## Comment on "Nonlinear charge-voltage relationship in constant phase element" [AEU-Int. J. Electron. Commun. 117, 153104 (2020)]

Vikash Pandey

School of Interwoven Arts and Sciences, Krea University, Sri City, India

## Abstract

In this comment, we show a dimensional inconsistency that plagues one of the main founding equations, Eq. (5), of the manuscript, [Fouda et al., AEU-Int. J. Electron. Commun. 117, 153104 (2020)]. Also, a resolution of the inconsistency as well as a generalized yet a better version of the equation are suggested.

In contrast to Newtonian (*ordinary*) calculus, the field of fractional calculus has long struggled for its justification due to its unusual properties and the lack of a universal interpretation [\[1\]](#page-2-0). This is also evident from the fact that despite having more than three hundred years of history, the order of the fractional derivative is still mostly obtained from curve-fitting the experimental data with the theoretically predicted curves. This comment is presented with the motivation that an utmost care should be given in the application of fractional derivatives in describing anomalous, complex, and memory-driven physical phenomena [\[2](#page-2-1)[–4\]](#page-2-2).

In Ref. [\[5](#page-2-3)], the authors have replaced the multiplication operation, ".", between the capacitance and the voltage in the traditional charge-voltage relation of a capacitor, by the convolution operation, "∗". This is understandable since the purpose was to investigate a fractional capacitor that exhibits memory [\[6,](#page-2-4) [7\]](#page-2-5). For the sake of clarity and completeness of the arguments presented here, we rewrite the Eq.  $(5)$  from Ref.  $[5]$  as:

$$
q(t) = c(t) * V(t),
$$
\n<sup>(1)</sup>

where, the authors have regarded,  $q, c, V$ , and  $t$ , as the accumulated charge, time-varying capacitance, applied voltage, and time respectively. Using the definition of convolution, if one examines the units of the left and the right sides of Eq. (1), it can be ascertained that the respective equation is not

Email address: vikash.pandey@krea.edu.in (Vikash Pandey)

dimensionally consistent as shown below,

$$
q(t) = c(t) * V(t) = \int_{0}^{t} \underbrace{c(\tau)}_{\text{Farad}} \underbrace{V(t-\tau)}_{\text{Volts}} \underbrace{d\tau}_{\text{Second}} \text{ has units, } C \cdot s^{-1}.
$$
 (2)

As observed from the Eq. (2) above, the units on the right hand side of Eq. (1) are Coulomb/second. On the contrary, the left hand side of the equation dictates the units to be that of a charge, i.e., Coulomb. This is a clear contradiction. Any equation, or inequality, corresponding to a physically valid system must have the same dimensions on both left and right sides, a property known as dimensional homogeneity. This makes the Eq. (1) questionable.

We now suggest the following correction and a comment for the respective equation. The correction is that,  $c(t)$ , is actually capacitance per unit time and not just a capacitance which the authors have claimed. Interestingly the authors have indirectly implied the same even in their paper too. In the paragraph that immediately follows after Eq. (5) in Ref. [\[5\]](#page-2-3), the authors mention,  $c(t) = C\delta(t)$ , where C is the constant capacitance, and  $\delta$  is the Dirac delta function. Here, the argument for the Dirac delta function is, time,  $t$ , and since the Dirac delta function always has the inverse dimension of its argument, this implies that the units of  $c(t)$  is actually, Farad/second. It must be emphasized that the origin of the dimensionally inconsistent equation, Eq. (1), can be traced back to the manuscript that is cited as Ref. [19] in Ref. [\[5\]](#page-2-3), where again the dimensionality of the Dirac delta function has been overlooked. The suggested correction restores the dimensional homegeneity in Eq. (1). Incorporating the correction it can be further shown that a better equation for the charge-voltage relation of a fractional capacitor is with a differential operator which appears as Eq. (9) in Ref. [\[8\]](#page-2-6), which is,

$$
q(t) = C(t) * \frac{dV(t)}{dt} = \frac{dC(t)}{dt} * V(t).
$$
\n(3)

In the special case of a linear memory, i.e., for capacitors whose capacitance increase linearly with time, both the convolution relations, Eqs. (1) and (3), yield the same result, however there are two advantages in using Eq. (3) over the corrected form of Eq. (1). First, the one with a differential operator is applicable for almost all forms of memory that can be described as polynomials, be it linear, quadratic, or of even higher order. Second, it has been already established in Ref. [\[8\]](#page-2-6) that the Eq. (3) when interpreted in the light of fractional calculus describes the century-old dielectric relaxation law, the Curie-von Schweidler law [\[9](#page-2-7)[–11\]](#page-2-8), with an experimentally verifiable interpretation which also extends to the *fractional-order* that appears in the expression for current of a fractional capacitor.

## References

- <span id="page-2-0"></span>[1] I. Podlubny. Geometrical and physical interpretation of fractional integration and fractional differentiation. *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.*, 5:367–386, 2002.
- <span id="page-2-1"></span>[2] V. Pandey and S. Holm. Linking the fractional derivative and the lomnitz creep law to nonnewtonian time-varying viscosity. *Phys. Rev. E*, 94:032606, 2016.
- [3] V. Pandey and S. Holm. Connecting the viscous grain-shearing mechanism of wave propagation in marine sediments to fractional calculus. In *78th Eur. Assoc. Geoscient. & Engineers (EAGE) Conference and Exhibition*, 2016.
- <span id="page-2-2"></span>[4] S. Holm and V. Pandey. Wave propagation in marine sediments expressed by fractional wave and diffusion equations. *In 2016 IEEE/OES China Ocean Acoustics (COA)*, pages 1–5, 2016.
- <span id="page-2-3"></span>[5] M. E. Fouda, A. Allagui, A. S Elwakil, S. Das, C. Psychalinos, and A. G. Radwan. Nonlinear charge-voltage relationship in constant phase element. *AEU-Int. J. Electron. Commun.*, 117:153104, 2020.
- <span id="page-2-4"></span>[6] S. Westerlund. Dead matter has memory! *Phys. Scripta*, 43:174–179, 1991.
- <span id="page-2-5"></span>[7] S. Westerlund and L. Ekstam. Capacitor theory. *IEEE T. Dielect. El. In.*, 1:826–839, 1994.
- <span id="page-2-6"></span>[8] V. Pandey. Origin of the curie-von schweidler law and the fractional capacitor from time-varying capacitance. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.06073 (2020)*, 2020.
- <span id="page-2-7"></span>[9] J. Curie. Recherches sur le pouvoir inducteur sp´ecifique et sur la conductibilit´e des corps cristallis´es. *Ann. Chim. Phys.*, 17:385–434, 1889.
- [10] E. R. von Schweidler. Studien ¨uber die anomalien im verhalten der dielektrika (studies on the anomalous behaviour of dielectrics). *Ann. Phys.*, 329:711–770, 1907.
- <span id="page-2-8"></span>[11] A. K. Jonscher. The "universal" dielectric response. *Nature*, 267:673–679, 1977.