### SELF-SIMILAR SOLUTION FOR HARDY OPERATOR

### KRZYSZTOF BOGDAN, TOMASZ JAKUBOWSKI, PANKI KIM, AND DOMINIKA PILARCZYK

Abstract. We describe the large-time asymptotics of solutions to the heat equation for the fractional Laplacian with added subcritical or even critical Hardy-type potential. The asymptotics is governed by a self-similar solution of the equation, obtained as a normalized limit at the origin of the kernel of the corresponding Feynman-Kac semigroup.

### <span id="page-0-1"></span>1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Main results and structure of the paper. Let  $d \in \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, ...\}$ ,  $\alpha \in (0, 2)$  and  $\alpha < d$ . We consider the semigroup  $\tilde{P}_t$ ,  $t > 0$ , of the following Hardy operator on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$
\Delta^{\alpha/2} + \kappa |x|^{-\alpha}.
$$

We call  $\kappa$ , and  $\Delta^{\alpha/2} + \kappa |x|^{-\alpha}$ , subcritical if  $\kappa < \kappa^*$ , critical if  $\kappa = \kappa^*$  and supercritical if  $\kappa > \kappa^*$ . Here  $\Delta^{\alpha/2} := -(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}$  is the fractional Laplacian,

<span id="page-0-3"></span>
$$
\kappa^* := \frac{2^{\alpha} \Gamma((d+\alpha)/4)^2}{\Gamma((d-\alpha)/4)^2},
$$

and  $\Gamma(t) = \int_0^\infty y^{t-1} e^{-y} dy$  is the Gamma function. It is well known that  $\kappa^*$  is the best constant in the Hardy inequality for the quadratic form of  $\Delta^{\alpha/2}$ , see Herbst [\[20,](#page-25-0) Theorem 2.5], Beckner [\[2,](#page-24-0) Theorem 2] or Yafaev [\[44,](#page-25-1) (1.1)]; see also Frank and Seiringer [\[15,](#page-24-1) Theorem 1.1] and Bogdan, Dyda and Kim [\[5,](#page-24-2) Proposition 5]. Following [5, Section 4], for  $\beta \in [0, d - \alpha]$  we let

(1.2) 
$$
\kappa_{\beta} = \frac{2^{\alpha} \Gamma((\beta + \alpha)/2) \Gamma((d - \beta)/2)}{\Gamma(\beta/2) \Gamma((d - \beta - \alpha)/2)},
$$

where  $\kappa_0 = \kappa_{d-\alpha} = 0$ , according to the convention  $1/\Gamma(0) = 0$ . The function  $\beta \mapsto \kappa_\beta$  is increasing on  $[0,(d-\alpha)/2]$ , decreasing on  $[(d-\alpha)/2,d-\alpha]$ , and  $\kappa_{\beta} = \kappa_{d-\alpha-\beta}$ , see [\[5,](#page-24-2) Proof of Proposition 5. The maximal or *critical* value of  $\kappa_{\beta}$  is, therefore,  $\kappa_{(d-\alpha)/2} = \kappa^*$ , and for each  $\kappa \in [0,\kappa^*]$  there is a unique number  $\delta$  such that

<span id="page-0-0"></span>(1.3) 
$$
0 \le \delta \le (d - \alpha)/2 \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa = \kappa_{\delta} = \frac{2^{\alpha} \Gamma((\delta + \alpha)/2) \Gamma((d - \delta)/2)}{\Gamma(\delta/2) \Gamma((d - \delta - \alpha)/2)}.
$$

In what follows,  $\delta$  and  $\kappa$  shall satisfy [\(1.3\)](#page-0-0). We let  $h(x) = h_{\delta}(x) := |x|^{-\delta}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . By Bogdan, Grzywny, Jakubowski and Pilarczyk [\[6\]](#page-24-3), the Schrödinger operator [\(1.1\)](#page-0-1) has heat kernel  $\tilde{p}$  with singularity at the origin in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and sharp explicit estimates (given by  $(2.12)$  below). The first main result of the present paper is a description of the limiting behavior of  $\tilde{p}$ , as follows.

<span id="page-0-2"></span>**Theorem 1.1.** The limit 
$$
\Psi_t(x) := \lim_{y \to 0} \frac{\tilde{p}(t, x, y)}{h(y)}
$$
 exists whenever  $0 \le \delta \le \frac{d-\alpha}{2}$ ,  $t > 0$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

Date: April 28, 2023.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 47D08, 31C05; Secondary 60J35, 35R11.

Key words and phrases. fractional Laplacian, Hardy potential, self-similar solution.

The first author was supported by grant 2017/27/B/ST1/01339 of National Science Centre, Poland. The second author was supported by grant 2015/18/E/ST1/00239 of National Science Centre, Poland. The third author was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF), grant funded by the Korea government (MSIP) No. NRF-2021R1A4A1027378.

The proof of Theorem [1.1](#page-0-2) is given in Section [3.](#page-6-0) The function  $\Psi_t(x)$  is a self-similar semigroup solution of the heat equation for the Hardy operator, as we assert in [\(5.2\)](#page-16-0) and [\(5.3\)](#page-16-1) below. It has an important application to large-time asymptotics of the semigroup  $\tilde{P}_t$ , which we now present. To this end we consider Doob–conditioned and weighted  $L<sup>q</sup>$  spaces. Let

 $H = \max\{1, h\}.$ 

As usual,  $L^1 = L^1(\mathbb{R}^d, dx)$ ,  $L^1(H) = L^1(\mathbb{R}^d, H(x) dx)$ , etc. We have  $L^1(H) = \{f/H : f \in$  $L^1$ } =  $L^1(h) \cap L^1$ . We then define, for  $1 \le q < \infty$ ,

<span id="page-1-1"></span>(1.4) 
$$
||f||_{q,h} := ||f/h||_{L^q(h^2)} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)|^q h^{2-q}(x) dx\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} = ||f||_{L^q(h^{2-q})},
$$

and, for  $q = \infty$ ,

<span id="page-1-3"></span>
$$
||f||_{\infty,h} := \operatorname{ess} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)|/h(x).
$$

Of course,  $||f||_{2,h} = ||f||_2$  and  $||f||_{1,h} = ||f||_{L^1(h)}$ . For  $f \in L^1(H)$  we let

(1.5) 
$$
\tilde{P}_t f(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{p}(t, x, y) f(y) \, dy, \quad t > 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}.
$$

Our second main result is the following large-time asymptotics for  $\tilde{P}_t$ .

<span id="page-1-0"></span>**Theorem 1.2.** If 
$$
f \in L^1(H)
$$
,  $A = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)h(x) dx$ ,  $u(t, x) = \tilde{P}_t f(x)$ , and  $q \in [1, \infty)$ , then

<span id="page-1-4"></span>(1.6) 
$$
\lim_{t \to \infty} t^{\frac{d-2\delta}{\alpha}(1-\frac{1}{q})} \|u(t,\cdot) - A\Psi_t\|_{q,h} = 0.
$$

The structure of the paper is as follows. The proof of Theorem [1.2](#page-1-0) is given at the end of Section [5,](#page-15-0) where we also show that the result is optimal. In Section [3](#page-6-0) we state and prove Theorem [3.1,](#page-6-1) of which Theorem [1.1](#page-0-2) is a direct consequence. In Section [4](#page-12-0) we discuss the Feynman-Kac semigroup  $\tilde{P}_t$  from the point of view of functional analysis, in particular we prove hypercontractivity of the semigroup in Theorem [4.6,](#page-13-0) which is then used in Section [5.](#page-15-0) The last main result of the paper, Theorem [6.4](#page-23-0) in Section [6,](#page-21-0) gives an explicit formula for the potential  $\int_0^\infty \Psi_t(x) dt$  of the self-similar solution. Notably, Theorem [6.4](#page-23-0) and Corollary [6.3](#page-22-0) further the integral analysis which is the foundation of [\[6\]](#page-24-3). They were inspired by one of our earlier attempts to prove Theorem [1.1](#page-0-2) and are particularly interesting for  $\kappa = \kappa^*$ , see [\(6.3\)](#page-22-1).

<span id="page-1-2"></span>1.2. Motivation and methods. The classical result of Baras and Goldstein [\[1\]](#page-24-4) asserts the existence of nontrivial nonnegative solutions of the heat equation  $\partial_t = \Delta + \kappa |x|^{-2}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ for (subcritical)  $\kappa \in [0, (d-2)^2/4]$ , and non-existence of such solutions for (supercritical)  $\kappa > (d-2)^2/4$ . Later on, the upper and lower bounds for the heat kernel of the subcritical Hardy operator  $\Delta + \kappa |\mathbf{x}|^{-2}$  were obtained by Liskevich and Sobol [\[31\]](#page-25-2), Milman and Semenov [\[32,](#page-25-3) [33\]](#page-25-4), Moschini and Tesei [\[34\]](#page-25-5), Filippas, Moschini and Tertikas [\[13\]](#page-24-5).

The classical Hardy operator  $\Delta + \kappa |x|^{-2}$  plays a distinctive role in limiting and self-similar phenomena in probability [\[36\]](#page-25-6) and partial differential equations [\[37\]](#page-25-7). This is related to the scaling of the corresponding heat kernel, which is the same as for the Gauss-Weierstrass kernel, and to the asymptotics at the origin in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , which is very different. Such applications motivate our work on the Hardy perturbation of the fractional Laplacian. In fact the paper [\[6\]](#page-24-3) was a preparation for the present work, which now comes to fruition.

The strategy of the proof of Theorem [1.1](#page-0-2) is to prove and use the existence of a stationary density of a corresponding Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup. Then the large-time asymptotics of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup yields the asymptotics of  $\tilde{p}$  at the origin. To the best of our knowledge the approach is new and should apply to other heat kernels with scaling.

Let us also comment on Theorem [1.2.](#page-1-0) We start by recalling the initial value problem for the classical heat equation,

<span id="page-2-0"></span>(1.7) 
$$
\begin{cases} \partial_t u(x,t) = \Delta u(x,t), & x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad t > 0, \\ u(x,0) = f(x). \end{cases}
$$

For  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  the following is asymptotics is well-known:

<span id="page-2-1"></span>(1.8) 
$$
\lim_{t \to \infty} t^{\frac{d}{2}(1-\frac{1}{p})} \|u(t,\cdot) - Mg_t\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} = 0,
$$

see, e.g., Giga, Giga and Saal [\[16,](#page-24-6) Theorem in Sect. 1.1.4] or Duoandikoetxea and Zuazua [\[12\]](#page-24-7)). Here  $p \in [1,\infty]$ ,  $M := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) dx$ ,  $u(t,x) = g_t * f(x)$  is the semigroup solution of [\(1.7\)](#page-2-0), and  $g_t(x) = (4\pi t)^{-d/2} \exp(-|x|^2/(4t))$  is the Gauss-Weierstrass kernel. Of course, we have *scaling*:  $g_t(x) = t^{-d/2} g_1(t^{-1/2}x)$ , that is, the function is *self-similar*. The function also satisfies the first equation in  $(1.7)$ . We can consider  $(1.8)$  as a statement about the universality of the self-similar solution  $q_t(x)$  for the large-time behavior of all solutions to [\(1.7\)](#page-2-0).

<span id="page-2-3"></span>Theorem [1.2](#page-1-0) gives an analogous result for  $u(t, x) = \tilde{P}_t f(x)$  and the initial value problem

(1.9) 
$$
\begin{cases} \partial_t u(x,t) = (\Delta^{\alpha/2} + \kappa |x|^{-\alpha}) u(x,t), & x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad t > 0, \\ u(x,0) = f(x). \end{cases}
$$

for  $\kappa$  satisfying [\(1.3\)](#page-0-0) and  $f \in L^1(H)$ . The use of h is novel in this setting – this and connections to the classical literature will be discussed in more detail in Section [5.](#page-15-0)

1.3. General conventions. We tend to use ":=" to indicate definitions, e.g.,  $a \wedge b :=$  $\min\{a, b\}$  and  $a \vee b := \max\{a, b\}$ ,  $a_+ := a \vee 0$  and  $a_- := (-a) \vee 0$ . Throughout, we only consider Borel measurable functions and Borel measures. As usual, integrals are considered well-defined when the integrands are nonnegative or absolutely integrable with respect to a given measure. In the case of integral kernels, the corresponding integrals should at least be well-defined pointwise almost everywhere  $(a.e.).$  For  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $r > 0$  we define  $B(x,r) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d : |y-x| < r\}$ , the ball with center at x and radius r. We write  $f \approx g$ , which we call *approximation* or *comparison*, and say f and g are *comparable*, if f, g are nonnegative functions,  $c^{-1}g \leq f \leq cg$  with some constant c, that is a number in  $(0, \infty)$ . The values of constants may change without notice from line to line in a chain of estimates. Of course, we shall also use constants in inequalities (one-sided comparisons of functions), e.g.,  $f \le cg$ . We occasionally write  $c = c(a, \ldots, z)$  to assert that the constant c may be so selected as to depend only on  $a, \ldots, z$ . As usual, for  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ,  $L^p := L^p(\mathbb{R}^d, dx)$ , with norm  $\|\cdot\|_p$ , and  $L^p(g) := L^p(\mathbb{R}^d, g \, dx)$  with norm  $||f||_{L^p(g)}$  and nonnegative (weight) function g.

Acknowledgements. We thank Tomasz Grzywny, Piotr Knosalla, Tomasz Komorowski, Alex Kulik, Markus Kunze, Lukasz Le˙zaj, Grzegorz Serafin, Lukasz Stettner, and Tomasz Szarek for helpful discussions, comments and references. We particularly thank Tomasz Komorowski for much advice on the proof of Theorem [3.2](#page-7-0) and Alex Kulik for discussions on [\(3.13\)](#page-8-0).

#### 2. Preliminaries

### 2.1. Fractional Laplacian. Let

<span id="page-2-2"></span>
$$
\nu(y) = \frac{\alpha 2^{\alpha - 1} \Gamma((d + \alpha)/2)}{\pi^{d/2} \Gamma(1 - \alpha/2)} |y|^{-d - \alpha}, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^d.
$$

The coefficient is so chosen that

(2.1) 
$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left[1 - \cos(\xi \cdot y)\right] \nu(y) \, \mathrm{d}y = |\xi|^\alpha, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d,
$$

see, e.g., Bogdan, Byczkowski, Kulczycki, Ryznar, Song, and Vondraček [\[4,](#page-24-8) (1.28)]. The fractional Laplacian for (smooth compactly supported) *test functions*  $\varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is

$$
\Delta^{\alpha/2}\varphi(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \int_{|y| > \varepsilon} \left[ \varphi(x+y) - \varphi(x) \right] \nu(y) \, dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.
$$

Many authors use the notation  $-(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}$  for the operator. In terms of the Fourier transform,  $\Delta^{\alpha/2}\varphi(\xi) = -|\xi|^{\alpha}\widehat{\varphi}(\xi)$ , see, e.g., [\[4,](#page-24-8) Section 1.1.2] or [\[26\]](#page-25-8).

2.2. The semigroup of  $\Delta^{\alpha/2}$ . We consider the convolution semigroup of functions

<span id="page-3-0"></span>(2.2) 
$$
p_t(x) := (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-t|\xi|^\alpha} e^{-ix\cdot\xi} d\xi, \quad t > 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^d.
$$

According to  $(2.1)$  and the Lévy-Khinchine formula, each  $p_t$  is a radial probability density function and  $\nu(y)$  dy is the Lévy measure of the semigroup, see, e.g., [\[4\]](#page-24-8). From [\(2.2\)](#page-3-0) we have

(2.3) 
$$
p_t(x) = t^{-d/\alpha} p_1(t^{-1/\alpha}x).
$$

It is well-known that  $p_1(x) \approx 1 \wedge |x|^{-d-\alpha}$  (see [\[4\]](#page-24-8), Bogdan, Grzywny and Ryznar [\[7,](#page-24-9) remarks after Theorem 21] or [\[26\]](#page-25-8)), so

<span id="page-3-2"></span>(2.4) 
$$
p_t(x) \approx t^{-d/\alpha} \wedge \frac{t}{|x|^{d+\alpha}}, \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.
$$

Since  $\alpha < d$ , we get (the Riesz kernel)

(2.5) 
$$
\int_0^\infty p_t(x) dt = \mathcal{A}_{d,\alpha}|x|^{\alpha-d}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d,
$$

where

(2.6) 
$$
\mathcal{A}_{d,\alpha} = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{d-\alpha}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\alpha}{2})2^{\alpha}\pi^{d/2}},
$$

see, e.g., [\[4,](#page-24-8) Section 1.1.2]. We denote

$$
p(t, x, y) = p_t(y - x), \quad t > 0, \ x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d.
$$

<span id="page-3-1"></span> $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  a)

Clearly,  $p$  is symmetric:

<span id="page-3-3"></span>
$$
p(t, x, y) = p(t, y, x), \quad t > 0, \ x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d,
$$

and satisfies the Chapman-Kolmogorov equations:

(2.7) 
$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(s, x, y) p(t, y, z) dy = p(t + s, x, z), \quad x, z \in \mathbb{R}^d, s, t > 0.
$$

We denote, as usual,  $P_t g(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(t, x, y) g(y) dy$ . The fractional Laplacian extends to the generator of the semigroup  $\{P_t\}_{t>0}$  on many Banach spaces, see, e.g., [\[26\]](#page-25-8).

2.3. Schrödinger perturbation by Hardy potential. We recall elements of the integral analysis of [\[5\]](#page-24-2) and [\[6\]](#page-24-3), which was used to handle the heat kernel  $\tilde{p}$  of  $\Delta^{\alpha/2} + \kappa |x|^{-\alpha}$ . Thus,

$$
f_{\beta}(t) := c_{\beta}t_{+}^{(d-\alpha-\beta)/\alpha}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},
$$

for  $\beta \in (0, d)$ . The constant  $c_{\beta}$  is so chosen that

(2.8) 
$$
h_{\beta}(x) := \int_0^{\infty} f_{\beta}(t) p_t(x) dt = |x|^{-\beta}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.
$$

The existence of such  $c_{\beta} \in (0, \infty)$  follows from  $(2.3)$  and the estimate  $p_1(x) \approx 1 \wedge |x|^{-d-\alpha}$ . Of course,  $f'_{\beta}(t) = c_{\beta} \frac{d - \alpha - \beta}{\alpha}$  $\frac{\alpha-\beta}{\alpha}t^{(d-2\alpha-\beta)/\alpha}$ . Accordingly, for  $\beta\in(0,d-\alpha)$  we may define

$$
q_{\beta}(x) = \frac{1}{h_{\beta}(x)} \int_0^{\infty} f_{\beta}'(t) p_t(x) dt, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_0^d,
$$

where  $\mathbb{R}_0^d := \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ . By  $[5, (26)]^1$  $[5, (26)]^1$ ,

$$
q_{\beta}(x) = \kappa_{\beta}|x|^{-\alpha},
$$

<span id="page-4-4"></span>where  $\kappa_{\beta}$  is defined by [\(1.2\)](#page-0-3). In what follows we keep our notation from [\(1.3\)](#page-0-0), that is, we let (2.9)  $\delta \in [0, (d - \alpha)/2], \quad \kappa = \kappa_{\delta}, \quad h(x) = h_{\delta}(x) = |x|^{-\delta}, \quad q(x) = q_{\delta}(x) = \kappa |x|^{-\alpha}.$ To wit, the case of  $\delta \in (0, (d - \alpha)/2]$  is covered by the discussion of  $\beta$  above, and  $\delta = 0$  yields the trivial  $\kappa = 0$ ,  $q = 0$  and  $h = 1$ . We then define the Schrödinger perturbation of p by q:

(2.10) 
$$
\tilde{p} = \tilde{p}_{\delta} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n.
$$

Here for  $t > 0$  and  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$  we let  $p_0(t, x, y) = p(t, x, y)$  and then proceed by induction:

(2.11) 
$$
p_n(t, x, y) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(s, x, z) q(z) p_{n-1}(t - s, z, y) dz ds
$$
  
= 
$$
\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_{n-1}(s, x, z) q(z) p(t - s, z, y) dz ds, \quad n \ge 1.
$$

Of course,  $\tilde{p}_0 = p$ . By [\(2.4\)](#page-3-2), for  $t > 0$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$  we have

$$
p_1(t,0,y) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(s,0,z)q(z)p(t-s,z,y) dz ds
$$
  
 
$$
\geq c_{t,y} \int_0^{t/2} \int_{|z| < s^{1/\alpha}} s^{-d/\alpha} |z|^{-\alpha} dz ds = \infty.
$$

By symmetry,  $p_1(t, x, 0) = \infty$ , too, for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $t > 0$ , therefore  $\tilde{p}(t, x, y) = \infty$  if  $x = 0$ or  $y = 0$ . By [\[6,](#page-24-3) Theorem 1.1], the above discussion of  $x = 0$  and  $y = 0$  and the usual notational conventions we have for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $t > 0$ ,

<span id="page-4-0"></span>(2.12) 
$$
\tilde{p}(t,x,y) \approx \left(t^{-d/\alpha} \wedge \frac{t}{|x-y|^{d+\alpha}}\right) \left(1 + t^{\delta/\alpha}|x|^{-\delta}\right) \left(1 + t^{\delta/\alpha}|y|^{-\delta}\right).
$$

Clearly, if  $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \infty$ , then

(2.13) 
$$
\tilde{p}(s,x,y) \approx \tilde{p}(t,x,y), \quad x,y \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad t_1 \leq s, t \leq t_2
$$

(the comparability constant does depend on  $t_1$ ,  $t_2$  and  $d$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $\delta$ ). Recall that

(2.14) 
$$
H(x) = 1 \vee h(x) = 1 \vee |x|^{-\delta} \approx 1 + |x|^{-\delta}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.
$$

Thus, we can reformulate [\(2.12\)](#page-4-0) as follows,

<span id="page-4-6"></span>(2.15) 
$$
\tilde{p}(t,x,y) \approx p(t,x,y) H(t^{-1/\alpha}x) H(t^{-1/\alpha}y), \qquad t > 0, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d.
$$

By [\[6\]](#page-24-3) and the above conventions,  $\tilde{p}$  is a symmetric time-homogeneous transition density on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , in particular the Chapman-Kolmogorov equations hold:

<span id="page-4-2"></span>(2.16) 
$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{p}(s, x, z) \tilde{p}(t, z, y) dz = \tilde{p}(t + s, x, y), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d, s, t > 0.
$$

The following Duhamel formulae hold for p and  $\tilde{p}$ ,

<span id="page-4-5"></span>(2.17) 
$$
\tilde{p}(t, x, y) = p(t, x, y) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(s, x, z) q(z) \tilde{p}(t - s, z, y) dz ds
$$
  
(2.18) 
$$
= p(t, x, y) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{p}(s, x, z) q(z) p(t - s, z, y) dz ds, \quad t > 0, x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d.
$$

<span id="page-4-3"></span>In passing we refer to Bogdan, Hansen and Jakubowski [\[8\]](#page-24-10) and Bogdan, Jakubowski and Sydor [\[9\]](#page-24-11) for a general setting of Schrödinger perturbations of transition semigroups and other families of integral kernels.

<span id="page-4-1"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The exponent  $(d - \alpha - \beta)/\alpha$  in the definition of f is denoted  $\beta$  in [\[5,](#page-24-2) Corollary 6].

The function  $\tilde{p}$  is self-similar, i.e., has the following scaling [\[6,](#page-24-3) Lemma 2.2]:

(2.19) 
$$
\tilde{p}(t,x,y) = t^{-d/\alpha} \tilde{p}(1, t^{-1/\alpha} x, t^{-1/\alpha} y), \qquad t > 0, \ x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d.
$$

This is the same scaling as for p. Furthermore, if T is a (linear) isometry of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , then for all  $t > 0, x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$  we have  $p(t, Tx, Ty) = p_t(T(y - x)) = p_t(y - x) = p(t, x, y)$ , because  $p_t$  is radial. Of course, q is radial, too. By the change of variables  $z = Tv$  and induction,

<span id="page-5-2"></span>
$$
p_n(t, Tx, Ty) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(s, Tx, z) q(z) p_{n-1}(t - s, z, Ty) dz ds
$$
  
= 
$$
\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(s, Tx, Tv) q(Tv) p_{n-1}(t - s, Tv, Ty) dv ds
$$
  
= 
$$
\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(s, x, v) q(v) p_{n-1}(t - s, v, y) dv ds = p_n(t, x, y), \quad n \ge 1.
$$

Therefore,

<span id="page-5-3"></span>(2.20) 
$$
\tilde{p}(t, Tx, Ty) = \tilde{p}(t, x, y), \qquad t > 0, \ x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d.
$$

2.4. Doob's conditioning. Recall that  $\delta \in [0, (d-\alpha)/2]$  and  $\kappa = \kappa_{\delta}, h(x) = h_{\delta}(x) = |x|^{-\delta}$ ,  $\tilde{p}$  depends on  $\delta$ . By [\[6,](#page-24-3) Theorem 3.1] and the preceding discussions, the function h is *invariant* in the following sense:

<span id="page-5-0"></span>(2.21) 
$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{p}(t, x, y) h(y) dy = h(x), \qquad t > 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^d.
$$

We define the following Doob-conditioned (renormalized) kernel

<span id="page-5-7"></span>(2.22) 
$$
\rho_t(x,y) = \frac{\tilde{p}(t,x,y)}{h(x)h(y)}, \quad t > 0, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}_0^d
$$

(later on we shall extend  $\rho$  to  $(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ ). We consider the integral weight  $h^2(x)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Doob-type conditioning is also called Davies' method, see Murugan and Saloff-Coste [\[35\]](#page-25-9), and h is sometimes called desingularizing weight, see Milman and Norsemen [\[32\]](#page-25-3). By  $(2.21)$ ,

<span id="page-5-1"></span>(2.23) 
$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_t(x, y) h^2(y) dy = 1, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_0^d, \quad t > 0.
$$

By [\(2.7\)](#page-3-3),

(2.24) 
$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_s(x, y) \rho_t(y, z) h^2(y) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\tilde{p}(s, x, y)}{h(x)h(y)} \frac{\tilde{p}(t, y, z)}{h(y)h(z)} h^2(y) dy
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{h(x)h(z)} \tilde{p}(t + s, x, z) = \rho_{t+s}(x, z), \quad x, z \in \mathbb{R}_0^d, s, t > 0.
$$

<span id="page-5-5"></span>We see that  $\rho$  is a symmetric time-homogeneous transition probability density on  $\mathbb{R}^d_0$  with the reference measure  $h^2(y)$  dy. For nonnegative  $f \in L^1(h^2)$ , by Fubini-Tonelli and [\(2.23\)](#page-5-1),

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(y) \rho_t(x, y) h^2(y) \, dy \, h^2(x) \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(y) h^2(y) \, dy,
$$

so the operators

<span id="page-5-6"></span>
$$
\mathcal{R}_t f(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(y) \rho_t(x, y) h^2(y) dy, \quad t > 0,
$$

are contractions on  $L^1(h^2)$ . By [\(2.19\)](#page-5-2),  $\rho$  is self-similar: for  $t > 0$  and  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$  we have

<span id="page-5-4"></span>(2.25) 
$$
\rho_t(x,y) = \frac{t^{-d/\alpha} \tilde{p}(1,t^{-1/\alpha}x,t^{-1/\alpha}y)}{t^{-\delta/\alpha} h(t^{-1/\alpha}x)t^{-\delta/\alpha} h(t^{-1/\alpha}y)} = t^{\frac{2\delta - d}{\alpha}} \rho_1(t^{-1/\alpha}x,t^{-1/\alpha}y),
$$

hence

(2.26) 
$$
\rho_{st}(t^{1/\alpha}x, t^{1/\alpha}y) = t^{\frac{2\delta - d}{\alpha}}\rho_s(x, y), \quad s > 0.
$$

For each (linear) isometry T of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $h \circ T = h$ , thus by [\(2.20\)](#page-5-3) we get

<span id="page-6-10"></span>(2.27) 
$$
\rho_t(Tx,Ty) = \rho_t(t,x,y), \qquad t > 0, \ x, y \in \mathbb{R}_0^d.
$$

By [\(2.12\)](#page-4-0),

<span id="page-6-2"></span>(2.28) 
$$
\rho_1(x,y) \approx \left(1 \wedge |x-y|^{-d-\alpha}\right) \left(1+|x|^\delta\right) \left(1+|y|^\delta\right), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}_0^d.
$$

Notably,  $\rho_1$  is not bounded (consider large  $x = y$ ). By [\(2.25\)](#page-5-4),

<span id="page-6-11"></span>
$$
(2.29) \qquad \rho_t(x,y) \approx \left(t^{-d/\alpha} \wedge \frac{t}{|x-y|^{d+\alpha}}\right) \left(t^{\delta/\alpha} + |x|^{\delta}\right) \left(t^{\delta/\alpha} + |y|^{\delta}\right), \quad t > 0, \ x, y \in \mathbb{R}_0^d.
$$

We also note that if  $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \infty$ , then

<span id="page-6-9"></span>(2.30) 
$$
\rho_s(x,y) \approx \rho_t(x,y), \quad x,y \in \mathbb{R}_0^d, \quad t_1 \leq s, t \leq t_2
$$

(the comparability constant depends on  $t_1$ ,  $t_2$  and  $d$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $\delta$ ). By [\(2.28\)](#page-6-2), for every  $M \in (0,\infty)$ ,

(2.31) 
$$
\rho_1(x, y) \approx (1 + |y|)^{-d - \alpha + \delta}, \quad 0 < |x| \le M, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}_0^d.
$$

<span id="page-6-0"></span>Clearly, the right-hand side is integrable with respect to  $h^2(y) dy$  and bounded. Our aim is to prove the continuity of  $\rho_1$ , notably at  $x = 0$ .

# <span id="page-6-8"></span><span id="page-6-3"></span>3. Limiting behaviour at the origin

Here is a full-fledged variant of Theorem [1.1.](#page-0-2)

<span id="page-6-1"></span>**Theorem 3.1.** *The function*  $\rho$  *has a continuous extension to*  $(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$  *and* 

(3.1) 
$$
\rho_t(0, y) := \lim_{x \to 0} \rho_t(x, y), \quad t > 0, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}_0^d,
$$

*satisfies:*

<span id="page-6-5"></span>(3.2) 
$$
\rho_t(0, y) = t^{\frac{2\delta - d}{\alpha}} \rho_1(0, t^{-1/\alpha} y), \quad t > 0, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}_0^d,
$$

<span id="page-6-4"></span>(3.3) 
$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_t(0, y) \rho_s(y, z) h^2(y) dy = \rho_{t+s}(0, z), \quad s, t > 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{R}_0^d.
$$

The proof of Theorem [3.1](#page-6-1) is given below in this section. Let us explain the line of attack. Given [\(3.1\)](#page-6-3) and taking the limit in [\(2.24\)](#page-5-5) as  $x \to 0$ , we should get [\(3.3\)](#page-6-4). By [\(2.25\)](#page-5-4) we should then obtain [\(3.2\)](#page-6-5) and

<span id="page-6-6"></span>
$$
(3.4) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} t^{\frac{2\delta - d}{\alpha}} \rho_1(0, t^{-1/\alpha} y) \rho_s(y, z) h^2(y) \, dy = (t+s)^{\frac{2\delta - d}{\alpha}} \rho_1(0, (t+s)^{-1/\alpha} z), \quad s, t > 0, z \in \mathbb{R}^d_0.
$$

Changing variables  $u = (t + s)^{-1/\alpha} z$  and  $x = t^{-1/\alpha} y$ , we see that [\(3.4\)](#page-6-6) is equivalent to

(3.5) 
$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_1(0,x)(t+s)^{\frac{d-2\delta}{\alpha}} \rho_s(t^{1/\alpha}x,(t+s)^{1/\alpha}u)h^2(x) dx = \rho_1(0,u).
$$

By  $(2.26)$ , this is the same as

<span id="page-6-7"></span>(3.6) 
$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_{\frac{s}{s+t}} \left( (t/(s+t))^{1/\alpha} x, u \right) \rho_1(0, x) h^2(x) dx = \rho_1(0, u).
$$

In what follows we shall *define*  $\rho_1(0, \cdot)$  as a solution to the integral equation [\(3.6\)](#page-6-7) and then essentially reverse the above reasoning. Additionally, to simplify the notation and arguments we introduce an auxiliary Ornstein-Uhlenbeck-type semigroup.

# 3.1. Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup. For  $f \geq 0$  we let

(3.7) 
$$
L_t f(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} l_t(x, y) f(x) h^2(x) dx,
$$

where

(3.8) 
$$
l_t(x,y) = \rho_{1-e^{-t}}(e^{-t/\alpha}x,y), \qquad t > 0, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}_0^d.
$$

By [\(2.24\)](#page-5-5) and [\(2.26\)](#page-5-6),

<span id="page-7-1"></span>
$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} l_s(x, y) l_t(y, z) h^2(y) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_{1-e^{-s}}(e^{-s/\alpha}x, y) \rho_{1-e^{-t}}(e^{-t/\alpha}y, z) h^2(y) dy
$$
  
\n
$$
= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_{1-e^{-s}}(e^{-s/\alpha}x, y)(e^{-t})^{\frac{2\delta - d}{\alpha}} \rho_{e^t-1}(y, e^{t/\alpha}z) h^2(y) dy
$$
  
\n
$$
= (e^{-t})^{\frac{2\delta - d}{\alpha}} \rho_{e^t-e^{-s}}(e^{-s/\alpha}x, e^{t/\alpha}z) = \rho_{1-e^{-s-t}}(e^{-(s+t)/\alpha}x, z) = l_{t+s}(x, z).
$$

Thus  $l_t(x, y)$  is a transition density on  $\mathbb{R}_0^d$  with respect to the measure  $h^2(y) dy$ . By Fubini's theorem,  $\{L_t\}_{t>0}$  is a semigroup of operators on  $L^1(h^2)$ , an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck-type semigroup, see [\[39,](#page-25-10) solution to E 18.17 on p. 462–463]. It shall be a major technical tool in our development.

3.2. **Stationary density.** If  $\varphi \ge 0$  and  $\int \varphi(x)h^2(x) dx = 1$ , then we say that  $\varphi$  is a *density*. By Fubini-Tonelli Theorem and [\(2.23\)](#page-5-1), for  $t > 0$  and  $f \ge 0$ , we have

<span id="page-7-3"></span>(3.9) 
$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} L_t f(y) h^2(y) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) h^2(x) dx.
$$

Thus, the operators  $L_t$  preserve densities. So they are Markov, see Komorowski [\[22\]](#page-25-11), Lasota and Mackey [\[28\]](#page-25-12), Lasota and York [\[29\]](#page-25-13) for this setting.

We say that a density  $\varphi$  is *stationary* for  $L_t$  if  $L_t\varphi = \varphi$ .

<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Theorem 3.2.** *There is a unique stationary density*  $\varphi$  *for the operators*  $L_t$ ,  $t > 0$ .

*Proof.* Fix  $t > 0$  and let  $P = L_t$  so that  $P^k = L_{kt}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, ...$  By [\(3.8\)](#page-7-1) and [\(2.25\)](#page-5-4), for all  $f \geq 0$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

(3.10) 
$$
P^{k} f(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} f(y) \rho_{1-e^{-kt}}(e^{-kt/\alpha}y, u) h^{2}(y) dy
$$

$$
= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} f(y) (e^{-kt})^{\frac{2\delta - d}{\alpha}} \rho_{e^{kt} - 1}(y, e^{kt/\alpha}u) h^{2}(y) dy, \qquad u \in \mathbb{R}^{d}_{0}.
$$

Let  $B = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : 0 < |x| \leq 1\}$ . We write  $f \in F$  if

<span id="page-7-4"></span>(3.11) 
$$
f(y) = \int_B \rho_1(x, y) \mu(\mathrm{d}x)
$$

for some subprobability measure  $\mu$  concentrated on B. Then, by [\(2.24\)](#page-5-5) and [\(2.25\)](#page-5-4),

$$
P^{k} f(u) = \int_{B} (e^{-kt})^{\frac{2\delta - d}{\alpha}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \rho_{1}(x, y) \rho_{e^{kt} - 1}(y, e^{kt/\alpha} u) h^{2}(y) \, dy \mu(dx)
$$
  
\n
$$
= \int_{B} (e^{-kt})^{\frac{2\delta - d}{\alpha}} \rho_{e^{kt}}(x, e^{kt/\alpha} u) \mu(dx)
$$
  
\n
$$
= \int_{B} \rho_{1} (e^{-kt/\alpha} x, u) \mu(dx)
$$
  
\n(3.12)  
\n
$$
= \int_{B} \rho_{1}(x, u) \tilde{\mu}(dx) \approx (1 + |u|)^{-d - \alpha + \delta}, \qquad u \in \mathbb{R}_{0}^{d},
$$

<span id="page-7-2"></span>where  $\tilde{\mu}$  is a subprobability measure concentrated on  $e^{-kt/\alpha}B \subset B$ . Thus,  $P^kF \subset F$ . We note that the comparison  $(3.12)$  is independent of k, see  $(2.31)$ . We next argue that the hypotheses of [\[22,](#page-25-11) Theorem 3.1] hold true for P and F:  $(C1)$  – the lower bound, and  $(C2)$  – the uniform absolute continuity. Indeed, by [\(3.12\)](#page-7-2),

$$
\liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \int_B P^k f(u) h^2(u) \, \mathrm{d}u \approx \int_B (1+|u|)^{-d-\alpha+\delta} h^2(u) \, \mathrm{d}u > 0,
$$

which yields (C1) in [\[22\]](#page-25-11). Also, (C2) therein is satisfied because

$$
\int_A P^k f(y) h^2(y) dy \le C \int_A (1+|y|)^{-d-\alpha+\delta} h^2(y) dy \to 0,
$$

uniformly in k as  $\int_A h^2(y) dy \to 0$ , due to the integrability of  $(1 + |y|)^{-d-\alpha+2\delta}$ . By [\[22,](#page-25-11) Theorem 3.1, a density  $\varphi$  exists satisfying  $L_t\varphi = P\varphi = \varphi$ . Moreover, the density  $\varphi$  is unique. Indeed, if  $\psi$  is a probability density with respect to  $h^2(x) dx$  and  $P\psi = \psi$ , then  $r := \varphi - \psi$ satisfies  $Pr = r$ , too. If  $r = 0$  a.e., then we are done. Otherwise,  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} r_+(x)h^2(x) dx =$  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} r_-(x)h^2(x) dx > 0$ . Then, on the one hand  $|Pr| = |r|$ , on the other hand for a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$
Pr(x) = Pr_{+}(x) - Pr_{-}(x)
$$
  
=  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} r_{+}(y) l_t(y, x) h^{2}(y) dy - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} r_{-}(y) l_t(y, x) h^{2}(y) dy$ 

and both terms are nonzero, because  $l_t$  is positive. Therefore,

$$
|Pr(x)| < Pr_{+}(x) \lor Pr_{-}(x) \leq P|r|(x).
$$

By this and  $(3.9)$ ,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |r(x)| h^2(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |Pr(x)| h^2(x) \, \mathrm{d}x < \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} P|r|(x) h^2(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |r(x)| h^2(x) \, \mathrm{d}x.
$$

We obtain a contradiction, so  $r = 0$ , and  $\psi = \varphi$  in  $L^1(h^2)$ . In passing we note that the above argument is a part of the proof of Doob's theorem [\[10,](#page-24-12) Theorem 4.2.1].

Since the operators  $L_t$ ,  $t > 0$ , commute, they have the same stationary density, that is  $\varphi$ . Indeed, if  $s > 0$  and  $Q = L_s$ , then  $P(Q\varphi) = QP\varphi = (Q\varphi)$ , and  $Q\varphi$  is a density, so by the uniqueness,  $Q\varphi = \varphi$ .

In passing we like to refer the interested reader to additional literature on the existence and uniqueness of stationary densities and measures. The book of Foguel [\[14\]](#page-24-13) gives a concise introduction to ergodic theory of Markov processes, in particular to the  $L^1$  setting. Stationary measures and densities are discussed in Da Prato and Zabczyk [\[10,](#page-24-12) Remark 3.1.3], [\[29,](#page-25-13) Theorem 3.1], Lasota [\[27,](#page-25-14) Theorem 6.1], and Komorowski, Peszat, Szarek [\[23\]](#page-25-15). A nice presentation of asymptotic stability (and periodicity) of Markov operators is given by Komornik [\[21\]](#page-25-16), see also Lasota and Mackey [\[28,](#page-25-12) p. 373, 11.9.4], Komorowski and Tyrcha [\[24\]](#page-25-17), and Stettner [\[41\]](#page-25-18).

We note that the existence of a stationary density in our setting would also follow from the – perhaps more constructive – approach using the weak compactness of the set of functions given by [\(3.11\)](#page-7-4) and Schauder-Tychonoff fixed point theorem, see, e.g., Rudin [\[38,](#page-25-19) 5.28 Theorem], see also [\[38,](#page-25-19) Section 3.8], the Dunford-Pettis theorem in Voigt [\[43,](#page-25-20) Chapter 15] and [\(3.12\)](#page-7-2) above. We could also use the Krylov-Bogolioubov theorem, see, e.g., [\[28\]](#page-25-12) or the minicourse of Hairer [\[19\]](#page-24-14); and it is always worthy to check with Doob [\[11\]](#page-24-15), especially that his example (0.9) touches upon the classical Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup.

In what follows,  $\varphi$  denotes the stationary density for the operators  $L_t$ ,  $t > 0$  (in Lemma [3.5](#page-9-0) below we verify that  $\varphi$  can be defined pointwise so as to be continuous).

3.3. Asymptotic stability. The state space  $\mathbb{R}_0^d$  is a Polish space and  $l_t$  is positive. By Theorem [3.2](#page-7-0) and the results of Kulik and Scheutzov [\[25,](#page-25-21) Theorem 1 and Remark 2], for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}_0^d$  we get

<span id="page-8-0"></span>(3.13) 
$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |l_t(x, y) - \varphi(y)| h^2(y) dy \to 0 \text{ as } t \to \infty.
$$

This is the (large-time) asymptotic stability of the semigroup aforementioned in the title of this subsection. Let A be a bounded subset of  $\mathbb{R}_0^d$  and  $x, x_0 \in A$ . By Theorem [3.2](#page-7-0) and [\(2.28\)](#page-6-2),

<span id="page-9-1"></span>
$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |l_{1+t}(x,y) - \varphi(y)| h^2(y) \, dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} l_1(x,z) \left( l_t(z,y) - \varphi(y) \right) h^2(z) \, dz \right| h^2(y) \, dy
$$
\n
$$
\leq c \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} l_1(x_0,z) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |l_t(z,y) - \varphi(y)| h^2(y) \, dy \, h^2(z) \, dz.
$$

We have  $I_t(z) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |l_t(z, y) - \varphi(y)| h^2(y) dy \to 0$  as  $t \to \infty$ . Furthermore, for every  $z \in \mathbb{R}_0^d$ ,  $I_t(z) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (l_t(z, y) + \varphi(y)) h^2(y) dy = 2$ . Of course,  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} 2l_1(x_0, z) h^2(z) dz = 2 < \infty$ . By the dominated convergence theorem, the iterated integral in [\(3.14\)](#page-9-1) converges to 0, therefore the convergence in [\(3.13\)](#page-8-0) is uniform for  $x \in A$ . In terms of  $\rho$ , (3.13) reads as follows: uniformly in  $x \in A$ ,

<span id="page-9-2"></span>(3.15) 
$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \rho_{1-e^{-t}}(e^{-t/\alpha}x, y) - \varphi(y) \right| h^2(y) dy \to 0 \text{ as } t \to \infty.
$$

As a consequence we obtain the following spatial convergence in  $L^1(h^2)$ .

<span id="page-9-4"></span>**Lemma 3.3.** We have  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\rho_1(x, y) - \varphi(y)| h^2(y) dy \to 0$  as  $x \to 0$ .

*Proof.* It suffices to make cosmetic changes to [\(3.15\)](#page-9-2). Of course,  $e^{-t/\alpha}x \to 0$  and  $1 - e^{-t} \to 1$ as  $t \to \infty$ . By scaling,

$$
\rho_{1-e^{-t}}(e^{-t/\alpha}x,y) = (1 - e^{-t})^{(2\delta - d)/\alpha} \rho_1((e^t - 1)^{-1/\alpha}x, (1 - e^{-t})^{-1/\alpha}y),
$$

so

<span id="page-9-3"></span>(3.16) 
$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \rho_1 \left( (e^t - 1)^{-1/\alpha} x, (1 - e^{-t})^{-1/\alpha} y \right) - \varphi(y) \right| h^2(y) \, dy \to 0 \text{ as } t \to \infty.
$$

By the continuity of dilations on  $L^1(\mathrm{d}x)$ ,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \varphi \left( (1 - e^{-t})^{-1/\alpha} y \right) h^2(y) - \varphi(y) h^2(y) \right| \, \mathrm{d}y \to 0 \text{ as } t \to \infty.
$$

Using the triangle inequality and changing variables in [\(3.16\)](#page-9-3) we get

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \rho_1 \left( (e^t - 1)^{-1/\alpha} z, y \right) - \varphi(y) \right| h^2(y) \, dy \to 0 \text{ as } t \to \infty
$$

uniformly in  $z \in A$  for bounded  $A \subset \mathbb{R}_0^d$ . We take A as the unit sphere, for  $x \in \mathbb{R}_0^d$  we write  $x = (e^t - 1)^{-1/\alpha} z$ , where  $t = \ln(1 + |x|^{-\alpha})$  and  $z = x/|x| \in A$ , and we obtain the result.  $\square$ 

By Lemma [3.3](#page-9-4) and [\(2.31\)](#page-6-8) we obtain the following estimate.

<span id="page-9-5"></span>Corollary 3.4.  $\varphi(y) \approx (1+|y|)^{-d-\alpha+\delta}$  for almost all  $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

By the next result we may actually consider  $\varphi$  as defined pointwise.

<span id="page-9-0"></span>**Lemma 3.5.** *After modification on a set of Lebesgue measure zero,*  $\varphi$  *is a continuous radial function on*  $\mathbb{R}^d$  *and*  $\varphi(y) \approx (1 + |y|)^{-d - \alpha + \delta}$  *for all*  $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ *.* 

*Proof.* By Theorem [3.2,](#page-7-0)  $\varphi = L_1\varphi$  (a.e.), so it suffices to prove that  $L_1\varphi$  is a.e. equal to a continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . By [\(2.30\)](#page-6-9) and [\(2.31\)](#page-6-8),  $c(1+|y|)^{-d-\alpha+\delta}$  is an integrable majorant of  $l_1(x, y)$  for bounded x. Furthermore,  $\varphi$  is essentially bounded (a.e.), by Corollary [3.4.](#page-9-5) The function  $l_1(x, y)$  is continuous in  $y \in \mathbb{R}_0^d$  so, by the dominated convergence theorem,  $L_1\varphi(y)$ is continuous for  $y \in \mathbb{R}_0^d$ . It remains to prove the convergence of  $L_1\varphi(y)$  to a finite limit as

 $y \to 0$ . We let  $t > 0$  and  $y \to 0$ . By scaling, changing variables, the symmetry of  $\rho_1$  and Lemma [3.3,](#page-9-4)

$$
L_t \varphi(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_0^d} \rho_{1-e^{-t}} \left( e^{-t/\alpha} x, y \right) \varphi(x) h^2(x) dx
$$
  
\n
$$
= \int_{\mathbb{R}_0^d} e^{t(d-2\delta)/\alpha} \rho_1(z, (1 - e^{-t/\alpha})^{1/\alpha} y) \varphi \left( (e^t - 1)^{1/\alpha} z \right) h^2(z) dz
$$
  
\n
$$
\to \int_{\mathbb{R}_0^d} e^{t(d-2\delta)/\alpha} \varphi(z) \varphi \left( (e^t - 1)^{1/\alpha} z \right) h^2(z) dz
$$
  
\n(3.17)  
\n
$$
= \int_{\mathbb{R}_0^d} \varphi \left( (e^{-t})^{1/\alpha} x \right) \varphi \left( (1 - e^{-t})^{1/\alpha} x \right) h^2(x) dx < \infty.
$$

<span id="page-10-0"></span>Since  $-2d - 2\alpha + 2\delta < -d - 3\alpha < -d$ , the finiteness in [\(3.17\)](#page-10-0) follows from Corollary [3.4.](#page-9-5) This proves the continuity of the extension of  $L_1\varphi$  on the whole of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . The rest of the lemma follows from the continuity, Lemma [3.3](#page-9-4) and  $(2.27)$ , and from Corollary [3.4.](#page-9-5)

Needless to say, the continuous modification of  $\varphi$  is unique and pointwise defined for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . From now on the extension shall be denoted by  $\varphi$ . By the equality in [\(3.17\)](#page-10-0),

(3.18) 
$$
\varphi(0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_0^d} \varphi(\lambda^{1/\alpha} x) \varphi((1-\lambda)^{1/\alpha} x) h^2(x) dx
$$

for every  $\lambda \in [0,1]$ , including the endpoint cases, since  $\varphi$  is a density. In particular,

(3.19) 
$$
\varphi(0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(2^{-1/\alpha} x)^2 h^2(x) dx.
$$

3.4. **Regularization of**  $\rho$ . We are now in a position to prove convergence of  $\rho_1(x, y)$  as  $x \to 0$ to a finite limit. By scaling, Chapman-Kolmogorov, Lemma [3.3](#page-9-4) and the boundedness of  $\varphi$ , for  $y \in \mathbb{R}_0^d$  and  $\mathbb{R}_0^d \ni x \to 0$  we get

$$
\rho_1(x, y) = 2^{\frac{d-2\delta}{\alpha}} \rho_2(2^{1/\alpha} x, 2^{1/\alpha} y)
$$
  
=  $2^{\frac{d-2\delta}{\alpha}} \int \rho_1(2^{1/\alpha} x, z) \rho_1(z, 2^{1/\alpha} y) h^2(z) dz$   
 $\to 2^{\frac{d-2\delta}{\alpha}} \int \varphi(z) \rho_1(z, 2^{1/\alpha} y) h^2(z) dz$   
=  $\int \varphi(z) \rho_{1/2}(2^{-1/\alpha} z, y) h^2(z) dz = L_{\ln 2} \varphi(y) = \varphi(y).$ 

Thus, for every  $y \neq 0$ ,

(3.20) 
$$
\rho_1(0, y) := \lim_{x \to 0} \rho_1(x, y) = \varphi(y).
$$

By scaling, for all  $t > 0$  we get

<span id="page-10-2"></span>(3.21) 
$$
\rho_t(0,y) := \lim_{x \to 0} \rho_t(x,y) = t^{\frac{2\delta - d}{\alpha}} \rho_1\left(0, t^{-1/\alpha}y\right) = t^{\frac{2\delta - d}{\alpha}} \varphi\left(t^{-1/\alpha}y\right).
$$

By  $(2.23)$ , for  $x \neq 0$  we have

<span id="page-10-3"></span><span id="page-10-1"></span>
$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_1(x, y)|y|^{-2\delta} \, \mathrm{d}y = 1.
$$

By [\(2.31\)](#page-6-8) and [\(3.20\)](#page-10-1),

(3.22) 
$$
\rho_1(x,y)|y|^{-2\delta} \approx (1+|y|)^{-d-\alpha+\delta}|y|^{-2\delta}, \quad |x| < 1, \ y \neq 0.
$$

Thus, applying [\(3.20\)](#page-10-1) and the dominated convergence theorem, we get

(3.23) 
$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_t(0, y) h^2(y) dy = 1, \quad t > 0.
$$

By the symmetry of  $\rho_t$  and [\(3.21\)](#page-10-2), for all  $t > 0$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}_0^d$  we also have

(3.24) 
$$
\rho_t(x,0) := \lim_{y \to 0} \rho_t(x,y) = \rho_t(0,x) = t^{\frac{2\delta - d}{\alpha}} \rho_1 \left( t^{-1/\alpha} x, 0 \right) = t^{\frac{2\delta - d}{\alpha}} \varphi \left( t^{-1/\alpha} x \right).
$$

<span id="page-11-3"></span>**Lemma 3.6.** The function  $\rho$  has a unique continuous positive extension to  $(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ .

*Proof.* By Chapman-Kolmogorov and the symmetry of  $\rho_1$ , for  $x, y \neq 0$ , we have

<span id="page-11-2"></span>(3.25) 
$$
\rho_1(x,y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_{1/2}(z,x) \rho_{1/2}(z,y) \, \mathrm{d}z.
$$

Recall that  $\rho_1$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}_0^d \times \mathbb{R}_0^d$ . This and  $(3.21)$  yield for all  $z \in \mathbb{R}_0^d$  that  $\rho_{1/2}(z, x) \to$  $\rho_{1/2}(z,x_0)$  and  $\rho_{1/2}(z,y) \to \rho_{1/2}(z,y_0)$  if  $x \to x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $y \to y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , hence

<span id="page-11-1"></span>(3.26) 
$$
\rho_1(x,y) \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_{1/2}(z,x_0) \rho_{1/2}(z,y_0) \, \mathrm{d}z,
$$

by the dominated convergence theorem, since for bounded  $x, y \neq 0$ , by and [\(2.30\)](#page-6-9) and [\(2.31\)](#page-6-8),

<span id="page-11-0"></span>(3.27) 
$$
\rho_{1/2}(z,x)\rho_{1/2}(z,y) \approx (1+|z|)^{-2d-2\alpha+2\delta}.
$$

The latter function is integrable because  $-2d-2\alpha+2\delta < -d-3\alpha < -d$ . Furthermore, [\(3.27\)](#page-11-0) implies that the limit in [\(3.26\)](#page-11-1) is positive and, by [\(3.25\)](#page-11-2), it is an extension of  $\rho_1$  to  $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ , which we shall denote by  $\rho_1$  again. In view of [\(2.25\)](#page-5-4),

<span id="page-11-4"></span>(3.28) 
$$
t^{\frac{2\delta-d}{\alpha}}\rho_1(t^{-1/\alpha}x,t^{-1/\alpha}y), \quad x,y \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad t > 0,
$$

is finite and defines a continuous extension of  $\rho_t$  for each  $t > 0$ . The extension is clearly positive and jointly continuous in t, x, y. It is also unique, since  $(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}_0^d \times \mathbb{R}_0^d$  is dense in  $(0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d$ . The contract of  $\Box$ 

We recall that the boundedness of  $\rho_1$  near the origin readily follows from [\(2.28\)](#page-6-2). On the other hand the continuity turned out difficult for us to capture directly or indirectly. For instance we considered this connection in [\[3\]](#page-24-16). The proof presented above seems to be underpinned by self-regularization of functions satisfying (implicit) integral equations.

In what follows,  $\rho$  will denote the continuous extension of  $\rho$  to  $(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ .

Corollary 3.7.  $\rho_1(0,0) = \lim_{x,y \to 0} \rho_1(x,y) \in (0,\infty)$ .

The following Chapman-Kolmogorov equations hold, so  $\rho$  is a transition density on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

<span id="page-11-5"></span>**Corollary 3.8.** For all  $s, t > 0$ ,  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , we have  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_s(x, z) \rho_t(z, y) h^2(z) dz = \rho_{s+t}(x, y)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $(1+|z|)^{-2d-2\alpha+2\delta}|z|^{-2\delta}$  is integrable, we can use  $(3.20)$  and  $(2.24)$ .

*Proof of Theorem [3.1.](#page-6-1)* The first statement of the theorem is proved in Lemma [3.6,](#page-11-3) [\(3.2\)](#page-6-5) is proved more generally as [\(3.28\)](#page-11-4), and [\(3.3\)](#page-6-4) is proved more generally in Corollary [3.8.](#page-11-5) See also  $(3.20)$  and  $(3.21)$  for a more explicit expression of  $(3.1)$ .

*Proof of Theorem [1.1.](#page-0-2)* The result is immediate from  $(2.22)$  and  $(3.1)$ .

We can now extend [\(2.29\)](#page-6-11) as follows.

Corollary 3.9.

$$
\rho_t(x,y) \approx \left(t^{-d/\alpha} \wedge \frac{t}{|x-y|^{d+\alpha}}\right) \left(t^{\delta/\alpha} + |x|^{\delta}\right) \left(t^{\delta/\alpha} + |y|^{\delta}\right), \quad t > 0, \ x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d.
$$

**Example 3.10.** For  $\kappa = 0$  we have  $H = h = 1$ ,  $\rho = \tilde{p} = p$ ,  $l_t(x, y) = p_{1-e^{-t}}(e^{-t/\alpha}x, y)$ ,  $\varphi(x) = p_1(0, x)$ , and  $\Psi_t(x) = p_t(0, x)$ .

# <span id="page-12-3"></span>4. FUNCTIONAL ANALYSIS OF  $\tilde{P}_t$

<span id="page-12-0"></span>We recall that  $\tilde{P}_t\varphi(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{p}(t, x, y)\varphi(y) dy$ . By [\(2.21\)](#page-5-0),  $\tilde{P}_t h = h$  for all  $t > 0$ .

<span id="page-12-1"></span>**Lemma 4.1.**  $\{\tilde{P}_t\}_{t>0}$  *is a contraction semigroup on*  $L^1(h)$  *and for every*  $f \in L^1(h)$ *, we have* 

(4.1) 
$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{P}_t f(x) h(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) h(x) dx, \quad t > 0.
$$

*Proof.* The semigroup property of  $\tilde{P}_t$  follows from [\(2.16\)](#page-4-2). Let  $f \ge 0$  be a measurable function. By Fubini-Tonelli, the symmetry of  $\tilde{p}$  and [\(2.21\)](#page-5-0),

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{P}_t f(x) h(x) \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{p}(t, x, y) f(y) h(x) \, dy \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h(y) f(y) \, dy.
$$

For arbitrary  $f \in L^1(h)$  we write  $f = f_+ - f_-$  and use the nonnegative case.

<span id="page-12-2"></span>**Lemma 4.2.**  $\{P_t\}_{t>0}$  is a strongly continuous contraction semigroup on  $L^1(h)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $p \leq \tilde{p}$ , for nonnegative function f and  $t > 0$  we get  $||P_t f||_{L^1(h)} \leq ||\tilde{P}_t f||_{L^1(h)} \leq$  $||f||_{L^1(h)}$ , so the contractivity follows as in the proof of Lemma [4.1.](#page-12-1)

By the contractivity and the semigroup property of  $P_t$ , it suffices to prove strong continuity as  $t \to 0$ . Let  $f \in L^1(h)$ . Then  $g := fh \in L^1$ . There are functions  $g_n \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_0^d)$  such that  $||g - g_n||_{L^1} \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Let  $f_n = g_n/h$ . Of course,  $f_n \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_0^d)$  and  $||f - f_n||_{L^1(h)} =$  $||g - g_n||_{L^1}$  for every n, and, by the contractivity, we have

$$
||P_t f - f||_{L^1(h)} \le ||P_t f - P_t f_n||_{L^1(h)} + ||P_t f_n - f_n||_{L^1(h)} + ||f_n - f||_{L^1(h)}
$$
  
\n
$$
\le 2||f - f_n||_{L^1(h)} + ||P_t f_n - f_n||_{L^1(h)}.
$$

Therefore, it suffices to prove that  $||P_t f - f||_{L^1(h)} \to 0$  as  $t \to 0$  for every  $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_0^d)$ . To this end take  $K \in (0,\infty)$  such that supp  $f \subset B(0,K/2)$ . Then,

$$
||P_t f - f||_{L^1(h)} \le \int_{|x| \le K} |P_t f(x) - f(x)| h(x) \, dx + \int_{|x| > K} P_t |f|(x) h(x) \, dx.
$$

When  $t \to 0$ , the above converges to 0 because  $P_t f \to f$  uniformly, h is locally integrable and  $P_t|f|(x) \le ct(1+|x|)^{-d-\alpha}$  on  $B(0,K)^c$ , see [\(2.4\)](#page-3-2). The proof is complete.

 $\Box$ 

**Lemma 4.3.**  $\{\tilde{P}_t\}_{t>0}$  is a strongly continuous contraction semigroup on  $L^1(h)$ .

*Proof.* The contractivity is resolved in Lemma [4.1.](#page-12-1) Since  $P_t$  is strongly continuous as  $t \to 0$ , by [\(2.18\)](#page-4-3) it suffices to consider nonnegative  $f \in L^1(h)$  and verify that

$$
I_t := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{p}(s, x, z) q(z) p(t - s, z, y) f(y) dz ds dy h(x) dx \to 0
$$
  
as  $t \to 0$ . By (2.21) and [6, Lemma 3.3],

$$
I_t = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h(z)q(z)p(t-s, z, y)f(y) dz ds dy
$$
  
= 
$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (h(y) - P_t h(y)) f(y) dy = ||f||_{L^1(h)} - ||P_t f||_{L^1(h)} \to 0,
$$

indeed, because of Lemma [4.2.](#page-12-2)  $\Box$ 

We consider the resolvent operators  $R_{\lambda} = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} P_t dt$  and  $\tilde{R}_{\lambda} = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} \tilde{P}_t dt$  for  $\lambda > 0$ . The contractivities of  $P_t$  and  $\tilde{P}_t$  yield the following result.

Corollary 4.4.  $\lambda \tilde{R}_{\lambda}$  and  $\lambda R_{\lambda}$  are contractions on  $L^{1}(h)$ .

Let  $\mathcal L$  be the  $L^1(h)$ -generator of the semigroup  $P_t$ , with domain  $\mathcal D$ , and let  $\tilde{\mathcal L}$  be the  $L^1(h)$ generator of the semigroup  $\tilde{P}_t$ , with domain  $\tilde{D}$ . The following result is consistent with [\(1.1\)](#page-0-1).

**Proposition 4.5.** *We have*  $D \subset \tilde{D}$  *and*  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}f = \mathcal{L}f + qf$  *for*  $f \in \mathcal{D}$ *.* 

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda > 0$ . Recall that  $f \in \mathcal{D}$  if and only if  $f = R_{\lambda}g$  for some (unique)  $g \in L^1(h)$  and then  $\mathcal{L}\varphi = \lambda R_{\lambda}g - g$ . The same holds for  $\tilde{R}_{\lambda}$ . By integrating [\(2.18\)](#page-4-3) with respect to  $e^{-\lambda t} dt$ and using Fubini-Tonelli and the following self-explanatory notation we get

$$
\tilde{R}_{\lambda}(x,y) = R_{\lambda}(x,y) + \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{p}(s,x,z)q(z)p(t-s,z,y) dz ds dt
$$
  
\n
$$
= R_{\lambda}(x,y) + \int_0^{\infty} \int_s^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\lambda s} \tilde{p}(s,x,z)q(z)e^{-\lambda(t-s)}p(t-s,z,y) dz dt ds
$$
  
\n
$$
= R_{\lambda}(x,y) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{R}_{\lambda}(x,z)q(z)R_{\lambda}(z,y) dz, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d.
$$

Accordingly, for  $f \geq 0$ , we get  $\tilde{R}_{\lambda}f = R_{\lambda}f + \tilde{R}_{\lambda}qR_{\lambda}f$ . In particular,  $\tilde{R}_{\lambda}qR_{\lambda}f \in L^{1}(h)$  if  $f \in L^1(h)$ . Moreover,  $qR_\lambda$  is a bounded operator on  $L^1(h)$ . Indeed, if  $0 \le f \in L^1(h)$ , then using Fubini-Tonelli, the identity  $e^{-\lambda t} = \int_t^{\infty} \lambda e^{-\lambda s} ds$  and [\[6,](#page-24-3) Lemma 3.3], we get

$$
0 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} q(x) R_{\lambda} f(x) h(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} p(t, x, y) q(x) h(x) f(y) dt dy dx
$$
  
= 
$$
\int_0^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \lambda e^{-\lambda s} f(y) \left( \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(t, x, y) q(x) h(x) dx dt \right) dy ds
$$
  

$$
\leq \int_0^{\infty} \lambda e^{-\lambda s} ds \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h(y) f(y) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h(y) f(y) dy.
$$

It follows that  $R_{\lambda} = \tilde{R}_{\lambda}(I - qR_{\lambda})$  on  $L^{1}(h)$ , and so

<span id="page-13-1"></span>
$$
\mathcal{D}=R_{\lambda}\big(L^{1}(h)\big)\subset \tilde{R}_{\lambda}\big(L^{1}(h)\big)=\tilde{\mathcal{D}}.
$$

To prove that  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}\varphi = \mathcal{L}\varphi + q \text{ for } \varphi \in \mathcal{D}$ , we let  $\psi = \mathcal{L}\varphi, \varphi, \psi \in L^1(h)$ , that is  $\varphi = \lambda R_\lambda f - R_\lambda \psi$ . It is enough to prove that  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}f = \psi + qf$ , that is

(4.2) 
$$
f = \lambda \tilde{R}_{\lambda} f - \tilde{R}_{\lambda} q f.
$$

But the right-hand-side of [\(4.2\)](#page-13-1) is

$$
\lambda R_{\lambda} f + \tilde{R}_{\lambda} \lambda q R_{\lambda} f - R_{\lambda} \psi - R_{\lambda} q R_{\lambda} \psi - \tilde{R}_{\lambda} q f = f + \tilde{R}_{\lambda} q f - \tilde{R}_{\lambda} q f = f.
$$

The proof is complete.  $\Box$ 

Recall the notation of [\(1.4\)](#page-1-1). Our proof of the large-time asymptotics of  $\tilde{P}_t$  hinges on the following hypercontractivity result (for  $q = 1$  see Lemma [4.1](#page-12-1) and for  $q = \infty$  see Lemma [4.7\)](#page-15-1).

<span id="page-13-0"></span>**Theorem 4.6.** If  $1 < q < \infty$  then for all  $t > 0$  and nonnegative functions f on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,

<span id="page-13-2"></span>(4.3) 
$$
\|\tilde{P}_t f\|_{q,h} \leq C t^{-\frac{d-2\delta}{\alpha}(1-\frac{1}{q})} \|f\|_{L^1(h)} + C t^{-\frac{d-2\delta}{\alpha}(1-\frac{1}{q})-\frac{\delta}{\alpha}} \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}
$$

*Proof.* We first prove the inequality [\(4.3\)](#page-13-2) for  $t = 1$ . Let  $q' = \frac{q}{q}$  $\frac{q}{q-1}$ . It is enough to verify that for every function  $g \geq 0$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,

.

<span id="page-13-3"></span>(4.4) 
$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{P}_1 f(x) g(x) h^{2-q}(x) dx \leq C ||f||_{L^1(H)} ||g||_{L^{q'}(h^{2-q})},
$$

since then, by the duality of  $L^p(h^{2-q})$ , we get

<span id="page-13-5"></span>
$$
(4.5) \quad \|\tilde{P}_1 f\|_{q,h} = \|\tilde{P}_1 f\|_{L^q(h^{2-q})} = \sup_{\|b\|_{L^{q'}(h^{2-q})}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{P}_1 f(x) b(x) h^{2-q}(x) \, dx \right| \le C \|f\|_{L^1(H)},
$$

as needed. To prove  $(4.4)$ , by  $(2.12)$  it is enough to estimate

<span id="page-13-4"></span>(4.6) 
$$
I(f,g) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} H(x)H(y)p(1, x, y)f(y)g(x)h^{2-q}(x) \,dy \,dx
$$

$$
= I(f\mathbf{1}_B, g\mathbf{1}_B) + I(f\mathbf{1}_B, g\mathbf{1}_{B^c}) + I(f\mathbf{1}_{B^c}, g\mathbf{1}_B) + I(f\mathbf{1}_{B^c}, g\mathbf{1}_{B^c}),
$$

where  $B = B(0, 1) \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ . By Hölder's inequality,

$$
I(f\mathbf{1}_B, g\mathbf{1}_B) \le \int_B \int_B h(x)h(y)p(1, x, y)f(y)g(x) \,dyh(x)^{2-q} \,dx
$$
  
\n
$$
\le c \left( \int_B h(y)f(y) \,dy \right) \left( \int_B h(x)g(x)h^{2-q}(x) \,dx \right)
$$
  
\n
$$
\le c \|f\|_{L^1(H)} \left( \int_B g^{q'}(x)h^{2-q}(x) \,dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \left( \int_B h^2(x) \,dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}
$$
  
\n
$$
\le C \|f\|_{L^1(H)} \|g\|_{L^{q'}(h^{2-q})},
$$

where  $\int_B h^2(x) dx < \infty$ , because  $2\delta \leq d - \alpha < d$ . We next deal with the second term in [\(4.6\)](#page-13-4),

$$
I(f\mathbf{1}_B, g\mathbf{1}_{B^c}) \leq \int_B \int_{B^c} f(y)h(y)p(1, x, y)g(x)h^{2-q}(x) dx dy
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq c \int_B \int_{B^c} f(y)h(y)|x|^{-d-\alpha} g(x)h^{2-q}(x) dx dy
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq c ||f||_{L^1(H)} \int_{B^c} |x|^{-d-\alpha} g(x)h^{2-q}(x) dx
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq c ||f||_{L^1(H)} \left( \int_{B^c} g^{q'}(x)h^{2-q}(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \left( \int_{B^c} |x|^{-(d+\alpha)q}h^{2-q}(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq C ||f||_{L^1(H)} ||g||_{L^{q'}(h^{2-q})}.
$$

<span id="page-14-0"></span>The last integral in [\(4.7\)](#page-14-0) is finite because  $0 \le \delta \le (d-\alpha)/2$ , so  $|x|^{-(d+\alpha)q-\delta(2-q)} \le |x|^{-d-\alpha} \in$  $L^1(B^c, dx)$  for every  $q \in (1, +\infty)$ . We then estimate the third term,

$$
I(f\mathbf{1}_{B^c}, g\mathbf{1}_B) \le \int_{B^c} \int_B f(y)h(y)p(1, x, y)g(x)h^{2-q}(x) dx dy
$$
  
\n
$$
\le c \int_{B^c} \int_B f(y)h(y)|y|^{-d-\alpha} g(x)h^{2-q}(x) dx dy
$$
  
\n
$$
\le c \|f\|_{L^1(H)} \int_B g(x)h^{2-q}(x) dx
$$
  
\n
$$
\le c \|f\|_{L^1(H)} \left( \int_B g^{q'}(x)h^{2-q}(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \left( \int_B h^{2-q}(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}},
$$

and the last integral is finite, as before. For the fourth term in [\(4.6\)](#page-13-4) we note that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(1, x, y) g(x) h^{2-q}(x) dx \le \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g^{q'}(x) h^{2-q}(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p^q(1, x, y) h^{2-q}(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}
$$
  

$$
\le ||g||_{L^{q'}(h^{2-q})} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_1^q(x) h^{2-q}(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}},
$$

by rearrangement inequalities [\[30,](#page-25-22) Sec.3]. The last integral is finite, because

$$
p_1^q(x)h^{2-q}(x) \le C|x|^{-\delta}, \quad x \in B,
$$
  
\n $p_1^q(x)h^{2-q}(x) \le C|x|^{-(d+\alpha)q-\delta(2-q)} \le |x|^{-d-\alpha}, \quad x \in B^c.$ 

Thus,

$$
I(f\mathbf{1}_{B^c}, g\mathbf{1}_{B^c}) \le \int_{B^c} \int_{B^c} p(1, x, y) f(y) g(x) h^{2-q}(x) dx dy
$$
  

$$
\le C \|g\|_{L^{q'}(h^{2-q})} \int_{B^c} f(y) dy \le C \|f\|_{L^1(H)} \|g\|_{L^{q'}(h^{2-q})}.
$$

Therefore [\(4.6\)](#page-13-4) is bounded above by  $C||f||_{L^1(H)}||g||_{L^{q'}(h^{2-q})}$ , which yields [\(4.3\)](#page-13-2) for  $t = 1$ .

By this and a change of variables, for all  $t > 0$  we get

<span id="page-15-2"></span>(4.8) 
$$
\|\tilde{P}_t f\|_{q,h} = t^{\frac{d-\delta(2-q)}{\alpha q}} \|\tilde{P}_1 f(t^{1/\alpha} \cdot)\|_{q,h} \n\leq Ct^{\frac{d-\delta(2-q)}{\alpha q}} \left( \|f(t^{1/\alpha} \cdot)\|_{L^1(h)} + \|f(t^{1/\alpha} \cdot)\|_{L^1} \right) \n= Ct^{\frac{d-\delta(2-q)}{\alpha q}} \left( t^{-\frac{d-\delta}{\alpha}} \|f\|_{L^1(h)} + t^{-\frac{d}{\alpha}} \|f\|_{L^1} \right) \n= Ct^{-\frac{d-2\delta}{\alpha}(1-\frac{1}{q})} \|f\|_{L^1(h)} + Ct^{-\frac{d-2\delta}{\alpha}(1-\frac{1}{q})-\frac{\delta}{\alpha}} \|f\|_{L^1}.
$$

The proof of  $(4.3)$  is complete.

We note in passing that  $(4.3)$  for  $t = 1$  is equivalent to  $(4.5)$  but H is incompatible with the scaling [\(4.8\)](#page-15-2), so the long form of [\(4.3\)](#page-13-2) seems inevitable. Here is a more trivial bound.

# <span id="page-15-1"></span> $\textbf{Lemma 4.7. } \Vert \tilde{P}_t f \Vert_{\infty,h} \leq \Vert f \Vert_{\infty,h}.$

<span id="page-15-0"></span>*Proof.* For  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d_0$  we have  $|\tilde{P}_t f(x) dx| \le ||f||_{\infty,h} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{P}_t(x,y) h(y) dy \le h(x)||f||_{\infty,h}$ .

# <span id="page-15-3"></span>5. Asymptotic behavior for large time

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem [1.2.](#page-1-0) For the sake of comparison let us discuss the following classical analogue of [\(1.9\)](#page-2-3),

(5.1) 
$$
\begin{cases} \partial_t u(x,t) = (\Delta + \kappa |x|^{-2}) u(x,t), & x \in \mathbb{R}^d, t > 0, \\ u(x,0) = f(x), \end{cases}
$$

where  $d \geq 3$  and  $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$ . As we already mentioned in Section [1.2,](#page-1-2) the Cauchy problem [\(5.1\)](#page-15-3) was popularized by Baras and Goldstein [\[1\]](#page-24-4), who discovered that [\(5.1\)](#page-15-3) has no positive local-in-time solutions if  $\kappa > (d-2)^2/4$ , which is called the instantaneous blow up. See also Goldstein and Kombe [\[17\]](#page-24-17) for a simple proof via Harnack inequality. Vázquez and Zuazua [\[42\]](#page-25-23) then studied the large time behavior of solutions to [\(5.1\)](#page-15-3) with  $0 < \kappa \leq (d-2)^2/4$ . Using a weighted version of the Hardy-Poincaré inequality, they proved in  $[42,$  Theorem 10.3] the stabilization of some solutions toward the following self-similar solution of [\(5.1\)](#page-15-3)

$$
V(x,t) = t^{\sigma - \frac{d}{2}} |x|^{-\sigma} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}},
$$

where  $\sigma = \frac{d-2}{2} - \sqrt{(d-2)^2/4 - \kappa}$ . Then, Pilarczyk [\[37\]](#page-25-7) proved that if  $u = u(t, x)$  is the solution of [\(5.1\)](#page-15-3) with  $\kappa \in (-\infty, (d-2)^2/4)$ , then for every  $1 \le q \le \infty$ ,

$$
\lim_{t \to \infty} t^{\frac{d}{2}(1-\frac{1}{q})-\frac{\sigma}{2}} \|u(\cdot,t) - AV(\cdot,t)\|_{q,\varphi_{\sigma}(t)} = 0.
$$

Here  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^1(|x|^{-\sigma} dx)$ ,

$$
A = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^{-\sigma} f(x) \, dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^{-2\sigma} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4}} dx},
$$

 $\varphi_{\sigma}(x,t) = 1 \vee (\sqrt{t}/|x|)^{\sigma}$ , and

$$
\|g\|_{q,\varphi_{\sigma}(t)} = \begin{cases} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |g(x)|^q \varphi_{\sigma}^{2-q}(x,t) \,dx\right)^{1/q} & \text{for} \quad 1 \le q < \infty, \\ \operatorname{ess} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |g(x)|/\varphi_{\sigma}(x,t) & \text{for} \quad q = \infty. \end{cases}
$$

In Theorem [1.2](#page-1-0) we extend the results of Vázquez and Zuazua  $[42]$  and Pilarczyk  $[37]$  to the Cauchy problem [\(1.9\)](#page-2-3). In fact we also propose a novel description of the asymptotics, using simpler, time-independent norms  $||f||_{q,h}$  defined in [\(1.4\)](#page-1-1).

We now return to the setting of  $(1.9)$  and  $(2.9)$ . The solutions to  $(1.9)$  will be defined as  $u(t,x) = \tilde{P}_t f(x), t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  for  $f \in L^1(H)$ . By Theorem [1.1,](#page-0-2)

$$
\Psi_t(x) := \lim_{y \to 0} \frac{\tilde{p}(t, x, y)}{h(y)} = \rho_t(0, x)h(x) = t^{\frac{2\delta - d}{\alpha}} \varphi\big(t^{-1/\alpha}x\big)h(x), \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.
$$

In particular,  $\Psi_t(0) = \infty$ . Since, for  $s > 0$ ,

$$
\rho_{t+s}(0,x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_t(0,y) \rho_s(y,x) h^2(y) \, dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_t(0,y) h(y) \tilde{p}(s,y,x) / h(x) \, dy,
$$

we get the following evolution property

<span id="page-16-0"></span>(5.2) 
$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{p}(s, y, x) \Psi_t(y) dy = \Psi_{t+s}(x).
$$

By [\(3.28\)](#page-11-4) we also have

<span id="page-16-1"></span>(5.3) 
$$
\Psi_t(x) = t^{\frac{\delta - d}{\alpha}} \Psi_1(t^{-1/\alpha} x), \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.
$$

We summarize [\(5.2\)](#page-16-0) and [\(5.3\)](#page-16-1) by saying that  $\Psi_t(x)$  is a self-similar semigroup solution of [\(1.9\)](#page-2-3). By [\(3.23\)](#page-10-3),

(5.4) 
$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Psi_t(x) h(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Psi_1(x) h(x) dx = 1.
$$

Furthermore,  $\Psi_t(x)$  is a mild solution of [\(1.9\)](#page-2-3) since it satisfies the following Duhamel formula.

# Lemma 5.1.

<span id="page-16-4"></span>(5.5) 
$$
\Psi_t(x) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Psi_r(z) q(z) p(t-r, x, z) dz dr, \quad t > 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^d.
$$

*Proof.* By [\(2.12\)](#page-4-0), we have

<span id="page-16-5"></span>
$$
\frac{\tilde{p}(t,x,y)}{h(y)} \approx (|y|^{\delta} + t^{\delta/\alpha})(1 + t^{\delta/\alpha}|x|^{-\delta})p(t,x,y),
$$

hence

<span id="page-16-2"></span>(5.6) 
$$
\Psi_t(x) \approx t^{\delta/\alpha} (1 + t^{\delta/\alpha} |x|^{-\delta}) \left( t^{-d/\alpha} \wedge \frac{t}{|x|^{d+\alpha}} \right), \qquad t > 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^d.
$$

Now, by  $(5.2)$  and  $(2.17)$  for  $0 < s < t$  we obtain

$$
\Psi_t(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{p}(t-s, x, y) \Psi_s(y) dy
$$
  
+ 
$$
\int_0^{t-s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(r, x, y) q(y) \tilde{p}(t-s-r, y, z) \Psi_s(z) dz dy dr
$$
  
= 
$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(t-s, x, y) \Psi_s(y) dy + \int_0^{t-s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(r, x, y) q(y) \Psi_{t-r}(y) dy dr
$$
  
(5.7) 
$$
= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(t-s, x, y) \Psi_s(y) dy + \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(t-r, x, y) q(y) \Psi_r(y) dy dr.
$$

<span id="page-16-3"></span>Using  $(5.6)$  and  $(5.3)$ , we get

$$
0 \le \limsup_{s \to 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(t-s, x, z) \Psi_s(z) dz \le c \limsup_{s \to 0} (t-s)^{-d/\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Psi_s(z) dz
$$
  
=  $c \limsup_{s \to 0} (t-s)^{-d/\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} s^{(\delta - d)/\alpha} \Psi_1(s^{-1/\alpha} z) dz$   
=  $ct^{-d/\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Psi_1(z) dz \lim_{s \to 0} s^{\delta/\alpha} = 0.$ 

Therefore, taking  $s \to 0$  in [\(5.7\)](#page-16-3), we obtain [\(5.5\)](#page-16-4).

*Remark* 5.2. By the self-similarity [\(5.3\)](#page-16-1), the definition of  $\|\cdot\|_{q,h}$ , the change of variables  $y = t^{-1/\alpha}x$ , and [\(5.6\)](#page-16-2), we have for all  $t > 0$ 

<span id="page-17-6"></span>(5.8) 
$$
0 < \|\Psi_t\|_{q,h} = t^{-\frac{d-2\delta}{\alpha}(1-\frac{1}{q})} \|\Psi_1\|_{q,h} < \infty,
$$

see [\(4.8\)](#page-15-2). It follows that

(5.9) 
$$
\|\Psi_{st}\|_{q,h} = t^{-\frac{d-2\delta}{\alpha}(1-\frac{1}{q})}\|\Psi_s\|_{q,h}
$$

and

<span id="page-17-4"></span>(5.10) 
$$
\|\Psi_t\|_{1,h} = \|\Psi_1\|_{1,h} = 1,
$$

which is the same as [\(5.4\)](#page-16-5). Furthermore,

<span id="page-17-5"></span>
$$
t^{-\frac{d-2\delta}{\alpha}(1-\frac{1}{q})}\|u(t,\cdot)-A\Psi_t\|_{q,h}=\|t^{\frac{d-\delta}{\alpha}}u\left(t,t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}\right)-A\Psi_1\|_{q,h},
$$

in analogy with the results of Pilarczyk  $|37|$  and Vázquez and Zuazua  $|42|$ .

*Remark* 5.3*.* Similar arguments yield the following result, to be proved in a forthcoming paper,

(5.11) 
$$
\lim_{t \to \infty} t^{\frac{d}{\alpha} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q}\right) - \frac{\delta}{\alpha}} \|u(t, \cdot) - A\Psi_t(\cdot)\|_{q, H_t} = 0,
$$

where  $1 \le q \le \infty$ ,  $H_t(z) = H(t^{-1/\alpha}z)$  and the norms are defined by

<span id="page-17-0"></span>
$$
||f||_{q,H_t} = \begin{cases} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)|^q H_t^{2-q}(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} & \text{for} \quad 1 \le q < \infty, \\ \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)| / H_t(x) & \text{for} \quad q = \infty. \end{cases}
$$

The asymptotics [\(5.11\)](#page-17-0) is an exact analogue of the result in [\[37,](#page-25-7) Theorem 2.1].

<span id="page-17-2"></span>*Remark* 5.4. By [\(4.1\)](#page-12-3) and [\(5.4\)](#page-16-5), A in Theorem [1.2](#page-1-0) satisfies  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\tilde{P}_t f(x) - A \Psi_s(x)) h(x) dx = 0$ for all  $t \geq 0, s > 0$ .

*Remark* 5.5. By Lemma [4.1](#page-12-1) we have  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u(t, x)h(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)h(x) dx$  for all  $t \ge 0$ . Here is a rather heuristic alternative argument for the equality: If we multiply [\(1.9\)](#page-2-3) by the function h and integrate, then indeed we get

$$
\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^{-\delta} u(t,x) \, \mathrm{d}x = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} u(t,x) |x|^{-\delta} \, \mathrm{d}x + \kappa_\delta \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^{-\delta - \alpha} u(t,x) \, \mathrm{d}x.
$$

Using the Fourier symbol of  $\Delta^{\alpha/2}$ , Parseval's relation and [\(2.9\)](#page-4-4) we get

$$
\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^{-\delta} u(t, x) dx = -\frac{2^{\alpha} \pi^{\alpha + \delta - d/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{d - \delta}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\delta}{2}\right)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\xi|^{\alpha + \delta - d} \hat{u}(t, \xi) d\xi + \kappa_{\delta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^{-\delta - \alpha} u(t, x) dx
$$

$$
= \left(\kappa_{\delta} - \kappa_{\delta}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^{-\delta - \alpha} u(t, x) dx = 0,
$$

see [\[18,](#page-24-18) Theorem 2.2.14 p.102] and [\[40,](#page-25-24) Lemma 2 p.117].

Recall that  $\tilde{P}_t$  is defined in [\(1.5\)](#page-1-3). The next lemma is crucial for the proof of Theorem [1.2.](#page-1-0) **Lemma 5.6.** *If*  $f \in L^1(H)$ ,  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)h(x) dx = 0$  *and*  $1 \le q < \infty$ , *then* 

<span id="page-17-3"></span><span id="page-17-1"></span>(5.12) 
$$
\lim_{t \to \infty} t^{\frac{d-2\delta}{\alpha}(1-\frac{1}{q})} \|\tilde{P}_t f\|_{q,h} = 0.
$$

*If, additionally, f has compact support, then* [\(5.12\)](#page-17-1) *is true for*  $q = \infty$ *, too.* 

*Proof.* First, we consider a compactly supported function  $\psi$  such that  $\psi \in L^1(H)$  and

(5.13) 
$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi(x)h(x) dx = 0,
$$

and we intend to prove [\(5.12\)](#page-17-1) with f replaced by  $\psi$ . *Step 1. Case*  $q = \infty$ *.* 

By the definition of the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty,h}$ ,

<span id="page-18-0"></span>
$$
I(t) := t^{\frac{d-2\delta}{\alpha}} \|\tilde{P}_t \psi\|_{\infty,h} = t^{\frac{d-2\delta}{\alpha}} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_t(x,y) h(y) \psi(y) \,dy \right|.
$$

Using the condition [\(5.13\)](#page-18-0), we obtain

$$
I(t) = t^{\frac{d-2\delta}{\alpha}} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( \rho_t(x, y) - \rho_t(x, 0) \right) h(y) \psi(y) \, dy \right|.
$$

We fix  $\omega > 0$ . Since  $\psi$  has a compact support, for sufficiently large  $t > 0$  we get

$$
I(t) = t^{\frac{d-2\delta}{\alpha}} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left| \int_{|y| \le t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \omega} (\rho_t(x, y) - \rho_t(x, 0)) h(y) \psi(y) dy \right|
$$
  

$$
\le t^{\frac{d-2\delta}{\alpha}} \sup_{\substack{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \\ |y| \le \omega t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}} |\rho_t(x, y) - \rho_t(x, 0)| \int_{|y| \le \omega t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} h(y) |\psi(y)| dy,
$$

so by scaling of  $\rho$ ,

$$
I(t) \leq \sup_{\substack{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \\ |y| \leq \omega t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}} \left| \rho_1 \left( t^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} x, t^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} y \right) - \rho_1 \left( t^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} x, 0 \right) \right| \int_{|y| \leq t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \omega} h(y) |\psi(y)| \, dy
$$
  

$$
\leq \sup_{\substack{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \\ |y| \leq \omega}} |\rho_1 (x, y) - \rho_1 (x, 0)| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h(y) |\psi(y)| \, dy.
$$

By Lemma [3.6](#page-11-3) and  $(2.31)$  we choose  $\omega$  small enough to have

$$
\sup_{\substack{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \\ |y| \le \omega}} |\rho_1(x, y) - \rho_1(x, 0)| < \varepsilon.
$$

This proves [\(5.12\)](#page-17-1) in the considered setting. *Step 2. Case*  $q = 1$ *.* 

By the definition of the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{1,h}$ ,

$$
J(t) := \|\tilde{P}_t \psi\|_{1,h} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{p}(t,x,y) h(x) \psi(y) \, \mathrm{d}y \right| \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_t(x,y) h^2(x) \psi(y) h(y) \, \mathrm{d}y \right| \, \mathrm{d}x.
$$

Applying [\(5.13\)](#page-18-0), we get

$$
J(t) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\rho_t(x, y) - \rho_t(x, 0)| h^2(x) |\psi(y)| h(y) dy dx.
$$

We fix  $\omega > 0$  and notice that

$$
J(t) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{|y| \leq \omega t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} |\rho_t(x, y) - \rho_t(x, 0)| h^2(x) |\psi(y)| h(y) dy dx,
$$

for sufficiently large t, since the function  $\psi$  has a compact support. Then

$$
J(t) \leq \sup_{|y| \leq \omega t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} \|\rho_t(\cdot, y) - \rho_t(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^1(h^2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h(y) |\psi(y)| \, dy.
$$

By scaling of  $\rho$ , substituting  $x = t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} z$  we obtain

$$
\sup_{|y| \le \omega t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} \|\rho_t(\cdot, y) - \rho_t(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^1(h^2)}
$$
\n
$$
= t^{\frac{2\delta - d}{\alpha}} \sup_{|y| \le \omega t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} \int \left| \rho_1 \left( t^{-1/\alpha} x, t^{-1/\alpha} y \right) - \rho_1 \left( t^{-1/\alpha} x, 0 \right) \right| h^2(x) dx
$$
\n
$$
= \sup_{|y| < \omega} \|\rho_1(\cdot, y) - \rho_1(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^1(h^2)}.
$$

Applying Lemma [3.3,](#page-9-4) for  $\omega > 0$  sufficiently small we get

$$
J(t) \le \varepsilon ||\psi||_{L^1(h)}.
$$

*Step 3. Case*  $q \in (1, \infty)$ *.* 

By the definition of the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{q,h}$  and Hölder inequality,

$$
t^{\frac{d-2\delta}{\alpha}(1-\frac{1}{q})} \|\tilde{P}_t \psi\|_{q,h} = t^{\frac{d-2\delta}{\alpha}(1-\frac{1}{q})} \bigg( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\tilde{P}_t \psi(x)/h(x)|^{q-1} |\tilde{P}_t \psi(x)h(x)| dx \bigg)^{\frac{1}{q}}
$$
  

$$
\leq \bigg( t^{\frac{d-2\delta}{\alpha}} \|\tilde{P}_t \psi\|_{\infty,h} \bigg)^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \bigg( |\tilde{P}_t \psi\|_{1,h} \bigg)^{\frac{1}{q}}.
$$

Both factors converge to zero as  $t \to \infty$  by *Step 1*. and *Step 2*. *Step 4. General initial datum.*

Let  $R > 0$ ,  $c_R = \int_{|x| \le R} f(x)h(x) dx / \int_{|x| \le R} h(x) dx$  and  $\psi_R(x) = (f(x) - c_R)\mathbf{1}_{|x| \le R}$ . Of course,  $\psi_R$  is compactly supported and

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h(x)\psi_R(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = 0.
$$

Furthermore,

$$
||f - \psi_R||_{L^1(h)} = |c_R| \int_{|x| \le R} h(x) dx + \int_{|x| > R} h(x)|f(x)| dx
$$
  
= 
$$
\Big| \int_{|x| \le R} h(x)f(x) dx \Big| + \int_{|x| > R} h(x)|f(x)| dx \to 0
$$

as  $R \to \infty$ , due to the assumption [\(5.13\)](#page-18-0) and the condition  $f \in L^1(h)$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and choose  $R > 0$  so large that

$$
||f - \psi_R||_{1,h} < \varepsilon.
$$

For  $q = 1$ , by using the triangle inequality and Lemma [4.1,](#page-12-1) we have

$$
\|\tilde{P}_t f\|_{1,h} \le \|\tilde{P}_t \psi_R\|_{1,h} + \|\tilde{P}_t (f - \psi_R)\|_{1,h} \le \|\tilde{P}_t \psi_R\|_{1,h} + \|f - \psi_R\|_{1,h}.
$$

Hence, by *Step 2.* of this proof we get

(5.14) 
$$
\limsup_{t \to \infty} \|\tilde{P}_t f\|_{1,h} \leq \varepsilon,
$$

which completes the verification of  $(5.12)$  in this case.

If  $1 < q < \infty$ , then using the triangle inequality and Theorem [4.6,](#page-13-0) we obtain

$$
t^{\frac{d-2\delta}{\alpha}(1-\frac{1}{q})} \|\tilde{P}_t f\|_{q,h} \leq t^{\frac{d-2\delta}{\alpha}(1-\frac{1}{q})} \|\tilde{P}_t \psi_R\|_{q,h} + t^{\frac{d-2\delta}{\alpha}(1-\frac{1}{q})} \|\tilde{P}_t (f - \psi_R)\|_{q,h}
$$
  

$$
\leq t^{\frac{d-2\delta}{\alpha}(1-\frac{1}{q})} \|\tilde{P}_t \psi_R\|_{q,h} + C \|f - \psi_R\|_{1,h} + Ct^{-\frac{\delta}{\alpha}} \|f - \psi_R\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}.
$$

By *Step 3.* of this proof,

(5.15) 
$$
\limsup_{t \to \infty} t^{\frac{d-2\delta}{\alpha}(1-\frac{1}{q})} \|\tilde{P}_t f\|_{q,h} \leq 2C\varepsilon,
$$

which is valid both for  $\delta > 0$  and  $\delta = 0$ . This completes the proof of [\(5.12\)](#page-17-1) for  $q \in (1,\infty)$ .  $\Box$ 

We prove Theorem [1.2](#page-1-0) immediately after the following discussion of *solutions* to [\(1.9\)](#page-2-3).

*Remark* 5.7. By [\(5.7\)](#page-16-3) we conclude that  $\Psi_t(x)$  is a mild solution of [\(1.9\)](#page-2-3). In passing we note that, by  $(5.6)$ ,  $\Psi_t(x) \to 0$  when  $t \to 0^+$  and  $x \neq 0$ . Further, if  $f \in L^1(H)$ ,  $t > 0$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}_0^d$  and  $u(t,x) = \tilde{P}_t f(x)$ , then

(5.16) 
$$
u(t,x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(t,x,y) f(y) \, dy + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(t-r,x,y) q(y) u(r,y) \, dy \, dr,
$$

as follows from  $(2.17)$ . This justifies calling u in Theorem [1.2](#page-1-0) solution to  $(1.9)$ . By definition, u can also be called the semigroup solution and  $(5.2)$  yields an analogue for  $\Psi_t(x)$ .

*Proof of Theorem [1.2.](#page-1-0)* By [\(2.16\)](#page-4-2), [\(5.2\)](#page-16-0), Remark [5.4](#page-17-2) and Lemma [5.6,](#page-17-3)

$$
\lim_{t \to \infty} t^{\frac{d-2\delta}{\alpha}(1-\frac{1}{q})} \|u(t,\cdot) - A\Psi_t\|_{q,h} = \lim_{t \to \infty} t^{\frac{d-2\delta}{\alpha}(1-\frac{1}{q})} \|u(t+1,\cdot) - A\Psi_{t+1}\|_{q,h}
$$
\n
$$
= \lim_{t \to \infty} t^{\frac{d-2\delta}{\alpha}(1-\frac{1}{q})} \|\tilde{P}_t\left(\tilde{P}_1f - A\Psi_1\right)\|_{q,h} = 0.
$$

Theorem [1.2](#page-1-0) is optimal, as asserted by the following two observations.

**Proposition 5.8.** *Let*  $q \in [1,\infty)$  *and*  $\tau : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$  *be increasing with*  $\lim_{t\to\infty} \tau(t) = \infty$ *. Then there is*  $f \in L^1(H)$  *such that*  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)h(x) dx = 1$  *and*  $u := \tilde{P}_t f$  *satisfies* 

<span id="page-20-0"></span>(5.17) 
$$
\lim_{t \to \infty} \tau(t) t^{\frac{d-2\delta}{\alpha}(1-\frac{1}{q})} \|u(t,\cdot)-\Psi_t\|_{q,h} = \infty.
$$

*Proof.* The proof builds on the fact that  $\|\Psi_1 - \Psi_s\|_{q,h} > 0$  for  $s > 1$ , which, as we shall see below, is a consequence of the scaling of  $\Psi_t(x)$ .

Without loss of generality we may assume that  $\tau$  is continuous, strictly increasing and  $\tau(0) = 0$ , by replacing  $\tau(t)$  by  $t/(t+1) \left[ t/(t+1) + \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \tau(s) ds \right] \leq \tau(t) + 1$ , see also [\(1.6\)](#page-1-4). In particular,  $\tau^{-1}$  is well defined :  $[0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we let  $t_n = \tau^{-1}(2^{2n})$ . Let  $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \Psi_{t_n}$ . According to [\(5.10\)](#page-17-4),  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)h(x) dx = ||f||_{1,h} = 1$ . By [\(5.2\)](#page-16-0),

$$
u(t,x) := \tilde{P}_t f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \Psi_{t+t_n}(x) \ge 0, \quad t > 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^d.
$$

We remark that if  $\tau(t) \geq 1$ , then  $t_n \geq t$  is equivalent to  $n \geq \lceil \frac{1}{2} \rceil$  $\frac{1}{2} \log_2 \tau(t)$ . In this case, by [\(5.9\)](#page-17-5), and triangle inequality, we get

<span id="page-20-1"></span>
$$
(5.18) \t t^{\frac{d-2\delta}{\alpha}(1-\frac{1}{q})} \|u(t,\cdot)-\Psi_t\|_{q,h} = \|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \Psi_{1+t_n/t} - \Psi_1\|_{q,h}
$$
  
\n
$$
\geq \|\Psi_1\|_{q,h} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \|\Psi_{1+t_n/t}\|_{q,h} = \|\Psi_1\|_{q,h} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \left(1 - (1+t_n/t)^{-\frac{d-2\delta}{\alpha}(1-\frac{1}{q})}\right)
$$
  
\n
$$
\geq \|\Psi_1\|_{q,h} \left(1 - 2^{-\frac{d-2\delta}{\alpha}(1-\frac{1}{q})}\right) \sum_{t_n \geq t}^{\infty} 2^{-n} = \|\Psi_1\|_{q,h} \left(1 - 2^{-\frac{d-2\delta}{\alpha}(1-\frac{1}{q})}\right) 2^{1-\left[\frac{1}{2}\log_2 \tau(t)\right]}
$$
  
\n
$$
\geq \|\Psi_1\|_{q,h} \left(1 - 2^{-\frac{d-2\delta}{\alpha}(1-\frac{1}{q})}\right) \tau(t)^{-1/2}.
$$

The case of  $q \in (1,\infty)$  in [\(5.17\)](#page-20-0) is resolved, because  $1 - 2^{-\frac{d-2\delta}{\alpha}(1-\frac{1}{q})} > 0$  in this case.

We next consider  $q = 1$ . Then, starting from  $(5.18)$ , we get

$$
||u(t,\cdot)-\Psi_t||_{1,h} = ||\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \Psi_{1+t_n/t} - \Psi_1||_{1,h}
$$
  
\n
$$
\geq \int_{B(0,1)} |\Psi_1(x) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \Psi_{1+t_n/t}(x)|h(x) dx
$$
  
\n
$$
\geq \int_{B(0,1)} \left( \Psi_1(x) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \Psi_{1+t_n/t}(x) \right) h(x) dx
$$
  
\n(5.19)  
\n
$$
= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \left( \int_{B(0,1)} \Psi_1(x)h(x) dx - \int_{B(0,1)} \Psi_{1+t_n/t}(x)h(x) dx \right).
$$

<span id="page-21-1"></span>By the same change of variables as in [\(5.8\)](#page-17-6), for all  $t > 0$  and  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  we get

(5.20) 
$$
\int_U \Psi_t(x)h(x) dx = \int_{t^{-1/\alpha}U} \Psi_1(x)h(x) dx.
$$

Therefore by [\(5.19\)](#page-21-1),

$$
||u(t,\cdot)-\Psi_t||_{1,h} \ge \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \int_{B(0,1)\backslash B(0,(1+t_n/t)^{-1/\alpha})} \Psi_1(x)h(x) dx
$$
  
 
$$
\ge \int_{B(0,1)\backslash B(0,2^{-1/\alpha})} \Psi_1(x)h(x) dx \sum_{t_n \ge t}^{\infty} 2^{-n}.
$$

By [\(5.6\)](#page-16-2),  $\int_{B(0,1)\backslash B(0,2^{-1/\alpha})} \Psi_1(x)h(x) dx > 0$ , and we conclude as before.

*Remark* 5.9. We note that [\(1.6\)](#page-1-4) does not hold for  $q = \infty$ . Indeed, let  $f_n(x) = \mathbf{1}_{B(x_n,1)}(x)$ , where  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $|x_n|=2^n$ . Then, by  $(2.4)$ , for  $t>1$  we have

$$
\tilde{P}_t f_n(x_n) \ge \int_{B(x_n,1)} p(t,x_n,y) \,dy \ge ct^{-d/\alpha}.
$$

Let  $f(x) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} f_n(x)$ . Clearly  $f \in L^1(h)$  and  $\tilde{P}_t f(x_n) \ge ct^{-d/\alpha}$ . Moreover, by [\(5.6\)](#page-16-2) and  $(2.4), \Psi_t(x_n) \leq ct^{\delta/\alpha}(1+t^{-\delta/\alpha}|x_n|^{-\delta})t|x_n|^{-d-\alpha}$  $(2.4), \Psi_t(x_n) \leq ct^{\delta/\alpha}(1+t^{-\delta/\alpha}|x_n|^{-\delta})t|x_n|^{-d-\alpha}$ . Hence for every  $t > 1$  we actually have,

$$
||u(t,\cdot)-A\Psi_t||_{\infty,h} = \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d} |\tilde{P}_t f(x) - A\Psi_t(x)|/h(x) \ge c_t \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} (|x_n|^\delta - |x_n|^{\delta - d - \alpha}) = \infty.
$$

# 6. The potential of the self-similar solution

<span id="page-21-0"></span>In this section we assume that  $0 \leq \kappa \leq \kappa^*$ , except that on several occasions we explicitly exclude the critical case  $\kappa = \kappa^*$ . As usual,  $\kappa$  and  $\delta$  are related by [\(2.9\)](#page-4-4).

*If*  $\kappa < \kappa^*$  then, by [\(5.3\)](#page-16-1) and [\(5.6\)](#page-16-2), there is  $c \in (0, \infty)$ , such that

$$
c \int_0^\infty \Psi_s(x) \, ds = |x|^{\delta + \alpha - d}, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.
$$

Furthermore,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{p}_t(x, y) |y|^{\delta + \alpha - d} \, \mathrm{d}y = c \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Psi_s(y) \tilde{p}_t(x, y) \, \mathrm{d}y \, \mathrm{d}s = c \int_0^\infty \Psi_{t+s}(x) \, \mathrm{d}s
$$
\n
$$
= c \int_0^\infty \Psi_s(x) \, \mathrm{d}s - c \int_0^t \Psi_s(x) \, \mathrm{d}s = |x|^{\delta + \alpha - d} - c \int_0^t \Psi_s(x) \, \mathrm{d}s.
$$

Hence, for  $t > 0$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\},\$ 

$$
c\int_0^t \Psi_s(x) \, ds = |x|^{\delta + \alpha - d} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{p}_t(x, y) |y|^{\delta + \alpha - d} \, dy.
$$

The main goal of this section is to calculate the constant c, and derive a similar formula for  $\kappa = \kappa^*$  (see Corollary [6.3\)](#page-22-0).

<span id="page-22-2"></span>**Lemma 6.1.** *For*  $t > 0$  *and*  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  *we have* 

$$
\Psi_t(x) = \lim_{\beta \to 0^+} \frac{\Gamma(d/2)}{2\pi^{d/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \beta |z|^{\beta + \delta - d} \tilde{p}(t, x, z) \, \mathrm{d}z.
$$

*Proof.* Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}_0^d$ . For  $z \in \mathbb{R}_0^d$  and  $\beta > 0$ , put  $f_\beta(z) = \frac{\Gamma(d/2)}{2\pi^{d/2}} \beta |z|^{\beta-d}$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\beta \to 0$ . We have

$$
\beta \int_{B(0,\varepsilon)} |z|^{\beta-d} dz = d|B(0,1)| \beta \int_0^{\varepsilon} r^{\beta-d} r^{d-1} dr = d|B(0,1)| \varepsilon^{\beta} \longrightarrow \frac{2\pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma(d/2)}.
$$

Furthermore, by [\(2.12\)](#page-4-0) and the dominated convergence theorem for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}_0^d$  we get

$$
\lim_{\beta \to 0^+} \beta \int_{|z| > \varepsilon} \frac{\tilde{p}(t, x, z)}{h(z)} |z|^{\beta - d} dz = 0.
$$

Then, since  $z \mapsto \tilde{p}(t, x, z)/h(z)$  has a continuous extension to  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with the value  $\Psi_t(x)$  at the origin, we get

$$
\Psi_t(x) = \lim_{\beta \to 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\tilde{p}(t, x, z)}{h(z)} f_{\beta}(z) \, dz.
$$

The result follows (in the case of  $x = 0$ , the statement is trivial).

<span id="page-22-3"></span>**Lemma 6.2.** *For*  $t > 0$  *and*  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d_0$ *,* 

$$
\int_0^t \Psi_s(x) ds = \lim_{\beta \to 0^+} \frac{\Gamma(d/2)}{2\pi^{d/2}} \frac{\beta}{\kappa_{\delta+\beta} - \kappa_{\delta}} \left( |x|^{-(d-\delta-\alpha-\beta)} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{p}(t, x, z) |z|^{-(d-\delta-\alpha-\beta)} dz \right).
$$

*Proof.* Fix  $x \in \mathbb{R}_0^d$  and  $t > 0$ . By [\(2.4\)](#page-3-2), there is a constant  $c = c(d, \alpha)$  such that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(s, x, z)|z|^{\gamma - d} dz \le \int_{|z| > |x|/2} p(s, x, z)(|x|/2)^{\gamma - d} dz + c \int_{|z| \le |x|/2} \frac{s}{|x|^{d + \alpha}} |z|^{\gamma - d} dz
$$
  

$$
\le (|x|/2)^{\gamma - d} + \frac{c}{\gamma} t |x|^{\gamma - d - \alpha} \le c \frac{t + 1}{\gamma} \left(1 + |x|^{-d - \alpha}\right), \quad s \in (0, t), \ \gamma \in (0, d).
$$

Then, by [\(2.15\)](#page-4-6), there is  $c' = c'(d, \alpha, t)$  such that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \beta \tilde{p}(s, x, z) |z|^{\beta + \delta - d} dz \le c' H(x) \beta \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(s, x, z) (|z|^{\beta + \delta - d} + |z|^{\beta - d}) dz
$$
  

$$
\le c' H(x) \left(1 + |x|^{-d - \alpha}\right), \quad \beta \in (0, d - \delta).
$$

Therefore, by Lemma [6.1,](#page-22-2) the dominated convergence theorem and [\[6,](#page-24-3) Theorem 3.1],

$$
\int_0^t \Psi_s(x) ds = \lim_{\beta \to 0^+} \frac{\Gamma(d/2)}{2\pi^{d/2}} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \beta \tilde{p}(s, x, z) |z|^{\beta + \delta - d} dz ds
$$
\n(6.1) 
$$
= \lim_{\beta \to 0^+} \frac{\Gamma(d/2)}{2\pi^{d/2}} \frac{\beta}{\kappa_{d-\delta-\alpha-\beta} - \kappa_{\delta}} \left( |x|^{-(d-\delta-\alpha-\beta)} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{p}(t, x, z) |z|^{-(d-\delta-\alpha-\beta)} dz \right).
$$
\nThe result follows from the symmetry of  $\kappa$ .

<span id="page-22-4"></span>

<span id="page-22-0"></span>**Corollary 6.3.** *For*  $0 \le \delta < \frac{d-\alpha}{2}$ , *we have* 

<span id="page-22-5"></span>(6.2) 
$$
\int_0^t \Psi_s(x) ds = \frac{\Gamma(d/2)}{2\pi^{d/2} \kappa_\delta'} \left( |x|^{-(d-\delta-\alpha)} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{p}(t, x, z) |z|^{-(d-\delta-\alpha)} dz \right), \quad t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}_0^d.
$$
  
For  $\delta = \frac{d-\alpha}{2}$ ,

<span id="page-22-1"></span>(6.3) 
$$
\int_0^t \Psi_s(x) \, ds = \frac{\Gamma(d/2)}{\pi^{d/2} \kappa_0''} \left( |x|^{-\delta} \ln |x| - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{p}(t, z, x) |z|^{-\delta} \ln |z| \, dz \right), \quad t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}_0^d.
$$

*Here,*  $\kappa'_{\delta}$  and  $\kappa''_{\delta}$  are the first and the second derivatives of  $\kappa_{\delta}$ , respectively.

$$
f_{\rm{max}}
$$

*Proof.* For  $0 \le \delta \le \frac{d-\alpha}{2}$  the statement follows directly from Lemma [6.2,](#page-22-3) [\(2.12\)](#page-4-0) and the dominated convergence theorem. For  $\delta = \frac{d-\alpha}{2}$  $\frac{-\alpha}{2}$ , we have  $\kappa'_{\delta} = 0$  and

<span id="page-23-1"></span>(6.4) 
$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{p}(t, x, z) |z|^{\delta + \alpha - d} dz = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{p}(t, x, z) |z|^{-\delta} dz = |x|^{-\delta} = |x|^{\delta + \alpha - d},
$$

see  $(2.21)$ , so the difference in the parentheses on the right hand side of  $(6.1)$  tends to 0. So, as  $\beta \rightarrow 0$ , we use [\(6.4\)](#page-23-1), [\(2.12\)](#page-4-0) and the dominated convergence theorem, obtaining

$$
\int_0^t \Psi_s(x) ds = \lim_{\beta \to 0^+} \frac{\Gamma(d/2)}{2\pi^{d/2}} \frac{\beta^2}{\kappa_{\delta+\beta} - \kappa^*} \left( \frac{|x|^{\beta-\delta} - |x|^{-\delta}}{\beta} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{p}(t, x, z) \frac{|z|^{\beta-\delta} - |z|^{-\delta}}{\beta} dz \right)
$$
  
= 
$$
\frac{\Gamma(d/2)}{\pi^{d/2} \kappa_{\delta}''} \left( |x|^{-\delta} \ln |x| - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{p}(t, x, z) |z|^{-\delta} \ln |z| dz \right).
$$

<span id="page-23-0"></span>**Theorem 6.4.** *For*  $\delta \in [0, \frac{d-\alpha}{2}]$  $\frac{-\alpha}{2}$ ) and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  we have

<span id="page-23-2"></span>(6.5) 
$$
\int_0^\infty \Psi_s(x) \, ds = \frac{\Gamma(d/2)}{2\pi^{d/2} \kappa_\delta'} |x|^{\delta + \alpha - d},
$$

*and*

<span id="page-23-4"></span>(6.6) 
$$
\int_t^{\infty} \Psi_s(x) ds = \frac{\Gamma(d/2)}{2\pi^{d/2} \kappa_{\delta}'} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{p}(t, x, z) |z|^{\delta + \alpha - d} dz, \quad t > 0.
$$

*For*  $\delta = (d - \alpha)/2$ ,

<span id="page-23-5"></span>(6.7) 
$$
\int_t^{\infty} \Psi_s(x) ds = \infty, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad t \ge 0.
$$

*Proof.* We shall prove [\(6.5\)](#page-23-2) by letting  $t \to \infty$  in [\(6.2\)](#page-22-5). To this end let  $x \in \mathbb{R}_0^d$  and  $T > 1$ . By [\(6.2\)](#page-22-5),  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{p}(t, x, z)|z|^{\delta + \alpha - d} dz$  is finite and decreases as t increases to  $\infty$ . Hence, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is  $R > 0$  such that for every  $t > T$ ,

<span id="page-23-3"></span>(6.8) 
$$
\int_{B(0,R)^c} \tilde{p}(t,x,z) |z|^{\delta+\alpha-d} dz \leq \int_{B(0,R)^c} \tilde{p}(T,x,z) |z|^{\delta+\alpha-d} dz < \varepsilon.
$$

By  $(2.12)$ , for  $t > T$  we get

$$
|z|^{\delta+\alpha-d}\tilde{p}(t,x,z) \leq c|z|^{\delta+\alpha-d}(1+t^{\delta/\alpha}|z|^{-\delta})(1+t^{\delta/\alpha}|x|^{-\delta})t^{-d/\alpha}
$$
  

$$
\leq c|z|^{\delta+\alpha-d}(1+|z|^{-\delta})(1+|x|^{-\delta})T^{-(d-2\delta)/\alpha}.
$$

By the dominated convergence theorem,

$$
\lim_{t \to \infty} \int_{B(0,R)} \tilde{p}(t,x,z) |z|^{\delta + \alpha - d} \, \mathrm{d}z = 0.
$$

This and  $(6.8)$  yield  $(6.5)$ . Then  $(6.6)$  follows by  $(6.2)$  and  $(6.5)$ . If  $x = 0$ , then we trivially have infinity on both sides of  $(6.5)$  and  $(6.6)$ . Finally,  $(6.7)$  follows from  $(5.6)$ .

*Remark* 6.5*.* We note that the function

<span id="page-23-6"></span>(6.9) 
$$
\mu_t(x) := \int_0^t \Psi_s(x) \, ds
$$

is self-similar, too. Namely, by  $(5.3)$  and changing variables  $s = tu$  in  $(6.9)$ , we get

$$
\mu_t(x) = t^{(\alpha + \delta - d)/\alpha} \mu_1(t^{-1/\alpha} x), \quad t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d.
$$

Furthermore,  $\mu$  satisfies the Duhamel formula,

$$
\mu_t(x) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mu_s(z) q(z) p(t-s, x, z) \, \mathrm{d}z \, \mathrm{d}s.
$$

Indeed, by [\(5.5\)](#page-16-4) and Fubini-Tonelli,

$$
\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} p(t-s,x,z)q(z)\mu_{s}(z) dz ds = \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} p(t-s,x,z)q(z) \int_{0}^{s} \Psi_{s-r}(z) dr dz ds \n= \int_{0}^{t} \int_{r}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} p(t-s,x,z)q(z) \Psi_{s-r}(z) ds dz dr \n= \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{t-r} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} p(t-r-s,x,z)q(z) \Psi_{s}(z) ds dz dr \n= \int_{0}^{t} \Psi_{t-r}(z) dr = \mu_{t}(x).
$$

Of course, it is  $\Psi_t$ , not  $\mu_t$ , that captures the large time asymptotics for the solutions of the equation [\(1.9\)](#page-2-3) in Theorem [1.2.](#page-1-0) Interestingly, it seems feasible, if not easy, to construct  $\mu_t$ directly as  $\lim_{y\to 0} \int_0^t \tilde{p}(s, x, y)/h(y) \, ds$  and then attempt *to define*  $\Psi_t(x) = \partial \mu_t(x)/\partial t$ .

### **REFERENCES**

- <span id="page-24-4"></span>[1] P. Baras and J. A. Goldstein. The heat equation with a singular potential. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 284(1):121–139, 1984.
- <span id="page-24-16"></span><span id="page-24-0"></span>[2] W. Beckner. Pitt's inequality and the uncertainty principle. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 123(6):1897–1905, 1995.
- <span id="page-24-8"></span>[3] L. Beznea, I. Cîmpean, and M. Röckner. A natural extension of Markov processes and applications to singular SDEs. Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat., 56(4):2480–2506, 2020.
- [4] K. Bogdan, T. Byczkowski, T. Kulczycki, M. Ryznar, R. Song, and Z. Vondraček. Potential analysis of stable processes and its extensions, volume 1980 of Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2009. Edited by Piotr Graczyk and Andrzej Stos.
- <span id="page-24-2"></span>[5] K. Bogdan, B. Dyda, and P. Kim. Hardy inequalities and non-explosion results for semigroups. Potential Anal., 44(2):229–247, 2016.
- <span id="page-24-3"></span>[6] K. Bogdan, T. Grzywny, T. Jakubowski, and D. Pilarczyk. Fractional Laplacian with Hardy potential. Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 44(1):20–50, 2019.
- <span id="page-24-9"></span>[7] K. Bogdan, T. Grzywny, and M. Ryznar. Density and tails of unimodal convolution semigroups. J. Funct. Anal., 266(6):3543–3571, 2014.
- <span id="page-24-10"></span>[8] K. Bogdan, W. Hansen, and T. Jakubowski. Time-dependent Schrödinger perturbations of transition densities. Studia Math., 189(3):235–254, 2008.
- <span id="page-24-11"></span>[9] K. Bogdan, T. Jakubowski, and S. Sydor. Estimates of perturbation series for kernels. J. Evol. Equ., 12(4):973–984, 2012.
- <span id="page-24-12"></span>[10] G. Da Prato and J. Zabczyk. Ergodicity for infinite-dimensional systems, volume 229 of London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996.
- <span id="page-24-15"></span>[11] J. L. Doob. Asymptotic properties of Markoff transition prababilities. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 63:393– 421, 1948.
- <span id="page-24-7"></span>[12] J. Duoandikoetxea and E. Zuazua. Moments, masses de Dirac et décomposition de fonctions. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math., 315(6):693-698, 1992.
- <span id="page-24-5"></span>[13] S. Filippas, L. Moschini, and A. Tertikas. Sharp two-sided heat kernel estimates for critical Schrödinger operators on bounded domains. Comm. Math. Phys., 273(1):237–281, 2007.
- <span id="page-24-13"></span>[14] S. R. Foguel. The ergodic theory of Markov processes. Van Nostrand Mathematical Studies, No. 21. Van Nostrand Reinhold Co., New York-Toronto, Ont.-London, 1969.
- <span id="page-24-1"></span>[15] R. L. Frank and R. Seiringer. Non-linear ground state representations and sharp Hardy inequalities. J. Funct. Anal., 255(12):3407–3430, 2008.
- <span id="page-24-6"></span>[16] M.-H. Giga, Y. Giga, and J. Saal. Nonlinear partial differential equations, volume 79 of Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications. Birkhäuser Boston, Ltd., Boston, MA, 2010. Asymptotic behavior of solutions and self-similar solutions.
- <span id="page-24-17"></span>[17] J. A. Goldstein and I. Kombe. Instantaneous blow up. In Advances in differential equations and mathematical physics (Birmingham, AL, 2002), volume 327 of Contemp. Math., pages 141–150. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003.
- <span id="page-24-18"></span>[18] L. Grafakos. Classical Fourier analysis, volume 249 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer, New York, second edition, 2008.
- <span id="page-24-14"></span>[19] M. Hairer. Convergence of Markov Processes. Minicourse, 2021.
- <span id="page-25-16"></span><span id="page-25-0"></span>[20] I. W. Herbst. Spectral theory of the operator  $(p^2 + m^2)^{1/2} - Ze^2/r$ . Comm. Math. Phys., 53(3):285–294, 1977.
- <span id="page-25-11"></span>[21] J. Komorník. Asymptotic periodicity of the iterates of weakly constrictive Markov operators. Tohoku Math. J. (2), 38(1):15–27, 1986.
- <span id="page-25-15"></span>[22] T. Komorowski. Asymptotic periodicity of some stochastically perturbed dynamical systems. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist., 28(2):165–178, 1992.
- [23] T. Komorowski, S. Peszat, and T. Szarek. On ergodicity of some Markov processes. Ann. Probab., 38(4):1401–1443, 2010.
- <span id="page-25-21"></span><span id="page-25-17"></span>[24] T. Komorowski and J. Tyrcha. Asymptotic properties of some Markov operators. Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math., 37(1-6):221–228 (1990), 1989.
- <span id="page-25-8"></span>[25] A. Kulik and M. Scheutzow. A coupling approach to Doob's theorem. Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Lincei Mat. Appl., 26(1):83–92, 2015.
- <span id="page-25-14"></span>[26] M. Kwaśnicki. Ten equivalent definitions of the fractional Laplace operator. Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal., 20(1):7–51, 2017.
- [27] A. Lasota. From fractals to stochastic differential equations. In Chaos—the interplay between stochastic and deterministic behaviour (Karpacz, 1995), volume 457 of Lecture Notes in Phys., pages 235–255. Springer, Berlin, 1995.
- <span id="page-25-13"></span><span id="page-25-12"></span>[28] A. Lasota and M. C. Mackey. Chaos, fractals, and noise, volume 97 of Applied Mathematical Sciences. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1994. Stochastic aspects of dynamics.
- [29] A. Lasota and J. A. Yorke. Lower bound technique for Markov operators and iterated function systems. Random Comput. Dynam., 2(1):41–77, 1994.
- <span id="page-25-22"></span><span id="page-25-2"></span>[30] E. H. Lieb and M. Loss. Analysis, volume 14 of Graduate Studies in Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, second edition, 2001.
- [31] V. Liskevich and Z. Sobol. Estimates of integral kernels for semigroups associated with second-order elliptic operators with singular coefficients. Potential Anal., 18(4):359–390, 2003.
- <span id="page-25-4"></span><span id="page-25-3"></span>[32] P. D. Milman and Y. A. Semenov. Global heat kernel bounds via desingularizing weights. J. Funct. Anal., 212(2):373–398, 2004.
- [33] P. D. Milman and Y. A. Semenov. Corrigendum to: "Global heat kernel bounds via desingularizing weights" [J. Funct. Anal. 212 (2004), no. 2, 373–398; mr2064932]. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 229(1):238–239, 2005.
- <span id="page-25-5"></span>[34] L. Moschini and A. Tesei. Parabolic Harnack inequality for the heat equation with inverse-square potential. Forum Math., 19(3):407–427, 2007.
- <span id="page-25-9"></span>[35] M. Murugan and L. Saloff-Coste. Davies' method for anomalous diffusions. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 145(4):1793–1804, 2017.
- <span id="page-25-7"></span><span id="page-25-6"></span>[36] L. Mytnik and E. Perkins. The dimension of the boundary of super-Brownian motion. preprint, 2017.
- [37] D. Pilarczyk. Self-similar asymptotics of solutions to heat equation with inverse square potential. J. Evol.  $Equ., 13(1):69-87, 2013.$
- <span id="page-25-19"></span><span id="page-25-10"></span>[38] W. Rudin. *Functional analysis*. International Series in Pure and Applied Mathematics. McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York, second edition, 1991.
- [39] K.-i. Sato. L´evy processes and infinitely divisible distributions, volume 68 of Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013. Translated from the 1990 Japanese original, Revised edition of the 1999 English translation.
- <span id="page-25-24"></span>[40] E. M. Stein. Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions. Princeton Mathematical Series, No. 30. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1970.
- <span id="page-25-18"></span>[41] L. Stettner. Remarks on ergodic conditions for Markov processes on Polish spaces. Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math., 42(2):103–114, 1994.
- <span id="page-25-23"></span>[42] J. L. Vazquez and E. Zuazua. The Hardy inequality and the asymptotic behaviour of the heat equation with an inverse-square potential. J. Funct. Anal.,  $173(1):103-153$ , 2000.
- <span id="page-25-20"></span>[43] J. Voigt. A course on topological vector spaces. Compact Textbooks in Mathematics. Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2020.
- <span id="page-25-1"></span>[44] D. Yafaev. Sharp constants in the Hardy-Rellich inequalities. J. Funct. Anal., 168(1):121–144, 1999.

FACULTY OF PURE AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS, WROCŁAW UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, WYB. WYSPIAŃSKIEGO 27, 50-370 WROCŁAW, POLAND

 $\emph{Email address: krzysztof.bogdan@pwr.edu.pl}$ 

FACULTY OF PURE AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS, WROCŁAW UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, WYB. WYSPIAŃSKIEGO 27, 50-370 WROCŁAW, POLAND  $\emph{Email address:}$ tomasz.jakubowski@pwr.edu.pl

Department of Mathematical Sciences and Research Institute of Mathematics, Seoul National University, Seoul 08826, Republic of Korea Email address: pkim@snu.ac.kr

FACULTY OF PURE AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS, WROCŁAW UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, WYB. WYSPIAŃSKIEGO 27, 50-370 WROCŁAW, POLAND

Email address: dominika.pilarczyk@pwr.edu.pl