

Chandrasekhar-Kendall-Woltjer-Taylor state in a resistive plasma

Ze-Yu Zhai,^{1,2,*} Yang-Guang Yang,^{1,2,†} Xiao-Liang Xia,^{3,‡} and Qun Wang^{1,2,§}

¹*Interdisciplinary Center for Theoretical Study and Department of Modern Physics,
University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, China*

²*Peng Huanwu Center for Fundamental Theory, Hefei, Anhui 230026, China*

³*Department of Physics and Center for Field Theory and Particle Physics,
Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China*

Abstract

We give a criterion for the Chandrasekhar-Kendall-Woltjer-Taylor (CKWT) state in a resistive plasma. We find that the lowest momentum (longest wavelength) of the initial helicity amplitudes of magnetic fields are the key to the CKWT state which can be reached if one helicity is favored over the other. This indicates that the imbalance between two helicities at the lowest momentum or longest wavelength in the initial conditions is essential to the CKWT state. A few examples of initial conditions for helicity amplitudes are taken to support the above statement both analytically and numerically.

* zzymax@mail.ustc.edu.cn

† mathmuse@ustc.edu.cn

‡ xiaxl@fudan.edu.cn

§ qunwang@ustc.edu.cn

I. INTRODUCTION

Many observations indicate that a magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) plasma or a fluid can evolve into a special static state [1–9], in which a time-varying vector field is parallel to its curl,

$$\mathbf{F} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{F}) = 0. \quad (1)$$

This type of vector field is called the Beltrami field and was first studied by Beltrami [10]. In contrast, when the vector field is orthogonal to its curl,

$$\mathbf{F} \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{F}) = 0, \quad (2)$$

the field is called the complex lamellar field.

In the MHD plasma, the Beltrami field is just a force-free (magnetic) field that was first discussed by Lust [11] and Chandrasekhar [12] in the context of cosmology. Then Chandrasekhar and Woltjer [13, 14] gave the first analytical solution for such a force-free field. Such a state of the MHD plasma is later called Chandrasekhar-Kendall-Woltjer-Taylor (CKWT) state. The CKWT state satisfies the following equation for the magnetic field,

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \lambda(\mathbf{r})\mathbf{B}, \quad (3)$$

where $\lambda(\mathbf{r})$ is a space-varying coefficient. If $\lambda(\mathbf{r}) = \lambda$ is a space constant, we call the magnetic field is in a strong CKWT state. Otherwise, the magnetic field is in a general CKWT state.

Some natural questions arise: can the CKWT state be reached? Under what conditions can it be reached? Woltjer showed that the CKWT state has the minimum magnetic energy at a fixed magnetic helicity [14]. As an invariant of plasma motion, magnetic helicity is associated with the topological properties of the magnetic field lines and measures them with the net twisting and braiding numbers [15–18]. Later on, Taylor applied Woltjer’s idea to a plasma with small electrical resistance and found that Woltjer’s condition is valid [19, 20]. To provide a natural way to minimize the magnetic energy while keeping the magnetic helicity fixed, Taylor speculated that the magnetic relaxation is caused by small-scale turbulence [19–21]. However, both experimental and theoretical studies did not give conclusive evidence to support the hypothesis that the plasma relaxation should be dominated by short-wavelength properties [1–9, 22–29]. The idea that the fluctuations seem to have a global long-wavelength

structure is supported by extensive numerical simulations, which show that the relaxation is caused by the long-wavelength instability and nonlinear interaction.

To overcome the shortcoming of Taylor's theory, the relaxation theory was developed using an infinite set of other approximate invariants by different authors [30, 31]. Another study on how to reach the CKWT state in the resistive plasmas without Taylor's conjecture is proposed in Ref. [32]. Although the conditions in this work are not sufficient, the methods are useful and have been applied in subsequent studies. The authors of Ref. [33] investigated the helicity evolution of an expanding chiral plasma in magnetic fields with the chiral magnetic effect [34–36] based on an expansion of the fields in the vector spherical harmonics (VSH) [for recent reviews of the chiral magnetic effect and related topics, see, e.g. Refs. [37, 38]]. The VSH method was later applied to study the CKWT state in a chiral plasma with the chiral magnetic effect by some of us [39], and it is found that the chiral magnetic effect plays the role of seed to the realization of the CKWT state.

A natural question arises: can the CKWT state be reached without the chiral magnetic effect? In this paper, we are going to answer this question by using the VSH method and a set of inequalities about magnetic fields and vector potentials. We will propose a criterion for the CKWT state, with which we find that the lowest momentum in the initial helicity amplitudes of magnetic fields is the key to the CKWT state.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section II, we will introduce the basic knowledge about the CKWT state. In Section III, we will give the criterion for the CKWT state through observables. In Section IV, we will introduce the VSH method to calculate the time evolution of these observables. In Section V, we will study under which initial conditions the CKWT state can be reached. We will summarize the main results of this paper in the final section.

II. BASICS OF CKWT STATE

We start from Maxwell equations,

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{j}, \quad (4)$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}, \quad (5)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0, \quad (6)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = 0, \quad (7)$$

where \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{B} are the electric and magnetic field respectively. The current \mathbf{j} reads

$$\mathbf{j} = \sigma \mathbf{E}, \quad (8)$$

where σ is the electric conductivity. After taking a curl of Eq. (4), we obtain an evolution equation for the magnetic field,

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \mathbf{B} + \sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{B} = \nabla^2 \mathbf{B}. \quad (9)$$

In this paper we assume that σ is a constant. We also assume that terms of second-order time derivatives are much smaller than those of first-order one, which is valid for a slowly time-varying system. In this case, Eq. (9) is reduced to

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{B} = \eta \nabla^2 \mathbf{B}, \quad (10)$$

where $\eta = 1/\sigma$ is the electrical resistance.

The authors of Ref. [32] studied the general conditions for the CKWT state in a MHD plasma. It is helpful to introduce the following inner products

$$\begin{aligned} W &= \langle \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{B} \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{B}^2 d^3 \mathbf{x}, \\ Q &= \langle \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{A} \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{A}^2 d^3 \mathbf{x}, \\ H &= \langle \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} d^3 \mathbf{x}, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where W is the magnetic energy, H is the magnetic helicity, and Ω is the space volume. Using Eq. (10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dQ}{dt} &= -2\eta \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{B}^2 d^3\mathbf{x}, \\ \frac{dW}{dt} &= -2\eta \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{j}^2 d^3\mathbf{x}, \\ \frac{dH}{dt} &= -2\eta \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{j} \cdot \mathbf{B} d^3\mathbf{x}.\end{aligned}\tag{12}$$

After successively applying the Arithmetic Mean-Geometric Mean inequality and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, one can prove [32]

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}(WQ - H^2) &\leq 4\eta \left[\int_{\Omega} \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} d^3\mathbf{x} \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{j} \cdot \mathbf{B} d^3\mathbf{x} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sqrt{\int_{\Omega} \mathbf{A}^2 d^3\mathbf{x} \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{B}^2 d^3\mathbf{x} \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{j}^2 d^3\mathbf{x} \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{B}^2 d^3\mathbf{x}} \right] \\ &\leq 0.\end{aligned}\tag{13}$$

The Cauchy-Schwartz inequality also gives the following inequality

$$WQ \geq \left(\int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{A}| |\mathbf{B}| d^3\mathbf{x} \right)^2 \geq \left(\int_{\Omega} \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} d^3\mathbf{x} \right)^2 = H^2.\tag{14}$$

Inequalities (13) and (14) indicate that the quantity $WQ - H^2$ is always positive and decreases with time until the condition $\mathbf{B} = \lambda\mathbf{A}$ is reached, in which $WQ - H^2$ is vanishing [32].

III. OBSERVABLES FOR CKWT STATE

As shown in Eqs. (13) and (14), $WQ - H^2$ is always positive and decreases with time unless $\mathbf{B} = \lambda\mathbf{A}$ is reached. However, it is not sufficient to judge for the CKWT state only from a decreasing $WQ - H^2$, since it can decrease as the magnitudes of \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} decrease while keeping a fixed angle between them [40]. The sufficient condition for the CKWT state should be \mathbf{B} and \mathbf{A} are parallel. In this section, we propose to use the observable $WQ/H^2 - 1$ for the CKWT state provided $H \neq 0$ and it is non-negative with Cauchy-Schwartz inequality as we have shown in Section II. We will show in this section that the condition for the CKWT state should be

$$\frac{WQ}{H^2} - 1 = \tan^2(\theta) \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} 0,\tag{15}$$

where θ is an average angle between \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} defined through $\langle \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \rangle^2 = \langle \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{A} \rangle \langle \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{B} \rangle \cos^2(\theta)$.

From $QW - H^2 = QW \sin^2(\theta)$, we see that the sufficient condition for the CKWT state is $\theta = 0$ or π . It is more convenient to introduce the quantity

$$\tan^2 \theta \equiv \frac{QW - H^2}{H^2} = \frac{\langle \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{A} \rangle \langle \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{B} \rangle - \langle \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \rangle^2}{\langle \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \rangle^2}. \quad (16)$$

Assuming that $H \neq 0$, the time rate of $QW - H^2$ can be expressed as

$$\frac{d}{dt}(QW - H^2) = 2H^2 \tan^2 \theta(t) \left(\frac{d \ln |\tan \theta(t)|}{dt} + \frac{d \ln |H|}{dt} \right) \leq 0, \quad (17)$$

with two contributions: the angular one and helicity one. We can prove

$$\frac{d \ln |\tan \theta(t)|}{dt} < 0, \quad (18)$$

for $t \rightarrow \infty$ in order to approach the CKWT state, which means $\theta'(t) < 0$ for $\theta \in [0, \pi/2)$ and $\theta'(t) > 0$ for $\theta \in (\pi/2, \pi]$.

To prove that the necessary condition (18) is achievable, we look at a simple case of the helicity time evolution. The long time behaviors of Q and H lead to $d \ln |H|/dt \leq 0$ when $t \rightarrow \infty$. Then the condition (18) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{1}{QW} \frac{d(QW)}{dt} < \frac{1}{H^2} \frac{dH^2}{dt}. \quad (19)$$

We take a simple example of to illustrate the above condition. To obtain an upper bound of the left-hand side of the above inequality, we employ the Poincare inequality for the vector field \mathbf{f} in following form [41]

$$\int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f}^2 d^3 \mathbf{x} \leq q_{\Omega}^2 \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \times \mathbf{f})^2 d^3 \mathbf{x}, \quad (20)$$

where q_{Ω} is a Poincare constant associated with the space volume Ω . Then we obtain the upper bound as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{QW} \frac{d(QW)}{dt} &= -2\eta \left(\frac{\int_{\Omega} \mathbf{B}^2 d^3 \mathbf{x}}{\int_{\Omega} \mathbf{A}^2 d^3 \mathbf{x}} + \frac{\int_{\Omega} \mathbf{j}^2 d^3 \mathbf{x}}{\int_{\Omega} \mathbf{B}^2 d^3 \mathbf{x}} \right) \\ &\leq -2\eta(q_{\Omega}^{-2} + q_{\Omega}^{-2}) = -4\eta q_{\Omega}^{-2}. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Since helicity is a topological quantity of plasma evolution, here we postulate a tighter inequality than (19)

$$-4\eta q_{\Omega}^{-2} < \frac{1}{H^2} \frac{dH^2}{dt}, \quad (22)$$

which can lead to (19) and (18). So if the condition (22) is satisfied the angle between \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} decreases with time. Furthermore, if $\theta(t)$ decreases fast enough, the system will reach the CKWT state in a finite time. We still need to know the time limit of $\tan^2 \theta(t)$ in order to judge for the CKWT state, which we will study in the next section.

IV. METHODS

To study the criteria for CKWT states, we need to analyze the time evolution of WQ/H^2 , it is convenient to expand W , Q and H in (11) as well as their time rates in (12) on the VSH basis [42]. The VSH basis functions are the eigenfunctions of the curl operator in momentum space. They have been used to study the time evolution of the magnetic helicity and the CKWT state in chiral plasma [33, 39].

A. VSH expansion

We now expand \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} in terms of the VSH basis functions $\mathbf{W}_{lm}^s(\mathbf{x}, k)$ as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{B}(t, \mathbf{x}) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{l,m} \int_0^\infty dk k^2 [\alpha_{lm}^+(t, k) \mathbf{W}_{lm}^+(\mathbf{x}, k) + \alpha_{lm}^-(t, k) \mathbf{W}_{lm}^-(\mathbf{x}, k)], \\ \mathbf{A}(t, \mathbf{x}) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{l,m} \int_0^\infty dk k [\alpha_{lm}^+(t, k) \mathbf{W}_{lm}^+(\mathbf{x}, k) - \alpha_{lm}^-(t, k) \mathbf{W}_{lm}^-(\mathbf{x}, k)],\end{aligned}\quad (23)$$

where $\alpha_{lm}^\pm(t, k)$ denote the coefficients of the expansion, and $\mathbf{W}_{lm}^s(\mathbf{x}, k)$ (with $s = \pm$ being the helicity) denote the complete set of eigenfunctions (vectors) of the curl operator and are divergence-free

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla \times \mathbf{W}_{lm}^s(\mathbf{x}, k) &= sk \mathbf{W}_{lm}^s(\mathbf{x}, k), \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{W}_{lm}^s(\mathbf{x}, k) &= 0.\end{aligned}\quad (24)$$

In $\mathbf{W}_{lm}^s(\mathbf{x}, k)$, $l = 0, 1, \dots$ denotes the orbital angular momentum quantum number, $m = -l, -l + 1, \dots, l$ denotes the magnetic quantum number, and $k \equiv |\mathbf{k}|$ is the norm of the momentum. The orthogonormality relations read

$$\int d^3\mathbf{x} \mathbf{W}_{l_1 m_1}^{s_1}(\mathbf{x}, k) \cdot \mathbf{W}_{l_2 m_2}^{s_2}(\mathbf{x}, k') = \frac{\pi}{k^2} \delta(k - k') \delta_{l_1 l_2} \delta_{m_1 m_2} \delta_{s_1 s_2}.\quad (25)$$

To be specific, $\mathbf{W}_{lm}^s(\mathbf{x}, k)$ can be put into the form

$$\mathbf{W}_{lm}^s(\mathbf{x}, k) = \mathbf{T}_{lm}^s(\mathbf{x}, k) + \frac{s}{k} \nabla \times \mathbf{T}_{lm}^s(\mathbf{x}, k),\quad (26)$$

where $\mathbf{T}_{lm}^s(\mathbf{x}, k)$ are toroidal fields and can be expressed as a combination of spherical Bessel function $j_l(kr)$ and spherical harmonic functions $Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi)$.

B. Solving Maxwell equation

Inserting Eq. (23) into Eq. (10), we obtain the evolution equation of the coefficients as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \alpha_{lm}^{\pm}(t, k) = -\eta k^2 \alpha_{lm}^{\pm}(t, k), \quad (27)$$

where $\eta = 1/\sigma$. Once $\alpha_{lm}^{\pm}(t, k)$ are obtained by solving the above equation, the magnetic field $\mathbf{B}(t, \mathbf{x})$ as a function of time is then known. The solutions of $\alpha_{lm}^{\pm}(t, k)$ are

$$\alpha_{lm}^{\pm}(t, k) = e^{-\eta k^2 t} \alpha_{lm}^{\pm}(0, k), \quad (28)$$

where $\alpha_{lm}^{\pm}(0, k)$ are the values at the initial time $t = 0$. We need to calculate inner products of two fields as in Eq. (11). It is convenient to introduce positive-definite functions $g_{\pm}(t, k)$ for the positive and negative helicity,

$$g_{\pm}(t, k) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{lm} |\alpha_{lm}^{\pm}(t, k)|^2 = e^{-2\eta k^2 t} g_{\pm}(0, k), \quad (29)$$

where the initial values of $g_{\pm}(t, k)$ are $g_{\pm}(0, k) = (1/\pi) \sum_{lm} |\alpha_{lm}^{\pm}(0, k)|^2$. In terms of $g_{\pm}(t, k)$, W , Q and H in (11) can be put into the forms

$$\begin{aligned} W &= \int_0^{\infty} dk k^2 [g_+(t, k) + g_-(t, k)], \\ Q &= \int_0^{\infty} dk [g_+(t, k) + g_-(t, k)], \\ H &= \int_0^{\infty} dk k [g_+(t, k) - g_-(t, k)], \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

where we have used Eqs. (23-25). We see in the above equations that W and Q are invariant or symmetric under the interchange $g_+ \leftrightarrow g_-$, while H is anti-symmetric under the the interchange $g_+ \leftrightarrow g_-$.

V. APPROACH TO CKWT STATE

In this section, we will investigate under what conditions the CKWT state is achieved.

A. Special initial conditions

We can explicitly express WQ/H^2 in terms of $g_{\pm}(0, k)$ using Eq. (29),

$$\frac{WQ}{H^2} = \frac{\int_0^\infty dk_1 \int_0^\infty dk_2 e^{-2\eta t(k_1^2+k_2^2)} k_1^2 [g_+(0, k_1) + g_-(0, k_1)] [g_+(0, k_2) + g_-(0, k_2)]}{\int_0^\infty dk_1 \int_0^\infty dk_2 e^{-2\eta t(k_1^2+k_2^2)} k_1 k_2 [g_+(0, k_1) - g_-(0, k_1)] [g_+(0, k_2) - g_-(0, k_2)]}, \quad (31)$$

with its time derivative given by

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{WQ}{H^2} \right) = \frac{1}{H^3} (W'QH + WQ'H - 2H'WQ), \quad (32)$$

where we have used the notation $X' \equiv dX/dt$ with $X = W, Q, H$, and the numerator and denominator have the explicit forms

$$\begin{aligned} & W'QH + WQ'H - 2H'WQ \\ &= \int_0^\infty dk_1 \int_0^\infty dk_2 \int_0^\infty dk_3 e^{-2\eta t(k_1^2+k_2^2+k_3^2)} k_1^2 k_3 (k_1^2 + k_2^2 - 2k_3^2) \\ & \quad \times [g_+(0, k_1) + g_-(0, k_1)] [g_+(0, k_2) + g_-(0, k_2)] [g_+(0, k_3) - g_-(0, k_3)], \\ H^3 &= \int_0^\infty dk_1 \int_0^\infty dk_2 \int_0^\infty dk_3 e^{-2\eta t(k_1^2+k_2^2+k_3^2)} k_1 k_2 k_3 \\ & \quad \times [g_+(0, k_1) - g_-(0, k_1)] [g_+(0, k_2) - g_-(0, k_2)] [g_+(0, k_3) - g_-(0, k_3)]. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

In the following, we will look at the long-time behaviour of WQ/H^2 at $t \rightarrow \infty$ under some initial conditions. In the following analysis and calculation, we use a typical length of the magnetic field L to scale the physical quantities, and we substitute $t \rightarrow t/L$, $k \rightarrow kL$, $\eta \rightarrow \eta/L$ and $g_{\pm} \rightarrow g_{\pm}/L^2$, so all re-scaled quantities are dimensionless.

1. Delta functions

First of all, we consider an ideal case of initial functions $g_{\pm}(0, k)$ with two different discrete momentum values a and b

$$\begin{aligned} g_+(0, k) &= a\delta(k - a) + \frac{b}{2}\delta(k - b), \\ g_-(0, k) &= \frac{b}{2}\delta(k - b). \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

We see that the positive helicity part has two momentum values while the negative helicity part has only one value. It is easy to obtain

$$\frac{WQ}{H^2} - 1 = \frac{1}{a^4} \left[(a^3b + ab^3) e^{2(a^2-b^2)\eta t} + b^4 e^{4(a^2-b^2)\eta t} \right], \quad (35)$$

with the $t \rightarrow \infty$ limit

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{WQ}{H^2} - 1 \rightarrow \begin{cases} 0 & a < b \\ \infty & a > b \end{cases}. \quad (36)$$

We can see that only when $a < b$ the CKWT state can be achieved at $t \rightarrow \infty$. In this case, helicity is dominated by the low momentum mode. On the other hand, if the high momentum mode is dominant, the CKWT state cannot be reached. Nevertheless, since the delta function is not mathematically well-defined and should be replaced by more physical initial conditions, this simple case still provides a clue to more general conditions.

2. Two-band functions

As a more general case than delta-functions, we consider Heaviside step functions for $g_{\pm}(0, k)$ with two bands (the lower momentum band and higher momentum band),

$$g_+(0, k) = \begin{cases} d_1^+, & \text{for } k_{d1} \leq k < k_{d2} \\ d_2^+, & \text{for } k_{d2} \leq k < k_{d3} \end{cases}, \quad (37)$$

$$g_-(0, k) = \begin{cases} d_1^-, & \text{for } k_{d1} \leq k < k_{d2} \\ d_2^-, & \text{for } k_{d2} \leq k < k_{d3} \end{cases}, \quad (38)$$

where $k \geq 0$, $k_{d3} > k_{d2} > k_{d1} \geq 0$, $d_1^+ + d_1^- > 0$ and $d_i^{\pm} \geq 0$ for $i = 1, 2$. We can verify the following limit when t is sent to infinity,


$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{WQ}{H^2} - 1 \rightarrow \begin{cases} \frac{(d_1^+ + d_1^-)^2}{(d_1^+ - d_1^-)^2} - 1, & \text{for } k_{d1} > 0 \\ \frac{\pi (d_1^+ + d_1^-)^2}{2 (d_1^+ - d_1^-)^2} - 1, & \text{for } k_{d1} = 0 \end{cases}. \quad (39)$$

We see that such a limit is determined by the amplitudes of the lower momentum bands. The conditions for the CKWT state would be

$$\Delta \equiv \frac{(d_1^+ + d_1^-)^2}{(d_1^+ - d_1^-)^2} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } k_{d1} > 0 \\ 2/\pi, & \text{for } k_{d1} = 0 \end{cases}. \quad (40)$$

Because $d_1^{\pm} \geq 0$ and $d_1^+ + d_1^- > 0$, Δ must not be less than 1 or $\Delta \geq 1$, so Δ cannot be $2/\pi$ for the case $k_{d1} = 0$, which means that the CKWT state cannot be reached for $k_{d1} = 0$. For $k_{d1} > 0$, the CKWT state can be reached if and only if either of d_1^+ or d_1^- is vanishing. This observation can be verified by the numerical results in Fig. 1 for different sets of values of d_1^{\pm} and d_2^{\pm} .

Figure 1. Numerical results for $\tan^2(\theta)$ with two-band initial conditions for different set of amplitude values. The parameters are: $\eta = 0.1$, $k_{d1} = 0$ or 1 , $k_{d2} = 2$, $k_{d3} = 4$. A: $d_1^+ = 1$, $d_2^+ = 1/4$, $d_1^- = 0$, $d_2^- = 1/4$, B: $d_1^+ = 1/4$, $d_2^+ = 1$, $d_1^- = 0$, $d_2^- = 1/4$, C: $d_1^+ = 1/4$, $d_2^+ = 1$, $d_1^- = 1/4$, $d_2^- = 0$, D: $d_1^+ = 1$, $d_2^+ = 1/4$, $d_1^- = 1/4$, $d_2^- = 0$, E: $d_1^+ = 1$, $d_2^+ = 1/4$, $d_1^- = 1/3$, $d_2^- = 1/3$. (a) $k_{d1} = 1$. In case A and B, $\tan^2(\theta)$ tends to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$ indicating that the CKWT state can be reached, while $\tan^2(\theta)$ tends to infinity, $16/9$ and 3 as $t \rightarrow \infty$ in case C, D and E, respectively, which indicates that the CKWT state cannot be reached. (b) $k_{d1} = 0$. The CKWT state cannot be reached in all cases.



3. Multi-band functions

We now generalize two-steps functions to multi-steps functions,

$$g_+(0, k) = \begin{cases} d_1^+, & \text{for } k_{d1} \leq k < k_{d2} \\ d_2^+, & \text{for } k_{d2} \leq k < k_{d3} \\ \dots & \dots \\ d_n^+, & \text{for } k_{d(n)} \leq k < k_{d(n+1)} \end{cases}, \quad (41)$$


$$g_-(0, k) = \begin{cases} d_1^-, & \text{for } k_{d1} \leq k < k_{d2} \\ d_2^-, & \text{for } k_{d2} \leq k < k_{d3} \\ \dots & \dots \\ d_n^-, & \text{for } k_{d(n)} \leq k < k_{d(n+1)} \end{cases}, \quad (42)$$

where $k \geq 0$, $k_{d(n+1)} > k_{d(n)} > \dots > k_{d2} > k_{d1} \geq 0$, $d_1^+ + d_1^- > 0$ and $d_i^\pm \geq 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. The result is similar to the case of two-bands functions,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{WQ}{H^2} - 1 \rightarrow \begin{cases} \frac{(d_1^+ + d_1^-)^2}{(d_1^+ - d_1^-)^2} - 1, & \text{for } k_{d1} > 0 \\ \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{(d_1^+ + d_1^-)^2}{(d_1^+ - d_1^-)^2} - 1, & \text{for } k_{d1} = 0 \end{cases}. \quad (43)$$

We see that the limit for WQ/H^2 is also determined by the amplitudes of the lowest bands. Similar to the analysis in the previous subsection that the CKWT state can only be reached for $k_{d1} > 0$ under the condition that either of d_1^+ or d_1^- is vanishing. This observation can be verified by the numerical results in Fig. 2 for different sets of values of d_i^\pm for $i = 1, \dots, 4$.

Figure 2. Numerical results for $\tan^2(\theta)$ with multi-band initial conditions for different sets of amplitude values. The parameters are: $\eta = 0.1$, $k_{d1} = 0$ or 1 , $k_{d2} = 2$, $k_{d3} = 5$, $k_{d4} = 10$, $k_{d5} = 16$. Case A: $\{d_1^+, d_2^+, d_3^+, d_4^+\} = \{1, 1, 1, 0\}$, $\{d_1^-, d_2^-, d_3^-, d_4^-\} = \{0, 1/4, 0, 1\}$; Case B: $\{d_1^+, d_2^+, d_3^+, d_4^+\} = \{1/4, 2, 1, 0\}$, $\{d_1^-, d_2^-, d_3^-, d_4^-\} = \{0, 3, 0, 1/4\}$; Case C: $\{d_1^+, d_2^+, d_3^+, d_4^+\} = \{1/4, 1, 0, 1\}$, $\{d_1^-, d_2^-, d_3^-, d_4^-\} = \{1/4, 4, 0, 1\}$; Case D: $\{d_1^+, d_2^+, d_3^+, d_4^+\} = \{1, 2, 1, 0\}$, $\{d_1^-, d_2^-, d_3^-, d_4^-\} = \{1/4, 3, 1, 1\}$; Case E: $\{d_1^+, d_2^+, d_3^+, d_4^+\} = \{1, 1/4, 1, 0\}$, $\{d_1^-, d_2^-, d_3^-, d_4^-\} = \{1/3, 1/4, 0, 1\}$. (a) $k_{d1} = 1$. In case A and B, the CKWT state can be reached as $t \rightarrow \infty$. In other cases the CKWT state cannot be reached. (b) $k_{d1} = 0$. The CKWT state cannot be reached for all cases.



B. Analytic functions as initial conditions

Based on the previous discussion about step functions as initial conditions, it is natural to generalize it to the limit of infinitely small intervals, i.e. analytic functions. As discussed in the previous subsection, the starting point of the integral can make a difference in the

limit of WQ/H^2 . In this subsection, we will do the same thing by distinguishing two cases: $a > 0$ and $a = 0$ for the starting point of the integral range $[a, \infty)$.

1. *Integration range $[a, \infty)$ with $a > 0$*

The physical quantities in our consideration are all in the integrated form

$$X = \int_a^\infty dk k^n e^{-2\eta t k^2} f(k), \quad (44)$$

where the lower bound a of the integration range is a positive number, and $f(k)$ is an analytic function, meaning that the Taylor expansion is valid at any value k_0 in the range $[a, \infty)$,

$$f(k) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} (k - k_0)^n f^{(n)}(k_0). \quad (45)$$

For W , Q and H which we are considering in this paper, $f(k)$ can be either $\phi(k)$ or $\varphi(k)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(k) &= g_+(0, k) + g_-(0, k), \\ \varphi(k) &= g_+(0, k) - g_-(0, k). \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

So W , Q and H can be put into the forms,

$$\begin{aligned} W &= \int_a^\infty dk k^2 e^{-2\eta t k^2} \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \phi^{(n)}(a) (k - a)^n \right], \\ Q &= \int_a^\infty dk e^{-2\eta t k^2} \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \phi^{(n)}(a) (k - a)^n \right], \\ H &= \int_a^\infty dk k e^{-2\eta t k^2} \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \varphi^{(n)}(a) (k - a)^n \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

Then we obtain the long time limit

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{WQ}{H^2} - 1 \rightarrow \left[\frac{g_+^{(i)}(0, a) + g_-^{(i)}(0, a)}{g_+^{(i)}(0, a) - g_-^{(i)}(0, a)} \right]^2 - 1, \quad (48)$$

where one can explicitly define the derivative index i ($i \geq 0$) with the following two cases: (a) $i = \min(i_+, i_-)$ if $g_+(0, k)$ and $g_-(0, k)$ are all non-vanishing, where i_s is the index denote for a lowest order i_s -th derivative that makes $g_s^{(i_s)}(0, a)$ non-vanishing with $s = \pm$, respectively; (b) If one of $g_+(0, k)$ and $g_-(0, k)$ is vanishing, for example, $g_+(0, k) = 0$, then for a lowest order i -th derivative $g_-^{(i)}(0, a) \neq 0$. So is the case $g_-(0, k) = 0$.

We see that the CKWT can be reached at $t \rightarrow \infty$ if and only if either $g_+^{(i)}(0, a)$ or $g_-^{(i)}(0, a)$ is vanishing. The proof of the result (48) is given in Appendix A.

2. Integration range $[0, \infty)$

In this section we consider the integration range $[0, \infty)$, in which $g_+(0, k)$ and $g_-(0, k)$ are analytic functions and can be expanded in a Taylor expansion. In the following we use the shorthand notation $g_{\pm}(k)$ for $g_{\pm}(0, k)$.

At $k = 0$, the Taylor expansion of $\phi(k)$ and $\varphi(k)$ in Eq. (46) reads

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(k) &= \phi(0) + \phi'(0)k + \frac{1}{2}\phi''(0)k^2 + \dots, \\ \varphi(k) &= \varphi(0) + \varphi'(0)k + \frac{1}{2}\varphi''(0)k^2 + \dots\end{aligned}\quad (49)$$

By switching the order of the summation and the integration, one can calculate the integration of every term,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{n!} \int_0^\infty e^{-2\eta tk^2} \phi^{(n)}(0) k^{p+n} dk &\propto t^{-(1+p+n)/2}, \\ \frac{1}{n!} \int_0^\infty e^{-2\eta tk^2} \varphi^{(n)}(0) k^{1+n} dk &\propto t^{-(2+n)/2},\end{aligned}\quad (50)$$

where $p = 0, 2$ for Q, W respectively. One can get rid of the term $t^{-(1+p+n)/2}$ for $n > i$ when t goes to infinity, where i is the the derivative index denote for a lowest order i -th ($i \geq 0$) derivative that makes $\phi^{(i)}(0)$ non-vanishing.

Similarly, we obtains the final result

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{WQ}{H^2} - 1 \rightarrow \left[\frac{g_+^{(i)}(0) + g_-^{(i)}(0)}{g_+^{(i)}(0) - g_-^{(i)}(0)} \right]^2 \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3+i}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1+i}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2+i}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{2+i}{2}\right)} - 1. \quad (51)$$


One can prove that the first factor in the right-hand-side of Eq. (51) is always larger than or equal to 1, and it is 1 if and only if either $g_+^{(i)}(0) = 0$ or $g_-^{(i)}(0) = 0$. The second factor can be easily proved to be larger than 1. As a consequence, WQ/H^2 is always larger than 1 and will not reach 1 as $t \rightarrow \infty$, so the CKWT state cannot be reached in this case.

We see that the result for $a > 0$ cannot be simply extended to that for $a = 0$ by taking the limit $a \rightarrow 0$. The analytical result can be verified numerically as presented in Fig. 3.

C. Special non-analytic function

For non-analytic functions as initial conditions, it is difficult to reach a similar conclusion as in previous sections. We can only take an example and carry out our numerical

Figure 3. Numerical results for $\tan^2(\theta)$ for different continuous functions as initial conditions. The parameters are: $\eta = 1$, $g_{1+}(0, k) = \cos(k - d) + 1$, $g_{2+}(0, k) = e^{-(k-d)}$, $g_{3+}(0, k) = f(k)e^{-k}$, $g_{1-}(0, k) = (k-d)e^{-(k-d)}$, $g_{2-}(0, k) = |\sin(k-d)|$, $g_{3-}(0, k) = (k^3/10)e^{-k^2}$. (a) Case A: $g_{\pm}(0, k) = g_{1\pm}(0, k)$, $d = 0.1$; Case B: $g_{\pm}(0, k) = g_{2\pm}(0, k)$, $d = 0.1$; Case C: $g_{\pm}(0, k) = g_{1\pm}(0, k)$, $d = 0$; Case D: $g_{\pm}(0, k) = g_{2\pm}(0, k)$, $d = 0$. The integral range of them are all $[d, \infty)$. In case A and B, the CKWT state can be reached as $t \rightarrow \infty$. In other cases the CKWT state cannot be reached. (b) Case E: $g_{\pm}(0, k) = g_{3\pm}(0, k)$, $f(k) = 1$; Case F: $g_{\pm}(0, k) = g_{3\pm}(0, k)$, $f(k) = k$; Case G: $g_{\pm}(0, k) = g_{3\pm}(0, k)$, $f(k) = k^2/2$. The integral range of them are all $[0, \infty)$. The CKWT state cannot be reached for all cases of (b). The black dashed lines in figures show the analytical results for each curve with $l1(0) = 0$, $l2(0) = \Gamma(3/2)\Gamma(1/2)/(\Gamma(1)\Gamma(1)) - 1 \approx 0.571$, $l2(1) = \Gamma(2)\Gamma(1)/(\Gamma(3/2)\Gamma(3/2)) - 1 \approx 0.273$ and $l2(2) = \Gamma(5/2)\Gamma(3/2)/(\Gamma(2)\Gamma(2)) - 1 \approx 0.178$.



calculations. We consider the following function as the initial condition

$$g_+(0, k) = \begin{cases} e^{-1/k^2}, & k > 0 \\ 0, & k = 0 \end{cases}. \quad (52)$$

What is special for this function is that it has infinite order of derivatives at $k = 0$ which are vanishing. Thus $g_+(0, k)$ is non-analytic at $k = 0$ and cannot be expanded into a Taylor series because zero is the essential singularity in the complex domain. For convenience, we assume $g_-(0, k) = 0$ and the integration range is $[0, \infty)$, then we can calculate WQ/H^2 directly and find the long time limit with the second kind modified Bessel function $K_\nu(z)$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{WQ}{H^2} - 1 = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{e^{-4\sqrt{2\eta t}} \pi (1 + 2\sqrt{2\eta t})}{16\eta t [K_1(2\sqrt{2\eta t})]^2} - 1 \rightarrow 0. \quad (53)$$

We see that under this condition the CKWT state can be reached.

VI. CONCLUSION

We have studied how the Chandrasekhar-Kendall-Woltjer-Taylor (CKWT) state can be reached in the time evolution of a resistive plasma. We propose a criterion for the CKWT state as the destination of the time evolution, $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \tan^2(\theta) \rightarrow 0$, where θ is the average angle between the magnetic field and the vector potential. We find that the initial conditions for the helicity amplitudes of the magnetic field and the vector potential are essential to the CKWT state. Our analysis is based on an expansion in the vector spherical harmonics for magnetic fields and vector potentials.

The asymptotic form of $\tan^2(\theta)$ is dominated by the lowest momentum k_{\min} of the initial helicity amplitudes $g_{\pm}(0, k)$ as functions of the scalar momentum k . For those initial helicity amplitudes that can be expanded into a Taylor series, the CKWT state cannot be reached if $k_{\min} = 0$, while it can be reached for $k_{\min} > 0$ if and only if either $g_+^{(i)}(0, k_{\min}) = 0$ or $g_-^{(i)}(0, k_{\min}) = 0$ with the lowest i -th non-zero derivative ($i \geq 0$) explained in Section V. In other words, the CKWT state can be reached if one helicity is favored over the other at the lowest momentum in the initial helicity amplitudes of the magnetic field. This indicates that the imbalance between two helicities at the lowest momentum (longest wavelength) in the initial helicity amplitudes is the key factor for the CKWT state.

Acknowledgments. Z.Y.Z., Y.G.Y. and Q.W. are supported in part by National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) under Grant Nos. 12135011, 11890713 (a subgrant of 11890710) and 12047502, and by the Strategic Priority Research Program of Chinese Academy of Sciences under Grant No. XDB34030102.

-
- [1] D. C. Robinson, *Plasma Physics* **11**, 893 (1969).
 - [2] H. A. B. Bodin and A. A. Newton, *Nuclear Fusion* **20**, 1255 (1980).
 - [3] S. Ortolani and D. Schnack, *Magnetohydrodynamics of Plasma Relaxation* (World Scientific, 1993).
 - [4] J. S. Sarff, N. E. Lanier, S. C. Prager, and M. R. Stoneking, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **78**, 62 (1997).
 - [5] Y. Yagi, H. Sakakita, T. Shimada, K. Hayase, Y. Hirano, I. Hirota, S. Kiyama, H. Koguchi, Y. Maejima, T. Osakabe, Y. Sato, S. Sekine, and K. Sugisaki, *Plasma Physics and Controlled Fusion* **41**, 255 (1999).

- [6] J. Sarff, A. Almagri, J. Anderson, T. Biewer, A. Blair, M. Cengher, B. Chapman, P. Chattopadhyay, D. Craig, D. Den Hartog, *et al.*, Nuclear Fusion **43**, 1684 (2003).
- [7] W. X. Ding, D. L. Brower, D. Craig, B. H. Deng, G. Fiksel, V. Mirnov, S. C. Prager, J. S. Sarff, and V. Svidzinski, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 045002 (2004).
- [8] S. Prager, J. Adney, A. Almagri, J. Anderson, A. Blair, D. Brower, M. Cengher, B. Chapman, S. Choi, D. Craig, *et al.*, Nuclear Fusion **45**, S276 (2005).
- [9] R. Lorenzini, E. Martines, P. Piovesan, D. Terranova, P. Zanca, M. Zuin, A. Alfier, D. Bonfiglio, F. Bonomo, A. Canton, *et al.*, Nature Physics **5**, 570 (2009).
- [10] E. Beltrami, Il Nuovo Cimento **25**, 212 (1889).
- [11] R. Lüst and A. Schlüter, Zeitschrift für Astrophysik **34**, 263 (1954).
- [12] S. Chandrasekhar and P. C. Kendall, Astrophys. J. **126**, 457 (1957).
- [13] S. Chandrasekhar and L. Woltjer, Proceedings of the National Academy of Science **44**, 285 (1958).
- [14] L. Woltjer, Proceedings of the National Academy of Science **44**, 489 (1958).
- [15] D. R. Wells and J. Norwood, Journal of Plasma Physics **3**, 21–46 (1969).
- [16] H. K. Moffatt, *Magnetic Field Generation in Electrically Conducting Fluids*, Cambridge Monographs on Mechanics (Cambridge University Press, 1978).
- [17] M. Berger and G. Field, Journal of Fluid Mechanics **147**, 133–148 (1984).
- [18] V. Arnold and B. Khesin, *Topological Methods in Hydrodynamics*, Applied Mathematical Sciences (Springer, New York, 1999).
- [19] J. B. Taylor, Phys. Rev. Lett. **33**, 1139 (1974).
- [20] J. B. Taylor, Rev. Mod. Phys. **58**, 741 (1986).
- [21] D. Schnack, *Lectures in Magnetohydrodynamics: With an Appendix on Extended MHD*, Lecture Notes in Physics (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2009).
- [22] E. Caramana, R. Nebel, and D. Schnack, The Physics of Fluids **26**, 1305 (1983).
- [23] D. Schnack, E. Caramana, and R. Nebel, The Physics of Fluids **28**, 321 (1985).
- [24] H. Strauss, The Physics of Fluids **28**, 2786 (1985).
- [25] K. Kusano and T. Sato, Nuclear Fusion **27**, 821 (1987).
- [26] J. Holmes, B. Carreras, P. Diamond, and V. E. Lynch, The Physics of Fluids **31**, 1166 (1988).
- [27] Y. Ho and G. Craddock, Physics of Fluids B: Plasma Physics **3**, 721 (1991).
- [28] P. Diamond and M. Malkov, Physics of Plasmas **10**, 2322 (2003).

- [29] L. Marrelli, L. Frassinetti, P. Martin, D. Craig, and J. Sarff, *Physics of Plasmas* **12**, 030701 (2005).
- [30] A. Bhattacharjee, R. L. Dewar, and D. A. Monticello, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **45**, 347 (1980).
- [31] A. Bhattacharjee and R. L. Dewar, *The Physics of Fluids* **25**, 887 (1982).
- [32] H. Qin, W. Liu, H. Li, and J. Squire, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 235001 (2012).
- [33] Y. Hirono, D. Kharzeev, and Y. Yin, *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, 125031 (2015), arXiv:1509.07790 [hep-th].
- [34] A. Vilenkin, *Phys. Rev. D* **22**, 3080 (1980).
- [35] D. E. Kharzeev, L. D. McLerran, and H. J. Warringa, *Nucl. Phys. A* **803**, 227 (2008), arXiv:0711.0950 [hep-ph].
- [36] K. Fukushima, D. E. Kharzeev, and H. J. Warringa, *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 074033 (2008), arXiv:0808.3382 [hep-ph].
- [37] D. E. Kharzeev, K. Landsteiner, A. Schmitt, and H.-U. Yee, *Lect. Notes Phys.* **871**, 1 (2013), arXiv:1211.6245 [hep-ph].
- [38] D. E. Kharzeev, J. Liao, S. A. Voloshin, and G. Wang, *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.* **88**, 1 (2016), arXiv:1511.04050 [hep-ph].
- [39] X.-l. Xia, H. Qin, and Q. Wang, *Phys. Rev. D* **94**, 054042 (2016), arXiv:1607.01126 [nucl-th].
- [40] J.-h. Chen and H.-y. Fan, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 269501 (2013).
- [41] H. Poincaré, *American Journal of Mathematics* **12**, 211 (1890).
- [42] J. D. Jackson, *Classical electrodynamics*, 3rd ed. (Wiley, New York, 1999).

Appendix A: Proof of Eq. (48)

Using the asymptotic series of the incomplete gamma function $\Gamma(s, z)$ when $z \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_a^\infty k^p e^{-2k^2\eta t} dk &= \frac{1}{2}(2\eta t)^{-(p+1)/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{1+p}{2}, 2a^2\eta t\right) \\
 &\sim e^{-2a^2\eta t} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{a^{p-(2j-1)}}{(4\eta t)^j} \prod_{h=1}^{j-1} [p - (2h - 1)], \tag{A1}
 \end{aligned}$$

where the index j is called the integral approximation order. The integration of the i -th order term of Taylor expansion of $f(k)$ is evaluated as

$$\begin{aligned} X_i &= \int_a^\infty k^n \left[\frac{1}{i!} (k-a)^i f^{(i)}(a) \right] e^{-2k^2\eta t} dk \\ &= \frac{1}{i!} f^{(i)}(a) \sum_{m=0}^i C_i^m \left(\int_a^\infty k^{n+m} e^{-2k^2\eta t} dk \right) a^{i-m} (-1)^{i-m}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A2})$$

where $n = 0, 1, 2$ for Q, H, W respectively.

Now we consider the j -th order term in X_i with the expansion of $\int_a^\infty k^{n+m} e^{-2k^2\eta t} dk$ following Eq. (A1),

$$\begin{aligned} X_{ij} &= \frac{1}{i!} f^{(i)}(a) \sum_{m=0}^i C_i^m e^{-2a^2\eta t} \frac{a^{n+m-(2j-1)}}{(4\eta t)^j} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{h=1}^{j-1} [n+m-(2h-1)] a^{i-m} (-1)^{i-m} \\ &= \frac{1}{i!} f^{(i)}(a) e^{-2a^2\eta t} \frac{a^{n+i-(2j-1)}}{(4\eta t)^j} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{m=0}^i C_i^m (-1)^{i-m} \left(\prod_{h=1}^{j-1} [n+m-(2h-1)] \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{i!} f^{(i)}(a) e^{-2a^2\eta t} \frac{a^{n+i-(2j-1)}}{(4\eta t)^j} \sum_{m=0}^{j-1} i! S(m, i) q_m(j, n). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A3})$$

Here we have used the second kind Stirling number $S(m, i)$, with the function q being defined as

$$\prod_{h=1}^{j-1} [n+m-(2h-1)] = \sum_{i'=0}^{j-1} q_{i'}(j, n) m^{i'}. \quad (\text{A4})$$

Since $S(m, i) = 0$ for $m < i$, the lowest order term of $1/t$ among X_{ij} must require $j = i + 1$ with the lowest i -th non-zero derivative ($i \geq 0$), which is

$$f^{(i)}(a) e^{-2a^2\eta t} \frac{a^{n-i-1}}{(4\eta t)^{i+1}}. \quad (\text{A5})$$

And then as t goes to infinity, the leading term of WQ/H^2 becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{WQ}{H^2} &\sim \frac{\phi^{(i)}(a) e^{-2a^2\eta t} \frac{a^{1-i}}{(4\eta t)^{i+1}} \phi^{(i)}(a) e^{-2a^2\eta t} \frac{a^{-1-i}}{(4\eta t)^{i+1}}}{\varphi^{(i)}(a) e^{-2a^2\eta t} \frac{a^{-i}}{(4\eta t)^{i+1}} \varphi^{(i)}(a) e^{-2a^2\eta t} \frac{a^{-i}}{(4\eta t)^{i+1}}} \\ &= \left[\frac{\phi^{(i)}(a)}{\varphi^{(i)}(a)} \right]^2, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A6})$$

where $\phi^{(i)}(a)$ and $\varphi^{(i)}(a)$ are the same i -th order derivatives of $\phi(k)$ and $\varphi(k)$ defined in Eq. (46) at $k = a$.