

Convex geometries over induced paths with bounded length

Marisa Gutierrez^a, Fábio Protti^{b,*}, Silvia B. Tondato^a

^a*Departamento de Matemática, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas
Universidad Nacional de La Plata, Argentina*

^b*Instituto de Computação
Universidade Federal Fluminense, Niterói, Brazil*

Abstract

Graph convexity spaces have been studied in many contexts. In particular, some studies are devoted to determine if a graph equipped with a convexity space is a *convex geometry*. It is well known that chordal and Ptolemaic graphs can be characterized as convex geometries with respect to the geodesic and monophonic convexities, respectively. Weak polarizable graphs, interval graphs, and proper interval graphs can also be characterized in this way. In this paper we introduce the notion of l^k -convexity, a natural restriction of the monophonic convexity. Let G be a graph and $k \geq 2$ an integer. A subset $S \subseteq V(G)$ is l^k -convex if and only if for any pair of vertices x, y of S , each induced path of length *at most* k connecting x and y is completely contained in the subgraph induced by S . The l^k -convexity consists of all l^k -convex subsets of G . In this work, we characterize l^k -convex geometries (graphs that are convex geometries with respect to the l^k -convexity) for $k \in \{2, 3\}$. We show that a graph G is an l^2 -convex geometry if and only if G is a chordal P_4 -free graph, and an l^3 -convex geometry if and only if G is a chordal graph with diameter at most three such that its induced gems satisfy a special “solving” property. As far as the authors know, the class of l^3 -convex geometries is the first example of a non-hereditary class of convex geometries.

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: `marisa@mate.unlp.edu.ar` (Marisa Gutierrez), `fabio@ic.uff.br` (Fábio Protti), `tondato@mate.unlp.edu.ar` (Silvia B. Tondato)

Keywords: chordal graph, convexity, convex geometry

1. Introduction

A family \mathcal{C} of subsets of a nonempty set V is called a *convexity* on V if:

- (C1) $\emptyset, V \in \mathcal{C}$.
- (C2) \mathcal{C} is stable for intersections, that is, if \mathcal{D} is a non-empty subfamily of \mathcal{C} then $\bigcap \mathcal{D}$ is a member of \mathcal{C} .
- (C3) \mathcal{C} is stable for nested unions, that is, if \mathcal{D} is a non-empty and totally ordered by inclusion subfamily of \mathcal{C} then $\bigcup \mathcal{D}$ is a member of \mathcal{C} .

A *convexity space* is an ordered pair (V, \mathcal{C}) , where V is a nonempty set and \mathcal{C} is a convexity on V . The members of \mathcal{C} are called *convex sets*. A *graph convexity space* is an ordered pair (G, \mathcal{C}) formed by a connected graph G and a convexity \mathcal{C} on $V(G)$ such that $(V(G), \mathcal{C})$ is a convexity space.

In the last few decades, convexity spaces and graph convexity spaces have been studied in many contexts [8, 13, 15]. In particular, some studies are devoted to determine if a graph equipped with a convexity space is a *convex geometry*. The concept of convex geometry is related to the concepts of *convex hull* and *extreme point*. We refer the reader to [8]. Let (V, \mathcal{C}) be a convexity space. Given a set $S \subseteq V$, the smallest convex set containing S is called the *convex hull* of S . An element x of a convex set S is an *extreme point* of S if $S \setminus \{x\}$ is also convex. The convexity space (V, \mathcal{C}) is said to be a *convex geometry* if it satisfies the so-called *Minkowski-Krein-Milman* property [11]:

Every convex set is the convex hull of its extreme points.

Let (G, \mathcal{C}) be a graph convexity space. There are many examples in the literature where the convexity \mathcal{C} is defined over a path system. For example, the *monophonic convexity* [6, 7] consists of all the monophonically convex sets of $V(G)$ (a set S is *monophonically convex* if and only if every *induced* path between two vertices of S lies entirely in the subgraph induced by S). Likewise, the *geodesic*, *m^3 -*, *toll*, and *weakly toll* convexities are defined over shortest paths, induced paths of length at least three, tolled walks [1], and weakly tolled walks [10].

Chordal and Ptolemaic graphs have been characterized as convex geometries with respect to the monophonic convexity and the geodesic convexity, respectively [8]. Similarly, weak polarizable graphs [12] have been characterized as convex geometries with respect to the m^3 -convexity [5]; interval graphs have been characterized as convex geometries with respect to the toll convexity [1]; and proper interval graphs have been characterized as convex geometries with respect to the weakly toll convexity [10].

All the above-mentioned classes are hereditary for induced subgraphs. The natural question that arises is whether every convex geometry with respect to a path system defines a hereditary class of graphs. In this work we answer negatively to this question.

Inspired by the studies of Dragan, Nicolai, and Brandstädt in [5], in this paper we introduce the notion of l^k -convexity. Let $k \geq 2$ be an integer. A subset $S \subseteq V(G)$ is called l^k -convex if and only if for any pair of vertices x, y of S , each induced path of length *at most* k connecting x and y is completely contained in the subgraph induced by S . The l^k -convexity is the convexity consisting of all the l^k -convex sets of a graph G . If G is a convex geometry with respect to the l^k -convexity, we say that G is an l^k -convex geometry.

The main contribution of this work is to provide characterizations of l^k -convex geometries for $k \in \{2, 3\}$. We show that a graph G is an l^2 -convex geometry if and only if G is trivially perfect, or, equivalently, a chordal P_4 -free graph [9]. We also show that G is an l^3 -convex geometry if and only if G is chordal, $\text{diam}(G) \leq 3$, and its induced gems with at least six vertices satisfy a special “solving” property, in the sense that there must be some external structures preventing such gems from being obstacles for G to be an l^3 -convex geometry. Interestingly, we show that l^3 -convex geometries do not form a hereditary class of graphs. As far as the authors know, this is the first example of a non-hereditary class of convex geometries.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains the necessary background. In Section 3, we prove that l^2 -convex geometries are precisely the chordal P_4 -free graphs. In Section 4, we describe a characterization of the class of l^3 -convex geometries and show that such a class is not hereditary. Section 5 contains our conclusions.

2. Preliminaries

All the graphs in this paper are finite, undirected, simple, and connected. Let G be a graph. An *induced path* P in a G is a sequence of vertices x_0, \dots, x_p

such that $x_i x_j \in E(G)$ if and only if $i = j - 1$, $j = 1, \dots, p$. The length of P is p , which is the number of edges of P . We denote by P_n the induced path with n vertices. An *induced cycle* C is a sequence of vertices x_0, \dots, x_p such that: (i) $x_0 = x_p$ and (ii) $x_i x_j \in E(G)$ if and only if $\{i, j\} = \{0, p - 1\}$ or $|i - j| = 1$. We denote by C_n the induced cycle with n vertices.

If $P = x_0, \dots, x_p$ is a path, $P[x_i, x_j]$ ($0 \leq i \leq j \leq p$) denotes the path $P' = x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_j$.

The *distance* $d_G(u, v)$ between two vertices u, v is the minimum number of edges in a path connecting these vertices. The *diameter* of G , denoted by $diam(G)$, is the maximum distance between two vertices of G .

The neighborhood (resp., closed neighborhood) of $x \in V(G)$ is denoted by $N(x)$ (resp., $N[x]$).

Let $S \subseteq V(G)$. We denote by $G[S]$ the subgraph of G induced by S . A *clique* in G is a set of pairwise adjacent vertices. We denote by $\mathcal{C}(G)$ the family of all maximal cliques of G . A vertex $x \in V(G)$ is a *simplicial vertex* if $N[x]$ is a clique.

Let xy be an edge of G and z, w be two nonadjacent vertices of G . The graph $G - xy + zw$ is obtained from G by deleting the edge xy and adding the edge zw .

We say that G *contains* a graph H if H is an induced subgraph of G . In addition, G is *H -free* if G does not contain H .

A vertex u is a *universal vertex* if u is adjacent to every other vertex of the graph. A *gem* is a graph G_n such that: (i) $V(G_n) = \{x_0, \dots, x_n, u_n\}$ ($n \geq 3$); (ii) x_0, \dots, x_n is an induced path; (iii) u_n is a universal vertex. We also say that G_n is an *n -gem*, to mean that the induced path x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n contains n edges. See Figure 1.




Figure 1: An n -gem.

For $u, v \in V(G)$, the l^k -interval $I_{l^k}[u, v]$ consists of u, v together with all vertices lying in some induced path between u and v whose length is at

most k . A subset S of vertices is called l^k -convex if and only if for every pair $u, v \in S$ it holds that $I_{l^k}[u, v] \subseteq S$. Note that the empty set, the whole vertex set, and cliques (including singletons) are all l^k -convex sets. Let \mathcal{C} be the family of all the l^k -convex sets of $V(G)$. It is not difficult to see that (G, \mathcal{C}) is a graph convexity space. The family \mathcal{C} is called l^k -convexity.

For $W \subseteq V(G)$, we define $I_{l^k}[W] = \cup_{u,v \in W} I_{l^k}[u, v]$. Also, we define $I_{l^k}^j[W]$ recursively as follows: $I_{l^k}^0[W] = W$ and $I_{l^k}^j[W] = I[I_{l^k}^{j-1}[W]]$ for $j \geq 1$.

If $x \in I_{l^k}^m[W]$ for some $m \geq 0$, we say that x is *captured* by W .

The l^k -convex hull of $S \subseteq V(G)$, denoted by $\text{hull}_{l^k}(S)$, is the smallest set of vertices of G that contains S and is l^k -convex. Alternatively, it is the intersection of all l^k -convex sets of G that contain S . It can be easily shown that $\text{hull}_{l^k}(S) = I_{l^k}^j[S]$ for some integer $j \geq 0$; in fact, j can be taken as the minimum index for which $I_{l^k}^j[S] = I_{l^k}^{j+1}[S]$.

A vertex x of an l^k -convex set $S \subseteq V(G)$ is an *extreme point* of S if $S \setminus \{x\}$ is also an l^k -convex set of G . The set of all the extreme points of S is denoted by $\text{Ext}_{l^k}(S)$. Clearly, not every l^k -convex set contains extreme points. Also, note that a vertex x is an extreme point of an l^k -convex set S ($k \geq 2$) if and only if x is a simplicial vertex in $G[S]$.

Let W be a subset of vertices of a graph G , and let u be a vertex in $I_{l^2}[W] \setminus W$. Then there exist vertices $x, y \in W$ such that $xy \notin E(G)$ and $xu, uy \in E(G)$. This implies that u is not a simplicial vertex in the subgraph induced by $I_{l^2}[W]$. In fact, it is not difficult to see that, for any j , the only simplicial vertices in the subgraph induced by $I_{l^2}^j[W]$ are those in W (if any). This also holds for $I_{l^3}^j[W]$, $j \geq 0$. Thus:

Proposition 1. *Let G be a graph, $W \subseteq V(G)$, and $k \in \{2, 3\}$. Then if u is an extreme point of $\text{hull}_{l^k}(W)$ then u is a simplicial vertex in $G[W]$.*

A graph G is an l^k -convex geometry if, for every l^k -convex set S of G , it holds that $\text{hull}_{l^k}(\text{Ext}_{l^k}(S)) = S$.

For $a \in \{g, m, m^3, t, wt\}$, we similarly define a -convexity, a -convex set, $I_a^k(S)$, $\text{hull}_a(S)$, $\text{Ext}_a(S)$, and a -convex geometry, according to Table 1.

The subscript $a \in \{g, m, m^3, t, wt, l^k\}$ can be dropped from the notation when the path system under consideration is clear from the context.

Some important classes of graphs have been characterized as a -convex geometries, for a in Table 1. Chordal graphs and Ptolemaic graphs are the m - and g -convex geometries, respectively [8]. Interval and proper interval graphs are the t - and wt -convex geometries, respectively [1, 10]. Finally, weakly polarizable graphs are the m^3 -convex geometries [5].

<i>symbol</i>	<i>associated path system</i>
g	shortest (geodesic) paths [2]
m	induced (monophonic) paths [6, 7]
m^3	induced paths of length at least three [5]
t	tolled walks [1]
wt	weakly tolled walks [10]

Table 1: Some convexities associated with path systems

All the above-mentioned classes of graphs are hereditary for induced subgraphs. As we shall see, this is not the case for l^3 -convex geometries.

3. A characterization of l^2 -convex geometries

In this section we prove that l^2 -convex geometries are precisely the chordal P_4 -free graphs, also referred as C_4 -free cographs or trivially perfect graphs [9] in the literature.

Theorem 1. *A graph G is an l^2 -convex geometry if and only if G is a chordal P_4 -free graph.*

Proof. Let G be an l^2 -convex geometry. Note that the extreme points of $V(G)$ are the simplicial vertices of G .

Suppose that G contains an induced subgraph C isomorphic to C_n , for $n > 3$. It is clear that $\text{hull}(V(C))$ is a convex set of G , and so there exists a set $S \subseteq V(C)$ of extreme points of $\text{hull}(V(C))$ such that $\text{hull}(V(C)) = \text{hull}(S)$. Since C does not have simplicial vertices, by Proposition 1 the set $\text{hull}(V(C))$ does not have extreme points, a contradiction. Hence G is a chordal graph.

Now, we prove that G is a P_4 -free graph. Let $P = x_0, \dots, x_p$ be a maximum induced path of G , between two simplicial vertices of G . Such a path exists because G is chordal [4]. Assume, in order to obtain a contradiction, that $p \geq 3$.

Note that $\text{hull}(V(P))$ is a convex set of G with exactly two extreme points x_0 and x_p . Moreover if there exists $z \in \text{hull}(V(P)) \setminus V(P)$, which is captured by $\{x_0, x_p\}$ with an induced path of length two between x_0 and x_p , z must be adjacent to every x_i ; otherwise, $P + x_0z + zx_p$ is an induced cycle of G with at least four vertices. Since x_0 and x_p are simplicial vertices of $G[\text{hull}(V(P))]$, $N[x_0]$ and $N[x_p]$ are cliques. Thus,

$$I_{l^2}[V(P)] = (N[x_0] \cap N[x_p]) \cup \{x_0, x_p\}$$

and

$$I_{l^2}^2[V(P)] = I_{l^2}[(N[x_0] \cap N[x_p]) \cup \{x_0, x_p\}] = (N[x_0] \cap N[x_p]) \cup \{x_0, x_p\}.$$

No vertex in $V(P)$ is captured by $N[x_0] \cap N[x_p]$, and so $\text{hull}(V(P)) \neq \text{hull}(\{x_0, x_p\})$, a contradiction since $\text{hull}(V(P))$ is a convex set of a convex geometry. This concludes the first part of the proof.

Conversely, let G be a chordal P_4 -free graph, and let $S \subseteq V(G)$ be an l^2 -convex set of G . Since $G[S]$ is a chordal graph, every vertex in S lies in an induced path between two simplicial vertices of $G[S]$ (extreme points of S). Such a path must have length two, otherwise G contains P_n ($n \geq 4$) as an induced subgraph. This means that S is the convex hull of its extreme points, and the proof is complete. \square

The following example shows that the statement of Theorem 1 is not true if we replace “ l^2 -convex geometry/chordal P_4 -free” by “ l^3 -convex geometry/chordal P_5 -free”. Let G be the chordal graph in Figure 2.




Figure 2: A chordal l^3 -convex geometry that is not P_5 -free.

Note that $\text{Ext}_{l^3}(V(G)) = \{1, 7\}$ but $I_{l^3}[\{1, 7\}] = \{1, 2, 5, 7\} \neq V(G)$. Two iterations are necessary for the set $\{1, 7\}$ to capture all the vertices of G , i.e.,

$$I_{l^3}^2[\{1, 7\}] = \text{hull}_{l^3}(\{1, 7\}) = V(G).$$

Up to symmetries, the nontrivial l^3 -convex sets of G are:

$$\{1, 2, 3, 4\}, \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}, \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}, \{2, 3, 4, 5\}, \{2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}.$$

It is a tedious but straightforward task to check that each set S above satisfies $\text{hull}_{l^3}(\text{Ext}_{l^3}(S)) = S$. Thus, G is a chordal l^3 -convex geometry.

However, G is not P_5 -free. In order to characterize l^3 -convex geometries, we need another properties, as we shall see in the next section.

4. A characterization of l^3 -convex geometries

In this section we provide a characterization of l^3 -convex geometries by means of a special property that must be satisfied by its induced gems with at least six vertices. Before stating the main result of this section, we need additional terminology.

Let G be a graph. We say that an induced subgraph H of G is a *convex subgraph* if $V(H)$ is an l^3 -convex subset of G . Let P be an induced path and $x \in V(G)$ be a vertex; we say that P *avoids* x if $x \notin V(P)$. Hereafter, an induced path with length three is simply referred as a P_4 .

Let $G_n (n \geq 4)$ be an n -gem with vertices x_0, \dots, x_n, u_n as in Figure 1, and assume that G_n is an induced subgraph of G . We say that G_n is *solved* if there exists in G a P_4 connecting x_0 and x_n that avoids u_n .

Before stating Theorem 2, we need the following lemma:

Lemma 1. *If G is a graph with $\text{diam}(G) \leq 3$ then every connected l^3 -convex subgraph H of G satisfies $\text{diam}(H) \leq 3$.*

Proof. Suppose $\text{diam}(H) = d > 3$ for some connected convex subgraph H of G , and let $u, v \in V(H)$ such that $\text{dist}_H(u, v) = d > 3$. Since $\text{dist}_G(u, v) \leq 3$, there must exist an induced path Q in G between u and v of length at most three. Clearly, there exists a vertex $x \in V(Q)$ such that $x \notin V(H)$. However, $x \in I[u, v] \subseteq V(H)$, because H is a convex subgraph. This is a contradiction. Therefore, $\text{diam}(H) \leq 3$. \square

Theorem 2. *A graph G is an l^3 -convex geometry if and only if the following conditions hold:*

1. G is chordal;
2. $\text{diam}(G) \leq 3$;
3. every induced n -gem ($n \geq 4$) contained in G is solved.

Proof. Suppose that G is an l^3 -convex geometry. Recall that the extreme points of $V(G)$ are its simplicial vertices.

First, we prove that G is a chordal graph. Assume by contradiction that G contains an induced cycle with at least four vertices. It is clear that $\text{hull}(V(C))$ is a convex set of G , and, since G is a convex geometry, there exists a set $S \subseteq V(C)$ of extreme points of $\text{hull}(V(C))$ such that $\text{hull}(V(C)) = \text{hull}(S)$. Since C does not contain simplicial vertices, by Proposition 1 the set $\text{hull}(V(C))$ does not contain extreme points as well, a contradiction. Hence G is a chordal graph.

Now, we prove that $\text{diam}(G) \leq 3$. Assume, in order to obtain a contradiction, that $\text{diam}(G) > 3$. Since G is chordal, there exist two simplicial vertices $x_0, x_n \in V(G)$ such that $d(x_0, x_n) = \text{diam}(G)$. Let $P = x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n$ be an induced path whose length is $\text{diam}(G)$. Note that $\text{hull}(V(P))$ is a convex set of G with exactly two extreme points, x_0 and x_n . Since every induced path between x_0 and x_n in G is of length greater than or equal to four, $\{x_0, x_n\}$ cannot capture, with induced paths of length less than or equal to three, any vertex of $\text{hull}(V(P))$. Thus, $\text{hull}(V(P)) \neq \text{hull}(\{x_0, x_n\})$, which is a contradiction since $\text{hull}(V(P))$ is a convex set of a convex geometry. Hence, $\text{diam}(G) \leq 3$.

Finally, suppose that G contains G_n ($n \geq 4$), as in Figure 1. Clearly, $\text{hull}(V(G_n))$ is a convex set of G . As G is a convex geometry and G_n contains exactly two simplicial vertices, by Proposition 1 we conclude that $\text{hull}(V(G_n)) = \text{hull}(\{x_0, x_n\})$. Clearly, u_n is captured by an induced path P of length at most 3 between x_0 and x_n , namely $P = x_0, u_n, x_n$. Since u_n is a neighbor of x_0 and x_n , no vertex x_i for $i \neq 0, n$ can be captured by $\{x_0, x_n, u_n\}$. Moreover, no vertex $x \notin V(G_n)$ lying in an induced path of length two between x_0 and x_n in $H = G[\text{hull}(V(G_n))]$ can be added to $\{x_0, x_n, u_n\}$ in order to capture a vertex x_i ($i \neq 0, n$), because H is chordal and thus x would necessarily be a neighbor of u_n and of every x_i .

From the above arguments, there must exist at least one P_4 between x_0 and x_n , say P' , such that $\{x_0, x_n\}$ captures, with P' , some x_i ($i \neq 0, n$) or vertices that will be used to capture the x_i 's in subsequent iterations of the computation of $\text{hull}(V(G_n))$. It is clear that P' avoids u_n . Therefore, G_n is solved, and this concludes the first part of the proof.

Conversely, suppose that conditions 1, 2, and 3 are satisfied. We have to prove that every convex set $S \subseteq V(G)$ is the convex hull of its extreme points.

First, we show that S contains extreme points. Assume $|S| > 1$ (otherwise, the proof is trivial). Clearly, the convex subgraph $H = G[S]$ is a chordal

graph, and thus contains at least two simplicial vertices (i.e., extreme points of S). In addition, every vertex of H lies in an induced path between two simplicial vertices.

Every vertex of H lying in an induced path of length at most 3 between two simplicial vertices of H trivially belongs to $\text{hull}(\text{Ext}(V(H)))$. Assume then there exists a vertex $x \in V(H)$ that does not lie in an induced path of length at most 3 between two simplicial vertices of H . We need to prove that, in this case, $x \in \text{hull}(\text{Ext}(V(H)))$ as well.

We consider two cases: H contains G_n ($n \geq 4$) or not. The following claims deal with the two cases.

Claim 1. *If H does not contain G_n ($n \geq 4$) then x lies in an induced path of H of length at most four between two simplicial vertices of H .*

Proof. Suppose that every induced path of H between simplicial vertices containing x as an internal vertex is of length $n > 4$, and let $P = x_0, \dots, x_n$ be a minimal induced path satisfying this condition.

Note that $\text{hull}(V(P))$ is completely contained in H and it is a convex set of G . On the other hand, its only extreme points are x_0 and x_n . Since $\text{hull}(V(P))$ is convex, by Lemma 1 $G[\text{hull}(V(P))]$ has diameter less than or equal to three. Thus, $d_H(x_0, x_n) \leq 3$.

Suppose $d_H(x_0, x_n) = 2$. Clearly, there exists an induced path $Q = x_0, u_n, x_n$ with u_n not in P (Figure 3).




Figure 3: Induced path Q .

Since $G[\text{hull}(V(P))]$ is chordal, $Q \cup P$ is not an induced cycle. Thus u_n is adjacent to every x_i , $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$. But then $Q \cup P = G_n$ ($n > 4$), a contradiction.

Hence, $d_H(x_0, x_n) = 3$. Let $Q' = x_0, y_1, y_2, x_n$ be an induced path of $G[\text{hull}(V(P))]$ with length three. Note that $|V(Q') \cap V(P)| \leq 3$ (Figure 4).

If $|V(Q') \cap V(P)| = 3$, assume without loss of generality that $y_2 = x_{n-1}$. Since P and Q' are induced paths and $G[\text{hull}(V(P))]$ is a chordal graph, it




Figure 4: Possible configurations for Q' and P .

follows that $P[x_0, x_{n-1}] \cup Q'$ is not an induced cycle. Thus y_1 is adjacent to every x_i lying in $P[x_0, x_{n-1}] \cup Q$. But then $P[x_0, x_{n-1}] \cup Q' = G_{n-1}$ for $n - 1 > 3$, a contradiction.

Now we analyze the case $|V(Q') \cap V(P)| = 2$. Since x_0 and x_n are simplicial vertices in $G[\text{hull}(V(P))]$, x_1 is adjacent to y_1 and x_{n-1} is adjacent to y_2 (Figure 5). Let Q'' be the path $Q'' = x_1, y_1, y_2, x_{n-1}$.




Figure 5: Path Q'' .

Since $G[\text{hull}(V(P))]$ is a chordal graph, $P[x_1, x_{n-1}] \cup Q''$ is not an induced cycle. Then y_1 or y_2 is adjacent to x_i for $i \notin \{1, n - 1\}$. Moreover, there exists at least one index $l \in \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$ such that x_l is adjacent to y_1 and y_2 . Let i be the minimum index such that y_2 is adjacent to x_i , and j be the maximum index such that y_1 is adjacent to x_j (Figure 6). Clearly, $i \leq j$, otherwise $G[\{y_1, y_2, x_i, \dots, x_j\}]$ is an induced cycle of size at least 4.




Figure 6: Vertices x_i and x_j .

Note that y_2 is adjacent to x_h for all $h \geq i$, and y_1 is adjacent to x_m for all $m \leq j$, otherwise there exists an induced cycle of size at least 4.

Note that $j < 4$ and $i > n - 4$, otherwise $G[\text{hull}(V(P))]$ would contain a j -gem ($j \geq 4$) or an $(n - i)$ -gem ($n - i \geq 4$), which is impossible.

We show that $n < 7$. Assume by contradiction that $n \geq 7$. Thus $n - 4 \geq 3$, and this implies $i > n - 4 \geq 3$. But this is impossible because $j < 4$ and $i \leq j$. Hence $n < 7$.

Assume $n = 6$. Since $i > n - 4$ and $j < 4$, if $i \neq 3$ then $i \geq 4 > j$, a contradiction since $i \leq j$. Thus, $i = 3$. Analogously, $j = 3$. But then $G[\{x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, y_2, y_1\}] = G_4$, which is impossible.

Assume now $n = 5$, and suppose that $j = i$. Since $i > n - 4$ and $i \leq j$, it follows that $j = 2$ or $j = 3$, and then either $G[\{y_1, y_2, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5\}] = G_4$ or $G[\{y_1, y_2, x_0, \dots, x_3\}] = G_4$, a contradiction. If $i < j$ then, since $j < 4$, $i = 2$ and $j = 3$. Thus x is an internal vertex either of the induced path x_0, x_1, x_2, y_2, x_5 or the induced path x_0, y_1, x_3, x_4, x_5 , contradicting the choice of P .

Hence $n \leq 4$ and x lies in an induced path of H of length at most four between two simplicial vertices of H . \square

Claim 2. *If H does not contain G_n ($n \geq 4$) then x is captured by $\text{Ext}(V(H))$.*

Proof. By Claim 1, if $x \notin I[\text{Ext}(V(H))]$ then there exists in H an induced path $P = x_0, \dots, x, \dots, x_4$ of length four such that x_0 and x_4 are simplicial vertices of H . Since $\text{hull}(V(P)) \subseteq V(H)$ is a convex set of G , by Lemma 1 the diameter of $G[\text{hull}(V(P))]$ is less than or equal to three. Also, it does not contain G_n ($n \geq 4$), because it is an induced subgraph of H .

Note that the diameter of $G[\text{hull}(V(P))]$ is exactly three (since it is a chordal graph not containing G_n ($n \geq 4$)). In addition, x_0 and x_4 are the only simplicial vertices of $G[\text{hull}(V(P))]$. Then there exists in $G[\text{hull}(V(P))]$ an induced path $Q = x_0, y_1, y_2, x_4$ of length three. Observe that P and Q can have at most three vertices in common and the extreme points of $\text{hull}(V(P))$ are also extreme points of H .

If $|V(Q) \cap V(P)| = 3$, without loss of generality assume that $y_2 = x_3$. Since $x \notin I[\text{Ext}(V(H))]$, we have $x_3 \neq x$. Note that $x_3 \in I[\text{Ext}(\text{hull}(V(P)))]$ (since the length of the induced path x_0, y_1, x_3, x_4 is three).

On the other hand, x is an internal vertex of the induced path x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3 and $x_3 \in I[\text{Ext}(\text{hull}(V(P)))]$. Thus, $x \in I^2[\text{Ext}(\text{hull}(V(P)))]$. Since the extreme points of $\text{hull}(V(P))$ are also extreme points of H , it follows that $x \in I^m[\text{Ext}(V(H))]$ with $m < 4$.

Now suppose $|V(Q) \cap V(P)| = 2$. Since x_0 and x_4 are simplicial vertices of H , we have that y_1 is adjacent to x_1 and y_2 is adjacent to x_3 . On the other hand, $G[\text{hull}(V(P))]$ is a chordal graph, and thus $x_1, y_1, y_2, x_3, x_2, x_1$ is not an induced cycle. Thus, y_1 and y_2 are simultaneously adjacent to at least one vertex of P . By hypothesis, since G_n ($n \geq 4$) is not an induced subgraph of H , both y_1 and y_2 are adjacent to x_2 (Figure 7).




Figure 7: x_1 may or may not be adjacent to y_2 , and x_3 may or may not be adjacent to y_1 .

Note that x_1 may be adjacent to y_2 , and x_3 may be adjacent to y_1 . It is easy to see that x is captured by $\{x_0, y_2\}$ with the induced path x_0, x_1, x_2, y_2 whenever y_2 is not adjacent to x_1 , or by $\{x_4, y_1\}$ with the induced path x_4, x_3, x_2, y_1 if y_1 is not adjacent to x_3 .

If y_1 is adjacent to x_3 or y_2 is adjacent to x_1 then x is captured (depending on the position of x in P) with one of the following induced paths: x_0, x_1, y_2 ; x_4, x_3, y_1 ; or x_1, x_2, x_3 . In the last case, the following steps are needed: first, the set $\{x_0, x_4\}$ captures y_1 and y_2 ; next, $\{y_1, y_2\}$ captures x_1 and x_3 ; finally, $\{x_1, x_3\}$ captures $x = x_2$. Clearly, $x \in I^m[\text{Ext}(V(P))]$ with $m = 2$ or $m = 3$. Hence, $x \in I^m[\text{Ext}(V(H))]$ with $m < 4$. This completes the proof of the claim. □

Claim 3. *Suppose that H contains G_n ($n \geq 4$), as in Figure 1. Suppose also that the simplicial vertices of G_n are captured by $\text{Ext}(V(H))$. Then every $x \in V(G_n)$ is captured by $\text{Ext}(V(H))$.*

Proof. Assume that H contains G_n ($n \geq 4$) as in Figure 1, and let P be the induced path $P = x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n$.

Note that $G[\text{hull}(V(G_n))]$ is a convex subgraph of H with only two extreme points, x_0 and x_n . By hypothesis, G_n is solved, and thus $G[\text{hull}(V(G_n))]$ contains an induced path $Q = x_0, y_1, y_2, x_n$ that avoids u_n .

Note that P and Q can have at most three vertices in common. Moreover, y_1 and y_2 are captured by $\{x_0, x_n\}$.

We prove the claim by induction on n .

Base case: $n = 4$

If $Q = x_0, y_1, y_2, x_4$ and $P = x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4$ have three vertices in common, assume without loss of generality that $y_2 = x_3$. Note that x_3 is captured by $V(Q)$, and then every other vertex of G_n is captured by $\{x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3\}$. Thus the claim follows in this case.

If Q and P have exactly two vertices in common then y_1 is adjacent to x_1 and y_2 is adjacent to x_3 . In addition, both are adjacent to u_4 . Since $G[\text{hull}(V(G_4))]$ is a chordal graph, $x_1, y_1, y_2, x_3, x_2, x_1$ is not an induced cycle, and since P is an induced path there exists at least one vertex x_l , with $l \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, which is adjacent to both y_1 and y_2 . See Figure 7. Let $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ be such that i is the minimum index for which x_i is adjacent to y_2 , and j is the maximum index for which x_j is adjacent to y_1 . Note that $i \leq j$, otherwise there exists an induced cycle of size at least four.

Since $n = 4$, it is clear that x_0, \dots, x_i, y_2 is an induced path of length at most three whenever $i \neq 3$. Similarly, y_1, x_j, \dots, x_4 is an induced path of length at most three whenever $j \neq 1$.

If $i = 3$ then y_1 is adjacent to x_3 , and thus $\{y_1, x_4\}$ captures x_3 . Next, $\{x_0, x_3\}$ captures the other vertices of G_n . We proceed analogously for $j = 1$.

If $i \neq 3$ and $j \neq 1$, consider the following induced paths of length at most three: $Q' = x_0, \dots, x_i, y_2$ and $Q'' = y_1, x_j, \dots, x_4$. The existence of such paths show that x_i and x_j are captured by $\{x_0, y_2\}$ and $\{y_1, x_4\}$, respectively. Then the other vertices of G_n are also captured, and the base case is complete.

Inductive step: $n > 4$

Assume that, for every l -gem G' with $4 \leq l < n$ contained in H whose simplicial vertices are captured by $\text{Ext}(V(H))$, all vertices of G' are captured by $\text{Ext}(V(H))$.

By hypothesis, G_n ($n > 4$) is solved, and then there exists an induced path $Q = x_0, y_1, y_2, x_n$ in $G[\text{hull}(V(G_n))]$ that avoids u_n .

If Q and $P = x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n$ have three vertices in common, assume without loss of generality that $x_{n-1} \in V(Q) \cap V(P)$. In this case, x_{n-1} is captured with the induced path Q . Let G' be the $(n-1)$ -gem induced by $V(G_n) \setminus \{u_n\}$. Since x_0 and x_{n-1} are already captured and x_{n-1} is a simplicial vertex of G' , by the induction hypothesis all the vertices of G' are captured, and this implies that all the vertices of G_n are captured by $\text{Ext}(V(H))$.

If Q and P have only two vertices in common, we have the following situation. Since $G[\text{hull}(V(G_n))]$ is a chordal graph, $x_1, y_1, y_2, x_{n-1}, x_{n-2}, \dots, x_2, x_1$ is not an induced cycle. Thus there exists at least one vertex x_l , with $l \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, which is adjacent to y_1 and y_2 . Let $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ be such that i is the minimum index for which x_i is adjacent to y_2 , and j is the maximum index for which x_j is adjacent to y_1 . Note that $i \leq j$. See Figure 8).




Figure 8: Inductive step: vertices x_i and x_j .

If $i = 1$, x_1 is captured with the induced path x_0, x_1, y_2 . Note that the vertices $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, y_2$ induce an $(n-1)$ -gem G'' whose simplicial vertices, x_1 and x_n , are already captured. By the induction hypothesis, all the vertices of G'' , and thus of G_n , are captured by $\text{Ext}(V(H))$. We proceed analogously for $j = n-1$, by considering the $(n-1)$ -gem induced by the vertices y_1, x_0, \dots, x_{n-1} .

If $i > 1$ and $j < n-1$, we analyze three cases:

Case 1: If $i > 2$ and $j < n-2$, the gem induced by $\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_i, y_2, y_1\}$ (with simplicial vertices x_0 and y_1) and the gem induced by $\{y_1, y_2, x_j, \dots, x_n\}$ (with simplicial vertices x_n and y_1) are l -gems with $4 \leq l < n$. Since the vertices x_0, x_n, y_1, y_2 have already been captured, by the induction hypothesis all vertices of such gems are captured in subsequent iterations. This means that vertices x_1, \dots, x_i and x_j, \dots, x_{n-1} are captured.

If $i = j$ then all vertices of G_n are captured.

If $i < j$, either $Q' = x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_j$ is an induced path of length at most three, or the vertices of Q' along with x_n induce an l -gem G''' ($4 \leq l < n$) with simplicial vertices x_i and x_j . In the latter case, by the induction hypothesis, all vertices of G''' are captured. Thus, in either case, we conclude that all vertices of G_n are captured.

Case 2: If $i = 2$ and $j < n-2$ (or $i > 2$ and $j = n-2$), vertices x_1 and x_2 are captured with the induced path x_0, x_1, x_2, y_2 . Using similar arguments as

above, the claim follows by considering the sets $S_1 = \{y_1, y_2, x_j, \dots, x_n\}$ and $S_2 = \{x_2, x_3, \dots, x_j\}$. In the former case, S_1 induces a gem with already-captured simplicial vertices y_1 and x_n . In the latter, S_2 either induces a P_r with $r \leq 4$ or, along with u_n , an l -gem ($4 \leq l < n$) with already-captured simplicial vertices x_2 and x_j .

Case 3: If $i = 2$ and $j = k - 2$, we have that x_1, x_2 are captured with the induced path x_0, x_1, x_2, y_2 , and x_{n-2}, x_{n-1} are captured with the induced path $y_1, x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}, x_n$.

If the induced path x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{n-2} has length at most three, vertices x_3, \dots, x_{n-3} are captured by $\{x_2, x_{n-2}\}$. Otherwise, the claim follows by considering the gem induced by $\{x_2, \dots, x_{n-2}, u_n\}$, where x_2 and x_{n-2} are its already-captured simplicial vertices. □

Now, we conclude the proof that $V(H) = \text{hull}(\text{Ext}(V(H)))$. Recall that there is a vertex $x \in V(H) \setminus I(\text{Ext}(V(H)))$ such that x lies in an induced path of length at least four $P = x_0, \dots, x, \dots, x_n$, where x_0 and x_n are simplicial vertices of H . We need to prove that $x \in \text{hull}(\text{Ext}(V(H)))$.

Clearly, $\text{hull}(V(P))$ is contained in $V(H)$ and $H' = G[\text{hull}(V(P))]$ is a chordal subgraph of H . Also, H' is a convex subgraph of G , and thus by Lemma 1 we have $\text{diam}(H') \leq 3$. Note that x_0 and x_n are the only simplicial vertices of H' .

If $\text{diam}(H') = 2$, there exists an induced path x_0, u_n, x_n . But then u_n must be adjacent to all vertices of P , and the set $V(P) \cup \{u_n\}$ induces G_n ($n \geq 4$). By Claim 3, all vertices of G_n are captured by $\text{Ext}(V(H))$, and the proof follows.

If $\text{diam}(H') = 3$, there exists an induced path $Q = x_0, y_1, y_2, x_n$ such that Q and P have at most three vertices in common.

If $|V(Q) \cap V(P)| = 3$, assume $x_{n-1} \in V(Q) \cap V(P)$. Then x_{n-1} is captured with Q . If $n = 4$ then x_1, x_2 are captured by $\{x_0, x_3\}$, and the proof follows. If $n > 4$, since H' is chordal it follows that y_1 is adjacent to all vertices of $V(P) \setminus \{x_n\}$, and then $\{x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}, y_1\}$ induces an l -gem with $l \geq 4$ and already-captured simplicial vertices x_0 and x_{n-1} . Hence, by Claim 3, the proof follows.

If $|V(Q) \cap V(P)| = 2$, since x_0 and x_n are simplicial vertices, we have that y_1 is adjacent to x_1 and y_2 is adjacent to x_{n-1} . Also, $x_1, y_1, y_2, x_{n-1}, \dots, x_1$ is not an induced cycle, and then there must exist x_i simultaneously adjacent to y_1 and y_2 . Following previous arguments, let $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ be

such that i is the minimum index for which x_i is adjacent to y_2 , and j the maximum index for which x_j adjacent to y_1 . We have the situation illustrated in Figure 8. The proof then follows by using the same argumentation as in Claim 3.

Therefore, $V(H) = \text{hull}(\text{Ext}(V(H)))$ and G is an l^3 -convex geometry. \square

4.1. The class of l^3 -convex geometries is not hereditary

Observe that the graph G depicted in Figure 2 is a proper interval graph (and, thus, an interval graph and a chordal graph). Also, G is weak polarizable (see [12]). In addition, for $a \in \{m, m^3, t, wt\}$, we have the following facts:

- $\text{Ext}_a(V(G)) = \{1, 7\}$;
- $\text{hull}_a(\{1, 7\}) = I_a[\{1, 7\}] = V(G)$;
- $G - x$ is an a -convex geometry for every $x \in V(G)$.

However, for $x \in \{2, 5\}$, $G' = G - x$ is not an l^3 -convex geometry, since:

- $V(G')$ is trivially an l^3 -convex set of G' ,
- $\text{Ext}_{l^3}(V(G')) = \{1, 7\}$, and
- $\text{hull}_{l^3}(\{1, 7\}) = \{1, 7\} \neq V(G')$.

This shows that the class of l^3 -convex geometries is not hereditary.

5. Conclusions and future work

Characterizing l^k -convex geometries for $k \geq 4$ is an interesting open question. In order to tackle such a question, the following result will be useful:

Proposition 2. *Let $k \geq 2$. If G is an l^k -convex geometry then G is a chordal graph with $\text{diam}(G) \leq k$.*

The proof of the above proposition uses the same arguments in the necessity proof of Theorem 2.

Regarding complexity aspects, recognizing whether a graph G is an l^2 -convex geometry can be easily done in linear time by testing whether G is a chordal cograph (see [3, 14]).

Finding, if possible, an efficient recognition algorithm for l^3 -convex geometries amounts to finding an efficient test of condition 3 in Theorem 2, for chordal graphs with diameter at most three.

References

- [1] L. Alc3n, B. Beřar, T. Gologranc, M. Gutierrez, T. Kraner řumenjak, I. Peterin, A. Tepeh, Toll convexity, *European Journal of Combinatorics* 46 (2015) 161–175.
- [2] L. M. Batten, Geodesic subgraphs, *J. Graph Theory* 7 (1983) 159–163.
- [3] D. G. Corneil, Y. Perl, L. K. Stewart, A linear recognition algorithm for cographs, *SIAM Journal on Computing* 14 (1985) 926–934.
- [4] G. A. Dirac, On rigid circuit graphs, *Abhandlungen aus dem Mathematischen Seminar der Universitat Hamburg*, 25 (1–2) 7–76, 1961.
- [5] F. Dragan, F. Nicolai, A. Brandstadt, Convexity and HHD-free graphs, *SIAM J. Discrete Math* 12 (1999) 119–135.
- [6] M. C. Dourado, F. Protti, J. L. Szwarcfiter, Complexity results related to monophonic convexity, *Discrete Applied Mathematics* 158 (2010) 1268–1274.
- [7] P. Duchet, Convex sets in graphs II: Minimal path convexity, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 44 (1988), 307–316.
- [8] M. Farber, R. E. Jamison, Convexity in graphs and hypergraphs, *SIAM J. Algebraic Discrete Mathematics* 7 (1986) 433–444.
- [9] M. C. Golumbic, Trivially perfect graphs, *Discrete Mathematics* 24 (1978) 105–107.

- [10] M. Gutierrez, S. B. Tondato, Weakly toll convexity, *Manuscript*.
Available at <http://www.ic.uff.br/~fabio/weaklytollconvexity.pdf>.
- [11] M. Krein, D. Milman, On extreme points of regular convex sets, *Studia Mathematica* 9 (1940) 133–138.
- [12] S. Olariu, Weak bipolarizable graphs, *Discrete Mathematics* 74 (1989), 159–171.
- [13] I. M. Pelayo, *Geodesic Convexity in Graphs*, Springer, New York, 2013.
- [14] D. Rose, G. Lueker, R. E. Tarjan, Algorithmic aspects of vertex elimination on graphs, *SIAM Journal on Computing* 5 (1976) 266–283.
- [15] M. L. J. van de Vel, *Theory of Convex Structures*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1993.