# QUANTITATIVE ESTIMATE OF DIAMETER FOR WEIGHTED MANIFOLDS UNDER INTEGRAL CURVATURE BOUNDS AND $\varepsilon$ -RANGE

### TAKU ITO

ABSTRACT. In this article, we extend the compactness theorems proved by Sprouse [12] and Hwang–Lee [3] to a weighted manifold under the assumption that the weighted Ricci curvature is bounded below in terms of its weight function. With the help of the  $\varepsilon$ -range, we treat the case that the effective dimension is at most 1 in addition to the case that the effective dimension of the manifold. To show these theorems, we extend the segment inequality of Cheeger–Colding [1] to a weighted manifold.

### CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries and segment inequality with $\varepsilon$ -range	5
2.1. Preliminaries	5
2.2. Segment inequality with $\varepsilon$ -range	6
3. Proof of Theorem 1.7	10
4. Proof of Theorem 1.8	15
5. Fundamental group under integral curvature bound and $\varepsilon$ -range	20
References	22

# 1. INTRODUCTION

One of the most fundamental theorems in Riemannian geometry is the Myers theorem [9], which states that if an *n*-dimensional complete Riemannian manifold (M, g)satisfies  $\operatorname{Ric}_g \geq (n-1)K$  with K > 0 and  $n \geq 2$ , then M is compact and its diameter diam(M) is at most  $\pi/\sqrt{K}$ . Moreover, its fundamental group  $\pi_1(M)$  is a finite group. Here, we denote by  $\operatorname{Ric}_g$  the Ricci curvature of (M, g). For  $\kappa \in C^{\infty}(M)$ ,  $\operatorname{Ric}_g \geq \kappa$  means that  $\operatorname{Ric}_g(v, v) \geq \kappa(p)g(v, v)$  holds for  $v \in T_pM$ . This theorem has been generalized by several ways. As one of these attempts, we introduce the theorems by Sprouse [12] below.

In this article, we always assume that (M, g) is a complete Riemannian manifold and its dimension is at least 2. We denote by d and  $\operatorname{vol}_g$  the Riemannian distance function and the volume measure on (M, g), respectively. We write  $a_+ = \max\{a, 0\}$  for  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . Set

Date: February 15, 2022.

<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 53C20,58C35.

Key words and phrases. Myers theorem, integral curvature, weighted manifold,  $\varepsilon$ -range.

 $\mathbb{N}_{\geq 2} = \{ n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n \geq 2 \}. \text{ For } p \in M \text{ and } R > 0, \text{ we denote} \\ U_p M = \{ v \in T_p M \mid g(v, v) = 1 \}, \\ \operatorname{Ric}_{g-}(p) = \inf\{ \operatorname{Ric}_g(v, v) \mid v \in U_p M \}, \end{cases}$ 

$$B(p,R) = \{ q \in M \mid d(p,q) < R \}.$$

**Theorem 1.1** ([12, Theorem 1.1]). Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$  and  $\eta > 0$ . There exists a positive constant  $\delta(n, \eta)$  such that if an n-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold (M, g) satisfies  $\operatorname{Ric}_g \geq 0$  and

$$\frac{1}{\operatorname{vol}_g(M)} \int_M \left( (n-1) - \operatorname{Ric}_{g-} \right)_+ d\operatorname{vol}_g < \delta(n,\eta)$$

then  $\operatorname{diam}(M) < \pi + \eta$ .

**Theorem 1.2** ([12, Theorem 1.2]). Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$ ,  $K \leq 0$  and  $R, \eta > 0$ . There exists a positive constant  $\delta(n, K, R, \eta)$  such that if an n-dimensional complete Riemannian manifold (M, g) satisfies  $\operatorname{Ric}_{g} \geq (n - 1)K$  and

$$\sup_{p \in M} \frac{1}{\operatorname{vol}_g(B(p,R))} \int_{B(p,R)} \left( (n-1) - \operatorname{Ric}_{g-} \right)_+ d\operatorname{vol}_g < \delta(n, K, R, \eta)$$

then M is compact and diam $(M) < \pi + \eta$ .

Hwang-Lee [3] extended Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 to a weighted manifold having a bounded  $\infty$ -Ricci curvature. A weighted manifold is a triple  $(M, g, \mu_f)$ , where (M, g) is an *n*-dimensional Riemannian manifold, endowed with a measure  $\mu_f = e^{-f} d \operatorname{vol}_g$  having smooth positive density with respect to the volume measure  $\operatorname{vol}_g$ . We call  $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$  the weight function of  $\mu_f$ . For given  $N \in (-\infty, \infty]$ , the N-Ricci curvature is defined for  $v \in TM$  by

$$\operatorname{Ric}_{N}(v,v) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{Ric}_{g}(v,v) + \operatorname{Hess}_{g}f(v,v) - \frac{1}{N-n}g(\nabla f,v)^{2} & \text{if } N \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{n\},\\ \operatorname{Ric}_{g}(v,v) + \operatorname{Hess}_{g}f(v,v) & \text{if } N = \infty, \end{cases}$$

and for N = n,

$$\operatorname{Ric}_n(v,v) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{Ric}_g(v,v) & \text{if } f \text{ is a constant function,} \\ -\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We call the parameter N the effective dimension. We refer to a weighted Ricci curvature as a generic term for the N-Ricci curvature with  $N \in (-\infty, \infty]$ . As similar as Ric\_, we define  $\operatorname{Ric}_{N-} : M \to \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\operatorname{Ric}_{N-}(p) = \inf\{\operatorname{Ric}_N(v,v) \mid v \in U_pM\}.$$

**Theorem 1.3** ([3, Theorem 1.4]). Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$ ,  $k \geq 0$  and  $\eta > 0$ . There exists a positive constant  $\delta(n + 4k, \eta)$  such that if an n-dimensional compact weighted manifold  $(M, g, \mu_f)$  satisfies  $\operatorname{Ric}_{\infty} \geq 0$ ,  $|f| \leq k$  and

$$\frac{1}{\mu_f(M)} \int_M \left( (n-1) - \operatorname{Ric}_{\infty-} \right)_+ d\mu_f < \delta(n+4k,\eta)$$

then

$$\operatorname{diam}(M) < \left(\pi + \frac{\eta}{2}\right)\sqrt{1 + \frac{8k}{(n-1)\pi}} + \frac{\eta}{2}.$$

**Theorem 1.4** ([3, Theorem 1.5]). Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$ ,  $k \geq 0$ ,  $K \leq 0$  and  $R, \eta > 0$ . There exists a positive constant  $\delta(n + 4k, K, R, \eta)$  such that if an n-dimensional complete weighted manifold  $(M, g, \mu_f)$  satisfies  $|f| \leq k$ ,  $\operatorname{Ric}_{\infty} \geq (n - 1)K$  and

$$\sup_{p \in M} \frac{1}{\mu_f \left( B(p,R) \right)} \int_{B(p,R)} \left( (n-1) - \operatorname{Ric}_{\infty -} \right)_+ d\mu_f < \delta(n+4k,K,R,\eta)$$

then M is compact and

$$\operatorname{diam}(M) < \left(\pi + \frac{\eta}{2}\right)\sqrt{1 + \frac{8k}{(n-1)\pi}} + \frac{\eta}{2}$$

The aim of this article is to extend Theorems 1.1–1.4 for a weighted manifold under the assumption that the weighted curvature is bounded below in terms of its weight function. The key of the proof is the notion of the following  $\varepsilon$ -range introduced by Lu–Minguzzi–Ohta [7].

**Definition 1.5.** For  $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$  and  $N \in (-\infty, 1] \cup [n, \infty]$ , we say that  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$  is in the  $\varepsilon(n, N)$ -range if  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfies the following conditions:

$$\varepsilon = 0 \text{ for } N = 1, \qquad |\varepsilon| < \sqrt{\frac{N-1}{N-n}} \text{ for } N \neq 1, n, \qquad \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R} \text{ for } N = n$$

For n, N as above and  $\varepsilon$  in the  $\varepsilon(n, N)$ -range, we define the constant  $c = c(n, N, \varepsilon)$  by

$$c = \frac{1}{n-1} \left( 1 - \varepsilon^2 \frac{N-n}{N-1} \right) > 0$$

for  $N \neq 1$ . If  $\varepsilon = 0$ , then one can take  $N \rightarrow 1$  and set c(1,0) = 1/(n-1).

Notice that  $|\varepsilon| = 1$  happens only if  $N \in [n, \infty)$ . For  $N \in (-\infty, 1] \cup [n, \infty]$  and  $K \in \mathbb{R}$ , Lu–Minguzzi–Ohta [8] established a curvature bound  $\operatorname{Ric}_N \geq Ke^{4(\varepsilon-1)f/(n-1)}$  on a weighted manifold  $(M, g, \mu_f)$  by using the  $\varepsilon(n, N)$ -range. This curvature bound is a generalization of a different kind of curvature bounds  $\operatorname{Ric}_1 \geq Ke^{4f/(1-n)}$  introduced by Wylie–Yeroshkin [13] and for  $N \in (-\infty, 1]$ ,  $\operatorname{Ric}_N \geq Ke^{4f/(N-n)}$  introduced by Kuwae–Li [5]. They presented several comparison theorems with each curvature bounds. Furthermore, Kuwae–Sakurai [6] also provided several comparison theorems with the  $\varepsilon(n, N)$ -range.

To state our theorems, we prepare the following condition.

**Definition 1.6.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$ ,  $N \in (-\infty, 1] \cup [n, \infty]$ ,  $\varepsilon$  in the  $\varepsilon(n, N)$ -range,  $K \leq 0$  and  $b \geq a > 0$ .

(1) We say that an *n*-dimensional weighted manifold  $(M, g, \mu_f)$  satisfies a  $(N, K, \varepsilon, a, b)$ -*condition* if one has

$$\operatorname{Ric}_N \ge K e^{\frac{4(\varepsilon-1)}{n-1}f}, \quad a \le e^{\frac{2(1-\varepsilon)}{n-1}f} \le b \quad \text{on } M.$$

(2) We define the constants  $\widetilde{D} = \widetilde{D}(n, N, \varepsilon, a, b)$  by

$$\widetilde{D} = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{N-1}{n-1}} & \text{if } \varepsilon = 1, \\ \sqrt{1 + \frac{2}{|1-\varepsilon|\pi} \log \frac{b}{a}} & \text{if } \varepsilon \neq 1 \text{ and } N \in [n,\infty], \\ \sqrt{\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{\lambda_0} + \frac{2}{(1-\varepsilon)\pi\lambda_0} \left(\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{\lambda_0} - 1\right)} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Moreover, for  $N \in (-\infty, 1]$ , we define  $\lambda_0 = \lambda_0(n, N, \varepsilon)$  by

$$\lambda_0 = \frac{1}{1 - \varepsilon} \left( 1 - \sqrt{\frac{N - 1}{N - n}} \right).$$

For a weighted manifold satisfying the  $(N, K, \varepsilon, a, b)$ -condition, we estimate its diameter quantitatively.

**Theorem 1.7.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$ ,  $N \in (-\infty, 1] \cup [n, \infty]$ ,  $\varepsilon$  in the  $\varepsilon(n, N)$ -range,  $b \geq a > 0$ and  $H, \eta > 0$ . There exists a positive constant  $\delta_1(n, N, \varepsilon, a, b, H, \eta)$  such that if an *n*dimensional compact weighted manifold  $(M, g, \mu_f)$  satisfies the  $(N, 0, \varepsilon, a, b)$ -condition and

$$\frac{1}{\mu_f(M)} \int_M \left( (n-1)H - \operatorname{Ric}_{N-} \right)_+ d\mu_f \le \delta_1(n, N, \varepsilon, a, b, H, \eta)$$

then

diam
$$(M) \le \frac{\pi + \eta}{\sqrt{H}} \widetilde{D}(n, N, \varepsilon, a, b).$$

**Theorem 1.8.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$ ,  $N \in (-\infty, 1] \cup [n, \infty]$  and  $\varepsilon$  in the  $\varepsilon(n, N)$ -range. Take real numbers K, a, b, H, R and  $\eta$  such that  $K \leq 0$  and  $a, b, H, R, \eta > 0$  with  $a \leq b$ . There exists a positive constant  $\delta(n, N, K, \varepsilon, a, b, H, R, \eta)$  such that if an n-dimensional complete weighted manifold  $(M, g, \mu_f)$  satisfies the  $(N, K, \varepsilon, a, b)$ -condition and

$$\sup_{p \in M} \frac{1}{\mu_f (B(p,R))} \int_{B(p,R)} \left( (n-1)H - \operatorname{Ric}_{N-} \right)_+ d\mu_f \le \delta(n,N,K,\varepsilon,a,b,H,R,\eta)$$

then M is compact and

diam
$$(M) \le \frac{\pi + \eta}{\sqrt{H}} \widetilde{D}(n, N, \varepsilon, a, b)$$
.

Remark 1.9. Assume that an *n*-dimensional complete weighted manifold  $(M, g, \operatorname{vol}_g)$  satisfies  $\operatorname{Ric}_g \geq (n-1)H$  for H > 0. Then  $(M, g, \operatorname{vol}_g)$  satisfies the (n, 0, 1, 1, 1)-condition and

$$\sup_{p \in M} \frac{1}{\operatorname{vol}_g(B(p,R))} \int_{B(p,R)} \left( (n-1)H - \operatorname{Ric}_{n-} \right)_+ d\operatorname{vol}_g = 0$$

holds for each R > 0. Furthermore, we find D(n, n, 1, 1, 1) = 1. By Theorem 1.8, we see that diam $(M) \leq (\pi + \eta)/\sqrt{H}$  for any  $\eta > 0$ . Taking  $\eta \to 0$ , we obtain diam $(M) \leq \pi/\sqrt{H}$ . This means that Theorem 1.8 recovers the Myers theorem.

This article is organized as follows: In Section 2, we recall a Bishop-type inequality, the volume comparison theorem on a weighted manifold given in [8]. Then we extend the segment inequality of Cheeger-Colding [1] to a weighted manifold under the assumption that the weighted Ricci curvature is bounded below in terms of its weight function. In Sections 3 and 4, we prove Theorems 1.7 and 1.8, respectively. In Sections 5, we analyze the fundamental group of a weighted manifold satisfying the  $(N, K, \varepsilon, a, b)$ -condition.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to express his deepest thanks to his supervisor, Asuka Takatsu, as well as to Manabu Akaho, Shin-ichi Ohta, Takashi Sakai, Homare Tadano who contributed their support.

### 2. Preliminaries and segment inequality with $\varepsilon$ -range

We recall some results by Lu–Minguzzi–Ohta [8]. Although they discussed a weighted Finsler manifold, throughout this article, we treat a weighted manifold.

2.1. **Preliminaries.** Lu–Minguzzi–Ohta [8] introduced the  $\varepsilon$ -range and proved a volume comparison theorem for a weighted manifold  $(M, g, \mu_f)$ .

For  $p \in M$ , let  $\gamma : [0, l) \to M$  be a unit speed geodesic such that  $\gamma(0) = p$ . Set  $f_{\gamma}(t) = f(\gamma(t))$ . We denote by

$$\mu_f = e^{-f} d\mathrm{vol}_g = \mathcal{A}^f_{\gamma}(t) dt d\theta_{n-1} = e^{-f_{\gamma}(t)} \mathcal{A}_{\gamma}(t) dt d\theta_{n-1}$$

the weighted measure in the geodesic polar coordinates along geodesics  $\gamma$ , where  $d\theta_{n-1}$  is the volume measure of the unit sphere in  $T_p M$ .

First we introduce a Bishop-type inequality.

**Proposition 2.1** ([8, Theorem 3.5]). Let  $(M, g, \mu_f)$  be an n-dimensional complete weighted manifold,  $N \in (-\infty, 1] \cup [n, \infty]$ ,  $\varepsilon$  in the  $\varepsilon(n, N)$ -range and  $c = c(n, N, \varepsilon)$ . For a unit speed geodesic  $\gamma : [0, l) \to M$ , set

$$h(t) = e^{-cf_{\gamma}(t)} \mathcal{A}_{\gamma}(t)^{c}, \qquad h_{1}(\tau) = h(\varphi_{\gamma}^{-1}(\tau))$$

for  $t \in [0, l)$  and  $\tau \in [0, \varphi_{\gamma}(l))$ , where

$$\varphi_{\gamma}(t) = \int_0^t e^{\frac{2(\varepsilon-1)}{n-1}f_{\gamma}(s)} \, ds.$$

Then, for all  $\tau \in (0, \varphi_{\gamma}(l))$ ,

$$h_1''(\tau) \leq -ch_1(\tau) \operatorname{Ric}_N \left( (\gamma \circ \varphi_{\gamma}^{-1})'(\tau) \right).$$

We define the comparison function  $\mathbf{sn}_{\kappa}$  by

$$\mathbf{sn}_{\kappa}(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa}} \sin(\sqrt{\kappa}t) & \kappa > 0, \\ t & \kappa = 0, \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\kappa}} \sinh(\sqrt{-\kappa}t) & \kappa < 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $t \in [0, \pi/\sqrt{\kappa}]$  for  $\kappa > 0$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  for  $\kappa \leq 0$ . Notice that  $\mathbf{sn}_{\kappa}$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{sn}_{\kappa}''(t) + \kappa \mathbf{sn}_{\kappa}(t) = 0\\ \mathbf{sn}_{\kappa}(0) = 0,\\ \mathbf{sn}_{\kappa}'(0) = 1. \end{cases}$$

Let us recall a volume comparison theorem.

**Proposition 2.2** ([8, Theorem 3.11]). Let  $(M, g, \mu_f)$  be an n-dimensional complete weighted manifold satisfying the  $(N, K, \varepsilon, a, b)$ -condition. Then

$$\frac{\mu_f(B(p,R))}{\mu_f(B(p,r))} \le \frac{b}{a} \cdot \frac{\int_0^{R/a} \mathbf{sn}_{cK}(\tau)^{1/c} d\tau}{\int_0^{r/b} \mathbf{sn}_{cK}(\tau)^{1/c} d\tau}$$

holds for all  $p \in M$  and  $0 < r \leq R$ .

It should be mentioned that Theorem 2.2 was originally proved for all  $K \in \mathbb{R}$  in [8, Theorem 3.11].

*Remark* 2.3. If K = 0, we have  $\mathbf{sn}_0(\tau) = \tau$ , which gives

$$\int_0^r \mathbf{sn}(\tau)^{\frac{1}{c}} \, d\tau = \frac{c}{c+1} r^{\frac{1}{c}+1}$$

for r > 0. In the setting of Theorem 2.2 for K = 0, we find that

$$\frac{\mu_f(B(p,R))}{\mu_f(B(p,r))} \le \frac{b}{a} \cdot \left(\frac{bR}{ar}\right)^{\frac{1}{c}+1}.$$

2.2. Segment inequality with  $\varepsilon$ -range. The segment inequality proved by Cheeger–Colding [1, Theorem 2.11] plays an important role in the proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. We extend this theorem as follows.

**Theorem 2.4.** Let  $(M, g, \mu_f)$  be an n-dimensional complete weighted manifold,  $N \in (-\infty, 1] \cup [n, \infty]$ ,  $\varepsilon$  in the  $\varepsilon(n, N)$ -range and  $K \in \mathbb{R}$ . Assume that

$$\operatorname{Ric}_N \ge K e^{\frac{4(\varepsilon-1)}{n-1}f}$$

holds. For i = 1, 2, let  $A_i$  be bounded open subsets of M and W be an open subset of M such that, for each two points  $y_i \in A_i$ , any unit minimal geodesics  $\gamma_{y_1,y_2}$  from  $y_1$  to  $y_2$  is containd in W. Then for any non-negative integrable function F on W, we have

$$\int_{A_1 \times A_2} \left( \int_0^{d(y_1, y_2)} F(\gamma_{y_1, y_2}) \, ds \right) d\mu_{f \times f}$$
  
$$\leq C(n, c, K) \left[ \mu_f(A_2) \operatorname{diam}(A_1) + \mu_f(A_1) \operatorname{diam}(A_2) \right] \int_W F \, d\mu_f,$$

where  $\mu_{f \times f}$  is the product measure on  $M \times M$  induced by  $\mu_f$  and

$$C(n,c,K) = \sup_{y_1 \in A_1, y_2 \in A_2} \left( \sup_{0 < \frac{s}{2} \le u < s \le d(y_1, y_2)} \frac{\operatorname{sn}_{cK}(\varphi_{\gamma}(s))^{1/c}}{\operatorname{sn}_{cK}(\varphi_{\gamma}(u))^{1/c}} \right)$$

# **Proof.** Set

 $B = \{(y_1, y_2) \in A_1 \times A_2 \mid \text{there exists a unique minimal geodesic from } y_1 \text{ to } y_2\}.$ Then  $\mu_{f \times f}(B) = \mu_{f \times f}(A_1 \times A_2)$  holds since the measure of a cut locus of each  $y_i \in A_i$  with respect to  $\mu_f$  is zero for i = 1, 2. Define maps  $E_1, E_2, E: A_1 \times A_2 \to \mathbb{R}$  by

$$E_{1}(y_{1}, y_{2}) = \int_{d(y_{1}, y_{2})/2}^{d(y_{1}, y_{2})/2} F(\gamma_{y_{1}, y_{2}}(u)) du,$$
  

$$E_{2}(y_{1}, y_{2}) = \int_{0}^{d(y_{1}, y_{2})/2} F(\gamma_{y_{1}, y_{2}}(u)) du,$$
  

$$E(y_{1}, y_{2}) = E_{1}(y_{1}, y_{2}) + E_{2}(y_{1}, y_{2}) = \int_{0}^{d(y_{1}, y_{2})} F(\gamma_{y_{1}, y_{2}}(u)) du$$

Fix  $y_i \in A_i$  and  $v_i \in U_{y_i}M$  for i = 1, 2. Set

$$I(y_i, v_i) = \{ s > 0 \mid \exp_{y_i}(sv_i) \in A_{i+1}, \, d(y_i, \exp_{y_i}(sv_i)) = s \},\$$

where we put  $A_3 = A_1$ . We denote by  $|I(y_1, v_1)|$  the 1-dimensional Lebesgue measure of  $I(y_1, v_1)$ . Since  $\{ \exp_{y_i}(sv_i) \mid s \in I(y_i, v_i) \}$  is contained only in  $A_{i+1}$ , we have

$$|I(y_i, v_i)| \le \operatorname{diam}(A_{i+1})$$

for i = 1, 2.

Fix  $s \in I(y_1, v_1)$ . For  $\gamma(u) = \exp_{y_1}(uv_1)$  on  $u \in [0, s)$ , by Proposition 2.1, we find that  $\mathcal{A}^f_{\gamma}(u)/(\operatorname{sn}_{cK}(\varphi_{\gamma}(u)))^{1/c}$  is non-increasing, where  $\varphi_{\gamma}(u) < \pi/\sqrt{cK}$  holds if K > 0(see [8, Proof of Theorem 3.6]). Then we find that

$$\frac{\mathcal{A}_{\gamma}^{f}(s)}{\mathcal{A}_{\gamma}^{f}(u)} \leq \frac{\mathbf{sn}_{cK} (\varphi_{\gamma}(s))^{1/c}}{\mathbf{sn}_{cK} (\varphi_{\gamma}(u))^{1/c}} \leq C(n, c, K)$$

for  $0 < s/2 \le u < s \le T(v_1)$ , where  $T(v_1)$  is the supremum of s such that  $s \in I(y_1, v_1)$ . This yields

$$E_{1}(y_{1},\gamma(s))\mathcal{A}_{\gamma}^{f}(s) = \mathcal{A}_{\gamma}^{f}(s)\int_{s/2}^{s}F(\gamma(u)) du$$
$$\leq C(n,c,K)\int_{s/2}^{s}F(\gamma(u))\mathcal{A}_{\gamma}^{f}(u) du$$
$$\leq C(n,c,K)\int_{0}^{T(v_{1})}F(\gamma(u))\mathcal{A}_{\gamma}^{f}(u) du$$

Integrating this inequality on  $I(y_1, v_1)$  implies that

$$\int_{I(y_1,v_1)} E_1(y_1,\gamma(s))\mathcal{A}^f_{\gamma}(s) \, ds \leq C(n,c,K) \int_{I(y_1,v_1)} ds \int_0^{T(v_1)} F(\gamma(u))\mathcal{A}^f_{\gamma}(u) \, du$$
$$\leq C(n,c,K) \operatorname{diam}(A_2) \int_0^{T(v_1)} F(\gamma(u))\mathcal{A}^f_{\gamma}(u) \, du.$$

By integrating this inequality over the unit sphere in  $T_{y_1}M$ , we see that

$$\int_{A_2} E_1(y_1, y_2) d\mu_f = \int_{U_{y_1}M} d\theta_{n-1} \int_{I(y_1, v_1)} E_1(y_1, \gamma(s)) \mathcal{A}^f_{\gamma}(s) ds$$
  

$$\leq C(n, c, K) \operatorname{diam}(A_2) \int_{U_{y_1}M} d\theta_{n-1} \int_0^{T(v_1)} F(\gamma(u)) \mathcal{A}^f_{\gamma}(u) du$$
  

$$\leq C(n, c, K) \operatorname{diam}(A_2) \int_W F d\mu_f,$$

where, in the third inequality, we use the fact that any unit minimal geodesics from  $y_1 \in A_1$  to  $y_2 \in A_2$  is contained in W. Therefore we have

(2.1) 
$$\int_{A_1 \times A_2} E_1(y_1, y_2) d\mu_{f \times f} \le C(n, c, K) \operatorname{diam}(A_2) \mu_f(A_1) \int_W F \, d\mu_f.$$

On the other hand, substituting  $t = d(y_1, y_2) - u$  for  $E_2(y_1, y_2)$  yields

(2.2)  

$$E_{2}(y_{1}, y_{2}) = \int_{0}^{d(y_{1}, y_{2})/2} F(\gamma_{y_{1}, y_{2}}(u)) du$$

$$= \int_{d(y_{2}, y_{1})/2}^{d(y_{2}, y_{1})} F(\sigma_{y_{2}, y_{1}}(t)) dt$$

$$= E_{1}(y_{2}, y_{1}),$$

where  $\sigma_{y_2,y_1}(t) = \gamma_{y_1,y_2}(d(y_1, y_2) - t)$  on  $[d(y_2, y_1)/2, d(y_2, y_1)]$ . Interchanging the roles of  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  in (2.1), it turns out that, by (2.2),

$$\int_{A_1 \times A_2} E_2(y_1, y_2) \, d\mu_{f \times f} = \int_{A_1 \times A_2} E_1(y_2, y_1) \, d\mu_{f \times f}$$
$$\leq C(n, c, K) \operatorname{diam}(A_1) \, \mu_f(A_2) \int_W F \, d\mu_f.$$

Thus we obtain

$$\int_{A_1 \times A_2} E(y_1, y_2) \, d\mu_{f \times f}$$
  
=  $\int_{A_1 \times A_2} E_1(y_1, y_2) \, d\mu_{f \times f} + \int_{A_1 \times A_2} E_2(y_1, y_2) \, d\mu_{f \times f}$   
 $\leq C(n, c, K) \left[ \operatorname{diam}(A_2) \, \mu_f(A_1) + \operatorname{diam}(A_1) \, \mu_f(A_2) \right] \int_W F \, d\mu_f.$ 

This completes the proof of the theorem.

Remark 2.5. Set  $S = \sup_{y_1 \in A_1, y_2 \in A_2} d(y_1, y_2)$ . If we take  $N = n, f \equiv 0, \varepsilon = 0$  and K = (n-1)H with H > 0, then we have

$$C(n, c, K) = \sup_{0 < \frac{s}{2} \le u < s \le S} \left(\frac{\mathbf{sn}_H(s)}{\mathbf{sn}_H(u)}\right)^{n-1}$$

and the estimate in Theorem 2.4 coincides with that of [1, Theorem 2.11]. Whereas, for  $N = \infty$ , since we impose the different condition compared with [4, Proposition 2.3], the estimate in Theorem 2.4 differs from that of [4, Proposition 2.3]

**Lemma 2.6.** Let  $(M, g, \mu_f)$  be an n-dimensional complete weighted manifold satisfying the  $(N, K, \varepsilon, a, b)$ -condition. Take  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$  and C(n, c, K) as in Theorem 2.4. Then

$$C(n,c,K) \le \frac{\operatorname{sn}_{cK}(S/a)^{1/c}}{\operatorname{sn}_{cK}(S/2b)^{1/c}}$$

holds, where  $S = \sup_{y_1 \in A_1, y_2 \in A_2} d(y_1, y_2)$ .

**Proof.** The boundedness of the weight function f yields  $s/b \leq \varphi_{\gamma}(s) \leq s/a$  for any unit speed minimal geodesic  $\gamma$  from  $y_1 \in A_1$  to  $y_2 \in A_2$ . Then for  $K \leq 0$ , the monotonicity of  $\mathbf{sn}_{cK}(\tau)$  in  $\tau > 0$  gives

(2.3) 
$$C(n,c,K) \le \sup_{0 \le s \le S} \frac{\mathbf{sn}_{cK}(s/a)^{1/c}}{\mathbf{sn}_{cK}(s/2b)^{1/c}}$$

For K = 0,  $\mathbf{sn}_0(s) = s$  implies

$$C(n, c, K) \le \sup_{0 < s \le S} \frac{\mathbf{sn}_0(s/a)^{1/c}}{\mathbf{sn}_0(s/2b)^{1/c}} = \left(\frac{2b}{a}\right)^{\frac{1}{c}}.$$

When K < 0, we will see the following claim:

Claim 2.7. Let  $F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be

$$F(s) = \frac{\sinh(As)}{\sinh(Bs)}$$

. . . . .

for A > B > 0. Then F(s) is strictly increasing on  $(0, \infty)$ .

**Proof.** Differentiating F(s) gives

$$F'(s) = \frac{1}{\sinh^2(Bs)} \left( A \cosh(As) \sinh(Bs) - B \sinh(As) \cosh(Bs) \right).$$

We use the following hyperbolic function identities:

$$2\cosh(As)\sinh(Bs) = \sinh(A+B)s + \sinh(-A+B)s,$$
  
$$2\sinh(As)\cosh(Bs) = \sinh(A+B)s - \sinh(-A+B)s.$$

These yield

$$F'(s) = \frac{1}{2\sinh^2(Bs)} \{ (A - B)\sinh(A + B)s - (A + B)\sinh(A - B)s \}.$$

Setting

$$F_1(s) = (A - B) \sinh (A + B) s - (A + B) \sinh (A - B) s,$$

we have  $F_1(0) = 0$  and

$$F_{1}'(s) = AB \left\{ \cosh \left( A + B \right) s - \cosh \left( A - B \right) s \right\} > 0$$

on  $(0,\infty)$ . Therefore we get  $F_1(s) > 0$  on  $(0,\infty)$ . Hence we obtain F'(s) > 0 on  $(0,\infty)$ . This completes the proof of the claim.

When K < 0, applying this claim to (2.3) gives

$$C(n,c,K) \le \frac{\operatorname{sn}_{cK}(S/a)^{1/c}}{\operatorname{sn}_{cK}(S/2b)^{1/c}}.$$

- / > 1 /

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.7

We extend Theorem 1.1 to the case that the weighted manifold has the non-negative weighted curvature.

**Proof of Theorem 1.7.** Set D = diam(M) and take  $p_1, p_2 \in M$  such that  $D = d(p_1, p_2)$ . We put W = M and  $A_i = B(p_i, r)$  for i = 1, 2, where r > 0 is later determined. Then Theorem 2.4 gives

$$(3.1) \qquad \int_{A_1 \times A_2} \left( \inf_{(y_1, y_2) \in \overline{A}_1 \times \overline{A}_2} \int_0^{d(y_1, y_2)} \left( (n-1)H - \operatorname{Ric}_{N-} \right)_+ (\gamma_{y_1, y_2}) \, ds \right) d\mu_{f \times f}$$
$$\leq \int_{A_1 \times A_2} \left( \int_0^{d(y_1, y_2)} \left( (n-1)H - \operatorname{Ric}_{N-} \right)_+ (\gamma_{y_1, y_2}) \, ds \right) d\mu_{f \times f}$$
$$\leq 2rC(n, c, K) \left[ \mu_f(A_1) + \mu_f(A_2) \right] \int_M \left( (n-1)H - \operatorname{Ric}_{N-} \right)_+ d\mu_f.$$

We observe from Theorem 2.2 with K = 0 that

$$\frac{\mu_f(M)}{\mu_f(A_i)} \le \frac{b}{a} \left(\frac{bD}{ar}\right)^{\frac{1}{c}+1}$$

for i = 1, 2 and from Lemma 2.6 that

$$C(n,c,K) \le \left(\frac{2b}{a}\right)^{\frac{1}{c}}$$

Dividing (3.1) by  $\mu_f(A_1)\mu_f(A_2)$  yields

(3.2)  

$$\inf_{(y_1,y_2)\in\overline{A}_1\times\overline{A}_2} \int_0^{d(y_1,y_2)} \left((n-1)H - \operatorname{Ric}_{N-}\right)_+ (\gamma_{y_1,y_2}) \, ds$$

$$\leq 2rC(n,c,K) \left(\frac{1}{\mu_f(A_1)} + \frac{1}{\mu_f(A_2)}\right) \int_M \left((n-1)H - \operatorname{Ric}_{N-}\right)_+ d\mu_f$$

$$\leq 2r \left(\frac{2b^2D}{a^2r}\right)^{\frac{1}{c}+1} \frac{1}{\mu_f(M)} \int_M \left((n-1)H - \operatorname{Ric}_{N-}\right)_+ d\mu_f.$$

There exists a unit speed minimal geodesic  $\gamma$  from  $y_1 \in \overline{A}_1$  to  $y_2 \in \overline{A}_2$  that attains the infimum of (3.2). Let  $L = d(y_1, y_2)$  and  $\{E_1, \ldots, E_n = \dot{\gamma}\}$  be a parallel orthonormal frame along  $\gamma$ . For a smooth function  $\alpha \in C^{\infty}([0, L])$  such that  $\alpha(0) = \alpha(L) = 0$ , we set  $Y_i(t) = \alpha(t)E_i(t), i = 1, \ldots, n-1$ . We denote by  $L_i(s)$  the length functional of a fixed-endpoint variation of a curves  $c(s, t) : (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \times [0, L] \to M$  such that

$$c(0,t) = \gamma(t), \qquad \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial s} c(s,t) \right|_{s=0} = Y_i(t).$$

Then the second variation formula for  $L_i(s)$  (see [10, Chapter III Theorem 2.5]) provides

$$(3.3) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{d^2 L_i}{ds^2} \bigg|_{s=0} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \int_0^L \left\{ g(\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} Y_i, \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} Y_i) - R_g \big( Y_i(t), \gamma'(t), \gamma'(t), Y_i(t) \big) \right\} dt \\ = \int_0^L \left\{ (n-1)\alpha'(t)^2 - \alpha(t)^2 \operatorname{Ric}_g(\gamma'(t), \gamma'(t)) \right\} dt \\ = \int_0^L \left[ -(n-1)H\alpha(t)^2 + (n-1)\alpha'(t)^2 + \alpha(t)^2 \operatorname{Hess}_g f \big( \gamma'(t), \gamma'(t) \big) \right. \\ \left. - \frac{\alpha(t)^2}{N-n} f_{\gamma}'(t)^2 + \alpha(t)^2 \left\{ (n-1)H - \operatorname{Ric}_N \big( \gamma'(t), \gamma'(t) \big) \right\} \right] dt,$$

where  $R_g$  is the Riemannian curvature tensor of (M, g). Note that if N = n, the forth term on the right-hand side of (3.3) always vanishes since we set  $f'_{\gamma}(t)^2/(N-n) = 0$ .

We take a parameter  $\lambda$  satisfying

(3.4) 
$$\begin{cases} |(1-\varepsilon)\lambda - 1| \le \sqrt{\frac{N-1}{N-n}} & \text{if } N \in (-\infty, 1] \cup (n, \infty] \text{ and } \varepsilon \neq 1, \\ \lambda \in \mathbb{R} & \text{if either } N = n \text{ or } \varepsilon = 1. \end{cases}$$

We define  $\Phi(\lambda)$ ,  $\Psi(\lambda)$  by

$$\Phi(\lambda) = \begin{cases} b^{\lambda} & \text{if } \lambda > 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } \lambda = 0, \\ a^{\lambda} & \text{if } \lambda < 0, \end{cases} \quad \Psi(\lambda) = \begin{cases} a^{\lambda} & \text{if } \lambda > 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } \lambda = 0, \\ b^{\lambda} & \text{if } \lambda < 0. \end{cases}$$

If we choose

$$\alpha(t) = e^{\frac{(1-\varepsilon)\lambda}{n-1}f_{\gamma}(t)}\sin\left(\frac{\pi t}{L}\right),\,$$

then we have

$$\alpha'(t) = \frac{(1-\varepsilon)\lambda}{n-1} f_{\gamma}'(t)\alpha(t) + \frac{\pi t}{L} e^{\frac{(1-\varepsilon)\lambda}{n-1}f_{\gamma}(t)} \cos\left(\frac{\pi t}{L}\right).$$

We estimate the right-hand side of (3.3). The first term constructs to

(3.5) 
$$-(n-1)H\int_0^L \alpha(t)^2 dt \le -(n-1)H\int_0^L \Psi(\lambda)\sin^2\left(\frac{\pi t}{L}\right) dt$$
$$\le -\frac{(n-1)HL}{2}\Psi(\lambda).$$

The second term is estimated as

$$\begin{aligned} &(3.6) \\ &\int_{0}^{L} (n-1)\alpha'(t)^{2} dt \\ &= \frac{(n-1)\pi^{2}}{L^{2}} \int_{0}^{L} e^{\frac{2(1-\varepsilon)\lambda}{n-1}f_{\gamma}(t)} \cos^{2}\left(\frac{\pi t}{L}\right) dt + \frac{(1-\varepsilon)\pi\lambda}{L} \int_{0}^{L} f_{\gamma}'(t) e^{\frac{2(1-\varepsilon)\lambda}{n-1}f_{\gamma}(t)} \sin\left(\frac{2\pi t}{L}\right) dt \\ &+ \frac{(1-\varepsilon)^{2}\lambda^{2}}{n-1} \int_{0}^{L} \alpha(t)^{2} f_{\gamma}'(t)^{2} dt \\ &= \frac{(n-1)\pi^{2}}{L^{2}} \int_{0}^{L} e^{\frac{2(1-\varepsilon)\lambda}{n-1}f_{\gamma}(t)} \cos^{2}\left(\frac{\pi t}{L}\right) dt - \frac{(n-1)\pi^{2}}{L^{2}} \int_{0}^{L} e^{\frac{2(1-\varepsilon)\lambda}{n-1}f_{\gamma}(t)} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi t}{L}\right) dt \\ &+ \frac{(1-\varepsilon)^{2}\lambda^{2}}{n-1} \int_{0}^{L} \alpha(t)^{2} f_{\gamma}'(t)^{2} dt \\ &= \frac{(n-1)\pi^{2}}{2L^{2}} \int_{0}^{L} e^{\frac{2(1-\varepsilon)\lambda}{n-1}f_{\gamma}(t)} \left(1 - \cos\left(\frac{\pi t}{L}\right)\right) dt + \frac{(1-\varepsilon)^{2}\lambda^{2}}{n-1} \int_{0}^{L} \alpha(t)^{2} f_{\gamma}'(t)^{2} dt \\ &\leq \frac{(n-1)\pi^{2}}{2L} \Phi(\lambda) + \frac{(1-\varepsilon)^{2}\lambda^{2}}{n-1} \int_{0}^{L} \alpha(t)^{2} f_{\gamma}'(t)^{2} dt. \end{aligned}$$

We calculate the third term as

(3.7) 
$$\int_0^L \alpha(t)^2 f_{\gamma}''(t) dt$$
$$= -\int_0^L 2\alpha(t)\alpha'(t) f_{\gamma}'(t) dt$$
$$= -\frac{\pi}{L} \int_0^L f_{\gamma}'(t) e^{\frac{2(1-\varepsilon)\lambda}{n-1}f_{\gamma}(t)} \sin\left(\frac{2\pi t}{L}\right) dt - \frac{2(1-\varepsilon)\lambda}{n-1} \int_0^L \alpha(t)^2 f_{\gamma}'(t)^2 dt.$$

The last term constructs to

(3.8)  

$$\int_{0}^{L} \alpha(t)^{2} \left\{ (n-1)H - \operatorname{Ric}_{N-} \left( \gamma'(t), \gamma'(t) \right) \right\} dt$$

$$\leq \int_{0}^{L} \alpha(t)^{2} \left( (n-1)H - \operatorname{Ric}_{N-} \right)_{+} dt$$

$$\leq \Phi(\lambda) \int_{0}^{L} \left( (n-1)H - \operatorname{Ric}_{N-} \right)_{+} dt.$$

Combining (3.5), (3.6), (3.7), (3.8) gives

(3.9) 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{d^2 L_i}{ds^2} \bigg|_{s=0} \le -\frac{(n-1)HL}{2} \Psi(\lambda) + \frac{(n-1)\pi^2}{2L} \Phi(\lambda) + \widetilde{D}_1(\lambda) + \Phi(\lambda) \int_0^L \left( (n-1)H - \operatorname{Ric}_{N-} \right)_+ dt,$$

where

$$\widetilde{D}_1(\lambda) = -\frac{\pi}{L} \int_0^L f_{\gamma}'(t) e^{\frac{2(1-\varepsilon)\lambda}{n-1}f_{\gamma}(t)} \sin\left(\frac{2\pi t}{L}\right) dt \\ + \left(\frac{(1-\varepsilon)^2\lambda^2}{(n-1)^2} - \frac{2(1-\varepsilon)\lambda}{n-1} - \frac{1}{N-n}\right) \int_0^L \alpha(t)^2 f_{\gamma}'(t)^2 dt.$$

First we consider the case of  $\varepsilon = 1$ . We see that

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{D}_1(\lambda) &= -\frac{\pi}{L} \int_0^L f_{\gamma}'(t) e^{\frac{2(1-\varepsilon)\lambda}{n-1} f_{\gamma}(t)} \sin\left(\frac{2\pi t}{L}\right) \, dt - \frac{1}{N-n} \int_0^L \alpha(t)^2 f_{\gamma}'(t)^2 \, dt \\ &= (N-n) \frac{\pi^2}{L^2} \int_0^L e^{\frac{2(1-\varepsilon)\lambda}{n-1} f_{\gamma}(t)} \cos^2\left(\frac{\pi t}{L}\right) \, dt \\ &- (N-n) \int_0^L e^{\frac{2(1-\varepsilon)\lambda}{n-1} f_{\gamma}(t)} \left(\frac{\pi}{L} \cos\left(\frac{\pi t}{L}\right) + \frac{f_{\gamma}'(t)}{N-n} \sin\left(\frac{\pi t}{L}\right)\right)^2 \, dt \\ &\leq \frac{(N-n)\pi^2}{2L} \Phi(\lambda). \end{split}$$

If  $\varepsilon \neq 1$ , then  $\lambda \neq 0$  and it follows from (3.4) that

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{D}_1(\lambda) &= -\frac{\pi}{L} \int_0^L f_{\gamma}'(t) e^{\frac{2(1-\varepsilon)\lambda}{n-1} f_{\gamma}(t)} \sin\left(\frac{2\pi t}{L}\right) dt \\ &+ \left(\frac{(1-\varepsilon)^2 \lambda^2}{(n-1)^2} - \frac{2(1-\varepsilon)\lambda}{n-1} - \frac{1}{N-n}\right) \int_0^L \alpha(t)^2 f_{\gamma}'(t)^2 dt \\ &\leq \frac{(n-1)\pi^2}{(1-\varepsilon)L^2 \lambda} \int_0^L e^{\frac{2(1-\varepsilon)\lambda}{n-1} f_{\gamma}(t)} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi t}{L}\right) dt \\ &\leq \frac{(n-1)\pi}{|1-\varepsilon|L\lambda} \left(\Phi(\lambda) - \Psi(\lambda)\right). \end{split}$$

Moreover, if we define

$$\widetilde{D}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{N-1}{n-1} \left(\frac{\Phi(\lambda)}{\Psi(\lambda)}\right)} & \text{if } \varepsilon = 1, \\ \\ \sqrt{\frac{\Phi(\lambda)}{\Psi(\lambda)} + \frac{2}{|1-\varepsilon|\pi\lambda} \left(\frac{\Phi(\lambda)}{\Psi(\lambda)} - 1\right)} & \text{if } \varepsilon \neq 1, \end{cases}$$

then we find that

(3.10) 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{d^2 L_i}{ds^2} \bigg|_{s=0} \le -\frac{(n-1)HL\Psi(\lambda)}{2} \left(1 - \frac{\pi^2}{HL^2} \widetilde{D}(\lambda)^2\right) + \Phi(\lambda) \int_0^L \left((n-1)H - \operatorname{Ric}_{N-}\right)_+ dt.$$

Substituting (3.2) for (3.10) gives

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{d^2 L_i}{ds^2} \bigg|_{s=0} &\leq -\frac{(n-1)HL\Psi(\lambda)}{2} \left(1 - \frac{\pi^2}{HL^2} \widetilde{D}(\lambda)^2\right) \\ &+ 2r \left(\frac{2b^2 D}{a^2 r}\right)^{\frac{1}{c}+1} \frac{\Phi(\lambda)}{\mu_f(M)} \int_M \left((n-1)H - \operatorname{Ric}_{N-}\right)_+ d\mu_f. \end{split}$$

Choose  $T = T(\eta) > 2$  such that

(3.11) 
$$\frac{1}{1 - \frac{2}{T}} \le \frac{\pi + \eta}{\pi + \frac{\eta}{2}}$$

and let r = D/T. By the assumption  $y_i \in \overline{B}(p_i, r)$  for i = 1, 2 together with the triangle inequality, we find that

(3.12) 
$$L = d(y_1, y_2) \ge d(p_1, p_2) - 2r = D\left(1 - \frac{2}{T}\right).$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{d^2 L_i}{ds^2} \bigg|_{s=0} &\leq -\frac{(n-1)HL\Psi(\lambda)}{2} \left(1 - \frac{\pi^2}{HL^2} \widetilde{D}(\lambda)^2\right) \\ &+ 2\left(\frac{2b^2}{a^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{c}+1} T^{\frac{1}{c}} \frac{L}{1 - \frac{2}{T}} \frac{\Phi(\lambda)}{\mu_f(M)} \int_M \left((n-1)H - \operatorname{Ric}_{N-}\right)_+ d\mu_f. \end{split}$$

We consider the limit as

(3.13) 
$$\lambda \to \lambda_0 = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } N \in [n, \infty], \\ \frac{1}{1 - \varepsilon} \left( 1 - \sqrt{\frac{N-1}{N-n}} \right) & \text{if } N \in (-\infty, 1]. \end{cases}$$

Then we have  $\widetilde{D}(\lambda) \to \widetilde{D}(n, N, \varepsilon, a, b)$  as  $\lambda \to \lambda_0$ . We set

$$\delta_1(n, N, \varepsilon, a, b, H, \eta) = \frac{H(n-1)(1-\frac{2}{T})}{2^{\frac{1}{c}+3}T^{\frac{1}{c}}} \left(1-\frac{\pi^2}{\left(\pi+\frac{\eta}{2}\right)^2}\right) \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{\frac{2}{c}+2+\lambda_0}.$$

If we assume that

$$\frac{1}{\mu_f(M)} \int_M \left( (n-1)H - \operatorname{Ric}_{N-} \right)_+ d\mu_f \le \delta_1(n, N, \varepsilon, a, b, H, \eta),$$

then we get

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{d^2 L_i}{ds^2} \bigg|_{s=0} &\leq -\frac{(n-1)HLa^{\lambda_0}}{2} \left(1 - \frac{\pi^2}{HL^2} \widetilde{D}^2\right) + \frac{(n-1)HLa^{\lambda_0}}{2} \left(1 - \frac{\pi^2}{\left(\pi + \frac{\eta}{2}\right)^2}\right) \\ &= -\frac{(n-1)H\pi^2 a^{\lambda_0}}{2L\left(\pi + \frac{\eta}{2}\right)^2} \left(L^2 - \frac{1}{H}\left(\pi + \frac{\eta}{2}\right)^2 \widetilde{D}^2\right). \end{split}$$

Since  $\gamma$  is a minimal geodesic, it follows

$$\left.\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{d^2 L_i}{ds^2}\right|_{s=0} \geq 0.$$

Therefore we obtain

$$L \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{H}} \left( \pi + \frac{\eta}{2} \right) \widetilde{D}(n, N, \varepsilon, a, b)$$

We observe from (3.11), (3.12) that

$$D \le \frac{L}{1-\frac{2}{T}} \le \frac{\pi+\eta}{\sqrt{H}}\widetilde{D}(n, N, \varepsilon, a, b).$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

*Remark* 3.1. In Theorem 1.7, when  $\varepsilon \neq 1$ , if we take a', b' such that

$$\frac{b'}{a'} = \exp\left(\frac{2|1-\varepsilon|}{n-1}(\sup f - \inf f)\right),\,$$

then  $\delta_1(n, N, \varepsilon, a, b, H, \eta) \leq \delta_1(n, N, \varepsilon, a', b', H, \eta)$  holds. This implies that the assumption of Theorem 1.7 also holds when we replace a, b by a', b'. We find that

$$\widetilde{D}(n, N, \varepsilon, a', b') = \begin{cases} \sqrt{1 + \frac{4(\sup f - \inf f)}{(n-1)\pi}} & \text{if } N \in [n, \infty], \\ \sqrt{\lambda_1 + \frac{2(n-N)(\lambda_1 - 1)}{(n-1)\pi} \left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{N-1}{N-n}}\right)} & \text{if } N \in (-\infty, 1] \end{cases}$$

where

$$\lambda_1 = \exp\left(\frac{2(\sup f - \inf f)}{n-1}\left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{N-1}{N-n}}\right)\right).$$

4. Proof of Theorem 1.8

We provide the following lemma to prove Theorem 1.8.

**Lemma 4.1.** Let  $n, N, \varepsilon, K, a, b, H, R$  and  $\eta$  as in Theorem 1.8. Assume  $R, \eta$  satisfy

(4.1) 
$$R > \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{H}}\widetilde{D}(n, N, \varepsilon, a, b) \quad and \quad 0 < \eta < \eta_*(H, R, \widetilde{D}) = \frac{4}{7} \left(\frac{R\sqrt{H}}{\widetilde{D}} - \pi\right).$$

There exists a positive constant  $\delta_2(n, N, K, \varepsilon, a, b, H, R, \eta)$  such that if an n-dimensional complete weighted manifold  $(M, g, \mu_f)$  satisfies the  $(N, K, \varepsilon, a, b)$ -condition and

(4.2) 
$$\sup_{p \in M} \frac{1}{\mu_f(B(p,R))} \int_{B(p,R)} \left( (n-1)H - \operatorname{Ric}_{N-} \right)_+ d\mu_f \le \delta_2(n,N,K,\varepsilon,a,b,H,R,\eta)$$

then M is compact and

diam
$$(M) \leq \frac{\pi + \eta}{\sqrt{H}} \widetilde{D}(n, N, \varepsilon, a, b).$$

**Proof.** The proof goes by contradiction, that is, there exist points  $p_1, q \in M$  such that the distance from  $p_1$  to q is greater than  $(\pi + \eta)\widetilde{D}/\sqrt{H}$ . Then there exists  $p_2 \in M$  such that  $p_2$  lies in a unit minimal geodesic from  $p_1$  to q and

$$\frac{\pi + \eta}{\sqrt{H}}\widetilde{D} < d(p_1, p_2) < R - \frac{3\eta D}{4\sqrt{H}}$$

First we set  $W = B(p_1, R)$  for  $p_1 \in M$  and  $r = \eta \widetilde{D}/4\sqrt{H}$  for  $\eta < \eta_*(H, R, \widetilde{D})$ . We put  $A_i = B(p_i, r) \subset W$  for i = 1, 2. The triangle inequality that for  $y_i \in A_i$  with i = 1, 2 yields

$$d(y_1, y_2) \le d(y_1, p_1) + d(p_1, p_2) + d(p_2, y_2) < R - r.$$

On the other hand, the distance from  $y_1 \in A_1$  to the boundary of W is greater than R - r. This means that all unit minimal geodesics from  $y_1 \in A_1$  to  $y_2 \in A_2$  lie in W. Using Theorem 2.4, we see that

$$\inf_{(y_1,y_2)\in\overline{A}_1\times\overline{A}_2} \int_0^{d(y_1,y_2)} \left( (n-1)H - \operatorname{Ric}_{N-} \right)_+ (\gamma_{y_1,y_2}) \, ds$$

$$\leq 2rC(n,c,K) \left( \frac{1}{\mu_f(A_1)} + \frac{1}{\mu_f(A_2)} \right) \int_{B(p,R)} \left( (n-1)H - \operatorname{Ric}_{N-} \right)_+ d\mu_f.$$

We set

$$v_{cK,a}(R) = \int_0^{R/a} \mathbf{sn}_{cK}(\tau)^{1/c} d\tau.$$

Theorem 2.2 implies

$$\frac{\mu_f(B(p_1, R))}{\mu_f(B(p_1, r))} \le \frac{b}{a} \cdot \frac{v_{cK,a}(R)}{v_{cK,b}(r)}$$

and Lemma 2.6 gives

$$C(n, c, K) \le \widetilde{C} = \frac{\operatorname{sn}_{cK} ((R - r)/a)^{1/c}}{\operatorname{sn}_{cK} ((R - r)/b)^{1/c}}$$

We observe that (4.3)

$$\inf_{(y_1,y_2)\in\overline{A}_1\times\overline{A}_2} \int_0^{d(y_1,y_2)} \left( (n-1)H - \operatorname{Ric}_{N-} \right)_+ (\gamma_{y_1,y_2}) \, ds$$

$$\leq 2rC(n,c,K) \frac{b}{a} \left( \frac{v_{cK,a}\left(R\right)}{v_{cK,b}(r)} \frac{1}{\mu_f\left(B(p_1,R)\right)} + \frac{v_{cK,a}\left(2R\right)}{v_{cK,b}(r)} \frac{1}{\mu_f\left(B(p_2,2R)\right)} \right)$$

$$\times \int_{B(p_1,R)} \left( (n-1)H - \operatorname{Ric}_{N-} \right)_+ d\mu_f$$

$$\leq 2r\widetilde{C} \frac{b}{a} \left( \frac{v_{cK,a}\left(R\right) + v_{cK,a}\left(2R\right)}{v_{cK,b}(r)} \right) \frac{1}{\mu_f\left(B(p_1,R)\right)} \int_{B(p_1,R)} \left( (n-1)H - \operatorname{Ric}_{N-} \right)_+ d\mu_f,$$

where we use  $B(p_1, R) \subset B(p_2, 2R)$  in the second inequality.

We find a unit speed minimal geodesic  $\gamma$  from  $y_1 \in \overline{A}_1$  to  $y_2 \in \overline{A}_2$  that attains the infimum of (4.3). We put  $L = d(y_1, y_2)$ . With the same argument of Theorem 1.7, we utilize (3.10) and take the limit as  $\lambda \to \lambda_0$  again, we see that

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{d^2 L_i}{ds^2} \bigg|_{s=0} &\leq -\frac{(n-1)HLa^{\lambda_0}}{2} \left(1 - \frac{\pi^2}{HL^2} \widetilde{D}^2\right) + \frac{\eta \widetilde{D}}{2\sqrt{H}} \frac{b^{\lambda_0 + 1} \widetilde{C}}{a} \left(\frac{v_{cK,a}\left(R\right) + v_{cK,a}\left(2R\right)}{v_{cK,b}\left(\frac{\eta \widetilde{D}}{4\sqrt{H}}\right)}\right) \\ &\times \frac{1}{\mu_f \left(B(p,R)\right)} \int_{B(p,R)} \left((n-1)H - \operatorname{Ric}_{N-}\right)_+ d\mu_f. \end{split}$$

We set

(4.4)  
$$= \frac{H(n-1)(\pi + \frac{\eta}{2})}{\eta \widetilde{C}} \frac{v_{cK,b}\left(\frac{\eta \widetilde{D}}{4\sqrt{H}}\right)}{v_{cK,a}\left(R\right) + v_{cK,a}\left(2R\right)} \left(1 - \frac{\pi^2}{\left(\pi + \frac{\eta}{2}\right)^2}\right) \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{\lambda_0 + 1}$$

If we assume that

$$\sup_{p \in M} \frac{1}{\mu_f(B(p,R))} \int_{B(p,R)} \left( (n-1)H - \operatorname{Ric}_{N-} \right)_+ d\mu_f \le \delta_2(n,N,K,\varepsilon,a,b,H,R,\eta),$$

then we have

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{d^2 L_i}{ds^2} \bigg|_{s=0} &\leq -\frac{(n-1)HLa^{\lambda_0}}{2} \left(1 - \frac{\pi^2}{HL^2} \widetilde{D}^2\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{(n-1)(\pi + \frac{\eta}{2})\sqrt{H}a^{\lambda_0}\widetilde{D}}{2} \left(1 - \frac{\pi^2}{(\pi + \frac{\eta}{2})^2}\right) \\ &\quad = -\frac{n-1}{2} \left(L - \frac{1}{\sqrt{H}} \left(\pi + \frac{\eta}{2}\right)\widetilde{D}\right) \left(Ha^{\lambda_0} + \frac{(n-1)\pi^2 \widetilde{D}a^{\lambda_0} \sqrt{H}}{2L(\pi + \frac{\eta}{2})}\right). \end{split}$$

Since  $\gamma$  is a minimal geodesic, it follows

$$\left. \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{d^2 L_i}{ds^2} \right|_{s=0} \ge 0.$$

Therefore we obtain

$$L \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{H}} \left( \pi + \frac{\eta}{2} \right) \widetilde{D}.$$

By the triangle inequality, we have

(4.5) 
$$d(p_1, p_2) \le L + 2r \le \frac{\pi + \eta}{\sqrt{H}} \widetilde{D}$$

On the other hand, we assumed that

$$\frac{\pi + \eta}{\sqrt{H}}\widetilde{D} < d(p_1, p_2) < R - \frac{3\eta D}{4\sqrt{H}}$$

but by (4.5), no geodesic starting from  $p_1 \in M$  of a length greater than  $(\pi + \eta)\tilde{D}/\sqrt{H}$  can be minimal. This completes the proof of the lemma.

Finally, we show Theorem 1.8.

Proof of Theorem 1.8. We divide the cases into three parts:

(1) 
$$R \le \pi D(n, N, \varepsilon, a, b) / \sqrt{H}$$
,

- (2)  $R > \pi \widetilde{D}(n, N, \varepsilon, a, b) / \sqrt{H}$  and  $\eta \ge \eta_*(H, R, \widetilde{D}),$
- (3)  $R > \pi \widetilde{D}(n, N, \varepsilon, a, b) / \sqrt{H}$  and  $\eta < \eta_*(H, R, \widetilde{D})$ .

The case (3) is already discussed in Lemma 4.1. Thus it is enough to consider the cases (1) and (2).

Let  $R' = R'(\eta) > \pi \widetilde{D}/\sqrt{H}$  be fixed such that  $\eta < \eta_*(H, R', \widetilde{D})$ . For each  $p \in M$ , we consider the discrete subset  $\{x_i\} \subset B(p, R')$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, T_2$  such that

$$B(p, R') \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{T_2} B(x_i, R)$$

and  $d(x_i, x_j) > R$  for  $i \neq j$ , where  $T_2$  is the maximal number of the *R*-discrete net of B(p, R') (see [11, Definition 3.1] for the definition of the *R*-discrete net). We now claim:

**Claim 4.2.** Let  $(M, g, \mu_f)$  be an n-dimensional complete weighted manifold satisfying the  $(N, K, \varepsilon, a, b)$ -condition. Set  $T_2 > 0$  as above. Then it follows

$$T_2 \le \frac{b}{a} \cdot \frac{v_{cK,b}(2R'+R)}{v_{cK,a}(R/2)}$$

**Proof.** Take  $i_0 \in \{1, \ldots, T_2\}$  such that

$$\mu_f \big( B(x_{i_0}, R/2) \big) = \min_{1 \le i \le T_2} \mu_f \big( B(x_i, R/2) \big).$$

Since  $B(x_i, R/2) \cap B(x_j, R/2) = \emptyset$  for any  $i \neq j$  and  $B(p, R' + R) \subset B(x_{i_0}, 2R' + R)$  hold, we have

$$1 = \frac{\mu_f (B(p, R' + R))}{\mu_f (B(p, R' + R))}$$
  

$$\leq \frac{\mu_f (B(p, R' + R))}{\mu_f (B(p, R' + R) \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{T_2} B(x_i, R/2))}$$
  

$$\leq \frac{\mu_f (B(p, R' + R))}{T_2 \cdot \mu_f (B(x_{i_0}, R/2))}$$
  

$$\leq \frac{\mu_f (B(x_{i_0}, 2R' + R))}{T_2 \cdot \mu_f (B(x_{i_0}, R/2))}.$$

By using Theorem 2.2, we obtain

$$T_2 \le \frac{b}{a} \cdot \frac{v_{cK,b}(2R'+R)}{v_{cK,a}(R/2)}.$$

~ ``
<u> </u>
~

Then we find that, for any  $z \in M$ ,

$$\frac{1}{\mu_{f}(B(z,R'))} \int_{B(z,R')} ((n-1)H - \operatorname{Ric}_{N-})_{+} d\mu_{f} \\
\leq \frac{T_{2}}{\mu_{f}(B(z,R'))} \sup_{x_{i} \in B(z,R')} \int_{B(x_{i},R)} ((n-1)H - \operatorname{Ric}_{N-})_{+} d\mu_{f} \\
\leq T_{2} \frac{b}{a} \frac{1}{\mu_{f}(B(z,R'+R))} \frac{v_{cK,b}(R'+R)}{v_{cK,a}(R')} \\
\times \sup_{x_{i} \in B(z,R')} \int_{B(x_{i},R)} ((n-1)H - \operatorname{Ric}_{N-})_{+} d\mu_{f} \\
\leq \frac{b^{2}}{a^{2}} \frac{v_{cK,b}(2R'+R)}{v_{cK,a}(R/2)} \frac{v_{cK,b}(R'+R)}{v_{cK,a}(R')} \\
\times \sup_{x_{i} \in B(z,R')} \frac{1}{\mu_{f}(B(x_{i},R))} \int_{B(x_{i},R)} ((n-1)H - \operatorname{Ric}_{N-})_{+} d\mu_{f},$$

where we use the estimate of  $T_2$  and  $B(x_i, R) \subset B(z, R' + R)$  for  $1 \le i \le T_2$  in the third inequality. This provides that

$$\sup_{x \in M} \frac{1}{\mu_f (B(x, R'))} \int_{B(x, R')} \left( (n-1)H - \operatorname{Ric}_{N-} \right)_+ d\mu_f \\
\leq \frac{b^2}{a^2} \frac{v_{cK, b} (2R' + R)}{v_{cK, a} (R/2)} \frac{v_{cK, b} (R' + R)}{v_{cK, a} (R')} \sup_{x \in M} \frac{1}{\mu_f (B(x, R))} \int_{B(x, R)} \left( (n-1)H - \operatorname{Ric}_{N-} \right)_+ d\mu_f.$$

We set

$$\delta_{2}'(n, N, K, \varepsilon, a, b, H, R, \eta) = \frac{a^{2}}{b^{2}} \frac{v_{cK,a}(R/2)}{v_{cK,b}(2R'(\eta) + R)} \frac{v_{cK,a}(R'(\eta))}{v_{cK,b}(R'(\eta) + R)} \delta_{2}(n, N, K, \varepsilon, a, b, H, R'(\eta), \eta).$$

If we assume that

$$\sup_{x \in M} \frac{1}{\mu_f (B(x,R))} \int_{B(x,R)} \left( (n-1)H - \operatorname{Ric}_{N-} \right)_+ d\mu_f \le \delta_2'(n,N,K,\varepsilon,a,b,H,R,\eta),$$

we obtain

$$\sup_{x \in M} \frac{1}{\mu_f \left( B(x, R') \right)} \int_{B(x, R')} \left( (n-1)H - \operatorname{Ric}_{N-} \right)_+ d\mu_f \le \delta_2(n, N, K, \varepsilon, a, b, H, R'(\eta), \eta).$$

By Lemma 4.1, this completes the proof of the cases (1), (2) and the theorem.

We prepare the following lemma to provide a slightly weak result compared with Lemma 4.1.

**Lemma 4.3.** Let  $\delta_2 = \delta_2(n, N, K, \varepsilon, a, b, H, R, \eta)$  be given as in (4.4). Then  $\delta_2$  is strictly increasing in  $\eta \in (0, \eta_*)$ .

**Proof.** Set

(4.6) 
$$G(\eta) = \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\pi}{\eta}\right) \int_0^{\eta D/4b\sqrt{H}} \mathbf{sn}_{cK}(t)^{\frac{1}{c}} dt.$$

Note that the monotonicity of  $\mathbf{sn}_{cK}$ . We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} G'(\eta) &= \frac{\widetilde{D}}{4b\sqrt{H}} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\pi}{\eta}\right) \left(\mathbf{sn}_{cK} \left(\frac{\eta \widetilde{D}}{4b\sqrt{H}}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{c}} - \frac{\pi}{\eta^2} \int_0^{\eta \widetilde{D}/4b\sqrt{H}} \mathbf{sn}_{cK}(t)^{\frac{1}{c}} dt \\ &> \frac{\widetilde{D}}{4b\sqrt{H}} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\pi}{\eta}\right) \left(\mathbf{sn}_{cK} \left(\frac{\eta \widetilde{D}}{4b\sqrt{H}}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{c}} - \frac{\pi}{\eta^2} \frac{\eta \widetilde{D}}{4b\sqrt{H}} \left(\mathbf{sn}_{cK} \left(\frac{\eta \widetilde{D}}{4b\sqrt{H}}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{c}} \\ &= \frac{\widetilde{D}}{8b\sqrt{H}} \left(\mathbf{sn}_{cK} \left(\frac{\eta \widetilde{D}}{4b\sqrt{H}}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{c}} \\ &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $G(\eta)$  is strictly increasing on  $(0, \eta_*)$ . Moreover

(4.7) 
$$1 - \frac{\pi^2}{\left(\pi + \frac{\eta}{2}\right)^2}$$

and, by Claim 2.7,

(4.8) 
$$\frac{\operatorname{sn}_{cK}\left(\frac{1}{b}\left(R - \frac{\eta \tilde{D}}{4\sqrt{H}}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{c}}}{\operatorname{sn}_{cK}\left(\frac{1}{a}\left(R - \frac{\eta \tilde{D}}{4\sqrt{H}}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{c}}}$$

are also strictly increasing with respect to  $\eta$ . Since  $\delta_2$  was given by multiplication of (4.6), (4.7), (4.8) and a positive constant independent of  $\eta$ , it follows that  $\delta_2$  is strictly increasing in  $\eta \in (0, \eta_*)$ .

We take the limit of (4.4) as  $\eta \to \eta_*$ , where  $\eta_*$  is given in (4.1). Then we have a diameter estimate of a weighted manifold.

**Corollary 4.4.** Let  $n, N, \varepsilon, K, a, b, H, R$  and  $\eta_*$  as in Lemma 4.1. There exists a positive constant  $\delta_2(n, N, K, \varepsilon, a, b, H, R, \eta_*)$  such that if an n-dimensional complete weighted manifold  $(M, g, \mu_f)$  satisfies the  $(N, K, \varepsilon, a, b)$ -condition and

(4.9) 
$$\sup_{p \in M} \frac{1}{\mu_f(B(p,R))} \int_{B(p,R)} \left( (n-1)H - \operatorname{Ric}_{N-} \right)_+ d\mu_f < \delta_2(n,N,K,\varepsilon,a,b,H,R,\eta_*)$$

then M is compact and  $\operatorname{diam}(M) < R$ .

**Proof.** By Lemma 4.3, if (4.9) holds, then there exists  $\eta > 0$  such that  $\eta < \eta_*(H, R, D)$  satisfying (4.2). Hence Lemma 4.1 implies

diam
$$(M) \le \frac{\pi + \eta}{\sqrt{H}} \widetilde{D}(n, N, \varepsilon, a, b) < R.$$

5. Fundamental group under integral curvature bound and  $\varepsilon$ -range

We shall show a finiteness of the fundamental group of M. Compared with Corollary 4.4, we need to assume a slightly strong condition about integral curvature bound. **Corollary 5.1.** Let  $(M, g, \mu_f)$  be an n-dimensional complete weighted manifold satisfying the  $(N, K, \varepsilon, a, b)$ -condition. If there exists H > 0,  $R > \pi \tilde{D}(n, N, \varepsilon, a, b)/\sqrt{H}$  such that

$$\sup_{p \in M} \frac{1}{\mu_f (B(p,R))} \int_{B(p,R)} \left( (n-1)H - \operatorname{Ric}_{N-} \right)_+ d\mu_f < \frac{a}{b} \frac{v_{cK,b}(R)}{v_{cK,a}(3R)} \delta_2,$$

where  $\delta_2 = \delta_2(n, N, K, \varepsilon, a, b, H, R, \eta_*)$  is given in (4.4), then the universal cover of M is compact, and hence  $\pi_1(M)$  is a finite group.

**Proof.** We find that, by  $3R/a \ge R/b$ ,

$$\frac{a}{b} \frac{v_{cK,b}(R)}{v_{cK,a}(3R)} < 1.$$

Since  $(M, g, \mu_f)$  satisfies the assumption of Corollary 4.4, we have diam(M) < R.

We denote by  $k: \widetilde{M} \to M$  the universal Riemannian covering. Set  $\tilde{g} = k^* g$ ,  $\tilde{f} = f \circ k$ . Fix  $\tilde{x} \in \widetilde{M}$ . Let F be the fundamental domain of k that contains  $\tilde{x} \in \widetilde{M}$ . We find that

$$\mu_f(M) = \mu_{\widetilde{f}}(F), \qquad \widetilde{M} = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \alpha F,$$

where  $\Gamma$  is the deck transformation group of  $\widetilde{M}$ . We set

$$T = \inf \left\{ \#\Gamma_0 \, \middle| \, B(\tilde{x}, R) \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Gamma_0} \alpha F \right\}.$$

Fix  $w \in B(\tilde{x}, R) \cap \alpha F$  and  $z \in \alpha F$  for each  $\alpha \in \Gamma_0$ . Since d(y, z) < 2R for all  $y \in \alpha F$ , we see that

$$d(\tilde{x}, z) \le d(\tilde{x}, w) + d(w, z) < 3R.$$

Hence this implies

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{T} \alpha_i F \subset B(\tilde{x}, 3R)$$

and we find that

(5.1) 
$$T \cdot \mu_f(M) = T \cdot \mu_{\tilde{f}}(F) \le \mu_{\tilde{f}}(B(\tilde{x}, 3R))$$

Then it follows from (5.1) that

$$\frac{1}{\mu_{\tilde{f}}(B(\tilde{x},R))} \int_{B(\tilde{x},R)} \left( (n-1)H - \operatorname{Ric}_{N-} \right)_{+} d\mu_{\tilde{f}}$$

$$\leq \frac{T}{\mu_{\tilde{f}}(B(\tilde{x},R))} \int_{F} \left( (n-1)H - \operatorname{Ric}_{N-} \right)_{+} d\mu_{\tilde{f}}$$

$$= \frac{T}{\mu_{\tilde{f}}(B(\tilde{x},R))} \int_{M} \left( (n-1)H - \operatorname{Ric}_{N-} \right)_{+} d\mu_{f}$$

$$\leq \frac{b}{a} \frac{v_{cK,b}(3R)}{v_{cK,a}(R)} \frac{T}{\mu_{\tilde{f}}(B(\tilde{x},3R))} \int_{M} \left( (n-1)H - \operatorname{Ric}_{N-} \right)_{+} d\mu_{f}$$

$$\leq \frac{b}{a} \frac{v_{cK,b}(3R)}{v_{cK,a}(R)} \frac{1}{\mu_{f}(M)} \int_{M} \left( (n-1)H - \operatorname{Ric}_{N-} \right)_{+} d\mu_{f}.$$

Thus we observe that

$$\sup_{\tilde{x}\in\tilde{M}}\frac{1}{\mu_{\tilde{f}}(B(\tilde{x},R))}\int_{B(\tilde{x},R)}\left((n-1)H - \operatorname{Ric}_{N-}\right)_{+}d\mu_{\tilde{f}}$$

$$\leq \frac{b}{a}\frac{v_{cK,b}(3R)}{v_{cK,a}(R)}\frac{1}{\mu_{f}(M)}\int_{M}\left((n-1)H - \operatorname{Ric}_{N-}\right)_{+}d\mu_{f}$$

$$<\delta_{2}.$$

Since  $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{g}, \mu_{\widetilde{f}})$  satisfies the assumption of Corollary 4.4,  $\widetilde{M}$  is compact and  $\pi_1(M)$  is a finite group.

Finally, we extend Corollary 5.1 to the cases that  $R \leq \pi \widetilde{D}/\sqrt{H}$ .

**Corollary 5.2.** Let  $n, N, \varepsilon, K, a, b, H$  and R as in Theorem 1.8. Set R' > 0 satisfies  $R' > \pi \widetilde{D}(n, N, \varepsilon, a, b)/\sqrt{H}$ . There exists a positive constant  $\widetilde{\delta}(n, N, K, \varepsilon, a, b, H, R, R')$  such that if an n-dimensional complete weighted manifold  $(M, g, \mu_f)$  satisfies the  $(\varepsilon, a, b, N, K)$ -condition and

$$\sup_{p \in M} \frac{1}{\mu_f (B(p,R))} \int_{B(p,R)} \left( (n-1)H - \operatorname{Ric}_{N-} \right)_+ d\mu_f < \tilde{\delta}(n,N,K,\varepsilon,a,b,H,R,R')$$

then the universal cover of M is compact, and hence  $\pi_1(M)$  is a finite group.

**Proof.** Let  $R \leq \pi \widetilde{D}/\sqrt{H}$ . With the same argument in the proof of Theorem 1.8, we find that

$$\sup_{x \in M} \frac{1}{\mu_f (B(x, R'))} \int_{B(x, R')} \left( (n-1)H - \operatorname{Ric}_{N-} \right)_+ d\mu_f$$
  
$$\leq \frac{b^2}{a^2} \frac{v_{cK, b}(2R'+R)}{v_{cK, a}(R/2)} \frac{v_{cK, b}(R'+R)}{v_{cK, a}(R')} \sup_{x \in M} \frac{1}{\mu_f (B(x, R))} \int_{B(x, R)} \left( (n-1)H - \operatorname{Ric}_{N-} \right)_+ d\mu_f.$$

If we assume that

$$\sup_{x \in M} \frac{1}{\mu_f(B(x,R))} \int_{B(x,R)} \left( (n-1)H - \operatorname{Ric}_{N-} \right)_+ d\mu_f$$
  
$$< \frac{a^3}{b^3} \frac{v_{cK,a}(R/2)}{v_{cK,b}(2R'+R)} \frac{v_{cK,a}(R')}{v_{cK,b}(R'+R)} \frac{v_{cK,b}(R')}{v_{cK,a}(3R')} \delta_2(n,N,K,\varepsilon,a,b,H,R',\eta_*)$$

holds, we obtain

$$\sup_{x \in M} \frac{1}{\mu_f (B(x, R'))} \int_{B(x, R')} \left( (n-1)H - \operatorname{Ric}_{N-} \right)_+ d\mu_f$$
  
$$< \frac{a}{b} \frac{v_{cK, b}(R')}{v_{cK, a}(3R')} \delta_2(n, N, K, \varepsilon, a, b, H, R', \eta_*).$$

Therefore, by Corollary 5.1, this completes the proof of the corollary.

#### References

- J. Cheeger and T. H. Colding, Lower bounds on Ricci curvature and the almost rigidity of warped products, Ann. of Math. (2) 144 (1996), no. 1, 189–237.
- [2] S. Hwang and S. Lee, Integral curvature bounds and bounded diameter with Bakry-Émery Ricci tensor, Differential Geom. Appl. 66 (2019), 42–51.
- [3] \_\_\_\_\_, Erratum to: "Integral curvature bounds and bounded diameter with Bakry-Émery Ricci tensor" [Differ. Geom. Appl. 66 (2019) 42–51], Differential Geom. Appl. 70 (2020), 101627, 3.

- [4] M. Jaramillo, Fundamental groups of spaces with Bakry-Emery Ricci tensor bounded below, J. Geom. Anal. 25 (2015), no. 3, 1828–1858.
- [5] K. Kuwae and X.-D. Li, New Laplacian comparison theorem and its applications to diffusion processes on Riemannian manifolds (2020), arXiv 2001.00444
- [6] K. Kuwae and Y. Sakurai, Rigidity phenomena on lower N-weighted Ricci curvature bounds with  $\varepsilon$ -range for nonsymmetric Laplacian, Illinois J. Math. 65 (2021), no. 4, 847–868.
- [7] Y. Lu, E. Minguzzi, and S.-i. Ohta, Geometry of weighted Lorentz-Finsler manifolds I: singularity theorems, Journal of the London Mathematical Society 104 (2021), 362–393.
- [8] \_\_\_\_\_, Comparison theorems on weighted Finsler manifolds and spacetimes with  $\epsilon$ -range (2020), arXiv 2007.00219
- [9] S. B. Myers, Riemannian manifolds with positive mean curvature, Duke Math. J. 8 (1941), 401–404.
- [10] T. Sakai, *Riemannian geometry*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, vol. 149, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996. Translated from the 1992 Japanese original by the author.
- [11] T. Shioya, *Metric measure geometry*, IRMA Lectures in Mathematics and Theoretical Physics, vol. 25, EMS Publishing House, Zürich, 2016.
- [12] C. Sprouse, Integral curvature bounds and bounded diameter, Comm. Anal. Geom. 8 (2000), no. 3, 531–543.
- [13] W. Wylie and D. Yeroshkin, On the geometry of Riemannian manifolds with density (2016), arXiv 1602.08000
- \* DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, TOKYO METROPOLITAN UNIVERSITY, TOKYO 192-0397, JAPAN. Email address: size14sphere@gmail.com