

DETERMINATION OF A CLASS OF PERMUTATION QUADRINOMIALS

ZHIGUO DING AND MICHAEL E. ZIEVE

ABSTRACT. We determine all permutation polynomials over \mathbb{F}_{q^2} of the form $X^r A(X^{q-1})$ where, for some Q which is a power of the characteristic of \mathbb{F}_q , we have $r \equiv Q + 1 \pmod{q + 1}$ and all terms of $A(X)$ have degrees in $\{0, 1, Q, Q+1\}$. We then use this classification to resolve eight conjectures and open problems from the literature. Our proof makes a novel use of geometric techniques in a situation where they previously did not seem applicable, namely to understand the arithmetic of high-degree rational functions over small finite fields, despite the fact that in this situation the Weil bounds do not provide useful information.

1. INTRODUCTION

A polynomial $f(X) \in \mathbb{F}_q[X]$ is called a *permutation polynomial* if the function $\alpha \mapsto f(\alpha)$ defines a bijection of \mathbb{F}_q . Permutation polynomials arise in various contexts in math and engineering. They are of particular interest when $f(X)$ has a simple algebraic form, in which case the interplay between the algebraic and combinatorial perspectives yields interesting results and challenges.

In the past several years, over 100 papers have addressed permutation polynomials over \mathbb{F}_{q^2} having the form $f(X) := X^r A(X^{q-1})$ where r is a positive integer and $A(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}[X]$, following the initial paper [48] which restated the permutation property of $f(X)$ in terms of whether an associated rational function $g(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}(X)$ permutes the set of $(q+1)$ -th roots of unity, or equivalently whether an associated $h(X) \in \mathbb{F}_q(X)$ permutes $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q) := \mathbb{F}_q \cup \{\infty\}$. The main advantage of these restatements is that even the simplest choices for $g(X)$ or $h(X)$ correspond to interesting permutation polynomials $f(X)$ over \mathbb{F}_{q^2} . For instance, most of the permutation polynomials in the literature having the form $X^r A(X^{q-1})$ correspond to cases where $g(X)$ and $h(X)$ have degree at most 3. Conversely, all possibilities for $g(X)$ or $h(X)$ having degree at most 4 have been classified [7]; we will show elsewhere that this classification quickly implies all previous results classifying permutation polynomials of the form $X^r A(X^{q-1})$ where q and the coefficients of $A(X)$ can vary but r and the degrees of the terms of $A(X)$ are prescribed.

Recently several authors have produced permutation polynomials over \mathbb{F}_{q^2} of the form $X^r A(X^{q-1})$ for which the corresponding permutation rational

Date: March 9, 2022.

function $h(X)$ over \mathbb{F}_q can have arbitrarily large degree. This led to a series of conjectures and open problems seeking classifications of all permutation polynomials for a series of choices of r and the degrees of the terms of $A(X)$. In this paper we resolve all of these conjectures and open problems, by proving the following result:

Theorem 1.1. *Write $q := p^k$ and $Q := p^\ell$ where p is prime and k and ℓ are positive integers, and let r be a positive integer such that $r \equiv Q + 1 \pmod{q+1}$. Write $A(X) := aX^{Q+1} + bX^Q + cX + d$ with $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. Then the polynomial $X^r A(X^{q-1}) = aX^{r+qQ+q-Q-1} + bX^{r+qQ-Q} + cX^{r+q-1} + dX^r$ permutes \mathbb{F}_{q^2} if and only if all of the following hold:*

- (1) $\gcd(r, q-1) = 1$;
- (2) $p = 2$;
- (3) $e := a^{q+1} + b^{q+1} + c^{q+1} + d^{q+1}$ is nonzero;
- (4) $(ab^q + cd^q)^Q = e^{Q-1}(ac^q + bd^q)$; and
- (5) writing m for the largest integer of the form 2^i (with $i \geq 0$) which divides $\gcd(k, \ell)$, we have

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_{2^m}} \left(\frac{b^{q+1} + c^{q+1}}{e} \right) = \frac{\mathrm{lcm}(k, \ell)}{m}.$$

Since the conditions on a, b, c, d in Theorem 1.1 are complicated, we now state an alternate version of the result which is more useful in some situations (for instance, if one wishes to count the number of permutation polynomials of this form, or to produce explicit examples). Here μ_{q+1} denotes the set of $(q+1)$ -th roots of unity in $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$, and if n is a positive integer then we write $\mathrm{ord}_2(n)$ for the largest nonnegative integer i such that $2^i \mid n$.

Theorem 1.2. *Write $q := p^k$ and $Q := p^\ell$ where p is prime and k and ℓ are positive integers, and let r be a positive integer such that $r \equiv Q + 1 \pmod{q+1}$. Write $A(X) := aX^{Q+1} + bX^Q + cX + d$ with $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. Then $X^r A(X^{q-1})$ permutes \mathbb{F}_{q^2} if and only if $p = 2$, $\gcd(r, q-1) = 1$, and $A(X) = \delta A_0(\gamma X)$ for some $\gamma \in \mu_{q+1}$, some $\delta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$, and some $A_0(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}[X]$ such that one of the following holds:*

- (1) $\mathrm{ord}_2(k) \leq \mathrm{ord}_2(\ell)$ and there exist $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \setminus \mathbb{F}_q$ for which

$$\begin{aligned} A_0(X) &= (\alpha^{Q+1} + \beta)X^{Q+1} + (\alpha^{q+Q} + \beta)X^Q \\ &\quad + (\alpha^{qQ+1} + \beta)X + (\alpha^{qQ+q} + \beta); \end{aligned}$$

- (2) $\mathrm{ord}_2(k) \neq \mathrm{ord}_2(\ell)$ and either $A_0(X) = X^{Q+1}$ or there exist $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \setminus \mu_{q+1}$ for which

$$\begin{aligned} A_0(X) &= (\alpha^{qQ+q} + \beta)X^{Q+1} + (\alpha^{qQ} + \alpha\beta)X^Q \\ &\quad + (\alpha^q + \alpha^Q\beta)X + (1 + \alpha^{Q+1}\beta); \end{aligned}$$

- (3) $\text{ord}_2(k) \geq \text{ord}_2(\ell)$ and either $A_0(X) = X^Q$ or there exist $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \setminus \mu_{q+1}$ for which

$$\begin{aligned} A_0(X) &= (\alpha^{qQ} + \alpha^q \beta) X^{Q+1} + (\alpha^{qQ+1} + \beta) X^Q \\ &\quad + (1 + \alpha^{q+Q} \beta) X + (\alpha + \alpha^Q \beta). \end{aligned}$$

We now explain how these results differ from all previous results in the subject. As noted above, the results from [48] reduce the proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 to determining when an associated rational function $g(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}(X)$ permutes μ_{q+1} , or equivalently an associated rational function $h(X) \in \mathbb{F}_q(X)$ permutes $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$. A standard approach to investigating permutation rational functions, dating back to [3], [5], and [17], argues that if $h(X) \in \mathbb{F}_q(X)$ permutes $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$ then the (possibly reducible) curve $h(X) = h(Y)$ has no non-diagonal \mathbb{F}_q -rational points, which by Weil's bounds implies that if q is sufficiently large compared to $\deg(h)$ then the diagonal is the only geometrically irreducible component of $h(X) = h(Y)$ which is defined over \mathbb{F}_q . This is the key first step in many important papers in the subject, since it enables one to use techniques from algebraic geometry, Galois theory, and group theory; e.g., cf. [7, 8, 10, 13–16]. However, this approach is only useful when q is large compared to $\deg(h)$, since otherwise a geometrically irreducible component of $h(X) = h(Y)$ defined over \mathbb{F}_q can have no \mathbb{F}_q -rational points. Since our main results include cases where $q < \deg(h)$, we are forced to introduce a completely new approach which does not rely on Weil's bound.

As noted above, our proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 begin by using simple results from [47] and [48] to reduce to the problem of determining when an associated rational function $g(X)$ permutes μ_{q+1} . Thus the bulk of the proofs of the above results consists of the proof of the following result, which is of independent interest.

Theorem 1.3. *Write $q := p^k$ and $Q := p^\ell$ where p is prime and k and ℓ are positive integers. Pick $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ which are not all zero, and write $A(X) := aX^{Q+1} + bX^Q + cX + d$ and $B(X) := d^q X^{Q+1} + c^q X^Q + b^q X + a^q$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $A(X)$ has no roots in μ_{q+1} and $g(X) := B(X)/A(X)$ permutes μ_{q+1} ;
- (2) conditions (2)–(5) of Theorem 1.1 all hold;
- (3) $p = 2$ and $A(X) = \delta A_0(\gamma X)$ for some $\gamma \in \mu_{q+1}$, some $\delta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$, and some $A_0(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}[X]$ such that one of the conditions (1)–(3) of Theorem 1.2 holds.

Our proof of Theorem 1.3 proceeds in three steps. Loosely speaking, in step 1 we determine information about the geometry of $g(X)$ as a function $\mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$; in step 2 we show that certain possibilities for this geometry prevent $g(X)$ from permuting μ_{q+1} ; and in step 3 we determine all permutations coming from the remaining geometric possibilities. More formally, the steps are as follows:

- (1) Show that if $A(X)$ has no roots in μ_{q+1} and $g(X)$ is nonconstant then either two points of $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$ each have a unique g -preimage or one point of $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$ has g -preimages with ramification indices 1 and Q .
- (2) Show that if some point of $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$ has g -preimages with ramification indices 1 and Q then $g(X)$ does not permute μ_{q+1} (in fact, we prove a more general result, cf. Theorem 4.1).
- (3) Determine all choices of $A(X)$ which have no roots in μ_{q+1} in case $g(X)$ permutes μ_{q+1} and two points of $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$ each have a unique g -preimage.

Each of these three steps presents new types of challenges. In the first step we go a long way towards determining the ramification of all members of each of infinitely many four-parameter families of coverings; *a priori* it is not clear that this problem is feasible. The second step is entirely new, in that it uses purely geometric information in order to determine when $g(X)$ permutes μ_{q+1} . We note that this type of conclusion can be proved when $q > 4(Q + 1)^4$ by using Galois theory and Weil's bound, but we prove the result without any assumption on the relative sizes of q and Q , so that our proof cannot use Weil's bound and hence requires an entirely new approach. Finally, the third step combines geometric arguments with a series of elementary (but tricky) computations.

The hard part in our work is showing that $g(X)$ is not a permutation when item (2) or (3) of Theorem 1.3 does not hold. The converse implication is much easier, as it just involves computing the denominator of $\rho \circ X^{Q+1} \circ \sigma$ for certain degree-one $\rho, \sigma \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}(X)$, and likewise computing the product of the denominator of $\rho \circ X^{Q-1} \circ \sigma$ with the product of the numerator and denominator of $\sigma(X)$ for certain degree-one $\rho, \sigma \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}(X)$. This approach allows for a short proof that item (3) in Theorem 1.3 implies item (1) (cf. [49]). One can also use it to show that (2) implies (1). But completely different ideas are needed in order to show that (1) implies (2) or (3). All previous results showing that (1) implies (2) or (3) in some special case only applied when $Q = 2$, and are immediate consequences of the classification of degree-3 permutation rational functions (which is proved in a few lines in [7, Thm. 1.3], cf. Lemma 2.13).

We note that the permutation condition in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 immediately implies that $p = 2$, since α and $-\alpha$ have the same image under $X^r A(X^{q-1})$. Thus, we could have assumed that $p = 2$ in those results without significant loss. However, it is quite difficult to show that item (1) in Theorem 1.3 implies that $p = 2$. Our perspective is that the most fundamental objects in our study are the functions $g(X)$ on μ_{q+1} , so that it is natural to examine when such functions $g(X)$ permute μ_{q+1} in case p is odd, even though that situation cannot yield permutations of \mathbb{F}_{q^2} . This perspective is supported by the fact that the statements of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are complicated, but all the bijective functions $g(X)$ in Theorem 1.3 turn out

to have the simple form $\rho \circ X^n \circ \sigma$ for some $n \in \{Q - 1, Q + 1\}$ and some degree-one $\rho, \sigma \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}(X)$.

We will use the above results to resolve eight conjectures and open problems from the literature. Six of these describe all permutation polynomials among certain classes of polynomials: the conjecture in [32, p. 4854], the two open problems in [44, Open problem] and [44, Rem. 2], the open problem [45, Open problem 2], and the two conjectures in [46, pp. 5 and 20]. The final two conjectures are [23, Conj. 19] and [30, Rem. 2], which describe all permutations among a certain class of functions from $\mathbb{F}_q \times \mathbb{F}_q$ to itself that are defined by a pair of bivariate polynomials. Intriguingly, these last two conjectures arose in the context of boomerang attacks against butterfly structures in cryptography. In particular, our Corollary 9.6 determines all instances of the generalized closed butterfly map introduced in [33] which permute $\mathbb{F}_q \times \mathbb{F}_q$. In light of [23, Thm. 1] and [31, Thm. 2(2)], it follows that if such a map is a permutation then it has boomerang uniformity 4, and also it is linearly equivalent to a Gold function over \mathbb{F}_{q^2} . We refer the interested reader to [23, 31, 33] for the relevant definitions.

We note that some of the above conjectures follow easily from our results, while others require significant additional work. In particular, our proof of the conjecture from [30, Rem. 2] relies on a new polynomial identity of independent interest (Theorem 8.1). Unexpectedly, it turns out that this identity provides a new proof of a result of Cusick and Müller about image sizes of certain polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_q[X]$ which are *not* permutations.

Our classification result immediately yields several further classification results of permutation polynomials $f(X)$ over \mathbb{F}_{q^2} , by composing $f(X)$ with permutation monomials and reducing mod $X^{q^2} - X$. Explicitly, we make the following definition:

Definition 1.4. We say that $f, g \in \mathbb{F}_q[X]$ are *multiplicatively equivalent* if $g(X) \equiv \beta f(\alpha X^n) \pmod{X^q - X}$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ and some positive integer n such that $\gcd(n, q - 1) = 1$.

Plainly this is an equivalence relation on $\mathbb{F}_q[X]$, and if $f, g \in \mathbb{F}_q[X]$ are multiplicatively equivalent then $f(X)$ permutes \mathbb{F}_q if and only if $g(X)$ permutes \mathbb{F}_q . Moreover, if $f, g \in \mathbb{F}_q[X]$ are multiplicatively equivalent and $\deg(g) < q$ then $g(X)$ has at most as many terms as does $f(X)$.

Remark 1.5. The above notion has been called “quasi-multiplicative equivalence” in some previous papers, and the term “multiplicative equivalence” has been used for each of two different notions. However, we cannot envision any situation in which either of the previous definitions of multiplicative equivalence would be preferable to the definition above, so we encourage subsequent authors to use the above definition.

In addition to the eight open problems mentioned above, our main results subsume 58 previous results (in 32 papers by 46 authors), once one replaces the polynomials in our main results by suitable multiplicatively equivalent

polynomials; cf. Tables 1 and 2. We note that some of these previous results resolved three earlier conjectures from the literature.

TABLE 1. Previous results subsumed by Theorems 1.1–1.3, I

$Q = 4$	$Q = 8$
[12, Thm. 3.4 and 3.5 and Conj. 2]	[42, Thm. 4.9]
[25, Thm. 3.7 and 3.8]	
[27, Thm. 2.4 and 2.8]	
[28, Thm. 3 and 6]	
[41, Thm. 4.2 and 4.4]	
[43, Thm. 4.3 and 4.4]	

TABLE 2. Previous results subsumed by Theorems 1.1–1.3, II

$Q = 2^\ell, \ell$ arbitrary	$Q = 2$
[2, Thm. 4.2 and 4.3]	[1, Thm. 3.6]
[11, Thm. 3.1]	[2, Prop. 3.2 and Thm. 3.4]
[23, Thm. 1 and Prop. 15]	[6, Thm. 3.2 and Cor. 3.7]
[29, Thm. 1 and 2]	[9, Thm. 5.9]
[30, Thm. 1 and 2]	[18, Thm. 2]
[32, Thm. 1]	[19, Thm. B]
[40, Thm. 3.1]	[20, Thm. B]
[41, Thm. 3.15 and Cor. 3.7–3.14]	[22, Thm. 1.1]
[44, Thm. 3.1 and 3.2]	[24, Thm. 4.9]
[45, Thm. 3.3]	[25, Thm. 3.6]
[46, Thm. 1.1 and 1.3]	[26, Thm. 1.2]
[49, Thm. 1.1]	[35, Thm. 1]
	[36, Thm. 1]
	[37, Thm. 1]
	[38, Conj. 1]
	[39, Thm. 1 and Open problem]
	[43, Thm. 4.1 and 4.2]

This paper is organized as follows. In the next section we introduce notation and recall several background results we need for our proofs. In section 3 we determine geometric properties of the rational functions $g(X)$ under consideration. In section 4 we show that if $g(X)$ has certain geometric properties then $f(X)$ cannot permute \mathbb{F}_{q^2} . In section 5 we combine the results of the previous two sections to prove Theorem 1.2. In section 6 we translate the geometric properties from section 3 into conditions on the

coefficients, and then in section 7 we prove Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.3. In the final two sections we prove an identity of bivariate polynomials which implies the Cusick–Müller result about images of certain non-permutation polynomials [4], and then use this identity and other ingredients to resolve eight conjectures and open problems from the literature.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We use the following notation in this paper:

- q is a prime power,
- if K is a field then \overline{K} is an algebraic closure of K ,
- if n is a positive integer then μ_n is the set of n -th roots of unity in $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$,
- if K is a field then $\mathbb{P}^1(K) := K \cup \{\infty\}$ is the set of K -rational points on \mathbb{P}^1 ,
- if s is a power of 2 and t is a power of s then we define $\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_t/\mathbb{F}_s}(X)$ to be the polynomial $X + X^s + X^{s^2} + X^{s^3} + \cdots + X^{t/s}$,
- if n is a positive integer then $\text{ord}_2(n)$ denotes the largest integer $i \geq 0$ for which $2^i \mid n$.

2.1. Self-conjugate reciprocal polynomials. We now recall some simple results about self-conjugate reciprocal polynomials, which are defined as follows.

Notation 2.1. For $g(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}(X)$ we define $g^{(q)}(X)$ to be the rational function obtained from $g(X)$ by raising every coefficient to the q -th power. For any nonzero $A(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}[X]$ we define $\widehat{A}(X) := X^{\deg(A)} A^{(q)}(1/X)$. Explicitly, if $A(X) = \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i X^i$ with $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ and $\alpha_n \neq 0$ then $A^{(q)}(X) = \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i^q X^i$ and $\widehat{A}(X) = \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i^q X^{n-i}$.

Definition 2.2. We say that a nonzero $A(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}[X]$ is *self-conjugate reciprocal* (or SCR for short) if $\widehat{A}(X) = \alpha A(X)$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$.

The next lemma is immediate from the definitions.

Lemma 2.3. *All of the following hold:*

- If $A(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}[X]$ is SCR then $\widehat{A}(X)/A(X) \in \mu_{q+1}$.
- For nonconstant $g_1, g_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}(X)$ we have $(g_1 \circ g_2)^{(q)} = g_1^{(q)} \circ g_2^{(q)}$.
- If $A(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}[X]$ is nonzero and $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}^*$ then the multiplicity of α as a root of $A(X)$ equals the multiplicity of α^{-q} as a root of $\widehat{A}(X)$.
- $A(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}[X]$ is SCR if and only if the multiset of roots of $A(X)$ is preserved by the function $\alpha \mapsto \alpha^{-q}$.
- Every degree-1 SCR polynomial has a root in μ_{q+1} .
- If $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q$ then $\alpha X^2 + \beta X + \alpha^q$ is SCR.

We will also use the following simple result.

Lemma 2.4. *If q is even and $A(X) := \alpha X^2 + \beta X + \alpha^q$ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $A(X)$ has at least one root in μ_{q+1} ;
- (2) $A(X)$ has two distinct roots in μ_{q+1} ;
- (3) $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_2}(\alpha^{q+1}/\beta^2) = 1$.

Proof. We may assume $\alpha \neq 0$, since otherwise the result is immediate. Each root γ of $A(X)$ is a root of $(\alpha/\beta^2)A(X) = (\alpha/\beta)^2 X^2 + (\alpha/\beta)X + \alpha^{q+1}/\beta^2$, so that $(\alpha/\beta)\gamma$ is a root of $X^2 + X + \alpha^{q+1}/\beta^2$. Writing $\epsilon := \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_2}(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\gamma)$, it follows that

$$\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\gamma\right)^q + \frac{\alpha}{\beta}\gamma = \epsilon^2 + \epsilon = \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_2}\left(\frac{\alpha^2}{\beta^2}\gamma^2 + \frac{\alpha}{\beta}\gamma\right) = \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_2}\left(\frac{\alpha^{q+1}}{\beta^2}\right).$$

Multiply by γ to get

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^q \gamma^{q+1} &= \frac{\alpha}{\beta}\gamma^2 + \gamma \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_2}\left(\frac{\alpha^{q+1}}{\beta^2}\right) \\ &= \gamma + \frac{\alpha^q}{\beta} + \gamma \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_2}\left(\frac{\alpha^{q+1}}{\beta^2}\right), \end{aligned}$$

so that $\gamma^{q+1} = 1$ if and only if

$$\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^q + \frac{\alpha^q}{\beta} = \gamma \left(1 + \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_2}\left(\frac{\alpha^{q+1}}{\beta^2}\right)\right).$$

Since $\gamma \neq 0$ and the left side of this equation is zero, it follows that $\gamma^{q+1} = 1$ if and only if (3) holds. Here γ is an arbitrary root of $A(X)$, so we have shown that (3) is equivalent to both (1) and (2). \square

Remark 2.5. We will give a comprehensive treatment of SCR polynomials in a forthcoming paper.

2.2. Rational functions. We make the following conventions about rational functions. Let K be a field and let $g(X) = N(X)/D(X)$ where $N, D \in K[X]$ with $D(X)$ monic. Let $C(X)$ be the monic greatest common divisor of $N(X)$ and $D(X)$ in $K[X]$, and write $N(X) = C(X)N_0(X)$ and $D(X) = C(X)D_0(X)$ with $N_0, D_0 \in K[X]$. We make no distinction between $g(X)$ and $g_0(X) := N_0(X)/D_0(X)$. Thus, we view $g(X)$ as defining a function $\mathbb{P}^1(K) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1(K)$ given by $\alpha \mapsto g_0(\alpha)$, so that in particular $g(X)$ is defined at elements $\alpha \in K$ even if $N(\alpha) = D(\alpha) = 0$. We refer to $N_0(X)$ and $D_0(X)$ as the numerator and denominator of $g(X)$, respectively, and we define $\deg(g) := \max(\deg(N_0), \deg(D_0))$ if $g(X) \neq 0$. We say that a nonconstant $g(X) \in K(X)$ is *separable* if the field extension $K(x)/K(g(x))$ is separable, where x is transcendental over K ; it is known that $g(X)$ is separable if and only if $g(X) \notin K(X^p)$ where p is the characteristic of K (e.g., cf. [7, Lemma 2.2]).

Definition 2.6. We say that nonconstant $f, g \in \mathbb{F}_q(X)$ are *linearly equivalent* if $g = \rho \circ f \circ \sigma$ for some degree-one $\rho, \sigma \in \mathbb{F}_q(X)$.

Note that if $f, g \in \mathbb{F}_q(X)$ are linearly equivalent then $f(X)$ permutes $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$ if and only if $g(X)$ permutes $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

For any field K and any degree-one $\rho(X) \in K(X)$, if we write

$$\rho(X) := (\alpha X + \beta)/(\gamma X + \delta)$$

with $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in K$ then we define

$$\rho^{-1}(X) := (\delta X - \beta)/(-\gamma X + \alpha).$$

This definition does not change if we multiply all of $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ by a common element of K^* , and we note that $\rho \circ \rho^{-1} = X = \rho^{-1} \circ \rho$. In particular, if $\rho \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}(X)$ has degree one then $(\rho^{(q)})^{-1} = (\rho^{-1})^{(q)}$.

We recall two simple results about degree-one rational functions from [48]:

Lemma 2.7. *A degree-one $\rho(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}(X)$ permutes μ_{q+1} if and only if $\rho(X) = (\beta^q X + \alpha^q)/(\alpha X + \beta)$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ with $\alpha^{q+1} \neq \beta^{q+1}$.*

Lemma 2.8. *A degree-one $\rho(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}(X)$ maps μ_{q+1} to $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$ if and only if $\rho(X) = (\delta X + \gamma \delta^q)/(X + \gamma)$ for some $\gamma \in \mu_{q+1}$ and $\delta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \setminus \mathbb{F}_q$.*

2.3. Connection between permutations of \mathbb{F}_{q^2} , μ_{q+1} , and $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$. In this section we recall some known results relating permutations of different sets. We begin with a special case of a lemma from [47].

Lemma 2.9. *Write $f(X) := X^r A(X^{q-1})$ where r is a positive integer, q is a prime power, and $A(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}[X]$. Then $f(X)$ permutes \mathbb{F}_{q^2} if and only if $\gcd(r, q-1) = 1$ and $g_0(X) := X^r A(X)^{q-1}$ permutes μ_{q+1} .*

The next lemma is immediate, and was introduced in [48].

Lemma 2.10. *Write $g_0(X) := X^r A(X)^{q-1}$ where r is an integer, q is a prime power, and $A(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}[X]$ is nonzero. Then $g_0(X)$ maps μ_{q+1} into $\mu_{q+1} \cup \{0\}$, and if $A(X)$ has no roots in μ_{q+1} then $g_0(X)$ induces the same function on μ_{q+1} as does $g(X) := X^s A^{(q)}(1/X)/A(X)$, for any integer s with $r \equiv s \pmod{q+1}$. In particular, $g_0(X)$ permutes μ_{q+1} if and only if $A(X)$ has no roots in μ_{q+1} and $g(X)$ permutes μ_{q+1} .*

We now translate the condition that $g(X)$ permutes μ_{q+1} to the condition that an associated rational function $h(X)$ permutes $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$, as was done in [48].

Lemma 2.11. *Let $g(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}(X)$ be a nonconstant rational function having the form $g(X) = X^s A^{(q)}(1/X)/A(X)$ where s is an integer, q is a prime power, and $A(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}[X]$. Let $h(X) := \rho \circ g \circ \sigma^{-1}$ where $\rho, \sigma \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}(X)$ are degree-one rational functions which map μ_{q+1} to $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Then $h(X)$ is in $\mathbb{F}_q(X)$, and $h(X)$ permutes $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$ if and only if $g(X)$ permutes μ_{q+1} .*

Proof. Lemma 2.8 implies that $\rho(X) = (\delta X + \gamma \delta^q)/(X + \gamma)$ for some $\gamma \in \mu_{q+1}$ and $\delta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \setminus \mathbb{F}_q$. Thus

$$\rho^{(q)}(X) = \frac{\delta^q X + \gamma^q \delta}{X + \gamma^q} = \frac{\gamma \delta^q + \delta X^{-1}}{\gamma + X^{-1}} = \rho(X^{-1}),$$

and likewise $\sigma^{(q)}(X) = \sigma(X^{-1})$. Since $g^{(q)}(X) = g(X^{-1})^{-1}$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} h^{(q)} &= \rho^{(q)} \circ g^{(q)} \circ (\sigma^{(q)})^{-1} \\ &= (\rho \circ X^{-1}) \circ (X^{-1} \circ g \circ X^{-1}) \circ (X^{-1} \circ \sigma^{-1}) \\ &= \rho \circ g \circ \sigma^{-1} \\ &= h(X), \end{aligned}$$

so that $h(X) \in \mathbb{F}_q(X)$. Since $h(X)$ maps $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$ into itself, plainly $h(X)$ permutes $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$ if and only if $g(X) = \rho^{-1} \circ h \circ \sigma$ permutes μ_{q+1} . \square

2.4. Ramification. We now introduce the notation and terminology we will use when discussing ramification.

As usual, for any nonconstant $g(X) \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q(X)$ and any $\alpha \in \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$, the *ramification index* $e_g(\alpha)$ is the multiplicity of α as a g -preimage of $g(\alpha)$; explicitly, for any degree-one $\rho, \sigma \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q(X)$ such that $\sigma(0) = \alpha$ and $\rho(g(\alpha)) = 0$, the positive integer $e_g(\alpha)$ is the degree of the lowest-degree term of the numerator of $\rho \circ g \circ \sigma$. For $\beta \in \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$ we define the *ramification multiset* of $g(X)$ over β to be the multiset $E_g(\beta)$ consisting of the ramification indices $e_g(\alpha)$ with $\alpha \in g^{-1}(\beta)$. Thus $E_g(\beta)$ is a collection of positive integers whose sum is $\deg(g)$. We make the convention that if $g(X)$ is constant then $E_g(\beta)$ is the empty multiset. We say that $\alpha \in \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$ is a *ramification point* of $g(X)$ if $e_g(\alpha) > 1$, and that $\beta \in \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$ is a *branch point* of $g(X)$ if $\beta = g(\alpha)$ for some ramification point α of $g(X)$. We will use the following consequence of the Riemann–Hurwitz genus formula for the map $g: \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, or equivalently for the function field extension $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q(x)/\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q(g(x))$ where x is transcendental over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$; e.g., cf. [34, Thm. 3.4.13 and Thm. 3.5.1]:

Lemma 2.12. *Let $g(X) \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q(X)$ be a separable rational function of degree n . For any finite subset Γ of $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$ we have*

$$2n - 2 \geq \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} (e_g(\alpha) - 1).$$

2.5. Low-degree permutation rational functions. In this section we determine the ramification in separable permutation rational functions of degrees 3 and 4, which will be used in the proof of Theorem 4.1.

The classification of degree-3 permutation rational functions is proved in one page in [7, Thm. 1.3]:

Lemma 2.13. *A separable degree-three $h(X) \in \mathbb{F}_q(X)$ permutes $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$ if and only if one of the following holds:*

- $q \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ and $h(X)$ is linearly equivalent to X^3 ;
- $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and $h(X) = \rho \circ X^3 \circ \sigma^{-1}$ for some degree-one $\rho, \sigma \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}(X)$ which map μ_{q+1} to $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$;
- $q \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and $h(X)$ is linearly equivalent to $X^3 - \alpha X$ for some nonsquare $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$.

The ramification multisets of the rational functions in Lemma 2.13 are well-known:

Corollary 2.14. *Every degree-3 permutation rational function $h(X) \in \mathbb{F}_q(X)$ has ramification multiset [3] over each of its branch points.*

The classification of degree-4 permutation rational functions is more difficult, cf. [7, Thm. 1.4]:

Lemma 2.15. *A separable degree-four $h(X) \in \mathbb{F}_q(X)$ permutes $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$ if and only if one of the following holds:*

- (1) q is odd and $h(X)$ is linearly equivalent to

$$\frac{X^4 - 2\alpha X^2 - 8\beta X + \alpha^2}{X^3 + \alpha X + \beta}$$

for some $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that $X^3 + \alpha X + \beta$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{F}_q[X]$;

- (2) q is even and $h(X)$ is linearly equivalent to $X^4 + \alpha X^2 + \beta X$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that $X^3 + \alpha X + \beta$ has no roots in \mathbb{F}_q ;
- (3) $q \leq 8$ and $h(X)$ is linearly equivalent to a rational function in Table 3.

TABLE 3. Sporadic degree-4 permutation rational functions over \mathbb{F}_q

q	$h(X)$	Conditions	q	$h(X)$
8	$\frac{X^4 + \alpha X^3 + X}{X^2 + X + 1}$	$\alpha^3 + \alpha = 1$	3	$X^4 - X^2 + X$
7	$X^4 + 3X$			$\frac{X^4 + X + 1}{X^2 + 1}$
5	$\frac{X^4 + X + 1}{X^2 + 2}$		$\frac{X^4 + X^3 + 1}{X^2 + 1}$	
	$\frac{X^4 + X^3 + 1}{X^2 + 2}$			
4	$\frac{X^4 + \omega X}{X^3 + \omega^2}$	$\omega^2 + \omega = 1$	2	$X^4 + X^3 + X$
	$\frac{X^4 + X^2 + X}{X^3 + \omega}$			$\frac{X^4 + X^3 + X}{X^2 + X + 1}$
	$\frac{X^4 + \omega X^2 + X}{X^3 + X + 1}$			

Corollary 2.16. *If $h(X) \in \mathbb{F}_q(X)$ is a separable degree-four permutation rational function and $\gamma \in \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$ has exactly two h -preimages then q is odd and $E_h(\gamma) = [2, 2]$.*

Proof. It is clear that the hypotheses are never satisfied in case (2), and it is routine to verify that the hypotheses are never satisfied in case (3). In case (1) the conclusion was shown in the proof of [7, Thm. 1.4], and can be verified directly by showing that the numerator of $h^3 + 16\alpha h + 64\beta$ is a square and then applying Riemann–Hurwitz (Lemma 2.12). \square

3. GEOMETRIC PROPERTIES OF $g(X)$

In this section we prove the following result providing properties of a certain class of functions $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$ which are used in the proofs of our main results. We note that the key geometric conclusion in the result is (A), and the purpose of (B) and (C) is to provide information we will use in later sections to restate the geometric conclusion in terms of the coefficients.

Theorem 3.1. *Let q and Q be powers of the same prime, and let a, b, c, d be elements of \mathbb{F}_{q^2} which are not all zero. Write $g(X) := B(X)/A(X)$ where $A(X) := aX^{Q+1} + bX^Q + cX + d$ and $B(X) := d^q X^{Q+1} + c^q X^Q + b^q X + a^q$.*

(A) *At least one of the following holds:*

- (A1) $g(X)$ has ramification multiset $[1, Q]$ over some point in $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$;
- (A2) $g(X) = \rho \circ X^n \circ \sigma$ for some degree-one $\rho, \sigma \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q(X)$ and some $n \in \{Q-1, Q+1\}$;
- (A3) $g(X)$ is constant;
- (A4) $A(X)$ has at least one root in μ_{q+1} .

(B) *If q is even then the following are equivalent:*

- (B1) condition (A2) holds but (A4) does not hold;
- (B2) $e := a^{q+1} + b^{q+1} + c^{q+1} + d^{q+1}$ is nonzero,

$$(ab^q + cd^q)^Q = e^{Q-1}(ac^q + bd^q),$$

and either $U(X) \nmid A(X)$ or $U(X)$ has no roots in μ_{q+1} , where $U(X) := (ab^q + cd^q)X^2 + eX + a^q b + c^q d$.

(C) *Suppose q is even and (B2) holds, and let Λ be the union of the set of roots of $W(X) := (bc + ad)X^2 + eX + (bc + ad)^q$ in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ and the set consisting of $(2 - \deg(W))$ copies of ∞ . Then*

- (C1) *each element of Λ has a unique g -preimage in $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$;*
- (C2) *each root of $U(X)$ in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ is the unique g -preimage of some element of Λ ;*
- (C3) *$\gcd(A(X), B(X))$ divides $U(X)$;*
- (C4) *we have $\deg(g) = Q-1$ if and only if $A(X)$ is divisible by $U(X)$ and $\{b, c, d\} \neq \{0\}$.*

Proof. Define

$$\begin{aligned} W(X) &:= (bc - ad)X^2 + (a^{q+1} - b^{q+1} - c^{q+1} + d^{q+1})X + (bc - ad)^q, \\ U(X) &:= (cd^q - ab^q)X^2 + (-a^{q+1} - b^{q+1} + c^{q+1} + d^{q+1})X + c^q d - a^q b, \\ V(X) &:= (bd^q - ac^q)^{1/Q}X^2 + (-a^{q+1} + b^{q+1} - c^{q+1} + d^{q+1})^{1/Q}X, \\ &\quad + (b^q d - a^q c)^{1/Q}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that each of $W(X)$, $U(X)$, and $V(X)$ is either a constant times X or a degree-2 SCR polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}[X]$.

For any polynomial of the form $P(X) := \alpha X^2 + \beta X + \gamma$ with $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$, define

$$\Delta(P) := \beta^2 - 4\alpha\gamma.$$

Thus if $\deg(P) = 2$ then $\Delta(P)$ is the discriminant of $P(X)$. It is easy to check that

$$(3.2) \quad U(X) = (d^q X + c^q)A(X) - (aX + b)B(X),$$

$$(3.3) \quad V(X)^Q = A(X)B'(X) - A'(X)B(X),$$

$$(3.4) \quad U(X) \cdot V(X)^Q = W(g(X)) \cdot A(X)^2,$$

$$(3.5) \quad \Delta(W) = \Delta(U) = \Delta(V)^Q,$$

and

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} &\text{if } q \text{ is even then (B2) holds if and only if } \Delta(V) \neq 0, U/V \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*, \\ &\text{and either } U(X) \nmid A(X) \text{ or } U(X) \text{ has no roots in } \mu_{q+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $C(X)$ be the monic greatest common divisor of $A(X)$ and $B(X)$ in $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}[X]$. Then (3.2) and (3.3) imply that $C(X)$ divides $U(X)$ and $V(X)^Q$. Since $B(X) = X^{Q+1}A^{(q)}(1/X)$, we have $B(X^q) = X^{q(Q+1)}A(1/X)^q$, so the nonzero roots of $B(X)$ are the $(-q)$ -th powers of the nonzero roots of $A(X)$, and moreover the multiplicity of any $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ as a root of $A(X)$ equals the multiplicity of α^{-q} as a root of $B(X)$. Since $A, B \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}[X]$, the multiset of roots of each of $A(X)$ and $B(X)$ is preserved by the q^2 -th power map. Thus the multiset of nonzero roots of $C(X)$ is preserved by the $(-q)$ -th power map, so that $C(X) = X^t C_0(X)$ where $t \geq 0$ and $C_0(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}[X]$ is an SCR polynomial. Moreover, the multiset of roots of $A(X)$ in μ_{q+1} equals the multiset of roots of $B(X)$ in μ_{q+1} , so if we write $A(X) = A_0(X)C(X)$ and $B(X) = B_0(X)C(X)$ with $A_0, B_0 \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}[X]$ then $A_0(X)$ and $B_0(X)$ have no roots in μ_{q+1} . Here $A_0(X)$ and $B_0(X)$ are coprime, and (3.4) says

$$(3.7) \quad U(X) \cdot V(X)^Q = W\left(\frac{B_0(X)}{A_0(X)}\right) \cdot A_0(X)^2 \cdot C(X)^2,$$

where we note that $W_0(X) := W(B_0(X)/A_0(X)) \cdot A_0(X)^2$ is a polynomial.

First suppose $g(X)$ is a constant λ , so that (A3) holds but (A2) and (B1) do not. By considering coefficients we find that $d^q = a\lambda$, $c^q = b\lambda$, and $\lambda^{q+1} = 1$. It follows that if q is even then $e := a^{q+1} + b^{q+1} + c^{q+1} + d^{q+1}$

is zero. Thus (B) holds because neither (B1) nor (B2) does, and (C) is vacuously true.

Next suppose that $\deg(g) > 0$ and at least one of $U(X)$, $V(X)$, and $W(X)$ is zero. Then (3.4) implies that $W(X) = 0$ and either $U(X) = 0$ or $V(X) = 0$. It is straightforward to verify that in each case $A(X)$ has a root in μ_{q+1} , and if q is even then $e = 0$, so that (A), (B), and (C) hold.

Henceforth assume that $g(X)$ is nonconstant and $U(X)$, $V(X)$, and $W(X)$ are all nonzero. Then each of $U(X)$, $V(X)$, and $W(X)$ has degree in $\{1, 2\}$, so since $C(X) \mid U(X)$ we have $\deg(C) \leq 2$. Now suppose that $A(X)$ has a root α in μ_{q+1} , so that also $C(\alpha) = 0$. In this case we need only show that if q is even then (B2) does not hold. Suppose otherwise, so that $U(X)/V(X)$ is constant and each of $U(X)$, $V(X)$, and $W(X)$ is squarefree. Since $C(X)$ divides $U(X)$, we see that $C(X)$ is squarefree and $U(\alpha) = 0$. Since $\alpha \neq 0$, it follows that $U(X)$ is a degree-2 SCR polynomial, so that $U(X)$ has a second root $\beta \neq \alpha$. Our hypothesis that $U(X)/V(X)$ is constant implies that β has multiplicity $Q + 1$ as a root of UV^Q . Since $\deg(C) > 0$ we have $\deg(g) < Q + 1$, so that (3.7) implies that $C(\beta) = 0$. Thus $U(X) \mid C(X)$ so that $U(X) \mid A(X)$, contradicting (B2).

Henceforth we assume that $A(X)$ (and hence $C(X)$) has no roots in μ_{q+1} . Since $C(X)$ is either a constant times X or an SCR polynomial of degree at most 2, it follows that $C(X)$ cannot have a root of multiplicity 2. Suppose for now that $C(0) = 0$. Since $C(X)$ divides $U(X)$ and $V(X)^Q$, we must have $U(0) = V(0) = 0$, so that both $U(X)$ and $V(X)$ are constants times X , whence $0 \neq \Delta(U) = \Delta(W)$. Likewise $A(0) = B(0) = 0$, so that $a = d = 0$. Since $U(X) \neq 0$ we have $b^{q+1} \neq c^{q+1}$. Thus $g(X) = \rho \circ X^{Q-1}$ where $\rho(X) := (c^q X + b^q)/(bX + c)$. By (3.4), if $\deg(W) = 2$ then the only g -preimages of the two roots of $W(X)$ are 0 and ∞ , and if $\deg(W) = 1$ then the only g -preimages of 0 and ∞ are 0 and ∞ . Thus (A), (B) and (C) hold in this case.

Henceforth assume that $C(0) \neq 0$, so that $C(X)$ is an SCR polynomial. Suppose for now that $\Delta(W) = 0$, so that also $\Delta(U) = \Delta(V) = 0$. Then each of $W(X)$, $U(X)$, and $V(X)$ is a degree-2 SCR polynomial with a unique root, so this root must be in μ_{q+1} , and hence cannot be a root of $C(X)$. Since $C(X) \mid U(X)$, it follows that $C(X) = 1$. Write α, β, γ for the unique roots of $U(X)$, $V(X)$, and $W(X)$, respectively. If $\alpha \neq \beta$ then (3.4) yields $E_g(\gamma) = [1, Q]$, which implies (A), (B) and (C). In the remaining case $\alpha = \beta$ we will obtain the contradiction $A(\beta) = 0$. If q is even then since $W(X)$ is not squarefree we have $a^{q+1} + b^{q+1} + c^{q+1} + d^{q+1} = 0$, and from $U(\beta) = 0$ and $V(\beta)^Q = 0$ we obtain

$$\beta^2 = \frac{a^q b + c^q d}{ab^q + cd^q} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta^{2Q} = \frac{b^q d + a^q c}{bd^q + ac^q};$$

it follows that

$$A(\beta)^2 = d^2 + c^2 \beta^2 + \beta^{2Q} (b^2 + a^2 \beta^2) = 0,$$

yielding the desired contradiction. If q is odd then from $U(\beta) = 0$ and $V(\beta)^Q = 0$ we obtain

$$\beta = \frac{a^{q+1} + b^{q+1} - c^{q+1} - d^{q+1}}{2(cd^q - ab^q)} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta^Q = \frac{a^{q+1} - b^{q+1} + c^{q+1} - d^{q+1}}{2(bd^q - ac^q)};$$

these imply that

$$A(\beta) = d + c\beta + \beta^Q(b + a\beta),$$

which equals $a \cdot \Delta(W)$ divided by the leading coefficient of $4U(X)V(X)^Q$, and hence is zero.

Henceforth assume that $\Delta(W) \neq 0$, so that also $\Delta(U) \neq 0$ and $\Delta(V) \neq 0$. Thus all roots of each of $W(X)$, $U(X)$, and $V(X)$ have multiplicity 1. Since $C(X) \mid U(X)$, it follows that all roots of $C(X)$ have multiplicity 1. Suppose for now that $C(X) \neq 1$. Since $\deg(C) \neq 1$ and $\deg(C) \leq 2$, it follows that $\deg(C) = 2$. Here $C(X)$ has two distinct roots, each of which is a root of both $U(X)$ and $V(X)$, so we conclude that U/C and V/C are constant. By (3.7), it follows that $U(X)^{Q-1}/W_0(X)$ is constant. Note that $\deg(g) \leq Q-1$ since $\deg(C) = 2$, so that the sum of the elements of each g -ramification multiset is at most $Q-1$. If $\deg(W) = 2$ then it follows that each root of $W(X)$ has a unique g -preimage; if $\deg(W) = 1$ then each of 0 and ∞ has a unique g -preimage. In either case the two preimages are the roots of $U(X)$, and we conclude that $g(X) = \rho \circ X^{Q-1} \circ \sigma$ for some degree-one $\rho, \sigma \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q(X)$. Thus (A2) holds but (A4) does not hold, and both (B) and (C) hold.

The remaining possibility is $C(X) = 1$. In this case $C(0) \neq 0$, so that $\{a, d\} \neq \{0\}$ and thus $\deg(g) = Q+1$. Let Λ be the set of roots of $W(X)$ if $\deg(W) = 2$, and $\Lambda := \{0, \infty\}$ if $\deg(W) = 1$. Then the multiset of g -preimages of elements of Λ (counted with multiplicities) is the union of the multiset of roots of UV^Q and the multiset consisting of $s := 2Q+2 - \deg(UV^Q)$ copies of ∞ . Writing Σ for the union of the g -ramification multisets of the elements of Λ , it follows that $\Sigma = E_{UV^Q}(0)$ if $s = 0$ and $\Sigma = E_{UV^Q}(0) \cup [s]$ if $s > 0$. If $U(X)$ has a root α which is not a root of $V(X)$ then Σ is either $[1, 1, Q, Q]$ or $[1, Q, Q+1]$, so in either case $g(X)$ has ramification multiset $[1, Q]$ over some element of Λ , which implies (A), (B) and (C). Finally, assume that every root of $U(X)$ is a root of $V(X)$, so that $U(X)/V(X)$ is constant. Then $\Sigma = [Q+1, Q+1]$, so that each element of Λ has a unique g -preimage, and conversely each root of $U(X)$ is the unique g -preimage of an element of $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$. It follows that $g = \rho \circ X^{Q+1} \circ \sigma$ for some degree-one $\rho, \sigma \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q(X)$. Now assume in addition that q is even. Then (B1) and (B2) hold, and since $\deg(g) = Q+1$ it remains only to show that if $U(X) \mid A(X)$ then $\{b, c, d\} = \{0\}$. So suppose that $U(X) \mid A(X)$. Then $U(X)$ cannot be SCR, since if it were then we would also have $U(X) \mid B(X)$, which is impossible since $C(X) = 1$. Thus $U(0) = 0$, so since $U(X) \mid A(X)$ we have $d = A(0) = 0$. Since $C(X) = 1$ we must have $B(0) \neq 0$, so that $a \neq 0$. Since $U(X)$ and $V(X)$ have no degree-2 terms, it follows that $b = c = 0$, as desired. Thus (A), (B) and (C) hold in this case, which completes the proof. \square

4. NEAR-POLYNOMIAL PERMUTATIONS

In this section we study permutation rational functions $h(X)$ over \mathbb{F}_q which are “nearly” polynomials, in the sense that some $\gamma \in \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$ has exactly two h -preimages. We determine all such permutation rational functions when the ramification indices of the points in $h^{-1}(\gamma)$ satisfy certain mild constraints. The main result is as follows.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $h(X) \in \mathbb{F}_q(X)$ be a nonconstant rational function whose ramification multiset over some point $\gamma \in \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$ is $[s, t]$, where s and t are positive integers and $\gcd(t, q+1) = 1$. Then $h(X)$ permutes $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$ if and only if $s \equiv 0 \pmod{q+1}$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \setminus \mathbb{F}_q$.*

We first prove the following result about permutations of μ_{q+1} .

Lemma 4.2. *Write $g(X) := X^s(\alpha^q X^t - 1)/(X^t - \alpha)$ where s is any integer, t is a positive integer coprime to $q+1$, and $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^* \setminus \mu_{q+1}$. Then $g(X)$ permutes μ_{q+1} if and only if $s \equiv 0 \pmod{q+1}$.*

Proof. If $s \equiv 0 \pmod{q+1}$ then $g(X)$ induces the same map on μ_{q+1} as $\rho(X) \circ X^t$, where $\rho(X) := (\alpha^q X - 1)/(X - \alpha)$. Thus $g(X)$ permutes μ_{q+1} since both X^t and $\rho(X)$ permute μ_{q+1} .

Conversely, suppose that $g(X)$ permutes μ_{q+1} , so that

$$\sum_{\beta \in \mu_{q+1}} g(\beta) = \sum_{\beta \in \mu_{q+1}} \beta.$$

The right side is fixed by multiplication by any nontrivial $(q+1)$ -th root of unity, and hence equals 0. For any $\beta \in \mu_{q+1}$ we have $\beta^t - \alpha \neq 0$ and

$$g(\beta) = \beta^s \cdot \frac{\alpha^q \beta^t - 1}{\beta^t - \alpha} = -\beta^{s+t} \cdot \frac{\beta^{tq} - \alpha^q}{\beta^t - \alpha} = -\sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \alpha^{q-1-i} \beta^{s+t(i+1)},$$

so that

$$(4.3) \quad 0 = \sum_{\beta \in \mu_{q+1}} g(\beta) = -\sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \alpha^{q-1-i} \sum_{\beta \in \mu_{q+1}} \beta^{s+t(i+1)}.$$

For any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, the summation $\sum_{\beta \in \mu_{q+1}} \beta^j$ is nonzero if and only if $j \equiv 0 \pmod{q+1}$. In particular, for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\epsilon_i := \sum_{\beta \in \mu_{q+1}} \beta^{s+t(i+1)} \neq 0$ if and only if $s \equiv -t(i+1) \pmod{q+1}$. Since $\gcd(t, q+1) = 1$, there is exactly one integer i with $0 \leq i \leq q$ for which $\epsilon_i \neq 0$. By (4.3) this distinguished integer i must be q , so that $s \equiv -t(q+1) \equiv 0 \pmod{q+1}$. \square

The following consequence of Lemma 4.2 is not used in this paper, but is stated for its inherent interest.

Corollary 4.4. *Let r and t be positive integers with $\gcd(t, q+1) = 1$. Pick $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$ and write $f(X) := X^r(X^{t(q-1)} - \alpha)$. Then $f(X)$ permutes \mathbb{F}_{q^2} if and only if $\gcd(r, q-1) = 1$, $r \equiv t \pmod{q+1}$, and $\alpha \notin \mu_{q+1}$.*

Proof. This follows immediately from Lemma 4.2, in light of Lemmas 2.9 and 2.10. \square

Remark 4.5. The special case $r = 1$ of Corollary 4.4 is [21, Thm. 2]; the special case that $t = 1$ and $1 \leq r \leq q + 1$ is [24, Thm. 3.1]. The proofs in [21] and [24] involve complicated computations.

We now prove Theorem 4.1.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Let p be the characteristic of \mathbb{F}_q , and let ℓ be the largest nonnegative integer for which $h(X) \in \mathbb{F}_q(X^{p^\ell})$. Then $h = h_0 \circ X^{p^\ell}$ where $h_0(X) \in \mathbb{F}_q(X)$ is separable. Here $h(X)$ permutes $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$ if and only if $h_0(X)$ does, and $E_{h_0}(\gamma) = [s_0, t_0]$ where $s_0 := s/p^\ell$ and $t_0 := t/p^\ell$, so Theorem 4.1 holds for $h(X)$ if and only if it holds for $h_0(X)$. Thus we may replace $h(X)$ by $h_0(X)$ in order to assume that $h(X)$ is separable.

Write $n := \deg(h)$, so that $n = s + t$. Since there are no separable degree-2 permutation rational functions (e.g., by [7, Lemma 1.2]), we may assume that $n \geq 3$. Let α and β be the h -preimages of γ , where α and β have ramification indices under $h(X)$ being s and t , respectively. Every $\lambda \in \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$ satisfies $e_h(\lambda) = e_{h(\lambda^q)}$, so since $h(X) \in \mathbb{F}_q(X)$ we have $e_h(\lambda) = e_h(\lambda^q)$. Moreover, $h(\lambda^q) = h(\lambda)^q$, so the q -th power map preserves the set of branch points of $h(X)$ which have any prescribed ramification multiset, and also the q -th power map permutes the set of h -preimages of any element of $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

First suppose $\gamma \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Then the q -th power map preserves $\{\alpha, \beta\}$, so that this set contains either zero or two elements of $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$. In particular, $h^{-1}(\gamma) \cap \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$ cannot have size 1, so $h(X)$ does not permute $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

Next suppose $\gamma \notin \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_{q^2})$. Then γ, γ^q , and γ^{q^2} are pairwise distinct, and they each have h -ramification multiset $[s, t]$. By the Riemann–Hurwitz formula (Lemma 2.12), it follows that $2n - 2 \geq 3(s + t - 2) = 3(n - 2)$, so that $n \leq 4$, whence $n \in \{3, 4\}$. If $n = 3$ then $\{s, t\} = \{1, 2\}$, so Corollary 2.14 implies that $h(X)$ does not permute $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Thus we must have $n = 4$. Since $\gcd(t, q + 1) = 1$ by hypothesis, in particular we cannot have $s = t = 2$ in case q is odd, so that Corollary 2.16 implies that $h(X)$ does not permute $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

The remaining possibility is that $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \setminus \mathbb{F}_q$. Then $\gamma^q \neq \gamma$ and we have $h^{-1}(\gamma^q) = \{\alpha^q, \beta^q\}$ where $e_h(\alpha^q) = s$ and $e_h(\beta^q) = t$, so that in particular $\alpha^q \neq \beta$. Likewise, $h^{-1}(\gamma) = h^{-1}(\gamma^{q^2}) = \{\alpha^{q^2}, \beta^{q^2}\}$ where $e_h(\alpha^{q^2}) = s$ and $e_h(\beta^{q^2}) = t$, so that either $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \setminus \mathbb{F}_q$ or $\beta = \alpha^{q^2} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^4} \setminus \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$, where in the latter case $s = t$.

First suppose $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \setminus \mathbb{F}_q$. Then the degree-one rational functions $\rho(X) := (X - \gamma^q)/(X - \gamma)$ and $\sigma(X) := (X - \alpha^q)/(X - \alpha)$ map $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$ bijectively onto μ_{q+1} , so that $g := \rho \circ h \circ \sigma^{-1}$ permutes μ_{q+1} if and only if $h(X)$ permutes $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Here the poles of $g(X)$ are ∞ and $\delta := \sigma(\beta) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^* \setminus \mu_{q+1}$, with ramification indices s and t , respectively, and likewise the

zeroes of $g(X)$ are 0 and $\sigma(\beta^q) = 1/\sigma^{(q)}(\beta^q) = 1/\delta^q$. It follows that $g(X) = \epsilon X^s(\delta^q X - 1)^t/(X - \delta)^t$ for some $\epsilon \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q^*$. Since $g = \rho \circ h \circ \sigma^{-1}$ maps μ_{q+1} into μ_{q+1} , and also $X^s(\delta^q X - 1)^t/(X - \delta)^t$ maps μ_{q+1} into μ_{q+1} , we must have $\epsilon \in \mu_{q+1}$. Since X^t permutes μ_{q+1} and $X^t \circ g_1 = g \circ X^t$ where $g_1(X) := \epsilon_1 X^s(\delta^q X^t - 1)/(X^t - \delta)$ and $\epsilon = \epsilon_1^t$ with $\epsilon_1 \in \mu_{q+1}$, we see that $h(X)$ permutes $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$ if and only if $g_1(X)$ permutes μ_{q+1} . Finally, Lemma 4.2 says that $g_1(X)$ permutes μ_{q+1} if and only if $s \equiv 0 \pmod{q+1}$, which concludes the proof when $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \setminus \mathbb{F}_q$.

Finally, suppose that $\beta = \alpha^{q^2} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^4} \setminus \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ and $s = t$. As above, $\rho(X) := (X - \gamma^q)/(X - \gamma)$ maps $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$ bijectively onto μ_{q+1} , so that $g := \rho \circ h \circ \rho^{-1}$ permutes μ_{q+1} if and only if $h(X)$ permutes $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Here the poles of $g(X)$ are $\delta := \rho(\alpha)$ and $\rho(\beta) = \rho(\alpha^{q^2}) = \delta^{q^2}$, and likewise the zeroes are $1/\delta^q$ and $1/\delta^{q^3}$, where each zero and pole has ramification index t . Writing $A(X) := (X - \delta)(X - \delta^{q^2})$ and $g_2(X) := X^2 A^{(q)}(1/X)/A(X)$, it follows that $g(X) = \epsilon g_2(X)^t$ for some $\epsilon \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q^*$. Since $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^4} \setminus \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$, we have $\delta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^4} \setminus \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$, so that $A(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}[X]$ and $A(X)$ has no roots in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} . By Lemma 2.10 we have $g_2(\mu_{q+1}) \subseteq \mu_{q+1}$; since also $g(\mu_{q+1}) \subseteq \mu_{q+1}$, it follows that $\epsilon \in \mu_{q+1}$. Thus $h(X)$ permutes $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$ if and only if $g_2(X)$ permutes μ_{q+1} , or equivalently $h_2(X) := \rho^{-1} \circ g_2 \circ \rho$ permutes $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$. By Lemma 2.11 we have $h_2(X) \in \mathbb{F}_q(X)$, so that $h_2(X)$ cannot permute $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$ since there do not exist separable degree-2 permutation rational functions (e.g., by [7, Lemma 1.2]). \square

We conclude this section with the following reformulation of Theorem 4.1 in term of permutations of μ_{q+1} .

Corollary 4.6. *Assume $A(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}[X]$ has no roots in μ_{q+1} , and r, s, t are integers with $\gcd(t, q+1) = 1$. Suppose $g(X) := X^r A^{(q)}(1/X)/A(X)$ has ramification multiset $[s, t]$ over some $\gamma \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Then $g(X)$ permutes μ_{q+1} if and only if $s \equiv 0 \pmod{q+1}$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}) \setminus \mu_{q+1}$.*

Proof. This follows immediately from Lemma 2.11 and Theorem 4.1. \square

5. GEOMETRICALLY CYCLIC BIJECTIONS

In this section we prove Theorem 1.2 and show that items (1) and (3) in Theorem 1.3 are equivalent to one another. In light of Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 4.6, we must consider rational functions which are compositions of X^{Q+1} or X^{Q-1} with certain degree-one rational functions. We first give a bijectivity criterion for such functions.

Lemma 5.1. *Let q be a power of a prime p , and assume that $g(X) := X^r A^{(q)}(1/X)/A(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}(X)$ has degree $n \geq 1$, where $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $A(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}[X]$ has no roots in μ_{q+1} . Suppose there exist distinct $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$ such that β_i has a unique g -preimage $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$ for each i . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $g(X)$ permutes μ_{q+1} .
- (2) At least one of the following holds:
 - $\gcd(n, q-1) = 1$ and at least one α_i is in μ_{q+1} ;
 - $\gcd(n, q+1) = 1$ and at least one α_i is not in μ_{q+1} .
- (3) At least one of the following holds:
 - $\gcd(n, q-1) = 1$ and at least one β_i is in μ_{q+1} ;
 - $\gcd(n, q+1) = 1$ and at least one β_i is not in μ_{q+1} .
- (4) At least one of the following holds:
 - $\gcd(n, q-1) = 1$ and $g(X) = \rho^{-1} \circ X^n \circ \sigma$ for some degree-one $\rho, \sigma \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}(X)$ which map μ_{q+1} to $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$;
 - $\gcd(n, q+1) = 1$ and $g(X) = \rho^{-1} \circ X^n \circ \sigma$ for some degree-one $\rho, \sigma \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}(X)$ which permute μ_{q+1} .

Moreover, if $g(X) = g_1(X^{p^\ell})$ where $\ell \geq 0$ and $g_1(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}(X) \setminus \mathbb{F}_{q^2}(X^p)$ has degree at least 2 then in (4) we may require in addition that $\sigma(\alpha_1) = \infty = \rho(\beta_1)$ and $\sigma(\alpha_2) = 0 = \rho(\beta_2)$.

Proof. We first reduce to the case that $g(X)$ is separable of degree at least 2. Write $g(X) = g_0(X) \circ X^{p^\ell}$ where $g_0(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}(X)$ is separable of degree $n_0 \geq 1$ and $n = n_0 p^\ell$. Then $\alpha_i^{p^\ell}$ is the unique g_0 -preimage of β_i for $i \in \{1, 2\}$, so that $g_0(X)$ satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 5.1. We now show that $g(X)$ satisfies the conclusion of Lemma 5.1 if and only if $g_0(X)$ does. Since X^{p^ℓ} induces a bijection on $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$ which restricts to a bijection of μ_{q+1} , we see that $g(X)$ permutes μ_{q+1} if and only if $g_0(X)$ permutes μ_{q+1} . Next, for $\epsilon \in \{1, -1\}$ we have $\gcd(n, q + \epsilon) = \gcd(n_0, q + \epsilon)$. Finally, for degree-one $\rho, \sigma \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}(X)$, let $\tilde{\sigma}(X)$ be the degree-one rational function obtained from $\sigma(X)$ by raising every coefficient to the p^ℓ -th power, so that $X^{p^\ell} \circ \sigma = \tilde{\sigma} \circ X^{p^\ell}$. Then $\sigma(X)$ permutes μ_{q+1} if and only if $\tilde{\sigma}(X)$ does, and $\sigma(\mu_{q+1}) = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$ if and only if $\tilde{\sigma}(\mu_{q+1}) = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Finally, $g(X) = \rho^{-1} \circ X^n \circ \sigma$ if and only if $g_0(X) = \rho^{-1} \circ X^{n_0} \circ \tilde{\sigma}$. Thus each of conditions (1)–(4) holds for $g(X)$ if and only if the corresponding condition holds for $g_0(X)$. Hence in order to prove Lemma 5.1 for $g(X)$, it suffices to prove the result for $g_0(X)$, so we may assume that $g(X)$ is separable. If $n = 1$ then (2) and (3) are immediate, and (1) holds by Lemma 2.10, so that the second condition in (4) holds with $\rho(X) = X$ and $\sigma(X) = g(X)$. Thus the result holds when $n = 1$, so we assume henceforth that $g(X)$ is separable of degree $n > 1$.

By Lemma 2.10 we have $g(\mu_{q+1}) \subseteq \mu_{q+1}$. The definition of $g(X)$ yields $g(X) = X^{-1} \circ g^{(q)}(X) \circ X^{-1}$, so for each $i \in \{1, 2\}$ the unique g -preimage of β_i^{-q} in $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$ is α_i^{-q} . By Riemann–Hurwitz (Lemma 2.12), at most two elements of $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$ have a unique g -preimage, so that each α_i^{-q} is in $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$. Since $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2$, we also have $\alpha_1^{-q} \neq \alpha_2^{-q}$. Thus if $\alpha_1^{-q} = \alpha_1$ then $\alpha_2^{-q} = \alpha_2$, so that $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mu_{q+1}$, and thus also each $\beta_i = g(\alpha_i)$ is in μ_{q+1} . The other possibility is that $\alpha_1^{-q} = \alpha_2$, so that $\alpha_2^{-q} = \alpha_1$, in which case

$\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}) \setminus \mu_{q+1}$, whence also $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}) \setminus \mu_{q+1}$. Moreover, as above each β_i^{-q} is in $\{\beta_1, \beta_2\}$, so since $\beta_i \notin \mu_{q+1}$ we have $\beta_2 = \beta_1^{-q}$.

First suppose $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2$ are all in μ_{q+1} . Pick $\gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$ with $\gamma^{q-1} = \alpha_2/\alpha_1$ and $\delta^{q-1} = \beta_2/\beta_1$, so that $\gamma, \delta \notin \mathbb{F}_q$ since $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2$ and $\beta_1 \neq \beta_2$. Define $\sigma(X) := \gamma(X - \alpha_2)/(X - \alpha_1)$ and $\tilde{\rho}(X) := \delta(X - \beta_2)/(X - \beta_1)$, so that $\sigma(X)$ and $\tilde{\rho}(X)$ are degree-one rational functions in $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}(X)$. Then $\sigma(X) = (\gamma X - \alpha_1 \gamma^q)/(X - \alpha_1)$, so that $\sigma(\mu_{q+1}) = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$ by Lemma 2.8, and likewise $\tilde{\rho}(\mu_{q+1}) = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Thus $h(X) := \tilde{\rho}(X) \circ g(X) \circ \sigma^{-1}(X)$ maps $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$ into $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Since $h^{-1}(\infty) = \{\infty\}$ and $h^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$, we have $h(X) = \epsilon X^n$ for some $\epsilon \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}^*$, and since $h(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$ we must have $\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Here $g(X)$ permutes μ_{q+1} if and only if $h(X)$ permutes $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$, or equivalently $\gcd(n, q-1) = 1$. Moreover, $\rho(X) := \epsilon^{-1} \tilde{\rho}(X)$ maps μ_{q+1} to $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$, and $g(X) = \rho^{-1} \circ X^n \circ \sigma$. Thus if each α_i and β_i is in μ_{q+1} then (1) is equivalent to each of (2), (3), and (4).

Now suppose α_1, β_1 are in $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}) \setminus \mu_{q+1}$, and also $\alpha_2 = \alpha_1^{-q}$ and $\beta_2 = \beta_1^{-q}$. Define $\sigma(X) := (-\alpha_1^q X + 1)/(X - \alpha_1)$ if $\alpha_1 \neq \infty$, and $\sigma(X) := X$ otherwise, so that in any case $\sigma(X)$ permutes μ_{q+1} by Lemma 2.7, and also $\sigma(X)$ maps α_1 and α_2 to ∞ and 0 , respectively. Likewise define $\tilde{\rho}(X) := (-\beta_1^q X + 1)/(X - \beta_1)$ if $\beta_1 \neq \infty$, and $\tilde{\rho}(X) := X$ otherwise, so that $\tilde{\rho}(X)$ permutes μ_{q+1} and maps β_1 and β_2 to ∞ and 0 , respectively. Thus $\tilde{g}(X) := \tilde{\rho}(X) \circ g(X) \circ \sigma^{-1}(X)$ is a degree- n rational function in $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}(X)$ whose unique pole is ∞ and whose unique zero is 0 , whence $\tilde{g}(X) = \epsilon X^n$ for some $\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$. Since each of $\tilde{\rho}(X)$, $g(X)$, and $\sigma^{-1}(X)$ maps μ_{q+1} into μ_{q+1} , also $\tilde{g}(\mu_{q+1}) \subseteq \mu_{q+1}$, so that $\epsilon \in \mu_{q+1}$. Thus $g(X)$ permutes μ_{q+1} if and only if $\tilde{g}(X)$ permutes μ_{q+1} , or equivalently $\gcd(n, q+1) = 1$, so that (1) is equivalent to each of (2) and (3). Finally, $\rho(X) := \epsilon^{-1} \tilde{\rho}(X)$ permutes μ_{q+1} , and we have $g(X) = \rho^{-1} \circ X^n \circ \sigma$, so that also (1) is equivalent to (4). \square

We next recall an easy known fact about greatest common divisors, whose proof we include for the reader's convenience.

Lemma 5.2. *If i and j are positive integers then*

- $\gcd(2^i + 1, 2^j + 1) = 1$ if and only if $\text{ord}_2(i) \neq \text{ord}_2(j)$;
- $\gcd(2^i - 1, 2^j + 1) = 1$ if and only if $\text{ord}_2(i) \leq \text{ord}_2(j)$.

Proof. It is well known that $\gcd(2^m - 1, 2^n - 1) = 2^{\gcd(m,n)} - 1$ for any positive integers m and n . Since $\gcd(2^j - 1, 2^j + 1) = 1$, it follows that

$$\gcd(2^i - 1, 2^j + 1) = \frac{\gcd(2^i - 1, 2^{2j} - 1)}{\gcd(2^i - 1, 2^j - 1)} = \frac{2^{\gcd(i, 2j)} - 1}{2^{\gcd(i, j)} - 1},$$

which equals 1 precisely when $\gcd(i, 2j) = \gcd(i, j)$, or equivalently $\text{ord}_2(i) \leq \text{ord}_2(j)$. Likewise

$$\gcd(2^i + 1, 2^j + 1) = \frac{\gcd(2^{2i} - 1, 2^j + 1)}{\gcd(2^i - 1, 2^j + 1)} = \frac{2^{\gcd(2i, 2j)} - 1}{2^{\gcd(2i, j)} - 1} \cdot \frac{2^{\gcd(i, j)} - 1}{2^{\gcd(i, 2j)} - 1},$$

which equals 1 precisely when $\text{ord}_2(i) \neq \text{ord}_2(j)$. \square

We now apply the previous results to give a permutation criterion for the rational functions $g(X)$ considered in this paper.

Proposition 5.3. *Write $q := p^k$ and $Q := p^\ell$ where p is prime and k and ℓ are positive integers. Let a, b, c, d be elements of \mathbb{F}_{q^2} which are not all zero, and write $g(X) := B(X)/A(X)$ where $A(X) := aX^{Q+1} + bX^Q + cX + d$ and $B(X) := d^qX^{Q+1} + c^qX^Q + b^qX + a^q$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $A(X)$ has no roots in μ_{q+1} and $g(X)$ permutes μ_{q+1} .
- (2) q is even, $g(X) = \rho^{-1} \circ X^n \circ \sigma$ for some degree-one $\rho, \sigma \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}(X)$ such that $\rho(\mu_{q+1}) = \sigma(\mu_{q+1}) = \Omega$, and one of the following holds:
 - (a) $n = Q + 1$, $\Omega = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$, and $\text{ord}_2(k) \leq \text{ord}_2(\ell)$;
 - (b) $n = Q + 1$, $\Omega = \mu_{q+1}$, and $\text{ord}_2(k) \neq \text{ord}_2(\ell)$;
 - (c) $n = Q - 1$, $\Omega = \mu_{q+1}$, $\text{ord}_2(k) \geq \text{ord}_2(\ell)$, and there exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ such that $\sigma(\{\alpha, \alpha^{-q}\}) = \{0, \infty\}$ and $\gcd(A(X), B(X))$ is a constant times $(\alpha^q X + 1)(X + \alpha)$.

Proof. First suppose that (2) holds. Since $\rho(X)$ and $\sigma(X)$ have degree 1, they induce bijections on $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$. Thus $g(X)$ permutes μ_{q+1} if and only if X^n permutes Ω . Writing $\epsilon := 1$ if $\Omega = \mu_{q+1}$ and $\epsilon := -1$ if $\Omega = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$, it follows that $g(X)$ permutes μ_{q+1} if and only if $\gcd(n, q + \epsilon) = 1$, which holds in each of (a)–(c) by Lemma 5.2. Write $C(X) := \gcd(A(X), B(X))$, so that $A(X)/C(X)$ is a constant times the denominator of $g(X)$, which has no roots in μ_{q+1} since $g(X)$ permutes μ_{q+1} . Thus $A(X)$ has no roots in μ_{q+1} if and only if $C(X)$ has no roots in μ_{q+1} . In cases (a) and (b) we have $C(X) = 1$ since $\deg(g) = Q + 1$ and $\max(\deg(A), \deg(B)) \leq Q + 1$. In case (c), by assumption $C(X)$ is a constant times $(\alpha^q X + 1)(X + \alpha)$, which has no roots in μ_{q+1} since $\sigma(\{\alpha, \alpha^{-q}\}) = \{0, \infty\}$ and σ permutes μ_{q+1} . Thus $C(X)$ has no roots in μ_{q+1} in each of (a)–(c), so $A(X)$ has no roots in μ_{q+1} , which implies (1).

Conversely, suppose henceforth that $A(X)$ has no roots in μ_{q+1} and $g(X)$ permutes μ_{q+1} . Then in particular $g(X)$ is nonconstant, so part (A) of Theorem 3.1 implies that either

$$(5.4) \quad g(X) \text{ has ramification multiset } [1, Q] \text{ over some point in } \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$$

or

$$(5.5) \quad g(X) = \rho^{-1} \circ X^n \circ \sigma \text{ for some } n \in \{Q - 1, Q + 1\} \text{ and some degree-one } \rho, \sigma \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q(X).$$

Corollary 4.6 implies that (5.4) cannot hold, so we must have (5.5). Since $B(X) = X^{Q+1}A^{(q)}(1/X)$, Lemma 5.1 implies that n is coprime to either $q - 1$ or $q + 1$, so since $n \in \{Q - 1, Q + 1\}$ it follows that q is even. Thus all parts of (B) and (C) in Theorem 3.1 hold. By item (4) of Lemma 5.1, we may assume that $\rho, \sigma \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}(X)$ and $\rho(\mu_{q+1}) = \sigma(\mu_{q+1}) = \Omega$ where either

$$\gcd(n, q - 1) = 1 \text{ and } \Omega = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$$

or

$$\gcd(n, q+1) = 1 \text{ and } \Omega = \mu_{q+1}.$$

By Lemma 5.2, if $n = Q + 1$ then the former case yields (a) and the latter case yields (b). It remains only to show that if $n = Q - 1$ then (c) holds.

Suppose $n = Q - 1$. Then item (C4) of Theorem 3.1 implies that the polynomial $U(X)$ defined in item (B2) of Theorem 3.1 is a divisor of $A(X)$, so in particular $U(X)$ has no roots in μ_{q+1} . Part (B) of Theorem 3.1 implies that $U(X)$ has a degree-one term, and hence is nonconstant. Thus $U(X)$ has a root $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$, which lies in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} since either $U(X)$ is either SCR of degree 2 or $U(X) = eX$ with $e \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Writing $\beta := g(\alpha) \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_{q^2})$, part (C2) of Theorem 3.1 yields $g^{-1}(\beta) = \{\alpha\}$, which implies $g^{-1}(\beta^{-q}) = \{\alpha^{-q}\}$ since $g^{(q)}(X) = X^{-1} \circ g(X) \circ X^{-1}$. Since $U(X)$ has no roots in μ_{q+1} , we have $\alpha \notin \mu_{q+1}$, so that $\alpha^{-q} \neq \alpha$ and thus $\beta^{-q} \neq \beta$. Put $\tilde{\sigma}(X) := (\alpha^q X + 1)/(X + \alpha)$, and put $\tilde{\rho}(X) := (\beta^q X + 1)/(X + \beta)$ if $\beta \neq \infty$ and $\tilde{\rho}(X) := X$ if $\beta = \infty$. Then $\tilde{\sigma}(X)$ and $\tilde{\rho}(X)$ permute μ_{q+1} , and $\tilde{\sigma}(X)$ maps α and α^{-q} to ∞ and 0, respectively, while $\tilde{\rho}(X)$ maps β and β^{-q} to ∞ and 0, respectively. Thus $\tilde{g}(X) := \tilde{\rho} \circ g \circ \tilde{\sigma}^{-1}$ is a degree- $(Q - 1)$ rational function having ∞ and 0 as its unique preimages of ∞ and 0, respectively, so that $\tilde{g}(X) = \gamma X^{Q-1}$ for some $\gamma \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q^*$. Since both $\tilde{g}(X)$ and X^{Q-1} map μ_{q+1} into μ_{q+1} , we must have $\gamma \in \mu_{q+1}$. Thus $g(X) = (\gamma^{-1} X \circ \tilde{\rho})^{-1} \circ X^{Q-1} \circ \tilde{\sigma}$. By replacing ρ and σ with $\gamma^{-1} X \circ \tilde{\rho}$ and $\tilde{\sigma}$ respectively, we get $g(X) = \rho^{-1} \circ X^{Q-1} \circ \sigma$ for some degree-one $\rho, \sigma \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}(X)$ which permute μ_{q+1} . Moreover, $\sigma(\{\alpha, \alpha^{-q}\}) = \{0, \infty\}$ by our construction, and Lemma 5.2 implies that $\text{ord}_2(k) \geq \text{ord}_2(\ell)$. Thus it remains only to show that $\gcd(A(X), B(X))$ is a constant times $(\alpha^q X + 1)(X + \alpha)$.

Item (C3) of Theorem 3.1 implies that $C(X) := \gcd(A(X), B(X))$ divides $U(X)$. Note that the hypothesis $\deg(g) = Q - 1$ implies $C(X) \neq 1$. If $\deg(U) = 1$ then $U(X) = eX$ with $e \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, so $C(X) = X$ and $\alpha = 0$, whence $C(X) = (\alpha^q X + 1)(X + \alpha)$. If $U(X)$ is a degree-2 SCR polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}[X]$ then, since $\alpha \notin \mu_{q+1}$, we see that α^{-q} is another root of $U(X)$, so $U(X)$ is a constant times $(X + \alpha)(X + \alpha^{-q})$. Note that $B(X) = X^{Q+1}A^{(q)}(1/X)$ and $A(X) = X^{Q+1}B^{(q)}(1/X)$, so if one of α, α^{-q} is a root of $C(X)$ then they both are roots of $C(X)$. Thus $C(X) = (X + \alpha)(X + \alpha^{-q})$. Therefore, regardless of whether $U(X)$ has degree 1 or 2, the polynomial $C(X)$ is a constant times $(\alpha^q X + 1)(X + \alpha)$. This concludes the proof. \square

Proposition 5.6. *Items (1) and (3) in Theorem 1.3 are equivalent to one another.*

Proof. By Proposition 5.3, item (1) of Theorem 1.3 holds if and only if item (2) of Proposition 5.3 holds. It remains only to show that the polynomials $A(X)$ corresponding to cases (a), (b) and (c) of Proposition 5.3 are precisely the polynomials $A(X)$ satisfying items (1), (2), (3) of Theorem 1.2, respectively.

First consider case (a). By Lemma 2.8, the degree-one $\rho, \sigma \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}(X)$ which map μ_{q+1} to $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$ are

$$\sigma(X) := \frac{\alpha X + \alpha^q}{X + 1} \circ \gamma X \quad \text{and} \quad \rho(X) := \frac{\beta X + \beta^q}{X + 1} \circ \lambda X$$

with $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \setminus \mathbb{F}_q$ and $\gamma, \lambda \in \mu_{q+1}$. Then

$$\lambda X \circ \rho^{-1} \circ X^{Q+1} \circ \sigma \circ \gamma^{-1} X = \frac{(\alpha X + \alpha^q)^{Q+1} + \beta^q (X + 1)^{Q+1}}{(\alpha X + \alpha^q)^{Q+1} + \beta (X + 1)^{Q+1}},$$

whose denominator is an element of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$ times

$$(\alpha^{Q+1} + \beta)X^{Q+1} + (\alpha^{q+Q} + \beta)X^Q + (\alpha^{qQ+1} + \beta)X + (\alpha^{qQ+q} + \beta).$$

Thus case (a) of Proposition 5.3 yields precisely the polynomials $A(X) = \delta A_0(\gamma X)$ where $\gamma \in \mu_{q+1}$, $\delta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$, and $k, \ell, A_0(X)$ satisfy case (1) of Theorem 1.2.

Next consider case (b). By Lemma 2.7, the degree-one rational functions in $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}(X)$ which permute μ_{q+1} are γX and $(\alpha^q X + 1)/(X + \alpha) \circ \gamma X$ with $\gamma \in \mu_{q+1}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \setminus \mu_{q+1}$. Now put $g(X) := \rho^{-1} \circ X^{Q+1} \circ \sigma$ where $\rho, \sigma \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}(X)$ are degree-one rational functions permuting μ_{q+1} . By replacing $\rho(X)$ and $\sigma(X)$ by $1/\rho(X)$ and $1/\sigma(X)$ if necessary, we may assume that $\sigma(0) \neq 0$, and that if $\sigma(0) \neq \infty$ then $\rho(0) \neq 0$. Then the possibilities for $g(X)$, up to replacing $g(X)$ by $\lambda g(\gamma^{-1} X)$ with $\gamma, \lambda \in \mu_{q+1}$, are $1/X^{Q+1}$ and

$$\frac{\beta^q (\alpha^q X + 1)^{Q+1} + (X + \alpha)^{Q+1}}{(\alpha^q X + 1)^{Q+1} + \beta (X + \alpha)^{Q+1}}$$

with $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \setminus \mu_{q+1}$. Thus case (b) of Proposition 5.3 yields precisely the polynomials $A(X) = \delta A_0(\gamma X)$ where $\gamma \in \mu_{q+1}$, $\delta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$, and $k, \ell, A_0(X)$ satisfy case (2) of Theorem 1.2.

Finally, consider case (c), so that $\text{ord}_2(k) \geq \text{ord}_2(\ell)$. The rational functions $g(X)$ in this case are $g(X) = \rho^{-1} \circ X^{Q-1} \circ \sigma$ for degree-one $\rho, \sigma \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}(X)$ which permute μ_{q+1} and satisfy $\sigma(\{\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\alpha}^{-q}\}) = \{0, \infty\}$ for some $\tilde{\alpha} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. By replacing $\rho(X)$ and $\sigma(X)$ by $1/\rho(X)$ and $1/\sigma(X)$ if necessary, we may assume that either $\rho(\infty), \sigma(\infty) \neq \infty$ or both $\rho(\infty) = \infty$ and $\sigma(\infty) = 0$.

The degree-one $\rho, \sigma \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}(X)$ which permute μ_{q+1} and satisfy $\rho(\infty) = \infty$ and $\sigma(\infty) = 0$ are $\rho(X) = X/\lambda$ and $\sigma(X) = \gamma/X$ with $\gamma, \lambda \in \mu_{q+1}$. Here $\sigma^{-1}(\{\infty, 0\}) = \{\infty, 0\}$, which equals $\{\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\alpha}^{-q}\}$ with $\tilde{\alpha} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ if and only if $\tilde{\alpha} = 0$. Thus the possibilities for $g(X)$ when $\rho(\infty) = \infty$ and $\sigma(\infty) = 0$ are

$$\lambda X \circ \frac{1}{X^{Q-1}} \circ \frac{X}{\gamma} = \frac{\lambda \gamma^{Q-1}}{X^{Q-1}}.$$

It follows that the possibilities for $A, B \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}[X]$ where $g(X) = B(X)/A(X)$ and $\text{gcd}(A(X), B(X)) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^* \cdot (\tilde{\alpha}^q X + 1)(X + \tilde{\alpha})$ are precisely $A(X) = \delta X^Q$ and $B(X) = \delta \lambda \gamma^{Q-1} X$ with $\delta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$, so that $B(X) = X^{Q+1} A^{(q)}(1/X)$ if

and only if $\delta^{q-1} = \lambda\gamma^{Q-1}$. Thus the possibilities for $A(X)$ when $B(X) = X^{Q+1}A^{(q)}(1/X)$ are δX^Q with $\delta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$.

The degree-one rational functions $\rho, \sigma \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}(X)$ which permute μ_{q+1} and satisfy $\rho(\infty), \sigma(\infty) \neq \infty$ are $\rho(X) = (\beta X + 1)/(X + \beta^q) \circ \lambda^{-1}X$ and $\sigma(X) = (\alpha^q X + 1)/(X + \alpha) \circ \gamma X$ with $\gamma, \lambda \in \mu_{q+1}$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \setminus \mu_{q+1}$. Thus $\{\gamma^{-1}\alpha, \gamma^{-1}\alpha^{-q}\} = \sigma^{-1}(\{\infty, 0\})$, which equals $\{\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\alpha}^{-q}\}$ if and only if $\tilde{\alpha} \in \{\gamma^{-1}\alpha, \gamma^{-1}\alpha^{-q}\}$. The possibilities for $g(X)$ in this case are

$$\lambda X \circ \frac{\beta^q(\alpha^q X + 1)^{Q-1} + (X + \alpha)^{Q-1}}{(\alpha^q X + 1)^{Q-1} + \beta(X + \alpha)^{Q-1}} \circ \gamma X.$$

It follows that the possibilities for $A, B \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}[X]$ where $g(X) = B(X)/A(X)$ and $\gcd(A(X), B(X)) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^* \cdot (\tilde{\alpha}^q X + 1)(X + \tilde{\alpha})$ are

$$\begin{aligned} A(X) &= \delta(\gamma X + \alpha)(\alpha^q \gamma X + 1) \cdot \left((\alpha^q \gamma X + 1)^{Q-1} + \beta(\gamma X + \alpha)^{Q-1} \right) \\ &= \delta \left((\gamma X + \alpha)(\alpha^q \gamma X + 1)^Q + \beta(\alpha^q \gamma X + 1)(\gamma X + \alpha)^Q \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$B(X) = \delta \lambda \left(\beta^q(\gamma X + \alpha)(\alpha^q \gamma X + 1)^Q + (\alpha^q \gamma X + 1)(\gamma X + \alpha)^Q \right)$$

with $\delta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$. Here $B(X) = X^{Q+1}A^{(q)}(1/X)$ if and only if $\delta^{q-1} = \lambda\gamma^{Q+1}$, so the possibilities for $A(X)$ when $B(X) = X^{Q+1}A^{(q)}(1/X)$ are precisely the polynomials $\delta A_0(\gamma X)$ with $\delta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$, $\gamma \in \mu_{q+1}$, and $A_0(X)$ occurring in case (3) of Theorem 1.2 with $A_0(X) \neq X^Q$. \square

We conclude this section with a proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By Lemma 2.9 and Lemma 2.10, $X^r A(X^{q-1})$ permutes \mathbb{F}_{q^2} if and only if $\gcd(r, q-1) = 1$, $A(X)$ has no roots in μ_{q+1} , and $g(X) := X^{Q+1}A^{(q)}(1/X)/A(X)$ permutes μ_{q+1} . Now the result follows from Proposition 5.6. \square

6. RESTATING CONDITIONS IN TERMS OF COEFFICIENTS

In this section we give conditions on the coefficients of $A(X)$ which are equivalent to the condition $U(X) \mid A(X)$ occurring in item (B2) of Theorem 3.1. We will use these conditions in the next section to prove Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.3. We use the following notation throughout this section:

- $q = 2^k$ and $Q = 2^\ell$ where k and ℓ are positive integers,
- $m := 2^{\text{ord}_2(\gcd(k, \ell))}$,
- a, b, c, d are elements of \mathbb{F}_{q^2} for which $e := a^{q+1} + b^{q+1} + c^{q+1} + d^{q+1}$ is nonzero and

$$(6.1) \quad (ab^q + cd^q)^Q = e^{Q-1}(ac^q + bd^q),$$

- $A(X) := aX^{Q+1} + bX^Q + cX + d$,
- $U(X) := (ab^q + cd^q)X^2 + eX + a^q b + c^q d$,

- if s is a power of 2 and t is a power of s then we define $\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_t/\mathbb{F}_s}(X)$ to be the polynomial $X + X^s + X^{s^2} + X^{s^3} + \dots + X^{t/s}$,
- $\zeta := \frac{(ab^q + cd^q)^{q+1}}{e^2}$, $\eta := \frac{b^{q+1} + c^{q+1}}{e}$, and $\theta := \eta + \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_Q/\mathbb{F}_2}(\zeta)$.

Lemma 6.2. *Suppose that $\{b, c, d\} \neq \{0\}$. Then $U(X) \mid A(X)$ if and only if $\theta = 1$.*

Proof. First suppose $\deg(U) \neq 2$. Then $U(X) = eX$ and $ab^q = cd^q$, so by (6.1) we have $ac^q = bd^q$. Here $\zeta = 0$, so that $\eta + \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_Q/\mathbb{F}_2}(\zeta)$ equals 1 if and only if $a^{q+1} = d^{q+1}$. If $a^{q+1} = d^{q+1}$ then since $e \neq 0$ we have $b^{q+1} \neq c^{q+1}$; since the $(q+1)$ -th power of $ab^q = cd^q$ implies that $(ab)^{q+1} = (cd)^{q+1}$, it follows that $d = 0$. Conversely, if $d = 0$ then since $\{b, c\} \neq \{0\}$ and $ab^q = 0 = ac^q$ we conclude that $a = 0$. Thus $a^{q+1} = d^{q+1}$ if and only if $d = 0$. This yields the desired conclusion, since plainly $d = 0$ if and only if $U(X)$ divides $A(X)$.

Henceforth suppose $\deg(U) = 2$. Then $ab^q \neq cd^q$, which by (6.1) implies that $ac^q \neq bd^q$. The hypothesis $e \neq 0$ implies that $U(X)$ has two distinct roots in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$. Thus $U(X) \mid A(X)$ if and only if each root α of $U(X)$ satisfies $A(\alpha) = 0$. Writing

$$\beta := \frac{ab^q + cd^q}{e}\alpha,$$

the condition $U(\alpha) = 0$ says that $\beta^2 + \beta = \zeta$. Applying $\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_Q/\mathbb{F}_2}(X)$ to both sides yields

$$\beta^Q + \beta = \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_Q/\mathbb{F}_2}(\zeta),$$

or equivalently

$$\alpha^Q \frac{(ab^q + cd^q)^Q}{e^Q} + \alpha \frac{ab^q + cd^q}{e} = \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_Q/\mathbb{F}_2}(\zeta).$$

By (6.1) we have

$$\frac{(ab^q + cd^q)^Q}{e^Q} = \frac{ac^q + bd^q}{e}.$$

Now

$$A(\alpha) = (a\alpha + b)\alpha^Q + c\alpha + d$$

so that

$$A(\alpha) \frac{ac^q + bd^q}{e} = (a\alpha + b) \left(\alpha \frac{ab^q + cd^q}{e} + \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_Q/\mathbb{F}_2}(\zeta) \right) + (c\alpha + d) \frac{ac^q + bd^q}{e}.$$

Since $ac^q \neq bd^q$, it follows that $A(\alpha) = 0$ if and only if $P(\alpha) = 0$, where

$$\begin{aligned} P(X) := & X^2 a \frac{ab^q + cd^q}{e} + X \left(b \frac{ab^q + cd^q}{e} + c \frac{ac^q + bd^q}{e} + a \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_Q/\mathbb{F}_2}(x) \right) \\ & + d \frac{ac^q + bd^q}{e} + b \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_Q/\mathbb{F}_2}(\zeta). \end{aligned}$$

Since $U(X)$ has two distinct roots, and $\deg(U) \geq \deg(P)$, it follows that $U(X) \mid A(X)$ if and only if $P(X) = \gamma U(X)$ for some $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. By comparing

degree-2 coefficients, we see that $\gamma = a/e$. Thus $U(X) \mid A(X)$ if and only if both

$$a = b \frac{ab^q + cd^q}{e} + c \frac{ac^q + bd^q}{e} + a \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_Q/\mathbb{F}_2}(\zeta)$$

and

$$a \frac{a^q b + c^q d}{e} = d \frac{ac^q + bd^q}{e} + b \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_Q/\mathbb{F}_2}(\zeta),$$

or equivalently both

$$a(1 + \eta) = a \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_Q/\mathbb{F}_2}(\zeta)$$

and

$$b(1 + \eta) = b \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_Q/\mathbb{F}_2}(\zeta).$$

At least one of a or b is nonzero since $ac^q \neq bd^q$, so the combination of the above two equations is equivalent to

$$1 + \eta = \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_Q/\mathbb{F}_2}(\zeta),$$

as desired. \square

In the rest of this section we prove further results about ζ , η , and θ . The first step is the following result which determines the possible values of θ .

Lemma 6.3. *The element θ is in \mathbb{F}_2 .*

Proof. By (6.1) we have

$$e^2 \zeta^Q = \frac{(ab^q + cd^q)^{Q(q+1)}}{e^{2Q-2}} = \frac{(ac^q + bd^q)^{q+1}}{e^{2Q-2-(Q-1)(q+1)}} = (ac^q + bd^q)^{q+1},$$

where the last equality holds because $e \in \mathbb{F}_q$. It is easy to check that

$$(ac^q + bd^q)^{q+1} + (ab^q + cd^q)^{q+1} = (a^{q+1} + d^{q+1}) \cdot (b^{q+1} + c^{q+1}),$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} e^2(\theta^2 + \theta) &= e^2(\zeta^Q + \zeta + \eta^2 + \eta) \\ &= (ac^q + bd^q)^{q+1} + (ab^q + cd^q)^{q+1} + (b^{q+1} + c^{q+1})^2 + e(b^{q+1} + c^{q+1}) \\ &= (a^{q+1} + d^{q+1} + b^{q+1} + c^{q+1} + e) \cdot (b^{q+1} + c^{q+1}) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\theta^2 + \theta = 0$ and thus $\theta \in \mathbb{F}_2$. \square

Lemma 6.4. *Pick $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and write $\beta := \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_{2^m}}(\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_Q/\mathbb{F}_2}(\alpha))$.*

- (1) *If $\operatorname{ord}_2(k) < \operatorname{ord}_2(\ell)$ then $\beta = 0$.*
- (2) *If $\operatorname{ord}_2(k) \geq \operatorname{ord}_2(\ell)$ then $\beta = \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_2}(\alpha)$.*

Proof. Since the polynomials $\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_{2^m}}(X)$ and $\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_Q/\mathbb{F}_2}(X)$ commute under composition, we have $\beta = \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_Q/\mathbb{F}_2}(\gamma)$ where $\gamma := \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_{2^m}}(\alpha)$. Since $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$, we have

$$\beta = \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{2^m}/\mathbb{F}_2}(\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_Q/\mathbb{F}_{2^m}}(\gamma)) = \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{2^m}/\mathbb{F}_2}\left(\frac{\ell}{m}\gamma\right).$$

Thus if $\text{ord}_2(k) < \text{ord}_2(\ell)$ then $\beta = 0$, and if $\text{ord}_2(k) \geq \text{ord}_2(\ell)$ then

$$\beta = \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{2^m}/\mathbb{F}_2}(\gamma) = \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{2^m}/\mathbb{F}_2}(\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_{2^m}}(\alpha)) = \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_2}(\alpha). \quad \square$$

The final result in this section gives a connection between traces in different field extensions, which will be useful when we apply Lemma 6.2.

Lemma 6.5. *We have*

$$\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_{2^m}}(\eta) = \begin{cases} \theta & \text{if } \text{ord}_2(k) < \text{ord}_2(\ell), \\ \frac{k}{m}\theta + \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_2}(\zeta) & \text{if } \text{ord}_2(k) \geq \text{ord}_2(\ell). \end{cases}$$

Proof. The definition of θ says that $\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_Q/\mathbb{F}_2}(\zeta) = \theta + \eta$. By applying $\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_{2^m}}(X)$ to both sides, and noting that $\theta \in \mathbb{F}_2$ by Lemma 6.3, we obtain

$$\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_{2^m}}(\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_Q/\mathbb{F}_2}(\zeta)) = \frac{k}{m}\theta + \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_{2^m}}(\eta).$$

Note that ζ is in \mathbb{F}_q , so Lemma 6.4 implies that if $\text{ord}_2(k) < \text{ord}_2(\ell)$ then

$$\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_{2^m}}(\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_Q/\mathbb{F}_2}(\zeta)) = 0,$$

and if $\text{ord}_2(k) \geq \text{ord}_2(\ell)$ then

$$\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_{2^m}}(\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_Q/\mathbb{F}_2}(\zeta)) = \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_2}(\zeta).$$

The result follows. \square

7. PROOF OF THEOREMS 1.1 AND 1.3

In this section we prove Theorem 1.3, which implies Theorem 1.1 in light of Lemmas 2.9 and 2.10.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. By Proposition 5.6, items (1) and (3) of Theorem 1.3 are equivalent, so we need only show that items (1) and (2) are equivalent. We use the following notation:

- $q := p^k$ and $Q := p^\ell$ where p is prime and $k, \ell > 0$,
- $m := p^{\text{ord}_2(\text{gcd}(k, \ell))}$,
- $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ are not all zero,
- $A(X) := aX^{Q+1} + bX^Q + cX + d$,
- $B(X) := d^q X^{Q+1} + c^q X^Q + b^q X + a^q$,
- $g(X) := B(X)/A(X)$.

First suppose that

$$(7.1) \quad A(X) \text{ has no roots in } \mu_{q+1}$$

and

$$(7.2) \quad g(X) \text{ permutes } \mu_{q+1}.$$

By Proposition 5.3 it follows that q is even and

$$(7.3) \quad g(X) = \rho \circ X^n \circ \sigma \text{ for some } n \in \{Q-1, Q+1\} \text{ and some degree-one } \rho, \sigma \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q(X).$$

Then part (B) of Theorem 3.1 implies that both of the following hold:

$$(7.4) \quad e := a^{q+1} + b^{q+1} + c^{q+1} + d^{q+1} \text{ is nonzero,}$$

$$(7.5) \quad (ab^q + cd^q)^Q = e^{Q-1}(ac^q + bd^q).$$

Conversely, we assume henceforth that q is even and both (7.4) and (7.5) hold. It remains only to show that the combination of (7.1), (7.2), and (7.3) is equivalent to

$$(7.6) \quad \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_{2^m}} \left(\frac{b^{q+1} + c^{q+1}}{e} \right) = \frac{\mathrm{lcm}(k, \ell)}{m}.$$

By part (B) of Theorem 3.1, the combination of (7.1) and (7.3) is equivalent to

$$(7.7) \quad \text{either } U(X) \nmid A(X) \text{ or } U(X) \text{ has no roots in } \mu_{q+1}, \text{ where}$$

$$U(X) := (ab^q + cd^q)X^2 + eX + (ab^q + cd^q)^q.$$

Write

$$\zeta := \frac{(ab^q + cd^q)^{q+1}}{e^2},$$

$$\eta := \frac{b^{q+1} + c^{q+1}}{e},$$

$$\theta := \eta + \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_Q/\mathbb{F}_2}(\zeta),$$

$$W(X) := (bc + ad)X^2 + eX + (bc + ad)^q,$$

where we maintain the convention from the previous section that $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_Q/\mathbb{F}_2}(X)$ denotes the polynomial $X^{Q/2} + X^{Q/4} + \dots + X$, and hence can be evaluated at elements of $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$. Let Λ be the union of the set of roots of $W(X)$ in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ and the set consisting of $(2 - \deg(W))$ copies of ∞ . Since $e \neq 0$, we know that $W(X)$ has $\deg(W)$ roots, so that $|\Lambda| = 2$.

Suppose $\{b, c, d\} = \{0\}$. Then (7.7) holds since $U(X) = eX$ has no roots in μ_{q+1} , so we must show that (7.6) is equivalent to (7.2). Here (7.6) holds if and only if $\mathrm{ord}_2(k) \neq \mathrm{ord}_2(\ell)$, which by Lemma 5.2 says that $\mathrm{gcd}(Q + 1, q + 1) = 1$, or equivalently $g(X) = a^{q-1}/X^{Q+1}$ permutes μ_{q+1} .

Now suppose that $\{b, c, d\} \neq \{0\}$ and (7.7) does not hold. Then $U(X) \mid A(X)$ and $U(X)$ has roots in μ_{q+1} , which by Lemmas 6.2 and 2.4 implies that $\theta = 1$ and $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_2}(\zeta) = 1$. Now Lemma 6.5 shows that if $\mathrm{ord}_2(k) < \mathrm{ord}_2(\ell)$ then $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_{2^m}}(\eta) = 1 \neq \mathrm{lcm}(k, \ell)/m$, and if $\mathrm{ord}_2(k) \geq \mathrm{ord}_2(\ell)$ then $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_{2^m}}(\eta) = 1 + k/m \neq \mathrm{lcm}(k, \ell)/m$. In either case, (7.6) does not hold, so that both (7.6) and (7.7) do not hold.

Henceforth suppose that $\{b, c, d\} \neq \{0\}$ and (7.7) holds. Thus also (7.1) and (7.3) hold, so it remains to show that (7.6) is equivalent to (7.2). Here either $U(X) \nmid A(X)$ or $U(X)$ has no roots in μ_{q+1} , and part (C) of Theorem 3.1 shows that

$$(7.8) \quad \text{each element of } \Lambda \text{ has a unique } g\text{-preimage in } \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q),$$

$$(7.9) \quad \text{each root of } U(X) \text{ in } \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q) \text{ is the unique } g\text{-preimage of some } \beta \in \Lambda,$$

(7.10) $n = Q - 1$ if and only if $U(X) \mid A(X)$.

Lemmas 6.2 and 6.3 show that $\theta = 1$ if $U(X) \mid A(X)$ and $\theta = 0$ otherwise, so by (7.10) we have $n = Q - 1$ if $\theta = 1$ and $n = Q + 1$ if $\theta = 0$.

Suppose that $n = Q - 1$, so that $\theta = 1$ and $U(X) \mid A(X)$. Then (7.7) implies that $U(X)$ has no roots in μ_{q+1} , which by Lemma 2.4 yields $\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_2}(\zeta) = 0$. By Lemma 6.5, if $\text{ord}_2(k) < \text{ord}_2(\ell)$ then $\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_{2^m}}(\eta) = 1$, and if $\text{ord}_2(k) \geq \text{ord}_2(\ell)$ then $\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_{2^m}}(\eta) = k/m$. Thus (7.6) holds if and only if $\text{ord}_2(k) \geq \text{ord}_2(\ell)$, which by Lemma 5.2 is equivalent to $\gcd(Q - 1, q + 1) = 1$. Since $U(X)$ has no roots in μ_{q+1} , by (7.9) and Lemma 5.1 we see that (7.6) and (7.2) are equivalent.

The remaining possibility is $n = Q + 1$, so that $\theta = 0$ and $U(X) \nmid A(X)$. By Lemma 6.5, if $\text{ord}_2(k) < \text{ord}_2(\ell)$ then $\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_{2^m}}(\eta) = 0$, and if $\text{ord}_2(k) \geq \text{ord}_2(\ell)$ then $\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_{2^m}}(\eta) = \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_2}(\zeta)$.

If $U(X)$ has roots in μ_{q+1} then $\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_2}(\zeta) = 1$, and Lemma 5.1 implies that (7.2) holds if and only if $\gcd(Q + 1, q - 1) = 1$. By Lemma 5.2 this is equivalent to $\text{ord}_2(k) \leq \text{ord}_2(\ell)$, which by the previous paragraph is equivalent to (7.6).

Finally, if $U(X)$ has no roots in μ_{q+1} then $\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_2}(\zeta) = 0$, and Lemma 5.1 implies that (7.2) holds if and only if $\gcd(Q + 1, q + 1) = 1$. By Lemma 5.2 this is equivalent to $\text{ord}_2(k) \neq \text{ord}_2(\ell)$, which as above is equivalent to (7.6). \square

8. A GENERAL POLYNOMIAL IDENTITY

In this section we prove an identity involving bivariate polynomials. This identity seems interesting for its own sake, and in addition we use it in the next section to prove the conjecture from [30, Rem. 2] about permutations of $\mathbb{F}_q \times \mathbb{F}_q$. Unexpectedly, this identity provides a new proof of a result of Cusick and Müller [4] about the functions $\mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$ induced by certain polynomials that are *not* permutation polynomials.

Throughout this section we use the following notation:

- q is a prime power,
- n is a positive integer,
- Δ is the set of all elements $(w^q - w)^{q-1}$ with $w \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n} \setminus \mathbb{F}_q$.

The main result in this section is as follows.

Theorem 8.1. *The following identity holds in $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}[X, Y]$:*

$$(8.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & X^{q^n-1} - 1 + \prod_{z \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*} (1 + zX - z^q Y) \\ &= -Y \cdot \left(\frac{X^{q^n-1} - Y^{q^n-1}}{X^{\frac{q^n-1}{q-1}} - Y^{\frac{q^n-1}{q-1}}} \right) \cdot \left(Y^{\frac{q^n-q}{q-1}} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} X^{1+\frac{q^n-q^{i+1}}{q-1}} Y^{\frac{q^i-q}{q-1}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We first factor the left side of (8.2) in case $X = 1$.

Lemma 8.3. *We have $|\Delta| = (q^{n-1} - 1)/(q - 1)$ and*

$$\prod_{z \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*} (1 + z - z^q Y) = - \left(\frac{Y^{q^n} - Y}{Y^{\frac{q^n-1}{q-1}} - 1} \right) \cdot \prod_{u \in \Delta} (Y - u)^q.$$

Proof. For any $u \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$, let $\phi_u: \mathbb{F}_{q^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ be the function $z \mapsto uz^q - z$, and note that ϕ_u is a linear map of \mathbb{F}_q -vector spaces. For any $z \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*$, the unique root of $1 + z - z^q Y$ is the unique $u \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ for which $\phi_u(z) = 1$. Thus the multiplicity of any prescribed $u \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ as a root of $\prod_{z \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*} (1 + z - z^q Y)$ equals $e_u := |\phi_u^{-1}(1)|$. We now compute e_u for each $u \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$. This is easy when u is not in $\Omega := \mu_{\frac{q^n-1}{q-1}}$, since then ϕ_u has trivial kernel and hence is bijective, so that $e_u = 1$. Now suppose that $u \in \Omega$, so that $u = v^{q-1}$ for some $v \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*$. Then the kernel of ϕ_u is $\{\alpha/v: \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$, whence e_u is in $\{0, q\}$, with $e_u = q$ if and only if $uz^q - z = 1$ for some $z \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$. Write $w := vz$ to restate the last condition as $w^q - w = v$ for some $w \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$. Since $v \neq 0$, the last condition occurs if and only if $u \in \Delta$. Combining the above conclusions with the equality $\prod_{z \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*} z = -1$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{z \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*} (1 + z - z^q Y) &= - \prod_{u \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}} (Y - u)^{e_u} \\ &= - \prod_{u \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n} \setminus \Omega} (Y - u) \cdot \prod_{u \in \Delta} (Y - u)^q \\ &= - \left(\frac{Y^{q^n} - Y}{Y^{\frac{q^n-1}{q-1}} - 1} \right) \cdot \prod_{u \in \Delta} (Y - u)^q. \end{aligned}$$

The expression for $|\Delta|$ follows upon equating the degrees of the two sides. \square

Lemma 8.3 yields the following reformulation of the main result of [4].

Corollary 8.4. *The following identity holds in $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}[Y]$:*

$$\prod_{z \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}} (Y - (z + 1)z^{q-1}) = Y^2 \cdot \left(\frac{Y^{q^n-1} - 1}{Y^{\frac{q^n-1}{q-1}} - 1} \right) \cdot \prod_{u \in \Delta} (Y - u)^q.$$

Proof. This follows from Lemma 8.3 since

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{z \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*} (Y - (z + 1)z^{q-1}) &= \prod_{z \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*} (Y - z^{q-1} - z^q) \\ &= \prod_{w \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*} (Y - w^{1-q} - w^{-q}) \\ &= \prod_{w \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*} (w^{-q} \cdot (w^q Y - w - 1)) \\ &= - \prod_{w \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*} (w^q Y - w - 1). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 8.5. *The following identity holds in $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}[Y]$:*

$$\prod_{u \in \Delta} (Y - u) = \sum_{i=1}^n Y^{\frac{q^{i-1}-1}{q-1}}.$$

Proof. Since both sides are monic polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}[Y]$ of the same degree, it suffices to show that the left side divides the right side, by showing that each $u \in \Delta$ is a root of $H(Y) := \sum_{i=1}^n Y^{\frac{q^{i-1}-1}{q-1}}$. Pick $u \in \Delta$, so that $u = (w^q - w)^{q-1}$ with $w \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n} \setminus \mathbb{F}_q$, and thus $H(u) = \sum_{i=1}^n (w^q - w)^{q^{i-1}-1}$. Then

$$(w^q - w)H(u) = \sum_{i=1}^n (w^q - w)^{q^{i-1}} = \sum_{i=1}^n (w^{q^i} - w^{q^{i-1}}) = w^{q^n} - w = 0,$$

so since $w \notin \mathbb{F}_q$ we conclude that $H(u) = 0$. \square

We now prove Theorem 8.1.

Proof of Theorem 8.1. Since each side of (8.2) is a polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}[X, Y]$ having X -degree less than $q^n - 1$, it suffices to show that, for each of the $q^n - 1$ values $u \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*$, we obtain an equality in $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}[Y]$ when we substitute u for X in (8.2). Pick any $u \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*$, and put $Z := u^{-q}Y$. Then the left side of (8.2) becomes $\prod_{z \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*} (1 + zu - z^q u^q Z)$; upon substituting $w := zu$, it follows from Lemma 8.3 and 8.5 that this equals

$$(8.6) \quad - \left(\frac{Z^{q^n} - Z}{Z^{\frac{q^n-1}{q-1}} - 1} \right) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n Z^{\frac{q^i-q}{q-1}}.$$

After our substitutions, the right side of (8.2) becomes

$$-u^q Z \cdot \left(\frac{1 - Z^{q^n-1}}{u^{\frac{q^n-1}{q-1}} - (u^q Z)^{\frac{q^n-1}{q-1}}} \right) \cdot \left((u^q Z)^{\frac{q^n-q}{q-1}} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} u^{1+\frac{q^n-q^{i+1}}{q-1}} (u^q Z)^{\frac{q^i-q}{q-1}} \right).$$

Since $u^{(q^n-1)/(q-1)}$ is in \mathbb{F}_q^* , it equals its q -th power, so the above expression becomes

$$-u^q Z \cdot \left(\frac{1 - Z^{q^n-1}}{u^{\frac{q^n-1}{q-1}} - (uZ)^{\frac{q^n-1}{q-1}}} \right) \cdot \left(u^{\frac{q^n-1}{q-1}-q} Z^{\frac{q^n-q}{q-1}} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} u^{1+\frac{q^n-q^2}{q-1}} Z^{\frac{q^i-q}{q-1}} \right),$$

which equals (8.6). \square

9. RESOLUTION OF EIGHT CONJECTURES AND OPEN PROBLEMS

In this section we resolve eight conjectures and open problems from the literature. The following result generalizes the combination of [32, Open Question 1] and [32, Thm. 1(1)]:

Corollary 9.1. *Put $q := 2^k$ and $Q := 2^\ell$ where k and ℓ are odd positive integers, and write $A(X) := aX^{Q+1} + bX^Q + cX + d$ with $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. Then $f(X) := X^{Q+1}A(X^{q^{-1}})$ permutes \mathbb{F}_{q^2} if and only if all of the following hold:*

$$(9.1.1) \quad e := a^{q+1} + b^{q+1} + c^{q+1} + d^{q+1} \text{ is nonzero};$$

$$(9.1.2) \quad (a^q b + c^q d)^Q = e^{Q-1}(a^q c + b^q d);$$

$$(9.1.3) \quad \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_2} \left(\frac{b^{q+1} + c^{q+1}}{e} \right) = 1.$$

Proof. Since k is odd, Lemma 5.2 implies that $\gcd(Q+1, q-1) = 1$. By Theorem 1.1, $f(X)$ permutes \mathbb{F}_{q^2} if and only if (9.1.1) and (9.1.3) hold and the q -th power of (9.1.2) holds. Plainly (9.1.2) holds if and only if its q -th power holds, so the result follows. \square

Next we show that the sufficient conditions in [46, Thm. 1.1] are both necessary and sufficient, as was conjectured in [46, pp. 5 and 20]:

Corollary 9.2. *Let k and ℓ be positive integers and write $m := 2^{\mathrm{ord}_2(\gcd(k, \ell))}$. Suppose that $q := 2^k$ and $Q := 2^\ell$ satisfy $\gcd(Q-1, q+1) = 1$. Write $A(X) := 1 + bX + aX^v + dX^u$ where $a, b, d \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ and u, v are positive integers such that $u(Q-1) \equiv q \pmod{q+1}$ and $v(Q-1) \equiv Q \pmod{q+1}$. Then $f(X) := XA(X^{q^{-1}})$ permutes \mathbb{F}_{q^2} if and only if all of the following hold:*

$$(9.2.1) \quad e := 1 + a^{q+1} + b^{q+1} + d^{q+1} \text{ is nonzero};$$

$$(9.2.2) \quad (ab^q + d^q)^Q = e^{Q-1}(a + bd^q);$$

$$(9.2.3) \quad \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_{2^m}} \left(\frac{a^{q+1} + d^{q+1}}{e} \right) = 0.$$

Proof. We first restate (9.2.3). The hypothesis $\gcd(Q-1, q+1) = 1$ says $\mathrm{ord}_2(k) \geq \mathrm{ord}_2(\ell)$ by Lemma 5.2, so that $k/m \equiv \mathrm{lcm}(k, \ell)/m \pmod{2}$. Since $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_{2^m}}(1) = k/m = \mathrm{lcm}(k, \ell)/m$ and $1 + (a^{q+1} + d^{q+1})/e = (b^{q+1} + 1)/e$, it follows that (9.2.3) holds if and only if $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_{2^m}}((b^{q+1} + 1)/e) = \mathrm{lcm}(k, \ell)/m$. Let s be a positive integer such that $s \equiv Q-1 \pmod{q+1}$ and $\gcd(s, q-1) = 1$, so that $\gcd(s, q^2-1) = 1$. Then $f(X)$ permutes \mathbb{F}_{q^2} if and only if $f(X^s)$ permutes \mathbb{F}_{q^2} . Since

$$A(X^s) \equiv 1 + bX^{Q-1} + aX^Q + dX^q \pmod{X^{q+1} + 1},$$

we see that $f(X^s)$ induces the same function on \mathbb{F}_{q^2} as does $f_1(X) := X^r A_1(X^{q^{-1}})$, where $r := s + q^2 - q$ and $A_1(X) := aX^{Q+1} + bX^Q + X + d$. Note that $r \equiv s \pmod{q-1}$ so that $\gcd(r, q-1) = 1$, and also $r \equiv Q+1 \pmod{q+1}$. By Theorem 1.1, $f_1(X)$ permutes \mathbb{F}_{q^2} if and only if (9.2.1) holds, (9.2.2) holds, and our restatement of (9.2.3) holds. \square

Next we show that the sufficient conditions in [46, Thm. 1.3] are both necessary and sufficient, as was conjectured in [46, pp. 5 and 20]:

Corollary 9.3. *Let k and ℓ be positive integers and write $m := 2^{\mathrm{ord}_2(\gcd(k, \ell))}$. Suppose that $q := 2^k$ and $Q := 2^\ell$ satisfy $\gcd(Q+1, q+1) = 1$. Write*

$A(X) := 1 + aX + bX^v + cX^u$ where $a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ and u, v are positive integers such that $u(Q+1) \equiv 1 \pmod{q+1}$ and $v(Q+1) \equiv Q \pmod{q+1}$. Then $f(X) := XA(X^{q-1})$ permutes \mathbb{F}_{q^2} if and only if all of the following hold:

$$(9.3.1) \quad e := 1 + a^{q+1} + b^{q+1} + c^{q+1} \text{ is nonzero;}$$

$$(9.3.2) \quad (a^q b + c^q)^Q = e^{Q-1}(a^q c + b^q);$$

$$(9.3.3) \quad \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_{2m}}\left(\frac{b^{q+1} + c^{q+1}}{e}\right) = 0.$$

Proof. The hypothesis $\gcd(Q+1, q+1) = 1$ says $\text{ord}_2(k) \neq \text{ord}_2(\ell)$ by Lemma 5.2, so that $\text{lcm}(k, \ell)/m \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. Let r be a positive integer such that $r \equiv Q+1 \pmod{q+1}$ and $\gcd(r, q-1) = 1$, so that $\gcd(r, q^2-1) = 1$. Thus $f(X)$ permutes \mathbb{F}_{q^2} if and only if $f(X^r)$ permutes \mathbb{F}_{q^2} . Since

$$A(X^r) \equiv aX^{Q+1} + bX^Q + cX + 1 \pmod{X^{q+1} + 1},$$

we see that $f(X^r)$ induces the same function on \mathbb{F}_{q^2} as does $f_1(X) := X^r A_1(X^{q-1})$, where $A_1(X) := aX^{Q+1} + bX^Q + cX + 1$. By Theorem 1.1, $f_1(X)$ permutes \mathbb{F}_{q^2} if and only if (9.3.1), (9.3.3), and the q -th power of (9.3.2) all hold (and of course (9.3.2) is equivalent to its q -th power). \square

The next result generalizes the combination of [45, Open problem 2] and [45, Thm. 3.3]:

Corollary 9.4. *Let k and ℓ be positive integers and write $m := 2^{\text{ord}_2(\gcd(k, \ell))}$. Suppose that $q := 2^k$ and $Q := 2^\ell$ satisfy $\gcd(Q+1, q+1) = 1$. Write $A(X) := 1 + bX^v + cX^u$ where $b, c \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ and u, v are positive integers such that $u(Q+1) \equiv 1 \pmod{q+1}$ and $v(Q+1) \equiv Q \pmod{q+1}$. Then $f(X) := XA(X^{q-1})$ permutes \mathbb{F}_{q^2} if and only if all of the following hold:*

$$(9.4.1) \quad e := 1 + b^{q+1} + c^{q+1} \text{ is nonzero;}$$

$$(9.4.2) \quad c^Q = e^{Q-1}b;$$

$$(9.4.3) \quad \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_{2m}}\left(\frac{1}{e}\right) = \frac{k}{m}.$$

Proof. We first rewrite (9.4.3). Since $\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_{2m}}(1) = k/m$ and $1 + 1/e = (b^{q+1} + c^{q+1})/e$, we see that (9.4.3) holds if and only if $\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_{2m}}((b^{q+1} + c^{q+1})/e) = 0$. Now the case $a = 0$ of Corollary 9.3 says that $f(X)$ permutes \mathbb{F}_{q^2} if and only if (9.4.1) and (9.4.3) hold and the q -th power of (9.4.2) holds (or equivalently (9.4.2) holds). \square

The next result shows that the sufficient conditions in [30, Thm. 1] are both necessary and sufficient, as was conjectured in [30, Rem. 2]:

Corollary 9.5. *Let k and ℓ be coprime positive integers with k odd, and write $q := 2^k$ and $Q := 2^\ell$. Write $R(X, Y) := (X + \alpha Y)^{Q+1} + (\beta Y)^{Q+1}$ with $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Then the function $\psi: (x, y) \mapsto (R(x, y), R(y, x))$ induces a permutation of $\mathbb{F}_q \times \mathbb{F}_q$ if and only if $\alpha^2 + \alpha\beta + \beta^2 = 1$.*

The first part of our proof of Corollary 9.5 also shows that the sufficient conditions in [23, Thm. 1] are both necessary and sufficient. This implies the

following refinement of [23, Conj. 19], in which the hypothesis on boomerang uniformity in this conjecture has been removed:

Corollary 9.6. *Under the hypotheses and notation of Corollary 9.5, the function ψ permutes $\mathbb{F}_q \times \mathbb{F}_q$ if and only if $\beta \neq \alpha + 1$ and $u^Q = e^{Q-1}v$, where*

$$\begin{aligned} e &:= (\alpha + 1)^{2Q+2} + \beta^{2Q+2}, \\ u &:= (\alpha + 1)^{2Q+2} + \alpha^{2Q+1} + \alpha + \alpha^Q \beta^{Q+1} + \beta^{2Q+2}, \\ v &:= (\alpha + 1)^{2Q+2} + \alpha^{Q+2} + \alpha^Q + \alpha \beta^{Q+1} + \beta^{2Q+2}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 9.7. In Corollary 9.6 we write β^{Q+1} for the quantity which is called β in [23]. This does not cause any loss of generality, since the hypothesis that k is odd implies that $\gcd(q-1, Q+1) = 1$, so that the $(Q+1)$ -th power map permutes \mathbb{F}_q .

We will prove Corollaries 9.5 and 9.6 simultaneously. Our proof yields an alternate proof of [31, Thm. 2(1)]. Conversely, our proof of Corollary 9.5 could be shortened a bit by using [31, Thm. 2(1)]. We chose to keep our current proof because it involves the unexpected connection with value sets of non-permutation polynomials from the previous section.

Proof of Corollaries 9.5 and 9.6. We first reduce to the case that ℓ is odd. Writing $\tilde{\ell} := k + \ell$ and $\tilde{Q} := 2^{\tilde{\ell}}$, if we replace ℓ by $\tilde{\ell}$ in the corollaries then we replace $R(X, Y)$ by $\tilde{R}(X, Y) := (X + \alpha Y)^{\tilde{Q}+1} + (\beta Y)^{\tilde{Q}+1}$. Since $R(X, Y)$ and $\tilde{R}(X, Y)$ induce the same function on $\mathbb{F}_q \times \mathbb{F}_q$, it follows that ψ permutes $\mathbb{F}_q \times \mathbb{F}_q$ if and only if $\tilde{\psi}: (x, y) \mapsto (R(x, y)^{\tilde{Q}}, R(y, x)^{\tilde{Q}})$ does. Thus the corollaries are true for ℓ if and only if they are true when we replace ℓ by $\tilde{\ell}$. If ℓ is even then $\tilde{\ell}$ is odd, so we may assume in what follows that ℓ is odd.

Pick an order-3 element $\omega \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. Since k is odd, the elements 1 and ω form a basis for \mathbb{F}_{q^2} as an \mathbb{F}_q -vector base. Thus the map $\varphi: (x, y) \mapsto x + \omega y$ is a bijection $\varphi: \mathbb{F}_q \times \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$, so that ψ permutes $\mathbb{F}_q \times \mathbb{F}_q$ if and only if $\hat{\psi} := \varphi \circ \psi \circ \varphi^{-1}$ permutes \mathbb{F}_{q^2} . Writing any $z \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ as $x + \omega y$ with $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_q$, we have $z^q = x + \omega^2 y$ so that $z + z^q = y$ and $\omega^2 z + \omega z^q = x$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\psi}(z) &= (x + \alpha y)^{Q+1} + (\beta y)^{Q+1} + \omega(y + \alpha x)^{Q+1} + \omega(\beta x)^{Q+1} \\ &= (1 + \omega \alpha^{Q+1} + \omega \beta^{Q+1})x^{Q+1} + (\alpha + \omega \alpha^Q)x^Q y + (\alpha^Q + \omega \alpha)xy^Q \\ &\quad + (\alpha^{Q+1} + \beta^{Q+1} + \omega)y^{Q+1}, \end{aligned}$$

which equals $\omega^2 az^{qQ+q} + \omega bz^{qQ+1} + cz^{q+Q} + \omega^2 dz^{Q+1}$ where

$$\begin{aligned} a &:= \alpha^{Q+1} + \beta^{Q+1} + \alpha^Q + 1, \\ b &:= \alpha^{Q+1} + \beta^{Q+1} + \alpha^Q + \alpha, \\ c &:= \alpha^Q + \alpha + 1, \\ d &:= \alpha^{Q+1} + \beta^{Q+1} + \alpha + 1. \end{aligned}$$

For $z \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ we have $\omega \widehat{\psi}(\omega^2 z) = f(z)$ where

$$f(X) := aX^{qQ+q} + bX^{qQ+1} + cX^{q+Q} + dX^{Q+1}.$$

Since ωX and $\omega^2 X$ permute \mathbb{F}_{q^2} , it follows that $\widehat{\psi}$ permutes \mathbb{F}_{q^2} if and only if $f(X)$ permutes \mathbb{F}_{q^2} . Since k is odd, $r := Q + 1$ is coprime to $q - 1$. Now the case $r = Q + 1$ of Theorem 1.1 says $f(X)$ permutes \mathbb{F}_{q^2} if and only if all of the following hold:

$$\begin{aligned} (9.8.1) \quad & \tilde{e} := a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2 \text{ is nonzero;} \\ (9.8.2) \quad & (ab + cd)^Q = \tilde{e}^{Q-1}(ac + bd); \\ (9.8.3) \quad & \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_2}((b^2 + c^2)/\tilde{e}) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

We compute $\tilde{e} = ((\alpha + 1)^{Q+1} + \beta^{Q+1})^2 = e$, so that (9.8.1) says $(\alpha + 1)^{Q+1} \neq \beta^{Q+1}$. Since $\gcd(q - 1, Q + 1) = 1$, this is equivalent to $\alpha + 1 \neq \beta$. One can check that (9.8.2) is equivalent to the condition $u^Q = e^{Q-1}v$ in Corollary 9.6. Next, if (9.8.1) and (9.8.2) hold then a routine computation yields

$$\frac{b^2 + c^2}{e} = \lambda^Q + \lambda + 1$$

where

$$\lambda := \frac{u + e}{e}\alpha.$$

Since $\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_2}(\lambda^Q + \lambda + 1) = 1$, it follows that (9.8.1) and (9.8.2) imply (9.8.3), which concludes the proof of Corollary 9.6.

Next, (9.8.2) says $H(\alpha, \beta) = 0$ where

$$\begin{aligned} H(X, Y) &:= X^{2Q^2+2Q+1}Y + X^{2Q^2+Q}Y^{Q+2} + X^{2Q^2+1}Y + X^{Q^2+2Q+2}Y^{Q^2} \\ &\quad + X^{Q^2+2Q}Y^{Q^2} + X^{Q^2+2}Y^{Q^2} + X^{Q^2}Y^{Q^2+2Q+2} + X^{Q^2}Y^{Q^2} \\ &\quad + X^{2Q+1}Y + X^{Q+2}Y^{2Q^2+Q} + X^QY^{2Q^2+Q} + X^QY^{Q+2} \\ &\quad + XY^{2Q^2+2Q+1} + XY. \end{aligned}$$

The special case of (8.2) in which q and n are replaced by Q and 2 says

$$\prod_{z \in \mathbb{F}_{Q^2}^*} (1 + zX + z^Q Y) = 1 + X^{Q^2-1} + Y \left(\frac{X^{Q^2-1} + Y^{Q^2-1}}{X^{Q+1} + Y^{Q+1}} \right) (Y^Q + X).$$

Homogenize this by substituting X/Z and Y/Z for X and Y , and then multiplying by Z^{Q^2-1} , to get

$$\prod_{z \in \mathbb{F}_{Q^2}^*} (Z + zX + z^Q Y) = Z^{Q^2-1} + X^{Q^2-1} + Y \left(\frac{X^{Q^2-1} + Y^{Q^2-1}}{X^{Q+1} + Y^{Q+1}} \right) (Y^Q + XZ^{Q-1}).$$

Multiplying both sides by $Z(X^{Q+1} + Y^{Q+1})$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} Z \cdot (X^{Q+1} + Y^{Q+1}) \cdot \prod_{z \in \mathbb{F}_{Q^2}^*} (Z + zX + z^Q Y) \\ = (Z^{Q^2} + X^{Q^2-1}Z) \cdot (X^{Q+1} + Y^{Q+1}) \\ + (X^{Q^2-1}Y + Y^{Q^2}) \cdot (Y^Q Z + XZ^Q). \end{aligned}$$

Now substitute $\alpha^2 + 1$ for X , β^2 for Y , and $\alpha\beta$ for Z , in order to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha\beta \left((\alpha + 1)^{Q+1} + \beta^{Q+1} \right)^2 \prod_{z \in \mathbb{F}_{Q^2}^*} \left(\alpha\beta + z(\alpha + 1)^2 + z^Q \beta^2 \right) \\ = \left((\alpha\beta)^{Q^2} + (\alpha + 1)^{2Q^2-2} \alpha\beta \right) \cdot \left((\alpha + 1)^{Q+1} + \beta^{Q+1} \right)^2 \\ + \left((\alpha + 1)^{Q^2-1} \beta + \beta^{Q^2} \right)^2 \cdot \left(\alpha\beta^{2Q+1} + (\alpha + 1)^2 (\alpha\beta)^Q \right). \end{aligned}$$

The right side of this last equation equals $H(\alpha, \beta)$. Since (9.8.2) says $H(\alpha, \beta) = 0$, and $\alpha, \beta \neq 0$ by hypothesis, it follows that (9.8.1) and (9.8.2) are both true if and only if $(\alpha + 1)^{Q+1} \neq \beta^{Q+1}$ and $\alpha\beta + z(\alpha + 1)^2 + z^Q \beta^2 = 0$ for some $z \in \mathbb{F}_{Q^2}^*$.

We now show that if $\alpha + 1 \neq \beta$ and $z \in \mathbb{F}_{Q^2} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2$ then $\alpha\beta + z(\alpha + 1)^2 + z^Q \beta^2 \neq 0$. Suppose otherwise. Since $\alpha\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q$, we have $\alpha\beta = (\alpha\beta)^q$, so that

$$z(\alpha + 1)^2 + z^Q \beta^2 = z^q(\alpha + 1)^2 + z^q \beta^2,$$

or equivalently

$$(z + z^q)(\alpha + 1)^2 = (z + z^q)^Q \beta^2.$$

Since $z \in \mathbb{F}_{Q^2} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2$ and $\mathbb{F}_{Q^2} \cap \mathbb{F}_q = \mathbb{F}_2$, we have $z \notin \mathbb{F}_q$, so that $z + z^q \neq 0$ and thus

$$\left(\frac{\alpha + 1}{\beta} \right)^2 = (z + z^q)^{Q-1}.$$

Here the left side is in \mathbb{F}_q and the right side is in $\mathbb{F}_{Q^2}^*$, so since $\mathbb{F}_q \cap \mathbb{F}_{Q^2} = \mathbb{F}_2$ it follows that both sides equal 1. But then $\alpha + 1 = \beta$, contradicting our hypothesis.

Thus (9.8.1) and (9.8.2) both hold if and only if $(\alpha + 1)^{Q+1} \neq \beta^{Q+1}$ and $\alpha\beta + (\alpha + 1)^2 + \beta^2 = 0$. In fact the latter condition implies the former: if $\alpha\beta + (\alpha + 1)^2 + \beta^2 = 0$ then $\alpha + 1 \neq \beta$ since $\alpha\beta \neq 0$, which implies $(\alpha + 1)^{Q+1} \neq \beta^{Q+1}$ since X^{Q+1} permutes \mathbb{F}_q . Since we showed above that (9.8.1) and (9.8.2) imply (9.8.3), this concludes the proof of Corollary 9.5. \square

Our next result refines the sufficient condition in [44, Thm. 3.2] to obtain a necessary and sufficient condition, as discussed in [44, Rem. 2]:

Corollary 9.9. *Let k and ℓ be positive integers and write $m := 2^{\text{ord}_2(\gcd(k,\ell))}$. Suppose that $q := 2^k$ and $Q := 2^\ell$ satisfy $\gcd(Q-1, q+1) = 1$. Write $A(X) := 1 + aX^u + dX^v$ where $a, d \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and u, v are positive integers such that $u(Q-1) \equiv Q \pmod{q+1}$ and $v(Q-1) \equiv q \pmod{q+1}$. Then $f(X) := XA(X^{q-1})$ permutes \mathbb{F}_{q^2} if and only if all of the following hold:*

$$(9.9.1) \quad e := 1 + a^2 + d^2 \text{ is nonzero;}$$

$$(9.9.2) \quad d^Q = e^{Q-1}a;$$

$$(9.9.3) \quad \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_{2m}}\left(\frac{a^2+d^2}{e}\right) = 0.$$

Proof. Let s be a positive integer such that $\gcd(s, q-1) = 1$ and $s \equiv Q-1 \pmod{q+1}$. Then $\gcd(s, q^2-1) = 1$, so that X^s permutes \mathbb{F}_{q^2} , and thus $f(X)$ permutes \mathbb{F}_{q^2} if and only if $f(X^s)$ permutes \mathbb{F}_{q^2} . We now determine the reductions mod q^2-1 of the degrees of the terms of

$$f(X^s) = X^s + aX^{su(q-1)+s} + dX^{sv(q-1)+s}.$$

Here $r := sv(q-1) + s$ is congruent to $s \pmod{q-1}$, and hence is coprime to $q-1$, while also

$$r \equiv (Q-1)v(q-1) + Q-1 \equiv q(q-1) + Q-1 \equiv Q+1 \pmod{q+1}.$$

Next,

$$su(q-1) - sv(q-1) \equiv (Q-q)(q-1) \equiv (Q+1)(q-1) \pmod{q+1},$$

so that $su(q-1) - sv(q-1) \equiv (Q+1)(q-1) \pmod{q^2-1}$ since both sides are divisible by $q-1$. Likewise, we have $-sv(q-1) \equiv q-1 \pmod{q^2-1}$. Thus $f(X^s)$ induces the same function on \mathbb{F}_{q^2} as does

$$f_1(X) := X^{r+q-1} + aX^{r+(Q+1)(q-1)} + dX^r.$$

By Theorem 1.1, $f_1(X)$ permutes \mathbb{F}_{q^2} if and only if (9.9.1), (9.9.2), and the following equation (9.10) all hold:

$$(9.10) \quad \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_{2m}}\left(\frac{1}{e}\right) = \frac{\text{lcm}(k,\ell)}{m}.$$

It remains to show that (9.10) is equivalent to (9.9.3). We compute

$$\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_{2m}}\left(\frac{1}{e}\right) = \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_{2m}}\left(1 + \frac{a^2+d^2}{e}\right) = \frac{k}{m} + \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_{2m}}\left(\frac{a^2+d^2}{e}\right).$$

The hypothesis $\gcd(Q-1, q+1) = 1$ implies that $\text{ord}_2(k) \geq \text{ord}_2(\ell)$, so that $\text{lcm}(k,\ell)/m \equiv k/m \pmod{2}$. Thus indeed (9.10) holds if and only if (9.9.3) holds. \square

Remark 9.11. Theorem 3.2 of [44] asserts that the polynomial $f(X)$ in Corollary 9.9 permutes \mathbb{F}_{q^2} if certain conditions hold. These sufficient conditions include (9.9.1)–(9.9.3) in addition to other conditions, the most notable of which is $(a+d) \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_2}(d/e) = 0$. Corollary 9.9 shows that this last

condition is superfluous. In fact, it can be deduced from the combination of Lemma 6.2 and Theorem 1.3 that if $\text{ord}_2(k) \neq \text{ord}_2(\ell)$ then (9.9.1)–(9.9.3) imply that $\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_2}(d/e) = 0$, but if $\text{ord}_2(k) = \text{ord}_2(\ell)$ then the permutation polynomials in Corollary 9.9 for which $(a+d)\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_2}(d/e) \neq 0$ are

$$X + \frac{\lambda^{Q-2} + \lambda^Q}{1 + \lambda^{2Q-2}} X^{u(q-1)+1} + \frac{1 + \lambda^{2Q}}{\lambda + \lambda^{2Q-1}} X^{v(q-1)+1}$$

where $\lambda \in \mu_{q+1} \setminus \mu_{Q+1}$. In particular, such examples exist whenever Q is not a power of q . Moreover, one can show that distinct $\lambda, \lambda' \in \mu_{q+1} \setminus \mu_{Q+1}$ yield the same polynomial if and only if $\lambda' = 1/\lambda$.

Our final result resolves [44, Open problem] by showing that the sufficient conditions in [44, Thm. 3.1] are also necessary:

Corollary 9.12. *Let k and ℓ be positive integers with $\gcd(2k, \ell) = 1$, and write $q := 2^k$ and $Q := 2^\ell$ and $u := (Q^{k+1} - 1)/(Q - 1)$. Pick $a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$ and $b \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ with $a + b \neq 1$. Then $f(X) := X + bX^q + aX^u$ permutes \mathbb{F}_{q^2} if and only if there exist $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and $\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}_2$ such that all of the following hold:*

$$(9.12.1) \quad \lambda^{Q-1} = b;$$

$$(9.12.2) \quad a = \epsilon\lambda^Q + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \lambda^{Q-2^i};$$

$$(9.12.3) \quad \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_2}\left(\frac{a}{a+b+1}\right) = 0.$$

Proof. The hypothesis $\gcd(2k, \ell) = 1$ implies that $\gcd(q^2 - 1, Q - 1) = 1$, so that X^{Q-1} permutes \mathbb{F}_{q^2} . Thus $f(X)$ permutes \mathbb{F}_{q^2} if and only if $f(X^{Q-1})$ permutes \mathbb{F}_{q^2} . Note that

$$u(Q-1) - (Q-q) = (Q^{k+1}-1) - (Q-q) = Q(Q^k-1) + (q-1) = Q(q^\ell-1) + (q-1)$$

is divisible by $q-1$, and

$$Q(q^\ell - 1) + (q - 1) \equiv -2Q - 2 \pmod{q+1},$$

so that

$$u(Q-1) - (Q-q) \equiv (Q+1)(q-1) \pmod{q^2-1}.$$

Thus if r is a positive integer satisfying $r \equiv Q-q \pmod{q^2-1}$ then $f(X^{Q-1})$ induces the same function on \mathbb{F}_{q^2} as does

$$f_1(X) := X^{r+q-1} + bX^{r+Q(q-1)} + aX^{r+(Q+1)(q-1)}.$$

Note that $r \equiv Q+1 \pmod{q+1}$ and $r \equiv Q-1 \pmod{q-1}$, so that $\gcd(r, q-1) = 1$. Thus Theorem 1.1 implies that $f_1(X)$ permutes \mathbb{F}_{q^2} if and only if all of the following hold:

$$(9.13.1) \quad e := a^{q+1} + b^2 + 1 \text{ is nonzero};$$

$$(9.13.2) \quad (ab)^Q = e^{Q-1}a;$$

$$(9.13.3) \quad \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_2}\left(\frac{b^2+1}{e}\right) = k.$$

It remains to show that (9.13.1)–(9.13.3) are equivalent to (9.12.1)–(9.12.3).

First suppose that (9.13.1)–(9.13.3) hold. Since $a \neq 0$ by hypothesis, (9.13.2) says $a^{Q-1}b^Q = e^{Q-1}$. Since b and e are in \mathbb{F}_q^* , it follows that $a^{Q-1} \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, which since $\gcd(Q-1, q^2-1) = 1$ implies that $a \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Thus $e = a^2 + b^2 + 1 = (a+b+1)^2$, so that

$$\frac{b^2+1}{e} = 1 + \frac{a^2}{e} = 1 + \left(\frac{a}{a+b+1}\right)^2.$$

Since $\eta := a/(a+b+1)$ is in \mathbb{F}_q , we have $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_2}(\eta^2) = \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_2}(\eta)$, so since $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_2}(1) = k$ it follows that

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_2}\left(\frac{b^2+1}{e}\right) = k + \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_2}\left(\frac{a}{a+b+1}\right).$$

Thus (9.13.3) implies that $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_2}(a/(a+b+1)) = 0$, which is (9.12.3). Writing λ for the unique $(Q-1)$ -th root of b in \mathbb{F}_q (so that (9.12.1) holds), the identity $a^{Q-1}b^Q = e^{Q-1}$ becomes $(a\lambda^Q)^{Q-1} = e^{Q-1}$. Since X^{Q-1} permutes \mathbb{F}_q , it follows that $a\lambda^Q = e$. Thus

$$\lambda^{2Q-2} = b^2 = e + a^2 + 1 = a\lambda^Q + a^2 + 1,$$

and dividing by λ^{2Q} yields

$$\frac{1}{\gamma^2} = \frac{a}{\lambda^Q} + \frac{a^2}{\lambda^{2Q}} + \frac{1}{\lambda^{2Q}}.$$

This says $\epsilon^2 + \epsilon = 0$ where

$$\epsilon := \frac{a}{\lambda^Q} + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{\lambda^{2^i}},$$

so that ϵ is in \mathbb{F}_2 . Multiplying by λ^Q yields

$$a = \epsilon\lambda^Q + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \lambda^{Q-2^i},$$

which is (9.12.2). We have shown that if (9.13.1)–(9.13.3) hold then (9.12.1)–(9.12.3) hold.

Conversely, now suppose that (9.12.1)–(9.12.3) hold. Condition (9.12.2) implies $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Dividing (9.12.2) by λ^Q yields

$$\frac{a}{\lambda^Q} = \epsilon + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{\lambda^{2^i}}.$$

Add each side of this equation to its square to get

$$\frac{a}{\lambda^Q} + \frac{a^2}{\lambda^{2Q}} = \frac{1}{\lambda^2} + \frac{1}{\lambda^{2Q}}.$$

Now multiply by λ^{2Q} to conclude that

$$a\lambda^Q + a^2 = \lambda^{2Q-2} + 1,$$

or equivalently

$$(9.14) \quad ab\lambda = a^2 + b^2 + 1.$$

Since $ab\lambda \neq 0$ and $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$, it follows that (9.13.1) holds. Raising both sides of (9.14) to the $(Q-1)$ -th power yields $a^{Q-1}b^Q = e^{Q-1}$, so that (9.13.2) holds. Finally, we have $(b^2 + 1)/e = 1 + a^2/e$. Since $\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_2}(1) = k$ and $\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_2}(a^2/e) = \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_2}(a/(a+b+1)) = 0$ by (9.12.3), we conclude that

$$\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_2}\left(\frac{b^2 + 1}{e}\right) = \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_2}\left(1 + \frac{a^2}{e}\right) = k,$$

so that (9.13.3) holds. \square

Remark 9.15. Our proofs of the above corollaries show that all permutation polynomials in the corollaries are multiplicatively equivalent to the permutation polynomials in Theorem 1.1. Some of the above corollaries can be generalized to larger classes of permutation polynomials which are also multiplicatively equivalent to the polynomials in Theorem 1.1. For instance, Corollary 9.12 can be generalized as follows: if $q = 2^k$ and $Q = 2^\ell$ where $\gcd(2k, \ell) = 1$, and $f(X) := aX^u + bX^q + cX + dX^v$ where $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ and $u := (Q^{k+1} - 1)/(Q - 1)$ and $v := 1 + q(Q^k - 1)/(Q - 1)$, then $f(X)$ permutes \mathbb{F}_{q^2} if and only if a, b, c, d satisfy the conditions in Theorem 1.1. We have not stated this as a separate result, or listed any further results along these lines, since this result provides no new understanding and instead is merely an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.1.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Bartoli, *On a conjecture about a class of permutation trinomials*, Finite Fields Appl. **52** (2018), 30–50. [6](#)
- [2] D. Bartoli and L. Quoos, *Permutation polynomials of the type $x^r g(x^s)$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2n}}$* , Des. Codes Cryptogr. **86** (2018), 1589–1599. [6](#)
- [3] B. J. Birch and H. P. F. Swinnerton-Dyer, *Note on a problem of Chowla*, Acta Arith. **5** (1959), 417–423. [3](#)
- [4] T. W. Cusick and P. Müller, *Wan’s bound for value sets of polynomials*, 69–72, in: Finite Fields and Applications (Glasgow, 1995), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 233, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1996. [7](#), [29](#), [30](#)
- [5] H. Davenport and D. J. Lewis, *Notes on congruences (I)*, Quart. J. Math. **14** (1963), 51–60. [3](#)
- [6] C. Ding, L. Qu, Q. Wang, J. Yuan, and P. Yuan, *Permutation trinomials over finite fields with even characteristic*, SIAM J. Discrete Math. **29** (2015), 79–92. [6](#)
- [7] Z. Ding and M. E. Zieve, *Low-degree permutation rational functions over finite fields*, Acta Arith., to appear. arXiv:2010.15657, 10/29/2020. [1](#), [3](#), [4](#), [8](#), [10](#), [11](#), [12](#), [17](#), [18](#)
- [8] Z. Ding and M. E. Zieve, *A new family of exceptional rational functions*, Int. Math. Res. Not., 2021, rnab315. [3](#)
- [9] N. Fernando, X. Hou and S. D. Lappano, *A new approach to permutation polynomials over finite fields, II*, Finite Fields Appl. **22** (2013), 122–158. [6](#)
- [10] M. D. Fried, R. Guralnick and J. Saxl, *Schur covers and Carlitz’s conjecture*, Israel J. Math. **82** (1993), 157–225. [3](#)
- [11] H. Guo, S. Wang, H. Song, X. Zhang, and J. Liu, *A new method of construction of permutation trinomials with coefficients 1*, arXiv:2112.14547v1, 29 Dec 2021. [6](#)

- [12] R. Gupta and R. K. Sharma, *Some new classes of permutation trinomials over finite fields with even characteristic*, Finite Fields Appl. **41** (2016), 89–96. [6](#)
- [13] R. M. Guralnick, P. Müller and J. Saxl, *The rational function analogue of a question of Schur and exceptionality of permutation representations*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **162** (2003), no. 773, viii + 79 pp. [3](#)
- [14] R. M. Guralnick, J. E. Rosenberg and M. E. Zieve, *A new family of exceptional polynomials in characteristic two*, Annals of Math. **172** (2010), 1361–1390. [3](#)
- [15] R. M. Guralnick, T. J. Tucker and M. E. Zieve, *Exceptional covers and bijections on rational points*, Int. Math. Res. Notices **2007**, no. 1, art. rnm004, 20 pp. [3](#)
- [16] R. M. Guralnick and M. E. Zieve, *Polynomials with PSL(2) monodromy*, Annals of Math. **172** (2010), 1315–1359. [3](#)
- [17] D. R. Hayes, *A geometric approach to permutation polynomials*, Duke Math. J. **34** (1967), 293–305. [3](#)
- [18] X.-d. Hou, *A class of permutation trinomials over finite fields*, Acta Arith. **162** (2014), 51–64. [6](#)
- [19] ———, *Determination of a type of permutation trinomials over finite fields*, Acta Arith. **166** (2014), 253–278. [6](#)
- [20] ———, *Determination of a type of permutation trinomials over finite fields, II*, Finite Fields Appl. **35** (2015), 16–35. [6](#)
- [21] ———, *Permutation polynomials of \mathbb{F}_{q^2} of the form $aX + X^{r(q-1)+1}$* , in: Contemporary developments in finite fields and applications, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2016, pp. 74–101. [17](#)
- [22] ———, *On a class of permutation trinomials in characteristic 2*, Cryptogr. Commun. **11** (2019), 1199–1210. [6](#)
- [23] K. Li, C. Li, T. Helleseth, and L. Qu, *Cryptographically strong permutations from the butterfly structure*, Dec. Codes Cryptogr. **89** (2021), 737–761. [5](#), [6](#), [33](#), [34](#)
- [24] K. Li, L. Qu, and X. Chen, *New classes of permutation binomials and permutation trinomials over finite fields*, Finite Fields Appl. **43** (2017), 69–85. [6](#), [17](#)
- [25] K. Li, L. Qu, X. Chen, and C. Li, *Permutation polynomials of the form $cx + \text{Tr}_{q^t/q}(x^a)$ and permutation trinomials over finite fields with even characteristic*, Cryptogr. Commun. **10** (2018), 531–554. [6](#)
- [26] K. Li, L. Qu, C. Li, and H. Chen, *On a conjecture about a class of permutation quadrinomials*, Finite Fields Appl. **66** (2020), 101690, 20 pp. [6](#)
- [27] K. Li, L. Qu, C. Li, and S. Fu, *New permutation trinomials constructed from fractional polynomials*, Acta Arith. **183** (2018), 101–116. [6](#)
- [28] N. Li and T. Helleseth, *Several classes of permutation trinomials from Niho exponents*, Cryptogr. Commun. **9** (2017), 693–705. [6](#)
- [29] N. Li and T. Helleseth, *New permutation trinomials from Niho exponents over finite fields with even characteristic*, Cryptogr. Commun. **11** (2019), 129–136. [6](#)
- [30] N. Li, Z. Hu, M. Xiong, and X. Zeng, *4-uniform BCT permutations from generalized butterfly structure*, arXiv:2001.00464v1, 2 Jan 2020. [5](#), [6](#), [29](#), [33](#)
- [31] N. Li, Z. Hu, M. Xiong, and X. Zeng, *A note on “Cryptographically strong permutations from the butterfly structure”*, Des. Codes Cryptogr. **90** (2022), 265–276. [5](#), [34](#)
- [32] N. Li, M. Xiong, and X. Zeng, *On permutation quadrinomials and 4-uniform BCT*, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory **67** (2021), 4845–4855. [5](#), [6](#), [31](#)
- [33] Y. Li, S. Tian, Y. Yu, and M. Wang, *On the generalization of butterfly structure*, IACR Trans. Symmetric Cryptol. **2** (2018), 160–179. [5](#)
- [34] H. Stichtenoth, Algebraic Function Fields and Codes, second ed., Graduate Textbooks in Mathematics **254**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2009. [10](#)
- [35] Z. Tu, X. Liu, and X. Zeng, *A revisit to a class of permutation quadrinomials*, Finite Fields Appl. **59** (2019), 57–85. [6](#)

- [36] Z. Tu, X. Zeng and T. Helleseht, *New permutation quadrinomials over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{2m}}$* , Finite Fields Appl. **50** (2018), 304–318. [6](#)
- [37] Z. Tu, X. Zeng and T. Helleseht, *A class of permutation quadrinomials*, Discrete Math. **341** (2018), 3010–3020. [6](#)
- [38] T. Tu, X. Zeng, L. Hu, and C. Li, *A class of binomial permutation polynomials*, arXiv:1310.0337v1, 28 Sep 2013. [6](#)
- [39] Z. Tu, X. Zeng, C. Li, and T. Helleseht, *A class of new permutation trinomials*, Finite Fields Appl. **50** (2018), 178–195. [6](#)
- [40] Y. Wang, W. Zhang, and Z. Zha, *Six new classes of permutation trinomials over \mathbb{F}_{2^n}* , SIAM J. Discrete Math. **32** (2018), 1946–1961. [6](#)
- [41] D. Wu, P. Yuan, C. Ding, and Y. Ma, *Permutation trinomials over \mathbb{F}_{2^m}* , Finite Fields Appl. **46** (2017), 38–56. [6](#)
- [42] G. Xu, X. Cao, and J. Ping, *Some permutation pentanomials over finite fields with even characteristic*, Finite Fields Appl. **49** (2018), 212–226. [6](#)
- [43] Z. Zha, L. Hu, and S. Fan, *Further results on permutation trinomials over finite fields with even characteristic*, Finite Fields Appl. **45** (2017), 43–52. [6](#)
- [44] L. Zheng, H. Kan, and J. Peng, *Two classes of permutation trinomials with Niho exponents over finite fields with even characteristic*, Finite Fields Appl. **68** (2020), 101754, 14 pp. [5](#), [6](#), [37](#), [38](#)
- [45] L. Zheng, H. Kan, J. Peng, and D. Tang, *Two classes of permutation trinomials with Niho exponents*, Finite Fields Appl. **70** (2021), Paper No. 101790, 15 pp. [5](#), [6](#), [33](#)
- [46] L. Zheng, B. Liu, H. Kan, J. Peng, D. Tang, *More classes of permutation quadrinomials from Niho exponents in characteristic two*, Finite Fields Appl. **78** (2022), Paper No. 101962, 22 pp. [5](#), [6](#), [32](#)
- [47] M. E. Zieve, *Some families of permutation polynomials over finite fields*, Int. J. Number Theory **4** (2008), 851–857. [3](#), [9](#)
- [48] ———, *Permutation polynomials on \mathbb{F}_q induced from Rédei function bijections on subgroups of \mathbb{F}_q^** , Monatsh. Math., to appear. arXiv:1310.0776v2, 7 Oct 2013. [1](#), [3](#), [9](#)
- [49] ———, *A note on the paper arXiv:2112.14547*, arXiv:2201.01106v1, 04 Jan 2022. [4](#), [6](#)

HUNAN INSTITUTE OF TRAFFIC ENGINEERING, HENGYANG, HUNAN 421001 CHINA
Email address: ding8191@qq.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN, 530 CHURCH STREET,
 ANN ARBOR, MI 48109-1043 USA

Email address: zieve@umich.edu

URL: <http://www.math.lsa.umich.edu/~zieve/>