

Critical growth fractional Kirchhoff elliptic problems

Divya Goel^{1,*}, Sushmita Rawat^{2,†} and K. Sreenadh^{2,‡}

¹ Department of Mathematical Sciences, Indian Institute of Technology (BHU),
Varanasi 221005, India

² Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi,
Hauz Khas, New Delhi 110016, India.

Abstract

This article is concerned with the existence and multiplicity of positive weak solutions for the following fractional Kirchhoff-Choquard problem:

$$M(\|u\|^2) (-\Delta)^s u = \lambda f(x) |u|^{q-2} u + \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(y)|^{2_{\mu,s}^*}}{|x-y|^{\mu}} dy \right) |u|^{2_{\mu,s}^*-2} u \text{ in } \Omega,$$

$$u > 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega,$$

where Ω is open bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^N with C^2 boundary, $N > 2s$ and $s \in (0, 1)$, here M models Kirchhoff-type coefficient of the form $M(t) = a + bt^{\theta-1}$, where $a, b > 0$ are given constants. $(-\Delta)^s$ is fractional Laplace operator, $\lambda > 0$ is a real parameter. Using the variational methods, we explore the existence of solution for $q \in (1, 2_s^*)$ and $\theta \geq 1$. Here $2_s^* = \frac{2N}{N-2s}$ and $2_{\mu,s}^* = \frac{2N-\mu}{N-2s}$ is the critical exponent in the sense of Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality.

Key words: fractional Laplacian, Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev critical exponent, Kirchhoff equation, Concentration-compactness.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35A15, 35J60, 35J20.

* e-mail: divya.mat@iitbhu.ac.in

† e-mail: sushmita.rawat1994@gmail.com

‡ e-mail: sreenadh@maths.iitd.ac.in

1 Introduction

In this work, we study a class of Kirchhoff-type equation for fractional Laplacian with Choquard term. We consider the following problem

$$(P_\lambda) \begin{cases} \left(a + b\|u\|^{2\theta-2} \right) (-\Delta)^s u = \lambda f(x)|u|^{q-2}u + \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(y)|^{2^*_{\mu,s}}}{|x-y|^\mu} dy \right) |u|^{2^*_{\mu,s}-2}u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $M(t) = a + bt^{\theta-1}$, Ω is open bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^N having smooth boundary, $N > 2s$ with $s \in (0, 1)$, a, b, θ, λ are positive parameters, where $2^*_{\mu,s} = \frac{2N-\mu}{N-2s}$, and $\|u\|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy$. The function $f(x)$ is a continuous real valued sign changing function. Here, $(-\Delta)^s$ is fractional Laplace operator defined as,

$$(-\Delta)^s u(x) := C(N, s) \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_\epsilon(x)} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where $C(N, s)$ is the normalization constant and $B_\epsilon(x)$ denotes the open ball of radius ϵ centered at x .

Among the nonlocal elliptic equations, Choquard equations have gained attention in mathematics and physics, due to their numerous applications. One of the first applications was given by Pekar in the framework of quantum theory [27] and Lieb [19] used it in the approximation of Hartree-Fock theory. Consider the following equation

$$-\Delta u + V(x)u = (|x|^{-\mu} * F(u))f(u) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N \tag{1.1}$$

where $f \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies some growth condition, F is the anti-derivative of f and V is the vanishing potential. These type of equations have been studied by Moroz and Schaftingen [23]. For detailed state of the art research, readers can refer [11,24] and references therein. To make this paper more comprehensible for the readers, we provide a brief general introduction to existence and multiplicity results for equations involving nonlinear perturbation and critical non-linearity.

In nineties, Azorero and Alonso [4] studied the following problem

$$(-\Delta)^s u = \lambda|u|^{q-2}u + |u|^{2^*_s-1} \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega \tag{1.2}$$

for $s = 1$, and $2 < q < 2^* = \frac{2N}{N-2}$. They proved the existence of a non-trivial solution for large λ . While for $s \in (0, 1)$, Barrios, Colorado, Servadei and Soria [6] studied the problem (1.2) for $1 < q < 2^*_s$. For the convex power case $2 < q < 2^*_s$ the existence of the solution is proved using Mountain-pass theorem, for suitable value of λ depending on dimension N . On the other hand, for the concave case, authors established the multiplicity of solutions for small λ . These type of existence results for the problems involving the critical Choquard nonlinearity have been established by Gao and Yang [10]. Subsequently, for similar type of results in whole space, we cite [20,33] and references therein.

Kirchhoff [15] coined the following ‘‘Kirchhoff’’ equations

$$\rho \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} - \left(\frac{P_0}{h} + \frac{E}{2L} \int_0^L \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right|^2 dx \right) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} = 0. \quad (1.3)$$

This equation stems from the classical d’Alembert’s wave equation by considering the effects of the changes in the length of the strings during vibrations. The parameters in (1.3) have the following meanings: L is the length of the string, h is the area of cross-section, E is the Young modulus of the material, ρ is the mass density and P_0 is the initial tension.

Fiscella and Valdinoci [9] explored for the first time, stationary Kirchhoff equation, in bounded smooth domain of \mathbb{R}^N , which takes into account the nonlocal aspect of the tension arising from nonlocal measurements of the fractional length of the string. Indeed, they studied the following critical exponent problem

$$(a + b\|u\|^2) (-\Delta)^s u = \lambda f(x, u) + |u|^{2^*_s-2} u \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega. \quad (1.4)$$

Here f is a continuous function of sub-critical growth. Authors established the existence of non trivial solution for large λ . Considering the importance of existence of solutions in critical point theory, it is natural to seek analogue of above mentioned results for the Kirchhoff operator. In the last decade, for various non-linearities $f(x, u)$, Kirchhoff problems have been explored by numerous mathematicians. For instance, Naimen [26] studied (1.4) for $s = 1$, $f(x, u) = u$, $a = 1$ and $N = 3$ and proved the existence, nonexistence and uniqueness of positive solutions. Subsequently in [25], for $f(x, u) = u^q$, Naimen proved the existence of solution when $q \in (2, 4]$ by variational methods, while for $q \in (4, 6)$, the existence results were obtained by a cut-off technique.

On the other hand, Li and Liao [16] studied the following problem on whole domain

$$(a + b\|u\|^2) (-\Delta)^s u = \lambda k(x) |u|^{q-2} u + \mu |u|^{2^*_s-2} u \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N \quad (1.5)$$

for $s = 1$, $a, b > 0$. Here authors registered the existence of two positive solutions when $2 < q < 2^*$, using minimization argument and Mountain-pass theorem, for some $\mu \in (0, \mu^*)$ and for λ large enough, where $k \in L^{\frac{2^*}{2^*-q}}$ and $k \geq 0$. There is a large volume of literature that examines diverse aspects of existence of solution to problems involving Kirchhoff operator. For a sample, we refer reader to some recent articles [1, 8, 21, 28–31, 36–39].

Recently, Goel and Sreenadh [14] studied the problem (P_λ) for $s = 1$, $1 < q \leq 2$. To prove the multiplicity of solutions for $1 < q < 2$, authors used the Nehari Manifold technique whereas for $q = 2$, existence of solution is obtained using the Mountain-pass theorem. While for whole domain, Wang and Xiang [35] studied the following Kirchhoff-Choquard equation

$$(a + b\|u\|^{2\theta-2}) (-\Delta)^s u = \lambda f(x) |u|^{q-2} u + \beta \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(y)|^{2^*_{\mu,s}}}{|x-y|^\mu} dy \right) |u|^{2^*_{\mu,s}-2} u \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N \quad (1.6)$$

where $4s \leq \mu < N$, $\theta = 2$ and $2 < q < 2^*_s$. For $f \geq 0$, $a > 0$ and b sufficiently small, they established the existence of two non-trivial solutions using minimization argument and

Mountain-pass theorem for $\lambda = \beta$, and λ large enough. Later Liang, Pucci and Zhang [18] proved the same results for $s = 1$ case. Wang, Hu and Xiang [34], investigated the following equation

$$\left(a + b\|u\|^{p\theta-p}\right) (-\Delta)_p^s u = \lambda \frac{f(x)}{u^\beta} + \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{g(x)|u|^q}{|x-y|^\mu} dy\right) g(x)u^{q-1}, u > 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N \quad (1.7)$$

where $N \geq 2, 1 < p < N/s$ with $s \in (0, 1)$, $1 < q < p_{\mu,s}^*$, and $\theta \in [1, 2q)$, $p_{\mu,s}^* = \frac{p(2N-\mu)}{2(N-ps)}$. Here authors proved the existence of two non-negative solutions using Nehari manifold approach. In this que, Rawat and Sreenadh [32], established the multiplicity and regularity of solutions for (1.7) in bounded domain with $q = 2_{\mu,s}^*$ and $f = g = 1$. They studied the degenerate Kirchhoff problem (1.7), using the minimization argument and by approximating the perturbed problem.

To the best of our knowledge, there is no attempt till now to check the existence and multiplicity of solutions to Kirchhoff-Choquard equation for $1 < q < 2_s^*$, $\theta \geq 1$. Our aim here is a modest attempt to bridge this gap. The central idea is to illustrate a unified approach. Here in this article, we studied the problem (P_λ) and illustrated the existence and multiplicity of solutions. To be precise, the aforementioned results in references [18, 35] for $\mu > 4s$ encouraged us to ask if analogous results for a Kirchhoff-Choquard equation for any $\mu \in (0, N)$ exists. Section 3 and Section 4 seek to show that this is indeed the case. For instance, we prove the following results in case of $1 \leq \theta < 2_{\mu,s}^*$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $2 < q < 2\theta$, then there exist $\Lambda^* > 0$ such that for $N > 4s$, (P_λ) has at least one positive solution, for all $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda^*)$.*

Theorem 1.2. *Let $2\theta \leq q < 2_s^*$, then there exists $\Lambda_* > 0$ such that (P_λ) has at least one positive solution for all $\lambda \geq \Lambda_*$.*

Observe that we get contrasting results for $2 < q < 2\theta$ and $2\theta \leq q < 2_s^*$. While for $\theta \geq 2_{\mu,s}^*$, we prove the existence of two positive solutions. The novelty of this result is that it proves the multiplicity of solutions for any $\mu \in (0, N)$ which is an open problem in [18].

Theorem 1.3. *Let $2 < q < 2_s^*$, there exists $\Lambda_{**} > 0$ such that for $\lambda > \Lambda_{**}$*

- (i) *when $\theta = 2_{\mu,s}^*$, $a > 0$ and $b > (S_s^H)^{-2_{\mu,s}^*}$, (P_λ) admits at least two positive solutions,*
- (ii) *when $\theta > 2_{\mu,s}^*$, there exist $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}$ such that either for $a > 0$ and $b > \mathfrak{B}$ or $b > 0$ and $a > \mathfrak{A}$, (P_λ) admits at least two positive solutions*

$$\text{where } \mathfrak{A} := \frac{\theta-2_{\mu,s}^*}{\theta-1} \left[\frac{2_{\mu,s}^*-1}{b(\theta-1)} \right]^{\frac{2_{\mu,s}^*-1}{\theta-2_{\mu,s}^*}} (S_s^H)^{\frac{-2_{\mu,s}^*(\theta-1)}{\theta-2_{\mu,s}^*}} \text{ and } \mathfrak{B} := \frac{2_{\mu,s}^*-1}{\theta-1} \left[\frac{\theta-2_{\mu,s}^*}{a(\theta-1)} \right]^{\frac{\theta-2_{\mu,s}^*}{2_{\mu,s}^*-1}} (S_s^H)^{\frac{-2_{\mu,s}^*(\theta-1)}{2_{\mu,s}^*-1}}.$$

After this, we deal with the convex-concave behavior of non linearities, i.e. $1 < q \leq 2$. We have extended the results of [14] for the fractional diffusion Kirchhoff problems with $\theta \geq 1$. Although this is a fundamental and natural extension, we did not find it explicitly anywhere

in the literature, and for this reason we record it in the last section of the article. To give a consolidated approach, we extend the results of [14] by using the minimization approach and Mountain-pass theorem in place of Nehari Manifold technique. Precisely, we prove that

Theorem 1.4. *Let $1 \leq \theta < 2_{\mu,s}^*$ and $1 < q \leq 2$, then there exist $\Lambda^{**}, \tilde{\Lambda}^{**} > 0$ such that*

- (i) *If $0 < \mu < \min\{4s, N\}$, then for $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda^{**})$ and $1 < q < 2$, (P_λ) admits at least two positive solutions.*
- (ii) *If $4s \leq \mu < N$, then for $\lambda \in (0, \tilde{\Lambda}^{**})$ and $\frac{N}{N-2s} \leq q < 2$, (P_λ) admits at least two positive solutions.*
- (iii) *For $q = 2$, (P_λ) admits at least one positive solution.*

Remark 1.1. *Proof of existence of one positive solution in case of $1 < q < 2$ holds for all $\theta \geq 1$.*

Let us note that the case $M = 1$ of (P_λ) are relatively easy to deal as compared to the case $M(t) = a + bt^{\theta-1}$. The presence of the Kirchhoff operator makes the problem more complex to handle as the weak limit of the Palais-Smale sequence is no more a weak solution to the problem. However, our exposition has a different goal: to apply the elementary techniques to establish the above-mentioned results. To demonstrate the proofs, we used a unified approach: the minimization arguments and the Mountain-pass theorem. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first article to address the existence of solution for any θ and register contrasting results for $2 < q < 2\theta$ and $2\theta \leq q < 2_s^*$ with $\theta \in [1, 2_{\mu,s}^*)$.

Remark 1.2. *The results in this article can be extended to problem given on \mathbb{R}^N , like the [18, 35].*

Remark 1.3. *Also, the conclusions of article can be generalized to the following p -fractional problem with critical exponent problem*

$$\left(a + b\|u\|^{p\theta-p}\right) (-\Delta)_p^s u = \lambda f(x)|u|^{q-2}u + |u|^{p_s^*-2}u, \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u > 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega.$$

where Ω is a smooth bounded domain, $\frac{N}{N-ps} < q < p_s^*$, $N > ps$, a, b, θ, λ are positive parameters, $s \in (0, 1]$, $p \geq 2$, $p_s^* = \frac{Np}{N-ps}$, and f is continuous function.

Rest of the paper is organized as follows: In section 2, we present the variational framework of problem (P_λ) . In section 3, we give some technical lemmas which will help us to prove Theorem 1.1 and 1.2 of the paper. In section 4, we present the proofs of Theorem 1.1 and 1.2. In section 5, we consider $\theta \geq 2_{\mu,s}^*$ and by using Mountain-pass theorem and minimization argument, we obtain two positive solutions for $2 < q < 2_s^*$. In section 6, we obtain two positive solutions for the case $1 < q < 2$ and one positive solution for the case $q = 2$.

2 Preliminaries

This section targets to set out the background for the current study. We define the functional space associated to this problem as

$$X_0 = \{u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) : u = 0 \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega\}$$

which is a closed subspace of the fractional Sobolev space $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with the corresponding norm,

$$\|u\|_{X_0} = \|u\| = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Proposition 2.1. (Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality): *Let $t, r > 1$ and $0 < \mu < N$ with $\frac{1}{t} + \frac{\mu}{N} + \frac{1}{r} = 2$, $f \in L^t(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $h \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then there exists a sharp constant $C(t, r, \mu, N)$ independent of f, h such that*

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{f(x)h(y)}{|x - y|^\mu} dx dy \leq C(t, r, \mu, N) \|f\|_{L^t(\mathbb{R}^N)} \|h\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)}.$$

From the embedding results [22], we conclude X_0 is continuously embedded in $L^p(\Omega)$, $p \in [1, 2_s^*]$. Also the embedding is compact for $1 \leq p < 2_s^*$. The best constant for the embedding X_0 into $L^{2_s^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is

$$S_s = \inf_{u \in X_0 \setminus \{0\}} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy : \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2_s^*} = 1 \right\}. \quad (2.1)$$

Consequently, we define

$$S_s^H = \inf_{u \in X_0 \setminus \{0\}} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy : \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x)|^{2_{\mu,s}^*} |u(y)|^{2_{\mu,s}^*}}{|x - y|^\mu} dx dy = 1 \right\}. \quad (2.2)$$

We shall summarize briefly the notion and notations of the function where the infimum of (2.1) and (2.2) exists but for more details we refer to [13, 22].

Lemma 2.1. *The constant S_s^H is achieved by u if and only if u is of the form*

$$C \left(\frac{t}{t^2 + |x - x_0|^2} \right)^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \text{ for some } x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N, C \text{ and } t > 0. \text{ Moreover, } S_s^H = \frac{S_s}{C(N, \mu)^{\frac{1}{2_{\mu,s}^*}}}.$$

Consider the family of functions U_ϵ , where U_ϵ is defined as

$$U_\epsilon = \epsilon^{-\frac{N-2s}{2}} u^* \left(\frac{x}{\epsilon} \right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \epsilon > 0, \quad (2.3)$$

$$u^*(x) = \bar{u} \left(\frac{x}{S_s^{\frac{1}{2s}}} \right), \quad \bar{u}(x) = \frac{\tilde{u}(x)}{\|\tilde{u}\|_{L^{2_s^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}} \text{ and } \tilde{u}(x) = \alpha(\beta^2 + |x|^2)^{-\frac{N-2s}{2}},$$

with $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta > 0$ are fixed constants. Then for each $\epsilon > 0$, U_ϵ satisfies

$$(-\Delta)^s u = |u|^{2_s^*-2} u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$

and the equality,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|U_\epsilon(x) - U_\epsilon(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |U_\epsilon|^{2^*} = S_s^{\frac{N}{2s}}.$$

Without loss of generality, we assume $0 \in \Omega$ and fix $\delta > 0$ such that $B_{4\delta} \subset \Omega$. Let $\eta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be a cut-off function such that

$$\eta = \begin{cases} 1 & B_\delta, \\ 0 & \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{2\delta}, \end{cases}$$

and for each $\epsilon > 0$, let u_ϵ be defined as

$$u_\epsilon(x) = \eta(x)U_\epsilon(x) \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (2.4)$$

where U_ϵ is defined in (2.3).

Proposition 2.2. *Let $s \in (0, 1)$ and $N > 2s$. Then*

$$\|u_\epsilon\|^2 \leq S_s^{\frac{N}{2s}} + O(\epsilon^{N-2s}), \quad \|u_\epsilon\|_{L^{2^*}}^{2^*} = S_s^{\frac{N}{2s}} + O(\epsilon^N)$$

and

$$\|u_\epsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \geq \begin{cases} C_s \epsilon^{2s} + O(\epsilon^{N-2s}) & N > 4s, \\ C_s \epsilon^{2s} |\log(\epsilon)| + O(\epsilon^{2s}) & N = 4s, \\ C_s \epsilon^{N-2s} + O(\epsilon^{2s}) & N < 4s, \end{cases}$$

as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, for some positive constant C_s depending on s .

Proposition 2.3. *Let $s \in (0, 1)$ and $N > 2s$. Then, the following estimates hold true for some positive constant $C(N, \mu)$*

$$\iint_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{|u_\epsilon(x)|^{2^*} |u_\epsilon(y)|^{2^*}}{|x - y|^\mu} dx dy \geq C(N, \mu)^{\frac{N}{2s}} (S_s^H)^{\frac{2N-\mu}{2s}} - O(\epsilon^N).$$

Taking into account that we are looking for positive solutions, the energy functional associated with the problem (P_λ) is $J_\lambda : X_0(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined as,

$$J_\lambda(u) = \frac{a}{2} \|u\|^2 + \frac{b}{2\theta} \|u\|^{2\theta} - \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\Omega} f(x) (u^+(x))^q dx - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \iint_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{(u^+(y))^{2_{\mu,s}^*} (u^+(x))^{2_{\mu,s}^*}}{|x - y|^\mu} dx dy.$$

Using Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality we can show $J_\lambda \in C^1$. Indeed for $\phi \in X_0(\Omega)$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle J'_\lambda(u), \phi \rangle &= \left(a + b \|u\|^{2(\theta-1)} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))(\phi(x) - \phi(y))}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \\ &\quad - \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x) (u^+(x))^{q-1} \phi(x) dx - \iint_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{(u^+(y))^{2_{\mu,s}^*} (u^+(x))^{2_{\mu,s}^* - 1} \phi(x)}{|x - y|^\mu} dx dy. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Throughout the paper we will use the following notations $u^+ = \max\{u, 0\}$, $u^- = \max\{-u, 0\}$ and

$$\|u\|_0^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} := \iint_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{|u(x)|^{2_{\mu,s}^*} |u(y)|^{2_{\mu,s}^*}}{|x - y|^\mu} dx dy.$$

3 Technical lemmas

We begin by assembling a couple of vital results, which will serve as prelude to our main results. Moreover, some results might be of independent interest.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $u \in X_0(\Omega)$ be a non-trivial solution of (P_λ) , then $u \in L^\infty(\Omega) \cap C^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Moreover, u is a positive solution.*

Proof. Let u be a non-trivial solution of (P_λ) , assuming $a + b\|u\|^{2\theta-2} := \mathcal{C}(u)$ where $\mathcal{C}(u) > 0$ since $a, b > 0$. Thus the problem (P_λ) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} (-\Delta)^s u &= \frac{\lambda f(x)(u^+)^{q-1}}{\mathcal{C}(u)} + \frac{1}{\mathcal{C}(u)} \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{(u^+(y))^{2^*_{\mu,s}}}{|x-y|^\mu} dy \right) (u^+)^{2^*_{\mu,s}-1} \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u &= 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Employing [12, Theorem 2.2], we obtain $u \in L^\infty(\Omega) \cap C^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Next we note that for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N$, the following holds

$$(u(x) - u(y))(u^-(x) - u^-(y)) \leq -|u^-(x) - u^-(y)|^2. \quad (3.1)$$

Thus, by taking $\phi = u^-$ in (2.5) and using (3.1) we get

$$0 = \left(a + b\|u\|^{2\theta-2} \right) \langle u, u^- \rangle \leq - \left(a + b\|u\|^{2\theta-2} \right) \|u^-\|^2.$$

It implies $u \geq 0$. Therefore, by the maximum-principle, we get $u > 0$. \square

The next Lemma shows that the functional satisfies Mountain-pass geometry for $q \in (1, 2_s^*)$ and $\theta \in [1, 2_{\mu,s}^*)$.

Lemma 3.2. *The functional J_λ satisfies the following conditions:*

- (i) *There exist $\alpha, \rho > 0$ such that $J_\lambda(u) > \alpha$ for $\|u\| = \rho$.*
- (ii) *$J_\lambda(0) = 0$ and there exists $e \in X_0(\Omega)$ with $\|e\| > \rho$ and $J_\lambda(e) < 0$.*

Proof. (i). We divide the proof into the following cases:

Case 1. When $2 < q < 2\theta$

Applying Hölder's inequality and Sobolev embedding we get

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(u) &\geq \frac{a}{2}\|u\|^2 + \frac{b}{2\theta}\|u\|^{2\theta} - \frac{\lambda}{q}\|f\|_{L^r} S_s^{-q/2} \|u\|^q - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} (S_s^H)^{-2^*_{\mu,s}} \|u\|^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \\ &> \|u\|^2 \left(\frac{a}{2} - \frac{\lambda}{q} \|f\|_{L^r} S_s^{-q/2} \|u\|^{q-2} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} (S_s^H)^{-2^*_{\mu,s}} \|u\|^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^* - 2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $2 < q < 2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*$, the function

$$\mathcal{H}(t) := \frac{\lambda}{q} \|f\|_{L^r} S_s^{-q/2} t^{q-2} + \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} (S_s^H)^{-2^*_{\mu,s}} t^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^* - 2}, \quad t > 0,$$

is an increasing function. Thus there exist $\alpha, \rho > 0$ such that $J_\lambda(u) > \alpha$ for $\|u\| = \rho$.

Case 2. When $2\theta \leq q < 2_s^*$

Using the same analysis as in Case 1, the result will follow for this range of q as well.

Case 3. When $1 < q < 2$

In this case we show that there exists λ_0 such that for $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0]$, the functional J_λ satisfies the required geometry. By Case 1. we have

$$J_\lambda(u) > \|u\|^q \left(\frac{a}{2} \|u\|^{2-q} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} (S_s^H)^{-2_{\mu,s}^*} \|u\|^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^* - q} - \frac{\lambda}{q} \|f\|_{L^r} S_s^{-q/2} \right).$$

Since $q < 2 < 2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*$, the function

$$\mathcal{G}(t) := \frac{at^{2-q}}{2} - \frac{(S_s^H)^{-2_{\mu,s}^*}}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} t^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^* - q}, \quad t > 0,$$

attains maxima at $\rho := \left[\frac{a(2-q)2_{\mu,s}^* (S_s^H)^{2_{\mu,s}^*}}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^* - q} \right]^{\frac{1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^* - 2}}$, where $\mathcal{G}(\rho) > 0$.

We set $\lambda_0 := \frac{q S_s^{q/2}}{2 \|f\|_{L^r}} \mathcal{G}(\rho)$, it follows that for any $\lambda \leq \lambda_0$, there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that $J_\lambda(u) \geq \rho^q \frac{\mathcal{G}(\rho)}{2} = \alpha$ for $\|u\| = \rho$.

Case 4. When $q = 2$

For $\lambda \in \left(0, \frac{a S_s}{\|f\|_{L^r}}\right)$, it is easy to see that for small enough $\|u\|$, the functional J_λ satisfies the desired geometry.

Proof. (ii). For every $u \in X_0(\Omega)$ and $t > 0$, we have

$$J_\lambda(tu) = \frac{at^2}{2} \|u\|^2 + \frac{bt^{2\theta}}{2\theta} \|u\|^{2\theta} - \frac{\lambda t^q}{q} \int_{\Omega} f(x) (u^+(x))^q dx - \frac{t^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*}}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \|u^+\|_0^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \rightarrow -\infty,$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, we can find a sufficiently large $e \in X_0$, with $\|e\| > \rho$, such that $J_\lambda(e) < 0$. Hence, the proof follows. \square

Concerning the boundedness of the $(PS)_c$ sequence of the functional J_λ when $q \in (1, 2_s^*)$ and $\theta \in [1, 2_{\mu,s}^*)$, we have the following result.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\{u_n\}$ be a $(PS)_c$ sequence for the functional J_λ , then $\{u_n\}$ is a bounded sequence in $X_0(\Omega)$.*

Proof. Let $\{u_n\} \subset X_0(\Omega)$ be a $(PS)_c$ sequence. Then we have

$$J_\lambda(u_n) \rightarrow c \text{ and } |\langle J'_\lambda(u_n), \phi \rangle| \leq \epsilon_n \|\phi\| \text{ for all } \phi \in X_0(\Omega), \text{ where } \epsilon_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Let us assume by contradiction that $\|u_n\| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We divide the proof into the following cases.

Case (i) When $2 < q < 2\theta$

Using the Hölder's inequality and Sobolev embedding we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & J_\lambda(u_n) - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \langle J'_\lambda(u_n), u_n \rangle \\ & \geq a \left[\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \right] \|u_n\|^2 + b \left[\frac{1}{2\theta} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \right] \|u_n\|^{2\theta} - \lambda \left[\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \right] \frac{\|f\|_{L^r} \|u_n\|^q}{S_s^{q/2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

It implies that

$$\frac{c(1 + \epsilon_n \|u_n\|)}{\|u_n\|^q} \geq \frac{a \left[\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \right]}{\|u_n\|^{q-2}} + \frac{b \left[\frac{1}{2\theta} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \right]}{\|u_n\|^{q-2\theta}} - \frac{\lambda \left[\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \right] \|f\|_{L^r}}{S_s^{q/2}},$$

which is not possible. Therefore we get that $\{u_n\}$ is a bounded sequence in $X_0(\Omega)$.

Case (ii) When $2\theta < q < 2_s^*$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} & J_\lambda(u_n) - \frac{1}{q} \langle J'_\lambda(u_n), u_n \rangle \\ &= a \left[\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q} \right] \|u_n\|^2 + b \left[\frac{1}{2\theta} - \frac{1}{q} \right] \|u_n\|^{2\theta} - \left[\frac{1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} - \frac{1}{q} \right] \|u_n^+\|_0^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us define $v_n := \frac{u_n}{\|u_n\|}$, which implies $\{v_n\}$ is a bounded sequence. So we deduce that

$$\frac{c(1 + \epsilon_n \|u_n\|)}{\|u_n\|^2} \geq a \left[\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q} \right] + b \left[\frac{1}{2\theta} - \frac{1}{q} \right] \|u_n\|^{2\theta-2} - \left[\frac{1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} - \frac{1}{q} \right] \|u_n\|^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^* - 2} \|v_n^+\|_0^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*},$$

which gives us a contradiction. Consequently, $\{u_n\}$ is a bounded sequence in $X_0(\Omega)$.

For $q = 2\theta$, we follow the same procedure as in Case (ii) to get the desired result.

Case (iii) When $1 < q < 2$

From (3.2), we imply that

$$\frac{c(1 + \epsilon_n \|u_n\|)}{\|u_n\|^2} \geq a \left[\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \right] + b \left[\frac{1}{2\theta} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \right] \|u_n\|^{2\theta-2} - \lambda \left[\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \right] \frac{\|f\|_{L^r}}{S_s^{q/2}} \|u_n\|^{q-2}$$

which is not possible. Therefore we get that $\{u_n\}$ is a bounded sequence in $X_0(\Omega)$.

Case (iv) When $q = 2$

We follow the same argument as in Case (iii) to get the desired result. \square

Let the minimax value

$$c_\lambda := \inf_{h \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0,1]} J_\lambda(h(t)),$$

where

$$\Gamma = \{h \in C([0,1], X_0(\Omega)) : h(0) = 0 \text{ and } h(1) = e\}.$$

Proposition 3.1. *Let $2 < q < 2\theta$, $\theta \in [1, 2_{\mu,s}^*)$ and $\{u_n\}$ be a $(PS)_c$ for J_λ with*

$$-\infty < c < c_1^* := \left(\frac{1}{2\theta} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \right) b \frac{2_{\mu,s}^*}{2_{\mu,s}^* - \theta} (S_s^H)^{\frac{2_{\mu,s}^* \theta}{2_{\mu,s}^* - \theta}} - \lambda \frac{2\theta}{2\theta - q} \hat{D}_1,$$

where $\hat{D}_1 = \frac{(2\theta - q)}{(2\theta)} \left(\frac{(2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^* - q) \|f\|_{L^r}}{2q \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^* S_s^{\frac{q}{2}}} \right)^{\frac{2\theta}{2\theta - q}} \left(\frac{2_{\mu,s}^* \cdot q}{b(2_{\mu,s}^* - \theta)} \right)^{\frac{q}{2\theta - q}}$. Then $\{u_n\}$ contains a convergent subsequence.

Proof. Let $\{u_n\}$ be a $(PS)_c$ sequence for J_λ then by Lemma 3.3, we obtain $\{u_n\}$ is a bounded

sequence in $X_0(\Omega)$. Thus, there exists $u \in X_0(\Omega)$ such that up to a subsequence,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} u_n \rightharpoonup u \text{ in } X_0(\Omega), \|u_n\| \rightarrow \beta, u_n \rightarrow u \text{ in } L^p(\Omega) \text{ for all } p \in [1, 2_s^*), \\ \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{(u_n^+(y))^{2_{\mu,s}^*}}{|x-y|^\mu} dy \right) (u_n^+)^{2_{\mu,s}^*-1} \rightharpoonup \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{(u^+(y))^{2_{\mu,s}^*}}{|x-y|^\mu} dy \right) (u^+)^{2_{\mu,s}^*-1} \text{ weakly in } L^{\frac{2N}{N+2s}}, \\ \|u_n^+ - u^+\|_0 \rightarrow d, \\ u_n \rightarrow u \text{ a.e. in } \Omega. \end{array} \right. \quad (3.3)$$

Using (3.3) we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} o(1) &= \langle J'_\lambda(u_n), u_n - u \rangle \\ &= \left(a + b\|u_n\|^{2\theta-2} \right) \langle u_n, u_n - u \rangle - \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x)(u_n^+(x))^{q-1}(u_n - u)(x) dx \\ &\quad - \iint_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{(u_n^+(y))^{2_{\mu,s}^*} (u_n^+(x))^{2_{\mu,s}^*-1} (u_n - u)(x)}{|x-y|^\mu} dx dy. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Employing (3.3) and Brézis-Lieb lemma [7, Theorem 1], we get as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\left(a + b\|u_n\|^{2\theta-2} \right) \langle u_n, u_n - u \rangle = \left(a + b\beta^{2\theta-2} \right) (\beta^2 - \|u\|^2) = \left(a + b\beta^{2\theta-2} \right) \|u_n - u\|^2 + o(1). \quad (3.5)$$

By Riesz representation theorem and (3.3) we deduce that

$$\lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x)(u_n^+(x))^{q-1}(u_n - u)(x) dx = o(1) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.6)$$

Using Brézis-Lieb lemma [11] and (3.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{(u_n^+(y))^{2_{\mu,s}^*} (u_n^+(x))^{2_{\mu,s}^*-1} (u_n - u)(x)}{|x-y|^\mu} dx dy &= \|u_n^+\|_0^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} - \|u^+\|_0^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} + o(1) \\ &= \|u_n^+ - u^+\|_0^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} + o(1) \\ &= d^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Thus, putting together (3.5), (3.6) and (3.7) in (3.4), we get as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\left(a + b\beta^{2\theta-2} \right) \|u_n - u\|^2 + o(1) = d^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*}. \quad (3.8)$$

If $d = 0$, then $u_n \rightarrow u$ strongly in $X_0(\Omega)$. So, let us assume $d > 0$.

Deploying Sobolev embedding and (3.8), we obtain

$$\left(a + b\beta^{2\theta-2} \right) S_s^H \leq d^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^* - 2}. \quad (3.9)$$

From (3.8) and (3.9), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left[(a + b\beta^{2\theta-2}) S_s^H \right]^{\frac{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^* - 2}} \leq d^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} = (a + b\beta^{2\theta-2}) (\beta^2 - \|u\|^2) \\
& (a + b\beta^{2\theta-2})^{\frac{1}{2_{\mu,s}^* - 1}} (S_s^H)^{\frac{2_{\mu,s}^*}{2_{\mu,s}^* - 1}} \leq \beta^2 - \|u\|^2 < \beta^2 \\
& (b\beta^{2\theta-2})^{\frac{1}{2_{\mu,s}^* - 1}} (S_s^H)^{\frac{2_{\mu,s}^*}{2_{\mu,s}^* - 1}} < \beta^2 \\
& b^{\frac{1}{2_{\mu,s}^* - \theta}} (S_s^H)^{\frac{2_{\mu,s}^*}{2_{\mu,s}^* - \theta}} < \beta^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Arguing as in (3.10), we conclude from (3.8), (3.9) and (3.10)

$$\begin{aligned}
& (a + b\beta^{2\theta-2})^{\frac{1}{2_{\mu,s}^* - 1}} (S_s^H)^{\frac{2_{\mu,s}^*}{2_{\mu,s}^* - 1}} \leq \|u_n - u\|^2 + o(1) \\
& (b\beta^{2\theta-2})^{\frac{1}{2_{\mu,s}^* - 1}} (S_s^H)^{\frac{2_{\mu,s}^*}{2_{\mu,s}^* - 1}} < \|u_n - u\|^2 + o(1) \\
& b^{\frac{1}{2_{\mu,s}^* - \theta}} (S_s^H)^{\frac{2_{\mu,s}^*}{2_{\mu,s}^* - \theta}} < \|u_n - u\|^2 + o(1).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Employing Hölder's inequality, Sobolev embedding and Young's inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lambda \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \right) \int_{\Omega} f(x) (u^+(x))^q dx \leq \lambda \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \right) \|f\|_{L^r} \|u\|^q S_s^{-q/2} \\
& = \left(\left[\frac{\theta b}{q} \left(\frac{1}{\theta} - \frac{1}{2_{\mu,s}^*} \right) \right]^{\frac{q}{2\theta}} \|u\|^q \right) \left(\left[\frac{\theta b}{q} \left(\frac{1}{\theta} - \frac{1}{2_{\mu,s}^*} \right) \right]^{\frac{-q}{2\theta}} \lambda \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \right) \|f\|_{L^r} S_s^{-q/2} \right) \\
& \leq b \left(\frac{1}{2\theta} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \right) \|u\|^{2\theta} + \lambda^{\frac{2\theta}{2\theta-q}} \hat{D}_1,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

where $\hat{D}_1 = \frac{(2\theta - q)}{(2\theta)} \left(\frac{(2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^* - q) \|f\|_{L^r}}{2q \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^* S_s^{q/2}} \right)^{\frac{2\theta}{2\theta-q}} \left(\frac{2_{\mu,s}^* q}{b(2_{\mu,s}^* - \theta)} \right)^{\frac{q}{2\theta-q}}$.

Further from (3.3), (3.11), (3.12) and Brézis-Lieb lemma, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
c & \geq J_{\lambda}(u_n) - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \langle J'_{\lambda}(u_n), u_n \rangle \\
& \geq a \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \right) \|u_n\|^2 + b \left(\frac{1}{2\theta} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \right) \|u_n\|^{2\theta} - \lambda \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \right) \frac{\|f\|_{L^r} \|u\|^q}{S_s^{q/2}} + o(1) \\
& \geq a \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \right) \beta^2 + b \left(\frac{1}{2\theta} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \right) (\|u_n - u\|^{2\theta} + \|u\|^{2\theta}) \\
& \quad - \lambda \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \right) \frac{\|f\|_{L^r} \|u\|^q}{S_s^{q/2}} + o(1) \\
& > b^{\frac{2_{\mu,s}^*}{2_{\mu,s}^* - \theta}} \left(\frac{1}{2\theta} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \right) (S_s^H)^{\frac{2_{\mu,s}^* \theta}{2_{\mu,s}^* - \theta}} - \lambda^{\frac{2\theta}{2\theta-q}} \hat{D}_1 = c_1^*
\end{aligned}$$

which is not true. Hence $d = 0$ and from (3.8), $u_n \rightarrow u$ strongly in $X_0(\Omega)$. \square

Proposition 3.2. Let $2\theta \leq q < 2_s^*$, $\theta \in [1, 2_{\mu,s}^*)$ and $\{u_n\}$ be a $(PS)_c$ for J_λ with

$$-\infty < c < c_2^* := \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \right) (aS_s^H)^{\frac{2N-\mu}{N-\mu+2s}}.$$

Then $\{u_n\}$ contains a convergent subsequence.

Proof. Let $\{u_n\}$ be a $(PS)_c$ sequence for J_λ then by Lemma 3.3, we have $\{u_n\}$ is a bounded sequence. Thus, there exists $u \in X_0(\Omega)$ such that up to a subsequence $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $X_0(\Omega)$, $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^p(\Omega)$ for $p \in [1, 2_s^*)$, $u_n \rightarrow u$ a.e. in Ω and $\|u_n\| \rightarrow \alpha$ as a real sequence. Furthermore, there exist bounded non-negative Radon measures ω, ξ and ν such that as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u_n|^2 \rightharpoonup \omega, |u_n|^{2_s^*} \rightharpoonup \xi \text{ and } (I_\alpha * (u_n^+)^{2_{\mu,s}^*})(u_n^+)^{2_{\mu,s}^*} \rightharpoonup \nu \quad (3.13)$$

weakly in the sense of measures. Hence, by [17, Lemma 3.1] there exist an at-most countable set I , a sequence of distinct points $\{x_i\}_{i \in I} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ and a family of positive numbers $\{\nu_i\}_{i \in I}$ such that the following holds.

$$\begin{aligned} \nu &= (I_\alpha * |u|^{2_{\mu,s}^*})|u|^{2_{\mu,s}^*} + \sum_{i \in I} \nu_i \delta_{x_i}, \quad \sum_{i \in I} \nu_i^{\frac{N}{N+\alpha}} < \infty; \\ \xi &\geq |u|^{2_s^*} + \sum_{i \in I} \xi_i \delta_{x_i}, \quad \xi_i \geq C_N(\alpha)^{\frac{-N}{N+\alpha}} \nu_i^{\frac{N}{N+\alpha}} \text{ and} \\ \omega &\geq |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u|^2 + \sum_{i \in I} \omega_i \delta_{x_i}, \quad \omega_i \geq S_s^H \nu_i^{\frac{1}{2_{\mu,s}^*}}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

where δ_x is the Dirac-mass of mass 1 concentrated at $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Let $\epsilon > 0$, we fix a smooth cut-off function $\phi_{\epsilon,i}$ centred at x_i such that

$$0 \leq \phi_{\epsilon,i} \leq 1, \quad \phi_{\epsilon,i} \equiv 1 \text{ in } B(x_i, \epsilon/2) \text{ and } \phi_{\epsilon,i} \equiv 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B(x_i, \epsilon).$$

Then, by dominated convergence theorem we have

$$\int_{\Omega} f(x)(u_n^+(x))^q \phi_{\epsilon,i}(x) dx \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} f(x)(u^+(x))^q \phi_{\epsilon,i}(x) dx, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

and as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ we have

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f(x)(u_n^+(x))^q \phi_{\epsilon,i}(x) dx = 0. \quad (3.15)$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle J'_\lambda(u_n), \phi_{\epsilon,i} u_n \rangle \\ &= \left(a + b \|u_n\|^{2\theta-2} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{\phi_{\epsilon,i}(x) |u_n(x) - u_n(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} + \frac{u_n(y)(u_n(x) - u_n(y))(\phi_{\epsilon,i}(x) - \phi_{\epsilon,i}(y))}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} \\ &\quad - \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x)(u_n^+(x))^q \phi_{\epsilon,i}(x) dx - \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{(u_n^+(y))^{2_{\mu,s}^*} (u_n^+(x))^{2_{\mu,s}^*} \phi_{\epsilon,i}(x)}{|x-y|^\mu} dx dy. \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

Using (3.14) and the weak convergence of measure (3.13), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(a + b \|u_n\|^{2\theta-2} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{\phi_{\epsilon,i}(x) |u_n(x) - u_n(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \left(a + b\alpha^{2\theta-2} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \phi_{\epsilon,i}(x) d\omega \\ &\geq a\omega_i. \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

Employing Hölder's inequality, we get

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{u_n(y)(u_n(x) - u_n(y))(\phi_{\epsilon,i}(x) - \phi_{\epsilon,i}(y))}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} \right| \leq \|u_n\| \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u_n(y)|^2 |\phi_{\epsilon,i}(x) - \phi_{\epsilon,i}(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

As in [3, Lemma 2.1] we have

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u_n(y)|^2 |\phi_{\epsilon,i}(x) - \phi_{\epsilon,i}(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} = 0. \quad (3.18)$$

Again by (3.14) and (3.13) we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{(u_n^+(y))^{2^*_{\mu,s}} (u_n^+(x))^{2^*_{\mu,s}} \phi_{\epsilon,i}(x)}{|x-y|^\mu} dx dy &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} \phi_{\epsilon,i}(x) d\nu \\ &= \nu_i. \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

Taking into account (3.17), (3.18), (3.15) and (3.19) in (3.16), we obtain

$$0 = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle J'_\lambda(u_n), \phi_{\epsilon,i} u_n \rangle \geq a\omega_i - \nu_i.$$

It implies $a\omega_i \leq \nu_i$. Combining this with the fact that $S_s^H \nu_i^{\frac{1}{2^*_{\mu,s}}} \leq \omega_i$ we obtain

$$\omega_i \geq \left(a(S_s^H)^{2^*_{\mu,s}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2^*_{\mu,s}-1}} \text{ or } \omega_i = 0. \quad (3.20)$$

If possible, let there exists $i_0 \in I$ such that $\omega_{i_0} \geq \left(a(S_s^H)^{2^*_{\mu,s}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2^*_{\mu,s}-1}}$. Then using the fact that $\{u_n\}$ is a $(PS)_c$ sequence, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} c &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_\lambda(u_n) - \frac{1}{q} \langle J'_\lambda(u_n), u_n \rangle \\ &\geq a \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q} \right) \left(\|u\|^2 + \sum_{i \in I} \omega_i \right) + b \left(\frac{1}{2\theta} - \frac{1}{q} \right) \left(\|u\|^2 + \sum_{i \in I} \omega_i \right)^\theta \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2^*_{\mu,s}} \right) \left(\|u^+\|_0^{2 \cdot 2^*_{\mu,s}} + \sum_{i \in I} \nu_i \right) \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2^*_{\mu,s}} \right) a\omega_{i_0} \geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2^*_{\mu,s}} \right) (aS_s^H)^{\frac{2N-\mu}{N-\mu+2s}} = c_2^* > c. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\omega_i = 0$ for all $i \in I$. Hence, we get

$$\|u_n\|_0^{2 \cdot 2^*_{\mu,s}} \rightarrow \|u\|_0^{2 \cdot 2^*_{\mu,s}}.$$

Taking into account $J'_\lambda(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ and Brézis-Lieb lemma [7, Theorem 1] we have

$$o(1) = \langle J'_\lambda(u_n), u_n - u \rangle = \left(a + b\alpha^{2\theta-2} \right) \|u_n - u\|^2.$$

Hence $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $X_0(\Omega)$, finishing the proof. \square

Proposition 3.3. Let $1 < q < 2$, $\theta \in [1, 2_{\mu,s}^*)$ and $\{u_n\}$ be a $(PS)_c$ sequence for J_λ with

$$-\infty < c < c_3^* := \frac{N - \mu + 2s}{2(2N - \mu)} (aS_s^H)^{\frac{2N - \mu}{N - \mu + 2s}} - \hat{D} \lambda^{\frac{2}{2-q}},$$

where $\hat{D} = \frac{(2-q)(2\theta-q)}{4\theta q} \left(\frac{2\theta-q}{2aS_s(\theta-1)} \right)^{\frac{q}{2-q}} \|f\|_{L^r}^{\frac{2}{2-q}}$. Then $\{u_n\}$ contains a convergent subsequence.

Proof. Let $\{u_n\}$ be a $(PS)_c$ sequence for J_λ then by Lemma 3.3, $\{u_n\}$ is a bounded sequence. Using the same arguments up to (3.20) as in the proof of Proposition 3.2, we have

$$\omega_i \geq \left(a(S_s^H)^{2_{\mu,s}^*} \right)^{\frac{1}{2_{\mu,s}^* - 1}} \text{ or } \omega_i = 0. \quad (3.21)$$

If possible, let there exists $i_0 \in I$ such that $\omega_{i_0} \geq \left(a(S_s^H)^{2_{\mu,s}^*} \right)^{\frac{1}{2_{\mu,s}^* - 1}}$.

Taking into account Hölder's inequality, Sobolev embedding and Young's inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x)(u^+(x))^q dx &\leq \lambda \|f\|_{L^r} \|u\|^q (S_s)^{-q/2} \\ &\leq \frac{a(\theta-1)}{2\theta} \left[\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2\theta} \right]^{-1} \|u\|^2 + \lambda^{\frac{2}{2-q}} \frac{2-q}{2} \left[\frac{2\theta-q}{2aS_s(\theta-1)} \right]^{\frac{q}{2-q}} \|f\|_{L^r}^{\frac{2}{2-q}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

Using (3.21) and (3.22) we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} c &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_\lambda(u_n) - \frac{1}{2\theta} \langle J'_\lambda(u_n), u_n \rangle \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2\theta} \right) a \left(\|u\|^2 + \sum_{i \in I} \omega_i \right) - \lambda \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2\theta} \right) \int_{\Omega} f(x)(u^+(x))^q dx \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{2\theta} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \right) \left(\|u^+\|_0^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} + \sum_{i \in I} \nu_i \right) \\ &\geq \frac{N - \mu + 2s}{2(2N - \mu)} (aS_s^H)^{\frac{2N - \mu}{N - \mu + 2s}} - \hat{D} \lambda^{\frac{2}{2-q}} = c_3^* > c. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, concluding as in Proposition 3.2, we get $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $X_0(\Omega)$. \square

Proposition 3.4. Let us assume $\lambda \in \left(0, \frac{aS_s}{\|f\|_{L^r}} \right)$, $q = 2$, $\theta \in [1, 2_{\mu,s}^*)$ and $\{u_n\}$ be a $(PS)_c$ for J_λ with

$$-\infty < c < c_4^* := \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \right) (aS_s^H)^{\frac{2N - \mu}{N - \mu + 2s}}.$$

Then $\{u_n\}$ contains a convergent subsequence.

Proof. Let $\{u_n\}$ be a $(PS)_c$ sequence for J_λ then following the same proof as in Proposition 3.2, upto (3.20) we have $\omega_i \geq \left(a(S_s^H)^{2_{\mu,s}^*} \right)^{\frac{1}{2_{\mu,s}^* - 1}}$ or $\omega_i = 0$. Let us assume, there exists $i_0 \in I$

such that $\omega_{i_0} \geq (a(S_s^H)^{2_{\mu,s}^*})^{\frac{1}{2_{\mu,s}^*-1}}$. Furthermore by Palais-Smale condition we have,

$$\begin{aligned} c &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_\lambda(u_n) - \frac{1}{2\theta} \langle J'_\lambda(u_n), u_n \rangle \\ &\geq a \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2\theta} \right) \sum_{i \in I} \omega_i + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2\theta} \right) (a - \lambda \|f\|_{L^r} S_s^{-1}) \|u\|^2 \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{2\theta} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \right) \left(\|u^+\|_0^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} + \sum_{i \in I} \nu_i \right) \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \right) (a S_s^H)^{\frac{2N-\mu}{N-\mu+2s}} = c_4^* > c. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\omega_i = 0$ for all $i \in I$. Hence, concluding as in Proposition 3.2 we get our desired result.

4 Proof of Theorem 1.1 and 1.2

This section is devoted to the proof of the Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2. At the outset, we give some standard observations.

Since, f is a continuous function on Ω and $f^+ = \max\{f(x), 0\} \neq 0$, the set $\Xi = \{x \in \Omega : f(x) > 0\}$ is an open set of positive measures. Without loss of generality, let us assume Ξ is a domain and $0 \in \Xi$. This implies there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that $B_{4\delta}(0) \subset \Xi \subseteq \Omega$ and $f(x) > 0$ for all $x \in B_{2\delta}(0)$. It implies that there exists a $m_f > 0$ such that $f(x) > m_f$ for all $x \in B_{2\delta}(0)$. Next choose $\lambda_1 > 0$ such that for $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_1)$, $c_1^* > 0$ (as defined in Proposition 3.1), i.e.

$$\lambda^{\frac{2\theta}{2\theta-q}} \hat{D}_1 < b^{\frac{2_{\mu,s}^*}{2_{\mu,s}^*-\theta}} \left(\frac{1}{2\theta} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \right) (S_s^H)^{\frac{2_{\mu,s}^*\theta}{2_{\mu,s}^*-\theta}}. \quad (4.1)$$

We will use the minimizers of the best constant S_s^H , defined in (2.3), to prove the existence of solution.

Lemma 4.1. *For $N > 4s$ there exists $\Lambda^* > 0$ such that for all $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda^*)$,*

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} J_\lambda(tu_\epsilon) < c_1^* = \left(\frac{1}{2\theta} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \right) b^{\frac{2_{\mu,s}^*}{2_{\mu,s}^*-\theta}} (S_s^H)^{\frac{2_{\mu,s}^*\theta}{2_{\mu,s}^*-\theta}} - \lambda^{\frac{2\theta}{2\theta-q}} \hat{D}_1,$$

with \hat{D}_1 as given in Proposition 3.1.

Proof. Let $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_1)$, as defined in (4.1), then for some positive constants c_1 and c_2

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(tu_\epsilon) &= \frac{at^2}{2} \|u_\epsilon\|^2 + \frac{bt^{2\theta}}{2\theta} \|u_\epsilon\|^{2\theta} - \frac{\lambda t^q}{q} \int_{\Omega} f(x) (u_\epsilon(x))^q dx - \frac{t^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*}}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \|u_\epsilon\|_0^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \\ &< c_1 t^2 + c_2 t^{2\theta}. \end{aligned}$$

We can choose $t_0 \in (0, 1)$, such that $\sup_{0 \leq t \leq t_0} J_\lambda(tu_\epsilon) < c_1^*$ for all $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_1)$. Thus it is enough

to show that $\sup_{t \geq t_0} J_\lambda(tu_\epsilon) < c_1^*$.

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \geq t_0} J_\lambda(tu_\epsilon) &= \sup_{t \geq t_0} \left(\frac{at^2}{2} \|u_\epsilon\|^2 + \frac{bt^{2\theta}}{2\theta} \|u_\epsilon\|^{2\theta} - \frac{\lambda t^q}{q} \int_{\Omega} f(x)(u_\epsilon(x))^q dx - \frac{t^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*}}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \|u_\epsilon\|_0^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \right) \\ &\leq \sup_{t \geq 0} \nu(t) - \frac{\lambda t_0^q}{q} \int_{\Omega} f(x)(u_\epsilon(x))^q dx, \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

where

$$\nu(t) := \frac{at^2}{2} \|u_\epsilon\|^2 + \frac{bt^{2\theta}}{2\theta} \|u_\epsilon\|^{2\theta} - \frac{t^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*}}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \|u_\epsilon\|_0^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*}.$$

Since $2 < 2\theta < 2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*$, we say $\nu(0) = 0$, $\nu(t) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ and $\nu(t) > 0$ for small t . Hence, there exists $t_\epsilon > 0$ such that $\sup_{t \geq 0} \nu(t) = \nu(t_\epsilon)$. Consequently $\nu'(t_\epsilon) = 0$, which gives

$$a\|u_\epsilon\|^2 + bt_\epsilon^{2\theta-2} \|u_\epsilon\|^{2\theta} = t_\epsilon^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^* - 2} \|u_\epsilon\|_0^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*}.$$

It implies that there exists a $T_0 > 0$ such that $t_\epsilon < T_0$. Also, we deduce that

$$a\|u_\epsilon\|^2 \leq t_\epsilon^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^* - 2} \|u_\epsilon\|_0^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*}.$$

Thus there exists a $T_{00} > 0$ such that $T_{00} < t_\epsilon$.

Let

$$\xi(t) := \frac{bt^{2\theta}}{2\theta} \|u_\epsilon\|^{2\theta} - \frac{t^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*}}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \|u_\epsilon\|_0^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*}. \quad (4.3)$$

Using $2\theta < 2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*$, we observe, $\xi(t) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ and $\xi(t) > 0$ for small t . So, there exists $t_* > 0$ such that $\sup_{t \geq 0} \xi(t) = \xi(t_*)$.

$$t_* = \left[\frac{b\|u_\epsilon\|^{2\theta}}{\|u_\epsilon\|_0^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*}} \right]^{\frac{1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^* - 2\theta}}, \quad \xi(t_*) = \left(\frac{1}{2\theta} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \right) \left[\frac{b\|u_\epsilon\|^{2\theta}}{\|u_\epsilon\|_0^{2\theta}} \right]^{\frac{2_{\mu,s}^*}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^* - \theta}}, \quad (4.4)$$

Also from the Proposition 2.2 and Proposition 2.3,

$$\|u_\epsilon\|^2 \leq C^{\frac{N(N-2s)}{2s(2N-\mu)}} (S_s^H)^{\frac{N}{2s}} + O(\epsilon^{N-2s}) \text{ and } \|u_\epsilon\|_0^2 \geq \left[C^{\frac{N}{2s}} (S_s^H)^{\frac{2N-\mu}{2s}} - O(\epsilon^N) \right]^{\frac{N-2s}{2N-\mu}}.$$

This implies,

$$\frac{\|u_\epsilon\|^2}{\|u_\epsilon\|_0^2} \leq \frac{C^{\frac{N(N-2s)}{2s(2N-\mu)}} (S_s^H)^{\frac{N}{2s}} + O(\epsilon^{N-2s})}{\left[C^{\frac{N}{2s}} (S_s^H)^{\frac{2N-\mu}{2s}} - O(\epsilon^N) \right]^{\frac{N-2s}{2N-\mu}}} = S_s^H [1 + O(\epsilon^{N-2s})],$$

as ϵ is small enough. Therefore, there exists a positive constant c_3 , such that from (4.4), we see

$$\xi(t_*) \leq \left(\frac{1}{2\theta} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \right) b^{\frac{2_{\mu,s}^*}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^* - \theta}} (S_s^H)^{\frac{2_{\mu,s}^* \cdot \theta}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^* - \theta}} + c_3 \epsilon^{N-2s}. \quad (4.5)$$

Let $a = \epsilon^p$, for some $p > N - 2s$. Further using (4.5) in (4.2), we get for some $c_4 > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{t \geq t_0} J_\lambda(tu_\epsilon) &\leq \nu(t_\epsilon) - \frac{\lambda t_0^q}{q} \int_{\Omega} f(x)(u_\epsilon(x))^q dx \\
&\leq \frac{\epsilon^p t_\epsilon^2}{2} \|u_\epsilon\|^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2\theta} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \right) b^{\frac{2_{\mu,s}^*}{2_{\mu,s}^* - \theta}} (S_s^H)^{\frac{2_{\mu,s}^* \cdot \theta}{2_{\mu,s}^* - \theta}} + c_3 \epsilon^{N-2s} - \frac{\lambda t_0^q m_f}{q} \|u_\epsilon\|_{L^q(\Omega)}^q \\
&< \left(\frac{1}{2\theta} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \right) b^{\frac{2_{\mu,s}^*}{2_{\mu,s}^* - \theta}} (S_s^H)^{\frac{2_{\mu,s}^* \cdot \theta}{2_{\mu,s}^* - \theta}} + c_4 \epsilon^{N-2s} - \frac{\lambda t_0^q m_f}{q} \|u_\epsilon\|_{L^q(\Omega)}^q.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

From [13], for $\epsilon < \frac{\delta}{2}$ and $N > 4s$, there exists a positive constants $c_{1,s}$ such that

$$\|u_\epsilon\|_{L^q}^q \geq c_{1,s} \epsilon^{N - \frac{q(N-2s)}{2}}, \quad \text{for } q > \frac{N}{N-2s}. \tag{4.7}$$

Using (4.6) and (4.7) with $\epsilon = \lambda^{\frac{2\theta}{\beta(2\theta-q)}}$, where $\beta < N - 2s$, for some positive constant $C_{1,s}$, we get

$$\sup_{t \geq t_0} J_\lambda(tu_\epsilon) < \left(\frac{1}{2\theta} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \right) b^{\frac{2_{\mu,s}^*}{2_{\mu,s}^* - \theta}} (S_s^H)^{\frac{2_{\mu,s}^* \cdot \theta}{2_{\mu,s}^* - \theta}} + c_4 \lambda^{\frac{2\theta}{2\theta-q}} - C_{1,s} \lambda^{1 + \left[\frac{2\theta}{\beta(2\theta-q)} \right] \left[N - \frac{q(N-2s)}{2} \right]}.$$

For $N > 4s$, there exists a β such that

$$\sigma := -1 + \frac{2\theta}{2\theta - q} \left[1 - \frac{1}{\beta} \left(N - \frac{q(N-2s)}{2} \right) \right] > 0.$$

Thus, for $\lambda < \left(\frac{C_{1,s}}{c_4 + \hat{D}_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}$ we have

$$c_4 \lambda^{\frac{2\theta}{2\theta-q}} - C_{1,s} \lambda^{1 + \left[\frac{2\theta}{\beta(2\theta-q)} \right] \left[N - \frac{q(N-2s)}{2} \right]} < -\lambda^{\frac{2\theta}{2\theta-q}} \hat{D}_1.$$

Define $\Lambda^* = \min \left\{ \lambda_1, \left(\frac{C_{1,s}}{c_4 + \hat{D}_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}, \left(\frac{\delta}{2} \right)^{\frac{(2\theta-q)\beta}{2\theta}} \right\}$ and $\Upsilon = (\Lambda^*)^{\frac{2\theta}{\beta(2\theta-q)}} > 0$, such that for every $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda^*)$ and $\epsilon \in (0, \Upsilon)$, we have

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} J_\lambda(tu_\epsilon) < c_1^*. \quad \square$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1: For t large enough, we can write $e = tu_\epsilon$, which along with Lemma 4.1 gives

$$c_\lambda \leq \max_{t \in [0,1]} J_\lambda(te) < c_1^*,$$

for all $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda^*)$. Thus, using Proposition 3.1, Lemma 3.2 and Mountain-pass theorem [5, Theorem 4.3.1] we deduce that there exists a non-trivial solution of (P_λ) say $u_1 \in X_0(\Omega)$. Further using Lemma 3.1 we conclude u_1 is a positive solution of (P_λ) . \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2: The geometry of J_λ for the case $q > 2\theta$, implies that there exists $t_\lambda > 0$ such that for fixed $v \in X_0(\Omega)$, we have

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} J_\lambda(tv) = J_\lambda(t_\lambda v).$$

Consequently,

$$0 = \frac{at_\lambda}{2} \|v\|^2 + \frac{bt_\lambda^{2\theta-1}}{2\theta} \|v\|^{2\theta} - \frac{\lambda t_\lambda^{q-1}}{q} \int_{\Omega} f(x)(v^+(x))^q dx - \frac{t_\lambda^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^* - 1}}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \|v^+\|_0^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*}.$$

Observe that as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, $t_\lambda \rightarrow 0$, and by the continuity of the functional J_λ , we conclude

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \geq 0} J_\lambda(tv) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} J_\lambda(t_\lambda v) = 0.$$

Thus, there exists $\Lambda_* > 0$ such that for all $\lambda \geq \Lambda_*$

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} J_\lambda(tv) < c_2^*,$$

since $c_2^* = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*}\right) (aS_s^H)^{\frac{2N-\mu}{N-\mu+2s}} > 0$. There exists $t_0 > 0$, large enough such that $e = t_0 v$, where e satisfies Lemma 3.2 (ii). Now we deduce

$$\alpha \leq c_\lambda \leq \max_{t \in [0,1]} J_\lambda(te) \leq \sup_{t \geq 0} J_\lambda(tv) < c_2^*, \quad \text{for all } \lambda \geq \Lambda_*. \quad (4.8)$$

From Proposition 3.2, J_λ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition at the level c_λ and from (4.8) we have $c_\lambda < c_2^*$ for all $\lambda \geq \Lambda_*$. Thus using Mountain-Pass theorem, there exists a non-trivial critical point of the functional J_λ , say $u_1 \in X_0(\Omega)$ which is a non-trivial solution of (P_λ) . Furthermore, by Lemma 3.1 we conclude that u_1 is a positive solution. Correspondingly using the same arguments, we can deduce similar results for the case $q = 2\theta$. \square

5 Multiplicity result for $\theta \geq 2_{\mu,s}^*$ and $2 < q < 2_s^*$

In this section, we will show the existence of two positive solution of (P_λ) when $2 < q < 2_s^*$ and $\theta \geq 2_{\mu,s}^*$. Here we demonstrate the proof by using the minimization argument and Mountain-pass theorem, with condition either on a or b and for λ large enough. Also, here we are focusing on the case $f > 0$.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $2 < q < 2_s^*$, then J_λ is coercive for all $a > 0$ and $b > \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \theta > 2_{\mu,s}^* \\ (S_s^H)^{-2_{\mu,s}^*} & \text{if } \theta = 2_{\mu,s}^*. \end{cases}$*

Proof. From Hölder's inequality and Sobolev embedding we get

$$J_\lambda(u_n) \geq \frac{a}{2} \|u_n\|^2 + \frac{b}{2\theta} \|u_n\|^{2\theta} - \frac{\lambda}{q} \|f\|_{L^r} S_s^{-q/2} \|u_n\|^q - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} (S_s^H)^{-2_{\mu,s}^*} \|u_n\|^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*}.$$

Since $2 < q < 2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^* \leq 2\theta$ and by considering the assumption on b , we deduce that J_λ is coercive. \square

Proposition 5.1. *Let $\{u_n\}$ be a $(PS)_c$ for J_λ . Then $\{u_n\}$ contains a convergent subsequence for all $\lambda > 0$ and*

$$(i) \quad a > 0, b > (S_s^H)^{-2_{\mu,s}^*} \quad \text{when } \theta = 2_{\mu,s}^*,$$

$$(ii) \quad a > 0 \text{ and } b > \mathfrak{B} \text{ or } b > 0 \text{ and } a > \mathfrak{A} \text{ when } \theta > 2_{\mu,s}^*.$$

$$\text{where } \mathfrak{A} := \frac{\theta - 2_{\mu,s}^*}{\theta - 1} \left[\frac{2_{\mu,s}^* - 1}{b(\theta - 1)} \right]^{\frac{2_{\mu,s}^* - 1}{\theta - 2_{\mu,s}^*}} (S_s^H)^{\frac{-2_{\mu,s}^*(\theta - 1)}{\theta - 2_{\mu,s}^*}} \quad \text{and } \mathfrak{B} := \frac{2_{\mu,s}^* - 1}{\theta - 1} \left[\frac{\theta - 2_{\mu,s}^*}{a(\theta - 1)} \right]^{\frac{\theta - 2_{\mu,s}^*}{2_{\mu,s}^* - 1}} (S_s^H)^{\frac{-2_{\mu,s}^*(\theta - 1)}{2_{\mu,s}^* - 1}}.$$

Proof. Let $\{u_n\}$ be a $(PS)_c$ for J_λ . Then it follows from Lemma 5.1, $\{u_n\}$ is a bounded sequence. Thus, there exists $u \in X_0(\Omega)$ such that up to a subsequence,

$$\begin{cases} u_n \rightharpoonup u \text{ in } X_0(\Omega), \|u_n\| \rightarrow \beta, u_n \rightarrow u \text{ in } L^p(\Omega) \text{ for all } p \rightarrow [1, 2_s^*), \\ \|u_n - u\| \rightarrow l, \\ \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{(u_n^+(y))^{2_{\mu,s}^*}}{|x-y|^\mu} dy \right) (u_n^+)^{2_{\mu,s}^*-1} \rightharpoonup \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{(u^+(y))^{2_{\mu,s}^*}}{|x-y|^\mu} dy \right) (u^+)^{2_{\mu,s}^*-1} \text{ weakly in } L^{\frac{2N}{N+2s}}, \\ u_n \rightarrow u \text{ a.e. in } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (5.1)$$

Next, by (5.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} o(1) &= \langle J'_\lambda(u_n) - J'_\lambda(u), u_n - u \rangle \\ &= a\|u_n - u\|^2 + b\|u_n\|^{2\theta-2}\|u_n - u\|^2 + b\left(\|u_n\|^{2\theta-2} - \|u\|^{2\theta-2}\right) \langle u, u_n - u \rangle \\ &\quad - \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x) \left((u_n^+(x))^{q-1} - (u^+(x))^{q-1} \right) (u_n - u)(x) dx \\ &\quad - \left(\|u_n^+\|_0^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} - \|u^+\|_0^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \right) - \|u^+\|_0^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} + \iint_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{(u^+(y))^{2_{\mu,s}^*} (u^+(x))^{2_{\mu,s}^*-1} u_n(x)}{|x-y|^\mu} dx dy. \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

By (5.1), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b \left(\|u_n\|^{2\theta-2} - \|u\|^{2\theta-2} \right) \langle u, u_n - u \rangle = 0. \quad (5.3)$$

Using Riesz representation theorem and (5.1) we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x) \left((u_n^+(x))^{q-1} - (u^+(x))^{q-1} \right) (u_n - u)(x) dx = 0. \quad (5.4)$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \iint_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{(u^+(y))^{2_{\mu,s}^*} (u^+(x))^{2_{\mu,s}^*-1} u_n(x)}{|x-y|^\mu} dx dy = \|u^+\|_0^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*}. \quad (5.5)$$

Combining (5.3), (5.4) and (5.5) in (5.2) and using Brézis-Lieb lemma we get as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$o(1) \geq a\|u_n - u\|^2 + b\|u_n\|^{2\theta-2}\|u_n - u\|^2 - \|u_n - u\|_0^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*}.$$

Let $v_n := u_n - u$, so by (5.1), $\|v_n\| \rightarrow l$. Let us assume $l > 0$, otherwise we are done. Applying Brézis-Lieb lemma and Sobolev embedding in the last equality we get

$$al^2 + bl^{2\theta} \leq (S_s^H)^{-2_{\mu,s}^*} l^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*}. \quad (5.6)$$

When $\theta = 2_{\mu,s}^*$ and $b > (S_s^H)^{-2_{\mu,s}^*}$, we get from (5.6) that $l = 0$. Thus $u_n \rightarrow u$ strongly in $X_0(\Omega)$.

When $\theta > 2_{\mu,s}^*$, it follows from (5.6) and Young's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} al^2 + bl^{2\theta} &\leq \left[\left(\frac{a(\theta-1)}{\theta-2_{\mu,s}^*} \right)^{\frac{\theta-2_{\mu,s}^*}{\theta-1}} l^{\frac{2(\theta-2_{\mu,s}^*)}{\theta-1}} \right] \left[\left(\frac{a(\theta-1)}{\theta-2_{\mu,s}^*} \right)^{\frac{-(\theta-2_{\mu,s}^*)}{\theta-1}} (S_s^H)^{-2_{\mu,s}^*} l^{\frac{2\theta(2_{\mu,s}^*-1)}{\theta-1}} \right] \\ &= al^2 + \frac{2_{\mu,s}^* - 1}{\theta - 1} \left[\frac{\theta - 2_{\mu,s}^*}{a(\theta - 1)} \right]^{\frac{\theta - 2_{\mu,s}^*}{2_{\mu,s}^* - 1}} (S_s^H)^{\frac{-2_{\mu,s}^*(\theta-1)}{2_{\mu,s}^* - 1}} l^{2\theta}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

which contradicts the fact that $b > \mathfrak{B}$. Hence $l = 0$, i.e. $u_n \rightarrow u$ strongly in $X_0(\Omega)$. Similar analysis as in (5.7), can be done for the case when $a > \mathfrak{A}$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3: First we show the existence of least energy solution. Define

$$m_\lambda := \inf_{u \in X_0(\Omega)} J_\lambda(u).$$

Employing Lemma 5.1, we have following deductions:

- (i) For a fixed $v \in X_0(\Omega)$, with $\int_\Omega f(x)(v^+)^q dx > 0$ there exists $\Lambda_{**} > 0$ such that for $\lambda > \Lambda_{**}$, $J_\lambda(v) \leq 0$. It implies m_λ is well defined and $m_\lambda < 0$.
- (ii) We can easily choose $\alpha, \rho > 0$ such that $J_\lambda(u) > \alpha$ for $\|u\| \leq \rho$.
- (iii) There exists $0 \neq e \in X_0$ such that $J_\lambda(e) = 0$, for $\lambda > \Lambda_{**}$.

By Proposition 5.1, J_λ satisfies $(PS)_c$, say at the critical level $c = m_\lambda$. There exists u_1 in $X_0(\Omega)$ such that $m_\lambda = \inf_{u \in X_0(\Omega)} J_\lambda(u) = J_\lambda(u_1)$. This implies u_1 is a non-trivial solution of (P_λ) .

Further, we define

$$d_\lambda = \inf_{\xi \in \mathfrak{d}} \sup_{t \in [0,1]} J_\lambda(\xi(t))$$

where $\mathfrak{d} = \{\xi \in C([0,1], X_0) : \xi(0) = 0, \xi(1) = u_1\}$. Taking into account Proposition 5.1, and Mountain-pass theorem [2, Theorem 2.1], we get a non-trivial critical point $u_2 \in X_0$ for J_λ at level d_λ , for $\lambda > \Lambda_{**}$. Solutions u_1 and u_2 are distinct, since $J_\lambda(u_2) = d_\lambda > 0 > m_\lambda = J_\lambda(u_1)$. Furthermore, by arguing as in Lemma 3.1, we imply u_1 and u_2 are two positive solution of (P_λ) . \square

6 Multiplicity result for sublinear case $1 < q \leq 2$

This section is devoted to the study of multiplicity of solution when we have combined effects of concave-convex non-linearities. In this section, to obtain the existence of solution, we deploy the minimization arguments over a small ball in X_0 . Then we show the existence of second solution using the classical Mountain-pass geometry when $1 \leq \theta < 2_{\mu,s}^*$.

6.1 First solution

Lemma 6.1. *There exist positive numbers ρ , λ_0 and α such that the following holds:*

- (i) $J_\lambda(u) \geq \alpha$ for any $u \in X_0$, with $\|u\| = \rho$, and for any $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0]$.
- (ii) Let $m_\lambda := \inf\{J_\lambda(u) : u \in \overline{B_\rho}\}$, where $\overline{B_\rho} = \{u \in X_0 : \|u\| \leq \rho\}$. Then $m_\lambda < 0$ for any $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0]$.

Proof (i) It follows from Case 3 of Lemma 3.2.

Proof (ii) Since $1 < q < 2$, thus for a fixed $v \in X_0$ with $v^+ \neq 0$ and t small enough we have,

$$J_\lambda(tv) = \frac{at^2}{2}\|v\|^2 + \frac{bt^{2\theta}}{2\theta}\|v\|^{2\theta} - \frac{\lambda t^q}{q} \int_{\Omega} f(x)(v^+)^q - \frac{t^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*}}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \iint_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{(v^+(y))^{2_{\mu,s}^*} (v^+(x))^{2_{\mu,s}^*}}{|x-y|^\mu} dx dy < 0.$$

It implies for $\|u\|$ small enough, $m_\lambda < 0$ for all $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0]$. \square

Theorem 6.1. *There exists λ_0 such that for any $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0]$, (P_λ) has a solution $u_1 \in X_0$ with $J_\lambda(u_1) < 0$.*

Proof. Let ρ and λ_0 be as given in Lemma 6.1, then we claim that there exists $u_1 \in \overline{B_\rho}$ such that $J_\lambda(u_1) = m_\lambda < 0$ for $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0]$. By the definition of m_λ , there exists a minimizing sequence say $\{u_n\} \subset \overline{B_\rho}$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_\lambda(u_n) = m_\lambda. \quad (6.1)$$

Clearly $\{u_n\}$ is a bounded sequence in X_0 , up to a sub-sequence, there exists a function $u_1 \in X_0$ such that, $u_n \rightharpoonup u_1$ in $X_0(\Omega)$, $u_n \rightharpoonup u_1$ in $L^{2_s^*}(\Omega)$, $u_n \rightarrow u_1$ strongly in $L^p(\Omega)$ for all $p \in [1, 2_s^*)$, $u_n \rightarrow u_1$ a.e in Ω . We have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f(x)(u_n^+(x))^q dx = \int_{\Omega} f(x)(u_1^+(x))^q dx. \quad (6.2)$$

Let $w_n = u_n - u_1$, then by [7, Theorem 2] and [11, Lemma 2.2], we have

$$\|u_n\|^2 = \|w_n\|^2 + \|u_1\|^2 + o(1), \quad \|u_n\|_0^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} = \|w_n\|_0^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} + \|u_1\|_0^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} + o(1). \quad (6.3)$$

Also for any $u \in B_\rho$, we deduce that the following holds

$$\frac{a\|u\|^2}{2} + \frac{b\|u\|^{2\theta}}{2\theta} - \frac{\|u^+\|_0^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*}}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \geq 0. \quad (6.4)$$

By (6.3), we have $w_n \in \overline{B_\rho}$ for n sufficiently large and thus, by (6.1), (6.2) and (6.4) it follows that,

$$\begin{aligned} m_\lambda &= J_\lambda(u_n) + o(1) \\ &\geq \frac{a(\|w_n\|^2 + \|u_1\|^2)}{2} + \frac{b(\|w_n\|^{2\theta} + \|u_1\|^{2\theta})}{2\theta} - \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\Omega} f(x)(u_1^+)^q - \frac{\|w_n^+\|_0^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} + \|u_1^+\|_0^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*}}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \\ &\geq J_\lambda(u_1) + \frac{a\|w_n\|^2}{2} + \frac{b\|w_n\|^{2\theta}}{2\theta} - \frac{\|w_n^+\|_0^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*}}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} + o(1) \geq m_\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that $\overline{B_\rho}$ is a closed convex set, thus $u_1 \in \overline{B_\rho}$. Hence, u_1 is a local minimizer for J_λ , with $J_\lambda(u_1) = m_\lambda < 0$, which implies u_1 is a non-trivial solution.

Next, it remains to prove that u_1 is a positive solution of (P_λ) . As u_1 is a local minimizer for J_λ , thus for any $\psi \in X_0$ and small enough $t > 0$, such that $u_1 + t\psi \in \overline{B_\rho}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq J_\lambda(u_1 + t\psi) - J_\lambda(u_1) \\ &= \frac{a(\|u_1 + t\psi\|^2 - \|u_1\|^2)}{2} + \frac{b(\|u_1 + t\psi\|^{2\theta} - \|u_1\|^{2\theta})}{2\theta} - \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\Omega} f [((u_1 + t\psi)^+)^q - (u_1^+)^q] dx \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \left(\|(u_1 + t\psi)^+\|_0^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} - \|u_1^+\|_0^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \right). \end{aligned}$$

So dividing by $t > 0$ and taking $t \rightarrow 0^+$, we get for any $\psi \in X_0$

$$0 \leq \left(a + b\|u_1\|^{2\theta-2} \right) \langle u_1, \psi \rangle - \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x)(u_1^+)^{q-1} \psi dx - \iint_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{(u_1^+(y))^{2_{\mu,s}^*} (u_1^+(x))^{2_{\mu,s}^*-1} \psi(x)}{|x-y|^\mu} dx dy.$$

As ψ was arbitrarily chosen, we infer u_1 is a non-trivial weak solution. Employing Lemma 3.1, we imply u_1 is a positive solution of (P_λ) . \square

Thus from Theorem 6.1, we conclude Remark 1.1.

6.2 Second solution

We obtain the second solution with the help of minimizers of the best constant S_s^H , defined in (2.3).

Choose $\lambda_1 > 0$, in such manner that for $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_1)$, we have $c_3^* > 0$ (as defined in Proposition 3.3). Let

$$\lambda_* = \min\{\lambda_0, \lambda_1\}. \quad (6.5)$$

Next we recall u_1 , which is the local minimum J_λ and the minimizer u_ϵ from (2.4). Also we recollect the definition of Ξ from Section 3 and the existence of $\delta > 0$ such that $B_{4\delta}(0) \subset \Xi \subset \Omega$ and $f(x) > 0$ for all $x \in B_{2\delta}(0)$. It implies that there exists a $m_f > 0$, such that $f(x) > m_f$ for all $x \in B_{2\delta}(0)$. The proof of the following Lemma is similar to that of [14, Lemma 4.2]. Thus we just give a sketch of the proof for the readers' convenience.

Lemma 6.2. *Let $\mu < \min\{4s, N\}$, then there exists $\Lambda^{**} > 0$ such that for all $0 < \lambda < \Lambda^{**}$ we obtain*

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} J_\lambda(u_1 + tu_\epsilon) < c_3^*.$$

Proof. Consider

$$J_\lambda(u_1 + tu_\epsilon) = \frac{a\|u_1 + tu_\epsilon\|^2}{2} + \frac{b\|u_1 + tu_\epsilon\|^{2\theta}}{2\theta} - \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\Omega} f(x)(u_1 + tu_\epsilon)^q dx - \frac{\|u_1 + tu_\epsilon\|_0^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*}}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*}. \quad (6.6)$$

For some $\beta \in [0, 2\pi)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|u_1 + tu_\epsilon\|^2 &= \|u_1\|^2 + \|tu_\epsilon\|^2 + 2\langle u_1, tu_\epsilon \rangle \\ &= \|u_1\|^2 + \|tu_\epsilon\|^2 + 2\|u_1\|\|tu_\epsilon\|\cos\beta,\end{aligned}\quad (6.7)$$

which implies $\|u_1 + tu_\epsilon\|^{2\theta} = (\|u_1\|^2 + \|tu_\epsilon\|^2 + 2\|u_1\|\|tu_\epsilon\|\cos\beta)^\theta$.

Additionally for all $y \geq 0, \beta \in [0, 2\pi)$, there exists a uniform $R > 0$ such that following inequality holds

$$(1 + y^2 + 2y\cos\beta)^\theta \leq \begin{cases} 1 + y^{2\theta} + 2\theta y\cos\beta + Ry^2 & \theta \in [1, \frac{3}{2}), \\ 1 + y^{2\theta} + 2\theta y\cos\beta + R(y^{2\theta-1} + y^2) & \theta \geq \frac{3}{2}.\end{cases}$$

Putting $y = \frac{\|tu_\epsilon\|}{\|u_1\|}$ in the above inequality, we have the following estimate for some $c_0 > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}\|u_1 + tu_\epsilon\|^{2\theta} &\leq \|u_1\|^{2\theta} + \|tu_\epsilon\|^{2\theta} + 2\theta\|u_1\|^{2\theta-1}\|tu_\epsilon\|\cos\beta + Rc_0(\|tu_\epsilon\|^{2\theta-1} + \|tu_\epsilon\|^2) \\ &= \|u_1\|^{2\theta} + \|tu_\epsilon\|^{2\theta} + 2\theta\|u_1\|^{2\theta-2}\langle u_1, tu_\epsilon \rangle + Rc_0(\|tu_\epsilon\|^{2\theta-1} + \|tu_\epsilon\|^2).\end{aligned}\quad (6.8)$$

From [14, Lemma 4.2], we get the estimate of $\|u_1 + tu_\epsilon\|_0^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*}$ for the case when $2_{\mu,s}^* > 3$ and $2 < 2_{\mu,s}^* \leq 3$, as follows for all $\tau \in (0, 1)$ and for some $\hat{C} > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|u_1 + tu_\epsilon\|_0^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} &\geq \|u_1\|_0^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} + \|tu_\epsilon\|_0^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \\ &\quad + 2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^* t^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^* - 1} \hat{C} \iint_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{(u_\epsilon(x))^{2_{\mu,s}^*} (u_\epsilon(y))^{2_{\mu,s}^* - 1} u_1(y)}{|x - y|^\mu} dx dy \\ &\quad + 2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^* t \iint_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{(u_1(x))^{2_{\mu,s}^*} (u_1(y))^{2_{\mu,s}^* - 1} u_\epsilon(y)}{|x - y|^\mu} dx dy - O(\epsilon^{(\frac{2N-\mu}{4})\tau}).\end{aligned}\quad (6.9)$$

Putting together (6.7), (6.8) and (6.9) in (6.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned}J_\lambda(u_1 + tu_\epsilon) &\leq \frac{a\|u_1\|^2}{2} + \frac{a\|tu_\epsilon\|^2}{2} + a\langle u_1, tu_\epsilon \rangle + \frac{b\|u_1\|^{2\theta}}{2\theta} + \frac{b\|tu_\epsilon\|^{2\theta}}{2\theta} + b\|u_1\|^{2\theta-2}\langle u_1, tu_\epsilon \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{bRc_0}{2\theta}(\|tu_\epsilon\|^{2\theta-1} + \|tu_\epsilon\|^2) - \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_\Omega f(u_1 + tu_\epsilon)^q dx - \frac{\|u_1\|_0^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*}}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} - \frac{\|tu_\epsilon\|_0^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*}}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \\ &\quad - \hat{C} t^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^* - 1} \iint_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{(u_\epsilon(x))^{2_{\mu,s}^*} (u_\epsilon(y))^{2_{\mu,s}^* - 1} u_1(y)}{|x - y|^\mu} dx dy \\ &\quad - t \iint_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{(u_1(x))^{2_{\mu,s}^*} (u_1(y))^{2_{\mu,s}^* - 1} u_\epsilon(y)}{|x - y|^\mu} dx dy + O(\epsilon^{(\frac{2N-\mu}{4})\tau}).\end{aligned}\quad (6.10)$$

Taking $\tau = \frac{2}{2_{\mu,s}^*}$, and using the fact that u_1 solves (P_λ) , i.e. $\langle J'_\lambda(u_1), tu_\epsilon \rangle = 0$ and $J_\lambda(u_1) < 0$,

in (6.10) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
J_\lambda(u_1 + tu_\epsilon) &< \frac{a\|tu_\epsilon\|^2}{2} + \frac{b\|tu_\epsilon\|^{2\theta}}{2\theta} + \frac{bRc_0}{2\theta}(\|tu_\epsilon\|^{2\theta-1} + \|tu_\epsilon\|^2) \\
&\quad - \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x) \left(\int_0^{tu_\epsilon} (u_1 + s)^{q-1} - u_1^{q-1} ds \right) dx - \frac{\|tu_\epsilon\|_0^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*}}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \\
&\quad - \hat{C}t^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^* - 1} \iint_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{(u_\epsilon(x))^{2_{\mu,s}^*} (u_\epsilon(y))^{2_{\mu,s}^* - 1} u_1(y)}{|x - y|^\mu} dx dy + o(\epsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}).
\end{aligned} \tag{6.11}$$

Also one can show there exists $r_1 > 0$ such that

$$\iint_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{(u_\epsilon(x))^{2_{\mu,s}^*} (u_\epsilon(y))^{2_{\mu,s}^* - 1} u_1(y)}{|x - y|^\mu} dx dy \geq r_1 \epsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}. \tag{6.12}$$

Using (6.12) in (6.11), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
J_\lambda(u_1 + tu_\epsilon) &< \frac{a\|tu_\epsilon\|^2}{2} + \frac{b\|tu_\epsilon\|^{2\theta}}{2\theta} + \frac{bRc_0}{2\theta}(\|tu_\epsilon\|^{2\theta-1} + \|tu_\epsilon\|^2) \\
&\quad - \frac{\|tu_\epsilon\|_0^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*}}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} - \hat{C}t^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^* - 1} r_1 \epsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2}} + o(\epsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}).
\end{aligned} \tag{6.13}$$

Define

$$\mathcal{A}(t) := \frac{a\|tu_\epsilon\|^2}{2} + \frac{b\|tu_\epsilon\|^{2\theta}}{2\theta} + \frac{bRc_0}{2\theta}(\|tu_\epsilon\|^{2\theta-1} + \|tu_\epsilon\|^2) - \frac{\|tu_\epsilon\|_0^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*}}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} - \hat{C}t^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^* - 1} r_1 \epsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}.$$

From the geometry of \mathcal{A} , there exists $t_\epsilon > 0$ such that $\sup_{t \geq 0} \mathcal{A}(t) = \mathcal{A}(t_\epsilon)$. Consequently $\mathcal{A}'(t_\epsilon) = 0$, which implies that there exist $T_0, T_{00} > 0$, such that $T_{00} < t_\epsilon < T_0$. Let us define

$$\xi(t) := \frac{at^2}{2} \|u_\epsilon\|^2 - \frac{t^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*}}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \|u_\epsilon\|_0^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*}.$$

Thus, there exists $0 < t_* := \left[\frac{a\|u_\epsilon\|^2}{\|u_\epsilon\|_0^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*}} \right]^{\frac{1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^* - 2}}$ such that $\sup_{t \geq 0} \xi(t) = \xi(t_*)$. From Proposition 2.2 and Proposition 2.3,

$$\xi(t_*) \leq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \right) (aS_s^H)^{\frac{2_{\mu,s}^*}{2_{\mu,s}^* - 1}} + O(\epsilon^{N-2s}), \tag{6.14}$$

as ϵ is small enough. Thus, from (6.13) and (6.14), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
J_\lambda(u_1 + tu_\epsilon) &\leq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \right) (aS_s^H)^{\frac{2_{\mu,s}^*}{2_{\mu,s}^* - 1}} + O(\epsilon^{N-2s}) + \frac{b\|T_0 u_\epsilon\|^{2\theta}}{2\theta} + \frac{bRc_0}{2\theta} \|T_0 u_\epsilon\|^{2\theta-1} \\
&\quad + \frac{bRc_0}{2\theta} \|T_0 u_\epsilon\|^2 - \hat{C}T_{00}^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^* - 1} r_1 \epsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2}} + o(\epsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}).
\end{aligned} \tag{6.15}$$

Let $b = \epsilon^p$, for some $p > N - 2s$. Consider

$$O(\epsilon^{N-2s}) + \frac{\epsilon^p \|T_0 u_\epsilon\|^{2\theta}}{2\theta} + \frac{\epsilon^p Rc_0}{2\theta} \|T_0 u_\epsilon\|^{2\theta-1} + \frac{\epsilon^p Rc_0}{2\theta} \|T_0 u_\epsilon\|^2 + o(\epsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}) \leq c_1 \epsilon^\beta$$

where $\beta > \frac{N-2s}{2}$ and c_1 is a positive constant. Assuming $\epsilon = \lambda^{\frac{2}{\beta(2-q)}}$ and using the above

inequality in (6.15), we get

$$J_\lambda(u_1 + tu_\epsilon) \leq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \right) (aS_s^H)^{\frac{2_{\mu,s}^*}{2\mu,s-1}} + c_1 \lambda^{\frac{2}{2-q}} - \hat{C}T_{00}^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^* - 1} r_1 \left(\lambda^{\frac{2}{2-q}} \right)^{\frac{N-2s}{2\beta}}.$$

Thus, for $\lambda < \left(\frac{\hat{C}T_{00}^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^* - 1} r_1}{c_1 + \hat{D}} \right)^{\frac{(2-q)\beta}{2\beta - (N-2s)}} := \Lambda$ we have

$$c_1 \lambda^{\frac{2}{2-q}} - \hat{C}T_{00}^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^* - 1} r_1 \left(\lambda^{\frac{2}{2-q}} \right)^{\frac{N-2s}{2\beta}} < -\lambda^{\frac{2}{2-q}} \hat{D}.$$

Define $\Lambda^{**} = \min\{\lambda_*, \Lambda\}$ and $\epsilon_* = (\Lambda^{**})^{\frac{2}{\beta(2-q)}} > 0$, such that for every $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda^{**})$ and $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_*)$, we have

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} J_\lambda(u_1 + tu_\epsilon) < c_3^*.$$

□

Lemma 6.3. *Let $\mu \geq 4s$ and $\frac{N}{N-2s} \leq q < 2$, then there **exists** a $\tilde{\Lambda}^{**} > 0$ such that for all $0 < \lambda < \tilde{\Lambda}^{**}$ we obtain*

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} J_\lambda(tu_\epsilon) < c_3^*.$$

Proof. Let $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_*)$, as defined in (6.5), then following the same argument as in Lemma 4.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \geq t_0} J_\lambda(tu_\epsilon) &= \sup_{t \geq t_0} \left(\frac{at^2}{2} \|u_\epsilon\|^2 + \frac{bt^{2\theta}}{2\theta} \|u_\epsilon\|^{2\theta} - \frac{\lambda t^q}{q} \int_{\Omega} f(x)(u_\epsilon(x))^q dx - \frac{t^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*}}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \|u_\epsilon\|_0^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \right) \\ &\leq \sup_{t \geq 0} \nu(t) - \frac{\lambda t_0^q}{q} \int_{\Omega} f(x)(u_\epsilon(x))^q dx. \end{aligned} \quad (6.16)$$

Proceeding as in Lemma 4.1 up to (4.3), we conclude there exists t_ϵ such that $\sup_{t \geq 0} \nu(t) = \nu(t_\epsilon)$, also we define

$$\mathcal{K}(t) := \frac{at^2}{2} \|u_\epsilon\|^2 - \frac{t^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*}}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \|u_\epsilon\|_0^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*}.$$

Therefore, there exists $0 < \tilde{t}_* := \left[\frac{a \|u_\epsilon\|^2}{\|u_\epsilon\|_0^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*}} \right]^{\frac{1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^* - 2}}$ such that $\sup_{t \geq 0} \mathcal{K}(t) = \mathcal{K}(\tilde{t}_*)$. Using Proposition 2.2 and Proposition 2.3, we conclude there exists a positive constant c , such that

$$\mathcal{K}(\tilde{t}_*) \leq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \right) (aS_s^H)^{\frac{2_{\mu,s}^*}{2\mu,s-1}} + c\epsilon^{N-2s}. \quad (6.17)$$

In (6.16), assuming $b = \epsilon^p$ where $p > N - 2s$ and using (6.17), we get for some $C > 0$

$$\sup_{t \geq t_0} J_\lambda(tu_\epsilon) \leq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \right) (aS_s^H)^{\frac{2_{\mu,s}^*}{2\mu,s-1}} + C\epsilon^{N-2s} - \frac{\lambda t_0^q m_f}{q} \int_{\Omega} (u_\epsilon(x))^q dx. \quad (6.18)$$

For $\epsilon < \frac{\delta}{2}$, there exist positive constants $c_{1,s}, c_{2,s}$ such that

$$\|u_\epsilon\|_{L^q}^q \geq \begin{cases} c_{1,s} \epsilon^{N - \frac{q(N-2s)}{2}} & q > \frac{N}{N-2s}, \\ c_{2,s} \epsilon^{\frac{N}{2}} |\log \epsilon| & q = \frac{N}{N-2s}. \end{cases} \quad (6.19)$$

Using (6.18) and (6.19) with $\epsilon = \left(\lambda^{\frac{2}{2-q}}\right)^{\frac{1}{N-2s}}$, for some constants $C_{1,s}, C_{2,s} > 0$, we get

Case (i): When $q > \frac{N}{N-2s}$

$$\sup_{t \geq t_0} J_\lambda(tu_\epsilon) \leq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2^*_{\mu,s}}\right) (aS_s^H)^{\frac{2^*_{\mu,s}}{2^*_{\mu,s}-1}} + C\lambda^{\frac{2}{2-q}} - C_{1,s}\lambda^{1+\left[\frac{2}{(2-q)(N-2s)}\right]\left[N-\frac{q(N-2s)}{2}\right]}.$$

Note that $q > \frac{N}{N-2s}$, if and only if $\sigma := -1 + \frac{2}{2-q} \left[1 - \frac{1}{N-2s} \left(N - \frac{q(N-2s)}{2}\right)\right] > 0$. Therefore, there exists $v_1 := \left(\frac{C_{1,s}}{C+D}\right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}$, such that for $\lambda < v_1$ we get $\sup_{t \geq t_0} J_\lambda(tu_\epsilon) < c_3^*$.

Case (ii): When $q = \frac{N}{N-2s}$

As $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, then $|\log\left(\lambda^{\frac{2}{2-q}}\right)^{\frac{1}{N-2s}}| \rightarrow \infty$, thus, there exists v_2 , such that for $\lambda \in (0, v_2)$ we have

$$C\lambda^{\frac{2}{2-q}} - C_{2,s}\lambda^{1+\frac{N}{(2-q)(N-2s)}} |\log\left(\lambda^{\frac{2}{2-q}}\right)^{\frac{1}{N-2s}}| < -\lambda^{\frac{2}{2-q}} \hat{D}.$$

Define $\tilde{\Lambda}^{**} = \min\left\{\lambda_*, v_1, v_2, \left(\frac{\delta}{2}\right)^{\frac{(2-q)(N-2s)}{2}}\right\}$ and $\epsilon_{**} = (\tilde{\Lambda}^{**})^{\frac{2}{(2-q)(N-2s)}} > 0$, such that for every $\lambda \in (0, \tilde{\Lambda}^{**})$ and $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_{**})$, we have

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} J_\lambda(tu_\epsilon) < c_3^*.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1.3 (i): Let $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda^{**})$ and $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_*)$, then from Lemma 3.2, J_λ satisfies the geometry of the Mountain-pass lemma, thus there exists a sequence $\{u_n\}$ such that $J_\lambda(u_n) \rightarrow c_\lambda$ and $J'_\lambda(u_n) \rightarrow 0$, where

$$c_\lambda := \inf_{h \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0,1]} J_\lambda(h(t)), \quad \text{where } \Gamma = \{h \in C([0,1], X_0(\Omega)) : h(0) = u_1 \text{ and } h(1) = u_1 + t_0 u_\epsilon\}.$$

Consequently by Proposition 3.3 and Lemma 6.2 we get

$$0 < \rho < c_\lambda \leq \max_{t \in [0,1]} J_\lambda(u_1 + tt_0 u_\epsilon) \leq \sup_{t \geq 0} J_\lambda(u_1 + tu_\epsilon) < c_3^*.$$

Thus there exists $u_2 \in X_0(\Omega)$ such that $u_n \rightarrow u_2$ in $X_0(\Omega)$ i.e. u_2 is the non-trivial critical point of J_λ . The solutions obtained are distinct, since $J_\lambda(u_2) = c_\lambda > 0 > m_\lambda = J_\lambda(u_1)$.

Proof of Theorem 1.3 (ii): Similarly by the same argument for $\lambda \in (0, \tilde{\Lambda}^{**})$ and $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_{**})$, we set the minimax level

$$\tilde{c}_\lambda := \inf_{g \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0,1]} J_\lambda(g(t)), \quad \text{where } \Gamma = \{g \in C([0,1], X_0(\Omega)) : g(0) = 0 \text{ and } g(1) = t_0 u_\epsilon\}.$$

Therefore by Proposition 3.3 and Lemma 6.3 we get $\rho < \tilde{c}_\lambda \leq \sup_{t \geq 0} J_\lambda(tu_\epsilon) < c_3^*$. Thus there exists $\tilde{u}_2 \in X_0(\Omega)$ such that $u_n \rightarrow \tilde{u}_2$ in $X_0(\Omega)$. Note that, the solutions are distinct, as $J_\lambda(\tilde{u}_2) = \tilde{c}'_\lambda > 0 > m_\lambda = J_\lambda(u_1)$.

Next reasoning as in Lemma 3.1, we get the desired result. □

6.3 Case when $q = 2$

We will be studying the problem (P_λ) with $q = 2$. Thus, the energy functional is defined as,

$$J_\lambda(u) = \frac{a}{2}\|u\|^2 + \frac{b}{2\theta}\|u\|^{2\theta} - \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\Omega} f(x)(u^+(x))^2 dx - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \|u^+\|_0^{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*}.$$

Lemma 6.4. *Let $N \geq 4s$, then there exists $\Upsilon > 0$ such that for $\epsilon \in (0, \Upsilon)$ we have*

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} J_\lambda(tu_\epsilon) < c_4^* := \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \right) (aS_s^H)^{\frac{2N-\mu}{N-\mu+2s}}.$$

Proof. Proceeding as in Lemma 6.3 up to (6.18) we have for all $\lambda > 0$ and for some $C > 0$

$$\sup_{t \geq t_0} J_\lambda(tu_\epsilon) \leq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \right) (aS_s^H)^{\frac{2_{\mu,s}^*}{2_{\mu,s}^*-1}} + C\epsilon^{N-2s} - \frac{\lambda t_0^q m_f}{2} \int_{\Omega} (u_\epsilon)^2 dx. \quad (6.20)$$

Using Proposition 2.2, we have for some positive constant C_s

$$\|u_\epsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \geq \begin{cases} C_s \epsilon^{2s} + O(\epsilon^{N-2s}) & N > 4s, \\ C_s \epsilon^{2s} |\log(\epsilon)| + O(\epsilon^{2s}) & N = 4s. \end{cases} \quad (6.21)$$

Further using (6.20) and (6.21) we get

Case (i) When $N > 4s$

$$\sup_{t \geq t_0} J_\lambda(tu_\epsilon) \leq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \right) (aS_s^H)^{\frac{2_{\mu,s}^*}{2_{\mu,s}^*-1}} + C\epsilon^{N-2s} - \lambda C_s \epsilon^{2s}.$$

Thus we can choose $\Upsilon_1 > 0$ such that for $\epsilon \in (0, \Upsilon_1)$, $C\epsilon^{N-2s} - \lambda C_s \epsilon^{2s} < 0$.

Case (ii) When $N = 4s$

$$\sup_{t \geq t_0} J_\lambda(tu_\epsilon) \leq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2_{\mu,s}^*} \right) (aS_s^H)^{\frac{2_{\mu,s}^*}{2_{\mu,s}^*-1}} + C\epsilon^{N-2s} - \lambda C_s \epsilon^{2s} |\log(\epsilon)|.$$

As $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, then $|\log(\epsilon)| \rightarrow \infty$. Thus we can choose $\Upsilon_2 > 0$ such that for $\epsilon \in (0, \Upsilon_2)$, $C\epsilon^{N-2s} - \lambda C_s \epsilon^{2s} |\log(\epsilon)| < 0$. Define $\Upsilon = \min\{\Upsilon_1, \Upsilon_2\}$, hence for all $\lambda > 0$ and $\epsilon \in (0, \Upsilon)$ we have $\sup_{t \geq 0} J_\lambda(tu_\epsilon) < c_4^*$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3 (iii): Thus by Lemma 3.2 and 6.4 we conclude about the existence of non-trivial solution of (P_λ) , say $u_1 \in X_0(\Omega)$. By Lemma 3.1, we imply $u_1 > 0$. \square

Acknowledgement. The research of second author is supported by a grant of UGC (India) with JRF grant number: June 18-414344.

References

- [1] C. O. Alves, F. Corrêa and G. M. Figueiredo, *On a class of nonlocal elliptic problems with critical growth*, Differential Equations and Applications, 2 (2010), no. 3, 409-417.
- [2] A. Ambrosetti and P. H. Rabinowitz, *Dual variational methods in critical point theory and applications*, Journal of functional Analysis, 14 (1973), no. 4, 349-381.

- [3] V. Ambrosio, *Concentrating solutions for a class of nonlinear fractional Schrödinger equations in \mathbb{R}^N* , Revista Matemática Iberoamericana, 35 (2019), no. 5, 1367–1414.
- [4] J. G. Azorero and I. P. Alonso, *Multiplicity of solutions for elliptic problems with critical exponent or with a nonsymmetric term*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 323 (1991), 877–895.
- [5] M. Badiale and E. Serra, *Semilinear Elliptic Equations for Beginners: Existence Results via the Variational Approach*, Springer Science & Business Media, (2010).
- [6] B. Barrios, E. Colorado, R. Servadei and F. Soria, *A critical fractional equation with concave-convex power nonlinearities*, Annales de l’Institut Henri Poincaré C, Analyse non linéaire, 32 (2015), no. 4, 875-900.
- [7] H. Brézis and E. A. Lieb, *A relation between pointwise convergence of functions and convergence of functionals*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 88 (1983), no. 3, 486-490.
- [8] A. Fiscella and P. Pucci, *p -fractional Kirchhoff equations involving critical nonlinearities*, Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications, 35 (2017), 350-378.
- [9] A. Fiscella and E. Valdinoci, *A critical Kirchhoff type problem involving a nonlocal operator*, Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications, 94 (2014), 156-170.
- [10] F. Gao and M. Yang, *On nonlocal Choquard equations with Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev critical exponents*, Journal of mathematical analysis and applications, 448 (2017), no. 2, 1006-1041.
- [11] F. Gao and M. Yang, *On the Brezis-Nirenberg type critical problem for nonlinear Choquard equation*, Science China Mathematics, 61 (2018), no. 7, 1219-1242.
- [12] J. Giacomoni, D. Goel and K. Sreenadh, *Regularity results on a class of doubly nonlocal problems*, Journal of Differential Equations, 268 (2020), no. 9, 5301-5328.
- [13] J. Giacomoni, T. Mukherjee and K. Sreenadh, *Doubly nonlocal system with Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev critical nonlinearity*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 467 (2018), 638-672.
- [14] D. Goel and K. Sreenadh, *Kirchhoff equations with Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev critical nonlinearity*, Nonlinear Analysis, 186 (2019), 162-186.
- [15] G. Kirchhoff, *Mechanik*, Teubner, Leipzig, 1883.
- [16] H. Y. Li and J. F. Liao, *Existence and multiplicity of solutions for a superlinear Kirchhoff-type equations with critical Sobolev exponent in \mathbb{R}^N* , Computers & Mathematics with Applications, 72 (2016), no. 12, 2900-2907.

- [17] X. Li, S. Ma and G. Zhang, *Solutions to upper critical fractional Choquard equations with potential*, Advances in Differential Equations, 25 (2020), no. 3/4, 135-160.
- [18] S. Liang, P. Pucci, and B. Zhang, *Multiple solutions for critical Choquard-Kirchhoff type equations*, Advances in Nonlinear Analysis, 10 (2021), no. 1, 400-419.
- [19] E. H. Lieb, *Existence and uniqueness of the minimizing solution of Choquards nonlinear equation*, Studies in Applied Mathematics, 57 (1976/77), no. 2, 93-105.
- [20] O. H. Miyagaki, *On a class of semilinear elliptic problems in \mathbb{R}^N with critical growth*, Nonlinear Analysis-theory Methods & Applications, 29 (1997), 773-781.
- [21] O. H. Miyagaki, L. C. Paes-Leme and B. M. Rodrigues, *Multiplicity of positive solutions for the Kirchhoff-type equations with critical exponent in \mathbb{R}^N* , Computers & Mathematics with Applications, 75 (2018), no. 9, 3201-3212.
- [22] G. Molica Bisci, V. Rădulescu and R. Servadei, *Variational Methods for Nonlocal Fractional Problems*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (2016).
- [23] V. Moroz and J. Van Schaftingen, *Groundstates of nonlinear Choquard equations: existence, qualitative properties and decay asymptotics*, Journal of Functional Analysis, 265 (2013), no. 2, 153-184.
- [24] V. Moroz and J. Van Schaftingen, *A guide to the Choquard equation*, Journal of Fixed Point Theory and Applications, 19 (2017), no. 1, 773-813.
- [25] D. Naimen, *Positive solutions of Kirchhoff type elliptic equations involving a critical Sobolev exponent*, NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations and Applications, 21 (2014), no. 6, 885-914.
- [26] D. Naimen, *On the Brezis-Nirenberg problem with a Kirchhoff Type Perturbation*, Advanced Nonlinear Studies, 15 (2015), 135-156.
- [27] S. Pekar, *Untersuchung über die Elektronentheorie der Kristalle*, Akademie Verlag, Berlin, 1954.
- [28] P. Pucci and V. Radulescu, *Progress in nonlinear Kirchhoff problems*, Nonlinear Analysis, 186 (2019), 1-5.
- [29] P. Pucci and S. Saldi, *Critical stationary Kirchhoff equations in \mathbb{R}^N involving nonlocal operators*, Revista Matemática Iberoamericana, 32 (2016), no. 1, 1-22.
- [30] P. Pucci, M. Xiang and B. Zhang, *Multiple solutions for nonhomogeneous Schrödinger-Kirchhoff type equations involving the fractional p -Laplacian in \mathbb{R}^N* , Calculus Variations PDE, 54 (2015), 2785-2806.

- [31] P. Pucci, M. Xiang, and B. Zhang, *Existence results for Schrödinger–Choquard–Kirchhoff equations involving the fractional p -Laplacian*, *Advances in Calculus of Variations*, 12 (2019), no. 3, 253-275.
- [32] S. Rawat and K. Sreenadh, *Multiple positive solutions for degenerate Kirchhoff equations with singular and Choquard nonlinearity*, *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences*, 44 (2021), 13812-13832.
- [33] X. Shang, J. Zhang and Y. Yang, *On fractional Schrödinger equation in \mathbb{R}^N with critical growth*, *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 54 (2013), no. 12, 121502.
- [34] F. Wang, D. Hu and M. Xiang, *Combined effects of Choquard and singular nonlinearities in fractional Kirchhoff problems*, *Advances in Nonlinear Analysis*, 10 (2021), no. 1, 636-658.
- [35] F. Wang and M. Xiang, *Multiplicity of solutions for a class of fractional Choquard–Kirchhoff equations involving critical nonlinearity*, *Analysis and Mathematical Physics*, 9 (2019), no. 1, 1-16.
- [36] M. Xiang, B. Zhang and V. Rădulescu, *Multiplicity of solutions for a class of quasilinear Kirchhoff system involving the fractional p -Laplacian*, *Nonlinearity*, 29 (2016), 3186–3205.
- [37] M. Xiang, B. Zhang and V. Rădulescu, *Superlinear Schrödinger–Kirchhoff type problems involving the fractional p -Laplacian and critical exponent*, *Advances in Nonlinear Analysis*, 9 (2020), 690–709.
- [38] M. Xiang, B. Zhang, and X. Zhang, *A Nonhomogeneous Fractional p -Kirchhoff Type Problem Involving Critical Exponent in \mathbb{R}^N* , *Advanced Nonlinear Studies*, 17 (2017), no. 3, 611-640.
- [39] X. Yao and C. Mu, *Multiplicity of solutions for Kirchhoff type equations involving critical Sobolev exponents in high dimension*, *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences*, 39 (2016), no. 13, 3722-3734.