

CORRIGENDUM TO "INVERSE PROBLEMS FOR ABSTRACT EVOLUTION EQUATIONS II: HIGHER ORDER DIFFERENTIABILITY FOR VISCOELASTICITY"

ANDREAS KIRSCH AND ANDREAS RIEDER

ABSTRACT. In our paper [SIAM J. Appl. Math. 79-6 (2019)] we considered full waveform inversion (FWI) in the viscoelastic regime. FWI entails the nonlinear inverse problem of recovering parameter functions of the viscoelastic wave equation from partial measurements of reflected wave fields. We have obtained explicit analytic expressions for the first and second order Fréchet derivatives and their adjoints (adjoint wave equations) of the underlying parameter-to- solution map.

In the present manuscript we correct an error which occurred in a basic result and thus affected several other statements, which we have also corrected

1. INTRODUCTION

This corrigendum to our article [SIAM J. Appl. Math. 79-6 (2019)] is necessary because of an error in eqn. (*) on page 5 where we put the wrong right hand side in the original paper.¹ The corrections concern the assertion and the proof of Theorem 3.5, especially eqn. (13), and the proof of Theorem 3.7. As a consequence, Theorems 4.7, 4.8, 4.9, and A.2 had to be revised considerably. For the sake of completeness and self-containedness we repeat here also those parts of the original which have not been contaminated. For the general background and the motivation of our work see the Introduction of the original article.

We apologize for any inconvenience our flaw may cause.

2. VISCOELASTICITY

The viscoelastic wave equation in the velocity stress formulation based on the generalized standard linear solid (GSLs) rheology reads: In a Lipschitz domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ we determine the velocity field $\mathbf{v}: [0, T] \times D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$, the stress tensor $\boldsymbol{\sigma}: [0, T] \times D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{3 \times 3}$, and memory tensors $\boldsymbol{\eta}_l: [0, T] \times D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{3 \times 3}$, $l = 1, \dots, L$, from the first-order system

$$(1a) \quad \rho \partial_t \mathbf{v} = \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\sigma} + \mathbf{f} \quad \text{in } [0, T] \times D,$$

$$(1b) \quad \partial_t \boldsymbol{\sigma} = C((1 + L\tau_S)\mu_0, (1 + L\tau_P)\pi_0) \varepsilon(\mathbf{v}) + \sum_{l=1}^L \boldsymbol{\eta}_l \quad \text{in } [0, T] \times D,$$

$$(1c) \quad -\tau_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}, l} \partial_t \boldsymbol{\eta}_l = C(\tau_S \mu_0, \tau_P \pi_0) \varepsilon(\mathbf{v}) + \boldsymbol{\eta}_l, \quad l = 1, \dots, L, \quad \text{in } [0, T] \times D.$$

Date: March 3, 2022.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35F10, 35R30, 86A22.

Key words and phrases. full waveform seismic inversion, viscoelastic wave equation, adjoint state method, nonlinear inverse and ill-posed problem, higher order Fréchet derivative.

Funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) – Project-ID 258734477 – SFB 1173.

¹We thank Christian Rheinbay for pointing this out to us.

Here, \mathbf{f} denotes the external volume force density and ρ is the mass density. The linear maps $C(m, p)$ for $m, p \in \mathbb{R}$ are defined as

$$(2) \quad C(m, p): \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}, \quad C(m, p)\mathbf{M} = 2m\mathbf{M} + (p - 2m) \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{M})\mathbf{I},$$

with $\mathbf{I} \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$ being the identity matrix and $\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{M})$ denotes the trace of $\mathbf{M} \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$. Further,

$$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) = \frac{1}{2} [(\nabla_x \mathbf{v})^\top + \nabla_x \mathbf{v}]$$

is the linearized strain rate. In formulation (1) two independent GSLs are used to describe the propagation of pressure and shear waves (P- and S-waves). The parameters μ_0 and π_0 denote the relaxed P- and S-wave modulus, respectively. Further, τ_P and τ_S are scaling factors for the relaxed moduli. They have been introduced for the first time by [1] and are now widely used to quantify attenuation and phase velocity dispersion in viscoelastic media, see e.g. [5, 10].

Wave propagation in viscoelastic media is frequency-dependent over a bounded frequency band with center frequency ω_0 . Within this band the Q-factor, which is the rate of the full energy over the dissipated energy, remains nearly constant. This fact is used to determine the stress relaxation times $\tau_{\sigma, l} > 0$ by a least-squares approach [2, 3] where up to $L = 5$ relaxation mechanisms may be required. Now we obtain the following frequency-dependent phase velocities of P- and S-waves:

$$(3) \quad v_P^2 = \frac{\pi_0}{\rho}(1 + \tau_P \alpha) \quad \text{and} \quad v_S^2 = \frac{\mu_0}{\rho}(1 + \tau_S \alpha) \quad \text{with} \quad \alpha = \alpha(\omega_0) = \sum_{l=1}^L \frac{\omega_0^2 \tau_{\sigma, l}^2}{1 + \omega_0^2 \tau_{\sigma, l}^2}.$$

Full waveform inversion (FWI) in seismic imaging entails the inverse problem of reconstructing the five spatially dependent parameters $(\rho, v_S, \tau_S, v_P, \tau_P)$ from wave field measurements.

Using the transformation

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{v} \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma}_0 \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma}_L \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{v} \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma} + \sum_{l=1}^L \tau_{\sigma, l} \boldsymbol{\eta}_l \\ -\tau_{\sigma, 1} \boldsymbol{\eta}_1 \\ \vdots \\ -\tau_{\sigma, L} \boldsymbol{\eta}_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

discovered and explored by Zeltmann [12] we reformulate (1) equivalently into

$$(4a) \quad \partial_t \mathbf{v} = \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{div} \left(\sum_{l=0}^L \boldsymbol{\sigma}_l \right) + \frac{1}{\rho} \mathbf{f} \quad \text{in } [0, T] \times D,$$

$$(4b) \quad \partial_t \boldsymbol{\sigma}_0 = C(\mu_0, \pi_0) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) \quad \text{in } [0, T] \times D,$$

$$(4c) \quad \partial_t \boldsymbol{\sigma}_l = C(\tau_S \mu_0, \tau_P \pi_0) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) - \frac{1}{\tau_{\sigma, l}} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_l, \quad l = 1, \dots, L, \quad \text{in } [0, T] \times D.$$

Let $X = L^2(D, \mathbb{R}^3) \times L^2(D, \mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{3 \times 3})^{1+L}$. For suitable² $w = (\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\psi}_0, \dots, \boldsymbol{\psi}_L) \in X$ we define the operators A , B , and Q mapping into X by

$$(5) \quad Aw = - \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{div} \left(\sum_{l=0}^L \boldsymbol{\psi}_l \right) \\ \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{w}) \\ \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{w}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad B^{-1}w = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\rho} \mathbf{w} \\ C(\mu_0, \pi_0) \boldsymbol{\psi}_0 \\ C(\tau_S \mu_0, \tau_P \pi_0) \boldsymbol{\psi}_1 \\ \vdots \\ C(\tau_S \mu_0, \tau_P \pi_0) \boldsymbol{\psi}_L \end{pmatrix}, \quad Qw = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} \\ \frac{1}{\tau_{\sigma,1}} \boldsymbol{\psi}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{\tau_{\sigma,L}} \boldsymbol{\psi}_L \end{pmatrix}.$$

With these operators the system (4) can be rewritten as

$$Bu'(t) + Au(t) + BQu(t) = f(t)$$

where $u = (\mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_0, \dots, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_L)$ and $f = (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{0}, \dots, \mathbf{0})$.

Please note: The five parameters to be reconstructed by FWI enter only the operator B via, see (3),

$$(6) \quad \pi_0 = \frac{\rho v_P^2}{1 + \tau_P \alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_0 = \frac{\rho v_S^2}{1 + \tau_S \alpha}.$$

3. ABSTRACT FRAMEWORK

We consider an abstract evolution equation in a Hilbert space X of the form

$$(7) \quad Bu'(t) + Au(t) + BQu(t) = f(t), \quad t \in]0, T[, \quad u(0) = u_0,$$

under the following general hypotheses: $T > 0$, $u_0 \in X$,

B belongs to the Banach space $\mathcal{L}^*(X) = \{J \in \mathcal{L}(X) : J^* = J\}$ and satisfies $\langle Bx, x \rangle_X = \langle x, Bx \rangle_X \geq \beta \|x\|_X^2$ for some $\beta > 0$ and for all $x \in X$,

$A: D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ is a maximal monotone operator: $\langle Ax, x \rangle_X \geq 0$ for all $x \in D(A)$ and $I + A: D(A) \rightarrow X$ is onto (I is the identity),

$Q \in \mathcal{L}(X)$, and $f \in L^1([0, T], X)$.

Later we will show that the three operators from (5) are well defined and satisfy our general hypotheses in a precise mathematical setting.

In [7] we explored (7) with $Q = 0$. Existence and regularity results of this paper apply correspondingly. Let us be more precise: equation (7) can be transformed equivalently in

$$u'(t) + (B^{-1}A + Q)u(t) = B^{-1}f(t), \quad t \in]0, T[, \quad u(0) = u_0,$$

where $B^{-1}A$ with $D(B^{-1}A) = D(A)$ generates a contraction semigroup on $(X, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_B)$ with weighted inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_B := \langle B \cdot, \cdot \rangle_X$ where the induced norm $\|\cdot\|_B$ is equivalent to the original norm on X . Further, $B^{-1}A + Q$ is the infinitesimal generator of a C_0 -semigroup $\{S(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ with

$$\|S(t)\|_B \leq \exp(\|Q\|_B t),$$

see, e.g., Theorem 3.1.1 of [9]. Thus, (7) has a unique mild/weak solution in $\mathcal{C}([0, T], X)$ given by

$$u(t) = S(t)u_0 + \int_0^t S(t-s)B^{-1}f(s) ds.$$

On the basis of the above comments, both Theorems 2.4 and 2.6 of [7] carry over to (7) when we replace f by $B^{-1}f$ and compensate the use of $\|\cdot\|_X$ by an additional constant

²A rigorous mathematical formulation will be given in Section 4 below.

depending on $\|B\|$, $\|B^{-1}\|$, $\|Q\|$, and T . Thus, we have the continuous dependence of u on the data:

$$(8) \quad \|u\|_{\mathcal{C}([0,T],X)} \lesssim \|u_0\|_X + \|f\|_{L^1([0,T],X)}^3$$

as well as the following regularity result which has been shown in [7, Theorem 2.6] for $Q = 0$ under more general assumptions on f and u_0 .

Theorem 3.1. *For some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $f \in W^{k,1}([0, T], X)$ with $f^{(\ell)}(0) = 0$, $\ell = 0, \dots, k-1$ (note that $f^{(\ell)}$ is continuous). Let $B \in \mathbf{D}(F)$ and let u be the unique mild solution of (7) with $u_0 = 0$. Then $u \in \mathcal{C}^k([0, T], X) \cap \mathcal{C}^{k-1}([0, T], \mathbf{D}(A))$ and*

$$(9) \quad \|u\|_{\mathcal{C}^k([0,T],X)} \lesssim \|f\|_{W^{k,1}([0,T],X)}$$

where the constant depends on T , Q , β_- , and β_+ .

3.1. Abstract parameter-to-solution map. We define the following parameter-to-solution map related to (7):

$$(10) \quad F: \mathbf{D}(F) \subset \mathcal{L}^*(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}([0, T], X), \quad B \mapsto u,$$

where

$$\mathbf{D}(F) = \{B \in \mathcal{L}^*(X) : \beta_- \|x\|_X^2 \leq \langle Bx, x \rangle_X \leq \beta_+ \|x\|_X^2\}$$

for given $0 < \beta_- < \beta_+ < \infty$.

Transferring the techniques of proof of [7, Theorem 3.6] straightforwardly to F yields the following result.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $T > 0$, $f \in W^{1,1}([0, T], X)$, and $u_0 \in \mathbf{D}(A)$. Then, the mild solution of (7) is a classical solution, i.e., $u \in \mathcal{C}^1([0, T], X) \cap \mathcal{C}([0, T], \mathbf{D}(A))$, and F is Fréchet differentiable at $B \in \text{int}(\mathbf{D}(F))$ with $F'(B)H = \bar{u}$, $H \in \mathcal{L}^*(X)$, where $\bar{u} \in \mathcal{C}([0, T], X)$ is the mild solution of*

$$(11) \quad B\bar{u}'(t) + A\bar{u}(t) + BQ\bar{u}(t) = -H(u'(t) + Qu(t)), \quad t \in [0, T], \quad \bar{u}(0) = 0.$$

The representation of the adjoint of the Fréchet derivative carries over as well, see [7, Theorem 3.8].

Theorem 3.3. *Under the notation and assumptions of Theorem 3.2 we have*

$$[F'(B)^*g]H = \int_0^T \langle H(u'(t) + Qu(t)), w(t) \rangle_X dt, \quad g \in L^2([0, T], X), \quad H \in \mathcal{L}^*(X),$$

where $w \in \mathcal{C}([0, T], X)$ is the mild solution of the adjoint evolution equation

$$(12) \quad Bw'(t) - A^*w(t) - Q^*Bw(t) = g(t), \quad t \in [0, T], \quad w(T) = 0.$$

Remark 3.4. *Setting $\tilde{w}(t) = w(T - t)$ and $\tilde{g}(t) = g(T - t)$ we rewrite (12) as initial value problem*

$$B\tilde{w}'(t) + A^*\tilde{w}(t) + Q^*B\tilde{w}(t) = -\tilde{g}(t), \quad t \in [0, T], \quad \tilde{w}(0) = 0,$$

which is of the same structure as our original equation (7) since A^* is maximal monotone as well. Further, in our concrete setting of the viscoelastic wave equation we have $A^* = -A$ (see the next section) so that basically the same numerical solver can be used for the state and the adjoint state equation.

This remark applies also to the situation of Theorem 4.8 below.

³ $A \lesssim B$ indicates the existence of a generic constant $c > 0$ such that $A \leq cB$.

Next we investigate second order differentiability of F .

Theorem 3.5. *Let $f \in W^{3,1}([0, T], X)$, $u_0 = 0$, and $f(0) = f'(0) = f''(0) = 0$. Then, F is twice Fréchet differentiable at $B \in \text{int}(\mathbf{D}(F))$ with $F''(B)[H_1, H_2] = \bar{u}$, $H_i \in \mathcal{L}^*(X)$, $i = 1, 2$, where $\bar{u} \in \mathcal{C}([0, T], X)$ is the mild (in fact the classical) solution of*

$$(13) \quad B\bar{u}'(t) + (A + BQ)\bar{u}(t) = -H_1(u_2'(t) + Qu_2(t)) - H_2(u_1'(t) + Qu_1(t)), \quad \bar{u}(0) = 0.$$

Here, $u_i \in \mathcal{C}^2([0, T], X) \cap \mathcal{C}^1([0, T], \mathbf{D}(A))$, $i = 1, 2$, is the classical solution of (11) with H replaced by H_i :

$$(14) \quad Bu_i'(t) + (A + BQ)u_i(t) = -H_i(u'(t) + Qu(t)), \quad u_i(0) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Further, $u \in \mathcal{C}^3([0, T], X) \cap \mathcal{C}^2([0, T], \mathbf{D}(A))$ solves (7).

Proof. We need to show that

$$\sup_{H_2 \in \mathcal{L}^*(X)} \frac{\|F'(B + H_1)H_2 - F'(B)H_2 - F''(B)[H_1, H_2]\|_{\mathcal{C}([0, T], X)}}{\|H_1\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)}\|H_2\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)}} \xrightarrow{H_1 \rightarrow 0} 0.$$

Set $u_+ := F(B + H_1)$ and $\tilde{u} := F'(B + H_1)H_2$ which is well defined for H_1 sufficiently small. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & Bu_i' + (A + BQ)u_i = -H_i(u' + Qu), \quad i = 1, 2, \\ (*) \quad & (B + H_1)\tilde{u}' + (A + (B + H_1)Q)\tilde{u} = -H_2(u_+' + Qu_+), \\ & B\bar{u}' + (A + BQ)\bar{u} = -H_1(u_2' + Qu_2) - H_2(u_1' + Qu_1). \end{aligned}$$

Then, $\tilde{u} - u_2$ and $v := \tilde{u} - u_2 - \bar{u}$ satisfy

$$(15) \quad B(\tilde{u} - u_2)' + (A + BQ)(\tilde{u} - u_2) = -H_1(\tilde{u}' + Q\tilde{u}) - H_2((u_+ - u)' + Q(u_+ - u))$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Bv' + (A + BQ)v = & -H_1[(\tilde{u} - u_2)' + Q(\tilde{u} - u_2)] \\ & - H_2((u_+ - u - u_1)' + Q(u_+ - u - u_1)), \end{aligned}$$

respectively, with homogeneous initial conditions. Using the continuous dependence of v on the right hand side, see (8), we get

$$(16) \quad \|v\|_{\mathcal{C}([0, T], X)} \lesssim \|H_1\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \|\tilde{u} - u_2\|_{\mathcal{C}^1([0, T], X)} + \|H_2\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \|u_+ - u - u_1\|_{\mathcal{C}^1([0, T], X)}.$$

Now we apply the regularity estimate (9) repeatedly for $k = 1$ to $\tilde{u} - u_2$ in (15), then for $k = 2$ to \tilde{u} as well as to $u_+ - u$, which satisfies $B(u_+ - u)' + (A + BQ)(u_+ - u) = H_1u_+'$, and finally for $k = 3$ to u :

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{u} - u_2\|_{\mathcal{C}^1([0, T], X)} & \lesssim \|H_1\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \|\tilde{u}\|_{\mathcal{C}^2([0, T], X)} + \|H_2\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \|u_+ - u\|_{\mathcal{C}^2([0, T], X)} \\ & \lesssim \|H_1\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \|H_2\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \|u\|_{\mathcal{C}^3([0, T], X)} \lesssim \|H_1\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \|H_2\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \|f\|_{W^{3,1}([0, T], X)}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $d := u_+ - u - u_1$. Then, $Bd' + (A + BQ)d = -H_1((u_+ - u)' + Q(u_+ - u))$, $d(0) = 0$. Again, (9) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \|d\|_{\mathcal{C}^1([0, T], X)} & \lesssim \|H_1\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \|u_+ - u\|_{\mathcal{C}^2([0, T], X)} \lesssim \|H_1\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)}^2 \|u\|_{\mathcal{C}^3([0, T], X)} \\ & \lesssim \|H_1\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)}^2 \|f\|_{W^{3,1}([0, T], X)}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the latter two bounds into (16) yields

$$\frac{1}{\|H_1\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)}} \sup_{H_2 \in \mathcal{L}(X)} \frac{\|\tilde{u} - \bar{u} - \bar{u}\|_{\mathcal{C}([0, T], X)}}{\|H_2\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)}} \lesssim \|H_1\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \|f\|_{W^{3,1}([0, T], X)}$$

which finishes the proof. \square

Remark 3.6. *In seismic exploration, where (7) is the viscoacoustic or viscoelastic wave equation, we can assume the environment to be at rest before firing the source. In other words, the assumptions on u_0 and f from the above theorem are justified.*

The symmetry of second order Fréchet derivative, see, e.g., [4, (8.12.2)], is clearly visible in (13).

The mindful reader might have noticed an unbalanced increase of the smoothness assumptions on f and u_0 from Theorem 3.2 ($f \in W^{1,1}$) to Theorem 3.5 ($f \in W^{3,1}$) compared to the increase of smoothness of F : two additional differentiation orders for f gain only one order for F . This is because in (16) we need convergence of $\|\tilde{u} - \bar{u}\|_{\mathcal{C}^1([0,T],X)} \rightarrow 0$ as $H_1 \rightarrow 0$ uniformly in H_2 . At least we get $F \in \mathcal{C}^{2,1}$, that is, F'' is uniformly Lipschitz continuous.

Theorem 3.7. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.5 we have that⁴*

$$\|F''(B) - F''(\tilde{B})\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{L}^*(X), \mathcal{C}([0,T],X))} \lesssim \|B - \tilde{B}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)}$$

uniformly in $\text{int}(\text{D}(F))$. The constant in the above estimate only depends on β_- , β_+ , T , Q , and f .

Proof. Set $\delta B := \tilde{B} - B$. For $H_i \in \mathcal{L}^*(X)$, $i = 1, 2$, we estimate $\|\bar{\bar{v}} - \bar{v}\|_{\mathcal{C}([0,T],X)}$ where $\bar{v} = F''(B + \delta B)[H_1, H_2]$, $\bar{\bar{v}} = F''(B)[H_1, H_2]$. From (13) we get

$$\begin{aligned} B(\bar{v}' - \bar{\bar{v}}') + (A + BQ)(\bar{v} - \bar{\bar{v}}) &= -H_1(v_2' - u_2' + Q(v_2 - u_2)) \\ &\quad - H_2(v_1' - u_1' + Q(v_1 - u_1)) - \delta B(\bar{v}' + Q\bar{v}) \end{aligned}$$

where u_i is the solution of (14) and v_i solves (14) with B replaced by $B + \delta B$ and u by v , the latter being the solution of (7) with $B + \delta B$ instead of B and $v(0) = 0$. As before, by the continuous dependence on the right hand side,

$$(17) \quad \|\bar{v} - \bar{\bar{v}}\|_{\mathcal{C}([0,T],X)} \lesssim \|H_1\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \|v_2 - u_2\|_{\mathcal{C}^1([0,T],X)} + \|H_2\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \|v_1 - u_1\|_{\mathcal{C}^1([0,T],X)} \\ + \|\delta B\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \|\bar{v}\|_{\mathcal{C}^1([0,T],X)}$$

where the involved constant only depends on β_- , β_+ , T , and Q . All constants in this proof, which are not explicitly given, only depend on these four quantities.

Further, by applying (9) again repeatedly for $k = 1$, $k = 2$, and $k = 3$, we obtain

$$(18) \quad \|\bar{\bar{v}}\|_{\mathcal{C}^1([0,T],X)} \lesssim \|H_1\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \|v_2\|_{\mathcal{C}^2([0,T],X)} + \|H_2\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \|v_1\|_{\mathcal{C}^2([0,T],X)} \\ \lesssim \|H_1\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \|H_2\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \|v\|_{\mathcal{C}^3([0,T],X)} \lesssim \|H_1\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \|H_2\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \|f\|_{W^{3,1}([0,T],X)}.$$

In view of (17) it remains to investigate $\|v_i - u_i\|_{\mathcal{C}^1([0,T],X)}$, $i = 1, 2$. We can use the same approach as above: Set $\bar{d} = v_i - u_i$ and $d = v - u$. Then, $\bar{d}(0) = 0$ and

$$B\bar{d}' + (A + BQ)\bar{d} = -H_i(d' + Qd) - \delta B(v_i' + Qv_i).$$

By (9) as well as the second and third estimate from (18),

$$\|\bar{d}\|_{\mathcal{C}^1([0,T],X)} \lesssim \|H_i\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} (\|d\|_{\mathcal{C}^2([0,T],X)} + \|\delta B\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \|f\|_{W^{3,1}([0,T],X)}).$$

We are left with estimating $\|d\|_{\mathcal{C}^2([0,T],X)}$. Note that

$$Bd' + (A + BQ)d = -\delta B(v' + Qv)$$

⁴ $\mathcal{L}^2(V, W)$ denotes the space of bounded bilinear mappings from V to W .

and (9) delivers

$$\|d\|_{\mathcal{E}^2([0,T],X)} \lesssim \|\delta B\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \|v\|_{\mathcal{E}^3([0,T],X)} \lesssim \|\delta B\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \|f\|_{W^{3,1}([0,T],X)}.$$

So we found that

$$\|v_i - u_i\|_{\mathcal{E}^1([0,T],X)} \lesssim \|H_i\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \|\delta B\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \|f\|_{W^{3,1}([0,T],X)}.$$

Plugging this bound together with (18) into (17) results in

$$\sup_{H_1, H_2 \in \mathcal{L}^*(X)} \frac{\|\bar{v} - \bar{u}\|_{\mathcal{E}([0,T],X)}}{\|H_1\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \|H_2\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)}} \lesssim \|f\|_{W^{3,1}([0,T],X)} \|\delta B\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)}$$

and we are done. \square

3.2. Local ill-posedness. We recall briefly the concept of local ill-posedness from [6]: Let $\Psi: \mathcal{D}(\Psi) \subset \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a mapping between infinite dimensional normed spaces. Then, the equation $\Psi(\cdot) = y$ is *locally ill-posed* at $x^+ \in \mathcal{D}(\Psi)$ if in any neighborhood U of x^+ there exists a sequence $\{\xi_k\} \subset U \cap \mathcal{D}(\Psi)$ with $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\Psi(\xi_k) - y\|_{\mathcal{Y}} = 0$ but $\{\xi_k\}$ does not converge to x^+ in \mathcal{X} .

Here, we consider (10) as a mapping with the larger image space $L^2([0, T], X)$. Theorem 4.1 of [7] applies directly to (7) and (10). The proof only needs a slight and obvious modification.

Theorem 3.8. *Let u be the classical solution of (7) for $u_0 \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ and $f \in W^{1,1}([0, T], X)$. Then the equation $F(B) = u$ is locally ill-posed at any $\hat{B} \in \mathcal{D}(F)$ satisfying $F(\hat{B}) = u$ if for any $r \in (0, 1]$ there exists $\hat{r} \in (0, r)$ and a sequence of bounded, symmetric and monotone operators $E_k: X \rightarrow X$ such that $\hat{B} + E_k \in \mathcal{D}(F)$, $\hat{r} \leq \|E_k\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq r$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} E_k v = 0$ for all $v \in X$.*

4. APPLICATION TO THE VISCOELASTIC WAVE EQUATION

We apply the abstract results to the viscoelastic wave equation in the formulation (4). The underlying Hilbert space is

$$X = L^2(D, \mathbb{R}^3) \times L^2(D, \mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{3 \times 3})^{1+L}$$

with inner product

$$\langle (\mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_0, \dots, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_L), (\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\psi}_0, \dots, \boldsymbol{\psi}_L) \rangle_X = \int_D \left(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w} + \sum_{l=0}^L \boldsymbol{\sigma}_l : \boldsymbol{\psi}_l \right) dx$$

where the colon indicates the Frobenius inner product on $\mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$.

To define the domain $\mathcal{D}(A)$ of A (5) we split the boundary ∂D of the bounded Lipschitz domain D into disjoint parts $\partial D = \partial D_D \dot{\cup} \partial D_N$. Let \mathbf{n} be the outer normal vector on ∂D_N . Then,

$$\mathcal{D}(A) = \left\{ (\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\psi}_0, \dots, \boldsymbol{\psi}_L) \in H_D^1 \times H(\text{div})^{1+L} : \sum_{l=0}^L \boldsymbol{\psi}_l \mathbf{n} = 0 \text{ on } \partial D_N \right\}$$

with $H_D^1 = \{\mathbf{v} \in H^1(D, \mathbb{R}^3) : \mathbf{v} = 0 \text{ on } \partial D_D\}$ and $H(\text{div}) = \{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \in L^2(D, \mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{3 \times 3}) : \text{div } \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{*,j} \in L^2(D), j = 1, 2, 3\}$.⁵

⁵The traces $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{*,j} \cdot \mathbf{n}$ exist in a suitable space, see, e.g., [8].

Lemma 4.1. *The operator A as defined in (5) with $D(A) \subset X$ from above is maximal monotone.*

Proof. Since

$$\langle A(\mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_0, \dots, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_L), (\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\psi}_0, \dots, \boldsymbol{\psi}_L) \rangle_X = \int_D \left[\operatorname{div} \left(\sum_{l=0}^L \boldsymbol{\sigma}_l \right) \cdot \mathbf{w} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) : \left(\sum_{l=0}^L \boldsymbol{\psi}_l \right) \right] dx$$

we can proceed exactly as in the proof of Lemma 6.1 from [7] to show skew-symmetry of A . Hence, $\langle Aw, w \rangle_X = 0$ for all $w \in D(A)$.

Next we show that $I + A$ is onto adapting arguments of [7]. We will be brief therefore. For $(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_0, \dots, \mathbf{g}_L) \in X$ we need to find $(\mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_0, \dots, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_L) \in D(A)$ satisfying

$$\mathbf{v} - \operatorname{div} \left(\sum_{l=0}^L \boldsymbol{\sigma}_l \right) = \mathbf{f}, \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma}_l - \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{g}_l, \quad l = 0, \dots, L.$$

We multiply the equation on the left by a $\mathbf{w} \in H_D^1$, integrate over D and use the divergence theorem to get

$$\int_D \left(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w} + \left(\sum_{l=0}^L \boldsymbol{\sigma}_l \right) : \nabla \mathbf{w} \right) dx = \int_D \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{w} dx.$$

Now we sum up the $L + 1$ equations on the right, use the relation $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) : \boldsymbol{\sigma} = \nabla \mathbf{v} : \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ for $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \in \mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{3 \times 3}$, and arrive at

$$\int_D \left(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w} + (L + 1) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) : \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{w}) \right) dx = \int_D \left(\mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{w} - \sum_{l=0}^L \mathbf{g}_l : \nabla \mathbf{w} \right) dx \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{w} \in H_D^1.$$

This is a standard variational problem (cf. displacement ansatz in elasticity) admitting a unique solution $\mathbf{v} \in H_D^1$.

Set $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_l = \mathbf{g}_l + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v})$ and follow [7] to verify $(\mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_0, \dots, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_L) \in D(A)$. \square

Next we show that $B \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ from (5) is well defined with the required properties. As in [7] we consider C of (2) as a mapping from $D(C) = \{(m, p) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \underline{m} \leq m \leq \overline{m}, \underline{p} \leq p \leq \overline{p}\}$ into $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{3 \times 3})$ ⁶ with constants $0 < \underline{m} < \overline{m}$ and $0 < \underline{p} < \overline{p}$ such that $3\underline{p} > 4\overline{m}$.⁷ For $(m, p) \in D(C)$,

$$(19) \quad \tilde{C}(m, p) := C(m, p)^{-1} = C \left(\frac{1}{4m}, \frac{p - m}{m(3p - 4m)} \right).$$

Moreover, $C(m, p)\mathbf{M} : \mathbf{N} = \mathbf{M} : C(m, p)\mathbf{N}$ and

$$\min\{2\underline{m}, 3\underline{p} - 4\overline{m}\} \mathbf{M} : \mathbf{M} \leq C(m, p)\mathbf{M} : \mathbf{M} \leq \max\{2\overline{m}, 3\overline{p} - 4\underline{m}\} \mathbf{M} : \mathbf{M},$$

⁶This is the space of linear maps from $\mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{3 \times 3}$ into itself (space of automorphisms).

⁷Note that in [7] and [12] different C 's are used.

see, e.g., [12, Lemma 50]. Provided $\rho(x) > 0$, $(\mu_0(x), \pi_0(x)), (\tau_S(x)\mu_0(x), \tau_P(x)\pi_0(x)) \in D(C)$ for almost all $x \in D$ we conclude that

$$(20) \quad B \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{w} \\ \psi_0 \\ \psi_1 \\ \vdots \\ \psi_L \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \rho \mathbf{w} \\ \tilde{C}(\mu_0, \pi_0) \psi_0 \\ \tilde{C}(\tau_S \mu_0, \tau_P \pi_0) \psi_1 \\ \vdots \\ \tilde{C}(\tau_S \mu_0, \tau_P \pi_0) \psi_L \end{pmatrix}$$

yielding a uniformly positive $B \in \mathcal{L}^*(X)$ in the sense of our general hypotheses from the beginning of Section 3. Hence, the general hypotheses are satisfied for the viscoelastic wave equation.

4.1. Full waveform forward operator. In FWI the five parameters $(\rho, v_S, \tau_S, v_P, \tau_P)$ are of interest. Therefore we will define a parameter-to-solution map Φ which takes these parameters as arguments. A physically meaningful domain of definition for Φ is

$$D(\Phi) = \left\{ (\rho, v_S, \tau_S, v_P, \tau_P) \in L^\infty(D)^5 : \rho_{\min} \leq \rho(\cdot) \leq \rho_{\max}, v_{P,\min} \leq v_P(\cdot) \leq v_{P,\max}, \right. \\ \left. v_{S,\min} \leq v_S(\cdot) \leq v_{S,\max}, \tau_{P,\min} \leq \tau_P(\cdot) \leq \tau_{P,\max}, \tau_{S,\min} \leq \tau_S(\cdot) \leq \tau_{S,\max} \text{ a.e. in } D \right\}$$

with suitable positive bounds $0 < \rho_{\min} < \rho_{\max} < \infty$, etc.

In view of (3) we set

$$\mu_{\min} := \frac{\rho_{\min} v_{S,\min}^2}{1 + \tau_{S,\max} \alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_{\max} := \frac{\rho_{\max} v_{S,\max}^2}{1 + \tau_{S,\min} \alpha}$$

which are induced lower and upper bounds for μ_0 . We set the bounds π_{\min} and π_{\max} for π_0 accordingly by replacing s by p . Next we define \underline{p} , \bar{p} , \underline{m} , and \bar{m} such that $(\mu_0, \pi_0), (\tau_S \mu_0, \tau_P \pi_0)$ as functions of $(\rho, v_P, v_S, \tau_P, \tau_S) \in D(\Phi)$ are in $D(C)$. Indeed,

$$\underline{p} := \pi_{\min} \min\{1, \tau_{P,\min}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{p} := \pi_{\max} \max\{1, \tau_{P,\max}\}$$

with \underline{m} and \bar{m} set correspondingly will do the job. The restriction $3\underline{p} > 4\bar{m}$ translates into

$$\frac{4}{3} \frac{\rho_{\max}}{\rho_{\min}} \frac{1 + \tau_{P,\max} \alpha}{1 + \tau_{S,\min} \alpha} \frac{\max\{1, \tau_{S,\max}\}}{\min\{1, \tau_{P,\min}\}} < \frac{v_{P,\min}^2}{v_{S,\max}^2}$$

which reflects in a way the physical fact that pressure waves propagate considerably faster than shear waves.

For $\mathbf{f} \in W^{1,1}([0, T], L^2(D, \mathbb{R}^3))$ and $u_0 = (\mathbf{v}(0), \boldsymbol{\sigma}_0(0), \dots, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_L(0)) \in D(A)$ the *full waveform forward operator*

$$\Phi: D(\Phi) \subset L^\infty(D)^5 \rightarrow L^2([0, T], X), \quad (\rho, v_S, \tau_S, v_P, \tau_P) \mapsto (\mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_0, \dots, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_L),$$

is well defined where $(\mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_0, \dots, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_L)$ is the unique classical solution of (4) with initial value u_0 .

To benefit from our abstract results we factorize $\Phi = F \circ V$ where F is as in (10) and

$$V: D(\Phi) \subset L^\infty(D)^5 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^*(X), \quad (\rho, v_S, \tau_S, v_P, \tau_P) \mapsto B,$$

where B is defined in (20) via (6).

Remark 4.2. Note that the image of V is in $D(F)$ by an appropriate choice of β_- and β_+ in terms of ρ_{\min} , ρ_{\max} , \underline{p} , \bar{p} , \underline{m} , and \bar{m} .

The inverse problem of FWI in the viscoelastic regime is locally ill-posed. This can be proved using Theorem 3.8, compare the proof of Theorem 6.7 of [7]. We give a direct proof though.

Theorem 4.3. *The inverse problem $\Phi(\cdot) = (\mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_0, \dots, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_L)$ is locally ill-posed at any interior point of $\mathbf{p} = (\rho, v_S, \tau_S, v_P, \tau_P) \in \mathbf{D}(\Phi)$.*

Proof. Fix a point $\xi \in D$ and define balls $K_n = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |y - \xi| \leq \delta/n\}$ with a $\delta > 0$ so small that $K_n \subset D$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let χ_n be the indicator function of K_n . Further, for any $r > 0$ such that $\mathbf{p}_n := \mathbf{p} + r(\chi_n, \chi_n, \chi_n, \chi_n, \chi_n) \in \mathbf{D}(\Phi)$ we have that $\|\mathbf{p}_n - \mathbf{p}\|_{L^\infty(D)^5} = r$, that is, \mathbf{p}_n does not converge to \mathbf{p} . However, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\Phi(\mathbf{p}_n) - \Phi(\mathbf{p})\|_{L^2([0,T],X)} = 0$ as we demonstrate now.

Let $u_n = \Phi(\mathbf{p}_n)$ and $u = \Phi(\mathbf{p})$. Then, $d_n = u_n - u$ satisfies

$$V(\mathbf{p}_n)d'_n + Ad_n + V(\mathbf{p}_n)Qd_n = (V(\mathbf{p}) - V(\mathbf{p}_n))(u' + Qu), \quad d_n(0) = 0.$$

By the continuous dependence of d_n on the data, see (8), we obtain

$$\|d_n\|_{L^2([0,T],X)} \lesssim \|(V(\mathbf{p}) - V(\mathbf{p}_n))(u' + Qu)\|_{L^1([0,T],X)}$$

where the constant is independent of n , see Remark 4.2. Next one shows $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(V(\mathbf{p}) - V(\mathbf{p}_n))v\|_X = 0$ for any $v \in X$ using $\mathbf{p}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{p}$ pointwise a.e. in D as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and the dominated convergence theorem. Since $\|V(\mathbf{p}_n)\|_X \lesssim 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ a further application of the dominated convergence theorem with respect to the time domain yields

$$\int_0^T \|(V(\mathbf{p}) - V(\mathbf{p}_n))(u'(t) + Qu(t))\|_X dt \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

and finishes the proof. \square

4.2. First order differentiability. To derive the first order Fréchet derivative of Φ we provide the Fréchet derivative of V . Its formulation needs the derivative of \tilde{C} which we take from [7, Lemma 6.3]:

$$(21) \quad \tilde{C}'(m, p) \begin{bmatrix} \hat{m} \\ \hat{p} \end{bmatrix} = -\tilde{C}(m, p) \circ C(\hat{m}, \hat{p}) \circ \tilde{C}(m, p)$$

for $(m, p) \in \text{int}(\mathbf{D}(C))$ and $(\hat{m}, \hat{p}) \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

Let $\mathbf{p} = (\rho, v_S, \tau_S, v_P, \tau_P) \in \text{int}(\mathbf{D}(\Phi))$ and $\hat{\mathbf{p}} = (\hat{\rho}, \hat{v}_S, \hat{\tau}_S, \hat{v}_P, \hat{\tau}_P) \in L^\infty(D)^5$. Then, $V'(\mathbf{p})\hat{\mathbf{p}} \in \mathcal{L}^*(X)$ is given by

$$(22) \quad V'(\mathbf{p})\hat{\mathbf{p}} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{w} \\ \boldsymbol{\psi}_0 \\ \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{\psi}_L \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\rho} \mathbf{w} \\ -\frac{\hat{p}}{\rho^2} \tilde{C}(\mu, \pi) \boldsymbol{\psi}_0 + \frac{1}{\rho} \tilde{C}'(\mu, \pi) \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mu} \\ \hat{\pi} \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\psi}_0 \\ -\frac{\hat{p}}{\rho^2} \tilde{C}(\tau_S \mu, \tau_P \pi) \boldsymbol{\psi}_1 + \frac{1}{\rho} \tilde{C}'(\tau_S \mu, \tau_P \pi) \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mu} \\ \hat{\pi} \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\psi}_1 \\ \vdots \\ -\frac{\hat{p}}{\rho^2} \tilde{C}(\tau_S \mu, \tau_P \pi) \boldsymbol{\psi}_L + \frac{1}{\rho} \tilde{C}'(\tau_S \mu, \tau_P \pi) \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mu} \\ \hat{\pi} \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\psi}_L \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\mu = \mu_0/\rho$, $\pi = \pi_0/\rho$, see (6), and

$$(23) \quad \tilde{\mu} = \frac{2v_S}{1 + \tau_S \alpha} \hat{v}_S - \frac{\alpha v_S^2}{(1 + \tau_S \alpha)^2} \hat{\tau}_S, \quad \tilde{\pi} = \frac{2v_P}{1 + \tau_P \alpha} \hat{v}_P - \frac{\alpha v_P^2}{(1 + \tau_P \alpha)^2} \hat{\tau}_P,$$

$$(24) \quad \widehat{\mu} = \frac{2\tau_S v_S}{1 + \tau_S \alpha} \widehat{v}_S + \frac{v_S^2}{(1 + \tau_S \alpha)^2} \widehat{\tau}_S, \quad \widehat{\pi} = \frac{2\tau_P v_P}{1 + \tau_P \alpha} \widehat{v}_P + \frac{v_P^2}{(1 + \tau_P \alpha)^2} \widehat{\tau}_P.$$

Theorem 4.4. *Under the assumptions made in this section the full waveform forward operator Φ is Fréchet differentiable at any interior point $\mathbf{p} = (\rho, v_S, \tau_S, v_P, \tau_P)$ of $\mathbf{D}(\Phi)$: For $\widehat{\mathbf{p}} = (\widehat{\rho}, \widehat{v}_S, \widehat{\tau}_S, \widehat{v}_P, \widehat{\tau}_P) \in L^\infty(D)^5$ we have $\Phi'(\mathbf{p})\widehat{\mathbf{p}} = \bar{\mathbf{u}}$ where $\bar{\mathbf{u}} = (\bar{\mathbf{v}}, \bar{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_0, \dots, \bar{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_L) \in \mathcal{C}([0, T], X)$ with $\bar{\mathbf{u}}(0) = 0$ is the mild solution of*

$$(25a) \quad \rho \partial_t \bar{\mathbf{v}} = \operatorname{div} \left(\sum_{l=0}^L \bar{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_l \right) - \widehat{\rho} \partial_t \mathbf{v},$$

$$(25b) \quad \partial_t \bar{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_0 = C(\mu_0, \pi_0) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\bar{\mathbf{v}}) + (\widehat{\rho} C(\mu, \pi) + \rho C(\widehat{\mu}, \widehat{\pi})) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}),$$

$$(25c) \quad \partial_t \bar{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_l = C(\tau_S \mu_0, \tau_P \pi_0) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\bar{\mathbf{v}}) - \frac{1}{\tau_{\sigma,l}} \bar{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_l + (\widehat{\rho} C(\tau_S \mu, \tau_P \pi) + \rho C(\widehat{\mu}, \widehat{\pi})) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}), \quad l = 1, \dots, L,$$

where $(\mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_0, \dots, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_L)$ is the classical solution of (4).

Proof. We apply Theorem 3.2 to $\Phi'(\mathbf{p})\widehat{\mathbf{p}} = F'(V(\mathbf{p}))V'(\mathbf{p})\widehat{\mathbf{p}}$ and get the system

$$\begin{pmatrix} \rho \partial_t \bar{\mathbf{v}} \\ \frac{1}{\rho} \widetilde{C}(\mu, \pi) \partial_t \bar{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_0 \\ \frac{1}{\rho} \widetilde{C}(\tau_S \mu, \tau_P \pi) \partial_t \bar{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{\rho} \widetilde{C}(\tau_S \mu, \tau_P \pi) \partial_t \bar{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_L \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{div} \left(\sum_{l=0}^L \bar{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_l \right) \\ \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\bar{\mathbf{v}}) \\ \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\bar{\mathbf{v}}) \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} \\ \frac{1}{\rho \tau_{\sigma,1}} \widetilde{C}(\tau_S \mu, \tau_P \pi) \bar{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{\rho \tau_{\sigma,L}} \widetilde{C}(\tau_S \mu, \tau_P \pi) \bar{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_L \end{pmatrix} - V'(\mathbf{p})\widehat{\mathbf{p}} \left[\begin{pmatrix} \partial_t \mathbf{v} \\ \partial_t \boldsymbol{\sigma}_0 \\ \partial_t \boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \partial_t \boldsymbol{\sigma}_L \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} \\ \frac{1}{\tau_{\sigma,1}} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{\tau_{\sigma,L}} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_L \end{pmatrix} \right]$$

which is equivalent to (25) in view of (4b), (4c), (21), and (22). \square

Theorem 4.5. *The assumptions are as in Theorem 4.4. Then, the adjoint $\Phi'(\mathbf{p})^* \in \mathcal{L}(L^2([0, T], X), (L^\infty(D)^5)')$ at $\mathbf{p} = (\rho, v_S, \tau_S, v_P, \tau_P) \in \mathbf{D}(\Phi)$ is given by*

$$\Phi'(\mathbf{p})^* \mathbf{g} = \begin{pmatrix} \int_0^T (\partial_t \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w} - \frac{1}{\rho} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) : (\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 + \boldsymbol{\Sigma})) dt \\ \frac{2}{v_S} \int_0^T (-\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) : (\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) + \pi \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^v) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}) dt \\ \frac{1}{1 + \alpha \tau_S} \int_0^T (\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) : \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{S,2}^\tau + \pi \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{S,1}^\tau) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}) dt \\ - \frac{2\pi}{v_P} \int_0^T \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^v) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} dt \\ \frac{\pi}{1 + \alpha \tau_P} \int_0^T \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_P^\tau) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} dt \end{pmatrix} \in L^1(D)^5$$

for $\mathbf{g} = (\mathbf{g}_{-1}, \mathbf{g}_0, \dots, \mathbf{g}_L) \in L^2([0, T], L^2(D, \mathbb{R}^3) \times L^2(D, \mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{3 \times 3})^{1+L})$ where \mathbf{v} is the first component of the solution of (4), $\Sigma = \sum_{l=1}^L \varphi_l$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma^v &= \frac{1}{3\pi - 4\mu} \varphi_0 + \frac{\tau_P}{3\tau_P\pi - 4\tau_S\mu} \Sigma, \\ \Sigma_{S,1}^\tau &= -\frac{\alpha}{3\pi - 4\mu} \varphi_0 + \frac{\tau_P}{\tau_S(3\tau_P\pi - 4\tau_S\mu)} \Sigma, \quad \Sigma_{S,2}^\tau = \alpha \varphi_0 - \frac{1}{\tau_S} \Sigma, \\ \Sigma_P^\tau &= \frac{\alpha}{3\pi - 4\mu} \varphi_0 - \frac{1}{3\tau_P\pi - 4\tau_S\mu} \Sigma, \end{aligned}$$

and $w = (\mathbf{w}, \varphi_0, \dots, \varphi_L) \in \mathcal{C}([0, T], X)$ uniquely solves

$$(26a) \quad \partial_t \mathbf{w} = \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{div} \left(\sum_{l=0}^L \varphi_l \right) + \frac{1}{\rho} \mathbf{g}_{-1},$$

$$(26b) \quad \partial_t \varphi_0 = C(\mu_0, \pi_0) (\varepsilon(\mathbf{w}) + \mathbf{g}_0),$$

$$(26c) \quad \partial_t \varphi_l = C(\tau_S \mu_0, \tau_P \pi_0) (\varepsilon(\mathbf{w}) + \mathbf{g}_l) + \frac{1}{\tau_{\sigma,l}} \varphi_l, \quad l = 1, \dots, L,$$

with $w(T) = 0$.

Remark 4.6. Please note that $\Phi'(\mathbf{p})^*$ actually maps into $L^1(D)^5$ which is a subspace of $(L^\infty(D)^5)'$. This remark applies also to the adjoints considered in Theorems 4.9 and 4.10 below.

Proof of Theorem 4.5. Using $A^* = -A$ (skew-symmetry), $Q^* = Q$, and $QB = BQ$ we convince ourselves that (26) is the concrete version of the abstract equation (12). Further, by Theorem 3.3,

$$(27) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle \Phi'(\mathbf{p})^* \mathbf{g}, \widehat{\mathbf{p}} \rangle_{(L^\infty(D)^5)' \times L^\infty(D)^5} &= \langle F'(V(\mathbf{p}))^* \mathbf{g}, V'(\mathbf{p}) \widehat{\mathbf{p}} \rangle_{\mathcal{L}(X)' \times \mathcal{L}(X)} \\ &= \int_0^T \langle V'(\mathbf{p}) \widehat{\mathbf{p}} (u'(t) + Qu(t)), w(t) \rangle_X dt \end{aligned}$$

where $u = (\mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_0, \dots, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_L)$ is the classical solution of (4). We are now going to evaluate the above integrand suppressing its t -dependence. Using (22) and (21) we find for $\widehat{\mathbf{p}} = (\widehat{\rho}, \widehat{v}_S, \widehat{\tau}_S, \widehat{v}_P, \widehat{\tau}_P)$ that

$$(28) \quad \langle V'(\mathbf{p}) \widehat{\mathbf{p}} (u' + Qu), w \rangle_X = \int_D (\widehat{\rho} \partial_t \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w} + S_0 + S_1 + \dots + S_L) dx$$

with

$$S_0 = \left[-\frac{\widehat{\rho}}{\rho^2} \widetilde{C}(\mu, \pi) \partial_t \boldsymbol{\sigma}_0 - \frac{1}{\rho} \widetilde{C}(\mu, \pi) C(\widetilde{\mu}, \widetilde{\pi}) \widetilde{C}(\mu, \pi) \partial_t \boldsymbol{\sigma}_0 \right] : \varphi_0$$

and, for $l = 1, \dots, L$,

$$\begin{aligned} S_l &= \left[-\frac{\widehat{\rho}}{\rho^2} \widetilde{C}(\tau_S \mu, \tau_P \pi) \left(\partial_t \boldsymbol{\sigma}_l + \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_l}{\tau_{\sigma,l}} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{\rho} \widetilde{C}(\tau_S \mu, \tau_P \pi) C(\widehat{\mu}, \widehat{\pi}) \widetilde{C}(\tau_S \mu, \tau_P \pi) \left(\partial_t \boldsymbol{\sigma}_l + \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_l}{\tau_{\sigma,l}} \right) \right] : \varphi_l. \end{aligned}$$

In view of (4b) we may write

$$S_0 = \left[-\frac{\widehat{\rho}}{\rho} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) - \widetilde{C}(\mu, \pi) C(\widetilde{\mu}, \widetilde{\pi}) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) \right] : \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 = -\frac{\widehat{\rho}}{\rho} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) : \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 - C(\widetilde{\mu}, \widetilde{\pi}) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) : \widetilde{C}(\mu, \pi) \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0$$

and, similarly by (4c) ,

$$S_l = -\frac{\widehat{\rho}}{\rho} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) : \boldsymbol{\varphi}_l - C(\widehat{\mu}, \widehat{\pi}) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) : \widetilde{C}(\tau_S \mu, \tau_P \pi) \boldsymbol{\varphi}_l, \quad l = 1, \dots, L.$$

Next, using (19), we compute

$$\begin{aligned} & C(\widetilde{\mu}, \widetilde{\pi}) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) : \widetilde{C}(\mu, \pi) \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 \\ (29) \quad &= (2\widetilde{\mu} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) + (\widetilde{\pi} - 2\widetilde{\mu}) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{I}) : \left(\frac{1}{2\mu} \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 + \frac{2\mu - \pi}{2\mu(3\pi - 4\mu)} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0) \mathbf{I} \right) \\ &= \widetilde{\mu} \left(\frac{1}{\mu} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) : \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 - \frac{\pi}{\mu(3\pi - 4\mu)} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0) \right) + \frac{\widetilde{\pi}}{3\pi - 4\mu} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0) \end{aligned}$$

yielding

$$\begin{aligned} S_0 &= -\frac{\widehat{\rho}}{\rho} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) : \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 \\ &\quad + \widetilde{\mu} \left(-\frac{1}{\mu} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) : \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 + \frac{\pi}{\mu(3\pi - 4\mu)} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0) \right) - \frac{\widetilde{\pi}}{3\pi - 4\mu} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0). \end{aligned}$$

Analogously,

$$\begin{aligned} S_l &= -\frac{\widehat{\rho}}{\rho} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) : \boldsymbol{\varphi}_l \\ &\quad + \widehat{\mu} \left(-\frac{1}{\tau_S \mu} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) : \boldsymbol{\varphi}_l + \frac{\tau_P \pi}{\tau_S \mu (3\tau_P \pi - 4\tau_S \mu)} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_l) \right) - \frac{\widehat{\pi}}{3\tau_P \pi - 4\tau_S \mu} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_l). \end{aligned}$$

Next we group the terms in the sum (28) belonging to the five components of $\widehat{\mathbf{p}}$. To this end we replace $\widetilde{\mu}$, $\widetilde{\pi}$, $\widehat{\mu}$, and $\widehat{\pi}$ by their respective expressions from (23) and (24) which we slightly rewrite introducing μ and π :

$$(30) \quad \widetilde{\mu} = \frac{2\mu}{v_S} \widehat{v}_S - \frac{\alpha \mu}{1 + \tau_S \alpha} \widehat{\tau}_S, \quad \widetilde{\pi} = \frac{2\pi}{v_P} \widehat{v}_P - \frac{\alpha \pi}{1 + \tau_P \alpha} \widehat{\tau}_P,$$

$$(31) \quad \widehat{\mu} = \frac{2\tau_S \mu}{v_S} \widehat{v}_S + \frac{\mu}{1 + \tau_S \alpha} \widehat{\tau}_S, \quad \widehat{\pi} = \frac{2\tau_P \pi}{v_P} \widehat{v}_P + \frac{\pi}{1 + \tau_P \alpha} \widehat{\tau}_P.$$

After some algebra we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle V'(\mathbf{p}) \widehat{\mathbf{p}}(u' + Qu), \bar{u} \rangle_X &= \int_D \left[\widehat{\rho} \left(\partial_t \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w} - \frac{1}{\rho} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) : (\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) \right) \right. \\ &\quad + \widehat{v}_S \frac{2}{v_S} \left(-\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) : (\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) + \pi \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^v) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{\widehat{\tau}_S}{1 + \alpha \tau_S} \left(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) : \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{S,2}^T + \pi \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{S,1}^T) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \right) \\ &\quad \left. - \widehat{v}_P \frac{2\pi}{v_P} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^v) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} + \widehat{\tau}_P \frac{\pi}{1 + \alpha \tau_P} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_P^T) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \right] dx \end{aligned}$$

which ends the proof. \square

4.3. **Second order differentiability.** The second derivative of Φ is given by

$$(32) \quad \Phi''(\mathbf{p})[\widehat{\mathbf{p}}_1, \widehat{\mathbf{p}}_2] = F''(V(\mathbf{p}))[V'(\mathbf{p})\widehat{\mathbf{p}}_1, V'(\mathbf{p})\widehat{\mathbf{p}}_2] + F'(V(\mathbf{p}))V''(\mathbf{p})[\widehat{\mathbf{p}}_1, \widehat{\mathbf{p}}_2]$$

using the chain and product rules, see, e.g., [11, Section 4.3]. In a first step we need to find V'' . Differentiating (22) at $\mathbf{p} = (\rho, v_S, \tau_S, v_P, \tau_P) \in \text{int}(\mathbf{D}(\Phi))$ we obtain

$$(33) \quad V''(\mathbf{p})[\widehat{\mathbf{p}}_1, \widehat{\mathbf{p}}_2] \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{w} \\ \psi_0 \\ \vdots \\ \psi_L \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \left(\frac{\widehat{\rho}_1 \widehat{\rho}_2}{\rho^3} \widetilde{C}(\mu, \pi) - \frac{\widehat{\rho}_1}{\rho^2} \widetilde{C}'(\mu, \pi) \begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{\mu}_2 \\ \widetilde{\pi}_2 \end{bmatrix} - \frac{\widehat{\rho}_2}{\rho^2} \widetilde{C}'(\mu, \pi) \begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{\mu}_1 \\ \widetilde{\pi}_1 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{\rho} \widetilde{C}''(\mu, \pi) \begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{\mu}_1 \\ \widetilde{\pi}_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{\mu}_2 \\ \widetilde{\pi}_2 \end{bmatrix} \right) \psi_0 \\ \left(\frac{\widehat{\rho}_1 \widehat{\rho}_2}{\rho^3} \widetilde{C}(\tau_S \mu, \tau_P \pi) - \frac{\widehat{\rho}_1}{\rho^2} \widetilde{C}'(\tau_S \mu, \tau_P \pi) \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{\mu}_2 \\ \widehat{\pi}_2 \end{bmatrix} - \frac{\widehat{\rho}_2}{\rho^2} \widetilde{C}'(\tau_S \mu, \tau_P \pi) \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{\mu}_1 \\ \widehat{\pi}_1 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{\rho} \widetilde{C}''(\tau_S \mu, \tau_P \pi) \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{\mu}_1 \\ \widehat{\pi}_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{\mu}_2 \\ \widehat{\pi}_2 \end{bmatrix} \right) \psi_1 \\ \vdots \\ \left(\frac{\widehat{\rho}_1 \widehat{\rho}_2}{\rho^3} \widetilde{C}(\tau_S \mu, \tau_P \pi) - \frac{\widehat{\rho}_1}{\rho^2} \widetilde{C}'(\tau_S \mu, \tau_P \pi) \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{\mu}_2 \\ \widehat{\pi}_2 \end{bmatrix} - \frac{\widehat{\rho}_2}{\rho^2} \widetilde{C}'(\tau_S \mu, \tau_P \pi) \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{\mu}_1 \\ \widehat{\pi}_1 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{\rho} \widetilde{C}''(\tau_S \mu, \tau_P \pi) \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{\mu}_1 \\ \widehat{\pi}_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{\mu}_2 \\ \widehat{\pi}_2 \end{bmatrix} \right) \psi_L \end{pmatrix}$$

for $\widehat{\mathbf{p}}_i = (\widehat{\rho}_i, \widehat{v}_{S,i}, \widehat{\tau}_{S,i}, \widehat{v}_{P,i}, \widehat{\tau}_{P,i}) \in L^\infty(D)^5$, $i = 1, 2$. Further, $\widetilde{\mu}_i$, $\widetilde{\pi}_i$, and $\widehat{\mu}_i$, $\widehat{\pi}_i$ are defined as in (23) and (24), respectively, plugging in the respective components of $\widehat{\mathbf{p}}_i$. We close the expression for V'' by

$$(34) \quad \widetilde{C}''(m, p) \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{m}_1 \\ \widehat{p}_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{m}_2 \\ \widehat{p}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \widetilde{C}(m, p) \circ C(\widehat{m}_1, \widehat{p}_1) \circ \widetilde{C}(m, p) \circ C(\widehat{m}_2, \widehat{p}_2) \circ \widetilde{C}(m, p) \\ + \widetilde{C}(m, p) \circ C(\widehat{m}_2, \widehat{p}_2) \circ \widetilde{C}(m, p) \circ C(\widehat{m}_1, \widehat{p}_1) \circ \widetilde{C}(m, p).$$

The proof of (34) requires straightforward but lengthy calculations.

Theorem 4.7. *Let \mathbf{f} be in $W^{3,1}([0, T], L^2(D, \mathbb{R}^3))$ with $\mathbf{f}(0) = \mathbf{f}'(0) = \mathbf{f}''(0) = 0$. Further, let $u_0 = 0$ and adopt the assumptions and notation made in this section.*

Then, the full waveform forward operator Φ is twice Fréchet differentiable at any interior point $\mathbf{p} = (\rho, v_S, \tau_S, v_P, \tau_P)$ of $\mathbf{D}(\Phi)$: For $\widehat{\mathbf{p}}_i = (\widehat{\rho}_i, \widehat{v}_{S,i}, \widehat{\tau}_{S,i}, \widehat{v}_{P,i}, \widehat{\tau}_{P,i}) \in L^\infty(D)^5$, $i = 1, 2$, we have $\Phi''(\mathbf{p})[\widehat{\mathbf{p}}_1, \widehat{\mathbf{p}}_2] = v + \overline{u}$ where $v = (\mathbf{w}, \psi_0, \dots, \psi_L)$ and $\overline{u} = (\overline{\mathbf{v}}, \overline{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_0, \dots, \overline{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_L)$ are both in $\mathcal{C}([0, T], X)$. They are uniquely determined as mild solutions of the following viscoelastic equations.

The equations for \overline{u} are $\overline{u}(0) = 0$ and

$$\rho \partial_t \overline{\mathbf{v}} = \text{div} \left(\sum_{l=0}^L \overline{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_l \right) - \widehat{\rho}_1 \partial_t \overline{\mathbf{v}}_2 - \widehat{\rho}_2 \partial_t \overline{\mathbf{v}}_1, \\ \partial_t \overline{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_0 = C(\mu_0, \pi_0) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\overline{\mathbf{v}}) + (\widehat{\rho}_1 C(\mu, \pi) + \rho C(\widetilde{\mu}_1, \widetilde{\pi}_1)) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\overline{\mathbf{v}}_2)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \left(\widehat{\rho}_2 C(\mu, \pi) + \rho C(\widetilde{\mu}_2, \widetilde{\pi}_2) \right) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\overline{\mathbf{v}}_1), \\
\partial_t \overline{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_l & = C(\tau_S \mu_0, \tau_P \pi_0) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\overline{\mathbf{v}}) - \frac{1}{\tau_{\sigma, l}} \overline{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_l \\
& + \left(\widehat{\rho}_1 C(\tau_S \mu, \tau_P \pi) + \rho C(\widehat{\mu}_1, \widehat{\pi}_1) \right) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\overline{\mathbf{v}}_2) \\
& + \left(\widehat{\rho}_2 C(\tau_S \mu, \tau_P \pi) + \rho C(\widehat{\mu}_2, \widehat{\pi}_2) \right) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\overline{\mathbf{v}}_1), \quad l = 1, \dots, L,
\end{aligned}$$

with $\overline{\mathbf{v}}_i$ being the first component of the solution of (25) where the parameters $\widehat{\mathbf{p}}$ have to be replaced by $\widehat{\mathbf{p}}_i$, $i = 1, 2$.

The equations for v are $v(0) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho \partial_t \mathbf{w} & = \operatorname{div} \left(\sum_{l=0}^L \boldsymbol{\psi}_l \right), \\
\partial_t \boldsymbol{\psi}_0 & = C(\mu_0, \pi_0) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{w}) - \left(\frac{\widehat{\rho}_1 \widehat{\rho}_2}{\rho^2} C(\mu, \pi) + \widehat{\rho}_1 C(\widetilde{\mu}_1, \widetilde{\pi}_1) + \widehat{\rho}_2 C(\widetilde{\mu}_2, \widetilde{\pi}_2) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \rho C(\widetilde{\mu}_1, \widetilde{\pi}_1) \widetilde{C}(\mu, \pi) C(\widetilde{\mu}_2, \widetilde{\pi}_2) + \rho C(\widetilde{\mu}_2, \widetilde{\pi}_2) \widetilde{C}(\mu, \pi) C(\widetilde{\mu}_1, \widetilde{\pi}_1) \right) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}), \\
\partial_t \boldsymbol{\psi}_l & = C(\tau_S \mu_0, \tau_P \pi_0) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{w}) - \frac{1}{\tau_{\sigma, l}} \boldsymbol{\psi}_l - \left(\frac{\widehat{\rho}_1 \widehat{\rho}_2}{\rho^2} C(\tau_S \mu, \tau_P \pi) + \widehat{\rho}_1 C(\widehat{\mu}_1, \widehat{\pi}_1) + \widehat{\rho}_2 C(\widehat{\mu}_2, \widehat{\pi}_2) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \rho C(\widehat{\mu}_1, \widehat{\pi}_1) \widetilde{C}(\tau_S \mu, \tau_P \pi) C(\widehat{\mu}_2, \widehat{\pi}_2) + \rho C(\widehat{\mu}_2, \widehat{\pi}_2) \widetilde{C}(\tau_S \mu, \tau_P \pi) C(\widehat{\mu}_1, \widehat{\pi}_1) \right) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}),
\end{aligned}$$

$l = 1, \dots, L$, where \mathbf{v} is the first component of the solution of (4).

Proof. By (32), $\Phi''(\mathbf{p})[\widehat{\mathbf{p}}_1, \widehat{\mathbf{p}}_2] = v + \overline{u}$ where

$$v := F'(V(\mathbf{p}))V''(\mathbf{p})[\widehat{\mathbf{p}}_1, \widehat{\mathbf{p}}_2] \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{u} := F''(V(\mathbf{p}))[V'(\mathbf{p})\widehat{\mathbf{p}}_1, V'(\mathbf{p})\widehat{\mathbf{p}}_2].$$

We apply Theorems 3.2 and 3.5 to specify the equations for v and \overline{u} , respectively.

We start with \overline{u} which is determined by two coupled equations of type (25). These equations only differ in the plugged in parameters and right hand sides.

Theorem 3.2 yields the following system for v :

$$\begin{aligned}
\begin{pmatrix} \rho \partial_t \mathbf{w} \\ \frac{1}{\rho} \widetilde{C}(\mu, \pi) \partial_t \boldsymbol{\psi}_0 \\ \frac{1}{\rho} \widetilde{C}(\tau_S \mu, \tau_P \pi) \partial_t \boldsymbol{\psi}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{\rho} \widetilde{C}(\tau_S \mu, \tau_P \pi) \partial_t \boldsymbol{\psi}_L \end{pmatrix} & = \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{div} \left(\sum_{l=0}^L \boldsymbol{\psi}_l \right) \\ \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{w}) \\ \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{w}) \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} \\ \frac{1}{\rho \tau_{\sigma, 1}} \widetilde{C}(\tau_S \mu, \tau_P \pi) \boldsymbol{\psi}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{\rho \tau_{\sigma, L}} \widetilde{C}(\tau_S \mu, \tau_P \pi) \boldsymbol{\psi}_L \end{pmatrix} \\
& - V''(\mathbf{p})[\widehat{\mathbf{p}}_1, \widehat{\mathbf{p}}_2] \left[\begin{pmatrix} \partial_t \mathbf{v} \\ \partial_t \boldsymbol{\sigma}_0 \\ \partial_t \boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \partial_t \boldsymbol{\sigma}_L \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} \\ \frac{1}{\tau_{\sigma, 1}} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{\tau_{\sigma, L}} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_L \end{pmatrix} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Applying (4b), (4c), (21), (33), and (34) leads to the equations for v . \square

4.4. An additional adjoint. As explained in the introduction, second-degree Newton solvers might resolve the cross-talk effect. In our group we plan to implement a variant of the second-degree Newton method for viscoelastic FWI. There one needs to solve a linear system containing the operator $\Phi''(\mathbf{p})[\widehat{\mathbf{p}}, \cdot]$. Our regularization method of choice is the conjugate gradient iteration which needs the adjoint operator. In this subsection we derive an explicit expression for it.

Recall from (32) that

$$(35) \quad \Phi''(\mathbf{p})[\widehat{\mathbf{p}}, \cdot] = F''(V(\mathbf{p}))[V'(\mathbf{p})\widehat{\mathbf{p}}, V'(\mathbf{p})\cdot] + F'(V(\mathbf{p}))V''(\mathbf{p})[\widehat{\mathbf{p}}, \cdot].$$

In a first step we therefore consider $F''(B)[H, \cdot]: \mathcal{L}^*(X) \rightarrow L^2([0, T], X)$ for $B \in \mathbf{D}(F)$ and $H \in \mathcal{L}^*(X)$.

Theorem 4.8. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.5 we have*

$$[F''(B)[H_1, \cdot]^*g]H_2 = \int_0^T \langle H_1(u'_2(t) + Qu_2(t)) + H_2(u'_1(t) + Qu_1(t)), w(t) \rangle_X dt$$

for $g \in L^2([0, T], X)$, $H_i \in \mathcal{L}^*(X)$, where $u_i = F'(B)H_i$, $i = 1, 2$, is the solution of (14). Further, $w \in \mathcal{C}([0, T], X)$ is the mild solution of the adjoint evolution equation

$$Bw'(t) - A^*w(t) - Q^*Bw(t) = g(t), \quad t \in [0, T], \quad w(T) = 0.$$

Proof. Since $[F''(B)[H_1, \cdot]^*g]H_2 = \langle \bar{u}, g \rangle_{L^2([0, T], X)}$ where $\bar{u} \in \mathcal{C}^1([0, T], X)$ solves (13) we argue similar to the proof of Theorem 3.8 in [7]: Assume $g \in W^{1,1}([0, T], X)$. Then, $w \in \mathcal{C}^1([0, T], X)$. Using the selfadjointness of B and integration by parts we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \bar{u}, g \rangle_{L^2([0, T], X)} &= \int_0^T \langle \bar{u}(t), g(t) \rangle_X dt = \int_0^T \langle \bar{u}(t), Bw'(t) - A^*w(t) - Q^*Bw(t) \rangle_X dt \\ &= - \int_0^T \langle B\bar{u}'(t) + A\bar{u}(t) + BQ\bar{u}(t), w(t) \rangle_X dt \\ &= \int_0^T \langle H_1(u'_2(t) + Qu_2(t)) + H_2(u'_1(t) + Qu_1(t)), w(t) \rangle_X dt. \end{aligned}$$

The assertion follows since $W^{1,1}([0, T], X)$ is dense in $L^2([0, T], X)$. □

Theorem 4.9. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 4.7 we have that the adjoint*

$$F''(V(\mathbf{p}))[V'(\mathbf{p})\widehat{\mathbf{p}}, V'(\mathbf{p})\cdot]^* \in \mathcal{L}(L^2([0, T], X), (L^\infty(D)^5)')$$

at $\mathbf{p} = (\rho, v_S, \tau_S, v_P, \tau_P) \in \mathbf{D}(\Phi)$ and $\widehat{\mathbf{p}} = (\widehat{\rho}, \widehat{v}_S, \widehat{\tau}_S, \widehat{v}_P, \widehat{\tau}_P) \in L^\infty(D)^5$ is given by

$$F''(V(\mathbf{p}))[V'(\mathbf{p})\widehat{\mathbf{p}}, V'(\mathbf{p})\cdot]^* \mathbf{g} = \mathbf{p}_1^* + \mathbf{p}_2^*$$

for $\mathbf{g} = (\mathbf{g}_{-1}, \mathbf{g}_0, \dots, \mathbf{g}_L) \in L^2([0, T], L^2(D, \mathbb{R}^3) \times L^2(D, \mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{3 \times 3})^{1+L})$ where

$$\mathbf{p}_1^* = \begin{pmatrix} \int_0^T (\partial_t \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{z} - \frac{1}{\rho} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) : (\boldsymbol{\eta}_0 + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_\eta)) dt \\ \frac{2}{v_S} \int_0^T (-\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) : (\boldsymbol{\eta}_0 + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_\eta) + \pi \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^v) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}) dt \\ \frac{1}{1+\alpha\tau_S} \int_0^T (\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) : \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{S,2}^\tau + \pi \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{S,1}^\tau) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}) dt \\ - \frac{2\pi}{v_P} \int_0^T \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^v) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} dt \\ \frac{\pi}{1+\alpha\tau_P} \int_0^T \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_P^\tau) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} dt \end{pmatrix} \in L^1(D)^5$$

and

$$\mathbf{p}_2^* = \left(\begin{array}{l} \int_0^T (\partial_t \mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \mathbf{w} - \frac{1}{\rho} [\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}_1) : (\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_\varphi) + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) : \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_1^\rho + \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_2^\rho) \text{div } \mathbf{v}]) dt \\ \frac{2}{v_S} \int_0^T (- \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}_1) : (\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_\varphi) - \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) : \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_1^\rho \\ \quad + \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{S,1}^v) \text{div } \mathbf{v}_1 + \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{S,2}^v) \text{div } \mathbf{v}) dt \\ \frac{1}{1+\alpha\tau_S} \int_0^T (- \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}_1) : (\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 + \frac{1}{\tau_S} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_\varphi) - \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) : \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{S,0}^\tau \\ \quad + \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{S,1}^\tau) \text{div } \mathbf{v}_1 + \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{S,2}^\tau) \text{div } \mathbf{v}) dt \\ - \frac{2\pi}{v_P} \int_0^T (\text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{P,1}^v) \text{div } \mathbf{v}_1 + \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{P,2}^v) \text{div } \mathbf{v}) dt \\ \frac{\pi}{1+\alpha\tau_P} \int_0^T (\text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{P,1}^\tau) \text{div } \mathbf{v}_1 - \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{P,2}^\tau) \text{div } \mathbf{v}) dt \end{array} \right) \in L^1(D)^5.$$

Above, \mathbf{v} is the first component of the solution of (4), $z = (\mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\eta}_0, \dots, \boldsymbol{\eta}_L)$ solves (12) with $g = -V'(\mathbf{p})\widehat{\mathbf{p}}(w' + Qw)$ and $w = (\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0, \dots, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_L)$ solves (26). Further, $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_\eta = \sum_{l=1}^L \boldsymbol{\eta}_l$, $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_\varphi = \sum_{l=1}^L \boldsymbol{\varphi}_l$, and the quantities $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^v$, $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{S,1}^\tau$, $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{S,2}^\tau$, and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_P^\tau$ are exactly those from Theorem 4.5, however, with $\boldsymbol{\eta}_l$ in place of $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_l$. Finally, $\mathbf{v}_1 = (F'(V(\mathbf{p}))V'(\mathbf{p})\widehat{\mathbf{p}})_1$, that is, \mathbf{v}_1 is the first component of the solution of (25), and

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_1^\rho &= \left(\frac{\widehat{\rho}}{\rho} + \frac{\widetilde{\mu}}{\mu} \right) \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 + \left(\frac{\widehat{\rho}}{\rho} + \frac{\widehat{\mu}}{\tau_S \mu} \right) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_\varphi, & \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_2^\rho &= \frac{\mu \widetilde{\pi} - \widetilde{\mu} \pi}{\mu(3\pi - 4\mu)} \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 + \frac{\tau_S \mu \widehat{\pi} - \tau_P \widehat{\mu} \pi}{\tau_S \mu(3\tau_P \pi - 4\tau_S \mu)} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_\varphi, \\ \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{S,1}^v &= \frac{\pi}{3\pi - 4\mu} \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 + \frac{\tau_P \pi}{3\tau_P \pi - 4\tau_S \mu} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_\varphi \\ \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{S,2}^v &= \left(\frac{\widehat{\rho}}{\rho} \frac{\pi}{3\pi - 4\mu} - \frac{3\widetilde{\mu}\pi^2 - 4\widetilde{\pi}\mu^2}{\mu(3\pi - 4\mu)^2} \right) \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{\widehat{\rho}}{\rho} \frac{\tau_P \pi}{3\tau_P \pi - 4\tau_S \mu} - \frac{3\widehat{\mu}\tau_P^2 \pi^2 - 4\widehat{\pi}\tau_S^2 \mu^2}{\tau_S \mu(3\tau_P \pi - 4\tau_S \mu)^2} \right) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_\varphi, \\ \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{S,0}^\tau &= -\alpha \left(\frac{\widehat{\rho}}{\rho} + \frac{\widetilde{\mu}}{\mu} \right) \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 + \left(\frac{\widehat{\rho}}{\tau_S \rho} + \frac{\widehat{\mu}}{\tau_S^2 \mu} \right) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_\varphi, \\ \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{S,1}^\tau &= -\alpha \frac{\pi}{3\pi - 4\mu} \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 + \frac{\tau_P \pi}{\tau_S(3\tau_P \pi - 4\tau_S \mu)} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_\varphi, \\ \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{S,2}^\tau &= -\alpha \left(\frac{\widehat{\rho}}{\rho} \frac{\pi}{3\pi - 4\mu} - \frac{3\widetilde{\mu}\pi^2 - 4\widetilde{\pi}\mu^2}{\mu(3\pi - 4\mu)^2} \right) \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{\widehat{\rho}}{\rho} \frac{\tau_P \pi}{\tau_S(3\tau_P \pi - 4\tau_S \mu)} - \frac{3\widehat{\mu}\tau_P^2 \pi^2 - 4\widehat{\pi}\tau_S^2 \mu^2}{\tau_S^2 \mu(3\tau_P \pi - 4\tau_S \mu)^2} \right) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_\varphi, \\ \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{P,1}^v &= \frac{1}{3\pi - 4\mu} \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 + \frac{\tau_P}{3\tau_P \pi - 4\tau_S \mu} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_\varphi, \\ \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{P,2}^v &= \left(\frac{\widehat{\rho}}{\rho} \frac{1}{3\pi - 4\mu} + \frac{3\widetilde{\pi}\pi^2 - 4\widetilde{\mu}\mu^2}{\mu^2(3\pi - 4\mu)^2} \right) \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 \\ &\quad + \tau_P \left(\frac{\widehat{\rho}}{\rho} \frac{1}{3\tau_P \pi - 4\tau_S \mu} + \frac{3\widehat{\pi}\tau_P^2 \pi^2 - 4\widehat{\mu}\tau_S \mu^2}{\tau_S^2 \mu^2(3\tau_P \pi - 4\tau_S \mu)^2} \right) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_\varphi, \\ \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{P,1}^\tau &= \frac{\alpha}{3\pi - 4\mu} \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 - \frac{1}{3\tau_P \pi - 4\tau_S \mu} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_\varphi, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{\Gamma}_{P,2}^\tau &= \alpha \left(\frac{\hat{\rho}}{\rho} \frac{1}{3\pi - 4\mu} + \frac{3\tilde{\pi}\pi^2 - 4\tilde{\mu}\mu^2}{\mu^2(3\pi - 4\mu)^2} \right) \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{\hat{\rho}}{\rho} \frac{1}{3\tau_P\pi - 4\tau_S\mu} + \frac{3\hat{\pi}\tau_P^2\pi^2 - 4\hat{\mu}\tau_S\mu^2}{\tau_S^2\mu^2(3\tau_P\pi - 4\tau_S\mu)^2} \right) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_\varphi. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From the previous theorem we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (F''(V(\mathbf{p})))[V'(\mathbf{p})\hat{\mathbf{p}}_1, V'(\mathbf{p})\cdot]^* \mathbf{g}) \hat{\mathbf{p}}_2 &= \int_0^T \langle V'(\mathbf{p})\hat{\mathbf{p}}_1(u'_2(t) + Qu_2(t)), w(t) \rangle_X dt \\ &\quad + \int_0^T \langle V'(\mathbf{p})\hat{\mathbf{p}}_2(u'_1(t) + Qu_1(t)), w(t) \rangle_X dt. \end{aligned}$$

Note that here $u_i = F'(V(\mathbf{p}))V'(\mathbf{p})\hat{\mathbf{p}}_i$ solves (25) with $\hat{\mathbf{p}} = \hat{\mathbf{p}}_i$ and w solves (26).

We start with

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \langle V'(\mathbf{p})\hat{\mathbf{p}}_1(u'_2(t) + Qu_2(t)), w(t) \rangle_X dt &= - \int_0^T \langle u_2, V'(\mathbf{p})\hat{\mathbf{p}}_1(w'(t) + Qw(t)) \rangle_X dt \\ &= \int_0^T \langle V'(\mathbf{p})\hat{\mathbf{p}}_2(u'(t) + Qu(t)), z(t) \rangle_X dt. \end{aligned}$$

We are now exactly in the situation of the proof of Theorem 4.5, see (27), and proceed accordingly which yields the representation of \mathbf{p}_1^* .

Similar to (28) we find for $\hat{\mathbf{p}}_2 = (\hat{\rho}_2, \hat{v}_{S,2}, \hat{\tau}_{S,2}, \hat{v}_{P,2}, \hat{\tau}_{P,2})$ that

$$\langle V'(\mathbf{p})\hat{\mathbf{p}}_2(u'_1 + Qu_1), w \rangle_X = \int_D (\hat{\rho}_2 \partial_t \mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \mathbf{w} + S_0 + S_1 + \dots + S_L) dx$$

with

$$S_0 = \left[-\frac{\hat{\rho}_2}{\rho^2} \tilde{C}(\mu, \pi) \partial_t \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{0,1} - \frac{1}{\rho} \tilde{C}(\mu, \pi) C(\tilde{\mu}_2, \tilde{\pi}_2) \tilde{C}(\mu, \pi) \partial_t \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{0,1} \right] : \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0$$

and, for $l = 1, \dots, L$,

$$\begin{aligned} S_l &= \left[-\frac{\hat{\rho}_2}{\rho^2} \tilde{C}(\tau_S\mu, \tau_P\pi) \left(\partial_t \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{l,1} + \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{l,1}}{\tau_{\sigma,l}} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{\rho} \tilde{C}(\tau_S\mu, \tau_P\pi) C(\hat{\mu}_2, \hat{\pi}_2) \tilde{C}(\tau_S\mu, \tau_P\pi) \left(\partial_t \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{l,1} + \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{l,1}}{\tau_{\sigma,l}} \right) \right] : \boldsymbol{\varphi}_l. \end{aligned}$$

In view of (25b) and (25c) we have that

$$\partial_t \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{0,1} = C(\mu_0, \pi_0) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}_1) + (\hat{\rho}_1 C(\mu, \pi) + \rho C(\tilde{\mu}_1, \tilde{\pi}_1)) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v})$$

and

$$\partial_t \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{l,1} + \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{l,1}}{\tau_{\sigma,l}} = C(\tau_S\mu_0, \tau_P\pi_0) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}_1) + (\hat{\rho}_1 C(\tau_S\mu, \tau_P\pi) + \rho C(\hat{\mu}_1, \hat{\pi}_1)) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}), \quad l = 1, \dots, L,$$

which we plug into S_0 and S_l , respectively. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} S_0 &= -\frac{\hat{\rho}_2}{\rho} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}_1) : \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 - \frac{\hat{\rho}_1 \hat{\rho}_2}{\rho^2} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) : \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 - C(\tilde{\mu}_2, \tilde{\pi}_2) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}_1) : \tilde{C}(\mu, \pi) \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 \\ &\quad - \frac{\hat{\rho}_2}{\rho} C(\tilde{\mu}_1, \tilde{\pi}_1) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) : \tilde{C}(\mu, \pi) \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 - \frac{\hat{\rho}_1}{\rho} C(\tilde{\mu}_2, \tilde{\pi}_2) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) : \tilde{C}(\mu, \pi) \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 \\ &\quad - \tilde{C}(\mu, \pi) C(\tilde{\mu}_1, \tilde{\pi}_1) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) : C(\tilde{\mu}_2, \tilde{\pi}_2) \tilde{C}(\mu, \pi) \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
S_l = & -\frac{\widehat{\rho}_2}{\rho} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}_1) : \boldsymbol{\varphi}_l - \frac{\widehat{\rho}_1 \widehat{\rho}_2}{\rho^2} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) : \boldsymbol{\varphi}_l - C(\widehat{\mu}_2, \widehat{\pi}_2) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}_1) : \widetilde{C}(\tau_S \mu, \tau_P \pi) \boldsymbol{\varphi}_l \\
& - \frac{\widehat{\rho}_2}{\rho} C(\widehat{\mu}_1, \widehat{\pi}_1) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) : \widetilde{C}(\tau_S \mu, \tau_P \pi) \boldsymbol{\varphi}_l - \frac{\widehat{\rho}_1}{\rho} C(\widehat{\mu}_2, \widehat{\pi}_2) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) : \widetilde{C}(\tau_S \mu, \tau_P \pi) \boldsymbol{\varphi}_l \\
& - \widetilde{C}(\tau_S \mu, \tau_P \pi) C(\widehat{\mu}_1, \widehat{\pi}_1) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) : C(\widehat{\mu}_2, \widehat{\pi}_2) \widetilde{C}(\tau_S \mu, \tau_P \pi) \boldsymbol{\varphi}_l.
\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\widetilde{C}(\mu, \pi) C(\widetilde{\mu}_1, \widetilde{\pi}_1) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) = \frac{\widetilde{\mu}_1}{\mu} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) + \frac{\mu \widetilde{\pi}_1 - \widetilde{\mu}_1 \pi}{\mu(3\pi - 4\mu)} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{I}$$

and

$$C(\widetilde{\mu}_2, \widetilde{\pi}_2) \widetilde{C}(\mu, \pi) \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 = \frac{\widetilde{\mu}_2}{\mu} \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 + \frac{\mu \widetilde{\pi}_2 - \widetilde{\mu}_2 \pi}{\mu(3\pi - 4\mu)} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0) \mathbf{I}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{C}(\mu, \pi) C(\widetilde{\mu}_1, \widetilde{\pi}_1) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) : C(\widetilde{\mu}_2, \widetilde{\pi}_2) \widetilde{C}(\mu, \pi) \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 = & \frac{\widetilde{\mu}_1 \widetilde{\mu}_2}{\mu^2} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) : \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 \\
& + \frac{\widetilde{\mu}_2(3\widetilde{\mu}_1 \pi^2 - 4\widetilde{\pi}_1 \mu^2) + \widetilde{\pi}_2(3\widetilde{\pi}_1 \pi^2 - 4\widetilde{\mu}_1 \mu^2)}{\mu^2(3\pi - 4\mu)^2} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0).
\end{aligned}$$

Plugging these identities into S_0 (and an adapted version into S_l) and recalling (29) we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
S_0 = & \widehat{\rho}_2 \left(-\frac{1}{\rho} \left[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}_1) + \left(\frac{\widehat{\rho}_1}{\rho} + \frac{\widetilde{\mu}_1}{\mu} \right) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) \right] : \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 + \frac{1}{\rho} \left(\frac{\widetilde{\mu}_1 \pi}{\mu(3\pi - 4\mu)} - \frac{\widetilde{\pi}_1}{3\pi - 4\mu} \right) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0) \right) \\
& + \widetilde{\mu}_2 \left(-\frac{1}{\mu} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}_1) : \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 - \left(\frac{\widehat{\rho}_1}{\rho \mu} + \frac{\widetilde{\mu}_1}{\mu^2} \right) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) : \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 + \frac{\pi}{\mu(3\pi - 4\mu)} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}_1 \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \left(\frac{\widehat{\rho}_1}{\rho} \frac{\pi}{\mu(3\pi - 4\mu)} + \frac{3\widetilde{\mu}_1 \pi^2 - 4\widetilde{\pi}_1 \mu^2}{\mu^2(3\pi - 4\mu)^2} \right) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0) \right) \\
& + \widetilde{\pi}_2 \left(\frac{-1}{3\pi - 4\mu} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}_1 \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0) - \left(\frac{\widehat{\rho}_1}{\rho} \frac{1}{3\pi - 4\mu} - \frac{3\widetilde{\pi}_1 \pi^2 - 4\widetilde{\mu}_1 \mu^2}{\mu^2(3\pi - 4\mu)^2} \right) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
S_l = & \widehat{\rho}_2 \left(-\frac{1}{\rho} \left[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}_1) + \left(\frac{\widehat{\rho}_1}{\rho} + \frac{\widehat{\mu}_1}{\tau_S \mu} \right) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) \right] : \boldsymbol{\varphi}_l \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{1}{\rho} \left(\frac{\widehat{\mu}_1 \tau_P \pi}{\tau_S \mu(3\tau_P \pi - 4\tau_S \mu)} - \frac{\widehat{\pi}_1}{3\tau_P \pi - 4\tau_S \mu} \right) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_l) \right) \\
& + \widehat{\mu}_2 \left(-\frac{1}{\tau_S \mu} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}_1) : \boldsymbol{\varphi}_l - \left(\frac{\widehat{\rho}_1}{\rho \tau_S \mu} + \frac{\widehat{\mu}_1}{\tau_S^2 \mu^2} \right) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) : \boldsymbol{\varphi}_l + \frac{\tau_P \pi}{\tau_S \mu(3\tau_P \pi - 4\tau_S \mu)} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}_1 \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_l) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \left(\frac{\widehat{\rho}_1}{\rho} \frac{\tau_P \pi}{\tau_S \mu(3\tau_P \pi - 4\tau_S \mu)} + \frac{3\widehat{\mu}_1 \tau_P^2 \pi^2 - 4\widehat{\pi}_1 \tau_S^2 \mu^2}{\tau_S^2 \mu^2(3\tau_P \pi - 4\tau_S \mu)^2} \right) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_l) \right) \\
& + \widehat{\pi}_2 \left(\frac{-1}{3\tau_P \pi - 4\tau_S \mu} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}_1 \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_l) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$- \left(\frac{\widehat{\rho}_1}{\rho} \frac{1}{3\tau_P\pi - 4\tau_S\mu} - \frac{3\widehat{\pi}_1\tau_P^2\pi^2 - 4\widehat{\mu}_1\tau_S^2\mu^2}{\tau_S^2\mu^2(3\tau_P\pi - 4\tau_S\mu)^2} \right) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \operatorname{tr}(\varphi_l) \Bigg).$$

Next we replace $\widetilde{\mu}_2$, $\widetilde{\pi}_2$, and $\widehat{\mu}_2$, $\widehat{\pi}_2$ by their values from (30) and (31), respectively. Finally, we calculate $S_0 + \dots + S_L$ and group the terms belonging to the components of $\widehat{\mathbf{p}}_2$. \square

Theorem 4.10. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 4.7 we have that the adjoint*

$$F'(V(\mathbf{p}))V''(\mathbf{p})[\widehat{\mathbf{p}}, \cdot]^* \in \mathcal{L}(L^2([0, T], X), (L^\infty(D)^5)')$$

at $\mathbf{p} = (\rho, v_S, \tau_S, v_P, \tau_P) \in \mathbf{D}(\Phi)$ and $\widehat{\mathbf{p}} = (\widehat{\rho}, \widehat{v}_S, \widehat{\tau}_S, \widehat{v}_P, \widehat{\tau}_P) \in L^\infty(D)^5$ is given by

$$F'(V(\mathbf{p}))V''(\mathbf{p})[\widehat{\mathbf{p}}, \cdot]^* \mathbf{g} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\rho} \int_0^T (\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) : \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}_1^\rho + \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}_2^\rho) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}) dt \\ \frac{2}{v_S} \int_0^T (\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) : \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}_{S,1}^v + \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}_{S,2}^v) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}) dt \\ \frac{1}{1+\alpha\tau_S} \int_0^T (\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) : \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}_{S,1}^\tau + \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}_{S,2}^\tau) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}) dt \\ \frac{2\pi}{v_P} \int_0^T \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}_P^v) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} dt \\ \frac{\pi}{1+\alpha\tau_P} \int_0^T \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}_P^\tau) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} dt \end{pmatrix} \in L^1(D)^5$$

for $\mathbf{g} = (\mathbf{g}_{-1}, \mathbf{g}_0, \dots, \mathbf{g}_L) \in L^2([0, T], L^2(D, \mathbb{R}^3) \times L^2(D, \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}_{\text{sym}})^{1+L})$ where \mathbf{v} is the first component of the solution of (4). Let $w = (\mathbf{w}, \varphi_0, \dots, \varphi_L)$ solve (26) with $w(T) = 0$ and set $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \sum_{l=1}^L \varphi_l$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}_1^\rho &= \left(\frac{\widehat{\rho}}{\rho} + \frac{\widetilde{\mu}}{\mu} \right) \varphi_0 + \left(\frac{\widehat{\rho}}{\rho} + \frac{\widetilde{\mu}}{\tau_S\mu} \right) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, & \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}_2^\rho &= \frac{\mu\widetilde{\pi} - \widetilde{\mu}\pi}{\mu(3\pi - 4\mu)} \varphi_0 + \frac{\tau_S\mu\widehat{\pi} - \tau_P\widetilde{\mu}\pi}{\tau_S\mu(3\tau_P\pi - 4\tau_S\mu)} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \\ \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}_{S,1}^v &= \left(\frac{\widehat{\rho}}{\rho} + \frac{2\widetilde{\mu}}{\mu} \right) \varphi_0 + \left(\frac{\widehat{\rho}}{\rho} + \frac{2\widetilde{\mu}}{\tau_S\mu} \right) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \\ \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}_{S,2}^v &= \left(2 \frac{3\widetilde{\mu}\pi^2 - 4\widetilde{\pi}\mu^2}{\mu(3\pi - 4\mu)^2} - \frac{\widehat{\rho}}{\rho} \frac{\pi}{3\pi - 4\mu} \right) \varphi_0 \\ &\quad + \left(2 \frac{3\widehat{\mu}\tau_P^2\pi^2 - 4\widehat{\pi}\tau_S^2\mu^2}{\tau_S\mu(3\tau_P\pi - 4\tau_S\mu)^2} - \frac{\widehat{\rho}}{\rho} \frac{\tau_P\pi}{3\tau_P\pi - 4\tau_S\mu} \right) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \\ \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}_{S,1}^\tau &= -\alpha \left(\frac{\widehat{\rho}}{\rho} + \frac{2\widetilde{\mu}}{\mu} \right) \varphi_0 + \left(\frac{\widehat{\rho}}{\tau_S\rho} + \frac{2\widetilde{\mu}}{\tau_S^2\mu} \right) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \\ \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}_{S,2}^\tau &= -\alpha \left(2 \frac{3\widetilde{\mu}\pi^2 - 4\widetilde{\pi}\mu^2}{\mu(3\pi - 4\mu)^2} - \frac{\widehat{\rho}}{\rho} \frac{\pi}{3\pi - 4\mu} \right) \varphi_0 \\ &\quad + \left(2 \frac{3\widehat{\mu}\tau_P^2\pi^2 - 4\widehat{\pi}\tau_S^2\mu^2}{\tau_S^2\mu(3\tau_P\pi - 4\tau_S\mu)^2} - \frac{\widehat{\rho}}{\rho} \frac{\tau_P\pi}{\tau_S(3\tau_P\pi - 4\tau_S\mu)} \right) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \\ \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}_P^v &= \left(\frac{\widehat{\rho}}{\rho} \frac{1}{3\pi - 4\mu} + 2 \frac{3\widetilde{\pi}\pi^2 - 4\widetilde{\mu}\mu^2}{\mu^2(3\pi - 4\mu)^2} \right) \varphi_0 \\ &\quad + \tau_P \left(\frac{\widehat{\rho}}{\rho} \frac{1}{3\tau_P\pi - 4\tau_S\mu} + 2 \frac{3\widehat{\pi}\tau_P^2\pi^2 - 4\widehat{\mu}\tau_S^2\mu^2}{\tau_S^2\mu^2(3\tau_P\pi - 4\tau_S\mu)^2} \right) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \\ \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}_P^\tau &= -\alpha \left(\frac{\widehat{\rho}}{\rho} \frac{1}{3\pi - 4\mu} + 2 \frac{3\widetilde{\pi}\pi^2 - 4\widetilde{\mu}\mu^2}{\mu^2(3\pi - 4\mu)^2} \right) \varphi_0 \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \left(\frac{\widehat{\rho}}{\rho} \frac{1}{3\tau_P\pi - 4\tau_S\mu} + 2 \frac{3\widehat{\pi}\tau_P^2\pi^2 - 4\widehat{\mu}\tau_S^2\mu^2}{\tau_S^2\mu^2(3\tau_P\pi - 4\tau_S\mu)^2} \right) \boldsymbol{\Sigma},$$

with the abbreviations $\widetilde{\mu}$, $\widetilde{\pi}$, and $\widehat{\mu}$, $\widehat{\pi}$ from (30) and (31) which depend on $\widehat{\mathbf{p}}$.

Proof. Since

$$(F'(V(\mathbf{p}))V''(\mathbf{p})[\widehat{\mathbf{p}}_1, \cdot]^* \mathbf{g}) \widehat{\mathbf{p}}_2 \stackrel{(27)}{=} \int_0^T \langle V''(\mathbf{p})[\widehat{\mathbf{p}}_1, \widehat{\mathbf{p}}_2](u'(t) + Qu(t)), w(t) \rangle_X dt.$$

we are basically again in the situation of the proof of Theorem 4.5. Using (33) we find that

$$\langle V''(\mathbf{p})[\widehat{\mathbf{p}}_1, \widehat{\mathbf{p}}_2](u' + Qu), w \rangle_X = \int_D (S_0 + S_1 + \dots + S_L) dx$$

with

$$S_0 = \left(\frac{\widehat{\rho}_1 \widehat{\rho}_2}{\rho^3} \widetilde{C}(\mu, \pi) - \frac{\widehat{\rho}_1}{\rho^2} \widetilde{C}'(\mu, \pi) \begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{\mu}_2 \\ \widetilde{\pi}_2 \end{bmatrix} - \frac{\widehat{\rho}_2}{\rho^2} \widetilde{C}'(\mu, \pi) \begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{\mu}_1 \\ \widetilde{\pi}_1 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{\rho} \widetilde{C}''(\mu, \pi) \begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{\mu}_1 \\ \widetilde{\pi}_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{\mu}_2 \\ \widetilde{\pi}_2 \end{bmatrix} \right) \partial_t \boldsymbol{\sigma}_0 : \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0$$

and

$$S_l = \left(\frac{\widehat{\rho}_1 \widehat{\rho}_2}{\rho^3} \widetilde{C}(\tau_S \mu, \tau_P \pi) - \frac{\widehat{\rho}_1}{\rho^2} \widetilde{C}'(\tau_S \mu, \tau_P \pi) \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{\mu}_2 \\ \widehat{\pi}_2 \end{bmatrix} - \frac{\widehat{\rho}_2}{\rho^2} \widetilde{C}'(\tau_S \mu, \tau_P \pi) \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{\mu}_1 \\ \widehat{\pi}_1 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{\rho} \widetilde{C}''(\tau_S \mu, \tau_P \pi) \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{\mu}_1 \\ \widehat{\pi}_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{\mu}_2 \\ \widehat{\pi}_2 \end{bmatrix} \right) \left(\partial_t \boldsymbol{\sigma}_l + \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_l}{\tau_{\sigma,l}} \right) : \boldsymbol{\psi}_l, \quad l = 1, \dots, L.$$

First we simplify S_0 . By (4b),

$$\frac{1}{\rho} \widetilde{C}(\mu, \pi) \partial_t \boldsymbol{\sigma}_0 : \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 = \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) : \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0.$$

Further, in view of (29),

$$\begin{aligned} & - \frac{1}{\rho} \widetilde{C}'(\mu, \pi) \begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{\mu}_i \\ \widetilde{\pi}_i \end{bmatrix} \partial_t \boldsymbol{\sigma}_0 : \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 \\ & = \widetilde{\mu}_i \left(\frac{1}{\mu} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) : \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 - \frac{\pi}{\mu(3\pi - 4\mu)} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0) \right) + \frac{\widetilde{\pi}_i}{3\pi - 4\mu} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0), \quad i = 1, 2. \end{aligned}$$

Next, using (4b) and (34) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\rho} \widetilde{C}''(\mu, \pi) \begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{\mu}_1 \\ \widetilde{\pi}_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{\mu}_2 \\ \widetilde{\pi}_2 \end{bmatrix} \partial_t \boldsymbol{\sigma}_0 : \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 & = \widetilde{C}(\mu, \pi) C(\widetilde{\mu}_1, \widetilde{\pi}_1) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) : C(\widetilde{\mu}_2, \widetilde{\pi}_2) \widetilde{C}(\mu, \pi) \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 \\ & + \widetilde{C}(\mu, \pi) C(\widetilde{\mu}_2, \widetilde{\pi}_2) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) : C(\widetilde{\mu}_1, \widetilde{\pi}_1) \widetilde{C}(\mu, \pi) \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\widetilde{C}(\mu, \pi) C(\widetilde{\mu}_2, \widetilde{\pi}_2) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) = \frac{\widetilde{\mu}_2}{\mu} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) + \frac{\mu \widetilde{\pi}_2 - \widetilde{\mu}_2 \pi}{\mu(3\pi - 4\mu)} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{I}$$

and

$$C(\widetilde{\mu}_1, \widetilde{\pi}_1) \widetilde{C}(\mu, \pi) \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 = \frac{\widetilde{\mu}_1}{\mu} \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 + \frac{\mu \widetilde{\pi}_1 - \widetilde{\mu}_1 \pi}{\mu(3\pi - 4\mu)} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0) \mathbf{I}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\rho} \tilde{C}''(\mu, \pi) \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\mu}_1 \\ \tilde{\pi}_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\mu}_2 \\ \tilde{\pi}_2 \end{bmatrix} \partial_t \boldsymbol{\sigma}_0 : \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 &= 2 \frac{\tilde{\mu}_1 \tilde{\mu}_2}{\mu^2} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) : \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 \\ &+ 2 \frac{\tilde{\mu}_2(3\tilde{\mu}_1\pi^2 - 4\tilde{\pi}_1\mu^2) + \tilde{\pi}_2(3\tilde{\pi}_1\pi^2 - 4\tilde{\mu}_1\mu^2)}{\mu^2(3\pi - 4\mu)^2} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting above auxiliary results into the expression for S_0 yields

$$\begin{aligned} S_0 &= \hat{\rho}_2 \left(\left(\frac{\hat{\rho}_1}{\rho^2} + \frac{\tilde{\mu}_1}{\rho\mu} \right) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) : \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 + \frac{1}{\rho} \left(\frac{\tilde{\pi}_1}{3\pi - 4\mu} - \frac{\tilde{\mu}_1\pi}{\mu(3\pi - 4\mu)} \right) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0) \right) \\ &+ \tilde{\mu}_2 \left(\left(\frac{\hat{\rho}_1}{\rho\mu} + \frac{2\tilde{\mu}_1}{\mu^2} \right) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) : \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 + \left(2 \frac{3\tilde{\mu}_1\pi^2 - 4\tilde{\pi}_1\mu^2}{\mu^2(3\pi - 4\mu)^2} - \frac{\hat{\rho}_1}{\rho} \frac{\pi}{\mu(3\pi - 4\mu)} \right) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0) \right) \\ &+ \tilde{\pi}_2 \left(\frac{\hat{\rho}_1}{\rho} \frac{1}{\mu(3\pi - 4\mu)} + 2 \frac{3\tilde{\pi}_1 - 4\tilde{\mu}_1}{(3\pi - 4\mu)^2} \right) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0). \end{aligned}$$

Similar computations for $l = 1, \dots, L$ based on (4c) result in

$$\begin{aligned} S_l &= \hat{\rho}_2 \left(\left(\frac{\hat{\rho}_1}{\rho^2} + \frac{\hat{\mu}_1}{\rho\tau_S\mu} \right) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) : \boldsymbol{\varphi}_l + \frac{1}{\rho} \left(\frac{\hat{\pi}_1}{3\tau_P\pi - 4\tau_S\mu} - \frac{\hat{\mu}_1\tau_P\pi}{\tau_S\mu(3\tau_P\pi - 4\tau_S\mu)} \right) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_l) \right) \\ &+ \hat{\mu}_2 \left(\left(\frac{\hat{\rho}_1}{\rho\tau_S\mu} + \frac{2\hat{\mu}_1}{\tau_S^2\mu^2} \right) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) : \boldsymbol{\varphi}_l \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(2 \frac{3\hat{\mu}_1\tau_P^2\pi^2 - 4\hat{\pi}_1\tau_S^2\mu^2}{\tau_S^2\mu^2(3\tau_P\pi - 4\tau_S\mu)^2} - \frac{\hat{\rho}_1}{\rho} \frac{\tau_P\pi}{\tau_S\mu(3\tau_P\pi - 4\tau_S\mu)} \right) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_l) \right) \\ &+ \hat{\pi}_2 \left(\frac{\hat{\rho}_1}{\rho} \frac{1}{\tau_S\mu(3\tau_P\pi - 4\tau_S\mu)} + 2 \frac{3\hat{\pi}_1 - 4\hat{\mu}_1}{(3\tau_P\pi - 4\tau_S\mu)^2} \right) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_l). \end{aligned}$$

Next we replace $\tilde{\mu}_2$, $\tilde{\pi}_2$, and $\hat{\mu}_2$, $\hat{\pi}_2$ by their values from (30) and (31), respectively. Finally, we calculate $S_0 + \dots + S_L$ and group the terms belonging to the components of $\hat{\mathbf{p}}_2$. \square

In view of (35) we have now derived an analytic expression for $\Phi''(\mathbf{p})[\hat{\mathbf{p}}, \cdot]^*$ in rather basic terms.

APPENDIX A. TWO SPATIAL DIMENSIONS

The expressions for the Fréchet derivatives and their adjoints provided in the main part of this paper cannot directly be applied to the viscoelastic wave equation in two spatial dimensions. The differences to the 3D case which have to be taken into account are

$$\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{I}) = 2 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{C}(m, p)\mathbf{M} = C^{-1}(m, p)\mathbf{M} = \frac{1}{2m} \mathbf{M} + \frac{2m - p}{4m(p - m)} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{M})\mathbf{I}.$$

With these ingredients the derivatives and adjoints can be calculated exactly along the lines presented on the previous pages.

In this appendix we provide 2D versions of Theorems 4.5, 4.9, and 4.10.

Theorem A.1 (2D version of Theorem 4.5).

The only quantities which have to be changed are Σ^v , $\Sigma_{S,1}^\tau$, and Σ_P^τ . With

$$\Sigma^v = \frac{1}{2(\pi - \mu)} \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 + \frac{\tau_P}{2(\tau_P\pi - \tau_S\mu)} \boldsymbol{\Sigma},$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_{S,1}^\tau &= -\frac{\alpha}{2(\pi - \mu)} \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 + \frac{\tau_P}{2\tau_S(\tau_P\pi - \tau_S\mu)} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \\ \Sigma_P^\tau &= \frac{\alpha}{2(\pi - \mu)} \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 - \frac{1}{2(\tau_P\pi - \tau_S\mu)} \boldsymbol{\Sigma},\end{aligned}$$

the statement of Theorem 4.5 can be copied without any further changes.

Proof. The only difference to the 3D proof concerns the computation of, compare (29),

$$\begin{aligned}C(\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\pi})\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) &: \tilde{C}(\mu, \pi)\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 \\ &= (2\tilde{\mu}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) + (\tilde{\pi} - 2\tilde{\mu}) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{I}) : \left(\frac{1}{2\mu} \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 + \frac{2\mu - \pi}{4\mu(\pi - \mu)} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0)\mathbf{I} \right) \\ &= \tilde{\mu} \left(\frac{1}{\mu} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) : \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 - \frac{\pi}{2\mu(\pi - \mu)} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0) \right) + \frac{\tilde{\pi}}{2(\pi - \mu)} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0).\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem A.2 (2D version of Theorem 4.9).

The expression for \mathbf{p}_1^* in Theorem 4.9 remains correct for the 2D case when the 2D versions of $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^v$, $\Sigma_{S,1}^\tau$, and Σ_P^τ from the above theorem are taken (with $\boldsymbol{\eta}_l$ in place of $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_l$). In the expression for \mathbf{p}_2^* we need to redefine the following quantities:

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_2^\rho &= \frac{\mu\tilde{\pi} - \tilde{\mu}\pi}{2\mu(\pi - \mu)} \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 + \frac{\tau_S\mu\hat{\pi} - \tau_P\hat{\mu}\pi}{\tau_S\mu(\tau_P\pi - \tau_S\mu)} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_\varphi, \\ \Gamma_{S,1}^v &= \frac{\pi}{2(\pi - \mu)} \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 + \frac{\tau_P\pi}{2(\tau_P\pi - \tau_S\mu)} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_\varphi, \\ \Gamma_{S,2}^v &= \left(\frac{\hat{\rho}}{\rho} \frac{\pi}{2(\pi - \mu)} - K_\mu \right) \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 + \left(\frac{\hat{\rho}}{\rho} \frac{\tau_P\pi}{2(\tau_P\pi - \tau_S\mu)} - K_{\mu,\tau} \right) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_\varphi, \\ \Gamma_{S,1}^\tau &= -\alpha \frac{\pi}{2(\pi - \mu)} \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 + \frac{\tau_P\pi}{2\tau_S(\tau_P\pi - \tau_S\mu)} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_\varphi, \\ \Gamma_{S,2}^\tau &= -\alpha \left(\frac{\hat{\rho}}{\rho} \frac{\pi}{2(\pi - \mu)} - K_\mu \right) \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 + \left(\frac{\hat{\rho}}{\rho} \frac{\tau_P\pi}{2\tau_S(\tau_P\pi - \tau_S\mu)} - \frac{K_{\mu,\tau}}{\tau_S} \right) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_\varphi, \\ \Gamma_{P,1}^v &= \frac{1}{2(\pi - \mu)} \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 + \frac{\tau_P}{2(\tau_P\pi - \tau_S\mu)} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_\varphi, \\ \Gamma_{P,2}^v &= \left(\frac{\hat{\rho}}{\rho} \frac{1}{2(\pi - \mu)} + K_\pi \right) \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 + \tau_P \left(\frac{\hat{\rho}}{\rho} \frac{1}{3\tau_P\pi - 4\tau_S\mu} + K_{\pi,\tau} \right) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_\varphi, \\ \Gamma_{P,1}^\tau &= \frac{\alpha}{2(\pi - \mu)} \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 - \frac{1}{2(\tau_P\pi - \tau_S\mu)} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_\varphi, \\ \Gamma_{P,2}^\tau &= \alpha \left(\frac{\hat{\rho}}{\rho} \frac{1}{2(\pi - \mu)} + K_\pi \right) \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 + \left(\frac{\hat{\rho}}{\rho} \frac{1}{2(\tau_P\pi - \tau_S\mu)} + K_{\pi,\tau} \right) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_\varphi.\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}K_\mu &= \frac{2\pi\mu\tilde{\mu} - \tilde{\mu}\pi^2 - \tilde{\pi}\mu^2}{2\mu(\pi - \mu)^2}, & K_{\mu,\tau} &= \frac{2\tau_P\pi\tau_S\mu\hat{\mu} - \hat{\mu}\tau_P^2\pi^2 - \hat{\pi}\tau_S^2\mu^2}{2\tau_S\mu(\tau_P\pi - \tau_S\mu)^2}, \\ K_\pi &= \frac{\tilde{\pi} - \tilde{\mu}}{2(\pi - \mu)^2}, & K_{\pi,\tau} &= \frac{\hat{\pi} - \hat{\mu}}{2(\tau_P\pi - \tau_S\mu)^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We have

$$\tilde{C}(\mu, \pi)C(\tilde{\mu}_1, \tilde{\pi}_1)\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) = \frac{\tilde{\mu}_1}{\mu}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) + \frac{\mu\tilde{\pi}_1 - \tilde{\mu}_1\pi}{2\mu(\pi - \mu)} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{I}$$

and

$$C(\tilde{\mu}_2, \tilde{\pi}_2)\tilde{C}(\mu, \pi)\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 = \frac{\tilde{\mu}_2}{\mu}\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 + \frac{\mu\tilde{\pi}_2 - \tilde{\mu}_2\pi}{2\mu(\pi - \mu)} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0) \mathbf{I}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{C}(\mu, \pi)C(\tilde{\mu}_1, \tilde{\pi}_1)\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) : C(\tilde{\mu}_2, \tilde{\pi}_2)\tilde{C}(\mu, \pi)\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 &= \frac{\tilde{\mu}_1\tilde{\mu}_2}{\mu^2} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) : \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 \\ &+ \frac{\tilde{\mu}_2(2\pi\mu\tilde{\mu}_1 - \tilde{\mu}_1\pi^2 - \tilde{\pi}_1\mu^2) + \tilde{\pi}_2\mu^2(\tilde{\pi}_1 - \tilde{\mu}_1)}{2\mu^2(\pi - \mu)^2} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0). \end{aligned}$$

For the next steps see the proof of Theorem 4.9. \square

Theorem A.3 (2D version of Theorem 4.10).

Theorem 4.10 remains correct for the 2D case when the definitions of the Υ 's are replaced by

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon_1^\rho &= \left(\frac{\hat{\rho}}{\rho} + \frac{\tilde{\mu}}{\mu}\right)\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 + \left(\frac{\hat{\rho}}{\rho} + \frac{\hat{\mu}}{\tau_S\mu}\right)\boldsymbol{\Sigma}, & \Upsilon_2^\rho &= \frac{\tilde{\pi}\mu - \tilde{\mu}\pi}{2\mu(\pi - \mu)}\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 + \frac{\hat{\pi}\tau_S\mu - \hat{\mu}\tau_P\pi}{2\tau_S\mu(\tau_P\pi - \tau_S\mu)}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \\ \Upsilon_{S,1}^v &= \left(\frac{\hat{\rho}}{\rho} + \frac{2\tilde{\mu}}{\mu}\right)\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 + \left(\frac{\hat{\rho}}{\rho} + \frac{2\hat{\mu}}{\tau_S\mu}\right)\boldsymbol{\Sigma}, & \Upsilon_{S,2}^v &= \mathbf{K}_{S,\varphi}\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 + \mathbf{K}_{S,\Sigma}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \\ \Upsilon_{S,1}^\tau &= -\alpha\left(\frac{\hat{\rho}}{\rho} + \frac{2\tilde{\mu}}{\mu}\right)\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 + \left(\frac{\hat{\rho}}{\tau_S\rho} + \frac{2\hat{\mu}}{\tau_S^2\mu}\right)\boldsymbol{\Sigma}, & \Upsilon_{S,2}^\tau &= -\alpha\mathbf{K}_{S,\varphi}\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 + \mathbf{K}_{S,\Sigma}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}/\tau_S, \\ \Upsilon_P^v &= \mathbf{K}_{P,\varphi}\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 + \tau_P\mathbf{K}_{P,\Sigma}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}, & \Upsilon_P^\tau &= -\alpha\mathbf{K}_{P,\varphi}\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 + \mathbf{K}_{P,\Sigma}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{K}_{S,\varphi} &= \frac{2\pi\mu\tilde{\mu} - \tilde{\mu}\pi^2 - \tilde{\pi}\mu^2}{\mu(\pi - \mu)^2} - \frac{\hat{\rho}}{\rho} \frac{\pi}{2(\pi - \mu)}, \\ \mathbf{K}_{S,\Sigma} &= \frac{2\tau_P\pi\tau_S\mu\hat{\mu} - \hat{\mu}\tau_P^2\pi^2 - \hat{\pi}\tau_S^2\mu^2}{\tau_S\mu(\tau_P\pi - \tau_S\mu)^2} - \frac{\hat{\rho}}{\rho} \frac{\tau_P\pi}{2(\tau_P\pi - \tau_S\mu)}, \\ \mathbf{K}_{P,\varphi} &= \frac{\hat{\rho}}{\rho} \frac{1}{2(\pi - \mu)} + \frac{\tilde{\pi} - \tilde{\mu}}{(\pi - \mu)^2}, \\ \mathbf{K}_{P,\Sigma} &= \frac{\hat{\rho}}{\rho} \frac{1}{2(\tau_P\pi - \tau_S\mu)} + \frac{\hat{\pi} - \hat{\mu}}{(\tau_P\pi - \tau_S\mu)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let S_0 and S_l , $l = 1, \dots, L$, be defined as in the proof of Theorem 4.10. Then, taking into account the formulas provided in the previous two proofs,

$$\begin{aligned} S_0 &= \hat{\rho}_2 \left(\left(\frac{\hat{\rho}_1}{\rho^2} + \frac{\tilde{\mu}_1}{\rho\mu} \right) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) : \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\tilde{\pi}_1\mu - \tilde{\mu}_1\pi}{2\mu(\pi - \mu)} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0) \right) \\ &+ \tilde{\mu}_2 \left(\left(\frac{\hat{\rho}_1}{\rho\mu} + \frac{2\tilde{\mu}_1}{\mu^2} \right) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) : \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 + \left(\frac{2\pi\mu\tilde{\mu}_1 - \tilde{\mu}_1\pi^2 - \tilde{\pi}_1\mu^2}{\mu^2(\pi - \mu)^2} - \frac{\hat{\rho}_1}{\rho} \frac{\pi}{2\mu(\pi - \mu)} \right) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \tilde{\pi}_2 \left(\frac{\hat{\rho}_1}{\rho} \frac{1}{2(\pi - \mu)} + \frac{\tilde{\pi}_1 - \tilde{\mu}_1}{(\pi - \mu)^2} \right) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \operatorname{tr}(\varphi_0)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} S_l = & \hat{\rho}_2 \left(\left(\frac{\hat{\rho}_1}{\rho^2} + \frac{\hat{\mu}_1}{\rho \tau_S \mu} \right) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) : \varphi_l + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\hat{\pi}_1 \tau_S \mu - \hat{\mu}_1 \tau_P \pi}{2 \tau_S \mu (\tau_P \pi - \tau_S \mu)} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \operatorname{tr}(\varphi_l) \right) \\ & + \hat{\mu}_2 \left(\left(\frac{\hat{\rho}_1}{\rho \tau_S \mu} + \frac{2 \hat{\mu}_1}{\tau_S^2 \mu^2} \right) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) : \varphi_l \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left(\frac{2 \tau_P \pi \tau_S \mu \hat{\mu}_1 - \hat{\mu}_1 \tau_P^2 \pi^2 - \hat{\pi}_1 \tau_S^2 \mu^2}{\tau_S^2 \mu^2 (\tau_P \pi - \tau_S \mu)^2} - \frac{\hat{\rho}_1}{\rho} \frac{\tau_P \pi}{2 \tau_S \mu (\tau_P \pi - \tau_S \mu)} \right) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \operatorname{tr}(\varphi_l) \right) \\ & + \hat{\pi}_2 \left(\frac{\hat{\rho}_1}{\rho} \frac{1}{2(\tau_P \pi - \tau_S \mu)} + \frac{\hat{\pi}_1 - \hat{\mu}_1}{(\tau_P \pi - \tau_S \mu)^2} \right) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \operatorname{tr}(\varphi_l). \end{aligned}$$

The next steps are as in the proof of Theorem 4.10. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] J. O. BLANCH, J. O. A. ROBERTSSON, AND W. W. SYMES, *Modeling of a constant Q: Methodology and algorithm for an efficient and optimally inexpensive viscoelastic technique*, Geophysics, 60 (1995), pp. 176–184, <https://doi.org/10.1190/1.1443744>.
- [2] T. BOHLEN, *Viskoelastische FD-Modellierung seismischer Wellen zur Interpretation gemessener Seismogramme*, PhD thesis, Christian-Albrechts-Universität zu Kiel, 1998, <https://bit.ly/2LMOSWr>.
- [3] T. BOHLEN, *Parallel 3-D viscoelastic finite difference seismic modelling*, Comput. Geosci., 28 (2002), pp. 887–899, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0098-3004\(02\)00006-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0098-3004(02)00006-7).
- [4] J. DIEUDONNÉ, *Foundations of modern analysis*, Academic Press, New York-London, 1969. Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 10-I.
- [5] A. FICHTNER, *Full Seismic Waveform Modelling and Inversion*, Advances in Geophysical and Environmental Mechanics and Mathematics, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2011, <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-15807-0>.
- [6] B. HOFMANN, *On ill-posedness and local ill-posedness of operator equations in Hilbert spaces*, Tech. Report 97-8, Fakultät für Mathematik, Technische Universität Chemnitz-Zwickau, D-09107 Chemnitz, 1997, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/2421720_On_Ill-Posedness_and_Local_Ill-Posedness_of_Ope
- [7] A. KIRSCH AND A. RIEDER, *Inverse problems for abstract evolution equations with applications in electrodynamics and elasticity*, Inverse Problems, 32 (2016), pp. 085001, 24, <https://doi.org/10.1088/0266-5611/32/8/085001>.
- [8] P. MONK, *Finite element methods for Maxwell's equations*, Numerical Mathematics and Scientific Computation, Oxford University Press, New York, 2003, <https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198508885.001.0001>.
- [9] A. PAZY, *Semigroups of linear operators and applications to partial differential equations*, vol. 44 of Applied Mathematical Sciences, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983, <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-5561-1>.
- [10] P. YANG, R. BROSSIER, L. MÉTIVIER, AND J. VIRIEUX, *A review on the systematic formulation of 3D multiparameter full waveform inversion in viscoelastic medium*, Geophys. J. Int., 207 (2016), pp. 129–149, <https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggw262>.
- [11] E. ZEIDLER, *Nonlinear functional analysis and its applications. I*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986.
- [12] U. ZELTMANN, *The Viscoelastic Seismic Model: Existence, Uniqueness and Differentiability with Respect to Parameters*, PhD thesis, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, 2018, <http://dx.doi.org/10.5445/IR/1000093989>.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KARLSRUHE INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY (KIT), D-76128 KARLSRUHE, GERMANY

Email address: `andreas.kirsch@kit.edu`, `andreas.rieder@kit.edu`