

Article

Special Issue on Advances in Chiral Quark Models

Jorge Segovia¹ 

¹ Departamento de Sistemas Físicos, Químicos y Naturales, Universidad Pablo de Olavide, E-41013 Sevilla, Spain; jsegovia@upo.es

Abstract: The number of exotic candidates in both light- and heavy-quark hadron sectors has increased dramatically since the discovery by the Belle Collaboration of the so-called $X(3872)$ in 2003. It is clear that the simple quark model picture needs an extension and thus the last twenty years have witnessed an explosion of related theoretical and experimental activity. The ultimate goal of theory is to describe the properties of exotic states from the first principles of Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD), which is the non-Abelian Quantum Field Theory that describes the strong interaction. However, since this task is quite challenging, a more modest goal to start with is the development of QCD-motivated phenomenological models that specify the colored constituents, how they are clustered, and the forces between them. This Special Issue invited contributions reporting recent advances of phenomenological quark models in the study of hadron's spectroscopy, structure, and interactions, paying special attention to the exotic candidates but without losing sight of the conventional states. In response to the call for papers, and after a comprehensive peer review process, 8 articles qualified for acceptance in the final edition of the Special Issue. The authors are from geographically distributed countries such as Spain, South Africa, Ghana, China, Brazil, Argentina. This reflects the impact of the proposed topic and the effective organization of the guest editorial team of this Special Issue.

1. Introduction

QCD is the strong-interaction part of the Standard Model of Particle Physics and solving it presents a fundamental problem that is unique in the history of science. Never before have we been confronted by a theory whose elementary excitations (quarks and gluons) are not those degrees-of-freedom readily accessible via experiment; *i.e.*, whose elementary excitations are confined. Moreover, there are numerous reasons to believe that QCD generates forces which are so strong that less-than 2% of a nucleon's mass can be attributed to the so-called current-quark masses that appear in QCD's Lagrangian; *viz.*, forces capable of generating mass from nothing, a phenomenon known as dynamical chiral symmetry breaking (DCSB).

Neither confinement nor DCSB is apparent in QCD's Lagrangian and yet they play the dominant role in determining the observable characteristics of hadrons. This complexity makes hadron spectroscopy, the collection of readily accessible states constituted from gluons and quarks, the starting point for all further investigations. A very successful classification scheme for hadrons in terms of their valence quarks and antiquarks was independently proposed by Murray Gell-Mann [1] and George Zweig [2] in 1964. This classification was called the quark model, it basically separates hadrons in two big families: mesons and baryons. They are, respectively, quark-antiquark and three-quark bound-states located at the multiplets of the flavour symmetry; all hadrons which belong to the same multiplet have roughly the same mass. The quark model received experimental verification beginning in the late 1960s and, despite extensive experimental searches, no unambiguous

Citation: Segovia, J. Special Issue on Advances in Chiral Quark Models. *Journal Not Specified* **2021**, *1*, 0. <https://doi.org/>

Received:
Accepted:
Published:

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Submitted to *Journal Not Specified* for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

candidates for exotic quark-gluon configurations were identified until the last decade (the interested reader is referred to the Particle Data Group and its topical mini-reviews [3]).

In 2003, the Belle Collaboration discovered an unexpected enhancement at 3872 MeV in the $\pi^+\pi^-J/\psi$ invariant mass spectrum while studying the reaction $B^+ \rightarrow K^+\pi^+\pi^-J/\psi$. The $X(3872)$ state was later studied by the CDF, D0, and BaBar collaborations confirming that its quantum numbers, mass and decay patterns make it an unlikely conventional charm-anti-charm (charmonium) candidate. Therefore, the simple quark model picture that had been so successful for around 35 years was challenged leading to an explosion of related theoretical and experimental activity since then. Nowadays, the number of exotic states has increased dramatically, in both light- and heavy-quark hadron sectors.

The ultimate goal of theory is to describe the properties of exotic states from QCD's first principles. However, since this task is quite challenging, a more modest goal to start with is the development of QCD-motivated phenomenological models that specify the colored constituents, how they are clustered, and the forces between them. This Special Issue invited contributions reporting recent advances of phenomenological quark models in the study of hadron's spectroscopy, structure, and interactions, paying special attention to the exotic candidates but without losing sight of the conventional states.

2. Contributions

After a comprehensive peer review process, 8 articles qualified for acceptance in the final edition of the Special Issue on Advances in Chiral Quark Models. We are now going to summarize the most relevant features of them, encouraging the interested reader to have a look on the contribution itself for further details.

Chiral symmetry, and its dynamical breaking, has become a cornerstone in the description of the hadron's phenomenology at low energy. Reference [4] gives a historical survey on how the quark model of hadrons has been implemented along the last decades trying to incorporate, among other important non-perturbative features of quantum chromodynamics (QCD), the dynamical chiral symmetry breaking mechanism. This effort has delivered different models such as the chiral bag model, the cloudy bag model, the chiral quark model or the chiral constituent quark model. This reference also contributes to the clarification of the differences among the above-mentioned models that include the adjective chiral in their nomenclature.

Reference [5] emphasizes that the understanding of the high energy spectrum of heavy mesons demands the coupling of naive quark-antiquark states with the closest two-meson thresholds. Moreover, this is most likely to be also the case for light quark sectors and even for baryons when considering the meson-baryon thresholds. The review article revisits many examples in which the naive chiral constituent quark model can be complemented with the coupling to two hadron thresholds in order to understand not only the masses but, in some cases, unexpected decay properties of exotic hadron states.

With the development of high energy physics experiments, a large amount of tetraquark and pentaquark candidates in the hadron sector have been observed. In order to shed some insights on the nature of these states, the authors of Ref. [6] use a chiral constituent quark model formulated in real- and complex-range approximations in order to study bound, resonance and continuum states of doubly- and all-heavy tetraquarks, but also hidden-charm, hidden-bottom and doubly charmed pentaquarks. Several experimentally observed exotic hadrons fit well in the prediction of such an approach; moreover, their possible compositeness were suggested and other properties analyzed accordingly, *e.g.* decay widths and general patterns in the spectrum. Besides, we report also some predictions not yet seen by experiment within the studied tetraquark and pentaquark sectors.

In Ref. [7], the authors analyze possible singularities in the $J/\psi\Lambda$ invariant mass distribution of the $\Xi_b^- \rightarrow K^- J/\psi\Lambda$ process via triangle loop diagrams. Triangle singularities in the physical region are found in 18 different triangle loop diagrams. Among those with Ξ^* -charmonium- Λ intermediate states, the one from the $\chi_{c1}\Xi(2120)\Lambda$ loop, which is located around 4628 MeV, is found the most likely to cause observable effects. One needs S - and P -waves in $\chi_{c1}\Lambda$ and $J/\psi\Lambda$ systems, respectively, when the quantum numbers of these systems are $1/2^+$ or $3/2^+$. When the quantum numbers of the $\Xi(2120)$ are $J^P = 1/2^+$, $1/2^-$ or $3/2^+$, the peak structure should be sharper than the other J^P choices. This suggests that although the whole strength is unknown, one should pay attention to the contributions from the Ξ^* -charmonium- Λ triangle diagram if structures are observed in the $J/\psi\Lambda$ invariant mass spectrum experimentally. In addition, a few triangle diagrams with the $D_{s1}^*(2700)$ as one of the intermediate particles can also produce singularities in the $J/\psi\Lambda$ distribution, but at higher energies above 4.9 GeV.

Coming back to conventional hadrons but working with a different chiral formalism, the authors of Ref. [8] review the computations of polarized and unpolarized nucleon structure functions within the bosonized Nambu-Jona-Lasinio chiral soliton model. They focus on a consistent regularization prescription for the Dirac sea contribution and present numerical results from that formulation. Moreover, they also reflect on previous calculations on quark distributions in chiral quark soliton models and attempt to put them into perspective.

All computations mentioned until now are related with low-energy QCD phenomenology at zero temperature and chemical potential. However, the phase diagram of QCD has attracted much attention in the last decades. Beginning with Ref. [9], the authors review the current status of the research on effective nonlocal NJL-like chiral quark models with separable interactions, focusing on the application of this approach to the description of the properties of hadronic and quark matter under extreme conditions. The analysis includes the predictions for various hadron properties in vacuum, as well as the study of the features of deconfinement and chiral restoration phase transitions for systems at finite temperature and/or density. The authors also address other related subjects, such as the study of phase transitions for imaginary chemical potentials, the possible existence of inhomogeneous phase regions, the presence of color superconductivity, the effects produced by strong external magnetic fields, and the application to the description of compact stellar objects.

Strong magnetic fields impact quantum-chromodynamics (QCD) properties in several situations; examples include the early universe, magnetars, and heavy-ion collisions. These examples share a common trait-time evolution. A prominent QCD property impacted by a strong magnetic field is the quark condensate, an approximate order parameter of the QCD transition between a high-temperature quark-gluon phase and a low-temperature hadronic phase. The authors of Ref. [10] use the linear sigma model with quarks to address the quark condensate time evolution under a strong magnetic field. They use the closed time path formalism of nonequilibrium quantum field theory to integrate out the quarks and obtain a mean-field Langevin equation for the condensate. The Langevin equation features dissipation and noise kernels controlled by a damping coefficient. They compute the damping coefficient for magnetic field and temperature values achieved in peripheral relativistic heavy-ion collisions and solve the Langevin equation for a temperature quench scenario. The magnetic field changes the dissipation and noise pattern by increasing the damping coefficient compared to the zero-field case. An increased damping coefficient increases fluctuations and time scales controlling condensate's short-time evolution, a feature that can impact hadron formation at the QCD transition. The formalism developed here can be extended to include other order parameters, hydrodynamic modes, and system's

expansion to address magnetic field effects in complex settings as heavy-ion collisions, the early universe, and magnetars.

Finally, review [11] introduces the origin of the chiral chemical potential and its physical effects. These include: (1) the chiral imbalance in the presence of strong magnetic and related physical phenomena; (2) the influence of chiral chemical potential on the QCD phase structure; and (3) the effects of chiral chemical potential on quark stars. Moreover, the authors propose for the first time that quark stars are likely to be a natural laboratory for testing the destruction of strong interaction CP.

3. Conclusions

QCD is the strong-interaction part of the Standard Model of Particle Physics and solving it presents a fundamental problem that is unique in the history of science. Never before have we been confronted by a theory whose elementary excitations (quarks and gluons) are not those degrees-of-freedom readily accessible via experiment; *i.e.*, whose elementary excitations are confined. Moreover, there are numerous reasons to believe that QCD generates forces which are so strong that less-than 2% of a nucleon's mass can be attributed to the so-called current-quark masses that appear in QCD's Lagrangian; *viz.*, forces capable of generating mass from nothing, a phenomenon known as dynamical chiral symmetry breaking (DCSB). Neither confinement nor DCSB is apparent in QCD's Lagrangian and yet they play the dominant role in determining the observable characteristics of hadrons at either zero or finite temperature and chemical potential.

With the completion of this Special Issue, we have mostly described how chiral symmetry can be implemented in the bag model (chiral bag model, little bag model, cloudy bag model) and how the requirement of more flexibility, avoiding the static bag surfaces, gives place to models based on phenomenological Lagrangians such as the Friedberg-Lee model (soliton bag model) and the Nambu-Jona-Lasinio model (chiral quark soliton model). As an improvement of the NJL Hamiltonian, we have introduced the ideas developed by Diakonov based on the instanton liquid model which led to soliton type-solutions of baryons and then becoming to a variant of the chiral quark soliton model; but it also constitutes a foundation of the constituent quark model. A non-relativistic reduction of the Diakonov's Lagrangian gives rise to a chiral constituent quark model which includes gluons and Goldstone-boson exchanges between constituent valence quarks.

All chiral-based quark models have been applied extensively since their inception to describe hadron phenomenology at zero and finite temperature and baryon density. The authors of this Special Issue have been revisited their own works to provide, first, a general overview of their particular theoretical approach derived from chiral symmetry principles; second, applications of the theoretical formalism to current open problems in hadron physics; and, third, an outlook of the strengths and weaknesses of chiral quark models, possible solutions and future applications.

Funding: This work has been partially funded by the Ministerio Español de Ciencia e Innovación under grant No. PID2019-107844GB-C22; and by the Junta de Andalucía, under contract Nos. P18-FR-5057, Operativo FEDER Andalucía 2014-2020 UHU-1264517, and PAIDI FQM-370.

Acknowledgments: The guest editorial team of *Symmetry* would like to thank all authors for contributing to this Special Issue. The editorial team would also like to thank all anonymous professional reviewers for their valuable time, comments, and suggestions during the review process. We also acknowledge the entire staff of the journal's editorial board for providing their cooperation regarding this Special Issue. We hope that the scientists who are working in the same regime will not only enjoy this Special Issue but also appreciate the efforts made by the entire team.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Gell-Mann, M. A Schematic Model of Baryons and Mesons. *Phys. Lett.* **1964**, *8*, 214–215. doi:10.1016/S0031-9163(64)92001-3.
2. Zweig, G. Developments in the quark theory of hadrons. *Edited by D. Lichtenberg and S. Rosen* **1980**, pp. 22–101.
3. Zyla, P.A.; others. Review of Particle Physics. *PTEP* **2020**, *2020*, 083C01. doi:10.1093/ptep/ptaa104.
4. Fernández, F.; Segovia, J. Historical Introduction to Chiral Quark Models. *Symmetry* **2021**, *13*, 252. doi:10.3390/sym13020252.
5. Ortega, P.G.; Entem, D.R. Coupling hadron-hadron thresholds within a chiral quark model approach. *Symmetry* **2021**, *13*, 279, [[arXiv:hep-ph/2012.10105](https://arxiv.org/abs/2012.10105)]. doi:10.3390/sym13020279.
6. Yang, G.; Ping, J.; Segovia, J. Tetra- and penta-quark structures in the constituent quark model. *Symmetry* **2020**, *12*, 1869, [[arXiv:hep-ph/2009.00238](https://arxiv.org/abs/2009.00238)]. doi:10.3390/sym12111869.
7. Shen, C.W.; Jing, H.J.; Guo, F.K.; Wu, J.J. Exploring Possible Triangle Singularities in the $\Xi_b^- \rightarrow K^- J/\psi \Lambda$ Decay. *Symmetry* **2020**, *12*, 1611, [[arXiv:hep-ph/2008.09082](https://arxiv.org/abs/2008.09082)]. doi:10.3390/sym12101611.
8. Weigel, H.; Takyi, I. Chiral Soliton Models and Nucleon Structure Functions. *Symmetry* **2021**, *13*, 108, [[arXiv:hep-ph/2101.02114](https://arxiv.org/abs/2101.02114)]. doi:10.3390/sym13010108.
9. Dumm, D.G.; Carlomagno, J.P.; Scoccola, N.N. Strong-interaction matter under extreme conditions from chiral quark models with nonlocal separable interactions. *Symmetry* **2021**, *13*, 121, [[arXiv:hep-ph/2101.09574](https://arxiv.org/abs/2101.09574)]. doi:10.3390/sym13010121.
10. Krein, G.a.; Miller, C. Nonequilibrium Dynamics of the Chiral Quark Condensate under a Strong Magnetic Field. *Symmetry* **2021**, *13*, 551, [[arXiv:hep-ph/2103.15665](https://arxiv.org/abs/2103.15665)]. doi:10.3390/sym13040551.
11. Yang, L.K.; Luo, X.F.; Segovia, J.; Zong, H.S. A Brief Review of Chiral Chemical Potential and Its Physical Effects. *Symmetry* **2020**, *12*, 2095. doi:10.3390/sym12122095.

¹ Affiliation 1; e-mail@e-mail.com

² Affiliation 2; e-mail@e-mail.com

* Correspondence: e-mail@e-mail.com; Tel.: (optional; include country code; if there are multiple corresponding authors, add author initials) +xx-xxxx-xxx-xxxx (FL.)

† Current address: Affiliation 3

‡ These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract: A single paragraph of about 200 words maximum. For research articles, abstracts should give a pertinent overview of the work. We strongly encourage authors to use the following style of structured abstracts, but without headings: (1) Background: place the question addressed in a broad context and highlight the purpose of the study; (2) Methods: describe briefly the main methods or treatments applied; (3) Results: summarize the article’s main findings; (4) Conclusion: indicate the main conclusions or interpretations. The abstract should be an objective representation of the article, it must not contain results which are not presented and substantiated in the main text and should not exaggerate the main conclusions.

Keywords: keyword 1; keyword 2; keyword 3 (List three to ten pertinent keywords specific to the article; yet reasonably common within the subject discipline.)

0. How to Use this Template

The template details the sections that can be used in a manuscript. Note that the order and names of article sections may differ from the requirements of the journal (e.g., the positioning of the Materials and Methods section). Please check the instructions on the authors’ page of the journal to verify the correct order and names. For any questions, please contact the editorial office of the journal or support@mdpi.com. For LaTeX-related questions please contact latex@mdpi.com.

1. Introduction

The introduction should briefly place the study in a broad context and highlight why it is important. It should define the purpose of the work and its significance. The current state of the research field should be reviewed carefully and key publications cited. Please highlight controversial and diverging hypotheses when necessary. Finally, briefly mention the main aim of the work and highlight the principal conclusions. As far as possible, please keep the introduction comprehensible to scientists outside your particular field of research. Citing a journal paper [1]. Now citing a book reference [2,3] or other reference types [4–6]. Please use the command [7,8] for the following MDPI journals, which use author–date citation: Administrative Sciences, Arts, Econometrics, Economies, Genealogy, Histories, Humanities, IJFS, Journal of Intelligence, Journalism and Media, JRFM, Languages, Laws, Religions, Risks, Social Sciences.

2. Materials and Methods

Materials and Methods should be described with sufficient details to allow others to replicate and build on published results. Please note that publication of your manuscript

Citation: Lastname, F.; Lastname, F.; Lastname, F. Title. *Journal Not Specified* 2021, 1, 0. <https://doi.org/>

Received:

Accepted:

Published:

Publisher’s Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Submitted to *Journal Not Specified* for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

implicates that you must make all materials, data, computer code, and protocols associated with the publication available to readers. Please disclose at the submission stage any restrictions on the availability of materials or information. New methods and protocols should be described in detail while well-established methods can be briefly described and appropriately cited.

Research manuscripts reporting large datasets that are deposited in a publicly available database should specify where the data have been deposited and provide the relevant accession numbers. If the accession numbers have not yet been obtained at the time of submission, please state that they will be provided during review. They must be provided prior to publication.

Interventionary studies involving animals or humans, and other studies require ethical approval must list the authority that provided approval and the corresponding ethical approval code.

This is an example of a quote.

3. Results

This section may be divided by subheadings. It should provide a concise and precise description of the experimental results, their interpretation as well as the experimental conclusions that can be drawn.

3.1. Subsection

3.1.1. Subsubsection

Bulleted lists look like this:

- First bullet;
- Second bullet;
- Third bullet.

Numbered lists can be added as follows:

1. First item;
2. Second item;
3. Third item.

The text continues here.

3.2. Figures, Tables and Schemes

All figures and tables should be cited in the main text as Figure 1, Table 1, etc.



Figure 1. This is a figure. Schemes follow the same formatting. If there are multiple panels, they should be listed as: **(a)** Description of what is contained in the first panel. **(b)** Description of what is contained in the second panel. Figures should be placed in the main text near to the first time they are cited. A caption on a single line should be centered.

Table 1. This is a table caption. Tables should be placed in the main text near to the first time they are cited.

Title 1	Title 2	Title 3
Entry 1	Data	Data
Entry 2	Data	Data

Text.

Text.

3.3. *Formatting of Mathematical Components*

This is the example 1 of equation:

$$a = 1, \tag{1}$$

the text following an equation need not be a new paragraph. Please punctuate equations as regular text.

This is the example 2 of equation:

$$a = b + c + d + e + f + g + h + i + j + k + l + m + n + o + p + q + r + s + t + u + v + w + x + y + z \tag{2}$$



Figure 2. This is a wide figure.

1 Please punctuate equations as regular text. Theorem-type environments (including
2 propositions, lemmas, corollaries etc.) can be formatted as follows:

3 **Theorem 1.** *Example text of a theorem.*

4 The text continues here. Proofs must be formatted as follows:

5 **Proof of Theorem 1.** Text of the proof. Note that the phrase “of Theorem 1” is optional if it
6 is clear which theorem is being referred to. □

7 The text continues here.

8 **4. Discussion**

9 Authors should discuss the results and how they can be interpreted from the perspec-
10 tive of previous studies and of the working hypotheses. The findings and their implications
11 should be discussed in the broadest context possible. Future research directions may also
12 be highlighted.

13 **5. Conclusions**

14 This section is not mandatory, but can be added to the manuscript if the discussion is
15 unusually long or complex.

16 **6. Patents**

17 This section is not mandatory, but may be added if there are patents resulting from the
18 work reported in this manuscript.

19 **Author Contributions:** For research articles with several authors, a short paragraph specifying their
20 individual contributions must be provided. The following statements should be used “Conceptualiza-
21 tion, X.X. and Y.Y.; methodology, X.X.; software, X.X.; validation, X.X., Y.Y. and Z.Z.; formal analysis,
22 X.X.; investigation, X.X.; resources, X.X.; data curation, X.X.; writing—original draft preparation,
23 X.X.; writing—review and editing, X.X.; visualization, X.X.; supervision, X.X.; project administration,
24 X.X.; funding acquisition, Y.Y. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the
25 manuscript.”, please turn to the [CRediT taxonomy](#) for the term explanation. Authorship must be
26 limited to those who have contributed substantially to the work reported.

27 **Funding:** Please add: “This research received no external funding” or “This research was funded
28 by NAME OF FUNDER grant number XXX.” and “The APC was funded by XXX”. Check
29 carefully that the details given are accurate and use the standard spelling of funding agency names at
30 <https://search.crossref.org/funding>, any errors may affect your future funding.

31 **Institutional Review Board Statement:** In this section, please add the Institutional Review Board
32 Statement and approval number for studies involving humans or animals. Please note that the
33 Editorial Office might ask you for further information. Please add “The study was conducted
34 according to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by the Institutional Review
35 Board (or Ethics Committee) of NAME OF INSTITUTE (protocol code XXX and date of approval).”
36 OR “Ethical review and approval were waived for this study, due to REASON (please provide a
37 detailed justification).” OR “Not applicable” for studies not involving humans or animals. You might
38 also choose to exclude this statement if the study did not involve humans or animals.

39 **Informed Consent Statement:** Any research article describing a study involving humans should
40 contain this statement. Please add “Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the
41 study.” OR “Patient consent was waived due to REASON (please provide a detailed justification).”
42 OR “Not applicable” for studies not involving humans. You might also choose to exclude this
43 statement if the study did not involve humans.

44 Written informed consent for publication must be obtained from participating patients who can
45 be identified (including by the patients themselves). Please state “Written informed consent has been
46 obtained from the patient(s) to publish this paper” if applicable.

47 **Data Availability Statement:** In this section, please provide details regarding where data supporting
48 reported results can be found, including links to publicly archived datasets analyzed or generated
49 during the study. Please refer to suggested Data Availability Statements in section “MDPI Research
50 Data Policies” at <https://www.mdpi.com/ethics>. You might choose to exclude this statement if the
51 study did not report any data.

52 **Acknowledgments:** In this section you can acknowledge any support given which is not covered by
53 the author contribution or funding sections. This may include administrative and technical support,
54 or donations in kind (e.g., materials used for experiments).

55 **Conflicts of Interest:** Declare conflicts of interest or state “The authors declare no conflict of interest.”
56 Authors must identify and declare any personal circumstances or interest that may be perceived as
57 inappropriately influencing the representation or interpretation of reported research results. Any role
58 of the funders in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses or interpretation of data; in the
59 writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to publish the results must be declared in this section.
60 If there is no role, please state “The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection,
61 analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to publish
62 the results”.

63 **Sample Availability:** Samples of the compounds ... are available from the authors.

64 Abbreviations

65 The following abbreviations are used in this manuscript:

66

	MDPI	Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute
	DOAJ	Directory of open access journals
67	TLA	Three letter acronym
	LD	Linear dichroism

68 Appendix A

69 Appendix A.1

70 The appendix is an optional section that can contain details and data supplemental to
 71 the main text—for example, explanations of experimental details that would disrupt the
 72 flow of the main text but nonetheless remain crucial to understanding and reproducing
 73 the research shown; figures of replicates for experiments of which representative data are
 74 shown in the main text can be added here if brief, or as Supplementary Data. Mathematical
 75 proofs of results not central to the paper can be added as an appendix.

Table A1. This is a table caption. Tables should be placed in the main text near to the first time they are cited.

Title 1	Title 2	Title 3
Entry 1	Data	Data
Entry 2	Data	Data

76 Appendix B

77 All appendix sections must be cited in the main text. In the appendices, Figures, Tables,
 78 etc. should be labeled, starting with “A”—e.g., Figure A1, Figure A2, etc.

References

1. Author 1, T. The title of the cited article. *Journal Abbreviation* **2008**, *10*, 142–149.
2. Author 2, L. The title of the cited contribution. In *The Book Title*; Editor1, F., Editor2, A., Eds.; Publishing House: City, Country, 2007; pp. 32–58.
3. Author 1, A.; Author 2, B. *Book Title*, 3rd ed.; Publisher: Publisher Location, Country, 2008; pp. 154–196.
4. Author 1, A.B.; Author 2, C. Title of Unpublished Work. *Abbreviated Journal Name* stage of publication (under review; accepted; in press).
5. Author 1, A.B. (University, City, State, Country); Author 2, C. (Institute, City, State, Country). Personal communication, 2012.
6. Author 1, A.B.; Author 2, C.D.; Author 3, E.F. Title of Presentation. In Title of the Collected Work (if available), Proceedings of the Name of the Conference, Location of Conference, Country, Date of Conference; Editor 1, Editor 2, Eds. (if available); Publisher: City, Country, Year (if available); Abstract Number (optional), Pagination (optional).
7. Author 1, A.B. Title of Thesis. Level of Thesis, Degree-Granting University, Location of University, Date of Completion.
8. Title of Site. Available online: URL (accessed on Day Month Year).