

# Structure of international trade hypergraphs

Sudo Yi<sup>1</sup> and Deok-Sun Lee<sup>1,2,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*School of Computational Sciences, Korea Institute for Advanced Study, Seoul 02455, Korea*

<sup>2</sup>*Center for AI and Natural Sciences, Korea Institute for Advanced Study, Seoul 02455, Korea*

(Dated: March 14, 2022)

We study the structure of the international trade hypergraph consisting of triangular hyperedges representing the exporter-importer-product relationship. Measuring the mean hyperdegree of the adjacent vertices, we first find its behaviors different from those in the pairwise networks and explain the origin by tracing the relation between the hyperdegree and the pairwise degree. To interpret the observed hyperdegree correlation properties in the context of trade strategies, we decompose the correlation into two components by identifying one with the background correlation remnant even in the exponential random hypergraphs preserving the given empirical hyperdegree sequence. The other component characterizes the net correlation and reveals the bias of the exporters of low hyperdegree towards the importers of high hyperdegree and the products of low hyperdegree, which information is not readily accessible in the pairwise networks. Our study demonstrates the power of the hypergraph approach in the study of real-world complex systems and offers a theoretical framework.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Given the high complexity and enormous scale of various real-world complex systems, network science [1, 2] takes their simplest representation - network - capturing the global connectivity patterns of elements and explores the emergent dynamical behaviors, advancing for the past decades our knowledge in social networks [3, 4], biological and ecological networks [5, 6], airline route networks [7–9], technological information networks [10, 11] and so on. The connectivity of elements is often mapped with pairwise edges, for which it is assumed that higher-order interactions involving more than two elements are infrequent or mediated indirectly by a succession of pairwise interactions. Yet group interactions do occur in many systems including a group of people communicating simultaneously, co-authors publishing a paper, multiple proteins forming complexes, and the living species under group interactions. Their impact can be so crucial as to be relevant to the emergent dynamics like phase transitions and scaling in e.g., synchronization and spreading [12, 13]. The simultaneous connection of more than two elements is represented by a  $d$ -clique of  $d > 2$  elements and the study of higher-order networks such as simplicial complexes [14–17] from algebraic topology [18] or hypergraphs [19, 20] has attracted much attention recently.

Compared with the attention paid to and the following rapid development of the theory of higher-order networks, its application to real-world systems have been relatively poor, impeding further development of the field. It is partly due to the lack of large-scale empirical data containing the full information of higher-order interactions except for a few cases like the co-authorship networks [21]. In this paper, we study the global organization of international trade by applying the hypergraph

approach. Empirical trade data-sets [22–24] provide the annual values of the distinct product categories exported by countries to destination countries. An individual trade is specified by the exporter country, the importer country, and the product, and their collection can be represented by a hypergraph consisting of triangular hyperedges connecting exporters, importers, and products. So far most studies have considered the projected pairwise networks including the country-country networks [25–27], the product-product networks [28, 29], and the country-product networks [30–33].

The landscape of international trade may look disordered as expected from the heterogeneous distribution of production resources and different economic, social, and cultural circumstances of countries. Yet we find that the trade hypergraphs exhibit a robust connectivity pattern informing us of the trade strategies of countries that are not readily seen in the pairwise networks. To detect a bias in forming triangular (exporter-importer-product) hyperedges, we measure the mean hyperdegree of the adjacent vertices - importer or product - of an exporting country as a function of the hyperdegree of the latter as has been studied for pairwise networks [26, 33–35]. We find that as the hyperdegree of an exporter increases, the adjacent importer’s hyperdegree decreases but the adjacent product’s one stays constant. This finding is different from the corresponding correlation properties reported for the pairwise trade networks, and we identify the origin in tracing the information loss in projecting hypergraphs onto pairwise networks. Next, to translate correctly the observed hyperdegree correlations into the trade strategies of countries, we compare them with those of an ensemble of random hypergraphs. Specifically, we introduce the exponential random hypergraphs (ERH), which are maximally random for a given hyperdegree sequence, and show analytically and numerically that the strong heterogeneity of vertex hyperdegrees induces even in the ERH a negative correlation between the hyperdegrees of adjacent vertices, which we call the background

---

\* deoksunlee@kias.re.kr

correlation. Differences from the background correlations are the net correlations in the real trade hypergraphs, which allows us to identify true biases in selecting partner countries and products. Modifying the ERH model, we construct a model for correlated hypergraphs, which reproduces the empirical net correlations and helps better understand their nature.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we construct the trade hypergraphs and measure the mean hyperdegrees of the nearest neighbors to characterize the hyperdegree correlation properties. In Sec. III, we introduce the ERH model and present its properties, which provides the background correlation and allows us to identify the net correlations of the trade hypergraphs. In Sec. IV, a model for correlated hypergraphs is proposed. We summarize and discuss our findings in Sec. V.

## II. EMPIRICAL TRADE HYPERGRAPHS

### A. Construction of a trade hypergraph

The NBER-UN data-sets [22, 23] contain the information of all the traded products, classified by the standard international trade classification (SITC), along with their exporting and destination countries aggregated over each year  $t$  in the period  $1962 \leq t \leq 2000$ . Each annual trade can be best represented by a triangular edge (hyperedge) connecting an exporter, an importer, and a traded product, the whole collection of which yields the trade hypergraph as exemplified in Fig. 1(a). Two types of vertices, country and product, are present in the trade hypergraphs, denoted by  $c$  and  $p$  respectively. The binary adjacency tensor  $a_{cc'p}(t)$  for each 3-tuple  $(c, c', p)$  represents whether a country  $c$  exports a product  $p$  to another country  $c'$  or not in year  $t$ , i.e.,

$$a_{cc'p}(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } c \text{ exports } p \text{ to } c' \text{ in year } t, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

While the trade values are also available [27, 29, 32, 36–38] and one can construct the weighted trade hypergraphs, it is beyond the scope of the present study and we focus on the binary version. We consider two vertices adjacent or being the nearest neighbor of each other if they are connected by an hyperedge. Note that for  $a_{cc'p}$ , the first index indicates the exporter country, the second the importer country, and the final one the traded product. In the graphical representation of the hypergraph [Fig. 1(a)], each triangular edge is directed as characterized by clockwise or anti-clockwise cyclic arrows. The arrow from a country  $c$  to a product  $p$  means that  $c$  exports  $p$  while the arrow from a product  $p$  to a country  $c$  means that  $c$  imports  $p$ . The arrow from a country  $c_1$  to another country  $c_2$  means that  $c_1$  imports something from  $c_2$ .




FIG. 1. An example of trade hypergraph and the empirical hyperdegree distributions. (a) A trade hypergraph of 5 countries (circles), 4 products (squares), and 5 hyperedges (triangles). Arrows represent the relationship of connected vertices. For instance, a country  $c_1$  exports a product  $p_1$  to a country  $c_2$ . (b) The distribution of the export hyperdegree of a country in selected years. Inset: The mean export hyperdegree  $\bar{q}$  and the mean import hyperdegree  $\bar{k}$  versus time (year). (c) The distribution of the trade hyperdegree of a product. Inset: The mean trade hyperdegree  $\bar{r}$  versus time.

### B. Hyperdegrees and their broad distributions

In the trade hypergraphs, the number of hyperedges attached to a vertex represents the total number of distinct trades involving it. Distinguishing the role and type

of each vertex, we define three kinds of hyperdegrees as

$$q_c \equiv \sum_{c'p} a_{cc'p}, \quad k_{c'} \equiv \sum_{cp} a_{cc'p}, \quad r_p \equiv \sum_{cc'} a_{cc'p}. \quad (2)$$

The export hyperdegree  $q_c$  of a country  $c$  represents the number of the distinct pairs of a destination (importer country)  $c'$  and a traded product  $p$  appearing in the export portfolio  $\{(c', p) | a_{cc'p} > 0\}$  of  $c$ , quantifying its diversification. The import hyperdegree  $k_{c'}$  of a country  $c'$  characterizes the diversification of the import portfolio  $\{(c, p) | a_{cc'p} > 0\}$  of  $c'$ . Finally the trade hyperdegree  $r_p$  of a product  $p$  can be a measure of the popularity of  $p$  in international trade.

The hyperdegrees are found to be broadly distributed throughout the whole period [Figs. 1(b) and 1(c)]. Broad degree distributions have been identified also in the pairwise trade networks [25, 26]. Such heterogeneous hyperdegrees can be attributed to different natural and social environments of countries and different distributions of available resources for production, and thus one can consider the hyperdegrees of countries and products as their characteristic capacity. The mean hyperdegrees,  $\bar{q} = L/N_{\text{ex}}$ ,  $\bar{k} = L/N_{\text{im}}$ , and  $\bar{r} = L/N_{\text{pr}}$ , with  $L$  the total number of hyperedges and  $N_{\text{ex}}, N_{\text{im}}, N_{\text{pr}}$  the numbers of exporting countries, importing countries, and traded products, vary with time, which reflects the growth or recession of the global economy but also may be partially attributed to the incompleteness of the data-sets [22].

To characterize quantitatively the way of connecting vertices by hyperedges, we investigate the correlation of the hyperdegrees of the connected, adjacent vertices, as has been extensively studied for various complex networks [34, 35] including trade networks [26, 33].

### C. Mean hyperdegree of the nearest-neighbor vertices: Hyperdegree correlation

Heterogeneous hyperdegrees of countries and products represent their different capacity to be connected to *any* vertices and constrain strongly the organization of the trade hypergraphs. To detect the organization principles taken beyond the given hyperdegree sequence, one should investigate which countries and which product are connected and what the associated probability is. In this light we measure the mean hyperdegree of the vertices adjacent to a vertex and study its dependence of the hyperdegree of the latter. Their correlations are of main concern in the present study. To be specific, let us consider for an exporting country  $c$

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{k_{\text{nn},c}} &= \frac{\sum_{c'p} k_{c'} a_{cc'p}}{\sum_{c'p} a_{cc'p}} = \frac{\sum_{c'p} k_{c'} a_{cc'p}}{q_c}, \quad \text{and} \\ \overline{r_{\text{nn},c}} &= \frac{\sum_{c'p} r_p a_{cc'p}}{\sum_{c'p} a_{cc'p}} = \frac{\sum_{c'p} r_p a_{cc'p}}{q_c}, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where ‘nn’ indicates ‘nearest neighbor’ or ‘adjacent’. They are the mean import hyperdegree of the coun-

tries importing from and the mean trade hyperdegree of the products exported by the country  $c$ , respectively. They can vary with the export hyperdegree  $q_c$ , which can be probed by  $\overline{k_{\text{nn}}}(q) = \frac{\sum_c q_c \overline{k_{\text{nn},c}} \delta(q_c - q)}{\sum_c q_c \delta(q_c - q)} = \frac{\sum_{cc'p} k_{c'} a_{cc'p} \delta(q_c - q)}{\sum_{cc'p} a_{cc'p} \delta(q_c - q)}$  and  $\overline{r_{\text{nn}}}(q) = \frac{\sum_c q_c \overline{r_{\text{nn},c}} \delta(q_c - q)}{\sum_c q_c \delta(q_c - q)} = \frac{\sum_{cc'p} r_p a_{cc'p} \delta(q_c - q)}{\sum_{cc'p} a_{cc'p} \delta(q_c - q)}$ .

One can expect that  $\overline{k_{\text{nn},c}}$  and  $\overline{r_{\text{nn},c}}$  will be independent of  $c$  if vertices are connected randomly although the condition needs refinement as will be detailed soon. If it is the case,  $\overline{k_{\text{nn},c}}$  and  $\overline{r_{\text{nn},c}}$  are reduced to the edge-based mean hyperdegrees

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{k_{\text{nn}}} &= \frac{\sum_{cc'p} k_{c'} a_{cc'p}}{\sum_{cc'p} a_{cc'p}} = \frac{\overline{k^2}}{\overline{k}}, \quad \text{and} \\ \overline{r_{\text{nn}}} &= \frac{\sum_{cc'p} r_p a_{cc'p}}{\sum_{cc'p} a_{cc'p}} = \frac{\overline{r^2}}{\overline{r}}, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

respectively. In general, these local and global mean hyperdegrees of the nearest neighbors in Eqs. (3) and (4) respectively are related to each other by  $\overline{k_{\text{nn}}} = \sum_c q_c \overline{k_{\text{nn},c}} / L$  and  $\overline{r_{\text{nn}}} = \sum_c q_c \overline{r_{\text{nn},c}} / L$ . On the other hand, if  $\overline{k_{\text{nn},c}}$  and  $\overline{r_{\text{nn},c}}$  vary with  $c$  or equivalently  $\overline{k_{\text{nn}}}(q)$  and  $\overline{r_{\text{nn}}}(q)$  vary with  $q$ , they will deviate from the global means  $\overline{k_{\text{nn}}}$  and  $\overline{r_{\text{nn}}}$  disclosing the bias that a country has in selecting its partner importer countries and export products.

It turns out that the ratio  $\overline{k_{\text{nn}}}(q) / \overline{k_{\text{nn}}}$  decreases with increasing  $q$ , from about 1.6 to 0.9 [Fig. 2(a)]. It implies that a country of a low export hyperdegree and equivalently a weakly diversified export portfolio tends to select an importing country of a high import hyperdegree. In contrast,  $\overline{r_{\text{nn}}}(q) / \overline{r_{\text{nn}}}$  is almost constant [Fig. 2(b)], suggesting that a country selects randomly a product to export, independent of its popularity. These empirical behaviors are one of the main results of the present study, but their interpretations need caution as will be shown later.

In Appendix A, we project the trade hypergraphs onto the subspace in which any of exporters, importers, or products are neglected, and obtain the pairwise trade networks, which have been extensively studied [25–33]. Both the mean degree of the importers adjacent to an exporting country in the exporter-importer (EI) networks and that of the products adjacent to an exporter in the exporter-product (EP) networks decrease with the degree of the exporter suggesting negative correlations [32, 33]. The hypergraph results in Fig. 2 are thus different from those for the pairwise networks, particularly regarding the behaviors of  $\overline{r_{\text{nn}}}(q)$ . We show in Appendix A that the relation between the hyperdegree and the pairwise degree of a product varies with which exporters prefer it; The products exported preferentially by the countries of low export hyperdegree (or degree) are exported by many distinct countries but their destinations are not so diverse as for the products exported by the countries of high export hyperdegrees. Therefore the hypergraph




FIG. 2. The mean hyperdegrees of the nearest-neighbor vertices in the trade hypergraphs. (a) The mean import hyperdegree  $\overline{k_{nn}}(q)$  of the countries importing from a country of export hyperdegree  $q$  scaled by the edge-based mean import hyperdegree  $\overline{k_{nn}}$  versus the scaled export hyperdegree  $q/\bar{q}$  in selected years. (b) The mean trade hyperdegree  $\overline{r_{nn}}(q)$  of the products exported by a country of export hyperdegree  $q$  scaled by the edge-based mean trade hyperdegree  $\overline{r_{nn}}$  versus  $q/\bar{q}$ .

unveils new information hidden in the pairwise networks, which is underlying the different correlation properties between the hypergraphs and the pairwise networks.

In addition to the comparison with the degree correlations in the pairwise networks, the significance of the observed hyperdegree correlations should be assessed to infer the underlying trade strategies of countries. It has been shown that without any explicit correlation or bias, the heterogeneity of degrees can generate fictitious correlations of the degrees of adjacent vertices via the exclusion of multiple edges [39], which has been applied also to the pairwise trade networks [33]. Given the broad hyperdegree distributions shown in Figs. 1(b) and 1(c), the same can happen also in the trade hypergraphs, and we should investigate how much of  $\overline{k_{nn}}(q)$  and  $\overline{r_{nn}}(q)$  is contributed to by such background correlation originating in the degree heterogeneity and how large the remaining net cor-

relation is, the latter of which will show us the true bias of countries in composing their export portfolios. To this end, we introduce and analyze the random hypergraphs preserving the empirical hyperdegree sequences and compare their properties with the empirical ones in the next sections.

### III. EXPONENTIAL RANDOM HYPERGRAPHS: BACKGROUND AND NET CORRELATION

Following Ref. [33, 39], we consider the ensemble of hypergraphs with the probability measure of each hypergraph  $G = (a_{cc'p})$  given by  $P(G) = Z^{-1}e^{H(G)}$  and the graph Hamiltonian  $H(G)$  given in the form

$$\begin{aligned} H(G) &= \sum_{cc'p} (\theta_c^{(\text{ex})} + \theta_{c'}^{(\text{im})} + \theta_p^{(\text{pr})}) a_{cc'p} \\ &= \sum_c \theta_c^{(\text{ex})} \sum_{c'p} a_{cc'p} + \sum_{c'} \theta_{c'}^{(\text{im})} \sum_{cp} a_{cc'p} \\ &\quad + \sum_p \theta_p^{(\text{pr})} \sum_{cc'} a_{cc'p}. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

The Gibbs entropy  $S = -\langle \ln P \rangle = -\sum_G P(G) \ln P(G)$  is maximized with this probability measure under the constraints that the expectation values of hyperdegrees should be equal to prescribed ones  $q_c, k_{c'}, r_p$  and the expected number of links be equal to  $L$  as

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{c'p} \langle a_{cc'p} \rangle &= q_c, \quad \sum_{cp} \langle a_{cc'p} \rangle = k_{c'}, \\ \sum_{cc'} \langle a_{cc'p} \rangle &= r_p, \quad \sum_{cc'p} \langle a_{cc'p} \rangle = L \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

with the ensemble average  $\langle F \rangle = \sum_G P(G) F(G)$  used. The Lagrange multipliers  $\theta_c^{(\text{ex})}$ ,  $\theta_{c'}^{(\text{im})}$ , and  $\theta_p^{(\text{pr})}$  in Eq. (5) play the role of inverse temperatures and are determined by Eq. (6). The hypergraphs with the probability measure given by Eq. (5) can be called the exponential random hypergraph (ERH) after Ref. [39]. As the probability measure is factorized as  $P(G) = Z^{-1} \prod_{cc'p} e^{(\theta_c^{(\text{ex})} + \theta_{c'}^{(\text{im})} + \theta_p^{(\text{pr})}) a_{cc'p}}$  and the adjacency tensor element  $a_{cc'p}$  is either 0 or 1, one can evaluate the partition function  $Z \equiv \sum_G e^{H(G)}$  as  $Z = \prod_{cc'p} \sum_{a_{cc'p}=0}^1 e^{(\theta_c^{(\text{ex})} + \theta_{c'}^{(\text{im})} + \theta_p^{(\text{pr})}) a_{cc'p}} = \prod_{cc'p} \left( 1 + e^{\theta_c^{(\text{ex})} + \theta_{c'}^{(\text{im})} + \theta_p^{(\text{pr})}} \right)$ , and the expected adjacency tensor  $\langle a_{cc'p} \rangle = \frac{\partial \log Z}{\partial (\theta_c^{(\text{ex})} + \theta_{c'}^{(\text{im})} + \theta_p^{(\text{pr})})}$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle a_{cc'p} \rangle &= a_{cc'p}^{\text{ERH}} \equiv \frac{e^{\theta_c^{(\text{ex})} + \theta_{c'}^{(\text{im})} + \theta_p^{(\text{pr})}}}{1 + e^{\theta_c^{(\text{ex})} + \theta_{c'}^{(\text{im})} + \theta_p^{(\text{pr})}}} \\ &= \frac{\Lambda \lambda_c^{(\text{ex})} \lambda_{c'}^{(\text{im})} \lambda_p^{(\text{pr})}}{1 + \Lambda \lambda_c^{(\text{ex})} \lambda_{c'}^{(\text{im})} \lambda_p^{(\text{pr})}}, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$



where we introduce the normalized export, import, and trade fitness  $\lambda_c^{(\text{ex})} \equiv \frac{e^{\theta_c^{(\text{ex})}}}{\sum_{c'} e^{\theta_{c'}^{(\text{ex})}}}$ ,  $\lambda_c^{(\text{im})} \equiv \frac{e^{\theta_c^{(\text{im})}}}{\sum_{c'} e^{\theta_{c'}^{(\text{im})}}}$ ,  $\lambda_p^{(\text{pr})} \equiv \frac{e^{\theta_p^{(\text{pr})}}}{\sum_{p'} e^{\theta_{p'}^{(\text{pr})}}}$  of countries and products and the connection fitness  $\Lambda \equiv \sum_{cc'p} e^{\theta_c^{(\text{ex})} + \theta_{c'}^{(\text{im})} + \theta_p^{(\text{pr})}}$ . The values of  $\lambda$ 's and  $\Lambda$  can be considered as the fitness in gaining hyperedges of each vertex and the whole hypergraph. Solving Eq. (6) with Eq. (7), one can obtain all the fitness values. Notice that the probability measure is represented also as

$$P(G) = \prod_{cc'p} (a_{cc'p}^{\text{ERH}})^{a_{cc'p}} (1 - a_{cc'p}^{\text{ERH}})^{1 - a_{cc'p}} \quad (8)$$

with the parameters  $a_{cc'p}^{\text{ERH}}$  given in Eq. (7) being the expectation value of  $a_{cc'p}$ .

Once the fitness values are given, the expected adjacency tensor  $a_{cc'p}^{\text{ERH}}$  in Eq. (7) is fixed for every tuple  $(c, c', p)$  of vertices. Then we can construct a hypergraph by connecting every tuple  $(c, c', p)$  with probability  $a_{cc'p}^{\text{ERH}}$  or leaving the tuple disconnected with probability  $1 - a_{cc'p}^{\text{ERH}}$ . In this way one can create a realization of the ERH for given fitness values and can obtain as many realizations as possible by repeating this procedure.

### A. Sparse limit

The specific form of the adjacency tensor as given in Eq. (7) stems from the constraint that  $a_{cc'p}^{\text{ERH}}$  cannot be larger than one even for large  $\lambda$ 's or  $\Lambda$ . In the limit in which  $\Lambda \lambda_c^{(\text{ex})} \lambda_{c'}^{(\text{im})} \lambda_p^{(\text{pr})} \ll 1$  for all  $c, c', p$ , the adjacency tensor is approximated as

$$a_{cc'p}^{\text{ERH}} \simeq \Lambda \lambda_c^{(\text{ex})} \lambda_{c'}^{(\text{im})} \lambda_p^{(\text{pr})}, \quad (9)$$

and the fitness values are proportional to the prescribed vertex degrees or the total number of links as

$$\lambda_c^{(\text{ex})} \simeq \frac{q_c}{L}, \quad \lambda_{c'}^{(\text{im})} \simeq \frac{k_{c'}}{L}, \quad \lambda_p^{(\text{pr})} \simeq \frac{r_p}{L}, \quad \Lambda \simeq L. \quad (10)$$

These results help understand the relation between degree and fitness in the ERH intuitively. Using Eq. (10), we find  $a_{cc'p}^{\text{ERH}} \simeq \frac{q_c k_{c'} r_p}{L^2}$ . A necessary condition for this limit to be valid is that

$$L \frac{\bar{q}}{L} \frac{\bar{k}}{L} \frac{\bar{r}}{L} = \frac{L}{N_{\text{ex}} N_{\text{im}} N_{\text{pr}}} = \frac{L}{L_{\text{max}}} \ll 1 \quad (11)$$

meaning that the empirical number of hyperedges  $L$  should be much smaller than its maximum possible value,  $L_{\text{max}} = N_{\text{ex}} N_{\text{im}} N_{\text{pr}}$ , and thus the hypergraph is sparse.

Empirically the trade hypergraphs have a low edge density  $\ell \equiv L/L_{\text{max}} \simeq 0.02$ , leading us to expect Eq. (9) to be valid. Inserting Eq. (9) into Eq. (3), one can obtain the mean hyperdegrees of the nearest-neighbor vertices  $\overline{k_{\text{nn},c}^{\text{ERH}}}$  and  $\overline{r_{\text{nn},c}^{\text{ERH}}}$  for the ERH in the sparse limit and

find them to be equal to the edge-based mean degrees  $\overline{k_{\text{nn}}}$  and  $\overline{r_{\text{nn}}}$ , respectively, independent of  $c$ . Recalling that the real trade hypergraphs have  $\overline{k_{\text{nn}}}(q)$  decreasing with  $q$  while  $\overline{r_{\text{nn}}}(q)$  constant approximately, one can suspect that the ERH does not lie in the true sparse limit and/or the real trade hypergraphs are connected in a non-random way. We will soon show that both are the case. In the next subsection we first show that the strong heterogeneity of hyperdegrees drive the ERH out of the sparse regime although the edge density is low.

### B. Deviation from the sparse limit due to hyperdegree heterogeneity

The fitness values of vertices for the ERH obtained by solving Eq. (6) and Eq. (7) with the empirical hyperdegree sequences are presented in Fig. 3. The behaviors of the fitness of countries and products as functions of their hyperdegrees deviate from the sparse-limit prediction [Fig. 3 (a) and (b)]. The fitness values are smaller for vertices of low hyperdegrees and larger for those of high hyperdegrees than the sparse-limit predictions  $k_c/L$  and  $r_p/L$ . Such deviations may induce hyperdegree correlations even in the ERH.

To understand the origin of the deviations from the sparse-limit predictions, we obtain the analytic expressions for the fitness by expanding them in terms of the edge density  $\ell = L/L_{\text{max}}$  for small  $\ell$  as e.g.,  $\lambda_c^{(\text{im})} = \lambda_{c,0}^{(\text{im})} + \lambda_{c,1}^{(\text{im})} \ell + \lambda_{c,2}^{(\text{im})} \ell^2 + \dots$  and  $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 \ell + \Lambda_2 \ell^2 + \Lambda_3 \ell^3 + \dots$  and inserting them into Eq. (6). Solving up to the first order in  $\ell$ , we find the edge fitness and the trade fitness of a product represented as

$$\Lambda = L [1 + \ell \xi + O(\ell^2)], \quad (12)$$

$$\lambda_p^{(\text{pr})} = \frac{r_p}{L} \left[ 1 + \ell \xi \left( \frac{r_p}{r_{\text{nn}}} - 1 \right) + O(\ell^2) \right],$$

and other fitness values given in the same form with the corresponding hyperdegrees used. The deviation of the fitness from the zeroth-order results in Eq. (10) is driven not only by the dense edge density but also by

$$\xi \equiv \frac{\overline{q_{\text{nn}}}}{\bar{q}} \frac{\overline{k_{\text{nn}}}}{\bar{k}} \frac{\overline{r_{\text{nn}}}}{\bar{r}} = \frac{\overline{q^2} \overline{k^2} \overline{r^2}}{\bar{q}^2 \bar{k}^2 \bar{r}^2}, \quad (13)$$

which is larger for broader hyperdegree distributions and thus quantifies the heterogeneity of hyperdegrees. The hyperdegree heterogeneity  $\xi$  is empirically over 100 though fluctuating with time [Fig. 3 (c)], which suggests that the ERH may not be in the sparse limit;  $\ell \xi \sim O(1)$  although  $\ell$  is small.

One can consider Eqs. (9) and (10) as the zeroth-order results in the limit  $\ell \xi \rightarrow 0$ , in which the exclusion of multiple edges play little role. As  $\ell \xi$  increases, the edges that would connect multiply hub vertices are used to connect non-hub vertices, which results in the fitness of hub (non-hub) vertices larger (smaller) than the sparse-limit




FIG. 3. Fitness of countries and products and hyperdegree heterogeneity in the ERH for international trade. (a) The import fitness versus the scaled import hyperdegree of countries.  $L$  is the total number of hyperedges. The dashed line represents the sparse-limit prediction. Inset: Deviation from the sparse limit behavior versus the scaled import degree from Eq. (12). (b) The trade fitness versus the scaled trade hyperdegree of products. Inset: Deviation from the sparse limit behavior. (c) Hyperdegree heterogeneity versus time (year).

prediction as given in the first-order correction term in Eq. (12). The criterion of whether the fitness is larger or smaller than the sparse-limit prediction is given by the edge-based mean trade hyperdegree  $\bar{r}_{nn}$  as supported in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b).

### C. Background and net correlations

As the ERH of international trade is not within the sparse limit [Fig. 3], the mean hyperdegrees of the nearest-neighbor vertices in the ERH vary with  $q$ . Inserting the numerically-obtained fitness  $\lambda$ 's into Eq. (7) to obtain  $a_{cc'p}^{\text{ERH}}$  for all  $c, c', p$  and using the obtained  $a^{\text{ERH}}$  in place of  $a_{cc'p}$  in Eq. (3), one can obtain  $\bar{k}_{nn,c}^{\text{ERH}}$  and  $\bar{r}_{nn,c}^{\text{ERH}}$ , which are shown in Figs. 4(a) and 4(b).

Both  $\bar{k}_{nn}^{\text{ERH}}(q)$  and  $\bar{r}_{nn}^{\text{ERH}}(q)$  remain larger than the sparse-limit predictions  $k_{nn}$  and  $\bar{r}_{nn}$ , respectively, for small  $q$  and decrease with  $q$  for large  $q$ , commonly meaning negative correlations of the hyperdegrees of adjacent vertices. As discussed in the previous subsection, such negative hyperdegree correlations in the ERH do not imply any bias in connecting vertices but are generated by assigning edges just to avoid connecting multiply the same tuples, most likely those exhibiting  $\frac{q_c k_c r_p}{L^2} \gg 1$  which may occur frequently when the edge density  $\ell$  and the hyperdegree heterogeneity  $\xi$  are large. Therefore the correlation identified in the ERH can be considered as the *background* correlation in that it is generated even without any explicit bias in connecting vertices.

The empirical hyperdegree correlations show extra deviations from the background ones. The mean hyperdegree  $\bar{k}_{nn}(q)$  of the nearest-neighbor importers in the real trade hypergraphs [Fig. 2(a)] decreases more steeply with  $q$  than  $\bar{k}_{nn}^{\text{ERH}}(q)$  [Fig. 4(a)]. Most remarkably, the mean trade hyperdegree  $\bar{r}_{nn}(q)$  of the nearest-neighbor products varies little with  $q$  empirically [Fig. 2(b)] while  $\bar{r}_{nn}^{\text{ERH}}(q)$  decreases with  $q$  in the ERH [Fig. 4(b)]. Such differences lead us to decompose the mean hyperdegree of the nearest neighbors into the background and the net component as

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{k}_{nn,c} &= \bar{k}_{nn,c}^{\text{ERH}} + \bar{k}_{nn,c}^{\text{NET}}, \text{ and} \\ \bar{r}_{nn,c} &= \bar{r}_{nn,c}^{\text{ERH}} + \bar{r}_{nn,c}^{\text{NET}}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

The  $q$ -dependent net components  $\bar{k}_{nn}^{\text{NET}}(q)$  and  $\bar{r}_{nn}^{\text{NET}}(q)$  are shown in Figs. 4(c) and 4(d), which are another main results of the present study.

The net components reveal different principles that exporting countries take in selecting partner importers and products to export. The positivity of  $\bar{k}_{nn}^{\text{NET}}(q)$  for small  $q$  suggests that the countries with low export hyperdegree are more likely to select the countries with high import hyperdegrees than expected in the ERH. It decreases with increasing  $q$  to be zero or even negative, implying that such bias in the partner selection is weakened or re-




FIG. 4. Background and net correlations. (a) The scaled mean import hyperdegree  $\overline{k_{nn}^{\text{ERH}}}(q)/\overline{k_{nn}^{\text{ERH}}}$  of the countries importing from a country of export hyperdegree  $q$  in the ERH. (b) The scaled mean trade hyperdegree  $\overline{r_{nn}^{\text{ERH}}}(q)/\overline{r_{nn}^{\text{ERH}}}$  of the products exported by a country of export hyperdegree  $q$  in the ERH. (c) The net component  $\overline{k_{nn}^{\text{NET}}}(q) = \overline{k_{nn}}(q) - \overline{k_{nn}^{\text{ERH}}}(q)$  of the mean import hyperdegree of the nearest-neighbor importers scaled by the edge-based mean import hyperdegree  $\overline{k_{nn}^{\text{ERH}}}$  of the ERH is shown for selected years. (d) The net component  $\overline{r_{nn}^{\text{NET}}}(q) = \overline{r_{nn}}(q) - \overline{r_{nn}^{\text{ERH}}}(q)$  of the mean trade hyperdegree of the nearest-neighbor products scaled by  $\overline{r_{nn}^{\text{ERH}}}$ .

versed for the countries having diversified export portfolios. On the other hand, the negativity of  $\overline{r_{nn}^{\text{NET}}}(q)$  for small  $q$  suggests that the countries of low export hyperdegree are likely to export less popular products than expected in the ERH. Such negative bias is weakened with increasing  $q$  towards zero or a positive value. As discussed in Appendix A, the bias is related to the fact that the products exported by the countries of low export hyperdegrees tend to have few destinations and thus have low hyperdegrees. In contrast, the exporters with diversified portfolios export products of higher hyperdegrees than expected in the ERH.

Our interest turns to designing a model for the correlated hypergraphs reproducing the empirical net correlations. If we can do so, the difference between the correlated hypergraphs and the ERH will illuminate the structural organization of trade hypergraphs. In the next section, we construct such a model by modifying the ERH model.

#### IV. A MODEL FOR CORRELATED HYPERGRAPHS

The model hypergraphs reproducing the observed net correlations beyond the ERH can help better understand the nature of the obtained degree correlations and locate the real-world trade hypergraphs in the appropriate pa-

rameter space. As they should have both the background correlations and the net correlations, we consider a modification of the ERH.

Let us consider the ensemble of graphs for which the probability measure  $P(G)$  is given as in Eq. (8) with the parameters  $a_{cc'p}^{\text{ERH}}$ 's replaced by

$$a_{cc'p}^{\text{CH}} = \min \left\{ 1, \mathcal{N}_c \frac{\Lambda \lambda_c^{(\text{ex})} \lambda_{c'}^{(\text{im})} \lambda_p^{(\text{pr})}}{1 + \Lambda \lambda_c^{(\text{ex})} \lambda_{c'}^{(\text{im})} \lambda_p^{(\text{pr})}} \frac{(\lambda_c^{(\text{ex})} + \lambda_{c'}^{(\text{im})})^\alpha}{(\lambda_c^{(\text{ex})} + \lambda_p^{(\text{pr})})^\beta} \right\}. \quad (15)$$

and  $\mathcal{N}_c$  being the normalization constant satisfying  $q_c = \sum_{c'p} a_{cc'p}^{\text{CH}}$  for each country  $c$ . Note that  $a_{cc'p}^{\text{CH}}$  is the expectation value of the adjacency tensor element, i.e.,  $\langle a_{cc'p} \rangle = a_{cc'p}^{\text{CH}}$  and that the model reuses the fitness  $\Lambda$  and  $\lambda$ 's obtained in the ERH. The correction term  $a_{cc'p}^{\text{COR}} \equiv \mathcal{N}_c \frac{(\lambda_c^{(\text{ex})} + \lambda_{c'}^{(\text{im})})^\alpha}{(\lambda_c^{(\text{ex})} + \lambda_p^{(\text{pr})})^\beta}$  is introduced to reproduce the net correlations at the cost of inducing deviations of the hyperdegrees of individual vertices between data and model. The exponents  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are estimated such that they yield the correlation properties as much close as possible to the empirical ones. We will call the hypergraphs constructed by this model the correlated hypergraphs (CH). Connecting each tuple of  $(c, c', p)$  with probability  $a_{cc'p}^{\text{CH}}$  in Eq. (15), we can generate a realization of the CH model.

The specific form of the correction term  $a_{cc'p}^{\text{COR}}$  is motivated by the  $q$ -dependence of  $\overline{k_{nn}^{\text{NET}}}(q)$  and  $\overline{r_{nn}^{\text{NET}}}(q)$  shown in Figs. 4(c) and 4(d). Let us first examine the  $\lambda_{c'}^{(\text{im})}$  dependence of  $a_{cc'p}^{\text{COR}}$  for  $\alpha > 0$ . The correction term will increase with  $\lambda_{c'}^{(\text{im})}$  when  $\lambda_c^{(\text{ex})} \ll \lambda_{c'}^{(\text{im})}$  while it will be almost constant, independent of  $\lambda_{c'}^{(\text{im})}$ , when  $\lambda_c^{(\text{ex})} \gg \lambda_{c'}^{(\text{im})}$ . Recalling that  $\lambda_c^{(\text{ex})}$  and  $\lambda_{c'}^{(\text{im})}$  grow with increasing  $q_c$  and  $k_{c'}$ , respectively [Fig. 3 (a)], one can expect that a country  $c$  with low  $q_c$  will be connected more preferentially to the importing countries  $c'$  of high  $k_{c'}$  than expected in the ERH. A country  $c$  with high  $q_c$  will be connected to other countries in the same manner as expected in the ERH, which is in agreement with the empirical net correlations shown in Fig. 4(c). Similarly, for  $\beta > 0$ ,  $a_{cc'p}^{\text{COR}}$  will decrease with  $\lambda_p^{(\text{pr})}$  only when  $\lambda_c^{(\text{ex})} \ll \lambda_p^{(\text{pr})}$ , leading us to expect a country  $c$  of low  $q_c$  to be connected preferentially to the products  $p$  of low  $r_p$  compared with the ERH prediction as observed empirically in Fig. 4 (d).

To estimate the exponents  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , we substitute  $a_{cc'p}^{\text{CH}}$  in Eq. (3) to evaluate the mean hyperdegrees of the adjacent vertices  $\overline{k_{nn,c}^{\text{CH}}}$  and  $\overline{r_{nn,c}^{\text{CH}}}$  for every vertex  $c$  and minimize the differences from the empirical values quantified by

$$E_{\text{nn}}(\alpha, \beta) = \sum_{c, q_c > 0} \left| \overline{k_{nn,c}^{\text{CH}}} - \overline{k_{nn,c}} \right| \sum_{c, q_c > 0} \left| \overline{r_{nn,c}^{\text{CH}}} - \overline{r_{nn,c}} \right|. \quad (16)$$

The estimated values of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are both positive, as expected, and the latter is larger;  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  fluctuate with time around  $\alpha \simeq 0.07$  and  $\beta \simeq 0.5$ , respectively.




FIG. 5. CH model and net correlations. (a) The estimated exponents  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  of the CH model as functions of time. (b) The relative error of the hyperdegrees of vertices. (c) The net component  $\overline{k_{nn}^{CH}}(q) - \overline{k_{nn}^{ERH}}(q)$  of the mean import hyperdegree of the nearest-neighbor importers in the CH scaled by  $\overline{k_{nn}^{ERH}}$  are shown for selected years. (d) The net component  $\overline{r_{nn}^{CH}}(q) - \overline{r_{nn}^{ERH}}(q)$  of the mean trade hyperdegree of the nearest-neighbor products in the CH scaled by  $\overline{r_{nn}^{ERH}}$ .

Owing to the introduction of the correction term  $\alpha^{COR}$  to the adjacency tensor of the ERH, the hyperdegrees are necessarily deviating from the empirical values [Fig. 5(b)]. Nevertheless the export and import hyperdegrees remain close to the empirical values, due to the introduction of  $\mathcal{N}_c$  and the small value of  $\alpha$ , respectively while the trade hyperdegrees show 10 to 20% deviations. We find that the net component of the mean hyperdegree of the nearest-neighbor importers  $\overline{k_{nn}^{CH}}(q) - \overline{k_{nn}^{ERH}}(q)$  remains positive and decreases with  $q$  though the variation and fluctuation with time is weaker than in the real trade hypergraphs [Fig. 5(c)]. The net component for the nearest-neighbor products  $\overline{r_{nn}^{CH}}(q) - \overline{r_{nn}^{ERH}}(q)$  remains negative and increases with  $q$  in quite good agreement with the empirical behaviors [Fig. 5(d)].

It is remarkable that such simple models as proposed in Eq. (15) reproduce the empirical characteristics of the net correlations, suggesting the possibility of constructing simple models for other complex hypergraphs. The CH model can be viewed as a first-order approximation towards reproducing the empirical net correlations; We used the fitness values of the ERH without revising. Therefore it is desirable to tune  $\lambda$ 's and  $\Lambda$  as well as  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  towards satisfying Eq. (6) as well as minimizing Eq. (16) in the future research. Our model can be a guide in devising the models capturing the structural characteristics of various real-world hypergraphs and facilitating further systematic studies.

## V. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

The trade hypergraphs that we have investigated consist of the hyperedges representing the ternary relationship of a product, an exporter, and an importer, and thus capture the full information of international trade in contrast to the pairwise networks representing the binary relationship. Defining three kinds of hyperdegrees, we have explored the organization principles at the hypergraph level by analyzing the behaviors of the mean hyperdegrees of the nearest neighbors and thereby understanding the correlations between the hyperdegrees of the adjacent vertices. We have found different properties of hyperdegree correlations from the degree correlations known in the pairwise networks and shown that the origin lies in the different ratio of the hyperdegree to the pairwise degree of a product depending on which countries export it preferentially. Taking the correlation remnant in the ERH as the background correlation, we have identified the net correlations to extract the true bias that a country has in designing its export portfolio.

Given many studies on the pairwise networks, our study invokes the importance of hypergraph approach in understanding the organization of real-world complex systems. Lots of structural characteristics like nestedness were studied in the pairwise trade networks, and thus it is desirable to extend the studies to the trade hypergraphs. Also we can take the higher-level descriptions of international trade than presented here for its deeper understanding e.g., by constructing weighted trade hypergraphs with the hyperedge weights given by the trade values. Also tracing the lost information in projecting the higher-order description to the lower ones, like hypergraphs to weighted or binary pairwise networks, can help decide the best description balanced between the cost of data collection and the richness of the information that will be obtained.

The ERH that we have introduced can be used for extracting the true non-random features for given heterogeneous hyperdegree sequences of the empirical hypergraphs. We have also proposed the CH model that reproduce reasonably the net correlations. Although the model is restricted to a specific data-set, we believe that our methodology to realize the empirical degree correlation via a minimal correction of connecting probability and determine the parameters by minimizing the difference from the empirical data can be applied widely to various types of data-sets.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grants funded by the Korean Government (Grant No. 2019R1A2C1003486 (DSL)) and KIAS Individual Grants (Grants No. CG079901 (DSL) and No. CG074101 (SDY)) at Korea Institute for Advanced Study. The authors acknowledge the Center for



Advanced Computation in KIAS for providing computing resources.

### Appendix A: Hypergraph versus pairwise networks

Contracting the adjacency tensor  $a_{cc'p}$  in Eq. (1) over either of products or importers, one can obtain the weighted adjacency matrices

$$w_{cc'}^{(\text{EI})} \equiv \sum_p a_{cc'p}, \text{ and } w_{cp}^{(\text{EP})} \equiv \sum_{c'} a_{cc'p} \quad (\text{A1})$$

and the binary adjacency matrices  $a_{cc'}^{(\text{EI})} = \theta(w_{cc'}^{(\text{EI})})$  and  $a_{cp}^{(\text{EP})} = \theta(w_{cp}^{(\text{EP})})$  to construct the exporter-importer (EI) and the exporter-product (EP) networks, respectively [32]. Here  $\theta(x)$  is 1 for  $x \geq 0$  and 0 otherwise. The element  $w_{cc'}^{(\text{EI})}$  represents the number of hyperedges involving  $c$  and  $c'$  as the exporter and importer vertex in the trade hypergraph and is considered as the weight of the link connecting  $c$  and  $c'$  in the EI network. Similarly  $w_{cp}^{(\text{EP})}$  corresponds to the number of hyperedges involving  $c$  and  $p$  and is the link weight in the EP network. The vertex degrees in both pairwise networks are defined as  $q_c^{(\text{EI})} = \sum_{c'} a_{cc'}^{(\text{EI})}$ ,  $k_c^{(\text{EI})} = \sum_{c'} a_{c'c}^{(\text{EI})}$ ,  $q_c^{(\text{EP})} = \sum_p a_{cp}^{(\text{EP})}$ ,  $r_p^{(\text{EP})} = \sum_c a_{cp}^{(\text{EP})}$ . In the context of weighted (pairwise) networks, generalizing the vertex degree, one considers the strength defined as the sum of the weights of all the links incident on a vertex. From Eq. (A1), one can immediately find that for the EI and the EP networks the vertex strength is equal to one of the hyperdegrees  $q_c, k_c$  or  $r_p$ .

The mean degrees of the nearest-neighbor importers and products of an exporting country defined similarly to Eq. (3) as

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{k_{\text{nn},c}^{(\text{EI})}} &= \frac{\sum_c k_{c'}^{(\text{EI})} a_{cc'}^{(\text{EI})}}{\sum_{c'} a_{cc'}^{(\text{EI})}} = \frac{1}{q_c^{(\text{EI})}} \sum_{c'} k_{c'}^{(\text{EI})} a_{cc'}^{(\text{EI})}, \text{ and} \\ \overline{r_{\text{nn},c}^{(\text{EP})}} &= \frac{\sum_p r_p^{(\text{EP})} a_{cp}^{(\text{EP})}}{\sum_p a_{cp}^{(\text{EP})}} = \frac{1}{q_c^{(\text{EP})}} \sum_p r_p^{(\text{EP})} a_{cp}^{(\text{EP})}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A2})$$

have been investigated to characterize the connectivity pattern of pairwise trade networks. Previous studies [32, 33] have consistently shown that both  $\overline{k_{\text{nn},c}^{(\text{EI})}}$  and  $\overline{r_{\text{nn},c}^{(\text{EP})}}$  decrease significantly with  $q_c^{(\text{EI})}$  and  $q_c^{(\text{EP})}$ , respectively. It means that the export and import degree of adjacent countries in the EI network and the degrees of adjacent product and country in the EP network are negatively correlated. Interestingly, such negative correlation is not fully transferred to the trade hypergraphs; The mean hyperdegree  $\overline{r_{\text{nn},c}}$  of the products exported by an exporting country  $c$  appears constant without regard to  $q_c$  in the trade hypergraphs [Fig. 2(b)].

Such different behaviors of the mean degree of the nearest-neighbor products between the EP network and




FIG. 6. Relation between hyperdegrees and degrees. (a) SITC codes of the products in three groups P<sub>high</sub>, P<sub>med</sub>, and P<sub>low</sub> the top 20% products preferred by three groups of exporters having high, medium, and low export hyperdegrees in year 2000. (b) The scaled trade hyperdegrees versus the scaled degrees in the EP network for the products preferred by different groups of exporters of different export hyperdegrees. (c) The scaled import hyperdegrees versus the scaled import degrees in the EI networks for the importers preferred by different groups of exporters of different export hyperdegrees.

the hypergraph make different implications. If we construct the EP network and examine the behavior of  $r_{nn,c}^{(EP)}$ , we can infer that an exporting country of a lower degree, having a smaller number of exporting products, tends to select a product of a higher degree, having a larger number of countries exporting it. On the other hand, if the trade hypergraphs are examined, an exporting country seems to select a product without regard to the trade hyperdegree of the latter, meaning the number of distinct pairs of exporter and importer countries.

The origin of the difference should be searched for in the different definition and meaning of the vertex degree between the EP networks and the hypergraphs. The hyperdegree of a product in the trade hypergraph is related to its degree in the EP network as

$$r_p = \sum_{cc'} a_{cc'p} = \sum_c w_{cp}^{(EP)} = r_p^{(EP)} \overline{w_{nn,p}^{(EP)}} \quad (A3)$$

where  $\overline{w_{nn,p}^{(EP)}} \equiv \sum_c w_{cp}^{(EP)} / r_p^{(EP)}$  is the mean weight of the links incident upon product  $p$  in the EP network, representing the mean number of hyperedges per link. Therefore the hyperdegree  $r_p$  is contributed to by the degree  $r_p^{(EP)}$  and the mean weight of its incident link  $\overline{w_{nn,p}^{(EP)}}$ . The latter information is lost in the binary adjacency matrix and the vertex degree of the EP network.

The empirical observations are summarized as that the products exported by the countries of low export hyperdegrees have their network degrees  $r_p^{(EP)}$  larger than but their hyperdegrees  $r_p$  similar to those exported by the countries of high export hyperdegrees. To understand these, let us divide the exporting countries into three groups  $C_{low}$ ,  $C_{med}$ , and  $C_{high}$  depending on their export

hyperdegrees  $q$  and identify the top 20% ( $\sim 200$ ) products exported by the countries in each group  $C$  based on the sum of link weights  $\sum_{c \in C} w_{cp}^{(EP)}$ . This procedure classifies products into those exported by countries of low, medium, and high export hyperdegree, the groups of which we denote by  $P_{low}$ ,  $P_{med}$ , and  $P_{high}$ , and allows us to detect how the relation in Eq. (A3) varies among them. The 4-digit SITC codes of these three groups of products are shown in Fig. 6(a). The first digit of the SITC code provides the highest level of classification as follows: 0 for food and live animals, 1 for beverages and tobacco, 2 for crude materials, 3 for mineral fuels, 4 for animal and vegetable oils, fats, and waxes, 5 for chemicals, 6 for manufactured goods classified by materials, 7 for machinery and transport equipment, 8 for miscellaneous manufactured articles, and 9 for etc [22, 23, 32]. In Fig. 6(a), one can find that the primary products having the first digit less than 5 are found more in  $P_{low}$  while most of the products in  $P_{high}$  are the manufactured products having the larger first digit [32]. We find that a product in  $P_{low}$  tends to have smaller hyperdegree than that in  $P_{high}$  even when both have the same pairwise degree in the EP network [Fig. 6(b)]. In other words,  $\overline{w_{nn,p}^{(EP)}}$  is smaller for the products in  $P_{low}$  than those in  $P_{high}$  and such small values of  $\overline{w_{nn,p}^{(EP)}}$  of the former mitigate their large values of  $r_p^{(EP)}$ , resulting in the constancy of  $r_p$  among the products preferred by the countries of different export hyperdegree.

On the other hand, the relation between the import hyperdegrees  $k_c$  and the degree  $k_c^{(EI)}$  of the importing countries  $c$  preferred by each group  $C$  of exporters show little variation across different groups of exporters [Fig. 6(c)], which leads  $\overline{k_{nn,c}}$  to decrease with  $q_c$  as  $\overline{k_{nn,c}^{(EI)}}$  does with  $q_c^{(EI)}$ .

- 
- [1] R. Albert and A.-L. Barabási, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **74**, 47 (2002).
- [2] M. Newman, *Networks: An Introduction* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2010).
- [3] D.J. Watts and S.H. Strogatz, *Nature* **393**, 440 (1998).
- [4] S. Wasserman and K. Faust, *Social Networks Analysis: Methods and Applications* (Cambridge University Press, New York, 1994).
- [5] H. Jeong, B. Tomber, R. Albert, Z.N. Oltvai, and A.-L. Barabási, *Nature* **407**, 651 (2000).
- [6] J.A. Dunne, J. Richard, and N.D. Martinez, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **99**, 12917 (2002).
- [7] R. Guimera, S. Mossa, A. Turtschi, and L. A. N. Amaral, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **102**, 7794 (2005).
- [8] D. R. Wuellner, S. Roy, and R. M. D'Souza, *Phys. Rev. E* **82**, 056101 (2010).
- [9] M. Zanin and F. Lillo, *Eur. Phys. J.: Spec. Top.* **215**, 5 (2013).
- [10] M. Faloutsos, P. Faloutsos, and C. Faloutsos, *SIGCOMM Comput. Commun. Rev.* **29**, 251 (1999).
- [11] R. Albert, H. Jeong, and A.-L. Barabási, *Nature* **401**, 130 (1999).
- [12] F. Battiston, G. Cencetti, I. Iacopini, V. Latora, M. Lucas, A. Patania, J.-G. Young, and G. Petri, *Phys. Rep.* **874**, 1 (2020).
- [13] F. Battiston *et al.*, *Nat. Phys.* **17**, 1093 (2021).
- [14] O.T. Courtney and G. Bianconi, *Phys. Rev. E* **93**, 062311 (2016).
- [15] G. Petri and A. Barrat, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 228301 (2018).
- [16] A. Costa, and M. Farber, *Configuration Spaces* (Springer, 2016) p129–153.
- [17] O. T. Courtney and G. Bianconi, *Phys. Rev. E* **93**, 062311 (2016).
- [18] A. Hatcher, *Algebraic topology* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002).
- [19] G. Ghoshal, V. Zlatić, G. Caldarelli, and M. E. J. Newman, *Phys. Rev. E* **79**, 066118 (2009).
- [20] B. Jhun, M. Jo, and B. Kahng, *J. Stat. Mech.* **2019**, 123207 (2019).

- [21] Y. Lee, J. Lee, S.M. Oh, D. Lee, and B. Kahng, *Chaos* **31** 041102 (2021).
- [22] K.S. Gleditsch, *J. Confl. Resolut.* **46**, 712 (2002).
- [23] R. C. Feenstra, R. E. Lipsey, H. Deng, A. C. Ma, and H. Mo, *World trade flows: 1962–2000* Technical Reports National Bureau of Economic Research (2005).
- [24] <http://data.wto.org>.
- [25] M.Á. Serrano and M. Boguñá, *Phys. Rev. E* **68**, 015101 (2003).
- [26] D. Garlaschelli and M.I. Loffredo, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 188701 (2004).
- [27] C.-Y. Oh, and D.-S. Lee, *Phys. Rev. E* **95**, 052319 (2017).
- [28] C.A. Hidalgo, B. Klinger, A.-L. Barabási, and R. Hausmann, *Science* **317**, 482 (2007).
- [29] M. Barbier and D.-S. Lee, *J. Stat. Mech.* **2017**, 123403 (2017).
- [30] S. Bustos, C. Gomez, R. Hausmann, C.A. Hidalgo, *PLOS ONE* **7**, e0049393 (2012).
- [31] H. Lei, Y. Chen, R. Li, D. He, and J. Zhang, *PLOS ONE* **10**, e0129955 (2015).
- [32] S.-G. Choi and D.-S. Lee, *Sci. Rep.* **9**, 15871 (2019); *Phys. Rev. E* **103**, 032314 (2021).
- [33] F. Saracco, R. Di Clemente, A. Gabrielli, and T. Squartini, *Sci. Rep.* **5**, 10595 (2015).
- [34] R. Pastor-Satorras, A. Vázquez, and A. Vespignani, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87**, 258701 (2001).
- [35] M.E.J. Newman, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 208701 (2002).
- [36] T. Squartini, G. Fagiolo, D. Garlaschelli, *Phys. Rev. E* **84**, 046117 (2011); *ibid* **84**, 046118 (2011).
- [37] K. Bhattacharya, G. Mukherjee, J. Saramäki, K. Kaski, and S.S. Manna, *J. Stat. Mech.* **2008**, 02002 (2008).
- [38] A. Almog, T. Squartini, and D. Garlaschelli, *New J. Phys.* **17**, 013009 (2015).
- [39] J. Park and M.E.J. Newman, *Phys. Rev. E* **68**, 026112 (2003); *ibid* **70**, 066117 (2004).