GENERIC SYMMETRY DEFECT SET OF AN ALGEBRAIC CURVE

L.R.G. DIAS & M. FARNIK & Z. JELONEK

ABSTRACT. Let $X \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ be an *n*-dimensional algebraic variety. We define the algebraic version of the generic symmetry defect set (Wigner caustic) of X. Moreover, we compute its singularities for X_d being a generic curve of degree d in \mathbb{C}^2 .

1. INTRODUCTION

Over the last two decades numerous methods have been developed to study affine geometry of surfaces and curves, especially their affinely invariant symmetry characteristics. The symmetry sets [3, 4, 5] and the center symmetry sets were investigated extensively in [11, 8, 9, 6]. Several constructions of the set equivalent to the point of central symmetry for perturbed centrally symmetric ovals were presented in the literature and resulted in the kind of symmetry defect called center symmetry set. The center symmetry set directly appears in the construction of the so-called Wigner caustic. This caustic is obtained by the stationary phase method applied to the semiclassical Wigner function which completely describes a quantum state in the symplectic phase space [2]. It is built of points where the central symmetry, i.e. the number of intervals ending in the surface and passing centrally through that point, changes. We call this set a symmetry defect or bifurcation set. In [12] this construction was generalized for algebraic varieties $Z^n \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n}$.

This note is motivated by the recent results of [12]. We address the problem of how to introduce a generic symmetry defect set (GSDS) associated to *n*-dimensional variety $X \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n}$. Note that in the algebraic case we cannot use a general deformation of X as it is done in the smooth case. To avoid this difficulty we will consider the linear deformation of a pair (X, X) and we will study the symmetry defect set of this pair. We will show that the generic symmetry defect set is an irreducible algebraic hypersurface with nice singularities, which is defined up to the ambient homeomorphism of \mathbb{C}^{2n} .

We also show:

Theorem 4.1 Let $X, Y \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ be generic plane curves of degree d_1 and d_2 , respectively, with $d_1, d_2 \geq 2$. Then C' = GSDS(X, Y) is an irreducible curve with

$$c = 12 \binom{d_1}{2} \binom{d_2}{2}$$

Date: March 8, 2022.

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 14 D 06, 14 Q 20.

Key words and phrases. symmetric defect, bifurcation points, Wigner caustic.

cusps and

$$n = 2\binom{d_1}{2}\binom{d_2}{2}[(d_1 + d_2)^2 - d_1 - d_2 - 10]$$

nodes. It has degree, genus and Euler characteristic equal respectively to

$$deg(C') = d_1 d_2 (d_1 + d_2 - 2),$$

$$g(C') = d_1 d_2 (2d_1 d_2 - 3(d_1 + d_2) + 4) + 1,$$

$$\chi(C') = -d_1 d_2 (4d_1 d_2 - 5(d_1 + d_2) + 6).$$

This yields as a consequence

Corollary 4.3 Let $X \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ be a generic plane curve of degree $d \geq 2$. Then $\mathrm{GSDS}(X)$ is an irreducible curve of degree $2d^2(d-1)$ and genus $g = 2d^2(d^2 - 3d + 2) + 1$ with $c = 12\binom{d}{2}^2$ cusps and $n = 4\binom{d}{2}^2[2d^2 - d - 5]$ nodes. Moreover, $\chi(\mathrm{GSDS}(X)) = -d^2(4d^2 - 10d + 6)$.

2. Symmetry defect set

Let $X^n \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ be a smooth manifold of dimension n. For a given point $a \in \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ we are interested in the number $\mu(a)$ of pairs of points $x, y \in X$ such that a is the center of the interval \overline{xy} , $a = \frac{x+y}{2}$ (mid point map). We have showed in [12] that if X is an algebraic manifold in a general position, then there is a closed set $B \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n}$, such that the function $\Phi \colon X \times X \ni (x, y) \mapsto (x + y)/2 \in \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ is a differentiable covering outside B. We call the minimal such a set B = B(X) the symmetry defect set of X and denote it by SDS(X). We have showed that the symmetry defect set is an algebraic hypersurface and consequently the function μ is constant and positive outside SDS(X). We have estimated the number μ and the degree of the hypersurface SDS(X).

In fact we can do this in a more general setting, we can start from a pair $X^r, Y^s \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ of smooth manifolds of dimensions r and s respectively, where r+s = n. Again, if X, Y are algebraic manifolds in a general position, then there is a closed set $B \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ such that the function $\Phi \colon X \times Y \ni (x, y) \mapsto (x + y)/2 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ is a differentiable covering outside B. The minimal such a set is an algebraic hypersurface which we call the symmetry defect set of X and Y and denote it by SDS(X, Y).

However, in general the hypersurface SDS(X) (or SDS(X, Y)) has bad singularities. In this paper we will introduce the stable (generic) versions of SDS(X) and SDS(X, Y). To do this we cannot use general deformation of X as in the smooth case. In fact we can use here only linear deformations, but this is not enough to obtain a stable SDS. The good solution is to consider the pair (X, X) and to deform this pair.

Definition 2.1. Let M be a smooth manifold. We will say that the hypersurface $X \subset M$ has Thom-Boardman singularities, if there is a smooth manifold N and a Thom-Boardman mapping $F: N \to M$ such that X is a discriminant of F.

We have:

Theorem 2.2. Let $X^r, Y^s \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ (where r + s = 2n) be smooth algebraic manifolds and let $\operatorname{Lin}(2n, 2n)$ denote the group of affine linear automorphisms of \mathbb{C}^{2n} . There is a Zariski open subset $U \subset \operatorname{Lin}(2n, 2n) \times \operatorname{Lin}(2n, 2n)$ such that for every $G, H \in U$ the hypersurface $\operatorname{SDS}(G(X), H(Y))$ has only Thom-Boardman singularities. Moreover, if $(G, H) \in U$ and $(G_1, H_1) \in U$ then the hypersurfaces $\operatorname{SDS}(G(X), H(Y))$ and $\operatorname{SDS}(G_1(X), H_1(Y))$ are ambient homeomorphic.

Proof. Let us consider the variety $\Gamma := X \times Y \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n} \times \mathbb{C}^{2n}$. Consider the general projection $\pi : \Gamma \to \mathbb{C}^{2n}$. By Mather's theorem (see [15] and [7]) there is an Zariski open subset $V \subset \text{Lin}(4n, 2n)$ such that if $\pi \in V$ then the projection $\pi_{|\Gamma}$ is transversal to the Thom-Boardman strata. Moreover by [13] we can shrink V such that all projections $\pi_{|\Gamma}$ are topologically equivalent. Now consider the map $\Psi : \text{Lin}(2n, 2n) \times \text{Lin}(2n, 2n) \Rightarrow (G, H) \mapsto \frac{G+H}{2} \in \text{Lin}(4n, 2n)$ (here $\frac{G+H}{2}(x, y) = \frac{G(x)+H(y)}{2}$). Now it is enough to take $U = \Psi^{-1}(V)$.

Definition 2.3. Let $X^n, Y^n \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ be smooth algebraic manifolds. By the generic symmetry defect set we mean the set SDS(G(X), H(Y)) where $G, H \in Lin(2n, 2n)$ are sufficiently general. We denote it by GSDS(X, Y). This set is defined up to the ambient homeomorphism. We write GSDS(X) = GSDS(X, X).

Remark 2.4. Of course we can take here G to be identity and take the map H as close to the identity as we wish.

We show in Section 3 that if neither X nor Y is a linear space then GSDS(X, Y) is an irreducible hypersurface with Thom-Boardman singularities. In particular in the case n = 2 the curve GSDS(X, Y) has only cusps and nodes as singularities and the number of these cusps and nodes is an affine invariant of X and Y. In Section 4 we compute these numbers for generic plane curves X_{d_1} and Y_{d_2} of degrees d_1 and d_2 , respectively.

3. The set GSDS(X, Y) is irreducible

We need the following theorem concerning the properties of linear systems on algebraic varieties, due to E. Bertini [1]:

Theorem 3.1. Let V be an algebraic variety over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic 0, let L be a linear system without fixed components on V and let W be the image of the variety V under the mapping j_L given by L. Then:

(1) If dim W > 1 then almost all the divisors of the linear system L (i.e. all except a closed proper subset in the parameter space $\mathbb{P}(L)$) are irreducible reduced algebraic varieties.

(2) Almost all divisors of L have no singular points outside the basis points of the linear system L and the singular points of the variety V.

Let us recall that if we have a projective hypersurface $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ then we have the dual map ϕ : $\operatorname{Reg}(X) \ni x \mapsto T_x X \in \mathbb{P}^{n^*}$ and the variety $X^* = cl(\phi(X))$ is called the dual to X. More generally if X is of arbitrary dimension and $x \in \operatorname{Reg}(X)$ then the hyperplane $H \in \mathbb{P}^{n^*}$ is tangent to X if $T_x X$ is contained in H (here we consider

 $T_x X$ as a projective subspace of \mathbb{P}^n). We have a well-known reflexivity property: $X^{**} = X$. From this it easily follows that:

Proposition 3.2. Let X be a hypersurface in \mathbb{P}^n . If dim $X^* = s < n-1$ then X is n-1-s ruled, i.e., through every point $x \in X$ there is a projective linear subspace $L^{n-1-s} \subset X$ of dimension n-1-s.

Now we can pass to the main result of this section:

Theorem 3.3. Let $X \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a smooth algebraic variety of dimension k. Assume that X is not k-1 ruled. Then the critical set C_{π} and the discriminant $\Sigma_{\pi} = \pi(C_{\pi})$ of a generic projection $\pi: X \to \mathbb{C}^k$ are irreducible. Moreover, C_{π} is smooth and Σ_{π} has only Thom-Boardman singularities.

Proof. We can write $\pi = \rho \circ \phi$ where $\phi: X \to \mathbb{C}^{k+1}$ and $\rho: \phi(X) \to \mathbb{C}^k$ are generic projection. By Mather's Theorem (see [15]) the variety $\phi(X)$ has only normal crossings outside critical values of ϕ . Since the set of critical values has codimension two or more we can assume that $\phi(X)$ has only normal crossings. Let S_1, \ldots, S_m be all irreducible components of $\operatorname{Sing}(\phi(X))$. Take sufficiently general points $a_i \in S_i$. Then two different branches of $\phi(X)$ meet in a_i , in particular we have two different tangent spaces R_i and P_i to these branches. The set of projections $\rho: \phi(X) \to \mathbb{C}^k$ which induce isomorphisms on all spaces R_i, P_i is open and dense in the family of such projections $\rho: \phi(X) \to \mathbb{C}^k$.

Hence in fact we may prove our theorem only for $Y = \text{Reg}(\phi(X))$ and a generic projection $\rho: Y \to \mathbb{C}^k$. We apply here Theorem 3.1. Note that the critical set of a projection π given by equations $(\sum a_{1i}x_i, \ldots, \sum a_{ki}x_i)$ is described by an equation:

(3.1)
$$\det \begin{bmatrix} f_{x_1} & \cdots & f_{x_{k+1}} \\ a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1,k+1} \\ \vdots \\ a_{k,1} & \cdots & a_{k,k+1} \end{bmatrix} = 0.$$
where $f = 0$ is the equation of $\phi(X)$ and $f = \frac{\partial f}{\partial f}$.

where f = 0 is the equation of $\phi(X)$ and $f_{x_i} := \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}$.

Note that if ρ goes through all possible projections then (3.1) forms a linear system L on Y. Of course it has no base points on Y. It is enough to prove that dim $j_L(Y) > 1$. First note that if $x, y \in Y$ and T_x is not parallel to T_y then there is a section s of L such that s(x) = 0 and $s(y) \neq 0$. Indeed, it is enough to take a projection ρ with center P such that $P \in \overline{T_x X}$ but $P \notin \overline{T_y Y}$ and s the section given by ρ . Hence L separates points with not parallel tangent spaces. Now we need the following:

Lemma 3.4. Let $S \subset Y$ be an irreducible subvariety such that for all $y \in S$ the tangent spaces T_yY are parallel. Then there is a hyperplane H such that $S \subset H$ and $T_yY = H$ for every $y \in S$.

Proof. We may assume that S is not a point. Assume that all tangent spaces T_yY , $s \in S$ are parallel to some hyperplane W given by equation h = 0. If h(Y) = c then for $H := \{h = c\}$ we have $Y \subset H$ and all tangent spaces are equal to H. If $h(Y) = \mathbb{C}$, then by Sard's theorem there is a $c \in \mathbb{C}$ such that the hyperplane H is transversal to $\operatorname{Reg}(S)$, a contradiction.

Now assume that S is a component of a fiber of the mapping j_L . Then all tangent planes T_yY , $y \in S$ are parallel. From Lemma 3.4 we have that $T_yY = H$ for some hyperplane H and every $y \in S$. In particular Y is in the fiber of the mapping $\psi: Y \ni y \mapsto \overline{T_yY} \in Y^*$. Since X is not k-1 ruled and $\phi(X)$ is a linear birational projection of X, the variety $\overline{\phi(X)}$ is also not k-1 ruled. In particular general fibers of the mapping ψ have dimension less than k-1. Hence dim $j_L(Y) > 1$.

The last statements follows from Mather's projection theorem.

Remark 3.5. The assumption that X is not k-1 ruled is essential. Indeed, every generic projection of a cylinder $D = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{C}^3 : x^2 + y^2 = 1\}$ to \mathbb{C}^2 has reducible critical set and reducible discriminant.

Corollary 3.6. Let $X^n, Y^n \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ be a smooth algebraic varieties. If neither X nor Y is linear then the set GSDS(X, Y) is an irreducible hypersurface.

Proof. If X and Y are not linear then they are ruled in dimension at most n-1. Hence $X \times Y$ is ruled in dimension at most 2n-2 and we can apply Theorem 3.3.

4. GSDS(X, Y) for generic plane curves X, Y

Theorem 4.1. Let $X, Y \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ be generic plane curves of degree d_1 and d_2 , respectively, with $d_1, d_2 \geq 2$. Then C' = GSDS(X, Y) is an irreducible curve with

$$c = 12 \binom{d_1}{2} \binom{d_2}{2}$$

cusps and

$$n = 2\binom{d_1}{2}\binom{d_2}{2}[(d_1 + d_2)^2 - d_1 - d_2 - 10]$$

nodes. It has degree, genus and Euler characteristic equal respectively to

$$deg(C') = d_1 d_2 (d_1 + d_2 - 2),$$

$$g(C') = d_1 d_2 (2d_1 d_2 - 3(d_1 + d_2) + 4) + 1,$$

$$\chi(C') = -d_1 d_2 (4d_1 d_2 - 5(d_1 + d_2) + 6).$$

Proof. To make the proof easier to read we will divide it into five steps.

Step 1: Basic definitions and construction of C' = GSDS(X, Y).

Let $X = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : f(x, y) = 0\}$ and $Y = \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : g(z, w) = 0\}$ where f(x, y) and g(z, w) are a general polynomials of degree d_1 and d_2 , respectively. We will consider $\Gamma = X \times Y \subset \mathbb{C}^4 \subset \mathbb{P}^4$. We denote the coordinates in \mathbb{C}^4 by x, y, z, w and the coordinates in \mathbb{P}^4 by x, y, z, w, t (slightly abusing notation). We denote the partial derivative by a lower index, e.g. f_x . By \overline{f} we denote the homogenization of f with respect to t and by \tilde{f} we denote the dehomogenization of \overline{f} with respect to x. Note that in \tilde{f}_x we first take the partial derivative and then the homogenization and dehomogenization. We will also denote the projective closure of a variety V by \overline{V} .

Since X is generic and has degree d_1 , there are d_1 distinct points of intersection of \overline{X} with the line at infinity, say $(a_i : b_i : 0)$, for $1 \leq i \leq d_1$. Similarly, let

 $(c_i: d_i: 0), 1 \leq i \leq d_2$, be the points of intersection of \overline{Y} with the line at infinity. Let $P_i = (a_i: b_i: 0: 0: 0)$ and $Q_i = (0: 0: c_i: d_i: 0)$ be the corresponding points in $\overline{\Gamma}$. Note that the d_1d_2 lines spanned by P_i and Q_j also lie in $\overline{\Gamma}$. Since Γ is a surface of degree d_1d_2 , the intersection of $\overline{\Gamma}$ with the hyperplane at infinity consists only of those d_1d_2 lines.

Now consider a generic projection $\pi: \Gamma \to \mathbb{C}^2$. Composing with a linear change of coordinates in the target \mathbb{C}^2 we can assume that $\pi(x, y, z, w) = (x + az + bw, y + cz + dw)$, where a, b, c, d are generic. Let

(4.1)
$$h(x, y, z, w) = \det \begin{bmatrix} f_x(x, y) & f_y(x, y) & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & g_z(z, w) & g_w(z, w)\\ 1 & 0 & a & b\\ 0 & 1 & c & d \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let C denote the critical set of π . It is given by equations:

(4.2)
$$f = 0, g = 0, h = bf_xg_z - af_xg_w + df_yg_z - cf_yg_w = 0.$$

We denote by C' the curve $GSDS(X, Y) = \pi(C)$.

Since X is generic, the values $\overline{f}_x(P_i)$, $\overline{f}_y(P_i)$, $\overline{g}_z(Q_i)$ and $\overline{g}_w(Q_i)$ are nonzero. Thus, for a generic choice of a, b, c, d we obtain

$$\overline{h}(\alpha a_i : \alpha b_i : \beta c_j : \beta d_j : 0) =$$

$$\alpha \beta \left[\overline{f}_x(P_i)(b\overline{g}_z - a\overline{g}_w)(Q_j) + \overline{f}_y(P_i)(d\overline{g}_z - c\overline{g}_w)(Q_j) \right] = \alpha^{d_1 - 1} \beta^{d_2 - 1} A_{i,j}$$

for some nonzero constants $A_{i,j}$. This means that the hypersurface defined by \overline{h} intersects the lines spanned by P_i and Q_j only at the points P_i and Q_j . It follows that \overline{C} is a complete intersection given by $\overline{f} = \overline{g} = \overline{h} = 0$, at least set-theoretically.

Step 2: Examining the branches of \overline{C} at infinity.

Now we will focus on examining the branches of \overline{C} at infinity. Without loss of generality we may examine only branches through P_1 and assume that $P_1 = (1 : b_1 : 0 : 0 : 0)$. We will work in the neighborhood $U \cong \mathbb{C}^4$ defined in \mathbb{P}^4 by $x \neq 0$. The notation $o(t^k)$ means here a series in t of order strictly larger than k.

We can write down \tilde{f} up to multiplying by a constant as

$$\tilde{f}(y,t) = (y-b_1) \prod_{i=2}^{d_1} (a_i y - b_i) + t \tilde{f}^{(d_1-1)}(y) + o(t),$$

where $f^{(d_1-1)}$ is the homogeneous part of f of degree $d_1 - 1$. Here we work in \mathbb{C}^2 and omit the z and w variables as they do not occur in f. Let

$$A = -\tilde{f}^{(d_1-1)}(b_1) / \prod_{i=2}^{d_1} (a_i b_1 - b_i).$$

We claim that for a suitable series in o(t) the function \tilde{f} vanishes on the curve parametrized for small t by (y(t),t) for $y(t) = b_1 + At + o(t)$. Indeed, we have $\tilde{f}(y(t),t) = o(t)$ and we may continue the process of defining y(t) up to $o(t^k)$ in such a manner that $\tilde{f}(y(t),t) = o(t^{k+1})$, passing with k to infinity we obtain that $\tilde{f}(y(t),t) = 0$. Namely, if $y_k(t) = b_1 + At + \sum_{j=2}^k A_j t^j$ and $\tilde{f}(y_k(t),t) =$ $o(t^k) = A'_{k+1}t^{k+1} + o(t^{k+1})$ then setting $A_{k+1} = -A'_{k+1} / \prod_{i=2}^{d_1} (a_i b_1 - b_i)$ we obtain $\tilde{f}(y_{k+1}(t), t) = o(t^{k+1}).$

Now we will construct $z_p(t)$ and $w_p(t)$, for $1 \le p \le d_2(d_2 - 1)$, so that

$$b_p(t) = (y(t), z_p(t), w_p(t), t)$$

parametrizes for small t a curve in the zero locus of $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}, \tilde{h})$. Take $z_p(t) = B_p t + o(t)$ and $w_p(t) = C_p + o(t)$. We have

$$\tilde{g}(b_p(t)) = g(B_p, C_p)t^{d_2} + o(t^{d_2}),$$

thus we need to ensure that $g(B_p, C_p) = 0$. Furthermore,

$$\tilde{h}(b_p) =$$

 $g_{z}(B_{p},C_{p})t^{d_{2}-1}(b\overline{f}_{x}+d\overline{f}_{y})(1,b_{1}+o(1),t)-g_{w}(B_{p},C_{p})t^{d_{2}-1}(a\overline{f}_{x}+c\overline{f}_{y})(1,b_{1}+o(1),t) = [g_{z}(B_{p},C_{p})(bf_{x}^{(d_{1})}+df_{y}^{(d_{1})})(1,b_{1})-g_{w}(B_{p},C_{p})(af_{x}^{(d_{1})}+cf_{y}^{(d_{1})})(1,b_{1})]t^{d_{2}-1}+o(t^{d_{2}-1}),$ thus we need to ensure that $(D_{1}g_{z}-D_{2}g_{w})(B_{p},C_{p}) = 0$, where D_{1} and D_{2} are constants dependent on a, b, c, d and the homogeneous part of f of degree d. So we have to take B_{p} and C_{p} such that the point (B_{p},C_{p}) lies in the intersection of curves given in \mathbb{C}^{2} by g = 0 and $D_{1}g_{z} - D_{2}g_{w} = 0$. Moreover, we are able to expand $z_{p}(t)$ and $w_{p}(t)$ up to degree k in such manner that $\tilde{g}b_{p}(t) = o(t^{d_{2}+k-1})$ and $\tilde{g}(b_{p}(t)) = o(t^{d_{2}+k-2})$. Indeed, if we expand $z_{p}(t)$ and $w_{p}(t)$ up to degree k then we can obtain the coefficients of t^{k+1} by solving a system of two linear equations with two variables. The determinant of the matrix associated with the system is

$$[g_z(D_1g_{zw} - D_2g_{ww}) - g_w(D_1g_{zz} - D_2g_{zw})](B_p, C_p),$$

which is nonzero for generic g. Thus the system of equations has a unique solution.

To make sure that we indeed obtain $d_2(d_2 - 1)$ distinct curve germs $b_p(t)$ we need to use the genericity of X, Y and a, b, c, d to ensure that $g = D_1g_z - D_2g_w = 0$ has $d_2(d_2-1)$ distinct solutions. To abbreviate the argument we will say in this case that (f, g, D_1, D_2) is good. For any fixed pair $(D_1, D_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ there is a dense subset of $\Omega_2(d_1) \times \Omega_2(d_2)$, the product of spaces of bivariate polynomials of degree, respectively, at most d_1 or d_2 , such that (f, g, D_1, D_2) is good. This implies, that the set of good (f, g, D_1, D_2) is dense in $\Omega_2(d_1) \times \Omega_2(d_2) \times \mathbb{C}^2$. It is also constructible, so it contains an open dense subset. In particular, for generic f and g there is an open dense subset $V \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ such that for all $(D_1, D_2) \in V$ the quadruple (f, g, D_1, D_2) is good. The values $f_x^{(d)}(1, b_1)$ and $f_y^{(d)}(1, b_1)$ depend only on X and for generic Xthey are nonzero, i.e., f = 0 does not intersect $f_x = 0$ nor $f_y = 0$ at infinity. Thus for generic a, b, c, d the pair $((bf_x^{(d)} + df_y^{(d)})(1, b_1), (af_x^{(d)} + cf_y^{(d)})(1, b_1))$ is in V.

Summarizing, \overline{C} has $d_1 + d_2$ points of at infinity: P_i for $1 \leq i \leq d_1$ and Q_i for $1 \leq i \leq d_2$. At each of P_i the curve \overline{C} has $d_2(d_2 - 1)$ distinct branches. By symmetry at each of Q_i the curve \overline{C} has $d_1(d_1 - 1)$ distinct branches. Thus \overline{C} has $d_1d_2(d_1 + d_2 - 2)$ branches at infinity. In particular \overline{C} is a scheme-theoretic complete intersection of \overline{f} , \overline{g} and \overline{h} . Moreover, C is a curve of degree $d_1d_2(d_1 + d_2 - 2)$ and, consequently, C' is a curve of degree $d_1d_2(d_1 + d_2 - 2)$ as well.

Step 3: Computing the number of cusps of GSDS(X, Y).

Note that each cusp of GSDS(X, Y) is the image of a unique critical point of $\pi|_C$. Thus c, the number of cusps, is equal to the number of points of C at which the matrix

(4.3)
$$M = \begin{bmatrix} f_x & f_y & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & g_z & g_w\\ h_x & h_y & h_z & h_w\\ 1 & 0 & a & b\\ 0 & 1 & c & d \end{bmatrix}.$$

fails to have maximal rank. Since g, g_z and g_w don't have common zeroes, the second row of M does not vanish on C. Moreover, h vanishes on C, so the first, second, fourth and fifth row of M are linearly dependent. It follows that the rank of M does not decrease after removing the first row. We denote the determinant of M without the first row by s, i.e.,

$$s = h_x(ag_w - bg_z) + h_y(cg_w - dg_z) - h_zg_w + h_wg_z$$

Now we compute the intersection multiplicity of the zero locus of \overline{s} with \overline{C} at P_i and Q_i . For P_i it suffices to determine the order of $\overline{s}(b_p(t))$ for the branches $b_p(t)$ of \overline{C} at P_1 . Recall that

$$b_p(t) = (1:b_1 + o(1):B_pt + o(t):C_pt + o(t):t),$$

thus h_x , h_y , g_w and g_z composed with $b_p(t)$ have order d_2-1 and h_z and h_w composed with $b_p(t)$ have order $d_2 - 2$. By genericity of f and g the initial coefficient does not vanish, so the order of $\overline{s}(b_p(t))$ is $2d_2 - 3$. The computation will not be fully symmetric for Q_i . A branch at a point Q_i will have the form

$$b_q(t) = (A_q t + o(t) : B_q t + o(t) : c_1 + o(1) : d_1 + o(1) : t).$$

Thus, after composing with $b_q(t)$, g_w and g_z will have order 0, h_x and h_y will have order $d_1 - 2$ and h_z and h_w will have order $d_1 - 1$. So the order of $\overline{s}(b_q(t))$ is $d_2 - 2$. Summing up we obtain that the intersection multiplicity is $d_2(d_2 - 1)(2d_2 - 3)$ at points P_i and $d_1(d_1 - 1)(d_1 - 2)$ at points Q_i .

Note that C and $\{s = 0\}$ intersect transversally because otherwise GSDS(X, Y) would have a singularity other than a cusp or a node. Thus by Bezout's Theorem the number of intersection points is

$$c = d_1 d_2 (d_1 + d_2 - 2)(d_1 + 2d_2 - 4) - d_1 d_2 (d_2 - 1)(2d_2 - 3) - d_2 d_1 (d_1 - 1)(d_1 - 2) =$$

= $3d_1 d_2 (d_1 - 1)(d_2 - 1).$

Step 4: Computing the Euler characteristic and genus.

Note that the mapping $\pi: X \times Y \to \mathbb{C}^2$ is a ramified covering of degree d_1d_2 , with the discriminant C'. Let n denote the number of nodes of C' and c denote the number of cusps. Let us recall that the mapping $p = \pi_{|C} : C \to C'$ is generically one-to-one and the fiber $p^{-1}(a)$ has more than one point (in fact then it has exactly two points) only if a is a node. Consequently we can write the following equality:

$$\chi(X \times Y) = d_1 d_2 (1 - \chi(C')) + (d_1 d_2 - 1)(\chi(C') - n - c) + (d_1 d_2 - 2)(n + c).$$

The equation simplifies to:

(4.4)
$$\chi(C') + n + c = d_1 d_2 - \chi(X) \chi(Y)$$

Moreover we have $\chi(X) + d_1 = 2 - 2g(X)$, so $\chi(X) = -d_1(d_1 - 2)$. Similarly, $\chi(Y) = -d_2(d_2 - 2)$. Furthermore, $\chi(C') - n = \chi(C) - 2n$, so $\chi(C) = \chi(C') + n$. Substituting these equalities to equation (4.4) we obtain:

$$\chi(C) = d_1 d_2 - d_1 d_2 (d_1 - 2)(d_2 - 2) - c = -d_1 d_2 (4d_1 d_2 - 5(d_1 + d_2) + 6).$$

From the equality $\chi(C) + d_1d_2(d_1 + d_2 - 2) = 2 - 2g(C)$ we obtain:

$$g(C) = d_1 d_2 (2d_1 d_2 - 3(d_1 + d_2) + 4) + 1.$$

Step 5: Computing the number of nodes of GSDS(X, Y).

We will use the following theorem of Serre (see [17], p. 85):

Theorem 4.2. If Γ is an irreducible curve of degree d and genus g in the complex projective plane then

$$\frac{1}{2}(d-1)(d-2) = g + \sum_{z \in \operatorname{Sing}(\Gamma)} \delta_z,$$

where δ_z denotes the delta invariant of a point z.

In order to use this Theorem we will need to compute the delta invariants of points at infinity of C'. Note that if b is a branch of \overline{C} at P_i , then $\pi(b)$ will be a branch of $\overline{C'}$ at $P'_i = (a_i : b_i : 0)$. If b is a branch of \overline{C} at Q_i then $\pi(b)$ will be a branch of $\overline{C'}$ at $Q'_i = (ac_i + bd_i : cc_i + dd_i : 0)$. Thus for generic projection π , i.e. generic quadruple (a, b, c, d), the curves \overline{C} and $\overline{C'}$ will have the same number of distinct points at infinity.

Now we will show, that the branches at infinity of $\overline{C'}$ are pairwise transversal. By symmetry it is enough to show this for branches at P'_1 . Consider a branch $b_p(t)$ for t small but nonzero. In the projective space \mathbb{P}^4 we have

$$b_p(t) = (1:b_1 + At + o(t): B_pt + o(t): C_pt + o(t): t),$$

thus in the space \mathbb{C}^4 in which C was originally defined we have

$$b_p(t) = (t^{-1}, b_1 t^{-1} + A + o(1), B_p + o(1), C_p + o(1)).$$

Consequently,

$$\pi(b_p(t)) = (t^{-1} + aB_p + bC_p + o(1), b_1t^{-1} + A + cB_p + dC_p + o(1)).$$

In the projective space \mathbb{P}^2 we have

$$\pi(b_p(t)) = (t^{-1} + aB_p + bC_p + o(1) : b_1t^{-1} + A + cB_p + dC_p + o(1) : 1) =$$

= $(1 + (aB_p + bC_p)t + o(t) : b_1 + (A + cB_p + dC_p)t + o(t) : t) =$
= $(1 : b_1 + (A + (c - b_1a)B_p + (d - b_1b)C_p)t + o(t) : t + o(t)).$

Thus a branch $b'_p(t)$ of $\overline{C'}$ at P'_1 is parametrized by $(b_1 + (A + (c - b_1 a)B_p + (d - b_1 b)C_p)t + o(t), t + o(t))$, so $[A + (c - b_1 a)B_p + (d - b_1 b)C_p, 1]$ is a tangent vector at P'_1 . Since the points (B_p, C_p) are distinct we conclude that for a generic quadruple

(a, b, c, d) we have $(c - b_1 a)(B_{p_1} - B_{p_2}) + (d - b_1 b)(C_{p_1} - C_{p_2}) \neq 0$ for $p_1 \neq p_2$. So distinct branches have distinct tangent spaces.

Since $\overline{C'}$ has $d_2(d_2 - 1)$ pairwise transversal branches at P'_i we obtain $\delta_{P'_i}\overline{C'} = d_2(d_2 - 1)[d_2(d_2 - 1) - 1]/2$. Similarly, $\delta_{Q'_i}\overline{C'} = d_1(d_1 - 1)[d_1(d_1 - 1) - 1]/2$.

By the Serre formula we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\deg(C')(\deg(C')-1) = g(C') + n + c + d_1\delta_{P'_i}\overline{C'} + d_2\delta_{Q'_i}\overline{C'}.$$

The only unknown value in this equation is the number of nodes. After simplification we obtain

$$n = 2\binom{d_1}{2}\binom{d_2}{2}[(d_1 + d_2)^2 - d_1 - d_2 - 10].$$

Taking Y = X in Theorem 4.1 we immediately obtain:

Corollary 4.3. Let $X \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ be a generic plane curve of degree $d \geq 2$. Then GSDS(X) is an irreducible curve of degree $2d^2(d-1)$ and genus $g = 2d^2(d^2 - 3d+2) + 1$ with $c = 12\binom{d}{2}^2$ cusps and $n = 4\binom{d}{2}^2[2d^2 - d - 5]$ nodes. Moreover, $\chi(GSDS(X)) = -d^2(4d^2 - 10d + 6)$.

In particular for d = 2 we have:

Corollary 4.4. Let $X = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : x^2 + y^2 = 1\}$. Then GSDS(X) is an irreducible elliptic curve with 12 cusps and 4 nodes.

Note that neither $X = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : x^2 + y^2 = 1\}$ nor $Y = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : xy = 1\}$ satisfy the conditions of genericity imposed in the proof of Theorem 4.1, however a generic curve of degree 2 can be reduced to X and Y via affine transformations.

In the real case we have a finite number of possible GSDS(X) and GSDS(Y) for the real circle X and the real hyperbola Y. As an example we present in Figure 1 the images of GSDS(X) and GSDS(Y) for G(x, y) = (x, y) and H(x, y) = (1.1x + 0.1y, -0.2x + 0.9y).



FIGURE 1. GSDS(X) and GSDS(Y).

The real curve GSDS(X) has four cusps and the real curve GSDS(Y) has two cusps.

Acknowledgment. All authors were partially supported by the grant of Narodowe Centrum Nauki number 2019/33/B/ST1/00755. The authors are grateful to professor M.A.S. Ruas for many helpful discussions.

References

- [1] Y. Akizuki, Theorems of Bertini on linear systems, J. Math. Soc. Japan 3, (1951), 170–180.
- M. Berry, Semi-classical mechanics in phase space: A study of Wigner's function, Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. 287 (1977), 237–271.
- [3] J.W. Bruce, P.J. Giblin, C.G. Gibson, *Symmetry sets*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh 101A, (1983), 163–186.
- [4] J. Damon, Smoothness and geometry of boundaries associated to skeletal structures I: Sufficient conditions for smoothness, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble, 53, 6 (2003), 1941–1985.
- [5] J. Damon, Determining the Geometry of Boundaries of Objects from Medial Data, International Journal of Computer Vision 63(1), (2005), 45–64.
- [6] W. Domitrz, P. Rios, Singularities of equidistants and global centre symmetry sets of Lagrangian submanifolds, Geom. Dedicata 169, (2014), 361–382.
- [7] M. Farnik, Z. Jelonek, M.A.S. Ruas, Whitney theorem for complex polynomial mappings, Math. Z. 295 (2020), 1039–1065.
- [8] P.J.Giblin, P.A.Holtom, The centre symmetry set, Geometry and Topology of Caustics, Banach Center Publications Vol. 50, ed. S. Janeczko and V.M. Zakalyukin, Warsaw, 1999, 91– 105.
- [9] P.J. Giblin, S. Janeczko, Geometry of curves and surfaces through the contact map, Topol. Appl. 159 (2012), 379–380.
- [10] R. Hartshorne, Algebraic Geometry, Springer Verlag, New York, 1987.
- [11] S. Janeczko, Bifurcations of the Center of Symmetry, Geom. Dedicata 60, (1996), 9–16.
- [12] S. Janeczko, Z. Jelonek, M.A.S. Ruas, Symmetry defect of algebraic varieties, Asian J. Math. 18, (2014), 525–544.
- [13] Z. Jelonek, On semi-equivalence of generically-finite polynomial mappings, Math. Z. 283, (2016), 133–142.
- [14] S. Kleiman, The enumerative theory of singularities, Real and complex singularities Proc. Ninth Nordic Summer School NAVF Sympos. Math., Oslo (1976), 297–396.
- [15] J.N. Mather, Generic projections, Ann. of Math. (2) 98, (1973), 226–245.
- [16] J.N. Mather, On Thom-Boardman singularities, Dynamical Systems Proceedings of a Symposium Held at the University of Bahia, Salvador, Brasil, July 26-August 14, 1971, (1973), 233–248.
- [17] J. Milnor, Singular points of complex hypersurfaces, Annals of Mathematics Studies, Princeton University Press, (1968).

(L.R.G. Dias) FACULDADE DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE UBERLÂNDIA, AV. JOÃO NAVES DE ÁVILA 2121, 1F-153 - CEP: 38408-100, UBERLÂNDIA, BRASIL

 (M. Farnik) JAGIELLONIAN UNIVERSITY, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, ŁOJASIEWICZA 6, 30-348 KRAKÓW, POLAND

Email address: michal.farnik@gmail.com

 (Z. Jelonek) Instytut Matematyczny, Polska Akademia Nauk, Śniadeckich 8, 00-956 Warszawa, Poland

Email address: najelone@cyf-kr.edu.pl