

COGRAPHIC SPLITTING OF GRAPHIC MATROIDS WITH RESPECT TO A SET WITH THREE ELEMENTS

Shital Dilip Solanki¹, Ganesh Mundhe² and S. B. Dhotre³

1. *Ajeenkya DY Patil University, Pune-411047, Maharashtra, India*

2. *Army Institute of Technology, Pune-411015, Maharashtra, India*

3. *Department of Mathematics, Savitribai Phule Pune University, Pune - 411007, Maharashtra, India*

E-mail: 1. shital.solanki@adypu.edu.in, 2. gmundhe@aitpune.edu.in, 3. dsantosh2@yahoo.co.in.

ABSTRACT. In general, the splitting operation on binary matroids does not preserve the graphicness and cographicness properties of binary matroids. In this paper, we obtain a characterization of the class of graphic matroids whose splitting with respect to a set of three elements gives cographic matroids. We also provide an alternate proof to such characterization when the set contains two elements which was provided by Borse et al. [2].

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 05B35, 05C50, 05C83

Keywords: Binary Matroid, Splitting, Graphic, Cographic, Minor, Quotient.

1. INTRODUCTION

We refer to Oxley [10] for undefined terminology and notations. There are many operations in graph theory that are of great importance. The Splitting operation is one of them, which is introduced by Fleischner [3]. He has characterized Eulerian graphs using this operation. The Splitting operation is given as follows. Let v be a vertex of a connected graph G such that $d(v) \geq 3$. If x, y are two edges incident at v such that $x = vv_1$ and $y = vv_2$. Let $G_{x,y}$ be obtained from G by removing edges x, y and by adding a vertex $v_{x,y}$ adjacent to v_1 and v_2 . The splitting operation on the graph G with respect to x and y is defined as the transition from G to $G_{x,y}$.

The Splitting operation is further extended to binary matroids by Raghunathan et al. [6] and defined the splitting operation with respect to a pair of elements of a binary matroid. Later, it is generalized by Shikare et al. [8] by defining the splitting of a binary matroid with respect to any set T as follows.

Definition 1.1. [8] Let M be a binary matroid and let A be the standard matrix representation of M over the field $GF(2)$ and let $T \subseteq E(M)$. Obtain a matrix A_T by adjoining one row at the bottom of the matrix A with entries 1 in the columns corresponding to the elements of the set T and zero otherwise. Then the vector matroid, denoted by M_T , is called

the splitting matroid of M with respect to T , and the splitting operation with respect to T is the transition from M to M_T .

Some properties of a binary matroid are not preserved under the splitting operation. Connectedness, graphicness and cographicness are a few properties among the properties of binary matroids which are not preserved under the splitting operation. Shikare and Waphare [7] obtained characterization for a graphic matroid M whose splitting matroid M_T is also graphic for any T with two elements. Mundhe [4] obtained a similar characterization for a set T with three elements. Borse et al. [2] characterized the class of graphic matroids, which yields a cographic matroid under the splitting operation with respect to a pair of elements. In this paper, we characterize a graphic matroid that gives a cographic matroid under the splitting operation with respect to any set T with $|T| = 3$.

Let \mathcal{M}_k be the class of graphic matroid whose splitting with respect to any set of k -elements is cographic. Let N be an extension of $M(K_5)$ or $M(K_{3,3})$ by an element a . Then the splitting of N with respect to a is not cographic. Therefore the single element extensions of $M(K_5)$ and $M(K_{3,3})$ are the forbidden minors the class \mathcal{M}_1 and only these are the forbidden minors as per the definition of the splitting operation. Let N be an extension of $M(K_5)$ by a set T with $|T| = 2$ such that T be a 2-cocircuit of N . Then $N \setminus T = M(K_5)$ and, by Definition 1.1, $N_T \setminus T = N \setminus T = M(K_5)$. Thus N is a forbidden minor for the class \mathcal{M}_2 . Similarly, it is easy to check that \mathcal{M}_2 contains a forbidden minor N which arise as follows. (i) N is an extension of $M(K_5)$ or $M(K_{3,3})$ by two elements x, y such that x, y is a 2-cocircuit of N or (ii) N is a coextension of $M(K_5)$ or $M(K_{3,3})$ by an element x such that x, y is a 2-cocircuit of N for some $y \in E(N)$.

If N is a forbidden minor for the class \mathcal{M}_k which is isomorphic to $M(K_5)$, $M(K_{3,3})$ or a matroid which arises by extensions and coextensions of $M(K_5)$ or $M(K_{3,3})$, then we call N is a trivial forbidden minor for the class \mathcal{M}_k . Let \mathcal{T}_k be a set containing all trivial forbidden minors for the class \mathcal{M}_k . We focus on the forbidden minors of the class \mathcal{M}_k other than the members of \mathcal{T}_k .

In the following result, Borse et al. [2] have given forbidden minors for the class \mathcal{M}_2 .

Theorem 1.1. [2] Let M be a graphic matroid without containing a minor isomorphic to any member of \mathcal{T}_2 . Then $M \in \mathcal{M}_2$ if and only if M does not contain a minor isomorphic to any one of the circuit matroids $M(G_1)$, $M(G_2)$ and $M(G_3)$, where G_1 , G_2 and G_3 are the graphs as shown in Figure 1.

Denote, by \tilde{M} , the single element extension of M . Later on, Mundhe [4] obtained forbidden minor for a class of graphic matroid which yields graphic matroid under the splitting operation with respect to a set of three elements as follows.




FIGURE 1. Forbidden Minors for the Class \mathcal{M}_2 .

Theorem 1.2. [4] Let M be a graphic matroid. Then M_T is graphic for any $T \subseteq E(M)$ with $|T| = 3$ if and only if M does not contain a minor isomorphic to any of the circuit matroids $\tilde{M}(Q_1)$, $\tilde{M}(Q_2)$, $M(Q_3)$, $M(Q_4)$, $M(Q_5)$ and $M(Q_6)$, where Q_i is the graph as shown in Figure 2, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, 6$.




FIGURE 2. Forbidden Minors for the Class of Graphic Matroid which yields Graphic Matroid.

In this paper, we obtain excluded minors for the class \mathcal{M}_3 . The following is the main result of the paper.

Theorem 1.3. Let M be a graphic matroid without containing a minor isomorphic to any member of the set \mathcal{T}_3 . Then $M \in \mathcal{M}_3$ if and only if M does not contain a minor isomorphic to any of the matroid $\tilde{M}(G_1)$, $\tilde{M}(G_3)$, $M(G_4)$, $M(G_5)$, $M(G_6)$ and $M(G_7)$, where G_i is the graph shown in Figure 1 or Figure 3, for $i = 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7$.




FIGURE 3. Forbidden Minors for the Class \mathcal{M}_3 .

We prove the main result in Section 5. We also give an alternate and short proof to the characterization of the class \mathcal{M}_2 in the fourth section. Section 2 and Section 3 contain results that are useful to prove the paper's main result.

2. MINIMAL MATROID

In this section, we prove that if the splitting of a graphic matroid is not cographic, then it contains a special type of graphic matroid as a minor. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{F_7, F_7^*, M(K_5), M(K_{3,3})\}$.

We use the following result from Oxley's book [10].

Theorem 2.1. [10] Let M be a binary matroid. Then M is a cographic matroid if and only if M does not contain a minor isomorphic to any member of the set \mathcal{F} .

The following result is proved in [4].

Lemma 2.2. [4] Let M be a binary matroid and $T \subseteq E(M)$. Then the following holds.

- (i). If $x \in E(M) - T$, then $(M_T) \setminus x = (M \setminus x)_T$ and $(M_T) / x = (M / x)_T$.
- (ii). If $y \in T$, then $(M_T) \setminus y = ((M) \setminus y)_{T-y}$.
- (iii). $M_T \setminus T = M \setminus T$.
- (iv). $M_T = M$, if T is a cocircuit of M .

In the following result, we prove that if the splitting matroid of a graphic matroid is not cographic, then it contains a minimal minor whose splitting is not cographic.

Lemma 2.3. Let M be a graphic matroid and $k = 2, 3$. If M_T contains a minor isomorphic to F for some $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and $T \subseteq E(M)$ with $|T| = k$, then M has a minor N with $T \subseteq N$, such that one of the following holds.

- (i). $N_T \cong F$.
- (ii). $N_T / T' \cong F$ for some non-empty subset T' of T .
- (iii). N is isomorphic to one element extension of forbidden minors for the class \mathcal{M}_{k-1} .
- (iv). N is isomorphic to some member of \mathcal{T}_k .

Proof. Let M_T contains a minor isomorphic to F for some $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and $T \subseteq E(M)$ with $|T| = k$, where $k = 2, 3$. Then there exist $T_1, T_2 \subseteq E(M)$ such that $M_T \setminus T_1 / T_2 \cong F$. Now let $T'_i = T \cap T_i$ and $T''_i = T_i - T'_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then $T'_i \subseteq T$ and $T''_i \cap T = \emptyset$. By Lemma 2.2(1), $M_T \setminus T'_1 / T'_2 = (M \setminus T'_1 / T'_2)_T$. Let $N = M \setminus T'_1 / T'_2$. Then N is minor of M containing T and $N_T \setminus T'_1 / T'_2 = (M \setminus T'_1 / T'_2)_T \setminus T'_1 / T'_2 = M_T \setminus T'_1 / T'_2 \setminus T'_1 / T'_2 = M_T \setminus T_1 / T_2 \cong F$.

If $T'_1 = \emptyset$ and $T'_2 = \emptyset$, then (i) or (iv) holds. If $T'_1 = \emptyset$ and $T'_2 \neq \emptyset$ then (ii) or (iv) holds. If $T'_1 \neq \emptyset$, then $|T'_1| \leq k = |T|$. Suppose $|T'_1| = k$. Then $T'_1 = T$ and $F \cong N_T \setminus T'_1 = N_T \setminus T = N \setminus T$ this shows that F is minor of graphic matroid M which shows that (iv) holds. Let $|T'_1| < k$ and $z \in T'_1$. Suppose $N \setminus z = P$. Then, by Lemma 2.3 (ii), $N_T \setminus T'_1 / T'_2 = N_T \setminus z \setminus T'_1 - \{z\} \setminus T'_2 = P_{T-\{z\}} \setminus T'_1 - \{z\} / T'_2 \cong F$. Therefore N is extension of P , which is a forbidden minor for the class \mathcal{M}_{k-1} . Which means (iii) hold. \square

We focus on finding forbidden minors for the class \mathcal{M}_k other than the members of \mathcal{T}_k for $k = 2, 3$. Henceforth, we consider the graphic matroids, which do not contain a minor isomorphic to any member of \mathcal{T}_k for $k = 2, 3$.

Lemma 2.4. Let N be the minor of the matroid M stated in Lemma 2.3 and without containing a minor isomorphic to any member of \mathcal{T}_k . Then N does not contain 2-cocircuit.

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 3.3.2, in [4]. \square

Lemma 2.5. Let N be the minor of the matroid M stated in Lemma 2.3, such that N does not satisfy conditions (iii) and (iv). Then N does not contain a coloop.

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 3.3.3, in [4]. \square

We call the matroid N of Lemmas 2.3, 2.4 and 2.5 as a k -minimal matroid with respect to F where $F \in \mathcal{F}$. We define a k -minimal matroid with respect $F \in \mathcal{F}$ as follows.

Definition 2.1. Let $k = 2, 3$ and N be a graphic matroid without containing any member of \mathcal{T}_k . Then N is called k -minimal matroid with respect to some F of \mathcal{F} if the following conditions hold.


- (i). N contains no coloop and no 2-cocircuit.
- (ii). N is not a single element extension of any member of forbidden minors of \mathcal{M}_{k-1} .
- (iii). There is a set $T \subseteq E(N)$ with $|T| = k$ such that $N_T \cong F$ or $N_T/T' \cong F$ for some non-empty subset T' of T .

In light of Lemma 2.3 and Definition 2.1, we focus on to find the k -minimal matroids with respect to every member of \mathcal{F} , for $k = 2, 3$. Let M be a matroid. Then matroid Q is called a quotient of M if there exist a matroid N such that $Q = N/a$ and $N \setminus a = M$ for some $a \in N$. Here M is called a lift of Q . We prove that a k -minimal matroid with respect to F contains a minor isomorphic to a quotient of F in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.6. Let $k = 2, 3$ and let M be a k -minimal matroid with respect to $F \in \mathcal{F}$. Then there is a binary matroid N containing an element a such that $N \setminus a \cong F$. Further, N/a is a minor of M such that either $M = N/a$ or M is a coextension of N/a by at most k elements.

Proof. Since M is a k -minimal matroid, M is graphic and $M_T \cong F$ or $M_T/T' \cong F$ for some $T \subseteq E(M)$ and $T' \subseteq T$ with $|T| = k$. Let A be the standard matrix representation of M over the field $GF(2)$. Let A'_T be the matrix obtained from A by adding one extra row to the matrix A at the bottom whose entries are 1 in the columns corresponding to the elements of T and zero otherwise and then adding one extra column labeled a with entry 1 in the last row and 0 elsewhere. Let M'_T be the vector matroid of A'_T . Here $E(M'_T) = E(M) \cup \{a\}$. Therefore (i). $M_T = M'_T \setminus a$ and (ii). $M'_T/a \cong M$.

Suppose $M_T \cong F$. Let $N = M'_T$. Then N is a binary matroid containing a , such that $M'_T/a \cong N/a \cong M$ and $M'_T \setminus a \cong M_T \cong F \cong N \setminus a$.



Suppose $M_T/T' \cong F$ for some $T' \subset T$. Let $N = M'_T/T'$. Then $N \setminus a \cong M'_T/T' \setminus a \cong M'_T \setminus a/T' \cong M_T/T' \cong F$ and $N/a \cong M'_T/T'/a \cong M'_T/a/T' \cong M/T'$. Here M is a coextension of N/a by at most k elements as $|T'| \leq |T| = k$. \square

In the above result, N/a is a quotient of F , and M is isomorphic to a quotient of F or a coextension of a quotient of F . Note that N is binary, and we obtain quotients through binary matroid coextension/extension. Since M is graphic, in the next section, we determine graphic quotients of $F \in \mathcal{F}$.

3. GRAPHIC QUOTIENTS OF NON-COGRAPHIC MATROIDS

In this section, we obtain graphic quotients of every member of the set \mathcal{F} . First, we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. Let N be a binary matroid containing an element a such that $N \setminus a \cong F$ for some $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and $N/a \cong M(G)$ for some connected graph G . Then the following holds.

- (i). The graph G is a block, or it has at most two blocks, one of which is a loop.
- (ii). The graph G contains at most one loop.
- (iii). The graph G does not contain more than two parallel edges with the same end vertices.
- (iv). The graph G does not contain a 2-edge cut.
- (v). If F is Eulerian, then G is also Eulerian.

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 3.4.1, in [4]. \square

Mundhe [4], determined graphic quotients for F_7^* and F_7 as follows.

Lemma 3.2. [4] Every graphic quotient of F_7 is isomorphic to the circuit matroid $M(H_3)$ and every graphic quotient of F_7^* is isomorphic to the circuit matroid $M(H_1)$ or $M(H_2)$, where H_1, H_2, H_3 are shown in Figure 4.




FIGURE 4. Quotients of F_7^* and F_7 .

We find all graphic quotients of $M(K_5)$ and $M(K_{3,3})$.

Lemma 3.3. Every graphic quotient of $M(K_5)$ obtained via binary extension is isomorphic to the circuit matroid $M(H_4)$, $M(H_5)$ or $M(H_6)$, where H_4 , H_5 and H_6 are the graphs as shown in Figure 5.




FIGURE 5. Quotients of $M(K_5)$

Proof. Let N be binary matroid containing a such that $N \setminus a \cong M(K_5)$ and N/a is graphic. Assume that $N/a = M(G)$ for a connected graph G . Suppose a is a loop or a coloop of N . Then $N/a = N \setminus a \cong M(K_5)$. Thus $G \cong H_4$.

Suppose a is not a loop or a coloop of N . Since the graph K_5 has 5 vertices and 10 edges, $r(N) = 4$ and $|E(N)| = 11$. Hence $r(N/a) = 3$ and $|E(N/a)| = 10$. Thus graph G corresponding to N/a has 4 vertices and 10 edges. By Lemma 3.1(v), G is Eulerian as K_5 is Eulerian and by Lemma 3.1, G contains at most one loop.

If G does not contain a loop, then, by Harary ([5], pg 230), there is only one Eulerian graph on 4 vertices and 10 edges as shown in Figure 6. It contains five distinct 2-cycles. That is, N/a contains five 2-circuits. One can easily check that $N \setminus a$ contains an odd cocircuit or a 2-circuit. Since $N \setminus a \cong M(K_5)$, $M(K_5)$ contains an odd cocircuit or a 2-circuit, a contradiction to $M(K_5)$ is a Eulerian graph. Hence we discard this graph.





FIGURE 6. Eulerian Graph on 4 Vertices and 10 Edges

If G contains a loop, then G minus that loop is an Eulerian graph of 4 vertices and 9 edges. By Harary ([5], pg 230), there is only one Eulerian graph of 4 vertices and 9 edges. That graph is H_5 minus the loop as shown in Figure 5. Thus G can be obtained by adding a loop to that graph. Hence G is isomorphic to either the graph H_5 or H_6 as shown in Figure 5. □

We need the following result to find graphic quotients of $M(K_{3,3})$.


 FIGURE 7. Quotients of $M(K_{3,3})$

Lemma 3.4. Let N be a binary matroid and $a \in E(N)$. If $N \setminus a \cong M(K_{3,3})$, then no element of N/a belongs to 2-circuit and odd circuit.

Proof. Since $M(K_{3,3})$ is a bipartite graph, it contains only circuits with even length. On the contrary, let x_1 be an element of N/a that belongs to both a 2-circuit and an odd circuit. Suppose $\{x_1, x\}$ is a 2-circuit and $C_1 = \{x_1, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k\}$ is an odd circuit, where k is an even integer. Then $C_2 = \{x, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k\}$ is also a circuit of N/a . Then $D_1 = \{x_1, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k, a\}$ and $D_2 = \{x, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k, a\}$ are circuits of N otherwise $N \setminus a$ contains an odd circuit, a contradiction to $N \setminus a$ being bipartite. Suppose D_1 and D_2 are circuits of N . Then $D_1 \triangle D_2 = \{x_1, x\}$ is a circuit in N and also in $N \setminus a \cong M(K_{3,3})$, a contradiction. Thus no element of N/a lies in a 2-circuit as well as in an odd circuit. \square

In this paper, we aim to find the forbidden minors for the class \mathcal{M}_3 and, we also intend to give an alternative proof for the forbidden minor characterization of the class \mathcal{M}_2 . By Theorem 1.1, $M(G_2)$ is a forbidden minor for the class \mathcal{M}_2 . Therefore, by Lemma 2.3, $\tilde{M}(G_2)$ is a forbidden minor for the class \mathcal{M}_3 , where $\tilde{M}(G_2)$ is an extension of G_2 by a single element. Thus it is sufficient, if we consider the graphic quotients $M(K_{3,3})$ which avoid $\tilde{M}(G_2)$ as a minor.

We now find a quotient for $M(K_{3,3})$.

Lemma 3.5. Every graphic quotient of $M(K_{3,3})$ obtained via binary extension and without containing a minor isomorphic to $\tilde{M}(G_2)$ is isomorphic to the circuit matroid of H_i for some $i \in \{7, 8, 9, 10, 11\}$, where H_i is the graph as shown in Figure 7, for $i = 7, 8, 9, 10, 11$.

Proof. Let N be a binary matroid and $a \in E(N)$ such that $N \setminus a \cong M(K_{3,3})$ and N/a is graphic. Suppose $N/a = M(G)$ for some connected graph G . Assume that $M(G)$ does not contain a $\tilde{M}(G_2)$ as a minor. If a is a loop or coloop of N , then $N \setminus a = N/a = K_{3,3}$. Thus $G \cong H_7$.

Suppose a is not a loop or a coloop. Since the graph $K_{3,3}$ has 6 vertices and 9 edges, $r(N) = 5$ and $|E(N)| = 10$. Thus $r(N/a) = 4$ and $|E(N/a)| = 9$. Hence N/a corresponds to a graph G on 5 vertices and 9 edges. By Lemma 3.1(1) and (4), G contains at most two blocks one of which is a loop and G does not contain a 2-edge cut. Suppose G is a simple

graph. As G is 2-connected, by Harary ([5], Pg. 217), H_8 is only 2-connected simple graph as shown in Figure 7. Thus $G \cong H_8$.

Suppose G is not a simple graph. Then G contains a loop or 2-cycle. By Lemma 3.1[1], G is 2-connected, or G can be obtained by adding one loop or parallel edges to 2-connected or both. Thus there are the following cases. (i). G contains either a loop or a 2-cycle. (ii). G contains either a loop and a 2-cycle or two 2-cycles. (iii). G contains a loop and two 2-cycles or three 2-cycle. (iv) G contains a loop and three 2-cycles or four 2-cycles. (v) G contains a loop and four 2-cycles or at least five 2-cycles. We consider all these cases one by one.

Case (i). Suppose G contains either one loop or one 2-cycle.

Then G can be obtained by adding a loop or a parallel edge to a 2-connected simple graph on 5 vertices and 8 edges. By Harary ([5],Pg 217), there are two non-isomorphic simple graphs A_i and A_{ii} on 5 vertices and 8 as shown in Figure 8.




FIGURE 8. Non-Isomorphic Simple Graphs on 5 vertices and 8 edges

Suppose G is obtained from A_i by adding a loop. Then G contains a 2-edge cut, by Lemma 3.1 (4), which is a contradiction. Hence G is obtained from A_i by adding a 2-cycle such that G does not contain a 2-edge cut. Then $M(G) \cong M(\tilde{G}_2)$, a contradiction. Hence we discard A_i .

If G is obtained from A_{ii} by adding a loop, then $G \cong H_9$ or $G \cong H_{10}$ shown in Figure 7. Suppose G is obtained from A_{ii} by adding a edge parallel to some edge of A_{ii} . Then either $M(G) \cong \tilde{M}(G_2)$ or by Lemma 3.4, $N \setminus a$ contains a 3-circuit or 2-circuit, which is a contradiction. Hence we discard it.

Case (ii). Suppose G contains either a loop and a 2-cycle or two 2-cycles.

G can be obtained from a 2-connected simple graph on 5 vertices and 7 edges by adding a loop and a parallel edge or 2 parallel edges. By Harary ([5], Pg 217), there are three non-isomorphic 2-connected simple graphs A_{iii} , A_{iv} , and A_v on 5 vertices and 7 edges as shown in Figure 9.

The graph A_v contains three 2-edge cuts. Therefore, adding a loop and a parallel edge or two parallel edges to A_v still contains a 2-edge cut. Hence we discard A_v . If G is obtained from A_{iii} by adding a loop and a parallel edge, then G contains a 2-edge cut. Suppose G




FIGURE 9. Non-Isomorphic Simple Graphs on 5 vertices and 7 edges

is obtained from A_{iii} by adding two parallel edges such that no 2-edge cut is there in G . Then we get a contradiction by Lemma 3.4. Thus G can not be obtained from A_{iii} . If G is obtained from A_{iv} by adding a loop and a parallel edge or two parallel edges such that G does not contain 2-edge, then $M(G) \cong \tilde{M}(G_2)$. Thus G can not be obtained from A_{iv} .

Case-(iii) If G contains either a loop and two 2-cycles or three 2-cycles.

Then G is obtained from a 2-connected simple graph on 5 vertex and 6 edges. By Harary ([5], Pg 217), there are two non-isomorphic 2-connected simple graphs on 5 vertices and 6 edges as shown in Figure 10.




FIGURE 10. Non-Isomorphic 2-Connected Graphs on 5 Vertices and 6 Edges

If G is obtained from A_{vi} or A_{vii} by adding a loop and two parallel edges, then G contains a 2-edge cut, which is a contradiction by Lemma 3.1. Suppose G obtained from A_{vi} by adding three parallel edges such that G does not contain a 2-edge cut. Then $G \cong a_i$ or $G \cong a_{ii}$ where a_i, a_{ii} are shown in Figure 11. By Lemma 3.4, we discard both the graphs a_i and a_{ii} . Suppose G is obtained from A_{vii} by adding three parallel edges such that G does not contain




FIGURE 11. Graphs Obtained from A_{vi}, A_{vii} and From a cycle on 5 Vertices

2-edge cut then $G \cong H_{11}$ or $G \cong a_{iv}$, where a_{iv} is shown in Figure 11. Suppose $G \cong a_{iv}$,

since due to cycles of G , $N \setminus a$ does not contain circuit with 6 elements, thus $N \setminus a \not\cong K_{3,3}$. Hence $G \not\cong a_{iv}$.

Case-(iv) If G contains either a loop and three pairs of parallel edges or four pairs of parallel edges.

Then G is obtained from a 2-connected simple graph on 5 vertices and 5 edges by adding a loop and three parallel edges or four parallel edges. By Harary ([5], Pg 216), a cycle on 5 vertices is the only a 2-connected graph on 5 vertices and 5 edges. Thus G is obtained by adding a loop and three parallel edges such that G does not contain a 2-edge cut, but there is no graph without a 2-edge cut. Suppose G is obtained from a cycle on 5 vertices by adding four parallel edges such that it does not contain a 2-edge cut. Then a_{iii} is the only graph with this condition, where a_{iii} is a graph shown in Figure 11. By Lemma 3.4, $G \not\cong a_{iii}$.

Case (v) If G contains a loop and four pairs of parallel edges or five pairs of parallel edges.

Then G is obtained from a 2-connected simple graph on 5 vertices and four edges. By Harary ([5], Pg 216), there is no 2-connected simple graph on 5 vertices and 4 edges.

Therefore G is isomorphic to H_i , for some $i \in \{7, 8, 9, 10, 11\}$. □

4. FORBIDDEN MINORS FOR THE CLASS \mathcal{M}_2

This section gives alternative proof for the forbidden minor characterization of the class \mathcal{M}_2 using the results obtained from the last two sections. Recall that \mathcal{M}_k is the class of graphic matroid whose splitting with respect to any set of k -elements is cographic and $\mathcal{F} = \{F_7, F_7^*, M(K_5), M(K_{3,3})\}$.

We need the following lemmas, which follow easily from the definition of the splitting operation.


Lemma 4.1. Let M be a binary matroid such that it contains more than two 2-circuits. Then M_T will contain at least one 2-circuit for any $T \subseteq E(M)$ with $|T| = 2$.

Lemma 4.2. If $M(G)$ is a graphic matroid and contains a loop, then $M(G)_T$ is graphic with $|T| = 2$ or includes a loop.

Lemma 4.3. Let $M(G)$ be a graphic matroid such that it contains two adjacent 2-circuits. Then $M(G)_T$ is graphic for some $T \subseteq E(M)$ with $|T| = 2$ or M_T contains a 2-circuit.

Now, we give an alternate prove to Theorem 1.1. Here we restate the result along with the graphs.


Theorem 4.4. Let M be a graphic matroid without containing a minor isomorphic to any member of \mathcal{T}_2 . Then $M \in \mathcal{M}_2$ if and only if M does not contain a minor isomorphic to any one of the circuit matroids $M(G_1)$, $M(G_2)$ and $M(G_3)$, where G_1 , G_2 and G_3 are the graphs as shown in Figure 12.


 FIGURE 12. Forbidden Minors for the Class \mathcal{M}_2

Proof. Let M be a graphic matroid such that it contains a minor isomorphic to $M(G_i)$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Then we can easily prove that M_T is not cographic for some $T \subseteq E(M)$ and $|T| = 2$.

Conversely, assume that M avoids a minor isomorphic to $M(G_i)$ for every $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Then we prove that $M \in \mathcal{M}_2$. On contrary, assume that $M \notin \mathcal{M}_2$. Then, by Lemma 2.1, M contains a minor isomorphic to $F \in \mathcal{F}$. Note that \mathcal{M}_1 is empty and M does not contain a minor isomorphic to any element of \mathcal{T}_2 . Hence, by Lemma 2.3, M has a minor Q such that (i) $Q_T \cong F$, (ii) $Q_T/T' \cong F$ for $T' \subseteq T$. By Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.5, Q is without 2-cocircuit and a coloop. Therefore Q is 2-minimal matroid with respect to $F \in \mathcal{F}$. Thus, by Lemma 2.6, there exist a binary matroid N containing a such that $N \setminus a \cong F$ and $Q = N/a$ or Q is coextension of N/a by one or two elements. Since M is graphic, Q is also graphic. Let $Q = M(G)$ for some connected graph G . Since, by Definition 1.1, Q_T contains a 2-cocircuit as $|T| = 2$, $Q_T \not\cong F$. Therefore $Q_T/T' \cong F$. Hence Q is a coextension of N/a by one or two elements.

Case (i). Suppose $F = F_7^*$. Then $N \setminus a \cong F_7^*$ and, by Lemma 3.2, $N/a \cong M(H_1)$ or $N/a \cong M(H_2)$, where H_1 and H_2 are the graphs shown in Figure 4. Therefore G is a coextension of H_1 or H_2 by one or two elements. Suppose G is a coextension of H_1 by an element. By Lemma 3.1 (4), G can not contain a 2-edge cut. We take coextensions of H_1 such that it does not contain a 2-edge cut. There is only one non-isomorphic coextension C_1 of H_1 by an element as shown in Figure 13. Since $C_1 \cong G_2$, G can not arise from H_1 .


 FIGURE 13. Coextensions of H_1 by one element.

Suppose G arise from H_2 . Then G is a coextension of H_2 by one or two elements. There are two non-isomorphic coextensions of H_2 by an element as shown in Figure 14, such that it does not contain a 2-edge cut.




FIGURE 14. Coextensions of H_2 by one element.

Here $C_3 \cong G_2$. Therefore $G \not\cong C_3$. By Lemma 4.1, the splitting of $M(C_2)$ with respect to any two elements contains a 2-circuit. Thus $(C_2)_T \not\cong F_7^*$ for any $T \in E(C_2)$ with $|T| = 2$ as F_7^* is a 3-connected matroid. Thus $G \not\cong C_2$. Also there is no coextension of C_2 by an element, which does not contain a 2-edge cut. Hence, we discard C_2 .

Case (ii). Suppose $F = F_7$. Then $N \setminus a \cong F_7$ and, by Lemma 3.2, $N/a \cong M(H_3)$, where H_3 is the graph as shown in Figure 4. Therefore G is a coextension of $M(H_3)$ by one or two elements. Suppose G is a coextension of H_3 by an element. There are five C_4, C_5, C_6, C_7, C_8 non-isomorphic coextensions of $M(H_3)$ by an element as shown in Figure 15. By Lemma 4.1, the splitting of the matroids $M(C_4)$, $M(C_7)$ and $M(C_8)$ contains a 2-circuit after splitting with any two elements set. Also, there is no coextension of C_4 , C_7 and C_8 by one element such that it does not contain a loop or 2-edge cut or a minor isomorphic to $M(G_1)$, $M(G_2)$ or $M(G_3)$. Hence we discard coextensions of C_4 , C_7 and C_8 . By Lemma 4.2, splitting of $M(C_5)$ with respect to any two elements is either graphic or contains a loop. Also coextension of C_5 by one element either contains a loop or a minor isomorphic to $M(G_2)$. Hence $G \not\cong C_5$. Here $M(C_6) \cong M(G_1)$. Hence $G \not\cong C_6$.




FIGURE 15. Coextensions of H_3 by one Element

Case (iii). Suppose $F = M(K_5)$. Then $N \setminus a \cong M(K_5)$ and, by Lemma 3.3, $N/a \cong M(H_4)$, $N/a \cong M(H_5)$ or $N/a \cong M(H_6)$, where H_4 , H_5 and H_6 are the graphs shown in Figure 5. Suppose $N/a \cong M(H_4)$. Then $M(H_4)_T \not\cong M(K_5)$ for any T with $|T| = 2$.

Thus we take coextension of H_4 . Here all coextensions of H_4 by an element belongs to \mathcal{T}_2 , hence we discard H_4 . Suppose $N/a \cong M(H_5)$. Therefore G is a coextension of H_5 by one or two elements. There are seven coextensions of H_5 by an element shown in Figure 16, without containing a minor isomorphic to $M(G_1)$, $M(G_2)$ and $M(G_3)$. Since $M(C_i)$ contains a loop and at least two 2-circuits, the splitting with respect to any two elements set T will contain a loop or a 2-circuit for $i = 9, 10, \dots, 15$. Therefore we take coextensions of C_i for $i = 9, 10, \dots, 15$ such that it does not contain a minor isomorphic to $M(G_1)$ or $M(G_2)$ or $M(G_3)$. Also, it does not contain a loop or more than two 2-circuits. It is observed that there is no coextension with these conditions. Hence we discard H_5 . Suppose $N/a \cong M(H_6)$. Then, by using similar argument as above, we discard H_6 .




FIGURE 16. Coextensions of H_5 by one element

Case (iv). Suppose $F = M(K_{3,3})$. Then, by Lemma 3.5, $N/a \cong M(H_i)$ for $i = 7, 8, 9, 10, 11$, where H_i is the graph as shown in Figure 7, for $i = 7, 8, 9, 10, 11$.

Suppose $N/a \cong M(H_7)$, then $M(H_7)_T \not\cong M(K_{3,3})$ for any T with $|T| = 2$, thus we take coextension of H_7 , but all coextension of H_7 belongs to \mathcal{T}_2 . Hence we discard the graph H_7 . Let $N/a \cong M(H_8)$. Then G is a coextension of H_8 by one or two elements. There are three coextensions of H_8 by an element as shown in Figure 17, without containing a 2-edge cut. Here $M(C_{16})$ and $M(C_{17})$ contains a minor isomorphic to $M(G_1)$ and $M(C_{18})$ contains a minor isomorphic to $M(G_3)$. Thus we discard these coextensions.




FIGURE 17. Coextensions of H_8 by one element

Let $N/a \cong M(H_9)$. Thus we take the coextension of H_9 by one or two elements. There is no coextension of H_9 by one element as well as by two elements, such that it does not contain a loop, a 2-cocircuit or a minor isomorphic to $M(G_1)$, $M(G_2)$ or $M(G_3)$. Hence we discard H_9 .

If $N/a \cong M(H_{10})$ then, G is a coextension of H_{10} by one or two elements such that it does not contain 2-cocircuit or minor $M(G_1)$, $M(G_2)$ or $M(G_3)$. Such non-isomorphic coextensions of H_{10} by one element are shown in Figure 18. Here C_{19} and C_{20} contains a




FIGURE 18. Coextensions of H_{10} by one element

loop. Since $M(C_{19}) \in \mathcal{T}_2$, $G \not\cong C_{19}$. By Lemma 4.2, the splitting of $M(C_{20})$ contains a loop or its graphic. Hence we take coextensions by H_{10} by two elements such that it does not contain a loop, a 2-cocircuit and a minor isomorphic to $M(G_1)$, $M(G_2)$ or $M(G_3)$. It is observed that there is no such coextension. Thus we discard H_{10} .

If $N/a \cong M(H_{11})$, then, we take coextensions of H_{11} by one element such that it does not contain 2-cocircuit and minor $M(G_1)$, $M(G_2)$ or $M(G_3)$. One can easily check that there is no such coextension. Hence we discard H_{11} .

Therefore M_T is cographic for any T with $|T| = 2$. Hence proved. \square

5. FORBIDDEN-MINOR CHARACTERIZATION OF THE CLASS \mathcal{M}_3

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.3. We now first prove 'if part' of Theorem 1.3 in the following proposition.

Proposition 5.1. Let M be a graphic matroid. If M contains a minor isomorphic to any of the matroids $M(\tilde{G}_1)$, $M(\tilde{G}_3)$ and $M(G_i)$ for $i = 4, 5, 6, 7$, then M_T is not cographic for some $T \subseteq E(M)$ with $|T| = 3$, where G_i is the graph as shown in Figure 19, for $i = 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7$.

Proof. Note that, by Theorem 1.1, $M(G_1)$, $M(G_2)$ and $M(G_3)$ are the forbidden minors for the class \mathcal{M}_2 . For $k = 1, 3$, let $\tilde{M}(G_k)$ be a matroid obtained by adding an element z_k to $M(G_k)$. Then $\tilde{M}(G_k) \setminus z_k = M(G_k)$. By Theorem 1.1, there is T_k with $|T_k| = 2$ such that $M(G_k)_{T_k}$ is not a cographic matroid. Let $T = T_k \cup z_k$. Then, by Lemma 2.2(ii), $\tilde{M}(G_k)_T \setminus z_k \cong (\tilde{M}(G_k) \setminus z_k)_{T_k} \cong M(G_k)_{T_k} \cong M(G_k)_{T_k}$ is not cographic. $\tilde{M}(G_k)_T$ is not cographic. Also G_2 is minor of G_5 .

Suppose $M = M(G_4)$ and $T = \{x, y, z\}$, where x, y, z are as shown in the graph G_4 . Let A_4 be the standard matrix representation of G_4 as follows:

$$A_4 = \begin{bmatrix} x & y & z & & & & \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \text{ Then } (A_4)_T = \begin{bmatrix} x & y & z & & & & \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Let $(A_4)'_T$ be the matrix obtained by applying the row transformations $R_4 \implies R_4 + (R_1 + R_2)$ and R_{34} . Then we have

$$(A_4)'_T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Since the vector matroid of $(A_4)'_T$ is isomorphic to F_7^* , $M(G_4)_T \cong M[(A_4)_T] \cong M[(A_4)'_T] \cong F_7^*$. By Theorem 2.1, $M(G_4)_T$ is not cographic. Similarly, we can prove that $M(G_5)_{T_5} \cong F_7^*$, $M(G_6)_{T_6} \cong M(K_{3,3})$ and $M(G_7)_{T_7} \cong M(K_{3,3})$ for $T_i = \{x, y, z\}$, where x, y, z are as shown in the graph G_i in Figure 19, for $i = 5, 6, 7$.

Suppose M contains a minor N isomorphic to any of circuit matroids $M(G_k)$, $k = 4, 5, 6, 7$. Then N_T is not cographic for some $T \subseteq E(N)$ with $|T| = 3$. Since N is a minor of M , there exist subsets T_1 and T_2 of $E(M)$ such that $M \setminus T_1 / T_2 \cong N$. Then, by repeated application of Lemma 2.2, we have $M_T \setminus T_1 / T_2 \cong (M \setminus T_1 / T_2)_T \cong N_T$. As N_T is not cographic, M_T is also not cographic. \square

Now we prove the main theorem. For convenience, we restate the main theorem here along with graphs.

Theorem 5.2. Let M be a graphic matroid without containing a minor isomorphic to any member of the set \mathcal{T}_3 . Then $M \in \mathcal{M}_3$ if and only if M does not contain a minor isomorphic to any of the matroid $\tilde{M}(G_1)$, $\tilde{M}(G_3)$, $M(G_4)$, $M(G_5)$, $M(G_6)$ and $M(G_7)$, where G_i is the graph as shown in Figure 19, for $i = 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7$.




FIGURE 19. Forbidden Minor for the Class \mathcal{M}_3

Proof. If M has a minor isomorphic to one of the circuit matroids $\tilde{M}(G_1)$, and $\tilde{M}(G_3)$ and $M(G_k)$ for $k = 4, 5, 6, 7$, then M_T is not cographic for some $T \in E(N)$ with $|T| = 3$ by Proposition 5.1.

Conversely, assume that M does not contain a minor isomorphic to any of the circuit matroid $\tilde{M}(G_1)$, $\tilde{M}(G_3)$ and $M(G_k)$ for $k = 4, 5, 6, 7$. Then we will prove that M_T is cographic for any $T \subseteq E(M)$ with $|T| = 3$. On contrary, assume that M_T is not cographic for some $T \subseteq E(M)$ with $|T| = 3$. Then, by Theorem 2.1 M_T , has a minor isomorphic to $F \in \mathcal{F}$. Then, by Lemma 2.3, M has a minor Q containing T such that one of the following holds.

- (i). Q isomorphic to one of the circuit matroids $\tilde{M}(G_1)$, $\tilde{M}(G_2)$ and $\tilde{M}(G_3)$.
- (ii). $Q_T \cong F$ or $Q_T/T' \cong F$ for some $T' \subseteq T$.

Note that the graph G_2 contains a minor isomorphic to the graph G_5 . If Q is isomorphic to $\tilde{M}(G_1)$, $\tilde{M}(G_2)$ and $\tilde{M}(G_3)$, then it is clear that M contains a minor isomorphic to $\tilde{M}(G_1)$, $\tilde{M}(G_3)$ or $M(G_5)$, a contradiction. Thus (i) does not hold. Suppose (ii) holds. By Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.5, assume that Q do not contain a 2-cocircuit and a coloop. Thus Q is 3-minimal with respect to F . Then, by Lemma 2.6, there exist a binary matroid N such that $N \setminus a \cong F$ and $N/a = Q$ or Q is a coextension of N/a by one, two or three elements. If M is graphic, then Q and N/a are also graphic. Let $Q \cong M(G)$ for some connected graph G . We assume that G does not contain a loop or 2-edge cut.

Case (i). Suppose $F = F_7^*$. Then $N \setminus a = F_7^*$ and, by Lemma 3.2, $N/a \cong M(H_1)$ or $M(H_2)$, where H_1 and H_2 are the graphs as shown in Figure 4. Here $H_1 \cong G_4$ and $H_2 \cong G_5$. Thus $Q = N/a \cong M(G_4)$ or $Q = N/a \cong M(G_5)$. Hence M contains a minor isomorphic to $M(G_4)$ or $M(G_5)$, a contradiction.

Case (ii). Suppose $F = F_7$. Then $N \setminus a = F_7$ and, by Lemma 3.2, $N/a \cong M(H_3)$, where H_3 is the graph as shown in Figure 4. The graph H_3 contain three pairs parallel edges and a loop. Therefore $M(H_3)_T$ contains at least one 2-circuit or a loop for any T with $|T| = 3$. Therefore $M(H_3)_T \not\cong F_7$ and $Q \not\cong M(H_3)$. Hence Q is a coextension of $M(H_3)$ by one, two or three elements. There are five non-isomorphic coextension of $M(H_3)$ as shown in Figure 15, without containing 2-edge cut. The graphs C_4 , C_7 and C_8 contains a minor isomorphic to the graph G_5 and C_5 contains a minor isomorphic to graph G_4 . Therefore we discard them.

Let $Q = M(C_6)$ or Q is a coextension of $M(C_6)$ by one or two elements. Coextensions of $M(C_6)$ by one or two elements contains 2-cocircuit or G_5 as a minor, a contradiction. Hence $Q = M(C_6)$. Since C_6 has two 2-circuits and eight edges whereas F_7 has seven edges, there is $T \subseteq E(C_6)$ with $|T| = 3$ such that $M(C_6)_T/x \cong F_7$ for some $x \in T$. If a 2-circuit does not intersect T or contained in T , then it remains a 2-circuit in $M(C_6)_T$. Thus $M(C_6)_T/x \cong F_7$ contains a 2-circuit or a loop, a contradiction. Hence T contains exactly one edge from each

2-circuits of C_6 . We assume that $x \in T$ does not belong to any 2-circuit of C_6 . Then there is triangle in G containing two edges from T one of which is x . Then that 3-circuit of $M(C_6)$ is get preserved in $M(C_6)_T$. Therefore $Q_T/x \cong F_7$ contains a 2-circuit, a contradiction.

Case (iii). Suppose $F = M(K_5)$. Then $N \setminus a \cong M(K_5)$ and, by Lemma 3.3, $N/a \cong M(H_4)$, $N/a \cong M(H_5)$ or $N/a \cong M(H_6)$, where H_4 , H_5 and H_6 are the graphs as shown in Figure 5. The matroid $M(H_4)$ does not give $M(K_5)$ after splitting with respect to a three elements set. Hence we take coextension of $M(H_4)$, but coextension of $M(H_4)$ is a trivial minor for the class \mathcal{M}_2 . Hence we discard $M(H_4)$. The matroid $M(H_5)$ contains a minor isomorphic to $M(G_4)$. Hence M can not be arise from $M(H_5)$. The matroid $M(H_6)$ contains a minor isomorphic to $M(G_4)$, and hence G not arise from H_5 and H_6 .

Case (iv). Suppose $F = M(K_{3,3})$. Then $N \setminus a \cong M(K_{3,3})$ and, by Lemma 3.5, $N/a \cong M(H_i)$, where H_i is the graph shown in Figure 7, for $i = 7, 8, 9, 10, 11$. The matroid $M(H_7)$ belongs to \mathcal{T}_2 . Hence we discard the graph H_7 . Note that $H_8 \cong G_6$ and $H_{11} = G_7$. The matroid $M(H_i)$, for $i = 9, 10$ contains a minor isomorphic to $M(G_4)$. Therefore M can not be arise from these matroid.

Thus from all the above cases, M_T does not contain F as a minor for any $F \in \mathcal{F}$. Therefore M_T is cographic and hence proved. \square

REFERENCES

1. Y. M. Borse, M. M. Shikare and K. V. Dalvi, Excluded-minors for the class of cographic splitting matroids, *Ars Combin.* 115 (2014), 219-237.
2. Y. M. Borse, M. M. Shikare and Pirouz Naiyer, A characterization of graphic matroids which yield cographic splitting matroids, *Ars Combin.* 118 (2015), 357-366.
3. H. Fleischner, *Eulerian Graphs and Related Topics Part 1, Vol. 1, North Holland, Amsterdam*, 1990.
4. Ganesh Mundhe, *On Connectivity and Graphicness of Binary Matroids Under Splitting Operation*, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Pune, (2018).
5. F. Harary, *Graph Theory, Narosa Publishing House, New Delhi*, 1988.
6. T. T. Raghunathan, M. M. Shikare and B. N. Waphare, Splitting in a binary matroid, *Discrete Math.* 184 (1998), 267-271.
7. M. M. Shikare and B. N. Waphare, Excluded-Minors for the class of graphic splitting matroids, *Ars Combin.* 97 (2010), 111-127.
8. M. M. Shikare, Gh. Azadi, B. N. Waphare, Generalized splitting operation and its application, *J. Indian Math. Soc.*, 78, (2011), 145-154.
9. M. M. Shikare, H. Azanchiler and B. N. Waphare, The cocircuits of splitting matroids, *J. Indian Math. Soc.* 74 No. 3-4 (2007), 185-202.
10. J. G. Oxley, *Matroid Theory, Second Edition, Oxford University Press, Oxford*, 2011.