

# NORMS OF BASIC OPERATORS IN VECTOR VALUED MODEL SPACES AND DE BRANGES SPACES

KOUSIK DHARA AND HARRY DYM

ABSTRACT. Let  $\Omega_+$  be either the open unit disc or the open upper half plane or the open right half plane. In this paper, we compute the norm of the basic operator  $A_\alpha = \Pi_\Theta T_{b_\alpha}|_{\mathcal{H}(\Theta)}$  in the vector valued model space  $\mathcal{H}(\Theta) = H_2^m \ominus \Theta H_2^m$  associated with an  $m \times m$  matrix valued inner function  $\Theta$  in  $\Omega_+$  and show that the norm is attained. Here  $\Pi_\Theta$  denotes the orthogonal projection from the Lebesgue space  $L_2^m$  onto  $\mathcal{H}(\Theta)$  and  $T_{b_\alpha}$  is the operator of multiplication by the elementary Blaschke factor  $b_\alpha$  of degree one with a zero at a point  $\alpha \in \Omega_+$ . We show that if  $A_\alpha$  is strictly contractive, then its norm may be expressed in terms of the singular values of  $\Theta(\alpha)$ . We then extend this evaluation to the more general setting of vector valued de Branges spaces.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\Omega_+$  stand for any one of the three classical domains: (i) the open unit disc  $\mathbb{D} = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| < 1\}$ , (ii) the open upper half plane  $\mathbb{C}_+ = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im } \lambda > 0\}$ , or (iii) the open right half plane  $\mathbb{C}_R = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Re } \lambda > 0\}$ . The *Hardy space*  $H_2^m$  denotes the Hilbert space of  $m \times 1$  holomorphic vector valued functions with entries that belong to the scalar Hardy space  $H_2(\Omega_+)$  with inner product

$$(1.1) \quad \langle f, g \rangle := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} g(e^{i\theta})^* f(e^{i\theta}) d\theta & \text{if } \Omega_+ = \mathbb{D}, \\ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x)^* f(x) dx & \text{if } \Omega_+ = \mathbb{C}_+, \\ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(iy)^* f(iy) dy & \text{if } \Omega_+ = \mathbb{C}_R, \end{cases}$$

for  $f, g \in H_2^m$ . The space  $H_2^m$  is identified as a closed subspace of the Lebesgue space  $L_2^m$  by identifying each function in  $H_2^m$  with its nontangential boundary limit (see e.g., [11, 15]). An  $m \times m$  matrix valued holomorphic function  $\Theta$  in  $\Omega_+$  is said to be *inner* if  $\Theta(\lambda)^* \Theta(\lambda) \preceq I_m$  for all  $\lambda \in \Omega_+$  (where  $A \preceq B$  for a pair of  $m \times m$  matrices means that  $B - A$  is positive semidefinite) and  $\Theta(\lambda)^* \Theta(\lambda) = I_m$ , a.e. on the boundary of  $\Omega_+$  (in the sense of nontangential boundary limits).

For an  $m \times m$  matrix valued inner function  $\Theta$ , the corresponding vector valued *model space* is the quotient space

$$\mathcal{H}(\Theta) := H_2^m \ominus \Theta H_2^m \cong H_2^m / \Theta H_2^m.$$

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30J10, 46E22, 47A56, 47B32, 47B35.

*Key words and phrases.* Hardy spaces, model operators, inner functions, reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces, de Branges spaces.

The space  $\mathcal{H}(\Theta)$  plays an important role in operator theory and function theory; see e.g., [5, 12, 14, 16]. For a fixed point  $\alpha \in \Omega_+$ , the *elementary Blaschke factor at  $\alpha$*  is defined by

$$(1.2) \quad b_\alpha(\lambda) = \begin{cases} (\lambda - \alpha)/(1 - \bar{\alpha}\lambda) & \text{if } \Omega_+ = \mathbb{D} \text{ and } \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{1/\bar{\alpha}\}, \\ (\lambda - \alpha)/(\lambda - \bar{\alpha}) & \text{if } \Omega_+ = \mathbb{C}_+ \text{ and } \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{\bar{\alpha}\}, \\ (\lambda - \alpha)/(\lambda + \bar{\alpha}) & \text{if } \Omega_+ = \mathbb{C}_R \text{ and } \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{-\bar{\alpha}\}. \end{cases}$$

Let  $T_{b_\alpha}$  be the operator of multiplication by  $b_\alpha$ :

$$(T_{b_\alpha}f)(\lambda) = b_\alpha(\lambda)f(\lambda) \quad (f \in H_2^m \text{ and } \lambda \in \Omega_+).$$

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $\alpha \in \Omega_+$  and  $\Theta$  be an  $m \times m$  matrix valued inner function in  $\Omega_+$ . The *basic operator*  $A_\alpha : \mathcal{H}(\Theta) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(\Theta)$  (which is sometimes referred to as a *truncated Toeplitz operator*) is defined by the formula

$$(1.3) \quad A_\alpha = \Pi_\Theta T_{b_\alpha}|_{\mathcal{H}(\Theta)},$$

where  $\Pi_\Theta$  denotes the orthogonal projection from  $L_2^m$  onto  $\mathcal{H}(\Theta)$ .

A bounded linear operator  $N$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  ( $N \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  for short) is said to be *norm-attaining* if there exists a unit vector  $f_0 \in \mathcal{H}$  such that

$$\|Nf_0\|_{\mathcal{H}} = \|N\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})}.$$

In this case, we write  $N \in \mathcal{NA}$ . It is easy to see that the compact operators are norm attaining. Some additional classes of operators that attain their norm are considered in [3, 4, 6, 7, 13].

The case  $\alpha = 0$  and  $\Omega_+ = \mathbb{D}$  has special interest, because any contraction  $T$  on a separable Hilbert space with  $T^{*n} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  (in the strong operator topology) with the defect indices  $(m, m)$  is unitarily equivalent to  $A_0 = \Pi_\Theta T_{b_0}|_{\mathcal{H}(\Theta)}$ . The choices  $\alpha = i$  (resp.,  $\alpha = 1$ ) and  $\Omega_+ = \mathbb{C}_+$  (resp.,  $\Omega_+ = \mathbb{C}_R$ ) enter in the study of dissipative and accretive operators.

In [3, Proposition 3.1] it was shown that when  $\Omega_+ = \mathbb{D}$ , then  $A_0 \in \mathcal{NA}$  and  $\|A_0\| = 1$  if and only if there exists a nonzero vector  $f \in \mathcal{H}(\Theta)$  with  $f(0) = 0$  for Hilbert space valued Hardy spaces. The norm of  $A_0$  was then evaluated when  $m = 1$ ; see [3, Theorem 3.3] and [10, Section 7, Corollary 3].

In this paper, we exploit the theory of reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces to evaluate the norm of  $A_\alpha$  for arbitrary points  $\alpha \in \Omega_+$  and every positive integer  $m$  and subsequently extend these results to the general setting of de Branges spaces  $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$ . Our first main result is:

**Theorem 1.2** (see Theorem 4.3). *Let  $\Theta$  be an  $m \times m$  matrix valued inner function in  $\Omega_+$  and for each point  $\alpha \in \Omega_+$  let*

$$\mathcal{H}_\alpha = \{f \in \mathcal{H}(\Theta) : f(\alpha) = 0\}.$$

*Then  $A_\alpha \in \mathcal{NA}$  and:*

$$(1) \quad \|A_\alpha\| = 1 \text{ if and only if } \mathcal{H}_\alpha \neq \{0\}.$$

(2) If  $\mathcal{H}_\alpha = \{0\}$  and  $s_1 \geq s_2 \geq \dots \geq s_m$  are the singular values of  $\Theta(\alpha)$ , then

$$\|A_\alpha\| = \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \{s_j : s_j < 1\}.$$

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review some basic definitions and known results from the literature. Section 3 is devoted to preliminary analysis for the three domains of interest. In Section 4, we prove the first main result of this paper. In Section 5, we extend the first main theorem to the more general setting of de Branges spaces  $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$ . Since these results require a more extensive introduction, we postpone further discussion until that section and just note that Theorem 1.2 is a special case of Theorem 5.4. (In the notation of that section, it corresponds to the case that  $E_-$  is an  $m \times m$  matrix valued inner function and  $E_+(\lambda) = I_m$ .)

## 2. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we shall recall some basic definitions and results from the literature for future use. Let  $\Omega$  be a non empty open subset of  $\mathbb{C}$  and let  $\mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$  denote the space of all  $m \times m$  complex matrices. A Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  of  $m \times 1$  vector valued functions defined in  $\Omega$  is said to be a *reproducing kernel Hilbert space* (RKHS for short) if there exists a function  $K_\omega(\lambda) \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$  such that the following holds:

- (1) The function  $K_\omega u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$  defined by  $(K_\omega u)(\lambda) = K_\omega(\lambda)u$  is in  $\mathcal{H}$ , and
- (2)  $\langle f, K_\omega u \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = u^* f(\omega)$ ,

for all  $\omega \in \Omega, u \in \mathbb{C}^m$  and  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ . It is easy to see that such a function  $K_\omega(\lambda)$  is unique; it is called the *reproducing kernel* (RK for short) for  $\mathcal{H}$ . The RK  $K_\omega(\lambda)$  is positive in the following sense:

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{i,j=1}^n u_j^* K_{\omega_i}(\omega_j) u_i = \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n K_{\omega_i} u_i, \sum_{j=1}^n K_{\omega_j} u_j \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \geq 0$$

for every choice of  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n \in \Omega, u_1, \dots, u_n \in \mathbb{C}^m$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus,  $K_\alpha(\beta) = K_\beta(\alpha)^*$  and  $K_\omega(\omega) \succeq 0$  for all  $\alpha, \beta, \omega \in \Omega$ .

An operator version of a theorem of Aronszjan guarantees that every positive kernel  $K_\omega(\lambda)$  (in the sense of Eq (2.1)) gives exactly one RKHS with RK  $K_\omega(\lambda)$  (see e.g., [1, Theorem 5.3]).

The decomposition

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_\alpha \oplus \{K_\alpha u : u \in \mathbb{C}^m\},$$

in which  $\alpha \in \Omega$  and

$$\mathcal{H}_\alpha := \{f \in \mathcal{H} : f(\alpha) = 0\}$$

plays an important role in the subsequent analysis; see e.g., [9, Lemma 2.6] for a proof; the assumption imposed there that  $\mathcal{H}$  is a RKHS of entire functions can be relaxed to the present setting.

The Hardy space  $H_2^m$  is an RKHS with RK

$$K_\omega(\lambda) = \frac{I_m}{\rho_\omega(\lambda)} \quad (\omega, \lambda \in \Omega), \quad \text{where}$$

$$\rho_\omega(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1 - \lambda\bar{\omega} & \text{if } \Omega = \mathbb{D} \\ -2\pi i(\lambda - \bar{\omega}) & \text{if } \Omega = \mathbb{C}_+ \\ 2\pi(\lambda + \bar{\omega}) & \text{if } \Omega = \mathbb{C}_R. \end{cases}$$

Note that  $\Omega_+ = \{\omega \in \mathbb{C} : \rho_\omega(\omega) > 0\}$ . Correspondingly, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_- &= \{\omega \in \mathbb{C} : \rho_\omega(\omega) < 0\} \quad \text{and} \\ \Omega_0 &= \{\omega \in \mathbb{C} : \rho_\omega(\omega) = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\Theta$  is an  $m \times m$  matrix valued inner function, then the subspace  $\mathcal{H}(\Theta) = H_2^m \ominus \Theta H_2^m$  is again an RKHS with RK

$$K_\omega(\lambda) = \frac{I_m - \Theta(\lambda)\Theta(\omega)^*}{\rho_\omega(\lambda)} \quad (\lambda, \omega \in \Omega).$$

We shall also use the following notation:

$$N_\alpha(\lambda) = I_m - \Theta(\lambda)\Theta(\alpha)^*, \quad (\lambda, \alpha \in \Omega).$$

$$\delta_\alpha(\lambda) = \lambda - \alpha \quad (\lambda \in \Omega).$$

For  $\alpha \in \Omega$ , the *generalized backward shift operator*  $R_\alpha$  is defined by the formula

$$(R_\alpha f)(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(\lambda) - f(\alpha)}{\lambda - \alpha}, & \text{if } \lambda \neq \alpha \\ f'(\alpha), & \text{if } \lambda = \alpha. \end{cases}$$

### 3. PRELIMINARY ANALYSIS

In this section we verify some facts that will be needed for the proof of Theorem 1.2. We begin with the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** *If  $\alpha \in \Omega_+$  and  $\Theta$  is an  $m \times m$  matrix valued inner function in  $\Omega_+$ , then  $\mathcal{H}(\Theta)$  is invariant under  $R_\alpha$ .*

*Proof.* The case  $\Omega_+ = \mathbb{C}_+$  is treated in detail in [1, Theorem 5.14]; see also [2, Section 3.2] for relevant estimates. The other two cases may be verified in much the same way.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** *If  $\alpha \in \Omega_+$ , the adjoint  $A_\alpha^*$  of the operator  $A_\alpha$  defined by the formula (1.3) with respect to the inner product (1.1) is*

$$\begin{aligned} (3.1) \quad A_\alpha^* f &= \Pi_\Theta b_\alpha^{-1} f \\ &= \begin{cases} -\bar{\alpha}f + (1 - |\alpha|^2)R_\alpha f & \text{if } \Omega_+ = \mathbb{D}, \\ f + (\alpha - \bar{\alpha})R_\alpha f & \text{if } \Omega_+ = \mathbb{C}_+, \\ f + (\alpha + \bar{\alpha})R_\alpha f & \text{if } \Omega_+ = \mathbb{C}_R, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

for  $f \in \mathcal{H}(\Theta)$ .

*Proof.* For all three choices of  $\Omega_+$ , it is readily checked that

$$\langle A_\alpha f, g \rangle = \langle f, b_\alpha^{-1} g \rangle = \langle f, \Pi_\Theta(b_\alpha^{-1} g) \rangle.$$

Therefore,  $A_\alpha^* g = \Pi_\Theta(b_\alpha^{-1} g)$  for all  $g \in \mathcal{H}(\Theta)$ .

If  $\alpha \in \Omega_+$  and  $f \in \mathcal{H}(\Theta)$ , then:

$$(3.2) \quad \Omega_+ = \mathbb{D} \implies b_\alpha^{-1}f = -\bar{\alpha}f + (1 - |\alpha|^2)\frac{f}{\delta_\alpha} = -\bar{\alpha}f + (1 - |\alpha|^2)R_\alpha f + (1 - |\alpha|^2)\frac{f(\alpha)}{\delta_\alpha};$$

$$(3.3) \quad \Omega_+ = \mathbb{C}_+ \implies b_\alpha^{-1}f = f + (\alpha - \bar{\alpha})\frac{f}{\delta_\alpha} = f + (\alpha - \bar{\alpha})R_\alpha f + (\alpha - \bar{\alpha})\frac{f(\alpha)}{\delta_\alpha}$$

and

$$(3.4) \quad \Omega_+ = \mathbb{C}_R \implies b_\alpha^{-1}f = f + (\alpha + \bar{\alpha})\frac{f}{\delta_\alpha} = f + (\alpha + \bar{\alpha})R_\alpha f + (\alpha + \bar{\alpha})\frac{f(\alpha)}{\delta_\alpha}.$$

Therefore, the explicit formulas in (3.1) hold for all three choices of  $\Omega_+$ , since  $R_\alpha f \in \mathcal{H}(\Theta)$  and  $f(\alpha)/\delta_\alpha$  is orthogonal to  $H_2^m(\Omega_+)$  in all three cases.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3.** *If  $\alpha \in \Omega_+$  and  $\Theta$  is inner in  $\Omega_+$ , then*

$$(3.5) \quad \|A_\alpha^* f\|^2 = \|f\|^2 - \rho_\alpha(\alpha)f(\alpha)^*f(\alpha) \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{H}(\Theta).$$

*Proof.* We first observe that

$$\|f\|^2 - \|A_\alpha^* f\|^2 = \|b_\alpha^{-1}f\|^2 - \|\Pi_\Theta b_\alpha^{-1}f\|^2 = \|(I - \Pi_\Theta)b_\alpha^{-1}f\|^2.$$

But, in view of formulas (3.2)–(3.4),

$$(3.6) \quad (I - \Pi_\Theta)b_\alpha^{-1}f = \begin{cases} (1 - |\alpha|^2)f(\alpha)\delta_\alpha^{-1} & \text{if } \Omega_+ = \mathbb{D} \\ (\alpha - \bar{\alpha})f(\alpha)\delta_\alpha^{-1} & \text{if } \Omega_+ = \mathbb{C}_+ \\ (\alpha + \bar{\alpha})f(\alpha)\delta_\alpha^{-1} & \text{if } \Omega_+ = \mathbb{C}_R. \end{cases}$$

Thus, to complete the verification of formula (3.5), it remains only to compute  $\|f(\alpha)/\delta_\alpha\|^2$  in the norm based on the appropriate inner product (1.1) for  $\Omega_+$ .

$$(3.7) \quad \Omega_+ = \mathbb{D} \implies \|f(\alpha)/\delta_\alpha\|^2 = f(\alpha)^*f(\alpha)\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}|e^{i\theta} - \alpha|^{-2}d\theta = \frac{f(\alpha)^*f(\alpha)}{1 - |\alpha|^2}$$

$$(3.8) \quad \Omega_+ = \mathbb{C}_+ \implies \|f(\alpha)/\delta_\alpha\|^2 = f(\alpha)^*f(\alpha)\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|\mu - \alpha|^{-2}d\mu = 2\pi i\frac{f(\alpha)^*f(\alpha)}{\alpha - \bar{\alpha}}$$

$$(3.9) \quad \Omega_+ = \mathbb{C}_R \implies \|f(\alpha)/\delta_\alpha\|^2 = f(\alpha)^*f(\alpha)\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|i\nu - \alpha|^{-2}d\nu = 2\pi\frac{f(\alpha)^*f(\alpha)}{\alpha + \bar{\alpha}}.$$

Formula (3.5) drops out by combining formulas.  $\square$

#### 4. THE FIRST MAIN RESULT

In this section, we prove the first main result of this paper. We begin with the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.1.** *If  $\alpha \in \Omega_+$ ,  $u \in \mathbb{C}^m$  and  $f = K_\alpha u \neq 0$ , then:*

$$(1) \quad \|A_\alpha^* f\|^2 = u^*K_\alpha(\alpha)u - \rho_\alpha(\alpha)u^*K_\alpha(\alpha)^2u.$$

$$(2) \quad \frac{\|A_\alpha^* f\|^2}{\|f\|^2} = \frac{w^* \Theta(\alpha) \Theta(\alpha)^* w}{w^* w}, \text{ where } w = N_\alpha(\alpha)^{1/2} u \text{ and } N_\alpha(\alpha) = I_m - \Theta(\alpha) \Theta(\alpha)^*.$$

*Proof.* In view of Theorem 3.3,

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_\alpha^* f\|^2 &= \|K_\alpha u\|^2 - \rho_\alpha(\alpha) u^* K_\alpha(\alpha) K_\alpha(\alpha) u \\ &= u^* K_\alpha(\alpha) u - \rho_\alpha(\alpha) u^* K_\alpha(\alpha)^2 u, \end{aligned}$$

which yields (1).

Thus, as  $K_\alpha(\alpha) = N_\alpha(\alpha)/\rho_\alpha(\alpha)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\|A_\alpha^* f\|^2}{\|f\|^2} &= \frac{\|K_\alpha u\|^2 - \rho_\alpha(\alpha) u^* K_\alpha(\alpha)^2 u}{u^* K_\alpha(\alpha) u} \\ &= \frac{u^* N_\alpha(\alpha) u - u^* N_\alpha(\alpha)^2 u}{u^* N_\alpha(\alpha) u} \\ &= \frac{u^* N_\alpha(\alpha)^{1/2} (I_m - N_\alpha(\alpha)) N_\alpha(\alpha)^{1/2} u}{u^* N_\alpha(\alpha) u} \\ &= \frac{w^* \Theta(\alpha) \Theta(\alpha)^* w}{w^* w}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (2) holds. □

The next theorem relies on the singular value decomposition of the matrix  $\Theta(\alpha)$ .

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $\alpha \in \Omega_+$  and  $\Theta$  be an  $m \times m$  matrix valued inner function in  $\Omega_+$ . Let  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(\Theta)$  and suppose that  $\Theta(\alpha)$  has the singular values  $s_1 \geq s_2 \geq \dots \geq s_m$  and that  $s_1 = \dots = s_k = 1$  and  $s_{k+1} < 1$  for some  $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ . Let*

$$\mathcal{M} = \{K_\alpha u : u \in \mathbb{C}^m\}.$$

*Then*

$$(4.1) \quad \|A_\alpha^*|_{\mathcal{M}}\| = s_{k+1}$$

*and the norm is attained.*

*Proof.* Let  $f$  be a non-zero vector in  $\mathcal{M}$ . Then  $f = K_\alpha u$  for some  $u \in \mathbb{C}^m$ . In view of Lemma 4.1(2),

$$(4.2) \quad \frac{\|A_\alpha^* f\|^2}{\|f\|^2} = \frac{w^* \Theta(\alpha) \Theta(\alpha)^* w}{w^* w}.$$

Suppose  $\Theta(\alpha) = V S U^*$  is the singular value decomposition of  $\Theta(\alpha)$ , where  $S$  is the diagonal matrix consisting of the singular values  $s_1 \geq s_2 \geq \dots \geq s_m$  of  $\Theta(\alpha)$  and the matrices  $U$  and

$V$  are unitary. Let  $V = [v_1 \ v_2 \ \cdots \ v_m]$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} N_\alpha(\alpha) &= I_m - \Theta(\alpha)\Theta(\alpha)^* \\ &= I_m - VS^2V^* \\ &= V(I_m - S^2)V^* \\ &= V \begin{bmatrix} 1 - s_1^2 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 1 - s_m^2 \end{bmatrix} V^*. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if  $s_1 = s_2 = \cdots = s_k = 1$  and  $s_{k+1} < 1$ , then

$$N_\alpha(\alpha) = [v_{k+1} \ \cdots \ v_m] \begin{bmatrix} 1 - s_{k+1}^2 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 1 - s_m^2 \end{bmatrix} [v_{k+1} \ \cdots \ v_m]^*.$$

Thus,  $\text{range}(N_\alpha(\alpha)) = \text{span}\{v_{k+1}, \dots, v_m\}$ . Then we have by Equation (4.2)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\|A_\alpha^* f\|^2}{\|f\|^2} &= \frac{w^* VS^2V^* w}{w^* w} \\ &= \frac{(SV^* w)^*(SV^* w)}{w^* w} \\ &= \frac{\|SV^* w\|^2}{\|w\|^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $w = N_\alpha(\alpha)^{1/2}u \in \text{span}\{v_{k+1}, \dots, v_m\}$ ,

$$w = \sum_{j=k+1}^m c_j v_j \quad \text{and} \quad V^* w = \sum_{j=k+1}^m c_j e_j$$

for some complex numbers  $c_{k+1}, \dots, c_m$ , where  $e_j$  denotes the  $j$ 'th column of  $I_m$ . Hence

$$\|SV^* w\|^2 = \sum_{j=k+1}^m s_j^2 |c_j|^2 \leq s_{k+1}^2 \sum_{j=k+1}^m |c_j|^2 = s_{k+1}^2 \|w\|^2.$$

Consequently,

$$\max \frac{\|SV^* w\|^2}{\|w\|^2} = s_{k+1}^2.$$

where the maximum is achieved by choosing  $u = v_{k+1}$ . Thus, (4.1) holds and the norm is attained.  $\square$

Now we are ready to prove our first main theorem. Before that, we recall a well known result (see e.g., [7, Proposition 2.5]): A bounded linear operator  $N \in \mathcal{NA}$  if and only if  $N^* \in \mathcal{NA}$ .

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $\Theta$  be an  $m \times m$  matrix valued inner function in  $\Omega_+$  and for each point  $\alpha \in \Omega_+$  let  $\mathcal{H}_\alpha = \{f \in \mathcal{H}(\Theta) : f(\alpha) = 0\}$ . Then  $A_\alpha \in \mathcal{NA}$  and:*

(1)  $\|A_\alpha\| = 1$  if and only if  $\mathcal{H}_\alpha \neq \{0\}$ .

(2) If  $\mathcal{H}_\alpha = \{0\}$  and  $s_1 \geq s_2 \geq \cdots \geq s_m$  are the singular values of  $\Theta(\alpha)$ , then

$$\|A_\alpha\| = \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \{s_j : s_j < 1\}.$$

*Proof.* To make the logic of the proof transparent, we divide the argument into three short steps.

1. If  $\mathcal{H}_\alpha \neq \{0\}$ , then  $A_\alpha \in \mathcal{NA}$  and  $\|A_\alpha\| = 1$ .

If  $\mathcal{H}_\alpha \neq \{0\}$ , then there exists a non-zero vector  $f \in \mathcal{H}(\Theta)$  such that  $f(\alpha) = 0$ . Therefore, Theorem 3.3, ensures that

$$\|A_\alpha^* f\| = \|f\|,$$

and hence that  $\|A_\alpha^*\| = 1$  and  $A_\alpha^* \in \mathcal{NA}$ . Thus,  $A_\alpha \in \mathcal{NA}$  and  $\|A_\alpha\| = 1$ .

2. If  $\mathcal{H}_\alpha = \{0\}$ , then  $A_\alpha \in \mathcal{NA}$ ,  $\|A_\alpha\| < 1$  and (3) holds.

If  $\mathcal{H}_\alpha = \{0\}$ , then the decomposition  $\mathcal{H}(\Theta) = \mathcal{H}_\alpha \oplus \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}$ , where  $\mathcal{M} = \{K_\alpha u : u \in \mathbb{C}^m\}$ . By Theorem 4.2, we have  $A_\alpha^* \in \mathcal{NA}$  and

$$\|A_\alpha^*\| = \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \{s_j : s_j < 1\},$$

where  $s_1 \geq s_2 \geq \cdots \geq s_m$  are the singular values of  $\Theta(\alpha)$ . Thus,  $A_\alpha \in \mathcal{NA}$ ,  $\|A_\alpha\| = \|A_\alpha^*\| < 1$  and (2) holds.

3.  $A_\alpha \in \mathcal{NA}$  and Assertions (1) and (2) hold.

Steps 1 and 2 cover all possibilities. Therefore,  $A_\alpha \in \mathcal{NA}$  and (1) and (2) hold. Nevertheless, it is reassuring to observe that if  $\|A_\alpha\| = 1$ , then, as  $A_\alpha^* \in \mathcal{NA}$ , there exists a non-zero vector  $g \in \mathcal{H}(\Theta)$  such that

$$\|A_\alpha^* g\| = \|g\|.$$

Consequently,  $\|g(\alpha)\|^2 = g(\alpha)^* g(\alpha) = 0$  by Theorem 3.3. Thus,  $\mathcal{H}_\alpha \neq \{0\}$ . This gives an independent proof of the converse of the implication in Step 1, and so too another way to complete the proof of (1). □

**Remark 4.4.** The decomposition  $\mathcal{H}(\Theta) = \mathcal{H}_\alpha \oplus \mathcal{M}$  where  $\mathcal{M} = \{K_\alpha u : u \in \mathbb{C}^m\}$  implies that

$$\mathcal{H}_\alpha \neq \{0\} \text{ if and only if } \dim \mathcal{H}(\Theta) > \dim \mathcal{M}.$$



## 5. THE DE BRANGES SPACES $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$

We begin with a quick introduction to de Branges spaces; for additional discussion see e.g., Sections 3.21 and 5.10 of [1].

Let  $H_\infty^{p \times q}(\Omega_+)$  (resp.,  $H_\infty^{p \times q}(\Omega_-)$ ) denote the set of  $p \times q$  matrix valued functions with entries that are holomorphic and bounded in  $\Omega_+$  (resp.,  $\Omega_-$ ) and let  $\Pi^{p \times q}$  denote the set of  $p \times q$  matrix valued functions that are meromorphic in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Omega_0$  such that:

- (1)  $f = g_+/h_+$  in  $\Omega_+$  with  $g_+ \in H_\infty^{p \times q}(\Omega_+)$  and a nonzero  $h_+ \in H_\infty^{1 \times 1}(\Omega_+)$ .
- (2)  $f = g_-/h_-$  in  $\Omega_-$  with  $g_- \in H_\infty^{p \times q}(\Omega_-)$  and a nonzero  $h_- \in H_\infty^{1 \times 1}(\Omega_-)$ .
- (3) The nontangential limits  $(g_+/h_+)(\mu)$  and  $(g_-/h_-)(\mu)$  at the boundary are equal at almost all points  $\mu \in \Omega_0$ .

An  $m \times 2m$  mvf  $\mathfrak{E}(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} E_-(\lambda) & E_+(\lambda) \end{bmatrix}$  with  $m \times m$  blocks  $E_\pm(\lambda)$  that are meromorphic in  $\Omega_+ \cup \Omega_-$  will be called a **de Branges matrix** with respect to  $\Omega_+$  if

$$(5.1) \quad \mathfrak{E} \in \Pi^{m \times 2m}, \quad \det E_+(\lambda) \not\equiv 0 \text{ in } \Omega_+ \quad \text{and} \quad E_+^{-1}E_- \text{ is an } m \times m \text{ inner mvf in } \Omega_+.$$

**Remark 5.1.** The definitions simplify if  $\mathfrak{E}(\lambda)$  is restricted to be entire. Then only the last two constraints in (5.1) are relevant.

If  $\mathfrak{E}$  is a de Branges matrix, let

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E}) = \{f \in \Pi^{m \times 1} : E_+^{-1}f \in H_2^m(\Omega_+) \ominus E_+^{-1}E_-H_2^m(\Omega_+)\}$$

and set

$$(5.2) \quad \langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})} = \langle E_+^{-1}f, E_+^{-1}g \rangle_{st}, \quad \text{the inner product defined by (1.1).}$$

(We have added the subscript *st* to the inner product (1.1) because in the next several lines there will be two inner products in play.) Then the space  $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$  equipped with the inner product (5.2) is a RKHS with RK

$$K_\omega^\mathfrak{E}(\lambda) = \frac{E_+(\lambda)E_+(\omega)^* - E_-(\lambda)E_-(\omega)^*}{\rho_\omega(\lambda)}.$$

**Definition 5.2.** For each de Branges matrix  $\mathfrak{E} = \begin{bmatrix} E_- & E_+ \end{bmatrix}$  with  $m \times m$  blocks  $E_\pm$ , let  $L_2^m(\Delta_\mathfrak{E})$  denote the set of  $f$  for which the integral  $\langle f, f \rangle_{\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})}$  defined by (5.2) is finite and let  $\Pi_\mathfrak{E}$  denote the orthogonal projection from  $L_2^m(\Delta_\mathfrak{E})$  onto  $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$ .

The extension of Theorem 4.3 to de Branges spaces rests on the following formula which is taken from [8]. Since that paper is not yet available, we shall sketch the proof for one choice of  $\Omega_+$ .

**Theorem 5.3.** *If  $\mathfrak{E} = \begin{bmatrix} E_- & E_+ \end{bmatrix}$  is an  $m \times 2m$  de Branges matrix over  $\Omega_+$ , then*

$$(5.3) \quad \|\Pi_\mathfrak{E} b_\alpha^{-1} f\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})}^2 = \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})}^2 - \rho_\alpha(\alpha)(E_+^{-1}f)(\alpha)^*(E_+^{-1}f)(\alpha)$$

for  $f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$  and  $\alpha \in \Omega_+$ , for all three choices of  $\Omega_+$ .

*Proof.* The first step in the proof is to show that

$$(5.4) \quad (I - \Pi_{\mathfrak{E}})b_{\alpha}^{-1}f = (\alpha + \bar{\alpha})E_+ \frac{(E_+^{-1}f)(\alpha)}{\delta_{\alpha}} \quad \text{if } \Omega_+ = \mathbb{C}_R.$$

If  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_R$ ,  $\delta_{\alpha}(\lambda) = \lambda - \alpha$ ,  $b_{\alpha}(\lambda) = (\lambda - \alpha)/(\lambda + \bar{\alpha})$  and  $f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$ , then

$$b_{\alpha}^{-1} = 1 + (\alpha + \bar{\alpha})/\delta_{\alpha} \implies \Pi_{\mathfrak{E}}b_{\alpha}^{-1}f = f + (\alpha + \bar{\alpha})\Pi_{\mathfrak{E}}\frac{f}{\delta_{\alpha}}.$$

Thus, if  $u \in \mathbb{C}^m$  and  $\omega \in \mathbb{C}_+$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} u^* \left( \Pi_{\mathfrak{E}} \frac{f}{\delta_{\alpha}} \right) (\omega) &= \left\langle \Pi_{\mathfrak{E}} \frac{f}{\delta_{\alpha}}, K_{\omega}^{\mathfrak{E}} u \right\rangle_{\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})} = \left\langle \frac{f}{\delta_{\alpha}}, K_{\omega}^{\mathfrak{E}} u \right\rangle_{\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})} = -2\pi \langle f, \rho_{\alpha}^{-1} K_{\omega}^{\mathfrak{E}} u \rangle_{\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})} \\ &= -2\pi \left\langle f, \frac{E_+ E_+(\omega)^* - E_- E_-(\omega)^*}{\rho_{\omega} \rho_{\alpha}} u \right\rangle_{\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})} \\ &= -2\pi \left\langle E_+^{-1} f, \frac{E_+(\omega)^*}{\rho_{\omega} \rho_{\alpha}} u \right\rangle_{st} + 2\pi \left\langle E_+^{-1} f, (E_+^{-1} E_-) \frac{E_-(\omega)^*}{\rho_{\omega} \rho_{\alpha}} u \right\rangle_{st}. \end{aligned}$$

The second inner product in the last line is equal to zero, since  $E_+^{-1}f$ , the first entry in that inner product, is orthogonal to  $(E_+^{-1}E_-)H_2^m$ , whereas the second entry is in  $(E_+^{-1}E_-)H_2^m$ . To evaluate the first inner product, we write

$$\frac{1}{\rho_{\omega}(\lambda)} - \frac{1}{\rho_{\alpha}(\lambda)} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda + \bar{\omega}} - \frac{1}{\lambda + \bar{\alpha}} \right) = (2\pi) \frac{\bar{\alpha} - \bar{\omega}}{\rho_{\omega}(\lambda) \rho_{\alpha}(\lambda)}$$

and hence that

$$\begin{aligned} -2\pi \left\langle E_+^{-1} f, \frac{E_+(\omega)^*}{\rho_{\omega} \rho_{\alpha}} u \right\rangle_{st} &= \frac{1}{\omega - \alpha} \left\{ \left\langle E_+^{-1} f, \frac{E_+(\omega)^*}{\rho_{\omega}} u \right\rangle_{st} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left\langle E_+^{-1} f, \frac{E_+(\omega)^*}{\rho_{\alpha}} u \right\rangle_{st} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{\omega - \alpha} u^* E_+(\omega) \{ (E_+^{-1} f)(\omega) - (E_+^{-1} f)(\alpha) \} \\ &= \frac{1}{\omega - \alpha} \{ u^* f(\omega) - u^* E_+(\omega) (E_+^{-1} f)(\alpha) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Formula (5.4) drops out by combining these equalities.

To obtain (5.3), observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})}^2 - \|\Pi_{\mathfrak{E}}b_{\alpha}^{-1}f\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})}^2 &= \|b_{\alpha}^{-1}f\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})}^2 - \|\Pi_{\mathfrak{E}}b_{\alpha}^{-1}f\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})}^2 \\ &= \|(I - \Pi_{\mathfrak{E}})b_{\alpha}^{-1}f\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})}^2 \\ &= |\alpha + \bar{\alpha}|^2 \left\| E_+ \frac{(E_+^{-1}f)(\alpha)}{\delta_{\alpha}} \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})}^2 \\ &= |\alpha + \bar{\alpha}|^2 (E_+^{-1}f)(\alpha)^* (E_+^{-1}f)(\alpha) \\ &\quad \times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |i\nu - \alpha|^{-2} d\nu \end{aligned}$$

agrees with (5.3), since the integral is equal to  $2\pi/(\alpha + \bar{\alpha})$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 5.4.** Let  $\mathfrak{E} = [E_- \ E_+]$  be a de Branges matrix of size  $m \times 2m$  and for each point  $\alpha \in \Omega_+$  at which  $\mathfrak{E}$  is holomorphic and  $E_+(\alpha)$  is invertible, let

$$B_\alpha = \Pi_{\mathfrak{E}} b_\alpha^{-1}|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})_\alpha = \{f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E}) : f(\alpha) = 0\}.$$

Then  $B_\alpha \in \mathcal{NA}$  and:

- (1)  $\|B_\alpha\| = 1$  if and only if  $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})_\alpha \neq \{0\}$ .
- (2) If  $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})_\alpha = \{0\}$ ,  $\Theta = E_+^{-1}E_-$  and  $s_1 \geq s_2 \geq \dots \geq s_m$  are the singular values of  $\Theta(\alpha)$ , then

$$\|B_\alpha\| = \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \{s_j : s_j < 1\}.$$

*Proof.* We first observe that under the given assumptions on  $\alpha$ , every vector valued function  $f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$  is automatically holomorphic at  $\alpha$ , since

$$f(\alpha) = E_+(\alpha) (E_+^{-1}f)(\alpha) \quad \text{and} \quad E_+^{-1}f \in H_2^m(\Omega_+).$$

Assertions (1) and (2) follow from the decomposition

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E}) = \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})_\alpha \oplus \{K_\alpha^\mathfrak{E}u : u \in \mathbb{C}^m\}.$$

and formula (5.3) much as in the proof of Theorem 4.3.

It remains to verify (3). If  $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})_\alpha = \{0\}$ , then  $f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$  if and only if  $f = K_\alpha^\mathfrak{E}u$  for some  $u \in \mathbb{C}^m$ . Then, for such  $f$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_\alpha^* K_\alpha^\mathfrak{E}u\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})}^2 &= \|K_\alpha^\mathfrak{E}u\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})}^2 - \rho_\alpha(\alpha) K_\alpha^\mathfrak{E}(\alpha) (E_+(\alpha)^*)^{-1} E_+(\alpha)^{-1} K_\alpha^\mathfrak{E}(\alpha) u \\ &= \rho_\alpha(\alpha)^{-1} u^* E_+(\alpha) \{I_m - \Theta(\alpha)\Theta(\alpha)^* - (I_m - \Theta(\alpha)\Theta(\alpha)^*)^2\} E_+(\alpha)^* u \\ &= \rho_\alpha(\alpha)^{-1} u^* E_+(\alpha) M_\alpha(\alpha)^{1/2} \{I_m - M_\alpha(\alpha)\} M_\alpha(\alpha)^{1/2} E_+(\alpha)^* u, \end{aligned}$$

where  $M_\alpha(\alpha) = I_m - \Theta(\alpha)\Theta(\alpha)^*$ . Consequently, if  $v = M_\alpha(\alpha)^{1/2} E_+(\alpha)^* u \neq 0$ , then

$$\frac{\|B_\alpha^* K_\alpha^\mathfrak{E}u\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})}^2}{\|K_\alpha^\mathfrak{E}u\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})}^2} = \frac{v^* \{I_m - M_\alpha(\alpha)\} v}{v^* v} = \frac{v^* \Theta(\alpha)\Theta(\alpha)^* v}{v^* v},$$

which, as  $E_+(\alpha)$  is invertible, is effectively the same as the right hand side of (4.2). Thus, the rest of the proof of Theorem 5.4 is exactly the same as the proof of Theorem 4.2.  $\square$

**Acknowledgement.** The research of first named author is supported in part by the INSPIRE grant of Dr. Srijan Sarkar (Ref: DST/INSPIRE/04/2019/000769), Department of Science & Technology (DST), Government of India, the postdoctoral fellowship of IISER Pune, India and the postdoctoral fellowship of Weizmann Institute of Science, Israel.

## REFERENCES

1. D. Z. Arov and H. Dym, *J-contractive matrix valued functions and related topics*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 116. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008.
2. D. Z. Arov and H. Dym, *Multivariate prediction, de Branges spaces, and related extension and inverse problems*, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, 266. Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2018.
3. N. Bala, K. Dhara, J. Sarkar and A. Sensarma, *Idempotent, model, and Toeplitz operators attaining their norms*, Linear Algebra Appl. 622 (2021), 150–165.

4. A. Bottcher and I. M. Spitkovsky, *The norm attainment problem for functions of projections*, Arch. Math. (Basel), 117 (2021), no. 4, 397–403.
5. M. S. Brodskii, *Triangular and Jordan representations of linear operators*. Translated from the Russian by J. M. Danskin. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 32. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1971
6. A. Brown and R. G. Douglas, *Partially isometric Toeplitz operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 16 (1965), 681–682.
7. X. Carvajal and W. Neves, *Operators that achieve the norm*, Integral Equations Operator Theory, 72 (2012), 179–195.
8. H. Dym, *Livsic characteristic functions*, submitted, under review.
9. H. Dym and S. Sarkar, *Multiplication operators with deficiency indices  $(p,p)$  and sampling formulas in reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces of entire vector valued functions*, J. Funct. Anal. 273 (2017), no. 12, 3671–3718.
10. S. Garcia and W. Ross, *A non-linear extremal problem on the Hardy space*, Comput. Methods Funct. Theory, 9 (2009), 485–524.
11. K. Hoffman, *Banach spaces of analytic functions*, Prentice-Hall Series in Modern Analysis Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N. J., 1962.
12. A. Kuzhel, *Characteristic functions and models of nonselfadjoint operators*, Mathematics and its Applications, 349. Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1996.
13. S. Pandey and V. Paulsen, *A spectral characterization of  $\mathcal{AN}$  operators*, J. Aust. Math. Soc. 102 (2017), 369–391.
14. N. K. Nikolski, *Operators, functions, and systems: an easy reading, Vol. 2., Model operators and systems*, Translated from the French by Andreas Hartmann and revised by the author., Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 93. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002.
15. M. Rosenblum and J. Rovnyak, *Hardy classes and operator theory*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs., Oxford Science Publications., The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1985.
16. B. Sz.-Nagy, C. Foias, H. Bercovici and L. Kérchy, *Harmonic analysis of operators on Hilbert space*. Second edition. Revised and enlarged edition. Universitext. Springer, New York, 2010.

KOUSIK DHARA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, WEIZMANN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE, REHOVOT 7610001, ISRAEL

*Email address:* kousik.dhara@weizmann.ac.il

HARRY DYM, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, WEIZMANN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE, REHOVOT 7610001, ISRAEL

*Email address:* harry.dym@weizmann.ac.il