

THE COMPLETENESS OF 2D RUBIK'S SHAPES

SKYLAR WERNER

ABSTRACT. The Rubik's cube was invented in 1974 by Erno Rubik, who had no idea of the incredible popularity and mathematical fascinations his toy would bring. Through the years of study on the mathematical properties of the cube, the Rubik's Cube group was introduced to represent all possible moves one could perform on the cube. In this paper, we define a planar analogue to the Rubik's cube, which we dub the Rubik's Square, and prove that the Rubik's square is *complete* in the sense that given any two configurations there is a sequence of moves which changes one to the other. The Rubik's cube does not have this property. We then abstract the concept of the Rubik's Square to a Rubik's Shape and analyse the *completeness* in this more general setting.

1. BACKGROUND AND OVERVIEW

The Rubik's cube was introduced in the 1970's, and consists of a 3x3x3 configuration of small cubes creating the larger cube. The center piece on each of the six sides is stationary, with the other pieces rotating around it. As such there are 48 movable pieces to consider.

1.1. One widely accepted standard for describing the cube and its moves is as follows:

- F - Front Face
- B - Back Face
- L - Left Face
- R - Right Face
- U - Up (Top) Face
- D - Down (Bottom) Face




FIGURE 1. Rubik's
Cube moves

The letters F,B,L,R,U,D are used not only to describe the faces of the cube, but also their motions, i.e. F would be a 90° clockwise rotation of the front face. These six basic moves generate all possible configurations of the standard Rubik's cube.

1.2. There exists extensive [1] [2] literature concerning the mathematical properties of the Rubik's cube. In this paper we wanted to explore a 2-dimensional analog of the cube, as well as explore how that construction could be expanded to a more general case.

Key Words: Two dimensional Rubik's Shape, Algebra, Combinatorics

The author would like to thank the Math Department of the University of North Texas's Incubator project for its encouragement and guidance.

In section 3 we introduce the 2×2 Rubik's square, giving its properties and algorithms for solving any possible state.

Section 4 gives a simple generic case for 2-dimensional connected polygons called Rubik's shapes. It explores configurations with polygons connected by a single side, showing their relation to familiar concepts in group theory. This section also presents an algorithm for this simple case when at least one of the polygons is even-sided.

2. 2×2 RUBIK'S SQUARE

We start our study on the most natural 2-dimensional analog to the Rubik's cube, the 2×2 Rubik's square. We first define what a 2×2 Rubik's square is, the initial states, and when it is complete. Then we define a set of algorithms that will become the building blocks proving that every 2×2 Rubik's square is complete.

Definition 2.1. *The 2×2 Rubik's square is a graph G , with 4-cycles $\{C_1, \dots, C_4\}$. The shape is constructed out of 4 squares arranged to create a bigger square where the rotation of each smaller square is defined by C_i for $i = 1, \dots, 4$.*

Each edge takes upon 1 of 4 colors where the colors can only be used 3 times, no more or less. The edges will be denoted by numbers and the color they take on with a subscript, i.e. 1_r is edge 1 with color red. Note the numbering of the edges are for notational purpose only and the coloring is what truly matters.

Definition 2.2. *The initial state of a 2×2 Rubik's square is where the set of edges adjacent to a degree 3 vertice is of the same color.*

Thus there are $4! = 24$ different initial states. In this paper, we will show that the initial state can be reduced to a single case. In Figure 2 below, we will assume this is our initial state for this paper.




FIGURE 2. Rubik's Square initial state

Definition 2.3. *A 2×2 Rubik's square is complete if given any two configurations there exists a sequence of rotations which transforms one configuration to the other.*

2.1. Color Group Moves. The Rubik's Cube can be physically rotated. With this observation in mind, allowing the Rubik's square to be rotatable was considered.

Definition 2.4. *A Color Group is the set of edges adjacent to a degree 3 vertices, e.g $\{1, 2, 4\}$.*

A *Color Group Move* is an algorithm that switches two color groups and is denoted by G_{IJ} where $I, J \in \{T, L, R, B\}$ are representing the top, left, right, or bottom color group. For example, G_{TL} is the algorithm switching the top and left color groups.

The main idea of this section is to construct four basic Color Group Moves (see 1 through 4 below) which are then composed to obtain all other Color Group Moves. Notice that we are only interested in the color and not the ordering of the edges. For example, in figures 3 and 4 we apply G_{TL} transforming an initial state to an another initial state.

- (1) $G_{TL} = M_1 M_3 M_1 M_2 M_2 M_2 M_3 M_3 M_3 M_1 M_2$
- (2) $G_{TR} = M_2 M_1 M_2 M_4 M_2 M_4 M_2 M_4 M_2 M_1 M_1 M_1 M_2 M_4 M_4 M_4 M_2 M_4 M_4 M_4 M_2 M_2 M_2$
- (3) $G_{LB} = M_3 M_4 M_3 M_1 M_3 M_1 M_3 M_1 M_3 M_3 M_1 M_3 M_1 M_1 M_3 M_3 M_3 M_4 M_4 M_4$
- (4) $G_{RB} = M_4 M_2 M_4 M_3 M_3 M_3 M_2 M_4 M_2 M_2 M_4 M_4 M_4 M_2 M_4 M_3$
- (5) $G_{TB} = G_{TL} G_{LB} G_{TL}$
- (6) $G_{LR} = G_{TL} G_{TR} G_{TL}$




FIGURE 3. Rubik's Square initial state




FIGURE 4. Initial state after applying G_{TL}

By symmetry, we could create similar algorithms for each Color Group Move where they would mimick each other. These algorithms move the edges around differently, an observation that will be utilized in the next section.

2.2. Line Moves. The most powerful and useful algorithms for the Rubik's square are the ones switching any two sides. In the definition below, we attempt to define a similar algorithms to fit this set up.

Definition 2.5. A *Line Move* is an algorithm that switches any two edges.

We denote a Line Move switching edges X and Y by L_{XY} . For example, L_{13} is the algorithm switching edge 1 and 3 shown below.

- $L_{13} = M_2 M_1 M_2 M_4 M_4 M_4 M_3 M_3 M_1 M_1 M_1 M_3 M_1 M_3 M_3 M_4 M_2 M_2 M_1 M_2$




FIGURE 5. Rubik's Square initial state




FIGURE 6. Initial state after applying L_{13}

By symmetry, there are Line Moves for L_{25} , L_{811} , and L_{1012} . Using how the Color Group Moves move the edges around, we will define some of the different Line Moves. We leave the ones not written for the reader.

- $L_{48} = G_{TL}L_{13}G_{TL}^{-1}$
- $L_{56} = G_{TL}L_{25}G_{TL}^{-1}$
- $L_{111} = G_{TB}L_{811}G_{TB}^{-1}$
- $L_{311} = L_{13}L_{111}L_{13}$
- $L_{47} = G_{TR}L_{25}G_{TR}^{-1}$

It can be checked that all Line Moves can be defined and thus every 2×2 Rubik's square is complete.

3. 2D RUBIK'S SHAPE WITH ONE CONNECTED EDGE GIVEN ONE SHAPE HAS AN EVEN SIDE

In this section, we attempt to analyse the completeness of more general Rubik's shapes. Conceptually, the construction of a Rubik's shape starts with any polygon followed by inductively constructing new polygons from the sides of the old polygons, see figure 7 below. We do not allow the new polygon to be made from more than one polygon.




FIGURE 7. The first two are valid, the third isn't

Definition 3.1. A 2d-Rubik's shape is a graph G , with a set of distinct cycles $\{C_1, \dots, C_n\}$ of G which is built up by induction as follows:

- (1) The n -cycles for $n \geq 3$ are all 2d-Rubik's shapes.
- (2) Given a 2d-Rubik's shape G with distinguished cycles $\{C_1, \dots, C_n\}$, if we let P be a path in G with at least two vertices so that $E(P) \cap E(C_i) \cap E(C_j) = \emptyset$ for any distinct i, j , and let $G' = G \cup \{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ with v_i is connected to v_{i+1} and v_1 and v_k are connected to the different endpoints of P by an edge, then

G' , together with the distinguished cycles $\{C_1, \dots, C_n\} \cup \{P \cup \{v_1, \dots, v_k\}\}$ is a 2d-Rubik's shape.

The definition below captures the abstract notion of completeness for the 2d-Rubik's shape.

Definition 3.2. A 2d-Rubik's shape $G, \{C_1, \dots, C_n\}$ is complete if the subgroup of $H \leq \text{Sym}(E(G))$ generated by the permutations $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$ which respectively rotate the edges of the cycles C_1, \dots, C_n is isomorphic to $\text{Sym}(E(G))$.

We consider the case of Rubik's shapes where every cycle shares only zero or one edges to every other cycle, i.e. $|E(C_i) \cap E(C_j)| = \{0, 1\}$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $i \neq j$.

Theorem 3.1. Suppose that G is a complete 2d-Rubik's shape with distinct cycles C_1, C_2, \dots, C_n where at least one cycle is even. Then adding a polygon following the construction from Definition 3.1 results in a new complete 2d-Rubik's shape.

Proof. Base Case: Suppose we have a Rubik's shape with cycles C_1 and C_2 . Without loss of generality, suppose that C_1 is even. Label the edges of C_1 by $(0, 1, \dots, k)$ and the edges of C_2 by $(0, k + \ell, k + \ell - 1, \dots, k + 2, k + 1)$, so that if we identify σ_1, σ_2 with the corresponding elements of $\text{Sym}(k + \ell + 1)$ then σ_1 is the cycle $(0, 1, \dots, k)$ and σ_2 is the cycle $(0, k + \ell, k + \ell - 1, \dots, k + 2, k + 1)$

We claim that if $0 \leq j - 1 < j \leq k$ then the product,

$$\sigma_1^{j+1} (\sigma_2^{-1} \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_1)^{\frac{k-2}{2}} \sigma_2^{-1} \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 \sigma_1^{k+1-j}$$

will transpose the edges $j - 1$ and j .




FIGURE 8. Rubik's Shape after $\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1^2\sigma_2\sigma_1^{k+1-j}$

In between the edges labeled in the diagram, the unlabeled edges are in the natural order and the $k+1$ edge is always in position 2.




FIGURE 9. Run $\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1$ on (\star)

Note that $k + 1$ always moves two positions. Since k is even, it takes $\frac{k-2}{2}$ to move $k + 1$ to its original spot. The $k - 2$ comes from the fact that $k + 1$ is always in the second position in (\star) .




FIGURE 10. Run $(\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1)^{\frac{k-2}{2}}$ on (\star)

Since the zeroth position is always occupied by the $j+1$, we need to σ_1^{j+1} to get it back to its original position. Note, using this notation, if j or $j-1$ was zero, then we put the edge that was switched with the zero into the zeroth position. Thus, we have three different ending states. In the bottom two diagrams in [FIGURE] below is the case when j or $j-1$ is 0.




FIGURE 11. Result

Let $\psi_j = \sigma_1^{j+1}(\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1)^{\frac{k-2}{2}}\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1^2\sigma_2\sigma_1^{k+1-j}$ denote the algorithm to transpose the edges $j-1$ and j . To switch any edges a and b from cycle C_1 where $b < a$, it is the conjugate

$$\Psi_{(a,b)} = (\psi_{b+2}\psi_{b+3}\dots\psi_{a-1}\psi_a)^{-1}\psi_{b+1}(\psi_{b+2}\psi_{b+3}\dots\psi_{a-1}\psi_a).$$

To switch any edge a from cycle C_1 and b from cycle C_2 is the conjugate

$$(\sigma_2^{k-b})^{-1}\Psi_{(a,0)}\sigma_2^{k-b}$$

Inductive Step: Let C_i be the cycle sharing the edge ℓ with the new cycle C_{n+1} and let σ_i and σ_{n+1} be the cycle in C_i and C_{n+1} respectively. Let k be the size of C_{n+1} . Let $\psi_{\ell+1}$ be the algorithm to swap the edges ℓ and $\ell+1$ in C_i .

We claim that if $\ell \leq j-1 < j \leq k$ then the product,

$$(\sigma_{n+1}\sigma_i\sigma_{n+1}^{k+1-j})^{-1}\psi_{\ell+1}(\sigma_{n+1}\sigma_i\sigma_{n+1}^{k+1-j})$$

will transpose the edges $j-1$ and j .

Following the same logic from above, we can switch any edges a and b in C_{n+1} where $b < a$ by the conjugate

$$\Phi_{(a,b)} = (\phi_{b+2}\phi_{b+3}\dots\phi_{a-1}\phi_a)^{-1}\phi_{b+1}(\phi_{b+2}\phi_{b+3}\dots\phi_{a-1}\phi_a)$$

where $\phi_j = (\sigma_{n+1}\sigma_i\sigma_{n+1}^{k+1-j})^{-1}\psi_{\ell+1}(\sigma_{n+1}\sigma_i\sigma_{n+1}^{k+1-j})$. \square

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose that G is a 2d-Rubik's shape with distinguished cycles C_1, C_2 and that the length of both C_1, C_2 are odd. Then G is not a complete 2d-Rubik's shape.*

Proof. Since neither of C_1 or C_2 has even length, the group of permutations corresponding to the Rubik's shape G is generated by σ_1 and σ_2 which are both even permutations, and so must be a subgroup of $A_{2k+2\ell}$, and thus a proper subgroup of $S_{2k+2\ell}$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Christoph Bandelow. *Inside Rubik's Cube and Beyond*. Birkhäuser, 1 edition, 1981.
- [2] Tomas Rokicki, Herbert Kociemba, Morley Davids, and John Dethridge. The diameter of the rubik's cube group is twenty. *Siam J. Discrete Math*, 27(2):1082–1105, 2013.

SKYLAR WERNER, GEORG-AUGUST-UNIVERSITÄT GÖTTINGEN, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
WILHELMSPLATZ 1, 37073 GÖTTINGEN, GERMANY

Email address: `skylar.werner@stud.uni-goettingen.de`