Superconducting dome associated with the suppression and reemergence of charge density wave states upon sulfur substitution in CuIr₂Te₄ chalcogenides

Mebrouka Boubeche ^a, Ningning Wang^b, Jianping Sun ^b, Pengtao Yang ^b, Lingyong Zeng ^a, Shaojuan Luo ^c, Yiyi He ^a, Jia Yu ^d, Meng Wang ^d, Jinguang Cheng ^b, Huixia Luo ^{a*} ^a School of Materials Science and Engineering, State Key Laboratory of Optoelectronic Materials and Technologies, Key Lab of Polymer Composite & Functional Materials, Guangzhou Key Laboratory of Flexible Electronic Materials and Wearable Devices, Sun Yat-Sen University, No. 135, Xingang Xi Road, Guangzhou, 510275, P. R. China ^b Beijing National Laboratory for Condensed Matter Physics and Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences and School of Physical Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China. ^c School of Chemical Engineering and Light Industry, Guangdong University of Technology, Guangzhou, 510006, P. R. China ^d Center for Neutron Science and Technology, School of Physics, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, 510275, China

* Author to whom any correspondence should be addressed.

E-mail: <u>luohx7@mail.sysu.edu.cn</u>

Abstract

We report the path from the charge density wave (CDW)-bearing superconductor CuIr₂Te₄ to the metal insulator transition (MIT)-bearing compound CuIr₂S₄ by chemical alloying with the gradual substitution of S for Te. The evolution of structural and physical properties of the CuIr₂Te_{4-x}S_x ($0 \le x \le 4$) polycrystalline system is systemically examined. The X-ray diffraction (XRD) results imply CuIr₂Te_{4-x}S_x ($0 \le x \le 0.5$) crystallizes in a NiAs defected trigonal structure, whereas it adapts to the cubic spinel structure for $3.6 \le x \le 4$ and it is a mixed phase in the doping range of 0.5 < x < 3.6. Unexpectedly, the resistivity and magnetization measurements reveal that small-concentration S substitution for Te can suppress the CDW transition, but it reappears around x = 0.2, and the CDW transition temperature enhances clearly as x augments for $0.2 \le x \le 0.5$. Besides, the superconducting critical temperature (T_c) first increases with S doping content and then decreases after reaching a maximum $T_c = 2.82$ K for CuIr₂Te_{3.85}S_{0.15}. MIT order has been observed in the spinel region $(3.6 \le x \le 4)$ associated with T_{MI} increasing with x increasing. Finally, the rich electronic phase diagram of temperature versus x for this $CuIr_2Te_{4-x}S_x$ system is assembled, where the superconducting dome is associated with the suppression and re-emergence of CDW as well as MIT states at the end upon sulfur substitution in the $CuIr_2Te_{4-x}S_x$ chalcogenides.

Introduction:

The family of ternary chalcogenides has been studied intensively due to their rich structural and physical properties. [1-3] Notably, the copper chalcogenides with cubic spinel structure exhibit rich quantum states, including metal-insulator transition (MIT), magnetism, superconductivity (SC) and so on. [4-8] Normal thiospinel CuIr₂S₄ is of particular interest because it sustains a MIT at around 230 K under normal pressure, where the energy gap for the insulating phase is around 0.094 eV. [8] So far, many researchs on the effect of chemical doping or adding physical pressures on the MIT in CuIr₂S₄ have been reported. In most cases, external disturbances include chemical doping (such as CuIr₂S_{4-x}Se_x, CuIr_{2-x}Ti_xS₄, CuIr_{2-x}Rh_{x2}S₄, CuIr₂. $_xPt_xS_4$, Cu_{1-x}Ni_xIr₂S₄, Cu_{1-x}Zn_xIr₂S₄) and adding physical pressures disrupt the MIT and even further induce SC in CuIr₂S₄. [9-18]

On the other hand, $CuIr_2Te_4$ adopting a NiAs defected structure with a trigonal symmetry features the occurrence of SC and charge density wave (CDW)-like transition, characterized by magnetization and resistivity measurements. [19,20] The first-principles calculation analysis implies that the density of states (DOS) near the Fermi energy for $CuIr_2Te_4$ mainly originates from the Ir *d* and Te *p* orbitals. [20] Subsequently, it is experimentally proved that the CDW and SC are both sensitive to the diverse chemical dopants and the three doping sites (Cu-site, Ir-site and Te-site) in the pristine $CuIr_2Te_4$. [22-24] Therefore, it is still of interest to explore the path from the layer $CuIr_2Te_4$ chalcogenide to the $CuIr_2S_4$ spinel by chemical alloying.

Here, we focus on studying the substitution of S for Te in the CuIr₂Te₄ host material based on the following aspects: (i) there is a big difference between the structures of two end compounds — CuIr₂S₄ crystallizes in a cubic spinel structure, but CuIr₂Te₄ adopts a layered structure. (ii) Two end compounds show distinct physical properties — CuIr₂Te₄ shows the coexistence of CDW-like transition and SC, whereas CuIr₂S₄ exhibits MIT. (iii) S belongs to the same chalcogen family as Te but has a smaller ionic radius. Therefore, these aforementioned significant differences between the two compounds engendered us to probe the path between CuIr₂Te₄ and CuIr₂S₄ by chemical alloying, in which rich structural and physical properties are expected in the new

CuIr₂Te_{4-*x*}S_{*x*} ($0 \le x \le 4$) solid solution. The structural and physical properties of CuIr₂Te_{4-*x*}S_{*x*} ($0 \le x \le 4$) are characterized through XRD, resistivity, magnetization and heat capacity tests.

Experimental Section

Polycrystalline specimens of CuIr₂Te_{4-x}S_x ($0 \le x \le 4$) were synthesized from the stoichiometric admixture of high-purity elements of Cu (99.999%), Ir (99.99%), Te (99.99%) and S (99.9%) with 0.1 wt% excess of Te and S. The mixtures were sealed in evacuated quartz tubes and heated up to 850 °C (1 °C/min) for four days, followed by furnace cooling with the rate of 3 °C/min to room temperature. Subsequently, the resultant specimens were ground and heated in pelletized cylinder form at 850 °C (3 °C/min) for another five days.

Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD, MiniFlex, Rigaku) with Cu K α 1 radiation was used to examine the phase structure of the CuIr₂Te_{4-x}S_x ($0 \le x \le 4$) compounds. Rietveld refinements using the FULLPROF suite software were carried out to acquire the lattice parameters. [25] The element ratios were confirmed by scanning electron microscope combined with energy-dispersive x-ray spectroscopy (SEM-EDXS, COXEM EM-30AX). Quantum design physical property measurement system (PPMS was used to measure the electrical resistivity (four-probe method) ($\rho(T)$) on rectangular samples (5 x 1 x 0.8 mm³) down to 1.8 K and heat capacity measurement was performed in the range of 1.8 K - 15 K. Quantum interference device (SQUID) Quantum Design MPMS system was used to measure the magnetic susceptibilities ($\chi(T,H)$). T_cs were extracted from the average of superconducting transition region in $\rho(T)$ data and the extrapolation point of the steep slope of the superconducting state and the normal state susceptibility, T_c was also determined from the specific heat capacity $C_p(T)$ from the equal area entropy construction. The values of T_{MI} were determined from the inflection of the $\rho(T)$ and $\chi(T)$ curves at high temperatures.

Results and Discussion

Fig. 1(a-b) and **Table 1** exhibit the refinement results of the XRD measurement for the selected layered CuIr₂Te_{3.85}S_{0.15} and cubic CuIr₂Te_{0.1}S_{3.9} compounds. The reflection peaks of CuIr₂Te_{3.85}S_{0.15} are corresponding to the trigonal phase of CuIr₂Te_{4*x*S_{*x*} having the space group *P*-3m1 (the inset of **Fig. 1(a)**), whereas the CuIr₂Te_{0.1}S_{3.9} sample has a cubic spinel phase with the space group *Fd*-3m (the inset of **Fig. 1(b)**). Small amount of unreacted Ir exists in all studied specimens. Rietveld refinements for the other examined compounds are represented in **Fig. S1** in online supplemental information. From **Fig. 2(a-b)** and **Fig. S2**, it is evident that there are three distinct crystal structure zones at $0 \le x \le 0.5$, 0.5 < x < 3.6 and $3.6 \le x \le 4$, respectively. It can be seen that the obtained powder diffractograms for CuIr₂Te_{4-x}S_{*x*} ($0 \le x \le 0.5$) samples are mainly indexed to the trigonal phase. In zone 0.5 < x < 3.6, the cubic spinel phase starts to appear, which indicates the coexistence of the layered and cubic phases (see **Fig. S2**). The main cubic spinel phase is obtained at the doping region of $3.6 \le x \le 4$.}

In order to show the influence of sulfur substitution on the crystal structures, we magnified the (002) peaks for CuIr₂Te_{4-x}S_x ($0 \le x \le 0.5$) and the (311) peaks for CuIr₂Te_{4-x}S₄₋ $_xS_x$ (3.6 $\leq x \leq 4$)) as depicted in **Fig. 2(a-b**). We distinguished an obvious shift toward higher angles upon increasing the doping concentration x in the CuIr₂Te_{4-x}S_x ($0 \le x \le$ 0.5, $3.6 \le x \le 4$) compounds as presented in the inset of Fig. 2(a-b), illustrating the incorporation of S into the CuIr₂Te₄ lattice. This shift is linked to the change on lattice constants a and c, as presented in Fig. 2(c). Obviously, both lattice parameters a and c for the CuIr₂Te_{4-x}S_x ($0 \le x \le 0.5$) decrease as the doping content x increases, adapting to Vegard's law [26]. The decreasing trend of the lattice parameters with increasing S content up to x = 0.5 indicates that the doped S atoms substitute for the Te atoms in the lattice. Besides, in the spinel structure region $(3.6 \le x \le 4)$, the peaks also gradually shift to higher angles. From **Fig. 2(c)**, we can see that the lattice parameters (a = b = c) in the cubic phase are also reduced since the ionic radius of Te²⁻ (2.21 Å) is larger than that of the S^{2-} ion (1.8 Å) [27]. Further, SEM-EDXS is performed to explore the morphology and atomic ratio (see Fig. S3 and Fig. S4) for the light doping powder samples. From Fig. S3, we can see that all the elements are evenly distributed in the powder samples. In addition, the obtained atomic ratios (see the inset of Fig. S4) are very close to those in the target compositions. Now we turn to investigate the physical properties for $\operatorname{CuIr_2Te_{4-x}S_x}(0 \le x \le 0.5)$ by combining the temperature-dependent resistivity $\rho(T)$ and magnetization $\chi(T)$ measurements. The temperature-dependent resistivity $\rho(T)$ is present in **Fig. S5**. The normalized resistivity ρ/ρ_{300K} (*T*) data are displayed in the main panel of **Fig. 3(a)**. The resistivity results suggest that tiny amounts of S substitution suppress the CDW transition (T_{CDW}) (T_{CDW} is defined by the minimum of $d\rho/dT$ as shown in the inset of **Fig. 3(a)**).

CuIr₂Te_{4-x}S_x ($0 \le x \le 0.5$) samples show metallic behaviors above 3 K. From **Fig. 3(b)**, abrupt superconducting transitions can be seen in the ρ/ρ_{300K} curves of the CuIr₂Te_{4-x}S_x ($0 \le x \le 0.4$) compounds at low temperatures. We have estimated the residual resistivity ratio (RRR = R_{300K}/R_{5K}) and the results are given in **Table 2**. RRR increases from 4.07 for the host sample to 5.28 for optimal samples (x = 0.15). Normally, there should be a decrease in value of RRR with doping as result of induced disorder. In this case, one possible way to understand this behavior of the increased RRR in the low region S-doping samples could be that the introduction of small amount of S might cause an improvement in the sublattice order. This would improve local chemical and electronic uniformity, resulting in the suppression of CDW in the low region S-doping samples. Similarly, this behavior has also been reported in Be doped FeSe superconducting system [28]. Meanwhile, S doped samples exhibit steep superconducting transitions in the range of $0 < x \le 0.4$, indicating the homogeneity of the doped samples (see Fig. 3(b) and Table 2). Surprisingly, CDW transition feature is absent in CuIr₂Te_{4-x}S_x (0 < x < 0.2), while it reappears for $0.2 \le x \le 0.5$ and the T_{CDW} gradually raises with enhancing S doping concentration. Simultaneously the RRR gradually reduces from 5.28 for x = 0.15 to 1.8 for x = 0.5 (see Table 2). The reduction of RRR implies that higher S doping can significantly induce disorder and S ions are effective scattering centres, [29-31] which may possibly explain the recurrence of the CDW. SC was further investigated by the magnetization tests, from **Fig. 3(c)**, we can see the evolution of the T_c s, which is consistent with the resistivity data. The temperature-dependent normalized magnetic susceptibility $4\pi \chi(T)$ is getting smaller by increasing the S concentration x. However, no superconducting transition is detected in

magnetic susceptibility data for x = 0.5 down to 1.8 K, which agrees with the $\rho(T)$ data. **Fig. S7** shows the $d\chi/dT$ vs T for the layer phase CuIr₂Te_{4-x}S_x (0.1 $\leq x \leq 0.5$) samples. From Fig. S7, it can see the $d\chi/dT$ transition is getting broader with the increasing of S doping content and the $d\chi/dT$ transition vanishes when x = 0.5. From resistivity and magnetic susceptibility results, we can see that only layered phases show SC with T_c slightly ascending with x increasing and attains the highest value of 2.82 K for CuIr₂Te_{3.85}S_{0.15}, which is rather higher than the T_c obtained by the optimal Ru (2.79 K) and Al doping (2.75 K). [21,24] Subsequently, there is a small drop of T_c with the increase of x and a SC dome can be observed. To confirm the re-appearance of the CDW-like order for $(0.2 \le x \le 0.5)$ samples, we further investigate the temperature dependent-magnetic susceptibilities $\chi(T)$ with heating and cooling under a 10 kOe magnetic field. The inset of Fig. 3(d) presents the cooling $d\chi/dT(T)$ revealing the T_{CDWS} , which are consistent with the resistivity data (see the inset of Fig. 3(a), as well as the curves obtained from the cooling process). It seems like that these investigated compounds with the layered structure near the two ends exhibit CDW-like transitions accompanied with the superconducting dome in the whole layered region, which is very similar to the electronic phase diagram of the recently reported $CuIr_2Te_{4-x}(I/Se)_x$ [23,32] and the 2H-TaSe_{2-x}S_x ($0 \le x \le 2$) system, [33] where the disorder have a significant role in the tendency of SC and CDW. Alike phenomena have also been found in Tlintercalated Nb₃Te₄ single crystals, [34] where the re-appearance of CDW is attributed to the chaos in the Nb chains. Moreover, M_x TiSe₂ (M = Mn, Cr, Fe) doping series also show analogous phenomena - CDW state vanishes at the low intercalant concentrations is ascribed to the local deformations of Se-Ti-Se layers around introduced M atoms and the re-emergence of the CDW state in the over-doped region is probably because of reducing of the deformation of Se-Ti-Se layers.[35] Similarly, it is reasonable to deduce that the CDW gap is possibly opened due to the disorder created with S element doping, leading to a reduced density of states (DOS) near the Fermi level (E_F) and the suppression of T_c .[36] But this foundation still requires further research indeed. After all, T_{cs} of the 2H-TaSe_{2-x}S_x series are remarkably greater than those of the two end undoped 2H-TaSe₂ and 2H-TaS₂ compounds, but there is only subtle enhancement of T_c at even the optimal doping composition CuIr₂Te_{3.85}S_{0.15}.

Next, we perform the temperature dependence of zero-field resistivity measurements with heating and cooling for the cubic spinel CuIr₂Te_{4-x}S_x ($3.6 \le x \le 4$) to investigate the MIT, as exhibited in the main panel of Fig. 4(a), it is clear that T_{MI} raises with S concentration x in the spinel phase as the inset of Fig. 4(a). From Fig. 4(a), it can be seen that the resisitivity slightly decreases with the decreasing temperature above MIT, but whereas it increases abruptly with the decreasig temperature below MIT. For example, it increases from around $1 \times 10^{-2} \Omega \cdot cm$ to $1 \times 10^3 \Omega$ cm with cooling run for the composition x = 3.9. Below MIT, the resistivity displays insulating behavior, which is consistent with the previous report.[9] To further confirm the MIT, we performed the temperature-dependent magnetic susceptibility measurements with heating and cooling for the spinel compositions $\text{CuIr}_2\text{Te}_{4-x}S_x$ (3.6 $\leq x \leq 4$) with applied magnetic field 10 kOe. Fig. 4(b) presents the temperature-dependent magnetic susceptibility under H = 10kOe for CuIr₂Te_{4-x}S_x (x = 3.6, 3.7, 3.8, 3.9 and 4) compositions. χ (*T*) behaviors for different compounds seems to be analogous, we can find that there is an obvious hysteresis on heating and cooling in all these spinel compounds, the peak around 50 K for the composition with x =4 is related to oxygen contamination [37,38]. However, one feature is that $\chi(T)$, which is primarily consisted of Landau diamagnetism, Larmor diamagnetism, and Pauli paramagnetism, is practically temperature-independent above T_{MI} . [17] The magnetic susceptibility at T_{MI} decreases abruptly as temperature decreases as a result of the spin-dimerization transition. Below T_{MI} , excepting the low temperatures upturn, the magnetic susceptibility almost maintains constant as shown in Fig. 4(c). χ values beyond $T_{MI} (\chi_{MI})$ and below $T_{MI} (\chi_{MI})$ are given in **Table 3**. Evidently, both χ_{MI}^+ and χ_{MI}^- decrease by increasing of the doping amount of S. The magnetic step at T_{MI} is associated to DOS at the Fermi level $N(E_F)$ by the equations: [39] $\Delta \chi = \chi_{MI}^+ - \chi_{MI}^-$, and $\chi_{Pauli} = \frac{3}{2} \Delta \chi = \mu_0 \mu_B^2 N(E_F)$, where μ_0 represents the vacuum magnetoconductivity and μ_B is Bohr magneton, respectively, $\Delta \chi$ is the altitude of magnetic step. The increase of $\Delta \chi$ (see **Table 3**) with increasing x points to the expanding of the bandwidth at the Fermi level caused by the replacement of Te by S. The increase of both T_{MI} and $\Delta \chi$ reveal that the Peierls-like phase transition is enhanced as a result of the lattice reduction. [17] One more

obvious feature is that all samples exhibit the magnetization's upturn at low temperatures ascribed to the Curie paramagnetism, [17,39] which may be produced in lattice defects [17,40,41] or paramagnetic impurities.[42,43] Thus, the following formula can be used for fitting the magnetic susceptibility below T_{MI} : $\chi = \chi_0 + \frac{C}{T}$, where χ_0 represents the magnetic susceptibility excluding the Curie paramagnetism; C is the Curie parameter. The fitting result on $CuIr_2Te_{0.3}S_{3.7}$ is shown in Fig. 4(c) by green solid curves (the fitting data for the other compounds are given in Fig. S8 in supplemental information). The fitting constants χ_0 and C are given in **Table 3**. Both χ_0 and C decrease by increasing x. A previous report shows that the Ag doping in CuIr₂S₄ deteriorates the Peierls-like phase transition, [17] therefore weakening the spin-dimerization. Then, for the system $CuIr_2Te_{4-x}S_x$, the number of non-dimerized Ir^{4+} ions will be decreased below T_{MI} reducing the paramagnetism. [17] Hence, as x increases, the paramagnetism becomes weaker resulting in an increase in the Curie constant C. The decreased χ_0 may be attributed to the weak remnant ferromagnetism as it has been revealed in different site doped CuIr₂S₄. [15,17,18] Correspondingly, the T_{MI} extracted from the magnetic susceptibility (Fig. 4(b), inset) is consistent with the resistivity data. With increasing the S doping concentration x in the range of $3.6 \le x \le 4$, the T_{MI} increases gradually. This behavior is similar to that reported in ref. [9] In comparison with the substitution of Se in CuIr₂S₄, Te doping is expected to have a robust suppression on the MIT. [9] Nagata et al. reported the phase diagram for $CuIr_2(S_{1-x}Se_x)_4$, which displays that the MIT can be kept in a broad substitution range of $0 \le x \le 0.7$. [5]

With the aim of calculating the lower critical field (μ_0H_{c1}), the magnetization isotherm M(H) measurements were performed at different fields. Fig. 5 displays the temperature-dependent μ_0H_{c1} for the optimal CuIrTe_{3.85}S_{0.15} sample. The bottom inset of Fig. 5 presents the magnetization M(H) data versus field. The inset at the upper corner of Fig. 5 displays the full process for estimating μ_0H_{c1} at different measuring temperatures. To get an accurate μ_0H_{c1} value, the demagnetization effect should be considered. The demagnetization factor (N) values can be estimated according to the equation: $\chi_V = dM/dH$, in which χ_V denotes the slope of the linearly fitting (see green line in the bottom inset in Fig. 5, N value is calculated to be 0.53 ~ 0.63. Then, we can plot the experimental data based on the relationship $M_{\text{Fit}} = e + fH$ at low magnetic fields, where *e* and *f* represent the intercept and the slope of the linear fitting of the *M*(*H*) data, respectively. The relationship of *M*-*M*_{Fit} (*H*) is plotted in the top inset of **Fig. 5**, which is used to estimate $\mu_0 H_{c1}^*$ at the field when $(M-M_{Fit})$ deviates by ~ 1% below the fitted data (M(1%))[44,45]. Subsequently, one can obtain $\mu_0 H_{c1}(T)$ value by using the expression: $\mu_0 H_{c1}(T) = \mu_0 H_{c1}^*(T)/(1-N).[46,47]$ Accordingly, we can fit the $\mu_0 H_{c1}(T)$ values on the basis of the expression: $\mu_0 H_{c1}(T) = \mu_0 H_{c1}(0)[1-(T/T_c)^2]$. Finally, the lower critical field ($\mu_0 H_{c1}(0)$) at zero temperature for the CuIr₂Te_{3.85}S_{0.15} compound is calculated to be 17 mT. Compared to the pristine CuIr₂Te₄ compound (28 mT) and Ru doping compound CuIr_{1.95}Ru_{0.05}Te₄ (98 mT), [21] the isoelectronic S doping compound has smaller $\mu_0 H_{c1}(0)$ s, as summarized in **Table 4**.

Additionally, we analyzed the upper critical field $(\mu_0 H_{c2})$ via the temperature-dependent resistivity measurement under increased applied magnetic fields $\rho(T, H)$ (Fig. 6, insets). Here, we calculate the $\mu_0 H_{c2}$ using 50 % criteria of the superconducting transition value from the normalized resistivity (ρ_N). It is clear that the T_c decreases upon applying a magnetic field. Consequently, one can calculate the $\mu_0 H_{c2}$ values on the basis of Werthamer-Helfand-Hohenburg (WHH) and Ginzberg-Landau (GL) theories. Then, we can adopt the simplified WHH equation: [48] $\mu_0 H_{c2}(0) = -0.693 T_c (dH_{c2}/dT)|_{T_c}$ to obtain the $\mu_0 H_{c2}(0)$ s, [49-53] where (dH_{c2}/dT_c) represents the slope of $\mu_0 H_{c2}(T)$ in the vicinity of T_c . Based on the simplified WHH model, we can get the $\mu_0 H_{c2}(0)$ for the CuIr₂Te_{4-x}S_x (x = 0.05, 0.075 and 0.15) compounds, which are 0.140, 0.174 and 0.168 T, correspondingly. These values are all greater than that of the parent CuIr₂Te₄. Nonetheless, the highest value of $\mu_0 H_{c2}(0)$ does not correspond to the highest T_c . It has been assumed that the obtained $\mu_0 H_{c2}(0)$ s for weak-coupling Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer (BCS) superconductors are not more than that of the Pauli limiting field (H^{p}) =1.86* T_c).[54] The values of H^p are calculated to be 4.95, 5.09 and 5.25 T, respectively, which is larger than that of the undoped CuIr₂Te₄. Correspondingly, we can further calculate the Ginzburg-Landau coherence length $\xi_{GL}(0)$ from the formula $H_{c2} = \phi_0 / 2\pi \xi_{GL}^2$, using the $H_{c2}(0)$ data from the WHH model, where the flux quantum $\phi_0 = 2.07 \times 10^{-3} \text{ T} \mu \text{m}^2$. The calculated

values of $\xi_{GL}(0)$ s for CuIr₂Te_{3.9}S_{0.05}, CuIr₂Te_{3.925}S_{0.075} and CuIr₂Te_{3.85}S_{0.15} are 47.81, 42.88 and 45.21 nm, respectively. On the other hand, $\mu_0 H_{c1}$ is correlated to the coherence length ζ and the magnetic penetration depth λ through the relation $\mu_0 H_{c1} = (\phi_0/4\pi\lambda^2)$ [ln(κ) + 0.5], where $\kappa = \lambda/\zeta$ is the *GL* parameter. [55] We can get the magnetic penetration depth $\lambda_{GL} = 119$ nm using $\mu_0 H_{c1} = 0.017$ T and $\mu_0 H_{c2}(0) = 0.168$ T for the optimal doping level CuIr₂Te_{3.85}S_{0.15}, which is slightly higher than that of the undoped CuIr₂Te₄ compound (0.12 T), [12] but smaller than that of the optimum Ru-doped CuIr₂Te₄ (0.247 T) [13]. We have also calculated the $\mu_0 H_{c2}$ values from the *GL* equation: [56] $\mu_0 H_{c2}(T) = \mu_0 H_{c2}(0) * [1 - (T/T_c)^2] / [1 + (T/T_c)^2]$, where T_c is taking from the criteria 50 % of ρ_N . The $\mu_0 H_{c2}(0)$ values from GL model are 0.203, 0.212 and 0.209 T, respectively. As can be seen on the main panels of **Fig. 6**, the $\mu_0 H_{c2}$ values from the GL model are higher as compared to the $\mu_0 H_{c2}$ values calculated using the WHH model.

The heat capacity measurements deliver more details about the properties of normal and superconducting states. Results of temperature-dependent specific heat capacity at low temperature of the optimal sample CuIr₂Te_{3.85}S_{0.15} are displayed in **Fig. 7**. The data collected under 10 kOe field up to 10 K is well described by C_p/T (T) = $\gamma + \beta T^2$, as presented by the dashed line through the data in **Fig. 7(a)**, where γ and β are the constants of electronic specific heat (C_{el}) and the lattice coefficient in the phonon contribution (C_{ph}), respectively. A sharp anomaly under zero magnetic field is perceived at 2.81 K as shown in **Fig. 7(b)**. The fit gives a normal state Sommerfeld coefficient of $\gamma = 10.84$ mJ mol⁻¹ K² and the lattice coefficients $\beta = 3.39$ mJ mol⁻¹ K⁴. Debye temperature (Θ_D) of about 160 K is calculated from the formula $\Theta_D = (12\pi^4 nR/5\beta)^{1/3}$ where n = 7 is the number of the atoms per formula unit and *R* is the gas constant. Having T_c and Θ_D , we can gain the electron-phonon coupling coefficient (λ_{ep}) on the

basis of the inverted McMillan expression: [49]
$$\lambda_{ep} = \frac{1.04 + \mu * \ln(\Theta_D / 1.45T_c)}{((1 - 0.62\mu)\ln(\Theta_D / 1.45T_c) - 1.04)}, \text{ where}$$

the repulsive screened coulomb parameter μ^* is 0.13, which is the commonly used commonlyused with the McMillan equation for metals. [49,57-59] The obtained value of λ_{ep} is around 0.65. Having γ and λ_{ep} , the DOS at the Fermi level $N(E_F)$ can be figured out by using the formula $N(E_F) = 3 \gamma (\pi^2 k_B^2 (1 + \lambda_{ep}))$, where k_B denotes Boltzmann's constant. $N(E_F)$ is found to be 3.17 states/eV per f.u. which is somewhat enhanced compared to that of the host CuIr₂Te₄. The increase in T_c and the suppression of CDW in CuIr₂Te_{3.85}S_{0.15} compound can be explained by the enhancement of $N(E_F)$ and it may also be related to the enrichment of the electron–phonon coupling by the S-ion substitution as compared to parent CuIr₂Te₄ (see **Table 4**). **Fig. 7(b)** exhibits the electronic contribution to the heat capacity collected at 0 Oe. The obtained T_c for this sample is 2.81 K. The magnitude of the heat capacity jump is estimated to be 1.48, close to the predicted value (1.43) based on the weak coupling BCS theory.

Finally, a rich electronic phase diagram for the $CuIr_2Te_{4-x}S_x$ series is constructed, which features multiple regions separated by the T_c , T_{CDW} and T_{MI} versus the doping level x, as displayed in Fig. 8. Orange regions represent the suppression and re-emergence of the CDW states upon the sulfur substitution. Concretely, the CDW signature in the resistivity disappears with a small S doping content x, whereas it reemerges for $0.2 \le x \le 0.5$ and is enhanced as x increases in the doping range of 0.2 to 0.5. This phenomenon is similar to the case of single doped $Cu_{1-x}Ag_xIr_{2-y}Zr_yTe_{4-z}(I/Se)_z$, [23, 32, 60, 61] but it differs from the $CuIr_{2-x}(Ru/Ti)_xTe_4$ and $Cu_{0.5-x}Zn_xIrTe_2$ systems without reappearance of CDW transition in the high doping range. [21, 22, 62] Meanwhile, light blue represents the emergence and evolution of SC upon sulfur substitution, in which a small amount S substitution for Te can slightly enhance the T_c and yields the highest T_c of about 2.82 K at x = 0.15 for which the improvement of the SC may be due to the enhanced in electron-phonon coupling induced by the S doping, followed by a drop of T_c where the degradation of T_c is may due to the continuous shrinkage of the lattice which is not beneficial for the SC, which was observed in some other reported superconductors.[63, 64] The SC is completely suppressed at x > 0.4, leading to a dome-like phase diagram. Light green and purple denote region of the metallic and insulating states, respectively. On the right side of the diagram, the MIT occurs in the whole cubic spinel phase zone ($3.6 \le x \le 0.4$) and T_{MI} increases with the S doping content increasing. This suggests that T_{MI} increases with decreasing unit cell volume. With shrinking atomic spacing, one would expect an increase in MIT because the overlap of electron wave functions favors a metallic state. [65]

Conclusions

In summary, we have synthesized the polycrystalline $\text{CuIr}_2\text{Te}_{4-x}S_x$ ($0 \le x \le 0.4$) solid solutions via a solid state reaction method. A rich electronic phase diagram has been established,

which simplifies the rather complicated structural and electrical features in the system $CuIr_2Te_{4-x}S_x$ ($0 \le x \le 0.4$). Altogether, $CuIr_2Te_{4-x}S_x$ ($0 \le x \le 0.4$) stabilize in two types of structures and divided into three zones with a layered trigonal structure for $0 \le x \le 0.5$, cubic spinel structure near the end of their solid solutions ($3.6 \le x \le 4$), and mixed-phase that intermediates between these two regions. Even with the substitution of a small amount of S for Te, CDW can be suppressed. However, the signature of the CDW-like transition can be observed again in the region of $0.2 \le x \le 0.5$ with and increased T_{CDW} . On the other hand, S substitution for Te can slightly enhance the T_c and the optimal doping lever is x = 0.15 ($CuIr_2Te_{3.85}S_{0.15}$) with the highest $T_c \approx 2.82$ K. The metal-insulator transition exists in the region of $3.6 \le x \le 4$ and T_{MI} is enhanced by S substitution. Based on our results, $CuIr_2Te_{4-x}S_x$ is a potential platform for further study of the interrelationships between different types of electronic orders. Future systematic studies will be important to better understand these interactions and ascertain the physical origin of these electronic instabilities.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the financial support by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11974432), Guangdong Basic and Applied Basic Research Foundation (2019A1515011718), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (19lgzd03) and the Pearl River Scholarship Program of Guangdong Province Universities and Colleges (20191001). Meng Wang was supported by the National Nature Science Foundation of China (11904414) and National Key Research and Development Program of China (2019YFA0705702). The work at IOPCAS is supported by the NSFC (12025408, 11921004, 11904391), the National Key R&D Program of China (2018YFA0305702).

References

[1] K. E. Wagner, E. Morosan, Y. S. Hor, J. Tao, Y. Zhu, T. Sanders, T. M. McQueen, H. W. Zandbergen, A. J. Williams, D. V. West and R. J. Cava. Tuning the charge density wave and superconductivity in Cu_xTaS₂. *Phys. Rev. B* **78** 104520 (2008).

[2] H. Luo, W. Xie, J. Tao, H. Inoue, A. Gyenis, J. W. Krizan, A. Yazdani, Y. Zhu and R. J. Cava. Polytypism, polymorphism, and superconductivity in TaSe_{2-x}Te_x *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 112 E1174 (2015).

[3] H. Luo, J. W. Krizan, E. M. Seibel, W. Xie, G. S. Sahasrabudhe, S. L. Bergman, B. F. Phelan, J. Tao, Z. Wang, J. Zhang and R. J. Cava. Cr-doped TiSe₂ – a layered dichalcogenide spin glass. *Chem. Mater.* 27 6810-6817 (2015).

[4] J. Tang, T. Matsumoto, T. Naka, T. Furubayashi, S. Nagata and N. Matsumoto. Instability of metallic phase in CuIr₂Se₄ at high pressure. *Phys. B: Condens. Matter* **259-261** 857-859 (1999).

[5] S. Nagata, N. Matsumoto, Y. Kato, T. Furubayashi, T. Matsumoto, J. P. Sanchez and P. Vulliet. Metal-insulator transition in the spinel-type $\text{CuIr}_2(\text{S}_{1-x}\text{Se}_x)_4$ system. *Phys. Rev. B* **58** 6844-6854 (1998).

[6] H. Luo, T. Klimczuk, L. Müchler, L. Schoop, D. Hirai, M. K. Fuccillo, C. Felser and R. J.
 Cava. Superconductivity in the Cu(Ir_{1-x}Pt_x)₂Se₄ spinel. *Phys. Rev. B* 87 214510 (2013).

[7] T. Furubayashi, T. Kosaka, J. Tang, T. Matsumoto, Y. Kato and S. Nagata. Pressure induced metal-insulator transition of selenospinel CuIr₂Se₄. *J. Phys. Soc. Japan* **66** 1563-1564 (1997).

[8] S. Nagata, T. Hagino, Y. Seki and T. Bitoh. Metal-insulator transition in thiospinel CuIr₂S₄.
 Phys. B: Condens. Matter **194-196** 1077-1078 (1994).

[9] S. Yue, J. Du, Y. Zhang, Y-H. Zhang. Metal-insulator transition in $CuIr_2(S_{1-x}Te_x)_4$. *Chin. Phys. Lett.* **26** 117126 (2009).

[10] S. Nagata, S. Ito, R. Endoh and J. Awaka. Metal–insulator transition in the spinel-type Cu $(Ir_{1-x}Ti_x)_2S_4$ system. *Philos. Mag. B* **82** 1679 (2002).

[11]R. Endoh, J. Awaka, S. Nagata. Ferromagnetism and the metal-insulator transition in the thiospinel Cu($Ir_{1-x}Cr_x$)₂S₄. *Phys. Rev. B* **68** (2003) 115106.

[12]Nobuhiro Matsumoto, Ryo Endoh and Shoichi Nagata. Metal-insulator transition and superconductivity in the spinel-type $Cu(Ir_{1-x}Rh_x)_2S_4$ system. *Phys. Rev. B* **60**(8) 5258–5265 (1999).

[13]N. Matsumoto, Y. Yamauchi, J. Awaka, Y. Kamei, H. Takano and S. Nagata. Metalinsulator transition in the spinel-type $Cu(Ir_{1-x}Pt_x)_2S_4$ system. *Int. J. Inorg. Mater.* **3**(7) 791-795 (2001).

[14]G. Cao, H. Kitazawa, H. Suzuki, T. Furubayashi, K. Hirata and T. Matsumoto. Superconductivity in Zn-doped CuIr₂S₄. *Physica C Supercond*. **341-348** 735-736 (2000).

[15]L.Zhang, Z. Qu, Li Pi, L. Ling, S. Zhang, S. Tan and Y. Zhang. The remnant ferromagnetism in the Cu_{1-x}Ag_xIr₂S₄ system. *Solid State Commun.* 150, 1665–1669 (2010).

[16]R. Endoh, N. Matsumoto, S. Chikazawa, S. Nagata, T. Furubayashi and T. Matsumoto, *Phys. Rev. B* 64, 075106 (2001).

[17]L. Zhang, L. Ling, S. Tan, L. Pi and Y. Zhang, The effect of equivalent pressure and localized magnetism in Cu_{1-x-y}Ag_xIr₂S₄ system. *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **20** 255205 (2008).
[18]G. Oomi, T. Kagayama, I. Yoshida, T. Hagina and S. Nagata. Effect of pressure on the metal-insulator transition temperature in thiospinel CuIr₂S₄. *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* 140–144 **157** (1995).

[10] P. Endoh, N. Matsumoto, I. Awaka

[19]R. Endoh, N. Matsumoto, J. Awaka, S. Ebisu and S. Nagata. Metal-insulator transition in the spinel-type $Cu(Ir_{1-x}Cr_x)_2S_4$ system. *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* **63** 669-674 (2002).

[20] D. Yan, Y. Zeng, G. Wang, Y. Liu, J. Yin, T.-R. Chang, H. Lin, M. Wang, J. Ma, S. Jia,
 D.-X. Yao and H. Luo, CuIr₂Te₄, arXiv:1908.05438 (2019).

[21] D. Yan, L. Zeng, Y. Lin, J. Yin, Y. He, X. Zhang, M. Huang, B. Shen, M. Wang, Y. Wang,
D. Yao and H. Luo. Superconductivity in Ru doped CuIr₂Te₄ telluride chalcogenide. *Phys. Rev. B* 100 174504 (2019).

[22] D. Yan, Y. Zeng, Y. Lin, L. Zeng, J. Yin, M. Boubeche, M. Wang, Y. Wang, D.-X. Yao and H. Luo. Robust Superconductivity in $(Zn_xCu_{1-x})_{0.5}IrTe_2$. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **125**, 5732-5738 (2021).

[23] M. Boubeche, J. Yu, L. Chushan, W. Huichao, L. Zeng, Y. He, X. Wang, W. Su, M. Wang,
D.-X. Yao, Z. Wang and H. Luo. Superconductivity and Charge Density Wave in Iodine-Doped
CuIr₂Te₄. *Chin. Phy. Lett.* **38** 037401 (2021).

[24] D. Yan, Y. Zeng, Y. Lin, L. Zeng, J. Yin, Y. He, M. Boubeche, M. Wang, Y. Wang, D.-X. Yao, and H. Luo, arXiv:2003.11463 (2020).

[25] J. Rodr guez-Carvajal. Recent Developments of the Program FULLPROF. *Comm. Powder Diffr.* **26**, 12 (2001).

[26]L. Vegard, Die Konstitution der Mischkristalle und die Raumf üllung der Atome. Z. Physik5, 17-26 (1921).

[27]R. Shannon. Revised effective ionic radii and systematic studies of interatomic distances in halides and chalcogenides. *Acta Crystallogr. A* **32** 751-767 (1976).

[28]J. Kim, D. VanGennep, J. Hamlin, X. Wang, A. Sefat and G. Stewart. J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 30 445701(2018).

[29]K. De Silva, X. Xu, X. Wang, D. Wexler, D. Attard, F. Xiang, S.X. Dou. A significant improvement in the superconducting properties of MgB_2 by co-doping with graphene and nano-SiC. *Scr. Mater.* **67**(10) 802-5 (2012).

[30]X. Wang, S. Dou, M. Hossain, Z. Cheng, X. Liao, S. Ghorbani, Q.W. Yao and J. Kim. Enhancement of the in-field J_c of MgB₂ via SiCl₄ doping.*Phys. Rev. B* **81** 224514 (2010).

[31]H. Wang, L. Li, D. Ye, X. Cheng and Z. Xu. Effect of Te doping on superconductivity and charge-density wave in dichalcogenides 2H-NbSe_{2-*x*}Te_{*x*} (*x* = 0, 0.1, 0.2). *Chin. Phys.* **16** 2471 (2007).

[32] M. Boubeche, N. Wang, J. Sun, P. Yang, L. Zeng, Q. Li, Y. He, S. Luo, J. Cheng, Y. Peng and H. Luo. Anomalous charge density wave state evolution and dome-like superconductivity in CuIr₂Te_{4-x}Se_x chalcogenides. *Supercond. Sci. Technol.* **34** 115003 (2021).

[33] L. Li, X. Deng, Z. Wang, Y. Liu, M. Abeykoon, E. Dooryhee, A. Tomic, Y. Huang, J. B.
Warren, E. S. Bozin, S. J. L. Billinge, Y. Sun, Y. Zhu, G. Kotliar and C. Petrovic.
Superconducting order from disorder in 2H-TaSe_{2-x}S_x. *npj Quantum Mater.* 2 11 (2017).

[34]G. A. Scholz. Charge-density-wave behaviour in intercalated single crystal Nb₃Te *Solid State Ion.* **100** 135-141 (1997).

[35]N. V. Baranov, V. I. Maksimov, J. Mesot, V. G. Pleschov, A. Podlesnyak, V. Pomjakushin and N. V. Seleznev**a.** Possible reappearance of the charge density wave transition in M**x**TiSe2 compounds intercalated with 3*d* metals. *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* **19** 016005 (2006). [36]J.M. Harper, T.E. Geballem and F.J. Disalvo. Thermal properties of layered transitionmetal dichalcogenides at charge-density-wave transitions. *Phys. Rev. B* **15** 2943-2951 (1977).

[37] Y. Chiew, M. Miyata, M. Koyano and Y. Oshima. Clarification of the ordering of intercalated Fe atoms in Fe_xTiS_2 and its effect on the magnetic properties. *Acta Cryst.* **B77** 441–448 (2021).

[38]MPMS Application Note 1014–210 Oxygen Contamination (1997). Retrieved from Quantum Design website https://www.qdusa.com/ siteDocs/appNotes/1014-210.pdf.

[39] K. Yagasaki and T. Nakama. Diamagnetism in spinel compound CuIr₂S₄. *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* **310** 904–906 (2007).

[40]H. Kang, K. Barner, H. Rager, U. Sondermann, P. Mandal, I.V. Medvedeva, E. Gmelin.EPR spectra of CuIr₂S₄. *J. Alloys Compd.* **306** 6-10 (2000)

[41]L. Zhang, L. Ling, J. Fan, R. Zhang, C. Xi, X. Zhu, C. Zhang and Y. Zhang. Orbitally induced Peierls phase transition driven by phonon change in CuIr_{2-x}Sb_xS₄. *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* **330** 12-15 (2013).

[42] R. Gupta, S. K. Dhar, A. Thamizhavel, K. P. Rajeev, and Z. Hossain, *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* **29** 255601 (2017).

[43]S. Ibuka and M. Imai. Possibility of charge density wave transition in a SrPt₂Sb₂ superconductor. *J Phys Condens Matter* **28** 165702 (2016).

[44]H. Luo, J. Strychalska-Nowak, J. Li, J. Tao, T. Klimczuk, and R. J. Cava, S-shaped suppression of the superconducting transition temperature in Cu-intercalated NbSe₂. *Chem. Mater.* **29** 3704-3712 (2017).

[45] T. Kong, K. Górnicka, S. Gołąb, B. Wiendlocha, T. Klimczuk and R. J. Cava. A family of pb-based superconductors with variable cubic to hexagonal packing. *J. Phys. Soc. Japan* **87** 074711 (2018).

[46] M. J. Winiarski, B. Wiendlocha, S. Goł. S. K. Kushwaha, P.Wisniewsk, D. Kaczorowski,
J. D. Thompson, R.J. Cava and T. Klimczuk. Superconductivity in CaBi₂. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 18 21737 (2016).

[47]C. S. Yadav and P. L. Paulose. Upper critical field, lower critical field and critical current density of FeTe0.60Se0.40 single crystals. *New J. Phys.* **11** 103046 (2009).

[48]E. Helfand, and N. R. Werthamer. Temperature and purity dependence of the superconducting critical field H_{c2} . *Phys. Rev.* **147** 288-294 (1966).

[49] W. L. McMillan. Transition temperature of strong-coupled superconductors. *Phys. Rev.*167 331-344 (1968).

[50]J. A. Wilson, F. J. Di Salvo and S. Mahajan. Charge-density waves in metallic, layered, transition-metal dichalcogenides. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **32** 882-885 (1974).

[51] W. Kohn. Excitonic phases. Phys. Rev. Lett. 19 439-442 (1967).

[52]N. R. Werthamer and W. L. McMillan. Temperature and purity dependence of the superconducting critical field H_{c2}. IV. Strong Coupling Effects. *Phys. Rev.* **158**, 415-417 (1967).
[53]W. S. Kresin Vladimir Z. Fundamentals of Superconductivity, Plenum Press, New York, (1990).

[54] A. M. Clogston. Upper limit for the critical field in hard superconductors. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*9 266-267 (1962).

[55]E. H. Brandt. Properties of the ideal Ginzburg-Landau vortex lattice. *Phys. Rev. B* 68 054506 (2003).

[56] V. Ginzburg, and L. Landau. On the theory of superconductivity. J. Exp. Theor. Phys, JETP, 20, 1064 (1950).

- [57] K. Górnicka, R. J. Cava and T. Klimczuk, The electronic characterization of the cubic laves-phase superconductor CaRh₂. J. Alloys Compd. **793**, 393 (2019).
- [58] T. Klimczuk, C. H. Wang, K. Gofryk, F. Ronning, J. Winterlik, G. H. Fecher, J.-C. Griveau,
 E. Colineau, C. Felser, J. D. Thompson, D. J. Safarik and R. J. Cava, Superconductivity in
 the Heusler family of intermetallics. *Phys. Rev. B* 85, 1705 (2012).

[59] Y. Ge and A. Y. Liu, Phonon-mediated superconductiv ity in electron-doped single-layer MoS₂: A first-principles prediction, *Phys. Rev. B* 87, 241408(R) (2013).

[60] M. Boubeche, L. Zeng, X. Hu, S. Guo, Y. He, P. Yu, Y. Huang, C. Zhang, S. Luo, D.-X. Yao and H. Luo. Enhanced superconductivity with possible re-appearance of charge density wave states in polycrystalline Cu_{1-x}Ag_xIr₂Te₄ alloys. *J. Phys. Chem. Solids.* 163 110539 (2022).
[61] L. Zeng, Y. Ji, D. Yu, S. Guo, Y. He, K. Li, Y. Huang, C. Zhang, P. Yu, S. Luo, H. Wang and H. Luo. Negative Chemical Pressure Effect on Superconductivity and Charge Density Wave of Cu_{0.5}Ir_{1-x}Zr_xTe₂. arXiv:2202.04071.

[62]L. Zeng, D. Yan, Y. He, M. Boubeche, Y. Huang, X. Wang and H. Luo. Effect of Ti substitution on the superconductivity of CuIr₂Te₄ telluride chalcogenide. *J. Alloys Compd.* **885** 160981 (2021).

[63]H. Chen, G. Zhang, H. Zhang, G. Mu, F. Huang and X. Xie. Selenium doping in potential topological superconductor Sn_{0.8}In_{0.2}Te. *Solid State Chem.* **229**124-128 (2015).

[64] J.P. Attfield, A.L. Kharlanov and J.A. McAllister. Cation effects in doped La₂CuO₄ superconductors. *Nature* **394** 157–159 (1998).

[65]S. Tsuji, K. Kumagai, N. Matsumoto and S. Nagata. Metal insulator transition in the spinel CuIr₂(S_{1-x}Se_x)₄ system studied by NMR. *Physica C Supercond.* **282-287** 1107-1108 (1997).

TABLES

Table 1 Rietveld refinement structural parameters of $CuIr_2Te_{3.85}S_{0.15}$ with space group *P3-m1* (no. 164) and $CuIr_2Te_{0.1}S_{3.9}$ with space group *Fd-3m* (no. 227)

<i>x</i> = 0.15	a = b = 3.93	378(2) Å and	c = 5.3947(3) Å	$R_{wp} = 6.85\%$	$R_p = 3.65\%, R_{exp} = 2.11\%$	
Label	x	у	Z.	site	Occupancy	
Cu	0	0	0.5	2 <i>b</i>	0.5	
Ir	0	0	0	1 <i>a</i>	1	
Te	0.3333	0.6667	0.2529(2)	2 <i>b</i>	0.924(1)	
S	0.3333	0.6667	0.2529(3)	2 <i>d</i>	0.074(1)	
				$R_{wp} = 4.2\%, R_p = 3.08\%, R_{exp} = 2.02\%.$		
<i>x</i> = 3.9	a = b = c =	9.8521(2) Å		$R_{wp} = 4.2\%$,	$R_p = 3.08\%$, $R_{exp} = 2.02\%$.	
<i>x</i> = 3.9 Label	a = b = c = x	9.8521(2) Å y	Z	$R_{wp} = 4.2\%$, site	$R_p = 3.08\%$, $R_{exp} = 2.02\%$. Occupancy	
x = 3.9 Label Cu	a = b = c = x 0	9.8521(2) Å y 0	<i>z</i> 0	$R_{wp} = 4.2\%,$ site 8a	$R_p = 3.08\%, R_{exp} = 2.02\%.$ Occupancy	
x = 3.9 Label Cu Ir	a = b = c = x 0 0.625	9.8521(2) Å y 0 0.6250	z 0 0.6250	$R_{wp} = 4.2\%,$ site 8a 16e	$R_p = 3.08\%, R_{exp} = 2.02\%.$ Occupancy 1 1	
x = 3.9 Label Cu Ir Te	a = b = c = x 0 0.625 0.3879	9.8521(2) Å y 0 0.6250 0.3879	z 0 0.6250 0.3879(3)	$R_{wp} = 4.2\%,$ site 8a 16e 32d	$R_p = 3.08\%, R_{exp} = 2.02\%.$ Occupancy 1 1 0.037(2)	

1 (0))		1	((())
S amount (<i>x</i>)	RRR	$T_{c}\left(\mathrm{K} ight)$	$T_{CDW}(\mathbf{K})$
0	4.07	2.5	186
0.025	4.5	2.61	-
0.05	4.33	2.66	-
0.075	4.33	2.74	-
0.15	5.28	2.82	-
0.2	2.85	2.72	110
0.3	3.2	2.46	138
0.4	2.88	2.15	166
0.5	2.50	1.8	217

Table 2. Doping dependent residual resistance ratio (RRR = R_{300K}/R_{5K}), superconducting transition temperature (T_c), and CDW transition temperature (T_{CDW}) for CuIr₂Te_{4-x}S_x.

S amount (<i>x</i>)	χ T _{MI} (10 ⁻⁴ emu / mol)		Δχ	$\chi = \chi_0 + C/T$		
	X TMI+	X TMI-		$\chi_0 \ (10^{-4} \ emu \ / \ mol)$	<i>C</i> (emu K / mol)	
3.6	1.29	0.04	1.25	-0.312	0.0130	
3.7	0.97	-0.37	1.33	-0.435	0.0023	
3.8	0.90	-0.48	1.38	-0.588	0.0031	
3.9	0.85	-0.57	1.42	-0.620	0.0016	
4	0.69	-0.80	1.49	-0.770	0.0048	

Table 3. The magnetic parameters for $CuIr_2Te_{4-x}S_x$.

Material Parameter	CuIr2Te3.95S0.05	Culr2Te3.925S0.075	CuIr ₂ Te _{3.85} S _{0.15}	Culr ₂ Te4 [20]	Culr _{1.95} Ru _{0.05} Te ₄ [21]	Culr ₂ Te _{3.9} I _{0.1} [23]	Cu _{0.25} Zn _{0.25} IrTe ₂ [22]	CuIr _{1.95} Ti _{0.05} Te ₄ [59]	CuIr ₂ Te _{3.9} Se _{0.1} [32]	Cu _{0.88} Ag _{0.12} Ir ₂ Te ₄ [57]
<i>T</i> _c (K)	2.66	2.74	2.82	2.5	2.79	2.95	2.82	2.84	2.83	2.93
γ (mJ mol ⁻¹ K ⁻²)			10.83	12.05	12.26	12.97	13.37	14.13	10.84	13.9
β (mJ mol-1 K ⁻⁴)			3.39	1.97	1.87	3.03	1.96	2.72	3.51	2.12
$\Theta_D(\mathbf{K})$			158	190	193	165	190.6	170.9	157	186
$\Delta C / \gamma T_c$			1.48	1.5	1.51	1.46	1.45	1.34	1.51	1.44
λ_{ep}			0.68	0.63	0.65	0.70	0.66	0.64	0.65	0.64
$N(E_F)$ (states/eV f.u)			3.17	3.1	3.15	3.24	3.41	3.67	3.11	3.61
$\mu H_{cl}(0)$ (mT)			17	28	98	24	62	95	66	13.5
$\mu H_{c2}(0)$ (T) (G-L)	0.203	0.212	0.209	0.145		0.232	0.198		0.148	
$\mu H_{c2}(0)(T)(WHH)$	0.140	0.174	0.168	0.12	0.247	0.188			0.144	0.21
$-dHc_2/dT_c$ (T/K)	0.076	0.092	0.086	0.066	0.125				0.073	
μ_0^{Hp} (T)	4.95	5.09	5.25	4.65	5.24	5.49	5.26	5.28	5.26	5.2
$\xi_{GL}(nm)$	47.81	42.88	45.21	52.8	36.3	41.9	40.7		47.18	40

Table 4. Superconducting parameters of different telluride chalcogenides compounds.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Fig. 1. (Color online) Rietveld refinements for the representative samples (a) $CuIr_2Te_{3.85}S_{0.15}$ and (b) $CuIr_2Te_{0.1}S_{3.9}$. The insets show the crystallographic structures of $CuIr_2Te_{4-x}S_x$ compounds.

Fig. 2. (Color online) (**a-b**) Room temperature PXRD patterns for $\text{CuIr}_2\text{Te}_{4-x}\text{S}_x$ ($0 \le x \le 4$). (c) The variation of unit-cell constants *a* and *c* with *x* content. Blue hollow circle stands for *c*, and red hollow circle notes for *a*.

Fig. 3. (Color online) Transport and magnetization characterizations for CuIr₂Te_{4-x}S_x. (a) The resistivity measurements as a function of temperature for polycrystalline CuIr₂Te_{4-x}S_x series ($0 \le x \le 0.5$). (b) The resistivity ratio ($\rho/\rho_{300 \text{ K}}$) as a function of temperature for the polycrystalline CuIr₂Te_{4-x}S_x series at low temperatures ($0 \le x \le 0.5$), showing the superconducting transition temperatures. (c) Magnetization curves for CuIr₂Te_{4-x}S_x ($0 \le x \le 0.5$) at low temperatures under 30 Oe applied fields, marking the onset of the superconducting transition temperatures. (d) Magnetization curves under applied field H 10 kOe for polycrystalline CuIr₂Te_{4-x}S_x ($0.2 \le x \le 0.5$).

Fig. 4 (Color online) (a) Temperature-dependent resistivity for the cubic spinel samples of CuIr₂Te_{4-x}S_x ($3.6 \le x \le 4$). The close circles are for cooling and the open ones are for warming (b) Magnetization measurements as a function of temperature for the spinel samples of CuIr₂Te_{4-x}S_x ($3.9 \le x \le 4$) measured under applied field H = 10 kOe. The insets of **Fig. 4 (a, b)** show the amplified plots near the MIT). (c) The temperature dependence of magnetic susceptibility for CuIr₂Te_{0.3}S_{3.7}. The magnetic susceptibility between 4 K and *T*_{MI} are fitted by *T*_{MI}: $\chi = \chi_0 + \frac{C}{T}$ (green solid line).

Fig. 5 (Color online) The lower critical fields for CuIr₂Te_{3.85}S_{0.15}, with the fitting lines using the equation $\mu_0 H_{c1}(T) = \mu_0 H_{c1}(0)[1 - (T/T_c)^2]$. The top insets display the magnetic susceptibilities M(H) curves at different temperatures. The bottom insets display *M*-*M*_{Fit} (*H*) vs. temperature (*T*).

Fig. 6. (Color online) (**a-c**) The temperature dependence of upper critical fields curves for $CuIr_2Te_{3.95}S_{0.05}$, $CuIr_2Te_{3.925}S_{0.075}$ and $CuIr_2Te_{3.85}S_{0.15}$ respectively. The data are fitted using WHH (the color solid lines) and GL (the color dashed lines) models. the insets depict the

corresponding resistivity measurements as a function of temperature under different magnetic field $\rho(T,H)$

Fig. 7. (Color online) (a) Low temperature specific heat capacity $(C_p/T(T))$ at 0 Oe (green solid circles) and 10 kOe field (red circles) as a function of T^2 , the inset shows heat capacity jump. (b) The electronic portion of the heat capacity (C_{el}/T) vs *T*.

Fig. 8 (Color online) The electronic phase diagram for CuIr₂Te_{4-*x*}S_{*x*} ($0 \le x \le 0.4$). *T_{CDW}* and *T_{MI}* are identified from the cooling mode of $\rho(T)$ and $\chi(T)$.

```
Fig. 1
```



















Fig. 6



Fig. 7







Supplemental Information

Superconducting dome associated with the suppression and reemergence of chargedensity-wave states upon sulfur substitution in the CuIr₂Te_{4-x}S_x chalcogenides

Mebrouka Boubeche^a, Ningning Wang^b, Jianping Sun^b, Xiping Zhu^b, Lingyong Zeng^a, Shaojuan Luo^c, Yiyi He^a, Jia Yu^d, Meng Wang^d, Jinguang Cheng^b, Huixia Luo^{a*}

^a School of Materials Science and Engineering, State Key Laboratory of Optoelectronic Materials and Technologies, Key Lab of Polymer Composite & Functional Materials, Guangzhou Key Laboratory of Flexible Electronic Materials and Wearable Devices, Sun Yat-Sen University, No. 135, Xingang Xi Road, Guangzhou, 510275, P. R. China
^bBeijing National Laboratory for Condensed Matter Physics and Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences and School of Physical Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China
^cSchool of Chemical Engineering and Light Industry, Guangdong University of Technology, Guangzhou, 510006, P. R. China
^d Center for Neutron Science and Technology, School of Physics, Sun Yat-Sen University,

Guangzhou, 510275, China

E-mail address: luohx7@mail.sysu.edu.cn;

*Corresponding author/authors complete details (Telephone; E-mail:) (+86)-2039386124

<i>x</i> = 0.025	a=b=3.	9392(3) Å an	d <i>c</i> = 5.3964(3) Å	$R_{wp} = 5.82$	% $R_p = 3.24\%$, $R_{exp} = 2.09\%$
Label	x	у	Ζ	site	Occupancy
Cu	0	0	0.5	2b	1
Ir	0	0	0	1 <i>a</i>	1
Te	0.3333	0.6667	0.2532(1)	2b	0.985(1)
S	0.3333	0.6667	0.2532(3)	2d	0.012(1)
<i>x</i> = 0.05	a=b=3.	.9389 (1) Å ar	nd $c = 5.3961(2)$ Å	$R_{wp} = 5.31$	%, $R_p = 3.50\%$, $R_{exp} = 2.10\%$.
Label	x	у	Z.	site	Occupancy
Cu	0	0	0.5	2 <i>b</i>	1
Ir	0	0	0	1 <i>a</i>	1
Te	0.3333	0.6667	0.2529(2)	2 <i>b</i>	0.971(3)
S	0.3333	0.6667	0.2529(2)	2d	0.024(2)
<i>x</i> = 0.075	a=b=3.	9383(4) Å an	d <i>c</i> = 5.3953(2) Å	$R_{wp} = 5.789$	%, $R_p = 3.08\%$, $R_{exp} = 2.04\%$.
Label	x	у	Z.	Site	Occupancy
Cu	0	0	0.5	2 <i>b</i>	1
Ir	0	0	0	1 <i>a</i>	1
Te	0.3333	0.6667	0.2525(4)	2 <i>b</i>	0.967(3)
S	0.3333	0.6667	0.2525(4)	2d	0.032(3)
<i>x</i> = 0.2	a=b=3.	937 (3) Å and	l <i>c</i> = 5.3941(7) Å	$R_{wp} = 5.91$	%, $R_p = 3.2\%$, $R_{exp} = 2.13\%$.
Label	x	у	Z.	Site	Occupancy
Cu	0	0	0.5	2b	1
Ir	0	0	0	1 <i>a</i>	1
Te	0.3333	0.6667	0.2522(3)	2b	0.900(1)
S	0.3333	0.6667	0.2522(3)	2d	0.098(2)
<i>x</i> = 0.3	a=b=3.	9362 (4) Å ar	nd $c = 5.3932(5)$ Å	$R_{wp} = 6.02$	%, $R_p = 3.20\%$, $R_{exp} = 2.12\%$.
Label	x	у	Z.	site	Occupancy
Cu	0	0	0.5	2b	1

Table S1. Rietveld refinement structural parameters of $CuIr_2Te_{4-x}S_x$ compounds series.

Ir	0	0	0	1 <i>a</i>	1
Те	0.3333	0.6667	0.2520(3)	2b	0.850(4)
S	0.3333	0.6667	0.2520(3)	2 <i>d</i>	0.024(3)
<i>x</i> = 0.4	a=b=3.	.9351 (5) Å ar	nd $c = 5.3922(7)$ Å	$R_{wp} = 6.1\%$	$R_p = 3.17\%, R_{exp} = 2.15\%.$
Cu	0	0	0.5	2 <i>b</i>	1
Ir	0	0	0	1 <i>a</i>	1
Те	0.3333	0.6667	0.2518(6)	2 <i>b</i>	0.80(2)
S	0.3333	0.6667	0.2518(6)	2 <i>d</i>	0.20(3)
<i>x</i> = 0.5	a=b=3.	9351 (5) Å ar	nd $c = 5.3922(7)$ Å	$R_{wp} = 4.2\%$	$R_p = 3.08\%, R_{exp} = 2.22\%$
Label	x	у	Ζ	site	Occupancy
Cu	0	0	0.5	2 <i>b</i>	1
Ir	0	0	0	1 <i>a</i>	1
Те	0.3333	0.6667	0.2515(4)	2 <i>b</i>	0.75(6)
S	0.3333	0.6667	0.2515(4)	2 <i>d</i>	0.25(4)
<i>x</i> = 3.6	a = b = c	= 9.8572(7) Å	$R_{wp} = 6.2\%, R_p = 4.02\%, R_{exp} = 2.34\%$		
Label	x	у	Ζ	site	Occupancy
Cu	0	0	0	8 <i>a</i>	1
Ir	0.625	0.6250	0.6250	16 <i>e</i>	1
S	0.3716	0.3716	0.3712(3)	32 <i>d</i>	0.852(5)
Te	0.3879	0.3879	0.3872(4)	32 <i>d</i>	0.148(4)
<i>x</i> = 3.7	a = b = c	= 9.8547 (5)	Å	$R_{wp}=5.899$	%, $R_p = 3.75\%$, $R_{exp} = 2.25\%$
Label	x	у	z	Site	Occupancy
Cu	0	0	0	8 <i>a</i>	1
Ir	0.625	0.6250	0.6250	16e	1
S	0.3716	0.3716	0.3704(5)	32 <i>d</i>	0.890(4)
Те	0.3879	0.3879	0.3861(5)	32 <i>d</i>	0.110(2)
<i>x</i> = 3.8	a = b = c	= 9.8531(2)	Å	$R_{wp} = 4.159$	%, $R_p = 3.15\%$, $R_{exp} = 2.1\%$
Label	x	y	Z	site	Occupancy
Cu	0	0	0	<mark>8a</mark>	1

Ir	0.625	0.6250	0.6250	16e	1
S	0.3716	0.3716	0.3608(6)	32 <i>d</i>	0.926(5)
Те	0.3879	0.3879	0.3856(2)	32 <i>d</i>	0.074(6)
<i>x</i> = 4	a = b = c =	= 9.8502(2) Å	Å	$R_{wp} = 3.8\%$, $R_p = 2.92\%$, $R_{exp} = 2.02\%$
Label	x	у	Ζ	site	Occupancy
Label Cu	<i>x</i> 0	у 0	Z	site 8a	Occupancy 1
Label Cu Ir	x 0 0.625	y 0 0.6250	Z 0 0.6250	site 8 <i>a</i> 16 <i>e</i>	Occupancy 1 1



Fig. S1. (a-k) Rietveld refinement graphs of CuIr₂Te_{4-x}S_x polycrystalline specimens.



Fig. S2. XRD patterns of CuIr₂Te_{4-x}S_x (0.5 < x < 3.6) polycrystalline specimens.



Fig. S3. SEM pictures and EDXS mappings for the elements in the light doping $CuIr_2Te_{4-x}S_x$ (x = 0.025, 0.05, 0.075, 0.15) powders.



Fig. S4. EDXS spectra and element ratios for the light doping $CuIr_2Te_{4-x}S_x$ (x = 0.025, 0.05, 0.075, 0.15) powders.



Fig. S5. The temperature dependent real resistivity ρ (T) for polycrystalline CuIr₂Te_{4-x}S_x (0.1 $\leq x \leq 0.5$).



Fig. S6. The derivative of the resistivity data $d\rho/dT$ of the low doping region samples (x = 0.025, 0.05, 0.075, 0.15).



Fig. S7. $d\chi/dT$ vs T for the layer phase CuIr₂Te_{4-x}S_x ($0.1 \le x \le 0.5$) samples.



Fig. S8. The temperature dependence of magnetic susceptibility for (a) $\text{CuIr}_2\text{Te}_{0.4}\text{S}_{3.6}$, CuIr₂Te_{0.2}S_{3.8}, (c) CuIr₂Te_{0.1}S_{3.9} and (d) CuIr₂S₄. The magnetic susceptibility between 4 K and T_{MI} are fitted by T_{MI} : $\chi = \chi_0 + \frac{C}{T}$ (solid line).