

MOTIVIC PONTRYAGIN CLASSES AND HYPERBOLIC ORIENTATIONS

OLIVIER HAUTION

ABSTRACT. We introduce the notion of hyperbolic orientation of a motivic ring spectrum, which generalises the various existing notions of orientation (by the groups GL , SL^c , SL , Sp). We show that hyperbolic orientations of η -periodic ring spectra correspond to theories of Pontryagin classes, much in same way that GL -orientations of arbitrary ring spectra correspond to theories of Chern classes. We prove that η -periodic hyperbolically oriented cohomology theories do not admit further characteristic classes for vector bundles, by computing the cohomology of the étale classifying space BGL_n . Finally we construct the universal hyperbolically oriented η -periodic commutative motivic ring spectrum, an analog of Voevodsky's cobordism spectrum MGL .

INTRODUCTION

Let us fix a base scheme S (for instance the spectrum of a field), and consider a cohomology theory $A^{*,*}$ on smooth S -schemes, represented by a motivic ring spectrum A in Voevodsky's stable \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy category $\mathrm{SH}(S)$. When E is a vector bundle of rank r over a smooth S -scheme X , its Chern classes are elements

$$c_i(E) \in A^{2i,i}(X) \quad \text{for } i = 0, \dots, r.$$

These classes are commonly used to:

- detect the presence of nowhere vanishing sections of E ,
- distinguish non-isomorphic bundles,
- exhibit elements in $A^{*,*}(X)$.

The existence of such classes having good properties is subject to certain conditions on the ring spectrum A , and moreover these classes are not determined by A alone. In fact, Panin [Pan03, Pan09] proved that the theories of Chern classes with values in the theory $A^{*,*}$ are in correspondence with the so-called GL -orientations of the motivic spectrum A . Panin and Walter [PW10b] later provided a parallel correspondence between Borel classes and Sp -orientations.

The Pontryagin classes of the vector bundle $E \rightarrow X$ are expected to be elements

$$p_i(E) \in A^{4i,2i}(X) \quad \text{for } i = 0, \dots, \lfloor r/2 \rfloor.$$

Date: March 14, 2022.

This work was supported by the DFG research grant HA 7702/5-1 and Heisenberg grant HA 7702/4-1.

As was the case with Chern classes, it is not expected that well-behaved Pontryagin classes exist for an arbitrary motivic ring spectrum A , nor that they should be determined by A alone. Such classes have been defined using the Borel classes when A is Sp-oriented [Ana15, Definition 7], but have been proved to have good properties only when A is SL-oriented and η -periodic (see [Ana20, §7]). This provides an important example of Pontryagin classes, but we are not aware of any systematic study of the theories of such classes.

In this paper, we propose a notion of *hyperbolic orientation* of a motivic ring spectrum, which fits into the following table:

Chern classes	GL-orientation
Borel classes	Sp-orientation
Pontryagin classes	hyperbolic orientation

An important caveat is that we limit ourselves to the consideration of η -periodic cohomology theories. We will discuss at the end of this introduction the reasons why in some sense this restriction is necessary. It turns out that Chern classes do not exist in the η -periodic context, and that Pontryagin classes are the natural characteristic classes of vector bundles (without extra structure) with values in hyperbolically oriented cohomology theories (this is in a sense the content of Theorem 5 below).

The basic idea motivating the notion of a hyperbolic orientation is that the data of Thom classes for all symplectic bundles (i.e. a symplectic orientation) is substantially more than what is needed in order to define Pontryagin classes: in fact it suffices to have these data for hyperbolic symplectic bundles. Such bundles are determined by the vector bundle (of half rank) of which we want to take the Pontryagin classes.

A hyperbolic orientation will thus be the data of a Thom class for each vector bundle, but an important difference with all existing notions of orientation is that this class does not live in the cohomology of the Thom space of that vector bundle. Rather, the hyperbolic Thom class of a vector bundle E lives in the cohomology of the Thom space of the hyperbolic bundle $E \oplus E^\vee$. That space happens to be isomorphic to the Thom space of $E \oplus E$, and in this paper it will internally more logical to consider the latter instead. The definition of a hyperbolic orientation is given in (2.2.1).

Symplectically oriented theories (and thus also GL-, SL^c -, SL-oriented ones) are naturally hyperbolically oriented, but for instance orthogonally oriented ones also provide examples of hyperbolically oriented theories (see (2.2.4) for details).

Grothendieck provided in [Gro58] a seminal construction of Chern classes in Chow theory. He singled out the so-called projective bundle theorem as the key property, which permits to extend the definition of the first Chern classes of line bundles (imposed by the natural relation between the Picard group and the Chow group) to higher Chern classes of arbitrary vector bundles. This method has been revisited over the years, and is central in Panin's study of GL-orientations mentioned above.

In this paper, we use the same strategy for Pontryagin classes. The main difference is that line bundles should be replaced with rank two bundles. Thus if E is vector bundle, the projective bundle $\mathbb{P}(E)$ with its tautological bundle $\mathcal{O}(-1)$ is replaced by the Grassmann bundle $\mathrm{Gr}(2, E)$ of rank 2 subbundles with its universal rank two subbundle \mathcal{U}_2 . The first Pontryagin class $p_1(\mathcal{U}_2)$ is constructed directly from the hyperbolic orientation, and we prove the following analog of the projective bundle theorem:

Theorem 1. *Let $A \in \mathrm{SH}(S)$ be an η -periodic hyperbolically oriented ring spectrum. For any vector bundle $E \rightarrow X$ of rank $2d$ or $2d + 1$, the $A^{*,*}(X)$ -module $A^{*,*}(\mathrm{Gr}(2, E))$ is freely generated by the elements $1, p_1(\mathcal{U}_2), \dots, p_1(\mathcal{U}_2)^{d-1}$.*

We may then use Grothendieck's method to define the higher Pontryagin classes $p_i(E) \in A^{4i, 2i}(X)$ for $i = 1, \dots, d$. We prove that these classes satisfy the expected properties, the most important being Cartan's formula:

Proposition 2. *If $E, F \rightarrow X$ are vector bundles, their Pontryagin classes satisfy*

$$p_i(E \oplus F) = \sum_j p_{i-j}(E)p_j(F).$$

We also derive the following splitting principle:

Theorem 3. *Let $A \in \mathrm{SH}(S)$ be an η -periodic hyperbolically oriented ring spectrum. Let $E \rightarrow X$ be a vector bundle. Then there exists a morphism $f: Y \rightarrow X$ such that:*

- (i) $f^*: A^{*,*}(X) \rightarrow A^{*,*}(Y)$ is a split injection,
- (ii) the vector bundle f^*E splits as a direct sum of rank two vector bundles having trivial determinants, and possibly a trivial line bundle.

Both this splitting principle and the analog of the projective bundle theorem (Theorem 1) are reminiscent of Ananyevskiy's results in the SL-oriented setting [Ana15]. The difference is that our results apply to vector bundles without additional structure as opposed to ones with trivialised determinant, and that the assumptions on the ring spectrum A are weaker. As an illustration of this added flexibility, we obtain the following statement, a priori unrelated to hyperbolic orientations:

Corollary 4. *Let $A \in \mathrm{SH}(S)$ be an η -periodic commutative ring spectrum. Then each Sp-orientation of A is induced by at most one normalised SL-orientation.*

One may notice that the above construction of Pontryagin classes in fact only uses the hyperbolic Thom classes of rank two bundles. We prove in §4.4 that such data, that we call a weak hyperbolic orientation (see (2.3.1) for a precise definition), is in fact equivalent to the datum of a hyperbolic orientation. Part of this statement is of course a consequence of the splitting principle, but there is more to it. Indeed, the axioms of a hyperbolic orientation include a multiplicativity property (the Thom class of a direct sum is the product of the Thom classes of the summands), which has no counterpart for weak hyperbolic orientations (as they concern only rank two bundles). The verification of this multiplicative property is therefore not formal.

We also prove in §4.1 the comparatively easier fact that it is equivalent to specify the Thom classes of the rank two bundles, or their first Pontryagin classes. In particular,

a hyperbolic orientation is precisely what is needed in order to obtain a theory of Pontryagin classes.

Next, we compute the cohomology of the étale classifying space BGL_n , generalising a computation of Levine [Lev19, Theorem 4.1] (by including for instance the case when A is Sp-oriented)

Theorem 5. *Let $A \in \mathrm{SH}(S)$ be an η -periodic hyperbolically oriented ring spectrum. Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$, and $n \in \{2r, 2r + 1\}$. Then*

$$A^{*,*}(BGL_n) = A^{*,*}(S)[[p_1, \dots, p_r]]_h.$$

Here the index h refers to the homogeneous power series ring. This theorem asserts that there are no universal relations between the Pontryagin classes, and that there are no further invariants of vector bundles with values in η -periodic hyperbolically oriented cohomology theories. Unsurprisingly, the theorem is deduced from the computation of the cohomology of higher Grassmannians $\mathrm{Gr}(n, 1^{\oplus s})$ for appropriate values of n, s .

In the last section, we construct a motivic ring spectrum MH similar to Voevodsky's MGL , and show that it becomes the universal hyperbolically oriented commutative ring spectrum after inverting η . The considerations of that section are parallel to those of Panin–Pimenov–Röndigs [PPR08] on MGL , and of Panin–Walter [PW10a] on MSp .

Thus we describe in this paper four equivalent structures on an η -periodic commutative ring spectrum $A \in \mathrm{SH}(S)$:

- (i) hyperbolic orientations (2.2.1),
- (ii) weak hyperbolic orientations (2.3.1),
- (iii) Pontryagin structures (4.1.2),
- (iv) morphisms of ring spectra $\mathrm{MH} \rightarrow A$ (6.2.2).

These equivalences are established in (4.1.5), (4.4.10) and (6.2.6).

Let us now comment on the standing assumption of η -periodicity. In order to construct Pontryagin classes with Grothendieck's method, we need the analog of the projective bundle theorem (Theorem 1). When the vector bundle E is trivial of rank three over the base S , the Grassmannian $\mathrm{Gr}(2, E)$ is identified with the projective space \mathbb{P}^2 , and the conclusion of theorem is that the pullback $A^{*,*}(S) \rightarrow A^{*,*}(\mathbb{P}^2)$ is an isomorphism. This condition is equivalent to the requirement that the pullback along the Hopf map $\eta: \mathbb{A}^2 \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ induces an isomorphism in $A^{*,*}$, and so making this assumption is necessary if we are to obtain Pontryagin classes satisfying the analog of the projective bundle theorem. Looking at the situation in topology reinforces this idea: there it is known that the Pontryagin classes satisfy Cartan's formula only up to 2-torsion, and the Hopf element $\eta \in \pi^{-1,-1}(\mathbb{R})$ maps to 2 upon realisation. It would of course still be interesting to systematically study Pontryagin classes beyond the η -periodic context, but one probably should not expect such a simple picture to emerge.

Apart from the construction of the motivic stable homotopy category (as well as certain abstract considerations on symmetric spectra in §6), the paper is fairly self-contained; the only external results used in an essential way are contained in the paper [Hau22] (those are (2.1.3), (3.1.1) and (3.1.3)).

1. NOTATION AND BASIC FACTS

1.1. Throughout the paper, we work over a noetherian base scheme S of finite dimension. The category of smooth separated S -schemes of finite type will be denoted by Sm_S . All schemes will be implicitly assumed to belong to Sm_S , and the notation $\mathbb{A}^n, \mathbb{P}^n, \mathbb{G}_m$ will refer to the corresponding S -schemes.

1.2. We will work with the \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory introduced by Morel–Voevodsky [MV99]. We will denote by $\mathrm{Spc}(S)$ the category of motivic spaces (i.e. simplicial presheaves on Sm/S), by $\mathrm{Spc}_\bullet(S)$ its pointed version, and by $\mathrm{Spt}(S)$ the category of T -spectra, where $T = \mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m$. We endow these with the motivic equivalences, resp. stable motivic equivalences, and denote by $\mathrm{H}(S), \mathrm{H}_\bullet(S), \mathrm{SH}(S)$ the respective homotopy categories. We refer to e.g. [PPR09, Appendix A] for more details.

We have an infinite suspension functor $\Sigma^\infty: \mathrm{Spc}_\bullet(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spt}(S)$. Composing with the functor $\mathrm{Spc}(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spc}_\bullet(S)$ adding an external base-point, we obtain a functor $\Sigma_+^\infty: \mathrm{Spc}(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spt}(S)$.

The spheres are denoted as usual by $S^{p,q} \in \mathrm{Spc}_\bullet(S)$ for $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$, (where $T \simeq S^{2,1}$). The motivic sphere spectrum will be denoted by $\mathbf{1}_S = \Sigma_+^\infty S \in \mathrm{Spt}(S)$. When A is a motivic spectrum, we denote its (p, q) -th suspension by $\Sigma^{p,q} A = S^{p,q} \wedge A$. This yields functors $\Sigma^{p,q}: \mathrm{SH}(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{SH}(S)$ for $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$.

1.3. When $E \rightarrow X$ is a vector bundle with $X \in \mathrm{Sm}_S$, we denote by $E^\circ = E \setminus X$ the complement of its zero-section. The Thom space of E is the pointed motivic space $\mathrm{Th}_X(E) = E/E^\circ$. When $f: Y \rightarrow X$ is a morphism in Sm_S , we will usually write $\mathrm{Th}_Y(E)$ instead of $\mathrm{Th}_Y(f^*E)$.

1.4. In an attempt to lighten the notation, we will sometimes remove the symbols Σ^∞ or Σ_+^∞ for the notation, when the context makes the meaning sufficiently clear. In particular, we will systematically write $\mathrm{Th}_X(E) \in \mathrm{Spt}(S)$ instead of $\Sigma^\infty \mathrm{Th}_X(E)$, and when f is a morphism in Sm_S or $\mathrm{Spc}(S)$ (resp. in $\mathrm{Spc}_\bullet(S)$), we will often write f instead of $\Sigma_+^\infty f$ (resp. $\Sigma^\infty f$).

1.5. Assume that $0 \rightarrow E' \rightarrow E \rightarrow E'' \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence of vector bundles over $X \in \mathrm{Sm}_S$. Then by [Rio10, §4.1] (see e.g. [Ana16, Proof of Lemma 4] for the explicit homotopies) we have an isomorphism in $\mathrm{H}_\bullet(S)$

$$\mathrm{Th}_X(E) \simeq \mathrm{Th}_X(E' \oplus E'').$$

1.6. (See [Rio10, p.243].) We will use the following alternative to Jouanolou's trick. Assume that $0 \rightarrow E' \rightarrow E \rightarrow E'' \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence of vector bundles over $X \in \mathrm{Sm}_S$. Consider the scheme parametrising sections of $E \rightarrow E''$ (a closed subscheme of the vector bundle $\mathrm{Hom}(E'', E)$ over X). The morphism $Y \rightarrow X$ is a torsor under the vector bundle $\mathrm{Hom}(E'', E')$. In particular $Y \in \mathrm{Sm}_S$, and $Y \rightarrow X$ is an affine bundle.

1.7. Let $A \in \text{Spt}(S)$ be a motivic spectrum. For a pointed motivic space \mathcal{X} we write

$$A^{p,q}(\mathcal{X}) = \text{Hom}_{\text{SH}(S)}(\Sigma^\infty \mathcal{X}, \Sigma^{p,q} A),$$

and $A^{*,*}(\mathcal{X}) = \bigoplus_{p,q \in \mathbb{Z}} A^{p,q}(\mathcal{X})$. When $X \in \text{Sm}_S$, we will write $A^{*,*}(X)$ instead of $A^{*,*}(X_+)$. If $E \rightarrow X$ is a vector bundle of constant rank r , we write

$$A^{p,q}(X; E) = A^{p+2r, q+r}(\text{Th}_X(E)),$$

and extend this notation to arbitrary vector bundles in an obvious way. A morphism $f: \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ of pointed motivic spaces (resp. of smooth S -schemes) induces a pullback $f^*: A^{*,*}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow A^{*,*}(\mathcal{Y})$.

1.8. We denote by $\eta: \mathbb{A}^2 \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ in $\text{Spc}_\bullet(S)$ the map $(x, y) \mapsto [x : y]$, where $\mathbb{A}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ is pointed by $(1, 1)$ and \mathbb{P}^1 by $[1 : 1]$.

1.9. A motivic spectrum $A \in \text{Spt}(S)$ is called η -periodic if the map

$$A \wedge \Sigma^\infty(\mathbb{A}^2 \setminus \{0\}) \xrightarrow{\text{id} \wedge \Sigma^\infty \eta} A \wedge \Sigma^\infty \mathbb{P}^1$$

is an isomorphism in $\text{SH}(S)$. The full subcategory of such objects will be denoted $\text{Spt}(S)[\eta^{-1}]$, and may be viewed as a left Bousfield localisation of $\text{Spt}(S)$, as explained in [Bac18, §6]. The homotopy category of $\text{Spt}(S)[\eta^{-1}]$ will be denoted by $\text{SH}(S)[\eta^{-1}]$, and we will usually omit the mention of the inclusion and localisation functors. When $A \in \text{Spt}(S)$ is η -periodic and $\mathcal{X} \in \text{Spc}_\bullet(S)$, we thus have a natural isomorphism

$$A^{p,q}(\mathcal{X}) = \text{Hom}_{\text{SH}(S)[\eta^{-1}]}(\Sigma^\infty \mathcal{X}, \Sigma^{p,q} A).$$

1.10. Let $A \in \text{SH}(S)$ be a ring spectrum, with multiplication map $\mu: A \wedge A \rightarrow A$. If $X \in \text{Sm}_S$, denote by $\Delta_X: X \rightarrow X \times_S X$ the diagonal. If $x, y \in A^{*,*}(X)$, we denote by $x \cup y \in A^{*,*}(X)$, or simply xy , the composite in $\text{SH}(S)$

$$\Sigma_+^\infty X \xrightarrow{\Delta_X} \Sigma_+^\infty(X \times_S X) = \Sigma_+^\infty X \wedge \Sigma_+^\infty X \xrightarrow{x \wedge y} A \wedge A \xrightarrow{\mu} A.$$

More generally let $V, W \rightarrow X$ be vector bundles, and denote by $p_1, p_2: X \times_S X \rightarrow X$ the projections. Then Δ_X induces a map in $\text{SH}(S)$

$$\text{Th}_X(V \oplus W) = \text{Th}_X(\Delta_X^*(p_1^*V \oplus p_2^*W)) \rightarrow \text{Th}_{X \times_S X}(p_1^*V \oplus p_2^*W) = \text{Th}_X(V) \wedge \text{Th}_X(W)$$

that we denote again by Δ_X . As above, if $x \in A^{*,*}(X; V)$ and $y \in A^{*,*}(X; W)$, we may define an element $x \cup y \in A^{*,*}(X; V \oplus W)$ as $\mu \circ (x \wedge y) \circ \Delta_X$.

This endows $A^{*,*}(X)$ with a ring structure, and $A^{*,*}(X; V)$ with two-sided $A^{*,*}(X)$ -module structure.

1.11. All rings will be associative and unital. Let R be a ring. In this paper, an R -algebra will mean a ring A together with a ring morphism $R \rightarrow A$. Equivalently A is a two-sided R -module with a ring structure, whose multiplication map is a morphism of two-sided R -modules.

When R is a ring, by $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ we will mean the polynomial ring where the variables x_1, \dots, x_n are understood to be central.

1.12. Let M be an abelian group, and R an M -graded ring. Let $m \in M$. We denote by $R[[x]]_h$ the M -graded ring of homogeneous power series in the variable x of degree m , defined as the limit in the category of M -graded R -algebras of $R[x]/x^n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. (Each homogeneous component is the limit in the category of abelian groups of the corresponding homogeneous components of $R[x]/x^n$.)

1.13. (See [Ana16, Lemma 2].) Let $X \in \text{Sm}_S$, and $E, V \rightarrow X$ be vector bundles. We claim that there exists a canonical isomorphism in $\mathbf{H}_\bullet(S)$

$$(1.13.a) \quad \sigma_E: \text{Th}_X(V \oplus E) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Th}_X(V \oplus E^\vee).$$

Indeed using the functor $\mathbf{L}f_\# : \mathbf{H}_\bullet(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_\bullet(S)$ where $f: X \rightarrow S$ is the structural morphism (see e.g. [MV99, p.104]), we reduce to the case $X = S$. Using the functor $\text{Th}_S(V) \wedge (-)$, we may assume that $V = 0$.

Consider the closed subscheme $Y \subset E \oplus E^\vee$ consisting of those pairs (x, f) such that $f(x) = 1$. Then the projection $Y \rightarrow E^\circ$ is an affine bundle, hence a weak equivalence of motivic spaces. Since $E \oplus E^\vee \rightarrow E$ is a weak equivalence, we obtain a weak equivalence $(E \oplus E^\vee)/Y \rightarrow E/E^\circ = \text{Th}_X(E)$. Similarly we have a weak equivalence $(E \oplus E^\vee)/Y \rightarrow \text{Th}_X(E^\vee)$. This yields the required isomorphism $\sigma_E: \text{Th}_X(E) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Th}_X(E^\vee)$ in $\mathbf{H}_\bullet(S)$.

2. HYPERBOLIC STRUCTURES

2.1. Zero-sections.

2.1.1. Let $E \rightarrow X$ be a vector bundle with $X \in \text{Sm}_S$. We denote by

$$(2.1.1.a) \quad z_E: X_+ \rightarrow \text{Th}_X(E) \in \mathbf{H}_\bullet(S)$$

the composite of the zero-section $X_+ \rightarrow E_+$ followed by the canonical map $E_+ \rightarrow E/(E^\circ) = \text{Th}_X(E)$. More generally, when $V \rightarrow X$ is a vector bundle, the zero-section of E induces a morphism $V \rightarrow V \oplus E$, and thus a morphism of pointed motivic spaces

$$(2.1.1.b) \quad z_E: \text{Th}_X(V) \rightarrow \text{Th}_X(V \oplus E).$$

When $X = S$, observe that (2.1.1.b) is obtained from (2.1.1.a) by applying the functor $\text{Th}_S(V) \wedge (-)$.

2.1.2. The element $1 \in H^0(S, \mathbb{G}_m)$ yields a section of the projection $\mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow S$, hence the map $S_+ \rightarrow \text{Th}_S(1)$ is zero in $\mathbf{H}_\bullet(S)$. When $V \rightarrow X$ be a vector bundle with $X \in \text{Sm}_S$, applying the functor $\text{Th}_X(V) \wedge (-)$ shows that $z_1: \text{Th}_X(V) \rightarrow \text{Th}_X(V \oplus 1)$ is the zero map in $\mathbf{H}_\bullet(S)$.

We will use the following splitting principle from [Hau22]:

2.1.3. Proposition ([Hau22, (4.2.5)]). *Let $X \in \text{Sm}_S$, and $E \rightarrow X$ be a vector bundle of constant odd rank. Then there exists a morphism $f: Y \rightarrow X$ in Sm_S whose image in $\text{SH}(S)[\eta^{-1}]$ admits a section, and a vector bundle $F \rightarrow Y$ such that $f^*E \simeq F \oplus 1$.*

2.1.4. Lemma. *Let $X \in \text{Sm}_S$. Let $E \rightarrow X$ be a vector bundle, and $F \subset E$ a subbundle such that E/F has constant odd rank. Then the morphism $\text{Th}_X(F) \rightarrow \text{Th}_X(E)$ vanishes in $\text{SH}(S)[\eta^{-1}]$.*

Proof. By (1.6), we may assume that $E = F \oplus G$ with G an odd rank vector bundle. By (2.1.3), we may assume that there exists a vector bundle $G' \rightarrow X$ such that $G = G' \oplus 1$. Then $\mathrm{Th}_X(F) \rightarrow \mathrm{Th}_X(E)$ factors through $z_1: \mathrm{Th}_X(F) \rightarrow \mathrm{Th}_X(F \oplus 1)$ which vanishes by (2.1.2). \square

2.2. Hyperbolic orientations.

2.2.1. Definition. Let $A \in \mathrm{SH}(S)$ be a ring spectrum. An *hyperbolic orientation* on A is the data of a class

$$\mathfrak{o}_E \in A^{0,0}(X; E \oplus E)$$

for each vector bundle $E \rightarrow X$ with $X \in \mathrm{Sm}_S$, subject to the following conditions:

- (i) the class \mathfrak{o}_E is $A^{*,*}(X)$ -central,
- (ii) if $f: Y \rightarrow X$ is morphism in Sm_S and $E \rightarrow X$ a vector bundle, then $f^*\mathfrak{o}_E = \mathfrak{o}_{f^*E}$,
- (iii) if $\varphi: E \xrightarrow{\sim} F$ is an isomorphism of vector bundles over $X \in \mathrm{Sm}_S$, then the induced isomorphism $A^{*,*}(X; F) \xrightarrow{\sim} A^{*,*}(X; E)$ maps \mathfrak{o}_F to \mathfrak{o}_E ,
- (iv) if E, F are vector bundles over $X \in \mathrm{Sm}_S$, we have $\mathfrak{o}_E \cup \mathfrak{o}_F = \mathfrak{o}_{E \oplus F}$ (under the identification $E \oplus E \oplus F \oplus F \simeq (E \oplus F) \oplus (E \oplus F)$),
- (v) $\mathfrak{o}_1 = \Sigma^{4,2}1$.

2.2.2. Remark. Axioms (2.2.1.iv) and (2.2.1.v) imply that $\mathfrak{o}_0 = 1$.

2.2.3. Lemma. *Let $A \in \mathrm{SH}(S)$ be a hyperbolically oriented ring spectrum. If $E \rightarrow X$ is a vector bundle with $X \in \mathrm{Sm}_S$, then the morphism*

$$A^{*,*}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} A^{*,*}(X; E \oplus E), \quad x \mapsto x \cup \mathfrak{o}_E$$

is bijective.

Proof. By a Mayer–Vietoris argument and (2.2.1.ii), we can assume that $E \simeq 1^{\oplus s}$ for some $s \in \mathbb{N}$, and then by (2.2.1.iii) that $E = 1^{\oplus s}$. Then the statement follows from (2.2.1.v). \square

2.2.4. Example. When $E \rightarrow X$ is a vector bundle with $X \in \mathrm{Sm}_S$, there exists a canonical isomorphism $\sigma_E: \mathrm{Th}_X(E \oplus E) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Th}_X(E \oplus E^\vee)$ (see (1.13)). Thus each normalised Sp-orientation (in the sense of [Ana20, Definition 3.3]) on a motivic commutative ring spectrum $A \in \mathrm{SH}(S)$ induces a hyperbolic orientation, by letting $\mathfrak{o}_E \in A^{*,*}(X; E \oplus E)$ be the image of the Thom class in $A^{*,*}(X; E \oplus E^\vee)$ of the hyperbolic symplectic form on $E \oplus E^\vee$. Similarly any normalised O-orientation (defined in the expected way) yields a hyperbolic orientation, by considering instead the hyperbolic symmetric form. (This is the origin of the terminology of (2.2.1).)

2.2.5. Definition. Let $A \in \mathrm{SH}(S)$ be a hyperbolically oriented ring spectrum. When $E \rightarrow X$ is a vector bundle with $X \in \mathrm{Sm}_S$, we define its *Euler class*

$$e(E) = z_E^*(\mathfrak{o}_E) \in A^{*,*}(X; E)$$

(the map z_E was defined in (2.1.1)), and its *Pontryagin class*

$$\pi(E) = z_{E \oplus E}^*(\mathfrak{o}_E) \in A^{*,*}(X).$$

If E has constant rank r , then $e(E) \in A^{2r,r}(X; E)$ and $\pi(E) \in A^{4r,2r}(X)$.

2.2.6. The following basic properties of the Euler and Pontryagin classes are easily verified, where $E \rightarrow X$ is a vector bundle with $X \in \text{Sm}_S$:

- (i) the elements $e(E) \in A^{*,*}(X; E)$ and $\pi(E) \in A^{*,*}(X)$ are $A^{*,*}(X)$ -central,
- (ii) $e(f^*E) = f^*e(E)$ and $\pi(f^*E) = f^*(\pi(E))$ for any morphism $f: Y \rightarrow X$ in Sm_S ,
- (iii) $e(1) = 0$ and $\pi(1) = 0$,
- (iv) $e(0) = 1$ and $\pi(0) = 1$,
- (v) $e(E \oplus F) = e(E) \cup e(F)$ and $\pi(E \oplus F) = \pi(E) \cup \pi(F)$ for any vector bundle $F \rightarrow X$.

The next proposition is a variant of results of Ananyevskiy [Ana15, Corollary 2], [Ana20, Theorem 7.4] and Levine [Lev19, Lemma 4.3].

2.2.7. Proposition. *Let $A \in \text{SH}(S)$ be an η -periodic hyperbolically oriented ring spectrum. If a vector bundle E admits a quotient of constant odd rank, then*

$$e(E) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \pi(E) = 0.$$

Proof. Let $F \subset E$ be a subbundle such that E/F has odd rank. Then by definition $e(E)$ is the image of \mathfrak{o}_E under the pullback along the composite $\text{Th}_X(E) \xrightarrow{z_F} \text{Th}_X(E \oplus F) \rightarrow \text{Th}_X(E \oplus E)$ in $\text{SH}(S)$. The latter vanishes in $\text{SH}(S)[\eta^{-1}]$ by (2.1.4) (applied to the subbundle $E \oplus F \subset E \oplus E$), hence $e(E) = 0$. Therefore $\pi(E) = z_E^*(e(E)) = 0$. \square

As expected, the (top) Pontryagin class is the square of the Euler class:

2.2.8. Proposition. *Let $A \in \text{SH}(S)$ be an η -periodic hyperbolically oriented ring spectrum. Let $X \in \text{Sm}_S$, and $E \rightarrow X$ be a vector bundle. Then*

$$e(E) \cup e(E) = \pi(E) \cup \mathfrak{o}_E \in A^{*,*}(X; E \oplus E).$$

Proof. Consider the maps in $\text{SH}(S)$

$$a: \text{Th}_X(E^{\oplus 2}) \rightarrow \text{Th}_X(E^{\oplus 4}), \quad b: \text{Th}_X(E^{\oplus 2}) \rightarrow \text{Th}_X(E^{\oplus 4}), \quad c: \text{Th}_X(E^{\oplus 4}) \rightarrow \text{Th}_X(E^{\oplus 4})$$

respectively given by the injective matrices

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$(2.2.8.a) \quad e(E) \cup e(E) = a^*(\mathfrak{o}_E \cup \mathfrak{o}_E) \quad ; \quad \pi(E) \cup \mathfrak{o}_E = b^*(\mathfrak{o}_E \cup \mathfrak{o}_E).$$

Since $CA = B$, we have $c \circ a = b$. As $\det C = 1$, we have $c = \text{id}$ in $\text{SH}(S)$ (see e.g. [Ana16, Lemma 1] where the fact S is the spectrum of a field plays no role). Thus $a = b$ in $\text{SH}(S)$, and the result follows from the formulas (2.2.8.a). \square

2.3. Weak hyperbolic orientations.

2.3.1. Definition. Let $A \in \mathrm{SH}(S)$ be a ring spectrum. A *weak hyperbolic orientation* on A is the data of a class

$$\mathbf{t}_E \in A^{0,0}(X; E \oplus E)$$

for each rank two vector bundle $E \rightarrow X$ with $X \in \mathrm{Sm}_S$, subject to the following conditions:

- (i) the class \mathbf{t}_E is $A^{*,*}(X)$ -central,
- (ii) if $f: Y \rightarrow X$ is a morphism in Sm_S and $E \rightarrow X$ a rank two vector bundle, then $f^*\mathbf{t}_E = \mathbf{t}_{f^*E}$,
- (iii) if $\varphi: E \xrightarrow{\sim} F$ is an isomorphism of rank two vector bundles over $X \in \mathrm{Sm}_S$, then the induced isomorphism $A^{*,*}(X; F) \xrightarrow{\sim} A^{*,*}(X; E)$ maps \mathbf{t}_F to \mathbf{t}_E ,
- (iv) $\mathbf{t}_{1^{\oplus 2}} = \Sigma^{8,4}1$.

2.3.2. Remark. It is clear that a hyperbolic orientation (see (2.2.1)) on a motivic ring spectrum A induces a weak hyperbolic orientation. We will see in (4.4.10) that the two notions in fact coincide when A is η -periodic.

2.3.3. Lemma. *Let $A \in \mathrm{SH}(S)$ be a hyperbolically oriented ring spectrum. If $E \rightarrow X$ is a rank two vector bundle with $X \in \mathrm{Sm}_S$, then the morphism*

$$A^{*,*}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} A^{*,*}(X; E \oplus E), \quad x \mapsto x \cup \mathbf{t}_E$$

is bijective.

Proof. By a Mayer–Vietoris argument and (2.3.1.ii), we can assume that $E \simeq 1^{\oplus 2}$, and then by (2.3.1.iii) that $E = 1^{\oplus 2}$. Then the statement follows from (2.3.1.iv). \square

2.3.4. Definition. Let $A \in \mathrm{SH}(S)$ be a ring spectrum with a weak hyperbolic orientation. For every rank two vector bundle $E \rightarrow X$ with $X \in \mathrm{Sm}_S$, we define its *Euler class*

$$e(E) = z_E^*(\mathbf{t}_E) \in A^{4,2}(X; E)$$

(the map z_E was defined in (2.1.1)), and *Pontryagin class*

$$\pi(E) = z_{E \oplus E}^*(\mathbf{t}_E) \in A^{8,4}(X).$$

2.3.5. Lemma. *Let $A \in \mathrm{SH}(S)$ be an η -periodic ring spectrum with a weak hyperbolic orientation. Let $X \in \mathrm{Sm}_S$ and $E \rightarrow X$ be a rank two vector bundle. Then*

$$\pi(E) = \pi(E^\vee).$$

Proof. By (2.1.3) we may assume that the line bundle $\det E$ admits a trivialisation. Then the alternated form $E^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow \Lambda^2 E = \det E \simeq 1$ is nondegenerate, hence $E \simeq E^\vee$ as vector bundles. \square

2.3.6. Remark. Lemma (2.3.5) will be generalised to vector bundles of higher rank when A is hyperbolically oriented (see (4.3.8) and (4.3.9)).

2.4. Pushforwards along closed immersions.

2.4.1. (See e.g. [Hoy17, §3.5].) Let $i: Y \rightarrow X$ be a closed immersion in Sm_S with normal bundle N , and $u: U \rightarrow X$ its open complement. There exists a canonical isomorphism $X/U \simeq \mathrm{Th}_Y(N)$ in $\mathrm{H}_\bullet(S)$, called purity isomorphism. We denote by \bar{i} the composite in $\mathrm{H}_\bullet(S)$

$$\bar{i}: X_+ \rightarrow X/U \simeq \mathrm{Th}_Y(N).$$

More generally when $V \rightarrow X$ is a vector bundle, we have a morphism $\bar{i}: \mathrm{Th}_X(V) \rightarrow \mathrm{Th}_Y(N \oplus i^*V)$ in $\mathrm{H}_\bullet(S)$. We thus have a distinguished triangle in $\mathrm{SH}(S)$

$$(2.4.1.a) \quad \mathrm{Th}_U(V) \xrightarrow{u} \mathrm{Th}_X(V) \xrightarrow{\bar{i}} \mathrm{Th}_Y(N \oplus i^*V) \rightarrow \Sigma^{1,0} \mathrm{Th}_U(V).$$

2.4.2. In the situation of (2.4.1), let $A \in \mathrm{SH}(S)$ be a ring spectrum. We will write $i_*: A^{*,*}(Y; N) \rightarrow A^{*,*}(X)$ instead of \bar{i}^* . We thus have a long exact sequence

$$(2.4.2.a) \quad \cdots \rightarrow A^{*,*}(Y; N \oplus i^*V) \xrightarrow{i_*} A^{*,*}(X; V) \xrightarrow{u^*} A^{*,*}(U; V) \rightarrow \cdots$$

2.4.3. Let $X \in \mathrm{Sm}_S$, and $V \rightarrow X$ be a vector bundle. Consider closed immersion $z: X \rightarrow V$ given by the zero-section, with normal bundle V and open complement V° . Then it follows from the discussion in [Pan09, §2.4.5] that the purity isomorphism $V/V^\circ \simeq \mathrm{Th}_X(V)$ in $\mathrm{H}_\bullet(S)$ coincides with the identification arising from the definition of the Thom space.

2.4.4. (See e.g. [Hoy17, p.233].) Let $i: Y \rightarrow X$ and $j: Z \rightarrow Y$ be closed immersions in Sm_S , with respective normal bundles N, M . Let $V \rightarrow X$ be a vector bundle. Then the composite

$$\mathrm{Th}_X(V) \xrightarrow{\bar{i}} \mathrm{Th}_Y(N \oplus i^*V) \xrightarrow{\bar{j}} \mathrm{Th}_Z(M \oplus j^*N \oplus j^*i^*V)$$

coincides with $\overline{\bar{i} \circ j}$.

2.4.5. Consider a cartesian square in Sm_S

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y' & \xrightarrow{i'} & X' \\ g \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ Y & \xrightarrow{i} & X \end{array}$$

where i, i' are closed immersions with respective normal bundles N, N' . Then we have a commutative diagram in $\mathrm{H}_\bullet(S)$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Th}_{Y'}(N') & \xleftarrow{\bar{i}'} & X'_+ \\ \downarrow g & & \downarrow f \\ \mathrm{Th}_Y(N) & \xleftarrow{\bar{i}} & X_+ \end{array}$$

In particular, if $Y' = \emptyset$ then the composite $X'_+ \xrightarrow{f} X_+ \xrightarrow{\bar{i}} \mathrm{Th}_Y(N)$ is trivial.

We will need the projection formula:

2.4.6. Lemma. *Let $A \in \mathrm{SH}(S)$ be a ring spectrum. Let $i: Y \rightarrow X$ be a closed immersion in Sm_S with normal bundle N . Let $x \in A^{*,*}(Y; N)$ and $a \in A^{*,*}(X)$. Then*

$$i_*(x \cup i^*a) = i_*(x) \cup a \in A^{*,*}(X).$$

Proof. We use the notation of (1.10). The cartesian square in Sm_S

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{i} & X \\ (\mathrm{id}_Y, i) \downarrow & & \downarrow \Delta_X \\ Y \times_S X & \xrightarrow{i \times \mathrm{id}_X} & X \times_S X \end{array}$$

yields by (2.4.5) a commutative diagram in $\mathrm{H}_\bullet(S)$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Th}_Y(E) & \xleftarrow{\bar{i}} & X_+ \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow (\Delta_X)_+ \\ \mathrm{Th}_Y(E) \wedge X_+ & \xleftarrow{\bar{i} \wedge \mathrm{id}} & X_+ \wedge X_+ \end{array}$$

The left vertical morphism factors as

$$\mathrm{Th}_Y(E) \xrightarrow{\Delta_Y} \mathrm{Th}_Y(E) \wedge Y_+ \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id} \wedge i} \mathrm{Th}_Y(E) \wedge X_+,$$

where Δ_Y denotes the morphism induced by the diagonal $Y \rightarrow Y \times Y$ (see (1.10)). Thus

$$i_*(x \cup i^*a) = i_* \circ \Delta_Y^* \circ (\mathrm{id} \wedge i)^*(x \wedge a) = \Delta_X^* \circ (\bar{i} \wedge \mathrm{id})^*(x \wedge a) = i_*(x) \cup a. \quad \square$$

2.4.7. Let $X \in \mathrm{Sm}_S$ and $E \rightarrow X$ be a vector bundle. Let s be a section of E , and consider its zero-locus $i: Y \rightarrow X$, defined as the equaliser of s and the zero-section in the category of S -schemes. We will say that s is *transverse to the zero-section* if $Y \in \mathrm{Sm}_S$ and the natural morphism $N \rightarrow i^*E$ is an isomorphism, where N is the normal bundle of i .

2.4.8. Lemma. *Let $E, V \rightarrow X$ be vector bundles, with $X \in \mathrm{Sm}_S$. Let $s: X \rightarrow E$ a section transverse to the zero-section, whose zero-locus we denote by $i: Y \rightarrow X$. Then in $\mathrm{H}_\bullet(S)$ the composite*

$$\mathrm{Th}_X(V) \xrightarrow{\bar{i}} \mathrm{Th}_Y(V \oplus E) \xrightarrow{i} \mathrm{Th}_X(V \oplus E)$$

coincides with z_E (see (2.1.1)).

Proof. Using the functor $\mathbf{L}f_\# : \mathrm{H}_\bullet(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_\bullet(S)$ where $f: X \rightarrow S$ is the structural morphism (see e.g. [MV99, p.104]), we reduce to the case when $S = X$. Applying the functor $\mathrm{Th}_S(V) \wedge (-)$, we reduce to the case $V = 0$.

Let us by $z: X \rightarrow E$ the zero-section. The cartesian square in Sm_S

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{i} & X \\ i \downarrow & & \downarrow s \\ X & \xrightarrow{z} & E \end{array}$$

yields by (2.4.5) a commutative square in $H_\bullet(S)$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Th}_Y(E) & \xleftarrow{\bar{i}} & X_+ \\ i \downarrow & & \downarrow s_+ \\ \mathrm{Th}_X(E) & \xleftarrow{\bar{z}} & E_+ \end{array}$$

Now the maps $s, z: X \rightarrow E$ coincide in $H(S)$, being sections of the vector bundle projection $E \rightarrow X$. Thus $s_+ = z_+: X_+ \rightarrow E_+$ in $H_\bullet(S)$. In view of (2.4.3) and (2.1.1), the composite $\bar{z} \circ z_+: X \rightarrow \mathrm{Th}_X(E)$ coincides with z_E . \square

2.4.9. Lemma. *Let $A \in \mathrm{SH}(S)$ be a ring spectrum with a weak hyperbolic orientation. Let $X \in \mathrm{Sm}_S$, and $E \rightarrow X$ be a rank two vector bundle. Assume that E , resp. $E \oplus E$, admits a section transverse to the zero-section, whose zero-locus we denote by $i: Y \rightarrow X$. Then the composite*

$$A^{*,*}(X) \xrightarrow{i^*} A^{*,*}(Y) \xrightarrow{\cup_{t^*E}} A^{*,*}(Y; E \oplus E) \xrightarrow{i^*} A^{*,*}(X; E),$$

resp.

$$A^{*,*}(X) \xrightarrow{i^*} A^{*,*}(Y) \xrightarrow{\cup_{t^*E}} A^{*,*}(Y; E \oplus E) \xrightarrow{i^*} A^{*,*}(X)$$

is (left or right) multiplication with $e(E)$, resp. $\pi(E)$.

Proof. The composite coincides with

$$A^{*,*}(X) \xrightarrow{\cup_{t^*E}} A^{*,*}(X; E \oplus E) \xrightarrow{i^*} A^{*,*}(Y; E \oplus E) \xrightarrow{i^*} A^{*,*}(X; E),$$

resp.

$$A^{*,*}(X) \xrightarrow{\cup_{t^*E}} A^{*,*}(X; E \oplus E) \xrightarrow{i^*} A^{*,*}(Y; E \oplus E) \xrightarrow{i^*} A^{*,*}(X),$$

hence maps 1 to $e(E)$, resp. $\pi(E)$, by (2.4.8) (with $V = E$, resp. $V = 0$). The statement then follows from the projection formula (2.4.6). \square

3. GRASSMANNIANS OF 2-PLANES

3.1. Projective bundles. Let us first recall a result from [Hau22]:

3.1.1. Proposition ([Hau22, (4.1.6), (4.1.5)]). *Let $E \rightarrow X$ be a vector bundle of constant rank r , with $X \in \mathrm{Sm}_S$.*

- (i) *If r is odd, then the map $\Sigma_+^\infty \mathbb{P}(E) \rightarrow \Sigma_+^\infty X$ is an isomorphism in $\mathrm{SH}(S)[\eta^{-1}]$.*
- (ii) *If r is even, then $\mathrm{Th}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(\mathcal{O}(1)) = 0$ in $\mathrm{SH}(S)[\eta^{-1}]$.*

3.1.2. Let $E \rightarrow X$ be a vector bundle with $X \in \mathrm{Sm}_S$, and denote by $q: \mathbb{P}(E) \rightarrow X$ the projection. Then $\mathcal{O}(-1)$ is naturally a subbundle of q^*E , and we denote by $\mathcal{Q} = q^*E/\mathcal{O}(-1)$ the quotient bundle.

3.1.3. Lemma. *Let $E \rightarrow X$ be a vector bundle of constant even rank, with $X \in \mathrm{Sm}_S$. Then $\mathrm{Th}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(\mathcal{Q}) = 0$ in $\mathrm{SH}(S)[\eta^{-1}]$.*

Proof. By (1.5) we have an isomorphism in $\mathrm{SH}(S)$

$$\mathrm{Th}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(\mathcal{Q} \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1)) \simeq \mathrm{Th}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(q^*E \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1)),$$

where $q: \mathbb{P}(E) \rightarrow X$ is the projection. Now in $\mathrm{SH}(S)[\eta^{-1}]$ we have $\mathrm{Th}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(\mathcal{Q} \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1)) \simeq \mathrm{Th}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(\mathcal{Q})$ by [Hau22, (3.3.1)], and $\mathrm{Th}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(q^*E \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1)) = 0$ by [Hau22, (4.1.5)]. This proves the statement. \square

3.1.4. Lemma. *Let $E \rightarrow X$ be a vector bundle of constant even rank, with $X \in \mathrm{Sm}_S$. Consider the natural closed immersion $i: S = \mathbb{P}(1) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(E \oplus 1)$. Then the natural isomorphism $i^*\mathcal{Q} \simeq E$ induces an isomorphism in $\mathrm{SH}(S)[\eta^{-1}]$ (see (2.4.1))*

$$\bar{i}: \mathrm{Th}_S(E \oplus E) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Th}_{\mathbb{P}(E \oplus 1)}(\mathcal{Q}).$$

Proof. The open complement W of i is a line bundle over $\mathbb{P}(E)$ (namely $\mathcal{O}(1)$). Its zero-section $\mathbb{P}(E) \rightarrow W$ induces an isomorphism in $\mathrm{SH}(S)$, and the composite $\mathbb{P}(E) \rightarrow W \subset \mathbb{P}(E \oplus 1)$ is the closed immersion $j: \mathbb{P}(E) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(E \oplus 1)$ induced by the inclusion $E \subset E \oplus 1$. Since the normal bundle to i is E and $j^*\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q} \oplus 1$, this yields by (2.4.1) a distinguished triangle in $\mathrm{SH}(S)$

$$\mathrm{Th}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(\mathcal{Q} \oplus 1) \xrightarrow{j} \mathrm{Th}_{\mathbb{P}(E \oplus 1)}(\mathcal{Q}) \xrightarrow{\bar{i}} \mathrm{Th}_X(E \oplus i^*\mathcal{Q}) \rightarrow \Sigma^{1,0} \mathrm{Th}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(\mathcal{Q} \oplus 1).$$

Now $\mathrm{Th}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(\mathcal{Q} \oplus 1) = 0$ by (3.1.3), whence the statement. \square

3.2. Grassmann bundles. We now gather basic observations on Grassmann bundles that will be used repeatedly in the paper.

3.2.1. Let $X \in \mathrm{Sm}_S$ and $E \rightarrow X$ be a vector bundle. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We will denote by $\mathrm{Gr}(n, E)$ the Grassmann bundle of n -planes in E , classifying the rank n subbundles of $U \subset E$ (for us a subbundle is locally split, so E/U is also a vector bundle).

Denoting by $q: \mathrm{Gr}(n, E) \rightarrow X$ the projection, the scheme $\mathrm{Gr}(n, E)$ carries a universal rank n subbundle $\mathcal{U}_n \subset p^*E$ and quotient bundle $\mathcal{Q}_n = q^*E/\mathcal{U}_n$.

3.2.2. When $E \rightarrow X$ is a vector bundle with $X \in \mathrm{Sm}_S$, we have $\mathrm{Gr}(1, E) = \mathbb{P}(E)$ and $\mathcal{U}_1 = \mathcal{O}(-1)$. Moreover \mathcal{Q}_1 is the quotient bundle $\mathcal{Q} = q^*E/\mathcal{O}(-1)$, where $q: \mathbb{P}(E) \rightarrow X$ is the projection.

3.2.3. In the situation of (3.2.1), assume that $n \geq 1$. We have a closed immersion

$$g_E: \mathrm{Gr}(n, E) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(n, E \oplus 1) \quad ; \quad (U \subset E) \mapsto (U \oplus 0 \subset E \oplus 1),$$

which satisfies

$$(3.2.3.a) \quad g_E^*\mathcal{U}_n = \mathcal{U}_n \quad ; \quad g_E^*\mathcal{Q}_n = \mathcal{Q}_n \oplus 1.$$

We also have a closed immersion

$$h_E: \mathrm{Gr}(n-1, E) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(n, E \oplus 1) \quad ; \quad (U \subset E) \mapsto (U \oplus 1 \subset E \oplus 1),$$

which satisfies

$$(3.2.3.b) \quad h_E^*\mathcal{U}_n = \mathcal{U}_{n-1} \oplus 1 \quad ; \quad h_E^*\mathcal{Q}_n = \mathcal{Q}_{n-1}.$$

3.2.4. The closed immersion g_E of (3.2.3) is the zero-locus of a section of the vector bundle $\mathcal{U}_n^\vee \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(n, E \oplus 1)$ transverse to the zero-section, hence its normal bundle is $g_E^* \mathcal{U}_n^\vee = \mathcal{U}_n^\vee$. The open complement $Y \subset \mathrm{Gr}(n, E \oplus 1)$ of g_E is naturally a vector bundle over $\mathrm{Gr}(n-1, E)$. Its zero-section $\mathrm{Gr}(n-1, E) \rightarrow Y$ induces an isomorphism in $\mathrm{SH}(S)$, and the composite $\mathrm{Gr}(n-1, E) \rightarrow Y \subset \mathrm{Gr}(n, E \oplus 1)$ is the closed immersion h_E . In view of (2.4.1.a) we thus have a distinguished triangle in $\mathrm{SH}(S)$, for any vector bundle $V \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(n, E \oplus 1)$

$$\mathrm{Th}_{\mathrm{Gr}(n-1, E)}(V) \xrightarrow{h_E} \mathrm{Th}_{\mathrm{Gr}(n, E \oplus 1)}(V) \xrightarrow{\overline{g_E}} \mathrm{Th}_{\mathrm{Gr}(n, E)}(\mathcal{U}_n^\vee \oplus g_E^* V) \rightarrow \Sigma^{1,0} \mathrm{Th}_{\mathrm{Gr}(n-1, E)}(V),$$

and thus, by (2.4.2.a), for any ring spectrum $A \in \mathrm{SH}(S)$ a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow A^{*,*}(\mathrm{Gr}(n, E); \mathcal{U}_n^\vee \oplus g_E^* V) \xrightarrow{g_E^*} A^{*,*}(\mathrm{Gr}(n, E \oplus 1); V) \xrightarrow{h_E^*} A^{*,*}(\mathrm{Gr}(n-1, E); V) \rightarrow \cdots$$

3.2.5. The closed immersion h_E of (3.2.3) is the zero-locus of a section of $\mathcal{Q}_n \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(n, E \oplus 1)$ transverse to the zero-section, hence its normal bundle is $h_E^* \mathcal{Q}_n = \mathcal{Q}_{n-1}$. The open complement $W \subset \mathrm{Gr}(n, E \oplus 1)$ of h_E is a vector bundle over $\mathrm{Gr}(n, E)$. Its zero-section $\mathrm{Gr}(n, E) \rightarrow W$ induces an isomorphism in $\mathrm{SH}(S)$, and the composite $\mathrm{Gr}(n, E) \rightarrow W \subset \mathrm{Gr}(n, E \oplus 1)$ is the closed immersion g_E . In view of (2.4.1.a) we thus have a distinguished triangle in $\mathrm{SH}(S)$, for any vector bundle $V \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(n, E \oplus 1)$

$$\mathrm{Th}_{\mathrm{Gr}(n, E)}(V) \xrightarrow{g_E} \mathrm{Th}_{\mathrm{Gr}(n, E \oplus 1)}(V) \xrightarrow{\overline{h_E}} \mathrm{Th}_{\mathrm{Gr}(n-1, E)}(\mathcal{Q}_{n-1} \oplus h_E^* V) \rightarrow \Sigma^{1,0} \mathrm{Th}_{\mathrm{Gr}(n, E)}(V),$$

and thus, by (2.4.2.a), for any ring spectrum $A \in \mathrm{SH}(S)$ a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow A^{*,*}(\mathrm{Gr}(n-1, E); \mathcal{Q}_{n-1} \oplus h_E^* V) \xrightarrow{h_E^*} A^{*,*}(\mathrm{Gr}(n, E \oplus 1); V) \xrightarrow{g_E^*} A^{*,*}(\mathrm{Gr}(n, E); V) \rightarrow \cdots$$

3.2.6. We will write $\mathrm{Gr}(n, s)$ instead of $\mathrm{Gr}(n, 1^{\oplus s})$, and g_s, h_s instead of $g_{1^{\oplus s}}, h_{1^{\oplus s}}$.

3.2.7. **Lemma.** *Let $E \rightarrow S$ be a vector bundle of constant even rank. Denote by $q: \mathrm{Gr}(2, E \oplus 1^{\oplus 2}) \rightarrow S$ the projection. Then we have isomorphisms in $\mathrm{SH}(S)[\eta^{-1}]$*

$$(3.2.7.a) \quad g_E: \Sigma_+^\infty \mathrm{Gr}(2, E) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Sigma_+^\infty \mathrm{Gr}(2, E \oplus 1),$$

$$(3.2.7.b) \quad \overline{g_E}: \mathrm{Th}_{\mathrm{Gr}(2, E \oplus 1)}(\mathcal{U}_2^\vee) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Th}_{\mathrm{Gr}(2, E)}(\mathcal{U}_2^\vee \oplus \mathcal{U}_2^\vee),$$

$$(3.2.7.c) \quad (q, \overline{g_{E \oplus 1}}): \Sigma_+^\infty \mathrm{Gr}(2, E \oplus 1^{\oplus 2}) \rightarrow \mathbf{1}_S \oplus \mathrm{Th}_{\mathrm{Gr}(2, E \oplus 1)}(\mathcal{U}_2^\vee).$$

Proof. In view of (3.2.2), we have by (3.2.5) a distinguished triangle in $\mathrm{SH}(S)$

$$\Sigma_+^\infty \mathrm{Gr}(2, E) \xrightarrow{g_E} \Sigma_+^\infty \mathrm{Gr}(2, E \oplus 1) \xrightarrow{\overline{h_E}} \mathrm{Th}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(\mathcal{Q}) \rightarrow \Sigma^{1,0} \Sigma_+^\infty \mathrm{Gr}(2, E).$$

Since $\mathrm{Th}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(\mathcal{Q}) = 0$ in $\mathrm{SH}(S)[\eta^{-1}]$ by (3.1.3), we deduce (3.2.7.a).

The vector bundle \mathcal{U}_2^\vee restricts to $\mathcal{O}(1) \oplus 1$ along $h_E: \mathbb{P}(E) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(2, E \oplus 1)$ (see (3.2.2) and (3.2.3.b)). Thus by (3.2.4), we have a distinguished triangle in $\mathrm{SH}(S)$

$$\mathrm{Th}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(\mathcal{O}(1) \oplus 1) \xrightarrow{h_E} \mathrm{Th}_{\mathrm{Gr}(2, E \oplus 1)}(\mathcal{U}_2^\vee) \xrightarrow{\overline{g_E}} \mathrm{Th}_{\mathrm{Gr}(2, E)}(\mathcal{U}_2^\vee \oplus \mathcal{U}_2^\vee) \rightarrow \Sigma^{1,0} \mathrm{Th}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(\mathcal{O}(1) \oplus 1).$$

Since $\mathrm{Th}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(\mathcal{O}(1) \oplus 1) = 0$ in $\mathrm{SH}(S)[\eta^{-1}]$ by (3.1.1.ii), we deduce (3.2.7.b).

We now prove (3.2.7.c). Since the projection $\mathbb{P}(E \oplus 1) \rightarrow S$ induces an isomorphism in $\mathrm{SH}(S)[\eta^{-1}]$ by (3.1.1.i), so does its section $s: S = \mathbb{P}(1) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(E \oplus 1)$. The closed

immersion $j: S = \mathrm{Gr}(2, 1^{\oplus 2}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(2, E \oplus 1^{\oplus 2})$ factors as $j = h_{E \oplus 1} \circ s$. Therefore by (3.2.4), we have a distinguished triangle in $\mathrm{SH}(S)[\eta^{-1}]$

$$\mathbf{1}_S \xrightarrow{j} \Sigma_+^\infty \mathrm{Gr}(2, E \oplus 1^{\oplus 2}) \xrightarrow{\overline{g_{E \oplus 1}}} \mathrm{Th}_{\mathrm{Gr}(2, E \oplus 1)}(\mathcal{U}_2^\vee) \rightarrow \Sigma^{1,0} \mathbf{1}_S.$$

Since $q \circ j = \mathrm{id}_S$, this triangle splits, and we deduce (3.2.7.c) \square

3.2.8. Lemma. *Let E, D be vector bundles over S . Assume that D has rank two and that E has constant even rank. Consider the closed immersion $i: \mathrm{Gr}(2, E) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(2, E \oplus D)$ induced by the inclusion $E \subset E \oplus D$, and the projection $q: \mathrm{Gr}(2, E \oplus D) \rightarrow S$. Then we have an isomorphism in $\mathrm{SH}(S)[\eta^{-1}]$*

$$(q, \bar{i}): \Sigma_+^\infty \mathrm{Gr}(2, E \oplus D) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{1}_S \oplus \mathrm{Th}_{\mathrm{Gr}(2, E)}(\mathcal{U}_2^\vee \otimes q^* D).$$

Proof. The statement is local in the Zariski topology of S , so we may assume that the vector bundle D is trivial. Then i factors as

$$i: \mathrm{Gr}(2, E) \xrightarrow{g_E} \mathrm{Gr}(2, E \oplus 1) \xrightarrow{g_{E \oplus 1}} \mathrm{Gr}(2, E \oplus 1^{\oplus 2}).$$

In view of (2.4.4), the statement follows by combining (3.2.7.b) with (3.2.7.c). \square

3.2.9. Remark. Taking $E = 1^{\oplus 2}$ and $D = 1^{\oplus 2}$ in (3.2.8) yields a natural isomorphism

$$\Sigma_+^\infty \mathrm{Gr}(2, 4) \simeq \mathbf{1}_S \oplus \Sigma^{8,4} \mathbf{1}_S \in \mathrm{SH}(S)[\eta^{-1}].$$

3.3. Cohomology of 2-grassmannians.

3.3.1. Let $A \in \mathrm{SH}(S)$ be an η -periodic ring spectrum. Let $X \in \mathrm{Sm}_S$ and $E, D \rightarrow X$ vector bundles, with D of rank two. Denote by $q: \mathrm{Gr}(2, E \oplus D) \rightarrow X$ the projection. The inclusions $E \subset E \oplus D$ and $D \subset E \oplus D$ yield closed immersions

$$i: \mathrm{Gr}(2, E) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(2, E \oplus D) \quad \text{and} \quad j: X = \mathrm{Gr}(2, D) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(2, E \oplus D).$$

Since i factors through to open complement of j , it follows from the last sentence of (2.4.5) that $j^* \circ i_*: A^{*,*}(\mathrm{Gr}(2, E); \mathcal{U}_2^\vee \otimes q^* D) \rightarrow A^{*,*}(X)$ vanishes. As $q \circ j = \mathrm{id}$, Lemma (3.2.8) yields a split exact sequence of $A^{*,*}(X)$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow A^{*,*}(\mathrm{Gr}(2, E); \mathcal{U}_2^\vee \otimes q^* D) \xrightarrow{i_*} A^{*,*}(\mathrm{Gr}(2, E \oplus D)) \xrightarrow{j^*} A^{*,*}(X) \rightarrow 0.$$

3.3.2. Let $A \in \mathrm{SH}(S)$ be an η -periodic ring spectrum with a weak hyperbolic orientation. Consider the situation of (3.3.1), and assume that $D = 1^{\oplus 2}$. Then the closed immersion $i: \mathrm{Gr}(2, E) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(2, E \oplus 1^{\oplus 2})$ is the zero-locus of a section of $\mathcal{U}_2^\vee \oplus \mathcal{U}_2^\vee$ transverse to the zero-section, hence by (2.4.9) we have

$$(3.3.2.a) \quad i_*(\mathfrak{t}_{\mathcal{U}_2^\vee}) = \pi(\mathcal{U}_2^\vee) \in A^{*,*}(\mathrm{Gr}(2, E \oplus 1^{\oplus 2})).$$

Together with the projection formula (2.4.6), this implies that, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$(3.3.2.b) \quad i_*(\pi(\mathcal{U}_2^\vee)^k \cup \mathfrak{t}_{\mathcal{U}_2^\vee}) = \pi(\mathcal{U}_2^\vee)^{k+1} \in A^{*,*}(\mathrm{Gr}(2, E \oplus 1^{\oplus 2})).$$

3.3.3. Proposition. *Let $A \in \mathrm{SH}(S)$ be an η -periodic ring spectrum with a weak hyperbolic orientation (see (2.3.1)). Let $d \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ and $s \in \{2d, 2d + 1\}$. Sending u to the Pontryagin class $\pi(\mathcal{U}_2^\vee)$ (see (2.3.4)) yields an isomorphism of $A^{*,*}(S)$ -algebras*

$$A^{*,*}(\mathrm{Gr}(2, s)) \simeq A^{*,*}(S)[u]/u^d.$$

In addition, when s is odd the (left or right) $A^{*,*}(\mathrm{Gr}(2, s))$ -module $A^{*,*}(\mathrm{Gr}(2, s); \mathcal{U}_2^\vee)$ is freely generated by the Euler class $e(\mathcal{U}_2^\vee)$ (see (2.3.4)).

Proof when $s = 2d$. Consider the closed immersion $i: \mathrm{Gr}(2, s-2) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(2, s)$ given by the vanishing of the last two components. We are in the situation of (3.3.1) with $E = 1^{\oplus s}$ and $D = 1^{\oplus 2}$, so that, taking into account (2.3.3), we obtain a split exact sequence of $A^{*,*}(S)$ -modules

$$(3.3.3.a) \quad 0 \rightarrow A^{*,*}(\mathrm{Gr}(2, s-2)) \rightarrow A^{*,*}(\mathrm{Gr}(2, s)) \rightarrow A^{*,*}(S) \rightarrow 0.$$

Here the first map is given by $x \mapsto i_*(x \cup \mathfrak{t}_{\mathcal{U}_2^\vee})$. Together with (3.3.2.b) and the description of $A^{*,*}(\mathrm{Gr}(2, s-2))$ known by induction, this yields the claimed description of the $A^{*,*}(S)$ -algebra $A^{*,*}(\mathrm{Gr}(2, 2))$. \square

Proof when $s = 2d + 1$. Since by (3.2.7.a) (with $E = 1^{\oplus 2d}$) we have an isomorphism of $A^{*,*}(S)$ -algebras $A^{*,*}(\mathrm{Gr}(2, 2d+1)) \simeq A^{*,*}(\mathrm{Gr}(2, 2d))$ mapping $\pi(\mathcal{U}_2)$ to $\pi(\mathcal{U}_2)$, the isomorphism $A^{*,*}(\mathrm{Gr}(2, 2d+1)) \simeq A^{*,*}(S)[u]/u^d$ follows from the case $s = 2d$ above.

Finally, the composite

$$\begin{aligned} A^{*,*}(\mathrm{Gr}(2, 2d+1)) &\xrightarrow{g_{2d}^*} A^{*,*}(\mathrm{Gr}(2, 2d)) \xrightarrow{\cup \mathfrak{t}_{\mathcal{U}_2^\vee}} \\ &A^{*,*}(\mathrm{Gr}(2, 2d); \mathcal{U}_2^\vee \oplus \mathcal{U}_2^\vee) \xrightarrow{g_{2d}^{2*}} A^{*,*}(\mathrm{Gr}(2, 2d+1); \mathcal{U}_2^\vee) \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism of $A^{*,*}(S)$ -modules by (3.2.7.a), (2.3.3) and (3.2.7.b). By (2.4.9) that composite is multiplication by the Euler class $e(\mathcal{U}_2^\vee)$, proving the remaining statement. \square

3.3.4. Remark. Let $X \in \mathrm{Sm}_S$ with structural morphism $f: X \rightarrow S$. Since the image under $f^*: \mathrm{SH}(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{SH}(X)$ of an η -periodic ring spectrum with a weak hyperbolic orientation remains one, it follows that (3.3.3) provides a computation of $A^{*,*}(\mathrm{Gr}(2, s) \times_S X)$.

3.3.5. Corollary. Let $A \in \mathrm{SH}(S)$ be an η -periodic ring spectrum with a weak hyperbolic orientation. Let $d \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. Let $X \in \mathrm{Sm}_S$, and $E, V \rightarrow X$ vector bundles, with E of constant rank $s \in \{2d, 2d+1\}$. Denote by $q: \mathrm{Gr}(2, E) \rightarrow X$ the projection.

(i) We have an isomorphism of two-sided $A^{*,*}(X)$ -modules

$$\varphi_{X,V}: A^{*,*}(X; V)^{\oplus d} \xrightarrow{\sim} A^{*,*}(\mathrm{Gr}(2, E); q^*V), \quad (a_0, \dots, a_{d-1}) \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} q^*(a_i) \pi(\mathcal{U}_2^\vee)^i.$$

(ii) If $s = 2d + 1$, we have an isomorphism of two-sided $A^{*,*}(X)$ -modules

$$A^{*,*}(\mathrm{Gr}(2, E); p^*V) \xrightarrow{\sim} A^{*,*}(\mathrm{Gr}(2, E); p^*V \oplus \mathcal{U}_2^\vee), \quad x \mapsto x \cup e(\mathcal{U}_2^\vee).$$

Proof. Set $G = \mathrm{Gr}(2, E)$ and $H = G \times_X V^\circ$. Using the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} A^{*,*}(V^\circ)^{\oplus d} & \longrightarrow & A^{*,*}(X)^{\oplus d} & \longrightarrow & A^{*,*}(X; V)^{\oplus d} & \longrightarrow & A^{*,*}(V^\circ)^{\oplus d} & \longrightarrow & A^{*,*}(X)^{\oplus d} \\ \downarrow \varphi_{V^\circ, 0} & & \downarrow \varphi_{X, 0} & & \downarrow \varphi_{X, V} & & \downarrow \varphi_{V^\circ, 0} & & \downarrow \varphi_{X, 0} \\ A^{*,*}(H) & \longrightarrow & A^{*,*}(G) & \longrightarrow & A^{*,*}(G; q^*V) & \longrightarrow & A^{*,*}(H) & \longrightarrow & A^{*,*}(G) \end{array}$$

and, in case $\text{rank } E = 2d + 1$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
A^{*,*}(H) & \longrightarrow & A^{*,*}(G) & \longrightarrow & A^{*,*}(G, V) & \longrightarrow & A^{*,*}(H) & \longrightarrow & A^{*,*}(G) \\
\downarrow \epsilon(\mathcal{U}_2^\vee) & & \downarrow \epsilon(\mathcal{U}_2^\vee) & & \downarrow \epsilon(\mathcal{U}_2^\vee) & & \downarrow \epsilon(\mathcal{U}_2^\vee) & & \downarrow \epsilon(\mathcal{U}_2^\vee) \\
A^{*,*}(H; \mathcal{U}_2^\vee) & \longrightarrow & A^{*,*}(G; \mathcal{U}_2^\vee) & \longrightarrow & A^{*,*}(G; q^*V \oplus \mathcal{U}_2^\vee) & \longrightarrow & A^{*,*}(H; \mathcal{U}_2^\vee) & \longrightarrow & A^{*,*}(G; \mathcal{U}_2^\vee)
\end{array}$$

we are reduced to assuming that $V = 0$. Using a Mayer–Vietoris argument, we may assume that E is trivial. Then the statements follow from (3.3.3) (in view of (3.3.4)). \square

4. PONTRYAGIN CLASSES

4.1. Pontryagin structures. In this section, we introduce a structure which turns out to be equivalent to that of a weak hyperbolic orientation, where instead of Thom classes of rank two vector bundles, we specify their Pontryagin classes.

4.1.1. Let us denote by $i: S = \text{Gr}(2, 2) \rightarrow \text{Gr}(2, 4)$ the closed immersion induced by the inclusion $1^{\oplus 2} \subset 1^{\oplus 4}$ given by the vanishing of the last two coordinates. Then i is the zero-locus of a section of \mathcal{U}_2^\vee transverse to the zero-section, hence its normal bundle $i^*\mathcal{U}_2^\vee$ is canonically isomorphic to $1^{\oplus 2}$.

4.1.2. **Definition.** Let $A \in \text{SH}(S)$ be a ring spectrum. A *Pontryagin structure* on A is the datum of a class $\pi_E \in A^{8,4}(X)$ for each rank two vector bundle $E \rightarrow X$ with $X \in \text{Sm}_S$, such that:

- (i) the class π_E is $A^{*,*}(X)$ -central,
- (ii) if $f: Y \rightarrow X$ is a morphism in Sm_S and $E \rightarrow X$ a vector bundle of rank two, then $f^*\pi_E = \pi_{f^*E}$,
- (iii) if $\varphi: E \xrightarrow{\sim} F$ is an isomorphism of rank two vector bundles over X , then $\pi_F = \pi_E$,
- (iv) in the notation of (4.1.1) we have $\pi_{\mathcal{U}_2^\vee} = i_*(\Sigma^{8,4}1)$.

4.1.3. **Lemma.** *Let $A \in \text{SH}(S)$ be a ring spectrum with a Pontryagin structure. Then $\pi_{1^{\oplus 2}} = 0 \in A^{8,4}(S)$.*

Proof. Let $j: S \rightarrow \text{Gr}(2, 4)$ be the immersion given by the vanishing of the first two coordinates. Then $j^*\mathcal{U}_2^\vee \simeq 1^{\oplus 2}$, and j factors through the open complement of the immersion i of (4.1.1), hence by the last sentence of (2.4.5)

$$0 = j^* \circ i_*(\Sigma^{8,4}1) \stackrel{(4.1.2.\text{iv})}{=} i^*\pi_{\mathcal{U}_2^\vee} \stackrel{(4.1.2.\text{ii})}{=} \pi_{i^*\mathcal{U}_2^\vee} \stackrel{(4.1.2.\text{iii})}{=} \pi_{1^{\oplus 2}}. \quad \square$$

4.1.4. It follows from (2.4.9) that a weak hyperbolic orientation (see (2.3.1)) induces a Pontryagin structure, by setting $\pi_E = \pi(E)$ for any rank two vector bundle $E \rightarrow X$ with $X \in \text{Sm}_S$. We will prove a form of converse in (4.1.5) below.

4.1.5. **Proposition.** *Let $A \in \text{SH}(S)$ be an η -periodic ring spectrum. Then each Pontryagin structure on A is induced by a unique weak hyperbolic orientation (in the sense of (4.1.4)).*

Proof. Let $E \rightarrow X$ be a vector bundle, with $X \in \text{Sm}_S$. Recall from (3.3.1) (with $D = 1^{\oplus 2}$) that we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A^{*,*}(\text{Gr}(2, E); \mathcal{U}_2^\vee \oplus \mathcal{U}_2^\vee) \xrightarrow{i^*} A^{*,*}(\text{Gr}(2, E \oplus 1^{\oplus 2})) \xrightarrow{j^*} A^{*,*}(X) \rightarrow 0.$$

In view of the injectivity of i_* , the unicity part of the statement thus follows from (3.3.2.a).

Assume now that A is endowed with a Pontryagin structure, and that E has rank two. Since $j^*\mathcal{U}_2 = 1^{\oplus 2}$, the element $\pi_{\mathcal{U}_2} \in A^{8,4}(\mathrm{Gr}(2, E \oplus 1^{\oplus 2}))$ satisfies $j^*\pi_{\mathcal{U}_2} = \pi_{1^{\oplus 2}}$, which vanishes by (4.1.3). Therefore by the above sequence there exists a unique element $\mathbf{t}_E \in A^{0,0}(X; E \oplus E)$ such that $i_*(\mathbf{t}_E) = \pi_{\mathcal{U}_2}$. It is then easy to verify that $E \mapsto \mathbf{t}_E$ defines a weak hyperbolic orientation of A , which by (3.3.2.a) induces the original Pontryagin structure. \square

4.2. The splitting principle.

4.2.1. Theorem (Splitting principle). *Let $A \in \mathrm{SH}(S)$ be an η -periodic hyperbolically oriented ring spectrum. Let $X \in \mathrm{Sm}_S$, and $E \rightarrow X$ a vector bundle of constant rank. Then there exists a morphism $f: Y \rightarrow X$ in Sm_S and vector bundles E_1, \dots, E_r over Y such that:*

- (i) *for any vector bundle $V \rightarrow X$, the morphism of $A^{*,*}(S)$ -modules $f^*: A^{*,*}(X; V) \rightarrow A^{*,*}(Y; V)$ admits a retraction,*
- (ii) *$f^*E \simeq E_1 \oplus \dots \oplus E_r$,*
- (iii) *$\mathrm{rank} E_i \in \{1, 2\}$ and $\det E_i \simeq 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on the rank of E , the statement being clear when $E = 0$. If $\mathrm{rank} E = 1$, this follows from (2.1.3). Assume that $\mathrm{rank} E \geq 2$, and consider the morphism $q: \mathrm{Gr}(2, E) \rightarrow X$. Then $q^*: A^{*,*}(X; V) \rightarrow A^{*,*}(\mathrm{Gr}(2, E); V)$ is a split injection by (3.3.5), and q^*E admits a rank two subbundle \mathcal{U}_2 such that $\mathcal{Q}_2 = p^*E/\mathcal{U}_2$ is a vector bundle. By (1.6) we find a morphism $g: T \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(2, E)$ such that $g^*q^*E \simeq g^*\mathcal{U}_2 \oplus g^*\mathcal{Q}_2$ and $g^*: A^{*,*}(\mathrm{Gr}(2, E); V) \rightarrow A^{*,*}(T; V)$ is bijective. By (2.1.3), we find a morphism $h: Z \rightarrow T$, such that the vector bundle $h^*g^*\mathcal{U}_2$ has trivial determinant, and $h^*: A^{*,*}(T; V) \rightarrow A^{*,*}(Z; V)$ is split injective. We conclude by applying the inductive hypothesis to the vector bundle $h^*g^*\mathcal{Q}_2$. \square

As a corollary, we obtain an SL-oriented splitting principle, generalising [Ana15, §9]:

4.2.2. Corollary. *Let $A \in \mathrm{SH}(S)$ be an η -periodic hyperbolically oriented ring spectrum. Let $X \in \mathrm{Sm}_S$, and $E \rightarrow X$ a SL-oriented vector bundle of constant rank (see e.g. [Ana20, Definition 2.2]). Then there exists a morphism $f: Y \rightarrow X$ in Sm_S such that*

- (i) *for any vector bundle $V \rightarrow X$, the morphism of $A^{*,*}(S)$ -modules $f^*: A^{*,*}(X; V) \rightarrow A^{*,*}(Y; V)$ admits a retraction,*
- (ii) *the SL-oriented vector bundle f^*E splits as a direct sum of SL-oriented vector bundles of ranks one or two.*

Proof. Denote by $\lambda: 1 \xrightarrow{\sim} \det E$ the isomorphism giving the SL-orientation of E . Let us pick $f: Y \rightarrow X$ and E_1, \dots, E_r as in (4.2.1), together with isomorphisms $\lambda_i: 1 \xrightarrow{\sim} \det E_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, r-1$. We may assume that $E \neq 0$, so that $r \geq 1$. Letting

$$\lambda_r: 1 \xrightarrow{\lambda \otimes (\lambda_1^{-1} \otimes \dots \otimes \lambda_{r-1}^{-1})^\vee} \det E \otimes (\det E_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \det E_{r-1})^\vee \simeq \det E_r,$$

we have, as SL-oriented vector bundles

$$(E, \lambda) = (E_1, \lambda_1) \oplus \dots \oplus (E_r, \lambda_r). \quad \square$$

For the next statement, we use the terminology of [Ana20, Definition 3.3].

4.2.3. Corollary. *Let $A \in \text{SH}(S)$ be an η -periodic commutative ring spectrum. Then each Sp-orientation of A is induced by at most one normalised SL-orientation.*

Proof. Let us assume that A is endowed with a normalised SL-orientation. Recall from (2.2.4) that A carries an induced hyperbolic orientation. In view of (4.2.2), it will suffice to prove that the Thom class (for the SL-orientation) of an SL-oriented vector bundle $E \rightarrow X$ is determined by the induced Sp-orientation of A , when E has rank one or two.

If E has rank one, it is isomorphic to the trivial SL-oriented line bundle, hence its Thom class in $A^{*,*}(X; E)$ must be the image of $\Sigma^{2,1}1 \in A^{*,*}(X; 1)$, as the SL-orientation is normalised. If E has rank two, as $\text{SL}_2 = \text{Sp}_2$, the vector bundle E is Sp-oriented (explicitly the symplectic form is given by the morphism $E \otimes E \rightarrow \Lambda^2 E = \det E \simeq 1$). \square

4.3. Higher Pontryagin classes. Throughout this section $A \in \text{SH}(S)$ will be an η -periodic ring spectrum with a weak hyperbolic orientation.

4.3.1. Definition. Let $X \in \text{Sm}_S$, and $E \rightarrow X$ be a vector bundle of constant rank $2d$ or $2d + 1$, with $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider the Grassmann bundle $q: \text{Gr}(2, E^\vee) \rightarrow X$. We define the *Pontryagin classes* $p_k(E) \in A^{8k, 4k}(X)$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ by the formulas

$$p_0(E) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad p_k(E) = 0 \quad \text{when } k \notin \{0, \dots, d\},$$

and, in view of (3.3.5),

$$(4.3.1.a) \quad \sum_{i=0}^d (-1)^k q^*(p_{d-k}(E)) \pi(\mathcal{U}_2^\vee)^k = 0 \in A^{8d, 4d}(\text{Gr}(2, E^\vee)).$$

4.3.2. The Pontryagin classes $p_k(E)$ are functorial in $X \in \text{Sm}_S$, central in $A^{*,*}(X)$, and depend only on the isomorphism class of the vector bundle $E \rightarrow X$.

4.3.3. Assume that $E \rightarrow X$ is a vector bundle of rank two, with $X \in \text{Sm}_S$. Then the morphism $q: \text{Gr}(2, E^\vee) \rightarrow X$ is an isomorphism, and $\mathcal{U}_2^\vee = q^*E$. Therefore (4.3.1.a) implies that

$$(4.3.3.a) \quad p_1(E) = \pi(E).$$

4.3.4. Lemma. *Let $X \in \text{Sm}_S$. Let $E \rightarrow X$ be a vector bundle, and F the quotient of E by a subbundle of rank one. Then*

$$p_k(E) = p_k(F) \quad \text{for any } k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Proof. We may assume that E has constant rank r . Let $q: \text{Gr}(2, E^\vee) \rightarrow X$ be the projection. If $r = 2d + 1$ is even, the pulling back the relation (4.3.1.a)

$$0 = \sum_{k=0}^d (-1)^k q^*(p_{d-k}(E)) \pi(\mathcal{U}_2^\vee)^k \in A^{*,*}(\text{Gr}(2, E^\vee))$$

along the morphism $\text{Gr}(2, F^\vee) \rightarrow \text{Gr}(2, E^\vee)$ induced by the inclusion $F^\vee \subset E^\vee$ proves the lemma.

Assume now that $r = 2d$ is even. By (1.6), we may assume that $E = F \oplus L$ with $L \rightarrow X$ a line bundle. By (2.1.3) we may assume that $L = 1$ and that $F = G \oplus 1$ for some vector bundle $G \rightarrow X$. Let $q': \text{Gr}(2, G^\vee) \rightarrow X$ be the projection. The defining relation (4.3.1.a) reads

$$0 = \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} (-1)^k q'^*(p_{d-1-k}(G)) \pi(\mathcal{U}_2^\vee)^k \in A^{*,*}(\text{Gr}(2, G^\vee)).$$

Applying the map $x \mapsto -i_*(x \cup \mathfrak{t}_{\mathcal{U}_2^\vee})$ to this relation yields in $A^{*,*}(\text{Gr}(2, E^\vee))$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= - \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} (-1)^k q'^*(p_{d-1-k}(G)) i_*(\pi(\mathcal{U}_2^\vee)^k \cup \mathfrak{t}_{\mathcal{U}_2^\vee}) && \text{by (2.4.6), as } q' = q \circ i \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} (-1)^{k+1} q'^*(p_{d-1-k}(G)) \pi(\mathcal{U}_2^\vee)^{k+1} && \text{by (3.3.2.b)} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^d (-1)^k q'^*(p_{d-k}(G)) \pi(\mathcal{U}_2^\vee)^k && \text{as } p_d(G) = 0 \text{ by definition.} \end{aligned}$$

It thus follows that $p_k(G) = p_k(E)$ for all k . Since $p_k(G) = p_k(G \oplus 1) = p_k(F)$ by the even case considered above, this concludes the proof. \square

4.3.5. Lemma. *Let U_1, \dots, U_d be rank two vector bundles over $X \in \text{Sm}_S$. Consider the Grassmann bundle $q: \text{Gr}(2, U_1^\vee \oplus \dots \oplus U_d^\vee) \rightarrow X$ and its universal rank two vector bundle \mathcal{U}_2 . Then*

$$\prod_{i=1}^d \left(\pi(\mathcal{U}_2^\vee) - q^* \pi(U_i^\vee) \right) = 0 \in A^{8d, 4d}(\text{Gr}(2, U_1^\vee \oplus \dots \oplus U_d^\vee)).$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on d , the case $d = 0$ being clear. Assume that $d \geq 1$. Set $E = U_1^\vee \oplus \dots \oplus U_{d-1}^\vee$, and denote by $q': \text{Gr}(2, E) \rightarrow X$ the projection. By (3.3.1) we have an exact sequence

$$A^{*,*}(\text{Gr}(2, E); \mathcal{U}_2^\vee \otimes q'^* U_d) \xrightarrow{i_*} A^{*,*}(\text{Gr}(2, E \oplus U_d^\vee)) \xrightarrow{j^*} A^{*,*}(X).$$

Since $j^* \mathcal{U}_2^\vee = U_d^\vee$, the element $\pi(\mathcal{U}_2^\vee) - q'^* \pi(U_d^\vee) \in A^{*,*}(\text{Gr}(2, E \oplus U_d^\vee))$ belongs to the kernel of j^* . Thus there exists an element $x \in A^{*,*}(\text{Gr}(2, E); \mathcal{U}_2^\vee \otimes q'^* U_d)$ such that $i_*(x) = \pi(\mathcal{U}_2^\vee) - q'^* \pi(U_d^\vee)$. By the projection formula (2.4.6) we have in $A^{*,*}(\text{Gr}(2, E \oplus U_d^\vee))$

$$\prod_{i=1}^d \left(\pi(\mathcal{U}_2^\vee) - q^* \pi(U_i^\vee) \right) = \prod_{i=1}^{d-1} \left(\pi(\mathcal{U}_2^\vee) - q^* \pi(U_i^\vee) \right) i_*(x) = i_* \left(\prod_{i=1}^{d-1} \left(\pi(\mathcal{U}_2^\vee) - q'^* \pi(U_i^\vee) \right) \cup x \right).$$

This element vanishes by induction. \square

4.3.6. Theorem. *Let $X \in \text{Sm}_S$. Let U_1, \dots, U_d be rank two vector bundles over X , and L_1, \dots, L_r line bundles over X . Then for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $A^{4k, 2k}(X)$*

$$p_k(U_1 \oplus \dots \oplus U_d \oplus L_1 \oplus \dots \oplus L_r) = \sigma_k(\pi(U_1), \dots, \pi(U_d)).$$

where σ_k denotes the k -th elementary symmetric polynomial in d variables.

Proof. By (4.3.4), we may assume that $r = 0$. Then the theorem follows from (4.3.5). \square

4.3.7. Corollary (Cartan's formula). *If $0 \rightarrow E' \rightarrow E \rightarrow E'' \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence of vector bundles over $X \in \text{Sm}_S$, we have for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have in $A^{4k, 2k}(X)$*

$$p_k(E) = \sum_{i=0}^k p_i(E') p_{k-i}(E'').$$

Proof. By (1.6), we may assume that the sequence splits. By the splitting principle (4.2.1) we may further assume that E' and E'' split as direct sums of vector bundles of ranks 1 or 2. Then the theorem follows from (4.3.6). \square

4.3.8. Corollary. *If $X \in \text{Sm}_S$ and $E \rightarrow X$ is a vector bundle, we have*

$$p_k(E) = p_k(E^\vee) \quad \text{for any } k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Proof. This follows by combining (4.2.1), (4.3.6) and (2.3.5). \square

4.3.9. Corollary. *Assume the weak hyperbolic orientation of A extends to a hyperbolic orientation. Let $X \in \text{Sm}_S$, and $E \rightarrow X$ be a vector bundle of constant even rank $2d$. Then $p_d(E) = \pi(E)$.*

Proof. This follows by combining (4.2.1), (4.3.6) and (2.2.6.v). \square

4.3.10. Remark. We will see in (4.4.10) that the assumption of (4.3.9) is automatically verified.

4.4. Orientations and weak orientations. The purpose of this section is to prove in (4.4.10) that the datum of a hyperbolic orientation (see (2.2.1)) is equivalent to that of a weak hyperbolic orientation (see (2.3.1)). Throughout this section $A \in \text{SH}(S)$ is an η -periodic ring spectrum with a weak hyperbolic orientation.

4.4.1. It will be convenient to introduce the polynomials, for $r \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$f_{r,E} = \sum_{k=0}^r (-1)^k p_{r-k}(E) u^k \in A^{*,*}(X)[u],$$

for any vector bundle $E \rightarrow X$ with $X \in \text{Sm}_S$. Those satisfy the inductive formula

$$(4.4.1.a) \quad p_r(E) = f_{r,E} + u f_{r-1,E}.$$

4.4.2. Let $X \in \text{Sm}_S$, and $E \rightarrow X$ be a vector bundle of rank $2d$ or $2d + 1$, with $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we claim that the pullback along the projection $\text{Gr}(2, E^\vee) \rightarrow X$ induces an isomorphism of $A^{*,*}(X)$ -algebras

$$A^{*,*}(X)[u]/f_{d,E} \xrightarrow{\sim} A^{*,*}(\text{Gr}(2, E^\vee)), \quad u \mapsto \pi(\mathcal{U}_2^\vee).$$

Indeed, it follows from the defining relation (4.3.1.a) that this morphism is well-defined. It is bijective because it maps the left $A^{*,*}(X)$ -module basis $1, \dots, u^{d-1}$ (as $f_{d,E}$ has invertible leading coefficient) to the basis $1, \dots, \pi(\mathcal{U}_2^\vee)^{d-1}$ (by (3.3.5)).

4.4.3. Let $E \rightarrow X$ be a vector bundle, with $X \in \text{Sm}_S$. It follows from (4.3.4) that $f_{r,E} = f_{r,E \oplus 1}$ for any $r \in \mathbb{Z}$.

4.4.4. Consider an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow D \rightarrow F \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0$ of vector bundles over $X \in \text{Sm}_S$, where D has rank two. Then by Cartan's formula (4.3.7) we have $p_k(F) = p_k(E) + p_{k-1}(E)\pi(D)$, so that for any $r \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$(4.4.4.a) \quad f_{r,F} = f_{r,E} + f_{r-1,E} \cdot \pi(D).$$

In view of (4.4.1.a), we deduce that for any $r \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$(4.4.4.b) \quad f_{r,F}(\pi(D)) = p_r(E) \in A^{*,*}(X).$$

4.4.5. Let $X \in \text{Sm}_S$, and $E \rightarrow X$ be a vector bundle. We will implicitly view $A^{*,*}(\text{Gr}(2, E))$ as a two-sided $A^{*,*}(X)$ -module, via the pullback along the projection $\text{Gr}(2, E) \rightarrow X$. Then we have by (4.4.4.b), for any $r \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$(4.4.5.a) \quad f_{r,E}(\pi(\mathcal{U}_2)) = p_r(\mathcal{Q}_2) \in A^{*,*}(\text{Gr}(2, E)).$$

4.4.6. Let $X \in \text{Sm}_S$ and $E \rightarrow X$ be a vector bundle of constant even rank $2d$. By (3.2.5), we have a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow A^{*,*}(\text{Gr}(1, E \oplus 1); \mathcal{Q}_1) \xrightarrow{h_{E*}} A^{*,*}(\text{Gr}(2, E \oplus 1^{\oplus 2})) \xrightarrow{g_E^*} A^{*,*}(\text{Gr}(2, E \oplus 1)) \rightarrow \cdots$$

It follows from (3.3.5) that in this sequence the map g_E^* is surjective, hence h_{E*} is injective. Moreover $\text{Gr}(1, E \oplus 1) = \mathbb{P}(E \oplus 1)$ with \mathcal{Q}_1 corresponding to the quotient bundle \mathcal{Q} of (3.2.2). Consider the closed immersion $f: X = \mathbb{P}(1) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(E \oplus 1) = \text{Gr}(1, E \oplus 1)$ induced by the inclusion $1 \subset E \oplus 1$. Then the composite $h_E \circ f$ may be identified with the morphism induced the inclusion $1^{\oplus 2} \subset E \oplus 1^{\oplus 2}$:

$$(4.4.6.a) \quad s_E: X = \text{Gr}(2, 1^{\oplus 2}) \rightarrow \text{Gr}(2, E \oplus 1^{\oplus 2}).$$

By (3.1.4) the pushforward $f_*: A^{*,*}(X; E \oplus E) \rightarrow A^{*,*}(\mathbb{P}(E \oplus 1); \mathcal{Q})$ is bijective. We thus obtain a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A^{*,*}(X; E \oplus E) \xrightarrow{s_{E*}} A^{*,*}(\text{Gr}(2, E \oplus 1^{\oplus 2})) \xrightarrow{g_E^*} A^{*,*}(\text{Gr}(2, E \oplus 1)) \rightarrow 0.$$

We have in $A^{*,*}(\text{Gr}(2, E \oplus 1))$

$$g_E^*(f_{d,E}(\pi(\mathcal{U}_2))) = f_{d,E}(\pi(\mathcal{U}_2)) \stackrel{(4.4.3)}{=} f_{d,E \oplus 1}(\pi(\mathcal{U}_2)) \stackrel{(4.4.5.a)}{=} p_d(\mathcal{Q}_2),$$

which vanishes since the vector bundle $\mathcal{Q}_2 \rightarrow \text{Gr}(2, E \oplus 1)$ has rank $2d - 1$. Thus by the short exact sequence above, there exists a unique element

$$(4.4.6.b) \quad \mathfrak{o}_E \in A^{*,*}(X; E \oplus E) \quad \text{such that } s_{E*}(\mathfrak{o}_E) = f_{d,E}(\pi(\mathcal{U}_2)).$$

4.4.7. **Lemma.** *Let $X \in \text{Sm}_S$, and $E \rightarrow X$ a rank two vector bundle. Then*

$$\mathfrak{o}_E = \mathfrak{t}_E \in A^{*,*}(X; E \oplus E),$$

where \mathfrak{o}_E is defined in (4.4.6.b), and \mathfrak{t}_E is given by the weak hyperbolic orientation.

Proof. The closed immersion $s_E: X \rightarrow \text{Gr}(2, E \oplus 1^{\oplus 2})$ of (4.4.6.a) is defined by the vanishing of a section of $\mathcal{Q}_2 \oplus \mathcal{Q}_2$ transverse to the zero-section (see (2.4.7)). Therefore

$$s_{E*}(\mathfrak{t}_E) \stackrel{(2.4.9)}{=} \pi(\mathcal{Q}_2) \stackrel{(4.3.3.a)}{=} p_1(\mathcal{Q}_2) \stackrel{(4.4.5.a)}{=} f_{1,E}(\pi(\mathcal{U}_2)). \quad \square$$

4.4.8. Lemma. *Let $X \in \text{Sm}_S$, and $E, D \rightarrow X$ vector bundles. Assume that D has rank two, and that E has constant even rank. Then the elements defined in (4.4.6.b) satisfy*

$$\mathfrak{o}_{D \oplus E} = \mathfrak{o}_D \cup \mathfrak{o}_E \in A^{*,*}(X; D \oplus E \oplus D \oplus E) = A^{*,*}(X; D \oplus D \oplus E \oplus E).$$

Proof. Let $q: \text{Gr}(2, D \oplus E \oplus 1^{\oplus 2}) \rightarrow X$ be the projection. The inclusions $E \oplus 1^{\oplus 2} \subset D \oplus E \oplus 1^{\oplus 2}$ and $D \subset D \oplus E \oplus 1^{\oplus 2}$ induce closed immersions

$$i: \text{Gr}(2, E \oplus 1^{\oplus 2}) \rightarrow \text{Gr}(2, D \oplus E \oplus 1^{\oplus 2}) \text{ and } j: X = \text{Gr}(2, D) \rightarrow \text{Gr}(2, D \oplus E \oplus 1^{\oplus 2}).$$

As in (3.3.1), we have an exact sequence of $A^{*,*}(X)$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow A^{*,*}(\text{Gr}(2, E \oplus 1^{\oplus 2}); \mathcal{U}_2^\vee \otimes q^*D) \xrightarrow{i^*} A^{*,*}(\text{Gr}(2, D \oplus E \oplus 1^{\oplus 2})) \xrightarrow{j^*} A^{*,*}(X) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $j^*\mathcal{U}_2 = D$, there exists an element $\omega \in A^{0,0}(\text{Gr}(2, E \oplus 1^{\oplus 2}); \mathcal{U}_2^\vee \otimes q^*D)$ such that

$$(4.4.8.a) \quad i_*(\omega) = q^*\pi(D) - \pi(\mathcal{U}_2) \in A^{*,*}(\text{Gr}(2, D \oplus E \oplus 1^{\oplus 2})).$$

Consider now the cartesian square in Sm_S

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{s_D} & \text{Gr}(2, D \oplus 1^{\oplus 2}) \\ s_E \downarrow & & \downarrow k \\ \text{Gr}(2, E \oplus 1^{\oplus 2}) & \xrightarrow{i} & \text{Gr}(2, D \oplus E \oplus 1^{\oplus 2}) \end{array}$$

where k is induced by the inclusion $D \oplus 1^{\oplus 2} \subset D \oplus E \oplus 1^{\oplus 2}$. Set $q' = k \circ q$. Then by (2.4.5) we have in $A^{*,*}(\text{Gr}(2, D \oplus 1^{\oplus 2}))$

$$s_{D*} \circ s_E^*(\omega) = k^* \circ i_*(\omega) = k^*(q^*\pi(D) - \pi(\mathcal{U}_2)) = q'^*\pi(D) - \pi(\mathcal{U}_2) = f_{1,D}(\pi(\mathcal{U}_2)),$$

which by definition of \mathfrak{o}_D (see (4.4.6.b)) implies that

$$(4.4.8.b) \quad s_E^*(\omega) = \mathfrak{o}_D \in A^{0,0}(X; D \oplus D).$$

Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that E has rank $2d$. Then, viewing $A^{*,*}(\text{Gr}(2, D \oplus E \oplus 1^{\oplus 2}))$ as an $A^{*,*}(X)$ -algebra via q^* , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (s_{D \oplus E})_*(\mathfrak{o}_D \cup \mathfrak{o}_E) \\ &= i_* \circ (s_E)_*(\mathfrak{o}_E \cup \mathfrak{o}_D) && \text{as } s_{D \oplus E} = i \circ s_E \\ &= i_* \circ (s_E)_*(\mathfrak{o}_E \cup s_E^*(\omega)) && \text{by (4.4.8.b)} \\ &= i_*(f_{d,E}(\pi(\mathcal{U}_2)) \cup \omega) && \text{by (4.4.6.b) and (2.4.6)} \\ &= f_{d,E}(\pi(\mathcal{U}_2)) \cdot \pi(D) - f_{d,E}(\pi(\mathcal{U}_2)) \cdot \pi(\mathcal{U}_2) && \text{by (4.4.8.a) and (2.4.6)} \\ &= f_{d,E}(\pi(\mathcal{U}_2)) \cdot \pi(D) + f_{d+1,E}(\pi(\mathcal{U}_2)) - p_{d+1}(E) && \text{by (4.4.1.a)} \\ &= f_{d,E}(\pi(\mathcal{U}_2)) \cdot \pi(D) + f_{d+1,E}(\pi(\mathcal{U}_2)) && \text{as rank } E \leq 2d + 1 \\ &= f_{d+1,D \oplus E}(\pi(\mathcal{U}_2)) && \text{by (4.4.4.a),} \end{aligned}$$

from which the statement follows. \square

4.4.9. Proposition. *Let E, F be vector bundles of constant even ranks over $X \in \text{Sm}_S$. Then the elements defined in (4.4.6.b) satisfy*

$$\mathfrak{o}_{E \oplus F} = \mathfrak{o}_E \cup \mathfrak{o}_F \in A^{*,*}(X; E \oplus F \oplus E \oplus F) = A^{*,*}(X; E \oplus E \oplus F \oplus F).$$

Proof. This follows from the splitting principle (4.2.1) and (4.4.8). \square

4.4.10. Theorem. *Let $A \in \text{SH}(S)$ be an η -periodic ring spectrum. Then every weak hyperbolic orientation on A (see (2.3.1)) is induced by a unique hyperbolic orientation (see (2.2.1)).*

Proof. We assume that A carries a weak hyperbolic orientation. Let us first define a class $\mathfrak{o}_E \in A^{*,*}(X; E \oplus E)$ for each vector bundle $E \rightarrow X$ with $X \in \text{Sm}_S$. We may assume that E has constant rank n . If n is even, we define the class \mathfrak{o}_E as in (4.4.6.b). If n is odd, we set $\mathfrak{o}_E = \Sigma^{-4, -2} \mathfrak{o}_{E \oplus 1}$.

We now show that the assignment $E \mapsto \mathfrak{o}_E$ defines a hyperbolic orientation of A . The axioms (2.2.1.i), (2.2.1.ii) and (2.2.1.iii) are easily verified. Lemma (4.4.7) and the axiom (2.3.1.iv) imply that

$$(4.4.10.a) \quad \mathfrak{o}_{1 \oplus 2} = \Sigma^{8, 4} 1,$$

so that $\mathfrak{o}_1 = \Sigma^{4, 2} 1$, proving (2.2.1.v).

It remains to establish (2.2.1.iv). So we consider vector bundles E, F over $X \in \text{Sm}_S$, and prove that $\mathfrak{o}_{E \oplus F} = \mathfrak{o}_E \cup \mathfrak{o}_F$. We may assume that E, F have constant respective ranks e, f . When both e and f are even, the required equality has been proved in (4.4.9). If e is even and f is odd, then by the case just considered

$$\mathfrak{o}_E \cup \mathfrak{o}_F = \Sigma^{-4, -2}(\mathfrak{o}_E \cup \mathfrak{o}_{F \oplus 1}) = \Sigma^{-4, -2}(\mathfrak{o}_{E \oplus F \oplus 1}) = \mathfrak{o}_{E \oplus F}.$$

The case when e is odd and f is even is treated similarly. Finally, let us assume that both e and f are odd. Then

$$\mathfrak{o}_E \cup \mathfrak{o}_F = \Sigma^{-8, -4}(\mathfrak{o}_{E \oplus 1} \cup \mathfrak{o}_{F \oplus 1}) \stackrel{(4.4.9)}{=} \Sigma^{-8, -4} \mathfrak{o}_{E \oplus F \oplus 1 \oplus 2} \stackrel{(4.4.9)}{=} \Sigma^{-8, -4}(\mathfrak{o}_{E \oplus F} \cup \mathfrak{o}_{1 \oplus 2}),$$

which coincides with $\mathfrak{o}_{E \oplus F}$ by (4.4.10.a).

Finally (4.4.7) shows that the hyperbolic orientation that we have just constructed induces the original weak hyperbolic orientation. The unicity of a hyperbolic orientation having this property is a consequence of the splitting principle (4.2.1). \square

5. COHOMOLOGY OF HIGHER GRASSMANNIANS

5.1. Algebraic interlude. In this section, we introduce the notation required to express the cohomology of higher Grassmannians in (5.2.3) below. We also prove certain purely algebraic results (5.1.7) and (5.1.8) that will be useful to compute the cohomology of BGL_n in (5.3), and in §6.

5.1.1. Let B be a unital associative ring, and consider the polynomial ring in r variables $B[p_1, \dots, p_r]$ (the variables p_i are central). Define polynomials $s_j = s_j(p_1, \dots, p_r) \in B[p_1, \dots, p_r]$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ by the formula

$$(1 + tp_1 + \dots + t^r p_r)^{-1} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} s_j t^j \in (B[p_1, \dots, p_r])[[t]].$$

Thus $s_0 = 1$ and $s_j = 0$ for $j < 0$, and we have the inductive relation

$$(5.1.1.a) \quad s_j = -p_1 s_{j-1} - \dots - p_r s_{j-r} \quad \text{for } j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}.$$

Note also that the elements s_j are central in $B[p_1, \dots, p_r]$.

5.1.2. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. We denote by J_n the (two-sided) ideal of $B[p_1, \dots, p_r]$ generated by s_j for $j \geq n$. The relation (5.1.1.a) shows that J_n is generated by s_n, \dots, s_{n+r} .

5.1.3. We will denote by L be the (two-sided) ideal generated by (p_1, \dots, p_r) in $B[p_1, \dots, p_r]$.

5.1.4. **Lemma.** *For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $J_{rk} \subset L^k$.*

Proof. The relation (5.1.1.a) implies that $J_{rk} \subset LJ_{r(k-1)}$, from which the statement follows by induction on k . \square

5.1.5. **Lemma.** *For each $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ there exists $s \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $L^s J_d \subset J_{d+1}$.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on d , the statement being clear when $d < 0$ (as $J_d = J_{d+1} = B[p_1, \dots, p_r]$). Let us fix an integer $d \geq 0$. For $i \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, let us denote by $L_i \subset B[p_1, \dots, p_r]$ the ideal generated by the variables p_j for $j \in \{i, \dots, r\}$. We will show that for each $i \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ there exists an integer t (depending on i) such that

$$(5.1.5.a) \quad L^t L_i J_d \subset J_{d+1}.$$

Since $L = L_1$, this will complete the proof of the lemma.

We prove (5.1.5.a) by descending induction on i , the case $i > r$ being clear (as $L_i = 0$). Assume that $i \leq r$. From the relation (5.1.1.a), we deduce that

$$p_i s_d = (-s_{d+i} - \dots - p_{i-1} s_{d+1}) + (-p_{i+1} s_{d-1} - \dots - p_r s_{d+i-r}) \in J_{d+1} + L_{i+1} J_{d+i-r},$$

so that

$$(5.1.5.b) \quad L_i J_d \subset J_{d+1} + L_{i+1} J_{d+i-r}.$$

By induction on d (that is, using the lemma where d is replaced by $d-1, \dots, d+i-r$), we find an integer u such that $L^u J_{d+i-r} \subset J_d$. Together with (5.1.5.b), this implies that

$$(5.1.5.c) \quad L^u L_i J_d \subset J_{d+1} + L_{i+1} J_d$$

By induction on i (that is, using (5.1.5.a) where i is replaced by $i+1$), we find an integer v such that $L^v L_{i+1} J_d \subset J_{d+1}$. Combining with (5.1.5.c), we see that (5.1.5.a) holds true if we take $t = u + v$. \square

5.1.6. **Lemma.** *For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $L^k \subset J_n$.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on n , the case $n = 0$ being clear. Let $n \geq 1$. By induction, we find an integer t such that $L^t \subset J_{n-1}$. By (5.1.5) we find s such that $L^s J_{n-1} \subset J_n$. The statement follows by taking $k = s + t$. \square

5.1.7. **Proposition.** *Let M be an abelian group, and $m \in M$. Assume that the ring B is M -graded. Letting each p_i have degree mi , we have (see (1.12))*

$$\lim_d B[p_1, \dots, p_r]/J_d = B[[p_1, \dots, p_r]]_h,$$

where the limit is computed in the category of M -graded abelian groups.

Proof. Using (5.1.1.a), we see that the element s_i is homogeneous of degree mi . Therefore the ideals J_d are homogeneous. Since

$$\lim_d B[p_1, \dots, p_r]/L^d = B[[p_1, \dots, p_r]]_h,$$

the statement follows from (5.1.4) and (5.1.6). \square

In the next statement, we denote by $J'_d \subset B[p'_1, \dots, p'_r]$ denotes the image of J_d under $p_i \mapsto p'_i$. Also, when $I \subset B[p_1, \dots, p_r]$ and $I' \subset B[p'_1, \dots, p'_r]$ are two-sided ideals, we denote by $I + I' \subset B[p_1, \dots, p_r, p'_1, \dots, p'_r]$ the two-sided ideal generated by $I \cup I'$.

5.1.8. Proposition. *Let M be an abelian group, and $m \in M$. Assume that the ring B is M -graded. Letting each p_i and p'_i have degree mi , we have (see (1.12))*

$$\lim_d B[p_1, \dots, p_r, p'_1, \dots, p'_r]/(J_d + J'_d) = B[[p_1, \dots, p_r, p'_1, \dots, p'_r]]_h,$$

where the limit is computed in the category of M -graded abelian groups.

Proof. Again, it follows from (5.1.1.a) that the ideals $J_d + J'_d$ are homogeneous. Let $L' \subset B[p'_1, \dots, p'_r]$ be the ideal generated by p'_1, \dots, p'_r . Observe that by (5.1.4)

$$J_{rk} + J'_{rk} \subset L^k + L'^k \subset (L + L')^k.$$

On the other hand by (5.1.6), we find for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ an integer k such that

$$(L + L')^{2k} \subset L^k + L'^k \subset J_n + J'_n,$$

and we conclude using the fact that

$$\lim_d B[p_1, \dots, p_r, p'_1, \dots, p'_r]/(L + L')^d = B[[p_1, \dots, p_r]]_h. \quad \square$$

5.2. Higher grassmannians.

5.2.1. Let $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$, and $n \in \{2r, 2r + 1\}$. We will implicitly view $A^{*,*}(\text{Gr}(n, s))$ as a two-sided $A^{*,*}(S)$ -algebra, via the pullback along the projection $\text{Gr}(n, s) \rightarrow S$. Let us consider the polynomials s_k , for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, defined in (5.1.1). Then it follows from Cartan's formula (4.3.7) and (5.1.1.a) that for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$(5.2.1.a) \quad p_k(\mathcal{Q}_n) = s_k(p_1(\mathcal{U}_n), \dots, p_r(\mathcal{U}_n)) \in A^{*,*}(\text{Gr}(n, s)).$$

5.2.2. Let $n, s \in \mathbb{N}$. Let us denote by Y the S -scheme classifying the vector bundle inclusions $P \subset Q \subset 1^{\oplus s}$ with P, Q of respective ranks $n - 2, n$. We have natural morphisms

$$\text{Gr}(n - 2, s) \xleftarrow{p} Y \xrightarrow{q} \text{Gr}(n, s),$$

where p , resp. q , maps a flag $P \subset Q \subset 1^{\oplus s}$ to $P \subset 1^{\oplus s}$, resp. to $Q \subset 1^{\oplus s}$. We have a natural inclusion $p^*\mathcal{U}_{n-2} \subset q^*\mathcal{U}_n$ of vector bundles over Y . Let us denote by $\mathcal{E} = q^*\mathcal{U}_n/p^*\mathcal{U}_{n-2}$ the quotient.

The morphism p coincides with the Grassmann bundle $\text{Gr}(2, \mathcal{Q}_{n-2})$, whose universal rank 2 subbundle is $\mathcal{E} \subset p^*\mathcal{Q}_{n-2}$. The morphism q is the Grassmann bundle $\text{Gr}(n - 2, \mathcal{U}_n)$, which may be identified with $\text{Gr}(2, \mathcal{U}_n^\vee)$. The corresponding universal rank 2 subbundle is $\mathcal{E}^\vee \subset q^*\mathcal{U}_n^\vee$.

5.2.3. Proposition. *Let $A \in \text{SH}(S)$ be an η -periodic ring spectrum with a weak hyperbolic orientation. Let $d \geq r \in \mathbb{N}$, and $s \in \{2d, 2d + 1\}$ and $n \in \{2r, 2r + 1\}$. If n is odd, assume that s is also odd. Then mapping p_j to the j -th Pontryagin class $p_j(\mathcal{U}_n^\vee)$ yields an isomorphism of $A^{*,*}(S)$ -algebras (see (1.11))*

$$A^{*,*}(S)[p_1, \dots, p_r]/J_{d-r+1} \xrightarrow{\sim} A^{*,*}(\text{Gr}(n, s)),$$

where J_{d-r+1} is the ideal defined in (5.1.2).

- 5.2.4. **Remark.** (i) The parity assumption in (5.2.3) is necessary, as the case $n = 1, s = 2$ shows.
- (ii) We will see in the proof that the generator s_k of J_{d-r+1} (for $k \geq d - r + 1$) is mapped to the k -th Pontryagin class of the dual \mathcal{Q}_n^\vee of the universal quotient bundle. Those must vanish by rank reasons, and the theorem asserts that there are no further relations between the Pontryagin classes of \mathcal{U}_n^\vee .
- (iii) It is possible to give a formula for $A^{*,*}(\mathrm{Gr}(n, E))$ when $E \rightarrow S$ is a rank s vector bundle, where the relations arise from the vanishing of the Pontryagin classes of \mathcal{Q}_n^\vee in high degrees, just as in (ii) above. We refrain from doing so, as this is not required for the rest of the paper.

Proof of (5.2.3). We proceed by induction on r . The proposition holds when $r = 0$, because $A^{*,*}(\mathrm{Gr}(n, s)) = A^{*,*}(S)$ (this is clear when $n = 0$; if $n = 1$, then s is odd by assumption, hence $\mathrm{Gr}(n, s) = \mathbb{P}^{s-1}$ and this follows from (3.1.1.i)).

Assume that $r \geq 1$. Let us write $R' = A^{*,*}(S)[p'_1, \dots, p'_{r-1}]$, and $s'_j = s_j(p'_1, \dots, p'_{r-1})$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ (see (5.1.1)). By induction, mapping p'_j to $p_j(\mathcal{U}_{n-2}^\vee)$ yields an identification

$$A^{*,*}(\mathrm{Gr}(n-2, s)) = R'/(s'_{d-r+2}, \dots, s'_d).$$

We consider the setting of (5.2.2). Using the pullbacks along the morphisms p, q , we view $A^{*,*}(Y)$ as an algebra over both $A^{*,*}(\mathrm{Gr}(n-2, s))$ and $A^{*,*}(\mathrm{Gr}(n, s))$ (and thus omit to write p^* or q^*). The morphism $p: Y \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(n-2, s)$ may be identified with the Grassmann bundle $\mathrm{Gr}(2, \mathcal{Q}_{n-2})$, with universal rank two bundle \mathcal{E} . The vector bundle $\mathcal{Q}_{n-2} = 1^{\oplus s}/\mathcal{U}_{n-2}$ over $\mathrm{Gr}(n-2, s)$ has rank $s - n + 2 \in \{2(d-r+1), 2(d-r+1) + 1\}$ by the assumption on the parities of n and s . Therefore by (4.4.2) mapping u to $\pi(\mathcal{E}^\vee)$ yields an identification, in the notation of (4.4.1),

$$A^{*,*}(Y) = A^{*,*}(\mathrm{Gr}(n-2, s))[u]/f_{d-r+1, \mathcal{Q}_{n-2}^\vee}.$$

Observe that, by Cartan's formula (4.3.7) and (5.1.1.a), we have for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$p_k(\mathcal{Q}_{n-2}^\vee) = s_k(p_1(\mathcal{U}_{n-2}^\vee), \dots, p_r(\mathcal{U}_{n-2}^\vee)) \in A^{*,*}(\mathrm{Gr}(n-2, s)),$$

so that

$$f_{d-r+1, \mathcal{Q}_{n-2}^\vee} = \sum_{i=0}^{d-r+1} (-1)^i u^i p_{d-r+1-i}(\mathcal{Q}_{n-2}^\vee) = \sum_{i=0}^{d-r+1} (-1)^i u^i s'_{d-r+1-i}$$

We conclude that $A^{*,*}(Y) = R'[u]/I$, where

$$I = \left(\sum_{i=0}^{d-r+1} (-1)^i u^i s'_{d-r+1-i}, s'_{d-r+2}, \dots, s'_d \right).$$

Let $R = A^{*,*}(S)[p_1, \dots, p_r]$ and write $s_j = s_j(p_1, \dots, p_r)$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Consider the morphism of $A^{*,*}(S)$ -algebras (where we write $p'_0 = 1$ and $p'_r = 0$)

$$h: R \rightarrow R'[u] \quad ; \quad p_i \mapsto p'_i + u p'_{i-1}.$$

From the computation in $(R'[u])[t]$ (where we write $p_0 = 1$)

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} h(s_j) t^j = \left(\sum_{i=0}^r h(p_i) t^i \right)^{-1} = \left(\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} p'_i t^i \right)^{-1} (1 + tu)^{-1} = \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} s'_j t^j \right) \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} (-1)^j u^j t^j \right)$$

we deduce that, for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$

$$(5.2.4.a) \quad h(s_j) = \sum_{i=0}^j (-1)^i u^i s'_{j-i},$$

so that $I = (h(s_{d-r+1}), s'_{d-r+2}, \dots, s'_d)$. Moreover (5.2.4.a) implies that, for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$

$$h(s_{j+1}) = s'_{j+1} - uh(s_j),$$

from which we deduce that

$$I = (h(s_{d-r+1}), \dots, h(s_d))$$

It follows from (5.2.5) below that h induces an injective morphism

$$\phi: R/(s_{d-r+1}, \dots, s_d) \rightarrow R'[u]/I = A^{*,*}(Y).$$

To conclude the proof of the proposition, we will show that the image of ϕ is the subalgebra $A^{*,*}(\text{Gr}(n, s)) \subset A^{*,*}(Y)$ (recall that the latter inclusion is induced by q^*).

By Cartan's formula (4.3.7) (and in view of (4.3.3.a)), we have for $j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$

$$\phi(p_j) = p'_j + up'_{j-1} = p_j(\mathcal{U}_{n-2}^\vee) + p_1(\mathcal{E}^\vee)p_{j-1}(\mathcal{U}_{n-2}^\vee) = p_j(\mathcal{U}_n^\vee),$$

so that the image of ϕ is contained in $A^{*,*}(\text{Gr}(n, s)) \subset A^{*,*}(Y)$.

Conversely, let $b \in A^{*,*}(\text{Gr}(n, s))$. Then by (5.2.5) we may write

$$b = \phi(b_0) + \dots + \phi(b_{r-1})u^{r-1} \in A^{*,*}(Y),$$

for some $b_0, \dots, b_{r-1} \in R/(s_{d-r+1}, \dots, s_d)$. As we have seen above, each $\phi(b_i)$ belongs to $A^{*,*}(\text{Gr}(n, s)) \subset A^{*,*}(Y)$. Now (3.3.5) implies that $b = \phi(b_0)$ (as well as $\phi(b_i) = 0$ for $i > 0$). So b lies in the image of ϕ . We have proved that the image of ϕ contains $A^{*,*}(\text{Gr}(n, s))$, concluding the proof of the proposition. \square

5.2.5. Lemma. *Let B be a ring and $r \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. Let $R = B[p_1, \dots, p_r]$ and $R' = B[p'_1, \dots, p'_{r-1}]$. Consider the morphism of B -algebras (where $p'_0 = 1$ and $p'_r = 0$)*

$$h: R \rightarrow R'[u], \quad p_i \mapsto p'_i + up'_{i-1} \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, r.$$

Then the (left or right) R -module $R'[u]$ is freely generated by $1, \dots, u^{r-1}$.

Proof. Let us first prove that h is injective. Any element of R is of the form

$$\sum_{i=0}^d Q_i(p_1, \dots, p_{r-1})p_r^i \quad \text{with } Q_i \in B[X_1, \dots, X_{r-1}].$$

If that element lies in the kernel of h , the relation $h(p_r) = up'_{r-1}$ yields

$$(5.2.5.a) \quad 0 = \sum_{i=0}^d Q_i(h(p_1), \dots, h(p_{r-1}))(p'_{r-1})^i u^i \in R'[u].$$

Assume that $Q_i \neq 0$ for some $i \in \{0, \dots, d\}$. Dividing by an appropriate power of u , we may assume that $Q_0 \neq 0$. Evaluating at $u = 0$ and using the relations $h(p_i) = p'_i + up'_{i-1}$ yields $Q_0(p'_1, \dots, p'_{r-1}) = 0$, and thus $Q_0 = 0$, a contradiction. This proves the injectivity of h .

We will thus omit h from the notation, and view R as a B -subalgebra of $R'[u]$. From the relations $p_i = p'_i + up'_{i-1}$, we deduce by induction on j that (we write $p_0 = 1$)

$$(5.2.5.b) \quad p'_j = \sum_{i=0}^j (-1)^i p_{j-i} u^i \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, r-1.$$

Using the relation $p_r = up'_{r-1}$, and (5.2.5.b) for $j = r-1$ in case $r > 1$, we obtain

$$(5.2.5.c) \quad p_r = - \sum_{i=1}^r (-1)^i p_{r-i} u^i.$$

Let $C = B[p_1, \dots, p_{r-1}] \subset R \subset R'[u]$. It follows from (5.2.5.b) that the C -algebra $R'[u]$ is generated by u . We claim that u is not algebraic over C . Indeed, let $P_0, \dots, P_s \in B[X_1, \dots, X_{r-1}]$ be such that

$$\sum_{i=0}^s P_i(p_1, \dots, p_{r-1}) u^i = 0.$$

Assume that $P_i \neq 0$ for some $i \in \{0, \dots, s\}$. Dividing by an appropriate power of u , we may assume that $P_0 \neq 0$. Evaluating at $u = 0$ and using the relations $p_i = p'_i + up'_{i-1}$ yields $P_0(p'_1, \dots, p'_{r-1}) = 0$, and thus $P_0 = 0$, a contradiction.

To recapitulate, we have identified $R'[u]$ with the polynomial C -algebra $C[u]$, and $R \subset R'[u]$ with the C -subalgebra generated by the right hand side of (5.2.5.c). We conclude using (5.2.6) below. \square

5.2.6. Lemma. *Let C be a ring and $b \in C[u]$ a monic polynomial of degree $r \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. Let R be the C -subalgebra of $C[u]$ generated by b . Then the (left or right) R -module C is free, with basis $1, \dots, u^{r-1}$*

Proof. (When C is commutative, this is [Bou07, IV, §6, N.1, p.59, (E)].) We see that $1, \dots, u^{r-1}$ generates the R -module C using Euclidean division by b . Next, assume that $P_0, \dots, P_{r-1} \in C[X]$ are not all zero, and satisfy

$$(5.2.6.a) \quad \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} P_i(b) u^i = 0.$$

Then we can find an integer s , and elements $a_{i,j} \in B$, for $i = 0, \dots, r-1$ and $j = 0, \dots, s$, such that

$$P_i = \sum_{j=0}^s a_{i,j} X^j.$$

Upon decreasing s , we can assume that there exists $k \in \{0, \dots, r-1\}$ such that $a_{k,s} \neq 0$. Then (5.2.6.a) reads

$$\sum_{j=0}^s \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} a_{i,j} b^j u^i = 0 \in C[u].$$

Taking the u^{rs+k} -coefficient yields $a_{k,s} = 0$, a contradiction. \square

5.2.7. Proposition. *Let $A \in \text{SH}(S)$ be an η -periodic ring spectrum with a hyperbolic orientation. Let $n, s \in \mathbb{N}$.*

- (i) If n is odd and s is even, then $A^{*,*}(\mathrm{Gr}(n, s); \mathcal{U}_n^\vee) = 0$.
(ii) If n is even and s is odd, then the (left or right) $A^{*,*}(\mathrm{Gr}(n, s))$ -module $A^{*,*}(\mathrm{Gr}(n, s); \mathcal{U}_n^\vee)$ is freely generated by the Euler class $e(\mathcal{U}_n^\vee)$.

Proof. (i): We proceed by induction on n . When $n = 1$, this follows from (3.1.1.ii), in view of (3.2.2). Assume that $n \geq 3$. We consider the setting of (5.2.2). So $p: Y \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(n-2, s)$ is identified with the Grassmann bundle $\mathrm{Gr}(2, \mathcal{Q}_{n-2}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(n-2, s)$. Since $\mathrm{rank} \mathcal{Q}_{n-2} = s - n + 2$ is odd, by (3.3.5.ii) we have an isomorphism

$$(5.2.7.a) \quad (-) \cup e(\mathcal{E}^\vee): A^{*,*}(Y; p^*\mathcal{U}_{n-2}^\vee) \xrightarrow{\sim} A^{*,*}(Y; p^*\mathcal{U}_{n-2}^\vee \oplus \mathcal{E}^\vee) \simeq A^{*,*}(Y; q^*\mathcal{U}_n^\vee),$$

where the last isomorphism is induced by the exact sequence (see (1.5))

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^\vee \rightarrow q^*\mathcal{U}_n^\vee \rightarrow p^*\mathcal{U}_{n-2}^\vee \rightarrow 0.$$

As $A^{*,*}(\mathrm{Gr}(n-2, s); \mathcal{U}_{n-2}^\vee) = 0$ by induction, it follows from (3.3.5.i) (applied to the bundle p) that $A^{*,*}(Y; p^*\mathcal{U}_{n-2}^\vee) = 0$. Using (5.2.7.a) we deduce that $A^{*,*}(Y; q^*\mathcal{U}_n^\vee) = 0$. Applying (3.3.5.i) to the bundle q then shows that $A^{*,*}(\mathrm{Gr}(n, s); \mathcal{U}_n^\vee) = 0$, completing the inductive proof of (i).

(ii): The case $n = 0$ is clear, so we assume that $n \geq 2$. Consider the closed immersion $g = g_{s-1}: \mathrm{Gr}(n, s-1) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(n, s)$ given by the vanishing of the last coordinate. Recall from (3.2.4) that we have an infinite long exact sequence

$$A^{*,*}(\mathrm{Gr}(n, s-1); \mathcal{U}_n^\vee \oplus \mathcal{U}_n^\vee) \xrightarrow{g_*} A^{*,*}(\mathrm{Gr}(n, s); \mathcal{U}_n^\vee) \rightarrow A^{*,*}(\mathrm{Gr}(n-1, s-1); \mathcal{U}_{n-1}^\vee \oplus 1)$$

The term on the right vanishes by (i), hence the morphism g_* above is an isomorphism. We also have a long exact sequence, by (3.2.5),

$$\cdots \rightarrow A^{*,*}(\mathrm{Gr}(n-1, s-1); \mathcal{Q}_{n-1}) \rightarrow A^{*,*}(\mathrm{Gr}(n, s)) \xrightarrow{g^*} A^{*,*}(\mathrm{Gr}(n, s-1)) \rightarrow \cdots$$

Set $G = \mathrm{Gr}(n-1, s-1)$. Then

$$A^{*,*}(G; \mathcal{Q}_{n-1}) \stackrel{(2.2.3)}{\simeq} A^{*,*}(G; \mathcal{Q}_{n-1} \oplus \mathcal{U}_{n-1} \oplus \mathcal{U}_{n-1}) \stackrel{(1.5)}{\simeq} A^{*,*}(G; 1^{\oplus s-1} \oplus \mathcal{U}_{n-1}),$$

which vanishes by (1.13) and (i). Therefore the morphism g^* in the above exact sequence is an isomorphism. So is

$$(-) \cup \mathfrak{t}_{\mathcal{U}_n^\vee}: A^{*,*}(\mathrm{Gr}(n, s)) \rightarrow A^{*,*}(\mathrm{Gr}(n, s-1); \mathcal{U}_n^\vee \oplus \mathcal{U}_n^\vee)$$

by (2.2.3), and we conclude using (2.4.9). \square

5.3. Cohomology of BGL. Recall that the étale classifying space BGL_n is obtained as the (homotopy) colimit in $\mathrm{Spc}(S)$ of the grassmannians $\mathrm{Gr}(n, n+m)$ over $m \in \mathbb{N}$ (see e.g. [Hau22, (5.1.4)]). We define

$$\mathrm{Th}_{\mathrm{BGL}_n}(\mathcal{U}_n^\vee) = \mathrm{colim}_m \mathrm{Th}_{\mathrm{Gr}(n, n+m)}(\mathcal{U}_n^\vee) \in \mathrm{Spt}(S),$$

and if $A \in \mathrm{SH}(S)$ is a commutative ring spectrum, we set

$$A^{*,*}(\mathrm{BGL}_n; \mathcal{U}_n^\vee) = A^{*+2n, *+n}(\mathrm{Th}_{\mathrm{BGL}_n}(\mathcal{U}_n^\vee)).$$

The next result generalises a computation of Levine [Lev19, Theorem 4.1] (see also [Hau22, (6.3.7)]):

5.3.1. Theorem. *Let $A \in \text{SH}(S)$ be an η -periodic hyperbolically oriented ring spectrum. Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$, and $n \in \{2r, 2r + 1\}$. Letting p_i have degree $(4i, 2i)$, we have (see (1.12))*

$$A^{*,*}(\text{BGL}_n) = A^{*,*}(S)[[p_1, \dots, p_r]]_h.$$

In addition the $A^{,*}(\text{BGL}_n)$ -module $A^{*,*}(\text{BGL}_n; \mathcal{U}_n^\vee)$ vanishes if n is odd, and is free of rank 1 if n is even.*

Proof. By (5.2.3), the morphisms $A^{*,*}(\text{Gr}(n, n + m + 2)) \rightarrow A^{*,*}(\text{Gr}(n, n + m))$ are surjective when m is even, so that $\lim_m^1 A^{*,*}(\text{Gr}(n, n + m)) = 0$. In addition, by (5.2.3) and (5.1.7) we have

$$\lim_m A^{*,*}(\text{Gr}(n, n + m)) = A^{*,*}(S)[[p_1, \dots, p_r]]_h.$$

The first statement thus follows from the Milnor sequence [PPR09, Corollary 2.1.4].

Assume that n is odd. Then by (5.2.7.i) we have $A^{*,*}(\text{Gr}(n, n + m); \mathcal{U}_n^\vee) = 0$ when m is odd, hence

$$\lim_m^1 A^{*,*}(\text{Gr}(n, n + m); \mathcal{U}_n^\vee) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_m A^{*,*}(\text{Gr}(n, n + m); \mathcal{U}_n^\vee) = 0,$$

so that $A^{*,*}(\text{BGL}_n; \mathcal{U}_n^\vee) = 0$ by the Milnor sequence.

Finally assume that n is even. Then by (5.2.7.ii) we have isomorphisms $A^{*,*}(\text{Gr}(n, n + m)) \rightarrow A^{*,*}(\text{Gr}(n, n + m); \mathcal{U}_n^\vee)$ for m odd which are compatible with the transition morphisms as m varies. This yields isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lim_m^1 A^{*,*}(\text{Gr}(n, n + m)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \lim_m^1 A^{*,*}(\text{Gr}(n, n + m); \mathcal{U}_n^\vee) \\ &= \lim_m A^{*,*}(\text{Gr}(n, n + m)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \lim_m A^{*,*}(\text{Gr}(n, n + m); \mathcal{U}_n^\vee). \end{aligned}$$

We conclude using again the Milnor sequence. \square

5.3.2. Corollary. *If $A \in \text{SH}(S)$ is an η -periodic hyperbolically oriented ring spectrum, we have*

$$A^{*,*}(\text{BSL}_{2r+1}) = A^{*,*}(\text{BSL}_{2r+1}^c) = A^{*,*}(S)[[p_1, \dots, p_r]]_h.$$

Proof. This follows by combining (5.3.1) with [Hau22, (6.2.1), (6.3.3)]. \square

5.3.3. Remark. Ananyevskiy computed in [Ana15, Theorem 10] the ring $A^{*,*}(\text{BSL}_n)$ when $A \in \text{SH}(S)$ is an η -periodic SL-oriented commutative ring spectrum and 2 is invertible in S (see [Hau22, (6.2.2)]). When n is even, the result involves Euler classes of SL-oriented vector bundles (with values in untwisted cohomology), whose existence seems to require that A be SL-oriented.

We will use the following complement to (5.3.1) in the next section:

5.3.4. Proposition. *Let $A \in \text{SH}(S)$ be an η -periodic hyperbolically oriented ring spectrum. Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$, and $n \in \{2r, 2r + 1\}$. Then, letting p_i, p'_i have degree $(4i, 2i)$, we have (see (1.12))*

$$A^{*,*}((\text{BGL}_n)_+ \wedge (\text{BGL}_n)_+) = A^{*,*}(S)[[p_1, \dots, p_r, p'_1, \dots, p'_r]]_h.$$

Proof. Let us write $R_s = A^{*,*}(\mathrm{Gr}(n, s) \times_S \mathrm{Gr}(n, s))$. Applying (5.2.3) over the base $\mathrm{Gr}(n, s)$, and then over S , we obtain for $s = 2d + 1$ odd

$$R_s \simeq A^{*,*}(S)[p_1, \dots, p_r, p'_1, \dots, p'_r]/(J_{d-r+1} + J'_{d-r+1})$$

(using the notation described just above (5.1.8)). We deduce that the transition morphisms $R_{s+2} \rightarrow R_s$ for s odd are surjective, so that $\lim_m^1 R_{n+m} = 0$. By (5.1.8) we have

$$\lim_m R_{n+m} = A^{*,*}(S)[[p_1, \dots, p_r, p'_1, \dots, p'_r]]_h,$$

and the statement follows from the Milnor sequence [PPR09, Corollary 2.1.5]. \square

5.3.5. For later reference, let us note the following facts, established in the course of the proofs of (5.3.1) and (5.3.4):

(i) We have

$$\lim_m^1 A^{*,*}(\mathrm{Gr}(n, n+m)) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_m^1 A^{*,*}(\mathrm{Gr}(n, n+m) \times_S \mathrm{Gr}(n, n+m)) = 0,$$

(ii) The following natural morphisms are bijective:

$$A^{*,*}(\mathrm{BGL}_n) \rightarrow \lim_m A^{*,*}(\mathrm{Gr}(n, n+m)),$$

$$A^{*,*}((\mathrm{BGL}_n)_+ \wedge (\mathrm{BGL}_n)_+) \rightarrow \lim_m A^{*,*}(\mathrm{Gr}(n, n+m) \times_S \mathrm{Gr}(n, n+m)).$$

(iii) Under the identification of (5.3.1), resp. (5.3.4), the elements p_i , resp. p_i and p'_i , are mapped to $p_i(\mathcal{U}_n) \in A^{*,*}(\mathrm{Gr}(n, n+m))$, resp. $p_i(\mathcal{U}_n \times_S \mathrm{Gr}(n, n+m))$ and $p_i(\mathrm{Gr}(n, n+m) \times_S \mathcal{U}_n)$.

6. THE UNIVERSAL THEORY

In this section, we will assume that the scheme S is regular separated (and noetherian of finite dimension).

6.1. The spaces MH_n .

6.1.1 (Jouanolou's trick). If $X \in \mathrm{Sm}_S$, then X is a regular separated noetherian scheme, hence by [sga71, II, 2.2.7.1] it admits an ample family of line bundles. Therefore by [Wei89, Proposition 4.4] there exists an affine bundle $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ in Sm_S with \tilde{X} affine.

6.1.2. For $n, s \in \mathbb{N}$, let us consider the pointed motivic spaces

$$\mathrm{Th}(n, s) = \mathrm{Th}_{\mathrm{Gr}(n, s)}(\mathcal{U}_n \oplus \mathcal{U}_n) \in \mathrm{Spc}_\bullet(S).$$

Recall from (3.2.6) that we have closed immersions $g_s: \mathrm{Gr}(n, s) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(n, s+1)$ satisfying $g_s^* \mathcal{U}_n = \mathcal{U}_n$. Taking the colimit over s , we obtain pointed motivic spaces, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\mathrm{MH}_n = \mathrm{colim}_s \mathrm{Th}(n, s),$$

together with canonical maps in $\mathrm{Spc}_\bullet(S)$, for $n, s \in \mathbb{N}$

$$(6.1.2.a) \quad \lambda_{n, s}: \mathrm{Th}(n, s) \rightarrow \mathrm{MH}_n.$$

The closed immersions $h_s: \mathrm{Gr}(n, s) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(n+1, s+1)$ satisfy $h_s^* \mathcal{U}_{n+1} = \mathcal{U}_n \oplus 1$ (see (3.2.6)), yielding natural maps in $\mathrm{Spc}_\bullet(S)$, for $n, s \in \mathbb{N}$

$$(6.1.2.b) \quad T^{\wedge 2} \wedge \mathrm{Th}(n, s) \rightarrow \mathrm{Th}(n+1, s+1).$$

Taking the colimit over s , we obtain maps in $\mathrm{Spc}_\bullet(S)$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$(6.1.2.c) \quad T^{\wedge 2} \wedge \mathrm{MH}_n \rightarrow \mathrm{MH}_{n+1}.$$

6.1.3. Lemma. *Let $A \in \mathrm{SH}(S)$ be an η -periodic hyperbolically oriented ring spectrum. Then the morphisms induced by (6.1.2.a)*

$$A^{*,*}(\mathrm{MH}_n) \rightarrow \lim_s A^{*,*}(\mathrm{Th}(n, s)) \quad \text{and} \quad A^{*,*}(\mathrm{MH}_n \wedge \mathrm{MH}_n) \rightarrow \lim_s A^{*,*}(\mathrm{Th}(n, s) \wedge \mathrm{Th}(n, s))$$

are bijective. Moreover, the morphisms

$$A^{p,q}(\mathrm{MH}_{n+1}) \rightarrow A^{p+4,q+2}(\mathrm{MH}_n) \quad \text{and} \quad A^{p,q}(\mathrm{MH}_{n+1} \wedge \mathrm{MH}_{n+1}) \rightarrow A^{p+8,q+4}(\mathrm{MH}_n \wedge \mathrm{MH}_n)$$

induced by (6.1.2.c) are surjective.

Proof. Let $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$. Consider the Milnor exact sequences [PPR09, Corollaries 2.1.4, 2.1.5], for $r \in \{1, 2\}$

$$0 \rightarrow \lim_s^1 A^{p-1,q}(\mathrm{Th}(n, s)^{\wedge r}) \rightarrow A^{p,q}(\mathrm{MH}_n^{\wedge r}) \rightarrow \lim_s A^{p,q}(\mathrm{Th}(n, s)^{\wedge r}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since A is hyperbolically oriented, we have isomorphisms

$$A^{p,q}(\mathrm{Th}(n, s)^{\wedge r}) \simeq A^{p+4rn,q+2rn}(\mathrm{Gr}(n, s)^{\times sr})$$

compatible with the transition maps when s varies. Thus by (5.3.5.i) the \lim^1 -term in the above exact sequence vanishes, proving the first statements.

In view of (5.3.5.ii), in order to conclude the proof, it will suffice to prove that the natural morphisms

$$A^{*,*}((\mathrm{BGL}_{n+1})_+^{\wedge r}) \rightarrow A^{*,*}((\mathrm{BGL}_n)_+^{\wedge r})$$

are surjective. This follows from the identifications given in (5.3.1) and (5.3.4), in view of (5.3.5.iii). \square

6.1.4. Lemma. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. There exists a unique way to associate to each rank n vector bundle $E \rightarrow X$ with $X \in \mathrm{Sm}_S$ a map $\theta_E: \mathrm{Th}_X(E \oplus E) \rightarrow \mathrm{MH}_n$ in $\mathrm{H}_\bullet(S)$ such that:*

(i) *if $f: Y \rightarrow X$ is a morphism in Sm_S , then the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Th}_Y(f^*E \oplus f^*E) & \xrightarrow{\theta_{f^*E}} & \mathrm{MH}_n \\ f \downarrow & \nearrow \theta_E & \\ \mathrm{Th}_X(E \oplus E) & & \end{array}$$

(ii) *if $\alpha: E \xrightarrow{\sim} F$ is an isomorphism of vector bundles over $X \in \mathrm{Sm}_S$, then the following diagram commutes*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Th}_X(E \oplus E) & \xrightarrow{\theta_E} & \mathrm{MH}_n \\ \mathrm{Th}_X(\alpha \oplus \alpha) \downarrow & \nearrow \theta_F & \\ \mathrm{Th}_X(F \oplus F) & & \end{array}$$

(iii) *for every $s \in \mathbb{N}$, the map $\lambda_{n,s}: \mathrm{Th}(n, s) \rightarrow \mathrm{MH}_n$ of (6.1.2.a) is $\theta_{\mathcal{U}_n}$.*

Proof. Let $E \rightarrow X$ be a vector bundle, with $X \in \text{Sm}_S$. Assume first that X is affine. Then there exists an integer s and a vector bundle inclusion $i: E \rightarrow 1^{\oplus s}$. This gives a morphism $g_i: X \rightarrow \text{Gr}(n, s)$ and an isomorphism $\alpha_i: E \xrightarrow{\sim} g_i^* \mathcal{U}_n$. We claim that the composite in $\mathbf{H}_\bullet(S)$

$$\theta_E = \theta_E(i): \text{Th}_X(E \oplus E) \xrightarrow{\text{Th}_X(\alpha_i \oplus \alpha_i)} \text{Th}_X(g_i^* \mathcal{U}_n \oplus g_i^* \mathcal{U}_n) \xrightarrow{g_i} \text{Th}(n, s) \xrightarrow{\lambda_{n,s}} \text{MH}_n$$

does not depend on s and i . Indeed, let $j: E \rightarrow 1^{\oplus t}$ be an inclusion. While proving that $\theta_E(i) = \theta_E(j)$, we may assume that $s \geq t$. Composing j with the inclusion $1^{\oplus t} \rightarrow 1^{\oplus s}$ given by the vanishing of the last $s - t$ components, we are reduced to assuming that $s = t$. Let $k: \text{Th}(n, s) \rightarrow \text{Th}(n, 2s)$ be the morphism given by the vanishing of the last s components. By (6.1.5) below, the following diagram commutes in $\mathbf{H}_\bullet(S)$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Th}_X(E \oplus E) & \xrightarrow{\text{Th}_X(\alpha_i \oplus \alpha_i)} & \text{Th}_X(g_i^* E \oplus g_i^* E) & \xrightarrow{g_i} & \text{Th}(n, s) & \xrightarrow{k} & \text{Th}(n, 2s) \\ & \searrow \text{Th}_X(\alpha_j \oplus \alpha_j) & & & & \nearrow k & \\ & & \text{Th}_X(g_j^* E \oplus g_j^* E) & \xrightarrow{g_j} & \text{Th}(n, s) & & \end{array}$$

so that $\theta_E(i) = \theta_E(j)$, proving the claim. It is then easy to verify the conditions (i) and (ii), under the additional assumption that X and Y are affine.

When X is not necessarily affine, by Jouanolou's trick (6.1.1) we find an affine bundle $p: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ with $\tilde{X} \in \text{Sm}_S$ affine. We claim that the composite in $\mathbf{H}_\bullet(S)$

$$\theta_E: \text{Th}_X(E \oplus E) \xrightarrow{p^{-1}} \text{Th}_{\tilde{X}}(p^* E \oplus p^* E) \xrightarrow{\theta_{p^* E}} \text{MH}_n$$

does not depend on \tilde{X} and p . Indeed, let $p': \tilde{X}' \rightarrow X$ be an affine bundle. Let $\tilde{X}'' = \tilde{X} \times_S \tilde{X}'$, and denote by $q: \tilde{X}'' \rightarrow \tilde{X}'$ and $q: \tilde{X}'' \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ the induced morphisms. Then we have an isomorphism $\beta: q'^* p^* E \xrightarrow{\sim} p'^* q^* E$ yielding a commutative diagram in $\mathbf{H}_\bullet(S)$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \text{Th}_X(E) & \xleftarrow{p} & \text{Th}_{\tilde{X}}(p^* E \oplus p^* E) & \xleftarrow{q'} & \text{Th}_{\tilde{X}''}(q'^* p^* E \oplus q'^* p^* E) & \xrightarrow{\theta_{q'^* p^* E}} & \text{MH}_n \\ & & & & \text{Th}_{\tilde{X}''}(\beta \oplus \beta) \downarrow & & \\ & & & & \text{Th}_{\tilde{X}'}(q^* E \oplus q^* E) & \xleftarrow{p'} & \text{Th}_{\tilde{X}''}(p'^* q^* E \oplus p'^* q^* E) \\ & & & & & & \nearrow \theta_{p'^* q^* E} \end{array}$$

(here we have used the validity of the condition (ii) over the affine scheme \tilde{X}''). It is now easy to verify the conditions (i) (ii) (iii), as well as the unicity part of the statement. \square

6.1.5. Lemma. *Let $E \rightarrow S$ be a vector bundle, and $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $f: X \rightarrow S$ in Sm_S , and $U \rightarrow X$ a rank n vector bundle. If $k: U \subset f^* E \oplus f^* E$ is a vector bundle inclusion, corresponding to a morphism $X \rightarrow \text{Gr}(n, E \oplus E)$ in Sm_S , let us denote by $\varphi_k: \text{Th}_X(U^{\oplus r}) \rightarrow \text{Th}_{\text{Gr}(n, E \oplus E)}(\mathcal{U}_n^{\oplus r})$ the induced map in $\text{Spc}_\bullet(S)$. Then the image of $\varphi_{(i,0)}$ in $\mathbf{H}_\bullet(S)$ does not depend on the inclusion $i: U \subset f^* E$.*

Proof. Let $i, j: U \subset f^* E$ be vector bundle inclusions. Let $p: \mathbb{A}^1 \times_S X \rightarrow X$ be the projection. Consider the vector bundle inclusion $(i, tj): p^* U \subset p^* f^* E \oplus p^* f^* E$, where t is the tautological section over \mathbb{A}^1 . Then the induced morphism $\text{Th}_{\mathbb{A}^1 \times_S X}(p^* U^{\oplus r}) \rightarrow$

$\mathrm{Th}_{\mathrm{Gr}(n, E \oplus E)}(\mathcal{U}_n^{\oplus r})$ restricts to $\varphi_{(i,0)}$ at $0 \in \mathbb{A}^1$ and to $\varphi_{(i,j)}$ at $1 \in \mathbb{A}^1$. This yields $\varphi_{(i,0)} = \varphi_{(i,j)}$ in $\mathbf{H}_\bullet(S)$. Similarly $\varphi_{(0,j)} = \varphi_{(i,j)}$ in $\mathbf{H}_\bullet(S)$. Therefore, in $\mathbf{H}_\bullet(S)$ we have

$$\varphi_{(i,0)} = \varphi_{(i,j)} = \varphi_{(0,j)} = \varphi_{(j,j)} = \varphi_{(j,0)}. \quad \square$$

6.1.6. Proposition. *Let $A \in \mathrm{SH}(S)$ be a ring spectrum. Then the set of weak orientations of A is in bijection with the elements $A^{8,4}(\mathrm{MH}_2)$ whose restriction to $A^{0,0}(\mathrm{MH}_0) = A^{0,0}(S)$ is 1 (the map $T^{\wedge 4} \wedge \mathrm{MH}_0 \rightarrow \mathrm{MH}_2$ is induced by (6.1.2.c)).*

Proof. Assume that A carries a weak hyperbolic orientation. Then it follows from (6.1.3) that the elements $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathcal{U}_2} \in A^{8,4}(\mathrm{Th}(2, s))$ define an element $\mathfrak{t} \in A^{8,4}(\mathrm{MH}_2)$, whose restriction to $A^{0,0}(S)$ is 1.

Conversely assume given an element $\mathfrak{t} \in A^{8,4}(\mathrm{MH}_2)$. Applying (6.1.4) with $n = 2$, we define an association $E \mapsto \mathfrak{t}_E = \theta_E^*(\mathfrak{t})$. If \mathfrak{t} restricts to 1 in $A^{0,0}(S)$, then it is easy to verify that we have thus defined a weak hyperbolic orientation. One then checks that these constructions are mutually inverse. \square

6.2. The ring spectrum MH. In this section, we assemble the pointed motivic spaces MH_n of the previous section into a commutative ring spectrum $\mathrm{MH} \in \mathrm{SH}(S)$. We follow very closely the strategy employed in [PPR08, §2.1] to construct the spectrum MGL , the main difference being that we naturally obtain a $T^{\wedge 2}$ -spectrum instead of a T -spectrum, as was the case for the spectrum MSp constructed by Panin–Walter [PW10a, §6]. Since the model categories of symmetric T - and $T^{\wedge 2}$ -spectra have equivalent homotopy categories (with their symmetric monoidal structures) by [PW10a, Theorem 3.2], we still obtain a commutative ring spectrum in $\mathrm{SH}(S)$.

6.2.1. Let $n, s \in \mathbb{N}$. The natural action of the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n (where $\mathfrak{S}_0 = 1$) on $(1^{\oplus s})^{\oplus n}$ induces an action on $\mathrm{Gr}(n, ns)$, for which the vector bundle \mathcal{U}_n is \mathfrak{S}_n -equivariant. This yields a \mathfrak{S}_n -action on $\mathrm{Th}(n, ns)$. The inclusion $1 \rightarrow 1^{\oplus s}$ given by the vanishing of the last $s-1$ coordinates yields a \mathfrak{S}_n -equivariant morphism $S = \mathrm{Gr}(n, n) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(n, ns)$ along which \mathcal{U}_n restricts to $1^{\oplus n}$, and thus a \mathfrak{S}_n -equivariant map in $\mathrm{Spc}_\bullet(S)$

$$(6.2.1.a) \quad T^{\wedge 2n} \rightarrow \mathrm{Th}(n, ns).$$

For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the morphism in Sm_S

$$(6.2.1.b) \quad \mu_{n,m,s}: \mathrm{Gr}(n, ns) \times_S \mathrm{Gr}(m, ms) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(n+m, (n+m)s)$$

given by $(U, V) \mapsto U \oplus V$ induces a $(\mathfrak{S}_n \times \mathfrak{S}_m)$ -equivariant map in $\mathrm{Spc}_\bullet(S)$

$$(6.2.1.c) \quad \mathrm{Th}(n, ns) \wedge \mathrm{Th}(m, ms) \rightarrow \mathrm{Th}(n+m, (n+m)s).$$

All these data are compatible with the transition maps as s varies. Taking the colimit over s , we obtain a \mathfrak{S}_n -action on each MH_n , a \mathfrak{S}_n -equivariant map in $\mathrm{Spc}_\bullet(S)$

$$e_n: T^{\wedge 2n} \rightarrow \mathrm{MH}_n$$

and a $(\mathfrak{S}_n \times \mathfrak{S}_m)$ -equivariant map in $\mathrm{Spc}_\bullet(S)$

$$\mu_{n,m}: \mathrm{MH}_n \wedge \mathrm{MH}_m \rightarrow \mathrm{MH}_{n+m}.$$

6.2.2. Definition. A straightforward verification shows that the data described in (6.2.1) defines a commutative $T^{\wedge 2}$ -monoid in $\mathrm{Sp}_{\bullet}(S)$ in the sense of [PW10a, Definition 3.3], and thus by [PW10a, Theorem 3.4] a commutative monoid in the category of symmetric $T^{\wedge 2}$ -spectra, that we denote by MH . Using the natural equivalence between the homotopy categories of symmetric $T^{\wedge 2}$ -spectra and of T -spectra (see [PW10a, Theorem 3.2] and [Jar00, Theorem 4.31]), we obtain a commutative ring spectrum $\mathrm{MH} \in \mathrm{SH}(S)$.

6.2.3. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The functor mapping a $T^{\wedge 2}$ -spectrum E to its level n component E_n admits a left adjoint mapping a pointed motivic space Y to the spectrum $\Sigma_{T^{\wedge 2}}^{\infty} Y(-n)$ given by $(*, \dots, *, Y, T^{\wedge 2} \wedge Y, \dots)$, whose image in $\mathrm{SH}(S)$ is naturally isomorphic to $\Sigma^{-4n, -2n} \Sigma^{\infty} Y$. Thus the identity of MH_n yields by adjunction and application of $\Sigma^{4n, 2n}$ a canonical map in $\mathrm{SH}(S)$

$$(6.2.3.a) \quad \rho_n: \Sigma^{\infty} \mathrm{MH}_n \rightarrow \Sigma^{4n, 2n} \mathrm{MH}.$$

As n varies, the maps ρ_n are compatible with the transition maps induced by (6.1.2.c). Moreover, it follows from the construction of the product $\mu: \mathrm{MH} \wedge \mathrm{MH} \rightarrow \mathrm{MH}$ that the following diagram commutes in $\mathrm{SH}(S)$

$$(6.2.3.b) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma^{\infty} \mathrm{MH}_n \wedge \Sigma^{\infty} \mathrm{MH}_m & \xrightarrow{\Sigma^{\infty} \mu_{n,m}} & \Sigma^{\infty} \mathrm{MH}_{n+m} \\ \rho_n \wedge \rho_m \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho_{n+m} \\ \Sigma^{4n, 2n} \mathrm{MH} \wedge \Sigma^{4m, 2m} \mathrm{MH} & \xrightarrow{\Sigma^{4(n+m), 2(n+m)} \mu} & \Sigma^{4(n+m), 2(n+m)} \mathrm{MH} \end{array}$$

6.2.4. Proposition. *One may define a hyperbolic orientation on the ring spectrum MH using the elements θ_E of (6.1.4), by setting*

$$\mathfrak{o}_E = \rho_n \circ \Sigma^{\infty} \theta_E \in \mathrm{MH}^{4n, 2n}(\mathrm{Th}_X(E \oplus E)) = \mathrm{MH}^{0,0}(X; E \oplus E)$$

for every vector bundle $E \rightarrow X$ of rank $n \in \mathbb{N}$, with $X \in \mathrm{Sm}_S$ (and extending this definition to arbitrary vector bundles in an obvious way).

Proof. We verify the axioms of (2.2.1). Centrality (2.2.1.i) follows from the fact that the ring spectrum MH is commutative and that the elements \mathfrak{o}_E lie in even bidegrees (see [PW10a, Theorem 2.4]). Compatibility with pullbacks (2.2.1.ii) and isomorphisms (2.2.1.iii) follow from (6.1.4.i) and (6.1.4.ii). Using (6.1.4.iii) with $n = s = 1$ yields the normalisation axiom (2.2.1.v).

Let E, F be vector bundles over $X \in \mathrm{Sm}_S$, of respective ranks $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. In order to prove the multiplicativity axiom (2.2.1.iv) — and thus conclude the proof of the proposition — in view of the diagram (6.2.3.b) it will suffice to prove that the composite

$$\mathrm{Th}_X(E \oplus F \oplus E \oplus F) \xrightarrow{\Delta_X} \mathrm{Th}_X(E \oplus E) \wedge \mathrm{Th}_X(F \oplus F) \xrightarrow{\theta_E \wedge \theta_F} \mathrm{MH}_n \wedge \mathrm{MH}_m \xrightarrow{\mu_{n,m}} \mathrm{MH}_{n+m}$$

where the first map is induced by the diagonal (see (1.10)), coincides with $\theta_{E \oplus F}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{\bullet}(S)$. While doing so, we may assume that X is affine by Jouanolou's trick (6.1.1), and thus assume given inclusions $E \subset 1^{\oplus ns}$ and $F \subset 1^{\oplus ms}$ for some s , corresponding to morphisms $f: X \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(n, ns)$ and $g: X \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(m, ms)$. Then we have a commutative

diagram in $H_\bullet(S)$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathrm{Th}_X(E \oplus E) \wedge \mathrm{Th}_X(F \oplus F) & \xrightarrow{f \wedge g} & \mathrm{Th}(n, ns) \wedge \mathrm{Th}(m, ms) & \xrightarrow{(6.2.1.c)} & \mathrm{Th}(n+m, (n+m)s) \\
& \searrow \theta_{E \oplus F} & \downarrow \lambda_{n,ns} \wedge \lambda_{m,ms} & & \downarrow \lambda_{n+m, (n+m)s} \\
& & \mathrm{MH}_n \wedge \mathrm{MH}_m & \xrightarrow{\mu_{n,m}} & \mathrm{MH}_{n+m}
\end{array}$$

Now the composite

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Th}_X(E \oplus F \oplus E \oplus F) & \xrightarrow{\Delta_X} \mathrm{Th}_X(E \oplus E) \wedge \mathrm{Th}_X(F \oplus F) \\
& \xrightarrow{f \wedge g} \mathrm{Th}(n, ns) \wedge \mathrm{Th}(m, ms) \xrightarrow{(6.2.1.c)} \mathrm{Th}(n+m, (n+m)s)
\end{aligned}$$

is induced by the morphism $h: X \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(n+m, (n+m)s)$ in Sm_S corresponding to the inclusion $E \oplus F \subset 1^{\oplus ns} \oplus 1^{\oplus ms} = 1^{\oplus (n+m)s}$, and the composite

$$\mathrm{Th}_X(E \oplus F \oplus E \oplus F) \xrightarrow{h} \mathrm{Th}(n+m, (n+m)s) \xrightarrow{\lambda_{n+m, (n+m)s}} \mathrm{MH}_{n+m}$$

is $\theta_{E \oplus F}$. This concludes the proof. \square

6.2.5. Lemma. *Let $A \in \mathrm{SH}(S)$ be an η -periodic hyperbolically oriented ring spectrum. Then for any $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$, the morphisms*

$$A^{p,q}(\mathrm{MH}) \rightarrow \lim_n A^{p+4n, q+2n}(\mathrm{MH}_n) \quad \text{and} \quad A^{p,q}(\mathrm{MH} \wedge \mathrm{MH}) \rightarrow \lim_n A^{p+8n, q+4n}(\mathrm{MH}_n \wedge \mathrm{MH}_n)$$

induced by (6.2.3.a) are bijective.

Proof. By [PPR09, Corollaries 2.1.4, 2.1.5] (see also [PW10a, Theorem 5.6]) we have short exact sequences of abelian groups, for $r \in \{1, 2\}$,

$$0 \rightarrow \lim_n^1 A^{p+4rn-1, q+2rn}(\mathrm{MH}_n^{\wedge r}) \rightarrow A^{p,q}(\mathrm{MH}^{\wedge r}) \rightarrow \lim_n A^{p+4rn, q+2rn}(\mathrm{MH}_n^{\wedge r}) \rightarrow 0.$$

By the second part of (6.1.3) the \lim^1 -term vanishes, and the statement follows. \square

6.2.6. Theorem. *Let $A \in \mathrm{SH}(S)$ be an η -periodic commutative ring spectrum. Then the set of hyperbolic orientations of A is in bijection with the set of morphisms of ring spectra $\mathrm{MH} \rightarrow A$ in $\mathrm{SH}(S)$.*

Proof. Composing the hyperbolic orientation of MH described in (6.2.4) with any morphism of ring spectra $\mathrm{MH} \rightarrow A$, we obtain a hyperbolic orientation of A .

Conversely, assume that A carries a hyperbolic orientation. Then for each n , the family $\mathfrak{o}_{\mathcal{U}_n} \in A^{4n, 2n}(\mathrm{Th}(n, s))$, where s runs over \mathbb{N} , lift to a unique element $\sigma_n \in A^{4n, 2n}(\mathrm{MH}_n)$ by (6.1.3). Since \mathcal{U}_{n+1} restricts to $\mathcal{U}_n \oplus 1$ along the morphism $h_s: \mathrm{Gr}(n, s) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(n+1, s+1)$, it follows from the axioms (2.2.1.iv) and (2.2.1.v) that $\mathfrak{o}_{\mathcal{U}_{n+1}}$ restricts to $\mathfrak{o}_{\mathcal{U}_n}$ along the map (6.1.2.b). Taking the limit over s , we deduce that $\sigma_{n+1} \in A^{4(n+1), 2(n+1)}(\mathrm{MH}_{n+1})$ maps to $\sigma_n \in A^{4n, 2n}(\mathrm{MH}_n)$ under the pullback along (6.1.2.c). Therefore by (6.2.5), we obtain a well-defined element $\sigma \in A^{0,0}(\mathrm{MH})$, in other words a morphism $\mathrm{MH} \rightarrow A$ in $\mathrm{SH}(S)$.

To verify that this is indeed a morphism of ring spectra, we verify the commutativity in $\mathrm{SH}(S)$ of the evident diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{1}_S & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{MH} \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & A \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{MH} \wedge \mathrm{MH} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{MH} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A \wedge A & \longrightarrow & A \end{array}$$

Applying (6.2.5) and (6.1.3), and in view of the diagram (6.2.3.b), this boils down to the commutativity in $\mathrm{SH}(S)$ of the diagrams, for each $n, s \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma^{4n,2n} \mathbf{1}_S & \longrightarrow & \Sigma^\infty \mathrm{Th}(n, ns) \\ \searrow^{\Sigma^{4n,2n} 1} & & \downarrow \mathfrak{o}_{\mathcal{U}_n} \\ & & \Sigma^{4n,2n} A \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma^\infty \mathrm{Th}(n, ns) \wedge \Sigma^\infty \mathrm{Th}(n, ns) & \xrightarrow{\Sigma^\infty(6.2.1.c)} & \Sigma^\infty \mathrm{Th}(2n, 2ns) \\ \downarrow \mathfrak{o}_{\mathcal{U}_n} \wedge \mathfrak{o}_{\mathcal{U}_n} & & \downarrow \mathfrak{o}_{\mathcal{U}_{2n}} \\ \Sigma^{4n,2n} A \wedge \Sigma^{4n,2n} A & \longrightarrow & \Sigma^{8n,4n} A \end{array}$$

For the first diagram, this follows from the fact that \mathcal{U}_n restricts to $1^{\oplus n}$ under the morphism $S = \mathrm{Gr}(n, n) \rightarrow \mathrm{Th}(n, ns)$, as $\mathfrak{o}_{1^{\oplus n}} = \Sigma^{4n,2n} 1$ by the axioms (2.2.1.v) and (2.2.1.iv). The composites in the square may be viewed as elements of

$$A^{8n,4n}(\mathrm{Th}(n, ns) \wedge \mathrm{Th}(n, ns)) = A^{0,0}(\mathrm{Gr}(n, ns) \times_S \mathrm{Gr}(n, ns); p_1^* \mathcal{U}_n \oplus p_2^* \mathcal{U}_n),$$

where $p_1, p_2: P = \mathrm{Gr}(n, ns) \times_S \mathrm{Gr}(n, ns) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(n, ns)$ are the projections. Then the top horizontal map followed by the right vertical one is, in the notation of (6.2.1.b),

$$\mu_{n,n,s}^*(\mathfrak{o}_{\mathcal{U}_{2n}}) = \mathfrak{o}_{p_1^* \mathcal{U}_n \oplus p_2^* \mathcal{U}_n} \stackrel{(2.2.1.iv)}{=} (p_1^* \mathfrak{o}_{\mathcal{U}_n}) \cup (p_2^* \mathfrak{o}_{\mathcal{U}_n}),$$

which coincides with, writing $Y = \mathrm{Th}(n, ns)$ and using the notation of (1.10)

$$Y \wedge Y \xrightarrow{\Delta_P} Y \wedge Y \wedge Y \wedge Y \xrightarrow{p_1 \wedge p_2} Y \wedge Y \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{o}_{\mathcal{U}_n} \wedge \mathfrak{o}_{\mathcal{U}_n}} \Sigma^{4n,2n} A \wedge \Sigma^{4n,2n} A \rightarrow \Sigma^{8n,4n} A.$$

Since $(p_1 \wedge p_2) \circ \Delta_P = \mathrm{id}$, the composite just above coincides with the left vertical map followed by the lower horizontal map in the square above. \square

REFERENCES

- [Ana15] Alexey Ananyevskiy. The special linear version of the projective bundle theorem. *Compos. Math.*, 151(3):461–501, 2015.
- [Ana16] Alexey Ananyevskiy. On the push-forwards for motivic cohomology theories with invertible stable Hopf element. *Manuscripta Math.*, 150(1-2):21–44, 2016.
- [Ana20] Alexey Ananyevskiy. SL-oriented cohomology theories. In *Motivic homotopy theory and refined enumerative geometry*, volume 745 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 1–19. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2020.
- [Bac18] Tom Bachmann. Motivic and real étale stable homotopy theory. *Compos. Math.*, 154(5):883–917, 2018.
- [Bou07] Nicolas Bourbaki. *Éléments de mathématique. Algèbre, Chapitres 4 à 7*. Springer, Berlin, 2007. Reprint of the 1981 original.
- [Gro58] Alexander Grothendieck. La théorie des classes de Chern. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 86:137–154, 1958.
- [Hau22] Olivier Haution. Odd rank vector bundles in eta-periodic motivic homotopy theory. *Arxiv preprint*, 2022.
- [Hoy17] Marc Hoyois. The six operations in equivariant motivic homotopy theory. *Adv. Math.*, 305:197–279, 2017.

- [Jar00] J. F. Jardine. Motivic symmetric spectra. *Doc. Math.*, 5:445–552, 2000.
- [Lev19] Marc Levine. Motivic Euler characteristics and Witt-valued characteristic classes. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 236:251–310, 2019.
- [MV99] Fabien Morel and Vladimir Voevodsky. \mathbf{A}^1 -homotopy theory of schemes. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (90):45–143 (2001), 1999.
- [Pan03] Ivan Panin. Oriented cohomology theories of algebraic varieties. volume 30, pages 265–314. 2003. Special issue in honor of Hyman Bass on his seventieth birthday. Part III.
- [Pan09] Ivan Panin. Oriented cohomology theories of algebraic varieties. II (After I. Panin and A. Smirnov). *Homology Homotopy Appl.*, 11(1):349–405, 2009.
- [PPR08] Ivan Panin, Konstantin Pimenov, and Oliver Röndigs. A universality theorem for Voevodsky’s algebraic cobordism spectrum. *Homology Homotopy Appl.*, 10(2):211–226, 2008.
- [PPR09] Ivan Panin, Konstantin Pimenov, and Oliver Röndigs. On Voevodsky’s algebraic K -theory spectrum. In *Algebraic topology*, volume 4 of *Abel Symp.*, pages 279–330. Springer, Berlin, 2009.
- [PW10a] Ivan Panin and Charles Walter. On the algebraic cobordism spectra MSL and MSp . *Preprint*, 2010. [arXiv:1011.0651](https://arxiv.org/abs/1011.0651).
- [PW10b] Ivan Panin and Charles Walter. Quaternionic Grassmannians and Borel classes in algebraic geometry. *Preprint*, 2010. [arXiv:1011.0649](https://arxiv.org/abs/1011.0649).
- [Rio10] Joël Riou. Algebraic K -theory, \mathbf{A}^1 -homotopy and Riemann-Roch theorems. *J. Topol.*, 3(2):229–264, 2010.
- [sga71] *Théorie des intersections et théorème de Riemann-Roch*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 225. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1971. Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1966–1967 (SGA 6), Dirigé par P. Berthelot, A. Grothendieck et L. Illusie. Avec la collaboration de D. Ferrand, J. P. Jouanolou, O. Jussila, S. Kleiman, M. Raynaud et J. P. Serre.
- [Wei89] Charles A. Weibel. Homotopy algebraic K -theory. In *Algebraic K-theory and algebraic number theory (Honolulu, HI, 1987)*, volume 83 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 461–488. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1989.

Email address: olivier.haution at gmail.com

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, LUDWIG-MAXIMILIANS-UNIVERSITÄT MÜNCHEN, THERESIENSTR.
39, D-80333 MÜNCHEN, GERMANY