# Note On The Catalan Constant And Prime Triples

N. A Carella

#### Abstract

The existence of infinitely many consecutive prime triples  $p_n$ ,  $p_{n+1}$ , and  $p_{n+2}$  as  $n \to \infty$ , is sufficient to prove that the Catalan constant  $\beta(2) = 0.9159655941...$  is an irrational number. This note provides the detailed analysis. Moreover, the numerical data suggests that the irrationality measure is  $\mu(\beta(2)) = 2$ , the same as almost every irrational real numbers.

### 1 Introduction and the Result

The Dirichlet beta function is defined by the series

$$\beta(s) = \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{\chi(n)}{n^s} = \prod_{p \ge 3} \left( 1 - \frac{\chi(p)}{p^s} \right)^{-1},$$
(1)

where  $\chi(n)$  is the quadratic symbol, and  $s \in \mathbb{C}$  is a complex number. A beta constant  $\beta(s)$  at an odd integer argument s = 2n + 1 has an exact evaluation

$$\beta(2n+1) = \frac{\pi^{2n+1}E_n}{4^{n+1}(2n)!} \tag{2}$$

in terms of the Euler numbers

$$E_1 = 1, \quad E_2 = 5, \quad E_4 = 1385, \quad E_n, \dots,$$
 (3)

for  $n \ge 1$ . This formula expresses each Dirichlet beta constant  $\beta(2n+1)$  as a rational multiple of  $\pi^{2n+1}$ , see [1] and related references. In contrast, the evaluation of a beta constant at an even integer argument can involves the zeta function and a power series, and other complicated formulas, [7], [8], et cetera. One of the simplest of these formulas is

$$\beta(s) = \frac{3}{4}\zeta(s) - 2\sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{1}{(4n+3)^s},\tag{4}$$

where  $\zeta(s)$  is the zeta function and  $s \ge 2$ . These expressions are summarized in a compact formula.

July 29, 2022

AMS MSC: Primary 11J72, 11M26; Secondary 11J82; 11Y60.

Keywords: Irrational number; Catalan constant; Irrationality measure; Prime triple.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $s \ge 2$  be an integer. The  $\pi$ -representation of the Dirichlet beta constant  $\beta(s)$  is defined by the formula

$$\beta(s) = \begin{cases} r_n \pi^s & \text{if } s = 2n + 1, \\ r_n \pi^s - u_n & \text{if } s = 2n, \end{cases}$$
(5)

where  $r_n \in \mathbb{Q}$  is a rational number and  $u_n \in \mathbb{R}$  is a real number.

The arithmetic nature of the first even constant, called the Catalan constant, is unknown. A proof based on a result for the existence of infinitely many consecutive prime triples

$$p_n = 8n_1 + 1, \quad p_{n+1} = 8n_2 + 5, \quad \text{and} \quad p_{n+2} = 8n_3 + 7$$
 (6)

as the integers variables  $n_1, n_2, n_3, n \to \infty$ , and other results is given here.

**Theorem 1.1.** The Catalan constant

$$\beta(2) = \sum_{n \ge 0} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n+1)^2} = 0.9159655941\dots,$$

is an irrational number.

The essential basic foundation and background are presented in Section 2, and the simple proof of Theorem 1.1 is presented in Section 3.

**Conjecture 1.1.** The irrationality measure of the Catalan constant is  $\mu(\beta(2)) = 2$ .

The experimental data compiled in Section 5 confirms the prediction very accurately.

Last, but not least, it should be observed that the same proof seamlessly generalizes to all the beta constants  $\beta(s)$ , where  $s \ge 2$  is an integer. For example, the same argument given in Section 3 provides a new proof of the irrationality of the number  $\beta(3) = \prod_{p\ge 3} (1-\chi(p)p^{-3})^{-1} = \pi^3/32.$ 

Now, recall that an elementary argument based on the irrationality of the number  $\pi^2/6 = \prod_{p\geq 2} (1-p^{-2})^{-1}$  implies the existence of infinitely many primes. Similarly, if the converse of the Brun irrationality criterion holds, see Theorem 2.1, then the known irrationality of the number  $\pi^3/32 = \prod_{p\geq 3} (1-\chi(p)p^{-3})^{-1}$  implies the existence of infinitely many prime triples, see (6). Assuming the consecutive prime triples are on three dependent arithmetic progressions, for example  $n_1 = n_2 = n_3$  in (6), this is a stronger result than the twin primes conjecture. However, this is open problem closely related to the Bateman-Horn conjecture, see [5] and [6] for more information.

#### 2 Foundation

The conditional result for the Catalan constant, and more generally, the Dirichlet beta constants, is based on a proven irrationality criterion, and the conjectured distribution of prime triples.

#### 2.1 Irrationality Criterion

**Theorem 2.1.** (Brun irrationality criterion) Let  $x_n \ge 1$  and  $y_n \ge 1$  be a pair of monotonic increasing increasing integers sequences. Suppose that the following properties are true.

- (i)  $\frac{y_n}{x_n}$  converges to a real number  $\alpha \neq 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ .
- (ii)  $\frac{y_n}{x_n} < \frac{y_{n+1}}{x_{n+1}}$  is monotonically increasing as  $n \to \infty$ .

(iii) 
$$\frac{y_{n+1} - y_n}{x_{n+1} - x_n} > \frac{y_{n+2} - y_{n+1}}{x_{n+2} - x_{n+1}}$$
 is monotonically decreasing as  $n \to \infty$ 

Then, the number  $\alpha$  is irrational.

The details of the proof are discussed in [3], and the most recent application of this result is given in [2].

#### 2.2 Sequences of Consecutive Primes

Let  $p_1, p_2, p_3, \ldots, p_n, \ldots$  be the sequence of primes in increasing order. Let  $q \ge 1$  be an integer, and let  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k)$  be an k-tuple of congruences  $p_n \equiv a_n \mod q$ , with  $gcd(a_n, q) = 1$  for  $n \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ . Define the primes counting function

$$\pi(x, q, \mathbf{a}) = \#\{p \le x : p_n \equiv a_n \mod q\}.$$
(7)

A few results have been proved for the constant case

$$\mathbf{a} = (a_1 = a, a_2 = a, \dots, a_k = a),$$
 (8)

where gcd(a,q) = 1, see [4], [6], et cetera, for the most recent literature. The nonconstant case of (8) for k dependent arithmetic progressions appears to be a difficult problem. But, for k independent arithmetic progression, this problem is manageable.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $x \ge 1$  and  $q \le \log x$  be an integer. If  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k)$  is a congruence vector such that  $gcd(a_n, q) = 1$  for  $n = 1, 2, \ldots, k$ , then,

$$\pi(x, q, \mathbf{a}) = \frac{\operatorname{li}(x)}{\varphi(q)^k} \left( 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right) \right).$$

**Proof.** Let  $x \ge 1$  be a large number, and let  $c \ge 0$  be a constant. Fix a modulo  $q \ll (\log x)^c$ , and an admissible k-tuple  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k$  such that  $gcd(q, a_n) = 1$  for  $n \le k$ , take the cross product of k independent arithmetic progressions

$$qn_1 + a_1, \quad qn_2 + a_2, \quad \dots, \quad qn_k + a_k.$$
 (9)

By Dirichlet theorem (or Siegel-Walfisz theorem), the corresponding prime k-tuples has the natural density

$$\delta(\mathbf{a}) = \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{\#\{p \le x : p_n \equiv a_n \bmod q\}}{x} = \frac{1}{\varphi(q)^k}.$$
 (10)

Each prime in the consecutive prime k-tuple  $p_n, p_{n+1}, \ldots, p_{n+k}$  is independently generated, but satisfies the specified congruence  $p_{n+i} \equiv a_{n+i} \mod q$ .

As a new application, the sequence of prime triples

 $(97, 101, 103), (193, 197, 199), (457, 459, 463), \dots,$ (11)

defined in (6), is used here to develop an argument for the irrationality of the beta constant  $\beta(s)$ .

### 3 An Irrationality Result

The simple argument in support of Theorem 1.1 is the following.

*Proof.* Theorem 1.1 Suppose that

$$p_n \equiv 1 \mod 8, \quad p_{n+1} \equiv 5 \mod 8, \quad \text{and} \quad p_{n+2} \equiv 7 \mod 8.$$
 (12)

This hypothesis immediately implies that

$$\chi(p_n) = 1, \quad \chi(p_{n+1}) = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \chi(p_{n+2}) = -1.$$
 (13)

By Theorem 2.2, there are infinitely many consecutive prime triples (12) that satisfy the triple character values (13) as  $n \to \infty$ . The rest of the proof verifies the three steps specified in Theorem 2.1 to prove the irrationality of the number  $G = \beta(2)$ .

Condition (i): Convergence Property. Define the sequence of rational approximations

$$\frac{y_n}{x_n} = \prod_{k \le n} \left( 1 - \frac{\chi(p_k)}{p_k^2} \right)^{-1} = \prod_{k \le n} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2 - \chi(p_k)}.$$
(14)

Since  $\chi(p_n) = 1$ , the sequence  $\{y_n/x_n : n \ge 1\}$  is composed of the two sequences of monotonically increasing integers

$$x_n = \prod_{k \le n} \left( p_k^2 - \chi(p_k) \right), \quad \text{and} \quad y_n = \prod_{k \le n} p_k^2.$$
(15)

This shows that the sequence of rational approximations  $y_n/x_n$  converges to  $\beta(2) = 0.9159655941...$  as  $n \to \infty$ , see (1). This step verifies Theorem 2.1-i.

Condition (ii): Monotonically Increasing Ratios  $y_n/x_n$ . Utilizing the hypothesis (13), a pair of consecutive ratios have the forms

$$\frac{y_n}{x_n} = \prod_{k \le n} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2 - \chi(p_k)} = \left(\frac{p_n^2}{p_n^2 - 1}\right) \prod_{k \le n-1} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2 - 1},\tag{16}$$

and

$$\frac{y_{n+1}}{x_{n+1}} = \prod_{k \le n+1} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2 - \chi(p_k)} = \left(\frac{p_{n+1}^2}{p_{n+1}^2 - 1}\right) \left(\frac{p_n^2}{p_n^2 - 1}\right) \prod_{k \le n-1} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2 - 1}.$$
 (17)

Clearly, this is a monotonically increasing sequence:

$$\frac{y_n}{x_n} = \left(\frac{p_n^2}{p_n^2 - 1}\right) \prod_{k \le n-1} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2 - 1} < \frac{y_{n+1}}{x_{n+1}} = \left(\frac{p_{n+1}^2}{p_{n+1}^2 - 1}\right) \left(\frac{p_n^2}{p_n^2 - 1}\right) \prod_{k \le n-1} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2 - 1}.$$
 (18)

This step verifies Theorem 2.1-ii.

Condition (iii): Monotonically Decreasing Slopes. A pair of consecutive slopes are computed using the hypothesis (13).

The first ratio of shifted differences (slope, see [3, Figure 1] for a graphical description of this sequence) is

$$\frac{y_{n+1} - y_n}{x_{n+1} - x_n} = \frac{\left(p_{n+1}^2 - 1\right)}{\left(\left(p_{n+1}^2 - 1\right) - 1\right)} \frac{y_n}{x_n} = \frac{\left(p_{n+1}^2 - 1\right)}{\left(p_{n+1}^2 - 2\right)} \frac{y_n}{x_n},\tag{19}$$

where  $\chi(p_{n+1}) = 1$ .

The next ratio of shifted differences is

$$\frac{x_{n+2} - x_{n+1}}{y_{n+2} - y_{n+1}} = \frac{\left(p_{n+2}^2 p_{n+1}^2 - p_{n+1}^2\right)}{\left((p_{n+2}^2 + 1)(p_{n+1}^2 - 1) - (p_{n+1}^2 - 1)\right)} \frac{y_n}{x_n} \qquad (20)$$

$$= \frac{\left(p_{n+2}^2 - 1\right) p_{n+1}^2}{\left((p_{n+2}^2 + 1) - 1\right) (p_{n+1}^2 - 1)} \frac{y_n}{x_n},$$

where  $\chi(p_{n+2}) = -1$ .

Comparing a pair of consecutive ratios yields

$$\frac{x_{n+1} - x_n}{y_{n+1} - y_n} = \frac{\left(p_{n+1}^2 - 1\right)}{\left(p_{n+1}^2 - 2\right)} \frac{y_n}{x_n}$$

$$> \frac{x_{n+2} - x_{n+1}}{y_{n+2} - y_{n+1}} = \frac{\left(p_{n+2}^2 - 1\right) p_{n+1}^2}{p_{n+2}^2 \left(p_{n+1}^2 - 1\right)} \frac{y_n}{x_n}.$$
(21)

Equivalently,

$$\frac{\left(p_{n+1}^2-1\right)}{\left(p_{n+1}^2-2\right)} > \frac{\left(p_{n+2}^2-1\right)p_{n+1}^2}{p_{n+2}^2\left(p_{n+1}^2-1\right)}.$$
(22)

Expanding and simplifying it return

$$p_{n+1}^4 > p_{n+1}^2. (23)$$

Therefore, the slope (19) is a strictly monotonically decreasing sequence. This step verifies Theorem 2.1-iii.

Therefore, since all the conditions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied, the number  $\beta(2)$  is irrational.

**Remark 3.1.** As stated in Section 2, there is a proof for the existence of infinitely many consecutive prime triples of constant congruences  $p_n \equiv p_{n+1} \equiv p_{n+2} \equiv 1 \mod 4$  and  $p_n \equiv p_{n+1} \equiv p_{n+2} \equiv -1 \mod 4$  on arithmetic progressions of a single variable. However, the same argument fails for any of these sequences of consecutive prime triples. For example, the same analysis as above using the sequence

$$p_n \equiv 1 \mod 16, \quad p_{n+1} \equiv 5 \mod 16, \quad \text{and} \quad p_{n+2} \equiv 9 \mod 16$$
 (24)

of constant quadratic symbol

$$\chi(p_n) = 1, \quad \chi(p_{n+1}) = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \chi(p_{n+2}) = 1,$$
 (25)

or using the sequence

$$p_n \equiv 3 \mod 16, \quad p_{n+1} \equiv 7 \mod 16, \quad \text{and} \quad p_{n+2} \equiv 11 \mod 16,$$
 (26)

of constant quadratic symbol

$$\chi(p_n) = -1, \quad \chi(p_{n+1}) = -1, \quad \text{and} \quad \chi(p_{n+2}) = -1,$$
 (27)

fails to prove that  $\beta(2)$  is irrational.

## 4 Basic Diophantine Approximations

The basic results recorded below are standard results in the literature, see [9], [10], et alii.

#### 4.1 Basic Continued Fractions

**Lemma 4.1.** Let  $\alpha = [a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n, \dots]$  be the continue fraction of the real number  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then, the following properties hold.

(i)  $p_n = a_n p_{n-1} + p_{n-2}$ ,  $p_{-2} = 0$ ,  $p_{-1} = 1$ , for all  $n \ge 0$ .

(ii) 
$$q_n = a_n q_{n-1} + q_{n-2}$$
,  $q_{-2} = 1$ ,  $q_{-1} = 0$ , for all  $n \ge 0$ .

(iii) 
$$p_n q_{n-1} - p_{n-1} q_n = (-1)^{n-1}$$
, for all  $n \ge 1$ .

(iv) 
$$\frac{p_n}{q_n} = a_0 + \sum_{0 \le k \le n} \frac{(-1)^k}{q_k q_{k+1}},$$
 for all  $n \ge 1$ .

#### 4.2 The Irrationality Measure

The irrationality measure measures the quality of the rational approximation of an irrational number. It is lower bound of all the rational approximations. Specifically,

$$\left|\alpha - \frac{p}{q}\right| \gg \frac{1}{q^{\mu}} \tag{28}$$

for all sufficiently large  $q \ge 1$ .

### 5 Numerical Data

The data to support the claim in Conjecture 1.1 is compiled in this section. The continued fraction of the Catalan constant

$$\beta(2) = 0.91596559417721901505460351493238411077414937428167\dots,$$
 (29)

is of the form, (first 100 partial quotients  $a_n$ ),

$$\begin{split} \beta(2) &= & [0;1,10,1,8,1,88,4,1,1,7,22,1,2,3,26,1,11,1,10,1,9,3,1,1,1,1,\\ &1,1,2,2,1,11,1,1,1,6,1,12,1,4,7,1,1,2,5,1,5,9,1,1,1,1,33,4,1,\\ &1,3,5,3,2,1,2,1,2,1,7,6,3,1,3,3,1,1,2,1,14,1,4,4,1,2,4,1,17,4,\\ &1,14,1,1,1,2,1,1,1,3,1,2,3,1,6,2,1,2,2,322,1,1,1,2,1,108,3,1,\\ &2,82,1,5,4,1,2,2,1,1,1,5,1,12,2,11,8,2,17,1,11,1,6,1,18,1,5,2,\\ &24,4,1,1,1,8,4,3,8,3,\ldots]. \end{split}$$

These are archived as sequence A006752 and sequence A014538, respectively, on the OEIS.

The sequence of convergents  $\{p_n/q_n : n \ge 1\}$ , listed in Table 1, is computed via the recursive formula provided in the Lemma 4.1.

An approximation  $\mu_n(\alpha)$  of the irrationality measure satisfies the inequality

$$\left|\alpha - \frac{p_n}{q_n}\right| \ge \frac{1}{q^{\mu_n(\alpha)}} \tag{31}$$

for  $n \ge 2$ . The values of the approximate irrationality measure  $\mu_n(\alpha) \ge 2$  of the irrational number  $\alpha \ne 0$  is defined by

$$\mu_n(\alpha) = -\frac{\log|\alpha - p_n/q_n|}{\log q_n},\tag{32}$$

where  $n \geq 2$ .

**Example 5.1.** A large convergent is used here to illustrate the calculations, using 50 digits accuracy in the computer algebra system SAGE. The 100th convergent  $p_n/q_n$  is given by

- (a)  $p_{100} = 24078868662746347429760476964387436156348637833$ ,
- (b)  $q_{100} = 26287961923259336649196821919541159881600485419.$

The corresponding 100th approximation of the irrationality measure is

$$\mu_{100}(\beta(2)) = -\frac{\log |\beta(2) - p_{100}/q_{100}|}{\log q_{100}}$$

$$= 2.0098375679109850809407389673548425452383093096668.$$
(33)

The range of values for  $n \leq 45$  is plotted in Figure 1.



Figure 1: Approximate Irrationality Measure  $\mu_n(\beta(2))$  Of The Number  $\beta(2)$ .

### References

- [1] J. M. Borwein and P. B. Borwein. *Pi and the AGM*. Wiley, 1987. 1
- [2] Butler, L. A. A useful application of Brun's irrationality criterion. Expo. Math. 33 (2015) 121-134. 3
- [3] Brun, V., Knudsen, F. F. On the Possibility of Finding Certain Criteria for the Irrationality of a Number Defined as a Limit of a Sequence of Rational Numbers. Mathematica Scandinavica 31 (1972) 231-236. 3, 5
- [4] Banks, W. D., Freiberg, T., Turnage-Butterbaugh, Caroline L. Consecutive Primes In Tuplues. http://arxiv.org/abs/1311.7003. 3
- [5] Soren Laing Aletheia-Zomlefer, Lenny Fukshansky, Stephan Ramon Garcia. The Bateman-Horn Conjecture: Heuristics, History, and Applications. http://arxiv.org/abs/1807.08899. 2
- [6] Lemke Oliver, R. J., Soundararajan, K. Unexpected Biases IN The Distribution Of Consecutive Primes. http://arxiv.org/abs/1603.03720. 2, 3
- [7] Jameson, Graham; Lord, Nick. Integrals evaluated in terms of Catalan's con*stant*. Math. Gaz. 101 (2017), no. 550, 38-49. 1
- [8] F. M. S. Lima. A rapidly converging Ramanujan-type series for Catalan's con*stant*. http://arxiv.org/abs/1207.3139. 1
- [9] Redmond, Don. Number theory. An introduction. Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 201. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1996. 6
- [10] Rose, H. E. A course in number theory. Second edition. Oxford Science Publications. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1994. 6

Catalan Constant Triple Primes-07-28-22-137. tex.

n	$p_n$	$q_n$	$\mu_n(\beta(2))$
1	1	1	
2	10	11	2.07678354372
3	11	12	2.92280567895
4	98	107	2.02491750642
5	109	119	2.93949885584
6	9690	10579	2.16337045430
7	38869	42435	2.07073791493
8	48559	53014	2.06103252758
9	87428	95449	2.17687224408
10	660555	721157	2.23191137105
11	14619638	15960903	2.02341311597
12	15280193	16682060	2.07153881185
13	45180024	49325023	2.06867467056
14	150820265	164657129	2.17463739738
15	3966506914	4330410377	2.00518561271
16	4117327179	4495067506	2.11498233047
17	49257105883	53776152943	2.00653757661
18	53374433062	58271220449	2.09965407158
19	583001436503	636488357433	2.00668821796
20	636375869565	694759577882	2.08514590463
21	6310384262588	6889324558371	2.04442502885
22	19567528657329	21362733252995	2.02162846316
23	25877912919917	28252057811366	2.02785664628
24	45445441577246	49614791064361	2.02499038253
25	71323354497163	77866848875727	2.02500515325
26	116768796074409	127481639940088	2.02579968126
27	188092150571572	205348488815815	2.02174828551
28	304860946645981	332830128755903	2.03244636822
29	797814043863534	871008746327621	2.03473350242
30	1900489034373049	2074847621411145	2.01160242732
31	2698303078236583	2945856367738766	2.07058476506
32	31581822894975462	34479267666537571	2.01265439798
33	34280125973212045	37425124034276337	2.02693536878
34	65861948868187507	71904391700813908	2.01313249833
35	100142074841399552	109329515735090245	2.05164524679
36	666714397916584819	727881486111355378	2.00499959412
37	766856472757984371	837211001846445623	2.06337796886
38	9868992071012397271	10774413508268702854	2.00633061958
39	10635848543770381642	11611624510115148477	2.03693255654
40	52412386246093923839	57220911548729296762	2.04514224382
41	377522552266427848515	412158005351220225811	2.01265617595
42	429934938512521772354	409378910899949522573	2.01/84883868
43	807457490778949620869	881530922251169748384	2.02062399817
44	2044849920070421014092	2232452701402289019341	2.03/21810851
45	11031707091131054691329	12043800729262614845089	2.00635311938

Table 1: Numerical Data For The Exponent  $\mu(\beta(2))$  Of The Number  $\beta(2)$