

Discrete Gompertz and Generalized Logistic models for early monitoring of the COVID-19 pandemic in Cuba

Recepción: dd-mm-aa / Aceptación: dd-mm-aa / Publicación: dd-mm-aa
© Nova Scientia, bajo licencia Creative Commons

Abstract

The COVID-19 pandemic has motivated a resurgence in the use of phenomenological growth models for predicting the early dynamics of infectious diseases. These models assume that time is a continuous variable, whereas in the present contribution the discrete versions of Gompertz and Generalized Logistic models are used for early monitoring and short-term forecasting of the spread of an epidemic in a region. The time-continuous models are represented mathematically by first-order differential equations, while their discrete versions are represented by first-order difference equations that involve parameters that should be estimated prior to forecasting. The methodology for estimating such parameters is described in detail. Real data of COVID-19 infection in Cuba is used to illustrate this methodology. The proposed methodology was implemented for the first thirty-five days and was used to predict accurately the data reported for the following twenty days.

Keywords: discrete phenomenological models; first-order difference equations; short-term disease forecasting; bootstrap method

Acronyms and Symbols

G : Gompertz.

GL : Generalized Logistic.

$C(t)$: Cumulative number of infections at continuous time t .

$\{C_n^0\}_{n=1}^{N_0}$: Discrete time series of the cumulative number of infections.

$\{C_n^N\}_{n=1}^N$: Discrete time series of the cumulative number of infections after averaging with a 7 day long moving window.

Π : Model-specific parameter vector.

K : Carrying capacity.

γ : Intrinsic growth rate.

μ : Deceleration of growth.

1. Introduction

Growth models are characterized by first-order ordinary differential equations that depend on certain parameters that can be estimated using real data through optimization methods. The interrelations and generalizations of the basic growth models (Malthus, Verhulst logistic, Richards and Gompertz) can be found in (Tsoularis & Wallace 2002, p. 21). A historical development of these models may be found, for instance, in (Kingsland 1982, p. 29). In (Bürger et al. 2021, p. 108558-4) a general methodology based on phenomenological growth models is presented for the application of statistics to various problems in medicine and biology.

Growth models have been used to describe the early stage of several epidemics, as can be seen in (Brauer et al. 2019, p. 113). In (Zhou & Yan 2003, p. 1608) the simple Richards model (R) is used for describing the dynamics of cumulative cases by Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome in Singapore, Hong Kong and Beijing in 2003. In (Pell et al. 2018, p. 62) the logistic growth model (L) and the generalized Richards model (GR) are calibrated with data of 2015 Ebola epidemic in West Africa. In (Shanafelt et al. 2018, p. 338) a generalized-growth model is used to

investigate the 2001 Foot-and-Mouth Disease epidemic in the UK. In (Dinh et al. 2016, p. 20) the L model is applied for analyzing the dynamics of Zika outbreak in Florida, USA, 2016. In (Zhao et al. 2019, p. 2) the L, the Gompertz (G) and the GR models are used for the early prediction of the outbreaks of the Zika epidemic in various states of Brazil, during 2015-2016.

Recently, several scientists have used growth models to study the spread of the coronavirus disease (COVID-19) that has plagued humanity since December 2019. In (Malavika et al. 2021, p. 26) the L model is used for short term forecasting the COVID-19 epidemic in India. In (Shen2020, p. 582) the L model is used for studying the propagation of COVID-19 in China, South Korea and Iran. In (Wu et al. 2020, p. 1561) the L model, the generalized logistic (GL), the GR and the generalized growth models are calibrated with the reported number of COVID-19 infected cases for the whole of China, 29 Chinese provinces, and 19 countries. In (Vasconcelos et al.2020, p. 100121) the GR model is applied to the COVID-19 fatality curves from several countries. In (Jain et al. 2020, p. 784) the L, the GL and the generalized growth models are applied to identify the initial climbing growth period of COVID-19 outbreak in India from Apr 10, 2020, to Apr 20, 2020. In (Roosa et al. 2020^a, p. 596) the L and the GR models are used to generate short-term forecasts of cumulative reported cases in Guangdong and Zhejiang, China. In (Roosa et al. 2020^b, p. 256), the GL and GR models are validated during earlier outbreaks to generate and evaluate short-term forecasts of the cumulative number of confirmed reported cases in Hubei province, China. In (Wu et al. 2021, p. 603001) the generalized growth models are applied to quantify the evolution of COVID-19 in different countries. Simple phenomenological models (generalized growth model and logistic) are used in (López et al. 2021, p. e0253004) to characterize the two first outbreak waves of COVID-19 in Spain. In (Pincheira& Betancor 2021, p. 100486) it is shown that a semi-unrestricted version of the generalized growth model outperforms the traditional in several countries when predicting the number of infected people at short period.

The mathematical models used in the aforementioned works are represented by first-order differential equations on a continuous time domain:

$$C'(t) = f(C, t, \mathbf{\Pi}), \quad t \geq 0, \quad (1)$$

where C is the cumulative number of infected cases at time t and $\mathbf{\Pi}$ is a vector of parameters that is specific for each model. f is a known real function that depends on C, t and the parameters of the vector $\mathbf{\Pi}$. For instance, for the Gompertz model, $f(C, t, \mathbf{\Pi}) = \gamma C \ln(K/C)$ as can be seen in Table 1. $C(t)$, on the other hand, is usually a curve with exponential or subexponential behavior at low values and with an inflexion point between its minimum value and the upper asymptote (Tsoularis & Wallace 2002, p. 21).

In this work, we are interested in the study of first-order *difference* equations that can be derived from Eq.(1), that is:

$$C_{n+1} = C_n + hf(C_n, n, \mathbf{\Pi}), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (2)$$

where C_n represents the cumulative number of infected cases at the n -th measurement of the (discrete) data and h represents the time difference between consecutive readings (assuming it is constant). One can imagine that, since the number of infected people is typically reported at regular time intervals (daily, weekly, et cetera) the discrete models are suitable for describing the dynamics of the disease. In (May 1976, p. 459), an iconic review on the theoretical and applied scope of these equations is reported. The objective of this work is to illustrate the potential of the discrete phenomenological models associated to continuous time first-order differential equations, as an alternative for estimating the early spread of epidemics. A methodology for a statistical study of the related parameters is described, the application of which is exemplified based on initial data from the COVID-19 in Cuba.

The work is structured as follows: In section 3 the methodology is described in detail. In section 4 the methodology is applied for the case of Gompertz and Generalized Logistic models. Section 5 is devoted to some concluding remarks.

2. Models and Methodology

Models

The standard way of obtaining the difference equation (2) from the continuous one (1) is through the transformation:

$$C(t) \rightarrow C_n, \quad C'(t) \rightarrow (C_{n+1} - C_n)/h, \quad (3)$$

Where the continuous function $C(t)$ is replaced by the time series $\{C_n\}_{n=1}^{N_0}$, and h is the time step between recorded values (we are assuming that it is constant). The model is specified by the choice of the function $f(C, t, \mathbf{\Pi})$ where the vector of parameters $\mathbf{\Pi}$ may have different lengths (Table 1).

Table 1. *G and GL models, their continuous and discrete equations and their vectors of parameters.*

	$C'(t) =$	$C_{n+1} =$	$\mathbf{\Pi} =$
(G)	$\gamma C \ln(K/C)$	$C_n + h\gamma C_n \ln(K/C_n)$	(K, γ)
(GL)	$\gamma C^\mu (1 - C/K)$	$C_n + h\gamma C_n^\mu (1 - C_n/K)$	(K, γ, μ)

The parameter K is called the carrying capacity, it corresponds to the upper asymptote of the growth curve. The parameter γ is the intrinsic growth rate and the parameter μ is called deceleration of growth when $0 < \mu < 1$ (Viboud *et al.* 2016, p. 28). These two latter parameters characterize the growth at low values, which is exponential (if $\mu = 1$) or subexponential (if $0 < \mu < 1$).

Methodology

A two-stage procedure was developed. In the first part:

1. The time series $\{C_n^0\}_{n=1}^{N_0}$ of daily reported cumulative number of cases is averaged with a 7 day long moving window, resulting in the time series $\{C_n\}_{n=1}^N$, where $N = N_0 - 6$. This smoothing has the effect of eliminating factors like delays in reporting due to the accumulation of unreported cases. This kind of window averaging has been used before in COVID-19 literature (León-Mecías & Mesejo-Chiong 2020, p. 23).
2. From the time series $\{C_n\}_{n=1}^N$ the first N_1 elements are taken to be used to train the model (calibration period) and form the list of pairs $\{(C_n, C_{n+1})\}_{n=1}^{N_1-1}$.
3. The list of pairs is fitted to the model $Y = X + hf(X, n, \mathbf{\Pi})$, that corresponds to the equation (2), by using the function `NonlinearModelFit` from the software `Wolfram Mathematica`. From here we obtain the optimal vector $\mathbf{\Pi}^*$ of the parameter vector $\mathbf{\Pi}$. The constant value $h = 1$ was chosen.
4. The predicted time series $\{C_n\}_{n=1}^N$ is computed through the `RecurrenceTable` function using the optimal vector $\mathbf{\Pi}^*$ and $C_1^* = C_1$.

In the second part of the methodology, the quality of the fit is evaluated by estimating the error through bootstrap (see, for instance, Efron & Tibshirani 1994, p. 45) on M realizations ($M = 10^5$ in this work). Each realization corresponds to one time series $\{C_{nm}\}_{n=1}^N$ with $n = 1, 2, \dots, M$. The following steps illustrate how to generate one realization:

5. For each one of the $n = 1, 2, \dots, N$ an error e_{nm} is simulated. This is equal to a Poisson random number with mean $\lambda_n = C_{n+1}^* - C_n^*$.
6. The parameter vector Π_n^{**} is estimated as in step 3. above from the time series $\{C_n^* + e_{nm}\}$.
7. For each model, the estimation of the error bounds, mean values and standard deviations is done over the set of parameter vectors $\{\Pi_m^{**}\}_{m=1}^M$.

3. Results

In this section the results for the case of the Gompertz and Generalized Logistic models are shown. The data corresponds to the cumulative number of cases of COVID-19 during the first 61 days of the pandemic in Cuba (from March 11, 2020 to May 10, 2020) and was taken from the official government site <https://covid19cubadata.github.io/>. The list of the initial data used in the calculations is {3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 5, 7, 11, 16, 23, 33, 38, 46, 55, 65, 78, 117, 137, 168, 184, 210, 231, 267, 286, 318, 348, 394, 455, 513, 562, 618, 667, 724, 764, 812, 860, 921, 984, 1033, 1085, 1135, 1187, 1233, 1283, 1335, 1367, 1387, 1435, 1465, 1499, 1535, 1609, 1647, 1666, 1683, 1701, 1727, 1739, 1752, 1764, 1781}. The window-averaged data consists of a time series of 55 days.

The optimal values Π^* of the parameter vector after step 3 of the methodology are reported in Table 2.

Table 2. Optimal values of the parameter vector Π^* .

	K^*	γ^*	μ^*
(G)	2446.64	0.0594009	-
(GL)	1825.74	0.588639	0.759485

The mean values and standard deviations of the set of parameter vectors after step 7 of the methodology are reported in Table 3.

Table 3. Mean values and standard deviations σ of the parameter vector Π^* after bootstrap.

	\bar{K}	σ_K	$\bar{\gamma}$	σ_γ	$\bar{\mu}$	σ_μ
(G)	2425.44	166.877	0.0602047	0.00253007	-	-
(GL)	1836.31	214.559	0.5925	0.10807	0.762901	0.0378191

In Figure 1 we show the window-averaged data in dots vs. the forecasted line obtained by using NonlinearModelFit with the Gompertz model. The calibration period was $N_1 = 35$ days on the 55 first days of the early onset of the pandemic in Cuba. The upper and lower bounds for the 95% confidence interval for each parameter were estimated via bootstrap as described above. These lower and upper bounds allow us to have a fan of possible trajectories of C_n for the forecasted period. The histograms for each one of the parameters are shown in Figure 2. The same graphics using the generalized logistic model are shown in Figure 3. The normality assumption is appropriate, as we can note, from the central peak and symmetry of the histograms. The implemented code for Gompertz model can be found in <https://notebookarchive.org/2022-05-1fu9m2t>.




Figure 1. Real data (window-averaged), forecasted time series with NonlinearModelFit and upper and lower bounds of 95% confidence interval for both parameters of the Gompertz model.





Figure 2. Histograms of the parameters of the Gompertz model generated via Bootstrap






Figure 3. Real data (window-averaged), forecasted time series with NonlinearModelFit and upper and lower bounds of 95% confidence interval for both parameters of the Generalized Logistic model (left). Histograms of the parameters of the Generalized Logistic model generated via Bootstrap (right).

4. Conclusions

A methodology based on discrete phenomenological models was illustrated in detail as an alternative to estimate the early evolution of epidemics. The methodology was applied to the Gompertz and Generalized Logistic models which depend on two and three parameters, respectively. The data used in the computations were the initial real data (since March 11, 2020 to May 10, 2020) on the evolution of COVID-19 in Cuba.

The numerical implementation was carried out in Wolfram Mathematica in a simple and direct way. The procedure consists of two fundamental stages. In the first, the optimized parameters are obtained, while in the second, a statistical analysis is performed to evaluate the error of the optimization process. The proposed methodology was implemented for the first thirty-five days, being able to predict with very good precision the data reported for the following twenty days. The results show that the proposed methodology could be useful to guide decision-making that facilitates mitigating the early effects of the epidemic.

5. Acknowledgements

ROC would like to thank financing from FENOMECE, UNAM. Financial support from the PAPIIT DGAPA UNAM IN10182 project is gratefully acknowledged. Authors would also like to thank for the useful suggestions received from the referees.

Authors contributions

All authors contributed equally to this work.

Conflict of interest

All authors declare no conflicts of interest in this paper.

References

Brauer F., Castillo-Chavez C. and Feng Z. (2019). *Mathematical Models in Epidemiology, Texts in Applied Mathematics*. Springer, New York, NY.

Bürger R., Chowell G., and Lara-Díaz L. Y. (2021). Measuring differences between phenomenological growth models applied to epidemiology. *Mathematical Biosciences*, 334, 108558. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mbs.2021.108558>

Dinh L., Chowell G., Mizumoto K. and Nishiura H. (2016). Estimating the subcritical transmissibility of the Zika outbreak in the State of Florida, USA, 2016. *Theoretical Biology and Medical Modelling*, 13, 20. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12976-016-0046-1>

Efron B. and Tibshirani R. J. (1994). *An Introduction to the Bootstrap*. Chapman & Hall/CRC Monographs on Statistics & Applied Probability. Taylor & Francis.

Kingsland S. (1982). The Refractory Model: The logistic curve and the history of population ecology. *The Quarterly Review of Biology*, 57(1), 29-52. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/412574>

León-Mecías A. and Mesejo-Chiong J. A. (2020). Modelos fenomenológicos aplicados al estudio de la COVID-19 en Cuba. *Ciencias Matemáticas*, 34, 19-32. <http://www.revinfodir.sld.cu/index.php/infodir/article/view/1032>

López M., Peinado A. and Ortiz A. (2021). Characterizing two outbreak waves of COVID-19 in Spain using phenomenological epidemic modelling. *PLoS ONE*, 16(6): e0253004. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0253004>

Malavika B., Marimuthu S., Joy M., Nadaraj A., Asirvatham E. S. and Jeyaseelan L. (2021). Forecasting COVID-19 epidemic in India and high incidence states using SIR and logistic growth models. *Clinical Epidemiology and Global Health*, 9, 26-33. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cegh.2020.06.006>

May R. M. (1976). Simple mathematical models with very complicated dynamics. *Nature*, 261, 459-467. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/261459a0>

Pell B., Kuang Y., Viboud C. and Chowell G. (2018). Using phenomenological models for forecasting the 2015 Ebola challenge. *Epidemics*, 22, 62-70. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.epidem.2016.11.002>

Pincheira-Brown P. and Bentancor A. (2021). Forecasting COVID-19 infections with the semi-unrestricted generalized growth model. *Epidemics*, 37:100486. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.epidem.2021.100486>

Roosa K., Lee Y., Luo R., Kirpich A., Rothenberg R., Hyman J. M., Yan P. and Chowell G. (2020). Short-term forecasts of the COVID-19 epidemic in Guangdong and Zhejiang, China: February 13–23, 2020. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, 9(2), 596. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/jcm9020596>

Roosa K., Lee Y., Luo R., Kirpich A., Rothenberg R., Hyman J. M., Yan P. and Chowell G. (2020). Real-time forecasts of the COVID-19 epidemic in China from February 5th to February 24th, 2020. *Infectious Disease Modelling*, 5, 256-263. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.idm.2020.02.002>

- Shanafelt D. W., Jones G., Lima M., Perrings Ch. And Chowell G. (2018). Forecasting the 2001 Foot-and-Mouth Disease Epidemic in the UK. *EcoHealth*, 15(2), 338-347. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10393-017-1293-2>
- Shen C. Y. (2020). Logistic growth modelling of COVID-19 proliferation in China and its international implications. *International Journal of Infectious Diseases*, 96, 582-589. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2020.04.085>
- Tsoularis A. and Wallace J. (2002). Analysis of logistic growth models. *Mathematical Biosciences*, 179(1), 21-55. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0025-5564\(02\)00096-2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0025-5564(02)00096-2)
- Vasconcelos G. L., Macêdo A. M. S., Ospina R., Almeida F. A. G., Duarte-Filho G. C., Brum A. A. and Souza I. C. L. (2020). Modelling fatality curves of COVID-19 and the effectiveness of intervention strategies. *PeerJ*, 23, 8, e9421. <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.9421>
- Viboud C., Simonsen L. and Chowell G. (2016). A generalized-growth model to characterize the early ascending phase of infectious disease outbreaks. *Epidemics*, 15, 27-37. <http://dx.doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epidem.2016.01.002>
- Wu K., Darcet D., Wang Q. and Sornette D. (2020). Generalized logistic growth modeling of the COVID-19 outbreak in 29 provinces in China and in the rest of the world. *Nonlinear Dynamics*, 101, 1561–1581. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11071-020-05862-6>
- Wu Y., Zhang L., Cao W., Liu X. and Feng X. (2021). The generalized-growth modeling of COVID-19. *Frontiers in Physics*, 8, 603001. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphy.2020.603001>
- Zhao S., Musa S. S., Fu H., He D. and Qin J. (2019). Simple framework for real-time forecast in a data-limited situation: The Zika virus (ZIKV) outbreaks in Brazil from 2015 to 2016 as an example. *Parasites and Vectors*, 12, 344. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-019-3602-9>
- Zhou G. and Yan G. (2003). Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Epidemic in Asia. *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, 9(12), 1608-1610. <https://doi.org/10.3201/eid0912.030382>