

# CATEGORY-THEORETIC RECONSTRUCTION OF SCHEMES FROM CATEGORIES OF REDUCED SCHEMES

TOMOKI YUJI

ABSTRACT. Let  $S$  be a locally Noetherian normal scheme and  $\diamond/S$  a set of properties of  $S$ -schemes. Then we shall write  $\mathbf{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$  for the full subcategory of the category of  $S$ -schemes  $\mathbf{Sch}/S$  determined by the objects  $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$  that satisfy every property of  $\diamond/S$ . In the present paper, we shall mainly be concerned with the properties “reduced”, “quasi-compact over  $S$ ”, “quasi-separated over  $S$ ”, and “separated over  $S$ ”. We give a functorial category-theoretic algorithm for reconstructing  $S$  from the intrinsic structure of the abstract category  $\mathbf{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$ . This result is analogous to a result of Mochizuki [Mzk04] and may be regarded as a partial generalization of a result of de Bruyn [vDdB19] in the case where  $S$  is a locally Noetherian normal scheme.

## CONTENTS

Introduction	1
1. The Underlying Set	5
2. Regular Monomorphisms	10
3. Local Domains	13
4. The Underlying Topological Space	22
5. Locally of Finite Presentation Morphisms	29
6. The Projective Line	37
References	63

## INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mathbf{U}$  and  $\mathbf{V}$  be Grothendieck universes such that  $\mathbf{U} \in \mathbf{V}$ . Let  $S$  be a  $\mathbf{U}$ -small scheme. In the following, we shall use the term “scheme” to refer to a  $\mathbf{U}$ -small scheme. Let  $\diamond/S$  be a ( $\mathbf{V}$ -small) set of properties of  $S$ -schemes. We shall write

$$\mathbf{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$$

for the full subcategory of the ( $\mathbf{V}$ -small) category of  $S$ -schemes  $\mathbf{Sch}/S$  determined by the objects  $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$  that satisfy every property of  $\diamond/S$ . In the present paper,

---

*E-mail address:* [math@yujitomo.com](mailto:math@yujitomo.com).

*Date:* January 23, 2024.

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification.* primary: 14A15, secondary: 14A25.

*Key Words and Phrases.* Category-theoretic Reconstruction; schemes; Hom-schemes; rigidity; regular monomorphisms; ring schemes.

we shall mainly be concerned with the properties

“red”, “qcpt”, “qsep”, “sep”

of  $S$ -schemes, i.e., “reduced”, “quasi-compact over  $S$ ”, “quasi-separated over  $S$ ”, and “separated over  $S$ ”. If  $\diamond/S = \emptyset$ , then we simply write  $\text{Sch}_{/S}$  for  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$ .

In the present paper, we consider the problem of reconstructing the scheme  $S$  from the intrinsic structure of the abstract category  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$ . In [Mzk04], Mochizuki gave a solution to this problem in the case where  $S$  is locally Noetherian, and  $\diamond/S = \text{“of finite type over } S\text{”}$ . In [vDdB19], van Dobben de Bruyn gave a solution to this problem in the case where  $S$  is an arbitrary scheme, and  $\diamond/S = \emptyset$ . The techniques applied in [vDdB19] make essential use of the existence of *non-reduced schemes* in  $\text{Sch}_{/S}$ . By contrast, in the present paper, we focus on the problem of reconstructing the scheme  $S$  from categories of  $S$ -schemes that only contain *reduced  $S$ -schemes*, hence rely on techniques that differ essentially from the techniques applied in [vDdB19].

If  $X, Y$  are objects of a ( $\mathbf{V}$ -small) category  $\mathcal{C}$ , then we shall write  $\text{Isom}(X, Y)$  for the set of isomorphisms from  $X$  to  $Y$ . By a slight abuse of notation, we shall also regard this set as a discrete category. If  $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}$  are ( $\mathbf{V}$ -small) categories, then we shall write  $\mathbf{Isom}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$  for the ( $\mathbf{V}$ -small) category of equivalences  $\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}$  and natural isomorphisms. If  $\mathcal{C}$  is a ( $\mathbf{V}$ -small) category, and  $X$  is an object of  $\mathcal{C}$ , then we shall write  $\mathcal{C}_{/X}$  for the slice category of objects and morphisms equipped with a structure morphism to  $X$ . If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a morphism in a ( $\mathbf{V}$ -small) category  $\mathcal{C}$  which is closed under fiber products, then we shall write  $f^* : \mathcal{C}_{/Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{/X}$  for the functor induced by the operation of base-change, via  $f$ , from  $X$  to  $Y$ .

Our main result is the following:

**Theorem A.**

(i) (*Reconstruction 6.22*)

Let  $S$  be a locally Noetherian normal ( $\mathbf{U}$ -small) scheme,

$$\diamond \subset \{\text{red, qcpt, qsep, sep}\}$$

a [possibly empty] subset such that  $\{\text{qsep, sep}\} \not\subset \diamond$ . Then the following may be constructed category-theoretically from  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$  by means of algorithms that are independent of the choice of the subset  $\diamond \subset \{\text{red, qcpt, qsep, sep}\}$ :

- (a) for each object  $T$  of  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$ , a ( $\mathbf{V}$ -small) scheme  $T_{\mathbf{V}}$  and an isomorphism of ( $\mathbf{V}$ -small) schemes  $\eta_T : T_{\mathbf{V}} \xrightarrow{\sim} T$  (where we note that a  $\mathbf{U}$ -small scheme is, in particular,  $\mathbf{V}$ -small), and
- (b) for each morphism  $f : T_1 \rightarrow T_2$  of  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$ , a morphism of ( $\mathbf{V}$ -small) schemes  $f_{\mathbf{V}} : T_{1, \mathbf{V}} \rightarrow T_{2, \mathbf{V}}$  such that  $\eta_{T_2} \circ f_{\mathbf{V}} = f \circ \eta_{T_1}$ .

(ii) (*Corollary 4.11*)

Let  $S, T$  be quasi-separated ( $\mathbf{U}$ -small) schemes,

$$\diamond, \diamond' \subset \{\text{red, qcpt, qsep, sep}\}$$

[possibly empty] subsets such that  $\{\text{qsep, sep}\} \not\subset \diamond$ ,  $\{\text{qsep, sep}\} \not\subset \diamond'$ . Then if the ( $\mathbf{V}$ -small) categories  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$ ,  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond'/T}$  are equivalent, then  $\diamond = \diamond'$ .

(iii) (*Theorem 6.28*)

Let  $S, T$  be locally Noetherian normal ( $\mathbf{U}$ -small) schemes,

$$\diamond \subset \{\text{red, qcpt, qsep, sep}\}$$

a [possibly empty] subset such that  $\{\text{qsep}, \text{sep}\} \not\subset \blacklozenge$ . Then the natural functor

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Isom}(S, T) &\rightarrow \mathbf{Isom}(\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/T}, \text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}) \\ f &\mapsto f^* \end{aligned}$$

is an equivalence of ( $\mathbf{V}$ -small) categories.

Our proof of [Theorem A](#) proceeds by giving category-theoretic characterizations of various properties of schemes and morphisms of schemes as follows:

- In [Section 1](#), we give a category-theoretic characterization of the objects of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  whose underlying scheme is isomorphic to the spectrum of a field and use this characterization to give a functorial category-theoretic algorithm for reconstructing the underlying set of the objects of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  from the intrinsic structure of the abstract category  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ .
- In [Section 2](#), we consider various scheme-theoretic properties of regular monomorphisms in  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ . These properties will play an important role in [Section 3](#) and [Section 4](#).
- In [Section 3](#), we give a category-theoretic characterization of the objects of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  whose underlying scheme is isomorphic to the spectrum of a local domain. This characterization is closely related to the category-theoretic characterization of specialization and generization relations between points of a scheme and also yields a category-theoretic characterization of the objects of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  whose underlying scheme is irreducible, as well as the objects of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  whose underlying scheme is isomorphic to the spectrum of a local ring.
- In [Section 4](#), by using the method of [[vDdB19](#), Lemma 3.7], we give a category-theoretic characterization of the morphisms of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  whose underlying morphism of schemes is a closed immersion. We then use this characterization to give a functorial category-theoretic algorithm for reconstructing the underlying topological space of the objects of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  from the intrinsic structure of the abstract category  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ .
- In [Section 5](#), we give a category-theoretic characterization of the morphisms of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  whose underlying morphism of schemes is locally of finite presentation. This characterization also yields a category-theoretic characterization of the morphisms of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  whose underlying morphism of schemes is proper and of finite presentation. These properties form the foundation for the discussion in [Section 6](#).
- In [Section 6](#), we give a category-theoretic characterization of the objects of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  whose underlying  $S$ -scheme is isomorphic to the projective line  $\mathbb{P}_S^1$ . We then use this characterization to give a functorial category-theoretic algorithm for reconstructing the underlying schemes of the objects of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  from the intrinsic structure of the abstract category  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ . In addition, we discuss some rigidity results related to the various reconstruction algorithms developed in the present paper.

Finally, we remark that, in the above discussion, as well as throughout the present paper, *category-theoretic reconstruction algorithms* are to be understood as algorithms that are *independent* of the choice of the subset  $\blacklozenge \subset \{\text{red}, \text{qcpt}, \text{qsep}, \text{sep}\}$ .

**Acknowledgements.** I would like to thank my advisor S. Mochizuki for constant support and helpful conversations. Also I would like to thank Professor Y. Hoshi and Professor S. Yasuda for giving me advice on this paper and my research.

**Notations and Conventions.**

Let  $\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V}$  be Grothendieck universes such that  $\mathbf{U} \in \mathbf{V}$ . The notation  $\mathbb{Z}$  will be used to denote the ring of integers. We shall use the notation

$$\mathbf{Set}_{\mathbf{V}}, \mathbf{Top}_{\mathbf{V}}, \mathbf{Ring}_{\mathbf{V}}, \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbf{V}}$$

to denote, respectively, the categories of  $\mathbf{V}$ -small sets,  $\mathbf{V}$ -small topological spaces,  $\mathbf{V}$ -small rings, and  $\mathbf{V}$ -small schemes. We shall use similar notation with the subscript  $\mathbf{V}$  omitted to denote the corresponding category of  $\mathbf{U}$ -small objects. In the following, we shall use the term “scheme” to refer to a  $\mathbf{U}$ -small scheme.

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a ( $\mathbf{V}$ -small) category. We shall write  $\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}$  for the opposite category associated to  $\mathcal{C}$ . If  $X$  is an object of  $\mathcal{C}$ , then we shall write  $\mathcal{C}_{/X}$  for the slice category of objects and morphisms equipped with a structure morphism to  $X$ .

Let  $\diamond/S$  be a ( $\mathbf{V}$ -small) set of properties of  $S$ -schemes. Then we shall write

$$\mathbf{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$$

for the full subcategory of the ( $\mathbf{V}$ -small) category of  $S$ -schemes  $\mathbf{Sch}_{/S}$  determined by the objects  $X$  of  $\mathbf{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$  that satisfy every property of  $\diamond/S$ . In the present paper, we shall mainly be concerned with the properties

$$\text{“red”}, \text{“qcpt”}, \text{“qsep”}, \text{“sep”}$$

of  $S$ -schemes, i.e., “reduced”, “quasi-compact over  $S$ ”, “quasi-separated over  $S$ ”, and “separated over  $S$ ”. Thus, if  $\diamond/S = \emptyset$ , then  $\mathbf{Sch}_{/S} = \mathbf{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$ . In the remainder of the present paper,

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{we fix subsets } \diamond, \diamond \subset \{\text{red, qcpt, qsep, sep}\} \text{ such that } \{\text{qsep, sep}\} \not\subset \\ &\diamond, \{\text{qsep, sep}\} \not\subset \diamond. \end{aligned}$$

We shall write  $\lim^{\diamond}, \text{colim}^{\diamond}, \times^{\diamond}, \coprod^{\diamond}$  for the (inverse) limit, colimit, fiber product, and push-out in  $\mathbf{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$ . We shall write  $\lim, \text{colim}, \times, \coprod$  for the (inverse) limit, colimit, fiber product, and push-out in  $\mathbf{Sch}$ . By a slight abuse of notation, we shall use the notation  $\emptyset$  to denote the initial object (i.e., the empty scheme) of  $\mathbf{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$  or  $\mathbf{Sch}_{/S}$ .

Let  $S$  be a scheme,  $Z' \rightarrow Z$  a morphism in  $\mathbf{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$ , and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  a morphism over  $Z$  in  $\mathbf{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$ . We shall write  $X_{\diamond, Z'}$  for the base-change (if it exists) of  $X$  by the morphism  $Z' \rightarrow Z$  in  $\mathbf{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$ . We shall write  $f_{\diamond, Z'}$  for the base-change (if it exists) of  $f$  by the morphism  $Z' \rightarrow Z$  in  $\mathbf{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$ . Let  $F \in \{X, f\}$ . If  $Z' = \text{Spec}(A)$ , then we shall also write  $F_{\diamond, A}$  for  $F_{\diamond, Z'}$ . If  $Z' = \text{Spec}(k(z))$ , where  $k(z)$  denotes the residue field of  $z \in Z$ , then we shall also write  $F_{\diamond, z}$  for  $F_{\diamond, Z'}$ . If  $\diamond = \emptyset$ , then we shall simply write  $F_{Z'}$  for  $F_{\diamond, Z'}$ .

If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a morphism of schemes, and  $Z = \text{Spec}(k(x))$  is the spectrum of the residue field  $k(x)$  of  $X$  at a point  $x \in X$ , we shall write  $f|_x$  for the composite of the natural morphism  $Z \rightarrow X$  with  $f$ . If  $f : \text{Spec}(A) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(B)$  is a morphism of affine schemes, then we shall write  $f^{\#} : B \rightarrow A$  for the ring homomorphism induced by  $f$ . By a slight abuse of notation, if  $f^{\#} : B \rightarrow A$  is a ring homomorphism, then we shall use the notation  $f$  to denote the corresponding morphism of schemes  $\text{Spec}(A) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(B)$ .

Let  $X$  be a scheme. Then we shall write  $\mathcal{O}_X$  for the structure sheaf of  $X$ . We shall write  $|X|$  for the underlying topological space of  $X$ . If the underlying set of  $|X|$  is of cardinality 1, then we shall write  $*_X$  for the unique element of the underlying set of  $X$ . If  $F \subset |X|$  is a closed subset, then we shall write  $F_{\text{red}}$  for the reduced induced closed subscheme determined by  $F$  and  $X_{\text{red}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} |X|_{\text{red}}$ . If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a morphism of schemes, then we shall write  $f_{\text{red}} : X_{\text{red}} \rightarrow Y_{\text{red}}$  for the morphism induced by  $f$ . For points  $x, y \in X$ , if  $x$  is a specialization of  $y$  (where we recall that this includes the case where  $x = y$ ), then we shall write  $y \rightsquigarrow x$ .

Let  $S$  be a scheme;  $\diamond \subset \{\text{red}, \text{qcpt}, \text{qsep}, \text{sep}\}$  a subset such that  $\{\text{qsep}, \text{sep}\} \not\subset \diamond$ ;  $I, J$  ( $\mathbf{U}$ -small) sets;  $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$  a ( $\mathbf{U}$ -small) family of objects of  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$  parametrized by  $I$ ;  $\{f_j\}_{j \in J}$  a ( $\mathbf{U}$ -small) family of morphisms in  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$  parametrized by  $J$ ;  $\mathcal{P}$  a property of schemes;  $\mathcal{Q}$  a property of ( $\mathbf{U}$ -small) families of schemes parametrized by  $I$  and ( $\mathbf{U}$ -small) families of morphisms of schemes parametrized by  $J$ . Assume that  $S$  satisfies property  $\mathcal{P}$ . Then we shall say that

the property that

$$(\{X_i\}_{i \in I}, \{f_j\}_{j \in J})$$

satisfies  $\mathcal{Q}$  may be *characterized category-theoretically* from the data

$$(\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}, \{X_i\}_{i \in I}, \{f_j\}_{j \in J})$$

if for any ( $\mathbf{U}$ -small) family of objects  $\{Y_i\}_{i \in I}$  of  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$  parametrized by  $I$ , any ( $\mathbf{U}$ -small) family of morphisms  $\{g_j\}_{j \in J}$  of  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$  parametrized by  $J$ , any scheme  $T$  which satisfies property  $\mathcal{P}$ , any subset  $\diamond \subset \{\text{red}, \text{qcpt}, \text{qsep}, \text{sep}\}$  such that  $\{\text{qsep}, \text{sep}\} \not\subset \diamond$ , and any equivalence  $F : \text{Sch}_{\diamond/S} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Sch}_{\diamond/T}$ ,

$$(\{Y_i\}_{i \in I}, \{f_j\}_{j \in J}) \text{ satisfies } \mathcal{Q} \iff (\{F(Y_i)\}_{i \in I}, \{F(g_j)\}_{j \in J}) \text{ satisfies } \mathcal{Q}.$$

## 1. THE UNDERLYING SET

In this section, we give a functorial category-theoretic algorithm for reconstructing the underlying set of the objects of  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$  from the intrinsic structure of the abstract category  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$ .

First, we note the following properties of fiber products in  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$ :

**Lemma 1.1.** *Let  $S$  be a scheme. Let  $Y \rightarrow X$  and  $Z \rightarrow X$  be morphisms in  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$ .*

- (i) *If  $\text{qcpt}, \text{red} \notin \diamond$ , then  $Y \times_X Z$  belongs to  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$ . In particular, the fiber product  $Y \times_X^\diamond Z$  exists in  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$  and is naturally isomorphic to  $Y \times_X Z$ .*
- (ii) *If  $\text{qcpt} \notin \diamond$  and  $\text{red} \in \diamond$ , then  $(Y \times_X Z)_{\text{red}}$  belongs to  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$ . In particular, the fiber product  $Y \times_X^\diamond Z$  exists in  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$  and is naturally isomorphic to  $(Y \times_X Z)_{\text{red}}$ .*

*Assume that either  $Y \rightarrow X$  or  $Z \rightarrow X$  is quasi-compact. Then the following hold:*

- (iii) *If  $\text{red} \notin \diamond$ , then  $Y \times_X Z$  belongs to  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$ . In particular, the fiber product  $Y \times_X^\diamond Z$  exists in  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$  and is naturally isomorphic to  $Y \times_X Z$ .*
- (iv) *If  $\text{red} \in \diamond$ , then  $(Y \times_X Z)_{\text{red}}$  belongs to  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$ . In particular, the fiber product  $Y \times_X^\diamond Z$  exists in  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$  and is naturally isomorphic to  $(Y \times_X Z)_{\text{red}}$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\text{qcpt} \in \diamond$  and either  $Y \rightarrow X$  or  $Z \rightarrow X$  is quasi-compact, then it is immediate that  $Y \times_X Z$  is quasi-compact over  $S$ . Recall that the natural morphism  $Y \times_X Z \rightarrow Y \times_S Z$  is an immersion, hence separated. Thus, if  $\text{sep} \in \diamond$  (respectively,

qsep  $\in \blacklozenge$ ), then since  $Y \times_S Z$  is separated (respectively, quasi-separated) over  $S$ ,  $Y \times_X Z$  is separated (respectively, quasi-separated) over  $S$ .

Thus, if  $\text{red} \notin \blacklozenge$ , then the fiber product  $Y \times_X Z$  belongs to  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ , which implies that  $Y \times_X^{\blacklozenge} Z$  exists in  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  and is naturally isomorphic to  $Y \times_X Z$ . This completes the proof of (i) and (iii).

Suppose that  $\text{red} \in \blacklozenge$ . Then any morphism  $f : W \rightarrow Y \times_X Z$  from a reduced scheme  $W$  factors uniquely through the closed subscheme  $(Y \times_X Z)_{\text{red}} \subset Y \times_X Z$ . Thus, since  $(Y \times_X Z)_{\text{red}}$  belongs to  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ , we conclude that  $Y \times_X^{\blacklozenge} Z$  exists in  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  and is naturally isomorphic to  $(Y \times_X Z)_{\text{red}}$ . This completes the proof of (ii) and (iv).  $\square$

Next, we consider a category-theoretic characterization of the objects of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  whose underlying set is of cardinality 1.

**Lemma 1.2.** *Let  $S$  be a scheme. Let  $X$  be a non-initial object of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ . Then  $|X|$  is of cardinality 1 if and only if the following condition does **not** hold:*

( $\dagger$ ) *there exist objects  $Y, Z \neq \emptyset$  in  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/X}$  such that  $Y \times_X^{\blacklozenge} Z = \emptyset$ .*

*In particular, the property that  $|X|$  is of cardinality 1 may be characterized category-theoretically from the data  $(\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}, X)$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that there exist two points  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  such that  $x_1 \neq x_2$ . Then  $\text{Spec } k(x_1) \times_X^{\blacklozenge} \text{Spec } k(x_2) = \emptyset$  (where we note that both  $\text{Spec } k(x_1)$  and  $\text{Spec } k(x_2)$  belong to  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ ). Hence  $X$  satisfies the condition ( $\dagger$ ).

Assume that  $X$  satisfies the condition ( $\dagger$ ), i.e., that there exist  $f : Y \rightarrow X, g : Z \rightarrow X$  such that  $Y \times_X^{\blacklozenge} Z = \emptyset$  and  $Y, Z \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $Y, Z \neq \emptyset$ , we may assume without loss of generality that  $|Y|, |Z|$  are of cardinality 1. To prove that  $X$  has two distinct points, it suffices to prove that  $f(Y) \cap g(Z) = \emptyset$ . Observe that it follows from Lemma 1.1 that  $(Y \times_X Z)_{\text{red}} = (Y \times_X^{\blacklozenge} Z)_{\text{red}} = \emptyset$ . Hence for any point  $x \in X$ , the equality

$$(Y_x \times_{\text{Spec } k(x)} Z_x)_{\text{red}} = ((Y \times_X Z)_{\text{red}})_{x, \text{red}} = \emptyset$$

holds. On the other hand, if  $f(Y) \cap g(Z) \neq \emptyset$ , then for any point  $x \in f(Y) \cap g(Z)$ , it holds that  $(Y_x \times_{\text{Spec } k(x)} Z_x)_{\text{red}} \neq \emptyset$ , in contradiction to the displayed equality.  $\square$

Next, we consider a category-theoretic reconstruction of the underlying sets of the objects of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ .

**Reconstruction 1.3.** Let  $S$  be a scheme. Let  $X$  be an object of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ . We define a (**V**-small) set

$$\text{Pt}_{\blacklozenge/S}(X) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ p_Z : Z \rightarrow X \mid |Z| \text{ is of cardinality } 1 \} / \sim,$$

where

$$(p_Z : Z \rightarrow X) \sim (p_{Z'} : Z' \rightarrow X) \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} Z \times_{p_Z, X, p_{Z'}}^{\blacklozenge} Z' \neq \emptyset$$

(this fiber product exists in  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ , cf. Lemma 1.1 (iii) (iv)). Then the set  $\text{Pt}_{\blacklozenge/S}(X)$  is defined by means of properties that may be characterized category-theoretically (cf. Lemma 1.2) from the data  $(\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}, X)$ . Since  $|Z|$  is of cardinality 1,  $p_Z$  defines a point  $p_Z(*_Z) \in X$ . Furthermore, it follows immediately from Lemma 1.1 that

$$(\dagger) \quad p_Z \sim p_{Z'} \iff p_Z(*_Z) = p_{Z'}(*_{Z'}).$$

In particular, the map

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_X : \mathrm{Pt}_{\blacklozenge/S}(X) &\rightarrow |X| \\ [p_Z] &\mapsto p_Z(*_Z) \end{aligned}$$

is well-defined and injective. On the other hand, for a point  $x \in X$ , the equality  $\eta_X([\mathrm{Spec} k(x) \rightarrow X]) = x$  holds. Hence  $\eta_X$  is a bijection.

Let  $(f : X \rightarrow Y)$  be a morphism in  $\mathrm{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ . We define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Pt}_{\blacklozenge/S}(f) : \mathrm{Pt}_{\blacklozenge/S}(X) &\rightarrow \mathrm{Pt}_{\blacklozenge/S}(Y) \\ [p_Z] &\mapsto [f \circ p_Z]. \end{aligned}$$

It follows immediately from (†) that the map  $\mathrm{Pt}_{\blacklozenge/S}(f)$  is well-defined. Hence we obtain a functor  $\mathrm{Pt}_{\blacklozenge/S} : \mathrm{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S} \rightarrow \mathrm{Set}_{\mathbf{v}}$ .

Let  $\star \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathrm{Set}$  or  $\mathrm{Set}_{\mathbf{v}}$ . We define

$$(‡) \quad \begin{aligned} U_{\blacklozenge/S}^{\star} : \mathrm{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S} &\rightarrow \star \\ X &\mapsto |X|. \end{aligned}$$

Write  $i_{\mathbf{U} \in \mathbf{V}}^{\mathrm{Set}} : \mathrm{Set} \rightarrow \mathrm{Set}_{\mathbf{v}}$  for the natural inclusion. Then the equality  $U_{\blacklozenge/S}^{\mathrm{Set}_{\mathbf{v}}} = i_{\mathbf{U} \in \mathbf{V}}^{\mathrm{Set}} \circ U_{\blacklozenge/S}^{\mathrm{Set}}$  holds. It follows from the equivalence (†), together with the definitions of  $\eta_X$  and  $\mathrm{Pt}_{\blacklozenge/S}(f)$ , that the following diagram commutes:

$$(††) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Pt}_{\blacklozenge/S}(X) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Pt}_{\blacklozenge/S}(f)} & \mathrm{Pt}_{\blacklozenge/S}(Y) \\ \eta_X \downarrow & & \downarrow \eta_Y \\ |X| & \longrightarrow & |Y|. \end{array}$$

Thus we obtain an isomorphism of functors  $\eta : \mathrm{Pt}_{\blacklozenge/S} \xrightarrow{\sim} U_{\blacklozenge/S}^{\mathrm{Set}_{\mathbf{v}}} = i_{\mathbf{U} \in \mathbf{V}}^{\mathrm{Set}} \circ U_{\blacklozenge/S}^{\mathrm{Set}}$ .

Since the functor  $\mathrm{Pt}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  is defined category-theoretically from the data  $\mathrm{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ , the following lemma holds:

**Lemma 1.4.** *Let  $S, T$  be schemes and  $F : \mathrm{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Sch}_{\diamond/T}$  an equivalence. Then  $S, T, F$  determine an isomorphism  $\rho^{\mathrm{Pt}} : \mathrm{Pt}_{\blacklozenge/S} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Pt}_{\diamond/S} \circ F$  between the two composite functors of the following diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S} & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathrm{Sch}_{\diamond/T} \\ \mathrm{Pt}_{\blacklozenge/S} \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathrm{Pt}_{\diamond/T} \\ \mathrm{Set}_{\mathbf{v}} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathrm{Set}_{\mathbf{v}}. \end{array}$$

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be an object of  $\mathrm{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ . We define a map

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_X : \mathrm{Pt}_{\blacklozenge/S}(X) &\rightarrow \mathrm{Pt}_{\diamond/T}(F(X)) \\ [p_Z : Z \rightarrow X] &\mapsto [F(p_Z) : F(Z) \rightarrow F(X)]. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 1.2,  $|F(Z)|$  is of cardinality 1. Since  $F$  is an equivalence,

$$Z \times_X^{\blacklozenge} Z \neq \emptyset \iff F(Z) \times_{F(X)}^{\diamond} F(Z') \neq \emptyset.$$

Hence  $\rho_X$  is well-defined and injective. If  $Z'$  is an object of  $\mathrm{Sch}_{\diamond/T}$  whose underlying set is of cardinality 1,  $Z' \rightarrow F(X)$  is a morphism of  $\mathrm{Sch}_{\diamond/T}$ , and  $F^{-1}$  is a quasi-inverse of  $F$ , then it follows immediately that  $\rho_X([F^{-1}(Z') \rightarrow X]) = [Z' \rightarrow F(X)]$ . Hence  $\rho_X$  is a bijection.

To complete the proof of [Lemma 1.4](#), it suffices to prove that for any morphism  $(f : X \rightarrow Y) \in \mathbf{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ , the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Pt}_{\blacklozenge/S}(X) & \xrightarrow{\rho_X} & \mathbf{Pt}_{\blacklozenge/T}(F(X)) \\ \mathbf{Pt}_{\blacklozenge/S}(f) \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathbf{Pt}_{\blacklozenge/T}(F(f)) \\ \mathbf{Pt}_{\blacklozenge/S}(Y) & \xrightarrow{\rho_Y} & \mathbf{Pt}_{\blacklozenge/T}(F(Y)) \end{array}$$

Let  $p_Z : Z \rightarrow X$  be a morphism in  $\mathbf{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  such that  $Z$  is of cardinality 1. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_Y(\mathbf{Pt}_{\blacklozenge/S}(f)([p_Z])) &= \rho_Y([f \circ p_Z]) = [F(f \circ p_Z)] = [F(f) \circ F(p_Z)] \\ &= \mathbf{Pt}_{\blacklozenge/T}(F(f))([F(p_Z)]) = \mathbf{Pt}_{\blacklozenge/T}(F(f))(\rho_X([p_Z])). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of [Lemma 1.4](#).  $\square$

**Corollary 1.5.** *Let  $S, T$  be schemes and  $F : \mathbf{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/T}$  an equivalence. Then the following diagram commutes up to isomorphism:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S} & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathbf{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/T} \\ U_{\blacklozenge/S}^{\text{Set}} \downarrow & & \downarrow U_{\blacklozenge/T}^{\text{Set}} \\ \mathbf{Set} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathbf{Set}. \end{array}$$

*Proof.* It follows from [Lemma 1.4](#) and [Reconstruction 1.3](#) that we have natural isomorphisms

$$i_{\mathbf{U} \in \mathbf{V}}^{\text{Set}} \circ U_{\blacklozenge/S}^{\text{Set}} \xleftarrow{\sim} \mathbf{Pt}_{\blacklozenge/S} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{Pt}_{\blacklozenge/T} \circ F \xrightarrow{\sim} i_{\mathbf{U} \in \mathbf{V}}^{\text{Set}} \circ U_{\blacklozenge/T}^{\text{Set}} \circ F.$$

Since  $i_{\mathbf{U} \in \mathbf{V}}^{\text{Set}}$  is fully faithful, the composite isomorphism of the above display determines a natural isomorphism  $U_{\blacklozenge/S}^{\text{Set}} \xrightarrow{\sim} U_{\blacklozenge/T}^{\text{Set}} \circ F$ .  $\square$

In the following discussion, we shall use the isomorphism of functors  $\eta$  constructed in [Reconstruction 1.3](#) to *identify* (until further notice)  $\mathbf{Pt}_{\blacklozenge/S}(-)$  with  $|-|$ .

**Corollary 1.6.** *Let  $S$  be a scheme. Let  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  be a morphism of  $\mathbf{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ ,  $x \in |X|$ . Then:*

- (i) *The property that  $f$  is surjective may be characterized category-theoretically from the data  $(\mathbf{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}, f : Y \rightarrow X)$ .*
- (ii) *The property that  $x \in \text{Im}(f)$  may be characterized category-theoretically from the data  $(\mathbf{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}, f : Y \rightarrow X, x)$ .*

*Proof.* [Corollary 1.6](#) follows immediately from [Corollary 1.5](#).  $\square$

In the remainder of this section, we consider a category-theoretic characterization of the morphisms of  $\mathbf{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  whose underlying morphism of schemes is isomorphic to the natural morphism to a scheme from the spectrum of the residue field at a point of the scheme.

**Lemma 1.7.** *Let  $S$  be a scheme. Let  $X$  be an object of  $\mathbf{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ . Then  $X$  is isomorphic to the spectrum of a field if and only if the following conditions hold:*

- (i)  *$|X|$  is of cardinality 1;*
- (ii) *every morphism  $f : Y \rightarrow X$ , where  $Y \neq \emptyset$ , is an epimorphism.*

*In particular, the property that  $X$  is isomorphic to the spectrum of a field may be characterized category-theoretically (cf. [Lemma 1.2](#)) from the data  $(\mathbf{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}, X)$ .*



*Proof.* First, we prove necessity. Assume that  $X$  is isomorphic to the spectrum of a field. It follows immediately that condition (i) holds. Next, we prove that  $X$  satisfies condition (ii). Let  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  be a morphism, where  $Y \neq \emptyset$ , and  $V = \text{Spec } B$  an affine open subscheme of  $Y$ . To prove that  $f$  is an epimorphism, it suffices to prove that  $f|_V$  is an epimorphism. Hence we may assume that  $Y = \text{Spec } B$  is affine. Since  $k = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  is a field, the ring morphism  $f^\# : k \rightarrow B$  is injective. Let  $Z$  be an object of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  and  $g, h : X \rightrightarrows Z$  two morphisms such that  $g \circ f = h \circ f$ . Then it suffices to prove that  $g = h$ . Since  $Y \neq \emptyset$ , it follows immediately that  $f$  is surjective on the underlying sets, hence that  $g$  and  $h$  induce the same morphism on the underlying sets. Since  $|X|$  is of cardinality 1,  $g$  and  $h$  factor through an affine open subscheme  $\text{Spec } C$  of  $Z$ . Hence we may assume that  $Z = \text{Spec } C$  is affine. Observe that it follows from the equality  $g \circ f = h \circ f$  that  $f^\# \circ g^\# = f^\# \circ h^\#$ . Thus, since  $f^\#$  is injective, we conclude that  $g^\# = h^\#$ , i.e., that  $g = h$  holds.

Next, we prove sufficiency. Assume that  $X$  satisfies conditions (i) and (ii). It follows immediately from condition (i) that  $X$  is an affine scheme. Assume that  $A = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  is not a field. Then the natural surjection  $f^\# : A \rightarrow k \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} A/\sqrt{0}_A$ , where  $\sqrt{0}_A$  denotes the nilradical of  $A$ , is not injective. Write  $q^\#, r^\# : A' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} A \times_k A \rightrightarrows A$  for the two projections. Since  $f^\#$  is not injective,  $q^\# \neq r^\#$ . Thus, since the equality  $f^\# \circ q^\# = f^\# \circ r^\#$  holds, we conclude that  $f$  is not an epimorphism, in contradiction to our assumption that  $X$  satisfies condition (ii). This contradiction implies that  $A$  is a field, as desired.  $\square$

**Lemma 1.8.** *Let  $S$  be a scheme. Let  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  be a morphism of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ . Then  $f$  is isomorphic as an object of  $\text{Sch}/X$  to the object of  $\text{Sch}/X$  that arises from the natural morphism to  $X$  from the spectrum of the residue field at a point of  $X$  if and only if the following conditions hold:*

- (i)  $Y$  is isomorphic to the spectrum of a field;
- (ii) if  $g : Z \rightarrow X$  is a morphism of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  such that  $Z$  is isomorphic to the spectrum of a field and  $Y \times_X^\blacklozenge Z \neq \emptyset$ , then there exists a unique morphism  $h : Z \rightarrow Y$  such that  $g = f \circ h$ .

*In particular, the property that  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  is isomorphic as an object of  $\text{Sch}/X$  to the object of  $\text{Sch}/X$  that arises from the natural morphism to  $X$  from the spectrum of the residue field at a point of  $X$  may be characterized category-theoretically (cf. Lemma 1.7) from the data  $(\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}, f : Y \rightarrow X)$ .*

*Proof.* First, we prove necessity. Assume that there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $f$  is isomorphic as an object of  $\text{Sch}/X$  to the object of  $\text{Sch}/X$  that arises from the natural morphism  $\text{Spec } k(x) \rightarrow X$ . Then  $Y \cong \text{Spec } k(x)$  is isomorphic to the spectrum of a field. Hence condition (i) holds. Next, we prove that  $f$  satisfies condition (ii). Let  $g : Z \rightarrow X$  be a morphism of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  such that  $Z$  is isomorphic to the spectrum of a field and  $Y \times_X^\blacklozenge Z \neq \emptyset$ . Write  $p_Y : Y \times_X^\blacklozenge Z \rightarrow Y$  and  $p_Z : Y \times_X^\blacklozenge Z \rightarrow Z$  for the natural projections. Since  $Y \times_X^\blacklozenge Z \neq \emptyset$ , there exists a point  $w \in Y \times_X^\blacklozenge Z$ . Then  $x = f(*_Y) = f(p_Y(w)) = g(p_Z(w)) = g(*_Z)$ . Hence  $g$  factors uniquely through  $Y$ . Thus  $f$  satisfies condition (ii).

Next, we prove sufficiency. Assume that  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  satisfies conditions (i) and (ii). By condition (i), there exists a field  $K$  such that  $Y \cong \text{Spec } K$ . We set  $x \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f(*_Y)$ . Since the natural morphism  $g : Z = \text{Spec } k(x) \rightarrow X$  satisfies condition (ii), there exists  $h : Y \rightarrow Z$  such that  $g \circ h = f$ . Since  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  satisfies condition

(ii), there exists  $h' : Z \rightarrow Y$  such that  $g = f \circ h'$ . Hence  $f = f \circ h' \circ h$  and  $g = g \circ h \circ h'$ . Thus, it follows from the uniqueness portion of condition (ii) that  $h' \circ h = \text{id}_Y$  and  $h \circ h' = \text{id}_Z$ . Hence  $f$  is isomorphic as an object of  $\text{Sch}/X$  to the object of  $\text{Sch}/X$  that arises from the natural morphism  $g : \text{Spec } k(x) \rightarrow X$ .  $\square$

## 2. REGULAR MONOMORPHISMS

In this section, we study various scheme-theoretic properties of regular monomorphisms in  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  (cf. Lemma 2.8).

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism in a category  $\mathcal{C}$ . We shall say that  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a *regular monomorphism* if there exist two morphisms  $g, h : Y \rightrightarrows Z$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  such that  $f$  is the equalizer of  $g$  and  $h$ .

If, for a morphism of schemes  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , the push-out  $Y \amalg_X Y$  exists, then it is often the case that  $f$  is the equalizer of the two natural morphisms  $Y \rightrightarrows Y \amalg_X Y$ . Hence to study various properties of regular monomorphisms in  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ , it is important to study various properties of coproducts in  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ .

First, we note the following properties concerning coproducts in  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ :

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $S$  be a scheme. Let  $X, Y$  be objects of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ . Then  $X \amalg Y$  belongs to  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ . In particular, the coproduct  $X \amalg^{\blacklozenge} Y$  exists in  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  and is naturally isomorphic to  $X \amalg Y$ . In the following, we shall simply write  $\amalg$  for  $\amalg^{\blacklozenge}$ .*

*Proof.* Lemma 2.2 follows from the definition of the disjoint union of schemes.  $\square$

By applying the above lemma, we obtain the following category-theoretic characterization of the objects of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  whose underlying scheme is connected.

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let  $S$  be a scheme. Let  $X$  be an object of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ . Then  $X$  is connected if and only if, for any objects  $Y, Z$  of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ ,*

$$X \cong Y \amalg Z \Rightarrow Y \cong \emptyset \vee Z \cong \emptyset.$$

*In particular, the property that  $X$  is connected may be characterized category-theoretically from the data  $(\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}, X)$ .*

*Proof.* Corollary 2.3 follows from the definition of connectedness.  $\square$

Next, we study various properties of push-outs along open immersions.

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $S$  be a scheme. Let  $X$  be an  $S$ -scheme,  $U \subset X$  an open subscheme of  $X$ . Then the following hold:*

- (i) *The push-out  $X \amalg_U X$  exists in  $\text{Sch}/S$ .*
- (ii) *If  $X$  is reduced, then  $X \amalg_U X$  is reduced.*
- (iii) *If  $X$  is quasi-separated over  $S$ , and the inclusion  $U \subset X$  is a quasi-compact open immersion, then  $X \amalg_U X$  is quasi-separated over  $S$ .*
- (iv) *If  $X$  is quasi-compact over  $S$ , then  $X \amalg_U X$  is quasi-compact over  $S$ .*

*Proof.* Since the morphism  $U \hookrightarrow X$  is an open immersion, one may construct the push-out  $X \amalg_U X$  in  $\text{Sch}/S$  by glueing two copies of the scheme  $X$  along the open subscheme  $U \subset X$ . Assertions (i) and (ii) follow immediately.

Next, we prove assertion (iii). Since the property “quasi-separated over  $S$ ” is Zariski local on  $S$ , we may assume that  $S$  is an affine scheme. By [Stacks, Tag 01KO], it suffices to prove that if  $U_1, U_2 \subset X$  are affine open subsets, then

$U_1 \cap U_2$  and  $U_1 \cap U_2 \cap U$  are quasi-compact. Since  $X$  is quasi-separated,  $U_1 \cap U_2$  is quasi-compact. Furthermore, since the inclusion  $U \subset X$  is quasi-compact, the intersection  $U_1 \cap U_2 \cap U$  is quasi-compact. Hence  $X \coprod_U X$  is quasi-separated.

Finally, we prove assertion (iv). Since the property “quasi-compact over  $S$ ” is Zariski local on  $S$ , we may assume that  $S$  is an affine scheme. Then  $X$  is quasi-compact. Write  $i_1, i_2 : X \rightrightarrows X \coprod_U X$  for the two natural open immersions. Then  $X \coprod_U X$  is covered by the two quasi-compact open subschemes  $i_1(X)$  and  $i_2(X)$ . Hence  $X \coprod_U X$  is quasi-compact.  $\square$

Next, we note the following property concerning affine schemes of  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$ :

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $S$  be a quasi-separated scheme. Let  $X$  be a scheme over  $S$ . Then the following assertions hold:*

- (i) *If  $X$  is a quasi-compact scheme, then the structure morphism  $f : X \rightarrow S$  is quasi-compact. In particular, if  $X$  is an affine scheme, then the structure morphism  $f : X \rightarrow S$  is quasi-compact.*
- (ii) *If  $X$  is a separated (respectively, quasi-separated) scheme, then the structure morphism  $f : X \rightarrow S$  is separated (respectively, quasi-separated). In particular, if  $X$  is an affine scheme, then the structure morphism  $f : X \rightarrow S$  is separated, hence also quasi-separated.*

*Proof.* Assertion (i) follows immediately from [Stacks, Tag 03GI]. Assertion (ii) follows immediately from [Stacks, Tag 01KV].  $\square$

By applying Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.5, we obtain the following property concerning the existence of push-outs in  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$ :

**Corollary 2.6.** *Let  $S$  be a quasi-separated scheme. Let  $X$  be an object of  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$  and  $U$  an affine open subscheme of  $X$ . Suppose that  $\diamond = (\diamond \cup \{\text{qcpt, sep}\}) \setminus \{\text{qsep}\}$ . Then the following assertions hold:*

- (i)  *$U$  belongs to  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$ , hence, in particular, to  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$ .*
- (ii) *Assume that  $\text{sep} \notin \diamond$ . Then  $X \coprod_U X$  (cf. Lemma 2.4 (i)) belongs to  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$ . In particular, the push-out  $X \coprod_U^{\diamond} X$  exists in  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$  and is naturally isomorphic to  $X \coprod_U X$ .*

*Proof.* Assertion (i) follows immediately from Lemma 2.5 (i) and (ii). To prove assertion (ii), it suffices to prove that  $X \coprod_U X$  belongs to the category  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$ . If  $\text{qsep} \notin \diamond$ , then assertion (ii) follows from Lemma 2.4 (ii) and (iv). Assume that  $\text{qsep} \in \diamond$ . Since  $X$  is a quasi-separated scheme, and  $U$  is an affine scheme, the inclusion  $U \hookrightarrow X$  is a quasi-compact open immersion by Lemma 2.5 (i). Hence assertion (ii) follows from Lemma 2.4 (ii), (iii), and (iv).  $\square$

Next, we study the operation “ $(-)_{\text{red}}$ ” in the case of immersions.

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $S$  be a scheme. Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism of  $S$ -schemes.*

- (i) *If  $f$  is an immersion, then  $f_{\text{red}} : X_{\text{red}} \rightarrow Y_{\text{red}}$  is also an immersion.*
- (ii) *If  $f$  is a quasi-compact immersion, then  $f_{\text{red}} : X_{\text{red}} \rightarrow Y_{\text{red}}$  is also a quasi-compact immersion.*
- (iii) *If  $f$  is a closed immersion, then  $f_{\text{red}} : X_{\text{red}} \rightarrow Y_{\text{red}}$  is also a closed immersion.*

*Proof.* It suffices to prove that if  $f$  is quasi-compact, an open immersion or a closed immersion, then  $f_{\text{red}}$  is also quasi-compact, an open immersion or a closed immersion, respectively.

Since  $f_{\text{red}}$  and  $f$  induce same morphism of the underlying topological spaces, if  $f$  is quasi-compact, then  $f_{\text{red}}$  is also quasi-compact.

Assume that  $f$  is an open immersion. Then  $X_{\text{red}} = (|X|, \mathcal{O}_{Y_{\text{red}}}|_{|X|})$ . Hence  $f_{\text{red}}$  is also an open immersion.

Finally, if a ring homomorphism  $A \rightarrow B$  is surjective, then  $A/\sqrt{0} \rightarrow B/\sqrt{0}$  is also surjective. In particular, if  $f$  is a closed immersion, then  $f_{\text{red}}$  is also a closed immersion.  $\square$

Next, we study various scheme-theoretic properties of regular monomorphisms in  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$ .

**Lemma 2.8.** *Let  $S$  be a quasi-separated scheme. Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism of  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$ .*

- (i) *If  $f$  is a closed immersion, then  $f$  is a regular monomorphism in  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$ .*
- (ii) *If  $f$  is a regular monomorphism in  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$ , then  $f$  is an immersion.*
- (iii) *Assume that  $\text{qsep} \in \diamond$ . If  $f$  is a regular monomorphism in  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$ , then  $f$  is a quasi-compact immersion.*
- (iv) *Assume that  $\text{sep} \in \diamond$ . If  $f$  is a regular monomorphism in  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$ , then  $f$  is a closed immersion.*

*Proof.* First, we prove assertion (i). Assume that  $f$  is a closed immersion. Recall that by [Stacks, Tag 0E25], the scheme  $Y \amalg_X Y$  belongs to  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$ , and  $f$  is an equalizer of  $Y \rightrightarrows Y \amalg_X Y$  in  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$ . Hence  $f$  is a regular monomorphism in  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$ . This completes the proof of assertion (i).

Next, we prove assertions (ii), (iii), and (iv). Assume that there exist  $g, h : Y \rightrightarrows Z$  such that  $f$  is an equalizer of  $(g, h)$  in the category  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$ . Suppose that  $\diamond = \blacklozenge \setminus \{\text{qcpt}\}$ . Then  $f$  is an equalizer of  $(g, h)$  in the category  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$ . Indeed, suppose that  $f' : X' \rightarrow Y$  is a morphism in the category  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$  such that  $g \circ f' = h \circ f'$ . Since  $\blacklozenge \subset \diamond \cup \{\text{qcpt}, \text{sep}\}$ , by Corollary 2.6 (i), any affine open subscheme of  $X'$  belongs to  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ . Since  $f$  is an equalizer of  $(g, h)$  in the category  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ , for any open immersion  $i' : U' \rightarrow X'$  such that  $U'$  is affine, there exists a unique morphism  $p : U' \rightarrow X$  such that  $f \circ p = f' \circ i'$ . Thus, by allowing  $U'$  to vary over the affine open subschemes of  $X'$  and gluing together the resulting morphisms  $p : U' \rightarrow X$ , we obtain a unique morphism  $q : X' \rightarrow X$  such that  $f \circ q = f'$ . Hence  $f$  is an equalizer of  $(g, h)$  in the category  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$ .

By Lemma 1.1 (i) and (ii), the fiber product  $Z \times_S^{\diamond} Z$  exists in the category  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$ . Hence we may consider the diagonal morphism  $\Delta_Z : Z \rightarrow Z \times_S^{\diamond} Z$ . By Lemma 2.7 (i) (cf. also Lemma 1.1 (i) and (ii)),  $\Delta_Z$  is an immersion. Since  $f$  is the equalizer of  $(g, h)$  in the category  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$ ,  $f$  is the pull-back in the category  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$  of  $\Delta_Z$  along  $(g, h) : Y \rightarrow Z \times_S^{\diamond} Z$ . Hence  $f$  is an immersion. If, moreover,  $\text{qsep} \in \diamond$  (respectively,  $\text{sep} \in \diamond$ ), then by Lemma 2.7 (ii) and (iii) (cf. also Lemma 1.1 (i) and (ii)),  $\Delta_Z$ , hence also  $f$ , is a quasi-compact (respectively, closed) immersion. This completes the proof of assertions (ii), (iii), and (iv).  $\square$

Finally, we apply the theory developed thus far to conclude a category-theoretic characterization of reduced schemes.

**Corollary 2.9.** *Let  $S$  be a quasi-separated scheme. Let  $X$  be an object of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ . Then  $X$  is reduced if and only if every surjective regular monomorphism  $Y \rightarrow X$  in  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  is an isomorphism. In particular, the property that  $X$  is reduced may be characterized category-theoretically (cf. [Corollary 1.6 \(i\)](#)) from the data  $(\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}, X)$ .*

*Proof.* First, we prove necessity. Assume that  $X$  is reduced, and  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  is a surjective regular monomorphism in  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ . By [Lemma 2.8 \(ii\)](#),  $f$  is a surjective closed immersion. Thus, since  $X$  is reduced,  $f$  is an isomorphism. This completes the proof of necessity.

Next, we prove sufficiency. Assume that  $X$  is not reduced. Then the natural morphism  $f : X_{\text{red}} \rightarrow X$  is a surjective closed immersion, but not an isomorphism in  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ . Thus, by [Lemma 2.8 \(i\)](#), the closed immersion  $f$  is a surjective regular monomorphism, but not an isomorphism. This completes the proof of [Corollary 2.9](#).  $\square$

**Corollary 2.10.** *Let  $S$  be a quasi-separated scheme. Let  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  be a morphism in  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ . Then  $f$  is isomorphic to the natural morphism  $X_{\text{red}} \rightarrow X$  in  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/X}$  if and only if for any reduced object  $Z$  in  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  and any morphism  $g : Z \rightarrow X$  in  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ , there exists a unique morphism  $h : Z \rightarrow Y$  in  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  such that  $f \circ h = g$ . In particular, the property that  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  is isomorphic to the natural morphism  $X_{\text{red}} \rightarrow X$  in  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/X}$  may be characterized category-theoretically (cf. [Corollary 2.9](#)) from the data  $(\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}, f : Y \rightarrow X)$ .*

*Proof.* [Corollary 2.10](#) follows immediately from [Corollary 2.9](#).  $\square$

### 3. LOCAL DOMAINS

In this section, we give a category-theoretic characterization of the objects of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  whose underlying scheme is isomorphic to the spectrum of a local domain and a category-theoretic characterization of specialization and generization relations between points of a scheme.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $X$  be a scheme and  $x, y$  points of  $X$ .

- (1) We shall say that  $x$  is a *generic point* of  $X$  if, for any point  $x' \in X$  such that  $x' \rightsquigarrow x$ , it holds that  $x' = x$ .
- (2) We shall say that  $x, y$  are *comparable* if either  $x \rightsquigarrow y$  or  $y \rightsquigarrow x$ .
- (3) We shall say that  $X$  is *local* if there exists a point  $v \in X$  such that for any  $x' \in X$ ,  $x' \rightsquigarrow v$ .

**Remark 3.2.**

- (i) It is immediate that if  $X$  is local, then  $X$  has a unique closed point.
- (ii) If  $X$  is local, then every affine open neighborhood of the unique closed point of  $X$  is equal to  $X$ . Thus  $X$  is local if and only if  $X$  is isomorphic to the spectrum of a local ring.
- (iii) It is immediate that a point  $x \in X$  is closed if and only if every point  $y \in X$  such that  $x \rightsquigarrow y$  is equal to  $x$ .

**Definition 3.3.** Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism of local schemes. Then we shall say that  $f$  is *local* if  $f$  maps the unique closed point of  $X$  to the unique closed point of  $Y$ .

We will use the following property to give a category-theoretic characterization of the property “comparable”.

**Definition 3.4.** Let  $S$  be a scheme. Let  $X$  be an object of  $\mathbf{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  and  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ . Write  $f_1 : \mathrm{Spec}(k(x_1)) \rightarrow X, f_2 : \mathrm{Spec}(k(x_2)) \rightarrow X$  for the natural morphisms determined by the points  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ . We shall say that the triple  $(X, x_1, x_2)$  is *strongly local* in  $\mathbf{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  if the following conditions hold:

- (i)  $X$  is connected.
- (ii) For any regular monomorphism  $f : Z \rightarrow X$ , if  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathrm{Im}(f)$ , then  $f$  is an isomorphism.
- (iii) The morphism  $f_1 \amalg f_2 : \mathrm{Spec}(k(x_1)) \amalg \mathrm{Spec}(k(x_2)) \rightarrow X$  determined by  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  is an epimorphism.
- (iv) The morphism  $f_1$  is a regular monomorphism.
- (v) For any regular monomorphism  $f : Z \rightarrow X$ , if  $x_1 \notin \mathrm{Im}(f)$  and  $Z \neq \emptyset$ , then the morphism  $f \amalg f_1 : Z \amalg \mathrm{Spec}(k(x_1)) \rightarrow X$  determined by  $f$  and  $f_1$  is **not** a regular monomorphism.

Thus the property that  $(X, x_1, x_2)$  is strongly local is defined completely in terms of properties that may be characterized category-theoretically (cf. [Reconstruction 1.3](#), [Corollary 1.6 \(ii\)](#), [Lemma 1.8](#), [Corollary 2.3](#)) from the data  $(\mathbf{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}, (X, x_1, x_2))$  (where we note that by using the result of [Reconstruction 1.3](#), the datum  $(X, x_1, x_2)$  may be regarded as a category-theoretic datum).

Next, we study basic properties of strongly local triples.

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $S$  be a quasi-separated scheme. Let  $X$  be an object of  $\mathbf{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  and  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ . Suppose that  $(X, x_1, x_2)$  is strongly local in  $\mathbf{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ . Then the following assertions hold:*

- (i)  $X$  is isomorphic to the spectrum of a local domain.
- (ii) One of  $x_1, x_2$  is the closed point, and the other is the generic point. In particular,  $x_1, x_2$  are comparable.

*Proof.* Write  $f_1 : \mathrm{Spec}(k(x_1)) \rightarrow X, f_2 : \mathrm{Spec}(k(x_2)) \rightarrow X$  for the natural morphisms determined by the points  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ .

Suppose that  $x_1 = x_2$ . Recall from [Definition 3.4 \(iv\)](#) that the morphism  $f_1$  is a regular monomorphism. Since  $x_1 = x_2$ , it holds that  $x_2 \in \mathrm{Im}(f_1)$ . Hence by [Definition 3.4 \(ii\)](#), the morphism  $f_1$  is an isomorphism. Thus  $X$  is isomorphic to the spectrum of a field. In particular, assertions (i) and (ii) hold, so in the remainder of the proof of [Lemma 3.5](#), we may suppose that  $x_1 \neq x_2$ .

By [Lemma 2.8 \(i\)](#), the natural closed immersion  $\overline{\{x_1, x_2\}}_{\mathrm{red}} \rightarrow X$  is a regular monomorphism. Hence by [Definition 3.4 \(ii\)](#), the regular monomorphism  $\overline{\{x_1, x_2\}}_{\mathrm{red}} \rightarrow X$  is an isomorphism. Therefore, the following properties hold:

- (a)  $X$  is reduced.
- (b)  $|X| = \overline{\{x_1\}} \cup \overline{\{x_2\}}$ .

In particular, by property (b), the number of irreducible components of  $X$  is at most two.

Suppose that  $\mathrm{sep} \in \blacklozenge$ . First, we verify that  $X$  is local, and  $x_1$  is the unique closed point of  $X$ . By [Definition 3.4 \(iv\)](#) and [Lemma 2.8 \(iv\)](#),  $x_1$  is the unique closed point of  $X$ . Assume that there exists a point  $x \in X$  such that  $x_1 \notin \overline{\{x\}}$ . Then the morphisms  $f : \overline{\{x\}}_{\mathrm{red}} \rightarrow X$  and  $f \amalg f_1 : \overline{\{x\}}_{\mathrm{red}} \amalg \mathrm{Spec}(k(x_1)) \rightarrow X$  are closed

immersions. Hence by [Lemma 2.8 \(i\)](#),  $f$  and  $f \amalg f_1$  are regular monomorphisms. This contradicts the fact that  $(X, x_1, x_2)$  satisfies condition (v) of [Definition 3.4](#). Thus  $x_1 \in \overline{\{x\}}$  holds for any  $x \in X$ , i.e.,  $X$  is local, and  $x_1$  is the unique closed point of  $X$ .

Next, we complete the proof of [Lemma 3.5](#) in the case where  $\text{sep} \in \blacklozenge$ . Since  $x_1$  is the unique closed point of  $X$ , it holds that  $x_2 \rightsquigarrow x_1$ . Hence by property (b),  $X$  has a unique generic point  $x_2$ . In particular, assertion (ii) holds. By property (a), this implies that  $X$  is integral. In particular, assertion (i) holds. This completes the proof of [Lemma 3.5](#) in the case where  $\text{sep} \in \blacklozenge$ .

Suppose that  $\text{sep} \notin \blacklozenge$ . First, we verify that  $X$  is irreducible. Assume that  $X$  is not irreducible. By property (b),  $X$  has precisely two irreducible components, and  $x_1, x_2$  are the generic points of these two irreducible components. Let  $U_1$  be an affine open neighborhood of  $x_1$  such that  $\overline{U_1} \subset X \setminus \overline{\{x_2\}}$ , and  $U_2$  an affine open neighborhood of  $x_2$  such that  $\overline{U_2} \subset X \setminus \overline{\{x_1\}}$ . By property (b), the equality

$$U_1 \cap U_2 \subset (X \setminus \overline{\{x_2\}}) \cap (X \setminus \overline{\{x_1\}}) = X \setminus (\overline{\{x_1\}} \cup \overline{\{x_2\}}) = \emptyset$$

holds. Since  $U_1, U_2$  are affine,  $U := \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} U_1 \cup U_2$  is an affine open subset of  $X$ . Hence by [Corollary 2.6 \(ii\)](#), the push-out  $X \amalg_U X$  belongs to  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ . Since  $U_1, U_2 \neq \emptyset$  and  $U_1 \cap U_2 = \emptyset$ ,  $U$  is not connected. By [Definition 3.4 \(i\)](#), it holds that  $U \neq X$ . Hence the two natural open immersions  $i_1, i_2 : X \rightrightarrows X \amalg_U X$  are distinct. On the other hand, since  $x_1, x_2$  are contained in  $U$ , the equality  $i_1 \circ (f_1 \amalg f_2) = i_2 \circ (f_1 \amalg f_2)$  holds, i.e., the morphism  $f_1 \amalg f_2 : \text{Spec}(k(x_1)) \amalg \text{Spec}(k(x_2)) \rightarrow X$  is not an epimorphism. This contradicts the fact that  $(X, x_1, x_2)$  satisfies condition (iii) of [Definition 3.4](#). Thus  $X$  is irreducible. Write  $\eta$  for the generic point of  $X$ . By property (b),  $\eta \in \{x_1, x_2\}$ .

Next, we complete the proof of [Lemma 3.5](#) in the case where  $\text{sep} \notin \blacklozenge$ . Let  $v$  be the unique point of  $X$  such that  $\{v, \eta\} = \{x_1, x_2\}$ . By properties (a) and (b), to complete the proof of [Lemma 3.5](#), it suffices to prove that the relation  $x \rightsquigarrow v$  holds for any  $x \in X$ . Assume that there exists a point  $x \in X$  such that  $v \notin \overline{\{x\}}$ . Then there exists an affine open neighborhood  $V$  of  $v$  such that  $x \notin V$ . By [Corollary 2.6 \(ii\)](#), the push-out  $X \amalg_V X$  belongs to  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ . Since  $V \neq X$ , the two natural inclusions  $i'_1, i'_2 : X \rightrightarrows X \amalg_V X$  are distinct. On the other hand, since  $\{x_1, x_2\} = \{v, \eta\} \subset V$ , the equality  $i'_1 \circ (f_1 \amalg f_2) = i'_2 \circ (f_1 \amalg f_2)$  holds, i.e., the morphism  $f_1 \amalg f_2 : \text{Spec}(k(x_1)) \amalg \text{Spec}(k(x_2)) \rightarrow X$  is not an epimorphism. This contradicts the fact that  $(X, x_1, x_2)$  satisfies condition (iii) of [Definition 3.4](#). Thus for any  $x \in X$ , the relation  $x \rightsquigarrow v$  holds. This completes the proof of [Lemma 3.5](#) in the case where  $\text{sep} \notin \blacklozenge$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.6.** *Let  $S$  be a quasi-separated scheme. Let  $X$  be an object of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  and  $x_1, x_2, x \in X$ . Then the property that  $(X, x_1, x_2)$  is strongly local in  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ , and  $x$  is either the unique closed point or the unique generic point of  $X$  may be characterized category-theoretically from the data  $(\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}, X, x_1, x_2, x)$ .*

*Proof.* [Corollary 3.6](#) follows formally from [Reconstruction 1.3](#), [Definition 3.4](#), and [Lemma 3.5](#).  $\square$

Next, we prove that the triple consisting of the spectrum of a valuation ring, the unique closed point of the spectrum, and the unique generic point of the spectrum is strongly local.

**Lemma 3.7.** *Let  $S$  be a quasi-separated scheme. Let  $A$  be a valuation ring equipped with a structure morphism  $\mathrm{Spec}(A) \rightarrow S$ ,  $v \in \mathrm{Spec}(A)$  the unique closed point, and  $\eta \in \mathrm{Spec}(A)$  the unique generic point. Then  $(\mathrm{Spec}(A), v, \eta)$  is strongly local in  $\mathrm{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ .*

*Proof.* Since the spectrum of a local ring is connected,  $(\mathrm{Spec}(A), v, \eta)$  satisfies condition (i) of Definition 3.4.

Next, we verify that  $(\mathrm{Spec}(A), v, \eta)$  satisfies condition (ii) of Definition 3.4. Let  $f : Z \rightarrow X$  be a regular monomorphism such that  $v, \eta \in f(Z)$ . Then, by Lemma 2.8 (ii),  $f$  is an immersion, i.e.,  $f$  is the composite of a closed immersion with an open immersion. Moreover, since  $v, \eta \in f(Z)$ , the immersion  $f$  is a homeomorphism, i.e.,  $f$  is a surjective closed immersion. Furthermore, since  $A$  is reduced, the surjective closed immersion  $f$  is an isomorphism. This completes the proof that  $(\mathrm{Spec}(A), v, \eta)$  satisfies condition (ii) of Definition 3.4.

Next, we verify that  $(\mathrm{Spec}(A), v, \eta)$  satisfies condition (iii) of Definition 3.4. Let  $f, g : X \rightrightarrows Y$  be two morphisms such that  $f|_v = g|_v, f|_\eta = g|_\eta$ . It suffices to prove that  $f = g$ . Let  $K$  be the field of fractions of  $A$  and  $i^\# : A \rightarrow K$  the natural injection. It follows from the definition of  $v$  that  $f$  and  $g$  factor through an affine open neighborhood  $V = \mathrm{Spec}(B)$  of  $f(v) = g(v)$ . Hence, we may assume that  $Y = \mathrm{Spec}(B)$  is affine. Since  $f|_\eta = g|_\eta$ , the equality  $i^\# \circ f^\# = i^\# \circ g^\#$  holds. Since  $i^\#$  is injective, we conclude that  $f^\# = g^\#$ , hence that  $f = g$ . This completes the proof that  $(\mathrm{Spec}(A), v, \eta)$  satisfies condition (iii) of Definition 3.4.

Next, by Lemma 2.8 (i), the closed immersion  $\mathrm{Spec}(k(v)) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(A)$  is a regular monomorphism, i.e.,  $(\mathrm{Spec}(A), v, \eta)$  satisfies condition (iv) of Definition 3.4.

Finally, we verify that  $(\mathrm{Spec}(A), v, \eta)$  satisfies condition (v) of Definition 3.4. Let  $f : Z \rightarrow X$  be a regular monomorphism such that  $v \notin f(Z)$  and  $Z \neq \emptyset$ . By Lemma 2.8 (ii), it suffices to prove that  $g : Z \amalg \mathrm{Spec}(k(v)) \rightarrow X$  is not an immersion. Since  $Z \neq \emptyset$  and  $X$  is local, any open neighborhood of  $v$  intersects  $f(Z)$ . On the other hand, the open neighborhood  $\{*\}_{\mathrm{Spec}(k(v))}$  of the point  $*_{\mathrm{Spec}(k(v))}$  in  $Z \amalg \mathrm{Spec}(k(v))$  does not intersect  $Z$ . Thus  $g$  is not an immersion. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.7.  $\square$

By applying the theory of strongly local triples developed thus far, we conclude a category-theoretic characterization of comparable pairs of points of a scheme.

**Proposition 3.8.** *Let  $S$  be a quasi-separated scheme. Let  $X$  be an object of  $\mathrm{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  and  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ . Then  $x_1, x_2$  are comparable if and only if there exist an object  $Z$ , points  $z_1, z_2 \in Z$ , and a morphism  $f : Z \rightarrow X$  such that  $(Z, z_1, z_2)$  is strongly local in  $\mathrm{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  and  $\{f(z_1), f(z_2)\} = \{x_1, x_2\}$ . In particular, the property that  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  are comparable may be characterized category-theoretically (cf. Reconstruction 1.3, Definition 3.4) from the data  $(\mathrm{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}, X, x_1, x_2)$ .*

*Proof.* First, we prove necessity. By Lemma 2.5 (i) (ii), we may assume without loss of generality that  $X$  is the spectrum of a local domain  $A$  whose unique closed point is  $x_1$ , and whose unique generic point is  $x_2$ . Write  $K$  for the fraction field of  $A$ . Let  $C \subset K$  be a valuation ring that dominates  $A$  (cf. [Stacks, Tag 00IA]),  $Z \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathrm{Spec}(C)$ ,  $z_1 \in Z$  the unique closed point,  $z_2 \in Z$  the unique generic point, and  $f : Z \rightarrow X$  the morphism induced by  $A \subset C$ . By Lemma 2.5 (i) (ii),  $f$  belongs to  $\mathrm{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ . Moreover, by Lemma 3.7,  $(Z, z_1, z_2)$  is strongly local. This completes the proof of necessity.



Next, we prove sufficiency. Let  $(Z, z_1, z_2)$  be strongly local in  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  and  $f : Z \rightarrow X$  a morphism such that  $\{f(z_1), f(z_2)\} = \{x_1, x_2\}$ . We may assume without loss of generality that  $f(z_1) = x_1, f(z_2) = x_2$ . Suppose that  $z_2 \rightsquigarrow z_1$ . Then

$$x_1 = f(z_1) \in f(\overline{\{z_2\}}) \subset \overline{\{f(z_2)\}} = \overline{\{x_2\}}.$$

Thus  $x_2 \rightsquigarrow x_1$  holds. Suppose that  $z_1 \rightsquigarrow z_2$ . Then

$$x_2 = f(z_2) \in f(\overline{\{z_1\}}) \subset \overline{\{f(z_1)\}} = \overline{\{x_1\}}.$$

Thus  $x_1 \rightsquigarrow x_2$  holds. This completes the proof of [Proposition 3.8](#).  $\square$

To give a category-theoretic characterization of local objects of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ , we define the following property:

**Definition 3.9.** Let  $S$  be a quasi-separated scheme. Let  $X$  be an object of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  and  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ . We shall say that the triple  $(X, x_1, x_2)$  is *valuative* in  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  if the following conditions hold:

- (i) Any two points of  $X$  are comparable.
- (ii)  $(X, x_1, x_2)$  is strongly local in  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ .

Thus the property that  $(X, x_1, x_2)$  is valuative in  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  is defined completely in terms of properties that may be characterized category-theoretically (cf. [Definition 3.4](#), [Proposition 3.8](#)) from the data  $(\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}, X, x_1, x_2)$ .

**Remark 3.10.**

- (i) By [Corollary 3.6](#), if the triple  $(X, x_1, x_2)$  is valuative, then for  $x \in X$ , the property that  $x$  is either the closed point or the generic point may be characterized category-theoretically from the data  $(\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}, X, x_1, x_2, x)$ .
- (ii) It follows immediately from [Lemma 3.7](#) that the triple  $(\text{Spec}(A), v, \eta)$  consisting of the spectrum of a valuation ring  $A$ , the unique closed point  $v \in \text{Spec}(A)$ , and the unique generic point  $\eta \in \text{Spec}(A)$  is a valuative triple in  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ .

The next lemma will play an important role in our category-theoretic characterization of the objects of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  whose underlying scheme is irreducible local (cf. [Proposition 3.12](#)).

**Lemma 3.11.** *Let  $X$  be a scheme and  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$ . Suppose that  $x_3 \rightsquigarrow x_2 \rightsquigarrow x_1$ . Then there exist a triple  $(\text{Spec}(V), v, \eta)$  and a morphism  $f : \text{Spec}(V) \rightarrow X$  such that  $\text{Spec}(V)$  is the spectrum of a valuation ring  $V$ ,  $v \in \text{Spec}(V)$  is the unique closed point,  $\eta \in \text{Spec}(V)$  is the unique generic point,  $x_2 \in f(\text{Spec}(V)), f(\eta) = x_3$ , and  $f(v) = x_1$ .*

*Proof.* To prove the assertion, we may assume without loss of generality that  $X = \text{Spec}(A)$  is the spectrum of a local domain  $A$ ,  $x_1 \in X$  is the unique closed point, and  $x_3 \in X$  is the unique generic point.

Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be the prime ideal of  $A$  which corresponds to  $x_2 \in \text{Spec}(A)$ ,  $V_1$  a valuation ring which dominates  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  in  $k(x_3)$ ,  $\mathfrak{n}_1$  the maximal ideal of  $V_1$ , and  $p : V_1 \rightarrow V_1/\mathfrak{n}_1$  the natural surjection. Since  $V_1$  dominates  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  in  $k(x_3)$ , the natural morphism  $j : A/\mathfrak{p} \rightarrow V_1/\mathfrak{n}_1$  is injective. Let  $V_2$  be a valuation ring which dominates  $A/\mathfrak{p}$  in the field  $V_1/\mathfrak{n}_1$ . Then  $V \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p^{-1}(V_2)$  is the desired valuation ring. This completes the proof of [Lemma 3.11](#).  $\square$

Next, we give a category-theoretic characterization of the objects of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  whose underlying scheme is irreducible local.

**Proposition 3.12.** *Let  $S$  be a quasi-separated scheme. Let  $X$  be an object of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ . Assume that  $|X|$  is not of cardinality 1. Then  $X$  is irreducible local if and only if there exist points  $x_1 \neq x_2 \in X$  such that the triple  $(X, x_1, x_2)$  satisfies the following conditions:*

- (i) *For any point  $x \in X$ ,  $x, x_1$  and  $x, x_2$  are comparable.*
- (ii) *For any valuative triple  $(Y, y_1, y_2)$  and any morphism  $f : Y \rightarrow X$ , if  $x_1 \in f(Y)$ , then  $x_1 \in \{f(y_1), f(y_2)\}$ .*
- (iii) *For any valuative triple  $(Y, y_1, y_2)$  and any morphism  $f : Y \rightarrow X$ , if  $x_2 \in f(Y)$ , then  $x_2 \in \{f(y_1), f(y_2)\}$ .*

*In particular, the property that  $X$  is irreducible local may be characterized category-theoretically (cf. Lemma 1.2, Reconstruction 1.3, Corollary 1.6 (ii), Proposition 3.8) from the data  $(\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}, X)$ . Furthermore, the property that  $X$  is irreducible local, and  $x \in X$  is either the closed point or the generic point holds if and only if there exist points  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  such that  $x \in \{x_1, x_2\}$  and the triple  $(X, x_1, x_2)$  satisfies the above conditions (i), (ii), (iii). In particular, the property that  $X$  is irreducible local, and  $x \in X$  is either the closed point or the generic point may be characterized category-theoretically (cf. Lemma 1.2, Reconstruction 1.3, Corollary 1.6 (ii), Proposition 3.8) from the data  $(\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}, X, x)$ .*

*Proof.* First, we prove necessity. Suppose that  $X$  is irreducible local, and  $|X|$  is not of cardinality 1. Let  $x_1 \in X$  be the unique closed point and  $x_2 \in X$  the unique generic point. Then it follows immediately that the triple  $(X, x_1, x_2)$  satisfies condition (i).

Next, we prove that  $X$  satisfies condition (ii). Let  $(Y, y_1, y_2)$  be a valuative triple and  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  a morphism such that  $x_1 \in f(Y)$ . Then there exists a point  $y \in Y$  such that  $f(y) = x_1$ . Since either  $y_1 \in Y$  or  $y_2 \in Y$  is the unique closed point of  $Y$  (cf. Lemma 3.5 (ii)), either  $y \rightsquigarrow y_1$  or  $y \rightsquigarrow y_2$  holds. Hence either  $x_1 \rightsquigarrow f(y_1)$  or  $x_1 \rightsquigarrow f(y_2)$  holds. Since  $x_1$  is the unique closed point of  $X$ , either  $f(y_1) = x_1$  or  $f(y_2) = x_1$  holds. Thus  $X$  satisfies condition (ii).

Next, we prove that  $X$  satisfies condition (iii). Let  $(Y, y_1, y_2)$  be a valuative triple and  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  a morphism such that  $x_2 \in f(Y)$ . Then there exists a point  $y \in Y$  such that  $f(y) = x_2$ . Since either  $y_1 \in Y$  or  $y_2 \in Y$  is the unique generic point of  $Y$  (cf. Lemma 3.5 (ii)), either  $y_1 \rightsquigarrow y$  or  $y_2 \rightsquigarrow y$  holds. Hence either  $f(y_1) \rightsquigarrow x_2$  or  $f(y_2) \rightsquigarrow x_2$  holds. Since  $x_2$  is the unique generic point of  $X$ , either  $f(y_1) = x_2$  or  $f(y_2) = x_2$  holds. Thus  $X$  satisfies condition (iii). This completes the proof of necessity.

Next, we prove sufficiency. Suppose that  $|X|$  is not of cardinality 1, and there exist points  $x_1 \neq x_2 \in X$  such that the triple  $(X, x_1, x_2)$  satisfies conditions (i), (ii), (iii). Then since the triple  $(X, x_2, x_1)$  satisfies conditions (i), (ii), (iii), we may assume without loss of generality that  $x_2 \rightsquigarrow x_1$ .

Since  $X$  satisfies condition (i), if  $x_1$  is a closed point of  $X$ , then any point of  $X$  is a generalization of  $x_1$ . Hence to prove that  $X$  is local, it suffices to prove that  $x_1$  is a closed point of  $X$ . Suppose that there exists a point  $x \in X$  such that  $x_2 \rightsquigarrow x_1 \rightsquigarrow x$ . By Lemma 3.11, there exists a triple  $(\text{Spec}(W), w, \zeta)$  consisting of the spectrum of a valuation ring  $W$ , the unique closed point  $w \in \text{Spec}(W)$ , and the unique generic point  $\zeta \in \text{Spec}(W)$ , together with a morphism  $f : \text{Spec}(W) \rightarrow X$ ,

such that  $f(\zeta) = x_2, f(w) = x$ , and  $x_1 \in f(\text{Spec } V)$ . By [Lemma 2.5 \(i\) \(ii\)](#), and [Remark 3.10 \(ii\)](#), the morphism  $f : \text{Spec}(W) \rightarrow X$  belongs to  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ , and the triple  $(\text{Spec}(W), w, \zeta)$  is valuative in  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ . Since  $X$  satisfies condition [\(ii\)](#), it holds that  $x_1 \in \{f(w), f(\zeta)\} = \{x, x_2\}$ . Since  $x_1 \neq x_2$ , this proves that  $x_1 = x$ . Thus  $X$  is local, and  $x_1$  is the unique closed point of  $X$ .

Since  $X$  satisfies condition [\(i\)](#), if  $x_2$  is a generic point of  $X$ , then any point of  $X$  is a specialization of  $x_2$ . Hence to prove that  $X$  is irreducible, it suffices to prove that  $x_2$  is a generic point of  $X$ . Suppose that there exists a point  $\xi \in X$  such that  $\xi \rightsquigarrow x_2 \rightsquigarrow x_1$ . By [Lemma 3.11](#), there exists a triple  $(\text{Spec}(W'), w', \zeta')$  consisting of the spectrum of a valuation ring  $W'$ , the closed point  $w' \in \text{Spec}(W')$ , and the generic point  $\zeta' \in \text{Spec}(W')$ , together with a morphism  $f' : \text{Spec}(W') \rightarrow X$ , such that  $f(\zeta') = \xi, f(w') = x_1$ , and  $x_2 \in f(\text{Spec}(W'))$ . By [Lemma 2.5 \(i\) \(ii\)](#), and [Remark 3.10 \(ii\)](#), the morphism  $f' : \text{Spec}(W') \rightarrow X$  belongs to  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ , and the triple  $(\text{Spec}(W'), w', \zeta')$  is valuative in  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ . Since  $X$  satisfies condition [\(iii\)](#), it holds that  $x_2 \in \{f(\zeta'), f(w')\} = \{\xi, x_1\}$ . Since  $x_1 \neq x_2$ , this proves that  $x_2 = \xi$ . Thus  $X$  is irreducible, and  $x_2$  is the unique generic point of  $X$ . This completes the proof of sufficiency.

The remaining assertions of [Proposition 3.12](#) are immediate. This completes the proof of [Proposition 3.12](#).  $\square$

To give a category-theoretic characterization of specialization and generization relations between points of a scheme, we first give a category-theoretic characterization of the generic point of an object that appears as the first member of a valuative triple.

**Proposition 3.13.** *Let  $S$  be a quasi-separated scheme. Let  $(X, x_1, x_2)$  be a valuative triple in  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  and  $\eta \in X$ . Then  $\eta \in X$  is the generic point if and only if the following conditions hold:*

- (i)  $\eta \in \{x_1, x_2\}$ .
- (ii) For any irreducible local object  $Y$  and any morphisms  $f, g : X \rightrightarrows Y$ , if  $f|_{\eta} = g|_{\eta}$  then  $f = g$ .

*In particular, the property that  $(X, x_1, x_2)$  is valuative in  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ , and  $\eta \in X$  is the generic point, may be characterized category-theoretically (cf. [Lemma 1.8](#), [Proposition 3.12](#)) from the data  $(\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}, X, x_1, x_2, \eta)$ .*

*Proof.* We may assume without loss of generality that  $X$  is not isomorphic to the spectrum of a field. By [Lemma 3.5 \(i\)](#) and [Definition 3.9 \(ii\)](#),  $X$  is affine, and  $A \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  is a local domain. Write  $K$  for the field of fractions of  $A$  and  $i^{\#} : A \rightarrow K$  for the natural inclusion.

First, we prove necessity. Suppose that  $\eta \in X$  is the generic point. It follows from [Lemma 3.5 \(ii\)](#) that  $\eta \in \{x_1, x_2\}$ . Hence condition [\(i\)](#) holds. Let  $Y = \text{Spec}(B)$  be the spectrum of a local ring (cf. [Remark 3.2 \(ii\)](#)) and  $f, g : X \rightrightarrows Y$  morphisms such that  $f|_{\eta} = g|_{\eta}$ . Since  $\eta$  is the generic point, the equality  $i^{\#} \circ f^{\#} = i^{\#} \circ g^{\#}$  holds. Since  $i^{\#}$  is injective, the equality  $f^{\#} = g^{\#}$  holds. Hence the equality  $f = g$  holds. This completes the proof of necessity.

Next, we prove sufficiency. Suppose that a point  $\eta \in X$  satisfies conditions [\(i\)](#) and [\(ii\)](#). By [Lemma 3.5 \(ii\)](#) and condition [\(i\)](#), it suffices to prove that if  $\eta$  is the closed point, then  $\eta$  does **not** satisfy condition [\(ii\)](#). Suppose that  $\eta \in X$  is the closed point. Let  $\mathfrak{m}_{\eta}$  be the maximal ideal of  $A$  corresponding to  $\eta$ . Since  $A$  is

not a field, and  $\eta$  is a closed point, there exists a non-zero element  $a \in \mathfrak{m}_\eta$ . Let  $B :=^{\text{def}} A[t]_{(\mathfrak{m}_\eta, t)}$  be the local domain obtained by localizing the polynomial ring  $A[t]$  at the maximal ideal  $(\mathfrak{m}_\eta, t)$ ;  $f, g : \text{Spec}(A) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(B)$  the morphisms determined by the morphisms of  $A$ -algebras  $f^\#, g^\# : B \rightrightarrows A$  such that  $f^\#(t) = 0, g^\#(t) = a$ . Then  $f|_\eta = g|_\eta$ . On the other hand, since  $0 \neq a$ , it holds that  $f \neq g$ . Thus  $\eta$  does not satisfy condition (ii). This completes the proof of [Proposition 3.13](#).  $\square$

By a similar argument to the argument given in the proof of [Proposition 3.13](#), one may give a category-theoretic characterization of the closed point of an object that appears as the first member of a valuative triple.

**Corollary 3.14.** *Let  $S$  be a quasi-separated scheme. Let  $X$  be an object of  $\text{Sch}_\diamond/S$  and  $x, x' \in X$ . Then  $x' \rightsquigarrow x$  if and only if there exist a valuative triple  $(V, v_1, v_2)$ ,  $\eta \in V$ , and a morphism  $f : V \rightarrow X$  such that  $\eta$  is the unique generic point (cf. [Lemma 3.5 \(i\)](#), [Definition 3.9 \(ii\)](#)) of  $V$ ,  $f(\eta) = x'$ , and  $x \in \text{Im}(f)$ . In particular, the property that  $x' \rightsquigarrow x$  may be characterized category-theoretically (cf. [Definition 3.9](#), [Proposition 3.13](#)) from the data  $(\text{Sch}_\diamond/S, X, x, x')$ .*

*Proof.* Sufficiency follows immediately. Thus it remains to prove necessity. Suppose that  $x' \rightsquigarrow x$ . By [Lemma 2.5 \(i\) \(ii\)](#), we may assume without loss of generality that  $X$  is the spectrum of a local domain,  $x \in X$  is the unique closed point, and  $x' \in X$  is the unique generic point. By [Remark 3.10 \(ii\)](#), [Lemma 3.11](#), there exist a valuative triple  $(V, v_1, v_2)$ , a point  $\eta \in V$ , and a morphism  $f : V \rightarrow X$  such that  $V$  is the spectrum of a valuation ring,  $v_1$  is the unique closed point of  $V$ ,  $v_2 = \eta$  is the unique generic point of  $V$ ,  $x' = f(\eta)$ , and  $x = f(v_1) \in V$ . By [Lemma 2.5 \(i\) \(ii\)](#),  $f$  belongs to  $\text{Sch}_\diamond/S$ . This completes the proof of [Corollary 3.14](#).  $\square$

**Corollary 3.15.** *Let  $S$  be a quasi-separated scheme. Let  $X$  be an object of  $\text{Sch}_\diamond/S$  and  $x \in X$ . Then the following assertions hold:*

- (i)  *$x$  is a closed point in  $X$  if and only if for any point  $x' \in X$  such that  $x \rightsquigarrow x'$ , it holds that  $x = x'$ . In particular, the property that  $x$  is a closed point in  $X$  may be characterized category-theoretically (cf. [Corollary 3.14](#)) from the data  $(\text{Sch}_\diamond/S, X, x)$ .*
- (ii)  *$x$  is a generic point in  $X$  if and only if for any point  $x' \in X$  such that  $x' \rightsquigarrow x$ , it holds that  $x = x'$ . In particular, the property that  $x$  is a generic point in  $X$  may be characterized category-theoretically (cf. [Corollary 3.14](#)) from the data  $(\text{Sch}_\diamond/S, X, x)$ .*
- (iii)  *$X$  is local if and only if there exists a point  $x_1 \in X$  such that  $x' \rightsquigarrow x_1$  holds for all  $x' \in X$ . In particular, the property that  $X$  is local may be characterized category-theoretically (cf. [Corollary 3.14](#)) from the data  $(\text{Sch}_\diamond/S, X)$ .*
- (iv)  *$X$  is irreducible if and only if there exists a point  $x_1 \in X$  such that  $x_1 \rightsquigarrow x'$  holds for all  $x' \in X$ . In particular, the property that  $X$  is irreducible may be characterized category-theoretically (cf. [Corollary 3.14](#)) from the data  $(\text{Sch}_\diamond/S, X)$ .*

*Proof.* These assertions follow from the definitions of the various properties under consideration.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.16.** *Let  $S$  be a quasi-separated scheme. Let  $X$  be an object of  $\text{Sch}_\diamond/S$ . Then the following assertions hold:*

- (i)  $X$  is integral if and only if  $X$  is irreducible and reduced.
- (ii)  $X$  is isomorphic to the spectrum of a local domain if and only if  $X$  is irreducible, reduced and local.

In particular, the properties of (i) and (ii) may be characterized category-theoretically from the data  $(\mathrm{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}, X)$  (cf. [Corollary 2.9](#), [Corollary 3.15 \(iii\) \(iv\)](#)).

*Proof.* These assertions follow from the definition of the notion of an integral scheme.  $\square$

Next, we apply the theory developed thus far to conclude a category-theoretic characterization of the morphisms of  $\mathrm{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  whose underlying morphism of schemes is isomorphic to the natural morphism to a scheme from the spectrum of the local ring at a point of the scheme.

**Definition 3.17.** Let  $X$  be a scheme;  $x, \eta \in X$ .

- (i) We shall say that the pair  $(X, \eta)$  is *irreducible* if  $X$  is irreducible, and  $\eta$  is its unique generic point.
- (ii) We shall say that the pair  $(X, x)$  is *local* if  $X$  is local, and  $x$  is its unique closed point.
- (iii) We shall say that the triple  $(X, x, \eta)$  is *irreducible local* if  $(X, \eta)$  is irreducible, and  $(X, x)$  is local.

Let  $S$  be a quasi-separated scheme. Suppose that  $X$  is an object of  $\mathrm{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ . Then these properties may be characterized category-theoretically (cf. [Corollary 3.14](#), [Corollary 3.15 \(i\) \(ii\) \(iii\) \(iv\)](#)) from the data  $(\mathrm{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}, X, x, \eta)$ .

**Proposition 3.18.** *Let  $S$  be a quasi-separated scheme. Let  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  be a morphism of  $\mathrm{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  and  $x \in X$ . Then  $f$  is isomorphic as an object of  $\mathrm{Sch}_{/X}$  to the object of  $\mathrm{Sch}_{/X}$  that arises from the natural morphism to  $X$  from the spectrum of the local ring at a point  $x$  of  $X$  if and only if the following conditions hold:*

- (i)  $f$  is a monomorphism in  $\mathrm{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ .
- (ii) There exists a point  $y \in Y$  such that  $(Y, y)$  is local, and  $f(y) = x$ .
- (iii) For any local pair  $(Z, z)$  and any morphism  $g : Z \rightarrow X$  such that  $g(z) = x$ , there exists a unique local morphism  $h : Z \rightarrow Y$  such that  $g = f \circ h$ .

In particular, the property that  $f$  is isomorphic as an object of  $\mathrm{Sch}_{/X}$  to the object of  $\mathrm{Sch}_{/X}$  that arises from the natural morphism to  $X$  from the spectrum of the local ring at a point  $x$  of  $X$  may be characterized category-theoretically (cf. [Definition 3.17 \(ii\)](#)) from the data  $(\mathrm{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}, f : Y \rightarrow X, x)$ .

*Proof.* First, we prove necessity. Suppose that  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  is isomorphic to the natural morphism  $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}) \rightarrow X$  as  $X$ -schemes. Write  $y$  for the unique closed point of  $Y$ . Then the pair  $(Y, y)$  is local, and  $f(y) = x$ . By the universality of localization, condition (iii) is satisfied. By [[Stacks, Tag 01L9](#)],  $f$  is a monomorphism of  $\mathrm{Sch}$ . Hence  $f$  satisfies condition (i). This completes the proof of necessity.

Next, we prove sufficiency. Let  $g : Z = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}) \rightarrow X$  be the natural localization morphism. Since  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  satisfies condition (iii), there exists a unique local morphism  $h : Z \rightarrow Y$  such that  $g = f \circ h$ . By the necessity portion of [Proposition 3.18](#),  $g$  satisfies condition (iii). Hence there exists a unique local morphism  $h' : Y \rightarrow Z$  such that  $f = g \circ h'$ . Thus the equalities  $f = f \circ h \circ h'$  and  $g = g \circ h' \circ h$  hold. Since  $f$  satisfies condition (i), i.e.,  $f$  is a monomorphism, the equality  $f = f \circ h \circ h'$  implies  $h \circ h' = \mathrm{id}_Y$ . Since  $g$  satisfies condition (i), i.e.,  $g$  is a

monomorphism, the equality  $g = g \circ h' \circ h$  implies  $h' \circ h = \text{id}_Z$ . Thus the equalities  $h \circ h' = \text{id}_Y$  and  $h' \circ h = \text{id}_Z$  imply that the morphisms  $h, h'$  are isomorphisms between  $Y, Z$ . Since  $g = f \circ h$ ,  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  is isomorphic to  $g : Z = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}) \rightarrow X$  as an  $X$ -scheme. This completes the proof of [Proposition 3.18](#).  $\square$

Finally, we give a category-theoretic characterization of the objects of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  whose underlying scheme is isomorphic to the spectrum of a valuation ring.

**Proposition 3.19.** *Let  $S$  be a quasi-separated scheme. Let  $X$  be an object of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ . Then  $X$  is isomorphic to the spectrum of a valuation ring if and only if the following conditions hold:*

- (i) *There exist points  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  such that  $(X, x_1, x_2)$  is valutive.*
- (ii) *Write  $\eta$  for the unique generic point of  $X$ . Then for any integral local object  $Y$  and any local morphism  $f : Y \rightarrow X$ , if  $Y \times_X^{\blacklozenge} \text{Spec}(k(\eta)) \cong \text{Spec}(k(\eta))$ , then  $f$  is an isomorphism.*

*In particular, the property that  $X$  is isomorphic to the spectrum of a valuation ring may be characterized category-theoretically (cf. [Lemma 1.8](#), [Proposition 3.13](#), [Corollary 3.15 \(i\)](#), [Corollary 3.16 \(ii\)](#)) from the data  $(\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}, X)$ .*

*Proof.* First, we prove necessity. Suppose that  $X = \text{Spec}(A)$  is the spectrum of a valuation ring  $A$ . Write  $\eta \in X$  for the unique generic point and  $x \in X$  for the unique closed point. By [Remark 3.10 \(ii\)](#), the triple  $(X, x, \eta)$  is valutive in  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ . Hence  $X$  satisfies condition (i). In the remainder of the proof of necessity, we prove that  $X$  satisfies condition (ii). Let  $K$  be the field of fractions of  $A$ ,  $Y = \text{Spec}(B)$  the spectrum of a local domain,  $\xi \in Y$  the unique generic point of  $Y$ ,  $y \in Y$  the unique closed point of  $Y$ , and  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  a local morphism such that  $Y \times_X^{\blacklozenge} \text{Spec}(k(\eta)) \cong \text{Spec}(k(\eta))$ . Since  $A, B$  are local domains, and  $K$  is the field of fractions of  $A$ , the tensor product  $K \otimes_A B$  is integral. Hence  $Y \times_X \text{Spec}(k(\eta)) \cong Y \times_X^{\blacklozenge} \text{Spec}(k(\eta)) \cong \text{Spec}(k(\eta))$  (cf. [Lemma 1.1 \(iii\) \(iv\)](#)), i.e.,  $B \otimes_A K \cong K$ . Since  $K$  is a localization of  $A$ , the field of fractions of  $B$  is isomorphic to  $K$ . Since  $f$  is a local morphism, the local domain  $B$  dominates the valuation ring  $A$  in the field  $K$ . Since the valuation ring  $A$  is maximal for the relation of domination among the set of local subrings in  $K$  ([\[Stacks, Section 00I8\]](#)),  $f$  is an isomorphism. This completes the proof of necessity.

Next, we prove sufficiency. Suppose that  $X$  satisfies conditions (i) and (ii). By [Definition 3.9 \(ii\)](#) and [Lemma 3.5 \(i\)](#),  $X$  is isomorphic to the spectrum of a local domain. Write  $A \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  and  $K$  for the field of fractions of  $A$ . Let  $B$  be a valuation ring which dominates  $A$  in  $K$  (cf. [\[Stacks, Tag 00IA\]](#)). Since  $X$  satisfies condition (ii), the morphism  $\text{Spec}(B) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$  is an isomorphism (cf. [Lemma 1.1 \(iii\) \(iv\)](#)). Hence  $A = B$ , i.e.,  $A$  is a valuation ring. This completes the proof of [Proposition 3.19](#).  $\square$

#### 4. THE UNDERLYING TOPOLOGICAL SPACE

In this section, we give a functorial category-theoretic algorithm for reconstructing the underlying topological space of the objects of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  from the intrinsic structure of the abstract category  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ . First, we consider the underlying topological space of fiber products in  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $S$  be a quasi-separated scheme. Let  $f : X \rightarrow Z, g : Y \rightarrow Z$  be morphisms of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ . Suppose that the fiber product  $X \times_Z^{\blacklozenge} Y$  exists in  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ . Then the following assertions hold:*

- (i) *If  $\text{red} \notin \blacklozenge$ , then the natural morphism of schemes  $v : X \times_Z^{\blacklozenge} Y \rightarrow X \times_Z Y$  is an isomorphism.*
- (ii) *If  $\text{red} \in \blacklozenge$ , then the natural morphism of schemes  $v : X \times_Z^{\blacklozenge} Y \rightarrow X \times_Z Y$  is isomorphic to the natural closed immersion  $(X \times_Z Y)_{\text{red}} \rightarrow X \times_Z Y$  in  $\text{Sch}_{/X \times_Z Y}$ .*

*Proof.* First, we prove assertion (i). Let  $U$  be an affine scheme and  $f : U \rightarrow X \times_Z Y$  a morphism of schemes. Then by Lemma 2.5 (i) (ii), together with our assumption that  $\text{red} \notin \blacklozenge$ ,  $U$  belongs to  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ . Hence we obtain the following natural bijections:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{\text{Sch}_{/S}}(U, X \times_Z^{\blacklozenge} Y) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}}(U, X) \times_{\text{Hom}_{\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}}(U, Z)} \text{Hom}_{\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}}(U, Y) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{\text{Sch}_{/S}}(U, X) \times_{\text{Hom}_{\text{Sch}_{/S}}(U, Z)} \text{Hom}_{\text{Sch}_{/S}}(U, Y) \\ &\xleftarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\text{Sch}_{/S}}(U, X \times_Z Y). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for any affine scheme  $U$  and any morphism  $f : U \rightarrow X \times_Z Y$ ,  $f$  factors uniquely through the natural morphism  $v : X \times_Z^{\blacklozenge} Y \rightarrow X \times_Z Y$ . This implies that  $v$  is an isomorphism. This completes the proof of assertion (i).

Next, we prove assertion (ii). Let  $U$  be a reduced affine scheme and  $f : U \rightarrow X \times_Z Y$  a morphism of schemes. Then by Lemma 2.5 (i) (ii), together with our assumption that  $U$  is reduced,  $U$  belongs to  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ . Hence we obtain the following natural bijections:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{\text{Sch}_{/S}}(U, X \times_Z^{\blacklozenge} Y) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}}(U, X) \times_{\text{Hom}_{\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}}(U, Z)} \text{Hom}_{\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}}(U, Y) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{\text{Sch}_{/S}}(U, X) \times_{\text{Hom}_{\text{Sch}_{/S}}(U, Z)} \text{Hom}_{\text{Sch}_{/S}}(U, Y) \\ &\xleftarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\text{Sch}_{/S}}(U, X \times_Z Y). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for any reduced affine scheme  $U$  and any morphism  $f : U \rightarrow X \times_Z Y$ ,  $f$  factors uniquely through the natural morphism  $v : X \times_Z^{\blacklozenge} Y \rightarrow X \times_Z Y$ . This implies that  $v$  is isomorphic to the natural closed immersion  $(X \times_Z Y)_{\text{red}} \rightarrow X \times_Z Y$  in  $\text{Sch}_{/X \times_Z Y}$ . This completes the proof of Lemma 4.1.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.2.** *Let  $S$  be a quasi-separated scheme. Let  $f : X \rightarrow Z, g : Y \rightarrow Z$  be morphisms of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ . Suppose that the fiber product  $X \times_Z^{\blacklozenge} Y$  exists in  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ . Then the natural morphism  $v : X \times_Z^{\blacklozenge} Y \rightarrow X \times_Z Y$  is a surjective closed immersion. In particular,  $v$  is a homeomorphism.*

*Proof.* Corollary 4.2 follows immediately from Lemma 4.1 (i) (ii).  $\square$

Next, we give a category-theoretic characterization of the morphisms of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  whose underlying morphism of schemes is a closed immersion.

The following result was motivated by [vDdB19, Lemma 3.7].

**Proposition 4.3.** *Let  $S$  be a quasi-separated scheme. Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ . Then  $f$  is a closed immersion if and only if the following conditions hold:*

- (i)  *$f$  is a regular monomorphism in  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ .*
- (ii) *For any morphism  $T \rightarrow Y$ , the fiber product  $X_{\blacklozenge, T} \in \text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  exists.*

- (iii) For any morphism  $T \rightarrow Y$  and any closed point  $t \in T$  such that  $t \notin \text{Im}(f_{\diamond, T} : X_{\diamond, T} \rightarrow T)$ , the morphism  $X_{\diamond, T} \amalg \text{Spec}(k(t)) \rightarrow T$  is a regular monomorphism in  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$ .

In particular, the property that  $f$  is a closed immersion may be characterized category-theoretically (cf. [Lemma 1.8](#), [Corollary 3.15 \(i\)](#)) from the data  $(\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}, f : Y \rightarrow X)$ .

*Proof.* First, we prove necessity. Assume that  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  is a closed immersion. Then, by [Lemma 2.8 \(i\)](#),  $f$  is a regular monomorphism in  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$ , i.e.,  $f$  satisfies condition (i). Let  $T \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism. Since the closed immersion  $f$  is quasi-compact, it follows from [Lemma 1.1 \(iii\) \(iv\)](#) that the fiber product  $X_{\diamond, T}$  exists, i.e.,  $f$  satisfies condition (ii). Let  $t \in T \setminus \text{Im}(f_{\diamond, T})$  be a closed point. Since  $f_T$  is a closed immersion, and  $t \notin \text{Im}(f_{\diamond, T})$  is a closed point of  $T$ , the morphism  $X_{\diamond, T} \amalg \text{Spec}(k(t)) \rightarrow T$  is a closed immersion. Hence by [Lemma 2.8 \(i\)](#), the morphism  $X_{\diamond, T} \amalg \text{Spec}(k(t)) \rightarrow T$  is a regular monomorphism in  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$ . Thus  $f$  satisfies condition (iii). This completes the proof of necessity.

Next, we prove sufficiency. Assume that  $f$  satisfies conditions (i), (ii), and (iii). Then, by [Lemma 2.8 \(ii\)](#),  $f$  is an immersion. Hence it suffices to prove that  $\text{Im}(f) \subset Y$  is closed. By condition (ii) and [Corollary 4.2](#), to prove that  $\text{Im}(f) \subset Y$  is closed, it suffices to prove that for any affine open subscheme  $V \subset Y$ ,  $\text{Im}(f_{\diamond, V}) \subset V$  is closed, i.e.,  $\overline{\text{Im}(f_{\diamond, V})} = \text{Im}(f_{\diamond, V})$ . Let  $V$  be an affine open subscheme of  $Y$  and  $v \in V$  a closed point such that  $v \notin \text{Im}(f_{\diamond, V})$ . Since  $f$  satisfies condition (iii), the morphism  $X_{\diamond, V} \amalg \text{Spec}(k(v)) \rightarrow V$  is a regular monomorphism in  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$ . Hence by [Lemma 2.8 \(ii\)](#), the morphism  $X_{\diamond, V} \amalg \text{Spec}(k(v)) \rightarrow V$  is an immersion, i.e.,  $v$  is an isolated point of the topological subspace  $\text{Im}(f_{\diamond, V}) \cup \{v\} \subset V$ . Thus there exists an open neighborhood  $v \in V' \subset V$  such that  $\text{Im}(f_{\diamond, V}) \cap V' = \emptyset$ , i.e.,  $v \notin \overline{\text{Im}(f_{\diamond, V})}$ . This implies that the subset  $\overline{\text{Im}(f_{\diamond, V})} \setminus \text{Im}(f_{\diamond, V}) \subset V$  does not contain any closed point of  $V$ . Since  $V$  is an affine scheme, to prove that  $\overline{\text{Im}(f_{\diamond, V})} = \text{Im}(f_{\diamond, V})$ , it suffices to prove that  $\overline{\text{Im}(f_{\diamond, V})} \setminus \text{Im}(f_{\diamond, V}) \subset V$  is closed.

By [Corollary 4.2](#), the natural morphism  $X_{\diamond, V} \rightarrow X_V$  is a surjective closed immersion. Since  $f_{\diamond, V} : X_{\diamond, V} \rightarrow V$  is the composite of the natural surjective closed immersion  $X_{\diamond, V} \rightarrow X_V$  and the immersion  $f_V : X_V \rightarrow V$ ,  $f_{\diamond, V}$  is an immersion. Hence  $f_{\diamond, V}$  admits a factorization  $X_{\diamond, V} \xrightarrow{g} U \subset V$  such that  $g : X_{\diamond, V} \rightarrow U$  is a closed immersion, and  $U \subset V$  is an open immersion. Let  $p \in \overline{\text{Im}(f_{\diamond, V})} \setminus \text{Im}(f_{\diamond, V})$  be a point. Suppose that  $p \in U$ . Then, since  $p \notin \text{Im}(f_{\diamond, V}) = \text{Im}(g)$ , the open neighborhood  $p \in U \setminus \text{Im}(g)$  does not intersect  $\text{Im}(f_{\diamond, V})$ . This contradicts the fact that  $p \in \overline{\text{Im}(f_{\diamond, V})}$ . Hence  $p \notin U$ . This implies that  $\overline{\text{Im}(f_{\diamond, V})} \setminus \text{Im}(f_{\diamond, V}) \subset \overline{\text{Im}(f_{\diamond, V})} \setminus U$ . Since  $\text{Im}(f_{\diamond, V}) \subset U$ , the inclusion  $\overline{\text{Im}(f_{\diamond, V})} \setminus U \subset \overline{\text{Im}(f_{\diamond, V})} \setminus \text{Im}(f_{\diamond, V})$  holds. Thus it holds that  $\overline{\text{Im}(f_{\diamond, V})} \setminus \text{Im}(f_{\diamond, V}) = \overline{\text{Im}(f_{\diamond, V})} \setminus U$ . Since  $\overline{\text{Im}(f_{\diamond, V})} \subset V$  is closed, and  $U \subset V$  is open, we thus conclude that the subset  $\overline{\text{Im}(f_{\diamond, V})} \setminus \text{Im}(f_{\diamond, V}) \subset V$  is closed. This completes the proof of [Proposition 4.3](#).  $\square$

**Corollary 4.4.** *Let  $S$  be a quasi-separated scheme. Let  $X$  be an object of  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$  and  $f : U \rightarrow X$  a morphism of  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$ . Then  $f$  is an open immersion if and only if there exists a (necessarily quasi-compact) closed immersion  $i : F \rightarrow X$  in  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$  such that the following conditions hold:*

- (i)  $F \times_X^{\diamond} U = \emptyset$  (cf. [Lemma 1.1 \(iii\) \(iv\)](#)).



- (ii) If a morphism  $g : Y \rightarrow X$  in  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  satisfies  $Y \times_X^{\blacklozenge} F = \emptyset$  (cf. [Lemma 1.1 \(iii\) \(iv\)](#)), then  $g$  factors uniquely through  $f : U \rightarrow X$ .

In particular, the property that  $f$  is an open immersion may be characterized category-theoretically (cf. [Proposition 4.3](#)) from the data  $(\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}, f : Y \rightarrow X)$ .

*Proof.* First, we prove necessity. Assume that  $f : U \rightarrow X$  is an open immersion. Write  $i : F \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (X \setminus \text{Im}(f))_{\text{red}} \rightarrow X$  for the natural closed immersion. Since  $i$  is quasi-compact and separated,  $i$  belongs to  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ . Since  $F \times_X U = \emptyset$ , condition (i) holds. Let  $g : Y \rightarrow X$  be a morphism in  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  such that  $Y \times_X^{\blacklozenge} F = \emptyset$ . Then the underlying morphism of topological spaces  $|g| : |Y| \rightarrow |X|$  factors uniquely through the open immersion of topological spaces  $|f| : |U| \rightarrow |X|$ . Thus  $g : Y \rightarrow X$  factors uniquely through  $f : U \rightarrow X$ . This completes the proof of necessity.

Next, we prove sufficiency. Assume that there exists a closed immersion  $i : F \rightarrow X$  in  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  such that  $f : U \rightarrow X$  and  $i : F \rightarrow X$  satisfy conditions (i) and (ii). Write  $j : V = X \setminus \text{Im}(i) \rightarrow X$  for the open immersion determined by the open subset  $X \setminus \text{Im}(i) \subset X$ . By the necessity portion of [Corollary 4.4](#), the open immersion  $j : V \rightarrow X$  satisfies conditions (i) and (ii). Hence there exist morphisms  $g : U \rightarrow V, h : V \rightarrow U$  such that  $j \circ g = f, f \circ h = j$ . By the uniqueness portion of condition (ii), the equalities  $h \circ g = \text{id}_U, g \circ h = \text{id}_V$  hold. This proves that  $g$  is an isomorphism, i.e.,  $f = j \circ g$  is an open immersion. This completes the proof of sufficiency.  $\square$

Next, we give a category-theoretic reconstruction of the underlying topological space of an object of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ .

**Reconstruction 4.5.** Let  $S$  be a quasi-separated scheme. Let  $X$  be an object of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ . We define a ( $\mathbf{V}$ -small) topological space

$$\text{Op}_{\blacklozenge/S}(X) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ U \subset \text{Pt}_{\blacklozenge/S}(X) \left| \begin{array}{l} \text{there exists a collection of open} \\ \text{immersions } \{i_\lambda : U_\lambda \rightarrow X\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \text{ in } \text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S} \\ \text{such that } U = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \text{Im}(\text{Pt}_{\blacklozenge/S}(i_\lambda)) \end{array} \right. \right\},$$

$$\text{Sp}_{\blacklozenge/S}(X) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\text{Pt}_{\blacklozenge/S}(X), \text{Op}_{\blacklozenge/S}(X)).$$

These ( $\mathbf{V}$ -small) sets are defined completely in terms of properties that may be characterized category-theoretically (cf. [Corollary 4.4](#)) from the data  $(\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}, X)$ . Since any open subscheme  $U \subset X$  admits a covering by affine open subschemes, it follows from [Lemma 2.5 \(i\) \(ii\)](#) that the bijection  $\eta_X : \text{Sp}_{\blacklozenge/S}(X) \rightarrow |X|$  (cf. [Reconstruction 1.3](#)) induces a natural bijection between  $\text{Op}_{\blacklozenge/S}(X)$  and the set of open subsets of  $X$ . Hence  $\text{Sp}_{\blacklozenge/S}(X)$  is a topological space, and the bijection  $\eta_X$  may be regarded (by a slight abuse of notation) as a homeomorphism. Since for any morphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ , the diagram

$$(\dagger) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Sp}_{\blacklozenge/S}(X) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\eta_X} & |X| \\ \text{Pt}_{\blacklozenge/S}(f) \downarrow & & \downarrow |f| \\ \text{Sp}_{\blacklozenge/S}(Y) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\eta_Y} & |Y| \end{array}$$

(cf. [Reconstruction 1.3](#)) commutes, the map  $\text{Pt}_{\blacklozenge/S}(f) : \text{Sp}_{\blacklozenge/S}(X) \rightarrow \text{Sp}_{\blacklozenge/S}(Y)$  is continuous. We shall write  $\text{Sp}_{\blacklozenge/S}(f)$  for  $\text{Pt}_{\blacklozenge/S}(f)$ . Thus we obtain a functor  $\text{Sp}_{\blacklozenge/S} : \text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S} \rightarrow \text{Top}_{\mathbf{V}}$ .

Let  $\star \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Top}$  or  $\text{Top}_{\mathbf{V}}$ . We define

$$(\ddagger) \quad \begin{array}{c} U_{\diamond/S}^{\star} : \text{Sch}_{\diamond/S} \rightarrow \star \\ X \mapsto |X|. \end{array}$$

Let  $i_{\mathbf{U} \in \mathbf{V}}^{\text{Top}} : \text{Top} \rightarrow \text{Top}_{\mathbf{V}}$  be the inclusion, then the equality  $U_{\diamond/S}^{\text{Top}_{\mathbf{V}}} = i_{\mathbf{U} \in \mathbf{V}}^{\text{Top}} \circ U_{\diamond/S}^{\text{Top}}$  holds. Since  $\eta_X$  is a homeomorphism,  $\eta$  may be regarded (by a slight abuse of notation) as a natural isomorphism  $\eta : \text{Sp}_{\diamond/S} \xrightarrow{\sim} U_{\diamond/S}^{\text{Top}_{\mathbf{V}}} = i_{\mathbf{U} \in \mathbf{V}}^{\text{Top}} \circ U_{\diamond/S}^{\text{Top}}$ .

Since the functor  $\text{Sp}_{\diamond/S}$  is defined category-theoretically from the data  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$ , the following lemma holds:

**Lemma 4.6.** *Let  $S, T$  be quasi-separated schemes and  $F : \text{Sch}_{\diamond/S} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Sch}_{\diamond/T}$  an equivalence. Then  $S, T, F$  determine an isomorphism  $\rho^{\text{Sp}}$  between the two composite functors of the following diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Sch}_{\diamond/S} & \xrightarrow{F} & \text{Sch}_{\diamond/T} \\ \text{Sp}_{\diamond/S} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Sp}_{\diamond/T} \\ \text{Top}_{\mathbf{V}} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \text{Top}_{\mathbf{V}}. \end{array}$$

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be an object of  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$ . By Lemma 1.4, the map  $\rho_X : \text{Pt}_{\diamond/S}(X) \rightarrow \text{Pt}_{\diamond/T}(F(X))$  constructed in the proof of Lemma 1.4 is bijective. Hence to prove Lemma 4.6, it suffices to prove that the map  $\rho_X : \text{Sp}_{\diamond/S}(X) \rightarrow \text{Sp}_{\diamond/T}(F(X))$  is continuous and open.

By the definitions of the topologies on  $\text{Sp}_{\diamond/S}(X)$  and  $\text{Sp}_{\diamond/T}(F(X))$ , to prove that  $\rho_X$  is continuous, it suffices to prove that for any open immersion  $j : V \rightarrow F(X)$  in  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/T}$ ,  $\rho_X^{-1}(\text{Im}(\text{Sp}_{\diamond/T}(j)))$  is an open subset of  $\text{Sp}_{\diamond/S}(X)$ . Let  $j : V \rightarrow F(X)$  be an open immersion. If  $F^{-1}$  is a quasi-inverse of  $F$ , then by Corollary 4.4, the morphism  $j' : F^{-1}(V) \rightarrow X$  corresponding to  $j : V \rightarrow F(X)$  is an open immersion. Moreover, by Lemma 1.4, the equality  $\text{Im}(\text{Sp}_{\diamond/S}(j')) = \rho_X^{-1}(\text{Im}(\text{Sp}_{\diamond/T}(j)))$  holds. Thus  $\rho_X$  is continuous.

By the definitions of the topologies on  $\text{Sp}_{\diamond/S}(X)$  and  $\text{Sp}_{\diamond/T}(F(X))$ , to prove that  $\rho_X$  is open, it suffices to prove that for any open immersion  $i : V \rightarrow X$  in  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$ ,  $\rho_X(\text{Im}(\text{Sp}_{\diamond/S}(i)))$  is an open subset of  $\text{Sp}_{\diamond/T}(F(X))$ . Let  $i : U \rightarrow X$  be an open immersion. By Corollary 4.4,  $F(i) : F(U) \rightarrow F(X)$  is an open immersion. Moreover, by Lemma 1.4, the equality  $\rho_X(\text{Im}(\text{Sp}_{\diamond/S}(i))) = \text{Im}(\text{Sp}_{\diamond/T}(F(i)))$  holds. Thus  $\rho_X$  is an open map. This completes the proof of Lemma 4.6.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.7.** *Let  $S, T$  be schemes and  $F : \text{Sch}_{\diamond/S} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Sch}_{\diamond/T}$  an equivalence. Then the following diagram commutes up to natural isomorphism:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Sch}_{\diamond/S} & \xrightarrow{F} & \text{Sch}_{\diamond/T} \\ U_{\diamond/S}^{\text{Top}} \downarrow & & \downarrow U_{\diamond/T}^{\text{Top}} \\ \text{Top} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \text{Top}. \end{array}$$

*Proof.* It follows from Lemma 4.6 and Reconstruction 4.5 that we obtain the following natural isomorphisms:

$$i_{\mathbf{U} \in \mathbf{V}}^{\text{Top}} \circ U_{\diamond/S}^{\text{Top}} \xleftarrow{\sim} \text{Sp}_{\diamond/S} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Sp}_{\diamond/T} \circ F \xrightarrow{\sim} i_{\mathbf{U} \in \mathbf{V}}^{\text{Top}} \circ U_{\diamond/T}^{\text{Top}} \circ F.$$

Since  $i_{\mathbf{U} \in \mathbf{V}}^{\text{Top}}$  is fully faithful, the composite isomorphism of the above display determines a natural isomorphism  $U_{\blacklozenge/S}^{\text{Top}} \xrightarrow{\sim} U_{\blacklozenge/T}^{\text{Top}} \circ F$ .  $\square$

By [Reconstruction 4.5](#), topological properties of schemes or morphisms may be characterized category-theoretically. For example, the following assertion holds:

**Corollary 4.8.** *Let  $S$  be a quasi-separated scheme.*

- (i) *Let  $X$  be an object of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ . Then the property that  $X$  is quasi-compact may be characterized category-theoretically from the data  $(\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}, X)$ .*
- (ii) *Let  $f : Y \rightarrow Z$  be a morphism of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ . Then the property that  $f$  is quasi-compact may be characterized category-theoretically from the data  $(\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}, f)$ .*

*Proof.* [Corollary 4.8](#) follow immediately from [Reconstruction 4.5](#).  $\square$

**Corollary 4.9.** *Let  $S$  be a quasi-separated scheme. Let  $X$  be an object of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  and  $f : Y \rightarrow Z$  a morphism of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ . Then the following assertions hold:*

- (i)  *$X$  is quasi-separated if and only if for any two open immersions  $U_1 \rightarrow X, U_2 \rightarrow X$  in  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  such that  $U_1, U_2$  are quasi-compact,  $U_1 \times_X^{\blacklozenge} U_2$  exists and is quasi-compact. In particular, the property that  $X$  is quasi-separated may be characterized category-theoretically (cf. [Corollary 4.8](#)) from the data  $(\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}, X)$ .*
- (ii)  *$f$  is quasi-separated if and only if for any three open immersions  $W \rightarrow Z, V_1 \rightarrow Y, V_2 \rightarrow Y$  in  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  such that  $W, V_1, V_2$  are quasi-compact and quasi-separated, and  $V_1 \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z, V_2 \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z$  factor (necessarily uniquely) through  $W \rightarrow Z$ ,  $V_1 \times_Y^{\blacklozenge} V_2$  exists and is quasi-compact. In particular, the property that  $f$  is quasi-separated may be characterized category-theoretically (cf. [Corollary 4.8](#), [Corollary 4.9 \(i\)](#)) from the data  $(\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}, f)$ .*
- (iii)  *$X$  is separated over  $S$  if and only if the image of the diagonal morphism  $\Delta_X^{\blacklozenge} : X \rightarrow X \times^{\blacklozenge} X$  (where we note that  $X \times^{\blacklozenge} X = X \times_S^{\blacklozenge} X$  exists in  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  by [Lemma 1.1 \(i\) \(ii\) \(iii\) \(iv\)](#)) is closed. In particular, the property that  $X$  is separated over  $S$  may be characterized category-theoretically from the data  $(\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}, X)$ .*

*Proof.* Assertions (i) and (ii) follow formally from [Lemma 1.1 \(iii\) \(iv\)](#), [Lemma 2.5 \(i\) \(ii\)](#), [Corollary 4.2](#), and [Reconstruction 4.5](#), together with the definition of the notion of a quasi-separated morphism. Assertion (iii) follows immediately from [Corollary 4.2](#) and [Reconstruction 4.5](#), together with the definition of the notion of a separated morphism.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.10.** *Let  $S$  be a quasi-separated scheme. Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ . Then the following assertions hold:*

- (i)  *$f$  is separated if and only if  $f$  is quasi-separated, and for any commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 U & \xrightarrow{g'} & X \\
 f' \downarrow & \nearrow h_1 & \downarrow f \\
 & & Y \\
 T & \xrightarrow{g} & Y
 \end{array}$$

*Diagram description: A commutative diagram with four nodes. The top-left node is  $U$ , the top-right node is  $X$ , the bottom-left node is  $T$ , and the bottom-right node is  $Y$ . There is a horizontal arrow  $g' : U \rightarrow X$  and a horizontal arrow  $g : T \rightarrow Y$ . There is a vertical arrow  $f' : U \rightarrow T$  and a vertical arrow  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ . There are two diagonal arrows from  $T$  to  $X$ : one labeled  $h_1$  and one labeled  $h_2$ . The diagram is commutative, meaning  $g' \circ f' = h_1 = h_2 = g \circ f$ .*

in  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  such that

- $T$  is isomorphic to the spectrum of a valuation ring, and
- $f' : U \rightarrow T$  is isomorphic as an object of  $\text{Sch}_{/T}$  to the object of  $\text{Sch}_{/T}$  that arises from the natural morphism to  $T$  from the spectrum of the residue field at the generic point of  $T$ ,

it holds that  $h_1 = h_2$ . In particular, the property that  $f$  is separated may be characterized category-theoretically (cf. [Lemma 1.8](#), [Corollary 3.15 \(i\)](#), [Proposition 3.19](#), [Corollary 4.9 \(ii\)](#)) from the data  $(\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}, f)$ .

- (ii)  $f$  is quasi-compact and universally closed if and only if  $f$  is quasi-compact, and for any diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & \xrightarrow{g'} & X \\ f' \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ T & \xrightarrow{g} & Y \end{array}$$

in  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  such that

- $T$  is isomorphic to the spectrum of a valuation ring, and
- $f' : U \rightarrow T$  is isomorphic as an object of  $\text{Sch}_{/T}$  to the object of  $\text{Sch}_{/T}$  that arises from the natural morphism to  $T$  from the spectrum of the residue field at the generic point of  $T$ ,

there exists a morphism  $h : T \rightarrow X$  such that  $g' = h \circ f'$ ,  $g = f \circ h$ . In particular, the property that  $f$  is quasi-compact and universally closed may be characterized category-theoretically (cf. [Lemma 1.8](#), [Corollary 3.15 \(i\)](#), [Proposition 3.19](#), [Corollary 4.8 \(ii\)](#)) from the data  $(\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}, f)$ .

*Proof.* These assertions follow from [Lemma 2.5 \(i\) \(ii\)](#), together with well-known valuative criteria (cf. [\[Stacks, Tag 01KD\]](#) [\[Stacks, Tag 01KZ\]](#), [\[Stacks, Tag 01L0\]](#), [\[Stacks, Tag 01KF\]](#)).  $\square$

Finally, we conclude one of the main results of the present paper as follows:

**Corollary 4.11.** *Let  $S, T$  be quasi-separated schemes. If the ( $\mathbf{V}$ -small) categories  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ ,  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/T}$  are equivalent, then the [possibly empty] subsets  $\blacklozenge, \diamond \subset \{\text{red}, \text{qcpt}, \text{qsep}, \text{sep}\}$  (such that  $\{\text{qsep}, \text{sep}\} \not\subset \blacklozenge, \diamond$ ) coincide, i.e.,  $\blacklozenge = \diamond$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $F : \text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Sch}_{\diamond/T}$  be an equivalence. It suffices to prove that  $\diamond \subset \blacklozenge$ . Assume that there exists an element  $\star \in \diamond \setminus \blacklozenge$ . Write

$$\begin{aligned} Z &:\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[\varepsilon, X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n, \dots]/(\varepsilon^2)), \\ W &:\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[\varepsilon, X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n, \dots]/(\varepsilon^2)) \setminus \{(0, 0, \dots)\}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $n$  ranges over the positive integers. Then

- $S \times Z$  satisfies  $\text{qcpt}/S, \text{sep}/S$ , but not  $\text{red}$ ,
- $(S \times W)_{\text{red}}$  satisfies  $\text{red}, \text{sep}/S$ , but not  $\text{qcpt}/S$ ,
- $(S \times (Z \coprod_W Z))_{\text{red}}$  satisfies  $\text{red}, \text{qcpt}/S$ , but not  $\text{qsep}/S$ , and
- $(\mathbb{A}_S^1 \coprod_{\mathbb{A}_S^1 \setminus \{0\}} \mathbb{A}_S^1)_{\text{red}}$  satisfies  $\text{red}, \text{qcpt}/S, \text{qsep}/S$ , but not  $\text{sep}/S$ .

Since  $\star \notin \blacklozenge$ , and  $\{\text{qsep}, \text{sep}\} \not\subset \blacklozenge, \diamond$ , it follows immediately that there exists an object  $X$  of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  (which may in fact be taken to be one of the four schemes in the above display) such that  $X$  does not satisfy  $\star$ . Then by [Corollary 2.9](#), [Corollary 4.8 \(ii\)](#), and [Corollary 4.9 \(ii\) \(iii\)](#),  $F(X)$  does not satisfy property  $\star$ . This contradicts the fact that  $\star \in \diamond$ . Thus it holds that  $\diamond \subset \blacklozenge$ .  $\square$

5. LOCALLY OF FINITE PRESENTATION MORPHISMS

In this section, we give a category-theoretic characterization of the morphisms of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  whose underlying morphism of schemes is locally of finite presentation. To give a category-theoretic characterization of such morphisms of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ , we define the following properties:

- Definition 5.1.** (i) Let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be a local homomorphism between local rings. We shall say that  $f$  is *essentially of finite presentation* (respectively, *essentially of finite type*) if  $f$  admits a factorization  $A \rightarrow C \rightarrow B$  such that  $A \rightarrow C$  is a ring homomorphism of finite presentation (respectively, of finite type), and  $C \rightarrow B$  is the localization morphism **at a prime ideal** of  $C$ .
- (ii) Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism of schemes. We shall say that  $f$  is *stalkwise of finite presentation* (respectively, *stalkwise of finite type*) at a point  $x \in X$  if the morphism of local rings  $\mathcal{O}_{Y,f(x)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  is essentially of finite presentation (respectively, essentially of finite type). We shall say that  $f$  is *stalkwise of finite presentation* (respectively, *stalkwise of finite type*) if  $f$  is stalkwise of finite presentation at every point of  $X$ .

**Remark 5.2.**

- (i) If a morphism of schemes is locally of finite presentation, then it is stalkwise of finite presentation.
- (ii) If a morphism of rings is essentially of finite presentation, then it is essentially of finite type.
- (iii) For any local ring  $A$ , the identity morphism  $\text{id}_A$  is essentially of finite presentation.
- (iv) By [Stacks, Tag 07DS], the composite of two morphisms of local rings which are essentially of finite presentation (respectively, essentially of finite type) is essentially of finite presentation (respectively, essentially of finite type).

**Lemma 5.3.** *Let  $p : R \rightarrow A$  and  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be ring homomorphisms. Then the following assertion holds:*

- (i) *Assume that  $R, A, B$  are local rings, and  $p, f$  are local homomorphisms. If  $f \circ p$  is essentially of finite type, then  $f$  is also essentially of finite type.*

*Assume that there exists a ring homomorphism  $s : B \rightarrow A$ . Then the following assertions hold:*

- (ii) *If  $f$  is of finite type, and  $s \circ f = \text{id}_A$ , then  $s$  is of finite presentation.*
- (iii) *If  $f \circ p$  is of finite presentation, and  $s \circ f = \text{id}_A$ , then  $p$  is of finite presentation.*
- (iv) *Assume that  $A, B$  are local rings, and  $f, s$  are local homomorphisms. If  $f$  is essentially of finite type, and  $s \circ f = \text{id}_A$ , then  $s$  is essentially of finite presentation.*
- (v) *Assume that  $R, A, B$  are local rings, and  $p, f, s$  are local homomorphisms. If  $f \circ p$  is essentially of finite presentation, and  $s \circ f = \text{id}_A$ , then  $p$  is essentially of finite presentation.*

*Proof.* First, we verify assertion (i). Assume that  $f \circ p$  is essentially of finite type. Then  $f \circ p$  admits a factorization  $R \xrightarrow{g} R' \xrightarrow{i} B$  such that  $g : R \rightarrow R'$  is a ring homomorphism of finite type, and  $i : R' \rightarrow B$  is the localization morphism at a

prime ideal of  $R'$ . Hence the morphism  $g' := \stackrel{\text{def}}{\text{id}_A \otimes g} : A \rightarrow A \otimes_R R'$  is a ring homomorphism of finite type. Since  $i : R' \rightarrow B$  is a localization morphism at a prime ideal of  $R'$ , if we write  $A''$  for the image of the natural morphism  $A \otimes_R R' \rightarrow B$  determined by the equality  $f \circ p = i \circ g$ , then the natural inclusion  $j : A'' \hookrightarrow B$  is the localization morphism at the prime ideal  $A'' \cap \mathfrak{m}_B$ , where  $\mathfrak{m}_B$  is the unique maximal ideal of  $B$ . Thus, if we write  $h : A \otimes_R R' \rightarrow A$  for the surjection, then the composite  $h \circ g' : A \rightarrow A \otimes_R R' \rightarrow A''$  is a ring homomorphism of finite type, and we obtain a factorization  $A \xrightarrow{h \circ g'} A'' \xrightarrow{j} B$  of  $f$  such that  $h \circ g' : A \rightarrow A''$  is a ring homomorphism of finite type, and  $j : A'' \rightarrow B$  is the localization morphism at a prime ideal of  $A''$ . This proves that  $f$  is essentially of finite type. This completes the proof of assertion (i).

Next, we verify assertion (ii). Assume that  $f$  is of finite type, and  $s \circ f = \text{id}_A$ . Then  $s$  is surjective. Hence it suffices to prove that  $\ker(s)$  is finitely generated. Since  $f$  is of finite type,  $f$  admits a factorization  $A \xrightarrow{i} A[x_1, \dots, x_r] \xrightarrow{q} B$  such that  $i : A \rightarrow A[x_1, \dots, x_r]$  is the natural inclusion, and  $q$  is a surjective ring homomorphism. Thus we obtain the equality  $\ker(s \circ q) = (x_1 - i(s(q(x_1))), \dots, x_r - i(s(q(x_r))))$ . In particular,  $\ker(s \circ q)$  is a finitely generated ideal of  $A[x_1, \dots, x_r]$ . Since  $q$  is a surjective ring homomorphism, we obtain the equality  $\ker(s) = q(\ker(s \circ q))$ . Thus  $\ker(s)$  is a finitely generated ideal of  $B$ . This completes the proof of assertion (ii).

Next, we verify assertion (iii). Assume that  $f \circ p : R \rightarrow B$  is of finite presentation, and  $s \circ f = \text{id}_A$ . Then  $f$  is of finite type. By (ii),  $s$  is of finite presentation. Hence  $p = s \circ f \circ p$  is of finite presentation. This completes the proof of assertion (iii).

Next, we verify assertion (iv). Assume that  $f$  is essentially of finite type, and  $s \circ f = \text{id}_A$ . Then  $f$  admits a factorization  $A \xrightarrow{f'} B' \xrightarrow{q} B$  such that  $f'$  is a ring homomorphism of finite type, and  $q$  is the localization morphism at a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{q}' \subset B'$ . It follows from the equality  $s \circ f = \text{id}_A$  that  $s$  is a surjective local homomorphism. Hence to prove assertion (iv), it suffices to prove that  $\ker(s)$  is a finitely generated ideal. Since  $f'$  is a ring homomorphism of finite type, and  $s \circ q \circ f' = \text{id}_A$ , it follows from (ii) that  $s \circ q : B' \rightarrow A$  is of finite presentation. Hence  $\ker(s \circ q)$  is a finitely generated ideal. Since  $s$  is a local homomorphism,  $\ker(s \circ q) \subset \mathfrak{q}' \subset B'$ . Since  $q$  is the localization morphism at  $\mathfrak{q}' \subset B'$ ,  $\ker(s)$  is the localization of  $\ker(s \circ q)$  at  $\mathfrak{q}' \subset B'$ . Thus  $\ker(s)$  is a finitely generated ideal of  $B$ . This completes the proof of (iv).

Finally, we verify assertion (v). Assume that  $f \circ p$  is essentially of finite presentation, and  $s \circ f = \text{id}_A$ . By (i),  $f$  is essentially of finite type. Since  $f$  is essentially of finite type, and  $s \circ f = \text{id}_A$ , it follows from (iv) that  $s$  is essentially of finite presentation. Thus  $p = s \circ (f \circ p)$  is essentially of finite presentation. This completes the proof of Lemma 5.3.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.4.** *Let  $S, X, Y$  be schemes and  $p : X \rightarrow S, f : Y \rightarrow X, s : X \rightarrow Y$  morphisms. Assume that  $f \circ s = \text{id}_X$ , and  $p \circ f : Y \rightarrow S$  is locally of finite presentation (respectively, stalkwise of finite presentation). Then  $p$  is locally of finite presentation (respectively, stalkwise of finite presentation).*

*Proof.* Corollary 5.4 follows immediately from Lemma 5.3 (iii) (v).  $\square$

The following lemma will play an important role in the category-theoretic characterization of stalkwise of finite presentation morphisms that we give in Corollary 5.11 below.

**Lemma 5.5.** *Let  $S$  be a quasi-separated scheme. Let  $(V_\lambda, \lambda \in \Lambda)$  be a diagram in  $\mathbf{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  indexed by a cofiltered category  $\Lambda$ . Assume that there exists a  $\mathbf{U}$ -small cofinal (hence cofiltered) subcategory  $\Lambda' \subset \Lambda$  such that  $V_\lambda$  is affine for every  $\lambda \in \Lambda'$ . Then the limits  $\lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} V_\lambda$  and  $\lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda}^\blacklozenge V_\lambda$  exist in  $\mathbf{Sch}/S$  and  $\mathbf{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ , respectively, and are naturally isomorphic in  $\mathbf{Sch}/S \supset \mathbf{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ . Moreover,  $\lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda}^\blacklozenge V_\lambda$  may be taken to be  $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathrm{colim}_{\lambda \in \Lambda^{\mathrm{op}}} \Gamma(V_\lambda, \mathcal{O}_{V_\lambda}))$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to prove that the limit  $\lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} V_\lambda$  exists in  $\mathbf{Sch}/S$  and in fact belongs to  $\mathbf{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ . Since  $\Lambda' \subset \Lambda$  is cofinal, we may assume without loss of generality that  $\Lambda' = \Lambda$ . Then we may take  $\lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} V_\lambda$  to be  $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathrm{colim}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Gamma(V_\lambda, \mathcal{O}_{V_\lambda}))$ . In particular,  $\lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} V_\lambda$  exists in  $\mathbf{Sch}/S$  and is affine. By Lemma 2.5 (i) (ii),  $\lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} V_\lambda$  is separated and quasi-compact over  $S$ . If each  $V_\lambda$  is reduced, then  $\lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} V_\lambda$  is also reduced. Thus  $\lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} V_\lambda$  belongs to  $\mathbf{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ . This completes the proof of Lemma 5.5.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.6.** *Let  $(C_\lambda, p_{\lambda\mu})_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  be a filtered diagram of local rings and local homomorphisms. Then  $\mathrm{colim}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} C_\lambda$  is local, and the natural morphism  $i_\lambda : C_\lambda \rightarrow \mathrm{colim}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} C_\lambda$  is local.*

*Proof.* Let  $I \subsetneq C := \mathrm{colim}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} C_\lambda$  be an ideal. Write  $\mathfrak{m}_\lambda$  for the maximal ideal of  $C_\lambda$ ,  $\mathfrak{m} := \mathrm{colim}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathfrak{m}_\lambda$ , and  $I_\lambda := i_\lambda^{-1}(I) \subsetneq C_\lambda$  for the inverse image of the ideal  $I$ . Since  $C_\lambda$  is local,  $I_\lambda \subset \mathfrak{m}_\lambda$ . Since the natural morphism  $\mathrm{colim}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} I_\lambda \rightarrow I$  is an isomorphism, it holds that  $I \subset \mathfrak{m}$ . This implies that  $\mathfrak{m}$  is a unique maximal ideal of  $C$ , i.e., that  $C$  is local, and  $i_\lambda$  is local. This completes the proof of Lemma 5.6.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.7.** *Let  $S$  be a quasi-separated scheme. Then the following assertions hold:*

- (i) *Let  $(V_\lambda, p_{\lambda\mu})_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  be a  $\mathbf{U}$ -small cofiltered diagram in  $\mathbf{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  such that each  $V_\lambda$  is local, and each  $p_{\lambda\mu}$  is local. Then  $\lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda}^\blacklozenge V_\lambda$  exists. Moreover,  $\lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda}^\blacklozenge V_\lambda$  is local, and each projection  $\lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda}^\blacklozenge V_\lambda \rightarrow V_\lambda$  is local.*
- (ii) *Let  $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  be an object and  $x \in X$  a point. Write  $I_X(x)$  for the full subcategory of  $\mathbf{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/X}$  consisting of all open immersions  $U \rightarrow X$  in  $\mathbf{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/X}$  such that  $x$  belongs to the image of  $U \rightarrow X$ . (Thus, by a slight abuse of notation,  $I_X(x)$  may also be regarded, by applying the natural functor  $\mathbf{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ , as a diagram indexed by  $I_X(x)$ .) Then  $\lim_{U \in I_X(x)}^\blacklozenge U$  exists, and may be taken to be  $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x})$ .*

*Proof.* First, we prove assertion (i). Since a local object in  $\mathbf{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  is affine, it follows from Lemma 5.5 that  $\lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda}^\blacklozenge V_\lambda$  exists, and

$$\lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda}^\blacklozenge V_\lambda \cong \mathrm{Spec}(\mathrm{colim}_{\lambda \in \Lambda^{\mathrm{op}}} \Gamma(V_\lambda, \mathcal{O}_{V_\lambda})).$$

By Lemma 5.6,  $\lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda}^\blacklozenge V_\lambda$  is local, and each projection  $\lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda}^\blacklozenge V_\lambda \rightarrow V_\lambda$  is local. Thus assertion (i) holds.

Next, we prove assertion (ii). Since the  $\mathbf{U}$ -small subcategory

$$\{ (U \subset X) \in I_X(x) \mid U \text{ is an affine open neighborhood of } x \} \subset I_X(x)$$

is cofinal, assertion (ii) follows from Lemma 5.5.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.8.** *Let  $f : R \rightarrow A$  be a local homomorphism between  $\mathbf{U}$ -small local rings. Write  $\mathbf{LAlg}_R$  for the category of  $\mathbf{U}$ -small local  $R$ -algebras and local homomorphisms of  $R$ -algebras. Then the following assertions hold:*

- (i) If  $f$  is essentially of finite presentation, then for any  $\mathbf{U}$ -small filtered diagram  $(C_\lambda, p_{\lambda\mu})_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  in  $\mathbf{LAlg}_R$ , the natural morphism

$$\varphi : \operatorname{colim}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{LAlg}_R}(A, C_\lambda) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{LAlg}_R}(A, \operatorname{colim}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} C_\lambda)$$

(where we note that by [Lemma 5.6](#),  $\operatorname{colim}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} C_\lambda$  is local) is surjective.

- (ii) There exists a  $\mathbf{U}$ -small filtered diagram  $(C_\lambda, p_{\lambda\mu})_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  in  $\mathbf{LAlg}_R$  such that each  $R$ -algebra  $C_\lambda$  is essentially of finite presentation, and  $\operatorname{colim}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} C_\lambda \cong A$ .
- (iii) Assume that  $R, A$  are reduced. Then there exists a  $\mathbf{U}$ -small filtered diagram  $(C_\lambda, p_{\lambda\mu})_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  in  $\mathbf{LAlg}_R$  such that each  $R$ -algebra  $C_\lambda$  is reduced and essentially of finite type, and  $\operatorname{colim}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} C_\lambda \cong A$ .

*Proof.* First, we prove assertion (i). Let  $(C_\lambda, p_{\lambda\mu})_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  be a  $\mathbf{U}$ -small filtered diagram in  $\mathbf{LAlg}_R$ . Write  $C := \operatorname{colim}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} C_\lambda$  and  $p_\lambda : C_\lambda \rightarrow C$  for the natural morphism. By [Lemma 5.6](#),  $C$  is local, and each  $p_\lambda$  is local. Let  $g : A \rightarrow C$  be a local homomorphism of  $R$ -algebras. Since  $f$  is essentially of finite presentation, the morphism of local rings  $f : R \rightarrow A$  admits a factorization  $R \xrightarrow{h} B \xrightarrow{j} A$  such that  $h : R \rightarrow B$  is of finite presentation, and  $j : B \rightarrow A$  is the localization morphism at a prime ideal of  $B$ . Since  $B$  is an  $R$ -algebra of finite presentation, it follows from [\[Stacks, Tag 00QO\]](#) that there exist an index  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and a morphism  $B \xrightarrow{f_\lambda} C_\lambda$  of  $R$ -algebras such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B & \xrightarrow{j} & A \\ f_\lambda \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ C_\lambda & \xrightarrow{p_\lambda} & C \end{array}$$

Since  $j$  is the localization morphism at a prime ideal of  $B$ , and  $p_\lambda, g$  are local homomorphisms of local rings, there exists a local morphism  $f'_\lambda : A \rightarrow C_\lambda$  such that  $f_\lambda = f'_\lambda \circ j$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B & \xrightarrow{j} & A \\ f_\lambda \downarrow & \nearrow f'_\lambda & \downarrow g \\ C_\lambda & \xrightarrow{p_\lambda} & C \end{array}$$

Since  $g \circ j = p_\lambda \circ f_\lambda = p_\lambda \circ f'_\lambda \circ j$ , and  $j$  is the localization morphism at a prime ideal of  $B$ , the equality  $g = p_\lambda \circ f'_\lambda$  holds. This implies that

$$\varphi([f'_\lambda]) = p_\lambda \circ f'_\lambda = g,$$

where  $[f'_\lambda]$  denotes the element  $\in \operatorname{colim}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{LAlg}_R}(A, C_\lambda)$  determined by  $f'_\lambda \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{LAlg}_R}(A, C_\lambda)$ . Thus  $\varphi$  is surjective. This completes the proof of assertion (i).

Next, we prove assertion (ii). By [\[Stacks, Tag 0BUF\]](#), there exists a  $\mathbf{U}$ -small filtered diagram  $(B_\lambda, q_{\lambda\mu})_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  of  $R$ -algebras of finite presentation and homomorphisms of  $R$ -algebras such that  $\operatorname{colim}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} B_\lambda \cong A$ . Write  $q_\lambda : B_\lambda \rightarrow A$  for the natural morphism,  $\mathfrak{m}$  for the maximal ideal of  $A$ ,  $\mathfrak{q}_\lambda := \operatorname{colim}_{\mu \in \Lambda} q_\mu^{-1}(\mathfrak{m})$ ,  $C_\lambda := \operatorname{colim}_{\mu \in \Lambda} B_{\lambda, \mathfrak{q}_\lambda}$  for the localization of  $B_\lambda$  at  $\mathfrak{q}_\lambda$ . Then the morphism  $q_\lambda : B_\lambda \rightarrow A$  induces the local homomorphism of local  $R$ -algebras  $p_\lambda : C_\lambda \rightarrow A$ , and any isomorphism  $\operatorname{colim}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} B_\lambda \xrightarrow{\sim} A$  induces



an isomorphism  $\operatorname{colim}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} C_\lambda \xrightarrow{\sim} A$ . Since  $C_\lambda$  is essentially of finite presentation over  $R$ , this completes the proof of assertion (ii).

Finally, we prove assertion (iii). By assertion (ii), there exists a  $\mathbf{U}$ -small filtered diagram  $(B_\lambda, q_{\lambda\mu})_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  of essentially of finite type local  $R$ -algebras and local homomorphisms such that  $\operatorname{colim}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} B_\lambda \cong A$ . Write  $q_\lambda : B_\lambda \rightarrow A$  for the natural morphism. Since  $A$  is reduced,  $\operatorname{Im}(q_\lambda) \subset A$  is a reduced local  $R$ -algebra. Then it holds that  $A = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \operatorname{Im}(q_\lambda)$ . This implies that  $\operatorname{colim}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \operatorname{Im}(q_\lambda) \cong A$ . Write  $C_\lambda \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \operatorname{Im}(q_\lambda)$  and  $p_{\lambda\mu} : C_\lambda \rightarrow C_\mu$  for the morphism induced by  $q_{\lambda\mu}$ . Since  $q_\lambda$  is local,  $(C_\lambda, p_{\lambda\mu})_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  is a  $\mathbf{U}$ -small filtered diagram in  $\mathbf{LAlg}_R$ . This completes the proof of Lemma 5.8.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.9.** *Let  $S$  be a quasi-separated scheme. Let  $X, Y$  be local objects of  $\mathbf{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  a local morphism in  $\mathbf{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ . Write  $(\mathbf{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/Y})_{\text{loc}}$  for the full subcategory of  $\mathbf{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/Y}$  determined by the local objects and the local morphisms. Consider the following condition on  $f$ :*

( $\dagger$ ) *For any  $\mathbf{U}$ -small cofiltered diagram  $(V_\lambda, p_{\lambda\mu})_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  of  $(\mathbf{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/Y})_{\text{loc}}$ , the natural morphism*

$$\varphi : \operatorname{colim}_{\lambda \in \Lambda^{\text{op}}} \operatorname{Hom}_{(\mathbf{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/Y})_{\text{loc}}}(V_\lambda, X) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{(\mathbf{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/Y})_{\text{loc}}}(\lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda}^{\blacklozenge} V_\lambda, X)$$

*is surjective (where we note that by Corollary 5.7 (i),  $\lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda}^{\blacklozenge} V_\lambda$  exists in  $(\mathbf{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/Y})_{\text{loc}}$ ).*

Then the following assertions hold:

- (i) *If the morphism of local rings  $f^\# : \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  is essentially of finite presentation, then condition ( $\dagger$ ) holds.*
- (ii) *If condition ( $\dagger$ ) holds, then the morphism of local rings  $f^\# : \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  is essentially of finite type.*

*Proof.* Assertion (i) follows immediately from Lemma 5.8 (i). In the remainder of the proof of Corollary 5.9, we verify assertion (ii). Assume that  $f$  satisfies condition ( $\dagger$ ). By Lemma 2.5 (i) (ii), Lemma 5.5, and Lemma 5.8 (ii) (iii), there exists a cofiltered diagram  $(V_\lambda, p_{\lambda\mu})_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  of  $(\mathbf{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/Y})_{\text{loc}}$  such that  $\lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda}^{\blacklozenge} V_\lambda \cong X$ , and for any  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ,  $\Gamma(V_\lambda, \mathcal{O}_{V_\lambda})$  is essentially of finite type over  $\Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ . Let  $g \in \operatorname{Hom}_{(\mathbf{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/Y})_{\text{loc}}}(\lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda}^{\blacklozenge} V_\lambda, X)$  be an isomorphism. Write  $p_\lambda : \lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda}^{\blacklozenge} V_\lambda \rightarrow V_\lambda$  for the natural projection. Since  $f$  satisfies condition ( $\dagger$ ), there exist an index  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and a morphism  $g' : V_\lambda \rightarrow X$  in  $(\mathbf{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/Y})_{\text{loc}}$  such that  $g' \circ p_\lambda = g$ . Since  $g$  is an isomorphism, it follows from Lemma 5.3 (i) that  $p_\lambda^\#$  is essentially of finite type. Since  $g'^\# \circ f^\# : \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \rightarrow \Gamma(V_\lambda, \mathcal{O}_{V_\lambda})$  is essentially of finite type, it follows from Remark 5.2 (iv) that  $f^\# = (g^{-1})^\# \circ p_\lambda^\# \circ (g'^\# \circ f^\#)$  is essentially of finite type. This completes the proof of Corollary 5.9.  $\square$

**Definition 5.10.** Let  $S$  be a quasi-separated scheme. Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism of  $\mathbf{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ . We shall say that  $f$  is *category-theoretically stalkwise of finite presentation* if  $f$  satisfies following condition:

For any  $\mathbf{U}$ -small cofiltered diagram  $(V_\lambda, p_{\lambda\mu})_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  of  $\mathbf{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/Y}$  such that each  $V_\lambda$  is local, and each  $p_{\lambda\mu}$  is local, the natural morphism

$$\varphi : \operatorname{colim}_{\lambda \in \Lambda^{\text{op}}} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/Y}}(V_\lambda, X) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/Y}}(\lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda}^{\blacklozenge} V_\lambda, X)$$

is surjective (where we note that by [Corollary 5.7 \(i\)](#),  $\lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda}^{\diamond} V_{\lambda}$  exists in  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/Y}$ ).

Thus the property that  $f$  is category-theoretically stalkwise of finite presentation is defined completely in terms of properties that may be characterized category-theoretically (cf. [Corollary 3.15 \(i\) \(iii\)](#)) from the data  $(\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}, f)$ .

**Corollary 5.11.** *Let  $S$  be a quasi-separated scheme. Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism of  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$ . Then the following assertions hold:*

- (i) *If  $f$  is stalkwise of finite presentation, then  $f$  is category-theoretically stalkwise of finite presentation.*
- (ii) *If  $f$  is category-theoretically stalkwise of finite presentation, then  $f$  is stalkwise of finite type.*

*Proof.* Assertion (i) follows immediately from [Corollary 5.9 \(i\)](#). Assertion (ii) follows immediately from [Corollary 5.9 \(ii\)](#).  $\square$

**Definition 5.12.** Let  $X$  be a scheme. We shall say that  $X$  is *stalkwise Noetherian* if for any point  $x \in X$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  is Noetherian.

**Corollary 5.13.** *Let  $S$  be a quasi-separated scheme. Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism of  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$ . Assume that  $Y$  is stalkwise Noetherian. Then  $f$  is stalkwise of finite presentation if and only if  $f$  is category-theoretically stalkwise of finite presentation.*

*Proof.* Necessity follows immediately from [Corollary 5.11 \(i\)](#). Sufficiency follows immediately from [Corollary 5.11 \(ii\)](#).  $\square$

**Remark 5.14.** Let  $A$  be a ring and  $f \in A$  an element. Then it holds that  $A_f \cong A[x]/(xf - 1)$ . Thus  $A_f$  is of finite presentation over  $A$ .

**Lemma 5.15.** *Let  $f : B \rightarrow A$  be a ring homomorphism and  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)$  a prime ideal. Write  $\mathfrak{q} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})$  and  $f_{\mathfrak{p}} : B_{\mathfrak{q}} \rightarrow A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  for the morphism induced by localizing at  $\mathfrak{q}$  and  $\mathfrak{p}$ , respectively. Assume that  $f_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is essentially of finite presentation. Then there exist a  $B$ -algebra  $C$  of finite presentation and a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{r}$  of  $C$  such that  $A_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong C_{\mathfrak{r}}$  as  $B$ -algebras, hence also as  $B_{\mathfrak{q}}$ -algebras.*

*Proof.* By [Definition 5.1 \(i\)](#), the desired  $B$ -algebra  $C$  may be constructed by taking the quotient of a polynomial ring with finite variation over  $B$  by a finitely generated ideal and localizing it with one element. Thus [Lemma 5.15](#) follows immediately from [Remark 5.14](#).  $\square$

**Definition 5.16.** Let  $X$  be a scheme, and  $x \in X$  a point of  $X$ . We shall write  $I_X(x)$  for the full subcategory of  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/X}$  consisting of all open immersions  $U \rightarrow X$  in  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/X}$  such that  $x$  belongs to the image of  $U \rightarrow X$ . (Thus, by a slight abuse of notation, if  $X$  is an  $S$ -scheme, then  $I_X(x)$  may also be regarded, by applying the natural functor  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/X} \rightarrow \text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$ , as a diagram indexed by  $I_X(x)$ .)

Let  $A$  be a ring and  $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$  a prime ideal of  $A$ . We shall define  $J_A(\mathfrak{p})$  for the full subcategory of  $A\text{-Alg}$  determined by the set of  $A$ -algebras  $\{A_f \mid f \in A \setminus \mathfrak{p}\}$ . (Thus, by a slight abuse of notation, if  $A$  is a  $B$ -algebra, then  $J_A(\mathfrak{p})$  may also be regarded, by applying the natural functor  $A\text{-Alg} \rightarrow B\text{-Alg}$ , as a diagram indexed by  $J_A(\mathfrak{p})$ .) Then it follows immediately that  $\text{colim}_{A_f \in J_A(\mathfrak{p})} A_f \cong A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .

**Lemma 5.17.** *Let  $\xi : B \rightarrow A$  be a ring homomorphism and  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)$  a prime ideal. Write  $\mathfrak{p}_B \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \xi^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})$ ,  $\xi_{\mathfrak{p}} : B_{\mathfrak{p}_B} \rightarrow A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  for the morphism induced by localizing at  $\mathfrak{p}_B$  and  $\mathfrak{p}$ , respectively. Assume that the following conditions hold:*

- (i)  $\xi_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is essentially of finite presentation.  
 (ii) For any  $B$ -algebra  $R$  and any prime ideal  $\mathfrak{r} \subset R$ , the natural map

$$\operatorname{colim}_{R_{\mathfrak{r}} \in J_R(\mathfrak{r})} \operatorname{Hom}_{B\text{-Alg}}(A, R_{\mathfrak{r}}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{B\text{-Alg}}(A, \operatorname{colim}_{R_{\mathfrak{r}} \in J_R(\mathfrak{r})} R_{\mathfrak{r}})$$

is a bijection.

Then there exists an element  $f \in A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$  such that the composite of  $\xi$  and the localization morphism  $A \rightarrow A_f$  is of finite presentation.

*Proof.* By Lemma 5.15 and condition (i), there exist a  $B$ -algebra  $C$  of finite presentation, a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{q} \subset C$ , and a morphism of  $B$ -algebras  $\psi : A \rightarrow C_{\mathfrak{q}}$  such that  $\mathfrak{p} = \psi^{-1}(\mathfrak{q})$ , and the morphism  $\psi_{\mathfrak{p}} : A_{\mathfrak{p}} \xrightarrow{\sim} C_{\mathfrak{q}}$  induced by localizing at  $\mathfrak{p}$  is an isomorphism. If  $h \in C \setminus \mathfrak{q}$ , then write  $\gamma_h : C_h \rightarrow C_{\mathfrak{q}}$  for the localization morphism. By condition (ii), there exist an element  $h \in C$  and a morphism of  $B$ -algebras  $\psi_h : A \rightarrow C_h$  such that

$$\psi = \gamma_h \circ \psi_h.$$

By Remark 5.14,  $C_h$  is of finite presentation over  $C$ , hence over  $B$ . Thus, by [Stacks, Tag 00QO], the natural map

$$(\dagger) \quad \operatorname{colim}_{A_f \in J_A(\mathfrak{p})} \operatorname{Hom}_{B\text{-Alg}}(C_h, A_f) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{B\text{-Alg}}(C_h, \operatorname{colim}_{A_f \in J_A(\mathfrak{p})} A_f)$$

is surjective.

For any elements  $f_1, f_2 \in A$  and any prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}_1 \subset A$  such that  $f_1 \notin \mathfrak{p}_1$ , we shall write

$$\alpha_{f_1} : A \rightarrow A_{f_1}, \quad \alpha_{f_1, f_2} : A_{f_1} \rightarrow A_{f_1 f_2}, \quad \alpha_{f_1, \mathfrak{p}_1} : A_{f_1} \rightarrow A_{\mathfrak{p}_1}$$

for the respective localization morphisms. Since the map  $(\dagger)$  is surjective, there exist an element  $f_1 \in A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$  and a morphism of  $B$ -algebras  $\phi_{f_1} : C_h \rightarrow A_{f_1}$  such that  $\psi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1} \circ \gamma_h = \alpha_{f_1, \mathfrak{p}} \circ \phi_{f_1} : C_h \rightarrow A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Since  $\psi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1} \circ \gamma_h \circ \psi_h = \psi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1} \circ \psi = \alpha_{1, \mathfrak{p}} \circ \alpha_1$ , it holds that  $\alpha_{1, \mathfrak{p}} \circ \alpha_1 = \alpha_{f_1, \mathfrak{p}} \circ \phi_{f_1} \circ \psi_h : A \rightarrow A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} & A_1 \\ & \sim & \\ \phi_{f_1} \circ \psi_h \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha_{1, \mathfrak{p}} \\ A_{f_1} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{f_1, \mathfrak{p}}} & A_{\mathfrak{p}}. \end{array}$$

Thus, by applying the injectivity portion of condition (ii) in the case where  $R = A$ , and  $\mathfrak{r} = \mathfrak{p}$ , we conclude that there exists an element  $f_2 \in A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$  such that  $\alpha_{f_1 f_2} = \alpha_{f_1, f_2} \circ \phi_{f_1} \circ \psi_h : A \rightarrow A_{f_1 f_2}$ .

Write  $f \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f_1 f_2 \in A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ ,  $D \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} C_{h\psi_h(f)} \cong C_h \otimes_A A_f$ ,  $\phi_f \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \alpha_{f_1, f_2} \circ \phi_{f_1}$ , and  $\psi'_h : A_f \rightarrow D$  for the morphism of  $B$ -algebras obtained by base changing  $\psi_h : A \rightarrow C_h$  by  $\alpha_f : A \rightarrow A_f$  (cf. the diagram  $(\ddagger)$  displayed in the following). Since  $\alpha_f = \alpha_{f_1 f_2} = \alpha_{f_1, f_2} \circ \phi_{f_1} \circ \psi_h = \phi_f \circ \psi_h$ , it follows from the universal property of the tensor product that there exists a unique morphism  $\phi'_f : D \rightarrow A_f$  such that

the following diagram commutes:

$$(\ddagger) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & A_f & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & A_f \\ & \nearrow^{\alpha_f} & & \searrow^{\psi'_h} & \\ A & & & & D \xrightarrow{\phi'_f} A_f \\ & \searrow_{\psi_h} & & \nearrow_{\phi_f} & \\ & & C_h & \xrightarrow{\phi_{f_1}} & A_{f_1} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \uparrow^{\alpha_{f_1, f_2}} \end{array}$$

By [Remark 5.14](#),  $D$  is of finite presentation over  $C$ , hence over  $B$ . Thus, it follows from [Lemma 5.3 \(iii\)](#), together with the commutativity of the following diagram, that the composite  $B \xrightarrow{\xi} A \rightarrow A_f$  is of finite presentation:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} B & \xrightarrow{\xi} & A & \xrightarrow{\alpha_f} & A_f & & \\ \text{f.p.} \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi_h & & \downarrow \psi'_h & \searrow \text{id} & \\ C & \xrightarrow{\text{f.p.}} & C_h & \xrightarrow{\text{f.p.}} & D & \xrightarrow{\phi'_f} & A_f \end{array}$$

This completes the proof of [Lemma 5.17](#).  $\square$

**Definition 5.18.** Let  $S$  be a quasi-separated scheme. Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ . We shall say that  $f$  is *category-theoretically of finite presentation* if  $f$  satisfies following conditions:

- (i)  $f$  is category-theoretically stalkwise of finite presentation.
- (ii) For any morphism  $Z \rightarrow Y$  in  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  and any point  $z \in Z$ , the natural map

$$\varphi_{z, X} : \text{colim}_{W \in I_Z(z)^{\text{op}}} \text{Hom}_{\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/Y}}(W, X) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/Y}}(\lim_{W \in I_Z(z)}^{\blacklozenge} W, X)$$

is a bijection (where we note that by [Corollary 5.7 \(ii\)](#),  $\lim_{W \in I_Z(z)}^{\blacklozenge} W$  exists in  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ ).

Thus the property that  $f$  is category-theoretically of finite presentation is defined completely in terms of properties that may be characterized category-theoretically (cf. [Reconstruction 1.3](#), [Corollary 1.6 \(ii\)](#), [Corollary 4.4](#), [Definition 5.10](#)) from the data  $(\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}, f)$ .

**Corollary 5.19.** Let  $S$  be a quasi-separated scheme. Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ . Then the following assertions hold:

- (i) If  $f$  is locally of finite presentation, then  $f$  is category-theoretically of finite presentation.
- (ii) If  $f$  is category-theoretically of finite presentation, and  $Y$  is stalkwise Noetherian, then  $f$  is locally of finite presentation.

*Proof.* Assertion (ii) follows immediately from [Corollary 5.13](#) and [Lemma 5.17](#). In the remainder of the proof of [Corollary 5.19](#), we prove assertion (i). Assume that  $f$  is locally of finite presentation. By [Remark 5.2 \(i\)](#) and [Corollary 5.11 \(i\)](#),  $f$  is category-theoretically stalkwise of finite presentation. Thus  $f$  satisfies condition (i) of [Definition 5.18](#). Let  $Z \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism and  $z \in Z$  a point of  $Z$ . Since the full subcategory

$$I_Z^{\text{aff}}(z) := \text{def} \{ W \subset Z \mid W \text{ is an affine open neighborhood of } z \} \subset I_Z(z)$$

is cofinal, and  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/Y} \subset \text{Sch}/Y$  is a full subcategory, the natural morphism

$$\text{colim}_{W \in I_Z^{\text{aff}}(z)^{\text{op}}} \text{Hom}_{\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/Y}}(W, X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{colim}_{W \in I_Z(z)^{\text{op}}} \text{Hom}_{\text{Sch}/Y}(W, X)$$

is an isomorphism. By [Lemma 5.5](#), the natural morphism

$$\lim_{W \in I_Z(z)}^{\blacklozenge} W \xrightarrow{\sim} \lim_{W \in I_Z^{\text{aff}}(z)} W$$

is an isomorphism. Since each  $W \in I_Z^{\text{aff}}(z)$  is affine, and  $f$  is locally of finite presentation, it follows from [\[Stacks, Tag 01ZC\]](#) that  $f$  satisfies condition (ii) of [Definition 5.18](#). This completes the proof of [Corollary 5.19](#).  $\square$

**Corollary 5.20.** *Let  $S$  be a quasi-separated scheme. Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ . Assume that  $Y$  is stalkwise Noetherian. Then  $f$  is locally of finite presentation if and only if  $f$  is category-theoretically of finite presentation. In particular, if  $S$  is stalkwise Noetherian, then the property that  $X$  is locally of finite presentation over  $S$  may be characterized category-theoretically (cf. [Definition 5.18](#)) from the data  $(\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}, X)$ .*

*Proof.* [Corollary 5.20](#) follows immediately from [Corollary 5.19 \(i\) \(ii\)](#).  $\square$

**Corollary 5.21.** *Let  $S$  be a quasi-separated scheme. Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ . Assume that  $Y$  is stalkwise Noetherian. Then  $f$  is proper and of finite presentation if and only if  $f$  is quasi-compact, separated, universally closed, and category-theoretically of finite presentation. In particular, if  $S$  is stalkwise Noetherian, then the property that  $X$  is proper and of finite presentation over  $S$  may be characterized category-theoretically (cf. [Corollary 4.10 \(i\) \(ii\)](#), [Definition 5.18](#)) from the data  $(\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}, X)$ .*

*Proof.* [Corollary 5.21](#) follows immediately from [Corollary 5.20](#).  $\square$

## 6. THE PROJECTIVE LINE

In this section, we give a category-theoretic characterization of the objects of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  whose underlying  $S$ -scheme is isomorphic to the projective line  $\mathbb{P}_S^1$ . We then use this characterization to give a functorial category-theoretic algorithm for reconstructing the underlying schemes of the objects of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  from the intrinsic structure of the abstract category  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ . In addition, we discuss some results related to the various reconstruction algorithms developed in the present paper.

First, we give a category-theoretic characterization of morphisms which are isomorphic to the projection  $\mathbb{P}_k^1 \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k)$ , where  $k$  is a field.

**Lemma 6.1.** *Let  $S$  be a quasi-separated scheme. Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  such that  $X, Y$  are isomorphic to the spectrum of a field. Write  $K \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X), k \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ . Then  $f^\# : k \rightarrow K$  is a purely transcendental extension of degree 1 if and only if the following conditions hold:*

- (i) *There exists a morphism  $g : X \rightarrow X$  in  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/Y}$  such that  $g$  is not an isomorphism.*
- (ii) *If  $f$  admits a factorization  $X \rightarrow Z \rightarrow Y$  in  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  such that  $Z$  is isomorphic to the spectrum of a field, and  $Z \rightarrow Y$  is not an isomorphism, then there exists an isomorphism  $Z \xrightarrow{\sim} X$  in  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/Y}$ .*

*In particular, the property that*

$X, Y$  are isomorphic to the spectrum of a field, and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is isomorphic as an object of  $\text{Sch}/_Y$  to the object of  $\text{Sch}/_Y$  that arises from a purely transcendental field extension of degree 1

may be characterized category-theoretically (cf. [Lemma 1.7](#)) from the data  $(\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge}/_S, f : X \rightarrow Y)$ .

*Proof.* First, we prove necessity. Assume that  $f^\# : k \rightarrow K$  is a purely transcendental extension of degree 1. Then there exists a transcendental element  $t \in K$  such that  $K = k(t)$ . By considering the  $k$ -algebra morphism  $k(t) \rightarrow k(t), t \mapsto t^2$ , we conclude that  $f$  satisfies condition (i). Let  $k \rightarrow L \rightarrow k(t)$  be a factorization of  $f^\#$  such that  $L$  is a field, and  $k \rightarrow L$  is not an isomorphism. Then by Lüroth's theorem (cf., e.g., [[Ha](#), Chapter IV, Example 2.5.5]), there exists an element  $u \in L$  such that  $L = k(u)$ . Hence we obtain an isomorphism of  $k$ -algebras  $K \xrightarrow{\sim} L, t \mapsto u$ . Thus  $f$  satisfies condition (ii). This completes the proof of the necessity.

Next, we prove sufficiency. Assume that  $k := \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$  and  $K := \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  are fields, and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies conditions (i) and (ii). Since  $f$  satisfies condition (i), it follows from [[Stacks](#), [Tag 0BMD](#)] that  $K/k$  is not an algebraic extension. Hence there exists a transcendental element  $t \in K$  over  $k$ . Then, by condition (ii), there exists an isomorphism of  $k$ -algebras  $k(t) \cong K$ , which implies that  $K$  is a purely transcendental extension of degree 1. This completes the proof of [Lemma 6.1](#).  $\square$

**Lemma 6.2.** *Let  $S$  be a quasi-separated scheme. Let  $X, Y$  be objects of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge}/_S$  such that  $Y$  is isomorphic to the spectrum of a field, and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  a morphism of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge}/_S$ . Then  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is isomorphic as an object of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge}/_Y$  to the object of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge}/_Y$  that arises from the natural projection  $\mathbb{P}_Y^1 \rightarrow Y$  if and only if the following conditions hold:*

- (i)  $f$  is proper and of finite presentation.
- (ii)  $X$  is integral.
- (iii) If  $\eta \in X$  is the generic point, then the composite  $\text{Spec}(k(\eta)) \rightarrow X \rightarrow Y$  is isomorphic as a  $Y$ -scheme to the spectrum of a purely transcendental field extension of degree 1.
- (iv) For every closed point  $x \in X$ , the spectrum of the local ring  $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x})$  is isomorphic to the spectrum of a valuation ring.

In particular, the property that  $Y$  is isomorphic to the spectrum of a field, and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is isomorphic as an object of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge}/_Y$  to the object of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge}/_Y$  that arises from the natural projection  $\mathbb{P}_Y^1 \rightarrow Y$  may be characterized category-theoretically (cf. [Corollary 3.15 \(ii\)](#), [Corollary 3.16 \(i\)](#), [Proposition 3.18](#), [Proposition 3.19](#), [Corollary 5.21](#), [Lemma 6.1](#)) from the data  $(\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge}/_S, f : X \rightarrow Y)$ .

*Proof.* [Lemma 6.2](#) follows immediately from well-known properties of schemes and valuation rings.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.3.** *Let  $T$  be a reduced scheme. Write  $0_T, 1_T : T \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_T^1$  for the morphisms obtained by base-changing the sections  $0, 1 : \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1$  and  $\mathbb{G}_{m,T} := \mathbb{A}_T^1 \setminus \text{Im}(0_T)$ . Then the following assertions hold:*

- (i) Let  $G \subset \mathbb{G}_{m,T}$  be an open subscheme equipped with a group scheme structure over  $T$  whose identity section  $T \rightarrow G$  ( $\subset \mathbb{G}_{m,T} \subset \mathbb{A}_T^1$ ) is  $1_T$ . Then the open immersion  $G \subset \mathbb{G}_{m,T}$  is an isomorphism of group schemes over  $T$ .

- (ii) *The set of ring scheme structures on  $\mathbb{A}_T^1$  over  $T$  whose additive and multiplicative identity sections are  $0_T$  and  $1_T$ , respectively, is of cardinality one.*

*Proof.* First, we prove assertion (i) in the case where  $T$  is isomorphic to the spectrum of a separably closed field. Write  $C \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{P}_T^1 \setminus G (\supset \{0, \infty\})$ , where we regard  $G$  as an open subscheme of  $\mathbb{P}_T^1$  by means of the open immersions  $G \subset \mathbb{G}_{m,T} \subset \mathbb{P}_T^1$ ;  $m : G \times_T G \rightarrow G$  for the multiplication morphism. For any closed point  $g \in G$ , the automorphism  $\rho_g : \mathbb{P}_T^1 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{P}_T^1$  given by  $m(g, -) : G \xrightarrow{\sim} G$  satisfies the property  $\rho_g(C) = C$ . Since the assignment  $g \mapsto \rho_g$  is clearly injective, we thus conclude that the group  $\{\rho \in \text{Aut}_T(\mathbb{P}_T^1) \mid \rho(C) = C\}$  is of infinite cardinality. This implies that  $C = \{0, \infty\}$ , i.e., that the open immersion  $G \subset \mathbb{G}_{m,T}$  is an isomorphism of  $T$ -schemes.

Next, we prove that the isomorphism  $G \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{G}_{m,T}$  is compatible with both group scheme structures over  $T$ . Let us identify  $\Gamma(G, \mathcal{O}_G)$  with  $k[t, 1/t]$  by means of the isomorphism  $G \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{G}_{m,T}$ . Then the morphism  $m$  is determined by the element  $m^\#(t) \in k[t, 1/t] \otimes_k k[t, 1/t]$ . Moreover, since  $t \in k[t, 1/t]$  is invertible,  $m^\#(t) \in k[t, 1/t] \otimes_k k[t, 1/t]$  is invertible. Since  $m^\#(t)$  is invertible in  $k[t, 1/t] \otimes_k k[t, 1/t] \subset k[t, 1/t] \otimes_k k(t)$ , there exist an element  $f \in k[t, 1/t] \setminus \{0\}$  and an integer  $a$  such that  $m^\#(t) = t^a \otimes f$ . Since  $m \circ (1_T \times \text{id}_G) = \text{id}_G$ , it holds that  $f = t$ . Since  $m \circ (\text{id}_G \times 1_T) = \text{id}_G$ , it holds that  $a = 1$ . Thus it holds that  $m^\#(t) = t \otimes t$ . This implies that the isomorphism  $G \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{G}_{m,T}$  is compatible with both group scheme structures over  $T$ . This completes the proof of assertion (i) in the case where  $T$  is isomorphic to the spectrum of a separably closed field.

In the general case, since  $T$  is reduced, and  $G \subset \mathbb{G}_{m,T}$  is an open subscheme, by applying assertion (i) in the case where  $T$  is isomorphic to the spectrum of a separably closed field to the geometric fibers of  $G \rightarrow T$ , we conclude that  $|G| = |\mathbb{G}_{m,T}|$ , hence, in particular, assertion (i) hold. This completes the proof of assertion (i).

Next, we prove assertion (ii) in the case where  $T$  is isomorphic to the spectrum of a separably closed field  $k$ . Write  $A \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{A}_T^1$ . Let us identify  $\Gamma(A, \mathcal{O}_A)$  with  $k[t]$  by means of the isomorphism  $A \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{A}_T^1$ . Let  $(a : A \times_T A \rightarrow A, e_a : T \rightarrow A, m : A \times_T A \rightarrow A, e_m : T \rightarrow A)$  be a ring scheme structure on  $A$  over  $T$ , where  $a$  is the addition morphism,  $e_a = 0_T$  is the identity section of the additive structure,  $m$  is the multiplication morphism, and  $e_m = 1_T$  is the identity section of the multiplicative structure. By [Gre64, Theorem 6.1], the group variety  $A^\times$  of units of  $A$  is an open subscheme of  $A \setminus \{0\} = \mathbb{G}_{m,T}$ . Hence, by (i), the open immersion  $A^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,T}$  is an isomorphism of group schemes over  $T$ . This implies that  $m^\#(t) = t \otimes t$ . Write  $\Delta : A \times_T A \times_T A \rightarrow A \times_T A \times_T A \times_T A$  for the morphism such that for any  $T'$ -valued points  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \in A(T')$ ,  $\Delta \circ (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_1, \alpha_3)$ . Then, by the distribution rule, it holds that  $m \circ (\text{id} \times a) = a \circ (m \times m) \circ \Delta$ . Hence, if we write  $a^\#(t) = \sum_{i,j \geq 0} a_{ij} t^i \otimes t^j$ , where  $a_{ij} \in k$ , then it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} t \otimes a^\#(t) &= (\text{id} \times a)^\#(m^\#(t)) \\ &= \Delta^\#((m^\# \otimes m^\#)(a^\#(t))) \\ &= \Delta^\# \left( (m^\# \otimes m^\#) \left( \sum_{i,j \geq 0} a_{ij} t^i \otimes t^j \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \Delta^\# \left( \sum_{i,j \geq 0} a_{ij} t^i \otimes t^i \otimes t^j \otimes t^j \right) \\
&= \sum_{i,j \geq 0} a_{ij} t^{i+j} \otimes t^i \otimes t^j.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $a^\#(t) = t \otimes a_{10} + a_{01} \otimes t$ . Since  $a \circ (\text{id}_G \times 0_T) = a \circ (0_T \times \text{id}_G) = \text{id}_G$ , it holds that  $a^\#(t) = t \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes t$ . This implies that  $A$  is isomorphic as a ring scheme over  $T$  to  $\mathbb{A}_T^1$ .

In the general case, since  $T$  is reduced,  $\mathbb{A}_T^1$  is also reduced. Thus, by applying assertion (ii) in the case where  $T$  is isomorphic to the spectrum of a field to the generic geometric fibers of  $\mathbb{A}_T^1 \rightarrow T$ , we conclude that assertion (ii) holds. This completes the proof of Lemma 6.3.  $\square$

The following lemma was motivated by [WW80, Proposition 2.3].

**Lemma 6.4.** *Let  $V$  be the spectrum of a DVR. Write  $\eta \in V$  for the generic point. Let  $f : X \rightarrow V$  be a flat separated ring scheme of finite type over  $V$  such that the generic fiber  $X_\eta$  of  $f$  is isomorphic to the scheme  $\mathbb{A}_{k(\eta)}^1$  equipped with its natural ring scheme structure. Then the following assertions hold:*

- (i)  $X$  is affine.
- (ii) There exists a unique morphism of ring schemes  $h : X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_V^1$  over  $V$  such that  $h_\eta$  is an isomorphism of ring schemes over  $\text{Spec}(k(\eta))$ .

*Proof.* Since the generic fiber of  $f$  is affine, it follows from [Ana73, Proposition 2.3.1] that  $X$  is affine, i.e., assertion (i) holds. In the remainder of the proof of Lemma 6.4, we prove assertion (ii). Write  $R := \text{def} \Gamma(V, \mathcal{O}_V)$ ,  $K$  for the field of fractions of  $R$ , and  $A := \text{def} \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ . Since  $A$  is flat over  $R$ , and  $X_\eta$  is isomorphic as a ring scheme over  $K$  to  $\mathbb{A}_K^1$ , we may regard  $A$  as a subring of  $K[x]$ , where  $x$  is the standard coordinate of  $\mathbb{A}_K^1$ . Thus, to prove assertion (ii), it suffices to prove that  $x \in A$ .

Write  $a^\# : K[x] \rightarrow K[x] \otimes_K K[x]$  for the ring homomorphism that defines the *additive* structure of the ring scheme  $X_\eta$ ,  $m^\# : K[x] \rightarrow K[x] \otimes_K K[x]$  for the ring homomorphism that defines the *multiplicative* structure of the ring scheme  $X_\eta$ ,  $e_a^\# : K[x] \rightarrow K$  for the ring homomorphism that defines the *identity section* for the *additive* structure of the ring scheme  $X_\eta$ , and  $e_m^\# : K[x] \rightarrow K$  for the ring homomorphism that defines the *identity section* for the *multiplicative* structure of the ring scheme  $X_\eta$ . Thus the following equalities hold:

$$a^\#(x) = x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x, \quad m^\#(x) = x \otimes x, \quad e_a^\#(x) = 0, \quad e_m^\#(x) = 1.$$

Since  $A$  is flat over  $R$ , we may regard  $A \otimes_R A$  as a subring of  $K[x] \otimes_K K[x]$ . Moreover, since  $X_\eta$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{A}_K^1$ , it follows from Lemma 6.3 that we may regard the ring scheme structure of  $X$  is given by the restrictions  $a^\#|_A, m^\#|_A, e_a^\#|_A, e_m^\#|_A$ . Thus, in particular, the ring homomorphism  $m^\#|_A : A \rightarrow K[x] \otimes_K K[x]$  factors uniquely through the subring  $A \otimes_R A \subset K[x] \otimes_K K[x]$ . To prove assertion (ii), it suffices to prove that  $x \in A$ .

Write  $M := \text{def} (K \cdot 1 + K \cdot x) \cap A \subset A$ . Since  $e_a^\#|_A$  defines the identity section for the additive structure, it holds that  $A \cap (K \cdot 1) = R \cdot 1$ , and

$$R \cdot 1 = M \cap (K \cdot 1) \subset M \subset R \cdot 1 + K \cdot x.$$



Since  $e_m^\#|_A$  defines the identity section for the multiplicative structure, it holds that  $M \subset R \cdot 1 + I \cdot x$ . Hence there exists a non-zero ideal  $I \subset R$  such that  $M = R \cdot 1 + I \cdot x$  (where we note that since  $K \otimes_R A \cong K[x]$ ,  $I \neq 0$ ). Next, observe that  $M$  and  $A/M$  are  $R$ -submodules of  $K$ -vector spaces, hence, in particular,  $R$ -flat. Thus we obtain a commutative diagram of  $R$ -flat modules in which the horizontal and vertical sequences are exact:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & M \otimes_R M & \longrightarrow & A \otimes_R M & \longrightarrow & (A/M) \otimes_R M & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & M \otimes_R A & \longrightarrow & A \otimes_R A & \xrightarrow{q} & (A/M) \otimes_R A & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow p & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & M \otimes_R (A/M) & \longrightarrow & A \otimes_R (A/M) & \longrightarrow & (A/M) \otimes_R (A/M) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 
 \end{array}$$

This implies that  $M \otimes_R M = (A \otimes_R M) \cap (M \otimes_R A)$  as  $R$ -submodules of  $A \otimes_R A \subset K[x] \otimes_K K[x]$ . Let  $t \in M$  be an element. Then, since  $M = R \cdot 1 + I \cdot x$ , there exist elements  $r \in R$  and  $s \in I$  such that  $t = r + sx$ . Moreover, it holds that

$$A \otimes_R A \ni m^\#(t) = m^\#(r + sx) = r + s \cdot (x \otimes x) = r + (sx) \otimes x = r + x \otimes (sx).$$

Hence it holds that  $(p \otimes_R \text{id}_K)(m^\#(r + sx)) = 0$ , and  $(q \otimes_R \text{id}_K)(m^\#(r + sx)) = 0$ . Thus  $m^\#|_M : M \rightarrow K[x] \otimes_K K[x]$  factors through  $(A \otimes_R M) \cap (M \otimes_R A) = M \otimes_R M$ . Since  $M = R \cdot 1 + I \cdot x$ , we conclude that  $I \cdot (x \otimes x) \subset I^2 \cdot (x \otimes x)$ . This implies that  $I \subset I^2$ . Hence it holds that  $I = R$ . Thus it holds that  $x \in M \subset A$ . This completes the proof of [Lemma 6.4](#).  $\square$

**Proposition 6.5.** *Let  $V$  be the spectrum of a DVR and  $f : X \rightarrow V$  a flat separated ring scheme of finite type over  $V$ . Then  $f$  is isomorphic as a ring scheme over  $V$  to the projection  $\mathbb{A}_V^1 \rightarrow V$  if and only if the following conditions hold:*

- (i) *Each fiber of  $f$  is one-dimensional and connected.*
- (ii) *The generic fiber of  $f$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{A}_K^1$  as a scheme over  $K$ .*

*Proof.* Necessity follows immediately. In the remainder of the proof of [Proposition 6.5](#), we prove sufficiency. Assume that  $f : X \rightarrow V$  satisfies conditions (i) and (ii). Write  $v \in V$  for the closed point,  $\eta \in V$  for the generic point,  $k \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} k(v)$ , and  $K \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} k(\eta)$ . By [Lemma 6.3 \(ii\)](#), we may assume without loss of generality that the generic fiber of  $f$  is isomorphic as a ring scheme over  $K$  to  $\mathbb{A}_K^1$ .

By [Lemma 6.4 \(ii\)](#), there exists a unique morphism of ring schemes  $h : X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_V^1$  over  $V$  such that  $h_\eta$  is an isomorphism of ring schemes over  $\text{Spec}(K)$ . Then  $h_v$  is a morphism of ring schemes over  $\text{Spec}(k)$ . Since  $f$  satisfies condition (i), the scheme-theoretic image of  $h_v$  is a connected closed subscheme of  $\mathbb{A}_k^1$ . Moreover, since  $h_v$  is a morphism of ring schemes over  $\text{Spec}(k)$ ,  $0, 1 \in \mathbb{A}_k^1$  are contained in the image of  $h_v$ . Hence the scheme theoretic image of  $h_v$  is  $\mathbb{A}_k^1$ . Thus, by [[Ana73](#), Corollary 2.3.3.3],  $h_v$  is faithfully flat. Since  $h_\eta$  is an isomorphism, it follows from [[Stacks](#), [Tag 039D](#)] that  $h$  is faithfully flat. Since  $X$  is separated of finite type over  $V$ , and  $\mathbb{A}_V^1$  is quasi-separated over  $V$ , it follows from [[Stacks](#), [Tag 01KV](#)], [[Stacks](#), [Tag 03GI](#)], and [[Stacks](#), [Tag 01T8](#)] that  $h$  is separated and of finite type.

By [Lemma 6.4 \(i\)](#),  $X$  is affine. Write  $N \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} X \times_{h, \mathbb{A}_V^1, 0_{\mathbb{A}_V^1}} V$ . Since the morphism of affine ring schemes  $h$  is faithfully flat, separated, and of finite type,  $N$  is a flat separated commutative affine group scheme of finite type over  $V$ . Since the natural morphism  $N_\eta \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Spec}(K)$  is an isomorphism, and  $N$  is flat over  $V$ , it holds that  $\Gamma(N, \mathcal{O}_N) \subset K$ . Since  $V$  is the spectrum of a DVR, and the  $V$ -scheme  $N$  has a section  $V \rightarrow N$ , it holds that  $N \xrightarrow{\sim} V$ . Since  $h$  is a morphism of ring schemes over  $V$ , this implies that  $h$  is a monomorphism in  $\text{Sch}$ . Since  $h$  is faithfully flat and of finite type, it follows from [\[Stacks, Tag 025G\]](#) that  $h$  is an open immersion. Thus  $h$  is an isomorphism. This completes the proof of [Proposition 6.5](#).  $\square$

**Remark 6.6.** Let  $R$  be a mixed characteristic DVR and  $\pi \in R$  a uniformizer of  $R$ . Write  $k \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} R/(\pi)$ , and  $p$  for the characteristic of  $k$ . Then the  $R$ -scheme  $X \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Spec}(R[x, y]/(\pi y - x^{p^2} + x^p)) = \text{Spec}(R[x, (x^{p^2} - x^p)/\pi])$  has the following properties:

- $X$  is a flat affine ring scheme of finite type over  $R$ .
- The generic fiber of  $X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$  is the ring scheme  $\mathbb{A}_K^1$ .
- The special fiber of  $X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$  is not connected.

Hence, in [Proposition 6.5](#), if one do not assume the connectedness of the special fiber, then it may not hold that  $X \cong \mathbb{A}_R^1$ . This example is obtained by forming ‘‘Néron’s blow-up’’ (cf. [\[Stacks, Tag 0BJ1\]](#)) of  $\mathbb{A}_R^1$  along the closed ring subscheme  $\text{Spec}(k[x]/(x^{p^2} - x^p))$  over  $k$  of the special fiber  $\mathbb{A}_k^1$ .

**Lemma 6.7.** *Let  $V$  be an affine scheme;  $X, Y, Z$  integral schemes;  $f : X \rightarrow V$ ,  $g : Y \rightarrow V$ , and  $h : Z \rightarrow V$  morphisms. Write  $R \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Gamma(V, \mathcal{O}_V)$ . Assume that  $R$  is either a DVR or a field, and that the generic fiber of  $f, g$ , and  $h$  are geometrically reduced and non-empty. Then  $X \times_V Y \times_V Z$  is reduced.*

*Proof.* Write  $\eta$  for the generic point of  $V$ . Since  $X_\eta, Y_\eta, Z_\eta$  are geometrically reduced over  $\text{Spec}(k(\eta))$ , it follows from [\[Stacks, Tag 035Z\]](#) that  $(X \times_V Y \times_V Z)_\eta \cong X_\eta \times_{\text{Spec}(k(\eta))} Y_\eta \times_{\text{Spec}(k(\eta))} Z_\eta$  is reduced. Since  $X, Y, Z$  are integral, and the generic fibers of  $f, g, h$  are non-empty, it follows from [\[Ha, Chapter III, Proposition 9.7\]](#) that  $f, g, h$  are flat. Hence  $X \times_V Y \times_V Z$  is flat over  $V$ . Since the scheme-theoretic image of the natural morphism  $\text{Spec}(k(\eta)) \rightarrow V$  is  $V$ , it follows from [\[Stacks, Tag 0811\]](#) that the scheme theoretic image of  $(X \times_V Y \times_V Z)_\eta \rightarrow X \times_V Y \times_V Z$  is equal to  $X \times_V Y \times_V Z$ . Since  $(X \times_V Y \times_V Z)_\eta$  is reduced,  $X \times_V Y \times_V Z$  is also reduced. This completes the proof of [Lemma 6.7](#).  $\square$

**Lemma 6.8.** *Let  $S$  be a quasi-separated scheme. Let  $V$  be an object of  $\text{Sch}_\diamond/S$  which is affine,  $X$  an object of  $\text{Sch}_\diamond/S$  which is quasi-compact (over  $\mathbb{Z}$ ) and integral, and  $f : X \rightarrow V$  a morphism in  $\text{Sch}_\diamond/S$ . Write  $R \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Gamma(V, \mathcal{O}_V)$ . Assume that  $R$  is either a DVR or a field, and that the generic fiber of  $f$  is geometrically reduced. Then there is a natural bijective correspondence between the group scheme structures over  $V$  on  $X$  and the group object structures on the object  $f : X \rightarrow V$  of  $(\text{Sch}_\diamond/S)_V$ . Similarly, there is a natural bijective correspondence between the ring scheme structures over  $V$  on  $X$  and the ring object structures on the object  $f : X \rightarrow V$  of  $(\text{Sch}_\diamond/S)_V$ .*

*Proof.* [Lemma 6.8](#) follows immediately from [Lemma 6.7](#), together with [Lemma 1.1 \(iii\) \(iv\)](#) (where we note that if the generic fiber of  $f$  is empty, there does not exist a section of  $f$ , hence [Lemma 6.8](#) follows immediately).  $\square$

Next, to give a category-theoretic characterization of morphisms which are isomorphic to the natural projection  $\mathbb{P}_S^1 \rightarrow S$ , we study certain algebraic spaces that parametrize closed immersions of a certain type.

**Definition 6.9.** Let  $T$  be a scheme,  $X$  a proper flat  $T$ -scheme of finite presentation,  $Y$  a  $T$ -scheme of finite presentation,  $B \subset X$  a closed subscheme which is flat over  $T$ ,  $f : B \rightarrow Y$  a morphism of  $T$ -schemes, and  $U$  a  $T$ -scheme. We define the following sets:

$$\mathrm{Cl}(X, Y)(U) \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \{i : X_U \rightarrow Y_U \mid i \text{ is a closed immersion}\},$$

$$\mathrm{Cl}_f(X, Y)(U) \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \{i : X_U \rightarrow Y_U \mid i \text{ is a closed immersion such that } i|_{B_U} = f_U\}.$$

Since base-change preserves the required property, we obtain functors

$$\mathrm{Cl}(X, Y) : \mathrm{Sch}_{/T}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Set},$$

$$\mathrm{Cl}_f(X, Y) : \mathrm{Sch}_{/T}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Set}.$$

**Lemma 6.10.** *Let  $T$  be a scheme,  $X$  a proper flat  $T$ -scheme of finite presentation,  $Y$  a separated  $T$ -scheme of finite presentation,  $B$  a closed subscheme of  $X$  which is flat and of finite presentation over  $T$ , and  $f : B \rightarrow Y$  a closed immersion of  $T$ -schemes. Then the functors  $\mathrm{Cl}(X, Y)$  and  $\mathrm{Cl}_f(X, Y)$  are represented by algebraic spaces which are separated and locally of finite presentation over  $T$ .*

*Proof.* First, we prove that the functor  $\mathrm{Cl}(X, Y)$  is represented by an algebraic space which is separated and locally of finite presentation over  $T$ . Write

$$\mathrm{Hilb}_{Y/T} : \mathrm{Sch}_{/T}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Set}$$

for the Hilbert functor (cf. [Stacks, Tag 0CZY]), i.e., parametrizing closed subschemes of  $Y$  that are proper, flat, and of finite presentation over  $T$ . Since  $Y$  is separated and of finite presentation over  $T$ , it follows from [Stacks, Tag 0D01] and [Stacks, Tag 0DM7] that  $\mathrm{Hilb}_{Y/T}$  is represented by an algebraic space which is separated and locally of finite presentation over  $T$ . Let  $U_0$  be a  $T$ -scheme and  $i_{U_0} : X_{U_0} \rightarrow Y_{U_0}$  a closed immersion. By forming the scheme-theoretic image of  $X_{U_0}$  in  $Y_{U_0}$  via  $i_{U_0}$ , we obtain a map  $\varphi(U_0) : \mathrm{Cl}(X, Y)(U_0) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hilb}_{Y/T}(U_0)$ , which is clearly functorial with respect to  $U_0$ . Hence we obtain a morphism of functors  $\varphi : \mathrm{Cl}(X, Y) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hilb}_{Y/T}$ . Thus, by [Stacks, Tag 02YS], [Stacks, Tag 03XQ], and [Stacks, Tag 03KQ], to prove that the functor  $\mathrm{Cl}(X, Y)$  is represented by an algebraic space which is separated and locally of finite presentation over  $T$ , it suffices to prove that  $\varphi$  is separated, locally of finite presentation, and represented by an algebraic space (cf. [Stacks, Tag 02YQ]).

Let  $U_1$  be a  $T$ -scheme and  $U_1 \rightarrow \mathrm{Hilb}_{Y/T}$  a morphism of algebraic spaces over  $T$ . Then it follows from Yoneda's lemma that the morphism  $U_1 \rightarrow \mathrm{Hilb}_{Y/T}$  corresponds to a closed subscheme  $Z \subset Y_{U_1}$  such that the composite  $Z \subset Y_{U_1} \rightarrow U_1$  is proper, flat, and of finite presentation. Hence it holds that  $U_1 \times_{\mathrm{Hilb}_{Y/T}, \varphi} \mathrm{Cl}(X, Y) \cong \mathrm{Isom}_{U_1}(X_{U_1}, Z)$ , where  $\mathrm{Isom}_{U_1}(X_{U_1}, Z) \subset \mathrm{Mor}_{U_1}(X_{U_1}, Z)$  is the open sub-algebraic space of the algebraic space  $\mathrm{Mor}_{U_1}(X_{U_1}, Z)$  determined by the property of being an isomorphism (cf. [Stacks, Tag 0D1C], [Stacks, Tag 0DPP]). By [Stacks, Tag 0DPN] and [Stacks, Tag 0DPP],  $\mathrm{Isom}_{U_1}(X_{U_1}, Z)$  is represented by an algebraic space which is separated and locally of finite presentation over  $U_1$ . Thus  $\varphi$  is separated, locally of finite presentation, and represented by an algebraic space. This completes the

proof of the assertion that the functor  $\mathrm{Cl}(X, Y)$  is represented by an algebraic space which is separated and locally of finite presentation over  $T$ .

Next, we prove that the functor  $\mathrm{Cl}_f(X, Y)$  is represented by an algebraic space which is separated and locally of finite presentation over  $T$ . Let  $U_2$  be a  $T$ -scheme and  $i_{U_2} : X_{U_2} \rightarrow Y_{U_2}$  a closed immersion. By composing  $i_{U_2}$  with the closed immersion  $B_{U_2} \hookrightarrow X_{U_2}$ , we obtain a morphism of algebraic spaces  $\psi : \mathrm{Cl}(X, Y) \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl}(B, Y)$  over  $T$ . By [Stacks, Tag 05WT] and [Stacks, Tag 04ZI],  $\psi$  is separated and locally of finite presentation. Write  $\tilde{f} : T \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl}(B, Y)$  for the morphism corresponding to the element  $[f : B \rightarrow Y] \in \mathrm{Cl}(B, Y)(T)$ . Then it holds that  $\mathrm{Cl}_f(X, Y) \cong \mathrm{Cl}(X, Y) \times_{\mathrm{Cl}(B, Y), \tilde{f}} T$ . This implies that  $\mathrm{Cl}_f(X, Y)$  is represented by an algebraic space which is separated and locally of finite presentation over  $T$ . This completes the proof of Lemma 6.10.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.11.** *Let  $X$  be a stalkwise Noetherian scheme and  $x, \xi \in X$  points such that  $\xi \rightsquigarrow x$ . Then there exist a scheme  $V$  and a morphism  $f : V \rightarrow X$  such that the following conditions hold:*

- (i)  $V$  is isomorphic to the spectrum of a discrete valuation ring.
- (ii)  $f(v) = x$ , where  $v \in V$  is the unique closed point.
- (iii)  $f(\eta) = \xi$ , where  $\eta \in V$  is the unique generic point.
- (iv) The natural morphism  $k(\xi) \rightarrow k(\eta)$  is an isomorphism.

*Proof.* Write  $\mathfrak{p} \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \ker(\mathcal{O}_{X,x} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,\xi})$  and  $A \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathcal{O}_{X,x}/\mathfrak{p}$ . Then  $A$  is a subring of  $k(\xi)$ . Since  $A$  is Noetherian, it follows from [Stacks, Tag 00PH] that there exists a DVR  $R \subset k(\xi)$  which dominates  $A$ . Then it follows immediately that  $V \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathrm{Spec}(R)$  and the composite

$$f : \mathrm{Spec}(R) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(A) \rightarrow X$$

satisfy required properties. This completes the proof of Lemma 6.11.  $\square$

In the following Lemma 6.12, we show that if a base scheme is stalkwise Noetherian, then the valuative criterion of properness holds using only discrete valuation rings.

**Lemma 6.12.** *Let  $Y$  be a stalkwise Noetherian scheme and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  a separated algebraic space of finite presentation over  $Y$ . Assume that for any commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Spec}(K) & \xrightarrow{\eta} & X \\ i \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ \mathrm{Spec}(R) & \xrightarrow{p} & Y \end{array}$$

*such that  $R$  is a DVR,  $K$  is the field of fractions of  $R$ , and  $i$  is the natural morphism, there exists a morphism  $q : \mathrm{Spec}(R) \rightarrow X$  such that  $\eta = q \circ i$ , and  $p = f \circ q$ . Then  $f$  is proper.*

*Proof.* Let

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Spec}(K) & \xrightarrow{\eta} & X \\ i \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ \mathrm{Spec}(R) & \xrightarrow{p} & Y \end{array}$$

be a commutative diagram such that  $R$  is a (not necessarily discrete!) valuation ring,  $K$  is the field of fractions of  $R$ , and  $i$  is the natural morphism. Write  $r \in \text{Spec}(R)$  for the unique closed point,  $y \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p(r)$ ,  $B \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$ ,  $j : \text{Spec}(B) \rightarrow Y$  for the natural morphism,  $X_B \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} X \times_{f,Y,j} B$ ,  $j_X : X_B \rightarrow X$  for the natural projection,  $p' : \text{Spec}(R) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(B)$  for the local morphism induced by the local homomorphism  $B \rightarrow R$ , and  $\eta' : \text{Spec}(K) \rightarrow X_B$  for the unique morphism such that  $j_X \circ \eta' = \eta$  and  $f_B \circ \eta' = p' \circ i$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Spec}(K) & \xrightarrow{\eta'} & X_B & \xrightarrow{j_X} & X \\ & & \downarrow f_B & & \downarrow f \\ & & \text{Spec}(B) & \xrightarrow{j} & Y \\ \text{Spec}(R) & \xrightarrow{p'} & & & \end{array}$$

Since  $Y$  is stalkwise Noetherian,  $B$  is Noetherian. Hence we conclude, by considering the case where  $R$  is a DVR, from [Stacks, Tag 0CMF] that  $f_B$  is proper. This, in turn, implies, in the case where  $R$  is *arbitrary* (i.e., not necessarily discrete), by [Stacks, Tag 0A40], that there exists a morphism  $q' : \text{Spec}(R) \rightarrow X_B$  such that  $\eta' = q' \circ i$ , and  $p' = f_B \circ q'$ . Thus, by [Stacks, Tag 0A40],  $f$  is proper. This completes the proof of Lemma 6.12.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.13.** *Let  $S$  be a locally Noetherian scheme and  $f : X \rightarrow S$  an algebraic space over  $S$ . Assume that the following conditions hold:*

- (i)  $f$  is locally of finite presentation.
- (ii) For any morphism  $g : \text{Spec}(R) \rightarrow S$ , where  $R$  is a DVR or a field, there exists a unique morphism  $h : \text{Spec}(R) \rightarrow X$  such that  $g = f \circ h$ .

Then the continuous map between underlying topological spaces  $|f| : |X| \rightarrow |S|$  is a homeomorphism (cf. [Stacks, Tag 03BY], [Stacks, Tag 03BX]). In particular,  $f$  is quasi-compact (cf. [Stacks, Tag 03E4]).

*Proof.* To prove Lemma 6.13, we may assume without loss of generality that  $S$  is Noetherian. By condition (ii), the map between underlying sets  $|f| : |X| \rightarrow |S|$  is bijective. Hence to prove Lemma 6.13, it suffices to prove that for any étale morphism  $p : U \rightarrow X$  such that  $U$  is a quasi-compact scheme,  $\text{Im}(|f \circ p|) \subset |S|$  is an open subset.

Let  $p : U \rightarrow X$  be an étale morphism such that  $U$  is a quasi-compact scheme. Then, by condition (i),  $f \circ p$  is of finite presentation. Hence, by [Stacks, Tag 054J],  $\text{Im}(|f \circ p|) \subset |S|$  is a constructible subset. Thus, by [Stacks, Tag 0542], to prove that  $\text{Im}(|f \circ p|) \subset |S|$  is open, it suffices to prove that  $\text{Im}(|f \circ p|) \subset |S|$  is stable under generization.

Let  $u \in U$  be a point and  $\eta \in S$  a point such that  $\eta \rightsquigarrow f(p(u))$ . Then, by Lemma 6.11, there exists a morphism  $g : \text{Spec}(R) \rightarrow S$  such that  $R$  is a DVR, and  $\text{Im}(|g|) = \{\eta, f(p(u))\}$ . By condition (ii), there exists a unique morphism  $h : \text{Spec}(R) \rightarrow X$  such that  $g = f \circ h$ . Write  $v \in \text{Spec}(R)$  for the closed point,  $\xi \in \text{Spec}(R)$  for the generic point,  $U'$  for the base-change of  $p : U \rightarrow X$  by  $h : \text{Spec}(R) \rightarrow X$ ,  $q : U' \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$  for the natural projection morphism, and

$h' : U' \rightarrow U$  for the natural projection morphism:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U' & \xrightarrow{h'} & U \\ q \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ \mathrm{Spec}(R) & \xrightarrow{h} & X. \end{array}$$

Since  $g = f \circ h$ , and  $|f| : |X| \rightarrow |S|$  is a bijection, it holds that  $h(v) = p(u)$ , hence that  $U' \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $p : U \rightarrow X$  is étale,  $q : U' \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(R)$  is étale. Hence there exists a point  $\xi' \in U'$  such that  $q(\xi') = \xi$ . Thus it holds that

$$\eta = g(\xi) = f(h(q(\xi'))) = f(p(h'(\xi'))) \in \mathrm{Im}(|f \circ p|).$$

This implies that  $\mathrm{Im}(|f \circ p|) \subset |S|$  is stable under generization, i.e.,  $\mathrm{Im}(|f \circ p|) \subset |S|$  is open. This completes the proof of [Lemma 6.13](#).  $\square$

**Definition 6.14.** Let  $S$  be a quasi-separated stalkwise Noetherian scheme;  $f : X \rightarrow S$  an object of  $\mathrm{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ ;  $s_0, s_1, s_\infty : S \rightarrow X$  morphisms in  $\mathrm{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ . Suppose that  $\diamond = \blacklozenge \cup \{\mathrm{red}\}$ . Then we shall say that the collection of data  $(X, s_0, s_1, s_\infty)$  is  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -like in  $\mathrm{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  if the following conditions hold:

- (i)  $X$  is reduced.
- (ii)  $f$  is proper and of finite presentation.
- (iii) For any object  $T \rightarrow S$  in  $\mathrm{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  such that  $T$  is isomorphic to the spectrum of a field,  $X \times_S^\diamond T$  is isomorphic as a  $T$ -scheme to  $\mathbb{P}_T^1$ .
- (iv) For any  $i, j \in \{0, 1, \infty\}$  such that  $i \neq j$ , it holds that  $S \times_{s_i, X, s_j}^\diamond S = \emptyset$ .
- (v) For any  $i, j, k \in \{0, 1, \infty\}$  such that  $\{i, j, k\} = \{0, 1, \infty\}$ , there exist an open immersion  $\iota : U \hookrightarrow X$  in  $\mathrm{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ , morphisms  $t_j : S \rightarrow U$ ,  $t_k : S \rightarrow U$  in  $\mathrm{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ , and a ring object structure on  $U$  in  $\mathrm{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$  such that  $t_j$  is the additive identity section,  $t_k$  is the multiplicative identity section,  $s_j = \iota \circ t_j$ ,  $s_k = \iota \circ t_k$ , and  $\mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{Sp}_{\blacklozenge/S}(\iota)) = \mathrm{Sp}_{\blacklozenge/S}(X) \setminus \mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{Sp}_{\blacklozenge/S}(s_i))$  (cf. [Reconstruction 4.5](#)).

Thus the property that the collection of data  $(X, s_0, s_1, s_\infty)$  is  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -like in  $\mathrm{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  is defined completely in terms of properties that may be characterized category-theoretically (cf. [Lemma 1.7](#), [Corollary 2.9](#), [Corollary 4.4](#), [Reconstruction 4.5](#), [Corollary 5.21](#), [Lemma 6.2](#)) from the data  $(\mathrm{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}, X, s_0, s_1, s_\infty)$ .

**Proposition 6.15.** *Let  $S$  be a locally Noetherian (hence quasi-separated — cf. [Stacks, Tag 01OY](#)) normal scheme. Let  $X$  be an object of  $\mathrm{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ . Then  $X$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}_S^1$  as an  $S$ -scheme if and only if the following conditions hold:*

- (i) *There exist morphisms  $s_0, s_1, s_\infty : S \rightarrow X$  in  $\mathrm{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  such that the collection of data  $(X, s_0, s_1, s_\infty)$  is  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -like in  $\mathrm{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ .*
- (ii) *For any  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -like collection of data  $(Y, t_0, t_1, t_\infty)$  in  $\mathrm{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ , there exists a unique closed immersion  $h : X \rightarrow Y$  in  $\mathrm{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  such that for each  $i \in \{0, 1, \infty\}$ ,  $h \circ s_i = t_i$ .*

*In particular, the property that  $X$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}_S^1$  as an  $S$ -scheme may be characterized category-theoretically (cf. [Proposition 4.3](#), [Definition 6.14](#)) from the data  $(\mathrm{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}, X)$ .*

*Proof.* First, we prove necessity. Assume that  $X \cong \mathbb{P}_S^1$ . For any  $i \in \{0, 1, \infty\}$ , write  $s_i : S \rightarrow X$  for the morphism in  $\mathrm{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  obtained by base-changing the sections  $0, 1, \infty : \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1$ . Then it follows immediately from [Lemma 1.1](#)

(iii) (iv) that the collection of data  $(X, s_0, s_1, s_\infty)$  satisfies conditions (i), (ii), (iii), and (iv) of [Definition 6.14](#). Moreover, since for any  $i \in \{0, 1, \infty\}$ ,  $\mathbb{A}_S^1 \cong X \setminus s_i$ , it follows immediately that the collection of data  $(X, s_0, s_1, s_\infty)$  satisfies condition (v) of [Definition 6.14](#). Hence  $X$  satisfies condition (i) of [Proposition 6.15](#).

Next, we verify that  $X$  satisfies condition (ii) of [Proposition 6.15](#). Let  $(Y, t_0, t_1, t_\infty)$  be a  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -like collection of data in  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ . Write

- $B \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} S \amalg S \amalg S$ ,
- $s \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} s_0 \amalg s_1 \amalg s_\infty : B \rightarrow X$  for the closed immersion,
- $t \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} t_0 \amalg t_1 \amalg t_\infty : B \rightarrow Y$  for the closed immersion,
- $C \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Cl}_t(X, Y)$ , where we regard  $B$  as a closed subscheme of  $X$  by means of  $s$ , and we take “ $T$ ” to be  $S$  (cf. [Definition 6.9](#), [Lemma 6.10](#)), and
- $p : C \rightarrow S$  for the structure morphism.

Then, to verify that  $X$  satisfies condition (ii) of [Proposition 6.15](#), it suffices to prove that the set of  $S$ -valued points  $C(S)$  is of cardinality 1. Since  $S$  is normal, the injection  $C_{\text{red}}(S) \hookrightarrow C(S)$  is surjective. Hence, in particular, to prove that  $C(S)$  is of cardinality 1, it suffices to prove that the composite  $C_{\text{red}} \hookrightarrow C \xrightarrow{p} S$  is an isomorphism. By [Lemma 6.10](#),  $p$  is a morphism of algebraic spaces which is separated and locally of finite presentation. Let  $T \rightarrow S$  be a morphism such that  $T$  is isomorphic to the spectrum of a field. Then, by [Lemma 2.5 \(i\) \(ii\)](#),  $T \rightarrow S$  belongs to  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ . Moreover, by [Lemma 1.1 \(iii\) \(iv\)](#) and [Definition 6.14 \(iii\)](#),  $C(T)$  is of cardinality 1. In particular, by allowing  $T$  to vary, we conclude that  $\dim C_T = 0$ , where we write  $C_T \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} C \times_S T$ . Since the natural projection  $p_T : C_T \rightarrow T$  is locally of finite presentation, it follows from [\[Stacks, Tag 06LZ\]](#) that  $C_T$  is a scheme. Hence, since  $C(T)$  is of cardinality 1 for arbitrary  $T$ , we conclude that for arbitrary  $T$ , the composite  $C_{T, \text{red}} \rightarrow C_T \xrightarrow{p_T} T$  is an isomorphism.

Next, we prove the following assertion:

- (†) For any morphism  $\text{Spec}(R) \rightarrow S$  such that  $R$  is a DVR, the set of  $R$ -valued points  $C(R)$  is of cardinality 1.

(Here, we observe that it follows formally, by considering the ring of formal power series in one variable over a field, from (†) that (†) continues to hold even if the condition “ $R$  is a DVR” is replaced by the condition “ $R$  is a field”.) Let  $\text{Spec}(R) \rightarrow S$  be a morphism of schemes such that  $R$  is a DVR. Write  $V \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Spec}(R)$ . Then, by [Lemma 2.5 \(i\) \(ii\)](#),  $V \rightarrow S$  belongs to  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ . Since  $X \cong \mathbb{P}_S^1$ , to prove assertion (†), it suffices to prove that  $Y_{V, \text{red}} \cong \mathbb{P}_V^1$ . Suppose that  $\diamond = \blacklozenge \cup \{\text{red}\}$ . Write  $\eta$  for the generic point of  $V$  and  $v$  for the closed point of  $V$ . By [Lemma 1.1 \(iv\)](#), the fiber product  $Z \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Y \times_S^\diamond V$  exists in  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$  and is naturally isomorphic to  $Y_{V, \text{red}}$ . By [Definition 6.14 \(ii\)](#),  $Y$  is proper over  $S$ . Hence the projection morphism  $Z \rightarrow V$  is proper. By [Definition 6.14 \(iii\)](#), it holds that  $Z \times_V^\diamond \text{Spec}(k(\eta)) \cong \mathbb{P}_{k(\eta)}^1$ , and  $Z \times_V^\diamond \text{Spec}(k(v)) \cong \mathbb{P}_{k(v)}^1$ . Write  $\overline{Z}_\eta \subset Z$  for the schematic closure of the generic fiber of the natural morphism  $Z \rightarrow V$ . Thus, since  $V$  is the spectrum of a DVR, the natural morphism  $\overline{Z}_\eta \rightarrow V$  is proper and flat. Hence, by [\[Stacks, Tag 0D4J\]](#), the dimension of the special fiber of the natural morphism  $\overline{Z}_\eta \rightarrow V$  is one. Since  $Z \times_V^\diamond \text{Spec}(k(v)) \cong \mathbb{P}_{k(v)}^1$ , the morphism of underlying topological spaces  $|\overline{Z}_\eta| \rightarrow |Z|$  is a homeomorphism. Thus, since  $Z$  is reduced, the closed immersion  $\overline{Z}_\eta \xrightarrow{\sim} Z$  is an isomorphism. In particular,  $Z$  is integral.

Since  $V$  is reduced, and  $Z \cong Y_{V,\text{red}}$ , for each  $i \in \{0, 1, \infty\}$ , there exists a unique section  $s'_{i,V} : V \rightarrow Z$  of the projection  $Z \rightarrow V$  such that the composite of  $s'_{i,V}$  with the closed immersion  $Z \subset Y_V$  is  $s_{i,V} : V \rightarrow Y_V$ . Next, observe that by [Lemma 1.1 \(iv\)](#), for each  $i \in \{0, 1, \infty\}$ , the natural morphism  $Z \setminus \text{Im}(s'_{i,V}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (Y \setminus \text{Im}(s_i)) \times_{\mathcal{S}}^{\diamond} V$  is an isomorphism. Hence, by [Definition 6.14 \(iv\) \(v\)](#), for any  $i, j, k \in \{0, 1, \infty\}$  such that  $\{i, j, k\} = \{0, 1, \infty\}$ ,  $Z \setminus \text{Im}(s'_{i,V})$  has a ring object structure in  $(\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S})/V$  such that (the morphism  $V \rightarrow Z \setminus \text{Im}(s'_{i,V})$  determined by)  $s'_{j,V}$  is the multiplicative identity section, and (the morphism  $V \rightarrow Z \setminus \text{Im}(s'_{i,V})$  determined by)  $s'_{k,V}$  is the additive identity section. Since  $Z \times_V^{\diamond} k(\eta) \cong \mathbb{P}_{k(\eta)}^1$ , for each  $i \in \{0, 1, \infty\}$ , it holds that  $(Z \setminus \text{Im}(s'_{i,V}))_{\eta} \cong \mathbb{A}_{k(\eta)}^1$  (as  $k(\eta)$ -schemes). In particular, for each  $i \in \{0, 1, \infty\}$ ,  $(Z \setminus \text{Im}(s'_{i,V}))_{\eta}$  is geometrically reduced over  $k(\eta)$ . Moreover, since  $Z \rightarrow V$  is proper,  $Z$  is Noetherian. In particular, for any  $i \in \{0, 1, \infty\}$ ,  $Z \setminus \text{Im}(s'_{i,V})$  is quasi-compact over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Hence, since  $Z$  is integral, it follows from [Lemma 6.8](#) that for any  $i, j, k \in \{0, 1, \infty\}$  such that  $\{i, j, k\} = \{0, 1, \infty\}$ , the ring object structure on  $Z \setminus \text{Im}(s'_{i,V})$  in  $(\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S})/V$  induces a ring scheme structure over  $V$  on  $Z \setminus \text{Im}(s'_{i,V})$  such that (the morphism  $V \rightarrow Z \setminus \text{Im}(s'_{i,V})$  determined by)  $s'_{j,V}$  is the multiplicative identity section, and (the morphism  $V \rightarrow Z \setminus \text{Im}(s'_{i,V})$  determined by)  $s'_{k,V}$  is the additive identity section.

For each  $i \in \{0, 1, \infty\}$ , since  $Z \setminus \text{Im}(s'_{i,V})$  is a flat separated ring scheme of finite type over  $V$ ,  $(Z \setminus \text{Im}(s'_{i,V}))_{v,\text{red}} \cong \mathbb{A}_{k(v)}^1$  (as  $k(v)$ -schemes) is one-dimensional and connected, and  $(Z \setminus \text{Im}(s'_{i,V}))_{\eta} \cong \mathbb{A}_{k(\eta)}^1$  (as ring schemes over  $k(\eta)$ ), it follows from [Proposition 6.5](#) that the ring scheme  $Z \setminus \text{Im}(s'_{i,V})$  over  $V$  is isomorphic as a ring scheme over  $V$  to the projection  $\mathbb{A}_V^1 \rightarrow V$ . Moreover, for any  $i, j, k \in \{0, 1, \infty\}$  such that  $\{i, j, k\} = \{0, 1, \infty\}$ , since  $s'_{j,V}$  is the multiplicative identity section of the ring scheme  $Z \setminus \text{Im}(s'_{i,V})$  over  $V$ , and  $s'_{k,V}$  is the additive identity section of the ring scheme  $Z \setminus \text{Im}(s'_{i,V})$  over  $V$ , by observing that  $Z$  is naturally isomorphic to the  $V$ -scheme obtained by gluing together  $Z \setminus \text{Im}(s'_{i,V})$  and  $Z \setminus \text{Im}(s'_{k,V})$  along  $Z \setminus (\text{Im}(s'_{i,V}) \cup \text{Im}(s'_{k,V}))$ , we conclude that  $Z \cong \mathbb{P}_V^1$ . Thus, in particular,  $C(V)$  is of cardinality 1, i.e., assertion [\(†\)](#) holds.

By [Lemma 6.13](#) and assertion [\(†\)](#),  $p : C \rightarrow S$  is a (necessarily quasi-compact) homeomorphism. Hence, by [Lemma 6.10](#), [Lemma 6.12](#), and assertion [\(†\)](#),  $p : C \rightarrow S$  is proper. Moreover, by [\[Stacks, Tag 0A4X\]](#) and assertion [\(†\)](#),  $p : C \rightarrow S$  is finite. In particular, since  $S$  is a scheme,  $C$  is a finite  $S$ -scheme (cf. [\[Stacks, Tag 03ZP\]](#)), hence may be written in the form  $\text{Spec}_S(p_*\mathcal{O}_C)$ . By [Definition 6.14 \(iii\)](#), for any generic point  $\eta \in S$ , it holds that  $C_{\eta} \cong \text{Spec}(k(\eta))$ . Since

- $S$  is normal,
- $\mathcal{O}_S, p_*\mathcal{O}_{C_{\text{red}}}$  are subsheaves of the sheaf of rational functions  $\mathcal{K}_S$  on  $S$ , and
- the morphism of sheaves of rings  $\mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow p_*\mathcal{O}_{C_{\text{red}}}$  is finite and compatible with the inclusions  $\mathcal{O}_S, p_*\mathcal{O}_{C_{\text{red}}} \subset \mathcal{K}_S$ ,

the composite  $C_{\text{red}} \rightarrow C \xrightarrow{p} S$  is an isomorphism. Thus  $C(S)$  is of cardinality 1, i.e.,  $X$  satisfies condition [\(ii\)](#) of [Proposition 6.15](#). This completes the proof of necessity.

Next, we prove sufficiency. Assume that  $X$  satisfies conditions [\(i\)](#) and [\(ii\)](#) of [Proposition 6.15](#). Write  $Y \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{P}_S^1$  and for each  $i \in \{0, 1, \infty\}$ ,  $t_i : S \rightarrow Y$  for the morphism in  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  obtained by base-change of the sections  $0, 1, \infty : \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1$ . By the necessity portion of [Proposition 6.15](#),  $(Y, t_0, t_1, t_{\infty})$  satisfies condition [\(ii\)](#) of [Proposition 6.15](#). In particular, since  $X$  satisfies condition [\(i\)](#) of [Proposition 6.15](#),



there exists a unique closed immersion  $h_1 : Y \rightarrow X$  in  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  such that for any  $i \in \{0, 1, \infty\}$ ,  $h_1 \circ t_i = s_i$ . By the necessity portion of [Proposition 6.15](#),  $(Y, t_0, t_1, t_\infty)$  satisfies condition (i) of [Proposition 6.15](#). In particular, since  $X$  satisfies condition (ii) of [Proposition 6.15](#), there exists a unique closed immersion  $h_2 : X \rightarrow Y$  in  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  such that for any  $i \in \{0, 1, \infty\}$ ,  $h_2 \circ s_i = t_i$ . Then it holds that  $h_1 \circ h_2 \circ s_i = s_i$ , and  $h_2 \circ h_1 \circ t_i = t_i$ . Since  $(X, s_0, s_1, s_\infty)$  satisfies conditions (i) (ii) of [Proposition 6.15](#), it follows from the uniqueness portion of condition (ii) of [Proposition 6.15](#) that  $h_1 \circ h_2 = \text{id}_X$ . Since  $(Y, t_0, t_1, t_\infty)$  satisfies conditions (i) (ii) of [Proposition 6.15](#), it follows from the uniqueness portion of condition (ii) of [Proposition 6.15](#) that  $h_2 \circ h_1 = \text{id}_Y$ . This implies that  $X \cong Y$ . This completes the proof of [Proposition 6.15](#).  $\square$

**Corollary 6.16.** *Let  $S$  be a locally Noetherian normal scheme. Let  $X$  be a ring object of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ . Write  $0_X : S \rightarrow X, 1_X : S \rightarrow X$  for the additive and multiplicative identity sections. Then  $X$  is isomorphic as a ring scheme over  $S$  to  $\mathbb{A}_S^1$  if and only if  $S \times_{0_X, X, 1_X}^\blacklozenge S = \emptyset$ , and there exist an open immersion  $\iota : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_S^1$  in  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  and sections  $s_0, s_1, s_\infty : S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_S^1$  such that the collection of data  $(\mathbb{P}_S^1, s_0, s_1, s_\infty)$  is  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -like, and  $\text{Im}(\text{Sp}_{\blacklozenge/S}(\iota)) = \text{Sp}_{\blacklozenge/S}(\mathbb{P}_S^1) \setminus \text{Im}(\text{Sp}_{\blacklozenge/S}(s_\infty))$ . In particular, the property that  $X$  is isomorphic as a ring scheme over  $S$  to  $\mathbb{A}_S^1$  may be characterized category-theoretically (cf. [Corollary 4.4](#), [Reconstruction 4.5](#), [Proposition 6.15](#)) from the data  $(\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}, X)$ .*

*Proof.* Necessity follows immediately from [Lemma 1.1 \(iii\) \(iv\)](#), [Reconstruction 4.5](#), and [Proposition 6.15](#). Next, we prove sufficiency. Assume that  $S \times_{0_X, X, 1_X}^\blacklozenge S = \emptyset$ , and, moreover, that there exist an open immersion  $\iota : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_S^1$  in  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  and sections  $s_0, s_1, s_\infty : S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_S^1$  such that the collection of data  $(\mathbb{P}_S^1, s_0, s_1, s_\infty)$  is  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -like, and  $\text{Im}(\text{Sp}_{\blacklozenge/S}(\iota)) = \text{Sp}_{\blacklozenge/S}(\mathbb{P}_S^1) \setminus \text{Im}(\text{Sp}_{\blacklozenge/S}(s_\infty))$ . Then  $X \cong \mathbb{A}_S^1$ . Hence, by [Lemma 1.1 \(iii\) \(iv\)](#), the ring object structure on  $X$  determines a ring scheme structure over  $S$  on  $X \cong \mathbb{A}_S^1$ . Since  $S \times_{0_X, X, 1_X}^\blacklozenge S = \emptyset$ , it follows from [Lemma 1.1 \(iii\) \(iv\)](#) that  $\text{Im}(0_X) \cap \text{Im}(1_X) = \emptyset$ . Hence there exists an isomorphism of  $S$ -schemes  $f : X \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{A}_S^1$  such that  $0_S = f \circ 0_X, 1_S = f \circ 1_X$ . Thus, by [Lemma 6.3 \(ii\)](#),  $f$  is an isomorphism of ring schemes over  $S$ . This completes the proof of [Corollary 6.16](#).  $\square$

Next, we consider a category-theoretic reconstruction of the underlying schemes of objects of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ .

**Definition 6.17.** Let  $S$  be a locally Noetherian normal scheme.

- (i) We shall write  $\mathcal{A}_{\blacklozenge/S}^1$  for the ( $\mathbf{V}$ -small) connected groupoid of ring objects and isomorphisms of ring objects of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  such that  $\mathbb{A}_S^1 \in \mathcal{A}_{\blacklozenge/S}^1$ . Note that, by [Lemma 6.3 \(ii\)](#), for any objects  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}_{\blacklozenge/S}^1$ ,  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}_{\blacklozenge/S}^1}(A, B)$  is of cardinality one.
- (ii) Let  $X \in \text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  be an object. Write  $\text{Oplm}_{\blacklozenge/S}(X) \subset (\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S})/X$  for the full subcategory determined by quasi-compact open immersions  $U \rightarrow X$ . Then the category  $\text{Oplm}_{\blacklozenge/S}(X)$  is defined completely in terms of properties that may be characterized category-theoretically (cf. [Corollary 4.4](#), [Corollary 4.8 \(ii\)](#)) from the data  $(\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}, X)$ .
- (iii) Let  $X \in \text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  be an object. Write  $\text{Op}_{\blacklozenge/S}^{\text{cat}}(X)$  for the category whose objects are elements of  $\text{Op}_{\blacklozenge/S}(X)$  (cf. [Reconstruction 4.5](#)), and whose

morphisms are the inclusion morphisms. We define the following functor:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_X : \mathbf{Oplm}_{\blacklozenge/S}(X) &\rightarrow \mathbf{Op}_{\blacklozenge/S}^{\text{cat}}(X) \\ [i : U \rightarrow X] &\mapsto \text{Im}(\mathbf{Sp}_{\blacklozenge/S}(i)). \end{aligned}$$

Note that, by the definition of the homeomorphism  $\eta_X : \mathbf{Sp}_{\blacklozenge/S}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} |X|$  (cf. [Reconstruction 4.5](#)), for any object  $[i : U \rightarrow X] \in \mathbf{Oplm}_{\blacklozenge/S}(X)$ ,  $\varphi_X(i : U \rightarrow X) = \eta_X^{-1}(\text{Im}(|i|))$ .

- (iv) Let  $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  be an object and  $U_{\blacklozenge}^{\text{Sp}} \subset \mathbf{Sp}_{\blacklozenge/S}(X)$  an open subset. We shall write  $\mathcal{I}_{\blacklozenge/S}(X; U_{\blacklozenge}^{\text{Sp}}) \subset \mathbf{Oplm}_{\blacklozenge/S}(X)^{\text{op}}$  for the full subcategory determined by the objects  $[i : U' \rightarrow X] \in \mathcal{I}_{\blacklozenge/S}(X; U_{\blacklozenge}^{\text{Sp}})$  such that  $\varphi_X(i : U' \rightarrow X) \subset U_{\blacklozenge}^{\text{Sp}}$ .
- (v) Let  $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  be an object. We shall write  $\iota_X : \mathbf{Oplm}_{\blacklozenge/S}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  for the forgetful functor.
- (vi) Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism in  $\mathbf{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ . By [Lemma 1.1 \(iii\) \(iv\)](#), for any object  $[i : V \rightarrow Y] \in \mathbf{Oplm}_{\blacklozenge/S}(Y)$ , the natural projection  $i_{\blacklozenge, X} : V \times_Y^{\blacklozenge} X \rightarrow X$  is an object of  $\mathbf{Oplm}_{\blacklozenge/S}(X)$ . Hence we obtain a functor  $f^{-1} : \mathbf{Oplm}_{\blacklozenge/S}(Y) \rightarrow \mathbf{Oplm}_{\blacklozenge/S}(X)$ .

**Definition 6.18.** Let  $S$  be a scheme.

- (i) Let  $\star :=^{\text{def}} \mathbf{Sch}$  or  $\mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbf{V}}$ . We define

$$\begin{aligned} U_{\blacklozenge/S}^{\star} : \mathbf{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S} &\rightarrow \star \\ X &\mapsto X. \end{aligned}$$

- (ii) Write  $i_{\mathbf{U} \in \mathbf{V}}^{\mathbf{Sch}} : \mathbf{Sch} \rightarrow \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbf{V}}$  for the natural inclusion functor. Then the equality  $U_{\blacklozenge/S}^{\mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbf{V}}} = i_{\mathbf{U} \in \mathbf{V}}^{\mathbf{Sch}} \circ U_{\blacklozenge/S}^{\mathbf{Sch}}$  holds.
- (iii) For any morphism of schemes  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , any open subset  $V^{|\cdot|} \subset |Y|$ , and any open subset  $U^{|\cdot|} \subset |f|^{-1}(V^{|\cdot|})$ , we shall write

$$f^{\#}(V^{|\cdot|}, U^{|\cdot|}) : \mathcal{O}_Y(V^{|\cdot|}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(U^{|\cdot|})$$

for the morphism of rings determined by the composite of the morphism of rings  $\mathcal{O}_Y(V^{|\cdot|}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(|f|^{-1}(V^{|\cdot|}))$  induced by  $f$  and the restriction morphism  $\mathcal{O}_X(|f|^{-1}(V^{|\cdot|})) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(U^{|\cdot|})$ .

**Reconstruction 6.19** ( $\mathbf{RSp}_{\blacklozenge/S}^A(X)$ ). Let  $S$  be a locally Noetherian normal scheme. Let  $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\blacklozenge/S}^1$  a ring object in  $\mathbf{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  such that  $A \cong \mathbb{A}_S^1$  as ring objects in  $\mathbf{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ .

- (i) For any object  $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ , we define a functor

$$\check{\mathcal{O}}_{\blacklozenge/S, X}^A :=^{\text{def}} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}}(\iota_X(-), A) : \mathbf{Oplm}_{\blacklozenge/S}^{\text{op}}(X) \rightarrow \text{Ring}.$$

Then, since  $A$  is isomorphic as a ring object in  $\mathbf{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  to  $\mathbb{A}_S^1$ , and  $\mathbb{A}_S^1$  represents the global section functor  $\mathbf{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S} \rightarrow \text{Ring}$ , there exists a unique assignment

$$(X, i) \mapsto [\check{\eta}_X^{A, \#}(i) : \mathcal{O}_X(\text{Im}(|i|)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \check{\mathcal{O}}_{\blacklozenge/S, X}^A(i)],$$

where  $X$  ranges over the objects of  $\mathbf{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ , and  $i$  ranges over the objects of  $\mathbf{OpIm}_{\blacklozenge/S}(X)$ , such that  $\tilde{\eta}_X^{A,\#}(i)$  is an isomorphism of rings, and for any diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & \xrightarrow{i} & X \\ g \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ V & \xrightarrow{j} & Y \end{array}$$

in  $\mathbf{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ , if  $i, j$  are quasi-compact open immersions, then the diagram of rings

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_Y(\mathrm{Im}(|j|)) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\tilde{\eta}_Y^{A,\#}(j)} & \check{\mathcal{O}}_{\blacklozenge/S,Y}^A(j) \\ f^\#(\mathrm{Im}(|j|), \mathrm{Im}(|i|)) \downarrow & & \downarrow (-) \circ g \\ \mathcal{O}_X(\mathrm{Im}(|i|)) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\tilde{\eta}_X^{A,\#}(i)} & \check{\mathcal{O}}_{\blacklozenge/S,X}^A(i) \end{array} \quad (\dagger)$$

commutes.

(ii) Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism of  $\mathbf{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ . For any open subset  $U_{\blacklozenge}^{\mathrm{Sp}} \subset \mathbf{Sp}_{\blacklozenge/S}(X)$ , we define

$$\mathcal{O}_{\blacklozenge/S,X}^A(U_{\blacklozenge}^{\mathrm{Sp}}) \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \lim_{i \in \mathcal{I}_{\blacklozenge/S}(X; U_{\blacklozenge}^{\mathrm{Sp}})} \check{\mathcal{O}}_{\blacklozenge/S,X}^A(i).$$

For any open subset  $U^{|\cdot|} \subset |X|$ , we define

$$\eta_X^{A,\#}(U^{|\cdot|}) : \mathcal{O}_X(U^{|\cdot|}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{\blacklozenge/S,X}^A(\eta_X^{-1}(U^{|\cdot|}))$$

(cf. [Reconstruction 4.5](#)) to be the composite of the following two isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{O}_X(U^{|\cdot|}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \lim_{i \in I} \mathcal{O}_X(\mathrm{Im}(|i|)) \xrightarrow[\sim]{\lim_{i \in I} \tilde{\eta}_X^{A,\#}(i)} \lim_{i \in I} \check{\mathcal{O}}_{\blacklozenge/S,X}^A(i) = \mathcal{O}_{\blacklozenge/S,X}^A(\eta_X^{-1}(U^{|\cdot|})),$$

where  $I \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathcal{I}_{\blacklozenge/S}(X, \eta_X^{-1}(U^{|\cdot|}))$ . For any open subsets  $V_{\blacklozenge}^{\mathrm{Sp}} \subset \mathbf{Sp}_{\blacklozenge/S}(Y)$  and  $U_{\blacklozenge}^{\mathrm{Sp}} \subset \mathbf{Sp}_{\blacklozenge/S}(f)^{-1}(V_{\blacklozenge}^{\mathrm{Sp}})$ , we define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{\blacklozenge/S,f}^A(V_{\blacklozenge}^{\mathrm{Sp}}, U_{\blacklozenge}^{\mathrm{Sp}}) & \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \lim [\check{\mathcal{O}}_{\blacklozenge/S,Y}^A(j) \rightarrow \check{\mathcal{O}}_{\blacklozenge/S,X}^A(i)] \\ & : \mathcal{O}_{\blacklozenge/S,Y}^A(V_{\blacklozenge}^{\mathrm{Sp}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\blacklozenge/S,X}^A(U_{\blacklozenge}^{\mathrm{Sp}}), \end{aligned}$$

where the limit is indexed by the opposite category associated to the category whose objects are commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_1 & \xrightarrow{i_1} & X \\ (i_1 : U_1 \rightarrow X, j_1 : V_1 \rightarrow Y, g_1) & & g_1 \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow f \\ & & V_1 \xrightarrow{j_1} Y \end{array}$$

such that  $\varphi_X(i_1) \subset U_{\blacklozenge}^{\mathrm{Sp}}$  and  $\varphi_Y(j_1) \subset V_{\blacklozenge}^{\mathrm{Sp}}$ , and whose morphisms  $(i_1 : U_1 \rightarrow X, j_1 : V_1 \rightarrow Y, g_1) \rightarrow (i_2 : U_2 \rightarrow X, j_2 : V_1 \rightarrow Y, g_2)$  are pairs of morphisms  $(s : U_1 \rightarrow U_2, t : V_1 \rightarrow V_2)$  in  $\mathbf{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  such that  $i_1 = i_2 \circ s, j_1 = j_2 \circ t$ , and the

diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_1 & \xrightarrow{s} & U_2 \\ g_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow g_2 \\ V_1 & \xrightarrow{t} & V_2 \end{array}$$

commutes. Then, since the diagram  $(\dagger)$  commutes, for any subset  $V^{|\cdot|} \subset |Y|$  and any open subset  $U^{|\cdot|} \subset |f|^{-1}(V^{|\cdot|})$ , the diagram of rings

$$(\ddagger) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_Y(V^{|\cdot|}) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\eta_Y^{A,\#}(V^{|\cdot|})} & \mathcal{O}_{\diamond/S,Y}^A(\eta_Y^{-1}(V^{|\cdot|})) \\ f^\#(V^{|\cdot|}, U^{|\cdot|}) \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathcal{O}_{\diamond/S,f}^A(\eta_Y^{-1}(V^{|\cdot|}), \eta_Y^{-1}(U^{|\cdot|})) \\ \mathcal{O}_X(U^{|\cdot|}) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\eta_X^{A,\#}(U^{|\cdot|})} & \mathcal{O}_{\diamond/S,X}^A(\eta_X^{-1}(U^{|\cdot|})) \end{array}$$

commutes. For any open subsets  $U_\diamond^{\text{Sp}} \subset U'^{\text{Sp}} \subset \text{Sp}_{\diamond/S}(X)$ , we define

$$(-)|_{U_\diamond^{\text{Sp}}} := \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{O}_{\diamond/S, \text{id}_X}^A(U'^{\text{Sp}}, U_\diamond^{\text{Sp}}) : \mathcal{O}_{\diamond/S,X}^A(U'^{\text{Sp}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\diamond/S,X}^A(U_\diamond^{\text{Sp}}).$$

(iii) Let  $X \in \text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$  be an object. Since the diagram  $(\ddagger)$  commutes, it follows from the definitions of  $\mathcal{O}_{\diamond/S,X}^A(U_\diamond^{\text{Sp}})$  and  $(-)|_{U_\diamond^{\text{Sp}}}$  that the rings  $\mathcal{O}_{\diamond/S,X}^A(U_\diamond^{\text{Sp}})$  and the ring homomorphisms  $(-)|_{U_\diamond^{\text{Sp}}}$  form a presheaf  $\mathcal{O}_{\diamond/S,X}^A : \text{Op}_{\diamond/S}^{\text{cat}}(X)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Ring}$  on  $\text{Sp}_{\diamond/S}(X)$ , and the family of isomorphisms of rings

$$(\eta_X^{A,\#}(U^{|\cdot|}) : \mathcal{O}_X(U^{|\cdot|}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{\diamond/S,X}^A(\eta_X^{-1}(U^{|\cdot|})))_{U^{|\cdot|} \in \text{Open}(|X|)},$$

where  $\text{Open}(|X|)$  is the set of the open sets of  $|X|$ , determines an isomorphism of presheaves  $\eta_X^{A,\#} : \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{\sim} \eta_{X,*} \mathcal{O}_{\diamond/S,X}^A$  on  $|X|$ . Since  $\mathcal{O}_X$  is a sheaf on  $|X|$ , and  $\eta_X : \text{Sp}_{\diamond/S}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} |X|$  is a homeomorphism, the presheaf  $\mathcal{O}_{\diamond/S,X}^A$  on  $\text{Sp}_{\diamond/S}(X)$  is a sheaf. Hence, the pair  $\text{RSp}_{\diamond/S}^A(X) := \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\text{Sp}_{\diamond/S}(X), \mathcal{O}_{\diamond/S,X}^A)$  is a ( $\mathbf{V}$ -small) ringed space, and the pair  $\eta_X^A := \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\eta_X, \eta_X^{A,\#})$  may be regarded as an isomorphism of ringed spaces  $\eta_X^A : \text{RSp}_{\diamond/S}^A(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} X$ . In particular,  $\text{RSp}_{\diamond/S}^A(X)$  is a ( $\mathbf{V}$ -small) scheme.

**Reconstruction 6.20** ( $\text{RSp}_{\diamond/S}^A(f)$ ). Let  $S$  be a locally Noetherian normal scheme. Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism in  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$ , and  $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\diamond/S}^1$  a ring object in  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$  such that  $A \cong \mathbb{A}_S^1$  as ring objects in  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$ . For any open subset  $V_\diamond^{\text{Sp}} \subset \text{Sp}_{\diamond/S}(Y)$ , we define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{\diamond/S,f}^{A,\#}(V_\diamond^{\text{Sp}}) &:= \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{O}_{\diamond/S,f}^A(V_\diamond^{\text{Sp}}, \text{Sp}_{\diamond/S}(f)^{-1}(V_\diamond^{\text{Sp}})) \\ &: \mathcal{O}_{\diamond/S,Y}^A(V_\diamond^{\text{Sp}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\diamond/S,X}^A(\text{Sp}_{\diamond/S}(f)^{-1}(V_\diamond^{\text{Sp}})). \end{aligned}$$

Since the diagram [Reconstruction 6.19](#)  $(\ddagger)$  commutes, the family of morphisms

$$\mathcal{O}_{\diamond/S,f}^{A,\#} := \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left( \mathcal{O}_{\diamond/S,f}^{A,\#}(V_\diamond^{\text{Sp}}) : \mathcal{O}_{\diamond/S,Y}^A(V_\diamond^{\text{Sp}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\diamond/S,X}^A(\text{Sp}_{\diamond/S}(f)^{-1}(V_\diamond^{\text{Sp}})) \right)_{V_\diamond^{\text{Sp}} \in \text{Op}_{\diamond/S}(Y)}$$

determines a morphism of sheaves

$$\mathcal{O}_{\diamond/S,f}^{A,\#} : \mathcal{O}_{\diamond/S,Y}^A \rightarrow \text{Sp}_{\diamond/S}(f)_* \mathcal{O}_{\diamond/S,X}^A$$

on  $\mathrm{Sp}_{\blacklozenge/S}(Y)$ . Hence the pair

$$\mathrm{RSp}_{\blacklozenge/S}^A(f) \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} (\mathrm{Sp}_{\blacklozenge/S}(f), \mathcal{O}_{\blacklozenge/S,f}^{A,\#})$$

determines a morphism of ( $\mathbf{V}$ -small) schemes

$$\mathrm{RSp}_{\blacklozenge/S}^A(f) : \mathrm{RSp}_{\blacklozenge/S}^A(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{RSp}_{\blacklozenge/S}^A(Y).$$

Moreover, since the diagram [Reconstruction 6.19](#) ( $\ddagger$ ) commutes, for any object  $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\blacklozenge/S}^1$ , the diagram of ( $\mathbf{V}$ -small) schemes

$$(\ddagger) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{RSp}_{\blacklozenge/S}^A(X) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\eta_X^A} & X \\ \mathrm{RSp}_{\blacklozenge/S}^A(f) \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ \mathrm{RSp}_{\blacklozenge/S}^A(Y) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\eta_Y^A} & Y \end{array}$$

commutes. This implies that  $\mathrm{RSp}_{\blacklozenge/S}^A : \mathrm{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sch}_{\mathbf{V}}$  is a functor, and the family of isomorphisms of ( $\mathbf{V}$ -small) schemes  $\eta^A \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} (\eta_X^A : \mathrm{RSp}_{\blacklozenge/S}^A(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} X)_{X \in \mathrm{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}}$  determines an isomorphism of functors  $\eta^A : \mathrm{RSp}_{\blacklozenge/S}^A \xrightarrow{\sim} U_{\blacklozenge/S}^{\mathrm{Sch}_{\mathbf{V}}} = i_{\mathbf{U} \in \mathbf{V}}^{\mathrm{Sch}} \circ U_{\blacklozenge/S}^{\mathrm{Sch}}$ .

**Reconstruction 6.21** ( $\eta^{A,B}$ ). Let  $S$  be a locally Noetherian normal scheme.

(i) Let  $X \in \mathrm{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  be an object and  $[A \xrightarrow{\sim} B] \in \mathcal{A}_{\blacklozenge/S}^1$  be an isomorphism of ring objects in  $\mathrm{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ . For any object  $[i : U \rightarrow X] \in \mathrm{Oplm}_{\blacklozenge/S}(X)$ , write

$$\check{\eta}_X^{A,B,\#}(i) : \check{\mathcal{O}}_{\blacklozenge/S,X}^A(i) \xrightarrow{\sim} \check{\mathcal{O}}_{\blacklozenge/S,X}^B(i)$$

(cf. [Reconstruction 6.19](#) (i)) for the isomorphism of rings obtained by composing with the isomorphism of ring objects  $A \xrightarrow{\sim} B$ . Then it follows from the definitions of  $\check{\mathcal{O}}_{\blacklozenge/S,X}^*(i)$  and  $\check{\eta}_X^{A,B,\#}(i)$  that for any diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & \xrightarrow{i} & X \\ g \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ V & \xrightarrow{j} & Y, \end{array}$$

if  $i, j$  are quasi-compact immersions, then the diagrams of rings

$$(\ddagger) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \check{\mathcal{O}}_{\blacklozenge/S,Y}^A(j) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\check{\eta}_Y^{A,B,\#}(j)} & \check{\mathcal{O}}_{\blacklozenge/S,Y}^B(j) \\ (-) \circ g \downarrow & & \downarrow (-) \circ g \\ \check{\mathcal{O}}_{\blacklozenge/S,X}^A(i) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\check{\eta}_X^{A,B,\#}(i)} & \check{\mathcal{O}}_{\blacklozenge/S,X}^B(i). \end{array}$$

commutes.

(ii) Let  $X \in \mathrm{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  be an object,  $U_{\blacklozenge}^{\mathrm{Sp}} \subset \mathrm{Sp}_{\blacklozenge/S}(X)$  an open subset, and  $[A \xrightarrow{\sim} B] \in \mathcal{A}_{\blacklozenge/S}^1$  an isomorphism of ring objects in  $\mathrm{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ . Write

$$\eta_X^{A,B,\#}(U_{\blacklozenge}^{\mathrm{Sp}}) : \mathcal{O}_{\blacklozenge/S,X}^A(U_{\blacklozenge}^{\mathrm{Sp}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{\blacklozenge/S,X}^B(U_{\blacklozenge}^{\mathrm{Sp}})$$

for the isomorphism defined by

$$\eta_X^{A,B,\#}(U_{\blacklozenge}^{\mathrm{Sp}}) \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \lim_{i \in \mathcal{I}_{\blacklozenge/S}(X; U_{\blacklozenge}^{\mathrm{Sp}})} \check{\eta}_X^{A,B,\#}(i).$$

Since the diagram (†) commutes, for any morphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  in  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$ , any open subset  $V_{\diamond}^{\text{Sp}} \subset \text{Sp}_{\diamond/S}(Y)$ , and any open subset  $U_{\diamond}^{\text{Sp}} \subset \text{Sp}_{\diamond/S}(X)$  such that  $U_{\diamond}^{\text{Sp}} \subset \text{Sp}_{\diamond/S}(f)^{-1}(V_{\diamond}^{\text{Sp}})$ , the diagram of rings

$$(‡) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_{\diamond/S,Y}^A(V_{\diamond}^{\text{Sp}}) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\eta_Y^{A,B,\#}(V_{\diamond}^{\text{Sp}})} & \mathcal{O}_{\diamond/S,Y}^B(V_{\diamond}^{\text{Sp}}) \\ \mathcal{O}_{\diamond/S,f}^A(V_{\diamond}^{\text{Sp}}, U_{\diamond}^{\text{Sp}}) \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathcal{O}_{\diamond/S,f}^B(V_{\diamond}^{\text{Sp}}, U_{\diamond}^{\text{Sp}}) \\ \mathcal{O}_{\diamond/S,X}^A(U_{\diamond}^{\text{Sp}}) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\eta_X^{A,B,\#}(U_{\diamond}^{\text{Sp}})} & \mathcal{O}_{\diamond/S,X}^B(U_{\diamond}^{\text{Sp}}) \end{array}$$

commutes.

(iii) Let  $X \in \text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$  be an object. Then the commutativity of the diagram (‡) implies that the family of isomorphisms

$$\eta_X^{A,B,\#} : \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left( \eta_X^{A,B,\#}(U_{\diamond}^{\text{Sp}}) : \mathcal{O}_{\diamond/S,X}^A(U_{\diamond}^{\text{Sp}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{\diamond/S,X}^B(U_{\diamond}^{\text{Sp}}) \right)_{U_{\diamond}^{\text{Sp}} \in \text{Op}_{\diamond/S}(X)}$$

determines an isomorphism  $\eta_X^{A,B,\#} : \mathcal{O}_{\diamond/S,X}^A \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{\diamond/S,X}^B$  of sheaves of rings on  $\text{Sp}_{\diamond/S}(X)$ . In particular, the pair  $\eta_X^{A,B,\#} : \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\text{id}_{\text{Sp}_{\diamond/S}(X)}, \eta_X^{A,B,\#})$  determines an isomorphism of schemes  $\eta_X^{A,B} : \text{RSp}_{\diamond/S}^A(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{RSp}_{\diamond/S}^B(X)$ . Moreover, the commutativity of the diagram (‡) implies that for any morphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  in  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$ , the diagram of ( $\mathbf{V}$ -small) schemes

$$(††) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{RSp}_{\diamond/S}^A(X) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\eta_X^{A,B}} & \text{RSp}_{\diamond/S}^B(X) \\ \text{RSp}_{\diamond/S}^A(f) \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{RSp}_{\diamond/S}^B(f) \\ \text{RSp}_{\diamond/S}^A(Y) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\eta_Y^{A,B}} & \text{RSp}_{\diamond/S}^B(Y) \end{array}$$

commutes. Thus, the family of isomorphisms

$$\eta^{A,B} : \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left( \eta_X^{A,B} : \text{RSp}_{\diamond/S}^A(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{RSp}_{\diamond/S}^B(X) \right)_{X \in \text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}}$$

determines an isomorphism of functors  $\eta^{A,B} : \text{RSp}_{\diamond/S}^A \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{RSp}_{\diamond/S}^B$ . Moreover, it follows immediately from the definition of  $\eta_X^{A,B,\#}$  that for any (iso)morphisms  $A \xrightarrow{\sim} B, B \xrightarrow{\sim} C$  in  $\mathcal{A}_{\diamond/S}^1$ ,  $\eta^A = \eta^B \circ \eta^{A,B}$ , and  $\eta^{A,C} = \eta^{B,C} \circ \eta^{A,B}$ .

**Reconstruction 6.22** ( $\text{RSp}_{\diamond/S}$ ). Let  $S$  be a locally Noetherian normal scheme. By [Reconstruction 6.20](#) and [Reconstruction 6.21](#), we obtain a functor

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{\diamond/S}^1 &\rightarrow \text{Hom}(\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}, \text{Sch}_{\mathbf{V}}), \\ A &\mapsto \text{RSp}_{\diamond/S}^A, \\ [A \xrightarrow{\sim} B] &\mapsto \eta^{A,B}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\text{Hom}(\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}, \text{Sch}_{\mathbf{V}})$  denotes the ( $\mathbf{V}$ -small) category of functors  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S} \rightarrow \text{Sch}_{\mathbf{V}}$  and morphisms of functors. Define

$$\text{RSp}_{\diamond/S} : \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{colim}_{A \in \mathcal{A}_{\diamond/S}^1} \text{RSp}_{\diamond/S}^A : \text{Sch}_{\diamond/S} \rightarrow \text{Sch}_{\mathbf{V}}.$$

Since  $\mathcal{A}_{\diamond/S}^1$  is a connected ( $\mathbf{V}$ -small) groupoid, the colimit  $\text{RSp}_{\diamond/S}$  exists, and the natural morphism  $\text{RSp}_{\diamond/S}^A \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{RSp}_{\diamond/S}$  is an isomorphism. By [Reconstruction 6.21](#),

the family of isomorphisms of functors  $\eta^A : \mathbf{RSp}_{\blacklozenge/S}^A \xrightarrow{\sim} U_{\blacklozenge/S}^{\text{Sch}_{\mathbf{V}}}$  determines an isomorphism of functors  $\eta : \mathbf{RSp}_{\blacklozenge/S} \xrightarrow{\sim} U_{\blacklozenge/S}^{\text{Sch}_{\mathbf{V}}} = i_{\mathbf{U} \in \mathbf{V}}^{\text{Sch}} \circ U_{\blacklozenge/S}^{\text{Sch}}$ .

**Lemma 6.23.** *Let  $S, T$  be locally Noetherian normal schemes and  $F : \mathbf{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{Sch}_{\diamond/T}$  an equivalence. Then the following assertions hold:*

- (i) *Let  $A_{\blacklozenge} \in \mathcal{A}_{\blacklozenge/S}^1$ . Write  $A_{\diamond} :=^{\text{def}} F(A_{\blacklozenge})$  for the ring object of  $\mathbf{Sch}_{\diamond/T}$  determined by  $A_{\blacklozenge}$ . Then  $A_{\diamond} \in \mathcal{A}_{\diamond/T}^1$ .*
- (ii) *Let  $A_{\blacklozenge} \in \mathcal{A}_{\blacklozenge/S}^1$ . Write  $A_{\diamond} :=^{\text{def}} F(A_{\blacklozenge})$  for the ring object of  $\mathbf{Sch}_{\diamond/T}$  determined by  $A_{\blacklozenge}$ . Then  $S, T, F, A_{\blacklozenge}$  determine an isomorphism  $\rho^A$  between the two composite functors of the following diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S} & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathbf{Sch}_{\diamond/T} \\ \mathbf{RSp}_{\blacklozenge/S}^A \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathbf{RSp}_{\diamond/T}^{A_{\diamond}} \\ \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbf{V}} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbf{V}}. \end{array}$$

- (iii)  *$S, T, F$  determine an isomorphism  $\rho^{\mathbf{RSp}}$  between the two composite functors of the following diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S} & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathbf{Sch}_{\diamond/T} \\ \mathbf{RSp}_{\blacklozenge/S} \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathbf{RSp}_{\diamond/T} \\ \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbf{V}} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbf{V}}. \end{array}$$

*Proof.* Assertion (i) follows immediately from [Corollary 6.16](#).

Next, we prove assertion (ii). Let  $F^{-1}$  be a quasi-inverse of  $F$ . Since  $F^{-1}$  is a left adjoint of  $F$ , and  $A_{\diamond} = F(A_{\blacklozenge})$ , there exists an isomorphism of functors

$$(\star) \quad \check{A}_* : \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Sch}_{\diamond/T}}(*, A_{\diamond}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}}(F^{-1}(*), A_{\blacklozenge}) : \mathbf{Sch}_{\diamond/T} \rightarrow \text{Ring}.$$

For any object  $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  and any object  $[i_{\diamond} : U_{\diamond} \rightarrow F(X)] \in \text{Oplm}_{\diamond/T}(F(X))$ , we write  $U_{\blacklozenge} :=^{\text{def}} F^{-1}(U_{\diamond})$ ,  $i_{\blacklozenge} : U_{\blacklozenge} \rightarrow X$  for the morphism corresponding to  $i_{\diamond} : U_{\diamond} \rightarrow F(X)$  (where we note that by [Corollary 4.4](#) and [Corollary 4.8 \(ii\)](#), for any quasi-compact open immersion  $U_{\diamond} \rightarrow F(X)$  in  $\mathbf{Sch}_{\diamond/T}$ , the corresponding morphism  $F^{-1}(U_{\diamond}) \rightarrow X$  in  $\mathbf{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  is also a quasi-compact open immersion), and

$$\check{A}(i_{\diamond}) :=^{\text{def}} \check{A}_{U_{\diamond}} : \check{\mathcal{O}}_{\diamond/T, F(X)}^{A_{\diamond}}(i_{\diamond}) \rightarrow \check{\mathcal{O}}_{\blacklozenge/S, X}^{A_{\blacklozenge}}(i_{\blacklozenge})$$

(cf. [Reconstruction 6.19 \(i\)](#)). Then, for any morphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  in  $\mathbf{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  and any diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_{\diamond} & \xrightarrow{i_{\diamond}} & F(X) \\ g \downarrow & & \downarrow F(f) \\ V_{\diamond} & \xrightarrow{j_{\diamond}} & F(Y) \end{array}$$

such that  $i_\diamond, j_\diamond$  are quasi-compact open immersions, the diagram of rings

$$(†) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \check{\mathcal{O}}_{\diamond/T, F(Y)}^{A_\diamond}(j_\diamond) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\check{A}(j_\diamond)} & \check{\mathcal{O}}_{\diamond/S, Y}^{A_\diamond}(j_\diamond) \\ (-) \circ g \downarrow & & \downarrow (-) \circ F^{-1}(g) \\ \check{\mathcal{O}}_{\diamond/T, F(X)}^{A_\diamond}(i_\diamond) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\check{A}(i_\diamond)} & \check{\mathcal{O}}_{\diamond/S, X}^{A_\diamond}(i_\diamond) \end{array}$$

commutes.

Let  $X \in \text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$  be an object. Since  $F^{-1}$  is a left adjoint of  $F$ ,  $F^{-1}$  induces an equivalence of categories

$$\text{Oplm}_{\diamond/T}(F(X)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Oplm}_{\diamond/S}(X).$$

By the definition of the homeomorphism  $\rho_X$  (cf. the proof of [Lemma 4.6](#)) associated to the  $S, T, F$  under consideration, for any open subset  $U_\diamond^{\text{Sp}} \subset \text{Sp}_{\diamond/T}(F(X))$ ,  $F^{-1}$  induces an equivalence of categories

$$F_{X; U_\diamond^{\text{Sp}}}^{\diamond \rightarrow \blacklozenge} : \mathcal{I}_{\diamond/T}(F(X); U_\diamond^{\text{Sp}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{I}_{\diamond/S}(X; \rho_X^{-1}(U_\diamond^{\text{Sp}})).$$

For any open subset  $U_\diamond^{\text{Sp}} \subset \text{Sp}_{\diamond/T}(F(X))$ , if we write  $U_\blacklozenge^{\text{Sp}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \rho_X^{-1}(U_\diamond^{\text{Sp}})$ ,  $I_\diamond \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{I}_{\diamond/T}(F(X); U_\diamond^{\text{Sp}})$ , and  $I_\blacklozenge \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{I}_{\diamond/S}(X; U_\blacklozenge^{\text{Sp}})$ , then we define an isomorphism of rings

$$\rho_X^{A, \#}(U_\diamond^{\text{Sp}}) : \mathcal{O}_{\diamond/T, F(X)}^{A_\diamond}(U_\diamond^{\text{Sp}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{\diamond/S, X}^{A_\diamond}(U_\blacklozenge^{\text{Sp}})$$

(cf. [Reconstruction 6.19](#) (ii)) as the composite of

$$\lim_{i_\diamond \in I_\diamond} \check{A}(i_\diamond) : \mathcal{O}_{\diamond/T, F(X)}^{A_\diamond}(U_\diamond^{\text{Sp}}) = \lim_{i_\diamond \in I_\diamond} \check{\mathcal{O}}_{\diamond/T, F(X)}^{A_\diamond}(i_\diamond) \xrightarrow{\sim} \lim_{i_\diamond \in I_\diamond} \check{\mathcal{O}}_{\diamond/S, X}^{A_\diamond}(F_{X; U_\diamond^{\text{Sp}}}^{\diamond \rightarrow \blacklozenge}(i_\diamond))$$

and the inverse of the natural isomorphism

$$\mathcal{O}_{\diamond/S, X}^{A_\diamond}(U_\blacklozenge^{\text{Sp}}) = \lim_{i_\blacklozenge \in I_\blacklozenge} \check{\mathcal{O}}_{\diamond/S, X}^{A_\diamond}(i_\blacklozenge) \xrightarrow{\sim} \lim_{i_\diamond \in I_\diamond} \check{\mathcal{O}}_{\diamond/S, X}^{A_\diamond}(F_{X; U_\diamond^{\text{Sp}}}^{\diamond \rightarrow \blacklozenge}(i_\diamond))$$

induced by  $F_{X; U_\diamond^{\text{Sp}}}^{\diamond \rightarrow \blacklozenge}$ . Since the diagram (†) commutes, for any morphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$

in  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$ , any open subset  $V_\diamond^{\text{Sp}} \subset \text{Sp}_{\diamond/T}(F(Y))$ , and any open subset  $U_\diamond^{\text{Sp}} \subset \text{Sp}_{\diamond/T}(F(f))^{-1}(V_\diamond^{\text{Sp}})$ , if we write  $U_\blacklozenge^{\text{Sp}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \rho_X^{-1}(U_\diamond^{\text{Sp}})$  and  $V_\blacklozenge^{\text{Sp}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \rho_Y^{-1}(V_\diamond^{\text{Sp}})$ , then the diagram of rings

$$(‡) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_{\diamond/T, F(Y)}^{A_\diamond}(V_\diamond^{\text{Sp}}) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\rho_Y^{A, \#}(V_\diamond^{\text{Sp}})} & \mathcal{O}_{\diamond/S, Y}^{A_\diamond}(V_\blacklozenge^{\text{Sp}}) \\ \mathcal{O}_{\diamond/T, F(f)}^{A_\diamond}(V_\diamond^{\text{Sp}}, U_\diamond^{\text{Sp}}) \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathcal{O}_{\diamond/S, f}^{A_\diamond}(V_\blacklozenge^{\text{Sp}}, U_\blacklozenge^{\text{Sp}}) \\ \mathcal{O}_{\diamond/T, F(X)}^{A_\diamond}(U_\diamond^{\text{Sp}}) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\rho_X^{A, \#}(U_\diamond^{\text{Sp}})} & \mathcal{O}_{\diamond/S, X}^{A_\diamond}(U_\blacklozenge^{\text{Sp}}) \end{array}$$

(cf. [Reconstruction 6.19](#) (ii)) commutes. Hence the family of isomorphisms of rings

$$\rho_X^{A, \#} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\rho_X^{A, \#}(U_\diamond^{\text{Sp}}))_{U_\diamond^{\text{Sp}} \in \text{Op}_{\diamond/T}(F(X))}$$

(cf. [Reconstruction 4.5](#)) determines an isomorphism of sheaves of rings  $\rho_X^{A, \#} : \mathcal{O}_{\diamond/T, F(X)}^{A_\diamond} \xrightarrow{\sim} \rho_{X, *} \mathcal{O}_{\diamond/S, X}^{A_\diamond}$  (cf. [Reconstruction 6.19](#) (iii)). In particular, the pair  $\rho_X^A \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\rho_X, \rho_X^{A, \#})$  determines an isomorphism of schemes  $\rho_X^A : \text{RSp}_{\diamond/S}^{A_\diamond}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{RSp}_{\diamond/T}^{A_\diamond}(F(X))$  (cf. [Reconstruction 6.19](#) (iii)).



Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism in  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ . Since the diagram (‡) commutes, the following diagram of sheaves of rings on  $\text{RSp}_{\blacklozenge/T}^{A_{\blacklozenge}}(F(Y))$  commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{O}_{\blacklozenge/T, F(Y)}^{A_{\blacklozenge}} & \xrightarrow{\rho_Y^{A_{\blacklozenge}, \#}} & \rho_{Y,*} \mathcal{O}_{\blacklozenge/S, Y}^{A_{\blacklozenge}} \\
 \downarrow \mathcal{O}_{\blacklozenge/T, F(f)}^{A_{\blacklozenge}, \#} & & \downarrow \rho_{Y,*} \mathcal{O}_{\blacklozenge/S, f}^{A_{\blacklozenge}, \#} \\
 \text{Sp}_{\blacklozenge/T}(F(f))_* \mathcal{O}_{\blacklozenge/T, F(X)}^{A_{\blacklozenge}} & \xrightarrow{\text{Sp}_{\blacklozenge/T}(F(f))_* \rho_X^{A_{\blacklozenge}, \#}} & \text{Sp}_{\blacklozenge/T}(F(f))_* \rho_{X,*} \mathcal{O}_{\blacklozenge/S, X}^{A_{\blacklozenge}} \\
 & & \parallel \\
 & & \rho_{Y,*} \text{Sp}_{\blacklozenge/S}(f)_* \mathcal{O}_{\blacklozenge/S, X}^{A_{\blacklozenge}}
 \end{array}$$

(cf. Lemma 4.6, Reconstruction 6.20). Hence the diagram of schemes

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{RSp}_{\blacklozenge/S}^{A_{\blacklozenge}}(X) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\rho_X^A} & \text{RSp}_{\blacklozenge/T}^{A_{\blacklozenge}}(F(X)) \\
 \text{RSp}_{\blacklozenge/S}^{A_{\blacklozenge}}(f) \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{RSp}_{\blacklozenge/T}^{A_{\blacklozenge}}(F(f)) \\
 \text{RSp}_{\blacklozenge/S}^{A_{\blacklozenge}}(Y) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\rho_Y^A} & \text{RSp}_{\blacklozenge/T}^{A_{\blacklozenge}}(F(Y))
 \end{array}$$

commutes. Thus the family of isomorphisms

$$\rho^A := \left( \rho_X^A : \text{RSp}_{\blacklozenge/S}^{A_{\blacklozenge}}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{RSp}_{\blacklozenge/T}^{A_{\blacklozenge}}(F(X)) \right)_{X \in \text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}}$$

determines an isomorphism of functors  $\rho^A : \text{RSp}_{\blacklozenge/S}^{A_{\blacklozenge}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{RSp}_{\blacklozenge/T}^{A_{\blacklozenge}} \circ F$ . This completes the proof of assertion (ii).

Finally, we prove assertion (iii). Let  $p : A_{\blacklozenge} \rightarrow B_{\blacklozenge}$  be an isomorphism in  $\mathcal{A}_{\blacklozenge/S}^1$ . Write  $A_{\blacklozenge} := \text{def } F(A_{\blacklozenge})$  and  $B_{\blacklozenge} := \text{def } F(B_{\blacklozenge})$ . Then, by (i),  $A_{\blacklozenge}, B_{\blacklozenge} \in \mathcal{A}_{\blacklozenge/T}^1$ . Moreover, for any quasi-inverse  $F^{-1}$  of  $F$  and any object  $* \in \text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/T}$ , the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Hom}_{\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/T}}(*, A_{\blacklozenge}) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\tilde{A}_*} & \text{Hom}_{\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}}(F^{-1}(*), A_{\blacklozenge}) \\
 F(p) \circ (-) \downarrow & & \downarrow p \circ (-) \\
 \text{Hom}_{\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/T}}(*, B_{\blacklozenge}) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\tilde{B}_*} & \text{Hom}_{\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}}(F^{-1}(*), B_{\blacklozenge}),
 \end{array}$$

(cf. (★)). This commutativity implies that the functors “ $\eta^{A,B}$ ” of Reconstruction 6.21 are compatible with passage from “ $\blacklozenge$ ” to “ $\blacklozenge$ ”. Thus, by applying Reconstruction 6.22, we obtain an isomorphism

$$\rho^{\text{Rsp}} := \text{def } \text{colim}_{A_{\blacklozenge} \in \mathcal{A}_{\blacklozenge/S}^1} \rho^A : \text{RSp}_{\blacklozenge/S} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{RSp}_{\blacklozenge/T} \circ F.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 6.23.  $\square$

**Corollary 6.24.** *Let  $S, T$  be locally Noetherian normal schemes and  $F : \text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/T}$  an equivalence. Then the following diagram commutes (up to natural isomorphism):*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S} & \xrightarrow{F} & \text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/T} \\
 U_{\blacklozenge/S}^{\text{Sch}} \downarrow & & \downarrow U_{\blacklozenge/T}^{\text{Sch}} \\
 \text{Sch} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \text{Sch}.
 \end{array}$$

*Proof.* By [Reconstruction 6.22](#) and [Lemma 6.23 \(iii\)](#), we obtain the following natural isomorphisms:

$$i_{\mathbf{U} \in \mathbf{V}}^{\text{Sch}} \circ U_{\blacklozenge/S}^{\text{Sch}} \xleftarrow{\sim} \text{RSp}_{\blacklozenge/S} \xrightarrow[\sim]{\rho^{\text{Rsp}}} \text{RSp}_{\blacklozenge/T} \circ F \xrightarrow{\sim} i_{\mathbf{U} \in \mathbf{V}}^{\text{Sch}} \circ U_{\blacklozenge/T}^{\text{Sch}} \circ F,$$

where the first and the third isomorphisms are the “ $\blacklozenge$ ” and “ $\blacklozenge$ ” versions of the isomorphism “ $\eta$ ” of [Reconstruction 6.22](#). Since  $i_{\mathbf{U} \in \mathbf{V}}^{\text{Sch}}$  is fully faithful, the composite isomorphism of the above display determines a natural isomorphism  $U_{\blacklozenge/S}^{\text{Sch}} \xrightarrow{\sim} U_{\blacklozenge/T}^{\text{Sch}} \circ F$ .  $\square$

In the remainder of this section, we discuss some properties of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  related to our reconstructions.

The following proposition concerns the rigidity of various forgetful functors.

**Proposition 6.25.** *Let  $S$  be a quasi-separated scheme. Then the following assertions hold:*

- (i)  $\text{Aut}(U_{\blacklozenge/S}^{\text{Set}}) = \left\{ \text{id}_{U_{\blacklozenge/S}^{\text{Set}}} \right\}$  (cf. [Reconstruction 1.3](#) (‡)).
- (ii)  $\text{Aut}(U_{\blacklozenge/S}^{\text{Top}}) = \left\{ \text{id}_{U_{\blacklozenge/S}^{\text{Top}}} \right\}$  (cf. [Reconstruction 4.5](#) (‡)).
- (iii)  $\text{Aut}(U_{\blacklozenge/S}^{\text{Sch}}) = \left\{ \text{id}_{U_{\blacklozenge/S}^{\text{Sch}}} \right\}$  (cf. [Definition 6.18](#) (i)).

*Proof.* First, we prove assertion (i). Let  $\alpha : U_{\blacklozenge/S}^{\text{Set}} \xrightarrow{\sim} U_{\blacklozenge/S}^{\text{Set}}$  be an isomorphism. Then, for any object  $X \in \text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  and any point  $x \in X$ , if we write  $f : \text{Spec}(k(x)) \rightarrow X$  for the morphism of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  determined by the point  $x \in X$ , then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{x\} = U_{\blacklozenge/S}^{\text{Set}}(\text{Spec}(k(x))) & \xrightarrow{U_{\blacklozenge/S}^{\text{Set}}(f)} & U_{\blacklozenge/S}^{\text{Set}}(X) = |X| \\ \alpha_{\text{Spec}(k(x))} \downarrow & & \alpha_X \downarrow \\ \{x\} = U_{\blacklozenge/S}^{\text{Set}}(\text{Spec}(k(x))) & \xrightarrow{U_{\blacklozenge/S}^{\text{Set}}(f)} & U_{\blacklozenge/S}^{\text{Set}}(X) = |X| \end{array}$$

commutes. Since  $U_{\blacklozenge/S}^{\text{Set}}(f)(x) = x$ , it holds that  $\alpha_X(x) = x$ . Hence for any object  $X \in \text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ , it holds that  $\alpha_X = \text{id}_{U_{\blacklozenge/S}^{\text{Set}}(X)}$ . This completes the proof of assertion (i).

Assertion (ii) follows immediately from assertion (i).

Finally, we prove (iii). Let  $\alpha : U_{\blacklozenge/S}^{\text{Sch}} \xrightarrow{\sim} U_{\blacklozenge/S}^{\text{Sch}}$  be an isomorphism. Then  $\alpha$  is a family of isomorphisms of schemes  $(\alpha_X : X \xrightarrow{\sim} X)_{X \in \text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}}$  such that for any morphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ , the following diagram of schemes commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ \alpha_X \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha_Y \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y. \end{array}$$

By assertion (ii), each morphism  $\alpha_X$  induces the identity morphism on the underlying topological space  $U_{\blacklozenge/S}^{\text{Top}}(X)$  of  $X$ . Hence to prove (iii), it suffices to prove that for any  $X \in \text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ , the isomorphism of sheaves of rings  $\alpha_X^\# : \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_X$  is the identity morphism. Let  $U$  be an affine open subset of  $X$  and  $s \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$  a section.

Write  $i : U \rightarrow X$  for the open immersion. To prove that  $\alpha_X^\# = \text{id}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$ , it suffices to prove that  $\alpha_X^\#(U)(s) = s$ . By [Corollary 2.6 \(i\)](#),  $U$  belongs to  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ . Since  $i \circ \alpha_U = \alpha_X \circ i$ , it holds that  $\alpha_U = \alpha_X|_U$ . Write  $p : \mathbb{A}_X^1 \rightarrow X$  for the natural projection and  $\tilde{s} : U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_X^1$  for the morphism over  $X$  in  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  corresponding to  $s$  such that  $p \circ \tilde{s} = i$ . If  $\tilde{t} : U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_X^1$  is the morphism corresponding to the section  $t = \alpha_X^\#(U)(s) \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$ , then the following diagram of schemes commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & i & & \\
 & & \curvearrowright & & \\
 U & \xrightarrow{\tilde{t}} & \mathbb{A}_X^1 & \xrightarrow{p} & X \\
 \alpha_U = \alpha_X|_U \downarrow \cong & & \alpha_X \times \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1 \downarrow \cong & & \alpha_X \downarrow \cong \\
 U & \xrightarrow{\tilde{s}} & \mathbb{A}_X^1 & \xrightarrow{p} & X \\
 & & \curvearrowleft & & \\
 & & i & & 
 \end{array}$$

Since  $\tilde{s} \circ \alpha_U = \alpha_{\mathbb{A}_X^1} \circ \tilde{s}$ , to prove that  $t = s$ , it suffices to prove that  $\alpha_{\mathbb{A}_X^1} = \text{id}_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1} \times \alpha_X$ . Moreover, since  $\mathbb{A}_X^1 \subset \mathbb{P}_X^1$  is an open subscheme, it suffices to prove that  $\alpha_{\mathbb{P}_X^1} = \text{id}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1} \times \alpha_X$ . Write  $0_X, 1_X, \infty_X : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_X^1$  for the morphisms obtained by base-changing the sections  $0, 1, \infty : \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1$ . Then for any  $\iota \in \{0_X, 1_X, \infty_X\}$ , the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \text{id}_X & & \\
 & & \curvearrowright & & \\
 X & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathbb{P}_X^1 & \xrightarrow{p} & X \\
 \alpha_X \downarrow & & \alpha_{\mathbb{P}_X^1} \downarrow & & \alpha_X \downarrow \\
 X & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathbb{P}_X^1 & \xrightarrow{p} & X \\
 & & \curvearrowleft & & \\
 & & \text{id}_X & & 
 \end{array}$$

This commutativity implies that  $\alpha_{\mathbb{P}_X^1}^{-1} \circ (\text{id}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1} \times \alpha_X) : \mathbb{P}_X^1 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{P}_X^1$  is an automorphism of  $\mathbb{P}_X^1$  over  $X$  which preserves  $0_X, 1_X, \infty_X$ , hence that  $\alpha_{\mathbb{P}_X^1}^{-1} \circ (\text{id}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1} \times \alpha_X) = \text{id}_{\mathbb{P}_X^1}$ . Thus  $\alpha_{\mathbb{P}_X^1} = \text{id}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1} \times \alpha_X$ . This completes the proof of [Proposition 6.25](#).  $\square$

The following proposition concerns the rigidity of the composite of  $U_{\blacklozenge/S}^{\text{Sch}}$  (cf. [Definition 6.18 \(i\)](#)) with the functor  $f^*$  determined by base-change by  $f$ . For a morphism  $f : S \rightarrow T$  of  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/\mathbb{Z}}$ , we shall write

$$\text{Aut}_T(f) := \text{def} \left\{ \psi : S \xrightarrow{\sim} S \mid f = f \circ \psi \right\}$$

for the group of automorphisms of  $S$  over  $T$ .

**Proposition 6.26.** *Let  $T$  be a quasi-separated scheme and  $f : S \rightarrow T$  a morphism in  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/T}$ . Assume that  $\text{id}_T : T \rightarrow T$  belongs to  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/T}$ . Write  $f^* := \text{def} (-) \times_T S$ :*

$\mathrm{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/T} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  for the functor determined by the operation of base-change, via  $f$ , from  $T$  to  $S$  (cf. [Stacks, Tag 03GI], Lemma 1.1 (i) (ii) (iii) (iv)).

(i) For any automorphism  $\psi \in \mathrm{Aut}_T(f)$ , the family of automorphisms

$$\alpha^\psi := \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} (\mathrm{id}_Y \times_T^\blacklozenge \psi : Y \times_T^\blacklozenge S \xrightarrow{\sim} Y \times_T^\blacklozenge S)_{Y \in \mathrm{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/T}}$$

determines an automorphism  $\alpha^\psi : U_{\blacklozenge/S}^{\mathrm{Sch}} \circ f^* \xrightarrow{\sim} U_{\blacklozenge/S}^{\mathrm{Sch}} \circ f^*$ . Moreover,  $(\alpha^\psi)_T = \psi$ .

(ii) For any automorphism  $\alpha : U_{\blacklozenge/S}^{\mathrm{Sch}} \circ f^* \xrightarrow{\sim} U_{\blacklozenge/S}^{\mathrm{Sch}} \circ f^*$ ,  $\alpha_{\mathbb{A}_T^1} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{A}_T^1} \times \alpha_T$ .

(iii) For any automorphism  $\alpha : U_{\blacklozenge/S}^{\mathrm{Sch}} \circ f^* \xrightarrow{\sim} U_{\blacklozenge/S}^{\mathrm{Sch}} \circ f^*$ ,  $\alpha_T : S \xrightarrow{\sim} S$  belongs to  $\mathrm{Aut}_T(f)$ .

(iv) For any automorphism  $\alpha : U_{\blacklozenge/S}^{\mathrm{Sch}} \circ f^* \xrightarrow{\sim} U_{\blacklozenge/S}^{\mathrm{Sch}} \circ f^*$  and any object  $Y \in \mathrm{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/T}$ ,  $\alpha_Y = \mathrm{id}_Y \times_T^\blacklozenge \alpha_T$ .

(v) The morphism of groups

$$\begin{aligned} (-)_T : \mathrm{Aut}(U_{\blacklozenge/S}^{\mathrm{Sch}} \circ f^*) &\rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(S) \\ \alpha &\mapsto \alpha_T \end{aligned}$$

is injective, and its image is equal to  $\mathrm{Aut}_T(f) \subset \mathrm{Aut}(S)$ . In particular,  $\mathrm{Aut}(U_{\blacklozenge/S}^{\mathrm{Sch}} \circ f^*) \cong \mathrm{Aut}_T(f)$ .

*Proof.* Assertion (i) follows immediately from the definitions.

Next, we prove assertion (ii). Let  $\alpha : U_{\blacklozenge/S}^{\mathrm{Sch}} \circ f^* \xrightarrow{\sim} U_{\blacklozenge/S}^{\mathrm{Sch}} \circ f^*$  be an automorphism.

Thus  $\alpha$  is a family of automorphisms  $(\alpha_Y : Y \times_T^\blacklozenge S \xrightarrow{\sim} Y \times_T^\blacklozenge S)_{Y \in \mathrm{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/T}}$  such that for any morphism  $g : Y \rightarrow Y'$  in  $\mathrm{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/T}$  the following diagram in  $\mathrm{Sch}$  commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y \times_T^\blacklozenge S & \xrightarrow{g \times_T^\blacklozenge \mathrm{id}_S} & Y' \times_T^\blacklozenge S \\ \alpha_Y \downarrow \cong & & \alpha_{Y'} \downarrow \cong \\ Y \times_T^\blacklozenge S & \xrightarrow{g \times_T^\blacklozenge \mathrm{id}_S} & Y' \times_T^\blacklozenge S. \end{array} \quad (\dagger)$$

Let  $Y \in \mathrm{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/T}$  be an object. Write  $X := \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} Y \times_T^\blacklozenge S$ ;  $0_X, 1_X, \infty_X : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_X^1$  for the morphisms obtained by base-changing the sections  $0, 1, \infty : \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1$ ;  $0_Y, 1_Y, \infty_Y : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_Y^1$  for the morphisms obtained by base-changing the sections  $0, 1, \infty : \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1$ ;  $p_X : \mathbb{P}_X^1 \rightarrow X$  and  $p_Y : \mathbb{P}_Y^1 \rightarrow Y$  for the natural projections. Then, since  $\mathbb{P}_X^1$  is naturally isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}_Y^1 \times_T^\blacklozenge S$ , for each  $i \in \{0, 1, \infty\}$ , it holds that  $i_X = i_Y \times_T^\blacklozenge \mathrm{id}_S$  and  $p_X = p_Y \times_T^\blacklozenge \mathrm{id}_S$ , and the following diagram in  $\mathrm{Sch}$  commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \mathrm{id}_X & & \\ & \nearrow & & \searrow & \\ X & \xrightarrow{i_X = i_Y \times_T^\blacklozenge \mathrm{id}_S} & \mathbb{P}_X^1 & \xrightarrow{p_X = p_Y \times_T^\blacklozenge \mathrm{id}_S} & X \\ \alpha_Y \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha_{\mathbb{P}_Y^1} & & \downarrow \alpha_Y \\ X & \xrightarrow{i_X = i_Y \times_T^\blacklozenge \mathrm{id}_S} & \mathbb{P}_X^1 & \xrightarrow{p_X = p_Y \times_T^\blacklozenge \mathrm{id}_S} & X \\ & \searrow & & \nearrow & \\ & & \mathrm{id}_X & & \end{array}$$

Hence  $\alpha_{\mathbb{P}_Y^1}^{-1} \circ (\text{id}_{\mathbb{P}_Z^1} \times \alpha_Y) : \mathbb{P}_X^1 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{P}_X^1$  is an automorphism of  $\mathbb{P}_X^1$  over  $X$  which preserves  $0_X, 1_X, \infty_X$ . This implies that  $\alpha_{\mathbb{P}_Y^1}^{-1} \circ (\text{id}_{\mathbb{P}_Z^1} \times \alpha_Y) = \text{id}_{\mathbb{P}_X^1}$ . Thus  $\alpha_{\mathbb{P}_Y^1} = \text{id}_{\mathbb{P}_Z^1} \times \alpha_Y$ . In particular,  $\alpha_{\mathbb{P}_T^1} = \text{id}_{\mathbb{P}_Z^1} \times \alpha_T$ . Since  $\mathbb{A}_T^1 \subset \mathbb{P}_T^1$  is an open subscheme, the commutativity of  $(\dagger)$  implies that  $\alpha_{\mathbb{A}_T^1} = \text{id}_{\mathbb{A}_Z^1} \times \alpha_T$ . This completes the proof of assertion (ii).

Next, we prove assertion (iii). Let  $\alpha : U_{\blacklozenge/S}^{\text{Sch}} \circ f^* \xrightarrow{\sim} U_{\blacklozenge/S}^{\text{Sch}} \circ f^*$  be an automorphism and  $q \in T$  a point. Write  $Y := \text{Spec}(k(q))$  and  $i : Y \rightarrow T$  for the natural morphism. Then, by the commutativity of  $(\dagger)$ ,  $\alpha_T \circ (i \times_{\blacklozenge_T} \text{id}_S) = (i \times_{\blacklozenge_T} \text{id}_S) \circ \alpha_Y$ . Hence, since  $i \times_{\blacklozenge_T} \text{id}_S : Y \times_{\blacklozenge_T} S \rightarrow S$  and  $\text{id}_Y \times_{\blacklozenge_T} f : Y \times_{\blacklozenge_T} S \rightarrow Y$  are the natural projections, for any  $p \in f^{-1}(q)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |f|(|\alpha_T|(|i \times_{\blacklozenge_T} \text{id}_S|(p))) &= |f|(|i \times_{\blacklozenge_T} \text{id}_S|(|\alpha_Y|(p))) \\ &= |i|(|\text{id}_Y \times_{\blacklozenge_T} f|(|\alpha_Y|(p))) \\ &\in \text{Im}(|i|) = \{q\}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $|f \circ \alpha_T| = |f|$ . Hence, to prove assertion (iii), it suffices to prove that  $f^\# = f^\# \circ f_*(\alpha_T^\#) : \mathcal{O}_T \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_S$ .

Let  $V \subset T$  be an affine open subscheme and  $t \in \mathcal{O}_T(V)$  a section. Then, by Corollary 2.6 (i),  $V \in \text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/T}$ . Write  $f_V^\# : \mathcal{O}_T(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(f^{-1}(V))$  for the morphism of rings of sections over  $V \subset T$  and  $\alpha_{T, f^{-1}(V)}^\# : \mathcal{O}_S(f^{-1}(V)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_S(f^{-1}(V))$  for the morphism of rings of sections over  $f^{-1}(V) = V \times_{\blacklozenge_T} S \subset S$ . Then  $t \in \mathcal{O}_T(V)$  corresponds to a morphism of  $T$ -schemes  $\tilde{t} : V \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_T^1$  in  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/T}$ ,  $f_V^\#(t) \in \mathcal{O}_S(f^{-1}(V))$  corresponds to the morphism of  $S$ -schemes  $\tilde{t} \times_{\blacklozenge_T} \text{id}_S : f^{-1}(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^1$  in  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$ , and  $\alpha_{T, f^{-1}(V)}^\#(f_V^\#(t)) \in \mathcal{O}_S(f^{-1}(V))$  corresponds to the morphism of  $S$ -schemes  $\tilde{u} : f^{-1}(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^1$  in  $\text{Sch}_{\blacklozenge/S}$  such that all small square in the following diagram in  $\text{Sch}$  are Cartesian:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} f^{-1}(V) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{u}} & \mathbb{A}_S^1 & \longrightarrow & S \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id}_{\mathbb{A}_Z^1} \times \alpha_T & & \downarrow \alpha_T \\ f^{-1}(V) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{t} \times_{\blacklozenge_T} \text{id}_S} & \mathbb{A}_S^1 & \longrightarrow & S \\ \text{id}_V \times_{\blacklozenge_T} f \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id}_{\mathbb{A}_Z^1} \times f & & \downarrow f \\ V & \xrightarrow{\tilde{t}} & \mathbb{A}_T^1 & \longrightarrow & T. \end{array}$$

By assertion (ii),  $\text{id}_{\mathbb{A}_Z^1} \times \alpha_T = \alpha_{\mathbb{A}_T^1}$ . Moreover, since the diagram the diagram  $(\dagger)$  and the upper left-hand square of the above diagram are Cartesian, it holds that  $\tilde{u} = \tilde{t} \times_{\blacklozenge_T} \text{id}_S$ . This implies that  $\alpha_{T, f^{-1}(V)}^\#(f_V^\#(t)) = f_V^\#(t)$ . This completes the proof of assertion (iii).

Next, we prove assertion (iv). By assertion (ii),  $\text{id}_{\mathbb{A}_Z^1} \times \alpha_T = \alpha_{\mathbb{A}_T^1}$ . Hence, by the commutativity of  $(\dagger)$  and Corollary 2.6 (i), for any affine open subscheme  $V \subset T$ ,

$$(\dagger) \quad \alpha_{\mathbb{A}_V^1} = \text{id}_{\mathbb{A}_Z^1} \times (\alpha_T|_{f^{-1}(V)}).$$

Moreover, by the commutativity of (†) and equation (‡), for any  $n \geq 1$  and any affine open subscheme  $V \subset T$ ,

$$(††) \quad \alpha_{\mathbb{A}_V^n} = \text{id}_{\mathbb{A}_V^n} \times (\alpha_T|_{f^{-1}(V)}).$$

Thus, by the commutativity of (†), equation (††), and assertion (iii), for any affine open subscheme  $\text{Spec}(B) \cong V \subset T$  and any ( $\mathbf{U}$ -small) set  $I$ , if we write  $B \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Gamma(V, \mathcal{O}_V)$  and  $N \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} B^{\oplus I}$ , then

$$(†††) \quad \alpha_{\text{Spec}(\text{Sym}_B(N))} = \text{id}_{\text{Spec}(\text{Sym}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus I}))} \times (\alpha_T|_{f^{-1}(V)}) = \text{id}_{\text{Spec}(\text{Sym}_B(N))} \times_T^{\diamond} \alpha_T.$$

Note that for any affine open subscheme  $V \subset T$  and any affine scheme  $\text{Spec}(A) \rightarrow V$ , if we write  $B \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Gamma(V, \mathcal{O}_V)$ , then  $\text{Spec}(A)$  may be regarded as a closed subscheme of  $\text{Spec}(\text{Sym}_B(B^{\oplus A}))$ . Hence, by the commutativity of (†), equation (††), and [Corollary 2.6 \(i\)](#), for any affine open subscheme  $V \subset T$  and any affine scheme  $W$  over  $V$  (in  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond}/T$ ),  $\alpha_W = \text{id}_W \times_T^{\diamond} \alpha_T$ . Thus, by the commutativity of (†), for any object  $Y \in \text{Sch}_{\diamond}/T$ ,  $\alpha_Y = \text{id}_Y \times_T^{\diamond} \alpha_T$ . This completes the proof of assertion (iv).

Assertion (v) follows immediately from assertions (i), (iv), and (iii). This completes the proof of [Proposition 6.26](#).  $\square$

**Corollary 6.27.** *Let  $T$  be a quasi-separated scheme and  $f : S \rightarrow T$  a morphism in  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond}/T$ . Assume that  $\text{id}_T : T \rightarrow T$  belongs to  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond}/T$ . Write  $f^* \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (-) \times_T^{\diamond} S : \text{Sch}_{\diamond}/T \rightarrow \text{Sch}_{\diamond}/S$  for the functor determined by the operation of base-change, via  $f$ , from  $T$  to  $S$  (cf. [[Stacks, Tag 03GI](#)], [Lemma 1.1 \(i\) \(ii\) \(iii\) \(iv\)](#)). Then  $\text{Aut}(f^*) = \{\text{id}_{f^*}\}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha : f^* \xrightarrow{\sim} f^*$  be an isomorphism. Then, by [Proposition 6.26 \(iv\)](#), for any object  $Y \in \text{Sch}_{\diamond}/T$ , it holds that  $\alpha_Y = \text{id}_Y \times_T^{\diamond} \alpha_T$ . Since  $\alpha_T : S \xrightarrow{\sim} S$  is an isomorphism in  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond}/S$ , it holds that  $\alpha_T = \text{id}_S$ . This implies that  $\alpha = \text{id}_{f^*}$ . This completes the proof of [Corollary 6.27](#).  $\square$

Finally, we prove the main result of the present paper.

**Theorem 6.28.** *Let  $S$  and  $T$  be locally Noetherian normal schemes and  $\diamond \subset \{\text{red}, \text{qcpt}, \text{qsep}, \text{sep}\}$  a subset. Then the natural functor*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Isom}(S, T) &\rightarrow \mathbf{Isom}(\text{Sch}_{\diamond}/T, \text{Sch}_{\diamond}/S) \\ f &\mapsto f^* \end{aligned}$$

*is an equivalence of ( $\mathbf{V}$ -small) categories.*

*Proof.* First, we observe that since  $\text{Isom}(S, T)$  is a discrete category, the functor  $f \mapsto f^*$  is faithful.

Next, we verify that the functor  $f \mapsto f^*$  is full. Let  $f, g : S \xrightarrow{\sim} T$  be isomorphisms. Assume that there exists an isomorphism of functors  $\alpha : f^* \xrightarrow{\sim} g^*$ . Write  $h \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} g^{-1} \circ f : S \xrightarrow{\sim} S$  for the isomorphism of  $T$ -schemes from  $f : S \rightarrow T$  to  $g : S \rightarrow T$ ,  $\tilde{\alpha} : U_{\diamond/S}^{\text{Sch}} \circ f^* \xrightarrow{\sim} U_{\diamond/S}^{\text{Sch}} \circ g^*$  (cf. [Definition 6.18 \(i\)](#)) for the isomorphism of functors induced by  $\alpha : f^* \xrightarrow{\sim} g^*$ , and  $\beta \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\text{id}_Y \times_T^{\diamond} h : Y \times_{T, f} S \xrightarrow{\sim} Y \times_{T, g} S)_{Y \in \text{Sch}_{\diamond}/T}$  for the isomorphism of functors  $\beta : U_{\diamond/S}^{\text{Sch}} \circ f^* \xrightarrow{\sim} U_{\diamond/S}^{\text{Sch}} \circ g^*$ . Then  $\tilde{\alpha}^{-1} \circ \beta \in \text{Aut}(U_{\diamond/S}^{\text{Sch}} \circ f^*)$ . Hence, by [Proposition 6.26 \(iii\)](#),  $\alpha_T^{-1} \circ \beta_T \in \text{Aut}_T(f)$ . Since  $\beta_T = \text{id}_T \times_T^{\diamond} (g^{-1} \circ f)$ , the isomorphism of  $S$ -schemes  $\alpha_T : T \times_{T, f} S \xrightarrow{\sim} T \times_{T, g} S$

is an isomorphism over  $T$ . Hence the outer square in the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \text{pr}_T & & \\
 & & \circlearrowleft & & \\
 T \times_{T,f}^\diamond S & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_S} & S & \xrightarrow{f} & T \\
 \alpha_T \downarrow & \circlearrowleft & \parallel & & \parallel \\
 T \times_{T,g}^\diamond S & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_S} & S & \xrightarrow{g} & T \\
 & & \circlearrowright & & \text{pr}_T
 \end{array}$$

This implies that  $f = g$ , hence that  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(f^*)$ . Thus, by [Corollary 6.27](#),  $\alpha = \text{id}_{f^*}$ , which implies that the functor  $f \mapsto f^*$  is full.

Finally, we verify that the functor  $f \mapsto f^*$  is essentially surjective. Let  $F : \text{Sch}_{\diamond/T} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$  be an equivalence. By [Corollary 6.24](#), there exists an isomorphism of functors  $\alpha : U_{\diamond/S}^{\text{Sch}} \circ F \xrightarrow{\sim} U_{\diamond/T}^{\text{Sch}}$ . Let  $q_Y : Y \rightarrow T$  and  $q_Z : Z \rightarrow T$  be objects of  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/T}$  and  $q : Y \rightarrow Z$  a morphism in  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/T}$ . Write  $\beta_T : F(T) \rightarrow S$  for the structure morphism in  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$ . Since  $F(T)$  is a terminal object of  $\text{Sch}_{\diamond/S}$ , the morphism  $\beta_T$  is an isomorphism. Write  $f := \text{def } \alpha_T \circ \beta_T^{-1} : S \xrightarrow{\sim} T$ ,  $\text{pr}_Y : Y \times_{q_Y, T, f}^\diamond S \xrightarrow{\sim} Y$  and  $\text{pr}_Z : Z \times_{q_Z, T, f}^\diamond S \xrightarrow{\sim} Z$  for the first projections,  $p_Y : Y \times_{q_Y, T, f}^\diamond S \rightarrow S$  and  $p_Z : Z \times_{q_Z, T, f}^\diamond S \rightarrow S$  for the second projections,  $\beta_Y := \text{def } \text{pr}_Y^{-1} \circ \alpha_Y : F(Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} Y \times_{q_Y, T, f}^\diamond S$ ,  $\beta_Z := \text{def } \text{pr}_Z^{-1} \circ \alpha_Z : F(Z) \xrightarrow{\sim} Z \times_{q_Z, T, f}^\diamond S$ , and  $q' : Y \times_{q_Y, T, f}^\diamond S \rightarrow Z \times_{q_Z, T, f}^\diamond S$  for the unique morphism such that  $p_Y = p_Z \circ q'$  and  $q \circ \text{pr}_Y = \text{pr}_Z \circ q'$ . Then it follows from the definition of  $\alpha$  that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \alpha_Y & & \\
 & & \circlearrowleft & & \\
 F(Y) & \xrightarrow{\beta_Y} & Y \times_{q_Y, T, f}^\diamond S & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_Y} & Y \\
 F(q) \downarrow & & \downarrow q' & & \downarrow q \\
 F(Z) & \xrightarrow{\beta_Z} & Z \times_{q_Z, T, f}^\diamond S & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_Z} & Z \\
 & & \circlearrowright & & \alpha_Z
 \end{array}$$

Thus the family of isomorphisms  $(\beta_Y : F(Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} Y \times_{q_Y, T, f}^\diamond S)_{Y \in \text{Sch}_{\diamond/T}}$  determines an isomorphism of functors  $\beta : F \xrightarrow{\sim} f^*$ . This completes the proof of [Theorem 6.28](#).  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [Ana73] S. ANANTHARAMAN, Schémas en groupes, espaces homogènes et espaces algébriques sur une base de dimension 1, *Mém. Soc. Math. Fr.* **33** (1973), 5-79.
- [Gre64] MARTIN. J. GREENBERG, Algebraic Rings, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **111** (1964), 472-481.
- [EGA IV<sub>3</sub>] A. GROTHENDIECK, Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV: Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas, *Publ. Math. IHÉS* **28** (1966), 5-255.

- [Ha] R. HARTSHORNE, Algebraic Geometry, Grad. Texts in Math. **52** Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977.
- [Mzk04] S. MOCHIZUKI, Categorical representation of locally Noetherian log schemes, Adv. Math. **188** (2004), 222-246.
- [vDdB19] R. VAN DOBBEN DE BRUYN, Automorphisms of Categories of Schemes, Preprint, [arXiv:1906.00921](https://arxiv.org/abs/1906.00921).
- [Stacks] THE STACKS PROJECT AUTHORS, [Stacks Project](https://stacks.math.columbia.edu/).
- [WW80] W. C. WATERHOUSE AND B. WEISFEILER, One dimensional Affine Group Schemes, J. Algebra **66** (1980), 550-568.