

Incompressible polar active fluids with quenched disorder in dimensions $d > 2$

Leiming Chen,^{1,*} Chiu Fan Lee,^{2,†} Ananyo Maitra,^{3,‡} and John Toner^{4,5,§}

¹*School of Material Science and Physics, China University of Mining and Technology, Xuzhou Jiangsu, 221116, P. R. China*

²*Department of Bioengineering, Imperial College London,
South Kensington Campus, London SW7 2AZ, U.K.*

³*Laboratoire de Physique Théorique et Modélisation, CNRS UMR 8089,
CY Cergy Paris Université, F-95302 Cergy-Pontoise Cedex, France*

⁴*Department of Physics and Institute of Theoretical Science, University of Oregon, Eugene, OR 97403¹*

⁵*Max Planck Institute for the Physics of Complex Systems, Nöthnitzer Str. 38, 01187 Dresden, Germany*

(Dated: March 4, 2022)

We present a hydrodynamic theory of incompressible polar active fluids with quenched disorder. This theory shows that such fluids can overcome the disruption caused by the quenched disorder and move coherently, in the sense of having a non-zero mean velocity in the hydrodynamic limit. However, the scaling behavior of this class of active systems *cannot* be described by linearized hydrodynamics in spatial dimensions between 2 and 5. Nonetheless, we obtain the exact dimension-dependent scaling exponents in these dimensions.

One of the most important themes of condensed matter physics is the competition between order and disorder. One of the most powerful results on this topic is the Mermin-Wagner-Hohenberg theorem [1, 2], which states that *equilibrium* systems cannot spontaneously break a continuous symmetry in spatial dimensions $d \leq 2$ at non-zero temperature.

Much of the current interest in “active matter” as stimulated by the discovery [3–5] that non-equilibrium “movers” *can* spontaneously break a continuous symmetry (rotation invariance), even in the presence of noise, by “flocking”; that is, moving coherently with a non-zero spatially averaged velocity $\langle \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle \neq \mathbf{0}$. Such motion is a form of long-ranged orientational order, and occurs even in noisy systems with only short-range interactions in dimension $d = 2$, and in flocks with birth and death [6]. Such a coherently moving state is an ordered polar active fluid.

In equilibrium systems, even *arbitrarily weak* quenched (i.e., static) random fields destroy long-ranged ferromagnetic order in all spatial dimensions $d \leq 4$ [7–10]. This raises the question: can an ordered polar active fluid form when quenched disorder is present?

This question is relevant experimentally. In many biological contexts, such as the interiors of most cells, motile agents move through a polymer matrix [11]. These matrices are inevitably disordered; that disorder is fixed on the time scale of the motion, and, hence, quenched. It has been shown [12, 13] that such systems can, in certain parameter ranges, form ordered active polar fluids in all spatial dimensions $d > 2$.

The effects of disorder have also been investigated in this and other contexts by ([14–21]).

In this Letter, we consider the *incompressible* limit of polar active fluids, and show that, in all spatial dimensions d in the range $d > 2$, an ordered active polar fluid phase can survive in the presence of a finite amount of quenched disorder. Furthermore, we find that

for $2 < d < 5$, there is a *breakdown of linearized hydrodynamics*, just as there is in simple fluids [22] for $d \leq 2$, and flocks without quenched disorder for $d \leq 4$ [4, 5]. That is, the spatio-temporal scaling of fluctuations in these systems is *not* correctly given by a linear theory, due to strong non-linear coupling between large fluctuations. Nonetheless, there *is* universal scaling of correlations in this range of spatial dimensions, and we have been able to determine its scaling exponents *exactly*.

We have earlier shown [31] that incompressible polar active fluids retain long-range order even in $d = 2$ in the presence of quenched disorder. Since the effect of fluctuations is expected to reduce with increasing dimensionality, this would seem to directly imply long-range order for all $d > 2$ as well. However, the incompressible flock in $d = 2$ is qualitatively distinct from that in higher dimensions [27, 29] since it lacks a true “soft” or hydrodynamic mode for most directions of wavevector (only fluctuations of the velocity field that are transverse to the ordering direction and have a wavevector *along* the ordering direction are truly hydrodynamic in $d = 2$). That is, incompressibility constrains the dynamics to a *much* greater degree in $d = 2$. Because of this, [31] does not automatically imply long-range order in $d > 2$. Our conclusion here that there *is* long range order in all $d > 2$ is therefore nontrivial and new.

One experimental system that would be in the incompressible limit that we focus on here is a collection of motile cells in an extra-cellular matrix that maintains a constant density as it moves, either due to cell-cell avoidance by long-distance sensing, or, e.g., electrostatic charges on the cells, or because of steric interactions in the high packing limit [23]. Indeed, such an incompressibility assumption already has been adopted in recent experimental studies on confluent cell tissues [24] and bacterial swarms [25]. Besides its biophysical relevance, the incompressibility condition is also instrumental in enabling much analytical progress in the study of hy-

hydrodynamic behavior in both passive and active fluids [22, 27, 29].

In the following, we will first present a hydrodynamic theory of incompressible polar active fluids with *both* annealed disorder (which represents endogenous fluctuations due to, e.g., errors made by a motile agent while attempting to follow its neighbors [3]) and quenched disorder (which represents local random heterogeneities in the d -dimensional frictional matrix). We then apply a dynamical renormalization group (hereafter “DRG”) analysis to obtain the exponents that fully characterize the scaling behavior of the system in the moving phase. Specifically, choosing our coordinates so that the x -axis is along the mean velocity $\langle \mathbf{v} \rangle$ of the flock (i.e., $\langle \mathbf{v} \rangle = v_0 \hat{\mathbf{x}}$), and defining the fluctuation $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ of the velocity at the point \mathbf{r} at time t away from this mean velocity via $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}, t) - v_0 \hat{\mathbf{x}}$, we find that the two point correlations $\langle \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r}, t) \cdot \mathbf{u}(0, \mathbf{0}) \rangle$ of these fluctuations is of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r}, t) \cdot \mathbf{u}(0, \mathbf{0}) \rangle &= r_{\perp}^{2\chi} G_Q \left(\frac{x}{r_{\perp}^{\zeta}} \right) \\ &+ r_{\perp}^{2\chi'} G_A \left(\frac{|x - \gamma_0 t|}{r_{\perp}^{\zeta'}}, \frac{t}{r_{\perp}^{z'}} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where G_Q and G_A are universal scaling functions, γ_0 is a model-dependent non-universal speed, and the universal scaling exponents are given by

$$\zeta = \frac{d+1}{3} = \frac{4}{3}, \quad \chi = \frac{2-d}{3} = -\frac{1}{3}, \quad (2a)$$

$$\zeta' = \frac{2(d+1)}{d+7} = \frac{4}{5}, \quad z' = \frac{4(d+1)}{d+7} = \frac{8}{5}, \quad (2b)$$

$$\chi' = -\left(\frac{d^2 + 4d - 9}{2(d+7)} \right) = -\frac{3}{5}, \quad (2c)$$

for spatial dimensions between 2 and 5, where the final equalities hold in the physically relevant case $d = 3$.

Hydrodynamic description.—We start with a hydrodynamic model of a generic incompressible polar active fluid with both quenched and annealed fluctuations. As for the Navier-Stokes equations that govern passive fluids in the incompressible limit [22], the only hydrodynamic field is the velocity \mathbf{v} . However, in contrast to the Navier-Stokes equations, the equation of motion (EOM) of \mathbf{v} here are more complicated because our system has neither momentum conservation nor Galilean invariance, and breaks time-reversal symmetry [32]. This is because the motile agents move through a frictional (and disordered) medium.

By symmetry considerations alone [4, 5, 25, 27, 29], the generic hydrodynamic EOM for this system is

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \mathbf{v} + \lambda_1 (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v} &= -\nabla P - (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla P_1) \mathbf{v} + U(|\mathbf{v}|) \mathbf{v} \quad (3) \\ &+ \mu_1 \nabla^2 \mathbf{v} + \mu_2 (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla)^2 \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{f}_Q + \mathbf{f}_A, \end{aligned}$$

where the “pressure” P acts as a Lagrange multiplier to enforce the incompressibility constraint: $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0$. Furthermore, \mathbf{f}_Q and \mathbf{f}_A are respectively the quenched and

annealed noises, which have zero means and correlations of the form

$$\langle f_Q^i(\mathbf{r}, t) f_Q^j(\mathbf{r}', t') \rangle = 2D_Q \delta_{ij} \delta^d(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'), \quad (4a)$$

$$\langle f_A^i(\mathbf{r}, t) f_A^j(\mathbf{r}', t') \rangle = 2D_A \delta_{ij} \delta^d(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \delta(t - t'), \quad (4b)$$

where the indices i, j enumerate the spatial coordinates. In the EOM (3), we have only included terms that are relevant to the universal scaling behavior, based on the DRG analysis below.

Since we are here interested exclusively in the moving phase, we consider the velocity deviation field, \mathbf{u} , from the mean flow $v_0 \hat{\mathbf{x}}$: $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{v} - v_0 \hat{\mathbf{x}}$. The EOM governing \mathbf{u} can then be obtained from (3) by expanding for small \mathbf{u} , again keeping only relevant terms (some of which, however, are non-linear in \mathbf{u}):

$$\partial_t u_x = -\partial_x P - (\gamma + b) \partial_x u_x - \alpha \left(u_x + \frac{u^2}{2v_0} \right) + f_Q^x + f_A^x, \quad (5a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \mathbf{u}_{\perp} &= -\nabla_{\perp} P - \gamma \partial_x \mathbf{u}_{\perp} - \lambda_1 (\mathbf{u}_{\perp} \cdot \nabla_{\perp}) \mathbf{u}_{\perp} + \mathbf{f}_Q^{\perp} + \mathbf{f}_A^{\perp} \\ &- \frac{\alpha}{v_0} \left(u_x + \frac{u^2}{2v_0} \right) \mathbf{u}_{\perp} + \mu_{\perp} \nabla_{\perp}^2 \mathbf{u}_{\perp} + \mu_x \partial_x^2 \mathbf{u}_{\perp}. \end{aligned} \quad (5b)$$

where $\gamma \equiv \lambda_1 v_0$, $\alpha \equiv -v_0 \left(\frac{dU}{d|\mathbf{v}|} \right)_{|\mathbf{v}|=v_0}$, $b \equiv v_0^2 \left(\frac{dP_1}{d|\mathbf{v}|} \right)_{|\mathbf{v}|=v_0}$, $\mu_{\perp} = \mu_1$, and $\mu_x = \mu_1 + \mu_2 v_0^2$.

Next, we will first focus on the linear regime of the above EOM, which we expect to capture the hydrodynamic behavior for sufficiently high spatial dimensions.

Linear theory.—Rewriting the linearized version of the equations of motion (5a) and (5b) in terms of the spatiotemporally Fourier transformed fields $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{q}, \omega) = (2\pi)^{-(d+1)/2} \int dt d^d r e^{i(\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega t)} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r}, t)$, the linearized EOM of \mathbf{u} (5) are

$$\left[-i(\omega - (\gamma + b)q_x) + \alpha \right] u_x = -iq_x P + f_Q^x + f_A^x, \quad (6a)$$

$$\left[-i(\omega - \gamma q_x) + \Gamma(\mathbf{q}) \right] u_L = -iq_{\perp} P + f_Q^L + f_A^L, \quad (6b)$$

$$\left[-i(\omega - \gamma q_x) + \Gamma(\mathbf{q}) \right] \mathbf{u}_T = \mathbf{f}_Q^T + \mathbf{f}_A^T, \quad (6c)$$

where we have decomposed \mathbf{u}_{\perp} into components perpendicular and parallel to \mathbf{q}_{\perp} : $\mathbf{u}_{\perp}(\mathbf{q}, \omega) = u_L(\mathbf{q}, \omega) \hat{\mathbf{q}}_{\perp} + \mathbf{u}_T(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$, and introduced the \mathbf{q} -dependent damping coefficient:

$$\Gamma(\mathbf{q}) \equiv \mu_{\perp} q_{\perp}^2 + \mu_x q_x^2. \quad (7)$$

We now calculate the autocorrelation functions in this linear theory. Since the EOM of \mathbf{u}_T is completely decoupled from the other two modes, its autocorrelation function can be obtained immediately:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{u}_T(\mathbf{q}, \omega) \cdot \mathbf{u}_T(\mathbf{q}', \omega') \rangle &= C_A^T(\mathbf{q}, \omega) \delta(\omega + \omega') \delta(\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{q}') \\ &+ C_Q^T(\mathbf{q}) \delta(\omega) \delta(\omega') \delta(\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{q}') \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where

$$C_A^T(\mathbf{q}, \omega) = \frac{2D_A(d-2)}{(\omega - \gamma q_x)^2 + [\Gamma(\mathbf{q})]^2}, \quad (9a)$$

$$C_Q^T(\mathbf{q}) = \frac{4\pi(d-2)D_Q}{\gamma^2 q_x^2 + [\Gamma(\mathbf{q})]^2}, \quad (9b)$$

and the subscripts A and Q denote the annealed and quenched parts, respectively.

For the autocorrelations of u_x and u_L , we first need to eliminate P via the incompressibility condition $q_x u_x + q_\perp u_L = 0$. Doing so, we find that their autocorrelation functions, $\langle u_m(\mathbf{q}, \omega) u_m(\mathbf{q}', \omega') \rangle$ where $m = x, L$, are of the form

$$C_A^m(\mathbf{q}, \omega) \delta(\omega + \omega') \delta(\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{q}') + C_Q^m(\mathbf{q}) \delta(\omega) \delta(\omega') \delta(\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{q}'), \quad (10)$$

where

$$C_A^{x,L}(\mathbf{q}, \omega) = \frac{q_{\perp,x}^2}{q^2} \frac{2D_A}{\left[\omega - \left(\frac{bq^2}{q^2} + \gamma \right) q_x \right]^2 + \left[\frac{\alpha q^2}{q^2} + \frac{q_x^2}{q^2} \Gamma(\mathbf{q}) \right]^2}, \quad (11a)$$

$$C_Q^{x,L}(\mathbf{q}) = \frac{q_{\perp,x}^2}{q^2} \frac{4\pi D_Q}{\left(\frac{bq^2}{q^2} + \gamma \right)^2 q_x^2 + \left[\frac{\alpha q^2}{q^2} + \frac{q_x^2}{q^2} \Gamma(\mathbf{q}) \right]^2}. \quad (11b)$$

In the hydrodynamic limit ($\omega \rightarrow 0$, $\mathbf{q} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$), we see that $C_{A,Q}^{x,L}$ are finite for most \mathbf{q} 's (i.e., when $q_x \lesssim q_\perp$), while $C_{A,Q}^T$ diverges as either $1/\omega^2$ or $1/q^2$. Therefore, \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u} correlation is dominated by the fluctuations in \mathbf{u}_T , and so

$$\langle \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{q}, \omega) \cdot \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{q}', \omega') \rangle \approx \langle \mathbf{u}_T(\mathbf{q}, \omega) \cdot \mathbf{u}_T(\mathbf{q}', \omega') \rangle. \quad (12)$$

This result can also be rationalized by the fact that u_x corresponds to the usual ‘‘massive’’ mode, while u_L becomes ‘‘almost massive’’ because u_x is enslaved to it by the incompressibility condition $q_x u_x + q_\perp u_L = 0$, rendering it impossible, for most directions of \mathbf{q} , to create a non-zero u_L without also creating a massive u_x field along with it.

Using (8,9), and (12), the fluctuations of \mathbf{u} in real space and time can be obtained by integrating over all wavevectors \mathbf{q} and frequencies ω . Performing the frequency integral gives:

$$\langle |\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r}, t)|^2 \rangle \approx \frac{(d-2)}{(2\pi)^d} \int d^d \mathbf{q} \left[\frac{D_A}{\Gamma(\mathbf{q})} + \frac{2D_Q}{\gamma^2 q_x^2 + [\Gamma(\mathbf{q})]^2} \right]. \quad (13)$$

In the infrared limit ($\mathbf{q} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$), the second term in the integrand (due to the quenched disorder D_Q) is more divergent and thus dominates the fluctuations in the system. Focusing on this term, we see that the integral is logarithmically divergent in $d = 3$, which implies quasi-long-range orientational order at this lower critical dimension.




FIG. 1. The scaling exponents plotted versus spatial dimension d in incompressible polar active fluids with quenched disorder ($D_Q > 0$, $D_A > 0$, blue) and with annealed disorder only ($D_Q = 0$, $D_A > 0$, red). The scaling exponents are the anisotropy exponent ζ , the roughness exponent χ , and the exponent θ for the power law decay of the equal-position correlation in the quenched case (32), while $\theta = 2\chi/z$ in the annealed case. In both quenched and annealed cases, the $d > 2$ results do not converge to the $d = 2$ results (blue crosses and red pluses for the quenched [31] and annealed [27, 29] cases, respectively). The upper critical dimensions are 5 and 4 for the quenched and annealed cases, respectively.

Further, based on the scaling of the fluctuations in (9) and (13), we can deduce two of the scaling exponents in this linear theory:

$$\zeta_{\text{lin}} = 2, \quad \chi_{\text{lin}} = \frac{3-d}{2}. \quad (14)$$

However, we will now demonstrate that all the above conclusions are modified by the nonlinearity in the EOM when $d < 5$ and, in particular, the flock moves coherently, i.e., has long-range order, for all $d > 2$.

Nonlinear theory.—As indicated by the linear theory, fluctuations in \mathbf{u} are dominated by those of \mathbf{u}_\perp (more precisely the transverse components of \mathbf{u}_\perp , i.e., \mathbf{u}_T). We will therefore focus on the full EOM of \mathbf{u}_\perp (5b) which, after eliminating all irrelevant terms, becomes

$$\partial_t \mathbf{u}_\perp = -\nabla_\perp P - \gamma \partial_x \mathbf{u}_\perp - \lambda_1 (\mathbf{u}_\perp \cdot \nabla_\perp) \mathbf{u}_\perp + \mu_\perp \nabla_\perp^2 \mathbf{u}_\perp + \mu_x \partial_x^2 \mathbf{u}_\perp + \mathbf{f}_Q^\perp + \mathbf{f}_A^\perp. \quad (15)$$

We will now derive the exact scaling exponents from (15) using the DRG [22]. In this DRG analysis, we first decompose the field \mathbf{u}_\perp into the rapidly varying and slowly varying parts, which are supported in the small- and large-momentum space respectively. We then average the EOM over the rapidly varying fields to get an effective EOM for the slowly varying fields. In this process the various coefficients in the EOM get renormalized and this renormalization can be represented by Feynman diagrams. We will therefore refer to all corrections that arise due to this part of the DRG process as “graphical corrections”. Next we rescale the time, lengths, and the field as follows:

$$t \rightarrow te^{z\ell}, \quad x \rightarrow xe^{\zeta\ell}, \quad r_\perp \rightarrow r_\perp e^\ell, \quad \mathbf{u}_\perp \rightarrow \mathbf{u}_\perp e^{\chi\ell}, \quad (16)$$

to restore the supporting momentum space (i.e., the Brillouin zone) back to its original size. This procedure is repeated infinitely, leading to the following flow equations for the various coefficients:

$$\frac{d\mu_\perp}{d\ell} = (z - 2 + \eta_\perp) \mu_\perp, \quad (17a)$$

$$\frac{d\mu_x}{d\ell} = (z - 2\zeta) \mu_x, \quad (17b)$$

$$\frac{d\gamma}{d\ell} = (z - \zeta) \gamma, \quad (17c)$$

$$\frac{d\lambda_1}{d\ell} = (z + \chi - 1) \lambda_1, \quad (17d)$$

$$\frac{dD_Q}{d\ell} = [2z - 2\chi - \zeta - (d - 1)] D_Q, \quad (17e)$$

$$\frac{dD_A}{d\ell} = [z - 2\chi - \zeta - (d - 1)] D_A. \quad (17f)$$

where η_\perp represents the graphical correction to μ_\perp – the only graphical correction to the DRG flow equations above. We will now explain why there are no other graphical corrections.

To see that there is no graphical correction to λ_1 , we first note that, since the quenched noise, which dominates the fluctuations, is static, we can look for steady-state solutions to the equation of motion (15). This means we can set the time derivative in that equation to zero.

We can also neglect the term $\mu_x \partial_x^2 \mathbf{u}_\perp(\mathbf{r}_\perp, x)$ in equation (15), because that term proves to be irrelevant for the quenched fluctuations, which dominate the graphical corrections.

This leaves the equation of motion in the form

$$\gamma \partial_x \mathbf{u}_\perp(\mathbf{r}_\perp, x) + \lambda_1 (\mathbf{u}_\perp(\mathbf{r}_\perp, x) \cdot \nabla_\perp) \mathbf{u}_\perp(\mathbf{r}_\perp, x) - \mu_\perp \nabla_\perp^2 \mathbf{u}_\perp(\mathbf{r}_\perp, x) = \mathbf{f}_Q(\mathbf{r}_\perp, x). \quad (18)$$

This equation is invariant under the transformation

$$\mathbf{u}_\perp(\mathbf{r}, t) \rightarrow \mathbf{u}_\perp(\mathbf{r}_\perp - (\lambda_1/\gamma) \mathbf{w}x, x) + \mathbf{w}, \quad (19)$$

where \mathbf{w} is an arbitrary constant velocity in the \perp space. This symmetry, which emerges only in the hydrodynamic limit (since we had to drop irrelevant terms like $\partial_t \mathbf{u}_\perp$ and $\partial_x^2 \mathbf{u}_\perp$ in order to achieve it), must be preserved under the DRG transformation. Since this symmetry explicitly involves the ratio λ_1/γ , this implies that ratio can get no graphical correction.

Second, we note that the relevant part of the nonlinear term $(\mathbf{u}_\perp \cdot \nabla_\perp) \mathbf{u}_\perp$ is a total “ \perp ” derivative:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{u}_\perp \cdot \nabla_\perp) \mathbf{u}_\perp &= \partial_i^\perp (u_i^\perp \mathbf{u}_\perp) - (\nabla_\perp \cdot \mathbf{u}_\perp) \mathbf{u}_\perp \\ &= \partial_i^\perp (u_i^\perp \mathbf{u}_\perp) + (\partial_x u_x) \mathbf{u}_\perp \approx \partial_i^\perp (u_i^\perp \mathbf{u}_\perp), \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

where in the second equality we have used the incompressibility constraint $\nabla_\perp \cdot \mathbf{u}_\perp + \partial_x u_x = 0$, and in “ \approx ” we

have neglected $(\partial_x u_x) \mathbf{u}_\perp$ since it is irrelevant compared to $\partial_i^\perp (u_i^\perp \mathbf{u}_\perp)$.

Since this sole relevant non-linearity is a total \perp -derivative, there are no graphical corrections to μ_2 , γ , and $D_{A,Q}$, because Feynman diagrams constructed from $\partial_i^\perp (u_i^\perp \mathbf{u}_\perp)$ can only generate corrections to terms that are themselves \perp derivatives of some quantity. Since none of the terms $\partial_x^2 \mathbf{u}_\perp$, $\partial_x \mathbf{u}_\perp$, and the noise terms involve \perp -derivatives, they must therefore be unrenormalized graphically. This implies that γ and μ_x are unrenormalized graphically.

Since we have already established that the ratio λ_1/γ gets no graphical correction, the statement that γ itself gets no renormalization then implies that λ_1 gets no graphical renormalization either.

Having established the form of the DRG flow equations (17), - that is, the fact that *only* μ_\perp gets any graphical

corrections (those denoted by η_\perp in (17a) - we will now show that the quenched disorder is *always* relevant at the “annealed” fixed point that controls the ordered phase in the absence of quenched disorder, even when graphical corrections (i.e., the η_\perp term in (17a) are taken into account), and determine the universal scaling exponents (2) in the presence of quenched disorder *exactly*.

We begin by showing that the quenched disorder is always relevant at the annealed fixed point. Note that the *form* of the recursion relations is exactly the same in the absence of quenched disorder as in its presence; that is, the recursion relations (17) continue to hold, albeit with different values for η_\perp when quenched disorder is present than when it is absent. This is because the symmetry arguments presented above for the quenched problem apply equally well to the annealed problem. (The argument for the non-renormalization of λ_1 is different in the annealed case, but the result stands.) Therefore, the same conclusion holds: only μ_\perp gets graphically corrected. The only differences that the absence of quenched disorder makes are: 1) the graphical correction η_\perp will now be generated entirely by the *annealed* noise, rather than the quenched noise, and 2) the values of the exponents z , ζ , and χ will change to the values found in the study of the annealed problem by [27]). They did so by choosing z , ζ , and χ to fix μ_x , μ_\perp , and D_A , since those parameters control the dominant fluctuations in the absence of quenched disorder. To see that only these parameters matter in the annealed problem, one need simply inspect the annealed contribution (i.e., the D_A term) in (13).

Making this choice, and noting that the DRG eigenvalues of D_A and D_Q (i.e., the terms in square brackets in equations (17e) and (17f)) differ by precisely z , it follows that, since we are choosing z , ζ , and χ to make the DRG eigenvalue of D_A vanish, that the eigenvalue for D_Q is given by z . Since z is always positive ($z = \frac{2(d+1)}{5}$ for $d \leq 4$ and $z = 2$ for $d > 4$), it follows that the quenched noise is always strongly relevant. This means it will change the long-distance and time scaling of fluctuations.

We can calculate the new scaling that ensues in the presence of *quenched* noise by much the same reasoning that we just outlined for the annealed problem. The only change is that it is now γ , μ_\perp , and D_Q that we must keep constant at this fixed point, since they control the dominant (i.e., quenched) fluctuations in (13). The coefficient of the relevant non-linear term λ_1 must also be fixed at this stable fixed point. This reasoning leads to four linear equations:

$$z - 2 + \eta_\perp = 0, \quad z - \zeta = 0, \quad (21a)$$

$$2z - 2\chi - \zeta - (d - 1) = 0, \quad z + \chi - 1 = 0. \quad (21b)$$

Solving these equations we find

$$z = \zeta = \frac{d+1}{3}, \quad \chi = \frac{2-d}{3}, \quad \eta_\perp = \frac{5-d}{3}. \quad (22)$$

We see that these scaling exponents differ from those obtained from the linear theory (14), and only become equal to those linear values in $d = 5$. This indicates that the upper critical dimension is 5. Furthermore, $\chi < 0$ as long as $d > 2$, thus showing that true long-range order exists for $d > 2$. At exactly two dimensions, our present analysis no longer holds since the only “soft” dimension is coupled directly to the “hard” dimension through the incompressibility condition, and a completely different formulation of the problem is required. We have addressed the $d = 2$ problem elsewhere [31]. We note that $d = 2$ is also a singular limit of incompressible flocks *without* quenched disorder (see Fig. 1) [27, 29].

Scaling behavior.—Given the scaling exponents just obtained, we will now show that the $\mathbf{u}\text{-}\mathbf{u}$ correlation function is indeed given by (1,2), and discuss the scaling behavior of the correlation function in different limits.

In Fourier transformed space, the $\mathbf{u}\text{-}\mathbf{u}$ correlation function is given by (8,11), with μ_x , γ , and $D_{A,Q}$ given by their “bare” values, since there are no graphical corrections to them (17b-f), while μ_\perp is now \mathbf{q} -dependent due to the graphical renormalization. Specifically,

$$\mu_\perp(\mathbf{q}) = \mu_{\perp 0} \left(\frac{q_\perp}{\Lambda} \right)^{-\eta_\perp} f_{\mu_\perp} \left(\frac{q_x/\Lambda'}{(q_\perp/\Lambda)^\zeta} \right), \quad (23)$$

where f_{μ_\perp} is a scaling function such that

$$f_{\mu_\perp}(s) \propto \begin{cases} \text{constant}, & s \ll 1, \\ s^{-\eta_\perp/\zeta}, & s \gg 1. \end{cases} \quad (24)$$

Also, Λ is some non-universal ultra-violet cutoff, and $\Lambda' = \frac{\mu_{\perp 0}}{\gamma_0} \Lambda^2$. The exponents η_\perp , ζ are given by (22). The subscript “0” in μ_\perp denotes that it is the bare value of the parameter.

To obtain the $\mathbf{u}\text{-}\mathbf{u}$ correlation function in temporal and spatial space, we inverse Fourier transform $\langle \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{q}, \omega) \cdot \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{q}', \omega') \rangle$ to obtain

$$\langle \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r}, t) \cdot \mathbf{u}(0, \mathbf{0}) \rangle = C_A(\mathbf{r}, t) + C_Q(\mathbf{r}), \quad (25)$$

where

$$C_A(\mathbf{r}, t) = \int \frac{d\omega d^d q}{(2\pi)^{d+1}} e^{i(\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r} - \omega t)} \times \left[\frac{2(d-2)D_{A0}}{(\omega - \gamma_0 q_x)^2 + [\mu_{x0} q_x^2 + \mu_\perp(\mathbf{q}) q_\perp^2]^2} \right], \quad (26a)$$

$$C_Q(\mathbf{r}) = \int \frac{d^d q}{(2\pi)^d} \left[\frac{2(d-2)D_Q}{\gamma_0^2 q_x^2 + [\mu_\perp(\mathbf{q}) q_\perp^2]^2} \right] e^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}}. \quad (26b)$$

are the correlations coming from the annealed and quenched noises, respectively.

For $C_Q(\mathbf{r}, t)$, by changing the variables of integration to: $\mathbf{Q}_\perp \equiv \mathbf{q}_\perp r_\perp$ and $Q_x \equiv q_x x$, (26b) can be written as

$$C_Q(\mathbf{r}) = r_\perp^{2\chi} G_Q \left(\frac{x}{r_\perp^\zeta} \right). \quad (27)$$

where G_Q is a scaling function that we'll give elsewhere.

Now we turn to $C_A(\mathbf{r}, t)$. The dominant contribution to the integral in (26a) comes from the region in which the two terms inside the square brackets in the denominator become comparable:

$$\mu_{x0}q_x^2 \sim \mu_{\perp}(\mathbf{q})q_{\perp}^2. \quad (28)$$

Since $\mu_{\perp}(\mathbf{q})$ diverges at small \mathbf{q} [see (23)], (28) implies $q_x \gg q_{\perp}$ and hence $q_x \gg q_{\perp}^{\zeta}$ since $\zeta > 1$ for $d > 2$ [see (22)]. Using this in (23) we get

$$\mu_{\perp}(\mathbf{q}) = \mu_{\perp 0} \left(\frac{q_x}{\Lambda} \right)^{-\frac{\eta_{\perp}}{\zeta}}. \quad (29)$$

Inserting (29) into (28) and using the same trick of changing variables of integration we obtain

$$C_A(\mathbf{r}, t) = r_{\perp}^{2\chi'} G_A \left(\frac{t}{r_{\perp}^{z'}}, \frac{|x - \gamma_0 t|}{r_{\perp}^{\zeta'}} \right), \quad (30)$$

where ζ' , z' , χ' are given in (2b).

Focusing on the form of the correlation function (2), we will now delineate its scaling behavior in distinct regimes.

Since $\chi > \chi'$ and $\frac{\chi}{\zeta} > \frac{\chi'}{\zeta'}$, the equal-time correlation is dominated by the contribution from the quenched fluctuations. Specifically,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{u}(0, \mathbf{r}) \cdot \mathbf{u}(0, \mathbf{0}) \rangle &= r_{\perp}^{2\chi} G_{3Q} \left(\frac{x}{r_{\perp}^{\zeta}} \right) \\ &\propto \begin{cases} r_{\perp}^{2\chi}, & |x| \ll r_{\perp}^{\zeta}, \\ |x|^{\frac{2\chi}{\zeta}}, & |x| \gg r_{\perp}^{\zeta}. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

On the other hand, (1) shows that the time-dependence of the equal-position correlation is solely determined by the annealed fluctuations, since the quenched fluctuations are constant in time. However, the quenched fluctuations do affect the equal-position correlation indirectly by renormalizing the diffusion coefficient μ_{\perp} , whose anomalous exponent η_{\perp} enters into the expressions of ζ' , z' , and χ' (2b). Setting $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{0}$ in (1) we get the equal-position correlation

$$\langle \mathbf{u}(t, \mathbf{0}) \cdot \mathbf{u}(0, \mathbf{0}) \rangle = \text{constant} + A|t|^{\theta}, \quad (32)$$

where A is a non-universal constant and

$$\theta = \frac{2\chi'}{z'} = - \left(\frac{d^2 + 4d - 9}{4(d+1)} \right) = -\frac{3}{10}, \quad (33)$$

with the last equality holding in the physical case $d = 3$.

In Fig. 1, we show how some of the scaling exponents vary with the spatial dimension. For comparison, we also show the corresponding exponents in the purely annealed case [27, 29].

Summary & Outlook.—We have considered the effects of quenched disorder in incompressible polar active fluids in the flocking phase, and showed that quenched disorder make the scaling behavior of the system very different from that predicted by linearized hydrodynamics, and

from that of an incompressible polar active fluid with only annealed disorder. While this work focuses on a one-component active fluid in the incompressible limit, an interesting future direction would be to consider the hydrodynamic behavior of active suspensions, which are two-component (swimmers and solvent) systems that are only incompressible as a whole.

Acknowledgements.—J.T. thanks the Max Planck Institute for the Physics of Complex Systems, Dresden, Germany, for their support through a Martin Gutzwiller Fellowship during the period this work was underway. He also thanks the Collège de France for their hospitality during a visit that led to the start of this work. L.C. acknowledges support by the National Science Foundation of China (under Grant No. 11874420), and thanks the MPI-PKS, where the early stages of this work were done, for their support. We all thank Wanming Qi for calling our attention to the lack of pseudo-Galilean invariance in the presence of quenched disorder. AM was supported by a TALENT fellowship awarded by the CY Cergy Paris universit e.

* leiming@cumt.edu.cn

† c.lee@imperial.ac.uk

‡ nyomaitra07@gmail.com

§ jjt@uoregon.edu

- [1] Mermin, N. D. & Wagner, H. Absence of ferromagnetism or antiferromagnetism in one- or two-dimensional isotropic Heisenberg Models. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **17**, 1133 (1966).
- [2] Hohenberg, P. C. Existence of long-range order in one and two dimensions. *Phys. Rev.* **158**, 383 (1967).
- [3] Tam as Vicsek, Andr as Czir ok, Eshel Ben-Jacob, Inon Cohen, and Ofer Shochet, "Novel Type of Phase Transition in a System of Self-Driven Particles," *Physical Review Letters* **75**, 1226–1229 (1995).
- [4] John Toner and Yuhai Tu, "Long-Range Order in a Two-Dimensional Dynamical XY Model: How Birds Fly Together," *Physical Review Letters* **75**, 4326–4329 (1995).
- [5] John Toner and Yuhai Tu, "Flocks, herds, and schools: A quantitative theory of flocking," *Physical Review E* **58**, 4828–4858 (1998).
- [6] J. Toner, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 088102 (2012).
- [7] A.B. Harris, *J. Phys. C* **7**, 1671 (1974).
- [8] G. Grinstein and A. H. Luther, *Phys. Rev. B* **13**, 1329 (1976).
- [9] A. Aharony in *Multicritical Phenomena*, edited by R. Pynn and A. Skjeltorp (Plenum, New York, 1984), p. 309.
- [10] D. S. Fisher, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **78**, 1964 (1997).
- [11] Frederick Grinnell and W. Matthew Petroll, "Cell Motility and Mechanics in Three-Dimensional Collagen Matrices," *Annual Review of Cell and Developmental Biology* **26**, 335–361 (2010).
- [12] John Toner, Nicholas Guttenberg, and Yuhai Tu, "Swarming in the Dirt: Ordered Flocks with Quenched Disorder," *Physical Review Letters* **121**, 248002 (2018).
- [13] John Toner, Nicholas Guttenberg, and Yuhai Tu, "Hy-

- hydrodynamic theory of flocking in the presence of quenched disorder,” *Physical Review E* **98**, 062604 (2018), arXiv:1805.10326.
- [14] Yu Duan, Benoît Mahault, Yu-qiang Ma, Xia-qing Shi, and Hugues Chaté, “Breakdown of Ergodicity and Self-Averaging in Polar Flocks with Quenched Disorder”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126**, 178001 (2021).
- [15] Ydan Ben Dor, Sunghan Ro, Yariv Kafri, Mehran Kardar, Julien Tailleur, “Disordered boundaries destroy bulk phase separation in scalar active matter” arXiv:2108.13409 (2021).
- [16] Sunghan Ro, Yariv Kafri, Mehran Kardar, and Julien Tailleur, “Disorder-Induced Long-Ranged Correlations in Scalar Active Matter”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126**, 048003 (2021).
- [17] Oleksandr Chepizhko, Eduardo G. Altmann, and Fernando Peruani, “Optimal noise maximizes collective motion in heterogeneous media” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 238101 (2013)
- [18] Fernando Peruani and Igor S. Aranson, “Cold active motion: How time-independent disorder affects the motion of self-propelled agents” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 238101 (2018)
- [19] Oleksandr Chepizhko and Fernando Peruani, “Diffusion, subdiffusion, and trapping of active particles in heterogeneous media”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 160604 (2013)
- [20] A. Chardac, S. Shankar, M. C. Marchetti, and D. Bartolo, “Emergence of dynamic vortex glasses in disordered polar active fluids,” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **118**, e2018218118 (2021).
- [21] Alexandre Morin, Nicolas Desreumaux, Jean-Baptiste Caussin and Denis Bartolo, “Distortion and destruction of colloidal flocks in disordered environments”, *Nat. Phys.* **13**, 63 (2017)
- [22] Dieter Forster, David R Nelson, and Michael J Stephen, “Large-distance and long-time properties of a randomly stirred fluid,” *Physical Review A* **16**, 732–749 (1977).
- [23] Leiming Chen, John Toner, and Chiu Fan Lee, “Critical phenomenon of the order-disorder transition in incompressible active fluids,” *New Journal of Physics* **17**, 042002 (2015).
- [24] Thuan Beng Saw, Amin Doostmohammadi, Vincent Nier, Leyla Kocgozlu, Sumesh Thampi, Yusuke Toyama, Philippe Marcq, Chwee Teck Lim, Julia M. Yeomans, and Benoit Ladoux, “Topological defects in epithelia govern cell death and extrusion,” *Nature* **544**, 212–216 (2017).
- [25] Henricus H Wensink, Jörn Dunkel, Sebastian Heidenreich, Knut Drescher, Raymond E Goldstein, Hartmut Löwen, and Julia M Yeomans, “Meso-scale turbulence in living fluids,” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **109**, 14308–14313 (2012).
- [26] John Toner, “Reanalysis of the hydrodynamic theory of fluid, polar-ordered flocks,” *Physical Review E* **86**, 031918 (2012).
- [27] Leiming Chen, Chiu Fan Lee, and John Toner, “Incompressible polar active fluids in the moving phase in dimensions $d > 2$,” *New Journal of Physics* **20**, 113035 (2018).
- [28] Benoît Mahault, Francesco Ginelli, and Hugues Chaté, “Quantitative Assessment of the Toner and Tu Theory of Polar Flocks,” *Physical Review Letters* **123**, 218001 (2019).
- [29] Leiming Chen, Chiu Fan Lee, and John Toner, “Mapping two-dimensional polar active fluids to two-dimensional soap and one-dimensional sandblasting,” *Nature Communications* **7**, 12215 (2016).
- [30] Supplemental material.
- [31] L. Chen, C. F. Lee, A. Maitra, and J. Toner, “Packed swarms on dirt: two dimensional incompressible flocks with quenched and annealed disorder”, arXiv:2202.02865.
- [32] Lokrshi Prawar Dadhichi, Ananyo Maitra, Sriram Ramaswamy, “Origins and diagnostics of the nonequilibrium character of active systems”, *J. Stat. Mech.* 123201 (2018).
- [33] Ananyo Maitra, “Active uniaxially ordered suspensions on disordered substrates”, *Phys. Rev. E*, **101**, 012605 (2020).

Supplemental Materials:
Incompressible polar active fluids in the presence of
quenched disorder in dimensions $d > 2$

In these supplemental materials, we present our argument that λ_1 gets no graphical corrections.

Consider first, by way of illustration, the *homogeneous* Navier-Stokes equation (i.e., one with no noise or other external force), but with a parameter $\lambda_1 \neq 1$ introduced (say, to allow us to do rescaling in the DRG [1]). ‘‘Galilean invariance’’ means that if we have a solution $\mathbf{v}^{(1)}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ of the Navier-Stokes equation, which now reads

$$\partial_t \mathbf{v} + \lambda_1 \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} - \nu \nabla^2 \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}, \quad (34)$$

then there’s a family of other solutions parameterized by

$$\partial_t \mathbf{v}^{(1)}(\mathbf{r} - \lambda_1 \mathbf{w}t, t) + \lambda_1 \mathbf{v}^{(1)}(\mathbf{r} - \lambda_1 \mathbf{w}t, t) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}^{(1)}(\mathbf{r} - \lambda_1 \mathbf{w}t, t) - \nu \nabla^2 \mathbf{v}^{(1)}(\mathbf{r} - \lambda_1 \mathbf{w}t, t) \quad (38)$$

which, according to equation (36), = $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r} - \lambda_1 \mathbf{w}t, t)$. Thus,

$$\partial_t \mathbf{v}^{(1)}(\mathbf{r} - \lambda_1 \mathbf{w}t, t) + \lambda_1 \mathbf{v}^{(1)}(\mathbf{r} - \lambda_1 \mathbf{w}t, t) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}^{(1)}(\mathbf{r} - \lambda_1 \mathbf{w}t, t) - \nu \nabla^2 \mathbf{v}^{(1)}(\mathbf{r} - \lambda_1 \mathbf{w}t, t) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r} - \lambda_1 \mathbf{w}t, t) \quad (40)$$

which is a different equation, because it has a different force on the right hand side.

However, Galilean invariance *does* work in a statistical sense: if we look at the correlations of the noise in (40)

$$\langle f_i(\mathbf{r} - \lambda_1 \mathbf{w}t, t) f_j(\mathbf{r}' - \lambda_1 \mathbf{w}t', t') \rangle = D \delta_{ij} \delta[\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}' - \lambda_1 \mathbf{w}(t - t')] \delta(t - t') \quad (42)$$

However, since the $\delta(t - t')$ in this expression is only non-zero when $t = t'$, it follows that we can set $t - t' = 0$ in the $\delta[\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}' - \lambda_1 \mathbf{w}(t - t')]$ without changing anything (since doing so only changes the value of that delta function when $t \neq t'$, in which case $\delta[\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}' - \lambda_1 \mathbf{w}(t - t')]$ is multiplied by zero anyway. So, setting $t - t' = 0$ in the

a vector \mathbf{w} given by

$$\mathbf{v}^{(\mathbf{w})}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \mathbf{v}^{(1)}(\mathbf{r} - \lambda_1 \mathbf{w}t, t) + \mathbf{w} \quad (35)$$

which also satisfies the same equation, with the same value of λ_1 .

But now, if we consider the *inhomogeneous* Navier-Stokes equation

$$\partial_t \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}, t) + \lambda_1 \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}, t) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}, t) - \nu \nabla^2 \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r}, t), \quad (36)$$

and have a solution $\mathbf{v}^{(1)}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ to this equation, then

$$\mathbf{v}^{(\mathbf{w})}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \mathbf{v}^{(1)}(\mathbf{r} - \lambda_1 \mathbf{w}t, t) + \mathbf{w} \quad (37)$$

is not a solution of (36). Indeed, if we plug (37) into the left hand side of (36), we get

plugging our trial solution (37) into (36) leads to

$$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r} - \lambda_1 \mathbf{w}t, t) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r}, t) \quad (39)$$

which is clearly not true in general.

To say this another way, if $\mathbf{v}^{(1)}$ obeys (34), then $\mathbf{v}^{(\mathbf{w})}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ obeys

(i.e., $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r} - \lambda_1 \mathbf{w}t, t)$), and assume that $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ satisfies local white noise (annealed) statistics

$$\langle f_i(\mathbf{r}, t) f_j(\mathbf{r}', t') \rangle = D \delta_{ij} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \delta(t - t') \quad (41)$$

then

$\delta[\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}' - \lambda_1 \mathbf{w}(t - t')]$, eq. (42) becomes

$$\langle f_i(\mathbf{r} - \lambda_1 \mathbf{w}t, t) f_j(\mathbf{r}' - \lambda_1 \mathbf{w}t', t') \rangle = D \delta_{ij} \delta[\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'] \delta(t - t') \quad (43)$$

which has the same statistics (41) as the noise in the original equation. So the inhomogeneous Navier-Stokes equation with annealed noise is Galilean invariant in a statistical sense.

However, this argument does not work if the noise is

quenched. In this case, going through the above manipulations leads to the replacement of the original quenched noise statistics

$$\langle f_i(\mathbf{r}, t) f_j(\mathbf{r}', t') \rangle = D \delta_{ij} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \quad (44)$$

with

$$\langle f_i(\mathbf{r} - \lambda_1 \mathbf{w} t, t) f_j(\mathbf{r}' - \lambda_1 \mathbf{w} t', t') \rangle = D \delta_{ij} \delta[\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}' - \lambda_1 \mathbf{w}(t - t')] \quad (45)$$

and now there's no convenient second delta function $\delta(t - t')$ to allow us to set $t = t'$ in $\delta[\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}' - \lambda_1 \mathbf{w}(t - t')]$. Therefore, the statistics (45) really are different from the

$$\gamma \partial_x \mathbf{u}_\perp(\mathbf{r}_\perp, x) + \lambda_1 (\mathbf{u}_\perp(\mathbf{r}_\perp, x) \cdot \nabla_\perp) \mathbf{u}_\perp(\mathbf{r}_\perp, x) - \mu_\perp \nabla_\perp^2 \mathbf{u}_\perp(\mathbf{r}_\perp, x) = \mathbf{f}_Q(\mathbf{r}_\perp, x) \quad (46)$$

with \mathbf{f}_Q having statistics

$$\langle f_{iQ}(\mathbf{r}_\perp, x) f_{jQ}(\mathbf{r}'_\perp, x') \rangle = D \delta_{ij} \delta(\mathbf{r}_\perp - \mathbf{r}'_\perp) \delta(x - x') \quad (47)$$

In writing equation (46), we have neglected the term $\mu_x \partial_x^2 \mathbf{u}_\perp(\mathbf{r}_\perp, x)$ that we retain in the main text, because that term proves to be irrelevant for the quenched fluctuations, which dominate the graphical corrections. Now

$$\gamma \partial_x \mathbf{u}_\perp(\mathbf{r}_\perp, x) + \lambda_1 (\mathbf{u}_\perp(\mathbf{r}_\perp, x) \cdot \nabla_\perp) \mathbf{u}_\perp(\mathbf{r}_\perp, x) - \mu_\perp \nabla_\perp^2 \mathbf{u}_\perp(\mathbf{r}_\perp, x) - \mu_x \partial_x^2 \mathbf{u}_\perp(\mathbf{r}_\perp, x) = \mathbf{f}_Q(\mathbf{r}_\perp - (\lambda_1/\gamma) \mathbf{w} x, x) \quad (49)$$

The statistics of the noise on the right hand side are the same as as those of the original noise, by an argument

$$\langle f_{iQ}(\mathbf{r}_\perp - (\lambda_1/\gamma) \mathbf{w} x, x) f_{jQ}(\mathbf{r}'_\perp - (\lambda_1/\gamma) \mathbf{w} x', x') \rangle = D \delta_{ij} \delta[\mathbf{r}_\perp - \mathbf{r}'_\perp - (\lambda_1/\gamma) \mathbf{w}(x - x')] \delta(x - x') \quad (50)$$

As in the Navier-Stokes case, here we can replace $x - x'$ with zero in $\delta[\mathbf{r}_\perp - \mathbf{r}'_\perp - (\lambda_1/\gamma) \mathbf{w}(x - x')]$, since $\delta(x - x')$

$$\langle f_{iQ}(\mathbf{r}_\perp - (\lambda_1/\gamma) \mathbf{w} x, x) f_{jQ}(\mathbf{r}'_\perp - (\lambda_1/\gamma) \mathbf{w} x', x') \rangle = D \delta_{ij} \delta[\mathbf{r}_\perp - \mathbf{r}'_\perp] \delta(x - x') \quad (51)$$

which are exactly the same statistics (47) as the original noise. Hence, the static equation of motion has the “very-pseudo-Galilean invariance” (48) in a statistical sense. Since this invariance involves λ_1/γ , it follows that λ_1/γ can not get any graphical corrections. Since we already know that γ gets no graphical corrections (simply be-

statistics (44), so we can not argue for even statistical Galilean invariance.

However, despite this lack of Galilean invariance (and consequently, pseudo-Galilean invariance [2]), λ_1 does not get receive any graphical correction even in the presence of quenched noise.

To see this, let us consider the steady-state equation of motion, which is sufficient since the static quenched noise dominates. This looks very much like the Navier-Stokes equation, with x playing the role of time, and \mathbf{r}_\perp playing the role of space. To be precise, it reads

assume we have a solution $\mathbf{u}_\perp^{(1)}(\mathbf{r}_\perp, x)$ of (46). Then the “very-pseudo Galilean boosted” vector function obtained by treating x the way we treated time in the Navier-Stokes equation, namely

$$\mathbf{u}_\perp^{(\mathbf{w})}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \mathbf{u}_\perp^{(1)}(\mathbf{r}_\perp - (\lambda_1/\gamma) \mathbf{w} x, x) + \mathbf{w} \quad (48)$$

satisfies

almost identical to the one used above for the annealed Navier-Stokes equation. Specifically, we have

will vanish when $x \neq x'$.

Making that replacement, (50) becomes

cause the λ_1 term is a total \perp derivative), it follows that λ_1 gets no graphical corrections either.

* leiming@cumt.edu.cn
† c.lee@imperial.ac.uk

‡ nyomaitra07@gmail.com
§ jjt@uoregon.edu
[1] D. Forster, D.R. Nelson and M.J. Stephen, *Phys. Rev. A* **16**, 732 (1977).
[2] J. Toner, *Phys. Rev. E* **86**, 031918 (2012).