

# Touching multifunctions on a Hilbert space

Stephen Simons \*

## Abstract

We introduce the concept of the *touching* of two multifunctions on a real Hilbert space, and deduce that certain multifunctions on the space have a unique fixed point. These results are applied to the theory of *generalized cycles* and *generalized gap vectors* for the composition of the projections onto a finite number of closed convex sets in a real Hilbert space.

**2020 Mathematics Subject Classification:** Primary 46C05; Secondary 46C07, 47H05, 47H10.

**Keywords:** Hilbert space, maximally monotone operator, Minty's theorem, subdifferential.

## 1 Introduction

The analysis in this paper was originally motivated by [1], in which the *geometry conjecture* (originally formulated in 1977, about the fixed point set of the composition of projections onto a finite number of nonempty closed convex subsets) was resolved. The initial part of [1] contained some technical Hilbert space results, and the later part of [1] discussed some special cases and contained results on numerical computation. [5] contained a streamlining of the Hilbert space results in [1]. The techniques introduced in [5] were used in [2] to obtain further results on (classical and phantom) cycles and gap vectors. This paper contains Hilbert space results that extend the main results in [2].

Here is a brief plan of this paper. The analysis in Section 2 is about multifunctions on a real Hilbert space, which we will denote by  $Y$ . In Definition 5, we define the concepts of *touching multifunctions*, and give results in Theorem 6 and Corollary 8 on certain multifunctions that touch every maximally monotone multifunction. Corollary 8 leads rapidly to Lemma 9 and Corollary 11. Lemma 9 is couched in terms of the *fixed points* of certain multifunctions on  $Y$ . Corollary 11 is a restatement of [2, Lemma 3.1] in the notation of this paper.

In Section 3,  $Y$  is a closed subspace of a Hilbert space  $X$ . Theorem 13 is a (not altogether immediate) consequence of Lemma 9. Theorem 13(b) is a restatement of [2, Theorem 4.10] in the notation of this paper and is generalized in Theorem 13(a).

---

\*Department of Mathematics, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080, U.S.A. Email: [stesim38@gmail.com](mailto:stesim38@gmail.com).

## 2 Very unmonotone multifunctions

If  $M$  is a multifunction, we write  $G(M)$  for the *graph* of  $M$ .

**Definition 1.** Let  $\mu > 0$  and  $Q: Y \rightrightarrows Y$ . We say that  $Q$  is  $\mu$ -*unmonotone* if

$$(y_1, q_1), (y_2, q_2) \in G(Q) \implies \langle y_1 - y_2, q_1 - q_2 \rangle + \mu \|(y_1 - y_2, q_1 - q_2)\|^2 \leq 0.$$

We write  $\mathcal{B}(Y)$  for the set of all *bounded linear operators* from  $Y$  into  $Y$ , and  $\mathbb{I}_Y \in \mathcal{B}(Y)$  for the *identity map* on  $Y$ .

**Lemma 2.** Let  $\mu > 0$  and  $Q: Y \rightrightarrows Y$  be  $\mu$ -*unmonotone*. Then  $-Q - \mu\mathbb{I}_Y$  is *monotone*.

*Proof.* For all  $(y_1, q_1), (y_2, q_2) \in G(Q)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle y_1 - y_2, (-q_1 - \mu y_1) - (-q_2 - \mu y_2) \rangle &= \langle y_1 - y_2, -(q_1 - q_2) - \mu(y_1 - y_2) \rangle \\ &= -\langle y_1 - y_2, q_1 - q_2 \rangle - \mu \|y_1 - y_2\|^2 \\ &\geq -\langle y_1 - y_2, q_1 - q_2 \rangle - \mu \|(y_1 - y_2, q_1 - q_2)\|^2 \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.  $\square$

Theorem 6 depends on two results from the theory of maximally monotone multifunctions, Fact 3 and Fact 4. Fact 3, follows from the *sum theorem*, [3, Corollary 24.4(i), p. 353].

If  $M$  is a multifunction, we write  $D(M)$  for the *domain* of  $M$ .

**Fact 3.** Let  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  be *maximally monotone multifunctions* on  $Y$  and  $D(M_1) = Y$ . Then  $M_1 + M_2$  is *maximally monotone*.

Fact 4, is *Minty's theorem*, [4], or [3, Theorem 21.1, pp. 311].

**Fact 4.** Let  $N$  be a *maximally monotone multifunction* on  $Y$  and  $\mu > 0$ . Then there exists  $y \in Y$  such that  $0 \in \mu y + Ny$ .

**Definition 5.** Let  $M, Q: Y \rightrightarrows Y$ . We say that  $M$  and  $Q$  *touch* if  $G(M) \cap G(Q)$  is a singleton in  $Y \times Y$ .

**Theorem 6.** Let  $\mu > 0$ ,  $Q: Y \rightrightarrows Y$  be  $\mu$ -*unmonotone* and  $D(Q) = Y$ . Suppose also that  $-Q - \mu\mathbb{I}_Y$  is *maximally monotone*. Then  $Q$  *touches every maximally monotone multifunction* on  $Y$ .

*Proof.* Let  $M: Y \rightrightarrows Y$  be *maximally monotone*. We start off by proving that  $G(M) \cap G(Q)$  contains *at most one* element of  $Y \times Y$ . To this end, let  $(y_1, q_1), (y_2, q_2) \in G(M) \cap G(Q)$ . Since  $(y_1, q_1), (y_2, q_2) \in G(M)$ ,

$$\langle y_1 - y_2, q_1 - q_2 \rangle \geq 0. \tag{1}$$

On the other hand, since  $(y_1, q_1), (y_2, q_2) \in G(Q)$ , from Definition 1 and (1),

$$\mu \|(y_1 - y_2, q_1 - q_2)\|^2 \leq -\langle y_1 - y_2, q_1 - q_2 \rangle \leq 0.$$

and so  $(y_1, q_1) = (y_2, q_2)$ . Thus  $G(M) \cap G(Q)$  contains at most one point.

On the other hand,  $M$  and  $-Q - \mu\mathbb{I}_Y$  are both maximally monotone and  $D(-Q - \mu\mathbb{I}_Y) = Y$ . From Fact 3,  $M - Q - \mu\mathbb{I}_Y$  is maximally monotone, and Fact 4 provides  $y \in Y$  such that  $0 \in \mu y + (M - Q - \mu\mathbb{I}_Y)y$ , that is to say,  $0 \in (M - Q)y$ . It follows easily from this that  $G(M) \cap G(Q) \neq \emptyset$ , which completes the proof of Theorem 6.  $\square$

We conclude this section with applications to bounded linear operators. Our analysis depends on the following result about monotone functions. See [3, Corollary 20.25, p. 298]

**Fact 7.** *Any continuous (single-valued) monotone function from  $Y$  into  $Y$  is maximally monotone.*

**Corollary 8.** *Let  $Q \in \mathcal{B}(Y)$ ,  $\lambda > 0$  and,*

$$\text{for all } y \in Y, \quad \langle y, Qy \rangle + \lambda \|y\|^2 \leq 0. \quad (2)$$

*Then  $Q$  touches every maximally monotone multifunction on  $Y$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mu := \lambda/(1 + \|Q\|^2)$ . Let  $(y_1, q_1), (y_2, q_2) \in G(Q)$ . Then  $q_1 = Qy_1$  and  $q_2 = Qy_2$ . Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle y_1 - y_2, q_1 - q_2 \rangle + \mu \|(y_1 - y_2, q_1 - q_2)\|^2 \\ &= \langle y_1 - y_2, Q(y_1 - y_2) \rangle + \mu \|(y_1 - y_2, Q(y_1 - y_2))\|^2 \\ &\leq \langle y_1 - y_2, Q(y_1 - y_2) \rangle + \mu(1 + \|Q\|^2) \|y_1 - y_2\|^2 \\ &= \langle y_1 - y_2, Q(y_1 - y_2) \rangle + \lambda \|y_1 - y_2\|^2 \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $Q$  is  $\mu$ -unmonotone. From Lemma 2 and Fact 7,  $-Q - \mu\mathbb{I}_Y$  is maximally monotone. The result now follows from Theorem 6.  $\square$

**Lemma 9.** *Let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(Y)$  be surjective and bijective,  $\lambda > 0$  and*

$$\text{for all } x \in Y, \quad \langle x, Tx \rangle + \lambda \|Tx\|^2 \leq 0. \quad (3)$$

*Then, whenever  $M$  is a maximally monotone multifunction on  $Y$ , the multifunction  $MT$  has a unique fixed point.*

*Proof.* From the open mapping theorem, there exists  $Q \in \mathcal{B}(Y)$  such that

$$\text{for all } y \in Y, \quad y = T(Qy) \quad \text{and} \quad y = Q(Ty). \quad (4)$$

Let  $M: Y \rightrightarrows Y$  be maximally monotone. If  $y \in Y$ , let  $x := Qy \in Y$ . From (4),  $y = T(Qy) = Tx$ . Thus, from (3),  $\langle y, Qy \rangle + \lambda \|y\|^2 = \langle Tx, x \rangle + \lambda \|Tx\|^2 \leq 0$ , and Corollary 8 implies that  $M$  and  $Q$  touch. Let  $G(M) \cap G(Q) = \{(d, e)\} \subset Y \times Y$ .

If  $y$  is a fixed point of  $MT$  then  $y \in M(Ty)$  and so  $(Ty, y) \in G(M)$ . From (4) again,  $(Ty, y) \in G(Q)$ , and so  $(Ty, y) = (d, e)$ , from which  $y = e$ . Thus  $e$  is the only possibility as a fixed point of  $MT$ .

On the other hand, since  $(d, e) \in G(M) \cap G(Q)$ ,  $e = Qd$  and  $e \in Md$ . From (4),  $d = T(Qd) = Te$ , and so  $e \in M(Te) = (MT)e$ . Thus  $e$  is, in fact, a fixed point of  $MT$ .  $\square$

The final result of this section, Corollary 11, is a restatement of [2, Lemma 3.1] which, in turn, generalizes [5, Lemma 16]. We will use Fact 10 below, see [3, Theorem 20.40, p. 304] and [3, Theorem 21.2, p. 312] for proofs.

If  $H$  is a real Hilbert space, we write  $\Gamma_0(H)$  for the set of all *proper, convex, lower semicontinuous* functions from  $H$  into  $]-\infty, \infty]$ .

**Fact 10.** *Let  $g \in \Gamma_0(Y)$ . Then the subdifferential of  $g$ ,  $\partial g$ , is maximally monotone.*

The three quantities  $X$ ,  $Y$  and  $Q$  used in Corollary 11 were defined in terms of three other quantities  $R$ ,  $M$  and  $S$  in [2, Section 2]. The statement of Corollary 11 shows that this is unnecessary. We also note that (5) uses an equality, while (2) uses an inequality, which suffices for Corollary 8.

We write  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  for the set of all bounded linear operators from  $X$  into  $Y$ .

**Corollary 11.** *Let  $Y$  be a closed subspace of a Hilbert space  $X$ ,  $Q \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ ,*

$$\text{for all } y \in Y, \quad \langle y, Qy \rangle + \frac{1}{2}\|y\|^2 = 0, \quad (5)$$

*$f \in \Gamma_0(X)$  and  $f^*|_Y \in \Gamma_0(Y)$ . Then there exists  $(d, e) \in Y \times Y$  such that*

$$G(\partial(f^*|_Y)) \cap G(Q) = \{(d, e)\}.$$

*Proof.* This follows from Fact 10, Corollary 8 and Definition 5, with the maximally monotone multifunction  $\partial(f^*|_Y)$ .  $\square$

**Remark 12.** In [2, Remark 3.6],  $e$  is called the *generalized cycle* of  $f$ , and  $d$  is called the *generalized gap vector* of  $f$ .

A comparison of the statements of Corollary 8 and Corollary 11 shows that  $X$  does not play a fundamental role in Corollary 11.

On the other hand,  $X$  *does* play a fundamental role in the results of the next section.

### 3 Hilbert subspaces

Theorem 13(b) is a restatement of [2, Theorem 4.10] which, in turn, generalizes [5, Lemma 16]. Theorem 13(b) follows easily from Theorem 13(a). We note that Theorem 13(a), does not require  $f$  to attain a minimum on  $X$ , whereas Theorem 13(b) does.

**Theorem 13.** *Let  $Y$  be a closed subspace of a Hilbert space  $X$ ,  $S \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ ,  $S|_Y \in \mathcal{B}(Y)$  be surjective and injective and,*

$$\text{for all } x \in X, \quad \langle x, Sx \rangle + \frac{1}{2}\|Sx\|^2 = 0. \quad (6)$$

*Let  $f \in \Gamma_0(X)$  and  $f^*|_Y \in \Gamma_0(Y)$ . Then it follows that the multifunction  $[\partial(f^*|_Y)] \circ S|_Y: Y \rightrightarrows Y$  has a unique fixed point,  $e$ , and*

$$x \in X \text{ and } Sx \in \partial f(x) \implies Sx = Se. \quad (7)$$

Furthermore:

(a) If  $x \in X$ ,  $f(x) \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $f(x) \leq (f^*|_Y)^*(e)$  then

$$Sx \in \partial f(x) \iff f^*(Sx) + \frac{1}{2}\|Sx\|^2 + f(x) = 0 \iff Sx = Se. \quad (8)$$

(b) If  $x \in X$  and  $f(x) = \min_X f$  then (8) holds.

*Proof.* From Fact 10,  $\partial(f^*|_Y)$  is maximally monotone, and so Lemma 9 (with  $\lambda := \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $T := S|_Y$  and  $M := \partial(f^*|_Y)$ ) implies that  $[\partial(f^*|_Y)] \circ S|_Y$  has a unique fixed point,  $e$ . Since  $e \in [\partial(f^*|_Y)](S|_Y e)$ ,  $f^*(Se) = f^*|_Y(Se) < \infty$ ,  $(f^*|_Y)^*(e) < \infty$  and,

$$\langle e, Se \rangle = f^*(Se) + (f^*|_Y)^*(e). \quad (9)$$

We now establish (7). To this end, suppose that  $x \in X$  and  $Sx \in \partial f(x)$ . Then  $f(x) < \infty$ ,  $f^*(Sx) < \infty$  and

$$\langle x, Sx \rangle = f(x) + f^*(Sx). \quad (10)$$

From the Fenchel–Young inequality:

$$-\langle x, Se \rangle \geq -f(x) - f^*(Se) \quad \text{and} \quad -\langle e, Sx \rangle \geq -(f^*|_Y)^*(e) - f^*(Sx). \quad (11)$$

Now  $\langle x - e, S(x - e) \rangle = \langle e, Se \rangle + \langle x, Sx \rangle - \langle x, Se \rangle - \langle e, Sx \rangle$ . Consequently, by adding (9)–(11), we see that  $\langle x - e, S(x - e) \rangle \geq 0$ . From (6),

$$-\frac{1}{2}\|Sx - Se\|^2 = -\frac{1}{2}\|S(x - e)\|^2 = \langle x - e, S(x - e) \rangle \geq 0.$$

Thus  $Sx = Se$ , which completes the proof of (7).

(a) Suppose that  $x \in X$ ,  $f(x) \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f(x) \leq (f^*|_Y)^*(e)$  and  $Sx = Se$ . Then, using (6) twice and (9),

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x, Sx \rangle &= -\frac{1}{2}\|Sx\|^2 = -\frac{1}{2}\|Se\|^2 = \langle e, Se \rangle = f^*(Sx) + (f^*|_Y)^*(e) \\ &\geq f^*(Sx) + f(x). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,  $Sx \in \partial f(x)$ , and (8) follows from (6) and (7).

(b) We first note that  $(f^*|_Y)^*(e) \geq \langle 0, e \rangle - (f^*|_Y)(0) = -f^*(0) = \inf_X f$ . So if  $x \in X$ , and  $f(x) = \min_X f$  then (8) follows from (a).  $\square$

**Remark 14.** The significance of (6) is not only that it makes Theorem 13 possible. Since  $\langle x, Sx \rangle + \frac{1}{2}\|Sx\|^2 = 0 \iff \|x\|^2 + 2\langle x, Sx \rangle + \|Sx\|^2 = \|x\|^2$  and  $\|x\|^2 + 2\langle x, Sx \rangle + \|Sx\|^2 = \|Sx + x\|^2$ , (6) is equivalent to the statement that the linear map  $S + \mathbb{I}_X$  is an *isometry*. Historically, this is backwards: in [5] and [2], the parameters of the *geometry conjecture* provided us with an isometry  $R$ , and  $S$  was *defined* by  $S := R - \mathbb{I}_X$ .

## References

- [1] Salihah Alwadani, Heinz H. Bauschke, Julian P. Revalski and Xianfu Wang, *The difference vectors for convex sets and a resolution of the geometry conjecture*, Open Journal of Mathematical Optimization, Volume 2 (2021), Article 5 (18 pages). DOI: 10.5802/ojmo.7
- [2] Heinz H. Bauschke and Xianfu Wang, *Roots of the identity operator and proximal mappings: (classical and phantom) cycles and gap vectors*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2201.05189v2>, posted 22 Feb, 2022.
- [3] Heinz H. Bauschke and Patrick L. Combettes, *Convex analysis and monotone operator theory in Hilbert spaces*. CMS Books in Mathematics/Ouvrages de Mathématiques de la SMC. Springer: New York, Dordrecht, Heidelberg, London.
- [4] George J. Minty, *Monotone (nonlinear) operators in Hilbert space*, Duke Math. J. 29 (1962), 341–346.
- [5] Stephen Simons, *mth roots of the identity operator and the geometry conjecture*, to appear in Proceedings of the AMS. Preprint version available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2112.09805v1>, posted 17 Dec, 2021.