ON THE RESOLUTION OF THE DIOPHANTINE EQUATION

 $U_n + U_m = x^q$

P. K. BHOI, S. S. ROUT, AND G. K. PANDA

ABSTRACT. Suppose that U_n is a Lucas sequence of first kind and has a dominant root α with $\alpha>1$ and the discriminant D>0. In this paper, we study the Diophantine equation $U_n+U_m=x^q$ in integers $n\geq m\geq 0, \ x\geq 2, \ \text{and} \ q\geq 2$. Firstly, we show that there are only finitely many of them for a fixed x using linear forms in logarithms. Secondly, we show that there are only finitely many solutions in (n,m,x,q) with $q,x\geq 2$ under the assumption of the abc conjecture. To prove this, we use several classical results like Schmidt subspace theorem, a fundamental theorem on linear equations in S-units and Siegels' theorem concerning the finiteness of the number of solutions of a hyperelliptic equation.

1. Introduction

Let P and Q be the nonzero fixed integers with $D := P^2 - 4Q \neq 0$ and $\gcd(P,Q) = 1$. Let α and β be the roots of the polynomial $x^2 - Px + Q$ with the convention that $|\alpha| > |\beta|$. The Lucas sequences of first and second kind for the roots α and β are given by

$$U_n(P,Q) = \frac{\alpha^n - \beta^n}{\alpha - \beta}$$
 and $V_n(P,Q) = \alpha^n + \beta^n$, for all $n = 0, 1, \cdots$ (1.1)

respectively. It is clear that $U_0 = 1, U_1 = 1$ and that

$$U_{n+2} = PU_{n+1} - QU_n$$
, for $n = 0, 1, ...$ (1.2)

When P and Q are implicitly understood, we are referring the terms of the Lucas sequence of first kind $U_n(P,Q)$ as U_n and second kind $V_n(P,Q)$ as V_n . The sequence $(U_n)_{n\geq 0}$ is called non-degenerate, if α/β is not a root of unity. Further, non-degeneracy implies that $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ is totally real. Throughout this paper, we assume that $(U_n)_{n\geq 0}$ and $(V_n)_{n\geq 0}$ are non-degenerate, and D>0.

Fibonacci sequence $(F_n)_{n\geq 0}$ is an example of Lucas sequence of first kind when (P,Q) = (1,-1) with initial conditions $F_0 = 0$ and $F_1 = 1$. The Lucas sequence of second kind

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 11B39, Secondary 11D61, 11J86. Keywords: Lucas sequences, Diophantine equation, Linear forms in logarithms, abc conjecture.

for (P,Q)=(1,-1), is also known as sequence of Lucas numbers and is denoted by $(L_n)_{n\geq 0}$ with initial conditions $L_0=2$ and $L_1=1$. Recently, the Diophantine equation

$$F_n \pm F_m = y^q, \tag{1.3}$$

where $n \geq m \geq 0, y \geq 2$ and $q \geq 2$ has been studied by a number of authors. For example, Luca and Patel [9] proved that if $n \equiv m \pmod{2}$, then either $n \leq 36$ or y = 0 and n = m. This problem is still open for $n \not\equiv m \pmod{2}$. Using abc-conjecture, Kebli et al. [8] proved that there are only finitely many integer solutions (n, m, y, q) with $y, q \geq 2$. Pink and Ziegler [13] computed all the nonnegative integer solutions $(n, m, z_1, \ldots, z_{46})$ to

$$F_n + F_m = 2^{z_1} 3^{z_2} \cdots 199^{z_{46}}.$$

Zhang and Togbé [18] study a similar type of the Diophantine equation

$$F_n^p \pm F_m^p = y^q$$

in positive integers (n, m, p, q) and $q \ge 2$, $gcd(F_n, F_m) = 1$. Further, Pell sequence $(P_n)_{n\ge 0}$ is another example of Lucas sequence of first kind when (P, Q) = (2, -1) with initial conditions $P_0 = 0$ and $P_1 = 1$. Recently, Aboudja et al., [1] studied the Diophantine equation of the form

$$P_n \pm P_m = y^q, \tag{1.4}$$

where P_k is the k-th term of the Pell sequence. Precisely, they find all solutions in positive integers (n, m, y, q) under the assumption $n \equiv m \pmod{2}$. In this paper, we study a generalization of both (1.3) and (1.4). In particular, we study the Diophantine equation

$$U_n + U_m = x^q$$
 where $n \ge m \ge 0$, $x \ge 2$, $q \ge 2$, (1.5)

where U_k is the k-th term of the Lucas sequence of first kind. Several authors have studied (1.5) with x = 2 in integers (n, m, q). In particular, Bravo and Luca studied the case when U_n is the Fibonacci sequence [3, Theorem 2] and the Lucas number sequence [2, Theorem 2]. Further, certain variations of the Diophantine equation (1.5) has also been studied (see e.g. [11, 12]) for binary recurrence sequences.

Further, note that if we assume $P > 0, Q \neq 0$ with the assumption D > 0, then $\alpha > 1$. Now we state one of our main result.

Theorem 1.1. Let U_n be a Lucas sequence of first kind. Suppose that $(U_n)_{n\geq 0}$ has a dominant root α with $\alpha > 1$ and the discriminant D > 0. Suppose $x \geq 2$ is a fixed integer. Then the non-negative integers solution (n, m, q) to the Diophantine equation (1.5) satisfies

$$n < C_1(\log x)^4$$

whenever $n > C_2$, where C_1, C_2 are effectively computable constants in terms of α, β and D.

Secondly, we ask the question whether equation (1.5) has finitely or infinitely many solutions in all parameters $n \geq m \geq 0, x \geq 2$ and $q \geq 2$. Under the assumption of *abc-conjecture*, we give a proof that the Diophantine equation (1.5) has only finitely many positive integer solutions (n, m, x, q).

Theorem 1.2. Let U_n be a Lucas sequence of first kind. Suppose that $(U_n)_{n\geq 0}$ has a dominant root α with $\alpha > 1$ and the discriminant D > 0. Then under the assumption of abc conjecture, the Diophantine equation (1.5) has only finitely many non-negative integer solutions (n, m, x, q) with $n \geq m, x \geq 2$ and $q \geq 2$.

The organization of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we present different results which are necessary to prove our results. In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1.1 and in the final section, along with other results we prove Theorem 1.2.

2. Auxiliary Results

First we start with definition of radical.

Definition 2.1. The radical of a positive integer n is defined as the product of the distinct prime numbers dividing n, i.e.,

$$rad(n) = \prod_{\substack{p|n\\p\ prime}} p.$$

In 1980, Masser and Oesterlé formulated the following abc conjecture.

Conjecture 1. Let a, b, c be mutually co-prime integers satisfying a+b=c and let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Then there is a constant $\kappa(\epsilon)$ such that

$$\max(|a|, |b|, |c|) \le \kappa(\epsilon) (rad(abc))^{1+\epsilon}. \tag{2.1}$$

Let η be an algebraic number of degree d with minimal polynomial

$$a_0 x^d + a_1 x^d + \dots + a_d = a_0 \prod_{i=1}^d (X - \eta^{(i)})$$

where $a_0 > 0$ and the $\eta^{(i)}$'s are conjugates of η . The absolute logarithmic height of an algebraic number η is defined by

$$h(\eta) = \frac{1}{d} \left(\log|a_0| + \sum_{i=1}^d \log \max(1, |\eta^{(i)}|) \right).$$

In particular, if $\eta=p/q$ is a rational number with $\gcd(p,q)=1$ and q>0, then $h(\eta)=\log\max\{|p|,q\}.$

To prove our theorem, we use lower bounds for linear forms in logarithms to bound the index n appearing in Eq. (1.5). Generically, we need the following general lower bound for linear forms in logarithms due to Matveev [10, Theorem 2.2].

Theorem 2.1. Let \mathbb{L} be an algebraic number field and $d_{\mathbb{L}}$ be the degree of the field \mathbb{L} . Let $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_t \in \mathbb{L}$ be neither 0 nor 1 and let b_1, \ldots, b_t be non-zero rational integers. Let A_i be positive integers such that

$$A_j \ge \max\{d_{\mathbb{L}}h(\gamma_j), |\log \gamma_j|, 0.16\}, \quad j = 1, \dots, t.$$
 (2.2)

Assume that $B \ge \max\{|b_1|, \dots, |b_t|\}$ and $\Lambda := \gamma_1^{b_1} \cdots \gamma_t^{b_t} - 1$. If $\Lambda \ne 0$ and $\mathbb{L} \subset \mathbb{R}$, then $|\Lambda| \ge \exp\left(-1.4 \times 30^{t+3} \times t^{4.5} \times d_{\mathbb{L}}^2 (1 + \log d_{\mathbb{L}})(1 + \log B)A_1 \cdots A_t\right)$.

The following lemma is due to Sanchez and Luca [7, Lemma 7].

Lemma 2.2 ([7]). If
$$m \ge 1, T > (4m^2)^m$$
 and $T > x/(\log x)^m$, then $x < 2^m T (\log T)^m$.

The proof of our theorem also depends on the subspace theorem [15]. Let K be an algebraic number field and \mathcal{O}_K be its ring of integers. Let M_K be the collection of places of K. For $v \in M_K$, $x \in K$, we define the absolute value $|x|_v$ by

- (1) $|x|_v = |\sigma(x)|^{1/[K:\mathbb{Q}]}$ if v corresponds to the embedding $\sigma: K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}$,
- (2) $|x|_v = |\sigma(x)|^{2/[K:\mathbb{Q}]}$ if v corresponds to the pair of complex embeddings $\sigma, \overline{\sigma}: K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$,
- (3) $|x|_v = (N\mathfrak{p})^{-\operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}(x)}/[K:\mathbb{Q}]}$ if v corresponds to the prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of \mathcal{O}_K . Here $N\mathfrak{p} = \#(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p})$ is the norm of \mathfrak{p} and $\operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(x)$ the exponent of \mathfrak{p} in the prime ideal decomposition of (x), with $\operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(0) = \infty$.

These absolute values satisfy the product formula

$$\prod_{v \in M_K} |x|_v = 1 \quad \text{for} \quad x \in K \setminus \{0\}.$$
 (2.3)

Observe that H(x) = |x| for $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ and that

$$H_L(x) = H_K(x)^{[L:K]},$$

for $x \in K$ and for a finite extension L of K. The height of $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in K^n$ with $\mathbf{x} \neq 0$ is defined as follows: for $v \in M_K$,

$$|x|_v = \max_{1 \le i \le n} |x_i|_v.$$

Define

$$H(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{v \in M_K} \max\{1, |x|_v\}.$$

Theorem 2.2 (Subspace Theorem). Let K be an algebraic number field and let $S \subset M_K$ be a finite set of absolute values which contains all the infinite ones. For $v \in S$, let $L_{1,v}, \ldots, L_{n,v}$ be n linearly independent linear forms in n variables with coefficients in K. Let $\delta > 0$ be given. Then the solutions of the inequality

$$\prod_{v \in S} \prod_{i=1}^{n} |L_{i,v}(\mathbf{x})|_{v} < H(\mathbf{x})^{-\delta}$$
(2.4)

with $\mathbf{x} \in (\mathcal{O}_K)^n$ and $\mathbf{x} \neq 0$ lie in finitely many proper subspaces of K^n .

Let S be a finite set of places of K, containing all infinite places. Define the group of S-units of K by

$$\mathcal{O}_S^* := \{ x \in K : |x|_v = 1 \quad \text{for} \quad v \in M_K \setminus S \}. \tag{2.5}$$

We also need the following result on linear equations in S-units (see [4, 14]).

Theorem 2.3. Let $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_m \in K \setminus \{0\}$. Then the equation

$$\gamma_1 y_1 + \dots + \gamma_m y_m = 1$$

has finitely many solutions in S-units y_1, \ldots, y_m such that no proper subsum

$$\gamma_{i_1}y_{i_1}+\cdots+\gamma_{i_r}y_{i_r}=0.$$

Finally we recall the basic classical theorem due to C. L. Siegel concerning the finiteness of the number of solutions of a hyperelliptic equation.

Theorem 2.4 ([16]). Let K be any number field and \mathcal{O}_K the ring of its algebraic integers. Let $f(x) \in K[x]$ be a non-constant polynomial having at least 3 roots of odd multiplicity. Then the Diophantine equation

$$y^2 = f(x)$$

has only finitely many integral solutions $(x, y) \in \mathcal{O}_K^2$.

Now we will find an upper bound for the quantity $|U_n + U_m|$. This bound will be utilized in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 2.3. There exist constants c_1 , d_1 and d_2 such that the following holds:

- $(1) |U_n + U_m| < c_1 \alpha^n.$
- (2) If $n > d_2$, then we have $q < d_1 n$.

Proof. Since $|\alpha| > |\beta|$ and $|\alpha - \beta| = \sqrt{D}$, we get from (1.1) that

$$|U_n| = \left| \frac{\alpha^n - \beta^n}{\alpha - \beta} \right| < \frac{2}{\sqrt{D}} \alpha^n. \tag{2.6}$$

Thus,

$$|U_n + U_m| \le |U_n| + |U_m| < \frac{2}{\sqrt{D}} |\alpha|^n + \frac{2}{\sqrt{D}} |\alpha|^m$$

and since $n \geq m$, we have

$$|U_n + U_m| < c_1 \alpha^n,$$

where $c_1 := 4/\sqrt{D}$.

Using (1.5), we get

$$x^q = |U_n + U_m| < c_1 \alpha^n.$$

Thus, by taking logarithms in the above inequality, we deduce

$$q \log x < \log c_1 + n \log \alpha = n \log \alpha \left(1 + \frac{\log c_1}{n \log \alpha} \right).$$

Assuming that $n > d_2 := \log c_1 / \log \alpha$, we have

$$q < d_1 n / \log x < d_1 n.$$

Lemma 2.4. If (n, m, x, q) is a solution of (1.5) with $n > m, x \ge 2, q \ge 2$, then $n - m \le 3.1 \times 10^9 c_2 \log q \log x$,

where c_2 is effectively computable in terms of α and β .

Proof. Using (1.1) and (2.6), we rewrite (1.5) as

$$\left| \frac{\alpha^n}{\sqrt{D}} - x^q \right| = \left| U_m + \frac{\beta^n}{\sqrt{D}} \right| \le |U_m| + \left| \frac{\beta^n}{\sqrt{D}} \right| \le \frac{2|\alpha|^m + |\beta|^n}{\sqrt{D}}.$$

Diving by α^n/\sqrt{D} on both sides of the above equation, we get

$$\left|1 - x^q \alpha^{-n} \sqrt{D}\right| \le \frac{2|\alpha|^m}{|\alpha|^n} + \frac{|\beta|^n}{|\alpha|^n} \le 3|\alpha|^{m-n}. \tag{2.7}$$

Setting n = qb + r, where $r \in \{0, 1, \dots, q - 1\}$ in the left hand side of (2.7),

$$\left|1 - x^q \alpha^{-qb-r} \sqrt{D}\right| \le 3|\alpha|^{m-n}.$$
(2.8)

Let

$$\Lambda_1 := (x/\alpha^b)^q \alpha^{-r} \sqrt{D} - 1.$$

Now we apply Theorem 2.1, by taking

$$\mathbb{L} = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha), \gamma_1 := x/\alpha^b, \gamma_2 := \alpha, \gamma_3 := \sqrt{D}, b_1 := q, b_2 := -r, b_3 := 1, t = 3, d_{\mathbb{L}} = 2.$$

If $\Lambda_1 = 0$, then $\alpha^{2n} = x^{2q}D \in \mathbb{Q}$, which is not true as α^{2n} is irrational. Therefore, we may assume that $\Lambda_1 \neq 0$. We may take B = q. The minimal polynomial of $\gamma_1 := x/\alpha^b$ is

$$(z - x/\alpha^b)(z - x/\beta^b) = (z - \gamma_1)(z - \overline{\gamma}_1).$$

where $\overline{\gamma}_1$ is the conjugate of γ_1 . Thus, by definition,

$$h(x/\alpha^b) = 1/2 \left[\log \max \left(1, |\gamma_1| \right) + \log \max \left(1, |\overline{\gamma}_1| \right) \right]$$

$$\leq 1/2 \left(\log(x\alpha^b) + \log \alpha \right).$$
(2.9)

From (2.8), we deduce

$$\left[\frac{\alpha^r}{\sqrt{D}}(1 - 3\alpha^{m-n})\right]^{1/q} \le \frac{x}{\alpha^b} \le \left[\frac{\alpha^r}{\sqrt{D}}(1 + 3\alpha^{m-n})\right]^{1/q}.$$
 (2.10)

Thus, from (2.9) and (2.10), we obtain

$$h(\gamma_1) \le 1/2(\log \alpha) + \log x.$$

Again,

$$h(\gamma_2) = 1/2 \left[\log \max \left(1, |\alpha|\right) + \log \max \left(1, |\beta|\right)\right] \le \log \alpha$$

and $h(\gamma_3) = \frac{\log D}{2}$. So, we take

$$A_1 = \log \alpha + 2 \log x$$
, $A_2 = 2 \log \alpha$, $A_3 = \log D$.

By Theorem 2.1, we get

$$|\Lambda_1| > \exp\left(-1.4 \cdot 30^{t+3} \cdot t^{4.5} \cdot d_{\mathbb{L}}^2 (1 + \log d_{\mathbb{L}})(1 + \log B) A_1 A_2 A_3\right).$$
 (2.11)

Hence, from (2.8) and (2.11), it follows that

$$n - m \le 3.1 \times 10^9 c_2 \log q \log x,$$

where c_2 is effectively computable in terms of α and β .

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.1. Our proof closely follows the proof in [11, 12].

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Since $n \ge m$, we divide the proof into two parts according as n = m and n > m.

CASE I. (n = m). In this case, (1.5) becomes $2U_n = x^q$. Thus, $2 \mid x$, so $x = 2x_1$ and hence

$$U_n = 2^{q-1} x_1^q. (3.1)$$

Then by [17, Theorem 2], the maximum of x_1, q and n is less than c_3 , a number which is effectively computable in terms of α, β .

Case II. (n > m). Using (1.1), we rewrite (1.5) as

$$\left| \frac{\alpha^n + \alpha^m}{\sqrt{D}} - x^q \right| = \left| \frac{\beta^n + \beta^m}{\sqrt{D}} \right|.$$

Since n > m, we have $|\beta|^n + |\beta|^m < 2|\beta|^n$. Thus,

$$\left| 1 - x^q \sqrt{D} \alpha^{-n} (1 + \alpha^{m-n})^{-1} \right| \le \frac{|\beta|^n + |\beta|^m}{\alpha^n (1 + \alpha^{m-n})} \le \frac{2|Q|^n}{\alpha^{n+m}}.$$
 (3.2)

Put

$$\Lambda_2 = x^q \sqrt{D} \alpha^{-n} (1 + \alpha^{m-n})^{-1} - 1.$$

Now we employ Theorem 2.1 on Λ_2 . For this take

$$\mathbb{L} = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha), \gamma_1 := x, \gamma_2 := \alpha, \gamma_3 := \sqrt{D}(1 + \alpha^{m-n})^{-1};$$

$$b_1 := q, b_2 := -n, b_3 := 1, t = 3, d_{\mathbb{L}} = 2.$$

Assuming $n > d_2$, we may take $B = d_1 n$ (see Lemma 2.3). If $\Lambda_2 = 0$, then we get

$$x^q \sqrt{D} = \alpha^n + \alpha^m. (3.3)$$

Let σ be a Galois automorphism of \mathbb{L} which sends \sqrt{D} to $-\sqrt{D}$. Then applying σ to (3.3),

$$-x^q \sqrt{D} = \beta^n + \beta^m. \tag{3.4}$$

Since $\alpha > 1$, then from (3.3) and (3.4)

$$\alpha^n \le |\alpha^m + \alpha^n| = |\beta^m + \beta^n| \le 2|\beta|^n, \tag{3.5}$$

and this implies

$$n \le \frac{\log 2}{\log(\alpha/|\beta|)} =: c_4.$$

But this is not true as $n > c_4$. Therefore, $\Lambda_2 \neq 0$. To apply Theorem 2.1, we need to estimate $h(\gamma_i)$ for i = 1, 2, 3. One can easily see that $h(\gamma_1) = \log x$, and $h(\gamma_2) \leq \log \alpha$. By Lemma 2.4

$$h(\gamma_3) \le h(\sqrt{D}) + h(1 + \alpha^{m-n}) \le \log \sqrt{D} + \frac{(n-m)\log \alpha}{2} + \log 2$$

 $\le 3.1 \times 10^9 c_5 \log x \log q.$

Hence,

$$A_1 = 2 \log x$$
, $A_2 = \log \alpha$, $A_3 = 6.2 \times 10^9 c_5 \log x \log q$,

where c_5 is effectively computable in terms of α and β . Thus, we have

$$|\Lambda_2| > \exp[(-1.4)30^6 3^{4.5} 4(1 + \log 2)(1 + \log n)2(\log x)(\log \alpha)6.2 \times 10^9 c_5 \log x \log q].$$

Now comparing the lower and upper bound of Λ_2 , it follows that

$$(n+m)\log \alpha - \log 2 - n\log|Q| < c_6(1+\log n)(\log x)^2\log q.$$
(3.6)

Adding $(n-m)\log \alpha$ to both sides of (3.6) and then using Lemma 2.4,

$$n(2\log \alpha - \log |Q|) < \log 2 + (n-m)\log \alpha + c_6(1 + \log d_1 n)(\log x)^2 \log q$$

$$< \log 2 + (3.1) \times 10^9 c_2 \log q \log x \log \alpha + c_6(1 + \log n)(\log x)^2 \log q$$

$$< c_7 \log q \log x + c_6(1 + \log n)(\log x)^2 \log q.$$

Choose a positive number c_8 such that whenever $n > c_8$, we have $1 + \log n < c_9 \log n$. By Lemma 2.3, we get, $\log q < c_{10} \log n$. Hence,

$$n(2\log \alpha - \log |Q|) < c_7 \log n \log x + c_{11}(\log n)^2 (\log x)^2$$
$$< c_{12}(\log n)^2 (\log x)^2.$$

Thus,

$$\frac{n}{(\log n)^2} < c_{13}(\log x)^2 \tag{3.7}$$

By Lemma 2.2, if $T > 2^8$ and $\frac{n}{(\log n)^2} < T$, then $n < 4T(\log T)^2$. Applying this to (3.7), we get

$$n < 4c_{13}(\log x)^2(\log(c_{13}(\log x)^2))^2.$$

From the inequality $\log x < x$, it follows that for $n > C_2 := \max\{d_2, c_4, c_8\}$

$$n < C_1(\log x)^4$$

where, the constants C_1, C_2 are effectively computable in terms of α, β . This completes the proof of the theorem.

4. Proof of Theorem 1.2

The line of attack to prove Theorem 1.2 is as follows. We first show that when $q > C_3$, equation (1.5) has only finitely many positive integer solutions assuming abc conjecture. We then show that when $2 \le q \le C_3$, equation (1.5) has infinitely many positive integer solutions and further these solutions satisfy equations of the form am + bn = c with $\max\{|a|,|b|\} < C_4$. Finally, using Siegel's theorem we will get a contradiction. Our proof closely follows the proof in ([5, 8]).

Proposition 4.1. Suppose that the abc conjecture holds. Then (1.5) has only finitely many positive integer solutions (n, m, x, q) with $n > C_5$, $q \ge 2$ and $n - m < C_6n$. In particular, it has only finitely many such solutions with $q > C_3$.

Proof. Suppose that $n > C_5$ and $q > C_3$. From (2.6), we know that $|U_n| < \frac{2}{\sqrt{D}}\alpha^n$. Thus,

$$x^{q} = |U_{n} + U_{m}| \le \frac{2(|\alpha|^{n} + |\alpha|^{m})}{\sqrt{D}}$$

and so

$$q\log x \le \log 2 + \log(|\alpha|^n + |\alpha|^m) - \log\sqrt{D}. \tag{4.1}$$

Now using (4.1) in Lemma 2.4, we get

$$n - m \le 3.1 \times 10^9 c_2 \log x \log q$$

$$\leq 3.1 \times 10^9 c_2 \left(\frac{\log 2 + \log(|\alpha|^n + |\alpha|^m) - \log \sqrt{D}}{q} \right) \log q$$

$$\leq 3.1 \times 10^9 c_2 \frac{\log q}{q} (\log 4 + n \log \alpha).$$

Since the function $\frac{\log q}{q}$ is a decreasing function for q > e, so for sufficiently large $q > C_3 := 3.1 \times 10^9 c_2$, we get

$$n-m < c_{14}n$$

with $c_{14} < 1$. Thus, we take $C_6 := c_{14}$. We rewrite left hand side of (1.5) as

$$U_n + U_m = \frac{(1 + \alpha^{m-n})\alpha^n - (1 + \beta^{m-n})\beta^n}{\sqrt{D}}.$$

Consider the integer

$$X_{n,m} := (1 + \alpha^{m-n})\alpha^n + (1 + \beta^{m-n})\beta^n.$$

Observe that

$$X_{n,m}^2 - D(U_n + U_m)^2 = (-1)^n 4Q^n (1 + \alpha^{m-n})(1 + \beta^{m-n}) =: Y_{n,m}.$$

Therefore,

$$|Y_{n,m}| = |(-1)^n 4Q^n (1 + \alpha^{m-n})(1 + \beta^{m-n})| = \left| 4Q^n \left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha^{n-m}} \right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{\beta^{n-m}} \right) \right|$$
$$= \left| 4Q^n \frac{(\alpha^{n-m} + 1)(\beta^{n-m} + 1)}{(\alpha\beta)^{n-m}} \right| = 8Q^m (\alpha^{c_{14}n} + 1) \le c_{15}\alpha^{c_{14}n}.$$

Let $d = \gcd(X_{n,m}^2, D(U_n + U_m)^2)$. Then $d|Y_{n,m}$, so $d \leq c_{16}\alpha^{c_{14}n}$. Applying Conjecture 1 to

$$\frac{Y_{n,m}}{d} + \frac{D(U_n + U_m)^2}{d} = \frac{X_{n,m}^2}{d},$$

we get

$$\frac{X_{n,m}^2}{d} \le c_{17}(\epsilon) (\operatorname{rad}(DX_{n,m}xY_{n,m}))^{1+\epsilon} \le c_{18}(\epsilon) (X_{n,m}x\alpha^{c_{14}n})^{1+\epsilon}.$$

This implies

$$X_{n,m}^2 \le c_{18}(\epsilon)(X_{n,m}x\alpha^{c_{14}n})^{1+\epsilon}d \le c_{19}(\epsilon)(X_{n,m}^{1+\epsilon}x^{1+\epsilon}\alpha^{c_{14}n(2+\epsilon)})$$

Hence, for $\epsilon \in (0,1)$, we get

$$\alpha^{(1-\varepsilon)n} \le X_{n,m}^{1-\epsilon} \le c_{20}(\epsilon)\alpha^{c_{14}n+(1+\epsilon)(n/q)}$$

as $x \le c'_{18}\alpha^{n/q}$, and $X_{n,m} = V_n + V_m > c'_{19}\alpha^n$. Thus,

$$\alpha^{c_{22}(\epsilon)n} \le c_{21}(\epsilon). \tag{4.2}$$

where $c_{22}(\epsilon) := ((1 - \epsilon) - \frac{1+\epsilon}{q} - c_{14})$. Choose ϵ so that $c_{22}(\epsilon) > 1/2$ for $q \geq 2$. Thus, from (4.2), we get

$$n < \frac{\log(c_{21}\epsilon)}{c_{22}(\epsilon)\log\alpha} =: c_{23}.$$

Next we find the solutions in the range $q \le 2 \le C_3$ and $n - m > C_6 n$.

Proposition 4.2. Suppose that abc-conjecture holds. If the equation (1.5) has infinitely many solutions with $n > C_5$, then there is $q \in [2, C_3]$, $r \in \{0, 1, ..., q - 1\}$, and (a, b, c) integers with $(a, b) \neq (0, 0)$, $\max\{|a|, |b|\} \leq q \times 6/C_6$, such that infinitely many of these solutions satisfy $n \equiv r \pmod{q}$ and am + bn = c.

Proof. Assume that the equation (1.5) has infinitely many solutions in the range $n > C_5$, $2 \le q \le C_3$ and $n - m > C_6n$. We denote these solutions by $(n, m, x_{n,m}) \in \mathbb{N}^3$.

Let us consider the expansion of the function $(1+z)^{1/q}$ around the origin,

$$(1+z)^{1/q} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} {1/q \choose j} z^j$$
 with $|z| \le 1, z \ne -1.$ (4.3)

We rewrite (1.5) as

$$x_{n,m}^{q} = U_{n} + U_{m} = \frac{\alpha^{n} - \beta^{n}}{\sqrt{D}} + \frac{\alpha^{m} - \beta^{m}}{\sqrt{D}}$$

$$= \frac{\alpha^{n}}{\sqrt{D}} \left(1 + \alpha^{m-n} - (-1)^{n} Q^{n} \alpha^{-2n} - (-1)^{m} Q^{m} \alpha^{-m-n} \right)$$

$$= \frac{\alpha^{n}}{\sqrt{D}} (1 + \mu_{n,m}),$$
(4.4)

where $\mu_{n,m} = \alpha^{m-n} - (-1)^n Q^n \alpha^{-2n} - (-1)^m Q^m \alpha^{-m-n}$. We set $n = \ell q + r$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}, r \in \{0, \ldots, q-1\}$. Taking q-th roots of (4.4), we get

$$x_{n,m} = \left(\frac{\alpha^n}{\sqrt{D}}\right)^{1/q} (1 + \mu_{n,m})^{1/q} = \frac{\alpha^{r/q}}{D^{1/2q}} \alpha^{\ell} (1 + \mu_{n,m})^{1/q}$$
$$= w\alpha^{\ell} (1 + \mu_{n,m})^{1/q}$$
(4.5)

where $w = \frac{\alpha^{r/q}}{D^{1/2q}}$. Notice that w does not depend upon n or m. Using (4.3), we expand $(1 + \mu_{n,m})^{1/q}$ as

$$(1 + \mu_{n,m})^{1/q} = \sum_{0 \le j \le T} {1/q \choose j} \mu_{n,m}^j + \sum_{j > T} {1/q \choose j} \mu_{n,m}^j.$$
(4.6)

Thus,

$$\left| x_{n,m} - \sum_{0 \le j \le T} {1/q \choose j} \frac{\alpha^{n/q}}{D^{1/2q}} \mu_{n,m}^{j} \right| \le \sum_{j=T+1}^{\infty} \left| {1/q \choose j} \right| \frac{\alpha^{n/q}}{D^{1/2q}} |\mu_{n,m}|^{j}
\le \frac{\alpha^{n/q}}{D^{1/2q}} \frac{1}{q(T+1)} \sum_{j=T+1}^{\infty} |\mu_{n,m}|^{j}
= \frac{\alpha^{n/q}}{D^{1/2q}} \frac{1}{q(T+1)(1-|\mu_{n,m}|)} |\mu_{n,m}|^{T+1}.$$
(4.7)

Observe that $\mu_{n,m} \leq c_{24}\alpha^{m-n} \leq c_{25}\alpha^{-c_{14}n}$. So if $n > \frac{\log c_{25}}{c_{14}\log \alpha}$, then $|c_{25}\alpha^{-c_{14}n}| < 1$. Thus from (4.7), we get

$$\left| x_{n,m} - \sum_{0 \le j \le T} {1/q \choose j} \frac{\alpha^{n/q}}{D^{1/2q}} \mu_{n,m}^{j} \right| \le c_{26} \alpha^{(1/q - c_{14}(T+1))n}$$

$$(4.8)$$

Now choose

$$T > \max\{1, \frac{1}{c_{14}q} + \frac{2}{c_{14}} - 1\}.$$

Then $\alpha^{(1/q-c_{14}(T+1))n} < 1/\alpha^{2n}$. Put $\alpha^{-2} = \gamma$. Then for n large enough, to be more precise, for

$$n > \frac{\log c_{26}}{2\log \alpha} \tag{4.9}$$

we have $c_{26}\alpha^{-2n} < \gamma^n$. Consequently, we obtain

$$\left| x_{n,m} - \sum_{0 \le j \le T} {1/q \choose j} \frac{\alpha^{n/q}}{D^{1/2q}} \mu_{n,m}^j \right| < \gamma^n, \quad \text{with} \quad \gamma < 1.$$
 (4.10)

We expand each of the $\mu_{n,m}^{j}$ using the multinomial formula getting

$$\mu_{n,m}^{j} = \sum_{a+b+c=j} {j \choose a,b,c} (\alpha^{m-n})^{a} ((-1)^{m} Q^{m} \alpha^{-m-n})^{b} ((-1)^{n} Q^{n} \alpha^{-2n})^{c}$$

$$= \sum_{a+b+c=j} (-Q)^{\mu_{a,b,c}} {j \choose a,b,c} \alpha^{(a-b)m-(a+b+2c)n}$$
(4.11)

where

$$(-Q)^{\mu_{a,b,c}} = (-Q)^{mb+nc}. (4.12)$$

Observe that the exponents of α in (4.11) are of the form $\xi_1 m - \xi_2 n$, where $\xi_1 = a - b$ and $\xi_2 = a + b + 2c$ for some non-negative a, b, c with $a + b + c = j \le T$. Thus, $|\xi_1| \le T$ and $0 \le \xi_2 \le 2T$. Again $\xi_1 \le \xi_2$ always holds and $\xi_1 = \xi_2$ holds if and only if b = c = 0, so $\xi_1 = \xi_2 = j$. Thus, from (4.10) and (4.11), we get

$$\left| x_{n,m} - w \sum_{\substack{\xi_1 \le \xi_2 \\ |\xi_1| \le T \\ 0 \le \xi_2 \le 2T}} p_{\xi_1,\xi_2} \alpha^{n/q + \xi_1 m - \xi_2 n} \right| < \gamma^n, \tag{4.13}$$

where the coefficients p_{ξ_1,ξ_2} are given by

$$p_{\xi_1,\xi_2} = \sum_{\substack{(a,b,c)\\a+b+c \le T\\a-b=\xi_1,a+b+2c=\xi_2}} (-Q)^{\mu_{a,b,c}} \binom{1/q}{a+b+c} \binom{a+b+c}{a,b,c}. \tag{4.14}$$

Let $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$, $\mathbb{L} = \mathbb{K}(D^{1/2q}, \alpha^{1/q})$. Let $|\cdot|_{v_1}$ and $|\cdot|_{v_2}$ be the two infinite valuations of \mathbb{K} given by $|x|_{v_1} = |\sigma_1(x)|^{1/2}$ and $|x|_{v_2} = |\sigma_2(x)|^{1/2}$ for $x \in \mathbb{K}$, where $\sigma_1(x)$ is the identity automorphism of \mathbb{K} and $\sigma_2(x)$ is the non-trivial automorphism of \mathbb{K} which sends \sqrt{D} to $-\sqrt{D}$. We extend these valuations in some way to \mathbb{L} .

We consider the set $\xi = \{(\xi_1, \xi_2) : p_{\xi_1, \xi_2} \neq 0\}$. So if $\xi_1 = \xi_2$ then from (4.14), we have

$$p_{\xi_1,\xi_1} = (-Q)^{\mu_{\xi_1,0,0}} {1/q \choose \xi_1} \neq 0.$$

Thus the set ξ contains at least T+1 non-zero pairs, namely of the type (ξ_1, ξ_1) . An upper bound of $|\xi|$ is $(2T+1)^2$. We define the linear forms in $1+|\xi|$ variables denoted

$$\mathbf{x} = (x_0, x_{(\xi_1, \xi_2)} : (\xi_1, \xi_2) \in \xi)$$

in \mathbb{K} given by

$$L_{0,v_1}(\mathbf{x}) = x_0 - w \sum_{(\xi_1, \xi_2) \in \xi} p_{\xi_1, \xi_2} x_{(\xi_1, \xi_2)}, \quad L_{0,v_2}(\mathbf{x}) = x_0$$

and

$$L_{(\xi_1,\xi_2),v_i}(\mathbf{x}) = x_{(\xi_1,\xi_2)}, \text{ for all } (\xi_1,\xi_2) \in \xi, \text{ and } i = 1, 2.$$

Then $L_{0,v_i}(\mathbf{x}), L_{(\xi_1,\xi_2),v_i}(\mathbf{x})$ are $1 + |\xi|$ linear forms in $1 + |\xi|$ variables which are linearly independent for each of i = 1, 2. From (4.13),

$$|L_{0,v_1}(x_{n,m},\alpha^{n/q+\xi_1m-\xi_2n})|v_1 \le c_{27}\gamma^n$$

and since $x_{n,m} \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$|L_{0,v_2}(x_{n,m},\alpha^{n/q+\xi_1m-\xi_2n})|v_2| = |x_{n,m}|_{v_2} = |w\alpha^{\ell}(1+\mu_{n,m})^{1/q}|_{v_2} \le c_{28}\alpha^{n/2q} \le c_{29}\alpha^{n/4}.$$

Further, for $(\xi_1, \xi_2) \in \xi$, we have

$$|L_{(\xi_1,\xi_2),v_1}(\alpha^{n/q+\xi_1m-\xi_2n})|v_1|L_{(\xi_1,\xi_2),v_2}(\alpha^{n/q+\xi_1m-\xi_2n})|v_2|$$

$$= |\alpha\beta|^{(n/q+\xi_1m-\xi_2n)/2} = Q^{(n/q+\xi_1m-\xi_2n)/2}.$$

Thus, for $\mathbf{x} = (x_{n,m}, \alpha^{n/q + \xi_1 m - \xi_2 n} : (\xi_1, \xi_2) \in \xi)$, the product

$$\prod_{i \in \{1,2\}} \prod_{(\xi_1,\xi_2) \in \xi} |L_{0,v_i}(\mathbf{x})|_{v_i} |L_{(\xi_1,\xi_2),v_i}(\mathbf{x})|_{v_i}
\leq c_{30} \alpha^{-n+n/4} Q^{(n/q+\xi_1 m - \xi_2 n)/2} \leq c_{30} \alpha^{-3n/4} \alpha^{n/q+\xi_1 m - \xi_2 n}
\leq c_{31} \alpha^{-3n/4} \alpha^{n(T+1)}.$$
(4.15)

Notice that the components of x are algebraic integers. Hence,

$$|\mathbf{x}|_{v_1} = \max\{|x_{n,m}|_{v_1}, |\alpha^{n/q+\xi_1 m - \xi_2 n}|_{v_1} : (\xi_1, \xi_2) \in \xi\}$$

$$= \max\{|w\alpha^{\ell}(1 + \mu_{n,m})^{1/q}|_{v_1}, |\alpha^{n/q+\xi_1 m - \xi_2 n}|_{v_1} : (\xi_1, \xi_2) \in \xi\}$$

$$< c_{32}\alpha^{n(T+1)}.$$

Similarly, one can compute $|\mathbf{x}|_{v_2} \leq c_{33} \alpha^{n(T+1)}$. Thus,

$$H(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i \in \{1,2\}} |\mathbf{x}|_{v_i} \le c_{34} \alpha^{2n(T+1)} \le c_{35} \alpha^{3nT}.$$
(4.16)

Combining our estimates from (4.15) and (4.16) we get

$$\prod_{i \in \{1,2\}} \prod_{(\xi_1,\xi_2) \in \xi} |L_{0,v_i}(\mathbf{x})|_{v_i} |L_{(\xi_1,\xi_2),v_i}(\mathbf{x})|_{v_i} < H(\mathbf{x})^{-\delta}$$

provided that $\delta < 3/(4c_{14})$. By Theorem 2.2 there exist finitely many non-zero rational linear forms $\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_s$ in $\mathbb{K}^{1+|\xi|}$ such that \mathbf{x} is a zero of some Λ_j .

CASE I: Suppose first Λ_j does not depend on x_0 . In this case, there are some fixed coefficients g_{ξ_1,ξ_2} for $(\xi_1,\xi_2) \in \xi$, not all zero such that infinitely many solutions satisfy

$$\sum_{(\xi_1, \xi_2) \in \xi} g_{\xi_1, \xi_2} \alpha^{n/q + \xi_1 m - \xi_2 n} = 0.$$

This is an S-unit equation, where S is the multiplicative group generated by α . If it does have zero sub-sums, we replace it with a minimal such one, which is a zero sub-sum which has no non-trivial zero sub-sums. By Theorem 2.3, each one has only finitely many projective solutions. That is, if $(\xi_1, \xi_2) \neq (\xi'_1, \xi'_2)$ such that

$$g_{\xi_1,\xi_2}\alpha^{n/q+\xi_1m-\xi_2n} + g_{\xi_1',\xi_2'}\alpha^{n/q+\xi_1'm-\xi_2'n} = 0$$

then the ratio

$$\frac{\alpha^{\xi_1 m - \xi_2 n}}{\alpha^{\xi_1' m - \xi_2' n}}$$

belong to a finite set. Thus, there are only finite number of values of $(\xi_1 - \xi_1')m - (\xi_2 - \xi_2')n$. Since $|\xi_1 - \xi_1'| \leq 2T \leq 2(3/c_{14})$ and $|\xi_2 - \xi_2'| \leq 2T \leq 2(3/c_{14})$, it follows that there are infinite number of pairs (m, n) satisfying equation (1.5) for the fixed q and $n \equiv r \pmod{q}$ with am + bn = c holds for some integers (a, b, c) with $(a, b) \neq (0, 0)$ and $\max\{|a|, |b|\} \leq 6/c_{14}$.

CASE II: Suppose Λ_j depend on x_0 . Clearly, $\Lambda_j \neq 0$, as our solutions contain $x_{n,m} > 0$ and Λ_j depend on x_0 . So, there are coefficients g_{ξ_1,ξ_2} for $(\xi_1,\xi_2) \in \xi$, not all zero and the subspace is given by the equation

$$\sum_{(\xi_1, \xi_2) \in \mathcal{E}} g_{\xi_1, \xi_2} \alpha^{n/q + \xi_1 m - \xi_2 n} = x_0.$$

Let ξ_1^0 be the minimal with $g_{\xi_1^0,\xi_2} \neq 0$ for some ξ_2 . Fixing ξ_1^0 , let ξ_2^0 be the maximal of all ξ_2 such that $g_{(\xi_1^0,\xi_2^0)} \neq 0$. So the minimum of the expression $\xi_1 m - \xi_2 n$ over all (ξ_1,ξ_2) with $g_{(\xi_1^0,\xi_2^0)} \neq 0$ is (ξ_1^0,ξ_2^0) . Thus,

$$x_0^q = g_{\xi_1^0, \xi_2^0} \alpha^{n+q(\xi_1^0 m - \xi_2^0 n)} + \sum_{(\xi_1^1, \xi_2^1), \dots, (\xi_1^q, \xi_2^q)}^{\prime} g_{\xi_1^1, \xi_2^1} \cdots g_{\xi_1^q, \xi_2^q} \alpha^{n+(\sum_{t=1}^q \xi_1^t)m - (\sum_{t=1}^q \xi_2^t)n}, \quad (4.17)$$

where \sum' means that in the summation range, the option $(\xi_1^1, \xi_2^1) = \cdots = (\xi_1^q, \xi_2^q) = (\xi_1^0, \xi_2^0)$ is not allowed. Again since,

$$x_0^q = U_n + U_m = \frac{1}{\sqrt{D}} (\alpha^n + \alpha^m - (-1)^n Q^n \alpha^{-n} - (-1)^m Q^m \alpha^{-m}),$$

we get an S-unit equation

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{D}} (\alpha^{n} + \alpha^{m} - (-1)^{n} Q^{n} \alpha^{-n} - (-1)^{m} Q^{m} \alpha^{-m}) - g_{\xi_{1}^{0}, \xi_{2}^{0}} \alpha^{n+q(\xi_{1}^{0}m - \xi_{2}^{0}n)}
- \sum_{(\xi_{1}^{1}, \xi_{2}^{1}), \dots, (\xi_{1}^{q}, \xi_{2}^{q})}^{\prime} g_{\xi_{1}^{1}, \xi_{2}^{1}} \cdots g_{\xi_{1}^{q}, \xi_{2}^{q}} \alpha^{n+(\sum_{t=1}^{q} \xi_{1}^{t})m - (\sum_{t=1}^{q} \xi_{2}^{t})n} = 0$$
(4.18)

Consider a non-degenerate subsum of (4.18) as follows:

$$g_{\xi_1^0,\xi_2^0}\alpha^{n+q(\xi_1^0m-\xi_2^0n)} + g_{\xi_1^1,\xi_2^1}\cdots g_{\xi_1^q,\xi_2^q}\alpha^{n+(\sum_{t=1}^q \xi_1^t)m-(\sum_{t=1}^q \xi_2^t)n} = 0.$$

Then by Theorem 2.3,

$$\frac{\alpha^{n+q(\xi_1^0 m - \xi_2^0 n)}}{\alpha^{n+(\sum_{t=1}^q \xi_1^t) m - (\sum_{t=1}^q \xi_2^t) n}}$$

belong to a finite set. Thus,

$$\left(q\xi_1^0 - \sum_{t=1}^q \xi_1^t\right) m - \left(q\xi_2^0 - \sum_{t=1}^q \xi_2^t\right) n \tag{4.19}$$

belong to a finite set. One can observe that at least one of the coefficients in (4.19) of either m or n is non-zero. Hence, we get a relation of the form am + bn = c, with $(a, b) \neq (0, 0)$ and $\max\{|a|, |b|\} \leq q \times 6/c_{14}$ and finitely many possibilities for c.

Next consider a non-degenerate subsum of (4.18) containing $\alpha^{n+q(\xi_1^0m-\xi_2^0n)}$ and any one of $\alpha^n, \alpha^{-n}, \alpha^m$ or α^{-m} . For example,

$$g_{\xi_1^0, \xi_2^0} \alpha^{n+q(\xi_1^0 m - \xi_2^0 n)} + \alpha^n = 0.$$

Then $\alpha^{q(\xi_1^0 m - \xi_2^0 n)}$ belong to a finite set and hence we get a relation of the form am + bn = c. Similarly, any one of these possibilities gives a relation of the form am + bn = c with $(a, b) \neq (0, 0)$ and $\max\{|a|, |b|\} \leq q \times 6/c_{14}$ and finitely many possibilities for c. This completes the proof of proposition.

PROOF OF THEOREM1.2 Suppose that the *abc conjecture* holds and $n > C_5, q > C_3$ and $n - m < C_6n$. Then by Proposition 4.1, equation (1.5) has only finitely many solutions.

Now we may assume that $2 \le q \le C_3$, $n-m > C_6 n$ and suppose that (1.5) has infinitely many solutions. Then by Proposition 4.2, there are integers a, b and c with $(a, b) \ne (0, 0)$, $\max\{|a|, |b|\} \le q \times 6/C_6$ such that infinitely many of these solutions satisfy $n \equiv r \pmod{q}$ and am + bn = c.

Further, we may assume that a and b are co-prime. If a = 0, then n is bounded and we get a contradiction. If b = 0, then m = c/a which is a fixed number. So, putting $t_0 = U_m$ in (1.5), we have that $U_n = x^q - t_0$ has infinitely many solutions in positive

integers (n, x). Using the relation $V_n^2 - DU_n^2 = 4Q^n$ between Lucas sequences of first and second kind, we get

$$V_n^2 = D(x^q - t_0)^2 + 4Q^n.$$

Then the equation $y^2 = f(X)$, where $f(X) = D(X^q - t_0)^2 + 4Q^n$, has infinite integer solutions (y, x). By Theorem 2.4, f(X) must have double roots. Clearly, $f'(X) = 2q(X^q - t_0)X^{q-1}$ and one can see that f(X) and f'(X) have no common root, which is a contradiction. Thus, $ab \neq 0$. Note that a and b must have opposite sign since otherwise we get only finitely many solutions. Changing the sign say b to -b, assume that am - bn = c and that a and b are both positive. Then $n = n_0 + at$, $m = m_0 + bt$ for some integer t and some fixed integers n_0, m_0 satisfying $am_0 - bn_0 = c$. For large m, n, we have t is positive and since $n - m > c_1 n$, it follows that b < a. Thus,

$$x^{q} = U_{n} + U_{m}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{D}} \left(\alpha^{n_{0} + at} + \alpha^{m_{0} + bt} - (-1)^{n} Q^{n_{0} + at} \alpha^{-n_{0} - at} - (-1)^{m} Q^{m_{0} + bt} \alpha^{-m_{0} - bt} \right).$$
(4.20)

Note that $n = n_0 + at = \ell q + r$, so $at - n_0 = \ell q + (r - 2n_0)$. Now multiplying α^{at-n_0} on both sides of (4.20) and , we get

$$\delta y^q = \alpha^{2at} + \alpha^{(a+b)t} \alpha^{m_0} \alpha^{-n_0} - (-1)^n Q^{n_0+at} \alpha^{-2n_0} - (-1)^m Q^{m_0+bt} \alpha^{(a-b)t-m_0-n_0}$$

where $\delta = \sqrt{D}\alpha^{r-2n_0}$ and $y = \alpha^{\ell}x \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}$. Hence the polynomial

$$P(X) = X^{2a} + X^{a+b}\alpha^{m_0} - (-1)^m Q^{b+m_0} X^{a-b} - (-1)^n Q^{a+n_0}\alpha^{-n_0} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}[X]$$

is such that the equation $P(x_1) = \delta y^q$ has infinitely many solutions $(x_1, y) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}^2$. Then using Theorem 2.4 and the arguments in similar context from [6, 8], we conclude that all roots of P(X) have multiplicity a multiple of p. Hence, we can write

$$P(X) = Q_1(X)^p \in \mathbb{K}[X] \tag{4.21}$$

since P(X) is monic polynomial. Again, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}[X]$ is integrally closed and $P(X) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}[X]$, so $Q_1(X) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}[X]$. Now, putting $e_1 := \deg Q_1(X)$ and then comparing degrees of both polynomials in (4.21), we get $e_1p = 2a$, so $p \mid 2a$ and hence $e_1 = 2a/p$. Now write $Q_1(X) = X^{e_1} + \gamma_1 X^{e_1 - e_2} + \text{monomials of degree less } e_2 - e_1$, where $e_2 \geq 1$. Then comparing the second leading coefficient of P(X) and $Q_1(X)$, we get

$$P(X) = X^{2a} + X^{a+b}\alpha^{m_0} - (-1)^m Q^{b+m_0} X^{a-b} - (-1)^n Q^{a+n_0}\alpha^{-n_0}$$

= $Q_1(X)^p = (X^{e_1} + \gamma_1 X^{e_1-e_2} + \cdots)^p$
= $X^{pe_1} + p\gamma_1 X^{pe_1-e_2} + \cdots$

we get $\gamma_1 = \alpha^{m_0}/p$, which is not an algebraic integer because $p \geq 2$ is a prime and α is a unit, that is $Q_1[X] \notin \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}[X]$. This is a contradiction as $Q_1(X) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}[X]$. This completes the proof.

References

- [1] H. Aboudja, M. Hernane, S.E. Rihane, and A. Togbé. On perfect powers that are sums of two Pell numbers, Period Math Hung. 82 (2021), 11–15.
- [2] J. J. Bravo and F. Luca, Power of two as sums of two Lucas numbers, J. Integer Seq. 17 (2014), A.14.8.3.
- [3] J. J. Bravo and F. Luca, Power of two as sums of two Fibonacci numbers, Quaest. Math. 39 (2016), 391–400.
- [4] J.-H. Evertse, On sums of S-units and linear recurrences, Compositio Math. 53 (1984), 225–244.
- [5] C. Fuchs, Polynomial-exponential equations involving multi-recurrences, Studia Sci. Math. Hung. 46 (2009) 377-398.
- [6] Y. Fujita and F. Luca, On Diophantine quarduples of Fibonacci numbers, Glas. Mat. Ser. III 52(72) (2017) 221-234.
- [7] S. Guzman-Sanchez and F. Luca, Linear combinations of factorials and S-units in a binary recurrence sequence, Ann. Math. Qué. 38 (2014), 169–188.
- [8] S. Kebli, O. Kihel, J. Larone, and F. Luca, On the nonnegative integer solutions to the equation $F_n \pm F_m = y^a$, J. Number Theory **220** (2021) 107-127.
- [9] F. Luca and V. Patel, On perfect powers that are sums of two Fibonacci numbers, J. Number Theory 189 (2018) 90–98.
- [10] E. M. Matveev, An explicit lower bound for a homogeneous rational linear form in the logarithms of algebraic numbers, Izv. Math. **64** (2000), 1217–1269.
- [11] E. Mazumdar and S. S. Rout, Prime powers in sums of terms of binary recurrence sequences, Monatsh. Math. 189 (2019), 695–714.
- [12] N. K. Meher and S. S. Rout, Linear combinations of prime powers in sums of terms of binary recurrence sequences, Lith. Math. J. 57(4) (2017), 506-520.
- [13] I. Pink and V. Ziegler, Effective resolution of Diophantine equations of the form $u_n + u_m = wp_1^{z_1} \cdots p_s^{z_s}$, Monatsh Math **185** (2018) 103–131.
- [14] H. P. Schlickewei, S-unit equations over number fields, Invent. Math. 102 (1990), 95–107.
- [15] W.M. Schmidt, Diophantine Approximations and Diophantine Equations, vol 1467, Springer-Verlag, 1991.
- [16] C. L. Siegel, The integer solutions of the equation $y^2 = ax^n + bx^{n-1} + \cdots + k$, J. Lond. Math. Soc. 1 (1926), 66-68.
- [17] T. N. Shorey and C. L. Stewart, On the Diophantine equation $ax^{2t} + bx^ty + cy^2 = d$ and pure powers in recurrence sequences, Math. Scand. **52** (1983), 24–36.
- [18] Z. Zhang and A. Togbé, Perfect powers that are sums of two powers of Fibonacci numbers, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 99(1) (2019), 34-41.

PRITAM KUMAR BHOI, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY ROURKELA-769008, INDIA

 $Email\ address: {\tt pritam.bhoi@gmail.com}$

Sudhansu Sekhar Rout, Institute of Mathematics and Applications, Andharua, Bhubaneswar, Odisha - 751029, India.

 $\it Email\ address: \ lbs.sudhansu@gmail.com; \ sudhansu@iomaorissa.ac.in$

GOPAL KRISHNA PANDA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY ROURKELA-769008, INDIA

Email address: gkpanda@nitrkl.ac.in