

Spectral form factor in the Hadamard-Gutzwiller model: orbit pairs contributing in the third order

HUYNH M. HIEN

Department of Mathematics and Statistics,
Quy Nhon University,
170 An Duong Vuong, Quy Nhon, Vietnam
e-mail: huynhminhhien@qnu.edu.vn

Abstract

In this paper we consider orbit pairs contributing in the third order of the spectral form factor in the Hadamard-Gutzwiller model. We prove that periodic orbits including two 2-encounters in certain structures have partner orbits. The action differences are estimated at $\ln(1 + u_1 s_1)(1 + u_2 s_2)$ with explicit error bounds, where (u_1, s_1) and (u_2, s_2) are the coordinates of the piercing points. A new symbolic dynamics for orbit pairs via conjugacy classes is also provided.

Keywords. Hadamard-Gutzwiller model, Spectral form factor, Third order, Orbit pair, 2-encounter.

1 Introduction

In quantum chaos, there is considerable interest in understanding statistics associated to periodic orbits since these are related to eigenvalue statistics through trace formulae. Special attention has been given to the *spectral form*

factor, which is expressed by a double sum over periodic orbits

$$K(\tau) = \left\langle \frac{1}{T_H} \sum_{\gamma, \gamma'} A_\gamma A_{\gamma'}^* e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(S_\gamma - S_{\gamma'})} \delta\left(\tau T_H - \frac{T_\gamma + T_{\gamma'}}{2}\right) \right\rangle, \quad (1.1)$$

where $\langle \cdot \rangle$ abbreviates the average over the energy and over a small time window, T_H denotes the Heisenberg time and A_γ , S_γ , and T_γ are the amplitude, the action, and the period of the orbit γ , respectively.

The diagonal approximation $\gamma = \gamma'$ to (1.1) studied by Hannay/Ozorio de Almeida [9] and Berry [2] in the 1980's contributes to the first order term 2τ ; see also [15]. The efforts of researchers have been to understand higher order effects. To the next orders, as $\hbar \rightarrow 0$, the main term from (1.1) arises owing to those orbit pairs $\gamma \neq \gamma'$ for which the action difference $S_\gamma - S_{\gamma'}$ is 'small'. In 2001, an influential heuristic work of Sieber and Richter [22] who predicted that a given periodic orbit with a small-angle self-crossing in configuration space will admit a partner orbit with almost the same action. The original orbit and its partner are then called a Sieber-Richter pair. In phase space, a Sieber-Richter pair contains a region where two stretches of each orbit are almost mutually time-reversed and one addresses this region as a *2-encounter* or, more strictly, a *2-antiparallel encounter*; the '2' stands for two orbit stretches which are close in configuration space, and 'antiparallel' means that the two stretches have opposite directions. It was shown in [22] that Sieber-Richter pairs contribute to the spectral form factor (1.1) the second order term $-2\tau^2$, and it turned out that the result agreed with what is obtained using random matrix theory [5], for certain symmetry classes. The work by Sieber and Richter has led to the important and difficult problem of understanding this phenomenon in more detail and more rigorously in particular classes of systems. Until 2012, Gutkin and Osipov [7] analysed Sieber-Richter pairs for the Baker map, which admits very transparent symbolic dynamics, in a combinatorial way.

Most contribution in this subject matter is Müller et al. In a series of works [11, 17–19], the authors provided an expansion to all orders in τ

$$K(\tau) = 2\tau - \tau \ln(1 + 2\tau) = 2\tau - 2\tau^2 + 2\tau^3 + \dots$$

for the symmetry class relevant for time-reversal invariant systems, by including the higher-order encounters also; see also [8, 16]. It was shown in [11]

that there are five families of pairs of orbits responsible for the third order τ^3 , namely three families of orbit pairs differing in two 2-encounters and two families of orbit pairs differing in one single 3-encounter. Periodic orbits with encounters have partners obtained by reconnections stretches inside encounter area owing to the hyperbolicity. However, the existence of partner orbits and estimates of the action differences are still missing.

To establish a more detailed mathematical understanding, it is necessary to consider the classical side and try to prove the existence of partner orbits and derive good estimates for the action differences of the orbit pairs. For 2-antiparallel encounters this was done in [12, 14], where the authors considered the geodesic flow on compact factors of the hyperbolic plane; in this case the action of a periodic orbit is half of its length/period. It was shown in [12] that a T -periodic orbit of the geodesic flow crossing itself in configuration space at a time T_1 has a unique partner orbit that remains $9|\sin(\phi/2)|$ -close to the original one and the action difference between them is approximately equal $\ln(1 - (1 + e^{-T_1})(1 + e^{-(T-T_1)}) \sin^2(\phi/2))$ with the error bound $12 \sin^2(\phi/2)e^{-T}$, where ϕ is the crossing angle, and this proved the accuracy of Sieber/Richter's prediction in [22] mentioned above. For higher-order encounters, Huynh [13] shows that there exist $(L - 1)! - 1$ partner orbits for a given periodic orbit with an L -parallel encounter such that any two piercing points are not too close and provided estimates for the action differences.

In the present paper we continue considering the geodesic flow on compact factor of the hyperbolic plane, which is a compact Riemann surface of constant curvature of genus at least two. In the physics community this system is often called the Hadamard-Gutzwiller model, and it has frequently been studied [4, 11, 21]; further related work includes [8, 19, 23]. We prove the existence of the partner orbit which differs in both encounters for a given periodic orbit including two 2-encounters with piercing points having coordinates $(u_1, s_1), (u_1, u_2)$ in certain distributions. The action differences of orbit pairs of all cases are estimated at $\ln(1 + u_1 s_1)(1 + u_2 s_2)$ with explicit error bounds. This paper also provides a new symbolic dynamics for orbit pairs via conjugacy classes.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall background

and materials, including Poincaré sections, the Anosov and closing lemmas, conjugacy classes and rigorous definitions of encounters, partners in the Hadamard-Gutzwiller model. Section 3 considers periodic orbits with one single 2-antiparallel encounter. In the last section we consider periodic orbits with two 2-antiparallel encounters serial, with two 2-parallel encounter intertwined, and with one 2-parallel encounter and one 2-antiparallel encounter intertwined. In each case, we prove the existence of partner orbits, estimate the action differences as well as provide symbolic dynamics for orbit pairs.

2 The Hadamard-Gutzwiller model

The Hadamard-Gutzwiller model is the geodesic flow on compact Riemann surfaces of constant negative curvature. It is well-known that any compact orientable surface with constant negative curvature is isometric to a factor $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^2$, where $\mathbb{H}^2 = \{z = x + iy \in \mathbb{C} : y > 0\}$ is the hyperbolic plane endowed with the hyperbolic metric $ds^2 = \frac{dx^2 + dy^2}{y^2}$ and Γ is a discrete subgroup of the projective Lie group $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R}) = \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}) / \{\pm E_2\}$. The hyperbolic plane has constant Gaussian curvature -1 . The group $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ acts transitively on \mathbb{H}^2 by Möbius transformations $z \mapsto \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$. If the action has no fixed points, then the factor $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^2$ has a Riemann surface structure. Such a surface is a closed Riemann surface of genus at least 2 and has the hyperbolic plane \mathbb{H}^2 as the universal covering; so the natural projection $\pi_\Gamma : \mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^2$, $\pi_\Gamma(z) = \Gamma z$, $z \in \mathbb{H}^2$ becomes a local isometry. This implies that $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^2$ also has constant curvature -1 . The geodesic flow $(\varphi_t^{\mathcal{X}})_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ on the unit tangent bundle $\mathcal{X} = T^1(\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^2)$ goes along the unit speed geodesics on $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^2$. On the other hand, the unit tangent bundle $T^1(\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^2)$ is isometric to the quotient space $\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R}) = \{\Gamma g, g \in \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})\}$, which is the system of right co-sets of Γ in $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$, by an isometry Ξ . Then the geodesic flow $(\varphi_t^{\mathcal{X}})_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ can be equivalently expressed as the natural “quotient flow” $\varphi_t(\Gamma g) = \Gamma ga_t$ on $X = \Gamma \backslash \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ associated to the flow $\varphi_t^{\mathbb{G}}(g) = ga_t$ on $\mathbb{G} := \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ by the conjugate relation

$$\varphi_t^{\mathcal{X}} = \Xi^{-1} \circ \varphi_t \circ \Xi \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Here $a_t \in \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ denotes the equivalence class obtained from the matrix $A_t = \begin{pmatrix} e^{t/2} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-t/2} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$.

There are some more advantages to work on $X = \Gamma \backslash \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ rather than on $\mathcal{X} = T^1(\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^2)$. One can calculate explicitly the stable and unstable manifolds at a point $x = \Gamma g \in X$ to be

$$W_X^s(x) = \{\Gamma g b_s, s \in \mathbb{R}\} \quad \text{and} \quad W_X^u(x) = \{\Gamma g c_u, u \in \mathbb{R}\},$$

where $b_s = \{\pm B_s\}$, $c_u = \{\pm C_u\} \in \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ denote the equivalence classes obtained from $B_s = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & s \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $C_u = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ u & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$. If the space X is compact, then the flow $(\varphi_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is a hyperbolic flow.

There is a natural Riemannian metric on $\mathbf{G} = \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ such that the induced metric function $d_{\mathbf{G}}$ is left-invariant under \mathbf{G} . We define a metric function d_X on $X = \Gamma \backslash \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ by

$$d_X(x_1, x_2) = \inf_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma} d_{\mathbf{G}}(\gamma_1 g_1, \gamma_2 g_2) = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} d_{\mathbf{G}}(g_1, \gamma g_2),$$

where $x_1 = \Gamma g_1$, $x_2 = \Gamma g_2$.

General references for this section are [1, 6], and these works may be consulted for the proofs to all results which are stated above

2.1 Poincaré sections

It is well-known that the Riemann surface $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^2$ is compact if and only if the quotient space $X = \Gamma \backslash \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ is compact.

First we recall the definitions of Poincaré sections in [12, 13].

Definition 2.1. Let $x \in X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. The *Poincaré sections* of radius ε at x are defined by

$$\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon(x) = \{\Gamma g c_u b_s : |u| < \varepsilon, |s| < \varepsilon\},$$

and

$$\mathcal{P}'_\varepsilon(x) = \{\Gamma g b_s c_u : |s| < \varepsilon, |u| < \varepsilon\},$$

where $g \in \mathbf{G}$ is such that $x = \Gamma g$.

If $z = \Gamma g c_u b_s \in \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon(x)$ (resp. $z = \Gamma g b_s c_u \in \mathcal{P}'_\varepsilon(x)$), we write $z = (u, s)_x$ (resp. $z = (s, u)'_x$). Note that the couple (u, s) are not unique. As we will see below, if X is compact and ε is sufficiently small, then the uniqueness of couple (u, s) is obtained.

Lemma 2.1. *If the space $X = \Gamma \backslash \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ is compact, then there exists $\sigma_0 > 0$ such that*

$$d_G(\gamma g, g) > \sigma_0 \quad \text{for all } \gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \{e\}. \quad (2.1)$$

See [20, Lemma 1, p. 237] for a similar result on $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^2$.

Lemma 2.2 ([13]). *If the space $X = \Gamma \backslash \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ is compact and $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{\sigma_0}{4})$, then for each $z \in \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon(x)$ there exist a unique couple $(u_z, s_z) \in (0, \varepsilon)^2$ such that $z = \Gamma g c_{u_z} b_{s_z}$, where $g \in \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ is such that $x = \Gamma g$, and we call (u_z, s_z) the coordinates of z .*

2.2 Conjugacy classes

Let Γ be a discrete subgroup of $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$.

Definition 2.2. (a) An element $\gamma \in \Gamma$ is called *primitive* if $\gamma = \zeta^m$ for some $\zeta \in \Gamma$ implies that $m = 1$ or $m = -1$.

(b) The *conjugacy class* of $\gamma \in \Gamma$ is defined by

$$\{\gamma\}_\Gamma = \{\sigma \gamma \sigma^{-1} : \sigma \in \Gamma\}.$$

The collection of all conjugacy classes of primitive elements in $\Gamma \setminus \{e\}$ are denoted by \mathfrak{C}_Γ ; here $e = [E_2]$ denotes the unity of $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$.

For $g = [G] \in \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$, $G = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$, the trace of g is defined by $\mathrm{tr}(g) = |a + d|$. If the action of Γ on \mathbb{H}^2 is free and the factor $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^2$ is compact then all elements $g \in \Gamma \setminus \{e\}$ are hyperbolic [20, Theorem 6.6.6], i.e. $\mathrm{tr}(g) > 2$.

Denote by \mathcal{PO}_X the set of all periodic orbits of the flow $(\varphi_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$. We define a mapping

$$\varsigma : \mathcal{PO}_X \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_\Gamma \quad (2.2)$$

as follows. Take a periodic orbit c of the flow, any point x on c , and let $T > 0$ be the prime period for x . Then $\varphi_T(x) = x$, and the definition of the flow implies that there are $g \in \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $x = \Pi_\Gamma(g)$ and $\gamma = ga_Tg^{-1}$, due to $\Gamma ga_T = xa_T = \varphi_T(x) = x = \Gamma g$; note that $\gamma \neq e$, since otherwise $a_T = e$ so that $T = 0$. Then put $\zeta(c) = \{\gamma\}_\Gamma$.

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose that all elements in $\Gamma \setminus \{e\}$ are hyperbolic. Then the mapping ζ defined by (2.2) is a bijection between the periodic orbits \mathcal{PO}_X of the flow $(\varphi_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ and the collection of all conjugacy classes of primitive elements \mathfrak{C}_Γ in $\Gamma \setminus \{e\}$.*

2.3 Anosov closing lemma, connecting lemma

The next two results are illustrated in Figure 1 (a). For proofs, see [12, 13].

Lemma 2.4 (Anosov closing lemma I). *Suppose that $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{4})$, $x \in X$, $T \geq 1$, and $\varphi_T(x) \in \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon(x)$. If $\varphi_T(x) = (u, s)_x \in \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon(x)$, in the notation from Definition 2.1, then there are $x' = (\sigma, \eta)_x \in \mathcal{P}_{2\varepsilon}(x)$ and $T' \in \mathbb{R}$ so that*

$$\varphi_{T'}(x') = x' \quad \text{and} \quad d_X(\varphi_t(x), \varphi_t(x')) < 2|u| + |\eta| < 4\varepsilon \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T].$$

Furthermore,

$$\left| \frac{T' - T}{2} - \ln(1 + us) \right| < 5|us|e^{-T}$$

and

$$|\sigma| < 2|u|e^{-T}, \quad |\eta| < \frac{3|s|}{2}.$$

Remark 2.1. According to the proof of the Anosov closing lemma I in [12, Theorem 2.3], $x = \Gamma g, g \in \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ and $\zeta \in \Gamma$ is such that $ga_T = \zeta gc_u b_s$ then $gc_\sigma b_\eta a_{T'} = \zeta gc_\sigma b_\eta$. This yields that the periodic orbit of $x' = \Gamma gc_\sigma b_\eta$ corresponds to the conjugacy class $\{\zeta\}_\Gamma$, provided that all elements in $\Gamma \setminus \{e\}$ are hyperbolic.

Using the other version of Poincaré sections, we have a respective statement for the Anosov closing lemma which will be also useful afterwards.

Lemma 2.5 (Anosov closing lemma II). *Suppose that $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{4})$, $x \in X$, $T \geq 1$, and $\varphi_T(x) \in \mathcal{P}'_\varepsilon(x)$. If $\varphi_T(x) = (s, u)'_x \in \mathcal{P}'_\varepsilon(x)$, in the notation from Definition 2.1, then there are $x' = (\eta, \sigma)'_x \in \mathcal{P}'_{2\varepsilon}(x)$ and $T' \in \mathbb{R}$ so that*

$$\varphi_{T'}(x) = x \quad \text{and} \quad d_X(\varphi_t(x), \varphi_t(x')) \leq 2|u| + |\eta| < 4\varepsilon \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T].$$

Furthermore,

$$\left| \frac{T' - T}{2} \right| < 4|us|e^{-T}$$

and

$$|\sigma| < 2|u|e^{-T}, \quad |\eta| \leq \frac{3|s|}{2}.$$

Lemma 2.6 (Connecting lemma). *Let $x_j \in X$ be T_j -periodic point of the flow $(\varphi_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ for $j = 1, 2$ and $T_1 + T_2 \geq 1$ and let $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{4})$. If $x_2 = (u_1, s_1)_{x_1} \in \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon(x_1)$, then there are $x \in X$ and $T > 0$ such that $\varphi_T(x) = x$,*

$$d_X(\varphi_t(x), \varphi_t(x_1)) < 5\varepsilon \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T_1], \quad (2.3)$$

$$d_X(\varphi_{t+T_1}(x), \varphi_t(x_2)) < 5\varepsilon \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T_2], \quad (2.4)$$

and

$$\left| \frac{T - (T_1 + T_2)}{2} - \ln(1 + us) \right| < 7|us|(e^{-T_1} + e^{-T_2}). \quad (2.5)$$

Furthermore, if $x_i = \Gamma g_i$ for some $g_i \in \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$, then $x = \Gamma g_1 c_{ue^{-T_1 + \sigma}} b_\eta$, where $\sigma, \eta \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfy

$$|\sigma| < 2|u|e^{-T_1 - T_2}, \quad |\eta| < \frac{3|s|}{2} \quad (2.6)$$

and the orbit of x corresponds to the conjugacy class $\{\gamma_1 \gamma_2\}_\Gamma$, where $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$ such that $g_1 a_{T_1} = \gamma_1 g_1, g_2 a_{T_2} = \gamma_2 g_2$, provided that all elements in $\Gamma \setminus \{e\}$ are hyperbolic.

See Figure 1 (b) for an illustration.

Proof. For the existence of a periodic point x and (2.3)-(2.6), see [13, Theorem 2.6]. For the last assertion, we can choose $g_1, g_2 \in \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ such that $x = \Gamma g_1, y = \Gamma g_2$ and $g_2 = g_1 c_u b_s$. According to the proof of the previous

lemma in [13, Theorem 2.6], if $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$ such that $g_1 a_{T_1} = \gamma_1 g_1, g_2 a_{T_2} = \gamma_2 g_2$ then $g_1 c_{ue^{-T_1+\sigma}} b_\eta a_T = \gamma g_1 c_{ue^{-T_1+\sigma}} b_\eta$ with

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma &= g_2 b_{-s} a_{-T_1} a_{T_1+T_2} b_{-s(1-e^{-T_2})} c_{-u(1-e^{-T_1})} a_{T_1} c_{-u} g_1^{-1} \\
&= g_2 a_{T_2} b_{-se^{-T_2}} b_{-s(1-e^{-T_2})} c_{-u(1-e^{-T_1})} c_{-ue^{-T_1}} a_{T_1} g_1^{-1} \\
&= g_2 a_{T_2} b_{-s} c_{-u} g_1^{-1} \gamma_1 \\
&= \gamma_2 g_2 b_{-s} c_{-u} g_1^{-1} \gamma_1 \\
&= \gamma_2 \gamma_1.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that the orbit of x corresponds to the conjugacy class

$$\{\gamma_2 \gamma_1\}_\Gamma = \{\gamma_1 \gamma_2\}_\Gamma.$$

□




Figure 1: (a) Anosov closing lemma (b) Connecting lemma (c) Orbit with a self-crossing

2.4 Self-crossings

Recall that $\mathcal{X} = T^1(\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^2)$ denotes the unit tangent bundle of the factor $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^2$; see Figure 1 (c) for an illustration for the next result.

Lemma 2.7 (Self-crossings, [12]). *Suppose that all elements of $\Gamma \setminus \{e\}$ are hyperbolic and let $\tau \in \mathbb{R}, L > 0, \theta \in (0, \pi)$, and $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}$ be given. The orbit of \mathbf{x} under the geodesic flow $(\varphi_t^\mathcal{X})_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ crosses itself in configuration space at the time τ , at the angle θ , and creates a loop of length L if and only if*

$$\text{either } \Gamma g a_{\tau+L} = \Gamma g a_\tau d_\theta \quad \text{or} \quad \Gamma g_{\tau+L} = \Gamma g a_\tau d_{-\theta} \quad (2.7)$$

holds for any $g \in \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$, $\Gamma g = \Xi(\mathbf{x})$. Furthermore,

$$e^{-L} < \cos^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right). \quad (2.8)$$

2.5 Encounters and partner orbits

Definition 2.3 (Time reversal). The *time reversal map* $\mathcal{T} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{T}(p, \xi) = (-p, \xi) \quad \text{for } (p, \xi) \in \mathcal{X}.$$

The respective time reversal map on $X = \Gamma \backslash \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ is determined by

$$\mathcal{T}(x) = \Gamma g d_\pi \quad \text{for } x = \Gamma g \in X,$$

where $d_\pi \in \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ is the equivalence class of the matrix $D_\pi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$.

Using Lemma 2.9 below, we have

$$\varphi_t(\mathcal{T}(x)) = \mathcal{T}(\varphi_{-t}(x)) \quad \text{for } x \in X \quad \text{and } t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.9)$$

Next, we recall the notions of orbit pairs and partner orbits. Roughly speaking, two periodic orbits are called an orbit pair if they are close enough to each other in configuration space, not for the whole time, since otherwise they would be identical, but they decompose to the same number of parts and any part of one orbit is close to some part of the other. The following is a rigorous definition of orbit pairs, which is recalled from [13].

Definition 2.4 (Orbit pair/Partner orbit). Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Two given T -periodic orbit c and T' -periodic orbit c' of the flow $(\varphi_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ are called an ε -orbit pair if there are $L \geq 2$, $L \in \mathbb{Z}$ and two decompositions of $[0, T]$ and $[0, T'] : 0 = t_0 < \dots < t_L = T$ and $0 = t'_0 < \dots < t'_L = T'$, and a permutation $\sigma : \{0, 1, \dots, L-1\} \rightarrow \{0, 1, \dots, L-1\}$ such that for each $j \in \{0, \dots, L-1\}$, either

$$d_X(\varphi_{t+t_j}(x), \varphi_{t+t'_{\sigma(j)}}(x')) < \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, t_{j+1} - t_j]$$

or

$$d_X(\varphi_{t+t_j}(x), \varphi_{t-t'_{\sigma(j)+1}}(\mathcal{T}(x'))) < \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, t_{j+1} - t_j]$$

holds for some $x \in c$ and $x' \in c'$. Then c' is called an ε -*partner orbit* of c and vice versa.

Definition 2.5 (Encounter). Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $L \in \mathbb{Z}, L \geq 2$ be given. We say that a periodic orbit c of the flow $(\varphi_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ has an (L, ε) -*encounter* if there are $x_1, \dots, x_L \in c$ such that for each $j \in \{2, \dots, L\}$,

$$\text{either } x_j \in \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon(x_1) \quad \text{or} \quad \mathcal{T}(x_j) \in \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon(x_1).$$

The point x_2, \dots, x_L are called *piercing points*. If either $x_j \in \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon(x_1)$ holds for all $2 = 1, \dots, L$ or $\mathcal{T}(x_j) \in \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon(x_1)$ holds for all $j = 2, \dots, L$ then the encounter is called *parallel encounter*; otherwise it is called *antiparallel encounter*.

2.6 Auxiliary results

The next result is a decomposition of $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$.

Lemma 2.8 ([12]). Let $g = [G] \in \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ for $G = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$.

(a) If $a \neq 0$, then $g = c_u b_s a_t$ for

$$t = 2 \ln |a|, \quad s = ab, \quad u = \frac{c}{a}.$$

(b) If $d \neq 0$, then $g = b_s c_u a_t$ for

$$t = -2 \ln |d|, \quad s = \frac{b}{d}, \quad u = cd.$$

Lemma 2.9. The following relations hold for $t \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$a_t d_\pi = d_\pi a_{-t}, \quad b_t d_\pi = d_\pi c_{-t}, \quad c_t d_\pi = d_\pi b_{-t}. \quad (2.10)$$

Proof. In $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} A_t D_\pi &= \begin{pmatrix} e^{t/2} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-t/2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{t/2} \\ -e^{-t/2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^{-t/2} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{t/2} \end{pmatrix} = D_\pi A_{-t} \end{aligned}$$

which upon projection yields the first one. The argument is analogous for the others. □

Owing to the hyperbolicity, the flow $(\varphi_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is expansive, i.e., two orbits cannot stay too close together without being identical; see [3, Lemma 1.5]. For periodic orbits, we have the following property; see [12, Theorem 3.14] for a proof.

Lemma 2.10. *Let $X = \Gamma \backslash \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ be compact. Then there is $\varepsilon_* > 0$ with the following property. If $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_*)$ and if $x_1, x_2 \in X$ are periodic points of $(\varphi_t^X)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ having the periods $T_1, T_2 > 0$ such that $|T_1 - T_2| \leq \sqrt{2}\varepsilon$ and*

$$d_X(\varphi_t^X(x_1), \varphi_t^X(x_2)) < \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, \min\{T_1, T_2\}],$$

then $T_1 = T_2$ and the orbits of x_1 and x_2 under $(\varphi_t^X)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ are identical.

3 Periodic orbits with one single 2-antiparallel encounter

Let us first recall from [14] periodic orbits with one single 2-antiparallel encounter. It was shown that a given periodic orbit including one single 2-antiparallel encounter has a partner orbit. The action difference between the orbit pair is estimated with an exponentially small error bound. Periodic orbits having small-angle self-crossing are special cases of this phenomenon. The results in this section will be applied for the main results in Subsection 4.1.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that $X = \Gamma \backslash \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ is compact and let $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{\sigma_0}{8})$. If a periodic orbit c of the flow $(\varphi_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ on X with period $T > 1$ has a $(2, \varepsilon)$ -antiparallel encounter, then it has a partner. Furthermore, let $x, y \in c$, $\mathcal{T}(y) = (u, s)_x \in \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon(x)$ and $\varphi_{T_1}(x) = y, 0 < T_1 < T$. Then the partner is ε' -partner with $\varepsilon' = \varepsilon + 2(|u - se^{-T_1}| + |s - ue^{T_1-T}|) < 8\varepsilon$ and the action difference between the orbit pair satisfies*

$$\left| \frac{T' - T}{2} - \ln(1 + us) \right| < 12\varepsilon^2(e^{-T_1} + e^{T_1-T}), \quad (3.1)$$

where T' is the period of the partner. If $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{\varepsilon_}{18})$, then the partner orbit is unique.*

Proof. For the existence of a T' -periodic point v , whose orbit under the flow $(\varphi_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is a ε' -partner orbit, see [14, Theorem 9]. It was shown that the action difference satisfies

$$\left| \frac{T' - T}{2} - \ln(1 + (u - se^{-T_1})(s - ue^{T_1 - T})) \right| \leq |(u - se^{-T_1})(s - ue^{T_1 - T})|e^{-T},$$

which implies (3.1). For the last assertion, it follows from (3.1) that $|T - T'| < 30\varepsilon^2$. Suppose that there is another partner orbit which has the same property, i.e., it is also 8ε -close to the original one and its period called T'' satisfies $|T'' - T| < 30\varepsilon^2$. Then these two partner orbits are ε_* -close to each other for the whole time and their periods satisfy

$$|T'' - T'| \leq |T'' - T| + |T' - T| \leq 60\varepsilon^2 < \sqrt{2}\varepsilon_*.$$

By Lemma 2.10, the partner orbits must coincide. □




Figure 2: Periodic orbit with a single 2-antiparallel encounter has a partner orbit.

Remark 3.1. According to the proofs of [14, Theorem 9] and the Anosov closing lemma I, we have $v = \Gamma g a_{-T_2} c_{ue^{-T_2 + \sigma}} b_\eta$, where $T_2 = T_1 - T$, $\sigma, \eta \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfy

$$|\eta - \tilde{s}| < 2\tilde{s}^2|\tilde{u}| + 2|\tilde{s}|e^{-T} \quad \text{and} \quad |\sigma| < 2|\tilde{u}|e^{-T} \quad (3.2)$$

with $\tilde{s} = u - se^{-T_1}$ and $\tilde{u} = s - ue^{-T_2}$. ◇

The next result is a new view of symbolic dynamics.

Theorem 3.2 (Symbolic dynamics). *In the setting of Theorem 3.1, let $g, h \in \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ be such that $x = \Gamma g, y = \Gamma h$ and $hd_\pi = h' = gc_a b_s$. If $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$ such that $\gamma_1 = ga_{T_1} h^{-1}$ and $\gamma_2 = ha_{T_2} g^{-1}$, where $T_2 = T - T_1$, then the original orbit corresponds to the conjugacy class $\{\gamma_1 \gamma_2\}_\Gamma$ and the partner orbit corresponds to the conjugacy class $\{\gamma_1^{-1} \gamma_2\}_\Gamma$.*

Proof. Note that due to $\varphi_{T_1}(x) = y$ and $\varphi_{T_2}(y) = x$, there are $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$ such that $\gamma_1 = ga_{T_1}h^{-1}$ and $\gamma_2 = ha_{T_2}g^{-1}$ as assumption. Since $x = \Gamma g$ is a T -periodic orbit, we have

$$\gamma = ga_Tg^{-1}$$

for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$, and by Lemma 2.3, the orbit through x (called c) corresponds to the conjugacy class $\{\gamma\}_\Gamma$:

$$\gamma_1\gamma_2 = (ga_{T_1}h^{-1})(ha_{T_2}g^{-1}) = ga_Tg^{-1} = \gamma.$$

This means that the orbit c corresponds to the conjugacy class $\{\gamma\}_\Gamma = \{\gamma_1\gamma_2\}_\Gamma$. Next, let $\zeta \in \Gamma$ be such that

$$\zeta = \bar{g}a_Tb_{-s'}c_{-u'}(\bar{g})^{-1}$$

for $\bar{g} = gc_ua_{-T_2} = h'b_{-s}a_{T_2}$. According to the proof of Theorem 3.1 and Remark 2.1, the partner orbit corresponds to the conjugacy class $\{\zeta\}_\Gamma$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta &= h'b_{-s}a_{-T_2}a_Tb_{-(u-s-T_1)}c_{-(s-ue^{-T_2})}a_{T_2}c_{-u}g^{-1} \\ &= h'a_{T_1}b_{-se^{-T_1}}b_{-(u-s-T_1)}c_{-(s-ue^{-T_2})}c_{-ue^{-T_2}}a_{T_2}g^{-1} \\ &= h'a_{T_1}b_{-u}c_{-s}a_{T_2}g^{-1} \\ &= \gamma_1^{-1}\gamma_2, \end{aligned}$$

noting $h'a_{T_1} = \gamma_1^{-1}g'$ owing to $ga_{T_1} = \gamma_1h$. Therefore, the partner orbit c' corresponds to the conjugacy class $\{\zeta\}_\Gamma = \{\gamma_1^{-1}\gamma_2\}_\Gamma$, completing the proof. \square

4 Periodic orbits including 2 encounters responsible for the third order term

Let us first review orbit pairs responsible for the cubic contribution to $K(\tau)$. Note that a sufficiently long periodic orbit has a huge number of self-encounters which may involve arbitrarily many orbit stretches. Heusler, Müller et al. [11, 19] show that only orbit pairs differing in two 2-encounters or in one single 3-encounter are responsible for the third order. There are two ways

of connections of orbit stretches forming two 2-encounters, namely serial and intertwined, whereas the two stretches of each encounter may be either close in phase space (depicted by nearly parallel arrows \rightleftarrows or \timesleftarrow), which is called *parallel encounter*, or almost mutually time-reversed (like in \rightleftarrows or \timesleftarrow), which is called *antiparallel encounter*. In each way, therefore, there are three possibilities: both 2-encounters are parallel-encounters, one 2-parallel encounter and one 2-antiparallel encounter, and two 2-antiparallel encounters, i.e. there are totally six cases. Only three of them lead to (genuine) periodic orbits: two 2-antiparallel encounters serial, one parallel-encounter and one antiparallel encounters intertwined and two anti-parallel encounters intertwined, which are responsible in the cubic order to the form factor. The others form pseudo-periodic orbits and do not contribute to the spectral form factor.

In this section we only consider periodic orbits including two 2-antiparallel encounters contributing to the third term of the spectral form factor. The case of one 3-parallel encounter is rigorously done in [13, Section 3.3]. Orbits with a single 3-antiparallel encounter can be done analogously.

Throughout this section, we assume that the space $\Gamma \backslash \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ is compact.

4.1 Periodic orbits including two 2-antiparallel encounters serial

In this subsection we consider periodic orbits having two 2-antiparallel encounters serial, which is so called *antiparallel-antiparallel serial* (*aas* for short) in [19]. Periodic orbits with either two small-angle self-crossings in configuration space (\timesleftarrow and \timesleftarrow) or one small-angle self-crossing and one anti-parallel avoided self-crossing (\timesleftarrow and \rightleftarrows), or two anti-parallel avoided self-crossings (\rightleftarrows and \rightleftarrows) in configuration space are special cases of *aas*.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{\varepsilon_0}{24})$ and let c be a T -periodic orbit of the flow $(\varphi_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ on X having two $(2, \varepsilon)$ -antiparallel encounters serial. More precisely, let $x, y, z, w \in c$ and $T_1, T_2, T_3, T_4 > 0$ be such that $T_1 + T_2 + T_3 + T_4 = T$, $\varphi_{T_1}(x) = y$, $\varphi_{T_2}(y) = z$, $\varphi_{T_3}(z) = w$ and $\varphi_{T_4}(w) = x$, and $\mathcal{T}(z) = (u_1, s_1)_y \in$*

$\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon(y), \mathcal{T}(w) = (u_2, s_2)_x \in \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon(x)$ satisfying

$$|u_1| > 6\varepsilon e^{-T_2}, \quad |s_1| > 30\varepsilon^3 + 13\varepsilon e^{-T_1} + 5\varepsilon e^{-T_2} + 3\varepsilon e^{-T_3}. \quad (4.1)$$

Then c has a 20ε -partner orbit which differs in both encounters of the original orbit and the action difference satisfies

$$\left| \frac{T' - T}{2} - \ln(1 + u_1 s_1)(1 + u_2 s_2) \right| < \varepsilon^2(21e^{-T_1} + 30e^{-T_2} + 12e^{-T_3} + 19e^{-T_4}). \quad (4.2)$$

In addition, if $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{\varepsilon_*}{40})$, then the partner is unique.

Proof. The sketch of the proof is as follows; see Figure 3 for an illustration. First, we apply Theorem 3.1 for the left encounter to have one partner orbit, which is depicted by the dashed line in Figure 3 (b). Next, we show that the new orbit admits one 2-antiparallel encounter; see Figure 3 (c). Finally, we apply Theorem 3.1 again to get a partner orbit depicted by the dashed line in Figure 3 (d).




Figure 3: Construction of partner orbit for a given periodic orbit with two 2-antiparallel encounters serial.

Let $x = \Gamma g, y = \Gamma h, z = \Gamma k, w = \Gamma l$ for some $g, h, k, l \in \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ and set $g' = gd_\pi, h' = hd_\pi, k' = kd_\pi, l' = ld_\pi$. By hypothesis, $\varphi_{T_{123}}(x) = w$ and $\mathcal{T}(w) = (s_2, u_2)_x \in \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon(x)$, where $T_{123} = T_1 + T_2 + T_3$. Due to Theorem 3.1, there are $\tilde{x} = \Gamma l' b_{-s_2} a_{-T_4} c_{\sigma_2} b_{\eta_2} = \Gamma g c_{u_2} a_{-T_4} c_{\sigma_2} b_{\eta_2} \in X$ and $\tilde{T} > 0$ such that

$$\left| \frac{\tilde{T} - T}{2} - \ln(1 + u_2 s_2) \right| \leq 12\varepsilon^2(e^{-T_4} + e^{-T_{123}}). \quad (4.3)$$

Furthermore,

$$d_X(\varphi_t(\tilde{x}), \varphi_t(w)) < 7\varepsilon \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T_4] \quad (4.4)$$

and

$$d_X(\varphi_t(\tilde{x}), \varphi_t(x')) < 7\varepsilon \quad \text{for } t \in [T_4, T]. \quad (4.5)$$

Next we show that the orbit of \tilde{x} possesses one 2-antiparallel encounter. We write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}(\tilde{z}) := \varphi_{T_4+T_3}(\tilde{x}) &= \Gamma l' b_{-s_2} a_{-T_4} c_{\sigma_2} b_{\eta_2} a_{T_4+T_3} \\ &= \Gamma l' b_{-s_2} a_{T_3} c_{\sigma_2} e^{T_3+T_4} b_{\eta_2} e^{-T_3-T_4} \\ &= \Gamma l' a_{T_3} b_{-s_2} e^{-T_3} c_{\sigma_2} e^{T_3+T_4} b_{\eta_2} e^{-T_3-T_4} \\ &= \Gamma k' b_{-s_2} e^{-T_3} c_{\sigma_2} e^{T_3+T_4} b_{\eta_2} e^{-T_3-T_4}, \end{aligned}$$

using $\varphi_{T_3}(\mathcal{T}(w)) = \mathcal{T}(z)$ and $b_s a_t = a_t b_{s e^{-t}}$, $c_u a_t = a_t c_{u e^t}$ for all $u, s, t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\tilde{z} = \Gamma k c_{s_2} e^{-T_3} b_{-\sigma_2} e^{T_3+T_4} c_{-\eta_2} e^{-T_3-T_4}; \quad (4.6)$$

recall (2.9). Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}(\hat{y}) &:= \varphi_{T_4+T_3+T_2}(\tilde{x}) = \Gamma h' b_{-s_2} e^{-T_2-T_3} c_{\sigma_2} e^{T_2+T_3+T_4} b_{\eta_2} e^{-T_2-T_3-T_4} \\ &= (\Gamma k c_{s_1} b_{u_1}) b_{-s_2} e^{-T_2-T_3} c_{\sigma_2} e^{T_2+T_3+T_4} b_{\eta_2} e^{-T_2-T_3-T_4} \\ &= (\Gamma k c_{s_2} e^{-T_3} b_{-\sigma_2} e^{T_3+T_4} c_{-\eta_2} e^{-T_3-T_4}) \\ &\quad c_{\eta_2} e^{-T_3-T_4} b_{\sigma_2} e^{T_3+T_4} c_{-s_2} e^{-T_3+s_1} b_{u_1-s_2} e^{-T_2-T_3} c_{\sigma_2} e^{T_2+T_3+T_4} b_{\eta_2} e^{-T_2-T_3-T_4} \\ &= (\Gamma k c_{s_2} e^{-T_3} b_{-\sigma_2} e^{T_3+T_4} c_{-\eta_2} e^{-T_3-T_4}) c_{\tilde{u}_1} b_{\tilde{s}_1} a_{\tilde{\tau}_1}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{u}_1 &= s_1 + \eta_2 e^{-T_3-T_4} - s_2 e^{-T_3} + \sigma_2 e^{T_2+T_3+T_4} + \frac{1}{1 + \tilde{\rho}_1} \times \\ &\quad \times ((s_1 - s_2 e^{-T_3}) \sigma_2 e^{T_2+T_3+T_4} (u_1 - s_2 e^{-T_2-T_3}) \\ &\quad - (s_1 - s_2 e^{-T_3} + \sigma_2 e^{T_2+T_3+T_4}) \tilde{\rho}_1), \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{s}_1 &= u_1 + \sigma_2 e^{T_3+T_4} - s_2 e^{-T_2-T_3} + \eta_2 e^{-T_2-T_3-T_4} \\ &\quad + \tilde{\rho}_1 ((2 + \tilde{\rho}_1) \eta_2 e^{-T_2-T_3-T_4} + \sigma_2 e^{T_3+T_4} + u_1 - s_2 e^{-T_2-T_3}) \\ &\quad + (s_1 - s_2 e^{-T_3}) \sigma_2 e^{T_3+T_4} (u_1 - s_2 e^{-T_2-T_3}) (1 + \tilde{\rho}_1), \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

$$\tilde{\tau}_1 = 2 \ln(1 + \tilde{\rho}_1), \quad (4.9)$$

with

$$\tilde{\rho}_1 = \sigma_2 e^{T_2+T_3+T_4} (\sigma_2 e^{T_3+T_4} + u_1 - s_2 e^{-T_2-T_3}) + (s_1 - s_2 e^{-T_3}) \sigma_2 e^{T_3+T_4} (1 + \sigma_2 e^{T_2+T_3+T_4} (u_1 - s_2 e^{-T_2-T_3})),$$

owing to Lemma 2.8(a). A short calculation shows that $|\tilde{u}_1| < 2\varepsilon$ and $|\tilde{s}_1| < 2\varepsilon$. This means that

$$\mathcal{T}(\tilde{y}) = \varphi_{-\tilde{\tau}_1}(\mathcal{T}(\hat{y})) = (\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{s}_1)_{\tilde{z}} \in \mathcal{P}_{2\varepsilon}(\tilde{z}),$$

where $\tilde{y} := \varphi_{\tilde{\tau}_1}(\hat{y})$. Apply Theorem 3.1 to obtain $v = \tilde{z}a_{-\tilde{T}_2}c_{\tilde{u}_1e^{-\tilde{T}_2+\sigma_1}}b_{\eta_1} \in X$ and $T' \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\left| \frac{T' - \tilde{T}}{2} - \ln(1 + \tilde{u}_1\tilde{s}_1) \right| \leq 28\varepsilon^2(e^{-T_1-T_3-T_4+\tilde{\tau}_1} + e^{T_1+T_3+T_4-\tilde{\tau}_1-\tilde{T}}) < 7\varepsilon^2e^{-T_4} + 30\varepsilon^2e^{-T_2} \quad (4.10)$$

and

$$|\eta_1 - \hat{s}_1| < 2\hat{s}_1^2|\hat{u}_1| + 2|\hat{s}_1|e^{-\tilde{T}} < 30\varepsilon^3 + 10\varepsilon e^{-\tilde{T}}, \quad (4.11)$$

$$|\sigma_1| < 6\varepsilon e^{-\tilde{T}}, \quad (4.12)$$

where $\hat{u}_1 = \tilde{s}_1 - \tilde{u}_1e^{\tilde{T}_2-\tilde{T}}$, $\hat{s}_1 = \tilde{u}_1 - \tilde{s}_1e^{-\tilde{T}_2}$. Furthermore,

$$d_X(\varphi_t(v), \varphi_t(\tilde{y})) < 13\varepsilon \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T_2]$$

and

$$d_X(\varphi_t(v), \varphi_t(\mathcal{T}(\tilde{z}))) < 13\varepsilon \quad \text{for } t \in [T_2, \tilde{T}].$$

This means that the orbit of v is 13ε -close to the orbit of \tilde{x} . Recalling from (4.4) and (4.5) that the orbit of \tilde{x} is 7ε -close to the orbit of x , we deduce that the orbit of v is 20ε -close to the original one.

Next, in order to establish an estimate for the action difference, observe that by (4.7), (4.8),

$$|\tilde{u}_1 - s_1| < 7\varepsilon e^{-T_1} + 2\varepsilon e^{-T_3}, \quad |\tilde{s}_1 - u_1| < \varepsilon e^{-T_3} \quad (4.13)$$

after a short calculation. This yields

$$|\ln(1 + \tilde{u}_1\tilde{s}_1) - \ln(1 + u_1s_1)| \leq 21\varepsilon^2e^{-T_1} + 11\varepsilon^2e^{-T_3}$$

and hence

$$\left| \frac{T' - \tilde{T}}{2} - \ln(1 + u_1s_1) \right| \leq 21\varepsilon^2e^{-T_1} + 30\varepsilon^2e^{-T_2} + 11\varepsilon^2e^{-T_3} + 7\varepsilon^2e^{-T_4}, \quad (4.14)$$

using (4.10). The estimate (4.2) follows from (4.3) and (4.14).

Next we are going to show that the partner orbit is different from the original one. For, we find a point in the partner orbit which lies in the Poincaré section of y and is different from y and $\mathcal{T}(z)$. Letting $\tilde{T}_2 = T_2 - \tilde{\tau}_1$, we have $\varphi_{\tilde{T}-\tilde{T}_2}(\tilde{z}) = \tilde{y}$ and $\varphi_{\tilde{T}_2}(\tilde{y}) = \tilde{z}$. Recall $v = \tilde{z}a_{-\tilde{T}_2}c_{\tilde{u}_1e^{-\tilde{T}_2+\sigma_1}}b_{\eta_1}$. Using (4.6) and Lemma 2.8 (a), we write

$$\begin{aligned} v &= \tilde{z}a_{-\tilde{T}_2}c_{\tilde{u}_1e^{-\tilde{T}_2+\sigma_1}}b_{\eta_1} \\ &= \Gamma k c_{s_2e^{-T_3}}b_{-\sigma_2e^{T_3+T_4}}c_{-\eta_2e^{-T_3-T_4}}a_{-T_2+\tilde{\tau}_1}c_{\tilde{u}_1e^{-\tilde{T}_2+\sigma_1}}b_{\eta_1} \\ &= (\Gamma k a_{-T_2})c_{s_2e^{-T_2-T_3}}b_{-\sigma_2e^{T_2+T_3+T_4}}c_{-\eta_2e^{-T_2-T_3-T_4+\tilde{u}_1e^{-T_2+\sigma_1}e^{-\tilde{\tau}_1}}}b_{\eta_1e^{\tilde{\tau}_1}}a_{\tilde{\tau}_1} \\ &= \Gamma h c_{u_v}b_{s_v}a_{\tau_v}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} u_v &= s_2e^{-T_2-T_3} + \frac{-\eta_2e^{-T_2-T_3-T_4} + \tilde{u}_1e^{-T_2} + \sigma_1e^{-\tilde{\tau}_1}}{1 + \rho_v}, \\ s_v &= (-\sigma_2e^{T_2+T_3+T_4} + \eta_1e^{\tilde{\tau}_1} + \eta_1e^{\tilde{\tau}_1}\rho_v)(1 + \rho_v), \\ \tau_v &= 2 \ln(1 + \rho_v), \end{aligned}$$

here

$$\rho_v = (-\sigma_2e^{T_2+T_3+T_4})(-\eta_2e^{-T_2-T_3-T_4} + \tilde{u}_2e^{-T_2} + \sigma_1e^{-\tilde{\tau}_1});$$

recall $\tilde{\tau}_1$ from (4.9). A short calculation shows that

$$|u_v| < 6\varepsilon e^{-T_2}, |s_v - \eta_1| < 6\varepsilon e^{-T_1}, \quad (4.15)$$

which imply that $\tilde{v} = \varphi_{\tau_v}(z) = (u_v, s_v)_y \in \mathcal{P}_{6\varepsilon}(y)$. By assumption, $\varepsilon < \frac{\sigma_0}{24}$ and $\mathcal{T}(z) = (u_1, s_1)_y$ with

$$|u_1| \geq 6\varepsilon e^{-T_2} > |u_v|.$$

As a consequence of Lemma 2.2, we get $\tilde{v} \neq \mathcal{T}(z)$. It remains to check that $\tilde{v} \neq y$. Recalling that $\hat{s}_1 = \tilde{u}_1 - \tilde{s}_1e^{-\tilde{T}_2}$, it follows from (4.11) and (4.13) that

$$\begin{aligned} |\eta_1 - s_1| &\leq |\eta_1 - \hat{s}_1| + |\hat{s}_1 - \tilde{u}_1| + |\tilde{u}_1 - s_1| \\ &< 30\varepsilon^3 + 7\varepsilon e^{-T_1} + 5\varepsilon e^{-T_2} + 3\varepsilon e^{-T_3}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

Using (4.15), (4.16), together with the assumption (4.1) we deduce

$$|s_v| \geq |s_1| - |s_v - \eta_1| - |\eta_1 - s_1| > 0,$$

which shows $\tilde{v} \neq y$. Consequently, the orbit of v is different from the orbit of x and its time reverse.

For the last assertion, suppose that there is another 20ε -partner orbit which differs in both encounters of the original orbit. Then the two partner orbits are 40ε -close to each other for the whole time and the period difference $|T'' - T'| < 50\varepsilon^2$, where T'' is the period of the new partner. Due to $\varepsilon < \frac{\varepsilon_*}{40}$, the two partners are ε_* -close to each other for the whole time and $|T'' - T'| < \varepsilon_*$; so they must be identical by Lemma 2.10. The proof is complete. \square

Remark 4.1. (a) The orbit of \tilde{x} is also a partner orbit of the original one, which is depicted by the dotted line in Figure 3(b). However, this partner orbit differs only in one encounter and this orbit pair only contribute to the second order term of the spectral form factor as a Sieber-Richter pair.

(b) If we first apply Theorem 3.1 for the other encounter, then we have another partner orbit for the original one. This orbit pair also contribute to the second order term of $K(\tau)$. Then, using the same argument of the previous proof, we get the same partner orbit.

The next result provides a new view of symbolic dynamics of orbit pair in the preceding theorem.

Theorem 4.2. *In the setting of Theorem 4.1, let $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \gamma_4 \in \Gamma$ be such that $ga_{T_1} = \gamma_1 h, ha_{T_2} = \gamma_2 k, ka_{T_3} = \gamma_3 l, la_{T_4} = \gamma_4 g$. Then the original orbit and the partner orbit correspond to the conjugacy classes $\{\gamma_1 \gamma_2 \gamma_3 \gamma_4\}_\Gamma$ and $\{\gamma_1^{-1} \gamma_4 \gamma_3^{-1} \gamma_2\}_\Gamma$, respectively.*

Proof. First observe that $a_{T_1} = g^{-1} \gamma_1 h, a_{T_2} = h^{-1} \gamma_2 k, a_{T_3} = k^{-1} \gamma_3 l, a_{T_4} = l^{-1} \gamma_4 g$ leads to

$$ga_T = ga_{T_1} a_{T_2} a_{T_3} a_{T_4} = g(g^{-1} \gamma_1 h)(h^{-1} \gamma_2 k)(k^{-1} \gamma_3 l)(l^{-1} \gamma_4 g) = \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \gamma_3 \gamma_4 g.$$

This yields the orbit of $x = \Gamma g$ under the flow $(\varphi_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$, which is the original orbit, corresponds to the conjugacy class $\{\gamma_1 \gamma_2 \gamma_3 \gamma_4\}_\Gamma$ by the definition of the mapping ς in (2.2).

Next, due to $ga_{T_1+T_2+T_3} = \gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3l$ and $la_{T_4} = \gamma_4g$, the orbit of \hat{x} corresponds to the conjugacy class

$$\{\zeta\}_\Gamma = \{(\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3)^{-1}\gamma_4\}_\Gamma = \{\gamma_3^{-1}\gamma_2^{-1}\gamma_1^{-1}\gamma_4\}_\Gamma = \{\gamma_2^{-1}\gamma_1^{-1}\gamma_4\gamma_3^{-1}\}_\Gamma.$$

by Theorem 3.2. Similarly, the orbit of v corresponds to the conjugacy class

$$\{\xi\}_\Gamma = \{(\gamma_2^{-1})^{-1}\gamma_1^{-1}\gamma_4\gamma_3^{-1}\}_\Gamma = \{\gamma_2\gamma_1^{-1}\gamma_4\gamma_3^{-1}\}_\Gamma = \{\gamma_1^{-1}\gamma_4\gamma_3^{-1}\gamma_2\}_\Gamma,$$

as was to be shown. \square

The following corollary considers periodic orbits of the geodesic flow having two small-angle self-crossings.

Corollary 4.1. *Suppose that all elements in $\Gamma \setminus \{e\}$ are hyperbolic. Suppose that T -periodic orbit of the geodesic flow $(\varphi_t^{\mathcal{X}})_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ on $\mathcal{X} = T^1(\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H}^2)$ crosses itself in configuration space at a time T_1 , at an angle θ_1 , creates a loop of length T_2 and then crosses itself again after a time T_3 at an angle θ_2 , and creates another loop of length T_4 with $T_1 + T_2 + T_3 + T_4 = T$. If $0 < \phi < \frac{1}{3}$ for $\phi = \max\{\pi - \theta_1, \pi - \theta_2\}$, then it has a $36|\sin(\phi/2)|$ -partner orbit; the period of the partner orbit denoted by T' satisfies*

$$\left| \frac{T' - T}{2} - \ln(1 + \sin^2(\phi_1/2))(1 + \sin^2(\phi_2/2)) \right| < \sin^2(\phi/2)(21e^{-T_1} + 31e^{-T_2} + 13e^{-T_3} + 19e^{-T_4}). \quad (4.17)$$

Furthermore, if $\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H}^2$ is compact and $\phi < \frac{\varepsilon_*}{20}$ then the partner is unique.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X} = T^1(\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H}^2)$ be a T -periodic point of the flow $(\varphi_t^{\mathcal{X}})_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ and let

$$\varphi_{T_1}^{\mathcal{X}}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{y}, \quad \varphi_{T_2}^{\mathcal{X}}(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{z}, \quad \varphi_{T_3}^{\mathcal{X}}(\mathbf{z}) = \mathbf{w}, \quad \varphi_{T_4}^{\mathcal{X}}(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{x}. \quad (4.18)$$

Let $\phi_1 = \pi - \theta_1, \phi_2 = \pi - \theta_2, \phi = \max\{\phi_1, \phi_2\}$ and assume that $|\phi| < \frac{1}{3}$. Then in particular

$$\left| \sin\left(\frac{\phi_i}{2}\right) \right| \leq \frac{|\phi_i|}{2} < \frac{1}{6} \quad (4.19)$$

holds for $i = 1, 2$. Set $x = \Xi(\mathbf{x}), y = \Xi(\mathbf{y}), z = \Xi(\mathbf{z}),$ and $w = \Xi(\mathbf{w})$; recall the isometry $\Xi : T^1(\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H}^2) \rightarrow \Gamma \setminus \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$. It follows from Theorem 2.7 that

$$\text{either } \Gamma h = \Gamma k d_{\theta_1} \quad \text{or} \quad \Gamma h = \Gamma k d_{-\theta_1}$$

and

$$\text{either } \Gamma l = \Gamma g d_{\theta_2} \quad \text{or} \quad \Gamma l = \Gamma g d_{-\theta_2}$$

with some $g, h, k, l \in \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ such that $\Gamma g = x, \Gamma h = y, \Gamma k = z$ and $\Gamma l = w$. We only consider $\Gamma h = \Gamma k d_{\theta_1}$ and $\Gamma l = \Gamma g d_{\theta_2}$, the other cases are similar. Define $z' = \mathcal{T}(z)$ and $w' = \mathcal{T}(w)$; recall the notation \mathcal{T} from Definition 2.3. Then

$$z' = \Gamma h d_{\pi-\theta_1} = \Gamma h d_{\phi_1} \quad \text{and} \quad w' = \Gamma g d_{\pi-\theta_2} = \Gamma g d_{\phi_2}.$$

For $i = 1, 2$, apply Lemma 2.8 (a) to write

$$d_{\phi_i} = c_{u_i} b_{s_i} a_{\tau_i}, \tag{4.20}$$

where

$$\tau_i = 2 \ln(\cos(\phi_i/2)), \quad u_i = \tan(\phi/2), \quad s_i = -\sin(\phi_i/2) \cos(\phi_i/2). \tag{4.21}$$

Owing to (4.19), we have

$$\cos\left(\frac{\phi_i}{2}\right) > \frac{5}{6}. \tag{4.22}$$

Define $\varepsilon = \frac{6}{5} |\sin(\phi/2)|$. Observe that

$$|u_i| = |\tan(\phi_i/2)| \leq \frac{6}{5} |\sin(\phi_i/2)| \leq \varepsilon, \quad |s_i| = |\sin(\phi_i/2) \cos(\phi_i/2)| \leq |\sin(\phi_i/2)| < \varepsilon, \tag{4.23}$$

and

$$|\tau_i| = |\ln(1 - \sin^2(\phi_i/2))| \leq 2 \sin^2(\phi_i/2) \leq \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^2,$$

due to $|\ln(1+z)| \leq 2|z|$ for $|z| \leq 1/2$. Denote $\tilde{z} = \varphi_{\tau_1}(z)$ and $\tilde{w} = \varphi_{\tau_2}(w)$. This leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}(\tilde{z}) &= \varphi_{-\tau_1}(z') = \Gamma k' a_{-\tau_1} = \Gamma h c_{u_1} b_{s_1} = (u_1, s_1)_y \in \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon(y), \\ \mathcal{T}(\tilde{w}) &= \varphi_{-\tau_2}(w') = \Gamma l' a_{-\tau_2} = \Gamma g c_{u_2} b_{s_2} = (u_2, s_2)_x \in \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon(x), \end{aligned}$$

using (2.9). Define $\tilde{T}_2 = T_2 + \tau_1, \tilde{T}_3 = T_3 - \tau_1 + \tau_2, \tilde{T}_4 = T_4 - \tau_2, \tilde{T}_1 = T_1$. Then $T_1 + \tilde{T}_2 + \tilde{T}_3 + \tilde{T}_4 = T$, $\varphi_{T_1}(x) = y, \varphi_{\tilde{T}_2}(y) = \tilde{z}, \varphi_{\tilde{T}_3}(\tilde{z}) = \tilde{w}$, and $\varphi_{\tilde{T}_4}(\tilde{w}) = x$.

We now apply Theorem 3.1 with $\varepsilon = \frac{6}{5}|\sin(\phi/2)|$ to have a partner orbit, which is $33|\sin(\phi/2)|$ -close to the original one and has period T' satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{T' - T}{2} - \ln(1 + \sin^2(\phi_1/2))(1 + \sin^2(\phi_2/2)) \right| &< \varepsilon^2(21e^{-T_1} + 30e^{-\tilde{T}_2} + 12e^{-\tilde{T}_3} + 19e^{-\tilde{T}_4}) \\ &< \varepsilon^2(21e^{-T_1} + 31e^{-T_2} + 13e^{-T_3} + 19e^{-T_4}), \end{aligned}$$

which is (4.17).

For the last assertion, if $\phi < \frac{\varepsilon_*}{12}$, then $\varepsilon = \frac{6}{5}|\sin(\phi/2)| < \frac{\varepsilon_*}{20}$ and whence the partner orbit is unique according to Theorem 4.1; see Figure 4 for an illustration. \square




Figure 4: A periodic orbit with 2 small-angle-self-crossings has a partner orbit which has 2 avoided crossings.

Remark 4.2. The existence of the partner orbit in the preceding theorem does not need the condition that the space is compact. In fact, according [12, Theorem 3.11] and the proof of Theorem 4.1, the partner orbit has a smaller period $T' < T$.

4.2 Periodic orbits including two 2-parallel encounters intertwined

In this subsection we consider periodic orbits with two 2-parallel encounters intertwined, which is so called *parallel-parallel intertwined* (*ppi* for short) in [19].

Theorem 4.3. *Let $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{\sigma_0}{20})$. Suppose that a T -periodic orbit c of the flow $(\varphi_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ on X with period T has two $(2, \varepsilon)$ -parallel encounters intertwined. For instance, suppose that there are $x, y, z, w \in c$ and $T_1, T_2, T_3, T_4 > 0$ such that*

$T_1 + T_2 + T_3 + T_4 = T$, $\varphi_{T_1}(x) = y$, $\varphi_{T_2}(y) = z$, $\varphi_{T_3}(z) = w$ and $\varphi_{T_4}(w) = x$ with $z = (u_1, s_1)_x \in \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon(x)$, $w = (u_2, s_2)_y \in \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon(y)$ satisfying

$$|u_2| > 9\varepsilon e^{-T_4}, \quad |s_2| > 72\varepsilon^3 + 5\varepsilon e^{-T_1} + 2\varepsilon e^{-T_3}. \quad (4.24)$$

Then c has a 19ε -partner orbit of period T' which differs in both encounters and the action difference satisfies

$$\left| \frac{T' - T}{2} - \ln(1 + s_1 u_1)(1 + s_2 u_2) \right| \leq 54\varepsilon^4 + 25\varepsilon^2(e^{-T_1} + e^{-T_2} + e^{-T_3} + e^{-T_4}). \quad (4.25)$$

If $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{\varepsilon_*}{38})$, then the partner orbit is unique.

Proof. The construction of a partner orbit is summarized as follows. We first apply the Anosov closing lemmas for the first encounter to obtain two shorter periodic orbits, which are expressed by dashed line and dotted line in Figure 5 (b). We next show that the obtained orbits create a pseudo-orbit (see Figure 5 (c)). Finally we use the connecting lemma to get a new periodic orbit, which is illustrated by the dashed line in Figure 5 (d).




Figure 5: Reconnection to give the partner orbit for orbit with two parallel encounters intertwined.

Denote $T_{12} = T_1 + T_2$ and $T_{34} = T_3 + T_4$. By assumption, $\varphi_{T_{12}}(x) = z = (u_1, s_1)_x \in \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon(x)$. According to the Anosov closing lemma I, there exist

$\tilde{x} = \Gamma h b_{\eta_x} c_{\sigma_x} \in X$ and $T'_{12} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\varphi_{T'_{12}}(\tilde{x}) = \tilde{x}$,

$$\left| \frac{T'_{12} - T_{12}}{2} - \ln(1 + u_1 s_1) \right| \leq 5\varepsilon^2 e^{-T_1 - T_2} \quad (4.26)$$

and

$$d_X(\varphi_t(\tilde{x}), \varphi_t(x)) < 4\varepsilon, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T_1 + T_2]. \quad (4.27)$$

The orbit of \tilde{x} is depicted by the dashed line in Figure 5 (b). Observe that $\varphi_{T_{34}}(z) = x = (-s_1, -u_1)'_z \in \mathcal{P}'_\varepsilon(z)$. Apply the Anosov closing lemma II, there exist $\tilde{z} = \Gamma l b_{\eta_z} c_{\sigma_z} \in X$ and $T'_{34} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\varphi_{T'_{34}}(\tilde{z}) = \tilde{z}$,

$$\left| \frac{T'_{34} - T_{34}}{2} \right| \leq 4\varepsilon^2 e^{-T_3 - T_4} \quad (4.28)$$

and

$$d_X(\varphi_t(\tilde{z}), \varphi_t(z)) < 4\varepsilon, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T_3 + T_4]. \quad (4.29)$$

The orbit of \tilde{z} is depicted by the dotted line in Figure 5 (b).

Next we show that two orbits of \tilde{x} and \tilde{z} form a pseudo-orbit, and hence it is possible to connect them to obtain a longer periodic orbit. Using Lemma 2.8 (b), we write

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{T_3}(\tilde{z}) = \Gamma l b_{\eta_z} c_{\sigma_z} a_{T_3} &= \Gamma l a_{T_3} b_{\eta_z e^{-T_3}} c_{\sigma_z e^{T_3}} = \Gamma k b_{\eta_z e^{-T_3}} c_{\sigma_z e^{T_3}} \\ &= \Gamma h c_{u_2} b_{s_2} b_{\eta_z e^{-T_3}} c_{\sigma_z e^{T_3}} \\ &= (\Gamma h c_{\sigma_x e^{T_1}} b_{\eta_x e^{-T_1}}) b_{-\eta_x e^{-T_1}} c_{u_2 - \sigma_x e^{T_1}} b_{s_2 + \eta_z e^{-T_3}} c_{\sigma_z e^{T_3}} \\ &= (\Gamma h c_{\sigma_x e^{T_1}} b_{\eta_x e^{-T_1}}) b_s c_u a_\tau, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} s &= \eta_z e^{-T_1} + \frac{s_2 + \eta_z e^{-T_3}}{1 + (u_2 - \sigma_x e^{T_1})(s_2 + \eta_z e^{-T_3})}, \\ u &= (u_2 - \sigma_x e^{T_1} + \sigma_z e^{T_3} + (u_2 - \sigma_x e^{T_1})(s_2 + \eta_z e^{-T_3})\sigma_z e^{T_3}) (1 + (u_2 - \sigma_x e^{T_1})(s_2 + \eta_z e^{-T_3})), \\ \tau &= -2 \ln(1 + (u_2 - \sigma_x e^{T_1})(s_2 + \eta_z e^{-T_3})). \end{aligned} \quad (4.30)$$

A short calculation shows that $|u| < 3\varepsilon, |s| < 3\varepsilon$. If we set

$$\tilde{y} := \varphi_{T_1}(\tilde{x}) = \Gamma g c_{\sigma_x} b_{\eta_x} a_{T_1} = \Gamma g a_{T_1} c_{\sigma_x e^{T_1}} b_{\eta_x e^{-T_1}} = \Gamma h c_{\sigma_x e^{T_1}} b_{\eta_x e^{-T_1}}$$

and $\tilde{w} := \varphi_{T_3-\tau}(\tilde{z})$, then $\tilde{y} = (-u, -s)_{\tilde{w}} \in \mathcal{P}_{3\varepsilon}(\tilde{w})$. Apply the connecting lemma I to obtain a T' -periodic point $v = \tilde{w}c_{-ue^{-T'_{12}+\sigma}}b_\eta$ satisfying

$$\left| \frac{T' - (T'_{12} + T'_{34})}{2} - \ln(1 + us) \right| < 63\varepsilon^2(e^{-T'_{12}} + e^{-T'_{34}}) \quad (4.31)$$

and

$$|\eta + s| < 2s^2|u| + 2|s|e^{-T_1-T_2} < 54\varepsilon^3 + 6\varepsilon e^{-T_1-T_2}. \quad (4.32)$$

Furthermore,

$$d_X(\varphi_t(v), \varphi_t(\tilde{z})) < 15\varepsilon \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T'_{3,4}]$$

and

$$d_X(\varphi_{t+T'_{34}}(v), \varphi_t(\tilde{w})) < 15\varepsilon \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T'_{1,2}].$$

This yields that the orbit of v is 15ε -close to the orbits of \tilde{x} and \tilde{z} . Together with (4.27) and (4.29), this implies that the orbit of v is 19ε -close to the orbit of x .

Next, we estimate the action difference. According to the Anosov closing lemmas,

$$|\eta_x| < \frac{3\varepsilon}{2}, |\eta_z| < \frac{3\varepsilon}{2}, |\sigma_x| < 2\varepsilon e^{-T_1-T_2}, |\sigma_z| < 2\varepsilon e^{-T_3-T_4}.$$

Observe that

$$|u - u_2| < 9\varepsilon^3 + 2\varepsilon e^{-T_2} + 2\varepsilon e^{-T_4} \quad \text{and} \quad |s - s_2| < 3\varepsilon^3 + 2\varepsilon e^{-T_1} + 2\varepsilon e^{-T_3} \quad (4.33)$$

imply

$$|\ln(1 + us) - \ln(1 + u_2s_2)| < 54\varepsilon^4 + 18\varepsilon^2 e^{-T_1} + 6\varepsilon^2 e^{-T_2} + 18\varepsilon^2 e^{-T_3} + 6\varepsilon^2 e^{-T_4},$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{T' - T'_{12} - T'_{34}}{2} - \ln(1 + u_2s_2) \right| \\ \leq 54\varepsilon^4 + 24\varepsilon^2 e^{-T_1} + 24\varepsilon^2 e^{-T_2} + 24\varepsilon^2 e^{-T_3} + 24\varepsilon^2 e^{-T_4}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.34)$$

owing to (4.31). The estimate (4.25) follows from (4.26), (4.28) and (4.34).

Next, we are going to show that the orbit of v is different from the orbit of x . Analogously to the proof of Theorem 4.1, we write

$$\begin{aligned}
v &= \tilde{w}c_{ue^{-T'_{34}+\sigma}}b_\eta \\
&= (\Gamma hc_{\sigma_x e^{T_1}}b_{\eta_x e^{-T_1}})b_s c_u c_{ue^{-T'_{34}+\sigma}}b_\eta \\
&= \Gamma hc_{\sigma_x e^{T_1}}b_{\eta_x e^{-T_1}+s}c_{u+ue^{-T'_{34}+\sigma}}b_\eta \\
&= \Gamma hc_{u_v}b_{s_v}a_{\tau_v} \\
&= \Gamma hc_{u_2}b_{s_2}b_{\eta_z e^{-T_3}}c_{\sigma_z e^{T_3}}a_{-\tau}c_{ue^{-T'_{34}+\sigma}}b_\eta \\
&= \Gamma hc_{u_2}b_{s_2+\eta_z e^{-T_3}}c_{\sigma_z e^{T_3}+ue^{-T'_{34}+\tau}}b_{\eta e^{-\tau}}a_{-\tau} \\
&= \Gamma hc_{u_v}b_{s_v}a_{\tau_v},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
u_v &= u_2 + \frac{\sigma_z e^{T_3} + ue^{-T'_{34}+\tau} + \sigma}{1 + (s_2 + \eta_z e^{-T_3})(\sigma_z e^{T_3} + ue^{-T'_{34}+\tau} + \sigma)}, \\
s_v &= (s_2 + \eta_z e^{-T_3} + \eta e^{-\tau} + \eta e^{-\tau} \rho_v)(1 + \rho_v), \\
\tau_v &= 2 \ln(1 + \rho_v) - \tau,
\end{aligned}$$

recalling τ from (4.30); here

$$\rho_v = (s_2 + \eta_z e^{-T_3})(\sigma_z e^{T_3} + ue^{-T'_{34}+\tau} + \sigma).$$

A short calculation shows that $|s_v| < 5\varepsilon$ and $|u_v| < 5\varepsilon$. Consequently, $\tilde{v} := \varphi_{-\tau_v}(v) = (u_v, s_v)_y \in \mathcal{P}_{5\varepsilon}(y)$. We need to check that $\tilde{v} \neq y$ and $\tilde{v} \neq w$. For, observe that

$$|u_v - u_2| < 9\varepsilon e^{-T_4}, \quad |s_v - s_2 - \eta| < 15\varepsilon^3.$$

Furthermore, it follows from (4.32) and (4.33) that

$$|\eta + s_2| \leq |\eta + s| + |s - s_2| < 57\varepsilon^3 + 5\varepsilon e^{-T_1} + 2\varepsilon e^{-T_3}.$$

Using assumption (4.24), we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
|u_v| &> |u_2| - |u_v - u_2| > |u_2| - 9\varepsilon e^{-T_4} > 0 \\
|s_v - s_2| &> |s_2| - |s_v - s_2 - \eta| - |\eta + s_2| > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

This means $u_v \neq 0$ and $s_v \neq s_2$. Owing to $\varepsilon < \frac{\sigma_0}{20}$, we get $\tilde{v} \neq y, \tilde{v} \neq w$ and hence the orbit of v is different from the orbit of x .

For the last assertion, recall that the orbit of v is 19ε -close to the original orbit. The uniqueness of partner orbit can be done analogously to the proof of Theorem 4.1. \square

Remark 4.3. (a) The period of the partner orbit can be explicitly computed according to the proof of Anosov closing lemmas and the connecting lemma. The term $33\varepsilon^4$ appears when we change the coordinates (u, s) to (u_2, s_2) (see (4.33)) and it cannot avoid.

(b) Condition (4.24) can be replaced by

$$|u_1| > 9\varepsilon e^{-T_3}, \quad |s_1| > 72\varepsilon^3 + 5\varepsilon e^{-T_4} + 2\varepsilon e^{-T_2}. \quad (4.35)$$

Theorem 4.4. *In the setting of Theorem 4.3, suppose that $x = \Gamma g, y = \Gamma h, z = \Gamma k, w = \Gamma l$ for some $g, h, k, l \in \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$. If $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \gamma_4 \in \Gamma$ satisfy $ga_{T_1} = \gamma_1 h, ha_{T_2} = \gamma_2 k, ka_{T_3} = \gamma_3 l, la_{T_4} = \gamma_4 g$, then the original orbit corresponds to the conjugacy class $\{\gamma_1 \gamma_2 \gamma_3 \gamma_4\}$ and the partner orbit corresponds to the conjugacy class $\{\gamma_2 \gamma_1 \gamma_4 \gamma_3\}$.*

Proof. That the original orbit corresponds to the conjugacy class $\{\gamma_1 \gamma_2 \gamma_3 \gamma_4\}$ can be done analogously to Theorem 4.2. For the last assertion, we can choose $g, h, k, l \in \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ such that $k = gc_{u_1} b_{s_1}$ and $l = hc_{u_2} b_{s_2}$. Then $\varphi_{T_1+T_2}(\Gamma g) = \Gamma gc_{u_1} b_{s_1}$ and $ga_{T_1+T_2} = \gamma_1 ha_{T_2} = \gamma_1 \gamma_2 k = \gamma_1 \gamma_2 gc_{u_1} b_{s_1}$. Similarly, $\varphi_{T_3+T_4}(\Gamma k) = \Gamma kb_{-s_1} c_{-u_1}$ and $ka_{T_3+T_4} = \gamma_3 la_{T_4} = \gamma_3 \gamma_4 g = \gamma_3 \gamma_4 kb_{-s_1} c_{-u_1}$. By the Remark 2.1, the orbit of \tilde{x} corresponds to the conjugacy class $\{\gamma_1 \gamma_2\}_\Gamma = \{\gamma_2 \gamma_1\}_\Gamma$ and the orbit of \tilde{z} corresponds to the class $\{\gamma_3 \gamma_4\}_\Gamma = \{\gamma_4 \gamma_3\}_\Gamma$. The partner orbit corresponds to the conjugacy class

$$\{\gamma_2 \gamma_1 \gamma_4 \gamma_3\}_\Gamma;$$

recall the last assertion of Lemma 2.6. \square

4.3 Periodic orbits including one 2-antiparallel encounter and one 2-parallel encounter intertwined

This subsection deals with periodic orbits with one 2-antiparallel encounter and one 2-parallel encounter intertwined, which is so called *antiparallel-*

parallel intertwined (*api* for short) in [19].




Figure 6: Reconnecting encounter stretches to form a partner orbit in *api* case.

Theorem 4.5. *Let $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{\sigma_0}{20})$. Suppose that a periodic orbit c of the flow $(\varphi_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ on X with period $T > 1$ has one $(2, \varepsilon)$ -parallel encounter and one $(2, \varepsilon)$ -antiparallel encounter intertwined. More precisely, suppose that there are $x, y, z, w \in c$ and $T_1, T_2, T_3, T_4 > 0$ be such that $T_1 + T_2 + T_3 + T_4 = T$, $\varphi_{T_1}(x) = y$, $\varphi_{T_2}(y) = z$, $\varphi_{T_3}(z) = w$ and $\varphi_{T_4}(w) = x$ and $z = (u_1, s_1)_x \in \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon(x)$, $\mathcal{T}(w) = (u_2, s_2)_y \in \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon(y)$ and*

$$|u_1| > 9\varepsilon e^{-T_3}, \quad |s_1| > 72\varepsilon^3 + 5\varepsilon e^{-T_4} + 2\varepsilon e^{-T_2}. \quad (4.36)$$

Then c has a 19ε -partner orbit of period T' which differs in both encounters and the action difference satisfies

$$\left| \frac{T' - T}{2} - \ln(1 + s_1 u_1)(1 + s_2 u_2) \right| \leq 54\varepsilon^4 + 25\varepsilon^2(e^{-T_1} + e^{-T_3} + e^{-T_4}).$$

If $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{\varepsilon_}{38})$, then the partner orbit is unique.*

Proof. The proof of this theorem is similar to that of Theorem 4.3. Note that after obtaining two shorter periodic orbits, the dashed and dotted lines, we consider the time reversal of the former one (Figure 6(c)), and apply the connecting lemma to have a partner depicted by dashed line in Figure 6(d). \square

Remark 4.4. Analogously to Theorem 4.4, in the setting of the previous theorem, if the original orbit corresponds to the conjugacy class $\{\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3\gamma_4\}_\Gamma$, then the partner orbit corresponds to the conjugacy class

$$\{(\gamma_2\gamma_1)^{-1}\gamma_4\gamma_3\}_\Gamma = \{\gamma_1^{-1}\gamma_2^{-1}\gamma_4\gamma_3\}_\Gamma.$$

Remark 4.5. (a) The assumption of compactness is unnecessary for the existence of partner orbits in all cases above. However, we need it for the uniqueness.

(b) The conditions expressed by the coordinates of the piercing points (4.1), (4.24), (4.36) guarantee that the encounter stretches are separated by non-vanishing loops and whence the partner orbit and the original orbit do not coincide. A similar condition is needed in physics literature; see [16, 19].

(c) The approach in the present paper can be applied to consider periodic orbits responsible for all order in τ to the spectral form factor $K(\tau)$.

References

- [1] T. Bedford, M. Keane, and C. Series (Eds.), *Ergodic Theory, Symbolic Dynamics and Hyperbolic Spaces*, Oxford University Press, Oxford 1991.
- [2] M.V. Berry, “Semiclassical theory of spectral rigidity”, *Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A* **400**, 229-251 (1985).
- [3] R. Bowen, “Symbolic dynamics for hyperbolic flows”, *Amer. J. Math.* **95(2)** (1973), 429-460.
- [4] P. Braun, S. Heusler, S. Müller, and F. Haake, “Statistics of self-crossings and avoided crossings of periodic orbits in the Hadamard-Gutzwiller model”, *Eur. Phys. J. B* **30**, 189-206 (2002).

- [5] K. Efetov, *Supersymmetry in Disorder and Chaos*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1997.
- [6] M. Einsiedler and T. Ward, *Ergodic Theory With a View Towards Number Theory*, Springer, Berlin-New York 2011
- [7] B. Gutkin and V.A. Osipov, “Clustering of periodic orbits in chaotic systems”, *Nonlinearity* **2**, 177-199 (2012).
- [8] F. Haake, *Quantum Signatures of Chaos*, 3rd edition, Springer, Berlin-New York 2010.
- [9] J.H. Hannay and A.M. Ozorio de Almeida, “Periodic orbits and a correlation function for the semiclassical density of state”, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **17**, 3429-3440 (1984).
- [10] H. Heusler, S. Müller, A. Altland, P. Braun, and F. Haake, “Periodic orbit theory of level correlations”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 044103 (2007).
- [11] H. Heusler, S. Müller, P. Braun, and F. Haake, “Universal spectral form factor for chaotic dynamics”, *J. Phys. A* **37**, L31-L37 (2004).
- [12] H.M. Huynh and M. Kunze, “Partner orbits and action differences on compact factors of the hyperbolic plane. I: Sieber-Richter pairs”, *Nonlinearity* **28**, 593-623 (2015).
- [13] H.M. Huynh, “Partner orbits and action differences on compact factors of the hyperbolic plane. II: Higher-order encounters”, *Physica D.* **314**, 35-53 (2016).
- [14] H.M. Huynh, “On 2-antiparallel encounters on factors of the hyperbolic plane”, *Quy Nhon J. Sci.* **11(1)**, 5-15 (2017).
- [15] J.P. Keating and J.M. Robbins, “Discrete symmetries and spectral statistics”, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **30**, L177-L181 (1997)
- [16] S. Müller, *Periodic-Orbit Approach to Universality in Quantum Chaos*, PhD thesis, Universität Duisburg-Essen 2005.

- [17] S. Müller, S. Heusler, A. Altland, P. Braun, and F. Haake, “Periodic-orbit theory of universal level correlations in quantum chaos”, *New J. Phys.* **11**, 103025 (2009).
- [18] S. Müller, S. Heusler, P. Braun, F. Haake, and A. Altland, “Semiclassical foundation of universality in quantum chaos”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 014103 (2004).
- [19] S. Müller, S. Heusler, P. Braun, F. Haake, and A. Altland, “Periodic-orbit theory of universality in quantum chaos”, *Phys. Rev. E* **72**, 046207 (2005).
- [20] J. Ratcliff, *Foundations of Hyperbolic Manifolds*, 2nd edition, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 2006.
- [21] M. Sieber, “Semiclassical approach to spectral correlation functions”, in *Hyperbolic Geometry and Applications in Quantum Chaos and Cosmology*, Eds. Bolte J. & Steiner F., London Math. Soc. LNS 397, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge-New York 2012, pp. 121-142.
- [22] M. Sieber and K. Richter, “Correlations between periodic orbits and their rôle in spectral statistics”, *Physica Scripta* **T90**, 128-133 (2001).
- [23] M. Turek, D. Spehner, S. Müller, and K. Richter, “Semiclassical form factor for spectral and matrix element fluctuation of multidimensional chaotic systems”, *Phys. Rev. E* **71**, 1-25 (2005).