

SOME NEW IDENTITIES FOR SCHUR POLYNOMIALS

LEONID BEDRATYUK

ABSTRACT. For the Schur polynomials bounded and unbounded generalizations of the Cauchy identities are found.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathcal{P}_n be the set of all partitions with length at most n . A partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$ is a tuple of nonnegative integers which are called parts and which are ordered as $\lambda_i \geq \lambda_{i+1}$. The sum of the entries is denoted $|\lambda| = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_n$. Let us introduce a partial ordering \leq in \mathcal{P}_n by defining $\lambda \leq \mu$ if $\lambda_i \leq \mu_i$ for all i .

The Schur polynomial corresponding to $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n$ is defined as the following polynomial in variables $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$:

$$s_\lambda(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\det(x_j^{\lambda_i+n-i})}{\det(x_j^{n-i})} = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} x_1^{\lambda_1+n-1} & x_2^{\lambda_1+n-1} & \dots & x_n^{\lambda_1+n-1} \\ x_1^{\lambda_2+n-2} & x_2^{\lambda_2+n-2} & \dots & x_n^{\lambda_2+n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ x_1^{\lambda_n} & x_2^{\lambda_n} & \dots & x_n^{\lambda_n} \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} x_1^{n-1} & x_2^{n-1} & \dots & x_n^{n-1} \\ x_1^{n-2} & x_2^{n-2} & \dots & x_n^{n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \end{vmatrix}}.$$

The total degree of the Schur polynomial $s_\lambda(\mathbf{x})$ equals $|\lambda|$.

The Schur polynomials are invariants of the symmetric group S_n . One can read more about symmetric functions and symmetric polynomials in the classic books [1], [2].

The famous Cauchy identities for Schur polynomials state that

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n} s_\lambda(\mathbf{x}) s_\lambda(\mathbf{y}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{1 - x_i y_j},$$

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n} s_\lambda(\mathbf{x}) s_{\lambda'}(\mathbf{y}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^m (1 + x_i y_j),$$

here $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m)$ and λ' is the conjugate partition (see [2] for definition of conjugate partition). There are many different generalizations of these identities, for example, see [4],[5].

The aim of the paper is to prove some variants of the Cauchy identities. Firstly, we prove that for arbitrary family of polynomials $f_i = f_i(\mathbf{y}), i = 0, 1, \dots$ the following identity holds

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n} s_\lambda(\mathbf{x}) \begin{vmatrix} f_{\lambda_1} & f_{\lambda_1+1} & f_{\lambda_1+2} & \dots & f_{\lambda_1+n-1} \\ f_{\lambda_2-1} & f_{\lambda_2} & f_{\lambda_2+1} & \dots & f_{\lambda_2+n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ f_{\lambda_n-(n-1)} & f_{\lambda_n-(n-2)} & f_{\lambda_n-(n-3)} & \dots & f_{\lambda_n} \end{vmatrix} = \prod_{i=1}^n F(\mathbf{y}, x_i),$$

where

$$F(\mathbf{y}, z) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} f_i z^i.$$

We prove that this identity implies the Cauchy identities.

For the set t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n indeterminates the following identity holds

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n} \mathbf{s}_\lambda \det(t_j^{\lambda_i + j - i}) = \frac{\det \left(\frac{x_j^{n-i}}{1 - x_j t_i} \right)}{\det(x_j^{n-i})}.$$

Here we take $f_i = 0$ and $t_i = 0$ for all $i < 0$.

Secondly, we prove bounded variants of the above two identities. Macdonald [2] proved the following bounded analogue of the Littlewood identities [6]:

$$\sum_{\substack{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n, \\ \lambda \leq (a, a, \dots, a)}} \mathbf{s}_\lambda(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\det(x_i^{a+2n-j} - x_i^{j-1})}{\prod_{i=1}^n (x_i - 1) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_i - x_j)(x_i x_j - 1)}.$$

Let

$$\sum_{i=0}^a f_i z^i = F(\mathbf{y}, z, a), a \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then we prove that

$$\sum_{\substack{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n \\ \lambda \leq (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)}} \mathbf{s}_\lambda(\mathbf{x}) \det(f_{\lambda_i - i + j}) = \frac{\det(x_j^{n-i} F(\mathbf{y}, x_i, a_i))}{\det(x_j^{n-i})},$$

and

$$\sum_{\substack{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n \\ \lambda \leq (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)}} \mathbf{s}_\lambda \det(t_j^{\lambda_i + j - i}) = \frac{\det \left(\frac{x_j^{n-i} (1 - (x_j t_i)^{a_i + 1})}{1 - x_i t_j} \right)}{\det(x_j^{n-i})}.$$

If $\lambda_i + j - i < 0$ or $\lambda_i + j - i > m_i$ then corresponding entries of the matrices $(f_{\lambda_i + j - i})$ and $(t_j^{\lambda_i + j - i})$ are equal to 0.

We will also consider some specifications of these identities.

2. UNBOUNDED IDENTITIES

The symmetric group S_n acts on \mathbb{N}^n by permuting the coordinates. Let us define a binary relation \sim on the set \mathbb{N}^n as follows: for $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{N}^n$ we have $\mu \sim \nu$, if there exists a permutation $\pi_\mu \in S_n$ such that $\mu + \delta_n = \pi_\mu(\nu + \delta_n)$. Here $\delta_n = (n-1, n-2, \dots, 1, 0)$. It is easy to show that the relation \sim is an equivalence relation on \mathbb{N}^n . Then \mathbb{N}^n is a union of mutually disjoint equivalence classes $[\mu]_\sim$

$$\mathbb{N}^n = \bigcup_{\mu \in \mathbb{N}^n / \sim} [\mu]_\sim,$$

where μ runs the set of representatives of the equivalence relation \sim .

Let $f_i = f_i(\mathbf{y}), i = 0, 1, \dots$ be a polynomial family and for $\mu \in \mathbb{N}^n$ we denote $f_\mu = f_{\mu_1} f_{\mu_2} \cdots f_{\mu_n}$.

The following lemma plays important role in the proof of our main results.

Lemma 1. *Suppose that for $\mu, \lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n$ we have $\mu \sim \lambda$ and λ is a partition. Then*

$$\sum_{\mu \in [\lambda] \sim} \text{sgn}(\pi_\mu) f_\mu = \det(f_{\lambda_i - i + j}).$$

Proof. Since $\mu = \pi_\mu(\lambda + \delta_n) - \delta_n$ we get

$$\mu_j = \lambda_{\pi_\mu(j)} + (n - \pi_\mu(j)) - (n - j) = \lambda_{\pi_\mu(j)} - \pi_\mu(j) + j.$$

Then

$$\sum_{\mu \in [\lambda] \sim} \text{sgn}(\pi_\mu) f_\mu = \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \text{sgn}(\pi) f_{\pi(\lambda + \delta_n) - \delta_n} = \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \text{sgn}(\pi) \prod_{j=1}^n f_{\lambda_{\pi_\mu(j)} - \pi_\mu(j) + j} = \det(f_{\lambda_i - i + j}).$$

For the case $\lambda_i - i + j < 0$ the corresponding entries of the determinant should be equals to zero. \square

Let us consider an example

Example 1. For $n = 3$ and for a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$ we have

| $\mu = \pi_\mu(\lambda + \delta_n) - \delta_n$ | π_μ | $\text{sgn}(\pi_\mu)$ |
|---|-----------|-----------------------|
| $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$ | e | $+1$ |
| $(\lambda_1, \lambda_3 - 1, \lambda_2 + 1)$ | (23) | -1 |
| $(\lambda_2 - 1, \lambda_1 + 1, \lambda_3)$ | (12) | -1 |
| $(\lambda_2 - 1, \lambda_3 - 1, \lambda_1 + 2)$ | (123) | $+1$ |
| $(\lambda_3 - 2, \lambda_1 + 1, \lambda_2 + 1)$ | (132) | $+1$ |
| $(\lambda_3 - 2, \lambda_2, \lambda_1 + 2)$ | (13) | -1 |

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\pi_\mu \in S_n} \text{sgn}(\pi_\mu) f_{\pi_\mu(\lambda + \delta_n) - \delta_n} &= f_{\lambda_1} f_{\lambda_2} f_{\lambda_3} - f_{\lambda_1} f_{\lambda_3 - 1} f_{\lambda_2 + 1} - f_{\lambda_3 - 2} f_{\lambda_2} f_{\lambda_1 + 2} - f_{\lambda_2 - 1} f_{\lambda_1 + 1} f_{\lambda_3} + \\ &+ f_{\lambda_3 - 2} f_{\lambda_1 + 1} f_{\lambda_2 + 1} + f_{\lambda_2 - 1} f_{\lambda_3 - 1} f_{\lambda_1 + 2} = \begin{vmatrix} f_{\lambda_1} & f_{\lambda_1 + 1} & f_{\lambda_1 + 2} \\ f_{\lambda_2 - 1} & f_{\lambda_2} & f_{\lambda_2 + 1} \\ f_{\lambda_3 - 2} & f_{\lambda_3 - 1} & f_{\lambda_3} \end{vmatrix} = \det(f_{\lambda_i - i + j}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the Lemma remains true and for finite sequence of polynomials $f_0, f_1, \dots, f_a, a \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for the case $\lambda_i - i + j > a$ the corresponding entries of the determinant $\det(f_{\lambda_i - i + j})$ should be equal to zero.

Now, for any $\mu \in \mathbb{N}^n$ define the polynomial

$$\mathbf{S}_\mu(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\det(x_j^{\mu_i + n - i})}{\det(x_j^{n - i})}.$$

The following Lemma states that $\mathbf{S}_\mu(\mathbf{x})$ either equals zero or up to sign is equal to the Schur polynomial $\mathbf{s}_\lambda(\mathbf{x})$ where the partition λ is a representative of equivalence class to which μ belongs.

Lemma 2. *If $\mu \in \mathbb{N}^n$ is equivalent to $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n$ and π_μ be the corresponding permutation; then*

$$\mathbf{S}_\mu(\mathbf{x}) = \text{sgn}(\pi_\mu) \mathbf{s}_\lambda(\mathbf{x}).$$

If μ is not equivalent to any partition then $\mathbf{S}_\mu(\mathbf{x}) = 0$.

Proof. For a partition λ the sum $\lambda + \delta_n$ consists of a strong decreasing sequence of integers

$$\lambda_1 + n - 1 > \lambda_2 + n - 2 > \cdots > \lambda_n.$$

Since $\mu + \delta_n$ obtained from $\lambda + \delta_n$ by permutation π_μ then the corresponding determinants are equal up to a sign:

$$\det(\mu + \delta_n) = \text{sgn}(\pi_\mu) \det(\lambda + \delta_n) \neq 0.$$

Dividing the both sides by $\det(x_j^{n-i})$ we get

$$\mathbf{S}_\mu(\mathbf{x}) = \text{sgn}(\pi_\mu) \mathbf{s}_\lambda(\mathbf{x}),$$

as required.

Suppose now that μ does not equivalent to any partition λ . Then $\mu + \delta_n$ has two equal parts and it implies that $\det(\mu + \delta_n) = 0$. \square

The following theorem is the main result of the section.

Theorem 1. (1) *Let $f_i = f_i(\mathbf{y})$ be a polynomial family and*

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} f_i z^i = F(\mathbf{y}, z).$$

Then the following identity holds

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n} \mathbf{s}_\lambda(\mathbf{x}) \begin{vmatrix} f_{\lambda_1} & f_{\lambda_1+1} & f_{\lambda_1+2} & \cdots & f_{\lambda_1+n-1} \\ f_{\lambda_2-1} & f_{\lambda_2} & f_{\lambda_2+1} & \cdots & f_{\lambda_2+n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ f_{\lambda_n-(n-1)} & f_{\lambda_n-(n-2)} & f_{\lambda_n-(n-3)} & \cdots & f_{\lambda_n} \end{vmatrix} = \prod_{i=1}^n F(\mathbf{y}, x_i).$$

(2) *Let t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n be some set of variables. Then*

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n} \mathbf{s}_\lambda \det(t_j^{\lambda_i+j-i}) = \frac{\det\left(\frac{x_j^{n-i}}{1-x_j t_i}\right)}{\det(x_j^{n-i})}.$$

Here we take $f_i = 0$ and t_i for all $i < 0$.

Proof. (i) Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 implies

$$\sum_{\mu \in [\lambda]} \mathbf{S}_\mu(\mathbf{x}) f_\mu = \mathbf{s}_\lambda(\mathbf{x}) \begin{vmatrix} f_{\lambda_1} & f_{\lambda_1+1} & f_{\lambda_1+2} & \cdots & f_{\lambda_1+n-1} \\ f_{\lambda_2-1} & f_{\lambda_2} & f_{\lambda_2+1} & \cdots & f_{\lambda_2+n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ f_{\lambda_n-(n-1)} & f_{\lambda_n-(n-2)} & f_{\lambda_n-(n-3)} & \cdots & f_{\lambda_n} \end{vmatrix}$$

Now on the one hand, we have

$$\sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{N}^n} \mathbf{S}_\mu(\mathbf{x}) f_\mu = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n} \mathbf{s}_\lambda(\mathbf{x}) \begin{vmatrix} f_{\lambda_1} & f_{\lambda_1+1} & f_{\lambda_1+2} & \cdots & f_{\lambda_1+n-1} \\ f_{\lambda_2-1} & f_{\lambda_2} & f_{\lambda_2+1} & \cdots & f_{\lambda_2+n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ f_{\lambda_n-(n-1)} & f_{\lambda_n-(n-2)} & f_{\lambda_n-(n-3)} & \cdots & f_{\lambda_n} \end{vmatrix}$$

On the other hand, we can find this sum explicitly

$$\begin{aligned}
\det(x_i^{n-j}) \sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{N}^n} \mathcal{S}_\mu f_\mu &= \sum_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n=0}^{\infty} \begin{vmatrix} x_1^{\mu_1+n-1} & x_2^{\mu_1+n-1} & \dots & x_n^{\mu_1+n-1} \\ x_1^{\mu_2+n-2} & x_2^{\mu_2+n-2} & \dots & x_n^{\mu_2+n-2} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ x_1^{\mu_n} & x_2^{\mu_n} & \dots & x_n^{\mu_n} \end{vmatrix} f_{\mu_1} f_{\mu_2} \dots f_{\mu_n} = \\
&= \begin{vmatrix} \sum_{\mu_1=0}^{\infty} x_1^{\mu_1+n-1} f_{\mu_1} & \sum_{\mu_1=0}^{\infty} x_2^{\mu_1+n-1} f_{\mu_1} & \dots & \sum_{\mu_1=0}^{\infty} x_n^{\mu_1+n-1} f_{\mu_1} \\ \sum_{\mu_2=0}^{\infty} x_1^{\mu_2+n-2} f_{\mu_2} & \sum_{\mu_2=0}^{\infty} x_2^{\mu_2+n-2} f_{\mu_2} & \dots & \sum_{\mu_2=0}^{\infty} x_n^{\mu_2+n-2} f_{\mu_2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ \sum_{\mu_n=0}^{\infty} x_1^{\mu_n} f_{\mu_n} & \sum_{\mu_n=0}^{\infty} x_2^{\mu_n} f_{\mu_n} & \dots & \sum_{\mu_n=0}^{\infty} x_n^{\mu_n} f_{\mu_n} \end{vmatrix} = \\
&= \begin{vmatrix} x_1^{n-1} F(\mathbf{y}, x_1) & x_2^{n-1} F(\mathbf{y}, x_2) & \dots & x_n^{n-1} F(\mathbf{y}, x_n) \\ x_1^{n-2} F(\mathbf{y}, x_1) & x_2^{n-2} F(\mathbf{y}, x_2) & \dots & x_n^{n-2} F(\mathbf{y}, x_n) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ F(\mathbf{y}, x_1) & F(\mathbf{y}, x_2) & \dots & F(\mathbf{y}, x_n) \end{vmatrix} = \det(x_i^{n-j}) \prod_{j=1}^n F(\mathbf{y}, x_j).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n} \mathbf{s}_\lambda(\mathbf{x}) \begin{vmatrix} f_{\lambda_1} & f_{\lambda_1+1} & f_{\lambda_1+2} & \dots & f_{\lambda_1+n-1} \\ f_{\lambda_2-1} & f_{\lambda_2} & f_{\lambda_2+1} & \dots & f_{\lambda_2+n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ f_{\lambda_n-(n-1)} & f_{\lambda_n-(n-2)} & f_{\lambda_n-(n-3)} & \dots & f_{\lambda_n} \end{vmatrix} = \prod_{j=1}^n F(\mathbf{y}, x_j).$$

Ley us prove the part (2) of the theorem.

By a similar argument we have

$$\sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{N}^n} \mathcal{S}_\mu t^\mu = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n} \mathbf{s}_\lambda \begin{vmatrix} t_1^{\lambda_1} & t_2^{\lambda_1+1} & t_3^{\lambda_1+2} & \dots & t_n^{\lambda_1+n-1} \\ t_1^{\lambda_2-1} & t_2^{\lambda_2} & t_3^{\lambda_2+1} & \dots & t_n^{\lambda_2+n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ t_1^{\lambda_n-(n-1)} & t_2^{\lambda_n-(n-2)} & t_3^{\lambda_n-(n-3)} & \dots & t_n^{\lambda_n} \end{vmatrix}.$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}
\det(x_j^{n-i}) \sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{N}^n} \mathbf{S}_\mu \mathbf{t}^\mu &= \sum_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n=0}^{\infty} \begin{vmatrix} x_1^{\lambda_1+n-1} & x_2^{\lambda_1+n-1} & \dots & x_n^{\lambda_1+n-1} \\ x_1^{\lambda_2+n-2} & x_2^{\lambda_2+n-2} & \dots & x_n^{\lambda_2+n-2} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ x_1^{\lambda_n} & x_2^{\lambda_n} & \dots & x_n^{\lambda_n} \end{vmatrix} t_1^{\lambda_1} t_2^{\lambda_2} \dots t_n^{\lambda_n} = \\
&= \begin{vmatrix} \sum_{\lambda_1=0}^{\infty} x_1^{\lambda_1+n-1} t_1^{\lambda_1} & \sum_{\lambda_1=0}^{\infty} x_2^{\lambda_1+n-1} t_1^{\lambda_1} & \dots & \sum_{\lambda_1=0}^{\infty} x_n^{\lambda_1+n-1} t_1^{\lambda_1} \\ \sum_{\lambda_2=0}^{\infty} x_1^{\lambda_2+n-2} t_2^{\lambda_2} & \sum_{\lambda_2=0}^{\infty} x_2^{\lambda_2+n-2} t_2^{\lambda_2} & \dots & \sum_{\lambda_2=0}^{\infty} x_n^{\lambda_2+n-2} t_2^{\lambda_2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ \sum_{\lambda_n=0}^{\infty} x_1^{\lambda_n} t_n^{\lambda_n} & \sum_{\lambda_n=0}^{\infty} x_2^{\lambda_n} t_n^{\lambda_n} & \dots & \sum_{\lambda_n=0}^{\infty} x_n^{\lambda_n} t_n^{\lambda_n} \end{vmatrix} = \\
&= \begin{vmatrix} \frac{x_1^{n-1}}{1-x_1 t_1} & \frac{x_2^{n-1}}{1-x_2 t_1} & \dots & \frac{x_1^{n-1}}{1-x_1 t_1} \\ \frac{x_1^{n-2}}{1-x_1 t_2} & \frac{x_2^{n-2}}{1-x_2 t_2} & \dots & \frac{x_1^{n-2}}{1-x_1 t_2} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \frac{1}{1-x_1 t_n} & \frac{1}{1-x_2 t_n} & \dots & \frac{1}{1-x_1 t_n} \end{vmatrix} = \det \left(\frac{x_j^{n-i}}{1-x_j t_i} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n} \mathbf{s}_\lambda(\mathbf{x}) \det(t_j^{\lambda_i-i+j}) = \frac{\det \left(\frac{x_j^{n-i}}{1-x_j t_i} \right)}{\det(x_j^{n-i})}.$$

□

The theorem implies the two classical Cauchy identities. In fact, put $f_i = h_i$, where h_i is the complete symmetrical polynomial in the m variables $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m)$. Taking into account the identity

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} h_i z^i = \prod_{i=0}^n \frac{1}{1-y_i z},$$

the Theorem 1 implies

$$\sum_{\lambda} \mathbf{s}_\lambda(\mathbf{x}) \begin{vmatrix} h_{\lambda_1} & h_{\lambda_1+1} & h_{\lambda_1+2} & \dots & h_{\lambda_1+n-1} \\ h_{\lambda_2-1} & h_{\lambda_2} & h_{\lambda_2+1} & \dots & h_{\lambda_2+n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ h_{\lambda_n-(n-1)} & h_{\lambda_n-(n-2)} & h_{\lambda_n-(n-3)} & \dots & h_{\lambda_n} \end{vmatrix} = \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{1-x_i y_j}.$$

By using the Jacobi-Trudi identity

$$\mathbf{s}_\lambda(\mathbf{y}) = \det(h_{\lambda_i-i+j}),$$

we get the Cauchy identity:

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n} \mathbf{s}_\lambda(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{s}_\lambda(\mathbf{y}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{1-x_i y_j}.$$

Similarly, by using the dual Jacobi-Trudi identity

$$\mathbf{s}_{\lambda'}(\mathbf{y}) = \det(e_{\lambda_i - i + j})$$

we obtain the dual Cauchy identity

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n} \mathbf{s}_\lambda(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{s}_{\lambda'}(\mathbf{y}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^m (1 + x_i y_j).$$

Let us consider some specialization of the formulas.

Example 2. Put $f_i = p_i$ where p_i is the power sum polynomial. Then, taking into account

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p_i z^i = \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{1}{1 - x_i z},$$

we obtain

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n} \mathbf{s}_\lambda(\mathbf{x}) \det(p_{\lambda_i - i + j}) = \prod_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^i \frac{1}{1 - x_i y_j}.$$

Example 3. Put $f_i = e_i + h_i$. Then

$$\sum_{\lambda} \mathbf{s}_\lambda(\mathbf{x}) \det(e_{\lambda_i - i + j} + h_{\lambda_i - i + j}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\prod_{j=1}^m (1 + x_i y_j) + \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{1 - x_i y_j} \right).$$

Example 4. Put $t_1 = t_2 = \dots = t_n = z$. It is easy to see that for $\lambda_2 \neq 0$ the first two rows of the determinant $\det(z^{\lambda_i - i + j})$ are proportional. Then for all partitions except $\lambda = (n)$ it equals to zero. For $\lambda = (n)$ we get upper-triangular matrices with the main diagonal $(z^n, 1, 1, \dots, 1)$. Thus

$$\det(z^{\lambda_i - i + j}) = \det(\text{diag}(z^n, 1, 1, \dots, 1)) = z^n.$$

Since $\mathbf{s}_{(n)}(\mathbf{x}) = h_n$, then

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} h_i z^i = \prod_{i=0}^n \frac{1}{1 - x_i z}.$$

3. BOUNDED IDENTITIES

For bounded sums the following theorem holds

Theorem 2. (1) Let

$$\sum_{i=0}^a f_i z^i = F(\mathbf{y}, z, a), \quad a \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then

$$\sum_{\substack{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n \\ \lambda \leq (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)}} \mathbf{s}_\lambda(\mathbf{x}) \det(f_{\lambda_i - i + j}) = \frac{\det(x_j^{n-i} F(\mathbf{y}, x_i, a_i))}{\det(x_j^{n-i})}.$$

(2)

$$\sum_{\substack{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n \\ \lambda \leq (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)}} \mathbf{s}_\lambda \det(t_j^{\lambda_i + j - i}) = \frac{\det \left(\frac{x_j^{n-i} (1 - (x_j t_i)^{a_i + 1})}{1 - x_i t_j} \right)}{\det(x_j^{n-i})}.$$

Here $f_{\lambda_i + j - i}$ and $t_j^{\lambda_i + j - i}$ is equal to 0 if $\lambda_i + j - i < 0$ or $\lambda_i + j - i > a_i$.

Proof. (1) We have

$$\sum_{\substack{\mu \in \mathbb{N}^n \\ \mu \leq (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)}} \mathbf{S}_\mu(\mathbf{x}) f_\mu = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n} \mathbf{s}_\lambda(\mathbf{x}) \det(f_{\lambda_i - i + j}).$$

On the other hand, we can find this sum explicitly

$$\begin{aligned} \det(x_j^{n-i}) \sum_{\substack{\mu \in \mathbb{N}^n \\ \mu \leq (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)}} \mathbf{S}_\mu f_\mu &= \sum_{\mu_1=0}^{a_1} \sum_{\mu_2=0}^{a_2} \cdots \sum_{\mu_n=0}^{a_n} \det(x_j^{\mu_i + n - i}) f_{\mu_1} f_{\mu_2} \cdots f_{\mu_n} = \\ &= \sum_{\mu_1=0}^{a_1} \sum_{\mu_2=0}^{a_2} \cdots \sum_{\mu_n=0}^{a_n} \det(x_j^{\mu_i + n - i} f_{\mu_i}) = \det \left(\sum_{\mu_i=0}^{a_i} x_j^{\mu_i + n - i} f_{\mu_i} \right) = \det(x_j^{n-i} F(\mathbf{y}, x_i, a_i)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\sum_{\lambda \leq (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)} \mathbf{s}_\lambda(\mathbf{x}) \det(f_{\lambda_i - i + j}) = \frac{\det(x_j^{n-i} F(\mathbf{y}, x_i, a_i))}{\det(x_j^{n-i})}.$$

as required.

(2) In the same way

$$\begin{aligned} \det(x_j^{n-i}) \sum_{\substack{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n \\ \lambda_i \leq a_i}} \mathbf{S}_\lambda t_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots t_n^{\lambda_n} &= \sum_{\substack{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n \\ \lambda_i \leq a_i}} \det(x_j^{\lambda_i + n - i}) t_1^{\lambda_1} t_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots t_n^{\lambda_n} = \\ &= \sum_{\lambda_i \leq a_i} \det(x_j^{\lambda_i + n - i} t_i^{\lambda_i}) = \det \left(\sum_{\lambda_i=0}^{a_i} x_j^{\lambda_i + n - i} t_i^{\lambda_i} \right) = \det \left(\frac{x_j^{n-i} (1 - (x_j t_i)^{a_i + 1})}{1 - x_j t_i} \right) = \\ &= \left| \begin{array}{ccc} \frac{x_1^{n-1} (1 - (x_1 t_1)^{a_1 + 1})}{1 - x_1 t_1} & \frac{x_2^{n-1} (1 - (x_2 t_1)^{a_1 + 1})}{1 - x_2 t_1} & \cdots & \frac{x_n^{n-1} (1 - (x_n t_1)^{a_1 + 1})}{1 - x_n t_1} \\ \frac{x_1^{n-2} (1 - (x_1 t_2)^{a_2 + 1})}{1 - x_1 t_2} & \frac{x_2^{n-2} (1 - (x_2 t_2)^{a_2 + 1})}{1 - x_2 t_2} & \cdots & \frac{x_1^{n-2} (1 - (x_n t_2)^{a_2 + 1})}{1 - x_n t_2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{1 - (x_1 t_n)^{a_n + 1}}{1 - x_1 t_n} & \frac{1 - (x_2 t_n)^{a_n + 1}}{1 - x_2 t_n} & \cdots & \frac{1 - (x_n t_n)^{a_n + 1}}{1 - x_n t_n} \end{array} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

□

For the case $a_1 = a_2 = \cdots = a_n = a$ we have

$$\frac{\det(x_j^{n-i} F(\mathbf{y}, x_i, a))}{\det(x_j^{n-i})} = \frac{\det(x_j^{n-i}) \prod_{i=1}^n F(\mathbf{y}, x_i, a)}{\det(x_j^{n-i})} = \prod_{i=1}^n F(\mathbf{y}, x_i, a),$$

and

$$\sum_{\lambda \leq (a, a, \dots, a)} \mathbf{s}_\lambda(\mathbf{x}) \det(f_{\lambda_i - i + j}) = \prod_{i=1}^n F(\mathbf{y}, x_i, a).$$

Example 5. Put $f_i = e_i$, where e_i is the elementary symmetrical polynomial in y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m . Since

$$F(z) = \sum_{i=0}^m e_i z^i = \prod_{i=1}^m (1 + y_i z)$$

we have

$$\sum_{\lambda \leq (m, m, \dots, m)} \mathbf{s}_\lambda(\mathbf{x}) \det(e_{\lambda_i - i + j}) = \prod_{i=1}^n F(x_i) = \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^m (1 + x_i y_j).$$

Note, that here $\det(e_{\lambda_i - i + j})$ does not equal to $\mathbf{s}_{\lambda'}(\mathbf{y})$ because $e_{\lambda_i - i + j}$ is zero if $\lambda_i - i + j > m$.

For the case $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_n = a$ and $t_1 = t_2 = \dots = t_n = t$ we have

$$\frac{\det\left(\frac{x_j^{n-i}(1 - (x_j t)^{a+1})}{1 - x_j t}\right)}{\det(x_j^{n-i})} = \frac{\det(x_j^{n-i}) \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{1 - (x_j t)^{a+1}}{1 - x_j t}}{\det(x_j^{n-i})} = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1 - (x_j t)^{a+1}}{1 - x_j t}.$$

Thus

$$\sum_{\lambda \leq (a, a, \dots, a)} \mathbf{s}_\lambda \det(t^{\lambda_i + j - i}) = \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{1 - (x_j t)^{a+1}}{1 - x_j t}.$$

Example 6. Let $n = 2$ and $a = 2$. Then there exists 6 partition λ of length 2 such that $\lambda \leq (2, 2)$:

$$(0, 0), (1, 0), (1, 1), (2, 0), (2, 1), (2, 2).$$

We have

| λ | $\det(t^{\lambda_i+j-i})$ | \mathbf{s}_λ |
|-----------|--|---------------------------|
| $(0, 0)$ | $\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = 1$ | 1 |
| $(1, 0)$ | $\begin{vmatrix} t & t^2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = t$ | $x_1 + x_2$ |
| $(1, 1)$ | $\begin{vmatrix} t & t^2 \\ 1 & t \end{vmatrix} = 0$ | $x_2 x_1$ |
| $(2, 0)$ | $\begin{vmatrix} t^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = t^2$ | $x_1^2 + x_2 x_1 + x_2^2$ |
| $(2, 1)$ | $\begin{vmatrix} t^2 & 0 \\ 1 & t \end{vmatrix} = t^3$ | $(x_1 + x_2) x_2 x_1$ |
| $(2, 2)$ | $\begin{vmatrix} t^2 & 0 \\ t & t^2 \end{vmatrix} = t^4$ | $x_1^2 x_2^2$ |

Note that for $\lambda_i + j - i < 0$ or $\lambda_i + j - i > 2$ the corresponding entries of the matrix $(t^{\lambda_i+j-i})_{i,j}$ is equal to zero. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\lambda \leq (2,2)} \mathbf{s}_\lambda \det(t^{\lambda_i+j-i}) &= 1 + (x_1 + x_2)t + (x_1^2 + x_2 x_1 + x_2^2)t^2 + (x_1 + x_2)x_2 x_1 t^3 + x_1^2 x_2^2 t^4 = \\ &= (1 + tx_1 + t^2 x_1^2)(1 + tx_2 + t^2 x_2^2) = \frac{1 - (x_1 t)^3}{1 - x_1 t} \cdot \frac{1 - (x_2 t)^3}{1 - x_2 t}. \end{aligned}$$

For the specialization $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_n = a$ and $t_1 = t_2 = \dots = t_n = 1$ let us consider the matrix $(1^{\lambda_i+j-i})_{i,j} = c(\lambda, a)$:

$$c_{i,j}(\lambda, a) = \begin{cases} 0, & \lambda_i + j - i < 0 \text{ or } \lambda_i + j - i > a \\ 1, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Then

$$\sum_{\lambda \leq (a,a,\dots,a)} \mathbf{s}_\lambda \det(c(\lambda, a)) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1 - x_i^{a+1}}{1 - x_i}.$$

Example 7. Put $a = 1$. Then all partitions which are less than $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ has the form

$$\varepsilon^{(k)} = (\underbrace{1, 1, \dots, 1}_{n-k \text{ times}}, \underbrace{0, 0, \dots, 0}_{k \text{ times}}),$$

The matrices $c(\varepsilon^{(k)}, 1)$ are lower-triangular matrices with the main diagonal $(1, 1, 1, \dots, 1)$. Since for all k $\det(c(\varepsilon^{(k)}, 1)) = 1$ we obtain

$$\sum_{\lambda \leq (1,1,\dots,1)} \mathbf{s}_\lambda = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1 - x_i^2}{1 - x_i} = \prod_{i=1}^n (1 + x_i).$$

The bounded Macdonald formula gives the same result.

4. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank the Armed Forces of Ukraine for providing security to perform this work.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Stanley, Enumerative Combinatorics: Volume 2. Cambridge University Press, 2001.
- [2] I. G. Macdonald, Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials, Second Edition, Oxford University Press, 1995
- [3] F. Jouhet, J. Zeng, Some new identities for Schur functions, Adv. Appl. Math., 27, 493-509, 2001.
- [4] D. Betea, M. Wheeler, Refined Cauchy and Littlewood identities, plane partitions and symmetry classes of alternating sign matrices, Journal of Combinatorial Theory Series A, 137 (2016), 126-165
- [5] M. Ishikawa, H. Tagawa. Schur function identities and hook length posets. 19th International Conference on Formal Power Series and Algebraic Combinatorics. 2007.
- [6] D. E. Littlewood, The Theory of Group Characters and Matrix Representations of Groups, Oxford University Press, 1950.

KHMELNITSKIY NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, INSTITUTS'KA, 11, KHMELNITSKIY, 29016, UKRAINE
Email address: LeonidBedratyuk@khmnu.edu.ua