

On Abel-Jacobi Maps of Lagrangian Families

Chenyu Bai

Abstract

We study in this article the cohomological properties of Lagrangian families on projective hyper-Kähler manifolds. First, we give a criterion for the vanishing of Abel-Jacobi maps of Lagrangian families. Using this criterion, we show that under a natural condition, if the variation of Hodge structures on the degree 1 cohomology of the fibers of the Lagrangian family is maximal, its Abel-Jacobi map is trivial. We also construct Lagrangian families on generalized Kummer varieties whose Abel-Jacobi map is not trivial, showing that our criterion is optimal.

0 Introduction

Let X be a projective hyper-Kähler manifold [1], that is, a simply connected complex projective manifold whose space of holomorphic 2-forms is generated by a nowhere degenerate 2-form σ_X . The dimension of X is an even number $2n$. A Lagrangian subvariety L of X is a dimension n irreducible possibly singular subvariety of X such that, denoting $j : \tilde{L} \rightarrow L \hookrightarrow X$ a desingularisation of L , $j^* \sigma_X = 0$ in $H^0(\tilde{L}, \Omega_{\tilde{L}}^2)$.

In this article, we will be considering Lagrangian families of a hyper-Kähler manifold X .

Definition 1. A Lagrangian family of a hyper-Kähler manifold X is a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{L} & \xrightarrow{q} & X \\ \downarrow p & & \\ B & & \end{array} \quad (1)$$

where p is flat and projective, \mathcal{L} and B are connected quasi-projective manifolds and q maps birationally the general fiber $L_b := p^{-1}(b)$, $b \in B$, to a Lagrangian subvariety of X . In what follows, we will denote j_b the composition $L_b \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L} \rightarrow X$.

Lagrangian families were studied by Voisin in [18, 19] in different contexts, as generalizations of Lagrangian fibrations [11]. While Lagrangian fibrations do not exist in general on projective hyper-Kähler manifolds (as this forces the Picard number to be at least 2), Lagrangian families (and even Lagrangian coverings for which q is dominant) are relatively common. See, for example, constructions in [8, 16] on Lagrangian families (coverings) on Fano varieties of lines of cubic fourfolds and on Hilbert schemes of K3 surfaces. Works of Voisin [18, 19] indicate that the existence of Lagrangian coverings implies important properties of the hyper-Kähler manifold in question. For example, it is shown in [19] that a very general projective hyper-Kähler fourfold admitting a Lagrangian covering satisfies Lefschetz standard conjecture for degree 2 cohomology. Studies of examples of Lagrangian families lead us to consider the following problem, which is motivated in the article [17].

Problem 1. Consider a Lagrangian family of a hyper-Kähler manifold X of dimension $2n$ given by a diagram as in (1). What can be said of the map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \psi_{\mathcal{L}} : B & \rightarrow & CH^n(X) \text{ ?} \\ b & \mapsto & q_*(L_b) \end{array} \quad (2)$$

Let us first explain the relation between this problem and article [17]. In [17], it is conjectured and proved for some cases that if two *constant cycle* Lagrangian subvarieties (see [7, 17] for definitions) of X have the same cohomological cycle class, then they are rationally equivalent. Note the following

Lemma 0.1. *Let X be a hyper-Kähler manifold. Then constant cycle Lagrangian subvarieties of X are rigid as constant cycle subvarieties.*

Proof Following the notations in [17], let

$$S_n X := \{x \in X : \text{the rational equivalence orbit of } x \text{ has dimension } \geq n\}.$$

As is shown in [17, Theorem 1.3], $S_n X$ is a countable union of irreducible varieties of dimension $\leq n$ and constant cycle Lagrangian subvarieties of X are exactly irreducible components of $S_n X$ of dimension n . Hence, constant cycle Lagrangian subvarieties of X are rigid. \square

One may now wonder if the condition *constant cycle* can be dropped in the above conjecture. If a hyper-Kähler manifold X has the property that the map $\Psi_{\mathcal{L}}$ of (2) is constant for any Lagrangian family, there are only *finitely many* Chow classes for Lagrangian subvarieties L with a given cohomological cycle class $[L] \in H^{2n}(X, \mathbb{Z})$. This is because the Hilbert scheme parametrizing subvarieties with a given cohomological class has only finitely many connected components. Conversely, if X has Lagrangian families with nonconstant map $\Psi_{\mathcal{L}}$, then the naïve generalization of the above conjecture by dropping *constant cycle* is false.

Many of the known examples give rise to Lagrangian families with constant $\Psi_{\mathcal{L}}$. The typical ones are $K3$ surfaces and Lagrangian fibrations. Indeed, for a $K3$ surface S , the class map $CH^1(S) \rightarrow H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$ is injective, so that the fibers of a family, being cohomologically equivalent, are rationally equivalent. For a Lagrangian fibration, the base being rationally connected [12, 9], the fibers are rationally equivalent. Lagrangian families constructed in [8, 16] also give a positive answer to this problem since the bases are open subsets of projective spaces.

A weaker invariant of algebraic cycles in a projective manifold is the Abel-Jacobi invariant [20, Chapter 12]. If two cycles homologous to 0 are rationally equivalent, then they have the same Abel-Jacobi invariant in the intermediate jacobians. Problem 1 thus motivates the following question. Here we denote $\Phi_X^n : CH^n(X)_{hom} \rightarrow J^{2n-1}(X)$ the Abel-Jacobi map.

Problem 2. Consider a Lagrangian family of a hyper-Kähler manifold X of dimension $2n$ given by a diagram as in (1). Let $0 \in B$ be a point. Under which conditions is the Abel-Jacobi map

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{\mathcal{L}}^{AJ} : B &\rightarrow J^{2n-1}(X) \\ b &\mapsto \Phi_X^n(q_*(L_b - L_0)) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

trivial?

Note that $\Psi_{\mathcal{L}}^{AJ}(b) = \Phi_X^n \circ \Psi_{\mathcal{L}}(b - 0)$, Problem 2 can be viewed as a first step to study Problem 1.

In this article, we first give a criterion for the vanishing of the Abel-Jacobi map (3) for Lagrangian families of a hyper-Kähler manifold (see also Proposition 3).

Proposition 1. *Consider a Lagrangian family of a hyper-Kähler manifold X of dimension $2n$ as in (1), satisfying the following condition :*

\clubsuit *For general $b \in B$, the contraction by $q^* \sigma_X$ gives an isomorphism $\lrcorner q^* \sigma_X : T_{B,b} \xrightarrow{\cong} H^0(L_b, \Omega_{L_b})$.*

Then the Abel-Jacobi map (3) is trivial if and only if for general $b \in B$, the restriction map

$$j_b^* : H^{2n-1}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^{2n-1}(L_b, \mathbb{Q})$$

is zero.

The condition \clubsuit is natural. According to [16], the deformations of a *smooth* Lagrangian subvariety are non-obstructed, and a local deformation is still Lagrangian. Therefore, if we take (B, b) to be a germ of the Hilbert scheme of deformations of a *smooth* Lagrangian subvariety $L \subset X$, and $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow B$ the corresponding family, then condition \clubsuit holds since $T_{B,b} \cong H^0(L_b, N_{L_b/X})$ by unobstructedness and $\lrcorner\sigma_X : H^0(L_b, N_{L_b/X}) \rightarrow H^0(L_b, \Omega_{L_b})$ is an isomorphism for a smooth Lagrangian variety.

Using this criterion, we give a response to Problem 2.

Theorem 1. *Consider a Lagrangian family of a hyper-Kähler manifold X of dimension $2n$ given by a diagram as in (1), satisfying condition \clubsuit . Assume that the variation of Hodge structures on the degree 1 cohomology of the fibers of $p : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow B$ is maximal, i.e., the local period map*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P} : B &\rightarrow \text{Gr}(h^{1,0}(L), H^2(L, \mathbb{C})) \\ b &\mapsto H^{1,0}(L_b) \subset H^1(L_b, \mathbb{C}) \cong H^1(L, \mathbb{C}), \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where L is a general fiber of $p : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow B$, is generically a local immersion. Then the Abel-Jacobi map (3) is trivial.

In the opposite direction, we construct in Section 4 Lagrangian families satisfying \clubsuit for which the Abel-Jacobi map is shown to be nontrivial using Proposition 1. The variation of weight 1 Hodge structures of the constructed Lagrangian families is not maximal: the general fibers of the period map of weight 1 Hodge structures are of dimension 2. This shows that the maximality of the variation of weight 1 Hodge structures is a condition that cannot be dropped.

In Section 3, we shall explore under which conditions the variation of weight 1 Hodge structures is maximal. Let $H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})_{ir}$ be the orthogonal complement of $NS(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ in $H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$ with respect to the Beauville-Bogomolov-Fujiki form q of X (see [1]) and let $b_2(X)_{ir}$ be the dimension of $H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})_{ir}$. We prove the following result (see also Proposition 6):

Proposition 2. *Consider a Lagrangian family of a hyper-Kähler manifold X of dimension $2n$ given by a diagram as in (1), satisfying condition \clubsuit . Assume that the Mumford-Tate group of the Hodge structure $H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$ is maximal, i.e. it is the special orthogonal group of $(H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})_{ir}, q)$, and assume that $b_2(X)_{ir} \geq 5$. If $h^{1,0}(L_b)$ is smaller than $2^{\lfloor \frac{b_2(X)_{ir}-3}{2} \rfloor}$, then the variation of weight 1 Hodge structures of p is maximal.*

Corollary. *Under the same assumptions as in Proposition 2, the Abel-Jacobi map (3) is trivial.*

Let $p : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow B$, $q : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow X$ be a Lagrangian family, and let

$$\pi : \mathcal{A} := \text{Alb}(\mathcal{L}/B) \rightarrow B$$

be the relative Albanese variety of $p : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow B$. In the proof of Theorem 1 and Proposition 2, we use a similar construction to those in [10, 18] to get a holomorphic 2-form $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ on \mathcal{A} . If we assume the condition \clubsuit , $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B$ is a Lagrangian fibration with respect to $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ (see Section 2). It is interesting to notice that, by this construction, under condition \clubsuit , we can translate the problem concerning Lagrangian families to a problem concerning Lagrangian fibrations. However, the total space of the Lagrangian fibration is no longer a hyper-Kähler manifold, but a completely integrable system over an open subset of the base, as introduced and studied in [6].

The organisation of the article is as follows. In Section 1, we prove Proposition 1. In Section 2, we construct a Lagrangian fibration structure on the relative Albanese variety and use it to prove Theorem 1. In Section 3, we discuss the condition on the maximality of the variation of Hodge structures. In Section 4, we construct Lagrangian families satisfying \clubsuit whose Abel-Jacobi map is nontrivial, showing that Theorem 1 is optimal.

Acknowledgement

I would like to thank Claire Voisin for sharing her ideas with me. This paper would not be finished without her insight, instructions and encouragement. Many constructions and examples in the article are fruits of friendly and interesting discussions with her. I would also like to thank Fabrizio Anella, Peter Yi Wei and Tim Ryan for helpful discussions.

This work was done during the preparation of my PhD thesis. I would like to thank the *Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu - Paris Rive Gauche* for marvelous research environment. The thesis is supported by the ERC Synergy Grant HyperK (Grant agreement No. 854361).

1 A Criterion

In this section, we establish a criterion for the vanishing of the Abel-Jacobi map (3). The notation $j_b : L_b \rightarrow X$ as in the introduction, we prove

Proposition 3. *Consider a Lagrangian family of hyper-Kähler manifold X of dimension $2n$ given by a diagram as in (1).*

(a) *If for general $b \in B$, the restriction map*

$$j_b^* : H^{2n-1}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^{2n-1}(L_b, \mathbb{Q})$$

is zero, then the Abel-Jacobi map (3) is trivial.

(b) *If condition ♣ holds (see Proposition 1), then the converse of (a) holds.*

Remark. Since $H^{2n-1}(L_b, \mathbb{Q})$ has a weight 1 Hodge structure, by Hodge symmetry and using the fact $j_b^* : H^{2n-1}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^{2n-1}(L_b, \mathbb{Q})$ is a morphism of Hodge structures, $j_b^* : H^{2n-1}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^{2n-1}(L_b, \mathbb{Q})$ is zero, if and only if $j_b^* : H^{n-1, n}(X) \rightarrow H^{n-1, n}(L_b)$ is zero.

Proof Let $\Psi_{\mathcal{L}, *, b}^{AJ} : T_{B, b} \rightarrow H^n(X, \Omega_X^{n+1})$ denote the differential of the Abel-Jacobi map $\Psi_{\mathcal{L}}^{AJ}$ at point $b \in B$. Let $j_{b*} : H^0(L_b, \Omega_{L_b}) \rightarrow H^n(X, \Omega_X^{n+1})$ be the Gysin map, which is the Serre dual of the following composition

$$j_b^* : H^n(X, \Omega_X^{n-1}) \rightarrow H^n(L_b, \Omega_{\mathcal{L}|L_b}^{n-1}) \rightarrow H^n(L_b, \Omega_{L_b}^{n-1}). \quad (5)$$

By the above remark, the proposition follows from the following lemma and the fact that

$$\cup \sigma_X : H^n(X, \Omega_X^{n-1}) \rightarrow H^n(X, \Omega_X^{n+1})$$

is an isomorphism since $\wedge \sigma_X : \Omega_X^{n-1} \rightarrow \Omega_X^{n+1}$ is a vector bundle isomorphism. \square

Lemma 1.1. *The following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_{B, b} & \xrightarrow{\Psi_{\mathcal{L}, *, b}^{AJ}} & H^n(X, \Omega_X^{n-1}) \\ \downarrow \cup q^* \sigma_X & & \downarrow \cup \sigma_X \\ H^0(L_b, \Omega_{L_b}) & \xrightarrow{j_{b*}} & H^n(X, \Omega_X^{n+1}). \end{array} \quad (6)$$

Proof We are going to show that the Serre dual of the diagram (6) is commutative.

Let $L^\bullet \Omega_{\mathcal{L}|L_b}^i$ be the Leray filtration [20, Chapter 16] induced on the vector bundle $\Omega_{\mathcal{L}|L_b}^i$ by the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{B, b} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{L_b} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{L}|L_b} \rightarrow \Omega_{L_b} \rightarrow 0,$$

and defined by $L^j \Omega_{\mathcal{L}|L_b}^i = \Omega_{B,b}^j \wedge \Omega_{\mathcal{L}|L_b}^{i-j}$. Since L_b is supposed to be Lagrangian, $q^* \sigma_X \in H^0(L_b, L^1 \Omega_{\mathcal{L}|L_b}^2)$ and thus the cup product

$$\cup q^* \sigma_X : \Omega_{\mathcal{L}|L_b}^{\bullet} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{L}|L_b}^{\bullet+2}$$

sends $L^k \Omega_{\mathcal{L}|L_b}^{\bullet}$ to $L^{k+1} \Omega_{\mathcal{L}|L_b}^{\bullet+2}$. Denoting $\overline{q^* \sigma_X}$ the image of $q^* \sigma_X$ in $H^0(L_b, Gr_L^1 \Omega_{\mathcal{L}|L_b}) \cong H^0(L_b, \Omega_{L_b}) \otimes \Omega_{B,b}$, this implies the existence of the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L^1 \Omega_{\mathcal{L}|L_b}^{n+1} = \Omega_{\mathcal{L}|L_b}^{n+1} & \longrightarrow & K_{L_b} \otimes \Omega_{B,b} = Gr_L^1 \Omega_{\mathcal{L}|L_b}^{n+1} \\ \cup q^* \sigma_X \uparrow & & \cup \overline{q^* \sigma_X} \uparrow \\ L^0 \Omega_{\mathcal{L}|L_b}^{n-1} = \Omega_{\mathcal{L}|L_b}^{n-1} & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{L_b}^{n-1} = Gr_L^0 \Omega_{\mathcal{L}|L_b}^{n-1}, \end{array} \quad (7)$$

where K_{L_b} is the canonical bundle of L_b . Taking the n -th cohomology of (7) and combine it with $q^* : H^n(X, \Omega_X^{\bullet}) \rightarrow H^n(L_b, \Omega_{\mathcal{L}|L_b}^{\bullet})$, we get the following commutative ladder

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^n(X, \Omega_X^{n+1}) & \xrightarrow{q^*} & H^n(L_b, \Omega_{\mathcal{L}|L_b}^{n+1}) & \longrightarrow & H^n(L_b, K_{L_b} \otimes \Omega_{B,b}) \cong \Omega_{B,b} \\ \cup \sigma_X \uparrow & & \cup \overline{q^* \sigma_X} \uparrow & & \cup q^* \sigma_X \uparrow \\ H^n(X, \Omega_X^{n-1}) & \xrightarrow{q^*} & H^n(L_b, \Omega_{\mathcal{L}|L_b}^{n-1}) & \longrightarrow & H^n(L_b, \Omega_{L_b}^{n-1}). \end{array} \quad (8)$$

However, it is well-known [20, Chapter 12] that the composite in the first row of the diagram (8) coincides with the dual of $\Psi_{\mathcal{L},*,b}$, while as in (5), the composite in the second row is j_b^* , so that this diagram is indeed the Serre dual of the diagram (6). This concludes the proof of the lemma. \square

2 Lagrangian Fibrations

In this section, we associate to any Lagrangian family satisfying condition \clubsuit a Lagrangian fibration with the help of a construction appeared in [10, 18], and use this Lagrangian fibration to prove Theorem 1.

General Constructions

Let (1) be a Lagrangian family of a hyper-Kähler manifold X of dimension $2n$. We fix a relative polarization of $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow B$ given by a hyperplane section of X . Let

$$\pi : \mathcal{A} := Alb(\mathcal{L}/B) \rightarrow B$$

be the relative Albanese variety of $p : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow B$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let l be the relative dimension of $p : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow B$. Then there exist an open dense subset $B_0 \subset B$ and a finite covering $B'_0 \rightarrow B_0$ such that, denoting $p'_0 : \mathcal{L}'_0 \rightarrow B'_0$ the base change of p under $B'_0 \rightarrow B_0 \hookrightarrow B$ and $\pi'_0 : \mathcal{A}'_0 \rightarrow B'_0$ the relative Albanese variety of p'_0 , there is a cycle $Z_0 \in CH^l(\mathcal{A}'_0 \times_{B'_0} \mathcal{L}'_0)$ such that*

$$[Z_0]^* : R^0 p'_{0*} \Omega_{\mathcal{L}'_0/B'_0} \rightarrow R^0 \pi'_{0*} \Omega_{\mathcal{A}'_0/B'_0}$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof Let $B_0 \subset B$ be the subset of regular points of $p : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow B$. For $b \in B_0$, let $C_b \subset L_b$ be a complete intersection curve and J_{C_b} the Jacobian variety of C_b . By Lefschetz theorem on hyperplane sections, $j_* : J_{C_b} \rightarrow$

$A_b := \text{Alb}(L_b)$ is surjective. By the semi-simplicity of polarized Hodge structures, there exists a \mathbb{Q} -section $s : A_b \rightarrow J_{C_b}$ of j_* , i.e., there exists $N > 0$ such that $j_* \circ s = N \cdot \text{id}_{A_b}$. On $J_{C_b} \times C_b$, we have the Poincaré divisor $d_b \in CH^1(J_{C_b} \times C_b)$ such that $[d_b]^* : H^1(C_b, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^1(J_{C_b}, \mathbb{Q})$ is an isomorphism of Hodge structures. Let us consider

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_b \times C_b & \xrightarrow{(s, \text{id}_{C_b})} & J_{C_b} \times C_b \\ \downarrow (id_{A_b}, j) & & \\ A_b \times L_b & & \end{array}$$

and define $Z_b := (s, \text{id}_{C_b})^*(id_{A_b}, j)_*(d_b) \in CH^1(A_b \times C_b)$. Then $[Z_b]^* : H^1(L_b, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^1(A_b, \mathbb{Q})$ is given by the composition $H^1(L_b, \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{j^*} H^1(C_b, \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{d^*} H^1(J_{C_b}, \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{s^*} H^1(A_b, \mathbb{Q})$, which is an isomorphism by the definition of s .

The cycles Z_b are defined fiberwise, but standard arguments [21, Chapter 3] show that for an adequate choice of N appearing in the \mathbb{Q} -section, they can be constructed in family over a smooth generically finite cover $B'_0 \rightarrow B_0$. We thus get a cycle $Z_0 \in CH^1(\mathcal{A}'_0 \times_{B'_0} \mathcal{L}'_0)$ satisfying the desired properties. \square

For the sake of simplicity, we shall note B_0 , \mathcal{L}_0 and \mathcal{A}_0 instead of B'_0 , \mathcal{L}'_0 and \mathcal{A}'_0 . We define a holomorphic 2-form $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}_0}$ on \mathcal{A}_0 by setting

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{A}_0} := [Z_0]^* q_0^* \sigma_X, \quad (9)$$

where $q_0 : \mathcal{L}_0 \rightarrow X$ is the natural map.

Proposition 4. (a) The 2-form $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}_0}$ is closed.

(b) $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}_0}$ vanishes on fibers of $\pi_0 : \mathcal{A}_0 \rightarrow B_0$.

(c) The composite morphism $(\lrcorner q_0^* \sigma_X) : T_{B_0} \rightarrow R^0 p_{0*} \Omega_{\mathcal{L}_0/B_0} \cong R^0 \pi_{0*} \Omega_{\mathcal{A}_0/B_0}$ is given by the contraction $\lrcorner \sigma_{\mathcal{A}_0}$.

Proof (a) Let $Z_q := (id, q_0)_* Z_0 \in CH(\mathcal{A}_0 \times X)$. Then by the projection formula, $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}_0} = [Z_q]^* \sigma_X$. Let \mathcal{A}' be a completion of \mathcal{A}_0 . Then Z_q extends to a cycle \bar{Z}_q of $\mathcal{A}' \times X$. $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}_0}$ extends to a 2-form $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}'}$:= $[\bar{Z}_q]^* \sigma_X$ which is automatically closed since \mathcal{A}' is projective. Thus, $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}_0} = \sigma_{\mathcal{A}'|_{\mathcal{A}_0}}$ is also closed.

(b) Since Z_0 is a cycle in $\mathcal{A}_0 \times_{B_0} \mathcal{L}_0 \subset \mathcal{A}_0 \times \mathcal{L}_0$, $[Z_0]^* : H^*(\mathcal{L}_0) \rightarrow H^*(\mathcal{A}_0)$ preserves the Leray filtrations on both sides. Therefore, $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}_0} \in H^0(\mathcal{A}_0, \pi_0^* \Omega_{B_0} \wedge \Omega_{\mathcal{A}_0}) \subset H^0(\mathcal{A}_0, \Omega_{\mathcal{A}_0}^2)$ since $q_0^* \sigma_X \in H^0(\mathcal{L}_0, p_0^* \Omega_{B_0} \wedge \Omega_{\mathcal{L}_0})$ by the definition of Lagrangian families. Therefore, $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}_0}$ vanishes on the fibers of $\pi_0 : \mathcal{A}_0 \rightarrow B_0$.

(c) By Lemma 2.1, $[Z_0]^*$ induces an isomorphism $H^0(B_0, \Omega_{B_0} \otimes p_{0*} \Omega_{\mathcal{L}_0/B_0}) \rightarrow H^0(B_0, \Omega_{B_0} \otimes \pi_{0*} \Omega_{\mathcal{A}_0/B_0})$ which sends $\lrcorner q_0^* \sigma_X$ to $\lrcorner \sigma_{\mathcal{A}_0}$. \square

By (b) and (c) of the above Proposition, we get the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & T_{\mathcal{A}_0/B_0} & \longrightarrow & T_{\mathcal{A}_0} & \longrightarrow & \pi_0^* T_{B_0} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow (\lrcorner q_0^* \sigma_X)^* & & \downarrow \lrcorner \sigma_{\mathcal{A}_0} & & \downarrow \lrcorner q_0^* \sigma_X \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \pi_0^* \Omega_{B_0} & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{\mathcal{A}_0} & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{\mathcal{A}_0/B_0} \longrightarrow 0. \end{array} \quad (10)$$

Lemma 2.2. If condition \clubsuit (see Proposition 1) holds for all $b \in B_0$, then $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}_0}$ is non degenerate on \mathcal{A}_0 .

Proof If condition \clubsuit holds, then $(\lrcorner q_0^* \sigma_X) : \pi_0^* T_{B_0} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{A}_0/B_0}$ is an isomorphism. By the commutativity of (10) and the five lemma, $\lrcorner \sigma_{\mathcal{A}_0} : T_{\mathcal{A}_0} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{A}_0}$ is an isomorphism, which means that $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}_0}$ is nowhere degenerate. \square

Symmetry

Let (1) be a Lagrangian family of a hyper-Kähler manifold X of dimension $2n$. We fix a relative polarization of $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow B$ given by a hyperplane section of X . Let $b \in B$ be a general point. The infinitesimal variation of Hodge

structures on degree 1 cohomology of the fibers of $p : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow B$ at b is given by (see [20, Chapters 10, 17])

$$\bar{\nabla} : T_{B,b} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H^0(L_b, \Omega_{L_b}), H^1(L_b, \mathcal{O}_{L_b})).$$

Composed with the map $\lrcorner q^* \sigma_X : T_{B,b} \rightarrow H^0(L_b, \Omega_{L_b})$, $\bar{\nabla}$ induces a bilinear map

$$\begin{aligned} S : T_{B,b} \times T_{B,b} &\rightarrow H^1(L_b, \mathcal{O}_{L_b}) \\ (u, v) &\mapsto \bar{\nabla}_u(v \lrcorner q^* \sigma_X). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Proposition 5. *The bilinear map S is symmetric in the sense that $S(u, v) = S(v, u)$ for any $u, v \in T_{B,b}$.*

Proof By Griffiths' transversality [20, Chapter 17], $S(u, v) = \rho(u) \lrcorner (v \lrcorner q^* \sigma_X)$, where $\rho : T_{B,b} \rightarrow H^1(L_b, T_{L_b})$ is the Kodaira-Spencer map. Therefore, we need to show that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_{B,b} \otimes T_{B,b} & \xrightarrow{id \otimes \rho} & T_{B,b} \otimes H^1(L_b, T_{L_b}) \\ \downarrow \lrcorner q^* \sigma_X \otimes id & & \downarrow \beta \\ H^0(L_b, \Omega_{L_b}) \otimes T_{B,b} & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & H^1(L_b, \mathcal{O}_{L_b}), \end{array} \quad (12)$$

where $\alpha(\omega, v) = \omega \lrcorner \rho(v)$ and $\beta(u, \chi) = (q^* \sigma_X \lrcorner \chi)$.

To see the commutativity of (12), restrict the commutative ladder (10) to the point $b \in B$ and apply the cohomology on L_b , then the commutativity of (10) implies the commutativity of (12). Indeed, (12) is the connection map of the cohomology of (10) tensored by $T_{B,b}$. \square

Remark. When condition \clubsuit is satisfied, the symmetry of S comes from the completely integrable system structure on $(\mathcal{A}_0, \sigma_{\mathcal{A}_0})$. What we proved is in fact the symmetry of

$$\begin{aligned} S' : T_{B,b} \times T_{B,b} &\rightarrow H^1(A_b, \mathcal{O}_{A_b}) \\ (u, v) &\mapsto \bar{\nabla}_u(v \lrcorner \sigma_{\mathcal{A}_0}). \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Fixing a relative polarisation on $\mathcal{A}_0 \rightarrow B_0$, we have natural isomorphisms (always under \clubsuit): $H^1(A_b, \mathcal{O}_{A_b}) \cong H^0(A_b, \Omega_{A_b})^* \cong T_{B,b}^*$ and we can thus view S' as an element in $T_{B,b}^* \otimes T_{B,b}^* \otimes T_{B,b}^*$. If this relative polarisation is principal, Donagi and Markman proved in [6] that S' lies in $\text{Sym}^3 T_{B,b}^*$. This result is called ‘‘weak cubic condition’’ in [6].

Now we are ready to prove the main theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1, assume by contradiction that the Abel-Jacobi map (3) is not constant. In what follows, we fix a relative polarization on $p_0 : \mathcal{L}_0 \rightarrow B_0$ induced from a hyperplane section of X , so that $R^{2n-1} p_{0*} \mathbb{Q} \cong R^1 p_{0*} \mathbb{Q}$. By Proposition 1, the morphism

$$j^* : H^{2n-1}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow R^{2n-1} p_{0*} \mathbb{Q} \cong R^1 p_{0*} \mathbb{Q}$$

of variations of Hodge structures on an open subset $B_0 \subset B$ containing b is not zero. Hence, there is a non-zero locally constant sub-variation of Hodge structures $I := \text{Im } j^* \subset R^1 p_{0*} \mathbb{Q}$. Since I is locally constant, for any $\omega \in I_b^{1,0}$ and $u \in T_{B,b}$, $\nabla_u(\omega) = 0$. Recall that \clubsuit means that $\lrcorner q^* \sigma_X : T_{B,b} \rightarrow H^0(L_b, \Omega_{L_b})$ is bijective. Let $F := (\lrcorner q^* \sigma_X)^{-1}(I^{1,0}) \subset T_{B,b}$. Then by the symmetry of S given by Proposition 5, F lies in the kernel of $\bar{\nabla}$, which contradicts our assumption that the variation of Hodge structures is maximal. \square

3 Maximal Variations

In this section, we study under what conditions could the variation of Hodge structures of a Lagrangian family be maximal. Consider a Lagrangian family of a hyper-Kähler manifold X of dimension $2n$ satisfying the condition \clubsuit given by the diagram as in (1). Let $U \subset B$ be a simply connected open subset of $B_0 \subset B$ and let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}: U &\rightarrow Gr(h^{1,0}(L), H^2(L, \mathbb{C})) \\ b &\mapsto H^{1,0}(L_b) \subset H^1(L_b, \mathbb{C}) \cong H^1(L, \mathbb{C}), \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

be the local period map of the Lagrangian family.

In what follows, we are going to use a universal property of the Kuga-Satake construction proved in [15].

Theorem 2 ([15]). *Let (H^2, q) be a polarized Hodge structure of hyper-Kähler type of dimension ≥ 5 . Assume that the Mumford–Tate group of the Hodge structure on H^2 is maximal, namely the special orthogonal group of (H^2, q) . Let H be a simple effective weight-1 Hodge structure, such that there exists an injective morphism of Hodge structures of bidegree $(-1, -1)$*

$$H^2 \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}(H, A)$$

for some weight-1 Hodge structure A . Then H is a direct summand of the Kuga–Satake Hodge structure $H_{KS}^1(H^2, q)$. In particular, $\dim H \geq 2 \lfloor \frac{\dim H^2 - 1}{2} \rfloor$.

With the same notations as in the introduction, we prove

Proposition 6. *Assume that the Mumford-Tate group of the Hodge structure $H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$ is maximal, i.e. it is the special orthogonal group of $(H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})_{tr}, q)$ and assume $b_2(X)_{tr} \geq 5$. If the dimension of $H^{0,1}(L_b)$ is smaller than $2 \lfloor \frac{b_2(X)_{tr} - 3}{2} \rfloor$ for a general fiber L_b of $p: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow B$, then the variation of weight 1 Hodge structure of p is maximal.*

Proof We use the same argument as in [15] where similar results were proved for Lagrangian fibrations. Assuming that the period map (14) is not generically an immersion, we are going to prove that $\dim H^{0,1}(L_b) \geq 2 \lfloor \frac{b_2(X)_{tr} - 3}{2} \rfloor$. By assumption, the nonempty general fibers of \mathcal{P} are of dimension ≥ 1 . Let $b \in U$ be a general point and let B_b the fiber of \mathcal{P} passing through b . Let $U_b = B_b \cap U$. Then the fibers of $\pi|_{U_b}: \mathcal{A}_{U_b} \rightarrow U_b$ are isomorphic with each other. Thus, up to a base change by a finite covering of U_b , we may assume $\pi|_{U_b}: \mathcal{A}_{U_b} \rightarrow U_b$ is trivial, i.e., $\mathcal{A}_{U_b} = U_b \times A_b$. Let $\pi_{F_b}: \mathcal{A}_{F_b} \rightarrow F_b$ be a smooth completion of $\pi|_{U_b}$, then \mathcal{A}_{F_b} is birational to $F_b \times A_b$, which gives a morphism $H^2(\mathcal{A}_{F_b}) \rightarrow H^2(F_b \times A_b)$. Recall by Lemma 2.1, we get a morphism $[Z]^*: H^2(X) \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{A})$ that sends σ_X to a holomorphic 2-form which is non-degenerate on \mathcal{A}_U . Finally, the rational map $\mathcal{A}_{F_b} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ induces $H^2(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{A}_{F_b})$. Compositing all these maps, we get a morphism

$$\alpha: H^2(X)_{tr} \hookrightarrow H^2(X) \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{A}_{F_b}) \rightarrow H^2(F_b \times A_b) \rightarrow H^1(F_b) \otimes H^1(A_b), \quad (15)$$

where the last map is given by the projection in the Künneth decomposition.

Lemma 3.1. $\alpha: H^2(X)_{tr} \rightarrow H^1(F_b) \otimes H^1(A_b)$ is injective.

Proof Since $h^{2,0}(X) = 1$ and $H^{2,0}(X)$ is orthogonal to $NS(X)$ with respect to the Beauville-Bogomolov-Fujiki form, $H^2(X)_{tr}$ is a simple Hodge structure. Therefore, to show the injectivity of α it suffices to show that α is not zero. We claim that $\alpha(\sigma_X) \neq 0$. Indeed, Since A_b is Lagrangian with respect to $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ (Proposition 4 (b)), in the Künneth's decomposition of $H^2(A_b \times F_b)$, the image of σ_X in $H^0(F_b) \otimes H^2(A_b)$ is zero. If furthermore $\alpha(\sigma_X) = 0$ in $H^1(F_b) \otimes H^1(A_b)$, then the image of σ_X on $F_b \times A_b$ comes from a 2-form on F_b , which has rank $\leq \dim F_b$. Therefore, the rank of $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ has rank $\leq \dim F_b$ on \mathcal{A}_{U_b} . On the other hand, the codimension of \mathcal{A}_{U_b} in \mathcal{A}_U is $\dim B - \dim F_b$, and thus the non-degeneration of $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}_U}$ implies that $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ has rank $\geq 2 \dim F_b$ on \mathcal{A}_{U_b} . This is a contradiction since we are assuming $\dim F_b \geq 1$. \square

We are now in the position to use the universal property of the Kuga-Satake construction (see Theorem 2 above). Since $\alpha : H^2(X)_{lr} \rightarrow H^1(F_b) \otimes H^1(A_b)$ is nonzero, there is at least one simple direct factor A of A_b such that $H^2(X)_{lr} \rightarrow H^1(F_b) \otimes H^1(A)$ is nonzero thus injective. Taking H^2 as $H^2(X)_{lr}$, we conclude by Theorem 2 that

$$\dim H^{0,1}(L_b) = \dim A_b \geq \dim A \geq \frac{1}{2} \times 2^{\lfloor \frac{\dim H^2(X)_{lr}-1}{2} \rfloor} = 2^{\lfloor \frac{b_2(X)_{lr}-3}{2} \rfloor},$$

as desired. \square

4 Example of a Lagrangian Family with Nontrivial Abel-Jacobi Map

Recall the construction of generalized Kummer varieties introduced in [1]. Let A be an abelian surface and $A^{[n+1]}$ the Hilbert scheme of length $n+1$ subschemes of A . Let $alb : A^{[n+1]} \rightarrow A$ be the composition of The Hilbert-Chow morphism and the summation map

$$A^{[n+1]} \rightarrow A^{(n+1)} \rightarrow A.$$

Then alb is an isotrivial fibration. The generalized Kummer variety $K_n(A)$ is defined to be the fiber of alb over $0 \in A$. As is shown in [1], $K_n(A)$ is a hyper-Kähler manifold of dimension $2n$.

In this section, we are going to construct Lagrangian families of $X := K_n(A)$ for $n \geq 2$, satisfying condition \clubsuit and whose Abel-Jacobi map is *not* trivial.

For any $x \in A$, one defines a subvariety Z_x of $K_n(A)$ consisting of Artinian subschemes of A of length $n+1$ supported on x and $-nx$, with multiplicities n and 1 , respectively. By [3, Proposition VI.1.1], Z_x is a *rational* variety of dimension $n-1$ if x is *not* an $(n+1)$ -torsion point. Let $Z = \bigcup_{x \in A} Z_x$ and let $\pi : Z \rightarrow A$ send elements in Z_x to x . For any curve $C \subset A$, define $Z_C = \bigcup_{x \in C} Z_x$.

Now let B be a connected open subset of the Hilbert scheme of deformations of a smooth curve $C \subset A$ and $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow B$ the corresponding family.

Lemma 4.1. $\{Z_C\}_{C \in B}$ is a Lagrangian family of $K_n(A)$ satisfying condition \clubsuit .

Proof Since for general C , Z_C is a fibration over a curve C whose general fibers are rational, any holomorphic 2-form on Z_C is 0. Furthermore, $\dim Z_C = n = \dim K_n(A)/2$. These imply that $\{Z_C\}_{C \in B}$ is a Lagrangian family. We now show that this family satisfies condition \clubsuit . Denoting \mathcal{L} the total space of the family $\{Z_C\}_{C \in B}$ and L a general fiber, and using as before the following notation

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{L} & \xrightarrow{q} & X \\ \downarrow p & & \\ B & & \end{array},$$

we need to show that $\lrcorner q^* \sigma_{K_n(A)} : H^0(L, N_{L/Z}) = H^0(L, N_{L/\mathcal{L}}) \rightarrow H^0(L, \Omega_L)$ is an isomorphism. Since the general fibers of π are rational, $q^* \sigma_{K_n(A)} = \tilde{\pi}^* \sigma_A$, where σ_A is the unique (up to coefficients) holomorphic 2-form on A . Therefore, we can conclude by the commutativity of the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^0(C, N_{C/A}) & \xrightarrow{-\sigma_A} & H^0(C, \Omega_C) \\ \downarrow \pi^* & & \downarrow \pi^* \\ H^0(L, N_{L/Z}) & \xrightarrow{-\pi^* \sigma_A} & H^0(L, \Omega_L) \end{array}$$

noting that the two vertical arrows are isomorphisms since the fibers of π are rational, and that $\lrcorner \sigma_A : H^0(C, N_{C/A}) \rightarrow H^0(C, \Omega_C)$ is an isomorphism since σ_A is nondegenerate. \square

Proposition 7. *The Abel-Jacobi map of the Lagrangian family $\{Z_C\}_{C \in B}$ is not trivial.*

Proof Let $i : C \hookrightarrow A$ be a general curve in the family $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow B$. By Proposition 3(a), it suffices to show that the restriction map $H^{2n-1}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^{2n-1}(Z_C, \mathbb{Q})$ is nonzero.

Define an injective morphism

$$\begin{aligned} \beta : A \times A &\hookrightarrow A^{(n+1)} \\ (x, y) &\mapsto n\{x\} + \{y\}, \end{aligned}$$

where we use the notation $\{x\} \in \mathcal{Z}_0(A)$ the 0-cycle of the point $x \in A$. Consider the following pull-back diagram defining a subvariety $Z' \subset A^{[n+1]}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z' & \xleftarrow{\alpha} & A^{[n+1]} \\ \downarrow \pi' & & \downarrow c \\ A \times A & \xleftarrow{\beta} & A^{(n+1)} \end{array},$$

where $c : A^{[n+1]} \rightarrow A^{(n+1)}$ is the Hilbert-Chow morphism. Then $Z = Z' \cap K_n(A) \subset A^{[n+1]}$. We have the following commutative diagram where all three squares are pull-back diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Z & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X = K_n(A) & & \\ \downarrow \pi & \searrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \\ A & & A & & \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow \pi' & & \downarrow c \\ A \times A & \xleftarrow{\beta} & A^{(n+1)} & \xrightarrow{\Sigma} & A \end{array}$$

(Note: The diagram also includes a map $\alpha : Z' \rightarrow A^{[n+1]}$ and a map $\text{alb} : A^{[n+1]} \rightarrow A$.)

Here $f : A \rightarrow A \times A$ defined by $x \mapsto (x, -nx)$ is the fiber over $0 \in A$ of the trivial fibration $\Sigma \circ \beta : A \times A \rightarrow A$.

By [5, Corollary 5.1.5], $[Z']^* : H^{2n-1}(A^{[n+1]}, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^1(A \times A, \mathbb{Q})$ is surjective. Furthermore, the restriction map $f^* : H^1(A \times A, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^1(A, \mathbb{Q})$ is surjective since f is the fiber of a trivial fibration. These imply that $[Z]^* : H^{2n-1}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^1(A, \mathbb{Q})$ is surjective. Finally, since the restriction map $i^* : H^1(A, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^1(C, \mathbb{Q})$ is injective by Lefschetz hyperplane theorem, the composition map $i^* \circ [Z]^* : H^{2n-1}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^1(C, \mathbb{Q})$ is nonzero. This implies that the restriction map $H^{2n-1}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^{2n-1}(Z_C, \mathbb{Q})$ is nonzero, as desired. \square

References

- [1] A. Beauville, *Variétés kählériennes dont la première classe de Chern est nulle*, J. Differential Geometry 18(1983), 755-782.
- [2] A. Beauville, R. Donagi, *The variety of lines of a cubic fourfold*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 301 Séries I, (1985), 703-706.
- [3] J. Briançon. *Description de $\text{Hilb}^n \mathbb{C}\{x, y\}$* , Invent. Math., 41:45–89, 1977.
- [4] H. Clemens, P. Griffiths, *The intermediate Jacobian of the cubic threefold*, Ann. of Math. (2) 95 (1972), 281–356.
- [5] M. A. de Cataldo, L. Migliorini, *The Chow groups and the motive of the Hilbert scheme of points on a surface*, Journal of algebra 251 (2002), 824-848.

- [6] R. Donagi, E. Markman, *Spectral covers, algebraically completely integrable, Hamiltonian systems, and moduli of bundles*, Integrable Systems and Quantum Groups (Montecatini Terme 1993), Lecture Notes in Math., 1620, pp. 1-119. Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg, 1998.
- [7] D. Huybrechts, *Curves and cycles on K3 surfaces (with an appendix by C. Voisin)*, Algebraic Geometry 1 (2014), 69-106.
- [8] A. Iliev, L. Manivel, *Cubic hypersurfaces and integrable systems*, Amer. J. Math. 130 (2008), no. 6, 1445–1475.
- [9] H.-Y. Lin, *Lagrangian Constant Cycle Subvarieties in Lagrangian Fibrations*, Int. Math. Res. Not., 2020 (1), Jan. 2020, 14–24.
- [10] R. Laza, G. Saccà, C. Voisin, *A hyper-Kähler compactification of the Intermediate Jacobian fibration associated with a cubic fourfold*, Acta Mathematica, 218:1 (2017), 55-135.
- [11] D. Matsushita, *On fibre space structures of a projective irreducible symplectic manifold*, Topology, 38 (1999), 79-83.
- [12] D. Matsushita, *On base manifolds of lagrangian fibrations*, Sci. China Math, 58(2015), no. 3, 531-542.
- [13] D. Mumford, *Rational equivalence of 0-cycles on surfaces*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 9 (1968) 195-204.
- [14] S. Saito, *Motives and filtrations on Chow groups*, Invent. Math. (1996), 215(1), 149-196.
- [15] B. van Geemen, C. Voisin, *On a conjecture of Matsushita*, Int Math Res Notices (2016) Vol. 2016, 3111-3123.
- [16] C. Voisin, *Sur la stabilité des sous-variétés lagrangiennes des variétés symplectiques holomorphes*, Complex projective geometry (Trieste, 1989/Bergen, 1989), 294-303, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 179, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1992.
- [17] C. Voisin, *Remarks and questions on coisotropic subvarieties and 0-cycles of hyper-Kähler varieties*, in “K3 Surfaces and Their Moduli”, Proceedings of the Schiermonnikoog conference 2014, C. Faber, G. Farkas, G. van der Geer, Editors, Progress in Math 315, Birkhäuser (2016), 365-399.
- [18] C. Voisin, *Triangle varieties and surface decomposition of hyper-Kähler manifolds*, Recent Developments in Algebraic Geometry: To Miles Reid for his 70th Birthday, edited by Hamid Abban; Gavin Brown; Alexander Kasprzyk; Shigefumi Mori, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series Cambridge University Press.
- [19] C. Voisin, *On the Lefschetz standard conjecture for Lagrangian covered hyper-Kähler varieties*, to appear Advances in Mathematics.
- [20] C. Voisin. *Théorie de Hodge et géométrie algébrique complexe*, Cours spécialisés, SMF 2003.
- [21] C. Voisin, *Chow rings, decomposition of the diagonal and the topology of families*, Annals of Math. Studies 187, Princeton University Press 2014.

Sorbonne Université and Université de Paris, CNRS, IMJ-PRG, F-75005 Paris, France.

Email adress: chenyu.bai@imj-prg.fr.