

# The intermediate orders of a Coxeter group

Angela Carnevale\* and Paolo Sentinelli†

## Abstract

We define a class of partial orders on a Coxeter group that lie between the left weak order and the Bruhat order. We prove that these posets are graded by the length function and that the projections on the right parabolic quotients are always order preserving. We also introduce the notion of  $k$ -Bruhat graph,  $k$ -absolute length and  $k$ -absolute order, proposing some related conjectures and problems.

## 1 Introduction

The weak order and the Bruhat order of a Coxeter group are partial orders of preeminent importance in wide parts of algebraic combinatorics, representation theory and algebraic geometry. These orders depend on the Coxeter presentation of the group and the Bruhat order is a refinement of the weak order, once a presentation is chosen. Both orders are graded by the length function of the group. The weak order is a complete meet-semilattice (and an orthocomplemented lattice in the finite case) and the order complex of its open intervals are homotopy equivalent to spheres or are contractible. On the other hand, the Bruhat order is Eulerian and its open intervals are shellable. See [3, Ch. 2 and 3] and references therein for these and other properties.

In this article we introduce a new class of partial orders on any Coxeter group. More precisely, for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  we define an order  $\leq_{L^k}$  on a Coxeter group  $W$ . If  $a < b$  then  $(W, \leq_{L^b})$  is a refinement of  $(W, \leq_{L^a})$ , for all  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ . Moreover,  $\leq_{L^0} = \leq_L$  is the left weak order on  $W$ . If  $\leq$  is the Bruhat order and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we obtain a sequence of injective poset morphisms

$$(W, \leq_L) \hookrightarrow (W, \leq_{L^1}) \hookrightarrow \dots \hookrightarrow (W, \leq_{L^k}) \hookrightarrow (W, \leq).$$

---

\*School of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences, National University of Ireland, Galway. [angela.carnevale@nuigalway.ie](mailto:angela.carnevale@nuigalway.ie)

†Dipartimento di Matematica, Politecnico di Milano, Milan, Italy. [paolosentinelli@gmail.com](mailto:paolosentinelli@gmail.com)

For this reason we call the new orders *intermediate orders*; see Definition 3.1.

The first main result of our work is the following, see also Theorem 3.3.

**Theorem.** *The poset  $(W, \leq_{L^k})$  is graded by the Coxeter length for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

Consider the function  $P^J : W \rightarrow W$  which assigns to an element  $w \in W$  the representative of minimal length of the coset  $wW_J$ , where  $W_J \subseteq W$  is the parabolic subgroup generated by  $J$ . Our second main result is the following, see also Theorem 3.5.

**Theorem.** *The functions  $P^J$  are order preserving on  $(W, \leq_{L^k})$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

After setting up some notation and recalling some preliminaries in Section 2, we introduce the intermediate orders and prove our main results in Section 3.

Our  $k$ -intermediate orders are defined by considering sets of reflections with bounded length, as the Bruhat order is defined by considering the whole set of reflections. In the same spirit, we define in Section 4 various other  $k$ -analogues of related objects. In particular, we define the  $k$ -absolute orders (see Definition 4.1). Both the  $k$ -intermediate and  $k$ -absolute orders coincide with the weak order if  $k = 0$ . The absolute order of the symmetric group was introduced by T. Brady in [4] and it is involved in the construction of Eilenberg-MacLane spaces for the braid groups. He also proved that the lattice of noncrossing partitions  $NC_n$  is isomorphic to any of the maximal intervals in the absolute order of  $S_n$  corresponding to Coxeter elements. The absolute order on a Coxeter group has been subsequently considered in several kinds of problems concerning shellability, Cohen-Macaulay, Sperner and spectral properties, among others; see e.g. [1], [2], [8], [9], [10]. Inspired by these works we formulate some problems and conjectures about  $k$ -intermediate orders,  $k$ -absolute orders and their rank function, which we call  *$k$ -absolute length*.

We also introduce the  $k$ -Bruhat graph of a Coxeter system, which turns out to be always locally finite and in some sense approximates the Bruhat graph when the group is infinite. Recent results on the Ricci curvature of Bruhat and Cayley graphs of Coxeter groups ([13], [12]) lead to consider the Ricci curvature of a  $k$ -Bruhat graph; this problem closes the paper.

## 2 Notation and preliminaries

In this section we establish some notation and we collect some basic results from the theory of Coxeter systems which are useful in the sequel. The reader

can consult [3] and references therein for further details. We follow [15, Ch. 3] for notation and terminology concerning posets.

With  $\mathbb{N}$  we denote the set of non-negative integers. For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we let  $[n] := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . With  $\uplus$  we denote the disjoint union and with  $|X|$  the cardinality of a set  $X$ . Given any category,  $\text{End}(O)$  denotes the set of endomorphisms of an object  $O$ .

Let  $(W, S)$  be a Coxeter system, i.e. a presentation of the group  $W$  given by a set  $S$  of involutive generators and relations encoded by a *Coxeter matrix*  $m : S \times S \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, \infty\}$  (see [3, Ch. 1]). A Coxeter matrix over  $S$  is a symmetric matrix which satisfies the following conditions for all  $s, t \in S$ :

1.  $m(s, t) = 1$  if and only if  $s = t$ ;
2.  $m(s, t) \in \{2, 3, \dots, \infty\}$  if  $s \neq t$ .

The presentation  $(W, S)$  of the group  $W$  is then the following:

$$\begin{cases} \text{generators : } & S; \\ \text{relations : } & (st)^{m(s,t)} = e, \end{cases}$$

for all  $s, t \in S$ , where  $e$  denotes the identity in  $W$ . The Coxeter matrix  $m$  attains the value  $\infty$  at  $(s, t)$  to indicate that there is no relation between the generators  $s$  and  $t$ . The class of words expressing an element of  $W$  contains words of minimal length; the *length function*  $\ell : W \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  assigns to an element  $w \in W$  such minimal length. The identity  $e$  is represented by the empty word and then  $\ell(e) = 0$ . A *reduced word* or *reduced expression* for an element  $w \in W$  is word of minimal length representing  $w$ . The set of *reflections* of  $(W, S)$  is defined by  $T := \{wsw^{-1} : w \in W, s \in S\}$ . If  $J \subseteq S$  and  $v \in W$ , we let

$$\begin{aligned} W^J &:= \{w \in W : \ell(w) < \ell(ws) \forall s \in J\}, \\ {}^J W &:= \{w \in W : \ell(w) < \ell(sw) \forall s \in J\}, \\ D_L(v) &:= \{s \in S : \ell(sv) < \ell(v)\}, \\ D_R(v) &:= \{s \in S : \ell(vs) < \ell(v)\}. \end{aligned}$$

With  $W_J$  we denote the subgroup of  $W$  generated by  $J \subseteq S$ ; such a group is usually called a *parabolic subgroup* of  $W$ . In particular,  $W_S = W$  and  $W_\emptyset = \{e\}$ .

Given a Coxeter system  $(W, S)$ , we let  $\leq_L$  and  $\leq$  be the *left weak order* and the *Bruhat order* on  $W$ , respectively. The covering relations of the left weak order are characterized as follows:  $u \triangleleft v$  if and only if  $\ell(u) < \ell(v)$  and  $uw^{-1} \in S$ . The covering relations of the Bruhat order are characterized as

follows:  $u \triangleleft v$  if and only if  $\ell(u) = \ell(v) - 1$  and  $uv^{-1} \in T$ . The posets  $(W, \leq_L)$  and  $(W, \leq)$  are graded with rank function  $\ell$  and  $(W, \leq_L) \hookrightarrow (W, \leq)$ . We recall a characterizing property of the Bruhat order, known as *lifting property* (see [3, Proposition 2.2.7 and Exercise 2.14]):

**Proposition 2.1** (Lifting Property). *Let  $v, w \in W$  such that  $v < w$  and  $s \in D_L(w) \setminus D_L(v)$ . Then  $v \leq sw$  and  $sv \leq w$ .*

For  $J \subseteq S$ , each element  $w \in W$  factorizes uniquely as  $w = w^J w_J$ , where  $w^J \in W^J$ ,  $w_J \in W_J$  and  $\ell(w) = \ell(w_J) + \ell(w^J)$ ; see [3, Proposition 2.4.4]. We consider the idempotent function  $P^J : W \rightarrow W$  defined by

$$P^J(w) = w^J,$$

for all  $w \in W$ . This function is order preserving for the Bruhat order (see [3, Proposition 2.5.1]). In the next section we prove that the function  $P^J$  is order preserving for a wider class of partial orders which we are going to introduce. In a similar way, one defines an order-preserving function  $Q^J : (W, \leq) \rightarrow (W, \leq)$  by setting  $Q^J(w) = {}^J w$ , where  $w = w'_J {}^J w$  with  $w'_J \in W_J$ ,  ${}^J w \in {}^J W$  and  $\ell(w) = \ell(w'_J) + \ell({}^J w)$ .

We end this section by recalling some results about the standard geometric representation of a Coxeter group. Let  $(W, S)$  be a Coxeter system with Coxeter matrix  $m$ . Let  $V$  be the free  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space on the set  $\{\alpha_s : s \in S\}$  and  $\sigma_s \in \text{End}(V)$  defined by  $\sigma_s(v) = v - 2(\alpha_s|v)\alpha_s$ , where  $(\alpha_s|\alpha_t) := -\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{m(s,t)}\right)$  for all  $s, t \in S$ ; then for any reduced word  $s_1 \cdots s_k$  of  $w \in W$ , the assignment  $w \mapsto \sigma_w := \sigma_{s_1} \cdots \sigma_{s_k}$  gives a faithful representation  $W \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$  (see, e.g. [3, Ch. 4]). Let  $\Phi := \{\sigma_w(\alpha_s) : s \in S\}$ ,  $V^+ := \{\sum_{s \in S} a_s \alpha_s, a_s \geq 0 \forall s \in S\} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $V^- := \{\sum_{s \in S} a_s \alpha_s, a_s \leq 0 \forall s \in S\} \setminus \{0\}$ ; then it is well known that  $\Phi = \Phi^+ \uplus \Phi^- = \{\alpha_t : t \in T\} \uplus \{-\alpha_t : t \in T\}$ ,  $\Phi^+ \subseteq V^+$  and  $\Phi^- \subseteq V^-$ . It holds that  $\sigma_t(v) = \alpha_t - 2(\alpha_t|v)\alpha_t$  and that  $\sigma_w(\alpha_t) \in \Phi^-$  if and only if  $wt < w$ , for all  $w \in W, t \in T$  (see, e.g. [3, Sec. 4.4]). In the sequel we will need the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $u, v \in W, t \in T$  and  $u = vt < v$ . Then*

$$D_R(t) \setminus D_R(v) \subseteq S \setminus D_R(u).$$

*Proof.* Let  $s \in D_R(t) \setminus D_R(v)$ . We have that  $\sigma_v(\alpha_t) \in \Phi^-$ ,  $\sigma_t(\alpha_s) \in \Phi^-$  and  $\sigma_v(\alpha_s) \in \Phi^+$ . Moreover  $\sigma_u(\alpha_s) = \sigma_v \sigma_t(\alpha_s) = \sigma_v(\alpha_s - 2(\alpha_t|\alpha_s)\alpha_t) = \sigma_v(\alpha_s) - 2(\alpha_t|\alpha_s)\sigma_v(\alpha_t)$ . We conclude that  $\sigma_u(\alpha_s) \in \Phi^+$  because  $\sigma_t(\alpha_s) \in \Phi^-$  implies  $(\alpha_t|\alpha_s) > 0$ .  $\square$

### 3 Intermediate orders

In this section we introduce the main objects of our study. Let  $(W, S)$  be a Coxeter system and  $T$  its set of reflections. For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  we let

$$T_k := \left\{ t \in T : \frac{\ell(t) - 1}{2} \leq k \right\}.$$

The following definition introduces the notion of *intermediate order*.

**Definition 3.1.** *We define a partial order  $\leq_{L^k}$  on  $W$  by letting  $u \leq_{L^k} v$  if and only if*

- $u = v$  or
- $\ell(u) < \ell(v)$  and there exist  $t_1, \dots, t_r \in T_k$  such that

$$u < t_1 u < t_1 t_2 u < \dots < t_1 \dots t_r u = v.$$

Note that  $(W, \leq_{L^0}) = (W, \leq_L)$  and, if  $W$  is finite, for  $k$  big enough  $(W, \leq_{L^k}) = (W, \leq)$ . We have that  $(W, \leq_{L^0}) \hookrightarrow (W, \leq_{L^1}) \hookrightarrow \dots \hookrightarrow (W, \leq)$ , which justifies the name ‘intermediate orders’. For  $u, v \in W$  such that  $u \leq_{L^k} v$ , we denote by  $[u, v]_k$  the corresponding interval in  $(W, \leq_{L^k})$  and by  $[u, v]$  the interval in  $(W, \leq)$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{MC}_k(u, v)$  the set of maximal chains of the poset  $[u, v]_k$  and by  $\mathcal{MC}(u, v)$  the set of maximal chains of  $[u, v]$ . To ease the notation, we write  $\triangleleft_k$  for a covering relation in the  $k$ -intermediate order.

**Example 3.2.** *Let  $(W, S) = (S_4, \{s_1, s_2, s_3\})$ , the symmetric group of order 24 with its standard Coxeter presentation. Then  $(S_4, \leq_{L^2}) = (S_4, \leq)$ , whose Hasse diagram is displayed in [3, Figure 2.4]. The Hasse diagram of the poset  $(S_4, \leq_{L^0}) = (S_4, \leq_L)$ , i.e. the Cayley graph of  $(S_4, S)$ , appears in [3, Figure 3.2]. The poset  $(S_4, \leq_{L^1})$  is depicted in Figure 1.*

For  $r \in T$  we define an involution on  $T$  by setting  $t^r := rtr \in T$ . We now prove the first main result of this paper.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $(W, S)$  be a Coxeter system and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . The poset  $(W, \leq_{L^k})$  is graded with rank function  $\ell$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to prove the following. If  $u, v \in W$  and  $t \in T_k$  are such that  $u = tv < v$ , then  $\mathcal{MC}(u, v) \cap \mathcal{MC}_k(u, v) \neq \emptyset$ .

We prove this claim by induction on  $\ell(t)$ . When  $\ell(t) = 1$  the assertion is straightforward. So let  $\ell(t) > 1$  (and therefore  $k > 0$ ). That is,  $t = wrw^{-1}$  for some  $r \in S$  and  $w \in W$  such that  $\ell(t) = 2\ell(w) + 1$ . Let  $s \in D_L(w)$ . Hence  $t^s \in T_{k-1}$  and  $su = t^s sv$ . First, we assume that  $sv < v$ . There are two cases to be considered.




Figure 1: Hasse diagram of  $(S_4, \leq_{L^1})$ .

1.  $s \notin D_L(u)$ . By the lifting property  $u \leq su \leq v$  and then  $su < v$  since  $t \neq s$ ; hence  $t^s sv < sv$ . By our inductive hypothesis the set  $\mathcal{MC}(su, sv)$  contains a chain  $c \in \mathcal{MC}_{k-1}(su, sv)$ . Therefore  $u \triangleleft c \triangleleft v$  is an element of  $\mathcal{MC}(u, v) \cap \mathcal{MC}_k(u, v)$ .
2.  $s \in D_L(u)$ . As in the previous case  $su = t^s sv < sv$  and, by our inductive hypothesis, the set  $\mathcal{MC}(su, sv)$  contains a chain  $c \in \mathcal{MC}_{k-1}(su, sv)$ . Let  $c = (su =: x_1 \triangleleft x_2 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft x_{n-1} \triangleleft x_n = sv)$ . Therefore  $c \leq_{L^0} v$  is a chain in  $\mathcal{MC}(su, v) \cap \mathcal{MC}_{k-1}(su, v)$ . We let  $x_{n+1} := v$  and  $t_i := x_{i+1}x_i^{-1} \in T_{k-1}$ , for all  $i \in [n]$ . Define  $j := \min\{i \in [n+1] : sx_i < x_i\}$ . Clearly,  $j$  exists and  $j > 1$ . Also,  $x_i < sx_i$  holds for all  $i \in [j-1]$ , and  $x_{j-1} = sx_j$ , i.e.  $t_j = s$  and  $t_j^s = s$ . If  $j = 2$  we have that  $x_2 = u$  and then  $x_2 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft x_{n+1}$  is an element of  $\mathcal{MC}(u, v) \cap \mathcal{MC}_{k-1}(u, v)$ . Let  $j > 2$ . We have that  $1 + \ell(sx_i) = 1 + \ell(x_{i+1}) = \ell(sx_{i+1}) = \ell(st_i x_i) = \ell(t_i^s x_i)$ , for all  $i \in [j-2]$ . Therefore  $t_{j-2}^s \dots t_1^s u = st_{j-2} \dots t_1 su = sx_{j-1} = x_j$  and  $u \triangleleft t_1^s u \triangleleft t_2^s t_1^s u \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft t_{j-2}^s \dots t_1^s u = x_j \leq_{L^{k-1}} v$ , since either  $x_j = v$  or  $x_j \leq_{L^{k-1}} sv \leq_{L^0} v$ . Hence  $u \triangleleft t_1^s u \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft x_j \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft x_n \triangleleft v$  is an element of  $\mathcal{MC}(u, v) \cap \mathcal{MC}_k(u, v)$ .

Assume now that  $v < sv$ . By the left version of Lemma 2.2 we have that  $u < su$ . Since  $\ell(su) = \ell(t^s sv) < \ell(sv)$ , by our inductive hypothesis there exists a chain  $c = (su =: x_1 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft x_n := sv)$  in  $(W, \leq_{L^{k-1}})$ . If  $sx_i < x_i$  for all  $x_i \in [n]$  then  $u = sx_1 \triangleleft sx_2 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft sx_n = v$  is an element of  $\mathcal{MC}(u, v) \cap \mathcal{MC}_k(u, v)$ . Otherwise let  $j := \max\{i \in [n] : x_i < sx_i\}$ ; then  $j < n$  and  $x_j = sx_{j+1}$ . Therefore the chain  $u \triangleleft su \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft x_j \triangleleft sx_{j+2} \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft sx_n = v$  is an element of  $\mathcal{MC}(u, v) \cap \mathcal{MC}_k(u, v)$ . This concludes the proof of our claim and hence of the result.  $\square$

A finite graded poset is *strongly Sperner* if no union of  $h$  antichains is larger than the union of the  $h$  largest rank levels, for all  $h \in \mathbb{N}$ . By a recent result of Gaetz and Gao [7], the poset  $(S_n, \leq_L)$  is strongly Sperner. Since any antichain of  $(W, \leq_{L^k})$  is an antichain of  $(W, \leq_L)$ , the following result holds for symmetric groups.

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $n > 0$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . The poset  $(S_n, \leq_{L^k})$  is strongly Sperner.*

The category of posets considered here is the one with posets as objects and order-preserving functions as morphisms. Let  $(W, S)$  be a Coxeter system and  $J \subseteq S$ . Then the function  $Q^J : W \rightarrow W$  is not, in general, an element of  $\text{End}(W, \leq_{L^k})$ . For example, in type  $A_2$  with Coxeter generators  $\{s, t\}$ , we have  $ts \leq_L sts$  but  $Q^{\{t\}}(ts) = s \not\leq_L st = Q^{\{t\}}(sts)$ . The second main result of this article is that the functions  $P^J : (W, \leq_{L^k}) \rightarrow (W, \leq_{L^k})$  are order preserving.

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $(W, S)$  be a Coxeter system,  $J \subseteq S$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $P^J \in \text{End}(W, \leq_{L^k})$ .*

*Proof.* We first prove the result in the case  $|J| = 1$ . Let  $J = \{s\}$  for some  $s \in S$ , and let  $u \triangleleft_k v$ . Then there exists  $t \in T_k$  such that  $u = tv$  and, by Theorem 3.3,  $\ell(v) - \ell(u) = 1$ . We proceed by induction on  $\ell(v)$ . If  $\ell(v) = 1$  then  $u = e$  and the result is obvious. Let  $\ell(v) > 1$ . We consider two cases.

1. Suppose  $vs < v$ . If  $u < us$  then  $us = v$  and so  $P^J(u) = u = P^J(v)$ . If  $us < u$  then  $us = tvs$  and  $\ell(tvs) = \ell(vs) - 1$ ; hence  $P^J(u) = us \triangleleft_k vs = P^J(v)$ .
2. Suppose now  $v < vs$ . If  $u_J = e$  then  $P^J(u) = u \triangleleft_k v = P^J(v)$ . If instead  $u_J = s$  then  $u^J = tvs$  and  $\ell(u^J) = \ell(vs) - 3$ . Hence  $u^J \leq_{L^k} vs$ . By Theorem 3.3 there exist  $w_1, w_2 \in W$  such that  $u^J \triangleleft_k w_1 \triangleleft_k w_2 \triangleleft_k vs$ . If  $w_2 < w_2s$  then  $w_2 = v = v^J$  and the result follows. So let  $w_2s < w_2$ . Since  $\ell(w_2) = \ell(v)$ , by case 1 above and our inductive hypothesis

$u^J \leq_{L^k} P^J(w_1) \leq_{L^k} P^J(w_2)$ . Moreover,  $P^J(w_2) = w_2 s = (r v s) s = r v$ , for some  $r \in T_k$ , and  $\ell(w_2 s) = \ell(v) - 1$ . Hence  $u^J \leq_{L^k} P^J(w_1) \leq_{L^k} P^J(w_2) \triangleleft_k v$ .

Let  $u <_{L^k} v$  such that  $\ell(u) < \ell(v) - 1$ . Then, by Theorem 3.3 there exists a chain  $u \triangleleft_k w_1 \triangleleft_k \dots \triangleleft_k w_n \triangleleft_k v$ . Therefore

$$P^J(u) \leq_{L^k} P^J(w_1) \leq_{L^k} \dots \leq_{L^k} P^J(w_n) \leq_{L^k} P^J(v).$$

Let now  $|J| > 1$  and  $u \triangleleft_k v$ . We proceed by induction on  $\ell(v)$ . If  $\ell(v) = 1$  then  $u = e$  and the result is obvious. Let  $\ell(v) > 1$  and consider the following two cases.

1. Suppose  $v_J > e$ . Let  $s \in D_R(v_J)$ . If  $u < us$  we have that  $us = v$  and then  $P^J(u) = P^J(us) = P^J(v)$ . If  $us < u$ , as before,  $us \triangleleft_k vs$ . By our inductive hypothesis  $P^J(u) = P^J(us) \leq_{L^k} P^J(vs) = P^J(v)$ .
2. Suppose now  $v_J = e$ . If  $u_J = e$ , then  $P^J(u) = u \triangleleft_k v = P^J(v)$ . If  $u_J > e$ , let  $s_1 \dots s_m$  be a reduced word for  $u_J$ . Then  $\{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m\} \subseteq J$  and the result follows from the case  $|J| = 1$ , since

$$u^J = P^{\{s_1\}} \dots P^{\{s_m\}}(u^J u_J) \leq_{L^k} P^{\{s_1\}} \dots P^{\{s_m\}}(v) = v.$$

□

*Remark 3.6.* The previous result is known for the Bruhat order (see [3, Proposition 2.5.1]); in that case it is a direct consequence of the lifting property. For  $k = 1$  the result, in its right version, is [6, Lemma 2.1].

It is known that the Coxeter monoid  $(W, *)$  is a submonoid of the monoid  $M$  generated by the functions  $\{P^J : J \subseteq S\}$ ; see e.g. [11]. By Theorem 3.5,  $M$  is a submonoid of  $\text{End}(W, \leq_{L^k})$ . Hence we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.7.** *Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, as monoids,  $(W, *) \hookrightarrow \text{End}(W, \leq_{L^k})$ .*

Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(W, \{s_1, \dots, s_n\})$  be a Coxeter system and let

$$\phi_k : (W, \leq_{L^k}) \rightarrow (W^{S \setminus \{s_1\}}, \leq_{L^k}) \times \dots \times (W^{S \setminus \{s_n\}}, \leq_{L^k})$$

be the function defined by  $\phi_k(w) = (P^{S \setminus \{s_1\}}(w), \dots, P^{S \setminus \{s_n\}}(w))$ , for all  $w \in W$ . By Theorem 3.5 the function  $\phi_k$  is order preserving. Given a function  $f : (A, \leq_A) \rightarrow (B, \leq_B)$ , we define an induced subposet of  $B$  by  $\text{Im}(f) := (\{f(a) : a \in A\}, \leq_B)$ .

**Proposition 3.8.** *Let  $W = S_n$  with its standard Coxeter presentation. Then  $\text{Im}(\phi_k) \simeq (S_n, \leq)$ , for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .*



*Proof.* It suffices to prove the result for  $k = 0$ . By [3, Exercise 3.2],

$$(S_n^{(1)}, \leq_L) \times \dots \times (S_n^{(n-1)}, \leq_L) = (S_n^{(1)}, \leq) \times \dots \times (S_n^{(n-1)}, \leq),$$

where, for  $h \in [n - 1]$ , we have defined  $S_n^{(h)} := S_n^{S \setminus \{s_h\}}$ . Since  $u \leq v$  if and only if  $P^{S \setminus \{s_h\}}(u) \leq P^{S \setminus \{s_h\}}(v)$  for all  $h \in [n - 1]$  (see, e.g. [3, Theorem 2.6.1]), the result follows.  $\square$

The previous result could be not true for other Coxeter groups. For example, in type  $B_3$ , the poset  $\text{Im}(\phi_0)$  is not graded.

## 4 The $k$ -absolute orders and open problems

In this section we define  $k$ -analogues of Bruhat graphs, absolute orders and absolute length. We then formulate a few related conjectures and open problems.

Let  $(W, S)$  be a Coxeter system,  $k \geq 0$  and  $G_k$  the digraph whose vertex set is  $W$  and such that there is an arrow from  $a$  to  $b$  if and only if  $ab^{-1} \in T_k$  and  $b < a$ . We call  $G_k$  the  $k$ -Bruhat graph of  $(W, S)$ . Let  $\vec{d}_k(a, b)$  be the distance from  $a$  to  $b$  in the digraph  $G_k$ . We define the  $k$ -absolute length of  $w \in W$  by

$$\ell_k(w) := \vec{d}_k(w, e).$$

Clearly  $\ell_0 = \ell$  and, in the finite case for  $k$  big enough,  $\ell_k = al$ , where  $al$  is the absolute length (see, for instance, [3, Exercise 7.2] and references therein).

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . The left  $k$ -absolute order  $\preceq_k$  on  $W$  is the partial order defined by letting  $u \preceq_k v$  if and only if  $\ell_k(v) = \ell_k(u) + \ell_k(vu^{-1})$ , for all  $u, v \in W$ .

By definition, the poset  $(W, \preceq_k)$  is ranked with rank function  $\ell_k$ . For  $k = 0$  we recover the left weak order; in the finite case, for  $k$  big enough we obtain the absolute order  $\preceq$  first introduced in [4].

*Remark 4.2.* Notice that the maximal chains of  $(W, \preceq_k)$  could have different lengths. For example, if  $k = 1$  and  $W = S_4$  with its standard Coxeter presentation, then  $\max_{\preceq_k} W = \{2413, 3142, 4321\}$ ,  $\ell_1(2413) = \ell_1(s_1 s_3 s_2) = 3$ ,  $\ell_1(3142) = \ell_1(s_2 s_3 s_1) = 3$  and  $\ell_1(4321) = \ell_1(s_1 s_2 s_3 t) = 4$ , where  $t := s_1 s_2 s_1$ .

We denote by  $[u, v]_a$  an interval in the absolute order and by  $[u, v]_{ak}$  an interval in the  $k$ -absolute order. Brady proved in [4] that, in type  $A_n$ , if  $c$  is any Coxeter element then the interval  $[e, c]_a$  is isomorphic to the lattice of noncrossing partitions. In any finite type, the intervals  $[e, c]_a$  have been proved to be shellable in [1]. In this vein, we formulate the following conjecture for  $k$ -intermediate orders and  $k$ -absolute orders.

**Conjecture 4.3.** *Let  $c$  be a Coxeter element of a Coxeter system  $(W, S)$ . Then the order complexes of the intervals  $[e, c]_k$  and  $[e, c]_{ak}$  are shellable, for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

Since Bruhat intervals are shellable, the conjecture is true for Bruhat intervals  $[e, c]$ . By SageMath computations, we have verified the conjecture for the intervals  $[e, c]_k$  in type  $A_n$ , for all  $1 \leq n \leq 5$ , in type  $B_n$ , for all  $2 \leq n \leq 5$ , in types  $D_4, D_5, F_4, H_3$  and  $H_4$ , for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . We have verified the conjecture for the intervals  $[e, c]_{ak}$  in type  $A_n$ , for all  $1 \leq n \leq 5$ , in type  $B_n$ , for all  $2 \leq n \leq 4$ , in types  $D_4$  and  $H_3$ , for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Another feature of an absolute order is its strong Sperner property. It has been proved in [9], using flow techniques on Hasse diagrams, that the poset  $(S_n, \preceq)$  is strongly Sperner. This result has been stated in [8] for finite Coxeter groups, except for type  $D_n$ . Hence we can propose the following problem for finite Coxeter groups.

**Problem 4.4.** *Study the Sperner properties of  $(W, \preceq_k)$ .*

In the previous section we mentioned that  $(S_n, \preceq_0)$  is strongly Sperner and from this fact we could deduce Corollary 3.4. For other finite Coxeter groups and  $k = 0$  the strong Sperner property is expected in [7, Conjecture 3.1].

We now consider the distribution of  $\ell_k$  on any Coxeter group.

**Example 4.5.** *The generating function of  $\ell_1$  on  $S_4$  is*

$$\sum_{w \in S_4} x^{\ell_1(w)} = 1 + 5x + 10x^2 + 7x^3 + x^4.$$

*Remark 4.6.* The coefficient of  $x$  in  $\sum_{w \in W} x^{\ell_k(w)}$  is the number of reflections in  $T_k$ . For  $W = S_n$ , this turns out to be  $|T_k| = \binom{n}{2} - \binom{n-k-1}{2}$ . Indeed, it is easy to see that the number of transpositions in  $T \setminus T_k$ , that is the number of transpositions with length strictly greater than  $2k + 1$ , is  $\binom{n-k-1}{2}$ .

For definitions and results about log-concavity and unimodality we refer to [14] and [5]. We put forward two conjectures about the generating functions of the  $k$ -absolute length on finite Coxeter systems. The first is as follows.

**Conjecture 4.7.** *Let  $(W, S)$  be a finite Coxeter system and  $k \geq 0$ . Then the polynomial  $\sum_{w \in W} x^{\ell_k(w)}$  is log-concave with no internal zeros.*

By [14, Proposition 2] and the known factorization of the polynomials  $\sum_{w \in W} x^{\ell(w)}$  and  $\sum_{w \in W} x^{al(w)}$  (see, e.g. [3, Theorem 7.1.5] and [3, Exercise

7.2]), the previous conjecture holds in these two extreme cases. For dihedral groups  $I_2(m)$ , the statement of Conjecture 4.7 holds. Indeed, let  $h \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$  and define the function  $\pi_h : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  by  $\pi_h(n) = n - h \lfloor n/h \rfloor$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We have the following explicit formula.

**Proposition 4.8.** *Let  $(W, S) = I_2(m)$  and  $1 \leq 2k + 1 \leq m$ . Then*

$$\sum_{w \in W} x^{\ell_k(w)} = 1 + 2(k+1)x + 2(2k+1) \sum_{i=2}^{\lfloor \frac{m-1}{2k+1} \rfloor} x^i + a_{k,m} x^{\lfloor \frac{m-1}{2k+1} \rfloor + 1} + b_{k,m} x^{\lfloor \frac{m-1}{2k+1} \rfloor + 2},$$

where

$$a_{k,m} := 2k + \pi_{2k+1}(m) + \begin{cases} 2k + 1, & \text{if } \pi_{2k+1}(m) = 0; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$b_{k,m} := \begin{cases} 2k, & \text{if } \pi_{2k+1}(m) = 0; \\ \pi_{2k+1}(m) - 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Note that for a dihedral Coxeter system  $(W, S)$  with  $|S| = 2$ ,  $T = \{w \in W : \ell(w) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}\}$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{w \in W} x^{\ell_k(w)} &= 1 + 2[(k+1)x + kx^2] \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m-1}{2k+1} \rfloor - 1} x^i \\ &\quad + 2(o_{k,m}x + e_{k,m}x^2)x^{\lfloor \frac{m-1}{2k+1} \rfloor} + x^{\lfloor \frac{m-1}{2k+1} \rfloor + r_{k,m}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} r_{k,m} &:= \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \pi_{2k+1}(m) = 0; \\ 2 - \pi_2(\pi_{2k+1}(m)), & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases} \\ o_{k,m} &:= \begin{cases} k, & \text{if } \pi_{2k+1}(m) = 0; \\ \lfloor \frac{\pi_{2k+1}(m)}{2} \rfloor, & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases} \\ e_{k,m} &:= \begin{cases} k, & \text{if } \pi_{2k+1}(m) = 0; \\ \lfloor \frac{\pi_{2k+1}(m)-1}{2} \rfloor, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

□

Explicit computations carried out with SageMath [16] confirm Conjecture 4.7 for all relevant  $k$  for types  $A_n$  ( $n \leq 5$ ),  $B_n$  ( $n \leq 4$ ),  $D_n$  ( $n \leq 5$ ),  $F_4$  and  $H_3$ . Since a non-negative log-concave sequence with no internal zeros is unimodal, the previous conjecture implies the following.

**Conjecture 4.9.** *Let  $(W, S)$  be a finite Coxeter system and  $k \geq 0$ . Then the polynomial  $\sum_{w \in W} x^{\ell_k(w)}$  is unimodal.*

We end this section with a problem on the Ricci curvature of  $k$ -Bruhat graphs. The Ricci curvature of the graph  $G_0$  (the Cayley graph of  $(W, S)$ ) is studied (and in many cases explicitly computed) in [13]; the Ricci curvature of the Bruhat graph of a finite Coxeter group is proved to be 2 in [12]. We refer to these articles for definitions and preliminary results. Note that a  $k$ -Bruhat graph is always locally-finite and is a subgraph of the Bruhat graph; it is then natural to formulate the following problem.

**Problem 4.10.** *Let  $(W, S)$  be a Coxeter system and  $k \geq 0$ . What can be said about the Ricci curvature of the  $k$ -Bruhat graph?*

## Acknowledgements

The second author thanks the School of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences of the National University of Ireland in Galway, for its hospitality during summer 2019. The first author was supported by an Irish Research Council postdoctoral fellowship (grant no. GOIPD/2018/319).

## References

- [1] C. A. Athanasiadis, T. Brady, and C. Watt. Shellability of noncrossing partition lattices. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 135(4):939–949, 2007.
- [2] C. A. Athanasiadis and M. Kallipoliti. The absolute order on the symmetric group, constructible partially ordered sets and Cohen-Macaulay complexes. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 115(7):1286–1295, 2008.
- [3] A. Björner and F. Brenti. *Combinatorics of Coxeter groups*, volume 231 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, New York, 2005.
- [4] T. Brady. A partial order on the symmetric group and new  $K(\pi, 1)$ 's for the braid groups. *Adv. Math.*, 161(1):20–40, 2001.
- [5] F. Brenti. Log-concave and unimodal sequences in algebra, combinatorics, and geometry: an update. In *Jerusalem combinatorics '93*, volume 178 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 71–89. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.

- [6] C. Defant. Pop-Stack-Sorting for Coxeter Groups. arXiv:2104.02675, 2021.
- [7] C. Gaetz and Y. Gao. A combinatorial  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -action and the Sperner property for the weak order. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 148(1):1–7, 2020.
- [8] C. Gaetz and Y. Gao. On the Sperner property for the absolute order on complex reflection groups. *Algebr. Comb.*, 3(3):791–800, 2020.
- [9] L. H. Harper and G. B. Kim. The symmetric group, ordered by refinement of cycles, is strongly Sperner. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 149(7):2753–2761, 2021.
- [10] P. Renteln. The distance spectra of Cayley graphs of Coxeter groups. *Discrete Math.*, 311(8-9):738–755, 2011.
- [11] P. Sentinelli. Artin group injection in the Hecke algebra for right-angled groups. *Geom. Dedicata*, 214:193–210, 2021.
- [12] V. Siconolfi. Ricci curvature, Bruhat graphs and Coxeter groups. arXiv:2102.11277, 2021.
- [13] V. Siconolfi. Ricci curvature, graphs and eigenvalues. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 620:242–267, 2021.
- [14] R. P. Stanley. Log-concave and unimodal sequences in algebra, combinatorics, and geometry. In *Graph theory and its applications: East and West (Jinan, 1986)*, volume 576 of *Ann. New York Acad. Sci.*, pages 500–535. New York Acad. Sci., New York, 1989.
- [15] R. P. Stanley. *Enumerative combinatorics. Vol. 1*, volume 49 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012. Second edition.
- [16] The Sage Developers. *SageMath, the Sage Mathematics Software System (Version 9.4)*.