q_1 = \mathbb{F}(1, \dots, 1), \ Q_2 = \mathbb{F}(1, 0, 1, \dots, 1), \ Q_3 = \mathbb{F}(0, 1, 1, \dots, 1).$$

For any (k-3)-dimensional subspace $Z \subset Y$ satisfying

$$Z \cap (Q_1 + Q_2 + Q_3) = 0$$

the subspaces

$$Z + Q_1 + Q_2, Z + Q_1 + Q_3, Z + Q_2 + Q_3$$

form a clique in $\Gamma(n, k-1)_q$ which is not contained in a star. Therefore, $\mathcal{T}^c(Y)$ is a top of $\Gamma(n, k-1)_q$. If $M \in \mathcal{T}^c(Y)$, then

$$Y \in \mathcal{S}(M)$$
 and $f(Y) \in \mathcal{S}(f_{k-1}(M))$

which implies that $f_{k-1}(M) \subset f(Y)$, i.e.

$$f_{k-1}(\mathcal{T}^c(Y)) \subset \mathcal{T}(f(Y)).$$

If a (k-2)-dimensional subspace $X \subset Y$ contains Q_1 , then $X \in \mathcal{C}(n, k-2)_q$ and

 $|\mathcal{S}(X) \cap \mathcal{T}^c(Y)| > 1;$

consequently,

 $|f_{k-1}(\mathcal{S}(X)) \cap \mathcal{T}(f(Y))| > 1.$

Since $f_{k-1}(\mathcal{S}(X))$ and $\mathcal{T}(f(Y))$ are distinct maximal cliques of $\Gamma_{k-1}(V)$ and the intersection of two distinct tops of $\Gamma_{k-1}(V)$ contains at most one element, $f_{k-1}(\mathcal{S}(X))$ is a star of $\Gamma_{k-1}(V)$.

So, if $k \geq 3$, then f_{k-1} sends every maximal star of $\Gamma(n, k-1)_q$ to a star of $\Gamma_{k-1}(V)$, i.e. it induces an isomorphism f_{k-2} of $\Gamma(n, k-2)_q$ to a certain subgraph Γ_{k-2} of $\Gamma_{k-2}(V)$. We construct recursively a sequence

$$f_k = f, f_{k-1}, \dots, f_1,$$

where

$$f_i: \mathcal{C}(n,i)_q \to \mathcal{G}_i(V)$$

is an isomorphism of $\Gamma(n,i)_q$ to a certain subgraph Γ_i of $\Gamma_i(V)$ and

$$f_i(\mathcal{S}(X)) = \mathcal{S}(f_{i-1}(X))$$

for every $X \in \mathcal{C}(n, i-1)_q$ and $i \geq 2$.

Lemma 2. The isomorphism f_i , $i \in \{2, ..., k\}$ sends every star of $\Gamma(n, i)_q$ to a subset in a star of $\Gamma_i(V)$.

Proof. We prove the statement for i = k (the general case is similar). Let X be a (k-1)-dimensional subspace of V such that $S^c(X)$ is a non-maximal star of $\Gamma(n,k)_q$. Then $X \notin C(n,k-1)_q$. We take $Q \in C(n,1)_q$ (note that $Q \notin X$) and $Y \in C(n,k-1)_q$ which is the sum of Q and a (k-2)-dimensional subspace of X. There are $X_1, X_2 \in S^c(X)$ such that

$$Z_j = Q + X_j, \quad j \in \{1, 2\}$$

are distinct (k+1)-dimensional subspaces (otherwise, $S^c(X)$ is contained in $\mathcal{T}^c(Z)$ for a certain (k+1)-dimensional subspace $Z \subset V$ which is impossible). Observe that every $\mathcal{T}^c(Z_j)$ is a top of $\Gamma(n,k)_q$. Indeed, $\mathcal{T}^c(Z_j)$ contains X_j , Q + X and Q + X', where X' is a (k-1)-dimensional subspace of X_j distinct from X; these subspaces form a clique of $\Gamma(n,k)_q$ which is not contained in a star.

Each $\mathcal{T}^{c}(Z_{j})$ intersects the star $\mathcal{S}^{c}(X)$ and the maximal star $\mathcal{S}(Y)$ in subsets containing more than one element. Since $f(\mathcal{S}(Y))$ is a star of $\Gamma_{k}(V)$, every $f(\mathcal{T}^{c}(Z_{j}))$ is contained in a top of $\Gamma_{k}(V)$. This means that for $f(\mathcal{S}^{c}(X))$ one of the following possibilities is realized:

(a) $f(\mathcal{S}^{c}(X))$ is contained in a star of $\Gamma_{k}(V)$;

(b) there is a top of $\Gamma_k(V)$ containing $f(\mathcal{S}^c(X))$ and both $f(\mathcal{T}^c(Z_j)), j \in \{1, 2\}$. Show that the case (b) is impossible.

Suppose that $q \geq 3$. Then

$$|\mathcal{S}^c(X)| \ge (q-1)^{n-k}$$

by (2) and

$$|\mathcal{T}^{c}(Z_{j})| \ge [k+1]_{q} - n = q^{k} + \dots + q + 1 - n.$$

Observe that

$$\mathcal{T}^c(Z_1) \cap \mathcal{T}^c(Z_2) = \mathcal{S}^c(X) \cap \mathcal{T}^c(Z_1) \cap \mathcal{T}^c(Z_2) = \{X + Y\}$$

and

$$|\mathcal{S}^c(X) \cap \mathcal{T}^c(Z_j)| \le q+1.$$

By the inclusion-exclusion principle, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{S}^{c}(X) \cup \mathcal{T}^{c}(Z_{1}) \cup \mathcal{T}^{c}(Z_{2})| &\geq (q-1)^{n-k} + 2(q^{k} + \dots + q + 1 - n) - 2(q+1) - 1 + 1 \geq \\ 2(n-k) + 2(q^{k} + \dots + q^{2}) - 2n &= (q^{k} + \dots + q + 1) + (q^{k} + \dots + q^{2}) - q - 1 - 2k > \\ q^{k} + \dots + q + 1 &= [k+1]_{q} \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts to (b).

Suppose that q = 2. In this case, $S^c(X)$ contains not less than 4 elements (by the statement (ii) from Proposition 1 and (2)). If $j \in \{1, 2\}$, then for every (k-1)dimensional subspace $N \subset X_j$ the subspace N+Q belongs to $\mathcal{T}^c(Z_j)$; this subspace belongs to $S^c(X)$ only in the case when N = X. Therefore, each $\mathcal{T}^c(Z_j)$ contains

$$[k]_2 - 1 = 2^{k-1} + \dots + 2$$

elements which do not belong to $\mathcal{S}^{c}(X)$. This means that the union of our three maximal cliques contains not less than

$$4 + 2(2^{k-1} + \dots + 2) > [k+1]_2$$

elements and (b) is impossible.

5. Proof of Theorem 1. The case
$$q \ge 3$$

Suppose that $q \geq 3$. In this case, $S^c(X)$ is a star of $\Gamma(n, i)_q$ for every (i - 1)dimensional subspace $X \subset V$ (the statement (i) of Proposition 1). By Lemma 2, the isomorphism $f_i, i \in \{2, \ldots, k\}$ transfers every star of $\Gamma(n, i)_q$ to a subset in a star of $\Gamma_i(V)$. Since the intersection of two distinct stars of $\Gamma_i(V)$ contains at most one element, the image of every star of $\Gamma(n, i)_q$ is contained in a unique star of $\Gamma_i(V)$. Therefore, for every $j \in \{1, \ldots, k-1\}$ the isomorphism f_j can be extended to a transformation g_j of $\mathcal{G}_j(V)$ such that

$$f_{j+1}(\mathcal{S}^c(X)) \subset \mathcal{S}(g_j(X))$$

for every $X \in \mathcal{G}_j(X)$. Note that

$$g_j(\mathcal{G}_j(Y)) \subset \mathcal{G}_j(f_{j+1}(Y))$$

for every $Y \in \mathcal{C}(n, j+1)_q$.

Lemma 3. The transformation g_1 is bijective.

Proof. It is sufficient to show that g_1 is injective. Since $q \ge 3$, we can take $P_1, P_2, P_3 \in \mathcal{C}(n, 1)_q$ whose sum is 3-dimensional. For example, the 1-dimensional subspaces

$$\mathbb{F}(1,\ldots,1), \mathbb{F}(\alpha,1,\ldots,1), \mathbb{F}(\alpha,\alpha,1,\ldots,1), \alpha \neq 0,1$$

are as required. If

$$_1(P) = g_1(Q) = P'$$

 g_{1}

for distinct 1-dimensional subspaces $P, Q \subset V$, then f_2 sends $\mathcal{S}^c(P)$ and $\mathcal{S}^c(Q)$ to subsets of $\mathcal{S}(P')$. There is $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ such that $P_i \not\subset P + Q$. The maximal star $\mathcal{S}(P_i)$ intersects $\mathcal{S}^c(P)$ and $\mathcal{S}^c(Q)$ in distinct elements $(P_i + P \text{ and } P_i + Q,$

respectively). Then $f_2(\mathcal{S}(P_i))$ intersects $\mathcal{S}(P')$ in two distinct elements. Since $f_2(\mathcal{S}(P_i))$ is a star of $\Gamma_2(V)$, we have

$$f_2(\mathcal{S}(P_1)) = \mathcal{S}(P')$$

which contradicts the injectivity of f_2 .

Lemma 4. For every 2-dimensional subspace $X \notin C(n,2)_q$ there are $X_1, X_2 \in C(n,1)_q$ such that $X + X_1$ and $X + X_2$ are distinct elements of $C(n,3)_q$ and each of them defines a top of $\Gamma(n,2)_q$.

Proof. Without loss of generality we can assume that $X \subset C_1$, i.e. the first coordinate of every vector belonging to X is zero. Then X contains a non-zero vector x such that the first and the second coordinates of x are zero. Indeed, if

$$y = (0, y_2, \dots, y_n), \ z = (0, z_2, \dots, z_n)$$

are linearly independent vectors of X and $y_2 \neq 0, z_2 \neq 0$, then there is a linear combination ay + bz such that the first and the second coordinates are zero.

Let α be a non-zero element of the field \mathbb{F} distinct from 1. First, we consider the case when X contains the 1-dimensional subspace

$$Q = \mathbb{F}(0, 0, x_3, \dots, x_n),$$

where every x_i is zero or α . The 1-dimensional subspaces

$$P_1 = \mathbb{F}(1, \dots, 1), \ P_2 = \mathbb{F}(\alpha, 1, \dots, 1), \ P_3 = \mathbb{F}(\alpha, \alpha, 1, \dots, 1)$$

and

$$P'_{1} = \mathbb{F}(1, 1, 1 - x_{3}, \dots, 1 - x_{n}),$$

$$P'_{2} = \mathbb{F}(\alpha, 1, 1 - x_{3}, \dots, 1 - x_{n}),$$

$$P'_{3} = \mathbb{F}(\alpha, \alpha, 1 - x_{3}, \dots, 1 - x_{n})$$

belong to $\mathcal{C}(n,1)_q$ and

 $P_i' \subset Q + P_i$

for every $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Each $X + P_i$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}(n, 3)_q$. If T is a 1-dimensional subspace of X distinct from Q, then

$$Q+P_i, T+P_i, T+P'_i$$

form a clique of $\Gamma(n,2)_q$ which is not contained in a star. Therefore, $\mathcal{T}^c(X+P_i)$ is a top of $\Gamma(n,2)_q$.

The subspace $P_1 + P_2 + P_3$ is 3-dimensional. If X is not contained in $P_1 + P_2 + P_3$, then we have

$$X + P_i \neq X + P_j$$

for some distinct i, j. If X is contained in $P_1 + P_2 + P_3$, then X intersects $P_2 + P_3$ in a 1-dimensional subspace Q' distinct from P_2, P_3 . This subspace contains the vector

$$v = (\alpha, 1, \dots, 1) + a(\alpha, \alpha, 1, \dots, 1) = (\alpha + a\alpha, 1 + a\alpha, 1 + a, \dots, 1 + a)$$

for a certain non-zero $a \in \mathbb{F}$. Since $Q' \subset X \subset C_1$, we have $\alpha + a\alpha = 0$. Then a + 1 = 0 and all coordinates of v, except the second, are zero. So, X contains the 1-dimensional subspace $Q' = \mathbb{F}e_2$. The 1-dimensional subspaces

$$P_4 = \mathbb{F}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha, 1, \dots, 1)$$
 and $P'_4 = \mathbb{F}(\alpha, \alpha - 1, \alpha, 1, \dots, 1)$

belong to $\mathcal{C}(n,1)_q$. Also,

$$P_4' \subset Q' + P_4$$

and $X + P_4 \in \mathcal{C}(n,3)_q$. If T is a 1-dimensional subspace of X distinct from Q', then

$$Q' + P_4, T + P_4, T + P'_4$$

form a clique of $\Gamma(n,2)_q$ which is not contained in a star. Thus $X + P_4$ defines a top of $\Gamma(n,2)_q$. Since P_4 is not contained in $P_1 + P_2 + P_3$,

$$X + P_i \neq X + P_4$$

for every $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$.

In the case when Q is not contained in X, we take a monomial linear automorphism l of V such that $l(Q) \subset X$. Then all $l(P_i), l(P'_i)$ belong to $\mathcal{C}(n, 1)_q$, each $X + l(P_i)$ defines a top of $\Gamma(n, 2)_q$ and we have

$$X + l(P_i) \neq X + l(P_j)$$

for some distinct i, j.

Recall that the projective space associated to V is the point-line geometry whose points are 1-dimensional subspaces of V and the lines are subsets of type $\mathcal{G}_1(X)$, where X is a 2-dimensional subspace of V. By the Fundamental Theorem of Projective Geometry, every bijective transformation of $\mathcal{G}_1(V)$ sending lines to lines is induced by a semilinear automorphism of V.

Lemma 5. The bijective transformation g_1 sends lines to lines.

Proof. If $X \in \mathcal{C}(n,2)_q$, then

$$g_1(\mathcal{G}_1(X)) \subset \mathcal{G}_1(f_2(X)).$$

Since g_1 is bijective, we have

$$g_1(\mathcal{G}_1(X)) = \mathcal{G}_1(f_2(X)).$$

Let X be a 2-dimensional subspace of V which does not belong to $\mathcal{C}(n,2)_q$. By Lemma 4, there are $X_1, X_2 \in \mathcal{C}(n,1)_q$ such that

$$Z_1 = X + X_1$$
 and $Z_2 = X + X_2$

are distinct elements of $\mathcal{C}(n,3)_q$ and each of them defines a top of $\Gamma(n,2)_q$. We have

$$|\mathcal{S}(X_i) \cap \mathcal{T}^c(Z_i)| > 1$$

for $i \in \{1, 2\}$ which implies that $f_2(\mathcal{T}^c(Z_i))$ is contained in a top of $\Gamma_2(V)$, i.e.

$$f_2(\mathcal{T}^c(Z_i)) \subset \mathcal{T}(Z'_i)$$

for a certain 3-dimensional subspace $Z'_i \subset V$. Every 1-dimensional subspace $P \subset Z_i$ is contained in a certain $Y \in \mathcal{T}^c(Z_i)$ and

$$g_1(P) \subset f_2(Y) \subset Z'_i$$

which means that $g_1(\mathcal{G}_1(Z_i))$ is contained in $\mathcal{G}_1(Z'_i)$. Since g_1 is bijective,

$$g_1(\mathcal{G}_1(Z_i)) = \mathcal{G}_1(Z_i')$$

and $Z'_1 \neq Z'_2$. Recall that $X = Z_1 \cap Z_2$. This implies that g_1 sends $\mathcal{G}_1(X)$ to $\mathcal{G}_1(X')$, where $X' = Z'_1 \cap Z'_2$.

So, g_1 is induced by a semilinear automorphism l of V. Then f_2 also is induced by l and the statement is proved for k = 2. Consider the case when $k \ge 3$.

Lemma 6. If $X \in \mathcal{C}(n,k)_q$ contains $P \in \mathcal{C}(n,1)_q$, then

$$f(X) = l(X).$$

Proof. Let Q be a 1-dimensional subspace of X. Consider a sequence

$$X_2 \subset \cdots \subset X_{k-1} \subset X_k = X,$$

where every X_i is an *i*-dimensional subspace and $X_2 = P + Q$. Then $X_i \in \mathcal{C}(n, i)$ for every $i \in \{2, \ldots, k\}$ and

$$f_{i-1}(X_{i-1}) \subset f_i(X_i)$$

if $i \geq 3$. This implies that

$$g_1(Q) \subset f_2(P+Q) \subset f(X).$$

Since g_1 is bijective, we have

$$g_1(\mathcal{G}_1(X)) = \mathcal{G}_1(f(X)).$$

On the other hand, g_1 is induced by l and

$$g_1(\mathcal{G}_1(X)) = \mathcal{G}_1(l(X))$$

which gives the claim.

Let X be a (k-1)-dimensional subspace of V. There exist $X_1, X_2 \in \mathcal{C}(n,1)_q$ such that

$$Z_1 = X + X_1$$
 and $Z_2 = X + X_2$

are distinct elements of $\mathcal{C}(n,k)_q$. Indeed, the sum of

 $P_1 = \mathbb{F}(1,\ldots,1), P_2 = \mathbb{F}(\alpha,1,\ldots,1), \ldots, P_{k+1} = \mathbb{F}(\underbrace{\alpha,\ldots,\alpha}_k,1,\ldots,1)$

with $\alpha \neq 0, 1$ is a (k+1)-dimensional subspace which implies that there are at least two distinct $X + P_i$. We have

 $l(X) = l(Z_1) \cap l(Z_2) = f(Z_1) \cap f(Z_2) = g_{k-1}(X).$

Therefore, g_{k-1} is induced by l. On the other hand,

$$g_{k-1}(\mathcal{G}_{k-1}(Y)) \subset \mathcal{G}_{k-1}(f(Y))$$

for every $Y \in \mathcal{C}(n,k)_q$ and we obtain that f(Y) = l(Y). So, f is induced by l.

6. Proof of Theorem 1. The case $q=2, k \ge 3$

Suppose that q = 2. In this case, $C(n, 1)_2$ consists of one element, the 1-dimensional subspace containing the vector $(1, \ldots, 1)$. By the statement (ii) of Proposition 1, for a 1-dimensional subspace $P \subset V$ the set $S^c(P)$ is a star of $\Gamma(n, 2)_2$ if and only if the weight of P (the number of non-zero coordinates of the non-zero vector belonging to P) is greater than 2. Let $\mathcal{G}'_1(V)$ be the set of all such 1-dimensional subspaces of V. By Lemma 2, there is a map

$$g_1: \mathcal{G}_1'(V) \to \mathcal{G}_1(V)$$

such that

(3)
$$f_2(\mathcal{S}^c(P)) \subset \mathcal{S}(g_1(P))$$

for every $P \in \mathcal{G}'_1(V)$.

Lemma 7. If $k \geq 3$, then g_1 is injective.

Proof. Let T be the 1-dimensional subspace containing the vector $(1, \ldots, 1)$, i.e. the unique element of $\mathcal{C}(n, 1)_2$. Then $f_2(\mathcal{S}(T))$ is a star of $\Gamma_2(V)$. If $g_1(T) = g_1(P)$ for a certain $P \in \mathcal{G}'_1(V)$ distinct from T, then $f_2(\mathcal{S}(P))$ is contained in $f_2(\mathcal{S}(T))$ which contradicts the injectivity of f_2 .

Let P and Q be distinct elements of $\mathcal{G}'_1(V)$ different from T. If T is not contained in P+Q, then we establish that $g_1(P) \neq g_1(Q)$ as in the proof of Lemma 3. Suppose that $T \subset P+Q$ and i is the weight of P. First, we consider the case when P contains the vector

$$(4) \qquad \qquad \underbrace{(1,\ldots,1}_{i},0,\ldots,0)$$

Then Q contains the vector

(5)
$$(\underbrace{0,\ldots,0}_{i},1,\ldots,1).$$

Since P and Q belong to $\mathcal{G}'_1(V)$, the both i and n-i are not less than 3. Let P' and Q' be the 1-dimensional subspaces containing the vectors

$$(0, 1, \ldots, 1)$$
 and $(1, \ldots, 1, 0)$,

respectively. The 2-dimensional subspaces

$$X = P + P', \ Y = P' + Q', \ Z = Q + Q'$$

belong to $\mathcal{C}(n,2)_2$ and

 $f_2(X) = g_1(P) + g_1(P'), \ f_2(Y) = g_1(P') + g_1(Q'), \ f_2(Z) = g_1(Q) + g_1(Q').$ Observe that X + Y and Y + Z are distinct elements of $\mathcal{C}(n, 3)_2^{-1}$ and

$$f_3(X+Y) = f_2(X) + f_2(Y) = g_1(P) + g_1(P') + g_1(Q'),$$

$$f_3(Y+Z) = f_2(Y) + f_2(Z) = g_1(Q) + g_1(P') + g_1(Q').$$

If $g_1(P) = g_1(Q)$, then

$$f_3(X+Y) = f_3(Y+Z)$$

which is impossible. In the general case, there is a monomial linear automorphism l of V transferring (4) and (5) to the non-zero vectors belonging to P and Q, respectively. Applying the above arguments to the 2-dimensional subspaces

$$P + l(P'), \ l(P') + l(Q'), \ Q + l(Q')$$

we show that $g_1(P) \neq g_1(Q)$.

From this moment, we suppose that $k \geq 3$. We extend g_1 to a transformation of $\mathcal{G}_1(V)$. Let P be a 1-dimensional subspace of V which does not belong to $\mathcal{G}'_1(V)$. This is equivalent to the fact that the weight of P is not greater than 2. In the case when P is of weight 2, there are precisely two elements of $\mathcal{C}(n, 2)_2$ containing P (Remark 3). If X and Y are such elements of $\mathcal{C}(n, 2)_2$, then $f_2(X), f_2(Y)$ are adjacent and we define $g_1(P)$ as their intersection. In the case when the weight of P is 1, the 2-dimensional subspace X spanned by P and the vector $(1, \ldots, 1)$ is the unique element of $\mathcal{C}(n, 2)_2$ containing P. Let P' and P'' be the 1-dimensional subspaces of X distinct from P. They belong to $\mathcal{G}'_1(V)$ and $g_1(P') \neq g_1(P'')$.

¹Note that X + Y and Y + Z are distinct elements of $\mathcal{C}(n, 3)_2$ even if the both *i* and n - i are not less than 2. This fact will be used to proof Lemma 8.

We define $g_1(P)$ as the 1-dimensional subspace of $f_2(X)$ distinct from $g_1(P')$ and $g_1(P'')$.

So, we obtain a transformation g_1 of $\mathcal{G}_1(V)$ such that (3) holds for every 1-dimensional subspace $P \subset V$ and

$$g_1(\mathcal{G}_1(X)) \subset \mathcal{G}_1(f_2(X))$$

for every $X \in \mathcal{C}(n, 2)_2$.

Lemma 8. The extended transformation g_1 is bijective.

Proof. As in the proof of Lemma 7, we suppose that T is the 1-dimensional subspace containing the vector $(1, \ldots, 1)$. For every 1-dimensional subspace P of weight 1 we have $g_1(P) \neq g_1(T)$ by the definition. If P is a 1-dimensional subspace of weight 2 and $g_1(P) = g_1(T)$, then $f_2(\mathcal{S}(P))$ is contained in $f_2(\mathcal{S}(T))$ which is impossible.

Let P and Q be distinct 1-dimensional subspaces of V different from T and such that the weight of at least one of them is not greater than 2. In the case when $T \not\subset P+Q$, we use reasonings from the proof of Lemma 3. Suppose that $T \subset P+Q$. If one of P, Q is of weight 1, then $g_1(P) \neq g_1(Q)$ by the definition. For the case when the weights of P and Q are not less than 2 we apply arguments used in the proof of Lemma 7.

As above, we suppose that $k \geq 3$ and prove the direct analogue of Lemma 5.

Lemma 9. The bijective transformation g_1 sends lines to lines.

Proof. If $X \in \mathcal{C}(n,2)_2$, then

$$g_1(\mathcal{G}_1(X)) = \mathcal{G}_1(f_2(X)).$$

Consider a 2-dimensional subspace $X \subset V$ which does not belong to $\mathcal{C}(n, 2)_2$. Let Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 be the 1-dimensional subspaces of X.

For every 1-dimensional subspace $P \subset V$ we denote by $\mathcal{N}(P)$ the set of all indices $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that the *i*-coordinate of the non-zero vector of P is zero. The weight of P is equal to $n - |\mathcal{N}(P)|$. Since X does not belong to $\mathcal{C}(n, 2)_2$,

$$\mathcal{N}(Q_1) \cap \mathcal{N}(Q_2) \cap \mathcal{N}(Q_3) \neq \emptyset.$$

If $t \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $\{1, 2, 3\} \setminus \{t\} = \{i, j\}$, then

$$\mathcal{N}(Q_t) = (\mathcal{N}(Q_i) \cap \mathcal{N}(Q_j)) \cup (\mathcal{N}(Q_i) \cup \mathcal{N}(Q_j))^c$$

(for $I \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$ we denote by I^c the complement $\{1, \ldots, n\} \setminus I$). Therefore, if there are distinct $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ such that

(6)
$$\mathcal{N}(Q_i) \not\subset \mathcal{N}(Q_j), \ \mathcal{N}(Q_i) \not\subset \mathcal{N}(Q_i) \text{ and } \mathcal{N}(Q_i) \cup \mathcal{N}(Q_j) \neq \{1, \dots, n\}$$

then the same holds for any pair $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. If for some distinct $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ we have

 $\mathcal{N}(Q_i) \cup \mathcal{N}(Q_j) = \{1, \dots, n\}$

and $\{1, 2, 3\} \setminus \{i, j\} = \{t\}$, then

(7)

$$\mathcal{N}(Q_t) = \mathcal{N}(Q_i) \cap \mathcal{N}(Q_j).$$

Conversely, if $\mathcal{N}(Q_t) \subset \mathcal{N}(Q_i)$ for some distinct $i, t \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, then (7) holds for $j \in \{1, 2, 3\} \setminus \{i, t\}$. So, one of the following two possibilities is realized:

- (i) (6) holds for any pair $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$;
- (ii) there are distinct $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ satisfying (7).

The case (i). The sets \mathbf{T}

 $(N(Q_1) \cup N(Q_2))^c$, $(N(Q_1) \cup N(Q_3))^c$, $(N(Q_2) \cup N(Q_3))^c$

are non-empty. Also, they are mutually disjoint (otherwise, there is $s \in \{1, ..., n\}$ such that the *s*-coordinate of the non-zero vector of Q_i is non-zero for every $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ which is impossible). Without loss of generality we can assume that

$$3 \notin N(Q_1) \cup N(Q_2), \ 2 \notin N(Q_1) \cup N(Q_3), \ 1 \notin N(Q_2) \cup N(Q_3)$$

Let P, P_1, P_2, P_3 be the 1-dimensional subspaces of V containing the vectors

$$(1,\ldots,1), (0,1,\ldots,1), (1,0,1,\ldots,1), (1,1,0,1,\ldots,1),$$

respectively. Then

$$Z = P + X$$
 and $Z_i = P_i + X, i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$

belong to $\mathcal{C}(n,3)_2$; furthermore, we have the following:

- $P + Q_i$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}(n, 2)_2$ for every $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$;
- $P_j + Q_i$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}(n, 2)_2$ if and only if $i \neq j$.

This implies that

(8)
$$g_1(Q_i) \subset f_2(P+Q_i) \subset f_3(Z), i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$$

and

(9)
$$g_1(Q_i) \subset f_2(P_j + Q_i) \subset f_3(Z_j), \quad i \neq j.$$

We assert that $Z \neq Z_i$ for every $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Suppose, for example, that $Z = Z_1$. Then $P \subset Z_1$. Since $P_1 + Q_1$ does not belong to $\mathcal{C}(n, 2)_2$, P is contained in $P_1 + Q_2$ or $P_1 + Q_3$. If $P \subset P_1 + Q_2$, then Q_2 contains the vector e_1 . Recall that $1 \notin \mathcal{N}(Q_3)$ and, consequently,

$$\mathcal{N}(Q_3) \subset \{2, \dots, n\} = \mathcal{N}(Q_2)$$

which contradicts our assumption. Similarly, we show that $P \not\subset P_1 + Q_3$, a contradiction.

We cannot state that $Z_i \neq Z_j$ for distinct i, j. Therefore, the subset of $\mathcal{C}(n, 3)_2$ formed by Z and all $Z_i, i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ is 2-element or it is contained in a star of $\Gamma(n, 3)_2$. Since f_3 sends stars of $\Gamma(n, 3)_2$ to subsets in stars of $\Gamma_3(V)$, for each of these cases the intersection of $f_3(Z)$ and all $f_3(Z_i)$ is a certain 2-dimensional subspace X'. By (8) and (9), every $g_1(Q_i)$ is contained in $f_3(Z)$ and $f_3(Z_j)$ if $j \neq i$ which means that $g_1(Q_i) \subset X'$. So, g_1 sends $\mathcal{G}_1(X)$ to $\mathcal{G}_1(X')$.

The case (ii). Without loss of generality we assume that

$$\mathcal{N}(Q_1) \cup \mathcal{N}(Q_2) = \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

As above, P is the 1-dimensional subspace containing the vector $(1, \ldots, 1)$ and Z = P + X. Let Q'_i , $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ be the 1-dimensional subspace of $P + Q_i$ distinct from P and Q_i . Then

$$\mathcal{N}(Q_i) = \mathcal{N}(Q_i)^{\alpha}$$

and

$$\mathcal{N}(Q_1') \cap \mathcal{N}(Q_2') = (\mathcal{N}(Q_1) \cup \mathcal{N}(Q_2))^c = \emptyset.$$

The latter implies that $Q'_1 + Q'_2$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}(n, 2)_2$. It follows from our assumption that

$$\mathcal{N}(Q_3) = \mathcal{N}(Q_1) \cap \mathcal{N}(Q_2)$$

and, consequently,

$$\mathcal{N}(Q'_3) = \mathcal{N}(Q'_1) \cup \mathcal{N}(Q'_2).$$

Then

$$\mathcal{N}(Q_1) \cap \mathcal{N}(Q_2') \cap \mathcal{N}(Q_3') = \mathcal{N}(Q_1) \cap \mathcal{N}(Q_2)^c \neq \emptyset$$

and

$$\mathcal{N}(Q_2) \cap \mathcal{N}(Q_1') \cap \mathcal{N}(Q_3') = \mathcal{N}(Q_2) \cap \mathcal{N}(Q_1)^c \neq \emptyset$$

which shows that $Q_1 + Q'_2$ and $Q'_1 + Q_2$ do not belong to $\mathcal{C}(n, 2)_2$. So, Z contains precisely four elements of $\mathcal{C}(n, 2)_2$:

$$P + Q_i, i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$$
 and $Q'_1 + Q'_2$.

The isomorphism f_2 transfers them to elements of $\mathcal{C}(n,2)_2$ contained in $f_3(Z)$. Observe that $g_1(P)$ is contained in all $f_2(P+Q_i)$ and $f_2(Q'_1+Q'_2)$ intersects

$$f_2(P+Q_1), f_2(P+Q_2), f_2(P+Q_3)$$

in $g(Q'_1), g(Q'_2), g(Q_3)$, respectively. This implies that $g_1(Q_i), i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ form a line of the projective space associated to V, see Fig.1.



So, g_1 is induced by a semilinear automorphism l of V. Since

$$g_1(\mathcal{G}_1(X)) = \mathcal{G}_1(f_2(X))$$

for every $X \in \mathcal{C}(n, 2)_2$, f_2 is also induced by l.

Lemma 10. If $X \in C(n, k)_2$ contains (1, ..., 1) or $v_i = (1, ..., 1) - e_i$, then

$$f(X) = l(X).$$

Proof. If X contains $(1, \ldots, 1)$, then we use arguments from the proof of Lemma 6.

Suppose that X contains v_i . Since X belongs to $\mathcal{C}(n,k)_2$, $X \cap C_i$ is a hyperplane of X. For every vector $x \in X \setminus C_i$ the 2-dimensional subspace Y containing x and v_i belongs to $\mathcal{C}(n,2)_2$. We consider a sequence of subspaces

$$Y = Y_2 \subset \cdots \subset Y_{k-1} \subset Y_k = Y,$$

where $Y_i \in \mathcal{C}(n,i)_2$ for every $i \in \{2,\ldots,k\}$, and establish that $l(Y) \subset f(X)$. Therefore,

$$l(X \setminus C_i) \subset f(X)$$

which gives the claim.

Denote by $\mathcal{G}'_{k-1}(V)$ the set of all (k-1)-dimensional subspaces $X \subset V$ satisfying

$$c(X) \le n - k - 1.$$

The statement (ii) of Proposition 1 says that $\mathcal{S}^{c}(X)$ is a star of $\Gamma(n,k)_{2}$ if and only if X belongs to $\mathcal{G}'_{k-1}(V)$. By Lemma 2, there is a map

$$g_{k-1}: \mathcal{G}'_{k-1}(V) \to \mathcal{G}_{k-1}(V)$$

such that

$$f(\mathcal{S}^c(X)) \subset \mathcal{S}(g_{k-1}(X))$$

for every $X \in \mathcal{G}'_{k-1}(V)$. Note that g_{k-1} is an extension of f_{k-1} .

Let $\mathcal{G}_{k-1}''(V)$ be the set formed all (k-1)-dimensional subspaces $X \subset V$ such that

$$c(X) \le n - k.$$

If a (k-1)-dimensional subspace $X \subset V$ does not belong to $\mathcal{G}_{k-1}''(V)$, then c(X) = n-k+1 which implies that X is spanned by some $e_{i_1}, \ldots, e_{i_{k-1}}$.

Now, we extend g_{k-1} to a map of $\mathcal{G}_{k-1}''(V)$. By Remark 3, for a (k-1)-dimensional subspace $X \subset V$ satisfying c(X) = n - k there are precisely two elements of $\mathcal{C}(n,k)_2$ containing X. If Y and Y' are such elements of $\mathcal{C}(n,k)_2$, then f(Y), f(Y') are adjacent and we define $g_{k-1}(X)$ as their intersection.

Lemma 11. For every $X \in \mathcal{G}_{k-1}''(V)$ there exist $Y, Y' \in \mathcal{C}(n,k)_2$ such that $X = Y \cap Y'$ and each of Y, Y' contains $(1, \ldots, 1)$ or v_i (v_i is as in Lemma 10).

Proof. If X contains $(1, \ldots, 1)$ or v_i , then any two distinct k-dimensional subspaces containing X are as required.

Suppose that $(1, \ldots, 1)$ and all v_i do not belong to X. Let I be the set of all indices $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that X contains a vector whose *i*-coordinate is non-zero. Since $c(X) \leq n - k$, this set contains at least k indices. For every $i \in I$ we denote by Y_i the subspace containing X and v_i . Let also Y be the subspace containing X and $(1, \ldots, 1)$. By our assumption, Y and all Y_i are k-dimensional. Then these subspaces belong to $C(n, k)_2$.

We assert that $Y_i \neq Y$ for a certain $i \in I$. Indeed, if $Y = Y_i$ for all $i \in I$, then Y contains the vector $(1, \ldots, 1) - v_i = e_i$ for every $i \in I$. Since $|I| \geq k$, the subspace Y is spanned by some e_{i_1}, \ldots, e_{i_k} which contradicts the fact that $(1, \ldots, 1)$ belongs to Y.

Let $X \in \mathcal{G}_{k-1}''(V)$ and let $Y, Y' \in \mathcal{C}(n, k)_2$ be as in Lemma 11. Then

$$f(Y) = l(Y), \ f(Y') = l(Y')$$

(by Lemma 10) and

$$g_{k-1}(X) = f(Y) \cap f(Y') = l(Y) \cap l(Y') = l(Y \cap Y') = l(Y),$$

i.e. g_{k-1} is induced by l.

Every $X \in \mathcal{C}(n,k)_2$ contains two distinct $Y, Y' \in \mathcal{G}''_{k-1}(V)$ (otherwise there are distinct (k-1)-dimensional subspaces $Z, Z' \subset X$ satisfying c(Z) = c(Z') = n-k+1 which is impossible). Since

$$g_{k-1}(Y) = l(Y)$$
 and $g_{k-1}(Y') = l(Y')$

are distinct (k-1)-dimensional subspaces of f(X), we have

$$f(X) = l(Y) + l(Y') = l(Y + Y') = l(X)$$

So, f is induced by l.

7. Proof of Theorem 1. The case q = 2, k = 2

For a non-empty subset $I \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$ we denote by P_I the 1-dimensional subspace of V containing the vector whose *i*-coordinate is 1 for every $i \in I$ and is 0 if $i \notin I$. Let H be the (n-1)-dimensional subspace spanned by all P_I , where I is an (n-1)-element subset of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ containing n (there are precisely n-1 such subsets). We decompose $\mathcal{C}(n, 2)_2$ in three subsets $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}$ as follows: \mathcal{A} consists of all elements of $\mathcal{C}(n, 2)_2$ containing $P_{\{1, \ldots, n\}}$, \mathcal{B} is formed by all elements contained in H and

$$\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}(n,2)_2 \setminus (\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}).$$

Consider $X \in \mathcal{C}$. Then X intersects H in a 1-dimensional subspace and we suppose that P_I, P_J are the remaining two 1-dimensional subspaces of H. Then

(10)
$$I \cup J = \{1, \dots, n\}$$

and $P_{(I\cap J)^c}$ is the 1-dimensional subspace of X containing in H (for every $S \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$ we write S^c for the complement of S in $\{1, \ldots, n\}$). Then P_{I^c}, P_{J^c} are contained in H. Indeed, the 2-dimensional subspaces

$$P_I + P_{I^c}, P_J + P_{J^c}$$

both contain $P_{\{1,\ldots,n\}}$ and each of the 1-dimensional subspaces $P_{\{1,\ldots,n\}}$, P_I , P_J is not in H. Denote by X^c the 2-dimensional subspace of V containing P_{I^c} and P_{J^c} . It is clear that $X^c \subset H$. By (10), we have $I^c \cap J^c = \emptyset$. This implies that the third 1-dimensional subspace of X^c (distinct from P_{I^c}, P_{J^c}) is $P_{(I \cap J)^c}$ and X^c does not belong to $\mathcal{C}(n, 2)_2$.

Let h be the map of $\mathcal{C}(n,2)_2$ to $\mathcal{G}_2(V)$ which leaves fixed every element of $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$ and sends every $X \in \mathcal{C}$ to X^c . Since X^c does not belong to $\mathcal{C}(n,2)_2$ for each $X \in \mathcal{C}$, this map is injective. Consider the restriction of $\Gamma_2(V)$ to the image of h. Removing from this restriction all edges connecting h(X) and h(Y) if $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}(n,2)_2$ are not connected by an edge in $\Gamma(n,2)_2$, we obtain a subgraph of $\Gamma_2(V)$ isomorphic to $\Gamma(n,2)_2$.

For every non-empty subset $I \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$ precisely one of the 1-dimensional subspaces P_I, P_{I^c} is contained in H (indeed, $P_{\{1,\ldots,n\}}$ is contained in $P_I + P_{I^c}$ and not in H). The 2-dimensional subspaces

$$X = P_{\{1,2\}} + P_{\{2,...,n\}}$$
 and $Y = P_{\{3,...,n\}} + P_{\{1,2,3\}}$

are non-adjacent elements of $\mathcal{C}(n,2)_2$, but h(X) and h(Y) are adjacent. So, h is adjacency preserving only in one direction which means that h cannot be extended to an automorphism of $\Gamma_2(V)$.

References

- [1] W. L. Chow, On the geometry of algebraic homogeneous spaces, Ann. Math. 50(1949), 32-67.
- [2] M. Kwiatkowski, M. Pankov, On the distance between linear codes, Finite Fields Appl. 39(2016), 251-263.
- [3] M. Kwiatkowski, M. Pankov, Chow's theorem for linear codes, Finite Fields Appl. 46(2017), 147-162.
- [4] M. Tsfasman, S. Vlăduţ, D. Nogin, Algebraic Geometry Codes. Basic notions, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 2007.

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF WARMIA AND MAZURY, SLONECZNA 54, OLSZTYN, POLAND Email address: pankov@matman.uwm.edu.pl