

# The Product Structure of Squaregraphs

Robert Hickingbotham<sup>‡</sup> Paul Jungeblut<sup>§</sup>  
 Laura Merker<sup>§</sup> David R. Wood<sup>‡</sup>

March 9, 2022

## Abstract

A *squaregraph* is a plane graph in which each internal face is a 4-cycle and each internal vertex has degree at least 4. This paper proves that every squaregraph is isomorphic to a subgraph of the semi-strong product of an outerplanar graph and a path. We generalise this result for infinite squaregraphs, and show that this is best possible in the sense that “outerplanar graph” cannot be replaced by “forest”.

## 1 Introduction

A *squaregraph* is a plane graph<sup>1</sup> in which each internal face is a 4-cycle and each internal vertex has degree at least 4. These graphs were introduced in 1973 by Soltan, Zambitskiĭ, and Prisakaru [26]. They have many interesting structural and metric properties. For example, Bandelt, Chepoi, and Eppstein [3] showed that squaregraphs are median graphs and are thus partial cubes, and that every squaregraph can be

---

<sup>‡</sup>School of Mathematics, Monash University, Melbourne, Australia ([robert.hickingbotham,david.wood@monash.edu](mailto:robert.hickingbotham,david.wood@monash.edu)). Research of R.H. supported by an Australian Government Research Training Program Scholarship. Research of D.W. supported by the Australian Research Council.

<sup>§</sup>Institute of Theoretical Informatics, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Germany ([paul.jungeblut,laura.merker2@kit.edu](mailto:paul.jungeblut,laura.merker2@kit.edu)).

<sup>1</sup>A *plane graph* is a graph embedded in the plane with no crossings. The word ‘face’ refers to the subgraph on the boundary of the face. A graph is *outerplanar* if it is isomorphic to a plane graph where every vertex is on the outer-face.

isometrically embedded<sup>2</sup> into the cartesian product<sup>3</sup> of five trees. See the survey by Bandelt and Chepoi [2] for background on metric graph theory.

The primary contribution of this paper is the following product structure theorem for squaregraphs, as illustrated in Figure 1. For graphs  $G$  and  $H$ , the *semi-strong product*  $G \bowtie H$  is the graph with vertex-set  $V(G) \times V(H)$  with an edge between two vertices  $(v, w)$  and  $(v', w')$  if  $v = v'$  and  $ww' \in E(H)$ , or  $vv' \in E(G)$  and  $ww' \in E(H)$ ; see [19, 22] for example. Note that

$$G \times H \subseteq G \bowtie H \subseteq G \boxtimes H.$$

We write  $H \lesssim G$  to mean that  $H$  is isomorphic to a subgraph of  $G$ .

**Theorem 1.** *For every squaregraph  $G$  there is an outerplanar graph  $H$  and a path  $P$  such that  $G \lesssim H \bowtie P$ .*

Note that since a path is bipartite,  $H \bowtie P$  is also bipartite.




Figure 1: A squaregraph  $G$  (left) isomorphic to a subgraph of the semi-strong product  $H \bowtie P$  of an outerplanar graph  $H$  and a path  $P$  (right).

We in fact prove a more general sufficient condition for a plane graph to have such a product structure which implies Theorem 1; see Theorem 5 in Section 2.

<sup>2</sup>A graph  $H$  can be *isometrically embedded* into a graph  $G$  if there exists an isomorphism  $\phi$  from  $V(H)$  to a subgraph of  $G$  such that  $\text{dist}_H(u, v) = \text{dist}_G(\phi(u), \phi(v))$  for all  $u, v \in V(H)$ .

<sup>3</sup>The following are the standard graph products. For graphs  $G$  and  $H$ , the *cartesian product*  $G \square H$  is the graph with vertex-set  $V(G) \times V(H)$  with an edge between two vertices  $(v, w)$  and  $(v', w')$  if  $v = v'$  and  $ww' \in E(H)$ , or  $w = w'$  and  $vv' \in E(G)$ . The *direct product*  $G \times H$  is the graph with vertex-set  $V(G) \times V(H)$  with an edge between two vertices  $(v, w)$  and  $(v', w')$  if  $vv' \in E(G)$  and  $ww' \in E(H)$ . The *strong product*  $G \boxtimes H := (G \square H) \cup (G \times H)$ .

The second contribution of this paper is to show that Theorem 1 is best possible in the sense that “outerplanar graph” cannot be replaced by “forest”. Moreover, this lower bound holds for strong products. In fact, we prove that for every integer  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$  there is a squaregraph  $G$  such that for any graph  $H$  and path  $P$ , if  $G \lesssim H \boxtimes P \boxtimes K_\ell$  then  $H$  contains a cycle (and is therefore not a forest). This result actually follows from a stronger lower bound for bipartite graphs, which has other interesting consequences; see Theorem 11 in Section 3. Also note that Theorem 1 cannot be strengthened by replacing “outerplanar graph” by “graph with bounded pathwidth”. Indeed, Bose, Dujmović, Javarsineh, Morin, and Wood [8] showed that for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  there is a tree  $T$  (which is a squaregraph) such that for any graph  $H$  and path  $P$ , if  $T \lesssim H \boxtimes P$  then  $\text{pw}(H) \geq k$ .

In Theorem 1 it is natural to ask whether there is such an outerplanar graph  $H$  independent of  $G$ . This leads to the study of infinite squaregraphs, previously investigated by Bandelt et al. [3]. Our final contribution is an extension of Theorem 1 in which we show that every (possibly infinite) squaregraph is isomorphic to a subgraph of  $O \boxtimes \vec{P}$ , where  $O$  is the universal outerplanar graph and  $\vec{P}$  is the 1-way infinite path; see Section 4.

Before proving the above results, we provide further motivation by putting Theorem 1 in context. The study of the product structure of graph classes emerged with the following seminal result by Dujmović, Joret, Micek, Morin, Ueckerdt, and Wood [15], now called the *Planar Graph Product Structure Theorem*. This result describes planar graphs in terms of the strong product of graphs with bounded treewidth<sup>4</sup> and a path. A connected graph has treewidth at most 1 if and only if it is a tree. Treewidth measures how similar a graph is to a tree and is an important parameter in algorithmic and structural graph theory; see [20, 25]. Graphs with bounded treewidth are considered to be a relatively simple class of graphs.

**Theorem 2** ([15, 28]). *For every planar graph  $G$  there is a graph  $H$  of treewidth at most 6 and a path  $P$  such that  $G \lesssim H \boxtimes P$ .*

The original version of the Planar Graph Product Structure Theorem by Dujmović et al. [15] had “treewidth at most 8” instead of “treewidth at most 6”. Ueckerdt et al. [28] proved Theorem 2 with “treewidth at most 6”. Since outerplanar graphs have treewidth at most 2, Theorem 1 is stronger than Theorem 2 in the case of

---

<sup>4</sup>A *tree-decomposition* of a graph  $G$  is a collection  $(B_x \subseteq V(G) : x \in V(T))$  of subsets of  $V(G)$  (called *bags*) indexed by the nodes of a tree  $T$ , such that (a) for every edge  $uv \in E(G)$ , some bag  $B_x$  contains both  $u$  and  $v$ , and (b) for every vertex  $v \in V(G)$ , the set  $\{x \in V(T) : v \in B_x\}$  induces a non-empty subtree of  $T$ . The *width* of a tree-decomposition is the size of the largest bag minus 1. The *treewidth* of a graph  $G$ , denoted by  $\text{tw}(G)$ , is the minimum width of a tree-decomposition of  $G$ . A *path-decomposition* of a graph  $G$  is a tree decomposition  $(B_x \subseteq V(G) : x \in V(T))$  where  $T$  is a path. The *pathwidth* of a graph  $G$ , denoted by  $\text{pw}(G)$ , is the minimum width of a path-decomposition of  $G$ .

squaregraphs. Theorem 1 is also stronger than Theorem 2 in the sense that Theorem 1 uses  $\bowtie$  whereas Theorem 2 uses  $\boxtimes$ . That said, as explained in Section 1.1, it is well-known that in the case of bipartite planar graphs  $G$ , the proof of Theorem 2 can be adapted to show that  $G \subsetneq H \bowtie P$ .

Product structure theorems are useful since they reduce problems on a complicated class of graphs (such as planar graphs or squaregraphs) to a simpler class of graphs (bounded treewidth graphs such as outerplanar graphs). They have been the key tool to resolve several open problems regarding queue layouts [15], nonrepetitive colourings [13], centered colourings [11], clustered colourings [14], adjacency labellings [5, 12, 18], vertex rankings [7], twin-width [6], odd colourings [16], and infinite graphs [23]. Similar product structure theorems are known for other classes including graphs with bounded Euler genus [10, 15], apex-minor-free graphs [15],  $(g, d)$ -map graphs [17],  $(g, \delta)$ -string graphs [17],  $(g, k)$ -planar graphs [17], powers of planar graphs [17, 21],  $k$ -semi-fan-planar graphs [21] and  $k$ -fan-bundle planar graphs [21].

## 1.1 Preliminaries

We consider undirected simple graphs  $G$  with vertex-set  $V(G)$  and edge-set  $E(G)$ . Unless stated otherwise, graphs are finite. Undefined terms and notation can be found in Diestel's textbook [9].

For  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $m \leq n$ , let  $[m, n] := \{m, m + 1, \dots, n\}$  and  $[n] := [1, n]$ .

Let  $P_n$  denote a path on  $n$  vertices. For graphs  $G$  and  $H$ , the *complete join*  $G + H$  is the graph obtained by the disjoint union of  $G$  and  $H$  by adding all edges between  $G$  and  $H$ . For a graph  $G$  with  $A, B \subseteq V(G)$ , let  $G[A, B]$  be the subgraph of  $G$  with  $V(G[A, B]) := A \cup B$  and  $E(G[A, B]) := \{uv \in E(G) : u \in A, v \in B\}$ .

A *matching*  $M$  in a graph  $G$  is a set of edges in  $G$  such that no two edges in  $M$  have a common endvertex. A matching  $M$  *saturates* a set  $S \subseteq V(G)$  if every vertex in  $S$  is incident to some edge in  $M$ .

A *model* of  $H$  in  $G$  is a function  $\mu$  with domain  $V(H)$  such that:  $\mu(v)$  is a connected subgraph of  $G$ ;  $\mu(v) \cap \mu(w) = \emptyset$  for all distinct  $v, w \in V(H)$ ; and  $\mu(v)$  and  $\mu(w)$  are adjacent for every edge  $vw \in E(H)$ . If, for some  $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , there is a model  $\mu$  of  $H$  in  $G$  such that  $|V(\mu(v))| \leq s$  for each  $v \in V(H)$ , then  $H$  is an  *$s$ -small minor* of  $G$ .

In a plane graph  $G$ , a vertex is *outer* if it is on the outer-face of  $G$  and is *inner* otherwise. Let  $I_G$  denote the set of inner vertices in  $G$ .

Let  $G$  be a graph. A *partition* of  $G$  is a set  $\mathcal{P}$  of sets of vertices in  $G$  such that each vertex of  $G$  is in exactly one element of  $\mathcal{P}$ . Each element of  $\mathcal{P}$  is called

a *part*. The *quotient* of  $\mathcal{P}$  (with respect to  $G$ ) is the graph, denoted by  $G/\mathcal{P}$ , with vertex set  $\mathcal{P}$  where distinct parts  $A, B \in \mathcal{P}$  are adjacent in  $G/\mathcal{P}$  if and only if some vertex in  $A$  is adjacent in  $G$  to some vertex in  $B$ . An *H-partition* of  $G$  is a partition  $\mathcal{P} = (A_x : x \in V(H))$  where  $H \cong G/\mathcal{P}$ . For an *H-partition*  $(A_x : x \in V(H))$  of  $G$ , for each subgraph  $J \subseteq G$  the quotient  $\tilde{H}$  of the partition  $(A_x \cap V(J) : x \in V(H), A_x \cap V(J) \neq \emptyset)$  is called the *sub-quotient* for  $J$ . Note that  $\tilde{H}$  is a subgraph of  $H$ .

A *layering* of a graph  $G$  is an ordered partition  $\mathcal{L} := (L_0, L_1, \dots)$  of  $V(G)$  such that for every edge  $vw \in E(G)$ , if  $v \in L_i$  and  $w \in L_j$ , then  $|i - j| \leq 1$ .  $\mathcal{L}$  is a *BFS-layering* (of  $G$ ) if  $L_0 = \{r\}$  for some *root vertex*  $r \in V(G)$  and  $L_i = \{v \in V(G) : \text{dist}_G(v, r) = i\}$  for all  $i \geq 1$ . A path  $P$  is *vertical* (with respect to  $\mathcal{L}$ ) if  $|V(P) \cap L_i| \leq 1$  for all  $i \geq 0$ .

A *layered partition*  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$  of a graph  $G$  consists of a partition  $\mathcal{P}$  and a layering  $\mathcal{L}$  of  $G$ . If  $\mathcal{P}$  is an *H-partition*, then  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$  is a *layered H-partition*. If  $\mathcal{P} = (A_x : x \in V(H))$ , then the *width* of  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$  is  $\max\{|A_x \cap L| : x \in V(H), L \in \mathcal{L}\}$ . Layered partitions of width at most 1 are *thin*. Layered partitions were introduced by Dujmović et al. [15] who observed the following connection to strong products (which follows directly from the definitions).

**Observation 3** ([15]). *For all graphs  $G$  and  $H$ ,  $G \lesssim H \boxtimes P \boxtimes K_\ell$  for some path  $P$  if and only if  $G$  has a layered  $H$ -partition  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$  with width at most  $\ell$ .*

We have the following analogous observation for  $\bowtie$  (which also follows directly from the definitions).

**Observation 4.** *For all graphs  $G$  and  $H$ ,  $G \lesssim (H \boxtimes K_\ell) \bowtie P$  for some path  $P$  if and only if  $G$  has a layered  $H$ -partition  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$  with width at most  $\ell$ , such that each  $L \in \mathcal{L}$  is an independent set in  $G$ .*

In Observation 4 we may use  $G \lesssim (H \boxtimes K_\ell) \bowtie P$  instead of  $G \lesssim H \boxtimes K_\ell \boxtimes P$  when each  $L \in \mathcal{L}$  is an independent set, since no edges in  $G$  correspond to edges in  $H \boxtimes K_\ell \boxtimes P$  of the form  $(v, x, w)(v', y, w)$  where  $vv' \in E(H)$ ,  $x, y \in V(K_\ell)$  and  $w \in V(P)$ .

As mentioned in Section 1, it is well-known that in the case of bipartite planar graphs  $G$ , the proof of Theorem 2 can be adapted to show that  $G \lesssim H \bowtie P$  for some graph  $H$  of treewidth at most 6 and for some path  $P$ . To see this, we may assume that  $G$  is edge-maximal bipartite planar. Thus  $G$  is connected, and each face is a 4-cycle. Let  $\mathcal{L} = (L_0, L_1, \dots)$  be a BFS-layering of  $G$ . So each  $L_i$  is an independent set. Each face can be written as  $(a, b, c, d)$  where  $a \in L_i$  and  $b, d \in L_{i+1}$  and  $c \in L_i \cup L_{i+2}$ , for some  $i \geq 0$ . Let  $G'$  be the planar triangulation obtained from  $G$  by adding the edge  $bc$  across each such face. Thus  $(L_0, L_1, \dots)$  is a layering of  $G'$ . The proof of Theorem 2 shows that  $G'$  has a partition  $\mathcal{P}$  such that  $\text{tw}(G'/\mathcal{P}) \leq 6$  and  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$  is a thin layered partition. By construction,  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$  is a layered partition of  $G$ . By Observation 4,  $G \lesssim H \bowtie P$ .

A *red-blue colouring* of a bipartite graph  $G$  is a proper vertex 2-colouring of  $G$  with colours ‘red’ and ‘blue’.

## 2 Sufficient Conditions

In this section we prove Theorem 1. We first prove the following, more general sufficient condition for a plane graph to be isomorphic to a subgraph of the strong or semi-strong product of an outerplanar graph and a path. Afterwards, we show that this more general result implies Theorem 1.

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $G$  be a plane graph with inner vertices  $I_G$ . If  $G$  has a layering  $\mathcal{L} = (L_0, L_1, \dots)$  such that  $G[L_{i-1}, L_i]$  has a matching saturating  $L_{i-1} \cap I_G$  for each  $i \in [n]$ , then  $G \lesssim H \boxtimes P$  for some outerplanar graph  $H$  and path  $P$ . Moreover, if  $V(L_i)$  is an independent set for all  $L_i \in \mathcal{L}$ , then  $G \lesssim H \boxtimes P$ .*

*Proof.* By Observations 3 and 4, it suffices to show that  $G$  has a thin layered  $H$ -partition  $\mathcal{P}$  (with respect to  $\mathcal{L}$ ) for some outerplanar graph  $H$ . For each  $i \in [n]$ , let  $E_i$  be a matching in  $G[L_{i-1}, L_i]$  that saturates  $L_{i-1} \cap I_G$ . For vertices  $u \in L_{i-1}$  and  $v \in L_i$  and an edge  $uv \in E_i$ , we say that  $u$  is the *parent* of  $v$  and  $v$  is the *child* of  $u$ . Observe that each vertex  $u \in L_{i-1} \cap I_G$  has exactly one child and each vertex  $v \in L_i$  has at most one parent. Let  $J$  be the subgraph of  $G$  where  $V(J) = V(G)$  and  $E(J) = \bigcup_{i \in [n]} E_i$ .

Let  $X$  be a connected component of  $J$ . Choose the maximum  $j \in [0, n]$  such that there exists some vertex  $v \in V(X) \cap L_j$ . Vertex  $v$  must be outer because each vertex in  $L_j \cap I_G$  is adjacent in  $J$  to some vertex in  $L_{j+1}$ . As illustrated in Figure 2, since each vertex in  $X$  has at most one child and at most one parent,  $X$  is a vertical path with respect to  $\mathcal{L}$ .




Figure 2: Left: A squaregraph with a BFS-layering and a partition  $\mathcal{P}$  into vertical paths (thick orange). The vertical paths are constructed from matchings between consecutive layers, where the leftmost vertex in  $L_i$  is chosen for each inner vertex in  $L_{i-1}$ . Right: The lower endpoint of each path is on the outer-face, so when each path is contracted we obtain an outerplanar graph.

Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the partition of  $G$  determined by the connected components of  $J$ . Let  $H = G/\mathcal{P}$  be the quotient of  $\mathcal{P}$ . Since each part in  $\mathcal{P}$  is a vertical path with respect to  $\mathcal{L}$ , it follows that  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$  is a thin layered  $H$ -partition. It remains to show that  $H$  is outerplanar. Since each part in  $\mathcal{P}$  is connected,  $H$  is a minor of  $G$  and is therefore planar. Since each part of  $\mathcal{P}$  contains a vertex on the outer-face, contracting each part of  $\mathcal{P}$  into a single vertex gives a plane embedding of  $H$  with each vertex on the outer-face; see Figure 2. Therefore  $H$  is outerplanar.  $\square$

We now work towards showing that squaregraphs satisfy the conditions for Theorem 5.

A plane graph  $G$  is *leveled* if the edges are straight line-segments and vertices are placed on a sequence of horizontal lines,  $(L_0, L_1, \dots)$ , called *levels*, such that each edge joins two vertices in consecutive levels. If, in addition, we allow straight-line edges between consecutive vertices on the same level, then  $G$  is *weakly leveled*. Observe that the levels in a weakly leveled plane graph  $G$  define a layering of  $G$ . Leveled plane graphs were first introduced by Sugiyama, Tagawa, and Toda [27], and have since been well studied [4].

For a weakly leveled plane graph  $G$  with levels  $(L_0, L_1, \dots)$  and a vertex  $v \in L_i$ , the *up-degree* of  $v$  is  $|N_G(v) \cap L_{i-1}|$  and the *down-degree* of  $v$  is  $|N_G(v) \cap L_{i+1}|$ . We now give a more natural condition that forces our desired matching between two consecutive levels.

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $G$  be a weakly leveled plane graph with inner vertices  $I_G$ . If each vertex in  $I_G$  has down-degree at least 2, then  $G \lesssim H \boxtimes P$  for some outerplanar graph  $H$  and path  $P$ . Moreover, if  $G$  is a leveled plane graph, then  $G \lesssim H \boxtimes P$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $(L_0, L_1, \dots)$  be the levels of  $G$ . Observe that if  $G$  is a leveled plane graph, then  $V(L_i)$  is an independent set for all  $i \geq 0$ . For each  $i \in [n]$ , let  $E_i$  be the set of edges in  $G[L_{i-1}, L_i]$  between each vertex  $v \in L_{i-1} \cap I_G$  and its leftmost neighbour in  $L_i$ ; see Figure 2. For the sake of contradiction, suppose there exists a vertex  $u \in L_{i-1} \cup L_i$  that is incident to two edges in  $E_i$ . By construction, each vertex in  $L_{i-1} \cap I_G$  is incident to at most one edge in  $E_i$  so  $u \in L_i$ . Let  $x$  and  $y$  be the neighbours of  $u$  in  $L_{i-1}$ , where  $x$  is to the left of  $y$ . Since  $x$  has down-degree at least 2,  $x$  is adjacent to a vertex  $v$  that is to the right of  $u$ . However, this contradicts  $G$  being weakly leveled plane since  $uy$  and  $vx$  cross; see Figure 3. Therefore,  $E_i$  is a matching that saturates  $L_{i-1} \cap I_G$ . The claim therefore follows by Theorem 5.  $\square$

We are ready to prove Theorem 1 which we restate here for convenience.

**Theorem 1.** *For every squaregraph  $G$  there is an outerplanar graph  $H$  and a path  $P$  such that  $G \lesssim H \boxtimes P$ .*






Figure 3: Contradiction in the proof of Lemma 6.

*Proof.* We may assume that  $G$  is connected (since if each component of  $G$  has the desired product structure, then so does  $G$ ). By taking a BFS-layering of  $G$  rooted at any vertex  $r$  on the outer-face, Bannister et al. [4] showed that  $G$  is isomorphic to a leveled plane graph. Without loss of generality, assume  $G$  is leveled plane with corresponding levels  $(L_0, L_1, \dots)$ .

Below we show that every inner vertex in  $G$  has up-degree at most 2. Since each inner vertex has degree at least 4, each inner vertex has down-degree at least 2. The result thus follows from Lemma 6.

For the sake of contradiction, suppose there exists an inner vertex with up-degree at least 3. Let  $i \in [n]$  be minimum such that there is a vertex  $v \in L_i \cap I_G$  with up-degree at least 3. Let  $u_1, u_2, u_3$  be neighbours of  $v$  in  $L_{i-1}$  ordered left to right. Since the levels are defined by a BFS-layering, there is an  $(u_1, r)$ -path and an  $(u_3, r)$ -path that does not contain  $u_2$ ; see Figure 4. Hence,  $u_2$  is an inner vertex of  $G$  and thus has degree at least 4. However, by planarity,  $v$  is the only neighbour of  $u_2$  in  $L_i$ . Since  $u_2$  has no neighbours in  $L_{i-1}$  (as  $G$  is leveled plane),  $u_2$  has three neighbours in  $L_{i-2}$ , which contradicts the minimality of  $i$ , as required.  $\square$




Figure 4: Vertex  $v \in L_i$  with three neighbours  $u_1, u_2, u_3$  in the preceding layer  $L_{i-1}$ . Since  $u_2$  is an inner vertex, it has degree at least 4.

We now give an application of Theorem 1. A colouring  $\phi$  of a graph  $G$  is *nonrepetitive* if for every path  $v_1, \dots, v_{2h}$  in  $G$ , there exists  $i \in [h]$  such that  $\phi(v_i) \neq \phi(v_{i+h})$ . The *nonrepetitive chromatic number*,  $\pi(G)$ , is the minimum number of colours in a nonrepetitive colouring of  $G$ . Nonrepetitive colourings were introduced by Alon, Grytczuk, Hałuszczak, and Riordan [1] and have since been widely studied; see the survey [29].

Kündgen and Pelsmajer [24] showed that  $\pi(G) \leq 4^{\text{tw}(G)}$  for every graph  $G$ . Building upon this result, Dujmović et al. [13] proved the following:



**Lemma 7** ([13]). *For any graph  $H$  and path  $P$ , if  $G \lesssim H \boxtimes P$  then  $\pi(G) \leq 4^{\text{tw}(H)+1}$ .*

Using (a variation of) Theorem 2 and Lemma 7, Dujmović et al. [13] resolved a long-standing conjecture of Alon et al. [1] by showing that planar graphs  $G$  have bounded nonrepetitive chromatic number; in particular,  $\pi(G) \leq 768$ . When  $G$  is a squaregraph, Theorem 1 and Lemma 7 imply that  $\pi(G) \leq 4^3 = 64$ .

### 3 Tightness

In this section, we show that Theorem 1 is tight by proving a lower bound for the product structure of bipartite graphs.

The *row treewidth* of a graph  $G$  is the minimum integer  $k$  such that  $G \lesssim H \boxtimes P$  for some graph  $H$  with treewidth  $k$  and path  $P$  [8]. Theorem 2 says that every planar graph has row treewidth at most 6. Dujmović et al. [15] showed that the maximum row treewidth of planar graphs is at least 3. They in fact proved the following stronger result.

**Theorem 8** ([15]). *For all  $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $k \geq 2$  there is a graph  $G$  with pathwidth  $k$  such that for any graph  $H$  and path  $P$ , if  $G \lesssim H \boxtimes P \boxtimes K_\ell$  then  $K_{k+1} \lesssim H$  and thus  $H$  has treewidth at least  $k$ . Moreover, if  $k = 2$  then  $G$  is outerplanar, and if  $k = 3$  then  $G$  is planar.*

Theorem 1 says that squaregraphs have row treewidth at most 2. We show that this bound is tight by proving Theorem 11 which is an analogous result to Theorem 8 for bipartite graphs. As an introduction to the key ideas in the proof of Theorem 11, we first establish Proposition 10 which is a slight generalisation of Theorem 8. We need the following lemma for finding long paths in quotient graphs.

**Lemma 9.** *Let  $G$  be a graph and  $(A_x : x \in V(H))$  be an  $H$ -partition of  $G$  such that  $|A_x| \leq a$  for all  $x \in V(H)$ . Then for every  $w \in V(H)$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is a sufficiently large  $n' \in \mathbb{N}$  such that if  $G$  contains a path on  $n'$  vertices, then  $H - w$  contains a path on  $n$  vertices.*

*Proof.* Let  $m$  be sufficiently large compared to  $n$  and let  $n' := (a+1)am + a$ . Suppose  $G$  has a path on  $n'$  vertices. Let  $G' = G - A_w$ . Since  $|V(P) \cap A_w| \leq a$ ,  $P$  is split into at most  $a + 1$  disjoint subpaths in  $G'$ . Thus, there is a path  $P_{\max}$  in  $G'$  with at least  $am$  vertices. Let  $\tilde{H}$  be the sub-quotient of  $H$  with respect to  $P_{\max}$ . Observe that  $\tilde{H}$  is connected and that  $|V(\tilde{H})| \geq am/a = m$ . Moreover,  $\tilde{H} \subseteq H - w$  since  $A_w \cap V(P_{\max}) = \emptyset$ . Now  $\tilde{H}$  has maximum degree at most  $2a$  since every vertex in  $P_{\max}$  has degree at most 2. Thus, since  $m$  is sufficiently large,  $\tilde{H}$  contains a path on at least  $n$  vertices, as required.  $\square$

The following result generalises Theorem 8 (which is the  $n = 2$  case).

**Proposition 10.** *For all  $k, \ell, n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists a graph  $G$  with pathwidth at most  $k + 1$  such that for any graph  $H$  and path  $P$ , if  $G \lesssim H \boxtimes P \boxtimes K_\ell$  then  $P_n + K_k \lesssim H$ .*

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on  $k \geq 1$ . Let  $n'$  be sufficiently large compared to  $n$ . Let  $G^{(1)}$  be the graph obtained from a path on  $n'$  vertices plus a dominant vertex  $v$ . Observe that  $G^{(1)}$  has radius 1 and pathwidth at most 2. Suppose  $G^{(1)} \lesssim H \boxtimes P \boxtimes K_\ell$  for some graph  $H$  and path  $P$ . By Observation 3, there is a layered  $H$ -partition  $(A_x : x \in V(H))$  of  $G$  of width  $\ell$ . Let  $w \in V(H)$  be such that  $v \in A_w$ . Since  $G^{(1)}$  has radius 1, every layering of  $G^{(1)}$  consists of at most three layers so  $|A_x| \leq 3\ell$  for all  $x \in V(H)$ . By Lemma 9 and since  $n'$  is sufficiently large,  $H - w$  contains a path on  $n$  vertices. As  $v$  is dominant in  $G^{(1)}$ ,  $w$  is also dominant in  $H$ . Thus  $P_n + K_1 \lesssim H$ .

Now suppose  $k > 1$  and let  $G^{(k-1)}$  be a graph that satisfies the induction hypothesis for  $k - 1$ . Let  $G^{(k)}$  be obtained by taking  $3\ell$  disjoint copies of  $G^{(k-1)}$  plus a dominant vertex  $v$ . Then  $G^{(k)}$  has pathwidth at most  $k + 1$ . As in the base case, let  $(A_x : x \in V(H))$  be a layered  $H$ -partition of  $G^{(k)}$  of width  $\ell$ . Let  $w \in V(H)$  be such that  $v \in A_w$ . Since  $G^{(k)}$  has radius 1, it follows that  $|A_x - \{v\}| \leq 3\ell - 1$  for all  $x \in V(H)$ . Thus, there is a copy of  $G^{(k-1)}$  that contains no vertices from  $A_w$ . Now consider the sub-quotient  $\tilde{H}$  of  $H$  with respect to this copy of  $G^{(k-1)}$ . By induction,  $P_n + K_{k-1} \lesssim \tilde{H}$ . Since  $v$  is dominant in  $G^{(k)}$ ,  $w$  is dominant in  $H$  and thus  $P_n + K_k \lesssim H$ , as required.  $\square$

Note that in Proposition 10, the graph  $G^{(1)}$  is outerplanar and the graph  $G^{(2)}$  is planar for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

We now prove our main lower bound which is a bipartite version of Proposition 10.

**Theorem 11.** *For all  $i, j, k, \ell, n \in \mathbb{N}$  where  $i + j = k$ , there exists a bipartite graph  $G$  with pathwidth at most  $k + 1$  such that for any graph  $H$  and path  $P$ , if  $G \lesssim H \boxtimes P \boxtimes K_\ell$  then  $P_n + K_{i,j}$  is a 2-small minor of  $H$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $P_n = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$  be a path on  $n$  vertices. Let  $B = \{b_1, \dots, b_i\}$  and  $C = \{c_1, \dots, c_j\}$  be the bipartition of  $V(K_{i,j})$ . We proceed by induction on  $k$  with the following hypothesis: for every  $i, j, k, \ell, n \in \mathbb{N}$  where  $i + j = k$ , there exists a red-blue coloured connected bipartite graph  $G$ , such that for any graph  $H$ , if  $(A_x : x \in V(H))$  is a layered  $H$ -partition of  $G$  of width  $\ell$ , then  $H$  contains a model  $\mu$  of  $P_n + K_{i,j}$  such that for each  $u \in V(P_n + K_{i,j})$  we have  $|V(\mu(u))| \leq 2$  and  $\bigcup_{a \in V(\mu(u))} A_a$  contains:

1. a red vertex when  $u \in B$ ;
2. a blue vertex when  $u \in C$ ; and
3. a red and a blue vertex when  $u \in V(P_n)$ .

The claimed theorem follows by Observation 3.

For  $k = 1$  we may assume that  $i = 1$  and  $j = 0$ . Let  $n'$  be sufficiently large and let  $G^{(1,0)}$  be the bipartite graph obtained from a red-blue coloured path  $P_G = (u_1, \dots, u_{n'})$  on  $n'$  vertices plus a red vertex  $v$  adjacent to all the blue vertices in  $V(P_G)$ . Observe that  $G^{(1,0)}$  has radius 2 and pathwidth at most 2. Let  $(A_x : x \in V(H))$  be a layered  $H$ -partition of  $G^{(1,0)}$  of width  $\ell$ . Let  $w \in V(H)$  be such that  $v \in A_w$ . Then  $A_w$  contains a red vertex. Since  $G^{(1,0)}$  has radius 2, every layering of  $G^{(1,0)}$  has at most five layers, so  $|A_x| \leq 5\ell$  for all  $x \in V(H)$ . By Lemma 9 and since  $n'$  is sufficiently large,  $H - w$  contains a path  $P_H = (a'_1, \dots, a'_{2n})$  on  $2n$  vertices. Now for every edge  $a'_i a'_{i+1} \in E(P_H)$ , there exists  $j \in [n' - 1]$  such that  $u_j, u_{j+1} \in A_{a'_i} \cup A_{a'_{i+1}}$ . As such,  $A_{a'_i} \cup A_{a'_{i+1}}$  contains a red and a blue vertex. For all  $i \in [n]$ , let  $\mu(a_i) = H[\{a'_{2i-1}, a'_{2i}\}]$  and  $\mu(b_1) = \{w\}$ . Then  $\mu$  is a model of  $P_n + K_{1,0}$  in  $H$  which satisfies the induction hypothesis.

Now suppose  $k > 1$  and that there is a red-blue coloured connected bipartite graph  $G^{(i-1,j)}$  such that for any graph  $H$ , if  $(A_x : x \in V(H))$  is a layered  $H$ -partition of  $G$  of width  $\ell$ , then  $H$  contains a model  $\tilde{\mu}$  of  $P_n + K_{i-1,j}$  where  $|V(\tilde{\mu}(u))| \leq 2$  for all  $u \in V(P_n + K_{i-1,j})$  and  $\bigcup_{a \in V(\mu(u))} A_a$  contains a red vertex when  $u \in B$ ; a blue vertex when  $u \in C$ ; and a red and a blue vertex when  $u \in V(P_n)$ . Let  $G^{(i,j)}$  be obtained by taking  $5\ell$  copies of  $G^{(i-1,j)}$  plus a red vertex  $v$  that is adjacent to all the blue vertices. Then  $G^{(i,j)}$  has radius 2 and pathwidth at most  $k + 1$ . As in the base case, let  $(A_x : x \in V(H))$  be a layered  $H$ -partition of  $G^{(i,j)}$  of width  $\ell$ . Let  $w \in V(H)$  be such that  $v \in A_w$ . Then  $A_w$  contains a red vertex. Since  $G^{(i,j)}$  has radius 2,  $|A_w - \{v\}| \leq 5\ell - 1$ . Thus, there is a copy of  $G^{(i-1,j)}$  that contains no vertices from  $A_w$ . Now consider the sub-quotient  $\tilde{H}$  of  $H$  with respect to this copy of  $G^{(i-1,j)}$ . By induction,  $\tilde{H}$  contains a model  $\tilde{\mu}$  which satisfies the induction hypothesis. Let  $\mu(b_i) = \{w\}$  and  $\mu(v) = \tilde{\mu}(v)$  for all  $v \in V(P_n + K_{i-1,j})$ . Since  $v$  is adjacent to all the blue vertices in  $G$ ,  $w$  is adjacent to a vertex in  $\bigcup_{a \in V(\mu(u))} A_a$  whenever  $u \in V(P_n) \cup C$ . Thus  $\mu$  is a model of  $P_n + K_{i,j}$  in  $H$  which satisfies the induction hypothesis, as required.  $\square$

We now highlight several consequences of Theorem 11. First, when  $i = 1$  and  $j = 0$ , the graph  $G^{(1,0)}$  is an outerplanar squaregraph as illustrated in Figure 5. Since  $P_2 + K_{1,0}$  is a 3-cycle, we have the following:

**Corollary 12.** *For every  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a squaregraph  $G$  such that for any graph  $H$  and path  $P$ , if  $G \preceq H \boxtimes P \boxtimes K_\ell$  then  $H$  contains a cycle of length at most 6.*

Thus Theorem 1 is best possible in the sense that “outerplanar graph” cannot be replaced by “forest”.

Second, when  $i = j = 1$ , the graph  $G^{(1,1)}$  is a bipartite planar graph, as illustrated in Figure 5. Since  $P_2 + K_{1,1} \cong K_4$  which has treewidth 3, we have the following:




Figure 5: The graphs  $G^{(1,0)}$  and  $G^{(1,1)}$  from Theorem 11.

**Corollary 13.** *For every  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a bipartite planar graph  $G$  such that for any graph  $H$  and path  $P$ , if  $G \preceq H \boxtimes P \boxtimes K_\ell$  then  $H$  contains a 2-small minor of  $K_4$  and thus  $\text{tw}(H) \geq 3$ .*

Therefore, the maximum row treewidth of bipartite planar graphs is at least 3. We conclude this section with the following open problem: what is the maximum row treewidth of bipartite planar graphs? As in the case of (non-bipartite) planar graphs, the answer is in  $\{3, 4, 5, 6\}$ .

## 4 Infinite Squaregraphs

In this section by ‘graph’ we mean a graph  $G$  with  $V(G)$  finite or countably infinite. Huynh et al. [23] showed how Theorem 2 can be used to construct a graph that contains every planar graph as a subgraph and has several interesting properties. Here we adapt their methods to construct an analogous graph that contains every squaregraph as a subgraph.

Bandelt et al. [3] gave several equivalent definitions of an infinite squaregraph. The following definition suits our purposes. Let  $G$  be a locally finite<sup>5</sup> graph. For every vertex  $v$  of  $G$  and every  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  the subgraph  $G[\{w \in V(G) : \text{dist}_G(v, w) \leq r\}]$  is called a *ball*. Since  $G$  is locally finite, every ball is finite. An infinite graph  $G$  is a *squaregraph* if it is locally finite and every ball in  $G$  is a squaregraph. Let  $\vec{P}$  be the 1-way infinite path, which has vertex-set  $\mathbb{N}_0$  and edge-set  $\{\{i, i+1\} : i \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ . It is well known that there is a *universal* outerplanar graph  $O$ . This means that  $O$  is outerplanar and every outerplanar graph is isomorphic to a subgraph of  $O$ . See Theorem 4.14 in [23] for an explicit definition of  $O$ .

**Theorem 14.** *Every squaregraph is isomorphic to a subgraph of  $O \boxtimes \vec{P}$ .*

<sup>5</sup>A graph  $G$  is *locally finite* if every vertex of  $G$  has finite degree.

Theorem 14 follows from Theorem 1 and the next lemma, which is an adaptation of Lemma 5.3 in [23].

**Lemma 15.** *Let  $H$  be a graph. Let  $G$  be a locally finite graph such that  $B \subsetneq H \boxtimes \vec{P}$  for every ball  $B$  in  $G$ . Then  $G \subsetneq H \boxtimes \vec{P}$ .*

*Proof Sketch.* Fix  $v \in V(G)$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , let  $V_n := \{w \in V(G) : \text{dist}_G(v, w) = n\}$  and  $G_n := G[V_0 \cup V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_n]$ . So  $G_n$  is a finite ball in  $G$ . By assumption,  $G_n \subsetneq H \boxtimes \vec{P}$ . Let  $X_n$  be the set of all thin layered  $H$ -partitions  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$  of  $G_n$ , such that  $L$  is an independent set in  $G_n$  for each  $L \in \mathcal{L}$ . By Observation 4,  $X_n \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $G_n$  is finite and connected,  $X_n$  is finite. For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and for each  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}) \in X_n$ , if  $\mathcal{P}' := \{Y \setminus V_n : Y \in \mathcal{P}, Y \setminus V_n \neq \emptyset\}$  and  $\mathcal{L}' := \{L \setminus V_n : L \in \mathcal{L}, L \setminus V_n \neq \emptyset\}$  then  $(\mathcal{P}', \mathcal{L}') \in X_{n-1}$  (since  $G_{n-1}$  is connected). By König's Lemma, there is an infinite sequence  $(\mathcal{P}_0, \mathcal{L}_0), (\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{L}_1), (\mathcal{P}_2, \mathcal{L}_2), \dots$  where  $\mathcal{P}_{n-1} = \mathcal{P}'_n$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{n-1} = \mathcal{L}'_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . By construction,  $\mathcal{P}_{n-1}$  is a 'sub-partition' of  $\mathcal{P}_n$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{n-1}$  is a 'sub-partition' of  $\mathcal{L}_n$ . Let  $\mathcal{P} := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathcal{P}_n$  and  $\mathcal{L} := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathcal{L}_n$ . Then  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$  is a thin layered  $H$ -partition of  $G$ ; see [23] for details. By Observation 4,  $G \subsetneq H \boxtimes \vec{P}$ .  $\square$

## Acknowledgement

This research was initiated at the workshop, *Geometric Graphs and Hypergraphs*, 30 August – 3 September 2021, organised by Torsten Ueckerdt and Lena Yuditsky. Thanks to the organisers and other participants for creating a productive environment.

## References

- [1] NOGA ALON, JAROSŁAW GRZYCZUK, MARIUSZ HALUSZCZAK, AND OLIVER RIORDAN. [Nonrepetitive colorings of graphs](#). *Random Structures Algorithms*, 21(3–4):336–346, 2002.
- [2] HANS-JÜRGEN BANDELT AND VICTOR CHEPOI. [Metric graph theory and geometry: a survey](#). In *Surveys on discrete and computational geometry*, vol. 453 of *Contemp. Math.*, pp. 49–86. Amer. Math. Soc., 2008.
- [3] HANS-JÜRGEN BANDELT, VICTOR CHEPOI, AND DAVID EPPSTEIN. [Combinatorics and geometry of finite and infinite squaregraphs](#). *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 24(4):1399–1440, 2010.
- [4] MICHAEL J. BANNISTER, WILLIAM E. DEVANNY, VIDA DUJMOVIĆ, DAVID EPPSTEIN, AND DAVID R. WOOD. [Track layouts, layered path decompositions, and leveled planarity](#). *Algorithmica*, 81(4):1561–1583, 2019.
- [5] MARTHE BONAMY, CYRIL GAVOILLE, AND MICHAŁ PILIPCZUK. [Shorter labeling schemes for planar graphs](#). In SHUCHI CHAWLA, ed., *Proc. ACM-SIAM Symp. on Discrete Algorithms (SODA '20)*, pp. 446–462. 2020.

- [6] ÉDOUARD BONNET, O-JOUNG KWON, AND DAVID R. WOOD. [Reduced bandwidth: a qualitative strengthening of twin-width in minor-closed classes \(and beyond\)](#). 2022, arXiv:2202.11858.
- [7] PROSENJIT BOSE, VIDA DUJMOVIĆ, MEHRNOOSH JAVARSINEH, AND PAT MORIN. [Asymptotically optimal vertex ranking of planar graphs](#). arXiv:2007.06455, 2020.
- [8] PROSENJIT BOSE, VIDA DUJMOVIĆ, MEHRNOOSH JAVARSINEH, PAT MORIN, AND DAVID R. WOOD. [Separating layered treewidth and row treewidth](#). arXiv:2105.01230, 2021.
- [9] REINHARD DIESTEL. [Graph theory](#), vol. 173 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, 5th edn., 2018.
- [10] MARC DISTEL, ROBERT HICKINGBOTHAM, TONY HUYNH, AND DAVID R. WOOD. [Improved product structure for graphs on surfaces](#). 2021, arXiv:2112.10025.
- [11] MICHAŁ DĘBSKI, STEFAN FELSNER, PIOTR MICEK, AND FELIX SCHRÖDER. [Improved bounds for centered colorings](#). *Adv. Comb.*, #8, 2021.
- [12] VIDA DUJMOVIĆ, LOUIS ESPERET, CYRIL GAVOILLE, GWENAËL JORET, PIOTR MICEK, AND PAT MORIN. [Adjacency labelling for planar graphs \(and beyond\)](#). *J. ACM*, 68(6):42, 2021.
- [13] VIDA DUJMOVIĆ, LOUIS ESPERET, GWENAËL JORET, BARTOSZ WALCZAK, AND DAVID R. WOOD. [Planar graphs have bounded nonrepetitive chromatic number](#). *Adv. Comb.*, #5, 2020.
- [14] VIDA DUJMOVIĆ, LOUIS ESPERET, PAT MORIN, BARTOSZ WALCZAK, AND DAVID R. WOOD. [Clustered 3-colouring graphs of bounded degree](#). *Combin. Probab. Comput.*, 31(1):123–135, 2022.
- [15] VIDA DUJMOVIĆ, GWENAËL JORET, PIOTR MICEK, PAT MORIN, TORSTEN UECKERDT, AND DAVID R. WOOD. [Planar graphs have bounded queue-number](#). *J. ACM*, 67(4):#22, 2020.
- [16] VIDA DUJMOVIĆ, PAT MORIN, AND SAEED ODAK. [Odd colourings of graph products](#). 2022, arXiv:2202.12882.
- [17] VIDA DUJMOVIĆ, PAT MORIN, AND DAVID R. WOOD. [Graph product structure for non-minor-closed classes](#). 2019, arXiv:1907.05168.
- [18] LOUIS ESPERET, GWENAËL JORET, AND PAT MORIN. [Sparse universal graphs for planarity](#). 2020, arXiv:2010.05779.
- [19] B. L. GARMAN, R. D. RINGEISEN, AND A. T. WHITE. [On the genus of strong tensor products of graphs](#). *Canadian J. Math.*, 28(3):523–532, 1976.
- [20] DANIEL J. HARVEY AND DAVID R. WOOD. [Parameters tied to treewidth](#). *J. Graph Theory*, 84(4):364–385, 2017.
- [21] ROBERT HICKINGBOTHAM AND DAVID R. WOOD. [Shallow minors, graph products and beyond planar graphs](#). 2021, arXiv:2111.12412.
- [22] ZHEN-MU HONG, HONG-JIAN LAI, AND JIANBING LIU. [Induced subgraphs of product graphs and a generalization of Huang’s theorem](#). *J. Graph Theory*, 98(2):285–308, 2021.
- [23] TONY HUYNH, BOJAN MOHAR, ROBERT ŠÁMAL, CARSTEN THOMASSEN, AND DAVID R. WOOD. [Universality in minor-closed graph classes](#). 2021, arXiv:2109.00327.
- [24] ANDRÉ KÜNDGEN AND MICHAEL J. PELSMAYER. [Nonrepetitive colorings of graphs of bounded tree-width](#). *Discrete Math.*, 308(19):4473–4478, 2008.
- [25] BRUCE A. REED. [Tree width and tangles: a new connectivity measure and some](#)

- applications. In R. A. BAILEY, ed., *Surveys in combinatorics*, vol. 241 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pp. 87–162. Cambridge Univ. Press, 1997.
- [26] P. S. SOLTAN, D. K. ZAMBITSKII, AND K. F. PRISAKARU. Экстремальные задачи на графах и алгоритмы их решения [Extremal problems on graphs and algorithms of their solution]. Izdat. “Știinca”, Kishinev, 1973.
- [27] K. SUGIYAMA, S. TAGAWA, AND M. TODA. Methods for visual understanding of hierarchical system structures. *IEEE Trans. Systems Man Cybernet.*, 11(2):109–125, 1981.
- [28] TORSTEN UECKERDT, DAVID R. WOOD, AND WENDY YI. [An improved planar graph product structure theorem](#). 2021, arXiv:2108.00198.
- [29] DAVID R. WOOD. [Nonrepetitive graph colouring](#). *Electron. J. Combin.*, DS24, 2021.