

PARTITIONING PLANAR GRAPHS WITHOUT 4-CYCLES AND 6-CYCLES INTO A FOREST AND A DISJOINT UNION OF PATHS

PONGPAT SITTITRAI¹ KITTIKORN NAKPRASIT²

¹*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen, 40002, Thailand.*

Email : pongpat.sittitrai@gmail.com

²*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen, 40002, Thailand.*

Email : kitnak@hotmail.com

Abstract

In this paper, we show that every planar graph without 4-cycles and 6-cycles has a partition of its vertex set into two sets, where one set induces a forest, and the other induces a forest with maximum degree at most 2 (equivalently, a disjoint union of paths).

Note that we can partition the vertex set of a forest into two independent sets. However a pair of independent sets combined may not induce a forest. Thus our result extends the result of Wang and Xu (2013) stating that the vertex set of every planar graph without 4-cycles and 6-cycles can be partitioned into three sets, where one induces a graph with maximum degree two, and the remaining two are independent sets.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we consider only undirected simple graphs. Let \mathcal{G}_i be a family of graphs. A graph G with the vertex set $V(G)$ has a $(\mathcal{G}_1, \dots, \mathcal{G}_k)$ -partition (V_1, \dots, V_k) (a vertex partition) if $V(G)$ can be partitioned into k sets V_1, V_2, \dots, V_k where V_i is an empty set or the induced subgraph $G[V_i]$ is in \mathcal{G}_i for each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$.

Certain classes of graphs are of interest. Let \mathcal{F}_d be a family of forests with maximum degree d , and let Δ_d be a family of graphs with maximum degree d . We use \mathcal{I} for \mathcal{F}_0 and Δ_0 , and we use \mathcal{F} for \mathcal{F}_∞ (a family of forests with unbounded degree).

Note that a $(\Delta_{d_1}, \dots, \Delta_{d_k})$ -partition is equivalent to a (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n) -coloring. Accordingly, an improper vertex coloring (a generalization of a proper coloring) can be regarded as a kind of vertex partition

The following table shows some known results about the existence of particular vertex partitions for some classes of planar graphs. Some results may be redundant since a forest can be partitioned into one or two independent sets and \mathcal{F}_d is a subclass of Δ_d . Nonetheless, we still put original results about $(\Delta_{d_1}, \Delta_{d_2}, \dots, \Delta_{d_n})$ -partition in the table for a chronological reason.

Classes of Planar graphs	$(\Delta_{d_1}, \dots, \Delta_{d_n})$ -partition	$(\mathcal{F}_{d_1}, \dots, \mathcal{F}_{d_n})$ -partition
Planar graphs	$(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I})$ [FCT] $(\Delta_2, \Delta_2, \Delta_2)$ [9]	$(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F})$ [1] $(\mathcal{F}_2, \mathcal{F}_2, \mathcal{F}_2)$ [18]
Planar graphs with girth 4	$(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I})$ [13]	$(\mathcal{F}_5, \mathcal{F})$ [10]
Planar graphs with girth 5	(Δ_3, Δ_4) [8]	$(\mathcal{F}_3, \mathcal{F}_3)$ (forbid adjacent 5-cycles) [21] $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{F})$ [2]
Planar graphs with girth 6	(Δ_1, Δ_4) [3] (Δ_2, Δ_2) [12]	$(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_4)$ [6] $(\mathcal{F}_2, \mathcal{F}_2)$ [4]
Planar graphs with girth 7	(\mathcal{I}, Δ_4) [3]	$(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{F}_5)$ [11]
Planar graphs with girth 8	(\mathcal{I}, Δ_2) [3]	$(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{F}_3)$ [11]
Planar graphs with girth 10	$(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I})$ [11]	$(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{F}_2)$ [11]

In [5], Chartrand and Kronk gave an example of a planar graph without an $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F})$ -partition. In view of this, finding the sufficient conditions for planar graphs to have an $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F})$ -partition has become an interesting topic ever since.

Every planar graphs with girth 4 has an $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F})$ -partition by being 2-degenerate. On the other hand, Montassier and Ochem [17] showed that for each d_1 and d_2 , there exists a planar graph with girth 4 having no $(\Delta_{d_1}, \Delta_{d_2})$ -partitions. Thus the result of partitioning the vertex set of a planar graph with girth 4 into two forests cannot be improved in terms of the maximum degrees of both forests. At best, one may find d_1 such that each planar graph with girth 4 has an $(\mathcal{F}_{d_1}, \mathcal{F})$ -partition. Dross et al. [10] verified this holds for $d_1 = 5$. However the case for $d_1 \leq 4$ is still open. In particular, the case $d_1 = 0$ if true (an $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{F})$ -partition) would imply the result by Grötzsch [13] (a $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I})$ -partition).

A planar graph without 4- and 6-cycles is shown to have an $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I})$ -partition if it has no 8-cycles by Wang and Chen [20] or it has no 9-cycles by Kang et al. [15]. Liu and Yu [16] improved both results by showing that such graphs have an $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{F})$ -partition.

Sittitirai and Nakprasit [19] proved that every planar graph without 4-cycles and 5-cycle has a (Δ_4, Δ_4) -partition and a (Δ_3, Δ_5) -partition. Later Cho et al. [7] extended the result by proving that each such graph has an $(\mathcal{F}_3, \mathcal{F}_4)$ -partition.

Wang and Xu [22] showed that every planar graphs without 4-cycles and 6-cycles has a $(\Delta_2, \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I})$ -partition. In this work, we improve their result in the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Every planar graph without 4-cycles and 6-cycles has an $(\mathcal{F}_2, \mathcal{F})$ -partition.*

On the other hand, in 2022 Kang et al. [14] showed that every planar graph without 4-cycles and 6-cycles has an $(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I})$ -partition (equivalently, a $(1, 0, 0)$ -coloring). Inspired by two above results, we put forth the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.2. *Every planar graph without 4-cycles and 6-cycles has an $(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F})$ -partition.*

2. STRUCTURES OF A MINIMAL COUNTEREXAMPLE

Before we proceed to accrue results, some notation is required as follows. A k -vertex (respectively, k^+ -vertex and k^- -vertex) is a vertex of degree k (respectively, at least k and at most k .)

The same notation is applied for faces. A k -vertex u is a k -neighbor of v if u is adjacent to v . The boundary walk of a face f is denoted by $b(f)$. A vertex v and a face f are incident if v is on $b(f)$. If a vertex v not on $b(f)$ but is adjacent to a 3-vertex u on $b(f)$, then we call f a *pendent face* of a vertex v and v is a *pendent neighbor* of u (with respect to f). We use $n_i(v)$ to denote the number of incident i -faces of a vertex v , and use $m_i(v)$ to denote the number of pendent i -faces of a vertex v .

Given a 3-vertex u incident to a 3-face or a 5-face f , we call u a *terrible 3-vertex* of f if it has a pendent 4^- -neighbor, otherwise we call u a *non-terrible 3-vertex*. A 3-face f is a *poor 3-face* if f is incident to two terrible 3-vertices.

Let G be a minimal counterexample of Theorem 1.1. That is G does not have a $(\mathcal{F}_2, \mathcal{F})$ -partition, but each proper subgraph G' of G has an $(\mathcal{F}_2, \mathcal{F})$ -partition (V_1, V_2) . For a vertex $v \in V_1$, a neighbor of v in V_1 is a V_1 -neighbor, and we say v is V_1 -saturated if v has two V_1 -neighbors. Some properties of G are obtained as follows.

Lemma 2.1. *Each vertex in G is a 3^+ -vertex.*

Proof. Let u be a vertex in G . Suppose to the contrary that u is a 2-vertex. (The case that u is a 1^- -vertex is similar). Then $G - u$ has a $(\mathcal{F}_2, \mathcal{F})$ -partition (V_1, V_2) . Let v and w be two adjacent vertices of u .

If $v \in V_1$ or $w \in V_1$, then G has a $(\mathcal{F}_2, \mathcal{F})$ -partition $(V_1, V_2 \cup \{u\})$, a contradiction.

If $v, w \in V_2$, then G has a $(\mathcal{F}_2, \mathcal{F})$ -partition $(V_1 \cup \{u\}, V_2)$, a contradiction. \square

Since G contains neither 4- nor 6-cycles, we have the following observation.

Observation 2.2. *Let f be a face in G .*

- (i) *A face f is not a 4-face.*
- (ii) *If f is a 3-face, then f is not adjacent to a 6^- -face.*

Lemma 2.3. *Let v be a vertex of G .*

- (i) *If v is a 3-vertex, then v is adjacent to a 5^+ -vertex.*
- (ii) *If v is a 5-vertex, then v is not incident to a poor 3-face.*
- (iii) *If v is a 6-vertex, then v is incident to at most one poor 3-face.*

Proof. (i) Suppose to the contrary that each neighbor of v is a 4^- -vertex. Consider $(\mathcal{F}_2, \mathcal{F})$ -partition (V_1, V_2) of $G - v$. Since each neighbor of v is a 3^- -vertex in $G - v$, we may assume that each of them is in V_1 but is not V_1 -saturated or is in V_2 .

If two or three neighbors of v are in V_1 , then G has a $(\mathcal{F}_2, \mathcal{F})$ -partition $(V_1, V_2 \cup \{v\})$, otherwise G has an $(\mathcal{F}_2, \mathcal{F})$ -partition $(V_1 \cup \{v\}, V_2)$ since the only neighbor of v in V_1 (if exists) is not V_1 -saturated. We obtain a contradiction for both cases.

(ii) Suppose to the contrary that v is incident to a poor 3-face f with $b(f) = vv_1v_2$. From the definition, v_1 and v_2 are terrible 3-vertices with pendent 4^- -neighbors, say v'_1 and v'_2 , respectively.

Consider $G - \{v_1, v_2\}$ with an $(\mathcal{F}_2, \mathcal{F})$ -partition (V_1, V_2) .

Since $v, v'_1,$ and v'_2 are 3^- -vertices in $G - \{v_1, v_2\}$, we may assume that each of them is in V_1 but is not V_1 -saturated or is in V_2 .

- Let $v \in V_1$.

If v'_1 or v'_2 is in V_1 , then G has a $(\mathcal{F}_2, \mathcal{F})$ -partition $(V_1, V_2 \cup \{v_1, v_2\})$, a contradiction.

If v'_1 and v'_2 are in V_2 , then G has a $(\mathcal{F}_2, \mathcal{F})$ -partition $(V_1 \cup \{v_1\}, V_2 \cup \{v_2\})$ since v is not V_1 -saturated, a contradiction.

- Let $v \in V_2$.

If v'_1 and v'_2 are in V_2 , then G has a $(\mathcal{F}_2, \mathcal{F})$ -partition $(V_1 \cup \{v_1, v_2\}, V_2)$, a contradiction.

If v'_1 is in V_1 and v'_2 is in V_2 , then G has a $(\mathcal{F}_2, \mathcal{F})$ -partition $(V_1 \cup \{v_2\}, V_2 \cup \{v_1\})$, a contradiction.

If v'_1 and v'_2 are in V_1 , then G has a $(\mathcal{F}_2, \mathcal{F})$ -partition $(V_1 \cup \{v_1\}, V_2 \cup \{v_2\})$ since v'_1 is not V_1 -saturated, a contradiction.

(iii) Suppose to the contrary that v is incident to two poor 3-faces with boundary walks vv_1v_2 and vv_3v_4 . From the definition, v_i is a terrible 3-vertices with pendent 4^- -neighbors, say v'_i where $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$.

Consider $G' = G - \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$ with a $(\mathcal{F}_2, \mathcal{F})$ -partition (V_1, V_2) . Since each v'_i is a 3^- -vertex in G' , we may assume that $v'_i \in V_1$ but v'_i is not V_1 -saturated or $v'_i \in V_2$ where $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Moreover, since v is a 2-vertex in G' , we may assume that $v \in V_1$ but has no V_1 -neighbors or $v \in V_2$. The table below shows that G has an $(\mathcal{F}_2, \mathcal{F})$ -partition for all cases, a contradiction.

v	v_1 and v_2	v_3 and v_4	$(\mathcal{F}_2, \mathcal{F})$ -partition
$v \in V_1$	$v'_1 \in V_1$ or $v'_2 \in V_1$	$v'_3 \in V_1$ or $v'_4 \in V_1$	$(V_1, V_2 \cup \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\})$
$v \in V_1$	$v'_1 \in V_1$ or $v'_2 \in V_1$	$v'_3 \in V_2$ and $v'_4 \in V_2$	$(V_1 \cup \{v_4\}, V_2 \cup \{v_1, v_2, v_3\})$
$v \in V_1$	$v'_1 \in V_2$ and $v'_2 \in V_2$	$v'_3 \in V_2$ and $v'_4 \in V_2$	$(V_1 \cup \{v_2, v_4\}, V_2 \cup \{v_1, v_3\})$
$v \in V_2$	$v'_1 \in V_1$ or $v'_2 \in V_1$	$v'_3 \in V_1$ or $v'_4 \in V_1$	$(V_1 \cup \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}, V_2)$
$v \in V_2$	$v'_1 \in V_1$ or $v'_2 \in V_1$	$v'_3 \in V_2$ and $v'_4 \in V_2$	$(V_1 \cup \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}, V_2 \cup \{v_4\})$
$v \in V_2$	$v'_1 \in V_2$ and $v'_2 \in V_2$	$v'_3 \in V_2$ and $v'_4 \in V_2$	$(V_1 \cup \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}, V_2 \cup \{v_2, v_4\})$

□

Lemma 2.4. *Each k -vertex v has upper bounds on $m_i(v)$ and $n_i(v)$ as follows.*

- (i) $n_3(v) \leq \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor$.
- (ii) $n_5(v) \leq \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } m_3(v) + 2n_3(v) = k, \\ k, & \text{if } m_3(v) + 2n_3(v) = 0, \\ k - m_3(v) - 2n_3(v) - 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$
- (iii) $m_3(v) \leq k - 2n_3(v)$.
- (iv) $m_5(v) \leq k - m_3(v) - 2m_3(v)$.

Proof. Let v_1, \dots, v_k be neighbors of a k -vertex v .

Let A be the set of v_i where v_i is incident to an incident 3-face of v .

Let B be the set of v_i where v_i is pendent 3-neighbor of v .

Let C be the set of v_i where v_i is incident to an incident 5-face of v .

Let D be the set of v_i where v_i is a pendent 5-neighbor of v .

Recall Observation 2.2(ii) that a 3-face is not adjacent to a 6^- -face, we have the following properties.

(a) $A \cap B = \emptyset$ and $(A \cup B) \cap (C \cup D) = \emptyset$. Consequently each $|C|$ and $|D|$ is not more than $k - |A| - |B|$.

(b) If $v_i \in A$, then v_i is incident to exactly one incident 3-face of v . Consequently $n_3(v) \leq \frac{|A|}{2}$.

(c) If $v_i \in C$, then v_{i-1} or v_{i+1} is in C . Consequently $n_5(v) = |C| - 1$ for $1 \leq |C| \leq k - 1$.

The Lemma 2.4 follows (a), (b), and (c). \square

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Suppose G is a minimal counterexample to the theorem. The discharging process is as follows. Let the initial charge of a vertex v in G be $\mu(v) = 2d(v) - 6$, and let the initial charge of a face f in G be $\mu(f) = d(f) - 6$. Using Euler's formula $|V(G)| - |E(G)| + |F(G)| = 2$ and the Handshaking lemma, we have

$$\sum_{v \in V(G)} \mu(v) + \sum_{f \in F(G)} \mu(f) = -12.$$

Now, we establish a new charge $\mu^*(x)$ for all $x \in V(G) \cup F(G)$ by transferring charge from one element to another and the summation of new charge $\mu^*(x)$ remains -12 . If the final charge $\mu^*(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in V(G) \cup F(G)$, then we get a contradiction and the proof is completed.

The discharging rules are as follows.

(R1) Let v be a 3-vertex.

- v gives charge $\frac{1}{3}$ to each incident 3-face.

(R2) Let v be a 4-vertex.

- v gives charge 1 to each incident 3-face.

- v gives charge $\frac{1}{2}$ to each incident 5-face.

(R3) Let v be a 5^+ -vertex.

- v gives charge $\frac{5}{3}$ to each incident non poor 3-face or $\frac{7}{3}$ to each incident poor 3-face.

- v gives charge $\frac{2}{3}$ to each pendent 3-face.

- v gives charge $\frac{1}{4}$ to each pendent 5-face.

- v gives charge $\frac{1}{2}$ to each incident 5-face.

(R4) Let f be a 7^+ -face.

- f gives charge $\frac{1}{6}$ to each incident 3-vertex incident to a 3-face.

It remains to show that resulting $\mu^*(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in V(G) \cup F(G)$. It is clear that $\mu^*(x) \geq 0$ when x is a 3-vertex not incident to any 3-faces or x is a 6-face.

CASE 1: Let f be a 3-face.

Note that f is incident to a 5^+ -vertices if f is incident to a terrible 3-vertex by Lemma 2.3(i). It follows that f has at most two incident terrible 3-vertices.

Let k denote the number of incident non-terrible 3-vertices of f .

If f has no incident terrible 3-vertices, then $\mu^*(f) = \mu(f) + (3 - k) \times 1 + n \times \frac{1}{3} + k \times \frac{2}{3} = 0$ by (R1), (R2), and (R3).

If f has one incident terrible 3-vertex, then $\mu^*(f) = \mu(f) + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{5}{3} + (1 - k) \times 1 + k \times \frac{1}{3} + k \times \frac{2}{3} = 0$ by (R1), (R2), and (R3).

If f has two incident terrible 3-vertices, then $\mu^*(f) = \mu(f) + 2 \times \frac{1}{3} + \frac{7}{3} = 0$ by (R1) and (R3).

CASE 2: Let f be a 5-face.

If f has no incident 4^+ -vertices, then f has five non-terrible 3-vertices by Lemma 2.3 (i). Thus $\mu^*(f) = \mu(f) + 5 \times \frac{1}{4} > 0$ by (R3).

If f has an incident 4^+ -vertex, then f has at least two non-terrible 3-vertices by Lemma 2.3 (i). Thus $\mu^*(f) = \mu(f) + 2 \times \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \geq 0$ by (R2) and (R3).

If f has at least two incident 4^+ -vertices, then $\mu^*(f) = \mu(f) + 2 \times \frac{1}{2} \geq 0$ by (R2) and (R3).

CASE 3: Let f be a 7^+ -face.

Note that a 7-face is incident to at most six 3-vertices incident to a 3-face by Observation 2.2. By (R4), $\mu^*(f) = \mu(f) - 6 \times \frac{1}{6} \geq 0$ for a 7-face f , and $\mu^*(f) = \mu(f) - d(v) \times \frac{1}{6} > 0$ for a 8^+ -face f .

CASE 4: Let v be a 3-vertex incident to a 3-face.

By Observation 2.2, v is incident to one 3-face and two 7^+ -faces. Thus $\mu^*(v) = \mu(v) - \frac{1}{3} + 2 \times \frac{1}{6} = 0$ by (R1) and (R4).

CASE 5: Let v be a 4-vertex.

By (R2), v loses charge $n_3(v) \times 1 + n_5(v) \times \frac{1}{2}$. By Lemmas 2.4 (i) and (ii), we have the following cases.

If $n_3(v) = 0$, then $n_5(v) \leq 4$. Thus $\mu^*(v) \geq \mu(v) - 4 \times \frac{1}{2} = 0$.

If $n_3(v) = 1$, then $n_5(v) \leq 1$. Thus $\mu^*(v) \geq \mu(v) - 1 \times 1 - 1 \times \frac{1}{2} = 0$.

If $n_3(v) = 2$, then $n_5(v) = 0$. Thus $\mu^*(v) = \mu(v) - 2 \times 1 = 0$.

CASE 6: Let v be a 5^+ -vertex and $2 \times n_3(v) + m_3(v) = 0$.

By Lemma 2.4 (ii) and (iv), $n_5(v) \leq d(v)$ and $m_5(v) \leq d(v)$.

Thus, $\mu^*(v) \geq \mu(v) - d(v) \times \frac{1}{2} - d(v) \times \frac{1}{4} = 2d(v) - 6 - d(v) \times \frac{3}{4} = \frac{5}{4} \times d(v) - 6 > 0$ by (R3) and $d(v) \leq 5$.

CASE 7: Let v be a 5-vertex with $2 \times n_3(v) + m_3(v) > 0$.

By Lemma 2.3 (ii), v is not incident to a poor 3-face. Then v gives charge $\frac{5}{3}$ to each incident 3-face. By (R3), we have

$$\mu^*(v) = 2d(v) - 6 - n_3(v) \times \frac{5}{3} + m_3(v) \times \frac{2}{3} + n_5(v) \times \frac{1}{2} + m_5(v) \times \frac{1}{4}.$$

CASE 7.1: Suppose $2 \times n_3(v) + m_3(v) = d(v) = 5$.

By Lemma 2.4, we have $n_3(v) \leq 2$ and $m_3(v) = d(v) - 2 \times n_3(v)$, and $n_5(v) = m_5(v) = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^*(v) &= 2d(v) - 6 - n_3(v) \times \frac{5}{3} - (d(v) - 2 \times n_3(v)) \times \frac{2}{3} \\ &= \frac{4}{3} \times d(v) - 6 - n_3(v) \times \frac{1}{3} \\ &\geq \frac{4}{3} \times 5 - 6 - 2 \times \frac{1}{3} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

CASE 7.2: Suppose $0 < 2 \times n_3(v) + m_3(v) < d(v) = 5$.

By Lemma 2.4, we have $n_3(v) \leq 2$, $n_5(v) \leq d(v) - 2n_3(v) - m_3(v) - 1$, and $m_5(v) \leq d(v) - 2 \times n_3(v) - m_3(v)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu^*(v) &\geq 2d(v) - 6 - n_3(v) \times \frac{5}{3} - m_3(v) \times \frac{2}{3} - (d(v) - 2n_3(v) - m_3(v) - 1) \times \frac{1}{2} \\
&\quad - (d(v) - 2n_3(v) - m_3(v)) \times \frac{1}{4} \\
&= \frac{5}{4} \times d(v) - \frac{11}{2} - n_3(v) \times \frac{1}{6} + m_3(v) \times \frac{1}{12} \\
&\geq \frac{5}{4} \times d(v) - \frac{11}{2} - n_3(v) \times \frac{1}{6} \\
&\geq \frac{5}{4} \times 5 - \frac{11}{2} - 2 \times \frac{1}{6} \\
&> 0.
\end{aligned}$$

CASE 8: Let v be a 6-vertex with $2 \times n_3(v) + m_3(v) > 0$.

By Lemma 2.3 (iii), v is incident to at most one poor 3-face. Note that it is enough to consider only the case v containing exactly one poor 3-face.

By (R3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu^*(v) &= 2d(v) - 6 - \frac{7}{3} - (n_3(v) - 1) \times \frac{5}{3} - m_3(v) \times \frac{2}{3} - n_5(v) \times \frac{1}{2} - m_5(v) \times \frac{1}{4} \\
&= -\frac{2}{3} + (2d(v) - 6 - n_3(v) \times \frac{5}{3} - m_3(v) \times \frac{2}{3} - n_5(v) \times \frac{1}{2} - m_5(v) \times \frac{1}{4}).
\end{aligned}$$

CASE 8.1: Suppose $2 \times n_3(v) + m_3(v) = d(v) = 6$.

By Lemma 2.4 (iii) and (iv), $n_5(v) = m_5(v) = 0$.

It follows from **CASE 7.1** that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu^*(v) &= -\frac{2}{3} + \left(\frac{4}{3} \times d(v) - 6 - n_3(v) \times \frac{1}{3}\right) \\
&= \frac{4}{3} \times d(v) - \frac{20}{3} - n_3(v) \times \frac{1}{3} \\
&\geq \frac{4}{3} \times 6 - \frac{20}{3} - 3 \times \frac{1}{3} \\
&> 0.
\end{aligned}$$

CASE 8.2: Let $0 < 2 \times n_3(v) + m_3(v) < d(v) = 6$.

It follows from **CASE 7.2** that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu^*(v) &\geq -\frac{2}{3} + \left(\frac{5}{4} \times d(v) - \frac{11}{2} - n_3(v) \times \frac{1}{6}\right) \\
&= \frac{5}{4} \times d(v) - \frac{37}{6} - n_3(v) \times \frac{1}{6} \\
&\geq \frac{5}{4} \times 6 - \frac{37}{6} - 3 \times \frac{1}{6} \\
&> 0.
\end{aligned}$$

CASE 9: Let v be a 7^+ -vertex with $2 \times n_3(v) + m_3(v) > 0$.

Then v gives charge at most $\frac{7}{3}$ to each incident 3-face.

By R(3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mu^*(v) &= 2d(v) - 6 - n_3(v) \times \frac{7}{3} - m_3(v) \times \frac{2}{3} - n_5(v) \times \frac{1}{2} - m_5(v) \times \frac{1}{4} \\ &= -n_3(v) \times \frac{2}{3} + (2d(v) - 6 - n_3(v) \times \frac{5}{3} - m_3(v) \times \frac{2}{3} - n_5(v) \times \frac{1}{2} - m_5(v) \times \frac{1}{4}).\end{aligned}$$

CASE 9.1: Suppose $2 \times n_3(v) + m_3(v) = d(v)$.

By Lemma 2.4 (iii) and (iv), we have $n_5(v) = m_5(v) = 0$.

It follows from **CASE 7.1** that

$$\begin{aligned}\mu^*(v) &= -n_3(v) \times \frac{2}{3} + \left(\frac{4}{3} \times d(v) - 6 - n_3(v) \times \frac{1}{3}\right) \\ &= \frac{4}{3} \times d(v) - 6 - n_3(v) \times 1.\end{aligned}$$

If v is a 7-vertex, then $d(v) = 7$ and $n_3(v) \leq 3$. Thus $\mu^*(v) \geq \frac{4}{3} \times 7 - 6 - 3 > 0$.

If v is a 8^+ -vertex, then $d(v) \geq 8$ and $n_3(v) \leq \lfloor \frac{d(v)}{2} \rfloor \leq \frac{d(v)}{2}$. Thus $\mu^*(v) \geq \frac{4}{3} \times d(v) - 6 - \frac{d(v)}{2} > 0$.

CASE 9.2: Suppose $0 < 2 \times n_3(v) + m_3(v) < d(v)$.

By Lemma 2.4, we have $n_3(v) \leq \frac{d(v)}{2}$, $n_5(v) \leq d(v) - 2n_3(v) - m_3(v) - 1$, and $m_5(v) \leq d(v) - 2 \times n_3(v) - m_3(v)$.

It follows from **CASE 7.2** that

$$\begin{aligned}\mu^*(v) &\geq -n_3(v) \times \frac{2}{3} + \left(\frac{5}{4} \times d(v) - \frac{11}{2} - n_3(v) \times \frac{1}{6}\right) \\ &= \frac{5}{4} \times d(v) - \frac{11}{2} - n_3(v) \times \frac{5}{6} \\ &\geq \frac{5}{4} \times d(v) - \frac{11}{2} - \frac{d(v)}{2} \times \frac{5}{6} \\ &= \frac{5}{6} \times d(v) - \frac{11}{2} \\ &> 0 \quad \text{for each } d(v) \geq 7.\end{aligned}$$

Finally, it follows from all cases that $\sum_{x \in V(G) \cup F(G)} \mu^*(x) > 0$, a contradiction. This completes the proof.

REFERENCES

- [1] O. V. Borodin, A proof of Grünbaum's conjecture on the acyclic 5-colorability of planar graphs, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR. 231 (1976) 18–20.
- [2] O.V. Borodin, A.N. Glebov, On the partition of a planar graph of girth 5 into an empty and an acyclic subgraph, Diskret. Anal. Issledovanie Oper. 8(4) (2001) 34–53 (in Russian).
- [3] O.V. Borodin and A.V. Kostochka, Defective 2-coloring of sparse graphs, J. Combin. Theory S. B 104 (2014) 72–80.
- [4] G. G. Chappell, J. Gimbel, and C. Hartman, Threshold for path colorings of planar graphs, Algorithms Combin. 26 (2005) 435–454.
- [5] G. Chartrand, H.V. Kronk, The point-arboricity of planar graphs, J. London Math. Soc. 44 (1969) 612–616.
- [6] M. Chen, A. Raspaud, W. Yu, An (F_1, F_4) -partition of graphs with low genus and girth at least 6, J. Graph Theory 99(2) (2021) 186–206.
- [7] E-K. Cho, I. Choi, B. Park, Partitioning planar graphs without 4-cycles and 5-cycles into bounded degree forests, Discrete Math. 344(1) (2021) 112172.

- [8] I. Choi, G. Yu, X. Zhang, Planar graphs with girth at least 5 are $(3, 4)$ -colorable, *Discrete Math.* 342(12) (2019) 111577.
- [9] L.J. Cowen, R.H. Cowen, D.R. Woodall, Defective colorings of graphs in surfaces: partitions into subgraphs of bounded valency, *J. Graph Theory* 10(2) (1986) 187–195.
- [10] F. Dross, M. Montassier, A. Pinlou, Partitioning a triangle-free planar graph into a forest and a forest of bounded degree, *Eur. J. Combin.* 66 (2017), 81–94.
- [11] F. Dross, M. Montassier, A. Pinlou, Partitioning sparse graphs into an independent set and a forest of bounded degree, *Electron. J. Comb.* 25(1) 2018 P1.45.
- [12] F. Havet, J.-S. Sereni, Improper choosability of graphs and maximum average degree, *J. Graph Theory* 52 (2006) 181–199.
- [13] H. Grötzsch, Zur theorie der diskreten gebilde, VII, Ein Dreifarbensatz für dreikreisfreie Netze auf der Kugel, *Wiss. Z. MartinLuther-Universität, Halle-Wittenberg, Math, Nat. Reihe* 8 (1959) 109–120.
- [14] Y. Kang, L. Jin, P. Liu, Y. Wang, $(1, 0, 0)$ -colorability of planar graphs without cycles of length 4 or 6, *Discrete Math.* 345(4) (2022) 112758.
- [15] Y. Kang, L. Jin, Y. Wang, The 3-colorability of planar graphs without cycles of length 4, 6 and 9, *Discrete Math.* 339 (2016) 299–307.
- [16] R. Liu, G. Yu, Planar graphs without short even cycles are near-bipartite, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 284 (2020) 626–630.
- [17] M. Montassier, P. Ochem, Near-colorings: non-colorable graphs and NP-completeness, *Electron. J. Comb.* 22 (2015) 1–57.
- [18] K. Poh, On the linear vertex-arboricity of a plane graph, *J. Graph Theory* 14(1) (1990) 73–75.
- [19] P. Sittitrai, K. Nakprasit, Defective 2-colorings of planar graphs without 4-cycles and 5-cycles, *Discrete Math.* 341(8) (2018) 2142–2150.
- [20] W. Wang, M. Chen, Planar graphs without 4, 6, 8-cycles are 3-colorable, *Sci. China A* 50(11) (2007) 1552–1562.
- [21] Y. Wang, D. Huang, S. Finbow, On the vertex partition of planar graphs into forests with bounded degree, *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 374 (2020) 125032.
- [22] Y. Wang, J. Xu, Planar graphs with cycles of length neither 4 nor 6 are $(2, 0, 0)$ -colorable, *Inf. Proc. Lett.* 113(18) (2013) 659–663.