NORMS OF BASIC OPERATORS IN VECTOR VALUED MODEL SPACES AND DE BRANGES SPACES

KOUSIK DHARA AND HARRY DYM

ABSTRACT. Let Ω_+ be either the open unit disc or the open upper half plane or the open right half plane. In this paper, we compute the norm of the basic operator $A_{\alpha} = \Pi_{\Theta} T_{b_{\alpha}}|_{\mathcal{H}(\Theta)}$ in the vector valued model space $\mathcal{H}(\Theta) = H_2^m \ominus \Theta H_2^m$ associated with an $m \times m$ matrix valued inner function Θ in Ω_+ and show that the norm is attained. Here Π_{Θ} denotes the orthogonal projection from the Lebesgue space L_2^m onto $\mathcal{H}(\Theta)$ and $T_{b_{\alpha}}$ is the operator of multiplication by the elementary Blaschke factor b_{α} of degree one with a zero at a point $\alpha \in \Omega_+$. We show that if A_{α} is strictly contractive, then its norm may be expressed in terms of the singular values of $\Theta(\alpha)$. We then extend this evaluation to the more general setting of vector valued de Branges spaces.

1. Introduction

Let Ω_+ stand for any one of the three classical domains: (i) the open unit disc $\mathbb{D} = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| < 1\}$, (ii) the open upper half plane $\mathbb{C}_+ = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im } \lambda > 0\}$, or (iii) the open right half plane $\mathbb{C}_R = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Re } \lambda > 0\}$. The *Hardy space* H_2^m denotes the Hilbert space of $m \times 1$ vector-valued holomorphic functions with entries that belong to the scalar Hardy space $H_2(\Omega_+)$ with inner product

(1.1)
$$\langle f, g \rangle := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} g(e^{i\theta})^* f(e^{i\theta}) d\theta & \text{if } \Omega_+ = \mathbb{D}, \\ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x)^* f(x) dx & \text{if } \Omega_+ = \mathbb{C}_+, \\ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(iy)^* f(iy) dy & \text{if } \Omega_+ = \mathbb{C}_R, \end{cases}$$

for $f, g \in H_2^m$. The space H_2^m is identified as a closed subspace of the Lebesgue space L_2^m by identifying each function in H_2^m with its nontangential boundary limit (see e.g., [13, 17]). An $m \times m$ matrix valued holomorphic function Θ in Ω_+ is said to be *inner* if $\Theta(\lambda)^*\Theta(\lambda) \leq I_m$ for all $\lambda \in \Omega_+$ (where $A \leq B$ for a pair of $m \times m$ matrices means that B - A is positive semidefinite) and $\Theta(\lambda)^*\Theta(\lambda) = I_m$, a.e. on the boundary of Ω_+ (in the sense of nontangential boundary limits).

For an $m \times m$ matrix valued inner function Θ , the corresponding vector valued model space is the quotient space

$$\mathcal{H}(\Theta):=H_2^m\ominus\Theta H_2^m\cong H_2^m/\Theta H_2^m.$$

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 30J10, 46E22, 47A56, 47B32, 47B35.

Key words and phrases. Hardy spaces, model operators, inner functions, reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces, de Branges spaces.

The space $\mathcal{H}(\Theta)$ plays an important role in operator theory and function theory; see e.g., [6, 14, 16, 18]. For a fixed point $\alpha \in \Omega_+$, the elementary Blaschke factor at α is defined by

$$(1.2) b_{\alpha}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} (\lambda - \alpha)/(1 - \overline{\alpha}\lambda) & \text{if } \Omega_{+} = \mathbb{D} \text{ and } \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{1/\overline{\alpha}\}, \\ (\lambda - \alpha)/(\lambda - \overline{\alpha}) & \text{if } \Omega_{+} = \mathbb{C}_{+} \text{ and } \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{\overline{\alpha}\}, \\ (\lambda - \alpha)/(\lambda + \overline{\alpha}) & \text{if } \Omega_{+} = \mathbb{C}_{R} \text{ and } \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{-\overline{\alpha}\}. \end{cases}$$

Let $T_{b_{\alpha}}$ be the operator of multiplication by b_{α} :

$$(T_{b_{\alpha}}f)(\lambda) = b_{\alpha}(\lambda)f(\lambda) \ (f \in H_2^m \text{ and } \lambda \in \Omega_+).$$

Definition 1.1. Let $\alpha \in \Omega_+$ and Θ be an $m \times m$ matrix valued inner function in Ω_+ . The basic operator $A_{\alpha} : \mathcal{H}(\Theta) \to \mathcal{H}(\Theta)$ is defined by the formula

$$(1.3) A_{\alpha} = \Pi_{\Theta} T_{b_{\alpha}}|_{\mathcal{H}(\Theta)},$$

where Π_{Θ} denotes the orthogonal projection from L_2^m onto $\mathcal{H}(\Theta)$.

A bounded linear operator N on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} ($N \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ for short) is said to be norm-attaining if there exists a unit vector $f_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$||Nf_0||_{\mathcal{H}} = ||N||_{\mathscr{B}(\mathcal{H})}.$$

In this case, we write $N \in \mathcal{NA}$. It is easy to see that the compact operators are norm attaining. Some additional classes of operators that attain their norm are considered in [3, 4, 7, 8, 15].

The case $\alpha = 0$ and $\Omega_+ = \mathbb{D}$ has special interest, because any contraction T on a separable Hilbert space with $T^{*n} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ (in the strong operator topology) with the defect indices (m, m) is unitarily equivalent to $A_0 = \Pi_{\Theta} T_{b_0}|_{\mathcal{H}(\Theta)}$. The choices $\alpha = i$ (resp., $\alpha = 1$) and $\Omega_+ = \mathbb{C}_+$ (resp., $\Omega_+ = \mathbb{C}_R$) enter in the study of dissipative and accretive operators.

In [3, Proposition 3.1] it was shown that when $\Omega_+ = \mathbb{D}$, then $A_0 \in \mathcal{NA}$ and $||A_0|| = 1$ if and only if there exists a nonzero vector $f \in \mathcal{H}(\Theta)$ with f(0) = 0 for Hilbert space valued Hardy spaces. The norm of A_0 was then evaluated when m = 1; see [3, Theorem 3.3] and [12, Section 7, Corollary 3].

In this paper, we exploit the theory of reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces to evaluate the norm of A_{α} for arbitrary points $\alpha \in \Omega_{+}$ and every positive integer m and subsequently extend these results to the general setting of de Branges spaces $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$. Our first main result is:

Theorem 1.2 (see Theorem 4.3). Let Θ be an $m \times m$ matrix valued inner function in Ω_+ , and for each point $\alpha \in \Omega_+$ let

$$\mathcal{H}(\Theta)_{\alpha} = \{ f \in \mathcal{H}(\Theta) : f(\alpha) = 0 \}.$$

Then $A_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{NA}$ and:

- (1) $||A_{\alpha}|| = 1$ if and only if $\mathcal{H}(\Theta)_{\alpha} \neq \{0\}$.
- (2) If $\mathcal{H}(\Theta)_{\alpha} = \{0\}$ and $s_1 \geq s_2 \geq \cdots \geq s_m$ are the singular values of $\Theta(\alpha)$, then

$$||A_{\alpha}|| = \max_{1 \le j \le m} \{s_j : s_j < 1\}.$$

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review some basic definitions and known results from the literature. Section 3 is devoted to preliminary analysis for the three domains of interest. In Section 4, we prove the first main result of this paper. Section 5 deals with some reformulations of the basic operator. In Section 6, we extend the first main theorem to the more general setting of de Branges spaces $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$. Since these results require a more extensive introduction, we postpone further discussion until that section and just note that Theorem 1.2 is a special case of Theorem 6.4. (In the notation of that section, it corresponds to the case that E_- is an $m \times m$ matrix valued inner function and $E_+(\lambda) = I_m$.)

2. Notation and Preliminaries

In this section, we shall recall some basic definitions and results from the literature for future use. Let Ω be a non empty open subset of \mathbb{C} and let $\mathbb{C}^{m\times m}$ denote the space of all $m\times m$ complex matrices. A Hilbert space \mathcal{H} of $m\times 1$ vector valued functions defined in Ω is said to be a reproducing kernel Hilbert space (RKHS for short) if for each $\omega \in \Omega$ and $u \in \mathbb{C}^m$ there exists a function $K_{\omega}(\lambda) \in \mathbb{C}^{m\times m}$ such that the following holds:

- (1) The function $K_{\omega}u:\Omega\to\mathbb{C}^m$ defined by $(K_{\omega}u)(\lambda)=K_{\omega}(\lambda)u$ is in \mathcal{H} , and
- (2) $\langle f, K_{\omega} u \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = u^* f(\omega)$, for every $f \in \mathcal{H}$.

There is only one function $K_{\omega}(\lambda)$ that meets these two conditions; it is called the *reproducing* kernel (RK for short) for \mathcal{H} . The RK $K_{\omega}(\lambda)$ is positive in the following sense:

(2.1)
$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} u_j^* K_{\omega_i}(\omega_j) u_i = \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{n} K_{\omega_i} u_i, \sum_{j=1}^{n} K_{\omega_j} u_j \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \ge 0$$

for every choice of $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n \in \Omega$, $u_1, \dots, u_n \in \mathbb{C}^m$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, $K_{\alpha}(\beta) = K_{\beta}(\alpha)^*$ and $K_{\omega}(\omega) \succeq 0$ for all $\alpha, \beta, \omega \in \Omega$.

An operator version of a theorem of Aronszjan guarantees that every positive kernel $K_{\omega}(\lambda)$ (in the sense of Eq (2.1)) gives exactly one RKHS with RK $K_{\omega}(\lambda)$ (see e.g.,[1, Theorem 5.3]). The decomposition

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{\alpha} \oplus \{ K_{\alpha} u : u \in \mathbb{C}^m \},$$

in which $\alpha \in \Omega$ and

$$\mathcal{H}_{\alpha} := \{ f \in \mathcal{H} : f(\alpha) = 0 \}$$

plays an important role in the subsequent analysis.

The Hardy space H_2^m is an RKHS with RK

$$K_{\omega}(\lambda) = \frac{I_m}{\rho_{\omega}(\lambda)} \ (\omega, \lambda \in \Omega), \text{ where}$$

(2.3)
$$\rho_{\omega}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1 - \lambda \overline{w} & \text{if } \Omega = \mathbb{D}, \\ -2\pi i(\lambda - \overline{\omega}) & \text{if } \Omega = \mathbb{C}_{+}, \\ 2\pi(\lambda + \overline{\omega}) & \text{if } \Omega = \mathbb{C}_{R}. \end{cases}$$

Note that $\Omega_+ = \{ \omega \in \mathbb{C} : \rho_{\omega}(\omega) > 0 \}$. Correspondingly, we define

$$\Omega_{-} = \{ \omega \in \mathbb{C} : \rho_{\omega}(\omega) < 0 \}$$
 and

$$\Omega_0 = \{ \omega \in \mathbb{C} : \rho_\omega(\omega) = 0 \}.$$

If Θ is an $m \times m$ matrix valued inner function, then the subspace $\mathcal{H}(\Theta) = H_2^m \ominus \Theta H_2^m$ is again an RKHS with RK

$$K_{\omega}(\lambda) = \frac{I_m - \Theta(\lambda)\Theta(\omega)^*}{\rho_{\omega}(\lambda)} \quad (\lambda, \omega \in \Omega).$$

We shall also use the following notation:

$$N_{\alpha}(\lambda) = \rho_{\alpha}(\lambda)K_{\alpha}(\lambda) = I_m - \Theta(\lambda)\Theta(\alpha)^* \quad (\lambda, \alpha \in \Omega).$$

$$\delta_{\alpha}(\lambda) = \lambda - \alpha \quad (\lambda, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}).$$

For $\alpha \in \Omega$, the generalized backward shift operator R_{α} is defined by the formula

$$(R_{\alpha}f)(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(\lambda) - f(\alpha)}{\lambda - \alpha} & \text{if } \lambda \neq \alpha, \\ f'(\alpha) & \text{if } \lambda = \alpha, \end{cases}$$

for functions f that are holomorphic in Ω .

3. Preliminary Analysis

In this section we verify some facts that will be needed for the proof of Theorem 1.2. We begin with the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. If $\alpha \in \Omega_+$ and Θ is an $m \times m$ matrix valued inner function in Ω_+ , then $\mathcal{H}(\Theta)$ is invariant under R_{α} .

Proof. The case $\Omega_+ = \mathbb{C}_+$ is treated in detail in [1, Theorem 5.14]; see also [2, Section 3.2] for relevant estimates. The other two cases may be verified in much the same way.

Lemma 3.2. If $\alpha \in \Omega_+$, the adjoint A_{α}^* of the operator A_{α} defined by the formula (1.3) with respect to the inner product (1.1) is

(3.1)
$$A_{\alpha}^{*}f = \Pi_{\Theta}(1/b_{\alpha})f$$

$$= \begin{cases} -\overline{\alpha}f + (1-|\alpha|^{2})R_{\alpha}f & \text{if } \Omega_{+} = \mathbb{D}, \\ f + (\alpha - \overline{\alpha})R_{\alpha}f & \text{if } \Omega_{+} = \mathbb{C}_{+}, \\ f + (\alpha + \overline{\alpha})R_{\alpha}f & \text{if } \Omega_{+} = \mathbb{C}_{R}, \end{cases}$$

for $f \in \mathcal{H}(\Theta)$.

Proof. For all three choices of Ω_+ , it is readily checked that

$$\langle A_{\alpha}f, g \rangle = \langle f, (1/b_{\alpha})g \rangle = \langle f, \Pi_{\Theta}((1/b_{\alpha})g) \rangle.$$

Therefore, $A_{\alpha}^*g = \Pi_{\Theta}((1/b_{\alpha})g)$ for all $g \in \mathcal{H}(\Theta)$.

If $\alpha \in \Omega_+$, $\delta_{\alpha}(\lambda) = \lambda - \alpha$ and $f \in \mathcal{H}(\Theta)$, then:

$$(3.2) \quad \Omega_{+} = \mathbb{D} \implies \frac{f}{b_{\alpha}} = -\overline{\alpha}f + (1 - |\alpha|^{2})\frac{f}{\delta_{\alpha}} = -\overline{\alpha}f + (1 - |\alpha|^{2})R_{\alpha}f + (1 - |\alpha|^{2})\frac{f(\alpha)}{\delta_{\alpha}};$$

(3.3)
$$\Omega_{+} = \mathbb{C}_{+} \implies \frac{f}{b_{\alpha}} = f + (\alpha - \overline{\alpha}) \frac{f}{\delta_{\alpha}} = f + (\alpha - \overline{\alpha}) R_{\alpha} f + (\alpha - \overline{\alpha}) \frac{f(\alpha)}{\delta_{\alpha}}$$

and

(3.4)
$$\Omega_{+} = \mathbb{C}_{R} \implies \frac{f}{b_{\alpha}} = f + (\alpha + \overline{\alpha}) \frac{f}{\delta_{\alpha}} = f + (\alpha + \overline{\alpha}) R_{\alpha} f + (\alpha + \overline{\alpha}) \frac{f(\alpha)}{\delta_{\alpha}}.$$

Therefore, the explicit formulas in (3.1) hold for all three choices of Ω_+ , since $R_{\alpha}f \in \mathcal{H}(\Theta)$ and $f(\alpha)/\delta_{\alpha}$ is orthogonal to $H_2^m(\Omega_+)$ in all three cases.

Remark 3.3. The adjoint of the operator A_{α} plays a significant role in the characterization of de Branges spaces; see e.g., Theorem 23 in [5] for $\Omega_{+} = \mathbb{C}_{+}$. Also see Theorem 7.1 of [11] for $\Omega_{+} = \mathbb{C}_{+}$ and Theorem 5.2 of [10] for $\Omega_{+} = \mathbb{D}$ for spaces of vector valued functions.

Theorem 3.4. If $\alpha \in \Omega_+$ and Θ is inner in Ω_+ , then

(3.5)
$$||A_{\alpha}^*f||^2 = ||f||^2 - \rho_{\alpha}(\alpha)f(\alpha)^*f(\alpha) \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{H}(\Theta).$$

Proof. We first observe that

$$||f||^{2} - ||A_{\alpha}^{*}f||^{2} = ||(1/b_{\alpha})f||^{2} - ||\Pi_{\Theta}(1/b_{\alpha})f||^{2}$$

$$= \langle (I - \Pi_{\Theta})(1/b_{\alpha})f, (1/b_{\alpha})f \rangle$$

$$= \langle (I - \Pi_{\Theta})(1/b_{\alpha})f, (I - \Pi_{\Theta})(1/b_{\alpha})f \rangle$$

$$= ||(I - \Pi_{\Theta})(1/b_{\alpha})f||^{2}.$$

But, in view of formulas (3.2)–(3.4),

(3.6)
$$(I - \Pi_{\Theta}) \frac{f}{b_{\alpha}} = \begin{cases} (1 - |\alpha|^{2}) f(\alpha) \delta_{\alpha}^{-1} & \text{if } \Omega_{+} = \mathbb{D}, \\ (\alpha - \overline{\alpha}) f(\alpha) \delta_{\alpha}^{-1} & \text{if } \Omega_{+} = \mathbb{C}_{+}, \\ (\alpha + \overline{\alpha}) f(\alpha) \delta_{\alpha}^{-1} & \text{if } \Omega_{+} = \mathbb{C}_{R}. \end{cases}$$

Thus, to complete the verification of formula (3.5), it remains only to compute $||f(\alpha)/\delta_{\alpha}||^2$ in the norm based on the appropriate inner product (1.1) for Ω_+ .

(3.7)
$$\Omega_{+} = \mathbb{D} \implies \|f(\alpha)/\delta_{\alpha}\|^{2} = f(\alpha)^{*}f(\alpha)\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{0}^{2\pi}|e^{i\theta} - \alpha|^{-2}d\theta = \frac{f(\alpha)^{*}f(\alpha)}{1 - |\alpha|^{2}}$$

(3.8)
$$\Omega_{+} = \mathbb{C}_{+} \implies \|f(\alpha)/\delta_{\alpha}\|^{2} = f(\alpha)^{*}f(\alpha) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\mu - \alpha|^{-2}d\mu = 2\pi i \frac{f(\alpha)^{*}f(\alpha)}{\alpha - \overline{\alpha}}$$

(3.9)
$$\Omega_{+} = \mathbb{C}_{R} \implies \|f(\alpha)/\delta_{\alpha}\|^{2} = f(\alpha)^{*}f(\alpha) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |i\nu - \alpha|^{-2}d\nu = 2\pi \frac{f(\alpha)^{*}f(\alpha)}{\alpha + \overline{\alpha}}.$$

Formula (3.5) drops out by combining formulas.

4. The first main result

In this section, we prove the first main result of this paper. We begin with the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. If $\alpha \in \Omega_+$, $u \in \mathbb{C}^m$ and $f = K_{\alpha}u \neq 0$, then:

(1)
$$||A_{\alpha}^*f||^2 = u^*K_{\alpha}(\alpha)u - \rho_{\alpha}(\alpha)u^*K_{\alpha}(\alpha)^2u$$
.

(2)
$$\frac{\|A_{\alpha}^*f\|^2}{\|f\|^2} = \frac{w^*\Theta(\alpha)\Theta(\alpha)^*w}{w^*w}$$
, where $w = N_{\alpha}(\alpha)^{1/2}u$ and $N_{\alpha}(\alpha) = I_m - \Theta(\alpha)\Theta(\alpha)^*$.

Proof. In view of Theorem 3.4,

$$||A_{\alpha}^*f||^2 = ||K_{\alpha}u||^2 - \rho_{\alpha}(\alpha)u^*K_{\alpha}(\alpha)K_{\alpha}(\alpha)u$$
$$= u^*K_{\alpha}(\alpha)u - \rho_{\alpha}(\alpha)u^*K_{\alpha}(\alpha)^2u,$$

which yields (1).

Thus, as $K_{\alpha}(\alpha) = N_{\alpha}(\alpha)/\rho_{\alpha}(\alpha)$,

$$\begin{split} \frac{\|A_{\alpha}^*f\|^2}{\|f\|^2} &= \frac{\|K_{\alpha}u\|^2 - \rho_{\alpha}(\alpha)u^*K_{\alpha}(\alpha)^2u}{u^*K_{\alpha}(\alpha)u} \\ &= \frac{u^*N_{\alpha}(\alpha)u - u^*N_{\alpha}(\alpha)^2u}{u^*N_{\alpha}(\alpha)u} \\ &= \frac{u^*N_{\alpha}(\alpha)^{1/2}(I_m - N_{\alpha}(\alpha))N_{\alpha}(\alpha)^{1/2}u}{u^*N_{\alpha}(\alpha)u} \\ &= \frac{w^*\Theta(\alpha)\Theta(\alpha)^*w}{w^*w}. \end{split}$$

Therefore, (2) holds.

The next theorem relies on the singular value decomposition of the matrix $\Theta(\alpha)$.

Theorem 4.2. Let $\alpha \in \Omega_+$ and Θ be an $m \times m$ matrix valued inner function in Ω_+ . Let $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(\Theta)$ and suppose that $\Theta(\alpha)$ has the singular values $s_1 \geq s_2 \geq \cdots \geq s_m$ and that $s_1 = \cdots = s_k = 1$ and $s_{k+1} < 1$ for some $k \in \{1, \cdots, m\}$. Let

$$\mathcal{M}_{\alpha} = \{ K_{\alpha} u : u \in \mathbb{C}^m \}.$$

Then

and the norm is attained.

Proof. Let f be a non-zero vector in \mathcal{M}_{α} . Then $f = K_{\alpha}u$ for some $u \in \mathbb{C}^m$. In view of Lemma 4.1(2),

(4.2)
$$\frac{\|A_{\alpha}^* f\|^2}{\|f\|^2} = \frac{w^* \Theta(\alpha) \Theta(\alpha)^* w}{w^* w}.$$

Suppose $\Theta(\alpha) = VSU^*$ is the singular value decomposition of $\Theta(\alpha)$, where S is the diagonal matrix consisting of the singular values $s_1 \geq s_2 \geq \cdots \geq s_m$ of $\Theta(\alpha)$ and the matrices U and V are unitary. Let $V = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 & v_2 & \cdots & v_m \end{bmatrix}$. Then

$$N_{\alpha}(\alpha) = I_m - \Theta(\alpha)\Theta(\alpha)^*$$

$$= I_m - VS^2V^*$$

$$= V(I_m - S^2)V^*$$

$$= V\begin{bmatrix} 1 - s_1^2 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 1 - s_m^2 \end{bmatrix}V^*.$$

Hence, if $s_1 = s_2 = \cdots = s_k = 1$ and $s_{k+1} < 1$, then

$$N_{\alpha}(\alpha) = \begin{bmatrix} v_{k+1} & \cdots & v_m \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 - s_{k+1}^2 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & 1 - s_m^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_{k+1} & \cdots & v_m \end{bmatrix}^*.$$

Thus, range $(N_{\alpha}(\alpha)) = \text{span } \{v_{k+1}, \dots, v_m\}$. Then we have by Equation (4.2)

$$\frac{\|A_{\alpha}^*f\|^2}{\|f\|^2} = \frac{w^*VS^2V^*w}{w^*w}$$

$$= \frac{(SV^*w)^*(SV^*w)}{w^*w}$$

$$= \frac{\|SV^*w\|^2}{\|w\|^2}.$$

Since $w = N_{\alpha}(\alpha)^{1/2}u \in \text{span } \{v_{k+1}, \dots, v_m\},$

$$w = \sum_{j=k+1}^{m} c_j v_j$$
 and $V^* w = \sum_{j=k+1}^{m} c_j e_j$

for some complex numbers c_{k+1}, \dots, c_m , where e_j denotes the j'th column of I_m . Hence

$$||SV^*w||^2 = \sum_{j=k+1}^m s_j^2 |c_j|^2 \le s_{k+1}^2 \sum_{j=k+1}^m |c_j|^2 = s_{k+1}^2 ||w||^2.$$

Consequently,

$$\max \frac{\|SV^*w\|^2}{\|w\|^2} = s_{k+1}^2.$$

where the maximum is achieved by choosing $u = v_{k+1}$. Thus, (4.1) holds and the norm is attained.

Now we are ready to prove our first main theorem. Before that, we recall a well known result (see e.g., [8, Proposition 2.5]): A bounded linear operator $N \in \mathcal{NA}$ if and only if $N^* \in \mathcal{NA}$.

Theorem 4.3. Let Θ be an $m \times m$ matrix valued inner function in Ω_+ and recall that for each point $\alpha \in \Omega_+$, $\mathcal{H}(\Theta)_{\alpha} = \{ f \in \mathcal{H}(\Theta) : f(\alpha) = 0 \}$. Then $A_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{NA}$ and:

- (1) $||A_{\alpha}|| = 1$ if and only if $\mathcal{H}(\Theta)_{\alpha} \neq \{0\}$.
- (2) If $\mathcal{H}(\Theta)_{\alpha} = \{0\}$ and $s_1 \geq s_2 \geq \cdots \geq s_m$ are the singular values of $\Theta(\alpha)$, then

$$||A_{\alpha}|| = \max_{1 \le j \le m} \{s_j : s_j < 1\}.$$

Proof. To make the logic of the proof transparent, we divide the argument into three short steps.

1. If $\mathcal{H}(\Theta)_{\alpha} \neq \{0\}$, then $A_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{N}\mathcal{A}$ and $||A_{\alpha}|| = 1$.

If $\mathcal{H}(\Theta)_{\alpha} \neq \{0\}$, then there exists a non-zero vector $f \in \mathcal{H}(\Theta)$ such that $f(\alpha) = 0$. Therefore, Theorem 3.4, ensures that

$$||A_{\alpha}^*f|| = ||f||,$$

and hence that $||A_{\alpha}^*|| = 1$ and $A_{\alpha}^* \in \mathcal{NA}$. Thus, $A_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{NA}$ and $||A_{\alpha}|| = 1$.

2. If $\mathcal{H}(\Theta)_{\alpha} = \{0\}$, then $A_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{N}\mathcal{A}$, $||A_{\alpha}|| < 1$ and (2) holds.

If $\mathcal{H}(\Theta)_{\alpha} = \{0\}$, then the decomposition $\mathcal{H}(\Theta) = \mathcal{H}(\Theta)_{\alpha} \oplus \mathcal{M}_{\alpha} = \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}$, where $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha} = \{K_{\alpha}u : u \in \mathbb{C}^m\}$. By Theorem 4.2, we have $A_{\alpha}^* \in \mathcal{N}\mathcal{A}$ and

$$||A_{\alpha}^*|| = \max_{1 \le j \le m} \{s_j : s_j < 1\},$$

where $s_1 \geq s_2 \geq \cdots \geq s_m$ are the singular values of $\Theta(\alpha)$. Thus, $A_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{NA}$, $||A_{\alpha}|| = ||A_{\alpha}^*|| < 1$ and (2) holds.

3. $A_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{NA}$ and (1) holds.

Steps 1 and 2 cover all possibilities. Therefore, $A_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{NA}$ and (1) holds. Nevertheless, it is reassuring to observe that if $||A_{\alpha}|| = 1$, then, as $A_{\alpha}^* \in \mathcal{NA}$, there exists a non-zero vector $g \in \mathcal{H}(\Theta)$ such that

$$||A_{\alpha}^*g|| = ||g||.$$

Consequently, $||g(\alpha)||^2 = g(\alpha)^*g(\alpha) = 0$ by Theorem 3.4. Thus, $\mathcal{H}(\Theta)_{\alpha} \neq \{0\}$. This gives an independent proof of the converse of the implication in Step 1, and so too another way to complete the proof of (1).

Remark 4.4. The decomposition $\mathcal{H}(\Theta) = \mathcal{H}(\Theta)_{\alpha} \oplus \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}$ where $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha} = \{K_{\alpha}u : u \in \mathbb{C}^m\}$ implies that

$$\mathcal{H}(\Theta)_{\alpha} \neq \{0\}$$
 if and only if dim $\mathcal{H}(\Theta) > \dim \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}$.

5. Equivalent Reformulations

In this section, we present some equivalent reformulations of the basic operator A_{α} , that will be expressed in terms of the notation (1.2) for b_{α} , (2.3) for ρ_{α} and the auxiliary notation:

$$f^{\#}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} f\left(1/\overline{\lambda}\right)^* & \text{if } \Omega_+ = \mathbb{D} \quad \text{and } \lambda \neq 0, \\ f(\overline{\lambda})^* & \text{if } \Omega_+ = \mathbb{C}_+, \\ f(-\overline{\lambda})^* & \text{if } \Omega_+ = \mathbb{C}_R, \end{cases}$$

and for $\alpha \in \Omega_+$

$$\varphi_{\alpha}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} b_{-\alpha}(\lambda) & \text{if } \Omega_{+} = \mathbb{D}, \\ \lambda(\alpha - \overline{\alpha})/2i + (\alpha + \overline{\alpha})/2 & \text{if } \Omega_{+} = \mathbb{C}_{+}, \\ \lambda(\alpha + \overline{\alpha})/2 + (\alpha - \overline{\alpha})/2 & \text{if } \Omega_{+} = \mathbb{C}_{R}. \end{cases}$$

We begin with formulas for A_{α} .

Lemma 5.1. If $\alpha \in \Omega_+$ and Θ is an $m \times m$ matrix valued inner function in Ω_+ , then

$$A_{\alpha}f = \begin{cases} b_{\alpha}f - \frac{\rho_{\alpha}(\alpha)}{\overline{\alpha}} \frac{\Theta(\Theta^{\#}f)(1/\overline{\alpha})}{\rho_{\alpha}} & \text{if } \alpha \neq 0 \text{ and } \Omega_{+} = \mathbb{D}, \\ b_{0}f - \Theta \lim_{\beta \to 0} \frac{(\Theta^{\#}f)(1/\overline{\beta})}{\overline{\beta}} & \text{if } \alpha = 0 \text{ and } \Omega_{+} = \mathbb{D}, \\ b_{\alpha}f + \frac{\rho_{\alpha}(\alpha)}{\rho_{\alpha}}\Theta(\Theta^{\#}f)(\overline{\alpha}) & \text{if } \Omega_{+} = \mathbb{C}_{+}, \\ b_{\alpha}f + \frac{\rho_{\alpha}(\alpha)}{\rho_{\alpha}}\Theta(\Theta^{\#}f)(-\overline{\alpha}) & \text{if } \Omega_{+} = \mathbb{C}_{R}, \end{cases}$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{H}(\Theta)$.

Proof. We consider the case when $\Omega_+ = \mathbb{C}_+$. Let $f \in \mathcal{H}(\Theta)$. Then

$$b_{\alpha}(\lambda)f(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda - \alpha}{\lambda - \overline{\alpha}}f(\lambda) = f(\lambda) + \frac{\overline{\alpha} - \alpha}{\lambda - \overline{\alpha}}f(\lambda) = f(\lambda) + \frac{\overline{\alpha} - \alpha}{\delta_{\overline{\alpha}}(\lambda)}f(\lambda),$$

and hence

$$\Pi_{\Theta} b_{\alpha} f = f + (\overline{\alpha} - \alpha) \Pi_{\Theta} \frac{f}{\delta_{\overline{\alpha}}}.$$

The last term is evaluated by observing that for every vector $u \in \mathbb{C}^m$ and every point $\omega \in \mathbb{C}_+$

$$u^* \left(\Pi_{\Theta} \frac{f}{\delta_{\overline{\alpha}}} \right) (\omega) = \left\langle \frac{f}{\delta_{\overline{\alpha}}}, K_{\omega} u \right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{f}{\delta_{\overline{\alpha}}}, \frac{(I_m - \Theta\Theta(\omega)^*)u}{\rho_{\omega}} \right\rangle$$
$$= u^* \frac{f(\omega)}{\omega - \overline{\alpha}} - \left\langle \frac{f}{\delta_{\overline{\alpha}}}, \frac{\Theta\Theta(\omega)^*u}{\rho_{\omega}} \right\rangle,$$

since $\frac{f}{\delta_{\overline{\alpha}}} \in H_2^m$ and $\frac{I_m}{\rho_{\omega}}$ is the RK for H_2^m . Moreover,

$$\left\langle \frac{f}{\delta_{\overline{\alpha}}}, \frac{\Theta\Theta(\omega)^* u}{\rho_{\omega}} \right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{\Theta^{\#} f}{\delta_{\overline{\alpha}}}, \frac{\Theta(\omega)^* u}{\rho_{\omega}} \right\rangle$$

$$= \frac{u^* \Theta(\omega)}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(\Theta^{\#} f)(\mu)}{(\mu - \overline{\alpha})(\mu - \omega)} d\mu$$

$$= -u^* \Theta(\omega) \frac{(\Theta^{\#} f)(\overline{\alpha})}{\overline{\alpha} - \omega},$$

since $\Theta^{\#}f/\delta_{\omega} \in L_2^m \ominus H_2^m$. Thus,

$$\Pi_{\Theta} b_{\alpha} f = f + \frac{(\overline{\alpha} - \alpha)}{\delta_{\overline{\alpha}}} f + \left(\frac{\alpha - \overline{\alpha}}{\delta_{\overline{\alpha}}}\right) \Theta(\Theta^{\#} f)(\overline{\alpha}),$$

which coincides with the formula in (5.1) for $\Omega_+ = \mathbb{C}_+$. The formulas for \mathbb{D} and \mathbb{C}_R can be verified in much the same way.

Theorem 5.2. Let Θ be an $m \times m$ matrix valued inner function in Ω_+ and let $\widetilde{\Theta}(\lambda) = \Theta(\varphi_{\alpha}(\lambda))$ for $\alpha \in \Omega_+$. Then $\widetilde{\Theta}$ is an $m \times m$ matrix valued inner function in Ω_+ and:

- (1) A_{α} is unitarily equivalent to the model operator A_0 in $\mathcal{H}(\widetilde{\Theta})$, when $\Omega_+ = \mathbb{D}$,
- (2) A_{α} is unitarily equivalent to A_i in $\mathcal{H}(\widetilde{\Theta})$, when $\Omega_+ = \mathbb{C}_+$, and
- (3) A_{α} is unitarily equivalent to A_1 in $\mathcal{H}(\widetilde{\Theta})$, when $\Omega_+ = \mathbb{C}_R$.
- (4) The operator A_0 acting in $\mathcal{H}(\Theta) = H_2^m(\mathbb{D}) \ominus \Theta H_2^m(\mathbb{D})$ is unitarily equivalent to the operator A_i acting in $\mathcal{H}(\Theta_0) = H_2^m(\mathbb{C}_+) \ominus \Theta_0 H_2^m(\mathbb{C}_+)$, where $\Theta_0(\mu) = \Theta\left(\frac{\mu i}{\mu + i}\right)$ for all $\mu \in \mathbb{C}_+$.
- (5) The operator A_0 acting in $\mathcal{H}(\Theta) = H_2^m(\mathbb{D}) \ominus \Theta H_2^m(\mathbb{D})$ is unitarily equivalent to the operator A_1 acting in $\mathcal{H}(\Theta_1) = H_2^m(\mathbb{C}_R) \ominus \Theta_1 H_2^m(\mathbb{C}_R)$, where $\Theta_1(\mu) = \Theta\left(\frac{\mu 1}{\mu + 1}\right)$ for all $\mu \in \mathbb{C}_R$.

Proof. It is readily checked that Θ is an $m \times m$ matrix valued inner function in Ω_+ . The rest of the proof is broken into steps.

1. Verification of (1): Suppose $\Omega_+ = \mathbb{D}$. Proposition 4.1 in [9] can be extended to the matrix case to show that the operator A_{α} acting in $\mathcal{H}(\Theta)$ is unitarily equivalent to the model operator A_0 in $\mathcal{H}(\widetilde{\Theta})$. More precisely, if $V_{\alpha}: H_2^m(\mathbb{D}) \to H_2^m(\mathbb{D})$ is defined by the formula

(5.2)
$$(V_{\alpha}f)(\lambda) = \frac{\sqrt{1-|\alpha|^2}}{1+\lambda\bar{\alpha}} f(\varphi_{\alpha}(\lambda)) \quad (f \in H_2^m, \lambda \in \mathbb{D}),$$

then V_{α} is a unitary operator that maps $\mathcal{H}(\Theta)$ onto $\mathcal{H}(\widetilde{\Theta})$ and

$$V_{\alpha}A_{\alpha}f = \prod_{\widetilde{\Theta}} b_0 V_{\alpha}f = A_0 V_{\alpha}f \quad (f \in \mathcal{H}(\Theta)).$$

2. Verification of (2): Suppose $\Omega_+ = \mathbb{C}_+$. Let $V_\alpha : H_2^m(\mathbb{C}_+) \to H_2^m(\mathbb{C}_+)$ be defined by the formula

(5.3)
$$(V_{\alpha}f)(\lambda) = \sqrt{(\alpha - \overline{\alpha})/2i} f(\varphi_{\alpha}(\lambda)) \ (f \in H_2^m, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+).$$

Let $c = (\alpha + \overline{\alpha})/2$ and $d = (\alpha - \overline{\alpha})/2i$ so that $\alpha = c + id$ with $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and d > 0. It is readily checked that V_{α} is a unitary operator on H_2^m and

$$(V_{\alpha}^* f)(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} f\left(\frac{\lambda - c}{d}\right) \quad (f \in H_2^m, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+).$$

Furthermore, V_{α} maps $\mathcal{H}(\Theta)$ onto $\mathcal{H}(\widetilde{\Theta})$. By applying Lemma 5.1, we get

$$(V_{\alpha}A_{\alpha}f)(\lambda)$$

$$= \sqrt{d} \left\{ \left(\frac{d\lambda + c - \alpha}{d\lambda + c - \overline{\alpha}} \right) f(d\lambda + c) + \left(\frac{\alpha - \overline{\alpha}}{d\lambda + c - \overline{\alpha}} \right) \Theta(d\lambda + c)(\Theta^{\#}f)(\overline{\alpha}) \right\}$$

$$= \sqrt{d} \left\{ \left(\frac{\lambda - i}{\lambda + i} \right) f(d\lambda + c) + \frac{2i}{\lambda + i} \Theta(d\lambda + c)(\Theta^{\#}f)(\overline{\alpha}) \right\}$$
(5.4)

For simplicity, let us write $g(\lambda) = (V_{\alpha}f)(\lambda) = \sqrt{d}f(d\lambda + c)$. Then

$$\sqrt{d}(\Theta^{\#}f)(\overline{\alpha}) = \sqrt{d}(\Theta^{\#}f)(\varphi_{\alpha}(-i)) = (\widetilde{\Theta}^{\#}g)(-i).$$

Thus, (5.4) becomes

$$(V_{\alpha}A_{\alpha}f)(\lambda) = \left(\frac{\lambda - i}{\lambda + i}\right)g(\lambda) + \left(\frac{2i}{\lambda + i}\right)\widetilde{\Theta}(\lambda)(\widetilde{\Theta}^{\#}g)(-i)$$
$$= (A_{i}g)(\lambda)$$
$$= (A_{i}V_{\alpha}f)(\lambda).$$

3. Verification of (3): The analysis for $\Omega_+ = \mathbb{C}_R$ is similar to the analysis for $\Omega_+ = \mathbb{C}_+$. Here $V_{\alpha}: H_2^m(\mathbb{C}_R) \to H_2^m(\mathbb{C}_R)$ is defined by the formula

(5.5)
$$(V_{\alpha}f)(\lambda) = \sqrt{(\alpha + \overline{\alpha})/2} f(\varphi_{\alpha}(\lambda)) \quad (f \in H_2^m, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}_R),$$
 and $\varphi_{\alpha}(\lambda) = c\lambda + id.$

4. Verification of (4): Let Θ be inner in \mathbb{D} and let $\Theta_0(\lambda) = \Theta\left(\frac{\lambda - i}{\lambda + i}\right)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+$. Then Θ_0 is inner in \mathbb{C}_+ . Consequently, $\Theta^{\#}(\omega) = \Theta(1/\overline{\omega})^*$ for $\omega \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$ and $\Theta_0^{\#}(\omega) = \Theta_0(\overline{\omega})^*$ for $\omega \in \mathbb{C}_+$. Define the map $V: H_2^m(\mathbb{D}) \to H_2^m(\mathbb{C}_+)$ by the formula

(5.6)
$$(Vf)(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}(\lambda+i)} f\left(\frac{\lambda-i}{\lambda+i}\right) \quad (f \in H_2^m(\mathbb{D}), \lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+).$$

Then it can be checked that V is a unitary operator that maps $\mathcal{H}(\Theta)$ onto $\mathcal{H}(\Theta_0)$. Next, to verify the formula

(5.7)
$$A_i V f = V A_0 f \quad (f \in \mathcal{H}(\Theta)),$$

let $f \in \mathcal{H}(\Theta)$. Then, by Lemma 5.1 (with $\alpha = i$ and $\Omega_+ = \mathbb{C}_+$),

$$(A_i V f)(\lambda)$$

$$= b_{i}(\lambda)(Vf)(\lambda) + \left(\frac{2i}{\lambda+i}\right)\Theta_{0}(\lambda)\left(\Theta_{0}^{\#}Vf\right)(-i)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}(\lambda+i)}\left(\frac{\lambda-i}{\lambda+i}\right)f\left(\frac{\lambda-i}{\lambda+i}\right) + \left(\frac{2i}{\lambda+i}\right)\Theta\left(\frac{\lambda-i}{\lambda+i}\right)\left(\Theta_{0}^{\#}Vf\right)(-i),$$
(5.8)

whereas, by another application of Lemma 5.1, for $\alpha = 0$, $\Omega_+ = \mathbb{D}$ and $u = \lim_{\beta \to 0} \frac{(\Theta^{\#}f)(1/\beta)}{\overline{\beta}}$,

$$(5.9) (VA_0f)(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}(\lambda+i)} \left(\frac{\lambda-i}{\lambda+i}\right) f\left(\frac{\lambda-i}{\lambda+i}\right) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}(\lambda+i)} \Theta\left(\frac{\lambda-i}{\lambda+i}\right) u.$$

Therefore, it remains to show that

(5.10)
$$2i(\Theta_0^{\#}Vf)(-i) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}u.$$

Let $\frac{1}{\overline{\beta}} = \frac{\omega - i}{\omega + i}$ so that $\omega = i\left(\frac{\overline{\beta} + 1}{\overline{\beta} - 1}\right)$. Then $\omega \to -i$ if and only if $\beta \to 0$ and

$$u = \lim_{\beta \to 0} \frac{\Theta^{\#}(1/\overline{\beta})f(1/\overline{\beta})}{\overline{\beta}}$$

$$= \lim_{\omega \to -i} (\omega - i) \frac{\Theta^{\#}\left(\frac{\omega - i}{\omega + i}\right) f\left(\frac{\omega - i}{\omega + i}\right)}{\omega + i}$$

$$= (-2i) \lim_{\omega \to -i} \frac{\Theta_0^{\#}(\omega)f\left(\frac{\omega - i}{\omega + i}\right)}{\omega + i}$$

$$= (-2i)\sqrt{\pi} \lim_{\omega \to -i} \Theta_0^{\#}(\omega)(Vf)(\omega)$$

$$= -2i\sqrt{\pi} \left(\Theta_0^{\#}Vf\right)(-i),$$

which verifies (5.10). Hence the claim in (5.7) follows.

5. Verification of (5): The proof is similar to the proof of (4), but now the unitary operator $V: H_2^m(\mathbb{D}) \to H_2^m(\mathbb{C}_R)$ is given by the formula

(5.11)
$$(Vf)(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}(\lambda+1)} f\left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1}\right) \quad (f \in H_2^m(\mathbb{D}), \lambda \in \mathbb{C}_R).$$

Remark 5.3. We thank the reviewer for calling our attention to the interesting paper [9] and posing queries that pushed us to write this section.

6. The de Branges spaces $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$

We begin with a quick introduction to de Branges spaces; for additional discussion see e.g., Sections 3.21 and 5.10 of [1].

Let $H_{\infty}^{p\times q}(\Omega_+)$ (resp., $H_{\infty}^{p\times q}(\Omega_-)$) denote the set of $p\times q$ matrix valued functions (mvf's for short) with entries that are holomorphic and bounded in Ω_+ (resp., Ω_-) and let $\Pi^{p\times q}$ denote the set of $p\times q$ matrix valued functions that are meromorphic in $\mathbb{C}\setminus\Omega_0$ such that:

- (1) $f = g_+/h_+$ in Ω_+ with $g_+ \in H^{p \times q}_{\infty}(\Omega_+)$ and a nonzero $h_+ \in H^{1 \times 1}_{\infty}(\Omega_+)$.
- (2) $f = g_-/h_-$ in Ω_- with $g_- \in H^{p \times q}_{\infty}(\Omega_-)$ and a nonzero $h_- \in H^{1 \times 1}_{\infty}(\Omega_-)$.
- (3) The nontangential limits $(g_+/h_+)(\mu)$ and $(g_-/h_-)(\mu)$ at the boundary are equal at almost all points $\mu \in \Omega_0$.

An $m \times 2m$ mvf $\mathfrak{E}(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} E_{-}(\lambda) & E_{+}(\lambda) \end{bmatrix}$ with $m \times m$ blocks $E_{\pm}(\lambda)$ that are meromorphic in $\Omega_{+} \cup \Omega_{-}$ will be called a **de Branges matrix** with respect to Ω_{+} if

(6.1)
$$\mathfrak{E} \in \Pi^{m \times 2m}$$
, det $E_+(\lambda) \not\equiv 0$ in Ω_+ and $E_+^{-1}E_-$ is an $m \times m$ inner mvf in Ω_+ .

Remark 6.1. The definitions simplify if $\mathfrak{E}(\lambda)$ is restricted to be entire. Then only the last two constraints in (6.1) are needed; see e.g., [2].

If & is a de Branges matrix, let

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E}) = \{ f \in \Pi^{m \times 1} : E_{+}^{-1} f \in H_{2}^{m}(\Omega_{+}) \ominus E_{+}^{-1} E_{-} H_{2}^{m}(\Omega_{+}) \}$$

and set

(6.2)
$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})} = \langle E_+^{-1} f, E_+^{-1} g \rangle_{st}$$
, the inner product defined by (1.1).

(We have added the subscript st to the inner product (1.1) because in the next several lines there will be two inner products in play.) Then the space $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$ equipped with the inner product (6.2) is a RKHS with RK

$$K_{\omega}^{\mathfrak{E}}(\lambda) = \frac{E_{+}(\lambda)E_{+}(\omega)^{*} - E_{-}(\lambda)E_{-}(\omega)^{*}}{\rho_{\omega}(\lambda)}.$$

Definition 6.2. For each de Branges matrix $\mathfrak{E} = \begin{bmatrix} E_- & E_+ \end{bmatrix}$ with $m \times m$ blocks E_{\pm} , let $L_2^m(\Delta_{\mathfrak{E}})$ denote the set of f for which the integral $\langle f, f \rangle_{\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})}$ defined by (6.2) is finite and let $\Pi_{\mathfrak{E}}$ denote the orthogonal projection from $L_2^m(\Delta_{\mathfrak{E}})$ onto $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$.

The extension of Theorem 4.3 to de Branges spaces rests on the following theorem which is taken from [10]. For the convenience of the reader, we shall sketch the proof for one choice of Ω_+ .

Theorem 6.3. If $\mathfrak{E} = \begin{bmatrix} E_- & E_+ \end{bmatrix}$ is an $m \times 2m$ de Branges matrix over Ω_+ , then

(6.3)
$$\|\Pi_{\mathfrak{E}}(1/b_{\alpha})f\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})}^{2} = \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})}^{2} - \rho_{\alpha}(\alpha)(E_{+}^{-1}f)(\alpha)^{*}(E_{+}^{-1}f)(\alpha)$$

for $f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$ and $\alpha \in \Omega_+$, for all three choices of Ω_+ .

Proof. We focus on $\Omega_+ = \mathbb{C}_R$. The first step in the proof is to show that

(6.4)
$$(I - \Pi_{\mathfrak{E}})(1/b_{\alpha})f = (\alpha + \overline{\alpha})E_{+} \frac{(E_{+}^{-1}f)(\alpha)}{\delta_{\alpha}} \quad \text{for } \alpha \in \mathbb{C}_{R}.$$

If $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_R$, $\delta_{\alpha}(\lambda) = \lambda - \alpha$, $b_{\alpha}(\lambda) = (\lambda - \alpha)/(\lambda + \overline{\alpha})$ and $f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$, then

$$\frac{1}{b_{\alpha}} = 1 + \frac{\alpha + \overline{\alpha}}{\delta_{\alpha}} \implies \Pi_{\mathfrak{E}}(1/b_{\alpha})f = f + (\alpha + \overline{\alpha})\Pi_{\mathfrak{E}}\frac{f}{\delta_{\alpha}}.$$

Thus, if $u \in \mathbb{C}^m$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{C}_R$, then

$$u^* \left(\Pi_{\mathfrak{E}} \frac{f}{\delta_{\alpha}} \right) (\omega) = \left\langle \Pi_{\mathfrak{E}} \frac{f}{\delta_{\alpha}}, K_{\omega}^{\mathfrak{E}} u \right\rangle_{\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})} = \left\langle \frac{f}{\delta_{\alpha}}, K_{\omega}^{\mathfrak{E}} u \right\rangle_{\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})} = -2\pi \left\langle f, \rho_{\alpha}^{-1} K_{\omega}^{\mathfrak{E}} u \right\rangle_{\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})}$$

$$= -2\pi \left\langle f, \frac{E_{+} E_{+}(\omega)^* - E_{-} E_{-}(\omega)^*}{\rho_{\omega} \rho_{\alpha}} u \right\rangle_{\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})}$$

$$= -2\pi \left\langle E_{+}^{-1} f, \frac{E_{+}(\omega)^*}{\rho_{\omega} \rho_{\alpha}} u \right\rangle_{st} + 2\pi \left\langle E_{+}^{-1} f, (E_{+}^{-1} E_{-}) \frac{E_{-}(\omega)^*}{\rho_{\omega} \rho_{\alpha}} u \right\rangle_{st}.$$

The second inner product in the last line is equal to zero, since $E_+^{-1}f$, the first entry in that inner product, is orthogonal to $(E_+^{-1}E_-)H_2^m$, whereas the second entry is in $(E_+^{-1}E_-)H_2^m$. To evaluate the first inner product, we write

$$\frac{1}{\rho_{\omega}(\lambda)} - \frac{1}{\rho_{\alpha}(\lambda)} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda + \overline{\omega}} - \frac{1}{\lambda + \overline{\alpha}} \right) = (2\pi) \frac{\overline{\alpha} - \overline{\omega}}{\rho_{\omega}(\lambda) \, \rho_{\alpha}(\lambda)}$$

and hence that

$$-2\pi \left\langle E_{+}^{-1}f, \frac{E_{+}(\omega)^{*}}{\rho_{\omega}\rho_{\alpha}}u \right\rangle_{st} = \frac{1}{\omega - \alpha} \left\{ \left\langle E_{+}^{-1}f, \frac{E_{+}(\omega)^{*}}{\rho_{\omega}}u \right\rangle_{st} - \left\langle E_{+}^{-1}f, \frac{E_{+}(\omega)^{*}}{\rho_{\alpha}}u \right\rangle_{st} \right\}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\omega - \alpha} u^{*}E_{+}(\omega)\{(E_{+}^{-1}f)(\omega) - (E_{+}^{-1}f)(\alpha)\}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\omega - \alpha} \{u^{*}f(\omega) - u^{*}E_{+}(\omega)(E_{+}^{-1}f)(\alpha)\}.$$

Formula (6.4) drops out by combining these equalities.

To obtain (6.3), observe that

$$||f||_{\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})}^{2} - ||\Pi_{\mathfrak{E}}(1/b_{\alpha})f||_{\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})}^{2} = ||(1/b_{\alpha})f||_{\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})}^{2} - ||\Pi_{\mathfrak{E}}(1/b_{\alpha})f||_{\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})}^{2}$$

$$= ||(I - \Pi_{\mathfrak{E}})(1/b_{\alpha})f||_{\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})}^{2}$$

$$= |\alpha + \overline{\alpha}|^{2}||E_{+}\frac{(E_{+}^{-1}f)(\alpha)}{\delta_{\alpha}}||_{\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})}^{2}$$

$$= |\alpha + \overline{\alpha}|^{2}(E_{+}^{-1}f)(\alpha)^{*}(E_{+}^{-1}f)(\alpha)$$

$$\times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |i\nu - \alpha|^{-2} d\nu$$

agrees with (6.3), since the integral is equal to $2\pi/(\alpha + \overline{\alpha})$.

Theorem 6.4. Let $\mathfrak{E} = \begin{bmatrix} E_- & E_+ \end{bmatrix}$ be a de Branges matrix of size $m \times 2m$ and for each point $\alpha \in \Omega_+$ at which \mathfrak{E} is holomorphic and $E_+(\alpha)$ is invertible, let

$$B_{\alpha} = \prod_{\mathfrak{E}} b_{\alpha}|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})} \quad and \quad \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})_{\alpha} = \{ f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E}) : f(\alpha) = 0 \}.$$

Then $B_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{NA}$ and:

- (1) $||B_{\alpha}|| = 1$ if and only if $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})_{\alpha} \neq \{0\}$.
- (2) If $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})_{\alpha} = \{0\}$, $\Theta = E_{+}^{-1}E_{-}$ and $s_{1} \geq s_{2} \geq \cdots \geq s_{m}$ are the singular values of $\Theta(\alpha)$, then

$$||B_{\alpha}|| = \max_{1 \le j \le m} \{s_j : s_j < 1\}.$$

Proof. We first observe that under the given assumptions on α , every vector valued function $f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$ is automatically holomorphic at α , since

$$f(\alpha) = E_{+}(\alpha) (E_{+}^{-1} f)(\alpha)$$
 and $E_{+}^{-1} f \in H_{2}^{m}(\Omega_{+})$.

In view of formula (6.3), the proof of (1) is similar to the proof of (1) in Theorem (4.3). The verification of (2) rests on the decomposition

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E}) = \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})_{\alpha} \oplus \{K_{\alpha}^{\mathfrak{E}}u : u \in \mathbb{C}^m\}.$$

If $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})_{\alpha} = \{0\}$, then $f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$ if and only if $f = K_{\alpha}^{\mathfrak{E}}u$ for some $u \in \mathbb{C}^m$. Then, for such f,

$$||B_{\alpha}^{*}K_{\alpha}^{\mathfrak{E}}u||_{\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})}^{2} = ||K_{\alpha}^{\mathfrak{E}}u||_{\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})}^{2} - \rho_{\alpha}(\alpha)K_{\alpha}^{\mathfrak{E}}(\alpha)(E_{+}(\alpha)^{*})^{-1}E_{+}(\alpha)^{-1}K_{\alpha}^{\mathfrak{E}}(\alpha)u$$

$$= \rho_{\alpha}(\alpha)^{-1}u^{*}E_{+}(\alpha)\{I_{m} - \Theta(\alpha)\Theta(\alpha)^{*} - (I_{m} - \Theta(\alpha)\Theta(\alpha)^{*})^{2}\}E_{+}(\alpha)^{*}u$$

$$= \rho_{\alpha}(\alpha)^{-1}u^{*}E_{+}(\alpha)M_{\alpha}(\alpha)^{1/2}\{I_{m} - M_{\alpha}(\alpha)\}M_{\alpha}(\alpha)^{1/2}E_{+}(\alpha)^{*}u,$$

where $M_{\alpha}(\alpha) = I_m - \Theta(\alpha)\Theta(\alpha)^*$. Consequently, if $v = M_{\alpha}(\alpha)^{1/2}E_{+}(\alpha)^*u \neq 0$, then

$$\frac{\|B_{\alpha}^* K_{\alpha}^{\mathfrak{E}} u\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})}^2}{\|K_{\alpha}^{\mathfrak{E}} u\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})}^2} = \frac{v^* \{I_m - M_{\alpha}(\alpha)\} v}{v^* v} = \frac{v^* \Theta(\alpha) \Theta(\alpha)^* v}{v^* v},$$

which, as $E_{+}(\alpha)$ is invertible, is effectively the same as the right hand side of (4.2). Thus, the rest of the proof of Theorem 6.4 is exactly the same as the proof of Theorem 4.2.

Finally, the proof that $B_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{NA}$ is similar to the proof that $A_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{NA}$ presented in Theorem 4.3.

Acknowledgement. We thank the referee for reading the paper carefully and making useful suggestions. The research of first named author is supported in part by the INSPIRE grant of Dr. Srijan Sarkar (Ref: DST/INSPIRE/04/2019/000769), Department of Science & Technology (DST), Government of India, the postdoctoral fellowship of IISER Pune, India and the postdoctoral fellowship of Weizmann Institute of Science, Israel.

Competing interests. The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Data Availability. Not applicable.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Z. Arov and H. Dym, *J-contractive matrix valued functions and related topics*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 116. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008.
- [2] D. Z. Arov and H. Dym, Multivariate prediction, de Branges spaces, and related extension and inverse problems, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, 266. Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2018.
- [3] N. Bala, K. Dhara, J. Sarkar and A. Sensarma, *Idempotent, model, and Toeplitz operators attaining their norms*, Linear Algebra Appl. **622** (2021), 150–165.
- [4] A. Bottcher and I. M. Spitkovsky, The norm attainment problem for functions of projections, Arch. Math. (Basel), 117 (2021), 397–403.
- [5] L. de Branges, Hilbert spaces of entire functions, Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N.J. 1968.
- [6] M. S. Brodskii, Triangular and Jordan representations of linear operators. Translated from the Russian by J. M. Danskin. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 32. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1971
- [7] A. Brown and R. G. Douglas, *Partially isometric Toeplitz operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **16** (1965), 681–682.
- [8] X. Carvajal and W. Neves, *Operators that achieve the norm*, Integral Equations Operator Theory, **72** (2012), 179–195.
- [9] J. A. Cima, S. R. Garcia, W. T. Ross and W. R. Wogen, Truncated Toeplitz operators: spatial isomorphism, unitary equivalence, and similarity, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 59 (2010), 595–620.
- [10] H. Dym, Two classes of vector valued de Branges spaces, J. Funct. Anal., in press.
- [11] H. Dym and S. Sarkar, Multiplication operators with deficiency indices (p,p) and sampling formulas in reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces of entire vector valued functions, J. Funct. Anal. 273 (2017), 3671–3718.
- [12] S. Garcia and W. Ross, A non-linear extremal problem on the Hardy space, Comput. Methods Funct. Theory, 9 (2009), 485–524.
- [13] K. Hoffman, Banach spaces of analytic functions, Prentice-Hall Series in Modern Analysis Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N. J., 1962.
- [14] A. Kuzhel, Characteristic functions and models of nonselfadjoint operators, Mathematics and its Applications, 349. Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1996.
- [15] S. Pandey and V. Paulsen, A spectral characterization of AN operators, J. Aust. Math. Soc. 102 (2017), 369–391.
- [16] N. K. Nikolski, Operators, functions, and systems: an easy reading, Vol. 2., Model operators and systems, Translated from the French by Andreas Hartmann and revised by the author., Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 93. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002.
- [17] M. Rosenblum and J. Rovnyak, Hardy classes and operator theory, Oxford Mathematical Monographs., Oxford Science Publications., The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1985.
- [18] B. Sz.-Nagy, C. Foias, H. Bercovici and L. Kérchy, *Harmonic analysis of operators on Hilbert space*. Second edition. Revised and enlarged edition. Universitext. Springer, New York, 2010.

Kousik Dhara, Department of Mathematics, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot 7610001, Israel

Email address: kousik.dhara@weizmann.ac.il

Harry Dym, Department of Mathematics, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot 7610001, Israel

Email address: harry.dym@weizmann.ac.il