

Explicit representations for Banach subspaces of Lizorkin distributions

Sebastian Neumayer* Michael Unser*

March 11, 2022

The Lizorkin space is well-suited for studying various operators; e.g., fractional Laplacians and the Radon transform. In this paper, we show that the space is unfortunately not complemented in the Schwartz space. However, we can show that it is dense in $C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$, a property that is shared by the larger Schwartz space and that turns out to be useful for applications. Based on this result, we investigate subspaces of Lizorkin distributions that are Banach spaces and for which a continuous representation operator exists. Then, we introduce a variational framework involving these spaces and that makes use of the constructed operator. By investigating two particular cases of this framework, we are able to strengthen existing results for fractional splines and 2-layer ReLU networks.

Keywords: Fractional splines, Inverse problems, Lizorkin space, Lizorkin distributions, Quotient spaces, ReLU networks, Variational problems

1. Introduction

This paper is focused around the Lizorkin space $\mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, which was first introduced in [15] for investigating partial differential equations. It consists of the Schwartz functions $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for which all moments vanish. For detailed expositions on the topic, we refer to [21, 23]. Surprisingly, this space is still rather large and its closure under the L_∞ -norm is the space $C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Another attractive feature of this space is that many non-invertible operators become invertible if their domain is restricted to $\mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$; e.g., the Radon transform and fractional Laplacians. This makes the space $\mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ well suited for theoretical analysis. In the present paper, we show that $\mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ cannot be complemented in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$; i.e., continuous projections onto the Lizorkin space cannot exist. This result is in sharp contrast to the periodic setting, where a projection actually exists.

*Biomedical Imaging Group, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Station 17, CH-1015 Lausanne, {forename.name}@epfl.ch.

The corresponding dual space $\mathcal{S}'_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of Lizorkin distributions [36] is fairly large and has attracted increased interest over the past years; e.g., for the shearlet transform [2], for the ridgelet transform [13] or for choosing activation functions in neural networks [28]. It is well known that $\mathcal{S}'_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ can be identified as the quotient space $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)/\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, where $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ denotes the space of polynomials. Naturally, this leads to the problem of fixing representations if we want to make computations explicit, an issue that has not been addressed so far. Here, our results directly imply that no continuous linear projector assigning representatives can exist. At first glance, this result appears quite discouraging: It implies that it is, in general, necessary to work with equivalence classes. Fortunately, this can be circumvented by considering appropriate Banach subspaces of $\mathcal{S}'_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Indeed, due to the denseness of the Lizorkin space in $C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and due to the Riesz theorem, the space of Radon measures is an embedded subspace of $\mathcal{S}'_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for which unique representations exist. Further, we are also able to provide a positive answer for more general cases; i.e., if we restrict ourselves to subspaces that can be equipped with a special Banach space structure. In this case, we are able to provide a continuous representation operator for which the point evaluations are weak* continuous.

The procedure for obtaining these subspaces and the representatives is as follows: Given a well understood pair of Banach spaces $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}')$, we construct Banach spaces $(\mathcal{X}_T, \mathcal{X}'_T)$ based on a linear homeomorphism $T: \mathcal{S}_1 \subset \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_2$ defined on some dense subspace of \mathcal{X} . The core advantage of our construction is that many properties carry over directly to $(\mathcal{X}_T, \mathcal{X}'_T)$; e.g., the set of extreme points of \mathcal{X}'_T can be specified easily from those of \mathcal{X}' . While our construction is abstract and maybe even artificial at first glance, it allows us to make use of the fact that many (differential) operators are homeomorphisms on $\mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, thanks to the denseness of $\mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ in $\mathcal{X} = C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Unfortunately, the obtained space \mathcal{X}'_T usually still consists of equivalence classes. Therefore, as second step, we formulate conditions under which the elements of \mathcal{X}'_T can be identified using a representation operator. These conditions are usually fulfilled when the Greens function of the operator T is sufficiently regular. Overall, this framework enables us to design a whole class of interesting new norms for which the related Banach subspaces of Lizorkin distributions have a continuous representation operator. This makes our framework usable for applications.

Within the proposed setting, we study variational problems involving the constructed Banach spaces and the general representer theorems established in [32, 33]. Again, a drawback of the abstract formulation is that it usually involves spaces whose elements are equivalence classes. However, this can be circumvented by applying our representation operator. We investigate two special cases of this framework for which the formulations become explicit: First, we revisit fractional splines in arbitrary dimensions, which have been investigated before in [6, 34, 35]. These splines are a generalization of the usual polynomial splines [26], which preserve most of the properties of the traditional B-splines. Note that (fractional) splines are still of huge interest and even found their way into neural network research recently [3, 8, 19]. Although this is not included in our discussion, we may also use the model for studying polynomial splines. Overall, our approach leads to a nice unifying setting for the problem, including a straightforward extension to the multivariate case.

As second example, we strengthen the representation results for 2-layer ReLU networks established by Parhi and Nowak [18] and Bartolucci et al. [1], which builds up on the univariate case investigated in [25]. The involved norm was also studied from a theoretical point of view in [17]. While both mentioned works rely on the very general result on existence of sparse solutions for variational problems by Bredies and Carioni [4] in their proofs, we are additionally able to identify the whole solution set as the weak* closure of sparse solutions of a given form. Similarly as [1], our construction is related to reproducing kernel Banach spaces [5, 14, 37], since our representation operator is constructed in terms of a kernel and the point evaluations are continuous. The key ingredient that enables us to strengthen the results of these prior works is that we actually construct a predual space for the optimization domain, which enables us to use our proposed variational framework.

The paper is organized as follows: The necessary preliminaries are provided in Section 2. Then, we proceed with discussing the Lizorkin space in Section 3 and show that a continuous projection onto this space cannot exist. This part is complemented with a short discussion of the periodic case, which is actually surprisingly different. In Section 4, we identify subspaces of Lizorkin distributions for which a continuous representation operator exists. Next, we relate these subspaces to several interesting research questions in Section 5. First, as a warm up, we investigate the construction of periodic (fractional) splines in Section 5.1. Here, no representation mechanism is necessary as we can use the projector. Then, in Section 5.2, we introduce our general variational framework involving the constructed Banach spaces, for which we detail two specific cases: First, we consider the more delicate case of non-periodic (fractional) splines in Section 5.3. Then, in Section 5.4, we discuss a representer theorem for 2-layer ReLU neural networks. Finally, conclusions are drawn in Section 6.

2. Mathematical preliminaries

In this paper, we consider functions $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. To describe their partial derivatives, we use the multi-index $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$ (\mathbb{N} includes 0) with the notational conventions $\mathbf{k}! = \prod_{i=1}^d k_i!$, $|\mathbf{k}| = k_1 + \dots + k_d$, $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{k}} = \prod_{i=1}^d x_i^{k_i}$ for any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and

$$\partial^{\mathbf{k}} f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\partial^{|\mathbf{k}|} f(x_1, \dots, x_d)}{\partial x_1^{k_1} \dots \partial x_d^{k_d}}.$$

This enables us to write the multidimensional Taylor expansion around \mathbf{x}_0 of an analytical function $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ in compact form as

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\mathbf{k}|=n} \frac{\partial^{\mathbf{k}} f(\mathbf{x}_0)}{\mathbf{k}!} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0)^{\mathbf{k}}.$$

Schwartz's space [27] of smooth and rapidly-decreasing functions $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ equipped with the usual Fréchet-Schwartz topology is denoted by $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. This space is an algebra for the multiplication as well as the convolution product. Additionally, it is closed under

translation, differentiation and multiplication by polynomials. Its continuous dual is the space of tempered distributions $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Moreover, $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (as well as $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$) is a nuclear Montel space; i.e., a sequence in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ converges w.r.t. the strong dual topology if and only if it converges in the weak* topology. Therefore, it does not actually matter which of the two topologies we choose for the dual space. The Montel property also implies that the space is reflexive, which means that there exists an isomorphism between the topological vector spaces $\mathcal{S}''(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Note that the Lebesgue spaces $L_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for $p \in [1, \infty)$ are the completion of the set $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ under the L_p -norm $\|\cdot\|_{L_p}$. For $p = \infty$, we have $(\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_{L_\infty}) = C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$, namely the space of continuous functions that vanish at infinity. The dual of $C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is the space $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \{f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d) : \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}} < \infty\}$ of bounded Radon measures with norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}} = \sup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) : \|\varphi\|_{L_\infty} \leq 1} \langle f, \varphi \rangle.$$

The latter is a isometrically embedded super set of $L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$; i.e., $\|f\|_{L_1} = \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}}$ for all $f \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Further, we also need the weighted Lebesgue space $L_{\infty, \alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\alpha \geq 0$, defined via the weighted norm $\|f\|_{\infty, \alpha} := \text{ess sup}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)|(1 + \|x\|_2)^{-\alpha}$, which consists of functions with at most growth of order α .

The Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}: L_1(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of a function $\varphi \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is defined as

$$\widehat{\varphi}(\omega) = \mathcal{F}\{\varphi\}(\omega) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(\mathbf{x}) e^{-j\langle \omega, \mathbf{x} \rangle} d\mathbf{x}.$$

As the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is an isomorphism, it can be extended by duality to the tempered distributions $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Specifically, $\widehat{f} \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is the (unique) *generalized Fourier transform* of $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ if and only if $\langle \widehat{f}, \varphi \rangle = \langle f, \widehat{\varphi} \rangle$ for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Further, we want to remark that the analytic Schwartz functions form a dense subset of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, which can be seen as follows. As the smooth and compactly supported functions $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ are dense in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we also get that $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ is dense in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Due to the Paley-Wiener theorem, the Fourier transform of any $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is analytic and even entire. Hence, these functions are also dense.

The simplest way of specifying fractional derivatives or integrals is to describe their action in the Fourier domain. Let us start with $d = 1$. The one-dimensional fractional derivative $D^\alpha: \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$ of order $\alpha \geq 0$ is defined as

$$D^\alpha\{\varphi\}(t) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\{(j\omega)^\alpha \widehat{\varphi}(\omega)\}(t).$$

For $\alpha = n \in \mathbb{N}$, $D^n = \frac{d^n}{dt^n}$ coincides with the classical n -th order derivative. The above formula is also valid for negative orders, in which case it yields a fractional integral [34]. In fact, the impulse response of $D^{-\alpha}$ is the Green's function of D^α , which is given by

$$\rho_\alpha(t) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left\{\frac{1}{(j\omega)^{-\alpha}}\right\}(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{t_+^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}, & \alpha - 1 \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \mathbb{N} \\ \frac{\text{sign}(t) t^n}{2^n n!}, & \alpha - 1 = n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

Likewise, the fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}$ of order $\alpha \in (1, \infty)$ is the linear-shift-invariant operator (LSI) whose frequency response is $\|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|^\alpha$. Its inverse is the fractional integrator $(-\Delta)^{-\alpha/2}$, which corresponds to a frequency-domain multiplication by $\|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|^{-\alpha}$. Both type of operators are part of the same family (isotropic LSI and scale-invariant) with their distributional impulse response for $\alpha > d$ being given by

$$k_{\alpha,d}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{\|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|^\alpha} \right\} = \begin{cases} (-\Delta)^{-n} \{\delta\}, & \alpha/2 = n \in \mathbb{N} \\ B_{n,d} \|\mathbf{x}\|^{2n} \ln(\|\mathbf{x}\|), & \alpha - d = 2n \in 2\mathbb{N} \\ A_{\alpha,d} \|\mathbf{x}\|^{\alpha-d}, & \alpha - d \notin 2\mathbb{N} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

with constants $A_{d,\alpha} = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{d-\alpha}{2})}{2^\alpha \pi^{d/2} \Gamma(\frac{\alpha}{2})}$ and $B_{d,n} = \frac{(-1)^{1+n}}{2^{2n+d-1} \pi^{d/2} \Gamma(n+\frac{d}{2}) n!}$. For more detailed exposition on the topic, we refer to [9, 24, 29].

3. Lizorkin spaces

Lizorkin's space $\mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is the closed subspace of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ that consists of the functions whose moments of any order \mathbf{k} are zero; i.e.,

$$\mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \left\{ \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{k}} \varphi(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = 0, \forall \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{N}^d \right\}.$$

A nice overview with properties of this space is given in [31]. Equivalently, we can describe this space in the Fourier domain and obtain

$$\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d)) = \{ \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) : \partial^{\mathbf{k}} \psi(\mathbf{0}) = 0 \quad \forall \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{N}^d \}.$$

Although closed subspaces of reflexive topological vector spaces are in general not reflexive, this property holds for Fréchet spaces. Hence, the spaces $\mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ are reflexive. Further, we have for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}$ that $\varphi(\cdot - \mathbf{x}_0) \in \mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\varphi(\cdot/a) \in \mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Finally, we note that $\mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \{0\}$. Indeed, if $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then $\widehat{\varphi}$ is entire and hence equal to its Taylor series at the origin. But if $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then the Taylor series of $\widehat{\varphi}$ is 0.

We are going to show that $\mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ cannot be complemented in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$; i.e., a continuous projector $P_{\text{Liz}}: \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ cannot exist. Before we prove this negative result, we first discuss the easier case of periodic Lizorkin functions, for which a continuous projection actually exists.

3.1. Periodic Lizorkin spaces

The functions of interest are T -periodic and typically only specified over their main period $\mathbb{T} = [0, T]$. The corresponding space of test functions is $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{T}) = C_{\text{perio}}^\infty(\mathbb{T})$, which is in one-to-one correspondence with the Fréchet space of rapidly-decaying sequences $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z})^1$

¹This space is denoted by “ s ” in [10]; it is the discrete analog of Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$.

via the Fourier homeomorphism [7, 30]. More precisely, there are Fourier coefficients $\widehat{\varphi}[\cdot] \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z})$ such that

$$\varphi(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{\varphi}[n] e^{jn\omega_0 t} \text{ in } \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{T})$$

with $\omega_0 = \frac{2\pi}{T}$. This expansion of φ is unique and $\widehat{\varphi}[n] = \frac{1}{T} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \varphi(t) e^{-jn\omega_0 t} dt$. The continuous dual of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{T})$ is the space of periodic distributions $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{T}) = \mathcal{S}'_{\text{perio}}(\mathbb{R})$, which is itself homeomorphic to the space of slowly-growing sequences $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{Z})$; i.e., $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{T}) \Leftrightarrow \widehat{f}[\cdot] \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{Z})$, where $\widehat{f}[n]$ denotes the n -th Fourier coefficient of f .

To ensure invertibility of the continuous fractional derivative operator $D^\alpha: \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{T})$ given by

$$D^\alpha\{\varphi\}(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (jn\omega_0)^\alpha \widehat{\varphi}[n] e^{jn\omega_0 t}, \quad (2)$$

we restrict ourselves to the subspace

$$\mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{T}) = \left\{ \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{T}) : \langle 1, \varphi \rangle_{\mathbb{T}} = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \varphi(t) dt = 0 \right\}, \quad (3)$$

which inherits the nuclear topology from $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{T})$. While (3) imposes a restriction on the mean value of φ only, the resulting space $\mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{T})$ is the proper periodic counterpart of the Lizorkin space $\mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R})$, since the only periodic polynomials are constants. The periodic setting is simpler in that $\mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{T})$ is 1-complemented in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{T})$; i.e., $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{T}) = \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{T}) \oplus \mathcal{P}_0$ with

$$\mathcal{P}_0 = \{b_0 \cdot 1 : b_0 \in \mathbb{R}\} \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{T}).$$

Correspondingly, we introduce a continuous projection operator $P_0: \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{T})$ with

$$P_0\{\phi\} = \phi - \langle 1, \phi \rangle_{\mathbb{T}} 1. \quad (4)$$

As $\mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{T}) = P_0(\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{T}))$, its dual is $\mathcal{S}'_0(\mathbb{T}) = P_0^*(\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{T}))$ with $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{T}) = \mathcal{S}'_0(\mathbb{T}) \oplus \mathcal{P}_0$. Here, we can identify $\mathcal{P}'_0 = \mathcal{P}_0$ because the space is spanned by $1 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{T}) \subset \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{T})$ with $\langle 1, 1 \rangle_{\mathbb{T}} = 1$. The latter property also implies that $P_0^* = P_0$, which makes the projection formula (4) also applicable to periodic distributions.

As the space \mathcal{P}_0 of constant polynomials is indeed the null space of all fractional derivative operators $D^\alpha: \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{T})$ with $\alpha > 0$, we can restrict the derivative to an homeomorphism $D^\alpha: \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{T})$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. By duality, the same holds true on $\mathcal{S}'_0(\mathbb{T})$ with the Fourier-domain definition (2) of the operator being applicable to periodic distributions as well. In particular, the fractional integrator $D^{-\alpha}: \mathcal{S}'_0(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'_0(\mathbb{T})$ of order $\alpha \geq 0$ is given by

$$D^{-\alpha}\{f\}(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{1}{(jn\omega_0)^\alpha} \widehat{f}[n] e^{jn\omega_0 t}. \quad (5)$$

3.2. Non-existence of a continuous projector $P_{\text{Liz}}: \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$

To prove the non-existence of a continuous linear projection onto the Lizorkin space $\mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we first show that the closed set $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is not complemented in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Theorem 1. *There exists no topological complement of $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$.*

Proof. Assume there is a complement; i.e., a continuous projector $P: \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ exists. We consider $\widehat{\delta}_h \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $h \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and set $p_h := P\{\widehat{\delta}_h\} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Using relations such as

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\delta_{he_j} - \delta_0}{h} = -\nabla_{e_j} \delta_0$$

and similarly for higher derivatives, we observe that $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset \overline{\text{span}\{\widehat{\delta}_h\}_{\|h\| \leq 1}}$, where all equalities are in the sense of distributions. Since P is a continuous projection onto $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, this implies

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset \overline{\text{span}\{p_h\}_{\|h\| \leq 1}}.$$

In the following, we show that the polynomials p_h with $\|h\|_2 \leq 1$ have a common maximum degree m , which results in the contradiction $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset \mathcal{P}_m(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

If no common maximum exists, then there is a sequence $\{h_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $\|h_n\|_2 \leq 1$ such that $\{p_{h_n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of polynomials with unbounded degree. By passing to a subsequence, we can assume that $h_n \rightarrow h$ for some $h \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $\|h\| \leq 1$. Due to continuity of P and of the Fourier transform, we also have $\widehat{p_{h_n}} \rightarrow \widehat{p_h}$ in the sense of distributions. Setting $p_{h_n} = \sum_{j=0}^{m_n} a_{j,n} x^j$ with $m_n \rightarrow \infty$ and $a_{m_n,n} \neq 0$, this can be written as

$$\sum_{j=0}^{m_n} (-2\pi j)^j a_{j,n} \frac{\partial^j}{\partial \xi^j} \delta_0 \rightarrow \widehat{p_h}.$$

Dropping again to a subsequence, we can assume that m_n is monotonically increasing. Using Borel's theorem, we then pick $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\frac{\partial^{m_n}}{\partial \xi^{m_n}} \varphi(0) = (a_{m_n,n})^{-1} C_n$, where C_n is chosen such that

$$\left| \sum_{j=0}^{m_n} (-2\pi j)^j a_{j,n} \frac{\partial^j}{\partial \xi^j} \varphi(0) \right| \geq n.$$

Hence, $\widehat{p_{h_n}}(\varphi) \rightarrow \infty$, which contradicts $\widehat{p_{h_n}} \rightarrow \widehat{p_h} \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Consequently, all p_h with $\|h\| \leq 1$ have a common maximum degree m . \square

Remark 1. *The previous theorem also shows that there is no continuous linear projection $P: \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\ker P = \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Otherwise, we would have that $\text{Id} - P$ is a continuous projector onto $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. In particular, representatives of Lizorkin distributions cannot be assigned in a continuous linear way.*

Now, the desired non-existence result follows immediately.

Corollary 1. *There exists no topological complement of $\mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.*

Proof. On the contrary, let us assume that a continuous linear projection $P_{\text{Liz}}: \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ exists. Then, the adjoint map $P_{\text{Liz}}^*: \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a projection as well. Due to

$$\langle P_{\text{Liz}}^*\{f\}, \varphi \rangle = \langle f, P_{\text{Liz}}\{\varphi\} \rangle$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, its null space is then given by $\ker P_{\text{Liz}}^* = \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Hence, $\text{Id} - P_{\text{Liz}}^*$ would be a projection onto $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, which contradicts Theorem 1. \square

3.3. Closure of Lizorkin space

Despite the negative finding of Section 3.2, we are also able to provide a useful result for applications.

Theorem 2. *It holds $\overline{(\mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_\infty)} = C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$.*

We note that the result was already mentioned in [22], but without a proof.

Proof. Using the function $\tilde{\varphi}_0: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\tilde{\varphi}_0(\mathbf{x}) = \exp(-1/(1 - (2\|\mathbf{x}\|)^2))/n_d$ (n_d is the normalizing constant) for $\|\mathbf{x}\| < 1/2$ and zero else, we define $\varphi_0: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, 1]$ via $\varphi(\mathbf{x}) = (\chi_{B_1(0)} * \tilde{\varphi}_0)(2\mathbf{x})$ with $\chi_{B_1(0)}$ being the characteristic function of the unit ball. This function is smooth, symmetric and satisfies $\varphi(\mathbf{x}) = 1$ for $|\mathbf{x}| \leq 1/4$ and $\varphi(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ for $|\mathbf{x}| \geq 3/4$. Based on this function, we define

$$\phi_{\mathbf{n}} = \frac{\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{n}}}{\mathbf{n}!} \varphi_0 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^d$$

and set

$$E_2 = \overline{\text{span}\{\phi_{\mathbf{n}} : \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^d\}} \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Next, we observe that $\hat{\phi}_{\mathbf{n}} - i^{-|\mathbf{n}|-d} \hat{\phi}_{\mathbf{n}}(\cdot/i) \rightarrow \hat{\phi}_{\mathbf{n}} \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$, where the sequences have all moments equal to zero. Hence, we have that

$$\hat{E}_2 \in \overline{(\mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_\infty)}.$$

To conclude the argument, we show that $\hat{\mathcal{S}}_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d) + E_2$ contains the entire Schwartz functions, so that its closure under the Schwartz topology is already the complete space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then, the same holds true for $\mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d) + \hat{E}_2$ and consequently we get

$$\overline{(\mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_\infty)} = \overline{(\mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d) + \hat{E}_2, \|\cdot\|_\infty)} = \overline{(\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_\infty)} = C_0(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

For any entire function f , the Taylor series converges absolutely for any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and it holds

$$g := f\varphi_0 = \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^d} \partial^{\mathbf{n}} f(\mathbf{0}) \phi_{\mathbf{n}} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Hence, we get that $f - g \in \hat{\mathcal{S}}_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and it remains to show that $g \in E_2$; i.e., that

$$g_j = \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^d, |\mathbf{n}| < j} \partial^{\mathbf{n}} f(\mathbf{0}) \phi_{\mathbf{n}} \rightarrow g$$

in the Schwartz topology. For any $\alpha, \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{N}^d$, it holds

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathbf{x}^\alpha \partial^{\mathbf{k}}(g - g_j)\|_\infty &\leq \sup_{|\mathbf{x}| \leq 3/4} |\mathbf{x}^\alpha| \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^d, |\mathbf{n}| \geq j} \left| \frac{\partial^{\mathbf{n}} f(\mathbf{0})}{\mathbf{n}!} \partial^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{n}} \varphi_0(\mathbf{x})) \right| \\
&\leq \sup_{|\mathbf{x}| \leq 3/4} \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^d, |\mathbf{n}| \geq j} |\partial^{\mathbf{n}} f(\mathbf{0})| \sum_{\mathbf{k}_1 \leq \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{n}} \binom{\mathbf{k}}{\mathbf{k}_1} \frac{|\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{k}_1}|}{(\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{k}_1)!} |\partial^{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}_1} \varphi_0(\mathbf{x})| \\
&\leq C \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^d, |\mathbf{n}| \geq j} |\partial^{\mathbf{n}} f(\mathbf{0})| \sum_{\mathbf{k}_1 \leq \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{n}} \binom{\mathbf{k}}{\mathbf{k}_1} \frac{1}{(\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{k}_1)!} \\
&\leq C \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^d, |\mathbf{n}| \geq j} |\partial^{\mathbf{n}} f(\mathbf{0})| \frac{\mathbf{n}^{\mathbf{k}}}{\mathbf{n}!}.
\end{aligned}$$

The last expression converges to zero as $j \rightarrow \infty$ if

$$1 < \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{|\mathbf{n}|=j} \frac{|\partial^{\mathbf{n}} f(\mathbf{0})|}{\mathbf{n}!} j^{|\mathbf{k}|} \right)^{-\frac{1}{j}}.$$

However, it holds that $\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} j^{\frac{1}{j}} = 1$ and hence the claim follows since the Taylor expansion converges absolutely for any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$. \square

By duality, Theorem 2 implies that the Radon measures $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ are continuously embedded into the space of Lizorkin distributions $\mathcal{S}'_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Remark 2. In [22], it was shown that the same results hold for $L_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $1 \leq p < \infty$.

4. Banach subspaces of Lizorkin distributions

In contrast to the periodic case, the space of Lizorkin distributions $\mathcal{S}'_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is an abstract space of equivalence classes, which means that assigning representatives for computational purposes is difficult. Therefore, we want to restrict our attention to subspaces with additional structure. As our proposed framework is also applicable for other spaces, we outline it in full generality and explicitly provide the specifications for Lizorkin spaces as discussion.

Let $\mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2$ be two topological vector spaces with a linear homeomorphism $T: \mathcal{S}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_2$ and $T^*: \mathcal{S}'_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'_1$ defined via duality. The simplest choice for constructing Banach subspaces of Lizorkin distributions is actually $\mathcal{S}_1 = \mathcal{S}_2 = \mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, but variations of this setting are clearly possible. In the Lizorkin setting, a possible operator choice is given by the fractional Laplacian $T = (-\Delta)^\alpha$, which are discussed as first example in Section 4.1. Further, let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}')$ be a dual pair of Banach spaces whose norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{X}}$ is continuous w.r.t. the topology of \mathcal{S}_1 such that $(\mathcal{S}_1, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{X}}) = \mathcal{X}$. For $\mathcal{S}_1 = \mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we have seen in the previous section that $\mathcal{X} = L_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $p \in [1, \infty)$, and $\mathcal{X} = C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ are

possible choices, as their norms are indeed compatible with the Schwartz topology. The denseness enables us to write

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{X}'} = \sup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{X}: \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq 1} \langle f, \varphi \rangle = \sup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_1: \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq 1} \langle f, \varphi \rangle \quad (6)$$

for any $f \in \mathcal{X}'$. Given any $f \in \mathcal{S}'_1$ for which (6) is finite, the BLT theorem implies that there exists a unique continuous extension to some element in \mathcal{X}' . Conversely, any $f \in \mathcal{X}'$ defines a unique element in \mathcal{S}'_1 via restriction due to the compatibility of the norm and the topology.

In this setting, we define the abstract space

$$\mathcal{X}'_{\mathbb{T}} = \{f \in \mathcal{S}'_2 : \|\mathbb{T}^*\{f\}\|_{\mathcal{X}'} < \infty\} = \{\mathbb{T}^{-*}\{g\} \in \mathcal{S}'_2 : g \in \mathcal{X}'\},$$

which is a Banach space if equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{X}'_{\mathbb{T}}} := \|\mathbb{T}^*\{\cdot\}\|_{\mathcal{X}'}$. In particular, by choosing $\mathcal{S}_2 = \mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we can construct a subspace of Lizorkin distributions and equip it with a Banach space structure. The norm of $\mathcal{X}'_{\mathbb{T}}$ can be rewritten in dual form as

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\mathcal{X}'_{\mathbb{T}}} &= \sup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_1: \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq 1} \langle \mathbb{T}^*\{f\}, \varphi \rangle = \sup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_2: \|\mathbb{T}^{-1}\{\varphi\}\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq 1} \langle \mathbb{T}^*\{f\}, \mathbb{T}^{-1}\{\varphi\} \rangle \\ &= \sup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_2: \|\mathbb{T}^{-1}\{\varphi\}\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq 1} \langle f, \mathbb{T}\mathbb{T}^{-1}\{\varphi\} \rangle = \sup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_2: \|\mathbb{T}^{-1}\{\varphi\}\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq 1} \langle f, \varphi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, using again the BLT theorem, any $f \in \mathcal{X}'_{\mathbb{T}}$ can be extended to a continuous operator with domain

$$\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{T}} := \overline{(\mathcal{S}_2, \|\mathbb{T}^{-1}\{\cdot\}\|_{\mathcal{X}})},$$

which is identified as a predual of $\mathcal{X}'_{\mathbb{T}}$ since \mathbb{T}^{-1} is continuous. Further, the operator \mathbb{T}^{-1} can be extended to a continuous and surjective operator $\overline{\mathbb{T}^{-1}}: \mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$. Now, it holds that $\langle f, \varphi \rangle = \langle g, \overline{\mathbb{T}^{-1}}\{\varphi\} \rangle$ for any $f = \mathbb{T}^{-*}\{g\}$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{T}}$. Hence, weak* convergence of a sequence $f_n = \mathbb{T}^{-*}\{g_n\}$ to $f = \mathbb{T}^{-*}\{g\}$ is equivalent to weak* convergence of g_n to g .

Let us discuss the case of Lizorkin distributions with $\mathcal{S}_1 = \mathcal{S}_2 = \mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ in more detail now. To simplify the notation, we stick to $\mathcal{X} = C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$, but the same argumentation applies to $L_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$. For the remainder of the section, we use the more specific notations

$$\mathcal{S}'_{\text{Liz}, \mathbb{T}}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \{\mathbb{T}^{-*}\{\mu\} \in \mathcal{S}'_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d) : \mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^d)\}$$

and $\mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz}, \mathbb{T}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for the predual, respectively. At first glance, the task of finding representatives for this subspace is as difficult as before because we are still dealing with elements in $\mathcal{S}'_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. To resolve this issue, let us assume that there exist continuous elements $\rho_{\mathbb{T}, \mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x}) := \mathbb{T}^{-*}\{\delta(\cdot - \mathbf{y})\} \in C(\mathbb{R}^d)$ in each equivalence class. Then, we conclude for any

$f = T^{-*}\{\mu\} \in \mathcal{S}'_{\text{Liz},T}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T^{-*}\{\mu\}, \varphi \rangle &= \langle \mu, T^{-1}\{\varphi\} \rangle \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} T^{-1}\{\varphi\}(\mathbf{y}) d\mu(\mathbf{y}) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle T^{-*}\{\delta(\cdot - \mathbf{y})\}, \varphi \rangle d\mu(\mathbf{y}) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\rho_{T,\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x}) - p_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x})) \varphi(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} d\mu(\mathbf{y}), \end{aligned}$$

where the polynomials $p_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ are added to ensure the following properties: First, the kernel $h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \rho_{T,\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x}) - p_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x})$ must be bi-continuous and bounded for some $g \in L_{\infty,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\alpha \geq 0$, and every $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ by

$$|h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})| \leq g(\|\mathbf{x}\|)$$

Second, we require that $h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \rightarrow 0$ for fixed $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\|\mathbf{y}\| \rightarrow \infty$. Using Fubini's theorem and the growth control, we can then identify the distribution $T^{-*}\{\mu\} \in \mathcal{S}'_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ as the continuous function $f(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_{T,\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x}) - p_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x}) d\mu(\mathbf{y})$. Due to the growth bound, this function corresponds to a unique distribution in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We collect these observations together with a few properties in the next theorem.

Theorem 3. *Assume that the Schwartz kernel $h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \rho_{T,\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x}) - p_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x})$ is bi-continuous and bounded for every $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ by*

$$|h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})| \leq g(\|\mathbf{x}\|),$$

for some $g \in L_{\infty,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\alpha \geq 0$. Then, any element $f = T^{-}\{\mu\} \in \mathcal{S}'_{\text{Liz},T}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ can be identified as the continuous function*

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_{T,\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x}) - p_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x}) d\mu(\mathbf{y}),$$

with bounded growth; i.e., $|f(\mathbf{x})| \leq |\mu|(\mathbb{R}^d)g(\|\mathbf{x}\|)$. In particular, we get that the operator $P_{\text{Liz},T}: \mathcal{S}'_{\text{Liz},T}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_{\infty,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $T^{-}\{\mu\} \mapsto f$ assigning the representatives is linear and continuous. Moreover, if $e(\mathbf{x}, \cdot) \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for every $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, then the point evaluations for the representatives are in the predual $\mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz},T}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.*

Proof. We have already shown in the preceding discussion that this is indeed a representative. The growth bound and the continuity of $P_{\text{Liz},T}$ follow immediately from the bound on $h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$.

Next, we show that point evaluations are weak* continuous. Let $f_n = T^{-*}\{\mu_n\}$ be a weak* convergent sequence with limit $f = T^{-*}\{\mu\}$; i.e., μ_n converges weakly to μ as a measure. Due to the requirement $e(\mathbf{x}, \cdot) \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we directly get that the evaluation functionals are weak* continuous. To conclude the argument, we recall that the only weak* continuous linear functionals on $\mathcal{S}'_{\text{Liz},T}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ are the elements of $\mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz},T}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, see [20, Thm. IV.20]. \square

While this construction does not cover all Lizorkin distributions, we discuss two interesting examples, which will then be used in Section 5.2 to revisit representer theorems for certain problems.

Remark 3. *The same argumentations and constructions can be applied if \mathcal{S}_1 consists of even or odd (hyperspherical) Lizorkin functions. This setting is actually required for one of our examples.*

4.1. Example 1: Fractional Laplacians

Here, we choose the spaces as $\mathcal{S}_1 = \mathcal{S}_2 = \mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\mathcal{X} = C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with \mathbb{T} being the fractional Laplacian described in Section 2, which is self-adjoint. Specifically, $(-\Delta)^\alpha: \mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ with $(-\Delta)^{-\alpha}(-\Delta)^\alpha = \text{Id}$ on $\mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. First, we note that the required density result was already established in Theorem 2. According to these choices, $\mathcal{X}'_{\mathbb{T}}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{M}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d) = \{(-\Delta)^{-\alpha/2}\mu \in \mathcal{S}'_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d) : \mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^d)\},$$

with predual space $C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d) := \overline{(\mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d), \|(-\Delta)^{-\alpha/2} \cdot\|_{L^\infty})}$.

By applying Theorem 3, we can get a representation operator for $\alpha > d$ and $\alpha - d \notin \mathbb{N}$: Let $\rho_{\text{Liz},\alpha} := (-\Delta)^{-\alpha/2}\{\delta\} \in \mathcal{M}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with a concrete continuous representation given by (1). Using this representation, we then obtain $\rho_{\text{Liz},\alpha}(\cdot - \mathbf{x}_k) = (-\Delta)^{-\alpha/2}\{\delta(\cdot - \mathbf{x}_k)\}$. Now, we have to show that there exist polynomials $p_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that the kernel $h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \rho_{\text{Liz},\alpha}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) - p_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x})$ fulfills the requirements. Based on (1), we construct for $\mathbf{y} \neq \mathbf{0}$ the polynomial $\tilde{p}_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x}) = T_{\lceil \alpha - d - 1 \rceil}\{\rho_{\text{Liz},\alpha}(\cdot - \mathbf{y})\}(\mathbf{x})$ with $T_{\lceil \alpha - d - 1 \rceil}$ being the Taylor expansion of order $\lceil \alpha - d - 1 \rceil$ around $\mathbf{0}$. For this function, we can bound the kernel $\tilde{h}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \rho_{\text{Liz},\alpha}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) - \tilde{p}_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x})$ by

$$|\tilde{h}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})| \leq C\|\mathbf{x}\|^{\lceil \alpha - d \rceil} \sup_{t \in [0,1], |\mathbf{k}| = \lceil \alpha - d \rceil} \|\partial^{\mathbf{k}} \rho_{\text{Liz},\alpha}(t\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})\|_2.$$

For any fixed $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we then use our estimates from Proposition 2 in the appendix to conclude that $|\tilde{h}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})| \leq C\|\mathbf{x}\|^{\alpha-d}$ if $\|\mathbf{y}\| \geq \|\mathbf{x}\| + 1$ and $\tilde{e}(\mathbf{x}, \cdot) \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for every $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Next, apply a smooth function $\chi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\chi(t) = 0$ if $|t| \leq 1$ and $\chi(t) = 1$ if $|t| \geq 2$ to define a bi-continuous (both in \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y}) function $\mathbf{x} \mapsto p_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x}) = \chi(\|\mathbf{y}\|)\tilde{p}_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Now, we can bound the kernel $h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ using the bound for $\tilde{h}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ by

$$|h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})| \leq \max\left\{\max_{\|\mathbf{y}\| \leq \|\mathbf{x}\| + 2} \rho_{\text{Liz},\alpha}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) + |p_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x})|, C\|\mathbf{x}\|^{\alpha-d}\right\} \leq C(\|\mathbf{x}\| + 2)^{\alpha-d},$$

where we used Proposition 2 to estimate

$$\max_{\|\mathbf{y}\| \leq \|\mathbf{x}\| + 2} |p_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x})| \leq \max_{\|\mathbf{y}\| \leq \|\mathbf{x}\| + 2} \sum_{|\mathbf{k}| \leq \lceil \alpha - d - 1 \rceil} \frac{1}{\mathbf{k}!} |\partial^{\mathbf{k}} \rho_{\text{Liz},\alpha}(-\mathbf{y})| \|\mathbf{x}\|^{|\mathbf{k}|} \leq C(\|\mathbf{x}\| + 2)^{\alpha-d}.$$

Consequently, we can indeed apply Theorem 3 to obtain the desired representations. The results of this section are summarized in the following corollary.

Corollary 2. *There exists a continuous representation operator $P_{\text{Liz},\alpha}: \mathcal{M}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_{\infty,\alpha-d}(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $(-\Delta)^{-\alpha/2}\{\mu\} \mapsto f$. Further, the point evaluations for these representatives are in the predual $C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)$.*

4.2. Example 2: Radon domain splines

In this example, we work with certain hyperspherical counterparts of the Lizorkin space $\mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ described in Section 2; i.e., the Euclidean indexing with $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is replaced by $(t, \boldsymbol{\xi}) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and we distinguish between even and odd functions. We express this distinction with an index $m \in \mathbb{N}$, which simplifies the notation later on, and define

$$\mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz},m}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}) := \left\{ \varphi \in \mathcal{S}_m(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}) : \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \varphi(t, \boldsymbol{\xi}) p(t) dt d\boldsymbol{\xi} = 0 \quad \forall p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}) \right\},$$

where $d\boldsymbol{\xi}$ stands for the surface element on the unit sphere \mathbb{S}^{d-1} . Further, the space $\mathcal{S}_m(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ is defined as the even functions in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ if m is even and the odd ones otherwise. Correspondingly, an element $g \in \mathcal{S}'_{\text{Liz},m}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ is a continuous linear functional on $\mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz},m}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ whose action on the test function ϕ is represented by the duality product $\langle g, \phi \rangle_{\text{Rad}}$. If g can be identified with a function $g: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, then

$$\langle g, \phi \rangle_{\text{Rad}} = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(t, \boldsymbol{\xi}) \phi(t, \boldsymbol{\xi}) dt d\boldsymbol{\xi},$$

The evaluation functional on $\mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ is $\delta_{\mathbf{z}_0} = \delta(\cdot - t_0) \delta(\cdot - \boldsymbol{\xi}_0)$ with $\mathbf{z}_0 = (t_0, \boldsymbol{\xi}_0) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. A brief overview for properties of the Radon transform \mathbf{R} and its filtered version $\mathbf{K}_{\text{rad}}\mathbf{R}$ related to these spaces is given in Appendix B. In particular, it holds that both \mathbf{R} and $\mathbf{K}_{\text{rad}}\mathbf{R}$ are homeomorphisms. Next, we briefly review Lizorkin ridges, which play a key role for the construction of representatives.

Lizorkin ridges The 1D profile (or ridge) along the direction $\boldsymbol{\xi}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ associated to $r \in \mathcal{S}'_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R})$ is the distribution $r_{\boldsymbol{\xi}_0} \in \mathcal{S}'_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ given by

$$\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d) : \quad \langle r_{\boldsymbol{\xi}_0}, \varphi \rangle = \langle r, \mathbf{R}\{\varphi\}(\cdot, \boldsymbol{\xi}_0) \rangle.$$

The most basic ridge is $\delta(\boldsymbol{\xi}_0^\top \mathbf{x} - t_0) := r_{\boldsymbol{\xi}_0}$ with $r = \delta(\cdot - t_0)$; i.e., a Dirac ridge along $\boldsymbol{\xi}_0$ with offset t_0 . Since the Fourier transform of such ridges is entirely localized along the ray $\{\boldsymbol{\omega} = \omega \boldsymbol{\xi}_0 : \omega \in \mathbb{R}\}$, their Radon transform must vanish away from $\pm \boldsymbol{\xi}_0$. This is generalized and formalized as follows.

Proposition 1 (Radon transform of Lizorkin ridges). *Let $(t_0, \boldsymbol{\xi}_0) = \mathbf{z}_0 \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and $r \in \mathcal{S}'_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R})$. Then,*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{K}_{\text{rad}}\mathbf{R}\{\delta(\boldsymbol{\xi}_0^\top \mathbf{x} - t_0)\}(t, \boldsymbol{\xi}) &= \text{P}_{\text{even}}\{\delta_{\mathbf{z}_0}\} \in \mathcal{S}'_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}) \\ \mathbf{R}\{\delta(\boldsymbol{\xi}_0^\top \mathbf{x})\}(t, \boldsymbol{\xi}) &= \text{P}_{\text{even}}\{q_d(t)\delta(\cdot - \boldsymbol{\xi}_0)\} \in \mathcal{S}'_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}) \\ \mathbf{K}_{\text{rad}}\mathbf{R}\{r(\boldsymbol{\xi}_0^\top \mathbf{x})\}(t, \boldsymbol{\xi}) &= \text{P}_{\text{even}}\{r(t)\delta(\cdot - \boldsymbol{\xi}_0)\} \in \mathcal{S}'_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}) \\ \mathbf{R}\{r(\boldsymbol{\xi}_0^\top \mathbf{x})\}(t, \boldsymbol{\xi}) &= \text{P}_{\text{even}}\{(q_d * r)(t)\delta(\cdot - \boldsymbol{\xi}_0)\} \in \mathcal{S}'_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}) \end{aligned}$$

where $q_d(t) = 2(2\pi)^{d-1}\mathcal{F}^{-1}\{1/|\omega|^{d-1}\}(t)$ is the 1D impulse response of the Radon-domain inverse filtering operator $\mathbf{K}_{\text{rad}}^{-1}$. Here, the first distribution can be identified as an even measure.

Proof. For any $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz},0}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ it holds $\mathbf{R}\mathbf{R}^*\mathbf{K}_{\text{rad}}\{\varphi\} = \varphi$ and therefore also

$$\langle \mathbf{K}_{\text{rad}}\mathbf{R}\{r_{\xi_0}\}, \varphi \rangle = \langle r_{\xi_0}, \mathbf{R}^*\mathbf{K}_{\text{rad}}\{\varphi\} \rangle = \langle r, \mathbf{R}\mathbf{R}^*\mathbf{K}_{\text{rad}}\{\varphi\}(\cdot, \xi_0) \rangle = \langle r, \varphi(\cdot, \xi_0) \rangle.$$

from which the first and third identity follow. In a similar way, we obtain for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz},0}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ that

$$\langle \mathbf{R}\{r_{\xi_0}\}, \varphi \rangle = \langle r, \mathbf{R}\mathbf{R}^*\{\varphi\}(\cdot, \xi_0) \rangle = \langle r, \mathbf{K}_{\text{rad}}^{-1}\{\varphi\}(\cdot, \xi_0) \rangle,$$

from which the second and fourth identity follow as $\mathbf{K}_{\text{rad}}^{-1}\{\varphi\}(t, \xi_0) = q_d * \varphi(\cdot, \xi_0)(t)$. \square

An equivalent form of the first identity in Proposition 1 is

$$\delta(\xi_0^\top \mathbf{x} - t_0) = \mathbf{R}^*\mathbf{P}_{\text{even}}\{\delta_{z_0}\}(\mathbf{x}),$$

which results from $\mathbf{R}^*\mathbf{K}_{\text{rad}}\mathbf{R} = \text{Id}$ on $\mathcal{S}'_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Note that also the other identities can be rewritten in a similar form.

Constructing Radon splines In this example, we choose the spaces for constructing the Banach subspaces as $\mathcal{S}_1 = \mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz},m}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1})$, $\mathcal{S}_2 = \mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\mathcal{X} = C_{0,m}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, where the last space consists of even and odd continuous functions vanishing at infinity, respectively. Next, we recall that the derivative operator $\partial_t^m : \mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz},m}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz},0}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ is self-adjoint and a homeomorphism, whose inverse can be constructed by iterating $\partial_t^{-1}\{\varphi\}(t, \xi) = \int_t^\infty \varphi(r, \xi) dr$. Then, we choose $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{R}^*\mathbf{K}_{\text{rad}}\partial_t^m$ such that the dual $\mathbf{T}^* = \partial_t^m\mathbf{K}_{\text{rad}}\mathbf{R}$ is the concatenation of the filtered projection $\mathbf{K}_{\text{rad}}\mathbf{R} : \mathcal{S}'_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'_{\text{Liz},0}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ with the partial derivative ∂_t^m . To begin, we show the required density result for constructing the spaces related to Theorem 3, which also applies in this hyperspherical setting, as pointed out in Remark 3.

Lemma 1. *It holds that*

$$\overline{(\mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz},m}(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_\infty)} = C_{0,m}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \begin{cases} C_{0,\text{even}}(\mathbb{R}^d) & \text{if } m \text{ is even,} \\ C_{0,\text{odd}}(\mathbb{R}^d) & \text{if } m \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. By using the Stone–Weierstrass theorem and the continuity of the projection onto even and odd functions, respectively, we first get that $\overline{C_{0,m}(\mathbb{R}) \times C(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})} = C_{0,m}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then, we conclude from Theorem 2 that $\mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz},m}(\mathbb{R}) \times C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}) \subset \mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz},m}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ is dense in $C_{0,m}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. \square

According to these choices, our Banach space $\mathcal{X}'_{\mathbf{T}}$ with smoothness exponent m is given by

$$\mathcal{M}_{\text{Rad},m}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \{\mathbf{R}^*\partial_t^{-m}\{\mu\} \in \mathcal{S}'_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d) : \mu \in \mathcal{M}_m(\mathbb{R}^d)\}$$

with predual $C_{\text{Rad},m}(\mathbb{R}^d) := \overline{(\mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\partial_t^{-m} \mathbb{R}\{\cdot\}\|_{L_\infty})}$. In the following, we show that Theorem 3 can be applied for $m \geq 2$ to obtain continuous representations of elements in $\mathcal{M}_{\text{Rad},m}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Define

$$\rho_{\text{Rad},m}(x) = \max\{0, x\}^{m-1}/(m-1)! \quad (7)$$

Then, as shown in Proposition 1, $\rho_{\text{Rad},m}(\langle \cdot, \boldsymbol{\xi}_0 \rangle - t_0)$ with $\mathbf{z}_0 = (t_0, \boldsymbol{\xi}_0) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ is an element of the equivalence class

$$\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{R}^* \mathbb{P}_{\text{even}} \{\rho_{\text{Rad},m}(\cdot - t_0) \delta(\cdot - \boldsymbol{\xi}_0)\} = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{R}^* \partial_t^{-m} \{\delta_{\mathbf{z}_0} \pm \delta_{-\mathbf{z}_0}\} \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{Rad},m}(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

where the sign depends on m . Now, we have to show that there are polynomials $p_{t,\boldsymbol{\xi}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that the kernel $h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) = \rho_{\text{Rad},m}(\langle \mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle - t) - p_{t,\boldsymbol{\xi}}(\mathbf{x})$ with $\mathbf{z} = (t, \boldsymbol{\xi})$ fulfills the requirements. As m is a natural number, no Taylor expansion is necessary and we can provide the correcting family of polynomials directly. More precisely, we set

$$p_{t,\mathbf{x}i} := \max\{0, \min\{-t, 1\}\} (\langle \cdot, \mathbf{x}i \rangle - t)^{m-1}/(m-1)! \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

which indeed ensures that $\rho_{\text{Rad},m}(\langle \mathbf{x}, \cdot \rangle - \cdot) - p_{\{\cdot\}}(\mathbf{x}) \in C_0(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ together with $\|\rho_{\text{Rad},m}(\langle \mathbf{x}, \cdot \rangle - \cdot) - p_{\{\cdot\}}(\mathbf{x})\|_\infty \leq C \|\mathbf{x}\|^{m-1}$. Hence, we can indeed apply Theorem 3 to obtain explicit representations.

Corollary 3. *There exists a continuous representation operator $\mathbb{P}_{\text{Rad},m}: \mathcal{M}_{\text{Rad},m}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_{\infty,m-1}(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\mathbb{R}^* \partial_t^{-m} \{\mu\} \mapsto f$. Further, the point evaluations for these representatives are in the predual $C_{\text{Rad},m}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.*

5. Variational problems involving Lizorkin spaces

As a warm up, we first revisit periodic (fractional) splines [6]. For this problem, we do not require a representation operator as the involved spaces in the corresponding variational problem do not consist of equivalence classes. Our chosen approach for dealing with periodic splines is actually applicable to a much broader class of problems; i.e., whenever a continuous projection operator and a suitable extension are available. After this introductory example, we study variational problems where such a projection onto the involved spaces is not available. Here, we focus on problems involving our previously constructed Banach subspaces, which usually only consist of equivalence classes. This makes the situation much more delicate than before and using a representation operator is necessary. Based on this operator, we are able to obtain similar results as before.

5.1. Periodic fractional splines

Here, we use our tools to derive a representer theorem that is an alternative to the one in [6]. To this end, we need the space $C(\mathbb{T}) = \overline{(\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{T}), \|\cdot\|_{L_\infty})}$ of continuous, T -periodic functions. Its topological dual $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T})$ (namely the space of T -periodic Radon measures) can be specified as

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T}) = \{f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{T}) : \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T})} < \infty\} \quad \text{with} \quad \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T})} = \sup_{\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{T}) : \|\phi\|_{L_\infty} \leq 1} \langle f, \phi \rangle.$$

Since the projection formula (4) continuously extends to these spaces, we can decompose them as $C(\mathbb{T}) = C_0(\mathbb{T}) \oplus \mathcal{P}_0$ and $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T}) = \mathcal{M}_0(\mathbb{T}) \oplus \mathcal{P}_0$ with $C_0(\mathbb{T}) = \mathcal{P}_0(C(\mathbb{T}))$ and $\mathcal{M}_0(\mathbb{T}) = C_0(\mathbb{T})' = \mathcal{P}_0^*(\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T}))$. The final ingredient is to identify the projection of the Dirac impulses in $\mathcal{M}_0(\mathbb{T})$.

Theorem 4 (Periodic Lizorkin sampling functionals). *The Lizorkin Dirac functionals $\delta_0(\cdot - t_0) := \mathcal{P}_0^*\{\delta_{\text{perio}}(\cdot - t_0)\}$ with $t_0 \in \mathbb{T}$ have the following properties:*

1. *Explicit representation:* $\delta_0(\cdot - t_0) = \delta_{\text{perio}}(\cdot - t_0) - 1$.
2. *Sampling at t_0 :* $\forall \phi \in C_0(\mathbb{T}) : \langle \delta_0(\cdot - t_0), \phi \rangle = \phi(t_0)$.
3. *Zero mean:* $\langle \delta_0(\cdot - t_0), 1 \rangle = 0$ for all $t_0 \in \mathbb{T}$.
4. *It holds $\delta_0(\cdot - t_0) \in \mathcal{M}_0(\mathbb{T})$ with $\|\delta_0(\cdot - t_0)\|_{\mathcal{M}_0(\mathbb{T})} = 1$ for any $t_0 \in \mathbb{T}$.*
5. *For a finite set of distinct points $\{t_k\}$ in \mathbb{T} , we have $\|\sum_k a_k \delta_0(\cdot - t_k)\|_{\mathcal{M}_0(\mathbb{T})} = \sum_k |a_k|$.*
6. *If $e_k \in \text{Ext}B(\mathcal{M}_0)$, then $e_k = \pm \delta_0(\cdot - t_k)$ for some $t_k \in \mathbb{T}$.*

Proof. The first 3 items follow directly by construction. Now, we prove Item 4. For any $(f, \phi) \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T}) \times C_0(\mathbb{T})$, it holds

$$\langle \mathcal{P}_0^*\{f\}, \phi \rangle = \langle f, \mathcal{P}_0\{\phi\} \rangle = \langle f, \phi \rangle.$$

In particular, $\langle \delta_0(\cdot - t_0), \phi \rangle = \langle \delta_{\text{perio}}(\cdot - t_0), \phi \rangle$. By definition of the dual norm, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\delta_0(\cdot - t_0)\|_{\mathcal{M}_0} &= \sup_{\phi \in C_0(\mathbb{T}) : \|\phi\|_{L_\infty} \leq 1} \langle \delta_0(\cdot - t_0), \phi \rangle = \sup_{\phi \in C_0(\mathbb{T}) : \|\phi\|_{L_\infty} \leq 1} \langle \delta_{\text{perio}}(\cdot - t_0), \phi \rangle \\ &\leq \sup_{\phi \in C(\mathbb{T}) : \|\phi\|_{L_\infty} \leq 1} \langle \delta_{\text{perio}}(\cdot - t_0), \phi \rangle = \|\delta_{\text{perio}}(\cdot - t_0)\|_{\mathcal{M}} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we show that this bound is sharp by fixing $0 < \epsilon < T/2$ and choosing a test function

$$\phi_{\epsilon, \text{perio}}(\cdot - t_0) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi_0\left(\frac{\cdot + nT - t_0}{\epsilon}\right),$$

here $\varphi_0 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [-1, 1]$ is continuous with $\varphi_0(0) = 1$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_0(t) dt = 0$ and $\text{supp}(\varphi_0) \subset [-1, 1]$. Then, the statement follows as it holds $\phi_{\epsilon, \text{perio}}(t_0) = 1$ and $\|\phi_{\epsilon, \text{perio}}\|_{L_\infty} \leq 1$. Similarly, for Item 5, we first observe that

$$\sup_{\phi \in C_0(\mathbb{T}) : \|\phi\|_{L_\infty} \leq 1} \left\langle \sum_k a_k \delta_0(\cdot - t_k), \phi \right\rangle = \left\| \sum_k a_k \delta_0(\cdot - t_k) \right\|_{\mathcal{M}_0(\mathbb{T})} \leq \sum_k |a_k|$$

by the triangle inequality. Since the t_k 's are distinct, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ with $|t_k - t_{k'}| > 2\epsilon$ for all $k' \neq k$. Then, we take the critical function $\phi_{\text{crit}}(t) = \sum_k \text{sign}(a_k) \phi_{\epsilon, \text{perio}}(t - t_k)$ satisfying $\|\phi_{\text{crit}}\|_{L_\infty} = 1$, which saturates the bound.

The extreme points of the unit ball of $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T})$ are $\{\pm \delta(\cdot - t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{T}}$. These project into $\{\pm \delta_0(\cdot - t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{T}}$ with $\|\delta_0(\cdot - t)\|_{\mathcal{M}_0} = 1$. Then, we apply Proposition 5 in Appendix C with $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{P}_0^*(\mathcal{X})$ and $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T})$. \square

Now, we are able to formulate the approximation problem. Given a series of (possibly noisy) data points $(y_m, t_m) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}$ with $m = 1, \dots, M$, we consider the task of reconstructing a periodic function $f: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(t_1) \approx y_1, \dots, f(t_M) = y_M$ without overfitting. Since this problem is inherently ill-posed, we put a penalty on the \mathcal{M} -norm of $D^\alpha\{f\}$ in order to favor solutions with “sparse” α -th derivatives. The corresponding native space is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}^\alpha(\mathbb{T}) &= \{f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{T}) : \|D^\alpha\{f\}\|_{\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T})} < \infty\} \\ &= \{f = D^{-\alpha}\{w\} + p_0 : (w, p_0) \in \mathcal{M}_0(\mathbb{T}) \times \mathcal{P}_0\}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, this means that $\mathcal{M}^\alpha(\mathbb{T}) = \mathcal{U}' \oplus \mathcal{P}_0$ with $\mathcal{U}' = D^{-\alpha}(\mathcal{M}_0(\mathbb{T}))$, which is isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}_0(\mathbb{T}) \times \mathcal{P}_0$. The basic atoms for representing minimum-norm interpolators in \mathcal{U}' are the extreme points e_k of the unit ball $B_{\mathcal{U}'}(1)$. Due to the isometry between \mathcal{U}' and $\mathcal{M}_0(\mathbb{T})$, we have that $\text{Ext}B_{\mathcal{U}'}(1) = D^{-\alpha}(\text{Ext}B_{\mathcal{M}_0}(1))$, which in light of Items 1 and 6 in Theorem 4, yields

$$e_k = D^{-\alpha}\{\delta_0(\cdot - t_k)\}(t) = \rho_{\text{perio},\alpha}(\cdot - t_k),$$

where

$$\rho_{\text{perio},\alpha}(t) = D^{-\alpha}\{\delta_0\}(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{1}{(jn\omega_0)^\alpha} e^{jn\omega_0 t}. \quad (8)$$

The latter formula is obtained from (5) by using that $\widehat{\delta}_0[n] = \widehat{\delta}[n]$ for $n \neq 0$. The resulting Fourier series (8) is converging to a continuous function for $\alpha > 1$. The functions $\rho_{\text{perio},\alpha}$ are the building blocks of the (non-periodic) fractional splines of degree $\alpha - 1$. Now, the direct application of the third case of [33, Thm. 1] yields the following.

Theorem 5 (Minimum energy periodic spline reconstruction). *Let $E: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a strictly convex loss function and $\lambda > 0$ some fixed regularization parameter. Then, for any given data points $(y_m, t_m) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}$, $m = 1, \dots, M$, the solution set of the functional approximation problem with $\alpha > 1$*

$$S = \arg \min_{f \in \mathcal{M}^\alpha(\mathbb{T})} \sum_{m=1}^M E(y_m, f(t_m)) + \lambda \|D^\alpha f\|_{\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T})},$$

is non-empty and weak compact. It is the weak* closure of the convex hull of its extreme points, which are all of the form*

$$f_{\text{Ext}}(t) = b_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{K_0} a_k \rho_{\text{perio},\alpha}(t - \tau_k)$$

for some $K_0 \leq M - 1$, expansion parameters (weights and adaptive centers) $(a_k, \tau_k) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$, $k = 1, \dots, K_0$, and the periodic basis function $\rho_{\text{perio},\alpha}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ specified by (8).

Proof. First, we identify the (unique) predual space $C_0^\alpha(\mathbb{T}) = \mathcal{U} \oplus \mathcal{P}_0$ such that $\mathcal{M}^\alpha(\mathbb{T}) = \mathcal{U}' \oplus \mathcal{P}_0'$. By invoking the injectivity of $D^{\alpha*}$ on $C_0(\mathbb{T}) = (\mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}), \|\cdot\|_{L^\infty})$ and by setting $\mathcal{U} = D^{\alpha*}(C_0(\mathbb{T}))$, we readily verify that $\mathcal{U}' = D^{-\alpha}(\mathcal{M}_0(\mathbb{T}))$. This allows us to identify the predual space as

$$C_0^\alpha(\mathbb{T}) = \mathcal{U} \oplus \mathcal{P}_0 = \{\nu = D^{\alpha*}\{v\} + p_0 : (v, p_0) \in C_0(\mathbb{T}) \times \mathcal{P}_0\},$$

which is a Banach space isomorphic to $C_0(\mathbb{T}) \times \mathcal{P}_0$ as expected. The technical prerequisite for applying [33, Thm. 1] is the weak* continuity of the sampling functionals $\delta(\cdot - t_m)$, which is equivalent to $\delta(\cdot - t_m) \in C_0^\alpha(\mathbb{T})$. To this end, we have

$$D^{-\alpha*}\{\delta(\cdot - t_m) - 1\} = \rho_{\text{perio}, \alpha}(t_m - \cdot),$$

with the latter function being included in $C_0(\mathbb{T})$ if and only if $\alpha > 1$; i.e., when the Fourier coefficients in (8) are in $\ell_1(\mathbb{Z})$. \square

5.2. A general variational problem framework

In the following, we first state a general variational problem framework involving the constructed Banach spaces, which shares some similarities with the approach presented in Section 5.1, but for which no projector is available. Here, the derived representation operator from Theorem 3 makes the framework explicit again with the advantage that we can rely on the general abstract machinery for deriving theoretical results. Based on this concept, we then treat several useful special cases related with the Banach subspaces of Lizorkin distributions $\mathcal{S}'_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ introduced as examples in Section 4.

By construction, we immediately deduce that the extreme points of the unit ball in $\mathcal{X}'_{\mathbb{T}}$ are given by $\tilde{e}_k = T^{-*}\{e_k\} \in \mathcal{X}'_{\mathbb{T}}$, where e_k are the extremepoints of the unit ball in \mathcal{X}' . Now, we are able to formulate the variational problem involving our constructed Banach spaces and provide a representer theorem for the structure of the solutions.

Theorem 6 (Representer theorem, [33]). *Let the linear operator $\nu: \mathcal{X}'_{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^M$ be given by $f \mapsto (\langle \nu_1, f \rangle, \dots, \langle \nu_M, f \rangle)$ with $\nu_i \in \mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{T}}$ being linearly independent. Further let $E: \mathbb{R}^M \times \mathbb{R}^M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{+\infty\}$ be proper, lower-semicontinuous and convex and $\psi: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be strictly increasing and convex. Then, for any fixed $y \in \mathbb{R}^M$, the solution set of the generic optimization problem*

$$S = \arg \min_{f \in \mathcal{X}'_{\mathbb{T}}} E(y, \nu\{f\}) + \psi(\|f\|_{\mathcal{X}'_{\mathbb{T}}})$$

is nonempty, convex, and weak-compact. If E is additionally strictly convex or if it imposes the equality constraint $y = \nu\{f\}$, then S is the weak*-closure of the convex hull of its extremal points, which can all be expressed as*

$$f_0 = \sum_{k=1}^{K_0} c_k T^{-*}\{e_k\}$$

with $K_0 \leq M$ and $c_k \in \mathbb{R}$.

Remark 4. Note that the result can be slightly strengthened if \mathcal{X}' is strictly convex, see [33] for details.

As an illustration, we briefly derive two corollaries from this theorem based on the two Banach subspaces of Lizorkin distributions introduced in Section 4.

5.3. Fractional splines

Here, we extend our investigations from Section 5.1 to non-periodic splines using Theorem 6 and the discussion from Section 4.1, which is summarized in Corollary 2. Since the point evaluations are in the predual, applying Theorem 6 together with the explicit representation of elements in $\mathcal{M}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)$ yields the following.

Corollary 4 (Minimum energy Lizorkin splines). *Let $E: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a strictly convex loss function, $(\mathbf{x}_m, y_m) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}, m = 1, \dots, M$, a given set of data points, and $\lambda > 0$ some fixed regularization parameter. Then, for $\alpha > d$ and $\alpha - d \notin \mathbb{N}$, the solution set of the functional optimization problem*

$$S = \arg \min_{f \in \mathcal{M}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)} \sum_{m=1}^M E(y_m, P_{\text{Liz},\alpha}\{f\}(\mathbf{x}_m)) + \lambda \|(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}\{f\}\|_{\mathcal{M}},$$

is non-empty and weak* compact. It is the weak* closure of the convex hull of its extreme points, which are all of the form

$$f_{\text{Ext}}(\mathbf{x}) = P_{\text{Liz},\alpha} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{K_0} a_k (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} \{\delta(\cdot - \mathbf{x}_k)\} \right\} = \sum_{k=1}^{K_0} a_k (\rho_{\text{Liz},\alpha}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_k) - p_{\mathbf{x}_k}(\mathbf{x}))$$

for some $K_0 \leq M$, expansion parameters (weights and adaptive centers) $(a_k, \mathbf{x}_k) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d$ for $k = 1, \dots, K_0$, $p_{\mathbf{x}_k} \in \mathcal{P}_{\lceil \alpha - d - 1 \rceil}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and the radial basis function $\rho_{\text{Liz},\alpha}: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ from Section 4.1.

Remark 5. Starting from the chosen representative, we could replace $P_{\text{Liz},\alpha}\{f\}(\mathbf{x}_m)$ with $P_{\text{Liz},\alpha}\{f\}(\mathbf{x}_m) + p(\mathbf{x}_m)$ where $p \in \mathcal{P}_{\lceil \alpha - d \rceil}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, resulting in a minimization over $L_{\infty, \alpha - d}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Hence, we are back in a more classical setting and a similar result holds, see [33, Thm. 3]. Proving the weak* continuity of the evaluation functional in this extended setting follows along the lines of the Lizorkin distribution setting.

5.4. Radon splines

The native Banach space for interpolation with Radon splines given some order $m \in \mathbb{N}$ is the space $\mathcal{M}_{\text{Rad},m}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ introduced in Section 4.2. Due to the form of the function $\rho_{\text{Rad},m}$, this problem is closely related to approximation with 2-layer neural networks as pointed out in [1, 18]. Since the point evaluations are in the predual, applying Theorem 6 together with the explicit representation of elements in $\mathcal{M}_{\text{Rad},m}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ obtained in Corollary 3 yields the following.

Theorem 7 (Minimum energy Radon splines). *Let $E: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a strictly convex loss function, $(\mathbf{x}_i, y_i) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}, i = 1, \dots, M$, a given set of data points, and $\lambda > 0$ some fixed regularization parameter. For $m \in \mathbb{N}, m \geq 2$, the solution set of the functional optimization problem*

$$S = \arg \min_{f \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{Rad},m}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \sum_{i=1}^M E(y_i, P_{\text{Rad},m}\{f\}(\mathbf{x}_i)) + \lambda \|\partial_t^m K_{\text{rad}} \mathbf{R}\{f\}\|_{\mathcal{M}_m},$$

is non-empty and weak compact. It is the weak* closure of the convex hull of its extreme points, which are all of the form*

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\text{Ext}}(x) &= P_{\text{Rad},m} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{K_0} a_k \mathbf{R}^* \partial_t^{-m} \{\delta(\cdot - \mathbf{x}_k)\} \right\} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{K_0} a_k (\rho_{\text{Rad},m}(\langle \boldsymbol{\xi}_k, x \rangle - t_k) - p_{t_k, \boldsymbol{\xi}_k}(\mathbf{x})) \end{aligned}$$

for some $K_0 \leq M$, expansion parameters (weights and adaptive centers) $(a_k, t_k, \boldsymbol{\xi}_k) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ for $k = 1, \dots, K_0$, $p_{t_k, \boldsymbol{\xi}_k} \in \mathcal{P}_{m-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and the Radon radial basis function $\rho_{\text{Rad},m}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by (7).

Remark 6. *Starting from the chosen representative, we can also add the minimization over $\mathcal{P}_{m-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to the problem and replace $P_{\text{Rad},m}\{f\}(\mathbf{x}_m)$ with $P_{\text{Rad},m}\{f\}(\mathbf{x}_m) + p(\mathbf{x}_m)$ where $p \in \mathcal{P}_{m-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, resulting in a minimization over $L_{\infty, m-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. As this rules out the dependence on the representation operator $P_{\text{Rad},m}$, we are back in a classical setting and a similar result holds (with $K_0 \leq M - m$), see [33, Thm. 3]. Further, we can also evaluate $\|\partial_t^m K_{\text{rad}} \mathbf{R}\{f\}\|_{\mathcal{M}_m}$ in the sense of $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ since $\mathcal{P}_{m-1}(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset \ker \partial_t^m K_{\text{rad}} \mathbf{R}$. Compared to previous results in the literature [1, 18], this leads to a stronger characterization of the solution set S together with a nice and elegant proof.*

6. Conclusions

In this paper, we have shown that continuous projections onto the Lizorkin space cannot exist. Therefore, we had to resort to other ways of finding representatives of Lizorkin distributions. Using the property that the space is dense in $C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we have established a framework for finding representatives of distributions lying in certain Banach subspaces. To this end, we only require representations of the related Green functions with sufficient regularity. Based on the obtained representation operator, we have introduced a powerful variational framework for studying a wide class of inverse problems. In particular, this enabled us to strengthen results obtained in prior works. As future work, we want to use this framework to also study other subspaces and related variational models.

Acknowledgment

The research leading to these results has received funding from the by the European Research Council (ERC) under European Union's Horizon 2020 (H2020), Grant Agreement - Project No 101020573 FunLearn. Further, the authors want to thank Joachim Krieger and Marc Troyanov for fruitful discussions on the topic, and in particular Joachim Kirieger for providing us with a proof of the non-existence of projections.

A. Fundamental solutions of the fractional Laplacian

Given $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $d \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\alpha > d$ and $\alpha - d \notin \mathbb{N}$, we want to provide an estimate for the asymptotic behavior of $f_{\alpha,d}: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $f_{\alpha,d}(\mathbf{x}) = \|\mathbf{x}\|^{\alpha-d}$ and its derivatives. For this purpose, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2. *For any $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{N}^d$ it holds that $\partial^{\mathbf{k}} \|\cdot\| = p_{\mathbf{k}}(\cdot) \|\cdot\|^{-1+2|\mathbf{k}|}$ for some polynomial $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of order at most $|\mathbf{k}|$.*

Proof. We proceed by induction. For $\mathbf{k} = 0$ the result is obviously true. Assume that the claim holds for any $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{N}^d$ with $|\mathbf{k}| \leq n$ and let $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{N}^d$ with $|\mathbf{k}| = n + 1$. For simplicity of notation, we assume that the derivative w.r.t. \mathbf{x}_1 is included and define $\tilde{\mathbf{k}} = \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{e}_1$. Using the induction assumption, it holds

$$\begin{aligned} \partial^{\mathbf{k}} \|\mathbf{x}\| &= \partial_{\mathbf{x}_k} \partial^{\tilde{\mathbf{k}}} \|\mathbf{x}\| = \partial_{\mathbf{x}_k} \frac{p_{\tilde{\mathbf{k}}}(\mathbf{x})}{\|\mathbf{x}\|^{-1+2|\tilde{\mathbf{k}}|}} = \frac{\partial_{\mathbf{x}_k} p_{\tilde{\mathbf{k}}}(\mathbf{x}) \|\mathbf{x}\|^{-1+2|\tilde{\mathbf{k}}|} - p_{\tilde{\mathbf{k}}}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{x}_k \|\mathbf{x}\|^{-3+2|\tilde{\mathbf{k}}|}}{\|\mathbf{x}\|^{(2(-1+2|\tilde{\mathbf{k}}|))}} \\ &= \frac{p_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x}) \|\mathbf{x}\|^{-3+2|\tilde{\mathbf{k}}|}}{\|\mathbf{x}\|^{(2(-1+2|\tilde{\mathbf{k}}|))}} = \frac{p_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x})}{\|\mathbf{x}\|^{-1+2|\mathbf{k}|}}, \end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof. \square

Based on this, we can now show the actual result.

Proposition 2. *For any $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{N}^d$ with $|\mathbf{k}| \leq \lceil \alpha - d \rceil$ and $x \neq 0$ it holds that $|\partial^{\mathbf{k}} f_{\alpha,d}(\mathbf{x})| \leq C \|\mathbf{x}\|^{\alpha-d-|\mathbf{k}|}$.*

Proof. We proceed inductively over $\lceil \alpha - d \rceil$. For $\lceil \alpha - d \rceil = 1$, we can estimate using the previous lemma that

$$|\partial_{\mathbf{x}_k} f_{\alpha,d}(\mathbf{x})| = |(\alpha - d - 1) f_{\alpha-1,d}(\mathbf{x}) \partial_{\mathbf{x}_k} \|\mathbf{x}\|| \leq C \|\mathbf{x}\|^{\alpha-d-1}.$$

If $k = 0$, there is nothing to show. Assume now that the results holds for $\lceil \alpha - d \rceil = n$ and let α, d be such that $\lceil \alpha - d \rceil = n + 1$. For simplicity of notation, we assume that the derivative w.r.t. \mathbf{x}_1 is included and define $\tilde{\mathbf{k}} = \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{e}_1$. Then, using the Leibniz rule,

we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
|\partial^{\mathbf{k}} f_{\alpha,d}(\mathbf{x})| &= |\partial^{\tilde{\mathbf{k}}} \partial_{\mathbf{x}_1} f_{\alpha,d}(\mathbf{x})| \leq C |\partial^{\tilde{\mathbf{k}}} (f_{\alpha-1,d}(\mathbf{x}) \partial_{\mathbf{x}_1} \|\mathbf{x}\|)| \\
&\leq C \sum_{i < \tilde{\mathbf{k}}} |\partial^{\tilde{\mathbf{k}}-i} f_{\alpha-1,d}(\mathbf{x}) \partial^{i+e_1} \|\mathbf{x}\| | \\
&\leq C \sum_{i < \tilde{\mathbf{k}}} \|\mathbf{x}\|^{\alpha-1-d-|\tilde{\mathbf{k}}-i|} \|\mathbf{x}\|^{-|i|} \leq C \|\mathbf{x}\|^{\alpha-d-|\mathbf{k}|},
\end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof. \square

B. Radon transform

Here, we recall some important properties of the Radon transform, for which an extensive overview is given in [12]. The Radon transform is first described for Lizorkin functions and then extended to distributions by duality.

Classical integral formulation The Radon transform of $f \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is defined as

$$\mathbf{R}\{f\}(t, \boldsymbol{\xi}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \delta(t - \boldsymbol{\xi}^\top \mathbf{x}) f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}, \quad (t, \boldsymbol{\xi}) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}. \quad (9)$$

Its adjoint is the backprojection \mathbf{R}^* , whose action on $g: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined as

$$\mathbf{R}^*\{g\}(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \underbrace{g(\boldsymbol{\xi}^\top \mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi})}_t d\boldsymbol{\xi}, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Given the Fourier transform $\hat{f} = \mathcal{F}\{f\}$ of $f \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we can calculate $\mathbf{R}\{f\}(\cdot, \boldsymbol{\xi}_0)$ for fixed $\boldsymbol{\xi}_0 \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ using the relation

$$\mathbf{R}\{f\}(t, \boldsymbol{\xi}_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{f}(\omega \boldsymbol{\xi}_0) e^{j\omega t} d\omega = \mathcal{F}_{\omega \rightarrow t}^{-1} \{\hat{f}(\omega \boldsymbol{\xi}_0)\} \{t\}, \quad (10)$$

a property that is referred to as the *Fourier slice theorem*. The key property for analysis is that the Radon transform is continuous and invertible if the spaces are chosen properly, see [11, 12, 16] for details.

Theorem 8 (Continuity and invertibility of the Radon transform on $\mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$). *The Radon operators $\mathbf{R}: \mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz},0}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ and $\mathbf{R}^*: \mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz},0}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ are bijective and continuous. Moreover, $\mathbf{R}^* \mathbf{K}_{\text{rad}} \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{K} \mathbf{R}^* \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{R}^* \mathbf{R} \mathbf{K} = \text{Id}$ on $\mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\mathbf{K}_{\text{rad}} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{R}^* = \text{Id}$ on $\mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz},0}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1})$, where $\mathbf{K} = (\mathbf{R}^* \mathbf{R})^{-1} = c_d (-\Delta)^{(d-1)/2}$ with $c_d = (2(2\pi)^{d-1})^{-1}$ is the so-called “filtering” operator, and \mathbf{K}_{rad} its one-dimensional radial counterpart that acts along the Radon-domain variable t . These filtering operators are characterized by their frequency response $\hat{K}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = c_d \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|^{d-1}$ and $\hat{K}_{\text{rad}}(\omega) = c_d |\omega|^{d-1}$.*

We note that the impulse response of the filtering operator \mathbf{K} in Theorem 8 is proportional to $k_{-d+1,d}$, cf. (1), which tells us that it asymptotically decays like $1/\|\mathbf{x}\|^{2d-1}$ when d is even, or is a power of the Laplacian (local operator) otherwise. Further, the previous theorem actually implies that \mathbf{R} is actually a homeomorphism

Distributional extension This framework is extended to distributions by duality.

Definition 1. *The distribution $g = \mathbf{R}\{f\} \in \mathcal{S}'_{\text{Liz},0}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ is the Radon transform of $f \in \mathcal{S}'_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ if*

$$\forall \phi \in \mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz},0}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}) : \quad \langle g, \phi \rangle_{\text{Rad}} = \langle f, \mathbf{R}^*\{\phi\} \rangle.$$

Likewise, $\tilde{g} = \mathbf{KR}\{f\} \in \mathcal{S}'_{\text{Liz},0}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ is the filtered projection of $f \in \mathcal{S}'_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ if

$$\forall \phi \in \mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz},0}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}) : \quad \langle \tilde{g}, \phi \rangle_{\text{Rad}} = \langle f, \mathbf{R}^*\mathbf{K}_{\text{rad}}\{\phi\} \rangle$$

Finally, the backprojection $f = \mathbf{R}^\{g\} \in \mathcal{S}'_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of $g \in \mathcal{S}'_{\text{Liz},0}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ is defined via*

$$\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d) : \quad \langle \mathbf{R}^*\{g\}, \varphi \rangle = \langle g, \mathbf{R}\{\varphi\} \rangle_{\text{Rad}}.$$

The distributional extension of the Radon transform inherits most of the properties of the “classical” operator defined by (9) due to duality.

Theorem 9 (Invertibility of the Radon transform on $\mathcal{S}'_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$). *It holds $\mathbf{R}^*\mathbf{K}_{\text{rad}}\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{KR}^*\mathbf{R} = \text{Id}$ on $\mathcal{S}'_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Hence, the “filtered projection” operator $\mathbf{K}_{\text{rad}}\mathbf{R}: \mathcal{S}'_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'_{\text{Liz},0}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a homeomorphism with inverse $\mathbf{R}^*: \mathcal{S}'_{\text{Liz},0}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.*

The Fourier slice theorem expressed by (10) yields a unique (Fourier-based) characterization of $\mathbf{R}\{f\}$. It remains valid for tempered distributions whose generalized Fourier transforms can be identified as continuous functions of $\boldsymbol{\omega}$. It is especially helpful when the underlying function or distribution is isotropic.

An isotropic function $\rho_{\text{iso}}: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is characterized by its radial profile $\rho: \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$; i.e., $\rho_{\text{iso}}(\mathbf{x}) = \rho(\|\mathbf{x}\|)$. The frequency domain counterpart of this characterization is $\hat{\rho}_{\text{iso}}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = \hat{\rho}_{\text{rad}}(\|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|)$ with radial frequency profile

$$\hat{\rho}_{\text{rad}}(\omega) = \frac{(2\pi)^{d/2}}{|\omega|^{d/2-1}} \int_0^{+\infty} \rho(t) t^{d/2-1} J_{d/2-1}(\omega t) t dt,$$

where J_ν is the Bessel function of the first kind of order ν . In the following proposition, we characterize the isotropic Lizorkin functions.

Proposition 3. *Let $\varphi_{\text{iso}} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be an isotropic test function. Then, $\varphi_{\text{iso}} \in \mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ if and only if $\varphi_{\text{rad}}(t) = \mathbf{R}\{\varphi_{\text{iso}}\}(t, \boldsymbol{\xi}) \in \mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R})$.*

Proof. Since φ_{iso} is isotropic, for any $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{N}^d$, we have that

$$c_{\mathbf{k}} = \langle \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{k}}, \varphi_{\text{iso}} \rangle = j^{|\mathbf{k}|} \partial^{\mathbf{k}} \hat{\varphi}_{\text{iso}}(\mathbf{0}) = j^k \mathbf{D}^k \{\hat{\varphi}_{\text{rad}}\}(0) = c_k \text{ with } k = |\mathbf{k}|.$$

The last equality also implies that $c_k = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_{\text{rad}}(t) t^k dt$, where $\varphi_{\text{rad}} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\{\hat{\varphi}_{\text{rad}}(\omega)\} = \mathbf{R}\{\varphi\}(\cdot, \boldsymbol{\xi})$ is the radial profile (by the Fourier slice theorem). This shows that indeed

$$\varphi_{\text{rad}} \in \mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}) \Leftrightarrow \varphi_{\text{iso}} \in \mathcal{S}_{\text{Liz}}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

□

Finally, we provide a result on how to compute the Radon transform of isotropic Lizorkin distributions.

Proposition 4 (Radon transform of isotropic distributions). *Let ρ_{iso} be an isotropic distribution whose radial frequency profile is $\widehat{\rho}_{\text{rad}}(\omega)$. Then,*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}\{\rho_{\text{iso}}(\cdot - \mathbf{x}_0)\}(t, \boldsymbol{\xi}) &= \rho_{\text{rad}}(t - \boldsymbol{\xi}^\top \mathbf{x}_0) \\ \mathbf{K}_{\text{rad}}\mathbf{R}\{\rho_{\text{iso}}(\cdot - \mathbf{x}_0)\}(t, \boldsymbol{\xi}) &= \tilde{\rho}_{\text{rad}}(t - \boldsymbol{\xi}^\top \mathbf{x}_0) \\ \mathbf{R}\{\partial^m \rho_{\text{iso}}\}(t, \boldsymbol{\xi}) &= \boldsymbol{\xi}^m \mathbf{D}^{|m|} \{\rho_{\text{rad}}\}(t) \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

with $\rho_{\text{rad}}(t) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\{\widehat{\rho}_{\text{rad}}(\omega)\}(t)$ and $\tilde{\rho}_{\text{rad}}(t) = \frac{1}{2(2\pi)^{d-1}} \mathcal{F}^{-1}\{|\omega|^{d-1} \widehat{\rho}_{\text{rad}}(\omega)\}(t)$.

Proof. These identities are all direct consequences of the Fourier slice theorem. For instance, by setting $\omega = \omega \boldsymbol{\xi}$ in the Fourier transform of $\partial^m \rho_{\text{iso}}$, we get

$$\widehat{\partial^m \rho_{\text{iso}}}(\omega \boldsymbol{\xi}) = (j\omega \boldsymbol{\xi})^m \widehat{\rho}_{\text{rad}}(\omega) = \boldsymbol{\xi}^m (j\omega)^{|m|} \widehat{\rho}_{\text{rad}}(\omega),$$

which, upon taking the inverse 1D Fourier transform, yields (11). □

C. Extreme points

First, we recall the definition of extreme points.

Definition 2 (Extreme points). *Let C be a convex set in a Banach space \mathcal{X} . The extreme points of C are the points $x \in C$ such that if there exist $x_1, x_2 \in C$ and $\theta \in (0, 1)$ with $x = \theta x_1 + (1 - \theta)x_2$, then it necessarily holds that $x_1 = x_2$. The set of extreme points is denoted by $\text{Ext}(C)$.*

Proposition 5 (Isometric projections and extreme points). *Let \mathcal{U} be a closed subspace of the Banach space $(\mathcal{X}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{X}})$ with some corresponding continuous projection operator $\text{Proj}_{\mathcal{U}}: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$. Then, the following hold:*

1. *The unit ball in the Banach space $\mathcal{U} = \text{Proj}_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{X})$ satisfies*

$$B_{\mathcal{U}}(1) \subseteq \text{Proj}_{\mathcal{U}}(B_{\mathcal{X}}(1)) \subseteq B_{\mathcal{U}}(\|\text{Proj}_{\mathcal{U}}\|),$$

where $B_{\mathcal{U}}(r) = \{x \in \mathcal{U} : \|x\|_{\mathcal{U}} \leq r\}$ and $\|\text{Proj}_{\mathcal{U}}\|$ is the norm of the underlying projector. Consequently, $B_{\mathcal{U}}(1) = \text{Proj}_{\mathcal{U}}(B_{\mathcal{X}}(1))$ if and only if $\|\text{Proj}_{\mathcal{U}}\| = 1$.

2. *Let $\tilde{E} = \{\tilde{e} = \text{Proj}_{\mathcal{U}}\{e\} : e \in \text{Ext}(B_{\mathcal{X}}(1))\} \setminus \{0\}$. If $\|\text{Proj}_{\mathcal{U}}\| = 1$ and all $\tilde{e} \in \tilde{E}$ satisfy $\|\tilde{e}\|_{\mathcal{X}} = 1$, then $B_{\mathcal{U}}(1)$ is the closed convex hull of \tilde{E} so that $\text{Ext}(B_{\mathcal{U}}(1)) \subseteq \tilde{E}$.*

Proof. For the first statement, note that the unit ball in \mathcal{U} is $B_{\mathcal{U}}(1) = B_{\mathcal{X}}(1) \cap \mathcal{U}$. In particular, $u = \text{Proj}_{\mathcal{U}}\{u\}$ and $\|u\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq 1$ for any $u \in B_{\mathcal{U}}(1)$, which implies that $B_{\mathcal{U}}(1) \subseteq \text{Proj}_{\mathcal{U}}(B_{\mathcal{X}}(1))$. Next, we recall that the norm of $\text{Proj}_{\mathcal{U}}: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ is given by

$$\|\text{Proj}_{\mathcal{U}}\| = \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|\text{Proj}_{\mathcal{U}}\{x\}\|_{\mathcal{X}}}{\|x\|_{\mathcal{X}}}.$$

Therefore, any $x \in B_{\mathcal{X}}(1)$ satisfies $\|\text{Proj}_{\mathcal{U}}\{x\}\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq \|\text{Proj}_{\mathcal{U}}\| \|x\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq \|\text{Proj}_{\mathcal{U}}\|$, which implies that $\text{Proj}_{\mathcal{U}}(B_{\mathcal{X}}(1)) \subseteq B_{\mathcal{U}}(\|\text{Proj}_{\mathcal{U}}\|)$.

The Krein-Milman theorem ensures that $B_{\mathcal{X}}(1)$ is the closed convex hull of its extreme points $e_k \in E = \text{Ext}(B_{\mathcal{X}}(1))$; i.e., $B_{\mathcal{X}}(1) = \text{cch}E$. Due to $\|\text{Proj}_{\mathcal{U}}\| = 1$, it holds $B_{\mathcal{U}}(1) = \text{Proj}_{\mathcal{U}}(B_{\mathcal{X}}(1))$. Further, as $B_{\mathcal{U}}(1)$ is convex, each $u = \text{Proj}_{\mathcal{U}}(\sum_{k=1}^K \theta_k e_k) = \sum_{k=1}^K \theta_k \tilde{e}_k$ with $\theta_k \geq 0$, $\sum_{k=1}^K \theta_k = 1$ and $e_k \in E$ lies in $B_{\mathcal{U}}(1)$. In other words, the convex hull of the e_k maps onto the convex hull of the $\tilde{e}_k = \text{Proj}_{\mathcal{U}}e_k$ with $\text{ch}\{\tilde{e}_k\} \subseteq B_{\mathcal{U}}(1)$. Since $\text{Proj}_{\mathcal{U}}$ is continuous and $B_{\mathcal{X}}(1)$ is closed, the argument carries over to limits as well. Hence, it holds $B_{\mathcal{U}}(1) = \text{Proj}_{\mathcal{U}}(\text{cch}E) = \text{cch}(\text{Proj}_{\mathcal{U}}(E)) = \text{cch}(\tilde{E})$. \square

Example 1. The projector $\text{Proj}_{\text{even}}: \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\text{even}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ onto the even Schwartz functions is given by

$$\text{Proj}_{\text{even}}\{f\}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{f(\mathbf{x}) + f(-\mathbf{x})}{2}.$$

By duality, we can define $\text{Proj}_{\text{even}}: \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'_{\text{even}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The extreme points of $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ are $(\delta(\cdot - \boldsymbol{\tau}))_{\boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathbb{R}^d}$. Since

$$\|\text{Proj}_{\text{even}}\{\delta(\cdot - \boldsymbol{\tau})\}\|_{\mathcal{M}} = \|\frac{1}{2}\delta(\cdot + \boldsymbol{\tau}) + \frac{1}{2}\delta(\cdot - \boldsymbol{\tau})\|_{\mathcal{M}} = 1$$

for all $\boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the extreme points of $\mathcal{M}_{\text{even}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ are of the form $\frac{1}{2}\delta(\cdot + \boldsymbol{\tau}) + \frac{1}{2}\delta(\cdot - \boldsymbol{\tau})$ with $\boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

References

- [1] F. Bartolucci, E. De Vito, L. Rosasco, and S. Vigogna. Understanding neural networks with reproducing kernel Banach spaces. *arXiv:2109.09710*, 2021.
- [2] F. Bartolucci, S. Pilipović, and N. Teofanov. The Shearlet transform and Lizorkin spaces. In *Landscapes of Time-Frequency Analysis*, Appl. Numer. Harmon. Anal., pages 43–62. Springer, Cham, 2020.
- [3] P. Bohra, J. Campos, H. Gupta, S. Aziznejad, and M. Unser. Learning activation functions in deep (spline) neural networks. *IEEE Open Journal of Signal Processing*, 1:295–309, November 19, 2020.
- [4] K. Bredies and M. Carioni. Sparsity of solutions for variational inverse problems with finite-dimensional data. *Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ.*, 59(1):Paper No. 14, 2020.
- [5] P. L. Combettes, S. Salzo, and S. Villa. Regularized learning schemes in feature Banach spaces. *Anal. Appl. (Singap.)*, 16(1):1–54, 2018.
- [6] J. Fageot and M. Simeoni. TV-based reconstruction of periodic functions. *Inverse Probl.*, 36(11):115015, 2020.

- [7] J. Fageot, M. Unser, and J. Ward. Beyond Wiener’s lemma: Nuclear convolution algebras and the inversion of digital filters. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, 25(4):2037–2063, 2019.
- [8] M. Fey, J. E. Lenssen, F. Weichert, and H. Müller. SplineCNN: Fast geometric deep learning with continuous B-spline kernels. *2018 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 869–877, 2018.
- [9] I. M. Gelfand and G. Shilov. *Generalized Functions. Vol. 1. Properties and Operations*. Academic press, New York, USA, 1964.
- [10] A. Grothendieck. Produits tensoriels topologiques et espaces nucléaires. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 16:pages 336, 1955.
- [11] S. Helgason. The Radon transform on Euclidean spaces, compact two-point homogeneous spaces and Grassmann manifolds. *Acta Math.*, 113:153–180, 1965.
- [12] S. Helgason. *The Radon Transform*, volume 5 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser, Boston, 1999.
- [13] S. Kostadinova, S. Pilipović, K. Saneva, and J. Vindas. The ridgelet transform of distributions. *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.*, 25(5):344–358, 2014.
- [14] R. Lin, H. Zhang, and J. Zhang. On reproducing kernel Banach spaces: Generic definitions and unified framework of constructions. *arXiv:1901.01002*, 2019.
- [15] P. I. Lizorkin. Generalized Liouville differentiation and the functional spaces $L_p^r(E_n)$. Imbedding theorems. *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)*, 60 (102):325–353, 1963.
- [16] D. Ludwig. The Radon transform on Euclidean space. *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.*, 19(1):49–81, 1966.
- [17] G. Ongie, R. Willett, D. Soudry, and N. Srebro. A function space view of bounded norm infinite width ReLU nets: The multivariate case. *International Conference on Representation Learning*, 2020.
- [18] R. Parhi and R. D. Nowak. Banach space representer theorems for neural networks and ridge splines. *J. Mach. Learn. Res.*, 22(41):1–40, 2021.
- [19] R. Parhi and R. D. Nowak. What kinds of functions do deep neural networks learn? insights from variational spline theory. *arXiv:2105.03361*, 2021.
- [20] M. Reed and B. Simon. *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics. I*. Academic Press, Inc., New York, second edition, 1980.
- [21] B. Rubin. *Fractional Integrals and Potentials*, volume 82 of *Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Longman, Harlow, 1996.
- [22] S. Samko. Denseness of the spaces Φ_V of Lizorkin type in the mixed $L^{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -spaces. *Studia Math.*, 113(3):199–210, 1995.

- [23] S. G. Samko. *Hypersingular Integrals and their Applications*, volume 5 of *Analytical Methods and Special Functions*. Taylor & Francis Group, London, 2002.
- [24] S. G. Samko, A. A. Kilbas, and O. I. Marichev. *Fractional Integrals and Derivatives: Theory and Applications*. Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, 1993.
- [25] P. Savarese, I. Evron, D. Soudry, and N. Srebro. How do infinite width bounded norm networks look in function space? In *Proceedings of the Thirty-Second Conference on Learning Theory*, volume 99 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 2667–2690. PMLR, 2019.
- [26] I. J. Schoenberg. Contributions to the problem of approximation of equidistant data by analytic functions. *Quart. Appl. Math.*, 4:45–99, 1946.
- [27] L. Schwartz. *Théorie des distributions*. Publications de l’Institut de Mathématique de l’Université de Strasbourg, IX-X. Hermann, Paris, 1966.
- [28] S. Sonoda and N. Murata. Neural network with unbounded activation functions is universal approximator. *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.*, 43(2):233–268, 2017.
- [29] P. R. Stinga. User’s guide to the fractional Laplacian and the method of semigroups. In *Handbook of Fractional Calculus with Applications. Vol. 2*, pages 235–265. De Gruyter, Berlin, 2019.
- [30] F. Trèves. *Topological Vector Spaces, Distributions and Kernels*. Dover Publications, New York, 2006.
- [31] M. Troyanov. On the Hodge decomposition in \mathbb{R}^n . *Mosc. Math. J.*, 9(4):899–926, 936, 2009.
- [32] M. Unser. A unifying representer theorem for inverse problems and machine learning. *Found. Comput. Math.*, 21(4):941–960, 2021.
- [33] M. Unser and S. Aziznejad. Convex optimization in sums of Banach spaces. *Appl. Numer. Harmon. Anal.*, 56:1–25, 2022.
- [34] M. Unser and T. Blu. Fractional splines and wavelets. *SIAM Rev.*, 42(1):43–67, 2000.
- [35] M. Unser, J. Fageot, and J. P. Ward. Splines are universal solutions of linear inverse problems with generalized TV regularization. *SIAM Rev.*, 59(4):769–793, 2017.
- [36] W. Yuan, W. Sickel, and D. Yang. *Morrey and Campanato meet Besov, Lizorkin and Triebel*, volume 2005 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2010.
- [37] H. Zhang, Y. Xu, and J. Zhang. Reproducing kernel Banach spaces for machine learning. *J. Mach. Learn. Res.*, 10:2741–2775, 2009.