# TIME EVOLUTION OF THE CMB QUADRUPOLE

Abraham Loeb<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Astronomy Department, Harvard University, 60 Garden St., Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

## ABSTRACT

I show that the quadrupole of the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) evolves more rapidly than previously expected, as a result of the acceleration of the Sun towards the Galactic center. The acceleration, measured most recently by *Gaia* EDR3, implies a fractional change in the quadrupole of  $\sim 10^{-9}$  per year, an order of magnitude larger than expected from the evolution in the last scattering surface of the CMB.

### INTRODUCTION

Within a timescale of order the age of the Universe, ~  $10^{10}$  yr, the large-scale anisotropies of the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) are expected to change as a result of the change in the location of the last scaterring surface (Lange & Page 2007; Zibin et al. 2007; Moss et al. 2008). Naively, one would expect anisotropies on the largest scales - such as the quadrupole moment, to change most slowly. However, here we show this not to be true as a result of the acceleration of the Sun towards the center of the Milky Way. This acceleration was measured most recently by *Gaia* EDR3 to be,  $\dot{v} = 2.32(\pm 0.16) \times 10^{-8}$  cm s<sup>-2</sup>, towards the Galactic center (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2021).

#### CALCULATION

The velocity of the Sun relative to the cosmic frame of reference,  $\vec{\mathbf{v}}$ , results in a CMB dipole moment, which is routinely removed from CMB anistropy maps. But to second-order in  $\vec{\beta} \equiv (\vec{\mathbf{v}}/c)$ , the motion also leads to a quadrupole anisotropy with an angular dependence of  $(\cos^2 \theta - 1/3)$ , where  $\theta$  is the angle between the velocity vector  $\vec{\mathbf{v}}$  and the photon direction.

The fractional change in the CMB intensity as a result of the kinematic quadrupole depends on photon frequency (Kamionkowski & Knox 2003),

$$\left(\frac{\Delta I_{\nu}}{I_{\nu}}\right)_{Q} = F(x)\beta^{2},\tag{1}$$

with  $F(x) = [xe^x/(e^x - 1)][(x/2) \coth(x/2)]$ . Here,  $x = (h\nu/kT)$  with  $I_{\nu} = [2(kT)^3/(hc)^2]x^3/(e^x - 1)$  being the CMB blackbody intensity at a frequency  $\nu$  and the mean CMB temperature T = 2.725K (Fixsen 2009). At the low frequencies of the Rayleigh-Jeans regime where  $x \ll 1$ , we get  $F(x) \approx 1$  and  $(\Delta I_{\nu}/I_{\nu}) = (\Delta T/T)$ .

### CONCLUSIONS

The time derivative of equation (1) yields a kinematic variation in the quadrupole, which for  $x \ll 1$  is given by,

$$\left(\frac{\dot{T}}{T}\right)_Q = 2\vec{\beta} \cdot \dot{\vec{\beta}},\tag{2}$$

where from the *Gaia* measurement,  $\dot{\beta} = 2.44 \times 10^{-11} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ , and from the CMB dipole  $\beta \approx 1.23 \times 10^{-3}$  (Kogut et al. 1993; Fixsen et al. 1994). The dot-product of the acceleration vector towards the Galactic center and the CMB dipole velocity vector yields a geometric projection factor of 0.065 (Loeb & Narayan 2008).

Given the quadrupole moment measured by the Planck satellite,  $Q \equiv (\Delta T/T)_Q \sim 4.5 \times 10^{-6}$  (Notari & Quartin 2015), the fractional time derivative of the CMB quadrupole, as a result of the acceleration of the Sun towards the Galactic center, is

given by,

$$\left(\frac{\dot{Q}}{Q}\right) \approx 10^{-9} \text{ yr}^{-1}.$$
(3)

This rate of evolution is over an order of magnitude larger than expected from the change in the last scattering surface of the CMB (Lange & Page 2007; Zibin et al. 2007; Moss et al. 2008).

The expected time evolution of the CMB quadrupole spectrum, F(x), in equation (1), could potentially be measured - especially for  $x \gg 1$  where  $F(x) \gg 1$ , with future CMB spectral distortion experiments (Chluba et al. 2021).

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported in part by Harvard's *Black Hole Initiative*, which is funded by grants from JFT and GBMF.

## REFERENCES

Chluba, J., Abitbol, M. H., Aghanim, N., et al. 2021, Experimental Astronomy, 51, 1515, doi: 10.1007/s10686-021-09729-5
Fixsen, D. J. 2009, ApJ, 707, 916, doi: 10.1088/0004-637X/707/2/916
Fixsen, D. J., Cheng, E. S., Cottingham, D. A., et al. 1994, ApJ, 420, 445, doi: 10.1086/173575
Gaia Collaboration, Klioner, S. A., Mignard, F., et al. 2021, A&A, 649, A9, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/202039734
Kamionkowski, M., & Knox, L. 2003, D D. D. 25 202021

PhRvD, 67, 063001, doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.67.063001

Kogut, A., Lineweaver, C., Smoot, G. F., et al. 1993, ApJ, 419, 1, doi: 10.1086/173453 Lange, S., & Page, L. 2007, ApJ, 671, 1075, doi: 10.1086/523097
Loeb, A., & Narayan, R. 2008, MNRAS, 386, 2221, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2966.2008.13187.x
Moss, A., Zibin, J. P., & Scott, D. 2008, PhRvD, 77, 043505, doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.77.043505

Notari, A., & Quartin, M. 2015, JCAP, 2015, 047, doi: 10.1088/1475-7516/2015/06/047

Zibin, J. P., Moss, A., & Scott, D. 2007, PhRvD, 76, 123010, doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.76.123010