## Estimating the circumference of a graph in terms of its leaf number

Jingru Yan <sup>∗</sup>

Department of Mathematics, East China Normal University, Shanghai 200241, China

#### Abstract

Let  $\mathcal T$  be the set of spanning trees of G and let  $L(T)$  be the number of leaves in a tree T. The leaf number  $L(G)$  of G is defined as  $L(G) = \max\{L(T)|T \in \mathcal{T}\}\.$  Let G be a connected graph of order n and minimum degree  $\delta$  such that  $L(G) \leq 2\delta - 1$ . We show that the circumference of G is at least  $n-1$ , and that if G is regular then G is hamiltonian.

Keywords. Leaf number, circumference, hamiltonian

Mathematics Subject Classification. 05C38, 05C45

# 1 Introduction

We will deal with only finite nontrivial simple graphs. Let  $G$  be a graph with vertex set  $V(G)$  and edge set  $E(G)$ . The order and size of a graph G are its number of vertices and edges, respectively. The notations  $N_G(v)$  and  $N_G[v]$  denote the neighborhood and closed neighborhood of  $v \in V(G)$ , respectively. The degree of v is  $d_G(v) = |N_G(v)|$ .  $\delta(G)$  and  $\Delta(G)$  denote the minimum and maximum degree of a graph G, respectively. If the graph G is clear from the context, we will omit it as subscript. For terminology and notations not explicitly described in this paper, the reader is referred the books  $|1, 19|$ .

Let T be the set of spanning trees of G.  $L(T)$  denotes the number of leaves in a tree T, where a leaf means a vertex of degree 1. Then the leaf number  $L(G) = \max\{L(T)|T \in \mathcal{T}\}\$ . Many researchers have estimated the circumference of graphs by various invariants. The purpose of this paper is to estimate the circumference of a connected graph  $G$  by the two invariants  $\delta(G)$  and  $L(G)$ .

DeLaViña's computer program, Graffiti.pc, posed attractive conjectures [3] and some of the conjectures speculate sufficient conditions for traceability based on the minimum degree and leaf number. In 2013, Mukwembi gave a partial solution to the Graffiti.pc 190a. He [14] showed that if G is a finite connected graph with minimum degree  $\delta(G) \geq 5$ , and leaf

<sup>∗</sup>E-mail address: mathyjr@163.com

number  $L(G)$  such that  $\delta(G) \geq L(G) - 1$ , then G is hamiltonian and thus traceable. In the same year, he [16] relaxed the condition  $\delta(G) \geq 5$  to  $\delta(G) \geq 3$ . After that, Mukwembi [15] proved that if G is a connected claw-free graph with  $\delta(G) \ge (L(G) + 1)/2$ , then G is hamiltonian. In recent years, several authors reported on sufficient conditions for a graph to be hamiltonian or traceable based on minimum degree and leaf number, see [9–13].

We state the following results, some of which will be used later in this paper.

**Theorem 1.** [12] If G is a connected graph with  $\delta(G) \ge (L(G)+2)/2$ , then G is hamiltonian.

**Theorem 2.** [11] If G is a connected graph with  $\delta(G) \ge (L(G) + 1)/2$ , then G is traceable.

**Theorem 3.** [13] Let G be a connected triangle-free graph with  $L(G) \leq 2\delta(G) - 1$ . Then G is either hamiltonian or  $G \in \mathcal{F}_2$ , where  $\mathcal{F}_2$  is the class of non-hamiltonian graphs with leaf number  $2\delta(G) - 1$ .

Let  $p(G)$  and  $c(G)$  be the order of a longest path and a longest cycle in a graph G, respectively. Note that  $c(G)$  is equal to the circumference of a graph G. Many researchers have investigated the relation between  $p(G)$  and  $c(G)$  ([5],[8],[17],[18]). Motivated by Theorem 2, we obtain the following main result.

**Theorem 4.** Let G be a connected graph of order n. If  $L(G) \leq 2\delta(G)-1$ , then  $c(G) \geq n-1$ . The bound is sharp and the condition cannot be relaxed.

We also consider regular graphs.

## 2 Main results

We start with some lemmas that will be used repeatedly.

**Lemma 5.** [15] Let G be a connected graph of order n. If  $L(G) \leq 2\delta(G) - 1$ , then  $n \leq$  $\max\{2\delta(G)+6,3\delta(G)\}.$ 

**Lemma 6.** [15] Let G be a connected graph with  $L(G) \leq 2\delta(G) - 1$ . Then G is 2-connected.

For a graph G,  $\kappa(G)$  and  $\alpha(G)$  denote the connectivity and independent number of G, respectively. Let  $\sigma_k(G)$  be the minimum degree sum of k independent vertices of G if  $\alpha(G) \geq k$ .  $K_n$  stands for the *complete graph* of order *n*.

**Lemma 7.** [2] Let G be a connected graph. If  $\kappa(G) \geq \alpha(G)$ , then G is hamiltonian except for  $G = K_2$ .

**Lemma 8.** [5] Let G be a 2-connected graph of order n. If  $\sigma_3(G) \geq n+2$ , then  $c(G) \geq$  $p(G) - 1.$ 

Now we first show that the result of Theorem 4 is true when  $n \leq 3\delta(G)$ .

**Lemma 9.** [16] Let G be a connected graph of order n. If  $\delta(G) = 2$  and  $L(G) \leq 3$ , then  $c(G) \geq n-1$ .

Given graphs G and H, the notation  $G + H$  means the *disjoint union* of G and H. Then tG denotes the disjoint union of t copies of G. The notation  $G \vee H$  means the joint of G and H. For graphs we will use equality up to isomorphism, so  $G = H$  means that G and H are isomorphic.

For any graph G, G[S] denotes the subgraph of G induced by  $S \subseteq V(G)$ . Let  $A, B \subseteq$  $V(G)$  and  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . Denote by  $E(A, B)$  the set of edges of G with one end in A and the other end in B and  $e(A, B) = |E(A, B)|$ .

**Lemma 10.** [5] Let G be a connected graph with order  $n \geq 3$ . If  $\sigma_3(G) \geq n$ , then G satisfies  $c(G) \geq p(G) - 1$  or  $G \in \mathcal{F}(n)$ , where  $\mathcal{F}(n)$  is the class of graphs defined below.

 $\mathcal{F}(n)$  consists six subclasses:

$$
\mathcal{F}(n) = \mathcal{F}_1(n) \cup \mathcal{F}_2(n) \cup \mathcal{F}_3(n) \cup \mathcal{F}_4(n) \cup \mathcal{F}_5(n) \cup \mathcal{F}_6(n).
$$

For any graph  $G \in \mathcal{F}(n)$ , we have  $|V(G)| = n$  and  $\sigma_3(G) \geq n$ . The subclasses are defined as follows (more details can be found in [5]):

 $\mathcal{F}_1(n)$ :  $G \in \mathcal{F}_1(n)$  if  $V(G) = A \cup B$  with  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ ,  $G[A]$  and  $G[B]$  are hamiltonian or isomorphic to  $K_2$ , and  $e(A, B) = 1$ .

 $\mathcal{F}_2(n)$ :  $G \in \mathcal{F}_2(n)$  if  $V(G) = A \cup B$  with  $A \cap B = \{x\}$ ,  $G[A]$  and  $G[B]$  are both hamiltonian or both isomorphic to  $K_2$ , and  $e(A \setminus \{x\}, B \setminus \{x\}) = 0$ .

 $\mathcal{F}_3(n)$ :  $G \in \mathcal{F}_3(n)$  if G is a 2-connected spanning subgraph of  $K_2 \vee (K_a + K_b + K_c)$  with  $a, b, c > 2$   $(n = a + b + c + 2)$ .

 $\mathcal{F}_4(n)$ :  $G \in \mathcal{F}_4(n)$  if G is a 2-connected spanning subgraph of  $K_3 \vee (aK_2 + bK_3)$  with  $a, b \ge 0$  and  $a + b = 4$   $(n = 2a + 3b + 3, 11 \le n \le 15)$ .

 $\mathcal{F}_5(n)$ :  $G \in \mathcal{F}_5(n)$  if G is a 2-connected spanning subgraph of  $K_s \vee (sK_2 + K_3)$  with  $s \geq 4$  $(n = 3s + 3).$ 

 $\mathcal{F}_6(n)$ :  $G \in \mathcal{F}_6(n)$  if G is a 2-connected spanning subgraph of  $K_s \vee (s+1)K_2$  with  $s \geq 4$  $(n = 3s + 2).$ 

**Theorem 11.** Let G be a connected graph with order  $n \leq 3\delta(G)$ . If  $L(G) \leq 2\delta(G) - 1$ , then  $c(G) \geq n-1$ .

*Proof.* Let G be a connected graph with order  $n \leq 3\delta(G)$  and  $L(G) \leq 2\delta(G) - 1$ . By Lemma 6, G is 2-connected. If  $\alpha(G) \leq 2$ , by Lemma 7, then G is hamiltonian and hence  $c(G) \geq n-1$ . Clearly,  $\delta(G) \neq 1$ . By Lemma 9, the result holds true for  $\delta(G) = 2$ . Now, it suffices to consider the case of  $\alpha(G) \geq 3$  and  $\delta(G) = \delta \geq 3$ . Note that G is a connected graph with order  $n > 3$ , by Theorem 2 and Lemma 10,  $c(G) \geq p(G) - 1 = n - 1$  or  $G \in \mathcal{F}(n)$ . Suppose to the contrary that  $G \in \mathcal{F}(n)$ .

Recall that G is 2-connected. This implies that  $G \notin \mathcal{F}_1(n) \cup \mathcal{F}_2(n)$ . First suppose  $G \in \mathcal{F}_3(n)$ . For any vertex x of  $V(K_a)$ ,  $d_{K_a}(x) \ge \delta - 2$  in G and hence  $|V(K_a)| \ge \delta - 1$ . Similarly,  $|V(K_b)| \ge \delta - 1$  and  $|V(K_c)| \ge \delta - 1$ . Then

$$
|V(K_a)| + |V(K_b)| + |V(K_c)| + 2 \ge 3(\delta - 1) + 2 = 3\delta - 1.
$$

It implies that either  $n = 3\delta - 1$  or  $n = 3\delta$ . For the first case,  $|V(K_a)| = |V(K_b)| =$  $|V(K_c)| = \delta - 1$  and hence  $G = K_2 \vee (K_a + K_b + K_c)$ . It can easily be shown that G contains a spanning tree with leaf number at least  $2\delta$ , a contradiction. For the second case, exactly one of  $|V(K_a)|, |V(K_a)|$  and  $|V(K_a)|$  is equal to  $\delta$ , and the rest are equal to  $\delta - 1$ . Without loss of generality, suppose that  $|V(K_a)| = \delta$  and  $|V(K_b)| = |V(K_c)| = \delta - 1$ . Then  $G[G-V(K_a)] = K_2 \vee (K_b+K_c)$ . The subgraph induced by the vertex set of  $G[G-V(K_a)]$  with one vertex of  $V(K_a)$  has a spanning tree with leaf number  $2\delta$ , contradicting  $L(G) \leq 2\delta - 1$ . Thus  $G \notin \mathcal{F}_3(n)$ .

Next assume that  $G \in \mathcal{F}_4(n)$ . For  $n \leq 3\delta - 2$ , by Lemmas 6 and 8,  $c(G) \geq p(G) - 1$ since  $n \leq \sigma_3(G) - 2$ . For  $3\delta - 1 \leq n \leq 3\delta$ ,  $\delta = 4$  or 5 since  $11 \leq n \leq 15$ . Note that  $a + b = 4$  and  $n = a + b + c + 2$ . If  $\delta = 4$ ,  $n = 11$ ,  $a = 4$ ,  $b = 0$  or  $n = 12$ ,  $a = 3$ ,  $b = 1$ . It is easy to check that  $L(G) \geq 8 > 2\delta - 1$  in both cases. If  $\delta = 5$ ,  $n = 14$ ,  $a = 1$ ,  $b = 3$  or  $n = 15, a = 0, b = 4$ . Since  $\delta = 5$ , the first case is not allowed. For  $n = 15, a = 0, b = 4$ , we have  $L(G) \geq 10 > 2\delta - 1$ . Thus  $G \notin \mathcal{F}_4(n)$ .

Now assume that  $G \in \mathcal{F}_5(n)$ . For any vertex x of  $V(sK_2)$ ,  $d_{K_s}(x) \ge \delta - 1$  in G and hence

 $|V(K_s)| \ge \delta - 1$ . Then  $n = 3s + 3 \ge 3(\delta - 1) + 3 = 3\delta$ . Since  $n \le 3\delta$ , then  $s = \delta - 1$ . It implies that  $G[V(K_s) \cup V(sK_2)]$  contains  $(\delta - 1)K_1 \vee (\delta - 1)K_2$  as a subgraph. Note that the subgraph induced by  $V(K_3)$  contains no isolated vertex in G. Then we can split this into two cases. For  $G[V(K_3)] = K_3$ , it is easy to check that G contains a spanning tree with leaf number at least 2 $\delta$ , a contradiction. For  $G[V(K_3)] = P_3$ , let  $w_1, w_2 \in V(G[V(K_3)])$  and  $d_{G[V(K_3)]}(w_1) = d_{G[V(K_3)]}(w_2) = 1$ . Then  $d_{K_s}(w_1) = d_{K_s}(w_2) = \delta - 1$ . We also obtain G has a spanning tree with leaf number at least  $2\delta$ , contradicting  $L(G) \leq 2\delta - 1$ . Thus  $G \notin \mathcal{F}_5(n)$ .

It follows that  $G \in \mathcal{F}_6(n)$ . Since  $n = 3s + 2 \leq 3\delta$ , we have  $s \leq \delta - 1$ . For any vertex x of  $V((s+1)K_2), d_{K_s}(x) \ge \delta - 1$  in G since  $d(x) \ge \delta$ . Then  $s = \delta - 1$  and  $n = 3s + 2 = 3\delta - 1$ . Further, G contains  $K_1 \vee \delta K_2$  as a subgraph. Thus, G has a spanning tree with leaf number at least  $2\delta$ , a contradiction. This completes the proof of Theorem 11.  $\Box$ 

Before giving the proof of the main theorem, we prove a conclusion about regular graphs.

**Lemma 12.** [7] Every 2-connected k-regular ( $k \geq 3$ ) graph of order at most  $3k + 3$  is hamiltonian except the Petersen graph P and the graph obtained from P by replacing one vertex of  $P$  by a triangle.

Denote by  $P^{\Delta}$  be the graph obtained from P by replacing one vertex of P by a triangle.



Fig. 1. The Petersen graph  $P$ 



Fig. 2. The graph  $P^{\Delta}$ 

**Theorem 13.** Let G be a k-regular connected graph. If  $L(G) \leq 2k-1$ , then G is hamiltonian and the condition cannot be relaxed.

*Proof.* It is easy to verify that  $L(P) = 6$  and  $L(P^{\Delta}) = 7$  (see Fig 1 and Fig 2). The Petersen graph P is non-hamiltonian but satisfies  $L(P) = 6 = 2k$ , so the condition cannot be relaxed.

Let G be a k-regular connected graph of order n with  $L(G) \leq 2k - 1$ . Since  $L(G) \geq 2$ , then  $k \geq 2$ . Clearly, G is hamiltonian when  $k = 2$ . Next suppose that  $k \geq 3$ . By Lemma 5,

we have  $n \leq \max\{2k+6, 3k\}$ . Note that  $3k+3 \geq \max\{2k+6, 3k\}$  when  $k \geq 3$ . By Lemmas 6 and 12, G is hamiltonian. This completes the proof of Theorem 13.  $\Box$ 

The following lemmas play the key role in the proof of Theorem 4.

**Lemma 14.** Let G be a connected graph with  $L(G) \leq 2\delta(G) - 1$ . For  $\delta(G) \geq 3$ , if there is one vertex  $x \in V(G)$  with degree  $2\delta(G) - 1$ , then  $|V(G) \setminus N[x]| \leq 2$ .

*Proof.* Let G be a connected graph with  $L(G) \leq 2\delta(G) - 1$ . Since  $L(G) \leq 2\delta(G) - 1$ , each vertex of  $N(x)$  has at most one neighbour in  $V(G) \setminus N[x]$ . By Lemma 6, G is 2-connected. Then there are two vertices  $y_1, y_2 \in V(G) \setminus N[x]$  have neighbors in  $N(x)$ . Similarly, each vertex of  $\{y_1, y_2\}$  has at most one neighbour in  $V(G) \setminus N[x]$  and hence at least  $\delta(G) - 1$ neighbors in  $N(x)$ . Suppose that  $|V(G) \setminus N[x]| \geq 3$ . There exists one vertex  $y_3 \in V(G) \setminus N[x]|$  $(N[x] \cup \{y_1, y_2\})$  and  $y_3$  is adjacent to  $y_1$  or  $y_2$ . Clearly,  $N(y_3) \cap N(x) = \emptyset$ . Without loss of generality, assume that  $y_3$  is adjacent to  $y_1$ .  $G[N[x] \cup \{y_1\} \cup N(y_3)]$  contains a tree with leaf number  $3\delta(G)-3$ . Further, since  $\delta(G) \geq 3$ , we have  $3\delta(G)-3 > 2\delta(G)-1$ , a contradiction. This completes the proof of Lemma 14.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 15.**  $\vert 4 \vert$  Let G be a 2-connected graph of order n and let C be a longest cycle in G. Then  $|V(C)| \ge \min\{n, 2\delta(G)\}.$ 

**Lemma 16.** [6] Let G be a connected graph of order n. (1) If  $\delta(G) \geq 4$ , then  $L(G) \geq \frac{2n+8}{5}$  $\frac{1+8}{5}$ . (2) If  $\delta(G) \geq 5$ , then  $L(G) \geq \frac{n}{2} + 2$ .

**Lemma 17.** Let G be a connected graph of order n and let  $C = c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_k, c_1$  be a longest cycle in G. The subscripts of the vertices  $c_t$  are taken modulo k.

(1) The vertices  $c_i$  and  $c_{i+1}$  have no common neighbor in  $V(G) \setminus V(C)$ .

(2) Let  $x, y \in V(G) \setminus V(C)$ . If  $c_i, c_j \in N_C(x)$ , then  $c_{i+1}$  and  $c_{j+1}$  cannot both belong to  $N(y)$ . (3) Let  $P_C = p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_s$  be a longest path in  $G - V(C)$ . If the vertices  $p_1$  and  $p_s$  have distinct neighbors in  $V(C)$ , then  $s \leq \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor - 1$ .

Proof. It is easy to show that the results of Lemma 17, so we omit them.  $\Box$ 

Finally, we show that the proof of Theorem 4.

*Proof.* Let G be a connected graph of order n. For  $n \leq 3\delta(G)$ , by Theorem 11,  $c(G) \geq n-1$ . For  $n \geq 3\delta(G) + 1$ , by Lemma 5, we have  $\delta(G) \leq 5$ . Clearly,  $\delta(G) \neq 1$ . By Lemma 9, the

result is true when  $\delta(G) = 2$ . Denote by  $\delta(G) = \delta$ . Now, it suffices to consider the case of  $3\delta + 1 \leq n \leq 2\delta + 6$  and  $3 \leq \delta \leq 5$ .

Case 1.  $\delta = 3$ 

Note that  $10 \le n \le 12$ . Since  $L(G) \le 2\delta - 1 = 5$ , we have  $3 \le \Delta(G) \le 5$ . If  $\Delta(G) = 3$ , by Theorem 13, G is hamiltonian and hence  $c(G) \geq n-1$ . If  $\Delta(G) = 5$ , by Lemma 14,  $n \leq 6 + 2 = 8 < 10$ , a contradiction. Next suppose that  $\Delta(G) = 4$ . We discuss it in two Subcases according to the order of G.

Subcase 1.1. Consider  $n = 10$ . Let  $C = c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_k, c_1$  be a longest cycle in G and let  $P_C$  be a longest path in  $G - V(C)$ . By Lemmas 6 and 15,  $k \ge 6$ . Now we show that  $k \ge 9$ . Suppose to the contrary that  $6 \leq k \leq 8$ .

For k = 6, by Lemma 17 (3),  $|V(P_C)| \le 2$ . Recall that  $\delta = 3$  and  $\Delta(G) = 4$ , by Lemma 17 (1) and (2), we obtain at most two isolated vertices in  $G-V(C)$ . Hence  $|V(P_C)|=2$  and  $G[V(G) \setminus V(C)] = 2K_1 + K_2$  or  $2K_2$ . Let  $x, y \in V(G) \setminus V(C)$  and x is adjacent to y. Since  $k = 6$ , we have  $d(x) = d(y) = 3$  and  $N(x) \setminus \{y\} = N(y) \setminus \{x\}$ . Clearly,  $N(x) \setminus \{y\} = \{c_1, c_4\}$ or  $\{c_2, c_5\}$  or  $\{c_3, c_6\}$ . It is not difficult to see that the proof methods for the above three cases are similar. So let us just consider the first case. Note that  $|V(G) \setminus V(C)| = 4$  and  $\Delta(G) = 4$ . There is one vertex  $z \in V(G) \setminus V(C)$  is adjacent to at least one of  $\{c_2, c_3, c_5, c_6\}$ . Suppose z is adjacent to  $c_2$ . The subgraph induced by  $\{c_6, c_1, x, y, c_2, c_3, z\}$  contains a tree with leaf number 5. By Lemma 14,  $n \leq 7 + 2 = 9$ , a contradiction. The remaining cases can be proved in the same way.

For  $k = 7$ , by Lemma 17 (3),  $|V(P_C)| \le 2$ . If  $|V(P_C)| = 2$ , then  $G[V(G) \setminus V(C)] =$  $K_1 + K_2$ . Let  $x, y, z \in V(G) \setminus V(C)$  and x is adjacent to y. It is easy to check that  $d(x) = d(y) = 3$  and  $N(x) \setminus \{y\} = N(y) \setminus \{x\}$ . Without loss of generality, suppose that  $N(x) \setminus \{y\} = \{c_1, c_4\}.$  Recall that  $\delta = 3$  and  $\Delta(G) = 4.$  Then z is adjacent to at least three vertices in  $V(C) \setminus \{c_1, c_4\}$ . If z is adjacent to  $c_2$ , the subgraph induced by  $\{c_6, c_1, x, y, c_2, c_3, z\}$ contains a tree with leaf number 5. By Lemma 14,  $n \leq 7 + 2 = 9$ , a contradiction. Using a similar argument as above, we deduce that z is not adjacent to  $c_3$ ,  $c_5$  and  $c_6$ , contradicting  $d(z) \geq 3$ . Next suppose  $|V(P_C)| = 1$ . Then  $G[V(G) \setminus V(C)] = 3K_1$ . Let  $x, y, z \in V(G) \setminus V(G)$  $V(C)$ . By Lemma 17 (1) and (2),  $d(x) = d(y) = d(z) = 3$  and  $N(x) = N(y) = N(z)$ . Then there is one vertex of  $N(x)$  has degree at least 5, a contradiction.

For  $k = 8$ ,  $|V(P_C)| \le 2$  since  $n = 10$ . If  $|V(P_C)| = 2$ , then  $G[V(G) \setminus V(C)] = K_2$ . Let  $x, y \in V(G) \setminus V(C)$ . Without loss of generality, suppose x is adjacent to  $c_1$ . Since C is a

longest cycle in G, then y is adjacent to  $c_4$ ,  $c_5$  or  $c_6$ . Obviously, we can split this into two cases. The first case is where y is adjacent to  $c_4$ . One can easily show that  $d(x) = d(y) = 3$ and  $N(x) \setminus \{y\} = N(y) \setminus \{x\} = \{c_1, c_4\}.$  Consider the vertex  $c_2$ . If  $c_2$  is not adjacent to  $c_8$ , the subgraph induced by  $N(c_1) \cup N(c_2)$  contains a tree with leaf number 5 since  $d(c_2) \geq 3$ . By Lemma 14,  $n \leq 7 + 2 = 9 < 10$ , a contradiction. If  $c_2$  is adjacent to  $c_8$ , G contains a cycle  $c_2, c_8, c_7, c_6, c_5, c_4, y, x, c_1, c_2$  with length 9 (see Fig. 3), contradicting to  $k = 8$ . The second case is where y is adjacent to  $c_5$ . Similarly, we have  $d(x) = d(y) = 3$ and  $N(x) \setminus \{y\} = N(y) \setminus \{x\} = \{c_1, c_5\}.$  The following results which are derived from the above proof:  $c_2$  is adjacent to  $c_8$  and  $c_4$  is adjacent to  $c_6$ . Then G contains a cycle  $c_2, c_8, c_7, c_6, c_4, c_5, y, x, c_1, c_2$  with length 9, a contradiction. Next suppose  $|V(P_C)| = 1$  and



hence  $G[V(G) \setminus V(C)] = 2K_1$ . Let  $x, y \in V(G) \setminus V(C)$ . Since C is a longest cycle in G and  $L(G) \leq 5$ , then  $d(x) = d(y) = 3$ . Without loss of generality, suppose that  $N(x) = \{c_1, c_3, c_5\}$ or  ${c_1, c_3, c_6}$ . For the first case, assert that  $N(y) \cap N(x) = \emptyset$ . Otherwise, G contains a tree with leaf number at least 6 if y is adjacent to  $c_1$  or  $c_5$  (see Fig.4), a contradiction. And if y is adjacent to  $c_3$ , the subgraph induced by the vertex set  $\{c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4, c_5, x, y\}$  contains a tree with leaf number 5. Then, by Lemma 14,  $n \leq 9$ , a contradiction. So,  $N(y) \subseteq V(C) \setminus N(x)$ and  $|N(y)| = 3$ , by Lemma 17 (1) and (2), which is not allowed. For the second case, the proof method is similar to the first case, and will not be repeated here.

Subcase 1.2. Consider  $n = 11$  or 12. Let  $x \in V(G)$  with  $d(x) = 4$ . Set  $N(x) =$  ${x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4}$ . Assert that any vertex of  $N(x)$  has at most one neighbor in  $V(G) \setminus N[x]$ . Since  $L(G) \leq 5$ , we have  $d_{G-N[x]}(x_i) \leq 2$  for  $i \in \{1,2,3,4\}$ . If there is one vertex of  $N(x)$  has exactly two neighbors in  $V(G) \setminus N[x]$ , by Lemma 14,  $n \leq 5+2+2=9 < 11$ , a contradiction. Hence,  $e(N(x), V(G) \setminus N[x]) \leq 4$ . Let  $N_2(x) \subseteq V(G) \setminus N[x]$  and each vertex of  $N_2(x)$  has neighbor in  $N(x)$ . Similarly, by Lemma 14, we can show that each vertex of  $N_2(x)$  has at most one neighbour in  $V(G) \setminus N[x]$ . Then each vertex of  $N_2(x)$  has at least two neighbours in

 $N(x)$ , since  $\delta = 3$ . By Lemma 6, G is 2-connected and hence  $|N_2(x)| \ge 2$ . Then  $|N_2(x)| = 2$ and  $e(N(x), V(G) \setminus N[x]) = 4$ . Set  $N_2(x) = \{y_1, y_2\}$ . Without loss of generality, suppose that  $N(y_1) \cap N(x) = \{x_1, x_2\}$  and  $N(y_2) \cap N(x) = \{x_3, x_4\}$ . It is easy to check that  $y_1$  is not adjacent to  $y_2$ , since  $n \ge 11$ . Let  $z_1 = N(y_1) \setminus \{x_1, x_2\}$  and  $z_2 = N(y_2) \setminus \{x_3, x_4\}$ . Since G is 2-connected, then  $z_1 \neq z_2$ . Note that  $G[N(x)]$  contains  $2K_2$ . Then G contains a path of length 8 with endpoints  $z_1$  and  $z_2$ . For  $n = 11$ , it remains two vertices  $w_1$  and  $w_2$ . Obviously,  $d(w_1) = d(w_2) = 3$  and  $N(w_1) = \{w_2, z_1, z_2\}, N(w_2) = \{w_1, z_1, z_2\}.$  Thus  $c(G) = n$ . For  $n = 12$ , it remains three vertices  $w_1, w_2$  and  $w_3$ . One can easy show that  $c(G) \geq n - 1$ . So Case 1 is proven.

Case 2.  $\delta = 4$ 

Note that  $13 \leq n \leq 14$ . For  $n = 14$ , by Lemma 16 (1),  $L(G) \geq \frac{2n+8}{5} = \frac{36}{5} > 7$ , contradicting  $L(G) \leq 2\delta - 1 = 7$ . Then we only need to consider  $n = 13$ . Suppose  $n = 13$ . Since  $L(G) \leq 7$ , we have  $4 \leq \Delta(G) \leq 7$ . By Lemma 14,  $\Delta(G) \neq 7$ . For  $\Delta(G) = 6$ , let  $x \in V(G)$  with  $d(x) = 6$ . Then any vertex of  $N(x)$  has at most two neighbors in  $V(G) \setminus N[x]$ . Let  $N_2(x) \subseteq V(G) \setminus N[x]$  and each vertex of  $N_2(x)$  has neighbor in  $N(x)$ . By Lemma 14, any vertex of  $N(x) \cup N_2(x)$  has at most one neighbor in  $V(G) \setminus N[x]$ . This implies that  $e(N(x), N_2(x)) \leq 6$ . Since  $\delta = 4$ , then  $|N_2(x)| \leq 2$ . By Lemma 6, G is 2-connected and hence  $|N_2(x)| = 2$ . Clearly,  $y_1$  is not adjacent to  $y_2$  since  $n = 13$ . Let  $z_1 \in N(y_1)$  and  $z_2 \in N(y_2)$ . Recall that G is 2-connected.  $z_1 \neq z_2$ . Hence  $|N(z_1) \setminus \{y_1\}| \geq 3$ . From Fig.5, we obtain G contains a tree with leaf number 8, a contradiction. For  $\Delta(G) = 4$ , by Theorem 13,  $c(G) = n \geq n - 1$ .



Fig. 5. The subgraph of  $G$  in Case 2 when  $\Delta(G)=6$ 

It remains the case of  $\Delta(G) = 5$ . Let  $C = c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_k, c_1$  be a longest cycle in G and let  $P_C$  be a longest path in  $G - V(C)$ . By Lemmas 6 and 15,  $k \geq 8$ . Now we show that  $k \geq 12$ . Suppose to the contrary that  $8 \leq k \leq 11$ .

For  $k = 8$ , by Lemma 17 (3),  $|V(P_C)| \leq 3$ . Since  $\Delta(G) = 5$ , by Lemma 17 (1) and (2), there are at most three isolated vertices in  $G - V(C)$ . Then  $2 \leq |V(P_C)| \leq 3$ . If  $|V(P_C)| = 2$ , then  $G - V(C) = 3K_1 + K_2$  or  $K_1 + 2K_2$ . Let  $x, y \in V(G) \setminus V(C)$  and x is adjacent to y. Without loss of generality, suppose that  $c_1 \in N(x)$ . By Lemma 17 (1) and (2),  $N(y) \subseteq \{x, c_1, c_4, c_5, c_6\}$ . Then  $N(y) = \{x, c_1, c_4, c_6\}$ , since C is a longest cycle and  $\delta = 4$ . Further, we have  $N(x) = \{c_1, y\}$ , contradicting  $d(x) \geq 4$ . Next suppose  $|V(P_C)| = 3$ . Let  $P_C = x, y, z$ . It follows that  $N_C(x) = N_C(z)$  and  $|N_C(x)| = |N_C(z)| = 2$ . Recall that C is a longest cycle in G. Without loss of generality, suppose that  $N_C(x) = N_C(z) = \{c_1, c_5\}.$ Since  $\delta(G) = 4$  and  $|V(P_C)| = 3$ , we have x is adjacent to z. Note that we have a new path  $P'_C = y, x, z$  in  $G - V(C)$ . Similarly,  $N_C(y) = N_C(z)$  and  $|N_C(y)| = |N_C(z)| = 2$ . So,  $N_C(x) = N_C(y) = N_C(z) = \{c_1, c_5\}$  and  $d(x) = d(y) = d(z) = 4$ . Then  $G[V(G) \setminus V(C)] =$ 2K<sub>1</sub> + K<sub>3</sub>. Let  $\{u, v\} = V(G) \setminus (V(C) \cup \{x, y, z\})$ . Clearly,  $N(u) = N(v) = \{c_2, c_4, c_6, c_8\}$ . The subgraph induced by  $\{c_8, c_1, c_2, c_3, x, y, z, u, v\}$  contains a tree with leaf number 7 (see Fig.6). By Lemma 14,  $n \leq 9 + 2 = 11 < 13$ , a contradiction.



Fig. 6. The subgraph of  $G$  in Case 2 when  $k=8$  and  $|V(P_C)|=3$ 

For  $k = 9$ , by Lemma 17,  $|V(P_C)| \leq 3$  and there are at most two isolated vertices in  $G-V(C)$ . Then  $2 \leq |V(P_C)| \leq 3$ . If  $|V(P_C)| = 2$ , then  $G[V(G) \setminus V(C)] = 2K_1 + K_2$  or  $2K_2$ . Let  $x, y \in V(G) \setminus V(C)$  and x is adjacent to y. One can easy show that  $d(x) = d(y) = 4$  and  $N(x) \cap N(y) = \{c_1, c_4, c_7\}$  or  $\{c_2, c_5, c_8\}$  or  $\{c_3, c_6, c_9\}$ . Without loss of generality, suppose that  $N(x) \cap N(y) = \{c_1, c_4, c_7\}$ . Since  $L(G) \leq 7$ , any vertex of  $V(G) \setminus (V(C) \cup \{x, y\})$ has no neighbor in  $\{c_1, c_4, c_7\}$ . Further, by Lemma 17 (1) and (2),  $G[V(G) \setminus V(C)] \neq$  $2K_1 + K_2$  and  $G[V(G) \setminus V(C)] \neq 2K_2$ , since  $\delta = 4$ . Next suppose  $|V(P_C)| = 3$ . Let  $P_C = x, y, z$ . Using the same method as the case of  $k = 8$  and  $|V(P_C)| = 3$ , we obtain  $G[V(G) \setminus V(C)] = K_1 + K_3$  and  $N_C(x) = N_C(y) = N_C(z)$ . Without loss of generality, suppose that  $N_C(x) = N_C(y) = N_C(z) = \{c_1, c_5\}$ . Consider the vertex  $c_2$ . If  $c_2$  is adjacent to  $c_9$ , G contains a cycle  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$ ,  $c_9$ ,  $c_8$ ,  $c_7$ ,  $c_6$ ,  $c_5$ ,  $z$ ,  $y$ ,  $x$ ,  $c_1$  with length 10, a contradiction. If  $c_2$  is not adjacent to  $c_9$ , the subgraph induced by  $N(c_1) \cup N(c_2)$  contains a tree with leaf number 7. By Lemma 14,  $n \le 10 + 2 = 12 < 13$ , a contradiction.

For  $k = 10$ ,  $|V(P_C)| \le 3$ . For  $|V(P_C)| = 3$ , let  $V(G) \setminus V(C) = \{x, y, z\}$ . Similarly, one can easy show that  $G[V(G) \setminus V(C)] = K_3$  and  $N_C(x) = N_C(y) = N_C(z)$  and  $|N_C(x)| = |N_C(y)| = |N_C(z)| = 2.$  Without loss of generality, suppose that  $N_C(x) = \{c_1, c_5\}$ or  ${c_1, c_6}$ . If  $N_C(x) = {c_1, c_5}$ , we consider the vertex  $c_3$ . Note that  $d(c_1) = d(c_5) = 5$ . Then  $|N(c_3) \cap (V(C) \setminus \{c_1, c_2, c_4, c_5\})| \geq 2$ , since  $\delta = 4$ . If  $c_3$  is adjacent to  $c_6$  (see Fig.7a) or  $c_{10}$ , then G contains a cycle  $c_5, c_4, c_3, c_6, c_7, c_8, c_9, c_{10}, c_1, x, y, z, c_5$  with length 12 or a cycle  $c_1, c_2, c_3, c_{10}, c_9, c_8, c_7, c_6, c_5, z, y, x, c_1$  with length 12, a contradiction. If  $c_3$  is adjacent to  $c_7$ (see Fig.7b) or  $c_9$ , then G contains a cycle  $c_5, c_4, c_3, c_7, c_8, c_9, c_{10}, c_1, x, y, z, c_5$  with length 11 or a cycle  $c_1, c_2, c_3, c_9, c_8, c_7, c_6, c_5, z, y, x, c_1$  with length 11, a contradiction. Hence we have  $N(c_3) \subseteq \{c_2, c_4, c_8\}$ , contradicting  $\delta = 4$ . If  $N_C(x) = \{c_1, c_6\}$ , using the same method, we



Fig. 7a. The subgraph of  $G$  when  $k=10$  and  $c_3$  is adjacent to  $c_6$ 

Fig. 7b. The subgraph of  $G$  when  $k=10$  and  $c_3$  is adjacent to  $c_7$ 

have  $c_7, c_{10} \notin N(c_3)$  and  $c_7, c_{10} \notin N(c_4)$ . Now we show that  $c_3$  and  $c_4$  are both adjacent to exactly one of  $\{c_8, c_9\}$ . Suppose to the contrary that  $c_3$  and  $c_4$  are adjacent to  $c_8$  and  $c_9$  or  $c_9$  and  $c_8$ , respectively. Then G contains a cycle  $c_1, c_2, c_3, c_8, c_9, c_4, c_5, c_6, z, y, x, c_1$  with length 11 or a cycle  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$ ,  $c_3$ ,  $c_9$ ,  $c_8$ ,  $c_4$ ,  $c_5$ ,  $c_6$ ,  $z$ ,  $y$ ,  $x$ ,  $c_1$  with length 11, contradicting  $k = 10$ . Without loss of generality, suppose  $c_9 \in N(c_3) \cap N(c_4)$ . Since  $\delta = 4$ ,  $c_4$  is adjacent to  $c_2$ . Then G contains a cycle  $c_1, c_1, c_9, c_3, c_2, c_4, c_5, c_6, z, y, x, c_1$  with length 11, a contradiction. For  $|V(P_C)| \leq 2$ , it implies that there exists at least one isolated vertex in  $G-V(C)$ . Assert that any isolated vertex of  $G - V(C)$  has degree 4. Otherwise, suppose  $d_{G-V(C)}(x) = 0$  and  $d(x) = 5$ . Then  $N(x) = \{c_1, c_3, c_5, c_7, c_9\}$  or  $\{c_2, c_4, c_6, c_8, c_{10}\}.$  We show that the first case, the second can be proved by same method. Note the subgraph induced by  $\{c_9, c_{10}, c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4, c_5, c_6, c_7, x\}$ contains a tree with leaf number 7. By Lemma 14,  $n \leq 10 + 2 = 12 < 13$ , a contradiction. Let  $V(G) \setminus V(C) = \{x, y, z\}$  and  $d_{G-V(C)}(x) = 0$ . Then, by Lemma 14,  $N(y) \cap N(x) = \emptyset$  and  $N(z) \cap N(x) = \emptyset$ . By Lemma 17 (1) and (2),  $|V(P_C)| > 1$  since  $\delta = 4$ . Hence  $|V(P_C)| = 2$  and y is adjacent to z. Since  $d(x) = 4$ , then the neighbors of x divide C into four parts. The lengths of the four parts of C are  $2,2,2,4$  or  $2,2,3,3$  or  $2,3,2,3$  (see Fig.8). By Lemma 17 (1) and (2), it is easy to show that in each case there is a contradiction, so we omit it.



Fig. 8. The subgraph of G when  $k=10$  and  $|V(P_C)|=2$ 

For  $k = 11$ ,  $|V(P_C)| \leq 2$ . Let  $x, y \in V(G) \setminus V(P)$ . Since  $n = 13$ , by Lemma 14,  $d(x) = d(y) = 4$ . For  $|V(P_C)| = 2$ ,  $G[V(G) \setminus V(C)] = K_2$ . Note that the neighbors of x in  $V(C)$  divide C into three parts. Then we have five cases and the lengths of the three parts of C are  $2,2,7$  or  $2,3,6$  or  $2,4,5$  or  $3,3,5$  or  $3,4,4$ . The proof methods for the first three cases are similar. Since C is a longest cycle in G, one can easy show that  $d(y) \leq 3 < 4$ , a contradiction. The proofs for the latter two cases are similar, so we only give the proof for one of them here. Without loss of generality, suppose  $N(x) = \{c_1, c_4, c_7\}$ . Consider the vertex c<sub>3</sub>. We assert that  $N(c_3) \cap N(x) = \{c_4\}$ . Otherwise, if c<sub>3</sub> is adjacent to c<sub>1</sub>, the subgraph induced by  $\{c_{11}, c_1, c_2, c_3, x, y, c_4, c_6, c_7, c_8\}$  contains a tree with leaf number 7 and hence by Lemma 14,  $n \leq 12 < 13$ , a contradiction. Similarly, we can show that  $c_3$  is not adjacent to  $c_7$ . If  $c_3$  is adjacent to  $c_5$ , G contains a cycle  $c_4$ ,  $c_3$ ,  $c_5$ ,  $c_6$ ,  $c_7$ ,  $c_8$ ,  $c_9$ ,  $c_{10}$ ,  $c_{11}$ ,  $c_1$ ,  $x$ ,  $y$ ,  $c_4$  of length 12, contradicting  $k = 11$ . Hence  $N(c_3) \cap \{x, y, c_1, c_5, c_7\} = \emptyset$ . Note that the subgraph induced by  $N[c_3] \cup \{x, y, c_1, c_5, c_7\}$  contains a tree with leaf number 7, since  $d(c_3) \geq 4$ . Then, by Lemma 14,  $n \leq 12 < 13$ , a contradiction. For  $|V(P_C)| = 1$ ,  $G[V(G) \setminus V(C)] = 2K_1$ . Recall that  $d(x) = d(y) = 4$ . We assert that  $N(x) \cap N(y) = \emptyset$ . Otherwise, by Lemma 14, we will have  $n < 13$ . Note that the neighbors of x in  $V(C)$  divide C into four parts and hence we have four cases. The lengths of each parts of C are  $2,2,2,5$  or  $2,2,3,4$  or  $2,3,2,4$  or 2,3,3,3. Similarly, by Lemma 17 (1) and (2), one can easy show that in each case there is a contradiction. Then Case 2 is proven.

Case 3.  $\delta = 5$ 

Note that  $n = 16$ . By Lemma 16 (2),  $L(G) \geq \frac{n}{2} + 2 = 10$ , contradicting  $L(G) \leq 2\delta - 1$ . So Case 3 is proven.

For the sharpness, consider the following graph. The graph  $G_1$  of order n is formed by taking the cycle  $C_{n-1} = v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{n-2}, v_{n-1}, v_1$  and add one vertex  $v_n$  together with edges  $v_1v_n, v_3v_n$ . Note that  $\delta(G_1) = 2$  and  $L(G_1) = 3$ . Then  $G_1$  satisfying  $L(G_1) \leq 2\delta(G_1) - 1$ and  $c(G_1) = n - 1$ .

The condition  $L(G) \leq 2\delta(G) - 1$  cannot be relaxed. The graph  $G_2$  with order  $n \geq 8$ is formed by taking the cycle  $C_{n-2} = v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{n-2}, v_1$  and add two vertices  $v_{n-1}$  and  $v_n$ together with edges  $v_1v_{n-1}$ ,  $v_3v_{n-1}$ ,  $v_{n-5}v_n$ ,  $v_{n-3}v_n$ . Clearly,  $\delta(G_2) = 2$  and  $L(G_2) = 4$ . Then  $G_2$  satisfying  $L(G_2) \leq 2\delta(G_2)$  but  $c(G_2) = n-2$ . This completes the proof of Theorem  $\Box$ 4.

Acknowledgement This research was supported Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai Municipality (STCSM) grant 18dz2271000.

# References

- [1] J.A. Bondy, U.S.R. Murty, Graph Theory, in: GTM, vol. 244, Springer, 2008.
- [2] V. Chv´atal, P. Erd˝os, A note on Hamiltonian circuits. Discrete Math. 2 (1972), 111–113.
- [3] E. DeLaViña, Written on the Wall II (Conjectures of Graffiti.pc). http://cms.dt.uh.edu/faculty/delavinae/research/wowII/.
- [4] G. A. Dirac, Some theorems on abstract graphs, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 2 (1952), 69–81.
- [5] H. Enomoto, J. van den Heuvel, A. Kaneko, A. Saito, Relative length of long paths and cycles in graphs with large degree sums, J. Graph Theory 20 (1995), no. 2, 213–225.
- [6] J.R. Griggs, M. Wu, Spanning trees in graphs of minimum degree 4 or 5. Discrete Math. 104 (1992), no. 2, 167–183.
- [7] F. Hilbig, Kantenstruckturen in Nichthamiltonschen Graphen (PHD Thesis). Technische Universitat (1986).
- [8] S.C. Locke, Relative lengths of paths and cycles in k-connected graphs, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 32 (1982), no. 2, 206–222.
- [9] P. Mafuta, Leaf number and Hamiltonian  $C_4$ -free graphs, Afr. Mat. 28 (2017), no. 7-8, 1067–1074.
- [10] P. Mafuta, S. Mukwembi, On minimum degree, leaf number, traceability and Hamiltonicity in graphs, Discrete Appl. Math. 221 (2017), 89–94.
- [11] P. Mafuta, S. Mukwembi, S. Munyira, Spanning paths in graphs, Discrete Appl. Math. 255 (2019), 278–282.
- [12] P. Mafuta, S. Mukwembi, S. Munyira, T. Vetrík, Hamiltonicity, minimum degree and leaf number, Acta Math. Hungar. 152 (2017), no. 1, 217–226.
- [13] P. Mafuta, J. Mushanyu, Spanning paths and cycles in triangle-free graphs, Quaest. Math. 43 (2020), no. 12, 1737–1747.
- [14] S. Mukwembi, Minimum degree, leaf number and Hamiltonicity, Amer. Math. Monthly 120 (2) (2013) 115.
- [15] S. Mukwembi, Minimum degree, leaf number and traceability, Czechoslovak Math. J. 63(138) (2013), no. 2, 539–545.
- [16] S. Mukwembi, On spanning cycles, paths and trees, Discrete Appl. Math. 161 (2013), no. 13-14, 2217–2222.
- [17] K. Ozeki, T. Yamashita, Length of longest cycles in a graph whose relative length is at least two, Graphs Combin. 28 (2012), no. 6, 859–868.
- [18] D. Paulusma, K. Yoshimoto, Relative length of longest paths and longest cycles in triangle-free graphs, Discrete Math. 308 (2008), no. 7, 1222–1229.
- [19] D.B. West, Introduction to Graph Theory, Prentice Hall, Inc.,Upper Saddle River, NJ, (1996).