

# Identifying OCRs in cfDNA WGS Data by Correlation Clustering

February 2022

Farshad Noravesh<sup>1\*</sup> Fahimeh Palizban<sup>2\*</sup>

## Abstract

Open chromatin regions (OCRs) are of the most important epigenetic features that play important role in cell regulating mechanisms and accordingly their investigation is of high interest and importance for disease diagnosis and monitoring particularly in cancer related studies. In recent decade, the emergence of liquid biopsy(LP) has huge positive impact on cancer early detection and to improve the accuracy and specificity of LP related tests requires well-established experimental and bioinformatic pipeline analysis. Accordingly, applying a multimodal analysis that investigate different types of genomic and epigenomic features from the same sample may lead to better performance along with reduced experimental cost and time. Due to the critical role of cell free DNA(cfDNA) in cancer detection, we aimed to propose a computational approach to target the OCR prediction task as an epigenetic analysis from cfDNA whole genome sequence(WGS) file. Here, by considering the combination of several mathematical concepts which includes the signal processing, graph, and correlation clustering algorithms we were able to use unique read depth counts from a cfDNA WGS bam file to predict the possible OCRs. It is worth mentioning this is a very challenging computational problem to accurately detect OCRs from plasma cfDNA-seq data due to the existence of several confounding factors such as technical and biological variations however, assessment of the obtained results based on other experimentally validated databases showed the decent concordance and accuracy.

**Keywords**— cfDNA, OCR, signal processing, correlation clustering

---

\*These authors contributed equally to this work

<sup>1</sup>noraveshfarshad@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup>Laboratory of Complex Biological Systems and Bioinformatics (CBB), Institute of Biochemistry and Biophysics (IBB), University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran.  
fahimehpalizban@ut.ac.ir

# 1 Introduction

Open chromatin regions (OCRs) are nucleosome-depleted regions that can be bound by protein factors and can play various roles in DNA replication, nuclear organization, and gene transcription [9]. Accordingly, they are suitable biomarkers for epigenomic analysis during disease progression particularly in cancer initiation and progression[3]. In the area of cancer early detection, especially for the inaccessible human tissues, such as solid tumours non-invasively monitoring the genomics and dynamics of their epigenomes is still largely unexplored. Circulating cell-free DNA (cfDNA) in the peripheral blood offers a promising and non-invasive approach to monitoring the genome and epigenome dynamics of the tissues[1]. However, most of the recent cfDNA WGS studies only focus on the genetic alterations in the cfDNA and identifying epigenomic features from WGS data has not been considered mostly. In this regard, proposing a well-defined in silico analysis method for determining the genomic and epigenomic aspects of a cfDNA from a healthy or cancer individual by obtaining a single WGS data is of the interest. Determining cancer-specific OCRs facilitates the studies focusing on the epigenetic aspects of cancers and accordingly their results can be used for other aims like tumor type classification and tissue of origin analysis[5]. There are several experimental approaches for OCR detection such as ChIP-Seq, DNase-seq, ATAC-seq, MNase-seq. The cfDNA data have its own hidden variations that account for meaningful predictive markers such as its sequencing depth and fragmentation pattern and these factors are reliable indicators for inferring cell dynamics and pathways based on gene expression content. It is evident that OCRs are mostly located in transcription factor binding sites (TFBS) and so they have a significant effect on cell development and evolution and these regions can be investigated from cfDNA sequencing data[4]. Snyder used the fragment patterns of cfDNA to propose OCR detection method using window protection score (WPS) by calculating in a 120 bp sliding window[6]. Another study named OCRDetector, calculates the coverage of cfDNA and the waveform of WPS for each 20000 bp interval from high coverage sequencing data[11].

According to the previous studies, detecting OCRs in cfDNA related data is challenging and to achieve accurate results. Read depth is one of the preliminary features for investigating the OCRs in genomic data. As it has been reported, the read depth in the genomic regions related to OCRs shows reduction and the depth count fluctuation throughout the genome contains meaningful information that needs to be decoded in order to discover the possible OCRs. In this regard, we aimed to exploit the computational models in this area. To fulfill this objective, graph based model consisting of graph signal processing along with correlation clustering was implemented to predict the OCRs in cfDNA WGS data. The schematic overview of the proposed model was illustrated in figure1.




Figure 1: Schematic overview of OCR prediction method

## 2 Materials and Methods

In the current study, we aimed to predict reliable OCRs from cfDNA WGS data even with low sequencing depth. Accordingly, healthy and cancer related samples with low and high depth of sequencing were used in the development and validation of the proposed method.

### 2.1 Data Collection

The cfDNA WGS dataset including healthy and cancer cfDNA samples with distinct sequencing depth was obtained with accession number of GSE71378 [6] and GSE114511 [8]. Here, two types of data (healthy and lung cancer) with two different sequencing depth (low and high) were included in the study. The mentioned raw sequencing data was aligned against hg19 with BWA aln and the obtained bam files with their indexes were used for further pre-processing steps. Along with the input sample files, previously reported and experimentally

validated OCRs of human genome were obtained from ATACdb[10] for using as a gold standard data for our model evaluation.

## 2.2 Pre-processing

After obtaining the bam files of cfDNA, the implemented in house python script was applied on it to count the unique read depth in a 1000 bp sliding window. The regions with more than 60 count for their depth were omitted. Then, the resulted genomic coordinates were compared with the ATACdb reported OCRs and the concordance between them were assessed. In the next step, the calculated depth counts were considered as discrete signals and then were converted to discrete-frequency representation by applying DFT algorithm[7].

## 2.3 Graph construction

Now each node of the soon to be constructed graph represents the DFT and its features are DFT coefficients which describe the sinusoids that, when added, yield the original signal. Here, the nodes matching the ATACdb informed regions, were labeled as OCR+. After obtaining nodes, constructing the network was done by KNN algorithm based on the Euclidean distance counts of the mentioned features.

### 2.3.1 Applying Correlation Clustering

After constructing the graph, correlation clustering was applied on it. There have been several algorithms for correlation clustering which mainly differ due to their optimization method. Here, we carried out the graph clustering based on the proposed method by Charikar[2]. In this regard, the local objective is considered instead of global one by minimizing the maximum number of disagreements for edges incident on a single node, and the analogous max min agreements objective. The objective function was implemented for local minimization of disagreements and graph cuts and was solved by linear programming in SciPy package.

Because, the correlation clustering will be used to cluster the constructed graph, (-1,+1) was assigned to the edge's weights. The input of the LP relaxation is the list of nodes along with weight matrix. Then, by applying LP, finding the clustering that minimize the total weight of misclassified edges can be carried out. The output of the linear programming was the list of pair nodes with their optimized weight value that needs to be rounded in the next step. After calculating the optimized values of the weights the layered clustering was implemented.

## 2.4 Validation

The predicted OCR regions need to be evaluated in order to reduce the false positive rate. Here, another publicly available database of DNase-seq(<http://www.uwencode.org/>) was considered.

## 3 Results

As mentioned earlier, we aimed to propose a computational method for OCR prediction that can handle most of the technical and experimental variations. Accordingly, the healthy and cancerous samples with distinct sequencing depth and library preparation method were obtained. The list of the samples along with their QC features was illustrated in Table1.

cfDNA WGS samples			
Sample ID	Sequencing Depth	GC content	Sample type
GSM1833276			Healthy
GSM3143257			Healthy
GSM1833231			Lung cancer
GSM1833245			Lung cancer

The comparison between these bins and the OCR related coordinates extracted from ATACdb showed that the low depth regions of cfDNA bam files mostly matched with the ATACdb reported OCRs in human genome. This similarity is illustrated in figure2.




Figure 2: Comparison of extracted genomic regions with ATACdb reported regions

By achieving decent concordance between the extracted and experimentally validated regions, the read depth count of each regions was converted to a signal by DFT:




Figure 3: Signal representation of each node

Here, each colour represents and then edges of the constructed graph were also coloured as blue/red after labeling the nodes based on experimentally validated data.(figure4)




Figure 4: Constructed graph by KNN

This graph was constructed based on similarity of the nodes. However, it should be optimized and then clustered to predict the possible OCR candidates. In this regard the output of the LP relaxation was prepared(supplementary1) and this result is the input for layered clustering.

## 4 Discussion

In the current study, we aimed to propose a computational method for OCR detection from cfDNA WGS data based on sequencing depth due to the high expense and experimental complexity of ChIP-Seq, DNase-seq, ATAC-seq, and MNase-seq. OCRs are one of the most important epigenetic features that can be investigated for cancer diagnosis and as it is evident cfDNA analysis plays significant role in cancer detection and monitoring. Because, cfDNA data has its own unique variations when comparing with tissue-derived NGS data, its usage in clinic needs combination of genetic and epigenetic features to achieve more accurate results. However, including several lab experiments to cover genetic and epigenetic aspects of the samples requires complex in silico(bioinformatic) analysis along with wet lab costs and labor. By considering the mentioned restrictions and obstacles, providing a bioinformatic method for investigating genetic and epigenetic features from the single cDNA WGS data is of special interest and importance. In the other hand, focusing on the sequencing depth values instead of integrating several other features and exploiting fundamental computational approaches such the signal processing and graph correlation clustering in this area leads to a simple and accurate OCR predictive model. Having network based attitude for OCR prediction along with paying significant attention on signal related mathematical features for read depth count was done for primer time in the current study and this approach may help us to reduce the technical biases originated from sequencing depth. The accuracy of the proposed method has been evaluated by using several experimentally proved databases and there is a decent positive correlation between them. This is a comprehensive pipeline that can predict OCRs from WGS data with low false positive rate. However, there are several biological factors that influence the sequencing depth and need to be considered such as which will be added to the original version of the method in future works.

## 5 Data Availability

All the raw cfDNA WGS samples were obtained from NCBI GEO under the accession number of GSE71378 and GSE114511.

## 6 Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

- [1] Abel Jacobus Bronkhorst, Vida Ungerer, and Stefan Holdenrieder. The emerging role of cell-free dna as a molecular marker for cancer management. *Biomolecular detection and quantification*, 17:100087, 2019.

- [2] Moses Charikar, Neha Gupta, and Roy Schwartz. Local guarantees in graph cuts and clustering. In *International Conference on Integer Programming and Combinatorial Optimization*, pages 136–147. Springer, 2017.
- [3] William A Flavahan, Elizabeth Gaskell, and Bradley E Bernstein. Epigenetic plasticity and the hallmarks of cancer. *Science*, 357(6348):eaal2380, 2017.
- [4] Muhammed Murtaza and Carlos Caldas. Nucleosome mapping in plasma dna predicts cancer gene expression. *Nature Genetics*, 48(10):1105–1106, 2016.
- [5] Anirudh Natarajan, Galip Gürkan Yardımcı, Nathan C Sheffield, Gregory E Crawford, and Uwe Ohler. Predicting cell-type-specific gene expression from regions of open chromatin. *Genome research*, 22(9):1711–1722, 2012.
- [6] Matthew W Snyder, Martin Kircher, Andrew J Hill, Riza M Daza, and Jay Shendure. Cell-free dna comprises an in vivo nucleosome footprint that informs its tissues-of-origin. *Cell*, 164(1-2):57–68, 2016.
- [7] Ljubisa Stankovic, Danilo P Mandic, Milos Dakovic, Ilia Kisil, Ervin Sejdic, and Anthony G Constantinides. Understanding the basis of graph signal processing via an intuitive example-driven approach [lecture notes]. *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*, 36(6):133–145, 2019.
- [8] Yee Voan Teo, Miriam Capri, Cristina Morsiani, Grazia Pizza, Ana Maria Caetano Faria, Claudio Franceschi, and Nicola Neretti. Cell-free dna as a biomarker of aging. *Aging cell*, 18(1):e12890, 2019.
- [9] Robert E Thurman, Eric Rynes, Richard Humbert, Jeff Vierstra, Matthew T Maurano, Eric Haugen, Nathan C Sheffield, Andrew B Stergachis, Hao Wang, Benjamin Vernot, et al. The accessible chromatin landscape of the human genome. *Nature*, 489(7414):75–82, 2012.
- [10] Fan Wang, Xuefeng Bai, Yuezhu Wang, Yong Jiang, Bo Ai, Yong Zhang, Yuejuan Liu, Mingcong Xu, Qiuyu Wang, Xiaole Han, et al. Atacdb: a comprehensive human chromatin accessibility database. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 49(D1):D55–D64, 2021.
- [11] Jiayin Wang, Liubin Chen, Xuanping Zhang, Yao Tong, and Tian Zheng. Ocrdetector: Accurately detecting open chromatin regions via plasma cell-free dna sequencing data. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 22(11):5802, 2021.