

TRIANGULAR PRISM EQUATIONS AND CATEGORIFICATION

ZHENGWEI LIU, SEBASTIEN PALCOUX, AND YUNXIANG REN

ABSTRACT. We introduce the triangular prism equations for fusion categories, which turn out to be equivalent to the pentagon equations in the spherical case (up to a change of basis), but provide insight to manage the complexity by localization. We also prove a conjecture of Z. Wang about the second Frobenius-Schur indicator on pivotal fusion categories. As applications, we provide new categorification criteria and complete the classification of the unitary simple integral fusion categories of Frobenius type up to rank 8 and FPdim 4080.

1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of *fusion ring* was introduced by Lusztig in [20] (where it is called *based ring*), see also [6]. Its categorical analogous, called *fusion category*, is studied in a systematic and unified way by Etingof, Nikshych and Ostrik in [4]. The categorical analogous of the associativity is called the pentagon equations (PE) in [21]. The *Grothendieck ring* of a fusion category is a fusion ring (qualified as *categorifiable*). Conversely, the (possible) categorification of a fusion ring is given by a set of F-symbols (a quantum analogue of 6j-symbols of $SU(2)$) which satisfy the PE (see [2]). One of the main problems of the subject is to decide which fusion rings are categorifiable. In principle, it is equivalent to solve the PE, but in practice it is too difficult. We usually avoid to solve F-symbols while studying fusion categories. Most fusion categories are constructed using other approaches and their F-symbols remain unknown. Indeed, it is important to compute F-symbols explicitly. For example, from a spherical fusion category \mathcal{C} , there is a 3-manifold invariant called Turaev-Viro 3D topological quantum field theory (TQFT) [29]: 1-cells are the simple objects; 2-cells are the morphisms; the value of 3-cells, namely *tetrahedra*, are F-symbols. The pentagon equation corresponds to the 3-cocycle condition. The spherical categorification of a fusion ring is equivalent to the construction of this TQFT, equivalent to solve PE with variables given by spherically invariant F-symbols. The PE have *basically* the following form

$$(PE) \quad \sum_m \star\star = \sum_s \sum_m \star\star\star,$$

where \star represents a F-symbol, \sum_s is over all simple objects and \sum_m is over the basis of some hom-spaces.



The smallest planar trivalent graphs are the tetrahedral and the triangular prism graphs, depicted above. We introduce a monoidal category version of them in §5 and §6, labeled with morphisms, and prove that they keep their usual symmetries (under some additional assumptions) in §8, using an oriented graphical calculus introduced in §7. In a fusion category, such a triangular prism can be evaluated using such tetrahedra, in two different ways, which provides the triangular prism equations (TPE) introduced in this paper. This idea already appeared in graph theory, see [28, Figure 4]. The tetrahedra represent the F-symbols, and plays the role of variables in these equations. However, there are too many variables and equations to solve in general. The complexity to find a Gröbner basis of pentagon equations could already grow double exponentially. We show in §12 that PE is equivalent to TPE, which turns out to be a change of basis providing insight to handle the localization strategy written in §9.

A first step in the localization strategy (involving TPE insight) is realized as a new criterion in §10; this required beforehand to prove in §4 the odd case of Wang's conjecture [31, Conjecture 4.26] about the second Frobenius-Schur indicator ν_2 (we provide counterexamples for the even case in §15.1):

Theorem 1.1. *Let \mathcal{C} be a pivotal fusion category. Let X, Y be objects in \mathcal{C} such that Y is simple, $Y \simeq Y^*$ and $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X^* \otimes X, Y)$ is odd-dimensional. Then $\nu_2(Y) = 1$.*

The use of PE with *small spectrum* in §13 also provides two new general categorification criteria (over any field), called zero and one spectrum criteria.

Recently in [19], Wu and two authors applied the Schur product theorem of subfactors ([15, Theorem 4.1]) as an efficient unitary categorification criterion called *Schur Product Criterion* and classified all the simple integral fusion

rings of Frobenius type with the following bounds (and $\text{FPdim} \neq p^a q^b, pqr$, by [5]):

rank	≤ 5	6	7	8	9	10	all
$\text{FPdim} <$	1000000	150000	15000	4080	504	240	132

There are exactly 34 (non-pointed) ones, 4 of which being group-like and 28 of which being ruled out by Schur Product Criterion. It is asked in [19, Question 1.1] whether the remaining two (denoted \mathcal{F}_{210} and \mathcal{F}_{660}) admit a unitary categorification. This paper answers this question negatively as an application in §14, more precisely, the use of the localization criterion (from §10) leads to the exclusion of any categorification of \mathcal{F}_{210} in characteristic 0 (and also in positive characteristic in the pivotal case, using the lifting theory), whereas using the small-spectrum criteria (from §13), we get that \mathcal{F}_{660} admits no categorification at all over any field (of any characteristic). We deduce:

Theorem 1.2. *A non-pointed unitary simple integral fusion category of Frobenius type with the above bounds is Grothendieck equivalent to $\text{Rep}(\text{PSL}(2, q))$ with $4 \leq q \leq 11$ prime-power.*

Let us mention here a conceptual reason why every usual criterion cannot exclude \mathcal{F}_{210} . There is a global understanding of the character table of $\text{Rep}(\text{PSL}(2, q))$, only depending on q prime-power (see for example [8, §5.2] or [3, §12.5]). This table can be interpolated to every integer $q > 1$, and by applying the Schur orthogonality relations, we get an infinite family of simple integral fusion rings (simple for $q \geq 4$), equal to the Grothendieck ring of $\text{Rep}(\text{PSL}(2, q))$ when q is a prime-power, but new otherwise and inheriting to all the good arithmetical properties, see [17]. The case $q = 6$ is precisely \mathcal{F}_{210} . Note that we expect the existence of such interpolated simple integral fusion rings for every family of finite simple groups of Lie type.

Kaplansky's 6th conjecture [14] states that for every finite dimensional semisimple Hopf algebra H over \mathbb{C} , the integral fusion category $\text{Rep}(H)$ is of Frobenius type (the extension to every fusion category over \mathbb{C} is also open). If in addition H has a $*$ -structure (i.e. is a Kac algebra), then $\text{Rep}(H)$ is unitary. For a *first step* in the proof of this conjecture, see [13, Theorem 2]. We wish that the use of TPE will permit to find a unitary simple integral fusion category of Frobenius type which is not group-like (in particular in the interpolated family mentioned above).

An upcoming paper [18] uses TPE to get a slight variation of Izumi's equations for the near-group categories $G + |G|$, by a purely categorical approach, including the non-unitary case, and allowing us to get the exact solutions for $C_n + n$ up to $n = 15$.

Acknowledgements. *The authors would like to thank Yilong Wang and Tinhinane A. Azzouz for helpful discussions. The first author is supported by Grant 04200100122 from Tsinghua University, Grant TRT 0159 from Templeton Religion Trust and 2020YFA0713000 from NKPs. The second author is supported by BIMSA Start-up Research Fund and Foreign Youth Talent Program from the Ministry of Sciences and Technology of China (Grant QN2021001001L). The third author is supported by Grant TRT 0159, ARO Grants W911NF-19-1-0302 and W911NF-20-1-0082.*

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries on monoidal categories	3
3. Preliminaries on fusion categories	7
4. Proof of Wang's conjecture	9
5. Monoidal tetrahedron	10
6. Monoidal triangular prism and equation	12
7. Oriented graphical calculus on pivotal fusion categories	15
8. Oriented monoidal tetrahedron and spherical TPE	16
9. Localization Strategy	21
10. Categorification Criteria from Localization	22
11. Pentagon Equations	24
12. TPE versus PE	25
13. Zero and One Spectrum Criteria	27
14. Applications	29
14.1. Fusion Ring \mathcal{F}_{210}	29
14.2. Fusion Ring \mathcal{F}_{660}	31
15. Appendix	31
15.1. Counterexamples to Wang's Conjecture in Even Case	31
15.2. TwoParallel Code	32
References	32

2. PRELIMINARIES ON MONOIDAL CATEGORIES

Let us recall some basic notions from monoidal categories theory (we refer to [6]) and graphical calculus. Let \mathcal{C} be a monoidal category with unit object $\mathbf{1}$. A *left dual* of an object X in \mathcal{C} is the data of an object X^* together with two maps $ev_X : X^* \otimes X \rightarrow \mathbf{1}$ and $coev_X : \mathbf{1} \rightarrow X \otimes X^*$, called the evaluation and coevaluation maps, with pictorial representations

$$ev_X = \begin{array}{c} \cup \\ \text{---} \\ X \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad coev_X = \begin{array}{c} \cap \\ \text{---} \\ X \end{array}$$

and satisfying the zigzag relations

$$\begin{array}{c} X \\ \cup \\ \text{---} \\ X \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} X \\ | \\ \text{---} \\ X \end{array} = id_X \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{c} X^* \\ \cap \\ \text{---} \\ X^* \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} X^* \\ | \\ \text{---} \\ X^* \end{array} = id_{X^*}.$$

Let X, Y be two objects in \mathcal{C} with left duals. The left dual of a morphism f in $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$ is defined as

$$f^* := \begin{array}{c} Y^* \\ \cup \\ \text{---} \\ X^* \end{array} \in \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y^*, X^*).$$

Observe by zigzag relations that $(id_X)^* = id_{X^*}$, $(f \circ g)^* = g^* \circ f^*$, and if f is an isomorphism, $(f^{-1})^* = (f^*)^{-1}$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let \mathcal{C} be a monoidal category. Let X, Y be two objects in \mathcal{C} with left duals. Let f be a morphism in $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$. Then*

$$\begin{array}{c} X \\ \cup \\ \text{---} \\ Y \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ X^* \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ Y \end{array} \begin{array}{c} Y^* \\ \cap \\ \text{---} \\ X^* \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{c} Y^* \\ \cup \\ \text{---} \\ X^* \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ X \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ Y^* \end{array} \begin{array}{c} X \\ \cap \\ \text{---} \\ Y \end{array}$$

Proof. Apply one zigzag relation (colored below) and the definition of f^* :

$$\begin{array}{c} X \\ \cup \\ \text{---} \\ Y \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ X^* \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ Y \end{array} \begin{array}{c} Y^* \\ \cap \\ \text{---} \\ X^* \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} X \\ \cup \\ \text{---} \\ Y \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ X^* \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ Y \end{array} \begin{array}{c} Y^* \\ \cap \\ \text{---} \\ X^* \end{array}$$

The second equality can be proved similarly. □

Lemma 2.2. *Let \mathcal{C} be a monoidal category with left duals. Then $(ev_X)^* = coev_{X^*}$ and $(coev_X)^* = ev_{X^*}$.*

Proof. Recall that we can take $\mathbf{1}^* = \mathbf{1}$ and $(X \otimes Y)^* = Y^* \otimes X^*$, so by a zigzag relation:

$$(ev_X)^* = \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{1} \\ \cup \\ \text{---} \\ X^* \otimes X^* \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ X^* \otimes X^* \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ X^* \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ X^* \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ X^* \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ X^* \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ X^* \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ X^* \end{array} = coev_{X^*}.$$

The second equality has a similar proof. □

Observe that if $f^{**} := (f^*)^*$, then $(ev_X)^{**} = ev_{X^{**}}$ and $(coev_X)^{**} = coev_{X^{**}}$.

Definition 2.3 (Pivotal). *A monoidal category \mathcal{C} with left duals is called pivotal if there is an isomorphism of monoidal functors between $id_{\mathcal{C}}$ and $(_)^{**}$, i.e. there is a collection of isomorphisms (pivotal structure) $a_X : X \rightarrow X^{**}$ such that for all object X, Y in \mathcal{C} and for all morphism f in $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$ then*

$$a_{X \otimes Y} = a_X \otimes a_Y \quad \text{and} \quad f = a_Y^{-1} \circ f^{**} \circ a_X,$$

which is depicted as follows

Recall that a pivotal monoidal category is *rigid*, i.e. its objects have left *and* right duals (where a *right dual* of an object X in a monoidal category \mathcal{C} is the data of an object $*X$ together with two maps $ev'_X : X \otimes *X \rightarrow \mathbf{1}$ and $coev'_X : \mathbf{1} \rightarrow *X \otimes X$ satisfying the zigzag relations).

Lemma 2.4. *Let \mathcal{C} be a monoidal category. Let X, Y be objects in \mathcal{C} . Let α be a morphism in $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, X^*)$ and let β be a morphism in $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, X)$. Then the following equality holds*

Moreover if \mathcal{C} is pivotal, with pivotal structure a , then

Proof. By zigzag relation

Now if \mathcal{C} is pivotal then $\beta^{**} = a_X \circ \beta$ (using that $a_1 = \text{id}_1$), so

Lemma 2.5. *Let \mathcal{C} be a pivotal monoidal category. Let a be the pivotal structure. Let X be an object in \mathcal{C} . Then*

Proof. By Lemma 2.2 $ev_{X^*}^{**} = ev_{X^{**}}$ and by pivotal structure $ev_{X^*}^{**} \circ a_{X^* \otimes X} = ev_X$ and $a_{X^* \otimes X} = a_{X^*} \otimes a_X$, so:

The proof of the second equality is similar. □

Lemma 2.6 ([6], Exercice 4.7.9). *Following Lemma 2.5, $a_X^* = a_{X^*}^{-1}$, so $a_X^{**} = a_{X^{**}}$ and $(a_X^{-1})^* = a_{X^*}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.5 and a zigzag relation:

$$a_X^* = \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \uparrow \\ \boxed{a_X} \\ \downarrow \\ \text{---} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \uparrow \\ \text{---} \\ \downarrow \\ \text{---} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \uparrow \\ \boxed{a_{X^*}^{-1}} \\ \downarrow \\ \text{---} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \uparrow \\ \boxed{a_{X^*}^{-1}} \\ \downarrow \\ \text{---} \end{array} = a_{X^*}^{-1}.$$

For the second equality, $a_X^{**} = (a_{X^*}^{-1})^* = (a_{X^*}^*)^{-1} = (a_{X^{**}}^{-1})^{-1} = a_{X^{**}}$. Finally, observe that if f is an isomorphism then $(f^*)^{-1} = (f^{-1})^*$, so the last equality follows from the first. \square

Definition 2.7 (Trace). *Let \mathcal{C} be a pivotal monoidal category. Let a be the pivotal structure. Let X be an object in \mathcal{C} . Let α be a morphism in $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, X)$. The trace of α according to a is defined as follows:*

$$\text{tr}_a(\alpha) := \begin{array}{c} X \\ \uparrow \\ \boxed{\alpha} \\ \downarrow \\ X \\ \uparrow \\ \boxed{a_X} \\ \downarrow \\ X^{**} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \uparrow \\ \text{---} \\ \downarrow \\ \text{---} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \uparrow \\ \text{---} \\ \downarrow \\ \text{---} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \uparrow \\ \text{---} \\ \downarrow \\ \text{---} \end{array}$$

Definition 2.8 (Dimension). *Following Definition 2.7, $\dim_a(X) := \text{tr}_a(\text{id}_X)$.*

When no confusion is possible, we will simply write tr and \dim (without a).

Lemma 2.9. *Following Definition 2.7, assume that X is simple and $\dim(X)$ is nonzero. Then*

$$\alpha = \dim(X)^{-1} \text{tr}(\alpha) \text{id}_X.$$

Proof. By Schur's lemma, $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, X)$ which is 1-dimensional, so there is $k \in \mathbb{k}$ such that $\alpha = k \text{id}_X$. Then

$$\text{tr}(\alpha) = k \text{tr}(\text{id}_X) = k \dim(X),$$

and so $k = \dim(X)^{-1} \text{tr}(\alpha)$. \square

Lemma 2.10. *Following Definition 2.7, the following equality holds:*

$$\text{tr}(\alpha^*) = \begin{array}{c} X^{**} \\ \uparrow \\ \boxed{a_X^{-1}} \\ \downarrow \\ X \\ \uparrow \\ \boxed{\alpha} \\ \downarrow \\ X \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \uparrow \\ \text{---} \\ \downarrow \\ \text{---} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \uparrow \\ \text{---} \\ \downarrow \\ \text{---} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \uparrow \\ \text{---} \\ \downarrow \\ \text{---} \end{array}$$

Proof. By zigzag relation and Lemma 2.5:

$$\text{tr}(\alpha^*) = \begin{array}{c} X^* \\ \uparrow \\ \boxed{\alpha} \\ \downarrow \\ X^{***} \\ \uparrow \\ \boxed{a_{X^*}^{-1}} \\ \downarrow \\ X^{**} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \uparrow \\ \text{---} \\ \downarrow \\ \text{---} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \uparrow \\ \text{---} \\ \downarrow \\ \text{---} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \uparrow \\ \text{---} \\ \downarrow \\ \text{---} \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} X^* \\ \uparrow \\ \boxed{\alpha} \\ \downarrow \\ X^* \\ \uparrow \\ \boxed{a_X^{-1}} \\ \downarrow \\ X \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \uparrow \\ \text{---} \\ \downarrow \\ \text{---} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \uparrow \\ \text{---} \\ \downarrow \\ \text{---} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \uparrow \\ \text{---} \\ \downarrow \\ \text{---} \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} X^{**} \\ \uparrow \\ \boxed{a_X^{-1}} \\ \downarrow \\ X \\ \uparrow \\ \boxed{\alpha} \\ \downarrow \\ X \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \uparrow \\ \text{---} \\ \downarrow \\ \text{---} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \uparrow \\ \text{---} \\ \downarrow \\ \text{---} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \uparrow \\ \text{---} \\ \downarrow \\ \text{---} \end{array} \quad \square$$

Definition 2.11 (Spherical). *A pivotal monoidal category \mathcal{C} is called spherical if for any object X in \mathcal{C} , and for any morphism α in $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, X)$, then $\text{tr}(\alpha) = \text{tr}(\alpha^*)$.*

Remark 2.12. *In particular, spherical implies that for any object X then $\dim(X) = \dim(X^*)$, because $\text{tr}(\text{id}_X) = \text{tr}(\text{id}_X^*) = \text{tr}(\text{id}_{X^*})$. The converse is true on a tensor category [6, Theorem 4.7.15], even assuming X simple.*

Lemma 2.13. *Let \mathcal{C} be a spherical monoidal category, with pivotal structure a . Let X, Y be objects in \mathcal{C} . Let α be a morphism in $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, Y^* \otimes X^*)$. Let β be a morphism in $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, X \otimes Y)$. Then*

$$\begin{array}{c} \boxed{\alpha} \\ \downarrow \\ Y^* \otimes X^* \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \boxed{\beta} \\ \downarrow \\ X \otimes Y \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \boxed{\alpha} \\ \downarrow \\ Y^* \otimes X^* \\ \uparrow \\ \boxed{a_{X^*}^2} \\ \downarrow \\ Y^* \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \boxed{\beta} \\ \downarrow \\ X \otimes Y \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \boxed{\alpha} \\ \downarrow \\ X^* \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \boxed{\beta} \\ \downarrow \\ X \otimes Y \\ \uparrow \\ \boxed{a_Y^2} \\ \downarrow \\ Y \end{array}$$

where $a^2 : \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow (_)^{****}$ is the natural isomorphism, square of the pivotal structure a .

Proof. By zigzag relations and $a_X \circ a_X^{-1} = \text{id}_X$:

By sphericity and Lemma 2.10 applied to the component in $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, X)$:

The first equality follows by applying Lemma 2.5 (several times). We get the third picture with a similar argument. \square

Definition 2.14 ([23], Definition 3.1). Let \mathcal{C} be a \mathbb{k} -linear pivotal monoidal category. Let a be the pivotal structure. Let X be an object in \mathcal{C} . Let $E_X^{(n)}$ be the \mathbb{k} -linear map from $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, X^{\otimes n})$ to itself (with $n \geq 1$) defined by

$$E_X^{(n)}(\alpha) := \begin{array}{c} \alpha \\ \downarrow \\ X \cdots X \\ \uparrow \\ a_X^{-1} \\ \downarrow \\ X \end{array}$$

The n -th Frobenius-Schur indicator of X is $\nu_n(X) := \text{Tr}(E_X^{(n)})$, where Tr is the matrix trace.

Note that if $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, X^{\otimes n})$ is one-dimensional then $E_X^{(n)}(\alpha) = \nu_n(X)\alpha$.

Proposition 2.15. Let \mathcal{C} be a \mathbb{k} -linear pivotal monoidal category. Let X be an object in \mathcal{C} such that $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, X^{\otimes n})$ is one-dimensional. Then $\nu_n(X)^n = 1$.

Proof. Let a be the pivotal structure. The idea is to evaluate $A := (E_X^{(n)})^{\circ n}(\alpha)$ in two different ways, with α a nonzero morphism in $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, X^{\otimes n})$. On one hand observe that $A = a_{X^{\otimes n}}^{-1} \circ \alpha^{**} = \alpha$ by pivotal structure, whereas on the other hand $A = \nu_n(X)^n \alpha$. Then $\alpha = \nu_n(X)^n \alpha$, so $\nu_n(X)^n = 1$. \square

Lemma 2.16. Let \mathcal{C} be a \mathbb{k} -linear pivotal monoidal category. Let a be the pivotal structure. Let X be an object in \mathcal{C} such that $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X \otimes X, \mathbf{1})$ is one-dimensional. Then for any morphism κ in $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, X^*)$, the following equality holds:

$$\text{ev}_{X^*} \circ (a_X \otimes \kappa) = \nu_2(X^*) \text{ev}_X \circ (\kappa \otimes \text{id}_X),$$

which is depicted as

Proof. Consider $Y := X^*$ and the morphism $\iota := \kappa^*$ in $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y^*, Y)$. Let $\alpha := (\text{id}_Y \otimes \iota) \circ \text{coev}_Y$ in $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, Y \otimes Y)$. Let us evaluate $E_Y^{(2)}(\alpha)$ by two ways. On one hand $E_Y^{(2)}(\alpha) = \nu_2(Y)\alpha$ because $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, Y \otimes Y)$ is one-dimensional. On the other hand, by zigzag relation

$$E_Y^{(2)}(\alpha) = \begin{array}{c} \alpha \\ \downarrow \\ Y^* \otimes Y^* \\ \uparrow \\ \iota \\ \downarrow \\ Y \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \iota \\ \downarrow \\ Y^* \\ \uparrow \\ a_Y^{-1} \\ \downarrow \\ Y \end{array} = (\iota \otimes a_Y^{-1}) \circ \text{coev}_{Y^*}.$$

Then $(\kappa^* \otimes a_X^{-1}) \circ \text{coev}_{X^{**}} = \nu_2(X^*)(\text{id}_{X^*} \otimes \kappa^*) \circ \text{coev}_{X^*}$, and by applying $(_)$ to this equality, together with Lemmas 2.2 and 2.6, the result follows. \square

Proposition 2.17. Let \mathcal{C} be a pivotal fusion category. Let a be the pivotal structure. Let X be a simple object in \mathcal{C} such that $X^* = X$. Then $a_X = \nu_2(X)\text{id}_X$. In particular, $a_X^2 = \text{id}_X$, where $a^2 : \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow (_)$ **** is the natural isomorphism, square of the pivotal structure a .

Proof. We can apply Lemma 2.16 with $\kappa = \text{id}_X$, moreover by Schur's lemma, $a_X = k_X \text{id}_X$ with $k_X \in \mathbb{k}$. Thus $k_X \text{ev}_X = \nu_2(X) \text{ev}_X$, and so $k_X = \nu_2(X)$ because ev_X is a nonzero morphism. Now, $a_X^2 = a_{X^{**}} \circ a_X$, but $X = X^* = X^{**}$, so $a_X^2 = \nu_2(X)^2 \text{id}_X = \text{id}_X$ by Proposition 2.15. \square

3. PRELIMINARIES ON FUSION CATEGORIES

This section proves some preliminary results requiring the monoidal categories to be fusion, about some bilinear forms and the resolution of identity.

Remark 3.1 (Conventions about the unit). *Let \mathcal{C} be a fusion category. Recall that $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbb{k} \text{id}_{\mathbf{1}}$. The morphism $\text{id}_{\mathbf{1}}$ will sometimes be identified with the scalar $k \in \mathbb{k}$. Moreover, any object isomorphic to $\mathbf{1}$ will be identified with $\mathbf{1}$ (i.e. $X \simeq \mathbf{1}$ implies $X = \mathbf{1}$, in this paper), in particular $\mathbf{1}^* = \mathbf{1}$.*

Lemma 3.2. *Let \mathcal{C} be a fusion category. Let Z be an object in \mathcal{C} . Consider the bilinear form*

$$b(\alpha, \beta) := \text{ev}_Z \circ (\alpha \otimes \beta) = \begin{array}{c} \boxed{\alpha} \quad \boxed{\beta} \\ \text{---} \quad \text{---} \\ \text{Z}^* \quad \text{Z} \\ \text{---} \end{array}$$

where $(\alpha, \beta) \in \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, Z^*) \times \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, Z)$. Then there are bases $(e'_i)_{i \in I}$ of $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, Z^*)$ and $(e_j)_{j \in I}$ of $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, Z)$ such that $b(e'_i, e_j) = \delta_{i,j}$, and for all $(\alpha, \beta) \in \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, Z^*) \times \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, Z)$, $\alpha = \sum_{i \in I} b(\alpha, e_i) e'_i$, $\beta = \sum_{i \in I} b(e_i, \beta) e_i$, and so

$$b(\alpha, \beta) = \sum_{i \in I} b(\alpha, e_i) b(e'_i, \beta).$$

In particular, the bilinear form b is non-degenerate.

Proof. First \mathcal{C} is semisimple, so $Z = \bigoplus_{j \in J} Z_j$ with Z_j simple object, but \mathcal{C} is additive thus $\alpha = \bigoplus_{j \in J} \alpha_j$, $\beta = \bigoplus_{j \in J} \beta_j$ and $\text{ev}_Z = \bigoplus_{j \in J} \text{ev}_{Z_j}$, where $(\alpha_j, \beta_j) \in \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, Z_j^*) \times \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, Z_j)$. Then

$$b(\alpha, \beta) = \sum_{j \in J} \text{ev}_{Z_j} \circ (\alpha_j \otimes \beta_j), \text{ and so } b(\tilde{\alpha}_i, \tilde{\beta}_j) = \delta_{i,j} \text{ev}_{Z_j} \circ (\alpha_j \otimes \beta_j),$$

with $(\tilde{\alpha}_i, \tilde{\beta}_j) \in \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, Z^*) \times \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, Z)$ such that $(\tilde{\alpha}_i)_j = \delta_{i,j} \alpha_i$ and $(\tilde{\beta}_i)_j = \delta_{i,j} \beta_i$. But if Z_j is not equal to the unit object, then $\alpha_j = \beta_j = 0$. Let I be the set $\{j \in J \mid Z_j = \mathbf{1}\}$. Let $i \in I$ and let $(e'_i, e_i) \in \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, Z^*) \times \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, Z)$ such that $(e'_i)_j = (e_i)_j = \delta_{i,j} \text{id}_{\mathbf{1}}$. Now, $\text{ev}_{\mathbf{1}} \circ (\text{id}_{\mathbf{1}} \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbf{1}}) = 1$, so for all $i, j \in I$, $b(e'_i, e_j) = \delta_{i,j}$. Note that $|I| = \dim(\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, Z^*)) = \dim(\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, Z))$, so by bilinearity, $(e'_i)_{i \in I}$ is a basis of $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, Z^*)$ and $(e_j)_{j \in I}$ is a basis of $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, Z)$. Thus for all $(\alpha, \beta) \in \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, Z^*) \times \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, Z)$, $\alpha = \sum_{i \in I} b(\alpha, e_i) e'_i$, $\beta = \sum_{i \in I} b(e_i, \beta) e_i$, and so $b(\alpha, \beta) = \sum_{i \in I} b(\alpha, e_i) b(e'_i, \beta)$. \square

Remark 3.3. *In Lemma 3.2, $(e'_i)_{i \in I}$ is the dual basis of $(e_i)_{i \in I}$ according to the bilinear form b , where dual is in the sense of vector space, in particular e'_i is not e_i^* (the categorical dual of the morphism e_i).*

Lemma 3.4. *Let \mathcal{C} be a fusion category. For any object Z in \mathcal{C} , let b_Z be the bilinear form and let $(e_{i,Z})_{i \in I_Z}$ be the basis of $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, Z)$, mentioned in Lemma 3.2. For all object X in \mathcal{C} and for all i, j , we have*

$$b_X(e_{i,X^*}, e_{j,X}) = b_{X^*}(e_{i,X^{**}}, e_{j,X^*}) = \delta_{i,j},$$

where I_X, I_{X^*} and $I_{X^{**}}$ are identified.

Proof. In the proof of Lemma 3.2, the chosen basis $(e_{i,X^*})_{i \in I_{X^*}}$ does not depend on whether $Z = X$ or X^* . \square

Lemma 3.5. *Let \mathcal{C} be a pivotal fusion category, with pivotal structure a . Let X, Y be objects in \mathcal{C} . Let Z be $X \otimes Y$. Consider the isomorphisms $f : \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, Z^*) \rightarrow \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y^*)$ and $g : \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, Z) \rightarrow \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y^*, X)$ defined by:*

$$f(\alpha) = \begin{array}{c} \boxed{\alpha} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{Y}^* \end{array} \Bigg|_X \quad \text{and} \quad g(\beta) = \begin{array}{c} \boxed{\beta} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{Y}^* \end{array} \Bigg|_X^{-1}$$

Then $\text{tr}(f(\alpha) \circ g(\beta)) = \text{tr}(g(\beta) \circ f(\alpha)) = b(\alpha, \beta)$, with b from Lemma 3.2.

Proof. By Definition 2.7, Lemma 2.5 and then pivotality ($a_Z^{-1} \circ \beta^{**} = \beta$):

$$\text{tr}(f(\alpha) \circ g(\beta)) = \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 1: A box } \alpha \text{ is connected to a box } \beta \text{ via a wire that loops around a box } a_{Y^*} \text{ and then } a_X^{-1}. \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 2: Similar to Diagram 1, but with an additional box } a_Y^{-1} \text{ on the wire.} \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 3: Two separate boxes } \alpha \text{ and } \beta \text{ connected by a wire between } Z^* \text{ and } Z. \end{array} = b(\alpha, \beta),$$

and by zigzag relations

$$\text{tr}(g(\beta) \circ f(\alpha)) = \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 4: A box } \alpha \text{ is connected to a box } \beta \text{ via a wire that loops around a box } a_X^{-1} \text{ and } a_X. \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 5: Similar to Diagram 4, but with a different wire configuration.} \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 6: Two separate boxes } \alpha \text{ and } \beta \text{ connected by a wire.} \end{array} = b(\alpha, \beta). \quad \square$$

Lemma 3.6. *Following Lemma 3.5, consider the bases $(e'_i)_{i \in I}$ of $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, Z^*)$ and $(e_i)_{i \in I}$ of $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, Z)$, from Lemma 3.2. Let $\epsilon'_i := f(e'_i)$ and $\epsilon_i := g(e_i)$. Then $\text{tr}(\epsilon'_i \circ \epsilon_j) = \text{tr}(\epsilon_j \circ \epsilon'_i) = \delta_{i,j}$, $(\epsilon'_i)_{i \in I}$ is a basis of $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y^*)$ and $(\epsilon_i)_{i \in I}$ is a basis of $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y^*, X)$.*

Proof. Immediate from Lemmas 3.2 and 3.5. □

Lemma 3.7. *Let \mathcal{A} be a \mathbb{k} -algebra, let \mathcal{O} be a finite set, and for all $x \in \mathcal{O}$, let I_x be a finite set. Let $(\tau_{x,i,j})_{x \in \mathcal{O}, i,j \in I_x}$ be nonzero elements in \mathcal{A} such that*

$$\tau_{x,i,j} \tau_{x',k,\ell} = \delta_{x,x'} \delta_{j,k} d_x \tau_{i,\ell}.$$

with d_x a nonzero element in \mathbb{k} . Then the elements $(\tau_{x,i,j})_{x \in \mathcal{O}, i,j \in I_x}$ are linearly independent, and so generate a \mathbb{k} -subalgebra isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{x \in \mathcal{O}} M_{n_x}(\mathbb{k})$, with $n_x := |I_x|$.

Proof. Suppose that

$$0 = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{O}, i,j \in I_x} \lambda_{x,i,j} \tau_{x,i,j}$$

with $\lambda_{x,i,j} \in \mathbb{k}$. Observe that $\tau_{x',k,\ell} \tau_{x,i,j} \tau_{x',k,\ell} = d_x^2 \delta_{x,x'} \delta_{\ell,i} \delta_{j,k} \tau_{k,\ell}$, so

$$0 = \tau_{x',k,\ell} \left(\sum_{x \in \mathcal{O}, i,j \in I_x} \lambda_{x,i,j} \tau_{x,i,j} \right) \tau_{x',k,\ell} = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{O}, i,j \in I_x} \lambda_{x,i,j} \tau_{x',k,\ell} \tau_{x,i,j} \tau_{x',k,\ell} = d_x^2 \lambda_{x',k,\ell} \tau_{x',k,\ell},$$

thus $\lambda_{x',k,\ell} = 0$ for all $x' \in \mathcal{O}, k, \ell \in I_{x'}$. The result follows. □

Recall [6, Proposition 4.8.4] that for all simple object X in a pivotal fusion category then $\dim(X)$ is nonzero.

Proposition 3.8 (Resolution of identity). *Let \mathcal{C} be a pivotal fusion category with pivotal structure a . Fix an object T in \mathcal{C} . Let $\mathcal{O}(T)$ be the set of simple subobjects of T , up to isomorphism. There exist bases $(b_{X,i})_{i \in I_X}$ of $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(T, X)$ and $(b'_{X,i})_{i \in I_X}$ of $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, T)$ such that $\text{tr}(b'_{X,i} \circ b_{X,j}) = \text{tr}(b_{X,j} \circ b'_{X,i}) = \delta_{i,j}$. Let $\tau_{X,i,j} := b'_{X,i} \circ b_{X,j}$, so $\text{tr}(\tau_{X,i,j}) = \delta_{i,j}$. Then*

$$\tau_{X,i,j} \circ \tau_{X',k,\ell} = \delta_{X,X'} \delta_{j,k} \dim(X)^{-1} \tau_{X,i,\ell},$$

the set $(\tau_{X,i,j})_{X \in \mathcal{O}(T), i,j \in I_X}$ is a basis of $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(T, T)$ and for all γ in $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(T, T)$:

$$\gamma = \sum_{X \in \mathcal{O}(T)} \sum_{i,j \in I_X} \dim(X) \text{tr}(\gamma \circ \tau_{X,i,j}) \tau_{X,i,j}.$$

In particular (**resolution of identity**):

$$\text{id}_T = \sum_{X \in \mathcal{O}(T)} \sum_{i \in I_X} \dim(X) \tau_{X,i,i}.$$

Proof. The bases mentioned at the beginning exist by Lemma 3.6 with $Y = *T$. Now

$$\tau_{X,i,j} \circ \tau_{X',k,\ell} = b'_{X',i} \circ (b_{X,j} \circ b'_{X',k}) \circ b_{X',\ell},$$

but $b_{X,j} \circ b'_{X',k}$ is in $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X', X)$ which is $\delta_{X,X'}$ -dimensional by Schur's lemma, so by Lemma 2.9

$$b_{X,j} \circ b'_{X',k} = \delta_{X,X'} \dim(X)^{-1} \text{tr}(b_{X,j} \circ b'_{X',k}) \text{id}_X = \delta_{X,X'} \dim(X)^{-1} \delta_{j,k} \text{id}_X.$$

Thus

$$\tau_{X,i,j} \circ \tau_{X',k,\ell} = \delta_{X,X'} \delta_{j,k} \dim(X)^{-1} \tau_{X,i,\ell}.$$

Now $\tau_{X,i,j}$ is nonzero (because $\tau_{X,i,j} \circ \tau_{X,j,i} = \dim(X)^{-1} \tau_{X,i,i}$ and $\text{tr}(\tau_{X,i,i}) = 1$), so by Lemma 3.7, the elements of the set $(\tau_{X,i,j})_{X \in \mathcal{O}(T), i, j \in I_X}$ are linearly independent. But observe that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{k}}(\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(T, T)) = \sum_{X \in \mathcal{O}(T)} \dim_{\mathbb{k}}(\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(T, X))^2 = \sum_{X \in \mathcal{O}(T)} |I_X|^2,$$

which is the cardinal of this set, which then is a basis of $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(T, T)$.

Now let γ be a morphism in $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(T, T)$, then for all $X \in \mathcal{O}(T), i, j \in I_X$ there is $\lambda_{X,i,j}$ in \mathbb{k} such that

$$\gamma = \sum_{X \in \mathcal{O}(T), i, j \in I_X} \lambda_{X,i,j} \tau_{X,i,j}.$$

Thus for all $X' \in \mathcal{O}(T), k, \ell \in I_{X'}$,

$$\gamma \circ \tau_{X',k,\ell} = \sum_{X \in \mathcal{O}(T), i, j \in I_X} \lambda_{X,i,j} \text{tr}(\tau_{X,i,j} \circ \tau_{X',k,\ell}) = \lambda_{X',k,\ell} \dim(X')^{-1}.$$

So, $\lambda_{X,i,j} = \dim(X) \text{tr}(\gamma \circ \tau_{X,i,j})$. Finally,

$$\text{tr}(\text{id}_T \circ \tau_{X,i,j}) = \text{tr}(\tau_{X,i,j}) = \delta_{i,j}.$$

The result follows. □

4. PROOF OF WANG'S CONJECTURE

This section is dedicated to prove Theorem 1.1, which is the odd case of a conjecture by Z. Wang [31, Conjecture 4.26], see [7] for a partial result. The even case is false, $\text{Rep}(G)$ with $G = \text{PSU}(3, 2)$ is a counterexample (see why in §15.1), in fact the smallest one from finite group theory ($|G| = 72$); it was also found independently in [30], and before that, [22] already provided a counterexample (of order 128) with $G = C_2^4 \rtimes Q_8$ (faithful action by conjugation).

Proposition 4.1. *Let \mathcal{C} be a \mathbb{k} -linear pivotal monoidal category. Let a be the pivotal structure. Let Y be an object in \mathcal{C} such that $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y \otimes Y, \mathbf{1})$ is one-dimensional. For any (nonzero) morphism κ in $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, Y^*)$ and for any object X in \mathcal{C} , consider the following bilinear map from $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, X \otimes Y \otimes X^*)^2$ to $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1})$:*

$$\omega(\alpha, \beta) :=$$

Then $\omega(\alpha, \beta) = \nu_2(Y^*) \omega(\beta, \alpha)$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.4 and the equality $a_{X \otimes Y \otimes X^*} = a_X \otimes a_Y \otimes a_{X^*}$ (from the pivotal structure)

$$\omega(\alpha, \beta) =$$

Now by Lemma 2.2, $(ev_X)^{**} = ev_{X^{**}}$, and by pivotal structure, $(ev_X)^{**} \circ a_{X^* \otimes X} = ev_X$, so $ev_{X^{**}} \circ a_{X^* \otimes X} = ev_X$. Moreover by Lemma 2.16, $ev_{Y^*} \circ (a_Y \otimes \kappa) = \nu_2(Y^*) ev_Y \circ (\kappa \otimes \text{id}_Y)$. It follows that

$$\omega(\alpha, \beta) = \nu_2(Y^*) \begin{array}{c} \beta \\ \begin{array}{c} X \\ \begin{array}{c} a_X \\ X^{**} \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} Y \\ \begin{array}{c} \kappa \\ Y^* \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} X^* \\ \begin{array}{c} X \\ Y \\ X^* \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \alpha = \nu_2(Y^*) \omega(\beta, \alpha). \quad \square$$

Corollary 4.2. *Let \mathcal{C} be a \mathbb{k} -linear pivotal monoidal category with $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}) \simeq \mathbb{k}$. Let X, Y be objects in \mathcal{C} such that $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y \otimes Y, \mathbf{1})$ is one-dimensional and the bilinear form ω (in Proposition 4.1) is non-degenerate. If $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, X \otimes Y \otimes X^*)$ is odd-dimensional then $\nu_2(Y^*) = 1$.*

Proof. First, if \mathbb{k} is of characteristic two, then $\nu_2(Y^*) = 1$ by Proposition 2.15 because $-1 = 1$ modulo 2. So we can assume that \mathbb{k} is not of characteristic two. If $\nu_2(Y^*) = -1$ then by Proposition 4.1, the bilinear form ω is represented by a skew-symmetric matrix M (i.e. $M^T = -M$). But $\det(M^T) = \det(M)$ and $\det(-M) = (-1)^n \det(M)$ where n is the dimension of $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, X \otimes Y \otimes X^*)$, odd by assumption. It follows that $\det(M) = -\det(M)$, so $2 \det(M) = 0$, but $\det(M)$ is a nonzero element of the field \mathbb{k} by the non-degeneracy assumption and $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}) \simeq \mathbb{k}$, thus $2 = 0$, contradiction with the fact that \mathbb{k} is not of characteristic two (in other words, a symplectic vector space over a field of characteristic not two, must be even-dimensional). So $\nu_2(Y^*) \neq -1$. Then $\nu_2(Y^*) = 1$ by Proposition 2.15. \square

Then we get the expected proof of Theorem 1.1:

Proof. It follows from Corollary 4.2. Note that $\omega(\alpha, \beta) = b(f \circ \alpha, \beta)$ where $f = a_X \otimes \kappa \otimes \text{id}_{X^*}$ and b is the bilinear form from Lemma 3.2 with $Z = X \otimes Y \otimes X^*$, so ω is non-degenerate by Lemma 3.2 and the fact that f is an isomorphism. Moreover, $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X^* \otimes X, Y)$ and $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, X \otimes Y \otimes X^*)$ have same dimension by the natural adjunction isomorphism [6, Proposition 2.10.8] and using $X^{**} \simeq X$. \square

In the statement of Theorem 1.1, the object X is not assumed to be simple (no need). This fact may be useful if the Grothendieck ring is noncommutative.

5. MONOIDAL TETRAHEDRON

This section introduces the tetrahedron in a monoidal category, and prove some basic results corresponding to the cyclic permutations $(2, 3, 4)$ and $(3, 2, 1)$, which generates the (orientation-preserving) symmetry group A_4 of the usual tetrahedron, used in §8.

Definition 5.1. *Let \mathcal{C} be a monoidal category with left duals. Let F, G and H be functors from \mathcal{C}^3 to \mathbf{Set} defined as the composition of usual functors such that $F(X, Y, Z) = \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, X \otimes Y \otimes Z)$, $G(X, Y, Z) = \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, Y \otimes Z \otimes X^{**})$ and $H(X, Y, Z) = \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, X \otimes Y \otimes Z^{**})$, for all objects X, Y, Z in \mathcal{C} . Let $\rho : F \rightarrow G$ and $\rho' : G \rightarrow F$ be natural transformations defined by*

$$\rho(\alpha) = \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \alpha \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho'(\alpha') = \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \beta \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array}$$

for all α in $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, X \otimes Y \otimes Z)$ and for all β in $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, Y \otimes Z \otimes X^{**})$. Next, assuming the existence of a pivotal structure a , let $\sigma : F \rightarrow H$ and $\sigma' : H \rightarrow F$ be natural transformations defined by

$$\sigma(\alpha) = (\text{id}_{X \otimes Y} \otimes a_Z) \circ \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma'(\gamma) = (\text{id}_{X \otimes Y} \otimes a_Z^{-1}) \circ \gamma,$$

for all α in $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, X \otimes Y \otimes Z)$ and for all γ in $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, X \otimes Y \otimes Z^{**})$.

Lemma 5.2. *Following Definition 5.1, ρ and σ are natural isomorphisms, with $\rho^{-1} = \rho'$ and $\sigma^{-1} = \sigma'$.*


Proof. By zigzag relations

$$(\rho' \circ \rho)(\alpha) = \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \alpha \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \alpha \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \alpha \\ \text{---} \end{array} = \alpha.$$

So $\rho' \circ \rho = \text{id}_F$. Similarly, $\rho \circ \rho' = \text{id}_G$. Finally, we trivially have $\sigma' \circ \sigma = \text{id}_F$ and $\sigma \circ \sigma' = \text{id}_H$. \square

Remark 5.3. *If \mathcal{C} is \mathbb{k} -linear pivotal, and $X = Y = Z$, then $(\sigma^{-1} \circ \rho)(\alpha) = E_X^{(3)}(\alpha)$. So, if moreover $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, X^{\otimes 3})$ is one-dimensional, then $\rho(\alpha) = \nu_3(X)\sigma(\alpha)$ with $\nu_3(X)^3 = 1$, by Proposition 2.15.*


Definition 5.4 (Monoidal tetrahedron). Let \mathcal{C} be a monoidal category with left duals. Let $(X_i)_{i=1,\dots,6}$ be objects in \mathcal{C} . Consider morphisms $\alpha \in \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, X_1 \otimes X_2 \otimes X_3)$, $\beta \in \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, X_4^* \otimes X_5^* \otimes X_6^*)$, $\gamma \in \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, X_5 \otimes X_2^* \otimes X_6^*)$ and $\delta \in \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, X_6 \otimes X_1^* \otimes X_4)$. Let us define the (labeled) monoidal tetrahedron as follows:



Proposition 5.5. Following Definition 5.4,

$$T(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) = T(\rho(\alpha), \delta^{**}, \beta, \gamma) = T(\rho^2(\alpha), \gamma^{**}, \delta^{**}, \beta) = T(\rho^3(\alpha), \beta^{**}, \gamma^{**}, \delta^{**}) = T(\alpha^{**}, \beta^{**}, \gamma^{**}, \delta^{**}),$$

Proof. We only need to prove the first equality (about the last one, observe that $\rho^3(\alpha) = \alpha^{**}$). Apply Lemma 2.4 to $T(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$ with $X = X_6 \otimes X_1^* \otimes X_4$ and $Y = \mathbf{1}$. Then




Note that the equality $T(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) = T(\alpha^{**}, \beta^{**}, \gamma^{**}, \delta^{**})$ can be proved directly in the pivotal case.

Proposition 5.6. Following Definition 5.4, if \mathcal{C} is spherical, then

$$T(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) = T(\rho^{-3}(\beta), \rho^{-1}(\sigma^2(\gamma)), \rho(\alpha), \rho(\delta))$$

where σ is the natural transformation from Definition 5.1.

Proof. By applying Lemma 2.13 with $(X, Y) = (X_6, X_2 \otimes X_3 \otimes X_4)$ we get:



Next, by some zigzag relations:

$$T(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) =$$

and by Lemma 2.4 (together with some zigzag relations):

$$T(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) =$$

$$= T(**\beta, \rho^{-1}(\sigma^2(\gamma)), \rho(\alpha), \rho(\delta)).$$

Finally, observe that $**\beta = \rho^{-3}(\beta)$. □

Remark 5.7. *The order in which the morphisms appear in the RHS of the first equalities in Propositions 5.5 and 5.6 correspond to the cyclic permutations $(2, 3, 4)$ and $(3, 2, 1)$, which generate the alternating group A_4 :*


```
gap> g:=SymmetricGroup(4);
gap> u:=Subgroup(g, [(2,3,4), (3,2,1)]);
gap> u=AlternatingGroup(4);
true
```

6. MONOIDAL TRIANGULAR PRISM AND EQUATION

This section introduces the triangular prism in a monoidal category (following the first representation in Remark 8.7 of the triangular prism graph), and derives an equation from it (TPE) for a fusion category, by evaluating it with two different ways.

Definition 6.1 (Monoidal triangular prism). *Let \mathcal{C} be a monoidal category with left duals. Let $(X_i)_{i=1,\dots,9}$ be objects in \mathcal{C} . Consider morphisms $\alpha_1 \in \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, X_4 \otimes X_1^* \otimes X_6^{***})$, $\alpha_2 \in \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, X_5 \otimes X_2^* \otimes X_4^*)$, $\alpha_3 \in \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, X_6 \otimes X_3^* \otimes X_5^*)$, $\alpha_4 \in \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, X_9 \otimes X_1 \otimes X_7^*)$, $\alpha_5 \in \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, X_7 \otimes X_2 \otimes X_8^*)$ and $\alpha_6 \in \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, X_8 \otimes X_3 \otimes X_9^{***})$. Let us define the*

(labeled) monoidal triangular prism as follows:



Remark 6.2. Without going into details (useless here), in the spherical case we can prove some equalities as in §5, corresponding to the permutations $(1, 2, 3)(4, 5, 6)$ and $(1, 4)(2, 5)(3, 6)$ generating the (orientation-preserving) symmetry group C_6 of the triangular prism.


Theorem 6.3 (TPE). Let \mathcal{C} be a pivotal fusion category. Let a be the pivotal structure. Let $(X_i)_{i=1, \dots, 9}$ and $(\alpha_i)_{i=1, \dots, 6}$ be objects and morphisms in \mathcal{C} as in Definition 6.1. Then

$$\sum_{\beta_0 \in B_0} T(\rho^{-2}(\alpha_2), \rho(\alpha_3), \rho^{-1}(\alpha_1), \beta_0) T(\rho^{-1}(\alpha_5), \beta'_0, \rho(\alpha_4), \rho^{-1}(\alpha_6)) =$$


$$\sum_{X \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{\substack{\beta_i \in B_i, \\ i=1, 2, 3}} \dim(X) T(\rho^{-2}(\sigma(\beta'_3)), \rho(\alpha_3), \rho^2(\beta_1), \rho^{-1}(\alpha_6)) T(\rho^{-1}(\alpha_4), \alpha_1, \beta'_1, \beta_2) T(\rho^{-1}(\alpha_5), \alpha_2, \beta'_2, \beta_3),$$

where B_i and B'_i are the bases of $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, Z_j)$ and $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, Z_j^*)$ from Lemma 3.2 ($x \mapsto x'$ denotes the bijection from B_i to B'_i), with $Z_0 := X_1 \otimes X_2 \otimes X_3$, and for $i = 1, 2, 3$, $Z_i := X \otimes Y_i$, where $Y_1 := X_9 \otimes X_6^*$, $Y_2 := X_7 \otimes X_4$, $Y_3 := X_8 \otimes X_5$, and \mathcal{O} is the set of simple subobjects of both Y_1^*, Y_2^* and Y_3^* , up to isomorphism.

Proof. The idea is to evaluate $TP := TP(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5, \alpha_6)$ with two different ways, providing the LHS and RHS of above equation. On one hand, observe that we can apply Lemma 3.2 (with $Z = Z_0$) to TP , and then



so by some zigzag relations




again by some zigzag relations we get that


$$TP = \sum_{\beta_0 \in B_0} T(\rho^{-2}(\alpha_2), \rho(\alpha_3), \rho^{-1}(\alpha_1), \beta_0) T(\rho^{-1}(\alpha_5), \beta'_0, \rho(\alpha_4), \rho^{-1}(\alpha_6)) = LHS$$

On the other hand, we can apply Proposition 3.8 (resolution of identity) on TP three times, with $T = T_j = Y_j^*$, $j = 1, 2, 3$, $\mathcal{O}(T_j)$ the set of simple subobjects of T_j (up to isomorphism), and the bases from Lemma 3.6. Then

$$TP = \sum_{\substack{S_i \in \mathcal{O}(T_i), \\ i=1,2,3}} \sum_{\substack{\beta_i \in B_i, \\ i=1,2,3}} \prod_{i=1}^3 \dim(S_i)$$



Observe that above picture is of the form




where $(S_i)_{i=1,2,3}$ are simple objects. By Schur's lemma, it is nonzero if (up to isomorphism) $S_1 = S_2 = S_3 =: X \in \mathcal{O}$, in which case, it is equal to the following by Lemma 2.9 (applied two times):



$$\dim(X)^{-2} \begin{array}{c} \boxed{A} \\ | \\ \boxed{B} \\ | \\ \boxed{C} \\ | \\ \boxed{D} \end{array} \text{tr}(B)\text{tr}(C)$$

Then

$$TP = \sum_{X \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{\substack{\beta_i \in B_i, \\ i=1,2,3}} \dim(X) \begin{array}{c} \boxed{\beta_1} \\ | \\ \boxed{\alpha_3} \quad \boxed{\beta'_3} \quad \boxed{\alpha_6} \\ | \\ \boxed{\alpha_3} \quad \boxed{\beta'_3} \quad \boxed{\alpha_6} \end{array}$$



After applying some zigzag relations, Lemma 2.5 and pivotality, we get the original form of monoidal tetrahedra:



and so

$$TP = \sum_{X \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{\substack{\beta_i \in B_i, \\ i=1,2,3}} \dim(X) T(\rho^{-2}(\sigma(\beta'_3)), \rho(\alpha_3), \rho^2(\beta_1), \rho^{-1}(\alpha_6)) T(\rho^{-1}(\alpha_4), \alpha_1, \beta'_1, \beta_2) T(\rho^{-1}(\alpha_5), \alpha_2, \beta'_2, \beta_3) = RHS. \quad \square$$

7. ORIENTED GRAPHICAL CALCULUS ON PIVOTAL FUSION CATEGORIES

This section was inspired by [1]. Let \mathcal{C} be a pivotal fusion category. Let a be the pivotal structure. Let X be an object in X . We will define oriented (co)evaluation maps $(co)ev_{X,\pm}$. First,

$$ev_{X,+} := ev_X \in \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X^* \otimes X, \mathbf{1}) \quad \text{and} \quad coev_{X,+} := coev_X \in \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, X \otimes X^*)$$

as defined in §2. Next,

$$ev_{X,-} := ev_{X^*} \circ (a_X \otimes id_{X^*}) = \begin{array}{c} X \\ | \\ \boxed{a_X} \\ | \\ X^* \end{array} \in \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X \otimes X^*, \mathbf{1}),$$

$$coev_{X,-} := (id_{X^*} \otimes a_X^{-1}) \circ coev_{X^*} = \begin{array}{c} X^* \\ | \\ \boxed{a_X^{-1}} \\ | \\ X \end{array} \in \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, X^* \otimes X).$$

Let us depict these morphisms as follows

$$ev_{X,+} = \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ \leftarrow \\ X \end{array}, \quad ev_{X,-} = \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowleft \\ \rightarrow \\ X \end{array}, \quad coev_{X,+} = \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ \leftarrow \\ X \end{array}, \quad coev_{X,-} = \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowleft \\ \rightarrow \\ X \end{array}$$

Let us also use the following notations:

$$\downarrow_X := \left|_X = id_X \quad \text{and} \quad \downarrow_{X^*} := \left|_{X^*} = id_{X^*}$$

Lemma 7.1 (Oriented zigzag relations). *Following the conditions and notations in §7:*

$$x \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ \curvearrowleft \end{array} x = \downarrow x = x \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowleft \\ \curvearrowright \end{array} x \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ \curvearrowleft \end{array} x = \downarrow x = \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowleft \\ \curvearrowright \end{array} x$$

Proof. The first and last equalities are the former zigzag relations (mentioned in §2). About the two other ones:

$$\begin{array}{c} \curvearrowleft \\ \curvearrowright \end{array} x = \begin{array}{c} X \\ \boxed{a_X} \\ \curvearrowleft \\ \curvearrowright \\ \boxed{a_X^{-1}} \\ X \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} X \\ \boxed{a_X} \\ \curvearrowleft \\ \curvearrowright \\ \boxed{a_X^{-1}} \\ X \end{array} = \downarrow x, \\ \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ \curvearrowleft \end{array} x = \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ \curvearrowleft \\ \boxed{a_X^{-1}} \\ \boxed{a_X} \\ X^* \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ \curvearrowleft \end{array} X^* = \downarrow X^* \quad \square$$

Lemma 7.2 (Pictorial dimension). *Following the conditions and notations in §7:*

$$x \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ \curvearrowleft \end{array} = \dim(X) \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ \curvearrowleft \end{array} x = \dim(X^*).$$

Proof. By Definitions 2.7, 2.8 and Lemma 2.10

$$\begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ \curvearrowleft \end{array} x = \begin{array}{c} X \\ \boxed{a_X} \\ \curvearrowright \\ \curvearrowleft \end{array} X^* = \text{tr}(\text{id}_X) = \dim(X), \\ \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ \curvearrowleft \end{array} x = \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ \curvearrowleft \\ \boxed{a_X^{-1}} \\ \boxed{a_X} \\ X^* \end{array} = \text{tr}(\text{id}_X^*) = \text{tr}(\text{id}_{X^*}) = \dim(X^*). \quad \square$$

Lemma 7.3. *Following the conditions in §7, $(ev_{X,\pm})^* = coev_{X^*,\pm}$ and $(coev_{X,\pm})^* = ev_{X^*,\pm}$.*

Proof. The statement with + everywhere is exactly Lemma 2.2. Next, by Lemma 2.6

$$(ev_{X,-})^* = (ev_{X^*} \circ (a_X \otimes \text{id}_{X^*}))^* = (a_X \otimes \text{id}_{X^*})^* \circ (ev_{X^*})^* = (\text{id}_{X^{**}} \otimes a_X^{-1}) \circ coev_{X^{**}} = coev_{X^*,-},$$

$$(coev_{X,-})^* = ((\text{id}_{X^*} \otimes a_X^{-1}) \circ coev_{X^*})^* = (coev_{X^*})^* \circ ((\text{id}_{X^*} \otimes a_X^{-1}))^* = ev_{X^{**}} \circ (a_{X^*} \otimes \text{id}_{X^{**}}) = ev_{X^*,-}. \quad \square$$

Remark 7.4. *Let X be a simple object in a pivotal fusion category. By [6, Proposition 4.8.4], $\dim(X)$ is nonzero. Then, by Lemmas 7.1 and 7.2, the choice of the four above morphisms is unique up to a scalar $k \in \mathbb{k}^*$, i.e.*

$$k \cdot ev_{X,+}, \quad k \cdot ev_{X,-}, \quad k^{-1} \cdot coev_{X,+}, \quad k^{-1} \cdot coev_{X,-}.$$

Lemma 7.5. *Following the conditions in §7, if X is simple and $X^* = X$, then*


$$ev_{X,-} = \nu_2(X) ev_{X,+} \quad \text{and} \quad coev_{X,-} = \nu_2(X) coev_{X,+}.$$

Proof. The first equality follows by Lemma 2.16 with $\kappa = \text{id}_X$. Then, apply Lemma 7.3 to get the second equality. \square

8. ORIENTED MONOIDAL TETRAHEDRON AND SPHERICAL TPE

In this section, we provide a new pictorial notation for the monoidal tetrahedron in the spherical case, using the oriented notations from §7, together with some rules, in order to match exactly with the usual regular tetrahedron (from geometry) and its (orientation-preserving) symmetry group A_4 . Then we reformulate TPE using this notation, in a spherical fusion category.

Definition 8.1. *Following Definition 5.4,*



Above oriented labeled tetrahedral graph is a *notation* (which turns out to be relevant), it should not be interpreted directly in category theory (whereas the picture for $T(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$ in Definition 5.4 can be so). Note that the position of an object labeling an edge has no meaning, whereas the position (here a corner) of a morphism labeling a vertex does. In the above picture (considered as the *reference pattern*) every oriented edge, labeled with an object X , corresponds to a morphism $ev_{X,+}$, up to zigzag relations. We will introduce three rules for how to change the orientation of the edges and the corner of the morphisms, in the spherical case.

In the rules below only one leg of each trivalent vertex is involved and oriented (the fact that the other legs are not oriented means that they can have any orientation).

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1) \quad & \begin{array}{c} \diagup \\ \theta \\ \diagdown \\ X \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} X^* \\ \rho(\theta) \\ \diagdown \end{array}, & \begin{array}{c} \theta \\ \diagdown \\ X^* \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} X \\ \rho^{-1}(\theta) \\ \diagdown \end{array} \\
 (2) \quad & \begin{array}{c} \diagup \\ \theta \\ \diagdown \\ X^* \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} X \\ \sigma^{-2}(\rho(\theta)) \\ \diagdown \end{array}, & \begin{array}{c} \theta \\ \diagdown \\ X \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} X^* \\ \rho^{-1}(\sigma^2(\theta)) \\ \diagdown \end{array} \\
 (3) \quad & \begin{array}{c} \theta \\ \diagdown \\ X \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \sigma(\theta) \\ \diagdown \\ X^* \end{array}, & \begin{array}{c} \theta \\ \diagdown \\ X^* \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \sigma^{-1}(\theta) \\ \diagdown \\ X \end{array}
 \end{aligned}$$

Observe that the two rules in each line are in fact equivalent (inverse each other).

Lemma 8.2 (Rotation eigenvalue). *Following Remark 5.3, if $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, X^{\otimes 3}) = \mathbb{k}\theta$ (i.e. one-dim. hom-space), then*


$$\begin{array}{c} \diagup \\ \theta \\ \diagdown \\ X \end{array} = \omega_X \begin{array}{c} X \\ \theta \\ \diagdown \\ X \end{array},$$

where $\omega_X := \nu_3(X)$, so that $\omega_X^3 = 1$.


Proof. We will apply Rule (1), Remark 5.3 and finally Rule (3):

$$\begin{array}{c} \diagup \\ \theta \\ \diagdown \\ X \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} X^* \\ \rho(\theta) \\ \diagdown \\ X \end{array} = \nu_3(X) \begin{array}{c} X^* \\ \sigma(\theta) \\ \diagdown \\ X \end{array} = \nu_3(X) \begin{array}{c} X \\ \theta \\ \diagdown \\ X \end{array}. \quad \square$$

Lemma 8.3. *Following Definition 8.1 and above rules in the spherical case*



Proof. By Proposition 5.5, $T(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) = T(\rho(\alpha), \delta^{**}, \beta, \gamma)$, but by Definition 8.1:



Now $\delta^{**} = \rho^3(\delta)$, and we can apply (1) three times at its vertex, then one time at the vertex labeled by $\rho(\alpha)$; the first equality follows. Similarly, by Proposition 5.6, $T(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) = T(\rho^{-3}(\beta), \rho^{-1}(\sigma^2(\gamma)), \rho(\alpha), \rho(\delta))$, depict this last using Definition 8.1, then apply one time (1) at $\rho(\alpha)$, three times (1) at $\rho^{-3}(\beta)$, one time (2) at $\rho^{-1}(\sigma^2(\gamma))$, and lastly one time (1) at $\rho(\delta)$; the second equality follows. \square


Remark 8.4. *Observe that the three pictures in Lemma 8.3 use exactly the same labels, what changing are just the ordering, the orientation of some edges and the corner of the label of some vertices. This result can (similarly) be extended into an equality between 12 such pictures. These pictures make a set on which the (orientation-preserving) symmetry group of the regular tetrahedron (i.e. the alternating group A_4) acts transitively. The two equalities of Lemma 8.3 correspond to two generators of the group A_4 (see Remark 5.7). This action can be made precise by encoding the data of such a picture on a (3-dimensional) regular tetrahedron, for so we just need to replace the choice of a corner to label a vertex by the choice of a face containing this vertex. An inside corner corresponds to the face containing it, whereas an outside corner corresponds to the hidden face (a tetrahedron has four faces, but our planar representation shows only three ones, the remaining one is hidden).*

Let us restate into the following proposition:

Proposition 8.5. *Consider the action of the alternating group A_4 on the set of labeled oriented tetrahedral graphs, as described in Remark 8.4. Consider the orbit of the one in Definition 8.1. Any element of this orbit can reach the reference pattern (of Definition 8.1) by applying (possibly several times) above rules, and its categorical interpretation equals that of the initial one, by applying (possibly several times) Propositions 5.5 and 5.6.*

Now let us reformulate the TPE in the spherical case using these new notations.

Theorem 8.6 (Spherical TPE). *Following Theorem 6.3, assume that \mathcal{C} is spherical. Then*



$$= \sum_{X \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{\substack{\beta_i \in B_i, \\ i=1,2,3}} \dim(X)$$


Proof. It is just a reformulation of the equality in Theorem 6.3. By Definition 8.1, Lemma 8.3, (1) and (2):

$$T(\rho^{-2}(\alpha_2), \rho(\alpha_3), \rho^{-1}(\alpha_1), \beta_0) =$$

$$= \dots =$$

The proof of the reformulation of the other tetrahedra in Theorem 6.3 is similar. □


Remark 8.7. Here are three representations of the triangular prism graph,



the first is the one we used in Definition 6.1, the second is the usual one (already mentioned in §1), and the last is the one we will use in Definition 8.8.

Without going into details (useless here), following Remark 6.2, we can realize the (orientation-preserving) symmetry group C_6 of the uniform triangular prism, as for the regular tetrahedron in Remark 8.4. The monoidal triangular prism in Definition 6.1 can be noted (as for Definition 8.1) using Definition 8.8.

Definition 8.8. Following Definition 6.1,



Remark 8.9. In general, we expect to get equations (as TPE) from every planar trivalent graph, inheriting the symmetries of its regular geometric realization (when it exists).

According to [10], any fusion category \mathcal{C} is equivalent to one (strictified skeletal) where $(_)^{**} = \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}}$ on the objects and $(_)^{****} = \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}}$ on the morphisms. So about categorification problem, we only need to consider this case, which simplifies the way to write TPE. To simplify even more, we improved a bit the definition of some morphisms $(\alpha_i$ and $\beta_i)$ in Theorem 8.10 (so be careful, they differ a bit from Theorem 8.6). Such simplifications would be non possible (as requiring extra assumptions) from the beginning (Theorem 6.3). The variation on the morphisms (α_i) permits to get the oriented labeled triangular prism graph (differing on the orientation of $X_i, i = 1, 2, 3, 7, 8, 9)$ in Figure 1.




FIGURE 1. Triangular prism configuration

Theorem 8.10 (Simplified TPE). *Let \mathcal{C} be a spherical fusion category such that $X^{**} = X$ and $a_X^2 = \text{id}_X$ for any object X in \mathcal{C} (where a is the pivotal structure). Let X_1, \dots, X_9 be objects in \mathcal{C} . Consider morphisms $\alpha_1 \in \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, X_4 \otimes X_1 \otimes X_6^*)$, $\alpha_2 \in \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, X_5 \otimes X_2 \otimes X_4^*)$, $\alpha_3 \in \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, X_6 \otimes X_3 \otimes X_5^*)$, $\alpha_4 \in \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, X_9^* \otimes X_1^* \otimes X_7)$, $\alpha_5 \in \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, X_7^* \otimes X_2^* \otimes X_8)$ and $\alpha_6 \in \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, X_8^* \otimes X_3^* \otimes X_9)$. Then the following TPE holds:*

$$\sum_{\beta_0 \in B_0} \begin{array}{c} \alpha_2 \\ \begin{array}{c} X_5 \swarrow \quad \searrow X_4 \\ X_3 \quad \beta'_0 \quad X_1 \\ \alpha_3 \swarrow \quad \searrow \alpha_1 \\ X_6 \end{array} \\ \alpha_2 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \alpha_5 \\ \begin{array}{c} X_7 \swarrow \quad \searrow X_8 \\ X_1 \quad \beta_0 \quad X_3 \\ \alpha_4 \swarrow \quad \searrow \alpha_6 \\ X_9 \end{array} \\ \alpha_5 \end{array} = \sum_{\substack{X \in \mathcal{O}, \\ \beta_i \in B_i, \\ i=1,2,3}} d_X \begin{array}{c} \beta_1 \\ \begin{array}{c} X_4 \swarrow \quad \searrow X_7 \\ X_6 \quad \beta_3 \quad X_9 \\ \alpha_1 \swarrow \quad \searrow \alpha_4 \\ X_1 \end{array} \\ \beta_1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \beta_2 \\ \begin{array}{c} X_5 \swarrow \quad \searrow X_8 \\ X_4 \quad \beta'_1 \quad X_7 \\ \alpha_2 \swarrow \quad \searrow \alpha_5 \\ X_2 \end{array} \\ \beta_2 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \beta_3 \\ \begin{array}{c} X_6 \swarrow \quad \searrow X_9 \\ X_5 \quad \beta_2 \quad X_8 \\ \alpha_3 \swarrow \quad \searrow \alpha_6 \\ X_3 \end{array} \\ \beta_3 \end{array}$$

where $d_X = \dim(X)$; \mathcal{O} is the set of simple subobjects of both $X_4 \otimes X_7$, $X_5 \otimes X_8$ and $X_6 \otimes X_9$ (up to isomorphism); B_i is a basis of $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, Z_i)$ with $Z_0 = X_1 \otimes X_2 \otimes X_3$, $Z_1 = X_7^* \otimes X \otimes X_4^*$, $Z_2 = X_8^* \otimes X \otimes X_5^*$ and $Z_3 = X_9^* \otimes X \otimes X_6^*$; $\beta'_i \in B'_i$ the dual basis of B_i according to the bilinear form in Lemma 3.2; and $\beta_i \mapsto \beta'_i$ is the usual bijection.


Remark 8.11 (More simplifications). *Let \mathcal{C} be a spherical fusion category, and let X_1, \dots, X_9 be simple objects in \mathcal{C} . By Proposition 2.17, the assumptions in Theorem 8.10 are always satisfied if $X = X^*$ for all simple object X in \mathcal{C} . If moreover $\nu_2(X) = 1$ for all simple object X , then by Lemma 7.5, there is no need to orientate the edges:*

$$\sum_{\beta_0 \in B_0} \begin{array}{c} \alpha_2 \\ \begin{array}{c} X_5 \swarrow \quad \searrow X_4 \\ X_3 \quad \beta'_0 \quad X_1 \\ \alpha_3 \swarrow \quad \searrow \alpha_1 \\ X_6 \end{array} \\ \alpha_2 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \alpha_5 \\ \begin{array}{c} X_7 \swarrow \quad \searrow X_8 \\ X_1 \quad \beta_0 \quad X_3 \\ \alpha_4 \swarrow \quad \searrow \alpha_6 \\ X_9 \end{array} \\ \alpha_5 \end{array} = \sum_{\substack{X \in \mathcal{O}, \\ \beta_i \in B_i, \\ i=1,2,3}} d_X \begin{array}{c} \beta_1 \\ \begin{array}{c} X_4 \swarrow \quad \searrow X_7 \\ X_6 \quad \beta_3 \quad X_9 \\ \alpha_1 \swarrow \quad \searrow \alpha_4 \\ X_1 \end{array} \\ \beta_1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \beta_2 \\ \begin{array}{c} X_5 \swarrow \quad \searrow X_8 \\ X_4 \quad \beta'_1 \quad X_7 \\ \alpha_2 \swarrow \quad \searrow \alpha_5 \\ X_2 \end{array} \\ \beta_2 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \beta_3 \\ \begin{array}{c} X_6 \swarrow \quad \searrow X_9 \\ X_5 \quad \beta_2 \quad X_8 \\ \alpha_3 \swarrow \quad \searrow \alpha_6 \\ X_3 \end{array} \\ \beta_3 \end{array}$$


Alternatively, the morphism label of a one-dimensional hom-space can be replaced by a bullet \bullet , so if every involved hom-space is one-dimensional (in particular, if the Grothendieck ring is of multiplicity one) then:

$$\begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \begin{array}{c} X_5 \swarrow \quad \searrow X_4 \\ X_3 \quad \bullet \quad X_1 \\ \bullet \swarrow \quad \searrow \bullet \\ X_6 \end{array} \\ \bullet \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \begin{array}{c} X_7 \swarrow \quad \searrow X_8 \\ X_1 \quad \bullet \quad X_3 \\ \bullet \swarrow \quad \searrow \bullet \\ X_9 \end{array} \\ \bullet \end{array} = \sum_{X \in \mathcal{O}} d_X \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \begin{array}{c} X_4 \swarrow \quad \searrow X_7 \\ X_6 \quad \bullet \quad X_9 \\ \bullet \swarrow \quad \searrow \bullet \\ X_1 \end{array} \\ \bullet \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \begin{array}{c} X_5 \swarrow \quad \searrow X_8 \\ X_4 \quad \bullet \quad X_7 \\ \bullet \swarrow \quad \searrow \bullet \\ X_2 \end{array} \\ \bullet \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \begin{array}{c} X_6 \swarrow \quad \searrow X_9 \\ X_5 \quad \bullet \quad X_8 \\ \bullet \swarrow \quad \searrow \bullet \\ X_3 \end{array} \\ \bullet \end{array}$$


Finally, if it is of multiplicity one, with $X^* = X$, $\nu_2(X) = \nu_3(X) = 1$ for all simple object X , then



Let us finish this section by some comments involving non-planar trivalent graphs and braiding. There is a third trivalent graph with at most 6 vertices (apart the tetrahedral and triangular prism graphs), but it is *non-planar*: the complete bipartite graph $K_{3,3}$; here are three representations of it,



the first is the usual one, the second was designed to be close to the usual representation of the TP graph, and the last is the one used to get the following monoidal category version, assuming the existence of a *braiding*:



This picture is very close to the one in Definition 6.1, the only difference is the braiding. It may provide a *braided version* of Theorem 6.3 (TPE). In general, we may get such equations from every trivalent graph.

9. LOCALIZATION STRATEGY

We propose a *localization strategy* to solve the F-symbols:

- (a) Set up a complexity for F-symbols and PE (known F-symbols are less complex).
- (b) Find a small set V of indeterminate F-symbols (with low complexity) as variables and an (overdetermined) system E of PE containing only variables in V .
- (c) Find a Gröbner basis of E .
- (d) Solve V with the Gröbner basis of E ,
 - if E has solutions, then we setup F-symbols in V as constants using the solutions, and repeat this process from step (a),
 - else the fusion ring cannot be categorified.

To implement this localization strategy by a computer program, we first need to design a good complexity. The computer can produce V and E step by step following the complexity. The sizes of V and E should be small enough, so that the computer can solve the Gröbner basis in step (c) in reasonable time. In practice, it is usually more efficient to solve V by the Gröbner basis of E , instead of by E directly, so we keep step (c), even though it is not necessary. We may use different complexities to solve different subsets of F-symbols, and then combine them together. A partial solution of V in step (d) could be helpful as well. Theoretically, the localization strategy can also be implemented for families of fusion rings with arbitrary large rank. We will discuss such applications in coming papers.

The monoidal triangular prism can be interpreted as the trace of the multiplication of three “H”-like diagrams in the algebroid of hom-spaces $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X \otimes Y, Z \otimes T)$, see the first representation in Remark 8.7. The “I”-like diagrams

are matrix units of these hom-spaces. The 90° rotation, called the string Fourier transform (see e.g. [11]) switches the “H”-like diagrams and the “I”-like diagrams. Then the tetrahedra can be regarded as matrix entries of the string Fourier transform, w.r.t. the “I”-like diagrammatic basis of the hom-spaces.

We can implement the localization strategy by designing triangular prism configurations and TPE, such that LHS are known (or less complex) and RHS consists of unknown variables given by the matrix entries of the string Fourier transform. For example, if X is a self-dual simple object of \mathcal{C} , and $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X \otimes X, X \otimes X)$ is n -dimensional with a basis B , then the string Fourier transform has n^2 entries, regarded as n^2 variables of TPE. There are n^3 standard triangular prism configurations (Figure 1), such that $X_{i_4} = X_{i_5} = \dots = X_{i_9} = X$. Modulo the C_3 rotational symmetry, we obtain roughly $n^3/3$ TPE with n^2 variables. Then we expect to solve these variables by an over determined set of equations locally.

10. CATEGORIFICATION CRITERIA FROM LOCALIZATION

This section provides a categorification criterion from a first step of the localization strategy written in §9.

Notation 10.1. *The simple objects of a fusion category will be denoted $(X_i)_{i \in I}$, up to isomorphism, with $X_1 = \mathbf{1}$. The dimension of $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X_i \otimes X_j, X_k)$ will be denoted $N_{i,j}^k$. An object X is self-dual if $X = X^*$. Any label (of an edge) by an object X_i will be simplified into a label by its index i . Finally, $d_i := d_{X_i} = \dim(X_i)$.*

Theorem 10.2. *Let \mathcal{C} be a spherical fusion category. Let X_k be a self-dual simple object of \mathcal{C} such that for all simple object X_a then $N_{k,k}^a \leq 1$. Assume that if $N_{k,k}^a = 1$ then X_a is self-dual, and let S_k be the set of such a . Assume that $k \in S_k$. Let S'_k be a subset of S_k such that for all $a, b, c \in S'_k$ then $N_{b,c}^a \leq 1$. Let $a, b, c \in S'_k$, then there are variables $x(a, b, c)$ and $y(a, b)$ such that:*

$$(4) \quad x(a, b, c) = \sum_{i \in S_k} d_i y(i, a) y(i, b) y(i, c),$$

$$(5) \quad y(a, b) y(a, c) = \sum_{i \in S_k} d_i y(i, a) x(i, b, c),$$

with $x(a, k, k) = y(a, k)^2$, $x(a, b, c) = 0$ if $N_{b,c}^a = 0$, $y(a, b) = y(b, a)$, $y(1, b) = d_k^{-1}$, $x(1, b, c) = \delta_{b,c} (d_b d_c)^{-1}$.

Proof. Let $a, b, c \in S'_k$, consider the following two types of TP, labeled with (a, b, c, k, k, k, k, k) , $(k, k, a, b, k, k, c, k, k)$ respectively, developed according to Theorem 8.10 and Remark 8.11. The objects are self-dual and the multiplicities are at most 1, so we can label the morphisms just by a bullet in the TP (not always in the TPE, see Equation (7)), next (because 1 is odd) by Theorem 1.1, there is no need to orientate the edges.

$$(6) \quad \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 1: A rectangle with vertices labeled } a, b, c, k. \text{ The top edge is } a, \text{ the bottom edge is } c, \text{ the left and right edges are } k. \text{ The diagonal edges are } k. \end{array} \\ \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 2: A triangle with vertices labeled } k, k, k. \text{ The top edge is } k, \text{ the bottom edge is } k, \text{ and the left and right edges are } k. \end{array} \\ \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 3: A triangle with vertices labeled } k, k, k. \text{ The top edge is } k, \text{ the bottom edge is } k, \text{ and the left and right edges are } k. \end{array} \\ \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 4: A triangle with vertices labeled } k, k, k. \text{ The top edge is } k, \text{ the bottom edge is } k, \text{ and the left and right edges are } k. \end{array} \\ \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 5: A triangle with vertices labeled } k, k, k. \text{ The top edge is } k, \text{ the bottom edge is } k, \text{ and the left and right edges are } k. \end{array} \\ \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 6: A rectangle with vertices labeled } k, k, k, a. \text{ The top edge is } k, \text{ the bottom edge is } a, \text{ the left and right edges are } k. \text{ The diagonal edges are } k. \end{array} \end{array}$$

$$(7) \quad \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ k \quad k \quad b \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \\ a \quad k \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ k \quad k \\ \bullet \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ c \quad k \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \\ k \quad a \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ k \quad k \\ \bullet \end{array} = \sum_{i \in S_k} d_i \sum_{\beta \in B} \begin{array}{c} \beta \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ i \quad c \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \\ k \quad k \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ k \quad k \\ \bullet \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ k \quad k \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \\ b \quad c \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ k \quad k \\ \bullet \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ i \quad k \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \\ k \quad k \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ k \quad k \\ \bullet \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ k \quad k \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \\ k \quad k \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ k \quad a \\ \bullet \end{array}$$

Let $i \in S_k$, let $b, c \in S'_k$, and consider the following two types of variables:

$$x(i, b, c) := \sum_{\beta \in B} \begin{array}{c} \beta \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ i \quad c \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \\ b \quad k \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ k \quad k \\ \bullet \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ k \quad k \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \\ b \quad c \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ k \quad k \\ \bullet \end{array}$$

$$y(i, b) := \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ i \quad k \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \\ k \quad k \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ k \quad k \\ \bullet \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ k \quad k \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \\ b \end{array}$$

It remains to reformulate Equations (6) and (7) with above variables by dealing with the rotation eigenvalues. Let $a \in S'_k$, then by sphericity and Lemma 8.2:

$$x(a, b, c) = \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ a \quad c \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \\ b \quad k \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ k \quad k \\ \bullet \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ k \quad k \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \\ a \quad b \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ k \quad k \\ \bullet \end{array} = \dots = \omega_k^{\delta_{k,b}} \omega_a^{\delta_{a,b} \delta_{a,c}} \omega_k^{2\delta_{k,c}} \omega_k^{2\delta_{k,b}} \omega_a^{2\delta_{a,b} \delta_{a,c}} \omega_k^{\delta_{k,c}} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ b \quad k \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \\ k \quad k \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ k \quad k \\ \bullet \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ k \quad k \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \\ a \quad c \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ k \quad k \\ \bullet \end{array},$$

whereas $\omega_k^{\delta_{k,b}} \omega_a^{\delta_{a,b} \delta_{a,c}} \omega_k^{2\delta_{k,c}} \omega_k^{2\delta_{k,b}} \omega_a^{2\delta_{a,b} \delta_{a,c}} \omega_k^{\delta_{k,c}} = \omega_k^{3\delta_{k,b}} \omega_a^{3\delta_{a,b} \delta_{a,c}} \omega_k^{3\delta_{k,c}} = 1$.

Similarly,

$$y(a, b)y(a, c) = \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ k \quad b \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \\ a \quad k \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ k \quad k \\ \bullet \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ k \quad k \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \\ c \quad a \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ k \quad k \\ \bullet \end{array},$$

$x(a, k, k) = y(a, k)^2$ and $y(a, b) = y(b, a)$. The result follows. \square

Corollary 10.3. *Following Theorem 10.2, there are variables $x(i, b)$ and $y(i, b)$ with $(i, b) \in S_k \times S'_k$ such that for all $a, b \in S'_k$*

$$(8) \quad \delta_{a,b} = d_b \sum_{i \in S_k} d_i y(i, a) y(i, b),$$

$$(9) \quad x(a, b) = \sum_{i \in S_k} d_i y(i, a) y(i, b)^2,$$

$$(10) \quad y(a, b)^2 = \sum_{i \in S_k} d_i y(i, a) x(i, b),$$

with $x(a, k) = y(a, k)^2$; $x(a, b) = 0$ if $N_{b,b}^a = 0$; $y(a, b) = y(b, a)$; $y(1, b) = d_k^{-1}$; $x(1, b) = (d_b d_k)^{-1}$.


Proof. Apply Theorem 10.2 with $x(i, b) = x(i, b, b)$, Equation (4) with $c = 1$, Equation (4) with $b = c$, and Equation (5) with $b = c$. \square

Remark 10.4. Note that we can restrict Equation (8) to $a \neq 1$ and $a \geq b$ (after fixing an order on S_k), Equation (9) to $a, b \neq 0$, and Equation (10) to $b \neq 1, k$.

Theorem 10.5. Following Theorem 10.2, consider k, S_k and S'_k , and let E_k be the subsystem given by Corollary 10.3. Let $l \in S'_k$ with $l \neq k$, and let S_l, S'_l, E_l be as above. Then there is the following extra equation linking the subsystems E_k and E_l :

$$x_k(l, l) = \sum_{i \in S_k \cap S_l} d_i y_l(i, l) x_k(i, l)$$

Proof. Consider the TPE labeled with $(k, k, l, k, l, l, k, l, l)$:



$$(11) \quad \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ l \quad k \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ l \quad k \\ \bullet \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ k \quad l \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ k \quad l \\ \bullet \end{array} = \sum_{i \in S_k \cap S_l} d_i \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ k \quad i \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ l \quad l \\ \bullet \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ l \quad i \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ k \quad k \\ \bullet \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ l \quad i \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ l \quad l \\ \bullet \end{array}$$

The result follows by sphericity and Lemma 8.2, using the notation of the variables as in Corollary 10.3, indexed by k (resp. l) for E_k (resp. E_l). \square

The results proved in this section will be used in §14.1.

11. PENTAGON EQUATIONS

This section recalls the explicit way to write the Pentagon Equation (see [2, 31]). Let us keep the notations from §10. The composition of morphisms will be denoted without symbol. The chosen basis of $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X_i \otimes X_j, X_k)$ will be denoted $B(i, j; k)$, and a morphism in there, represented as

$$(12) \quad \begin{array}{c} i \quad j \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \alpha \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ k \end{array}$$

For $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_6 \in I$ and morphisms $\mu_1 \in B(i_1, i_2; i_3)$, $\mu_2 \in B(i_3, i_4; i_5)$, $\mu_3 \in B(i_2, i_4; i_6)$ and $\mu_4 \in B(i_1, i_6; i_5)$, their F-symbol $\left(\begin{array}{ccc|cc} i_1 & i_2 & i_3 & \mu_1 & \mu_2 \\ i_4 & i_5 & i_6 & \mu_3 & \mu_4 \end{array} \right)$ is defined as

$$\mu_2(\mu_1 \otimes \text{id}_{i_4}) = \sum_{i_6} \sum_{\mu_3, \mu_4} \left(\begin{array}{ccc|cc} i_1 & i_2 & i_3 & \mu_1 & \mu_2 \\ i_4 & i_5 & i_6 & \mu_3 & \mu_4 \end{array} \right) \mu_4(\text{id}_{i_1} \otimes \mu_3),$$

with i_6 , μ_3 and μ_4 summing over their respective set. Pictorially,

$$(13) \quad \begin{array}{c} i_1 \quad i_2 \quad i_4 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \mu_1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ i_3 \quad \mu_2 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ i_5 \end{array} = \sum_{i_6} \sum_{\mu_3, \mu_4} \left(\begin{array}{ccc|cc} i_1 & i_2 & i_3 & \mu_1 & \mu_2 \\ i_4 & i_5 & i_6 & \mu_3 & \mu_4 \end{array} \right) \begin{array}{c} i_1 \quad i_2 \quad i_4 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \mu_3 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \mu_4 \quad i_6 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ i_5 \end{array}.$$

Next, by applying morphisms from *right* dual bases (we will use the notation $\alpha \mapsto \prime\alpha$ for the usual bijection):

$$\begin{array}{c} \boxed{\tilde{\mu}_2} \quad \boxed{\tilde{\mu}_1} \quad \boxed{\prime\tilde{\mu}_3} \quad \boxed{\prime\tilde{\mu}_4} \\ \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\ i_5 \quad i_3 \quad i_6 \quad i_4 \end{array} = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|cc} i_1 & i_2 & i_3 & \mu_1 & \mu_2 \\ i_4 & i_5 & i_6 & \mu_3 & \mu_4 \end{array} \right) \begin{array}{c} \boxed{\tilde{\mu}_4} \quad \boxed{\tilde{\mu}_3} \quad \boxed{\prime\tilde{\mu}_3} \quad \boxed{\prime\tilde{\mu}_4} \\ \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\ i_5 \quad i_6 \quad i_6 \quad i_4 \end{array}$$

Then, by some zigzag relations and the fact that $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, X_{i_6} \otimes X_{i_6}^*) = \text{kcoev}_{X_{i_6}}$:

$$T(\rho^{-1}(\prime\tilde{\mu}_3), \tilde{\mu}_2, \tilde{\mu}_1, \prime\tilde{\mu}_4) = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|cc} i_1 & i_2 & i_3 & \mu_1 & \mu_2 \\ i_4 & i_5 & i_6 & \mu_3 & \mu_4 \end{array} \right) d_{i_6}^{-1} \begin{array}{c} \boxed{\tilde{\mu}_4} \quad \boxed{\prime\tilde{\mu}_4} \\ \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\ i_5 \quad i_4 \end{array}$$

It follows that:

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc|cc} i_1 & i_2 & i_3 & \mu_1 & \mu_2 \\ i_4 & i_5 & i_6 & \mu_3 & \mu_4 \end{array} \right) = d_{i_6} T(\rho^{-1}(\prime\tilde{\mu}_3), \tilde{\mu}_2, \tilde{\mu}_1, \prime\tilde{\mu}_4).$$

Now we can reformulate PE (14) as follows:

$$\sum_{\mu_0} T(\rho^{-1}(\prime\tilde{\mu}_4), \tilde{\mu}_6, \tilde{\mu}_5, \prime\tilde{\mu}_0) T(\rho^{-1}(\prime\tilde{\mu}_1), \tilde{\mu}_0, \tilde{\mu}_2, \prime\tilde{\mu}_3) = \sum_{i_0} \sum_{\mu_7, \mu_8, \mu_9} d_{i_0} T(\rho^{-1}(\prime\tilde{\mu}_7), \tilde{\mu}_5, \tilde{\mu}_2, \prime\tilde{\mu}_8) T(\rho^{-1}(\prime\tilde{\mu}_9), \tilde{\mu}_6, \tilde{\mu}_8, \prime\tilde{\mu}_3) T(\rho^{-1}(\prime\tilde{\mu}_4), \tilde{\mu}_9, \tilde{\mu}_7, \prime\tilde{\mu}_1)$$

Then following Definition 8.1, we get:

$$\sum_{\mu_0} \begin{array}{c} \tilde{\mu}_5 \\ \downarrow \\ \tilde{\mu}_6 \quad \leftarrow \quad \tilde{\mu}_0 \quad \leftarrow \quad \tilde{\mu}_3 \\ \uparrow \\ \rho^{-1}(\prime\tilde{\mu}_4) \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \tilde{\mu}_2 \\ \downarrow \\ \tilde{\mu}_0 \quad \leftarrow \quad \tilde{\mu}_3 \\ \uparrow \\ \rho^{-1}(\prime\tilde{\mu}_1) \end{array} = \sum_{i_0} \sum_{\mu_7, \mu_8, \mu_9} d_{i_0} \begin{array}{c} \tilde{\mu}_2 \\ \downarrow \\ \tilde{\mu}_5 \quad \leftarrow \quad \tilde{\mu}_8 \\ \uparrow \\ \rho^{-1}(\prime\tilde{\mu}_7) \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \tilde{\mu}_8 \\ \downarrow \\ \tilde{\mu}_6 \quad \leftarrow \quad \tilde{\mu}_3 \\ \uparrow \\ \rho^{-1}(\prime\tilde{\mu}_9) \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \tilde{\mu}_7 \\ \downarrow \\ \tilde{\mu}_9 \quad \leftarrow \quad \tilde{\mu}_1 \\ \uparrow \\ \rho^{-1}(\prime\tilde{\mu}_4) \end{array}$$

and by Rule (1):

$$\sum_{\mu_0} \begin{array}{c} \tilde{\mu}_5 \\ \downarrow \\ \tilde{\mu}_6 \quad \leftarrow \quad \tilde{\mu}_0 \quad \leftarrow \quad \tilde{\mu}_3 \\ \uparrow \\ \prime\tilde{\mu}_4 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \tilde{\mu}_2 \\ \downarrow \\ \tilde{\mu}_0 \quad \leftarrow \quad \tilde{\mu}_3 \\ \uparrow \\ \prime\tilde{\mu}_1 \end{array} = \sum_{i_0} \sum_{\mu_7, \mu_8, \mu_9} d_{i_0} \begin{array}{c} \tilde{\mu}_2 \\ \downarrow \\ \tilde{\mu}_5 \quad \leftarrow \quad \tilde{\mu}_8 \\ \uparrow \\ \prime\tilde{\mu}_7 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \tilde{\mu}_8 \\ \downarrow \\ \tilde{\mu}_6 \quad \leftarrow \quad \tilde{\mu}_3 \\ \uparrow \\ \prime\tilde{\mu}_9 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \tilde{\mu}_7 \\ \downarrow \\ \tilde{\mu}_9 \quad \leftarrow \quad \tilde{\mu}_1 \\ \uparrow \\ \prime\tilde{\mu}_4 \end{array}$$

Then by applying Proposition 8.5, we can get the following form:

$$\sum_{\mu_0} \begin{array}{c} \prime\tilde{\mu}_1 \\ \downarrow \\ \tilde{\mu}_2 \quad \leftarrow \quad \tilde{\mu}_0 \quad \leftarrow \quad \tilde{\mu}_3 \\ \uparrow \\ \rho^{-1}(\prime\tilde{\mu}_4) \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \prime\tilde{\mu}_4 \\ \downarrow \\ \tilde{\mu}_6 \quad \leftarrow \quad \tilde{\mu}_5 \\ \uparrow \\ \rho^{-1}(\prime\tilde{\mu}_1) \end{array} = \sum_{i_0} \sum_{\mu_7, \mu_8, \mu_9} d_{i_0} \begin{array}{c} \prime\tilde{\mu}_9 \\ \downarrow \\ \tilde{\mu}_3 \quad \leftarrow \quad \tilde{\mu}_8 \quad \leftarrow \quad \tilde{\mu}_6 \\ \uparrow \\ \rho^{-1}(\prime\tilde{\mu}_7) \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \tilde{\mu}_7 \\ \downarrow \\ \tilde{\mu}_1 \quad \leftarrow \quad \tilde{\mu}_9 \\ \uparrow \\ \rho^{-1}(\prime\tilde{\mu}_4) \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \prime\tilde{\mu}_8 \\ \downarrow \\ \tilde{\mu}_2 \quad \leftarrow \quad \tilde{\mu}_5 \\ \uparrow \\ \rho^{-1}(\prime\tilde{\mu}_1) \end{array}$$

Next, by applying Rules (1) and (2) several times, we can put the labels in the same corners than in Theorem 8.10:

$$\sum_{\mu_0} \begin{array}{c} \prime\tilde{\mu}_1 \\ \downarrow \\ \rho^{-1}(\tilde{\mu}_2) \quad \leftarrow \quad \sigma^{-2}(\rho(\tilde{\mu}_3)) \\ \uparrow \\ \rho^{-1}(\prime\tilde{\mu}_4) \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \rho(\prime\tilde{\mu}_4) \\ \downarrow \\ \rho^{-1}(\tilde{\mu}_6) \quad \leftarrow \quad \sigma^{-2}(\rho(\tilde{\mu}_5)) \\ \uparrow \\ \rho^{-1}(\prime\tilde{\mu}_1) \end{array} = \sum_{i_0} \sum_{\mu_7, \mu_8, \mu_9} d_{i_0} \begin{array}{c} \rho^{-1}(\prime\tilde{\mu}_9) \\ \downarrow \\ \rho(\prime\tilde{\mu}_3) \quad \leftarrow \quad \mu_8 \quad \leftarrow \quad \rho^{-1}(\tilde{\mu}_6) \\ \uparrow \\ \rho^{-1}(\prime\tilde{\mu}_7) \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \rho^{-1}(\tilde{\mu}_7) \\ \downarrow \\ \tilde{\mu}_1 \quad \leftarrow \quad \rho(\prime\tilde{\mu}_4) \\ \uparrow \\ \sigma^{-2}(\rho(\tilde{\mu}_9)) \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \prime\tilde{\mu}_8 \\ \downarrow \\ \rho^{-1}(\tilde{\mu}_2) \quad \leftarrow \quad \rho(\prime\tilde{\mu}_7) \quad \leftarrow \quad \sigma^{-2}(\rho(\tilde{\mu}_5)) \\ \uparrow \\ \rho^{-1}(\prime\tilde{\mu}_1) \end{array}$$

Observe that $(\prime\alpha)' = \prime(\alpha') = \alpha$, $(\prime\alpha)^{**} = \alpha'$ and $**(\alpha') = \prime\alpha$. Then

$$\rho(\prime\tilde{\mu}_7) = \rho^{-2}\rho^3(\tilde{\mu}_7) = \rho^{-2}((\tilde{\mu}_7)^{**}) = \rho^{-2}(\tilde{\mu}_7').$$

Now, under the assumptions of Theorem 8.10, $\sigma^2 = \text{id}$, so by Lemmas 2.13 and 2.5,

$$(\rho^{-1}(\alpha))' = \sigma^{-2} \circ \rho(\alpha') = \rho(\alpha').$$

Then by applying the rules:

$$\sum_{\mu_0} \begin{array}{c} \mu_1 \\ \downarrow \\ \mu_0 \\ \uparrow \\ \mu_2 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \mu_4 \\ \downarrow \\ \mu_0 \\ \uparrow \\ \mu_3 \end{array} = \sum_{i_0} \sum_{\mu_7, \mu_8, \mu_9} d_{i_0} \begin{array}{c} \mu_9 \\ \downarrow \\ \mu_8 \\ \uparrow \\ \mu_6 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \mu_7 \\ \downarrow \\ \mu_3 \\ \uparrow \\ \mu_9 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \mu_8 \\ \downarrow \\ \mu_2 \\ \uparrow \\ \mu_5 \end{array}$$

Finally, by adjusting the orientation using the natural isomorphisms σ_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) defined as for σ but for the i th leg (so that $\sigma = \sigma_3$), we recover the TPE from Theorem 8.10:

Theorem 12.2 (PE-TPE, Change of Basis). *Under the assumptions of Theorem 8.10, its TPE is exactly PE (14) under the following change of basis:*

$$\alpha_1 = \rho(\tilde{\mu}_3), \alpha_2 = \sigma_1^{-1}(\tilde{\mu}_1), \alpha_3 = \rho^{-1}(\tilde{\mu}_2), \alpha_4 = \sigma_1(\sigma_3^{-1}(\rho^{-1}(\tilde{\mu}_6))), \alpha_5 = \sigma_1(\sigma_2(\sigma_3^{-1}(\rho(\tilde{\mu}_4)))), \alpha_6 = \sigma_1(\rho(\tilde{\mu}_5)),$$

$$\beta_0 = \tilde{\mu}_0, \beta_1 = \rho^{-1}(\tilde{\mu}_9), \beta_2 = \rho^{-1}(\tilde{\mu}_7), \beta_3 = \tilde{\mu}_8,$$

$$X_{i_0} = X, X_1 = X_{i_3}^*, X_2 = X_{i_1}, X_3 = X_{i_2}, X_4 = X_{i_6}, X_5 = X_{i_4}, X_6 = X_{i_5}^*, X_7 = X_{i_9}^*, X_8 = X_{i_7}, X_9 = X_{i_8}.$$

The change of basis in Theorem 12.2 can be depicted as a TP with a specific (PE) configuration, see Figure 3 (which is rotated for a better matching with Figure 1).




FIGURE 3. PE configuration

Let \mathcal{F} be a fusion ring of basis $(b_i)_{i \in I}$ and fusion coefficients $N_{i,j}^k$. In §10, we used the TPE to get categorification criteria of such \mathcal{F} . Now, as an application of Theorem 12.2 and [2, Proposition 3.7], the TPE can actually be used to categorify \mathcal{F} (if it is the Grothendieck ring of a spherical fusion category). The point is that the proof of Theorem 12.2 needs sphericity, so the categorification requires to check all the TPE, plus some extra assumptions ensuring sphericity. The elements of the chosen basis of $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, X_i \otimes X_j \otimes X_k)$ labeling the TP, are replaced by integers in $\{1, \dots, N_{j^*, i^*}^k\}$. The TP are taken up to A_4 -symmetry (i.e. spherical invariant). Recall that if $X^{**} = X$ and $a_X^2 = \text{id}_X$ (which can be assumed by [10]) then $a_X = \pm \text{id}_X$. So we need $\epsilon_i = \pm 1$ ($i \in I$) to encode the pivotal structure, so that $a_{X_i} = \epsilon_i \text{id}_{X_i}$, satisfying $\epsilon_{i^*} = \epsilon_i$ (by Lemma 2.6) and [2, Equation (35)] on TP. Then, $ev_{X_i, \pm} = \epsilon_i ev_{X_i, \mp}$, so that the TP can be defined up to the following equality on the edges:

$$\begin{array}{c} i \\ \swarrow \end{array} = \epsilon_i \begin{array}{c} i^* \\ \swarrow \end{array}$$

Next, by [6, Proposition 4.7.12], the dimension function $i \mapsto d_i$ can be assumed to be a character of the fusion ring, and the equality $d_{i^*} = d_i$ ensures the sphericity (see Remark 2.12).

13. ZERO AND ONE SPECTRUM CRITERIA

This section keeps the notations from §11, and assume that $X^{**} = X$ for all object X , which induces a bijection $i \mapsto i^*$ on I such that $X_i^* = X_{i^*}$. The morphisms ev_{X_i} and $coev_{X_i}$ will be denoted \cup_i and \cap_i , respectively. The RHS of the PE (14) is non-zero only when $i_0 \in I_s := \{i \in I : N_{i_4, i_7}^i > 0, N_{i_5, i_8}^i > 0, N_{i_6, i_9}^i > 0\}$ called the *spectrum* of the PE. We consider the order $|I_s|$ as a complexity of the PE. We will give two categorification criteria when $|I_s| = 0, 1$, corresponding to the existence of an equation of the form $xy = 0$ or $0 = xyz$ with $x, y, z \neq 0$.

Notation 13.1. *We will consider the usual bijection $\alpha \mapsto \alpha'$ from $B(i, j; k)$ to its dual basis ($\subset \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X_k, X_i \otimes X_j)$) given by the bilinear form in Lemma 3.2, after some natural adjunction isomorphisms [6, Proposition 2.10.8].*

Lemma 13.2 (One-dimensional trick). *Consider non-zero morphisms $\mu_1 \in B(i_1, i_2; i_3)$, $\mu_2 \in B(i_3, i_4; i_5)$, $\mu_3 \in B(i_2, i_4; i_6)$ and $\mu_4 \in B(i_1, i_6; i_5)$. If*

$$\sum_{k \in I} N_{i_1, i_2}^k N_{i_5, i_4}^k = 1 \text{ or } \sum_k N_{i_3, i_2}^k N_{i_5, i_6}^k = 1 \text{ or } \sum_k N_{i_1^*, i_3}^k N_{i_6, i_4^*}^k = 1,$$

then

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc|cc} i_1 & i_2 & i_3 & \mu_1 & \mu_2 \\ i_4 & i_5 & i_6 & \mu_3 & \mu_4 \end{array} \right) \neq 0.$$

Proof. Take μ'_3 and μ'_4 from Notation 13.1, then

$$\mu_2(\mu_1 \otimes \text{id}_{i_4})(\text{id}_{i_1} \otimes \mu'_3)\mu'_4 = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|cc} i_1 & i_2 & i_3 & \mu_1 & \mu_2 \\ i_4 & i_5 & i_6 & \mu_3 & \mu_4 \end{array} \right) \mu_4(\text{id}_{i_1} \otimes \mu_3)(\text{id}_{i_1} \otimes \mu'_3)\mu'_4.$$

To show

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc|cc} i_1 & i_2 & i_3 & \mu_1 & \mu_2 \\ i_4 & i_5 & i_6 & \mu_3 & \mu_4 \end{array} \right) \neq 0,$$

it is enough to show that $\mu_2(\mu_1 \otimes \text{id}_{i_4})(\text{id}_{i_1} \otimes \mu'_3)\mu'_4 \neq 0$. The sums in assumption corresponds to the dimension of hom-spaces. The sums below are the same as in assumption, up to some natural adjunction isomorphisms.

- If $\sum_k N_{i_1, i_2}^k N_{i_5, i_4}^k = 1$, then $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X_{i_1} \otimes X_{i_2} \otimes X_{i_4}, X_{i_5})$ is one-dimensional. But $\mu_2(\mu_1 \otimes \text{id}_{i_4})$ and $\mu_4(\text{id}_{i_1} \otimes \mu_3)$ are non-zero in this hom-space, so $\mu_2(\mu_1 \otimes \text{id}_{i_4}) = \lambda \mu_4(\text{id}_{i_1} \otimes \mu_3)$, for some non-zero λ . Therefore,

$$\mu_2(\mu_1 \otimes \text{id}_{i_4})(\text{id}_{i_1} \otimes \mu'_3)\mu'_4 = \lambda \mu_4(\text{id}_{i_1} \otimes \mu_3)(\text{id}_{i_1} \otimes \mu'_3)\mu'_4 \neq 0.$$

- If $\sum_k N_{i_1, i_6}^k N_{i_3, i_4}^k = 1$, then $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X_{i_1} \otimes X_{i_6}, X_{i_3} \otimes X_{i_4})$ is one-dimensional. But $(\mu_1 \otimes \text{id}_{i_4})(\text{id}_{i_1} \otimes \mu'_3)$ and $\mu'_2 \mu_4$ are non-zero in this hom-space, so $(\mu_1 \otimes \text{id}_{i_4})(\text{id}_{i_1} \otimes \mu'_3) = \lambda \mu'_2 \mu_4$, for some non-zero λ . Therefore,

$$\mu_2(\mu_1 \otimes \text{id}_{i_4})(\text{id}_{i_1} \otimes \mu'_3)\mu'_4 = \lambda \mu_2 \mu'_2 \mu_4 \mu'_4 \neq 0.$$

- If $\sum_k N_{i_3, i_2}^k N_{i_5, i_6}^k = 1$, then $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X_{i_5}, X_{i_3} \otimes X_{i_2}^* \otimes X_{i_6})$ is one-dimensional. But $((\mu_1 \otimes \text{id}_{i_2^*})(\text{id}_{i_1} \otimes \mu'_3)) \otimes \text{id}_{i_6}$ and $(\text{id}_{i_3} \otimes \zeta')\mu'_2$ are non-zero in this hom-space, where $\zeta := (\cup_{i_2^*} \otimes \text{id}_{i_4})(\text{id}_{i_2^*} \otimes \mu'_3)$ is non-zero in $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X_{i_2}^* \otimes X_{i_6}, X_{i_4})$, and ζ' is given by Notation 13.1. So

$$(((\mu_1 \otimes \text{id}_{i_2^*})(\text{id}_{i_1} \otimes \mu'_3)) \otimes \text{id}_{i_6})\mu'_4 = \lambda(\text{id}_{i_3} \otimes \zeta')\mu'_2,$$

for some non-zero λ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_2(\mu_1 \otimes \text{id}_{i_4})(\text{id}_{i_1} \otimes \mu'_3)\mu'_4 &= \mu_2(\text{id}_{i_3} \otimes \zeta)((\mu_1 \otimes \text{id}_{i_2^*})(\text{id}_{i_1} \otimes \mu'_3)) \otimes \text{id}_{i_6})\mu'_4 \\ &= \lambda \mu_2(\text{id}_{i_3} \otimes \zeta)(\text{id}_{i_3} \otimes \zeta')\mu'_2 \neq 0. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Theorem 13.3 (Zero Spectrum Criterion). *For a fusion ring R , if there are indices $i_j \in I$, $1 \leq j \leq 9$, such that $N_{i_4, i_1}^{i_6}$, $N_{i_5, i_4}^{i_2}$, $N_{i_5, i_6}^{i_3}$, $N_{i_7, i_9}^{i_1}$, $N_{i_2, i_7}^{i_8}$, $N_{i_8, i_9}^{i_3}$ are non-zero, and*

$$(15) \quad \sum_k N_{i_4, i_7}^k N_{i_5^*, i_8}^k N_{i_6, i_9^*}^k = 0,$$

$$(16) \quad N_{i_2, i_1}^{i_3} = 1,$$

$$(17) \quad \sum_{k \in I} N_{i_5, i_4}^k N_{i_3, i_1^*}^k = 1 \text{ or } \sum_k N_{i_2, i_4}^k N_{i_3, i_6}^k = 1 \text{ or } \sum_k N_{i_5^*, i_2}^k N_{i_6, i_1^*}^k = 1,$$

$$(18) \quad \sum_{k \in I} N_{i_2, i_7}^k N_{i_3, i_9^*}^k = 1 \text{ or } \sum_k N_{i_8, i_7}^k N_{i_3, i_1^*}^k = 1 \text{ or } \sum_k N_{i_2^*, i_8}^k N_{i_1, i_9^*}^k = 1,$$

then R cannot be categorified, i.e. R is not the Grothendieck ring of a fusion category, over any field \mathbb{k} .

Proof. Assume that \mathcal{C} is the categorification of R . As $N_{i_4, i_1}^{i_6}$, $N_{i_5, i_4}^{i_2}$, $N_{i_5, i_6}^{i_3}$, $N_{i_7, i_9}^{i_1}$, $N_{i_2, i_7}^{i_8}$, $N_{i_8, i_9}^{i_3}$ are non-zero, we take non-zero morphisms $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_6$ in the corresponding hom-spaces. By Equation (15), the spectrum of the PE (14) is empty, so its RHS is zero. By Equation (16), its LHS is

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc|cc} i_2 & i_7 & i_8 & \mu_5 & \mu_6 \\ i_9 & i_3 & i_1 & \mu_4 & \mu_0 \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc|cc} i_5 & i_4 & i_2 & \mu_2 & \mu_0 \\ i_1 & i_3 & i_6 & \mu_1 & \mu_3 \end{array} \right)$$

for a non-zero morphism μ_0 in the one-dimensional hom-space $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X_{i_2} \otimes X_{i_1}, X_{i_3})$. By Equations (17), (18) and Lemma 13.2, each F-symbol on the LHS is non-zero, contradiction. \square

$$\begin{aligned}
5v_0 + 5v_1 + 7v_3 + 7v_5 + 1/5 &= 0, \\
25v_0^2 + 25v_1^2 + 35v_3^2 + 35v_5^2 - 4/5 &= 0, \\
5v_0^3 + 5v_1^3 + 7v_3^3 + 7v_5^3 - v_0^2 + 1/125 &= 0, \\
5v_0v_1^2 + 5v_1v_2^2 + 7v_3v_4^2 + 7v_5v_6^2 + 1/125 &= 0, \\
5u_0v_1 - v_1^2 + 7u_1v_3 + 7u_2v_5 + 1/125 &= 0, \\
5v_1 + 5v_2 + 7v_4 + 7v_6 + 1/5 &= 0, \\
25v_0v_1 + 25v_1v_2 + 35v_3v_4 + 35v_5v_6 + 1/5 &= 0, \\
5v_0^2v_1 + 5v_1^2v_2 + 7v_3^2v_4 + 7v_5^2v_6 - v_1^2 + 1/125 &= 0, \\
25v_1^2 + 25v_2^2 + 35v_4^2 + 35v_6^2 - 4/5 &= 0, \\
5v_1^3 + 5v_2^3 + 7v_4^3 + 7v_6^3 - u_0 + 1/125 &= 0, \\
5u_0v_2 - v_2^2 + 7u_1v_4 + 7u_2v_6 + 1/125 &= 0
\end{aligned}$$

where $u_0 = x_k(5_3, 5_3)$, $u_1 = x_k(7_1, 5_3)$, $u_2 = x_k(7_2, 5_3)$, $v_0 = y_k(5_1, 5_1)$, $v_1 = y_k(5_3, 5_1)$, $v_2 = y_k(5_3, 5_3)$, $v_3 = y_k(7_1, 5_1)$, $v_4 = y_k(7_1, 5_3)$, $v_5 = y_k(7_2, 5_1)$, $v_6 = y_k(7_2, 5_3)$. This subsystem admits 14 solutions in characteristic 0, which can be express as a Gröbner basis (see §15.2).

Next we can apply Theorem 10.5 with k, S_k, S'_k as above, together with $l = 5_3$, $S_l = \{1, 5_2, 5_3, 7_1, 7_2\}$ and $S'_l = \{1, 5_2, 5_3\}$. We get a subsystem E_l (equivalent to E_k , see §15.2) with $w_0 = x_l(5_2, 5_2)$, $w_1 = x_l(7_1, 5_2)$, $w_2 = x_l(7_2, 5_2)$, $z_0 = y_l(5_2, 5_2)$, $z_1 = y_l(5_3, 5_2)$, $z_2 = y_l(5_3, 5_3)$, $z_3 = y_l(7_1, 5_2)$, $z_4 = y_l(7_1, 5_3)$, $z_5 = y_l(7_2, 5_2)$, $z_6 = y_l(7_2, 5_3)$; together with the following extra equation

$$(25) \quad 5u_0z_2 + 7u_1z_4 + 7u_2z_6 - u_0 + 1/125 = 0.$$

It remains to show that for all solutions of E_k and of E_l , Equation (25) is never satisfied. That can be done formally and quickly (less than 1min) as follows: compute a Gröbner basis for E_k , for E_l , put them together with Equation (25), then you get a system with a trivial Gröbner basis (see the code for TwoParallel in Subection 15.2). \square

```
sage: %time TwoParallel(0)
CPU times: user 48.5 s, sys: 0 ns, total: 48.5 s
Wall time: 48.5 s
[1]
```

The rest of the section is dedicated to the positive characteristic case.

Definition 14.2. Let \mathcal{F} be a commutative fusion ring. Let M_1, \dots, M_r be its fusion matrices, and let $D_i = \text{diag}(\lambda_{i,j})$, $i = 1, \dots, r$ be their simultaneous diagonalization. The character table of \mathcal{F} is the table given by $(\lambda_{i,j})$. By convention, let us take $\lambda_{i,1} = \|M_i\|$ (the Frobenius-Perron dimension of the corresponding simple object).

Here is the character table of \mathcal{F}_{210} :

$$\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
5 & -1 & -\zeta_7 - \zeta_7^6 & -\zeta_7^5 - \zeta_7^2 & -\zeta_7^4 - \zeta_7^3 & 0 & 0 \\
5 & -1 & -\zeta_7^5 - \zeta_7^2 & -\zeta_7^4 - \zeta_7^3 & -\zeta_7 - \zeta_7^6 & 0 & 0 \\
5 & -1 & -\zeta_7^4 - \zeta_7^3 & -\zeta_7 - \zeta_7^6 & -\zeta_7^5 - \zeta_7^2 & 0 & 0 \\
6 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\
7 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \zeta_5 + \zeta_5^4 & \zeta_5^2 + \zeta_5^3 \\
7 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \zeta_5^2 + \zeta_5^3 & \zeta_5 + \zeta_5^4
\end{bmatrix}$$

Lemma 14.3. A character χ of a commutative fusion ring \mathcal{F} (of basis $\{b_1, \dots, b_r\}$) is given by a column of its character table, i.e. there is j such that $\chi(b_i) = \lambda_{i,j}$.

Proof. Because χ is a ring homomorphism, $\chi(b_i)\chi(b_j) = \sum_k N_{i,j}^k \chi(b_k)$; in other words, $M_i v = \chi(b_i)v$ where v is the vector $(\chi(b_s))_s$, which turns out to be a common eigenvector for every fusion matrix M_i , with eigenvalue $\chi(b_i)$. So by definition of the character table, there is j such that $\chi(b_i) = \lambda_{i,j}$. \square

Lemma 14.4. Let \mathcal{F} be a commutative fusion ring with character table $(\lambda_{i,j})$, such that for all $j \neq 1$, there is i with $\lambda_{i,j} = 0$ (i.e. every column of the character table has a zero entry, except the first one). Then a pivotal categorification \mathcal{C} of \mathcal{F} is pseudo-unitary.

Proof. By [6, Proposition 4.7.12] (requiring a pivotal structure), a dimension function on the objects of \mathcal{C} induces a character χ on its Grothendieck ring \mathcal{F} . By Lemma 14.3, over the complex field, such a character is given by a column of the character table $(\lambda_{i,j})$, whose entries are the dimensions of the simple objects, but by [6, Proposition 4.8.4],

these dimensions must be nonzero, so by assumption, we are reduced to the first column, so it is pseudo-unitary. The argument works as well over a field of positive characteristic because if 0 is a root of the characteristic polynomial of a fusion matrix, then 0 is also a root when the polynomial is taken modulo a prime. \square

Remark 14.5. *The character ring of every non-abelian and non-alternating finite simple group satisfies the assumption of Lemma 14.4, except Mathieu groups M_{22} , M_{24} and Conway group C_3 [25].*

Theorem 14.6. *Let \mathcal{F} be a fusion ring as in Lemma 14.4. Assume that it admits no categorification in characteristic zero, and that for all prime p dividing $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{F})$, there is a simple object X such that p divides $\text{FPdim}(X)$. Then \mathcal{F} admits no pivotal categorification in positive characteristic.*

Proof. By Lemma 14.4, a pivotal categorification of \mathcal{F} must be pseudo-unitary. Assume the existence of such a categorification \mathcal{C} in characteristic p . We can assume the field to be $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$ (algebraically closed, so \mathcal{C} is split). By pseudo-unitarity, $\dim(\mathcal{C}) = \text{FPdim}(\mathcal{F})$, and by [6, Theorem 9.16.1], if p does not divide $\dim(\mathcal{C})$ then \mathcal{C} lifts in characteristic zero, which contradicts the assumption. It remains to consider the case p dividing $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{F})$, but then by assumption, there is a simple object X such that p divides $\text{FPdim}(X)$, which contradicts [6, Remark 7.21.1] by pseudo-unitarity and [6, Remark 9.4.3]. \square

Corollary 14.7. *The fusion ring \mathcal{F}_{210} admits no pivotal categorification in positive characteristic.*

Proof. Immediate by Theorem 14.6 and above character table. \square

Question 14.8. *Is there a non-pivotal categorification of \mathcal{F}_{210} ?*

Remark 14.9. *The fusion ring \mathcal{F}_{210} is in the family of interpolated simple integral fusion rings from [17] (it corresponds to $q = 6$). We wonder whether Theorem 14.1 extends to all non prime-power q (it is open for every $q \neq 6$), but for which it does, then Corollary 14.7 extends as well, using the generic character table mentioned in [17]. The application of Corollary 10.3 to the case $q = 10$ (of rank 11, FPdim 990 and type $[[1, 1], [9, 5], [10, 1], [11, 4]]$) provides a local subsystem of 59 variables and 69 equations.*

14.2. Fusion Ring \mathcal{F}_{660} . In this section, we discuss the simple integral fusion ring \mathcal{F}_{660} . It is of rank 8, FPdim 660 and type $[[1, 1], [5, 2], [10, 2], [11, 1], [12, 2]]$, with fusion matrices as follows,

1 00000000	0 10000000	0 01000000	0 00100000	0 00010000	0 00001000	0 00000010	0 00000001
0 10000000	0 01110000	1 00000011	0 01011111	0 01101111	0 00111111	0 10111111	0 10111111
0 01000000	1 00000011	0 10110000	0 10011111	0 10101111	0 00111111	0 01111111	0 01111111
0 00100000	0 01011111	0 10011111	1 00311222	0 11112222	0 11122222	0 11222222	0 11222222
0 00010000	0 01101111	0 10101111	0 11112222	1 00131222	0 11212222	0 11222222	0 11222222
0 00001000	0 00111111	0 00111111	0 11122222	0 11212222	1 11222222	0 11222222	0 11222222
0 00000100	0 10111111	0 01111111	0 11222222	0 11222222	0 11222222	1 11222222	0 11222222
0 00000010	0 10111111	0 01111111	0 11222222	0 11222222	0 11222222	1 11222222	0 11222222
0 00000001	0 10111111	0 01111111	0 11222222	0 11222222	0 11222222	0 11222222	1 11222222

Note that this fusion ring passed all former categorification criteria.

Theorem 14.10. *The fusion ring \mathcal{F}_{660} cannot be categorified.*

Proof. Apply Theorem 13.3 with $(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4, i_5, i_6, i_7, i_8, i_9) = (1, 3, 4, 1, 1, 3, 4, 2, 2)$. \square

In our database [24] of thousands of fusion rings (simple/perfect integral, or small rank/multiplicity), \mathcal{F}_{660} is surprisingly the only one ruled out from any categorification by Theorem 13.3 or 13.4 among those not ruled out from unitary categorification by Schur Product Criterion [19].

15. APPENDIX

15.1. Counterexamples to Wang’s Conjecture in Even Case.

Let us check by GAP [9] what we stated about PSU(3, 2) in §4.

```
gap> G:=PSU(3,2);;
gap> Indicator(CharacterTable(G),2);
[ 1, 1, 1, 1, -1, 1 ]
gap> M:=RepGroupFusionRing(G);;
gap> M[6][6];
[ 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 7 ]
```

The function RepGroupFusionRing computes the fusion matrices of the representation ring of a finite group.

```
RepGroupFusionRing:=function(g)
  local irr,n,M;
  irr:=Irr(g); n:=Size(irr);
  M:=List([1..n],i->List([1..n],j->List([1..n],k->ScalarProduct(irr[i]*irr[j],irr[k]))));
  return M;
end;;
```

There are exactly three other such counterexamples up to order 128, given by

```
gap> G:=SmallGroup(128,n);;
```

with $n = 764, 801, 802$ (the first is the one from [22]). Moreover, the smallest counterexample among the finite simple groups is PSU(3, 5), of order 126000. They can all be checked as above.

15.2. TwoParallel Code.

Here is the SageMath code [26] of the function TwoParallel (used in the proof of Theorem 14.1):

```
def TwoParallel(p):
    if p==0:
        F=QQ
    else:
        F=GF(p)
    R1.<u0,u1,u2,v0,v1,v2,v3,v4,v5,v6>=PolynomialRing(F,10)
    E1=[u0+7/F(5)*u1+7/F(5)*u2-4/F(125),
        5*v0+5*v1+7*v3+7*v5+1/F(5),
        25*v0^2+25*v1^2+35*v3^2+35*v5^2-4/F(5),
        5*v0^3+5*v1^3+7*v3^3+7*v5^3-v0^2+1/F(125),
        5*v0*v1^2+5*v1*v2^2+7*v3*v4^2+7*v5*v6^2+1/F(125),
        5*u0*v1-v1^2+7*u1*v3+7*u2*v5+1/F(125),
        5*v1+5*v2+7*v4+7*v6+1/F(5),
        25*v0*v1+25*v1*v2+35*v3*v4+35*v5*v6+1/F(5),
        5*v0^2*v1+5*v1^2*v2+7*v3^2*v4+7*v5^2*v6-v1^2+1/F(125),
        25*v1^2+25*v2^2+35*v4^2+35*v6^2-4/F(5),
        5*v1^3+5*v2^3+7*v4^3+7*v6^3-u0+1/F(125),
        5*u0*v2-v2^2+7*u1*v4+7*u2*v6+1/F(125)]
    Id1=R1.ideal(E1)
    G1=Id1.groebner_basis() #list of solutions: print(Id1.variety(F.algebraic_closure()))
    C1=[g for g in G1] #explicit Groebner basis: print(C1)
    R2.<w0,w1,w2,z0,z1,z2,z3,z4,z5,z6>=PolynomialRing(F,10)
    E2=[w0+7/F(5)*w1+7/F(5)*w2-4/F(125),
        5*z0+5*z1+7*z3+7*z5+1/F(5),
        25*z0^2+25*z1^2+35*z3^2+35*z5^2-4/F(5),
        5*z0^3+5*z1^3+7*z3^3+7*z5^3-w0+1/F(125),
        5*w0*z0-z0^2+7*w1*z3+7*w2*z5+1/F(125),
        5*z0*z1^2+5*z1*z2^2+7*z3*z4^2+7*z5*z6^2-z1^2+1/F(125),
        5*z1+5*z2+7*z4+7*z6+1/F(5),
        25*z0*z1+25*z1*z2+35*z3*z4+35*z5*z6+1/F(5),
        5*z0^2*z1+5*z1^2*z2+7*z3^2*z4+7*z5^2*z6+1/F(125),
        5*w0*z1-z1^2+7*w1*z4+7*w2*z6+1/F(125),
        25*z1^2+25*z2^2+35*z4^2+35*z6^2-4/F(5),
        5*z1^3+5*z2^3+7*z4^3+7*z6^3-z2^2+1/F(125)]
    Id2=R2.ideal(E2)
    G2=Id2.groebner_basis()
    C2=[g for g in G2]
    R.<u0,u1,u2,v0,v1,v2,v3,v4,v5,v6,w0,w1,w2,z0,z1,z2,z3,z4,z5,z6>=PolynomialRing(F,20)
    C=C1+C2+[5*u0*z2 + 7*u1*z4 + 7*u2*z6 - u0 + 1/F(125)]
    Id=R.ideal(C)
    G=Id.groebner_basis()
    return G
```

REFERENCES

- [1] B. BARTLETT, *Fusion categories via string diagrams*. Commun. Contemp. Math. 18 (2016), no. 5, 1550080, 39 pp.
- [2] O. DAVIDOVICH, T. HAGGE, Z. WANG, *On Arithmetic Modular Categories*, arXiv:1305.2229 (2013).
- [3] F. DIGNE, J. MICHEL, *Representations of finite groups of Lie type*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts, 95. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2020. viii+258 pp.
- [4] P. ETINGOF, D. NIKSHYCH, AND V. OSTRIK, *On fusion categories*, Annals of Mathematics, 162 (2005), pp. 581–642.
- [5] P. ETINGOF, D. NIKSHYCH, AND V. OSTRIK, *Weakly group-theoretical and solvable fusion categories*, Adv. Math., 226 (2011), pp. 176–205.

- [6] P. ETINGOF, S. GELAKI, D. NIKSHYCH, AND V. OSTRIK, *Tensor Categories*, American Mathematical Society, (2015). Mathematical Surveys and Monographs Volume 205.
- [7] J. FUCHS; I. RUNKEL; C. SCHWEIGERT. *A reason for fusion rules to be even*. J. Phys. A 35 (2002), no. 19, L255–L259.
- [8] W. FULTON, J. HARRIS, *Representation theory. A first course*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 129. Readings in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991. xvi+551 pp.
- [9] THE GAP GROUP, *GAP – Groups, Algorithms, and Programming*, Version 4.11.0; 2020.
- [10] T.J. HAGGE; S-M. HONG, *Some non-braided fusion categories of rank three*. Commun. Contemp. Math. 11 (2009), no. 4, 615–637.
- [11] A.M. JAFFE, Z. LIU, *Mathematical picture language program*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 115 (2018), no. 1, 81–86
- [12] V.F.R. JONES, *Planar algebras*, New Zealand Journal of Mathematics, 52 (2021), 1–107
- [13] G. I. KAC, *Certain arithmetic properties of ring groups.*, Funct. Anal. Appl., 6 (1972), pp. 158–160.
- [14] I. KAPLANSKY, *Bialgebras*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Department of Mathematics, University of Chicago (1975) iv+57 pp.
- [15] Z. LIU *Exchange relation planar algebras of small rank*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 368 (2016), pp. 8303–8348.
- [16] Z. LIU, *Quon language: surface algebras and Fourier duality*, Communications in Mathematical Physics, 366 (2019), pp. 865–894.
- [17] Z. LIU, S. PALCOUX AND Y. REN, *Interpolated family of non-group-like simple integral fusion rings of Lie type*, Internat. J. Math. 34 (2023), no. 6, Paper No. 2350030, 51 pp. DOI: 10.1142/S0129167X23500301
- [18] Z. LIU, S. PALCOUX AND Y. REN, *Polynomial Equations for Irrational Near Group Categories*, In Progress.
- [19] Z. LIU, S. PALCOUX AND J. WU, *Fusion Bialgebras and Fourier Analysis*, Adv. Math. 390 (2021), 107905.
- [20] G. LUSZTIG, *Leading coefficients of character values of Hecke algebras*, Proc. Symp. in Pure Math., 47, pp. 235–262 (1987).
- [21] S. MAC LANE, *Categories for the working mathematician*, Second edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 5. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998. xii+314 pp.
- [22] G. MASON, *A brief history of the positivity conjecture in tensor category theory*. Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sin. (N.S.) 14 (2019), no. 2, 149–153.
- [23] S.H. NG, P. SCHAUBENBURG, *Higher Frobenius-Schur indicators for pivotal categories*. Hopf algebras and generalizations, 63–90, Contemp. Math., 441, Amer. Math. Soc., 2007.
- [24] S. PALCOUX, <https://sites.google.com/view/sebastienpalcoux/fusion-rings> (2020).
- [25] S. PALCOUX, *The finite groups with a zero entry in each column of its character table (except the first one)*, <https://mathoverflow.net/a/401984/34538>.
- [26] THE SAGE DEVELOPERS, *SageMath, the Sage Mathematics Software System* (Version 9.2), sagemath.org (2020)
- [27] J. SLINGERLAND, G. VERCLEYEN, *On Low Rank Fusion Rings*, arXiv:2205.15637.
- [28] D.P. THURSTON, *The algebra of knotted trivalent graphs and Turaev’s shadow world*. Invariants of knots and 3-manifolds (Kyoto, 2001), 337–362, Geom. Topol. Monogr., 4, Geom. Topol. Publ., Coventry, 2002.
- [29] V. G. TURAEV, O. YA. VIRO, *State sum invariants of 3-manifolds and quantum 6j-symbols*, Topology 31 (1992), no. 4, 865–902.
- [30] Y. WANG, *On the positive conjecture: a digest of Mason’s counterexample*, unpublished note, 2018, <https://yilongwang11.github.io/On-the-positivity-conjecture.pdf>
- [31] Z. WANG, *Topological quantum computation*, CBMS Reg. Conf. Ser. Math. (112) xiii + 115pp, (2010).

Z. LIU, YAU MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES CENTER AND DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY, BEIJING, 100084, CHINA

Z. LIU, YANQI LAKE BEIJING INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES AND APPLICATIONS, HUIAIROU DISTRICT, BEIJING, CHINA
Email address: liuzhengwei@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn

S. PALCOUX, YANQI LAKE BEIJING INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES AND APPLICATIONS, HUIAIROU DISTRICT, BEIJING, CHINA
Email address: sebastien.palcoux@gmail.com
URL: <https://sites.google.com/view/sebastienpalcoux>

Y. REN, DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS, HARVARD UNIVERSITY, CAMBRIDGE, 02138, USA
Email address: renyunxiang@gmail.com