

Symmetric Zinbiel superalgebras¹

Saïd Benayadi^a, Ivan Kaygorodov^b, Fahmi Mhamdi^c

^aUniversité de Lorraine

Laboratoire de Mathématiques IECL UMR CNRS 7502

3 rue Augustin Fresnel, BP 45112, F-57073 Metz Cedex 03, France

e-mail: saïd.benayadi@univ-lorraine.fr

^bCentro de Matemática e Aplicações, Universidade da Beira Interior, Covilhã, Portugal

Moscow Center for Fundamental and Applied Mathematics, Moscow, Russia

e-mail: kaygorodov.ivan@gmail.com

^cFaculté des Sciences de Gafsa

Département de Mathématiques

Zarroug 2112, Tunisie

e-mail: fahmi.mhamdi@gmail.com

Abstract. *The notion of symmetric Zinbiel superalgebras is introduced. We prove that the nilpotency index of a symmetric Zinbiel superalgebra is not greater than 4 and describe two-generated symmetric Zinbiel algebras and odd generated superalgebras. We discuss identities of mono and binary symmetric Zinbiel and Leibniz algebras. It is proven that each quadratic Zinbiel algebra is 2-step nilpotent. Also, we study double extensions of symmetric Zinbiel algebras.*

Keywords: *Zinbiel algebra, dual Leibniz algebra, double extension.*

MSC2020: 17A30, 17A32.

Introduction

Loday introduced a class of symmetric operads generated by one bilinear operation subject to one relation making each left-normed product of three elements equal to a linear combination of right-normed products:

$$(a_1 a_2) a_3 = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_3} x_\sigma a_{\sigma(1)}(a_{\sigma(2)} a_{\sigma(3)});$$

such an operad is called a parametrized one-relation operad. For a particular choice of parameters $\{x_\sigma\}$, this operad is said to be regular if each of its components is the regular representation of the symmetric group; equivalently, the corresponding free algebra on a vector space V is, as a graded

¹The work is supported by FCT UIDB/00212/2020 and UIDP/00212/2020; RFBR 20-01-00030. The authors thank Pasha Zusmanovich for some useful discussions.

vector space, isomorphic to the tensor algebra of V . Bremner and Dotsenko classified, over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, all regular parametrized one-relation operads. In fact, they proved that each such operad is isomorphic to one of the following five operads: the left-nilpotent operad, the associative operad, the Leibniz operad, the Zinbiel operad, and the Poisson operad [6]. An algebra \mathcal{A} is called a (left) *Zinbiel algebra* if it satisfies the identity

$$(xy)z = x(yz + zy).$$

Zinbiel algebras were introduced by Loday in [29]. Under the Koszul duality, the operad of Zinbiel algebras is dual to the operad of Leibniz algebras. Zinbiel algebras are also known as pre-commutative algebras [28] and chronological algebras [27]. A Zinbiel algebra is equivalent to a commutative dendriform algebra [2]. It plays an important role in the definition of pre-Gerstenhaber algebras. The variety of Zinbiel algebras is a proper subvariety in the variety of right commutative algebras. Each Zinbiel algebra with the commutator multiplication gives a Tortkara algebra [16], which has sprung up in unexpected areas of mathematics [13, 14]. Recently, the notion of matching Zinbiel algebras was introduced in [21]. Zinbiel algebras also appeared in a study of rack cohomology [12], number theory [10] and in a construction of a Cartesian differential category [24]. In recent years, there has been a strong interest in the study of Zinbiel algebras in the algebraic and the operad context [4, 8, 15, 23, 16, 17, 18, 21, 26, 30, 32, 33, 34].

Free Zinbiel algebras were shown to be precisely the shuffle product algebra [30], which is under a certain interest until now [11]. Naurazbekova proved that, over a field of characteristic zero, free Zinbiel algebras are the free associative-commutative algebras (without unity) with respect to the symmetrization multiplication and their free generators are found; also she constructed examples of subalgebras of the two-generated free Zinbiel algebra that are free Zinbiel algebras of countable rank [33]. Nilpotent algebras play an important role in the class of Zinbiel algebras. So, Dzhumadil'daev and Tulenbaev proved that each complex finite-dimensional Zinbiel algebra is nilpotent [17] and recently Towers proved that each finite-dimensional Zinbiel algebra is nilpotent [35]; Naurazbekova and Umirbaev proved that in characteristic zero any proper subvariety of the variety of Zinbiel algebras is nilpotent [34]. Finite-dimensional Zinbiel algebras with a “big” nilpotency index are classified in [1, 8]. Central extensions of three-dimensional Zinbiel algebras were calculated in [4] and of filiform Zinbiel algebras in [9]. The full system of degenerations of complex four-dimensional Zinbiel algebras is given in [26].

The present paper is about symmetric (left and right) Zinbiel (super)algebras. This variety of algebras is dual to symmetric Leibniz algebras (about symmetric Leibniz algebras see in [5] and references therein) and it is very thin. As we have proven each symmetric Zinbiel algebra is a 2-step nilpotent or 3-step nilpotent algebra. We also provide a classification of two-generated symmetric Zinbiel algebras (Theorem 15) and symmetric Zinbiel superalgebras with two odd generators (Proposition 16). The next part of the paper is devoted to a study of mono and binary symmetric Zinbiel algebras. Next, we give the description of quadratic Zinbiel algebras. It has been proven that each quadratic Zinbiel algebra is 2-step nilpotent (Proposition 28). The notion of double extensions of quadratic Zinbiel algebras is introduced and described in the last section.

1. Definitions and preliminary results

Let $V = V_{\bar{0}} \oplus V_{\bar{1}}$ be a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector space over the field \mathbb{K} . An element x in V is called homogeneous if $x \in V_{\bar{0}}$ or $x \in V_{\bar{1}}$. Throughout this paper, all elements are supposed to be homogeneous unless otherwise stated. For a homogeneous element x we shall use the standard notation $|x| \in \mathbb{Z}_2 = \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}\}$ to indicate its degree, i.e. whether it is contained in the even part ($|x| = \bar{0}$) or in the odd part ($|x| = \bar{1}$). All superalgebras considered in this paper are finite-dimensional.

Let V be a \mathbb{K} -vector space and $\gamma := \wedge V$ a Grassmann (or exterior) algebra of V . We know that $\Gamma := \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \wedge^i V = \Gamma_{\bar{0}} \oplus \Gamma_{\bar{1}}$ is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded associative algebra, where $\Gamma_{\bar{0}} := \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \wedge^{2i} V$ and $\Gamma_{\bar{1}} := \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \wedge^{2i+1} V$, such that $X_\alpha X_\beta = (-1)^{\alpha\beta} X_\beta X_\alpha$, $\forall (X_\alpha, X_\beta) \in \Gamma_\alpha \times \Gamma_\beta$.

Let $(\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\bar{1}}, \cdot)$ be a superalgebra and $\Gamma(\mathcal{A})$ its Grassmann enveloping algebra which is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{A} \otimes \Gamma$ given by $\Gamma(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}} \otimes \Gamma_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\bar{1}} \otimes \Gamma_{\bar{1}}$. Let us assume now that \mathfrak{B} is a homogeneous variety of algebras. Then, \mathcal{A} is said to be a \mathfrak{B} -superalgebra if $\Gamma(\mathcal{A})$ belongs to \mathfrak{B} . So, $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\bar{1}}$ is a left (resp. right) Zinbiel superalgebra if $\Gamma(\mathcal{A})$ is a left (resp. right) Zinbiel algebra. Consequently, we get the following definition.

Definition 1. Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\bar{1}}$ be a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector space and let “ \cdot ” : $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be a bilinear map on \mathcal{A} such that $\mathcal{A}_i \cdot \mathcal{A}_j \subset \mathcal{A}_{i+j}$, $\forall i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_2$.

1. \mathcal{A} is called a left (resp. right) Zinbiel superalgebra if, for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}} \cup \mathcal{A}_{\bar{1}}$,

$$(xy)z = x(yz) + (-1)^{|y||z|}x(z y), \quad (1.1)$$

$$\text{(resp. } x(yz) = (xy)z + (-1)^{|x||y|}(y x)z), \quad (1.2)$$

or, equivalently, $(x, y, z) = (-1)^{|y||z|}x(z y)$ (resp. $(x, y, z) = -(-1)^{|x||y|}(y x)z$), where $(x, y, z) = (xy)z - x(yz)$ is the associator associated to “ \cdot ”.

2. \mathcal{A} is called a symmetric Zinbiel superalgebra if it is both a left and a right Zinbiel superalgebra.

As usual, for $x \in \mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}} \cup \mathcal{A}_{\bar{1}}$, we define the corresponding endomorphism of \mathcal{A} by $L_x(y) = xy$ (resp. $R_x(y) = (-1)^{|x||y|}yx$), $\forall y \in \mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}} \cup \mathcal{A}_{\bar{1}}$, which is called the left (resp. right) multiplication by x .

The following proposition can be verified by direct calculation.

Proposition 2. Let (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) be a symmetric Zinbiel superalgebra. Then,

1. (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) is a LR-superalgebra (LR-superalgebras are also known as bicommutative superalgebras), i.e. it satisfies

$$(xy)z = (-1)^{|y||z|}(xz)y \text{ and } x(yz) = (-1)^{|x||y|}y(xz), \text{ for all } x, y, z \in \mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}} \cup \mathcal{A}_{\bar{1}},$$

2. (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) is an anti-flexible superalgebra, i.e. it satisfies

$$(x, y, z) = (-1)^{|x||y|+|z|(|x|+|y|)}(z, y, x), \text{ for all } x, y, z \in \mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}} \cup \mathcal{A}_{\bar{1}},$$

3. (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) satisfies

$$(xy)z = -(-1)^{|x|(|y|+|z|)}y(zx), \text{ for all } x, y, z \in \mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}} \cup \mathcal{A}_{\bar{1}},$$

4. (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) satisfies

$$(xy)z = -(-1)^{|x||y|+|y||z|+|z||x|}z(yx), \text{ for all } x, y, z \in \mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}} \cup \mathcal{A}_{\bar{1}}.$$

In the following, we recall some results about representations of left and right Zinbiel superalgebras.

Definition 3. Let (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) be a non-associative superalgebra, \mathcal{V} be a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector space and $r, l : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{V})$ be two even linear maps. If \mathcal{A} is a left (resp. right) Zinbiel superalgebra, then we say that (r, l) is a left (resp. right) representation of \mathcal{A} in \mathcal{V} if for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}_{|x|} \times \mathcal{A}_{|y|}$:

$$\begin{aligned} l(xy) &= l(x)l(y) + l(x)r(y); & r(x)r(y) &= r(xy) + (-1)^{|x||y|}r(yx); & l(x)l(y) &= (-1)^{|x||y|}[r(y), l(x)], \\ (\text{resp. } l(x)l(y) &= l(xy) + (-1)^{|x||y|}l(yx); & l(x)r(y) &= r(xy) = (-1)^{|x||y|}(r(y)r(x) + r(y)l(x))). \end{aligned}$$

Example 4. Let (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) be a left (resp. right) Zinbiel superalgebra. Consider the even maps $L : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{A})$ and $R : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{A})$ defined by: $L(x) := L_x, R(x) := R_x, \forall x \in \mathcal{A}$. Then, (R, L) is a left (resp. right) representation of \mathcal{A} in \mathcal{A} called the left (resp. right) adjoint representation of \mathcal{A} .

Proposition 5. Let (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) be a left (resp. right) Zinbiel superalgebra and $r, l : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{V})$ be two even linear maps. Then, the \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector space $\overline{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{V}$ endowed with the product defined by: $(x + u)(y + v) = xy + l(x)(v) + (-1)^{|x||y|}r(y)(u), \forall (x + u, y + v) \in \overline{\mathcal{A}}_{|x|} \times \overline{\mathcal{A}}_{|y|}$, is a left (resp. right) Zinbiel superalgebra if and only if (r, l) is a left (resp. right) representation of \mathcal{A} in \mathcal{V} .

Proposition 6. Let (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) be a left (resp. right) Zinbiel superalgebra and (R, L) be the left (resp. right) adjoint representation of \mathcal{A} . Let us consider the even linear maps $L^*, R^* : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{A}^*)$ defined by:

$$L^*(x)(f) = (-1)^{|f||x|}f \circ R(x), \quad R^*(x)(f) = (-1)^{|f||x|}f \circ L(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{A}_{|x|}, f \in \mathcal{A}_{|f|}^*.$$

(R^*, L^*) is a left (resp. right) representation of \mathcal{A} in \mathcal{A}^* if and only if \mathcal{A} is 2-step nilpotent.

Proof. Suppose that (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) is a left Zinbiel superalgebra. Then, for all $x \in \mathcal{A}_{|x|}, y \in \mathcal{A}_{|y|}, z \in \mathcal{A}_{|z|}$ and $f \in \mathcal{A}_{|f|}^*$, a simple calculation proves that $L^*(xy)(f)(z) = (L^*(x)L^*(y) + L^*(x)R^*(y))(f)(z)$ if and only if

$$y(zx) = -(-1)^{|y||z|}z(yx). \quad (1.3)$$

Moreover, $R^*(x)R^*(y)(f)(z) = (R^*(xy) + (-1)^{|x||y|}R^*(yx))(f)(z)$ if and only if

$$y(zx) = -(-1)^{|x|(|y|+|z|)}(xy)z. \quad (1.4)$$

Furthermore, according to (1.3), $L^*(x)L^*(y)(f)(z) = ((-1)^{|x||y|}R^*(y)L^*(x) - L^*(x)R^*(y))(f)(z)$ if and only if

$$z(xy) = (-1)^{|y|(|x|+|z|)}(yz)x. \quad (1.5)$$

By (1.4) and (1.5), we conclude that (R^*, L^*) is a left representation of \mathcal{A} in \mathcal{A}^* if and only if \mathcal{A} is 2-step nilpotent. By the same way, we prove the result for right Zinbiel superalgebras. \square

In the following, we introduce the representation of symmetric Zinbiel superalgebras and give some related results.

Definition 7. Let (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) be a symmetric Zinbiel superalgebra, \mathcal{V} be a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector space and $r, l : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{V})$ be two even linear maps. Then, we say that (r, l) is a representation of \mathcal{A} in \mathcal{V} if (r, l) is a left and a right representation of \mathcal{A} in \mathcal{V} . We denote by $\text{Rep}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V})$ the set of all representations of \mathcal{A} in \mathcal{V} .

Example 8. If (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) is a symmetric Zinbiel superalgebra, then:

1. (R, L) (see Example 4) is a representation of \mathcal{A} in \mathcal{A} called the adjoint representation of \mathcal{A} .
2. (R^*, L^*) (see Proposition 6) is a representation of \mathcal{A} in \mathcal{A}^* called the co-adjoint representation of \mathcal{A} .

Remark 9. Let (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) be a symmetric Zinbiel superalgebra, \mathcal{V} be a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector space and $r, l : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{V})$ be two even linear maps. Then, the \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector space $\overline{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{V}$ endowed with the product defined by:

$$(x + u)(y + v) = xy + l(x)(v) + (-1)^{|x||y|}r(y)(u), \quad \forall (x + u, y + v) \in \overline{\mathcal{A}}_{|x|} \times \overline{\mathcal{A}}_{|y|},$$

is a symmetric Zinbiel superalgebra if and only if $(r, l) \in \text{Rep}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V})$.

2. Characterizations of symmetric Zinbiel superalgebras

This section will be devoted to the characterizations of symmetric Zinbiel superalgebras. In this section, we will consider algebras over the complex field.

Definition 10. Let (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) be a superalgebra, then

- (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) is a 2-step nilpotent superalgebra if for all elements $x, y, z \in \mathcal{A}_0 \cup \mathcal{A}_1$ we have $(xy)z = x(yz) = 0$, but the product of the algebra is nonzero.
- (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) is a 3-step nilpotent superalgebra if for all elements $x, y, z, t \in \mathcal{A}_0 \cup \mathcal{A}_1$ we have

$$(xy)(zt) = x((yz)t) = x(y(zt)) = ((xy)z)t = (x(yz))t = 0,$$

but the algebra is non-2-step nilpotent.

Example 11. Each 2-step nilpotent superalgebra is a symmetric Zinbiel superalgebra.

Theorem 12. If (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) is a nonzero non-2-step nilpotent symmetric Zinbiel superalgebra, then

1. (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) is a 3-step nilpotent superalgebra, i.e. it is a central extension of a 2-step nilpotent superalgebra;
2. $x^3 = 0$ for each $x \in \mathcal{A}_{|x|}$.

Proof. For the first part of the theorem we consider the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} (xy)(zt) &= (-1)^{|z|(|x|+|y|)}z((xy)t) = -(-1)^{(|z|+|t|)(|x|+|y|)+|x||y|}z(t(yx)), \\ (xy)(zt) &= -(-1)^{(|x|+|y|)(|z|+|t|)+|z||t|}(tz)(xy) = -(-1)^{|t|(|x|+|y|+|z|)}(t(xy))z = (-1)^{(|z|+|t|)(|x|+|y|)}z(t(xy)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$0 = z(t(xy + (-1)^{|x||y|}yx)) = z((tx)y),$$

which gives that all products of 4 elements are equal to zero and (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) is a 3-step nilpotent superalgebra.

Now, for the second part of the theorem, we are using (1.1) and (1.2) and substituting $y = z = x \in \mathcal{A}_{|x|}$. We get:

- (a) If $x \in \mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}}$, then $x^2x = 2xx^2$ and $xx^2 = 2x^2x$, which implies that $x^2x = 0 = xx^2$. So, $x^3 = x^2x = xx^2 = 0$.
- (b) If $x \in \mathcal{A}_{\bar{1}}$, then $x^2x = 0 = xx^2$. So, $x^3 = 0$.

□

Corollary 13. *If (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) is a d -generated symmetric Zinbiel superalgebra, then $\dim(\mathcal{A}, \cdot) \leq -d + d^2 + 2d^3 + d^4$.*

Proof. It is easy to see that the maximal dimension of a central extension $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ of the d -dimensional zero product algebra (with a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_d\}$) is $d + d^2$. Let say that the last algebra has a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_d, e_{1,1}, \dots, e_{d,d}\}$, such that $e_{i,j} = e_i e_j$. \mathcal{A} is a central extension of $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$. Note now, that $x^3 = 0$ for each $x \in \mathcal{A}$, hence $e_i e_{i,i} = e_{i,i} e_i = 0$ and $\dim \hat{\mathcal{A}} = d^2$. It is easy to see that the dimension of the cohomology space of $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ is not greater than $(d + d^2)^2 - d^2 - 2d$. Hence, the dimension of a central extension of a central extension of the d -generated zero product algebra is not greater than $d + d^2 + (d + d^2)^2 - d^2 - 2d = -d + d^2 + 2d^3 + d^4$. □

Corollary 14. *If (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) is a one-generated symmetric Zinbiel superalgebra, then there are only two following opportunities*

- 1. $\dim(\mathcal{A}, \cdot) = (2, 0)$ and the multiplication table is given by $e_1^2 = e_2$.
- 2. $\dim(\mathcal{A}, \cdot) = (1, 1)$, $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}} = \langle e_1 \rangle$, $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{1}} = \langle e_2 \rangle$, and the multiplication table is given by $e_2^2 = e_1$.

Theorem 15. *If (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) is a two-generated symmetric Zinbiel algebra, then there are only following opportunities:*

- 1. *if (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) is a 2-step nilpotent algebra, then $3 \leq \dim(\mathcal{A}, \cdot) \leq 6$ and*
 - (a) *if $\dim(\mathcal{A}, \cdot) = 3$, then (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) is isomorphic to one of the following algebras*

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \mathfrak{N}_1^3 & : & e_1 e_1 = e_2 \quad e_1 e_2 = 0 \quad e_2 e_1 = 0 \quad e_2 e_2 = 0 \\ \mathfrak{N}_2^3 & : & e_1 e_1 = e_3 \quad e_1 e_2 = 0 \quad e_2 e_1 = 0 \quad e_2 e_2 = e_3 \\ \mathfrak{N}_3^3 & : & e_1 e_1 = 0 \quad e_1 e_2 = e_3 \quad e_2 e_1 = -e_3 \quad e_2 e_2 = 0 \\ \mathfrak{N}_{4,\lambda}^3 & : & e_1 e_1 = \lambda e_3 \quad e_1 e_2 = 0 \quad e_2 e_1 = e_3 \quad e_2 e_2 = e_3 \end{array}$$

- (b) *if $\dim(\mathcal{A}, \cdot) = 4$, then (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) is isomorphic to one of the following algebras*

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \mathfrak{N}_1^4 & : & e_1 e_1 = 0 \quad e_1 e_2 = e_3 \quad e_2 e_1 = e_4 \quad e_2 e_2 = 0 \\ \mathfrak{N}_2^4 & : & e_1 e_1 = e_3 \quad e_1 e_2 = 0 \quad e_2 e_1 = e_4 \quad e_2 e_2 = 0 \\ \mathfrak{N}_3^4 & : & e_1 e_1 = e_3 \quad e_1 e_2 = 0 \quad e_2 e_1 = 0 \quad e_2 e_2 = e_4 \\ \mathfrak{N}_4^4 & : & e_1 e_1 = e_3 \quad e_1 e_2 = e_3 \quad e_2 e_1 = e_4 \quad e_2 e_2 = e_3 \\ \mathfrak{N}_{5,\lambda}^4 & : & e_1 e_1 = e_3 \quad e_1 e_2 = 0 \quad e_2 e_1 = e_4 \quad e_2 e_2 = e_3 + \lambda e_4 \\ \mathfrak{N}_{6,\lambda}^4 & : & e_1 e_1 = e_3 \quad e_1 e_2 = e_4 \quad e_2 e_1 = \lambda e_4 \quad e_2 e_2 = 0 \end{array}$$

(c) if $\dim(\mathcal{A}, \cdot) = 5$, then (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) is isomorphic to one of the following algebras

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{N}_1^5 & : e_1e_1 = 0 & e_1e_2 = e_3 & e_2e_1 = e_4 & e_2e_2 = e_5 \\ \mathfrak{N}_2^5 & : e_1e_1 = e_5 & e_1e_2 = e_3 & e_2e_1 = e_4 & e_2e_2 = e_5 \\ \mathfrak{N}_3^5 & : e_1e_1 = e_3 & e_1e_2 = e_4 & e_2e_1 = e_4 & e_2e_2 = e_5 \\ \mathfrak{N}_{4,\lambda}^5 & : e_1e_1 = e_3 + \lambda e_5 & e_1e_2 = e_3 & e_2e_1 = e_4 & e_2e_2 = e_5 \end{aligned}$$

(d) if $\dim(\mathcal{A}, \cdot) = 6$, then (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) is isomorphic to the following algebra

$$\mathfrak{N}_1^6 : e_1e_1 = e_3 \quad e_1e_2 = e_4 \quad e_2e_1 = e_5 \quad e_2e_2 = e_6$$

2. if (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) is a 3-step nilpotent algebra, then $6 \leq \dim(\mathcal{A}, \cdot) \leq 8$ and

(a) if $\dim(\mathcal{A}, \cdot) = 6$, then (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) is isomorphic to one of the following algebras:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{3}_1^6 & : e_1e_2 = e_3 & e_2e_1 = e_4 & e_2e_2 = e_5 & e_1e_5 = e_6 & e_5e_1 = -e_6 \\ & e_2e_4 = -2e_6 & e_4e_2 = -e_6 & e_2e_3 = e_6 & e_3e_2 = 2e_6 \\ \mathfrak{3}_2^6 & : e_1e_2 = e_3 & e_2e_1 = e_4 & e_2e_2 = e_5 + e_6 & e_1e_5 = e_6 & e_5e_1 = -e_6 \\ & e_2e_4 = -2e_6 & e_4e_2 = -e_6 & e_2e_3 = e_6 & e_3e_2 = 2e_6 \end{aligned}$$

(b) if $\dim(\mathcal{A}, \cdot) = 7$, then (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) is isomorphic to the following algebra

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{3}_1^7 & : e_1e_1 = e_7 & e_1e_2 = e_3 & e_2e_1 = e_4 & e_2e_2 = e_5 & e_1e_5 = e_6 \\ & e_5e_1 = -e_6 & e_2e_4 = -2e_6 & e_4e_2 = -e_6 & e_2e_3 = e_6 & e_3e_2 = 2e_6 \end{aligned}$$

(c) if $\dim(\mathcal{A}, \cdot) = 8$, then (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) is isomorphic to the following algebra

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{3}_1^8 & : e_1e_1 = e_3 & e_1e_2 = e_4 & e_1e_4 = 2e_7 & e_1e_5 = -e_7 & e_1e_6 = e_8 & e_2e_1 = e_5 \\ & e_2e_2 = e_6 & e_2e_3 = -e_7 & e_2e_4 = e_8 & e_2e_5 = -2e_8 & e_3e_2 = e_7 & e_4e_1 = e_7 \\ & e_4e_2 = 2e_8 & e_5e_1 = -2e_7 & e_5e_2 = -e_8 & e_6e_1 = -e_8 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The first part of the theorem is following from [7]. The proof of the second part of the theorem will be done the usual way by calculations of symmetric Zinbiel central extensions (it is known as the Skjelbred-Sund method (about the description of the method for an arbitrary variety see [25] and for Zinbiel case see [4]).

Thanks to [4], there are no non-trivial symmetric Zinbiel central extensions of algebras \mathfrak{N}_i^3 and \mathfrak{N}_i^4 . Hence, we will consider only algebras \mathfrak{N}_i^5 and \mathfrak{N}_i^6 .

- The algebra \mathfrak{N}_1^5 has only two 1-dimensional and one 2-dimensional central extensions:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{3}_1^6 & : e_1e_2 = e_3 & e_2e_1 = e_4 & e_2e_2 = e_5 & e_1e_5 = e_6 & e_5e_1 = -e_6 \\ & e_2e_4 = -2e_6 & e_4e_2 = -e_6 & e_2e_3 = e_6 & e_3e_2 = 2e_6 \\ \mathfrak{3}_2^6 & : e_1e_1 = e_6 & e_1e_2 = e_3 & e_2e_1 = e_4 & e_2e_2 = e_5 & e_1e_5 = e_6 \\ & e_5e_1 = -e_6 & e_2e_4 = -2e_6 & e_4e_2 = -e_6 & e_2e_3 = e_6 & e_3e_2 = 2e_6 \\ \mathfrak{3}_1^7 & : e_1e_1 = e_7 & e_1e_2 = e_3 & e_2e_1 = e_4 & e_2e_2 = e_5 & e_1e_5 = e_6 \\ & e_5e_1 = -e_6 & e_2e_4 = -2e_6 & e_4e_2 = -e_6 & e_2e_3 = e_6 & e_3e_2 = 2e_6 \end{aligned}$$

- The algebras \mathfrak{N}_2^5 , \mathfrak{N}_3^5 and $\mathfrak{N}_{4,\lambda}^5$ have no central extensions.
- The algebra \mathfrak{N}_1^6 has only one 2-dimensional central extension:

$$\mathfrak{Z}_1^8 : \begin{array}{cccccc} e_1e_1 = e_3 & e_1e_2 = e_4 & e_1e_4 = 2e_7 & e_1e_5 = -e_7 & e_1e_6 = e_8 & e_2e_1 = e_5 \\ e_2e_2 = e_6 & e_2e_3 = -e_7 & e_2e_4 = e_8 & e_2e_5 = -2e_8 & e_3e_2 = e_7 & e_4e_1 = e_7 \\ e_4e_2 = 2e_8 & e_5e_1 = -2e_7 & e_5e_2 = -e_8 & e_6e_1 = -e_8 & & \end{array}$$

□

Proposition 16. *If (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) is a symmetric Zinbiel superalgebra with two odd generators, then (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) is a 2-step nilpotent superalgebra given in the first part of the theorem 15 with the following grading $\mathcal{A}_1 = \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle$ and $\mathcal{A}_0 = \langle e_3, \dots, e_{2+i} \rangle$, where $i = \dim(\mathcal{A}_0)$.*

Proof. The description of 2-step nilpotent symmetric Zinbiel superalgebras with two odd generators is following from the theorem 15.

If (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) is a 3-step nilpotent symmetric Zinbiel superalgebra, then the product of three elements x, y, z of (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) is equal to zero if an elements from its is not a linear combination of generators. Hence, superalgebra (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) without grading is equivalent to an algebra with the following identities

$$\Omega = \{(xy)z = x(yz - zy) \text{ and } x(yz) = (xy - yx)z\}.$$

By the standard Skjelbred-Sund method, it is possible to verification, that there are no non-trivial extensions of algebras from the first part of the theorem 15 in the variety defined by identities from Ω . It is following that there are no 3-step nilpotent symmetric Zinbiel superalgebras with two odd generators. □

3. Mono and binary symmetric Zinbiel and Leibniz algebras

In this section, we will consider algebras over the complex field.

Definition 17. *Let Ω be a variety of algebras defined by a family of polynomial identities, then we say that an algebra $\mathcal{A} \in \Omega_i$ if and only if each i -generated subalgebra of \mathcal{A} gives an algebra from Ω . In particular, if $\mathcal{A} \in \Omega_1$, then \mathcal{A} is a mono- Ω algebra, if $\mathcal{A} \in \Omega_2$, then \mathcal{A} is a binary- Ω algebra.*

For example, let Ass be the class of associative algebras. Then by Artin's theorem, the class Ass_2 coincides with the class of alternative algebras. Albert's theorem follows that the class Ass_1 coincides with the class of power-associative algebras. It is easy to see that Lie_1 coincides with anticommutative algebras, i.e. they satisfy the identity $x^2 = 0$. The identities of Lie_2 are described by Gainov [19]. Below we will consider mono and binary symmetric Leibniz and Zinbiel algebras.

Thanks to [20, 22], we have the description of mono and binary left Leibniz algebras.

Theorem 18. *An algebra \mathcal{A} is mono left Leibniz if and only if it satisfies the following identities:*

$$x^2x = 0, \quad x^2x^2 = 0.$$

An algebra \mathcal{A} is binary left Leibniz if and only if it satisfies the following identities:

$$x^2y = 0, x(yx) = (xy)x + yx^2, x(y(xy)) = (xy)(xy) + y(x(xy)).$$

Thanks to [23], we have the description of mono and binary left Zinbiel algebras.

Theorem 19. An algebra \mathcal{A} is mono left Zinbiel if and only if it satisfies the following identities:

$$xx^2 = 2x^2x, x^2x^2 = 3(x^2x)x.$$

An algebra \mathcal{A} is binary left Zinbiel if and only if it satisfies the following identities:

$$x(yx) = (xy + yx)x, x(xy) = 2x^2y.$$

As some trivial corollaries from the previous theorems, we have the following propositions.

Proposition 20. The variety of mono symmetric Zinbiel algebras coincides with the variety of mono symmetric Leibniz algebras and it is defined by the following identities

$$x^2x = 0, xx^2 = 0, x^2x^2 = 0.$$

Proposition 21. The variety of binary symmetric Zinbiel algebras is defined by the following identities

$$\begin{aligned} x(yx) &= (xy + yx)x, x(xy) = 2x^2y, \\ (xy)x &= x(yx + xy), (yx)x = 2yx^2. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 22. The variety of binary symmetric Zinbiel algebras is defined by the following identities

$$\begin{aligned} x^2y &= 0, x(yx) = (xy)x, x(y(xy)) = (xy)(xy) + y(x(xy)) \\ yx^2 &= 0, (xy)(xy) = ((xy)x)y + x((xy)y). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 23. The intersection of varieties of binary symmetric Zinbiel and Leibniz algebras is defined by the following identities

$$(x_1x_2)x_3 = (-1)^\sigma(x_{\sigma(1)}x_{\sigma(2)})x_{\sigma(3)} \text{ and } x_1(x_2x_3) = (-1)^\sigma x_{\sigma(1)}(x_{\sigma(2)}x_{\sigma(3)}), \sigma \in \mathbb{S}_3.$$

Proof. Thanks to two previous propositions, we have that each algebra from the intersection of symmetric Zinbiel and Leibniz algebras satisfies the following identities

$$x^2y = 0, x(xy) = 0, yx^2 = 0, (yx)x = 0.$$

Hence, by linearization, it satisfies

$$(x_1x_2)x_3 = -(x_2x_1)x_3, x_1(x_2x_3) = -x_2(x_1x_3), x_1(x_2x_3) = -x_1(x_3x_2), (x_1x_2)x_3 = -(x_1x_3)x_2.$$

It is easy to see that each algebra that satisfies the previous identities also satisfies all identities from two previous propositions. Hence, we complete the proof of the statement. \square

Theorem 24. *Let SZ and SL be the varieties of symmetric Zinbiel and symmetric Leibniz algebras. Then, the following inclusions are strict.*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & & \text{SZ} & \subset & \text{SZ}_2 \\
 & & & \subset & & & \cup \\
 \text{SL} \cap \text{SZ} = \text{SL} \cap \text{SZ}_2 = \text{SZ} \cap \text{SL}_2 & \subset & \text{Ass} \cap \text{Lie}_1 & \subset & \text{SL}_2 \cap \text{SZ}_2 & \subset & \text{SZ}_1 = \text{SL}_1 \\
 & \subset & & & & & \subset \\
 & & & \subset & \text{SL} & \subset & \text{SL}_2
 \end{array}$$

Proof. Let us prove that $\text{SL} \cap \text{SZ}_2$ is defined by $(xy)z = 0$ and $x(yz) = 0$. Obviously, that each algebra from $\text{SL} \cap \text{SZ}_2$ satisfies identities from the previous proposition and two Leibniz identities. Hence,

$$2(xy)z = (xy)z + (xz)y + x(yz) = x(yz) \text{ and } 2x(yz) = x(yz) + (xy)z + y(xz) = (xy)z,$$

which gives $(xy)z = 0$ and $x(yz) = 0$.

$\text{SZ} \cap \text{SL}_2$ is also defined by $(xy)z = 0$ and $x(yz) = 0$, because each algebra from $\text{SZ} \cap \text{SL}_2$ satisfies identities from the previous proposition. Hence, it satisfies

$$(xy)z = x(yz + zy) = 0 \text{ and } x(yz) = (xy + yx)z = 0.$$

Obviously, we have the equalities $\text{SL} \cap \text{SZ} = \text{SL} \cap \text{SZ}_2 = \text{SZ} \cap \text{SL}_2$.

All indicated inclusions are following from previous propositions and other known results. To prove the strict inclusion $\omega \subset \Omega$ of varieties of algebras, we will indicate an algebra $\mathcal{A} \in \Omega \setminus \omega$.

$\text{SL} \cap \text{SZ} \subset \text{SZ}$	$e_1e_2 = e_3$	$e_1e_5 = e_6$	$e_2e_1 = e_4$
$\text{SL}_2 \cap \text{SZ}_2 \subset \text{SZ}_2$	$e_2e_2 = e_5$	$e_2e_3 = e_6$	$e_2e_4 = -2e_6$
$\text{SL}_2 \subset \text{SL}_1$	$e_3e_2 = 2e_6$	$e_4e_2 = -e_6$	$e_5e_1 = -e_6$
$\text{SL} \cap \text{SZ} \subset \text{SL}$	$e_1e_2 = e_3$	$e_2e_1 = -e_3$	$e_2e_3 = e_4$ $e_3e_2 = -e_4$
$\text{SL} \cap \text{SZ} \subset \text{Ass} \cap \text{Lie}_1$	$e_1e_2 = e_4$	$e_1e_3 = e_5$	$e_1e_6 = e_7$ $e_2e_1 = -e_4$
	$e_2e_3 = e_6$	$e_2e_5 = -e_7$	$e_3e_1 = -e_5$ $e_3e_2 = -e_6$
	$e_3e_4 = e_7$	$e_4e_3 = e_7$	$e_5e_2 = -e_7$ $e_6e_1 = e_7$
$\text{Ass} \cap \text{Lie}_1 \subset \text{SL}_2 \cap \text{SZ}_2$	$e_1e_2 = e_4$	$e_1e_3 = e_5$	$e_1e_6 = e_7$
$\text{SL} \subset \text{SL}_2$	$e_2e_1 = -e_4$	$e_2e_3 = e_6$	$e_2e_5 = -e_7$
$\text{SZ} \subset \text{SZ}_2$	$e_3e_1 = -e_5$	$e_3e_2 = -e_6$	$e_3e_4 = e_7$
$\text{SZ}_2 \subset \text{SZ}_1$	$e_1e_2 = e_2$		
$\text{SL}_2 \cap \text{SZ}_2 \subset \text{SL}_2$	$e_2e_1 = -e_2$		

□

From the proof of the present theorem it is easy to see that the variety $\text{SL}_2 \cap \text{SZ}_2$ does not contain SL and SZ as subvarieties. It gives the following interesting open question.

Question 1. *Is SL_1 the minimal variety that contains the varieties SL and SZ (resp. SL_2 and SZ_2) as subvarieties?*

4. Quadratic Zinbiel superalgebras

Definition 25. A triple $(\mathcal{A}, \cdot, \mathfrak{B})$ is said to be a quadratic Zinbiel superalgebra if (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) is a left (or a right) Zinbiel superalgebra endowed with a non-degenerate bilinear form \mathfrak{B} satisfying the following properties:

- (i) $\mathfrak{B}(x, y) = (-1)^{|x||y|} \mathfrak{B}(y, x)$, $\forall x \in \mathcal{A}_{|x|}, y \in \mathcal{A}_{|y|}$, i.e. \mathfrak{B} is supersymmetric;
- (ii) $\mathfrak{B}(xy, z) = \mathfrak{B}(x, yz)$, $\forall x, y, z \in \mathcal{A}$, i.e. \mathfrak{B} is invariant;
- (iii) $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}}, \mathcal{A}_{\bar{1}}) = \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{A}_{\bar{1}}, \mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}}) = \{0\}$, i.e. \mathfrak{B} is even.

In this case, \mathfrak{B} is called an invariant scalar product on \mathcal{A} .

Proposition 26. Let (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) be a left (resp. right) Zinbiel superalgebra. If \mathcal{A} is quadratic, then \mathcal{A} is symmetric.

Proof. Suppose that (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) is a left Zinbiel superalgebra. Then, for all $x, y, z, t \in \mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}} \cup \mathcal{A}_{\bar{1}}$, we have

$$0 = \mathfrak{B}((xy)z - x(yz) - (-1)^{|y||z|}x(zy), t) = \mathfrak{B}(x, y(zt) - (yz)t - (-1)^{|y||z|}(zy)t).$$

The fact that \mathfrak{B} is non-degenerate implies that $y(zt) - (yz)t - (-1)^{|y||z|}(zy)t = 0$. Consequently, \mathcal{A} is a right Zinbiel superalgebra. By the same way, we prove the result for right Zinbiel superalgebra. \square

Proposition 27. Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\bar{1}}$ be a symmetric Zinbiel superalgebra. Then, \mathcal{A} is quadratic if and only if the adjoint and the co-adjoint representations of \mathcal{A} are equivalent and $\dim(\mathcal{A}_{\bar{1}})$ is even.

Proof. The proof is similar to that in [3]. \square

The following result comes from Proposition 6.

Proposition 28. Let (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) be a left (resp. right) Zinbiel superalgebra. If \mathcal{A} is quadratic, then \mathcal{A} is 2-step nilpotent.

5. Extensions of quadratic Zinbiel superalgebras

In this section, we introduce the notion of a double extension of quadratic Zinbiel superalgebras by the one-dimensional \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector space, which is performed in two distinct steps, a central extension followed by a semi-direct product. In the first step, we are going to introduce the notion of central extension by the one-dimensional \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector space.

Proposition 29. Let $(\mathcal{A}, \cdot, \mathfrak{B})$ be a quadratic Zinbiel superalgebra and $\Omega : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ be a bilinear map of degree α . Then, there exists a homogeneous endomorphism δ of \mathcal{A} of degree α such that $\Omega(x, y) = \mathfrak{B}(\delta(x), y)$, $\forall x, y \in \mathcal{A}$. The map Ω is a homogeneous scalar Zinbiel 2-cocycle of \mathcal{A} of degree α if and only if, for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}_{|x|} \times \mathcal{A}_{|y|}$, the following assertions hold:

$$(i) \delta(xy) = \delta(x)y + (-1)^{|x|(\alpha+|y|)}y\delta(x) \quad \text{and} \quad (ii) \delta(xy) = -(-1)^{\alpha|x|}x\delta(y).$$

Proof. (i) It is easy to see the following

$$\Omega(x, yz) + (-1)^{|y||z|}\Omega(x, zy) - \Omega(xy, z) = \mathfrak{B}(\delta(x)y + (-1)^{|y|(|x|+\alpha)}y\delta(x) - \delta(xy), z).$$

(ii) Note that $\forall x, y, z \in \mathcal{A}_{|x|} \times \mathcal{A}_{|y|} \times \mathcal{A}_{|z|}$ we have

$$\Omega(x, yz) + (-1)^{|z|(|x|+|y|)}\Omega(zx, y) = (-1)^{|x|(|y|+|z|)+\alpha|y|}\mathfrak{B}(y, (-1)^{\alpha|z|}z\delta(x) + \delta(zx)).$$

□

Proposition 30. Let $(\mathcal{A}, \cdot, \mathfrak{B})$ be a quadratic Zinbiel superalgebra, $\mathcal{V} = \mathbb{K}d$ be the one-dimensional \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector space and δ be a homogeneous endomorphism of \mathcal{A} of degree α . Define the bilinear map $\Omega : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^*$ by $\Omega(x, y) = \mathfrak{B}(\delta(x), y)d^*$. Then, the \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector space $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{V}^*$ endowed with the product defined by

$$(x + \lambda d^*) \star_{\Omega} (y + \lambda' d^*) = xy + \Omega(x, y), \text{ for all } (x + \lambda d^*), (y + \lambda' d^*) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}},$$

is a symmetric Zinbiel superalgebra if and only if Ω is a homogeneous Zinbiel 2-cocycle of \mathcal{A} on the trivial \mathcal{A} -module \mathcal{V}^* of degree α . It is termed the central extension of \mathcal{A} by \mathcal{V}^* by means of Ω .

Proof. We only need calculation, where we use Proposition 29. □

We will define, in the following, another type of extension of symmetric Zinbiel superalgebras. Let us consider (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) a symmetric Zinbiel superalgebra and $\mathcal{V} = \mathbb{K}d$ a one-dimensional \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector space. Let δ, D be two homogeneous endomorphisms of \mathcal{A} of degree $|d|$ and $\alpha_0 \in \text{Ann}(\mathcal{A}) \cap \mathcal{A}_0$. Consider the \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector space $\overline{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{V}$ on which we define the following product:

$$d \circ d = \alpha_0; \quad x \circ y = xy; \quad d \circ x = \delta(x); \quad x \circ d = D(x), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{A}. \quad (5.1)$$

Proposition 31. The \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector space $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ endowed with the product (5.1) is a symmetric Zinbiel superalgebra if and only if, for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}_{|x|} \times \mathcal{A}_{|y|}$, the following conditions hold:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(xy) &= (-1)^{|d||x|}x\delta(y) = \delta(x)y + (-1)^{|d||x|}D(x)y = -(-1)^{|y|(|x|+|d|)}D(y)x; \\ \delta(x)y &= (-1)^{|x||y|}\delta(y)x = -(-1)^{|d|(|x|+|y|)}xD(y); \\ D(xy) &= (-1)^{|d||y|}D(x)y; \\ \delta^2(x) &= (-1)^{|d|}\delta^2(x) = -D^2(x) = (1 + (-1)^{|d|})\alpha_0x; \\ \delta \circ D(x) &= -(-1)^{|d|}D \circ \delta(x) = (-1)^{|d||x|}x\alpha_0 = -(-1)^{|d|(|x|+|d|)}\alpha_0x; \quad \delta(\alpha_0) = D(\alpha_0) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

In this case, (δ, D, α_0) is called "an admissible triple" and the symmetric Zinbiel superalgebra $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ is termed the semi-direct product of \mathcal{A} by \mathcal{V} by means of (δ, D, α_0) .

Proof. The proof is a straightforward computation. □

Now, we are in a position to introduce the double extensions of quadratic Zinbiel superalgebras. We start by presenting the concept of even double extension of these superalgebras by the one-dimensional Lie algebra.

Theorem 32. Let $(\mathcal{A}, \cdot, \mathfrak{B})$ be a quadratic Zinbiel superalgebra, $\mathcal{V} = \mathbb{K}d$ be the one-dimensional vector space and $(\delta, \delta^*, \alpha_0)$ be an admissible triple of \mathcal{A} such that $\delta(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \text{Ann}(\mathcal{A})$, $\delta(\mathcal{A}^2) = \{0\}$, $\delta^2 = \delta \circ \delta^* = \delta^* \circ \delta = \{0\}$, $\alpha_0 \in \text{Ann}(\mathcal{A}) \cap \mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}}$ and $\mathfrak{B}(\alpha_0, \alpha_0) = 0$, where δ^* is the adjoint of δ with respect to \mathfrak{B} . Then, the \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector space $\overline{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{V}^* \oplus \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{V}$ endowed with the following product:

$$\begin{aligned} d \circ d &= \alpha_0 + \alpha d^*; & d \circ x &= \delta(x) + \mathfrak{B}(x, \alpha_0)d^*; \\ x \circ y &= xy + \mathfrak{B}(\delta(x), y)d^*; & x \circ d &= \delta^*(x) + \mathfrak{B}(x, \alpha_0)d^*, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{A}, \alpha \in \mathbb{K}, \end{aligned}$$

is a symmetric Zinbiel superalgebra. Moreover, the even bilinear form $\overline{\mathfrak{B}} : \overline{\mathcal{A}} \times \overline{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$, defined by $\overline{\mathfrak{B}}|_{\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}} = \mathfrak{B}$; $\overline{\mathfrak{B}}(d, d^*) = \overline{\mathfrak{B}}(d^*, d) = 1$, is an associative scalar product on $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$.

The Zinbiel superalgebra $(\overline{\mathcal{A}}, \overline{\mathfrak{B}})$ is called the even double extension of \mathcal{A} by \mathcal{V} by means of the admissible triple $(\delta, \delta^*, \alpha_0)$.

Proof. Since $\delta(\mathcal{A}^2) = \{0\}$ and $\delta(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \text{Ann}(\mathcal{A})$, then Proposition 29 implies that the even map $\Omega : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^*$, $(x, y) \mapsto \Omega(x, y) = \mathfrak{B}(\delta(x), y)d^*$, is an even Zinbiel 2-cocycle of \mathcal{A} on the trivial \mathcal{A} -module \mathcal{V}^* . So, $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{V}^*$ endowed with the product defined by:

$$(x + f) \star_{\Omega} (y + g) = xy + \mathfrak{B}(\delta(x), y)d^*, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{A}, f, g \in \mathcal{V}^*,$$

is a symmetric Zinbiel superalgebra, central extension of \mathcal{A} by means of Ω . Now, we define two even endomorphisms δ_1 and δ_2 of $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$, respectively, by

$$\delta_1(x + \lambda d^*) = \delta(x) + \mathfrak{B}(x, \alpha_0)d^* \text{ and } \delta_2(x + \lambda d^*) = \delta^*(x) + \mathfrak{B}(x, \alpha_0)d^*, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{A}, \lambda \in \mathbb{K}.$$

Let us consider the element $\alpha_1 = \alpha_0 + \alpha d^* \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$, where α is a fixed scalar in \mathbb{K} . So, $\alpha_1 \in \text{Ann}(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}) \cap \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\bar{0}}$. Since

$$\delta(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \text{Ann}(\mathcal{A}), \delta(\mathcal{A}^2) = \{0\}, \delta^2 = \delta \circ \delta^* = \delta^* \circ \delta = \{0\}, \alpha_0 \in \text{Ann}(\mathcal{A}) \cap \mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}}, \mathfrak{B}(\alpha_0, \alpha_0) = 0,$$

then a simple computation proves that the triple $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \alpha_1)$ is an admissible triple of $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$. Consequently, we can consider the symmetric Zinbiel superalgebra $\overline{\mathcal{A}} = \widetilde{\mathcal{A}} \oplus \mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}^* \oplus \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{V}$, semi-direct product of $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$ by \mathcal{V} . In addition, it is easy to show that the bilinear form $\overline{\mathfrak{B}} : \overline{\mathcal{A}} \times \overline{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$, defined by $\overline{\mathfrak{B}}|_{\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}} = \mathfrak{B}$; $\overline{\mathfrak{B}}(d, d^*) = 1$, is an associative scalar product on $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$. \square

Our next goal is to establish the converse of Theorem 32.

Theorem 33. Let $(\mathcal{A}, \circ, \mathfrak{B})$ be a quadratic Zinbiel superalgebra of dimension (n, m) such that $n \geq 2$. If $\text{Ann}(\mathcal{A}) \cap \mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}} \neq \{0\}$, then \mathcal{A} is isomorphic to an even double extension of a quadratic Zinbiel superalgebra $(\mathcal{H}, \cdot, \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{H}})$ of dimension $(n - 2, m)$ by the one-dimensional vector space.

Proof. Suppose that $\text{Ann}(\mathcal{A}) \cap \mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}} \neq \{0\}$, then there exists a non-zero element e of $\text{Ann}(\mathcal{A}) \cap \mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}}$. Denote by $\mathcal{J} = \mathbb{K}e$ and by \mathcal{J}^{\perp} the orthogonal of \mathcal{J} with respect to \mathfrak{B} . Then, $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{J}^{\perp}$. Since \mathfrak{B} is even and non-degenerate, then there exists $d \in \mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\mathfrak{B}(e, d) = \mathfrak{B}(d, e) = 1$. Let $\mathcal{V} = \mathbb{K}d$ and $\mathcal{H} = (\mathcal{J} \oplus \mathcal{V})^{\perp}$, then $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{J} \oplus \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{J}^{\perp} = \mathcal{J} \oplus \mathcal{H}$ is an ideal of \mathcal{A} . Let $x, y \in \mathcal{H}_{|x|} \times \mathcal{H}_{|y|}$, then $x \circ y = \Phi(x, y)e + xy$, where $\Phi : \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is an even bilinear form

and “ \cdot ” : $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is an even bilinear map. It is easy to show that (\mathcal{H}, \cdot) is a symmetric Zinbiel superalgebra and that the even bilinear form $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{H}} = \mathfrak{B}|_{\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}}$ is an associative scalar product on (\mathcal{H}, \cdot) . Therefore, the even bilinear form Φ is an element of $(Z_{\text{SZinb}}^2(\mathcal{H}, \mathbb{K}))_{\bar{0}}$. The fact that $\mathcal{J}^{\perp} = \mathcal{J} \oplus \mathcal{H}$ is an ideal of \mathcal{A} implies that

$$\begin{aligned} d \circ d &= \alpha e + \alpha_0 + \lambda d, \text{ where } \alpha, \lambda \in \mathbb{K}, \alpha_0 \in \mathcal{H}_{\bar{0}}, \\ x \circ d &= \Psi(x)e + D(x), \text{ where } D \in (\text{End}(\mathcal{H}))_{\bar{0}}, \Psi \in (\mathcal{H}^*)_{\bar{0}}, \\ d \circ x &= \Theta(x)e + \delta(x), \text{ where } \delta \in (\text{End}(\mathcal{H}))_{\bar{0}}, \Theta \in (\mathcal{H}^*)_{\bar{0}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $x, y \in \mathcal{H}_{|x|} \times \mathcal{H}_{|y|}$. Since \mathfrak{B} is supersymmetric and associative, then $\mathfrak{B}(x \circ y, d) = \mathfrak{B}(x, y \circ d) = \mathfrak{B}(d \circ x, y)$. It follows that $\Phi(x, y) = \mathfrak{B}(x, D(y)) = \mathfrak{B}(\delta(x), y)$. So, $D = \delta^*$. Moreover, $\Psi(x) = \mathfrak{B}(x \circ d, d) = \mathfrak{B}(d, d \circ x) = \Theta(x) = \mathfrak{B}(x, \alpha_0)$ and $\lambda = \mathfrak{B}(d \circ d, e) = \mathfrak{B}(d, d \circ e) = 0$. Then, $d \circ d = \alpha e + \alpha_0$. Since \mathcal{A} is a left Zinbiel superalgebra satisfying:

$$\mathcal{X} \circ (\mathcal{Y} \circ \mathcal{Z}) + (-1)^{|z|(|x|+|y|)}(\mathcal{Z} \circ \mathcal{X}) \circ \mathcal{Y} = 0, \text{ for all } \mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{A}_{|x|}, \mathcal{Y} \in \mathcal{A}_{|y|}, \mathcal{Z} \in \mathcal{A}_{|z|},$$

then a simple computation proves that (δ, D, α_0) is an admissible triple of \mathcal{H} ,

$$\delta(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq \text{Ann}(\mathcal{H}), \delta(\mathcal{H}^2) = \{0\}, \delta^2 = \delta \circ D = D \circ \delta = \{0\}, \alpha_0 \in \text{Ann}(\mathcal{H}) \cap \mathcal{H}_{\bar{0}} \text{ and } \mathfrak{B}(\alpha_0, \alpha_0) = 0.$$

Therefore, we can consider the quadratic Zinbiel superalgebra $\overline{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{V}^* \oplus \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{V}$, even double extension of $(\mathcal{H}, \cdot, \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{H}})$ by \mathcal{V} by means of (δ, D, α_0) .

It is clear that the map

$$\Gamma : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{A}}, \lambda e + x + \lambda' d \mapsto \lambda d^* + x + \lambda' d,$$

is an isomorphism of Zinbiel superalgebras. Then, \mathcal{A} is isomorphic to the even double extension of \mathcal{H} by \mathcal{V} . \square

In the second part of this section, we will present the notion of an odd double extension of quadratic Zinbiel superalgebras by the one-dimensional \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector space with even part zero.

Theorem 34. *Let $(\mathcal{A}, \cdot, \mathfrak{B})$ be a quadratic Zinbiel superalgebra, $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_{\bar{1}} = \mathbb{K}d$ be the one-dimensional \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector space with even part zero and (δ, D, α_0) be an admissible triple of \mathcal{A} such that*

$$\delta(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \text{Ann}(\mathcal{A}), \delta(\mathcal{A}^2) = \{0\}, \delta \circ D = D \circ \delta = \{0\}, \alpha_0 \in \text{Ann}(\mathcal{A}) \cap \mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}} \text{ and } \mathfrak{B}(\alpha_0, \alpha_0) = 0,$$

where $D \in (\text{End}(\mathcal{A}))_{\bar{1}}$ verifying $\mathfrak{B}(\delta(x), y) = (-1)^{|x|+|y|}\mathfrak{B}(x, D(y))$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}_{|x|} \times \mathcal{A}_{|y|}$. Then, the \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector space $\overline{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{N}^* \oplus \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{N}$ endowed with the following product:

$$\begin{aligned} d \circ d &= \alpha_0; & d \circ x &= \delta(x) - \mathfrak{B}(x, \alpha_0)d^*; \\ x \circ y &= xy - \mathfrak{B}(\delta(x), y)d^*; & x \circ d &= D(x) + \mathfrak{B}(x, \alpha_0)d^*, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{A}, \end{aligned}$$

is a symmetric Zinbiel superalgebra. Moreover, the even bilinear form $\overline{\mathfrak{B}} : \overline{\mathcal{A}} \times \overline{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$,

defined by $\overline{\mathfrak{B}}|_{\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}} = \mathfrak{B}$; $\overline{\mathfrak{B}}(d^*, d) = -\overline{\mathfrak{B}}(d, d^*) = 1$, is an associative scalar product on $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$.

The Zinbiel superalgebra $(\overline{\mathcal{A}}, \overline{\mathfrak{B}})$ is termed the odd double extension of \mathcal{A} by \mathcal{N} by means of the admissible triple (δ, D, α_0) .

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 32. □

The following theorem is the converse of Theorem 34.

Theorem 35. Let $(\mathcal{A}, \circ, \mathfrak{B})$ be a quadratic Zinbiel superalgebra of dimension (n, m) such that $m \geq 2$. If $\text{Ann}(\mathcal{A}) \cap \mathcal{A}_{\bar{1}} \neq \{0\}$, then \mathcal{A} is isomorphic to an odd double extension of a quadratic Zinbiel superalgebra $(\mathcal{H}, \cdot, \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{H}})$ of dimension $(n, m - 2)$ by the one-dimensional Lie superalgebra with even part zero.

Proof. Suppose that $\text{Ann}(\mathcal{A}) \cap \mathcal{A}_{\bar{1}} \neq \{0\}$, then there exists a non-zero element e of $\text{Ann}(\mathcal{A}) \cap \mathcal{A}_{\bar{1}}$. Denote by $\mathcal{J} = \mathbb{K}e$ and by \mathcal{J}^\perp the orthogonal of \mathcal{J} with respect to \mathfrak{B} . Then, $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{J}^\perp$. Since \mathfrak{B} is even and non-degenerate, then there exists $d \in \mathcal{A}_{\bar{1}} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\mathfrak{B}(e, d) = -\mathfrak{B}(d, e) = 1$. Let $\mathcal{N} = \mathbb{K}d$ and $\mathcal{H} = (\mathcal{J} \oplus \mathcal{N})^\perp$, then $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{J} \oplus \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{N}$ and $\mathcal{J}^\perp = \mathcal{J} \oplus \mathcal{H}$ is an ideal of \mathcal{A} . Let $x, y \in \mathcal{H}_{|x|} \times \mathcal{H}_{|y|}$, then $x \circ y = \Phi(x, y)e + xy$, where $\Phi : \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is an odd bilinear form and “ \cdot ” : $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is an even bilinear map. It is easy to show that (\mathcal{H}, \cdot) is a symmetric Zinbiel superalgebra and that the even bilinear form $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{H}} = \mathfrak{B}|_{\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}}$ is an associative scalar product on (\mathcal{H}, \cdot) . Therefore, the odd bilinear form Φ is an element of $(Z_{\text{SZinb}}^2(\mathcal{H}, \mathbb{K}))_{\bar{1}}$. Since $\mathcal{J}^\perp = \mathcal{J} \oplus \mathcal{H}$ is an ideal of \mathcal{A} , then

$$\begin{aligned} d \circ d &= \alpha_0, \text{ where } \alpha_0 \in \mathcal{H}_{\bar{0}}, \\ x \circ d &= \Psi(x)e + D(x), \text{ where } D \in (\text{End}(\mathcal{H}))_{\bar{1}}, \Psi \in (\mathcal{H}^*)_{\bar{0}}, \\ d \circ x &= \Theta(x)e + \delta(x), \text{ where } \delta \in (\text{End}(\mathcal{H}))_{\bar{1}}, \Theta \in (\mathcal{H}^*)_{\bar{0}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $x, y \in \mathcal{H}_{|x|} \times \mathcal{H}_{|y|}$. Since \mathfrak{B} is supersymmetric and associative, then $\mathfrak{B}(d, x \circ y) = \mathfrak{B}(d \circ x, y) = (-1)^{|x|+|y|} \mathfrak{B}(x, y \circ d)$, which implies that $\Phi(x, y) = -\mathfrak{B}(\delta(x), y) = -(-1)^{|x|+|y|} \mathfrak{B}(x, D(y))$. Furthermore, $\Psi(x) = \mathfrak{B}(x \circ d, d) = \mathfrak{B}(d, d \circ x) = -\Theta(x) = \mathfrak{B}(x, \alpha_0)$. Since \mathcal{A} is a left Zinbiel superalgebra satisfying:

$$\mathcal{X} \circ (\mathcal{Y} \circ \mathcal{Z}) + (-1)^{|z|(|x|+|y|)} (\mathcal{Z} \circ \mathcal{X}) \circ \mathcal{Y} = 0, \text{ for all } \mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{A}_{|x|}, \mathcal{Y} \in \mathcal{A}_{|y|}, \mathcal{Z} \in \mathcal{A}_{|z|},$$

then an easy calculation proves that (δ, D, α_0) is an admissible triple of \mathcal{H} ,

$$\delta(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq \text{Ann}(\mathcal{H}), \delta(\mathcal{H}^2) = \{0\}, \delta \circ D = D \circ \delta = \{0\}, \alpha_0 \in \text{Ann}(\mathcal{H}) \cap \mathcal{H}_{\bar{0}} \text{ and } \mathfrak{B}(\alpha_0, \alpha_0) = 0.$$

Consequently, we can consider the quadratic Zinbiel superalgebra $\overline{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{N}^* \oplus \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{N}$, odd double extension of $(\mathcal{H}, \cdot, \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{H}})$ by \mathcal{N} by means of (δ, D, α_0) .

Clearly, the map

$$\Gamma : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{A}}, \lambda e + x + \lambda' d \mapsto \lambda d^* + x + \lambda' d,$$

is an isomorphism of Zinbiel superalgebras. Then, \mathcal{A} is isomorphic to the odd double extension of \mathcal{H} by \mathcal{N} . □

References

- [1] Adashev J., Camacho L., Gomez-Vidal S., Karimjanov I., *Naturally graded Zinbiel algebras with nilindex $n - 3$* , Linear Algebra and its Applications, 443 (2014), 86–104.
- [2] Aguiar M., *Pre-Poisson algebras*, Letters in Mathematical Physics, 54 (2000), 263–277.
- [3] Albuquerque H., Benayadi S., *Quadratic Malcev superalgebras*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, 187 (2004), 1-3, 19–45.
- [4] Alvarez M., Castilho de Mello T., Kaygorodov I., *Central extensions of 3-dimensional Zinbiel algebras*, Ricerche di Matematica, 2021, DOI: 10.1007/s11587-021-00604-1
- [5] Benayadi S., Mhamdi F., Omri S., *Quadratic (resp. symmetric) Leibniz superalgebras*, Communications in Algebra, 49 (2021), 4, 1725–1750.
- [6] Bremner M., Dotsenko V., *Classification of regular parametrized one-relation operads*, Canadian Journal of Mathematics, 69 (2017), 5, 992–1035.
- [7] Calderón A., Fernández Ouaridi A., Kaygorodov I., *On the classification of bilinear maps with radical of a fixed codimension*, Linear and Multilinear Algebra, 2020, DOI: 10.1080/03081087.2020.1849001
- [8] Camacho L., Cañete E., Gómez-Vidal S., Omirov B., *p -filiform Zinbiel algebras*, Linear Algebra and its Applications, 438 (2013), 7, 2958–2972.
- [9] Camacho L., Karimjanov I., Kaygorodov I., Khudoyberdiyev A., *Central extensions of filiform Zinbiel algebras*, Linear and Multilinear Algebra, 2020, DOI: 10.1080/03081087.2020.1764903
- [10] Chapoton F., *Zinbiel algebras and multiple zeta values*, arXiv:2109.00241
- [11] Colmenarejo L., Diehl J., Sorea M., *A quadratic identity in the shuffle algebra and an alternative proof for de Bruijn’s formula*, European Journal of Combinatorics, 99 (2022), 103406.
- [12] Covez S., Farinati M., Lebed V., Manchon D., *Bialgebraic approach to rack cohomology*, arXiv:1905.02754
- [13] Diehl J., Ebrahimi-Fard K., Tapia N., *Time warping invariants of multidimensional time series*, Acta Applicandae Mathematicae, 170 (2020), 265–290.
- [14] Diehl J., Lyons T., Preis R., Reizenstein J., *Areas of areas generate the shuffle algebra*, arXiv:2002.02338
- [15] Dokas I., *Zinbiel algebras and commutative algebras with divided powers*, Glasgow Mathematical Journal, 52 (2010), 2, 303–313.
- [16] Dzhumadil'daev A., *Zinbiel algebras under q -commutators*, Journal of Mathematical Sciences (New York), 144 (2007), 2, 3909–3925.
- [17] Dzhumadil'daev A., Tulenbaev K., *Nilpotency of Zinbiel algebras*, Journal of Dynamical and Control Systems, 11 (2005), 2, 195–213.
- [18] Dzhumadil'daev A., Ismailov N., Mashurov F., *On the speciality of Tortkara algebras*, Journal of Algebra, 540 (2019), 1–19.
- [19] Gainov A., *Identical relations for binary Lie rings*, (Russian) Uspehi Mat. Nauk (N.S.) 12, (1957), 3, (75), 141–146.
- [20] Gainov A., *An independent system of identities for a variety of mono-Leibniz algebras*, Algebra and Logic, 49 (2010), 2, 115–119
- [21] Gao X., Guo L., Zhang Yi., *Commutative matching Rota-Baxter operators, shuffle products with decorations and matching Zinbiel algebras*, Journal of Algebra, 586 (2021), 402–432.
- [22] Ismailov N., Dzhumadil'daev A., *Binary Leibniz Algebras*, Mathematical Notes, 110 (2021), 3-4, 322–328.
- [23] Ismailov N., Mashurov F., Smadyarov N., *Varieties of mono and binary Zinbiel algebras*, Journal of Algebra and its Applications, 2022, to appear
- [24] Ikonikoff S., Pacaud Lemay J.-S., *Cartesian Differential Comonads and New Models of Cartesian Differential Categories*, arXiv:2108.04304
- [25] Kaygorodov I., Lopes S., Páez-Guillán P., *Non-associative central extensions of null-filiform associative algebras*, Journal of Algebra, 560 (2020), 1190–1210.
- [26] Kaygorodov I., Popov Yu., Pozhidaev A., Volkov Yu., *Degenerations of Zinbiel and nilpotent Leibniz algebras*, Linear and Multilinear Algebra, 66 (2018), 4, 704–716.
- [27] Kawski M., *Chronological algebras: combinatorics and control*, Journal of Mathematical Sciences (New York), 103 (2001), 6, 725–744.

- [28] Kolesnikov P., *Commutator algebras of pre-commutative algebras*, *Matematicheskii Zhurnal*, 16 (2016), 2, 56–70.
- [29] Loday J.-L., *Cup-product for Leibniz cohomology and dual Leibniz algebras*, *Mathematica Scandinavica*, 77 (1995), 2, 189–196.
- [30] Loday J.-L., *On the algebra of quasi-shuffles*, *Manuscripta Mathematica*, 123 (2007), 79–93.
- [31] Medina A., Revoy P., *Algèbre de Lie et produit scalaire invariant*, *Annales Scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure* (4), 18 (1985), 3, 553–561.
- [32] Mukherjee G., Saha R., *Cup-product for equivariant Leibniz cohomology and Zinbiel algebras*, *Algebra Colloquium*, 26 (2019), 2, 271–284.
- [33] Naurazbekova A., *On the structure of free dual Leibniz algebras*, *Eurasian Mathematical Journal*, 10 (2019), 3, 40–47.
- [34] Naurazbekova A., Umirbaev U., *Identities of dual Leibniz algebras*, *TWMS Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 1 (2010), 1, 86–91.
- [35] Towers D., *Zinbiel algebras are nilpotent*, [arXiv:2202.12026](https://arxiv.org/abs/2202.12026)