

On a Simple Connection Between Δ -modular ILP and LP, and a New Bound on the Number of Integer Vertices

D. V. Griбанov, D. S. Malyshev,
I. A. Shumilov

the date of receipt and acceptance should be inserted later

Abstract Let $A \in \mathbb{Z}^{m \times n}$, $\text{rank}(A) = n$, $b \in \mathbb{Z}^m$, and \mathcal{P} be an n -dimensional polyhedron, induced by the system $Ax \leq b$.

It is a known fact that if \mathcal{F} is a k -face of \mathcal{P} , then there exist at least $n - k$ linearly independent inequalities of the system $Ax \leq b$ that become equalities on \mathcal{F} . In other words, there exists a set of indices \mathcal{J} , such that $|\mathcal{J}| \geq n - k$, $\text{rank}(A_{\mathcal{J}}) = n - k$, and

$$A_{\mathcal{J}}x - b_{\mathcal{J}} = \mathbf{0}, \quad \text{for any } x \in \mathcal{F}.$$

We show that a similar fact holds for the integer polyhedron

$$\mathcal{P}_I = \text{conv.hull}(\mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^n),$$

if we additionally suppose that \mathcal{P} is Δ -modular, for some $\Delta \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$. More precisely, if \mathcal{F} is a k -face of \mathcal{P}_I , then there exists a set of indices \mathcal{J} , such that $|\mathcal{J}| \geq n - k$, $\text{rank}(A_{\mathcal{J}}) = n - k$, and

$$A_{\mathcal{J}}x - b_{\mathcal{J}} \triangleq \mathbf{0}, \quad \text{for any } x \in \mathcal{F} \cap \mathbb{Z}^n,$$

D. V. Griбанov
National Research University Higher School of Economics, 25/12 Bolshaja Pecherskaja
Ulitsa, Nizhny Novgorod, 603155, Russian Federation
E-mail: dimitry.griбанov@gmail.com

D. S. Malyshev
National Research University Higher School of Economics, 25/12 Bolshaja Pecherskaja
Ulitsa, Nizhny Novgorod, 603155, Russian Federation;
Huawei, Intelligent systems and Data science Technology center (2012 Laboratories), 7/9
Smolenskaya Square, Moscow, 121099, Russian Federation
E-mail: dsmalyshev@rambler.ru

I. A. Shumilov
Lobachevsky State University of Nizhny Novgorod, 23 Gagarina Avenue, Nizhny Novgorod,
603950, Russian Federation
E-mail: ivan.a.shumilov@gmail.com

where $x \stackrel{\Delta}{=} y$ means that $\|x - y\|_{\infty} < \Delta$. In other words, there exist at least $n - k$ linearly independent inequalities of the system $Ax \leq b$ that almost become equalities on $\mathcal{F} \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$. When we say almost, we mean that the slacks are not greater than $\Delta - 1$. Using this fact, we prove the inequality

$$|\text{vert}(\mathcal{P}_I)| \leq 2 \cdot \binom{m}{n} \cdot \Delta^{n-1},$$

for the number of vertices of \mathcal{P}_I , which is better, than the state of the art bound for $\Delta = O(n^2)$.

Keywords Linear Programming · Integer Linear Programming · Number of Vertices · Delta-modular

1 Basic Definitions and Notations

The maximum absolute value of entries of a matrix A (also known as *the matrix max-norm*) is denoted by $\|A\|_{\max} = \max_{i,j} |A_{i,j}|$. The number of non-zero components of a vector x is denoted by $\|x\|_0 = |\{i: x_i \neq 0\}|$.

Let $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$. By $\text{supp}_{\Delta}(v)$ and $\text{zeros}_{\Delta}(v)$ we denote $\{i: |v_i| \geq \Delta\}$ and $\{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \text{supp}_{\Delta}(v)$, respectively. Denote $\text{supp}(v) := \text{supp}_0(v)$ and $\text{zeros}(v) := \text{zeros}_0(v)$. Clearly, $\|v\|_0 = |\text{supp}(v)|$.

Definition 1 For a matrix $A \in \mathbb{Z}^{m \times n}$, by

$$\Delta_k(A) = \max \left\{ |\det(A_{\mathcal{I}\mathcal{J}})| : \mathcal{I} \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}, \mathcal{J} \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}, |\mathcal{I}| = |\mathcal{J}| = k \right\},$$

we denote the maximum absolute value of determinants of all the $k \times k$ submatrices of A . Here, the symbol $A_{\mathcal{I}\mathcal{J}}$ denotes the sub-matrix of A , which is generated by all the rows with indices in \mathcal{I} and all the columns with indices in \mathcal{J} . The matrix A with $\Delta(A) \leq \Delta$, for some $\Delta > 0$, is called Δ -modular. Note that $\Delta_1(A) = \|A\|_{\max}$.

For a matrix $B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $\text{conv.hull}(B) = \{Bt: t \in \mathbb{R}_+^n, \sum_{i=1}^n t_i = 1\}$ is the convex hull spanned by columns of B .

2 A simple connection between Δ -modular ILP and LP

Let $A \in \mathbb{Z}^{m \times n}$, $\text{rank}(A) = n$, $b \in \mathbb{Z}^m$, and \mathcal{P} be the n -dimensional polyhedron, defined by the system $Ax \leq b$.

Let \mathcal{F} be a k -dimensional face of \mathcal{P} . It is a known fact from the theory of linear inequalities that there exist $n - k$ linearly independent inequalities of $Ax \leq b$ that become equalities on \mathcal{F} . More precisely, there exists a set of indices $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$, such that $|\mathcal{J}| \geq n - k$, $\text{rank}(A_{\mathcal{J}}) = n - k$, and

$$A_{\mathcal{J}}x - b_{\mathcal{J}} = 0, \quad \text{for } x \in \mathcal{F},$$

and, consequently,

$$|\text{supp}(Ax - b)| \leq m - n + k, \quad \text{for } x \in \mathcal{F}.$$

We are going to prove a similar fact for the polyhedron $\mathcal{P}_I = \text{conv.hull}(\mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^n)$.

Theorem 1 *Let \mathcal{F} be a k -dimensional face of \mathcal{P}_I and $\Delta = \Delta(A)$. Then, there exists a set of indices $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$, such that $|\mathcal{J}| \geq n - k$, $\text{rank}(A_{\mathcal{J}}) = n - k$, and*

$$A_{\mathcal{J}}x - b_{\mathcal{J}} \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \mathbf{0}, \quad \text{for any } x \in \mathcal{F} \cap \mathbb{Z}^n,$$

and, consequently,

$$|\text{supp}_{\Delta}(Ax - b)| \leq m - n + k, \quad \text{for any } x \in \mathcal{F} \cap \mathbb{Z}^n.$$

Proof Let consider a point $v \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, lying on a k -dimensional face \mathcal{F} of \mathcal{P}_I , and the corresponding slacks vector $u = b - Av$. Let $\mathcal{S} = \text{supp}_{\Delta}(u)$ and $\mathcal{Z} = \text{zeros}_{\Delta}(u)$. Suppose to the contrary that $r := \text{rank}(A_{\mathcal{Z}}) < n - k$. We have

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_{\mathcal{Z}} \\ A_{\mathcal{S}} \end{pmatrix} v + \begin{pmatrix} u_{\mathcal{Z}} \\ u_{\mathcal{S}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_{\mathcal{Z}} \\ b_{\mathcal{S}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

There exists a unimodular matrix $Q \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times n}$, such that $A_{\mathcal{Z}} = (H \ \mathbf{0})Q$, where $(H \ \mathbf{0})$ is the HNF of $A_{\mathcal{Z}}$ and $H \in \mathbb{Z}^{|\mathcal{Z}| \times r}$. The zero sub-matrix of $(H \ \mathbf{0})$ has $n - r > k$ columns. Let $y = Qv$, then

$$\begin{pmatrix} H \ \mathbf{0} \\ C \ B \end{pmatrix} y + \begin{pmatrix} u_{\mathcal{Z}} \\ u_{\mathcal{S}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_{\mathcal{Z}} \\ b_{\mathcal{S}} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $(C \ B) = A_{\mathcal{S}}Q^{-1}$ and $B \in \mathbb{Z}^{|\mathcal{S}| \times (n-r)}$. The matrix B has a full column rank $n - r$, has at list k columns, and it is Δ -modular. Consider the last $|\mathcal{S}|$ equalities of the previous system. They can be written out as follows:

$$Bz + u_{\mathcal{S}} = b_{\mathcal{S}} - Cy_{\{1, \dots, r\}},$$

where $z = y_{\{(r+1), \dots, n\}}$ is composed of last $n - r$ components of y .

From the definition of \mathcal{S} , it follows that $(u_{\mathcal{S}})_i \geq \Delta$, for any $i \in \{1, \dots, |\mathcal{S}|\}$. W.l.o.g., assume that B is reduced to the HNF. Hence, due to Griбанov, Malyshv et al. [9, Lemma 1], $\|B\|_{\max} \leq \Delta$. Let h_1, h_2, \dots, h_{n-r} be the columns of B . Consequently, any point of the type $z \pm e_1 \pm e_2 \pm \dots \pm e_{(n-r)}$ with its corresponding slack vector $u_{\mathcal{S}} \pm h_1 \pm h_2 \pm \dots \pm h_{(n-r)}$ is feasible. Since $n - r > k$, the last fact contradicts to the fact that the original point v lies on the k -dimensional face of \mathcal{P}_I .

3 The number of integer vertices

3.1 Related works

Let us survey some remarkable results on the value of $|\text{vert}(\mathcal{P}_I)|$. Let $\xi(n, m)$ denote the maximum number of vertices in n -dimensional polyhedron with m facets. Due to the seminal paper [13] of P. McMullen, the value of $\xi(n, m)$ attains its maximum on the class of polytopes that are dual to cyclic polytopes with m vertices.

Due to the book of B. Grünbaum [11, Section 4.7], we have:

$$\xi(n, m) = \begin{cases} \frac{m}{m-s} \binom{m-s}{s}, & \text{for } n = 2s \\ 2 \binom{m-s-1}{s}, & \text{for } n = 2s + 1 \end{cases} = O\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^{n/2}.$$

Due to Veselov & Chirkov [16] (see also [15, 5]), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{vert}(\mathcal{P}_I)| &\leq (n+1)^{n+1} \cdot n! \cdot \xi(n, m) \cdot \log_2^{n-1}(2\sqrt{n+1} \cdot \Delta_{ext}) = \\ &= m^{\frac{n}{2}} \cdot O(n)^{\frac{3}{2}n+1.5} \cdot \log^{n-1}(n \cdot \Delta_{ext}), \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Here $\Delta_{ext} = \Delta((Ab))$ is the maximal absolute value of $n \times n$ sub-determinants of the augmented matrix (Ab) .

Let ϕ be the bit-encoding length of $Ax \leq b$. Due to the book of A. Schrijver [14, Chapter 3.2, Theorem 3.2], we have $\Delta_{ext} \leq 2^\phi$. In notation with ϕ , the last bound (1) becomes

$$m^{\frac{n}{2}} \cdot O(n)^{\frac{3}{2}n+1.5} \cdot (\phi + \log n)^{n-1},$$

which outperforms a more known bound

$$m \cdot \binom{m-1}{n-1} \cdot (5n^2 \cdot \phi + 1)^{n-1} = m^n \cdot \Omega(n)^{n-1} \cdot \phi^{n-1}, \quad (2)$$

due to Cook, Hartmann et al. [7], because $m \geq n$ and (1) depends on m as $m^{n/2}$.

Due to Veselov & Chirkov [5], the previous inequality (1) could be combined with the sensitivity result of Cook, Gerards et al. [6] to construct a bound that depends on Δ instead of Δ_{ext} :

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{vert}(\mathcal{P}_I)| &\leq (n+1)^{n+1} \cdot n! \cdot \xi(n, m) \cdot \xi(n, 2m) \cdot \log_2^{n-1}(2 \cdot (n+1)^{2.5} \cdot \Delta^2) = \\ &= m^n \cdot O(n)^{n+1.5} \cdot \log^{n-1}(n \cdot \Delta), \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

which again is better than the bound (2) due to Cook, Hartmann et al., because (3) depends only from the bit-encoding length of A , while (2) depends on the length of both A and b .

In our work, we will prove the bound:

$$|\text{vert}(\mathcal{P}_I)| \leq 2 \cdot \binom{m}{n} \cdot \Delta^{n-1}, \quad (4)$$

which outperforms the state of the art bound (3) for $\Delta = O(n^2)$. Additionally, using results of the papers [2] and [12], due to Averkov & Schymura and Lee, Paat & Stalknecht, we give two bounds that are independent on m :

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{vert}(\mathcal{P}_I)| &= O(n)^n \cdot \Delta^{3n-1}, \\ |\text{vert}(\mathcal{P}_I)| &= O(n)^{3n} \cdot \Delta^{2n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Some special cases deserve an additional consideration. Assume that \mathcal{P} is defined by a system in the standard form

$$\begin{cases} Ax = b \\ x \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n, \end{cases}$$

where $A \in \mathbb{Z}^{k \times n}$, $b \in \mathbb{Z}^k$ and $\text{rank}(A) = k$. It is natural to call the value of k as the *co-dimension* of A or \mathcal{P} . The next bounds on $|\text{vert}(\mathcal{P}_I)|$ assume that the co-dimension of \mathcal{P} is bounded.

Let $\Delta_1 = \Delta_1(A)$, then, due to Aliev, De Loera et al. [1]:

$$|\text{vert}(\mathcal{P}_I)| = (n \cdot k \cdot \Delta_1)^{O(k^2 \cdot \log(\sqrt{k} \cdot \Delta_1))}. \quad (5)$$

It is possible to improve the last bound. Let $s = \max\{\|v\|_0 : v \in \text{vert}(\mathcal{P}_I)\}$ be the *sparsity parameter* of \mathcal{P}_I . Due to Berndt, Jansen & Klein [3], we have:

$$|\text{vert}(\mathcal{P}_I)| = n^{k+s} \cdot s \cdot O(k)^{s-k} \cdot \log^s(k \cdot \Delta_1). \quad (6)$$

The following improvement of (6) was proposed in the work [10], due to Griбанov, Shumilov et al.:

$$|\text{vert}(\mathcal{P}_I)| = n^s \cdot O(s)^{s+1} \cdot O(k)^{s-1} \cdot \log^{s-1}(k \cdot \Delta_1). \quad (7)$$

Since $s = O(k \cdot \log(k \Delta_1))$, due to Aliev, De Loera et al. [1], we substitute s to both bounds (6) and (7), and get:

$$|\text{vert}(\mathcal{P}_I)| = (n \cdot k \cdot \log(k \Delta_1))^{O(k \cdot \log(k \Delta_1))},$$

which outperforms the bound (5), due to [1]. The last equality was proposed in Berndt, Jansen & Klein [3].

Due to Griбанov, Shumilov et al. [10], it holds $s = O(k + \log(\Delta))$, where $\Delta = \Delta(A)$. Consequently, the bound (7) could be used to estimate $|\text{vert}(\mathcal{P}_I)|$ with respect to the Δ parameter instead of Δ_1 :

$$|\text{vert}(\mathcal{P}_I)| = (n \cdot k \cdot \log(\Delta))^{O(k + \log(\Delta))}. \quad (8)$$

Note that, due to [10], the bounds (7) and (8) can be used to work with the systems $Ax \leq b$ having $m = n + k$ rows. Therefore, for the case when \mathcal{P} is defined by $Ax \leq b$, it is also convenient to call k as the co-dimension of \mathcal{P} .

We summarise all the bounds in the following tables:

$m^n \cdot O(n)^{n-1} \cdot \phi^{n-1}$	due to Cook, Hartmann et al. [7]
$m^{\frac{n}{2}} \cdot O(n)^{\frac{3}{2}n+1.5} \cdot \log^{n-1}(n \cdot \Delta_{ext}) =$ $= m^{\frac{n}{2}} \cdot O(n)^{\frac{3}{2}n+1.5} \cdot (\phi + \log n)^{n-1}$	due to Veselov & Chirkov [16]
$m^n \cdot O(n)^{n+1.5} \cdot \log^{n-1}(n \cdot \Delta)$	due to Veselov & Chirkov [5]
$2 \cdot \binom{m}{n} \cdot \Delta^{n-1} =$ $m^n \cdot \Omega(n)^{-n} \cdot \Delta^{n-1}$	this work
$O(n)^n \cdot \Delta^{3n-1}$	this work
$O(n)^{3n} \cdot \Delta^{2n-1}$	this work

Table 1: General bounds on $|\text{vert}(\mathcal{P}_I)|$

$(n \cdot k \cdot \Delta_1)^{O(k^2 \cdot \log(\sqrt{k} \cdot \Delta_1))}$	due to Aliev, De Loera et al. [1]
$n^{k+s} \cdot s \cdot O(k)^{s-k} \cdot \log^s(k \cdot \Delta_1) =$ $= (n \cdot k \cdot \log(k \Delta_1))^{O(k \cdot \log(k \Delta_1))}$	due to Berndt, Jansen & Klein [3]
$n^s \cdot O(s)^{s+1} \cdot O(k)^{s-1} \cdot \log^{s-1}(k \cdot \Delta_1) =$ $= (n \cdot k \cdot \log(k \Delta_1))^{O(k \cdot \log(k \Delta_1))}$	due to Griбанov, Shumilov et al. [10] plus Aliev, De Loera et al. [1]
$(n \cdot k \cdot \log(\Delta))^{O(k + \log(\Delta))}$	due to Griбанov, Shumilov et al. [10]

Table 2: Bounds for $|\text{vert}(\mathcal{P}_I)|$ with dependence on k

3.2 Proof of the bound (4)

First of all, let us formulate some definitions.

Definition 2 Let $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(A, b)$ be a polyhedron as in definition of Theorem 1. The set of indices $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$ is a Δ -deep base if

1. $|\mathcal{B}| = n$ and $\det(A_{\mathcal{B}}) \neq 0$;
2. the following system is feasible:

$$\begin{cases} b_{\mathcal{B}} - (\Delta - 1) \cdot \mathbf{1}_n \leq A_{\mathcal{B}} x \leq b_{\mathcal{B}} \\ A_{\overline{\mathcal{B}}} x \leq b_{\overline{\mathcal{B}}} \\ x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases}$$

where $\overline{\mathcal{B}} = \{1, \dots, m\} \setminus \mathcal{B}$.

Let us denote the number of Δ -deep bases of \mathcal{P} by $\beta_{\Delta}(\mathcal{P})$.

Definition 3 Let $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \{0, \dots, \Delta - 1\}^n$ be a convex-independent set, i.e. any point of \mathcal{M} can not be expressed as a convex combination of other points from \mathcal{M} .

Let us denote the maximal possible cardinality of \mathcal{M} by $\gamma(n, \Delta)$.

Lemma 1 Let $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(A, b)$ be a polyhedron as in definition of Theorem 1. Then, we have:

$$|\text{vert}(\mathcal{P}_I)| \leq \beta_{\Delta}(\mathcal{P}) \cdot \gamma(n, \Delta).$$

Proof Let us consider the family \mathcal{B} of all possible Δ -deep bases of P . For $\mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{B}$, we use the following notation

$$\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}} = \{v \in \text{vert}(\mathcal{P}_I) : b_{\mathcal{B}} - A_{\mathcal{B}}v < \Delta \cdot \mathbf{1}\}.$$

Due to Theorem 1, we have $\text{vert}(\mathcal{P}_I) = \bigcup_{\mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{B}} \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}}$.

Now, we are going to estimate $|\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}}|$. Let $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{B}} = \{b_{\mathcal{B}} - A_{\mathcal{B}}v : v \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}}\}$. Clearly, there exists a bijection between $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{B}}$ and $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}}$. Since $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}}$ is a convex-independent set, the same is true for $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{B}}$. Moreover $0 \leq u < \Delta \cdot \mathbf{1}$, for $u \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{B}}$. Consequently, $|\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}}| = |\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{B}}| \leq \gamma(n, \Delta)$, and $|\text{vert}(\mathcal{P}_I)| \leq \beta_{\Delta}(\mathcal{P}) \cdot \gamma(n, \Delta)$.

Corollary 1 *In the assumptions of Theorem 1, the following statements hold:*

1. For any $v \in \text{vert}(\mathcal{P}_I)$, there exists a Δ -deep base \mathcal{B} such that $\|b_{\mathcal{B}} - A_{\mathcal{B}}v\|_{\infty} \leq \Delta - 1$;
2. Additionally, $|\text{supp}_{\Delta-1}(b - Av)| \leq m - n$;
3. The inequality $|\text{vert}(\mathcal{P}_I)| \leq 2 \cdot \binom{m}{n} \cdot \Delta^{n-1}$ holds.

Proof Propositions 1 and 2 are straightforward consequences of Theorem 1. Let us prove Proposition 3. Clearly, we have $\beta_{\Delta}(\mathcal{P}) \leq \binom{m}{n}$. Due to Brass [4], we have $\gamma(n, \Delta) \leq 2 \cdot \Delta^{n-1}$. Hence, the proof follows from Lemma 1.

Using results of the papers [2] and [12] due to Averkov & Schymura and Lee, Paat & Stallknecht, we can give bounds that are independent on m :

Corollary 2 *In the assumptions of Theorem 1, the following statements hold:*

1. $|\text{vert}(\mathcal{P}_I)| = O(n)^n \cdot \Delta^{3n-1}$;
2. $|\text{vert}(\mathcal{P}_I)| = O(n)^{3n} \cdot \Delta^{2n-1}$.

Proof Clearly, $\binom{m}{n} = O\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^n$. Due to [2] and [12], we can assume that $m = O(n^4 \cdot \Delta)$ or $m = O(n^2 \cdot \Delta^2)$ respectively.

3.3 Conclusions and directions for future research

Due to Lemma 1, we estimate the integer vertices number of \mathcal{P}_I by $\beta_{\Delta}(\mathcal{P}) \cdot \gamma(n, \Delta)$. Due to Erdős, Füredi et al. [8], we have $\gamma(n, \Delta) \geq \frac{\Delta}{n} \cdot \Delta^{n-2}$, so our bound (4) on $|\text{vert}(\mathcal{P}_I)|$ cannot be significantly improved, using only improvements on $\gamma(n, \Delta)$. On the other hand, we do not know any non-trivial upper or lower bounds for the number $\beta_{\Delta}(\mathcal{P})$ of Δ -deep bases with respect to \mathcal{P} . We believe that some significant improvements can be obtained, using accurate analysis of $\beta_{\Delta}(\mathcal{P})$.

References

1. Aliev, I., De Loera, J.A., Eisenbrand, F., Oertel, T., Weismantel, R.: The support of integer optimal solutions. *SIAM Journal on Optimization* **28**(3), 2152–2157 (2018). doi:10.1137/17M1162792. URL <https://doi.org/10.1137/17M1162792>

2. Averkov, G., Schymura, M.: On the maximal number of columns of a δ -modular matrix. arXiv preprint arXiv:2111.06294 (2021)
3. Berndt, S., Jansen, K., Klein, K.M.: New Bounds for the Vertices of the Integer Hull, pp. 25–36. doi:10.1137/1.9781611976496.3
4. Brass, P.: On lattice polyhedra and pseudocircle arrangements. In: Karl der Grosse und sein Nachwirken. 1200 Jahre Kultur und Wissenschaft in Europa: Band II, Mathematisches Wissen, pp. 297–302 (1998)
5. Chirkov A., Y., Veselov S., I.: On the vertices of implicitly defined integer polyhedra (part 2). Vestnik of Lobachevsky University of Nizhni Novgorod (2), 166–172 (2008). In Russian
6. Cook, W., Gerards, A.M.H., Schrijver, A., Tardos, E.: Sensitivity theorems in integer linear programming. Mathematical Programming **34**(3), 251–261 (1986). doi:10.1007/BF01582230
7. Cook, W., Hartmann, M., Kannan, R., McDiarmid, C.: On integer points in polyhedra. Combinatorica **12**(1), 27–37 (1992). doi:10.1007/BF01191202. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01191202>
8. Erdős, P., Füredi, Z., Pach, J., Ruzsa, I.Z.: The grid revisited. Discrete mathematics **111**(1-3), 189–196 (1993)
9. Griбанov D., V., Malyshev D., S., Pardalos P., M., Veselov S., I.: FPT-algorithms for some problems related to integer programming. J. Comb. Optim. **35**, 1128–1146 (2018). doi:10.1007/s10878-018-0264-z. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10878-018-0264-z>
10. Griбанov D., V., Shumilov I., A., Malyshev D., S., Pardalos P., M.: On δ -modular integer linear problems in the canonical form and equivalent problems (2021). URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2002.01307v5>
11. Grünbaum, B.: Convex Polytopes. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York (2011)
12. Lee, J., Paat, J., Stallknecht, I., Xu, L.: Polynomial upper bounds on the number of differing columns of an integer program. arXiv preprint arXiv:2105.08160v2 [math.OC] (2021). URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2105.08160>
13. McMullen, P.: The maximum numbers of faces of a convex polytope. Mathematika **17**(2), 179–184 (1970). doi:10.1112/S0025579300002850
14. Schrijver, A.: Theory of linear and integer programming. John Wiley & Sons, Chichester (1998)
15. Veselov S., I., Chirkov A., Y.: On the vertices of implicitly defined integer polyhedra. Vestnik of Lobachevsky University of Nizhni Novgorod (1), 118–123 (2008). In Russian
16. Veselov S., I., Chirkov A., Y.: Some estimates for the number of vertices of integer polyhedra. J. Appl. Ind. Math. **2**, 591–604 (2008). doi:10.1134/S1990478908040157. URL <https://doi.org/10.1134/S1990478908040157>

Statements and Declarations

Funding

This work was prepared under financial support of Russian Science Foundation grant No 21-11-00194.

Competing Interests

The authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.

Author Contributions

All the authors contributed equally.

Data Availability

The manuscript has no associated data.