

A Rigidity Theorem for Ext

Andrew J. Soto Levins

Abstract

The goal of this paper is to show that if R is an unramified hypersurface, if M and N are finitely generated R modules, and if $\text{Ext}_R^n(M, N) = 0$ for some $n \leq \text{grade } M$, then $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N) = 0$ for $i \leq n$. A corollary of this says that $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, M) \neq 0$ for $i \leq \text{grade } M$ and $M \neq 0$. These results are related to a question of Jorgensen and results of Dao.

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper all rings are commutative and (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) is a Noetherian local ring. The goal of this paper is to prove a rigidity theorem for Ext.

Definition 1.1. Let M be a nonzero finitely generated R module. We say that M is *Ext rigid* if, for all finitely generated R modules N , $\text{Ext}_R^n(M, N) = 0$ for some $n \leq \text{grade } M$ implies $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N) = 0$ for $i \leq n$. (Recall $\text{grade } M = \inf\{i \mid \text{Ext}_R^i(M, R) \neq 0\}$.)

Theorem. (Theorem 2.8 below) *Let R be a regular local ring or an unramified hypersurface and let M be a finitely generated R module. Then M is Ext rigid.*

Recall that R is an *unramified* local ring if R is equicharacteristic or is mixed characteristic and $\text{char}(k) \notin \mathfrak{m}^2$. Also, R is a *hypersurface* if it is not regular and the \mathfrak{m} -adic completion \hat{R} of R is the quotient of a regular local ring by a nonzerodivisor: $\hat{R} \cong Q/x$ where (Q, \mathfrak{n}, k) is a regular local ring and $x \in \mathfrak{n}^2$ is a nonzerodivisor. We give the following as a corollary to the main theorem:

Corollary. (Corollary 2.9 below) *Let R be an unramified hypersurface and let M be a nonzero finitely generated R module. Then $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, M) \neq 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq \text{grade } M$.*

For a Noetherian local ring R and a finitely generated CM module M of finite projective dimension, a result of Ischebek [9, Theorem 17.1] gives us $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, R) = 0$ for

$$i < \text{depth } R - \dim M = \text{depth } R - \text{depth } M = \text{pd}_R M.$$

Since $\text{Ext}_R^{\text{pd}_R M}(M, R) \neq 0$, we have $\text{grade } M = \text{pd}_R M$, so this corollary answers a question of Jorgensen [6, Question 2.7] when the module is CM:

Question 1.2 (Jorgensen). Let M be a nonzero finitely generated module of finite projective dimension over a complete intersection R of positive codimension. Does $\text{Ext}_R^n(M, M) = 0$ for some $n \geq 1$ imply that $\text{pd}_R M < n$?

A result of Jothilingam says that for a finitely generated module over a regular local ring R , $\text{Ext}_R^n(M, M) = 0$ implies that $\text{pd}_R M < n$ (this can be found in [7]). In [6] Jorgensen gives an extension of this result and in [3, Proposition 5.4] Dao shows:

Proposition 1.3 (Dao). *Let R be an unramified hypersurface and let M be a finitely generated R module. Then the answer to Jorgensen's Question 1.2 is "yes" provided $[M] = 0$ in $\overline{G}(R)_\mathbb{Q}$.*

Recall that $G(R)$ is the Grothendieck group of finitely generated modules over R and $\overline{G}(R)_\mathbb{Q} = \overline{G}(R) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ where $\overline{G}(R) = G(R)/\mathbb{Z} \cdot [R]$ is the reduced Grothendieck group. Note that in our corollary we do not need the module to be zero in $\overline{G}(R)_\mathbb{Q}$.

Our main theorem is motivated by the following result of Dao [2, Proposition 2.8]:

Theorem 1.4 (Dao). *Let R be an unramified hypersurface and let M and N be finitely generated R modules so that $\ell(\text{Tor}_i^R(M, N)) < \infty$ for $i \gg 0$. If $\theta^R(M, N) = 0$, then (M, N) is Tor rigid.*

For a finitely generated module M , we say that M is *Tor rigid* if, for all finitely generated R modules N , $\text{Tor}_n^R(M, N) = 0$ for some $n \geq 0$ implies $\text{Tor}_i^R(M, N) = 0$ for all $i \geq n$. For a hypersurface R and finitely generated R modules M and N with $\ell(\text{Tor}_i^R(M, N)) < \infty$ for $i \gg 0$, define $\theta^R(M, N)$ to be

$$\theta^R(M, N) = \ell(\text{Tor}_{2j}^R(M, N)) - \ell(\text{Tor}_{2j+1}^R(M, N))$$

for $j \gg 0$. Results of Auslander [1] and of Lichtenbaum [8] show that every module over a regular local ring is Tor rigid. Auslander originally used this fact to study torsion free modules. In [4] Hochster defined the pairing θ^R . Note that unlike Dao's theorem, the θ^R pairing is not used in our main theorem and we do not need $\ell(\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N)) < \infty$ for $i \gg 0$.

I would like to thank my advisor Mark Walker for his continuous support and patience. His comments enormously contributed to the flow of the proofs and the paper. I would also like to thank David Jorgensen for his comments on an earlier draft of this paper.

2 The Main Theorem and its Corollary

In this section we prove the main theorem and its corollary. We prove the main theorem in two steps. We first show that it is true when $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N)$ has finite length for all i and then prove the general case by reducing to the finite length case. We start by giving a definition and a lemma:

Definition 2.1. Let M be a finitely generated R module and let $g = \text{grade } M$. If

$$\dots \xrightarrow{\partial_2} F_1 \xrightarrow{\partial_1} F_0 \xrightarrow{\partial_0} 0$$

is a minimal free resolution of M over R , define $E_R(M)$ to be

$$E_R(M) = \text{coker}(F_{g-1}^* \xrightarrow{\partial_g^*} F_g^*),$$

where $F_i^* = \text{Hom}_R(F_i, R)$.

Lemma 2.2. Let M be a finitely generated R module and let $g = \text{grade } M$. If N is an R module, then

$$\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N) \cong \text{Tor}_{g-i}^R(E_R(M), N)$$

for $0 \leq i \leq g - 1$.

Proof. Let

$$F_\bullet = (\dots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow 0)$$

be a minimal free resolution of M over R and consider

$$F_{\leq g} = (0 \rightarrow F_g \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow 0).$$

Since $g = \text{grade } M$, we have $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, R) = 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq g - 1$, so

$$F_{\leq g}^* = (0 \rightarrow F_0^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow F_d^* \rightarrow 0)$$

is a minimal free resolution of $E_R(M)$ over R . The result follows from the fact that $\text{Hom}_R(F_{\leq g}, N)$ and $F_{\leq g}^* \otimes_R N$ are isomorphic. \square

Recall the following definition:

Definition 2.3. Fix j . Let Q be a ring and let M and N be Q modules with $\ell(\text{Tor}_i^Q(M, N)) < \infty$ for $i \geq j$. If $\text{Tor}_i^Q(M, N) = 0$ for $i \gg 0$, then define $\chi_j^Q(M, N)$ to be:

$$\chi_j^Q(M, N) = \sum_{i \geq j} (-1)^{i-j} \ell(\text{Tor}_i^Q(M, N)).$$

The following theorem was proven by Hochster and Lichtenbaum. It was proved by Lichtenbaum in [8] in most cases. The rest of the cases were proved by Hochster in [5].

Theorem 2.4 (Hochster and Lichtenbaum). *Let (Q, \mathfrak{n}, k) be an unramified regular local ring and let M and N be finitely generated Q modules. Let $j \geq 0$ be an integer and assume that $\ell(\text{Tor}_i^Q(M, N)) < \infty$ for $i \geq j$. Then the following hold:*

1. $\chi_j^Q(M, N) \geq 0$.
2. If $j \geq 1$, then $\chi_j^Q(M, N) = 0$ if and only if $\text{Tor}_i^Q(M, N) = 0$ for all $i \geq j$.

We make the following definition and prove an analog of the above theorem for Ext.

Definition 2.5. Let Q be a ring and let M and N be Q modules. Let $j \geq 0$ be an integer and assume that $\ell(\text{Ext}_Q^i(M, N)) < \infty$ for $i \leq j$. Define $\overline{\xi}_j^Q(M, N)$ to be

$$\overline{\xi}_j^Q(M, N) = \sum_{i=0}^j (-1)^{-i} \ell(\text{Ext}_Q^{j-i}(M, N)).$$

Lemma 2.6. Let (Q, \mathfrak{n}, k) be an unramified regular local ring and let M and N be finitely generated Q modules. Let i be an integer so that $1 \leq i \leq \text{grade } M - 1$ and assume that $\ell(\text{Ext}_Q^j(M, N)) < \infty$ for $j \leq i$. Then the following hold:

1. $\overline{\xi}_i^Q(M, N) \geq 0$.
2. $\overline{\xi}_i^Q(M, N) = 0$ if and only if $\text{Ext}_Q^j(M, N) = 0$ for $j \leq i$.

Proof. Let $g = \text{grade } M$. Then $\text{Ext}_Q^j(M, N) = \text{Tor}_{g-j}^Q(E_Q(M), N)$ for $0 \leq j \leq g - 1$ by Lemma 2.2, so by Theorem 2.4 due to Hochster and Lichtenbaum we have

$$\overline{\xi}_i^Q(M, N) = \chi_{g-i}^Q(E_Q(M), N) \geq 0.$$

Also, if $\overline{\xi}_i^Q(M, N) = 0$, then $\chi_{g-i}^Q(E_Q(M), N) = 0$. Therefore

$$\text{Ext}_Q^j(M, N) = \text{Tor}_{g-j}^Q(E_Q(M), N) = 0$$

for $j \leq i$ again using Theorem 2.4. \square

Lemma 2.7. Let R be an unramified hypersurface and let M and N be finitely generated R modules. Let i be an integer so that $i \leq \text{grade } M$ and assume $\ell(\text{Ext}_R^j(M, N)) < \infty$ for $j \leq i$. If $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N) = 0$, then $\text{Ext}_R^j(M, N) = 0$ for $j \leq i$.

Proof. Note that the completion of an unramified hypersurface is an unramified hypersurface. Also, \hat{R} is a faithfully flat extension of R , so by the Cohen structure theorem and [9, Theorem 21.1] we can assume $R = Q/x$ for some unramified regular local ring (Q, \mathfrak{n}, k) and some nonzerodivisor $x \in \mathfrak{n}^2$.

We can also assume that $i > 0$. Suppose $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N) = 0$ and consider the following long exact sequence:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^0(M, N) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_Q^0(M, N) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_R^{-1}(M, N) & \rightarrow & \dots \\
\left. \begin{array}{c} \longrightarrow \\ \longrightarrow \\ \longrightarrow \end{array} \right\} & & & & & & \\
\text{Ext}_R^{i-1}(M, N) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_Q^{i-1}(M, N) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_R^{i-2}(M, N) & & \\
\left. \begin{array}{c} \longrightarrow \\ \longrightarrow \end{array} \right\} & & & & & & \\
\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_Q^i(M, N) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \text{Ext}_R^{i-1}(M, N) & & \\
\left. \begin{array}{c} \longrightarrow \\ \longrightarrow \end{array} \right\} & & & & & & \\
\text{coker}(\phi) & \longrightarrow & 0. & & & &
\end{array}$$

It follows that

$$\bar{\xi}_i^Q(M, N) + \ell(\text{coker}(\phi)) = \ell(\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N)).$$

Let $g = \text{grade}_R M$. Then Lemma 2 in section 18 of [9] implies $g = \text{grade}_Q M - 1$, so we have $1 \leq i \leq g < \text{grade}_Q M$. Therefore by Lemma 2.6 we have $\bar{\xi}_i^Q(M, N) \geq 0$, so

$$0 \leq \bar{\xi}_i^Q(M, N) + \ell(\text{coker}(\phi)) = \ell(\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N)) = 0.$$

This gives us $\text{Ext}_R^j(M, N) = 0$ for $j \leq i$ by Lemma 2.6 again. \square

We can now prove the main theorem. The proof of this theorem is similar to the proof of Proposition 2.8 in [2].

Theorem 2.8. *Let R be a regular local ring or an unramified hypersurface and let M be a finitely generated R module. Then M is Ext rigid.*

Proof. Let N be a finitely generated R module. The theorem follows from a result of Jothilingam in the regular case: when R is a regular local ring, if $\text{Ext}_R^n(M, N) = 0$ for some $n \leq \text{grade } M$, the Lemma in [7] tells us that

$$\text{Ext}_R^{n-1}(M, R) \otimes_R N \cong \text{Ext}_R^{n-1}(M, N).$$

Since $n - 1 < \text{grade } M$, we have $\text{Ext}_R^{n-1}(M, R) = 0$, so $\text{Ext}_R^{n-1}(M, N) = 0$.

Now assume that R is an unramified hypersurface. We can assume R is complete as in the previous proof, and hence by [1, Lemma 3.4] R_p is an unramified hypersurface for all primes p of R . Also, since

$$\text{grade } M = \inf\{i \mid \text{Ext}_R^i(M, R) \neq 0\},$$

we have $\text{grade } M \leq \text{grade}_{R_p} M_p$ for all $p \in \text{Supp } M$.

We proceed by induction on $\dim R$. Since $\text{grade } M \leq \text{depth } R = \dim R$, there is nothing to show when $\dim R = 0$, so assume $\dim R > 0$ and suppose $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N) = 0$ for some $i \leq \text{grade } M$.

Let p be a nonmaximal prime in R . If $M_p = 0$, then $\text{Ext}_{R_p}^j(M_p, N_p) = 0$ for all j . If $M_p \neq 0$, then induction tells us that $\text{Ext}_{R_p}^j(M_p, N_p) = 0$ for $j \leq i$ because $\text{Ext}_{R_p}^i(M_p, N_p) = 0$, $i \leq \text{grade } M \leq \text{grade}_{R_p} M_p$, and $\dim R_p < \dim R$. This gives us $\text{Ext}_{R_p}^j(M_p, N_p) = 0$ for $j \leq i$ and all nonmaximal primes p , and so $\ell(\text{Ext}_R^j(M, N)) < \infty$ for $j \leq i$. Since $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N) = 0$, Lemma 2.7 tells us that $\text{Ext}_R^j(M, N) = 0$ for $j \leq i$. \square

Corollary 2.9. *Let R be an unramified hypersurface and let M be a nonzero finitely generated R module. Then $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, M) \neq 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq \text{grade } M$. In particular, the answer to Jorgensen's Question 1.2 is "yes" for such R and all CM R modules M .*

Proof. Since $\text{Hom}_R(M, M) \neq 0$ and since $\text{pd}_R M = \text{grade } M$ for CM R modules with finite projective dimension, this follows from Theorem 2.8. \square

As noted in the proof of Corollary 2.9, the main theorem gives the following:

Assume R is a regular local ring or an unramified hypersurface and let M be a finitely generated CM R module with finite projective dimension. If N is a finitely generated R module and if $\text{Ext}_R^n(M, N) = 0$ for some $n \leq \text{pd}_R M$, then $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N) = 0$ for $i \leq n$.

One might hope that this property would hold after dropping the CM assumption, but the following example shows that this is not the case.

Example 2.10. Let $Q = k[[x, y, z]]$ and let $M = Q/(x^2, xy, xz)$. Then $\text{pd}_Q M = 3$, $\text{Ext}_Q^2(M, Q) = 0$, and $\text{Ext}_Q^1(M, Q) \neq 0$.

References

- [1] Auslander, M. *Modules over Unramified Regular Local Rings*, Illinois Journal of Mathematics, vol. 5, no. 4, Dec. 1961. DOI.org (Crossref), <https://doi.org/10.1215/ijm/1255631585>.
- [2] Dao, Hailong *Decent Intersection and Tor-Rigidity for Modules over Local Hypersurfaces*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, vol. 365, no. 6, Nov. 2012, pp. 2803–21. DOI.org (Crossref), <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9947-2012-05574-7>.
- [3] Dao, Hailong *Some Observations on Local and Projective Hypersurfaces*, Mathematical Research Letters, vol. 15, no. 2, 2008, pp.207-19. DOI.org (Crossref), <https://doi.org/10.4310/MRL.2008.v15.n2.a1>.
- [4] Hochster, Melvin *The Dimension of an Intersection in an Ambient Hypersurface*, Proceedings of the First Midwest Algebraic Geometry Conference, May 1980.

-
- [5] Hochster, Melvin *Euler Characteristics over Unramified Regular Local Rings*, Illinois Journal of Mathematics, vol. 28, no. 2, June 1984. DOI.org (Crossref), <https://doi.org/10.1215/ijm/1256065276>.
- [6] Jorgensen, David A. *Finite Projective Dimension and the Vanishing of $\text{Ext}_R(M, M)$* , Communications in Algebra, vol. 36, no. 12, Dec. 2008, pp.4461-71. DOI.org (Crossref), <https://doi.org/10.1080/00927870802179560>.
- [7] Jothilingam, P. *A Note on Grade*, Nagoya Mathematical Journal, vol. 59, Dec. 1975, pp.149-52. DOI.org (Crossref), <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0027763000016858>.
- [8] Lichtenbaum, Stephen *On the Vanishing of Tor in Regular Local Rings*, Illinois Journal of Mathematics, vol. 10, no. 2, June 1966. DOI.org (Crossref), <https://doi.org/10.1215/ijm/1256055103>.
- [9] Matsumura, Hideyuki *Commutative Ring Theory*, Cambridge University Press, 1986.