

$q$ -SUPERCONGRUENCES ON TRIPLE AND QUADRUPLE SUMS

XIAOXIA WANG AND CHANG XU\*

ABSTRACT. Inspired by the recent work of El Bachraoui, we present some new  $q$ -supercongruences on triple and quadruple sums of basic hypergeometric series. In particular, we give a  $q$ -supercongruence modulo the fifth power of a cyclotomic polynomial, which is a  $q$ -analogue of the quadruple sum of Van Hamme's supercongruence (G.2).

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In 1913, Ramanujan (see [3]) proposed some well-known hypergeometric series without any proof, including

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (8k+1) \frac{\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)_k^4}{k!^4} = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^2}.$$

Here  $(a)_n = a(a+1)\cdots(a+n-1)$  is the Pochhammer symbol and  $\Gamma(x)$  is the gamma function. In 1997, Van Hamme [15] studied partial sums of Ramanujan's summation formula for  $1/\pi$  and conjectured 13 Ramanujan-type supercongruences, involving

$$(G.2) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{4}} (8k+1) \frac{\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)_k^4}{k!^4} \equiv \frac{p \Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) \Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma_p\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)} \pmod{p^3}, \quad \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}.$$

Here and in what follows,  $p$  is an odd prime and the  $p$ -adic gamma function is defined as

$$\Gamma_p(x) = \lim_{m \rightarrow x} (-1)^m \prod_{\substack{0 < k < m \\ (k,p)=1}} k,$$

where the limit is for  $m$  tending to  $x$   $p$ -adically in  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ .

The Ramanujan-type supercongruences and their  $q$ -analogues have attracted a number of experts. The reader interested in  $q$ -analogues of supercongruences is referred to [4, 6, 13, 16–18, 20]. Especially, a  $q$ -analogue of Van Hamme's (G.2) was proposed by Liu and Wang [11] as follows: for integers  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ ,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-1}{4}} [8k+1] \frac{(q; q^4)_k^4}{(q^4; q^4)_k^4} q^{2k} \equiv \frac{(q^2; q^4)_{\frac{n-1}{4}}}{(q^4; q^4)_{\frac{n-1}{4}}} [n] q^{\frac{1-n}{4}} \pmod{[n] \Phi_n(q)^2}. \quad (1.1)$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 33D15; Secondary 11A07, 11B65.

*Key words and phrases.* basic hypergeometric series;  $q$ -supercongruences; creative microscoping; Chinese remainder theorem.

This work is supported by Natural Science Foundations of Shanghai (22ZR1424100).

We now need to introduce some necessary definitions and concepts. Let  $a, q$  be complex numbers with  $|q| < 1$  and  $n$  a nonnegative integer. The  $q$ -integer is defined as  $[n] = [n]_q = 1 + q + \cdots + q^{n-1}$ . And the  $q$ -shifted factorial is defined by

$$(a; q)_0 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad (a; q)_n = (1 - a)(1 - aq) \cdots (1 - aq^{n-1}).$$

Usually, the multiple  $q$ -shifted factorial is directly written as

$$(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r; q)_m = (a_1; q)_m (a_2; q)_m \cdots (a_r; q)_m,$$

where  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $m \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$ . Moreover, the  $n$ -th cyclotomic polynomial in  $q$  is represented by  $\Phi_n(q)$ :

$$\Phi_n(q) = \prod_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ \gcd(n, k) = 1}} (q - \zeta^k),$$

where  $\zeta$  is an  $n$ -th primitive root of unity.

By transforming  $q$ -supercongruences on double sums into the ones from squares of truncated basic hypergeometric series, El Bachraoui [1] obtained the following result: for any positive odd integer  $n$  with  $\gcd(n, 6) = 1$ ,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^k c_q(j) c_q(k-j) \equiv q^{1-n} [n]^2 \pmod{[n] \Phi_n(q)^2},$$

where  $c_q(k) = [8k + 1] (q; q^2)_k^2 (q; q^2)_{2k} q^{2k^2} / ((q^6; q^6)_k^2 (q^2; q^2)_{2k})$ . Recently, many experts paid attention to El Bachraoui's work and obtained some new results (see, for example, [5, 10, 14]).

Inspired by the above work, in this paper, by some essential tools including the 'creative microscoping' method introduced by Guo and Zudilin [8] and the Chinese remainder theorem for coprime polynomials, we shall investigate several  $q$ -supercongruences on triple and quadruple sums.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $d$  and  $n$  be positive integers with  $d \geq 3$  and  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$ . For  $k \geq 0$ , let*

$$c_q(k) = [2dk + 1] \frac{(q; q^d)_k^4}{(q^d; q^d)_k^4} q^{(d-2)k}.$$

Then, we have

$$\sum_{i+j+k \leq n-1} c_q(i) c_q(j) c_q(k) \equiv [n]^3 q^{\frac{3(1-n)}{d}} \frac{(q^2; q^d)_{\frac{n-1}{d}}^3}{(q^d; q^d)_{\frac{n-1}{d}}^3} \pmod{[n] \Phi_n(q)^3}. \quad (1.2)$$

Letting  $d = 3$  in Theorem 1.1, we get the following result.

**Corollary 1.2.** *Let  $n$  be a positive integer with  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ . For  $k \geq 0$ , let*

$$c_q(k) = [6k + 1] \frac{(q; q^3)_k^4}{(q^3; q^3)_k^4} q^k.$$

Then, modulo  $[n]\Phi_n(q)^3$ ,

$$\sum_{i+j+k \leq n-1} c_q(i)c_q(j)c_q(k) \equiv [n]^3 q^{1-n} \frac{(q^2; q^3)_{\frac{n-1}{3}}^3}{(q^3; q^3)_{\frac{n-1}{3}}}.$$

Note that He [9] obtained the following Ramanujan-type supercongruence: for primes  $p \geq 5$ ,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{3}} (6k+1) \frac{\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)_k^4}{k!^4} \equiv -p \Gamma_p \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^3 \pmod{p^4}, \quad \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{3},$$

and Liu and Wang [12] presented several different  $q$ -analogues of He's supercongruence.

Similarly, setting  $d = 4$  in Theorem 1.1 yields a new  $q$ -supercongruence related to Van Hamme's (G.2) supercongruence.

**Corollary 1.3.** *Let  $n$  be a positive integer with  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . For  $k \geq 0$ , let*

$$c_q(k) = [8k+1] \frac{(q; q^4)_k^4}{(q^4; q^4)_k^4} q^{2k}.$$

Then, modulo  $[n]\Phi_n(q)^3$ ,

$$\sum_{i+j+k \leq n-1} c_q(i)c_q(j)c_q(k) \equiv [n]^3 q^{\frac{3(1-n)}{4}} \frac{(q^2; q^4)_{\frac{n-1}{4}}^3}{(q^4; q^4)_{\frac{n-1}{4}}^3}. \quad (1.3)$$

Moreover, we shall give a  $q$ -analogue of the quadruple sum of (G.2) as follows.

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $n$  be a positive integer with  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . For  $k \geq 0$ , let*

$$c_q(k) = [8k+1] \frac{(q; q^4)_k^4}{(q^4; q^4)_k^4} q^{2k}.$$

Modulo  $[n]\Phi_n(q)^4$ , we have

$$\sum_{j_1+j_2+j_3+j_4 \leq n-1} c_q(j_1)c_q(j_2)c_q(j_3)c_q(j_4) \equiv [n]^8 q^{4(1-n)} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-1}{4}} \frac{(q, q, q^3; q^4)_k}{(q^4, q^4, q^5; q^4)_k} q^{4k} \right)^4. \quad (1.4)$$

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. We shall prove Theorem 1.1 in the next section by making use of the 'creative microscoping' method and the Chinese remainder theorem for coprime polynomials. Finally, in Section 3, we give a proof of Theorem 1.4 through establishing its parametric generalization.

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

We first give an important result due to El Bachraoui [2], which plays an important role in our proof.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $d, n, t$  be positive integers with  $d \geq t \geq 2$  and  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$ . Let  $\{c(k)\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of complex numbers. If  $c(k) = 0$  for  $(n-1)/d < k < n$ , then*

$$\sum_{k_1+\dots+k_t \leq n-1} c(k_1) \cdots c(k_t) = \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-1}{d}} c(k) \right)^t, \quad (2.1)$$

Furthermore, if  $c(ln+k)/c(ln) = c(k)$  for all nonnegative integers  $k$  and  $l$  such that  $0 \leq k \leq n-1$ , then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k_1+\dots+k_t=ln+k} c(k_1)c(k_2) \cdots c(k_t) \\ &= \sum_{k_1+\dots+k_t=k} c(k_1)c(k_2) \cdots c(k_t) \\ & \times \sum_{s_1=0}^l c(s_1n) \sum_{s_2=0}^{l-s_1} c(s_2n) \cdots \sum_{s_{t-1}=0}^{l-s_1-\dots-s_{t-2}} c(s_{t-1}n) c((l-s_1-\dots-s_{t-1})n). \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

In order to prove Theorem 1.1, we need to confirm the following two lemmas based on the result presented in [7].

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $n, d$  be positive integers with  $d \geq 3$  and  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$ ,  $a$  and  $b$  indeterminates. For  $k \geq 0$ , let*

$$z_q(k) = [2dk+1] \frac{(q, aq, q/a, q/b; q^d)_k}{(q^d, aq^d, q^d/a, bq^d; q^d)_k} b^k q^{(d-2)k}.$$

Then, we have, modulo  $[n](1-aq^n)(a-q^n)$ ,

$$\sum_{i+j+k \leq n-1} z_q(i)z_q(j)z_q(k) \equiv [n]^3 \frac{(b/q)^{\frac{3(n-1)}{d}} (q^2/b; q^d)^3_{\frac{n-1}{d}}}{(bq^d; q^d)^3_{\frac{n-1}{d}}}. \quad (2.3)$$

*Proof.* For  $n = 1$ , the result is clearly true. We now assume that  $n$  is an integer with  $n > 1$ . Recall that Guo and Schlosser [7, Equation (4.3)] gave the following result: modulo  $[n](1-aq^n)(a-q^n)(b-q^n)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^M z_q(k) & \equiv [n] \frac{(b/q)^{\frac{n-1}{d}} (q^2/b; q^d)_{\frac{n-1}{d}} (b-q^n)(ab-1-a^2+aq^n)}{(bq^d; q^d)_{\frac{n-1}{d}} (a-b)(1-ab)} \\ & + [n] \frac{(q, q^{d-1}; q^d)_{\frac{n-1}{d}} (1-aq^n)(a-q^n)}{(aq^d, q^d/a; q^d)_{\frac{n-1}{d}} (a-b)(1-ab)}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

where  $M = (n - 1)/d$  or  $n - 1$ . It is clear to see that  $(q; q^d)_k \equiv 0 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)}$  for  $(n - 1)/d < k < n$ . This means that  $z_q(k) \equiv 0 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)}$  for  $(n - 1)/d < k < n$ . Therefore, employing Lemma 2.1 with  $t = 3$  produces

$$\sum_{i+j+k \leq n-1} z_q(i)z_q(j)z_q(k) \equiv 0 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)}. \quad (2.5)$$

Let  $\zeta \neq 1$  be an  $n$ -th root of unity, not necessarily primitive. Namely,  $\zeta$  is a primitive  $m$ -th root of unity with  $m \mid n$  and  $m > 1$ . From (2.5), we get

$$\sum_{i+j+k \leq m-1} z_\zeta(i)z_\zeta(j)z_\zeta(k) = 0. \quad (2.6)$$

It is not difficult to check that  $z_\zeta(lm + s)/z_\zeta(lm) = z_\zeta(s)$  for nonnegative integers  $l$  and  $s$  with  $0 \leq s \leq m - 1$ . Applying Lemma 2.1 with  $t = 3$  again, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i+j+k \leq n-1} z_\zeta(i)z_\zeta(j)z_\zeta(k) \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \sum_{i+j+k=r} z_\zeta(i)z_\zeta(j)z_\zeta(k) \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{\frac{n}{m}-1} \sum_{s=0}^{m-1} \sum_{i+j+k=lm+s} z_\zeta(i)z_\zeta(j)z_\zeta(k) \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{\frac{n}{m}-1} \sum_{a=0}^l z_\zeta(am) \sum_{t=0}^{l-a} z_\zeta(tm)z_\zeta((l-a-t)m) \sum_{s=0}^{m-1} \sum_{i+j+k=s} z_\zeta(i)z_\zeta(j)z_\zeta(k) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since the above equality is true for any  $n$ -th root of unity  $\zeta \neq 1$ , we conclude that

$$\sum_{i+j+k \leq n-1} z_q(i)z_q(j)z_q(k) \equiv 0 \pmod{[n]}. \quad (2.7)$$

On the other hand, letting  $a = q^n$  or  $q^{-n}$  in (2.4), we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^M \tilde{z}_q(k) = [n] \frac{(b/q)^{\frac{n-1}{d}} (q^2/b; q^d)_{\frac{n-1}{d}}}{(bq^d; q^d)_{\frac{n-1}{d}}},$$

where

$$\tilde{z}_q(k) = [2dk + 1] \frac{(q, q^{1+n}, q^{1-n}, q/b; q^d)_k}{(q^d, q^{d+n}, q^{d-n}, bq^d; q^d)_k} b^k q^{(d-2)k}.$$

Note the fact that  $\tilde{z}_q(k) = 0$  for  $(n-1)/d < k < n$ . Using Lemma 2.1 with  $t = 3$  again, we arrive at

$$\sum_{i+j+k \leq n-1} \tilde{z}_q(i) \tilde{z}_q(j) \tilde{z}_q(k) = [n]^3 \frac{(b/q)^{\frac{3(n-1)}{d}} (q^2/b; q^d)_{\frac{3}{d}}}{(bq^d; q^d)_{\frac{3}{d}}}.$$

Then, we have the following  $q$ -congruence involving a variable  $a$ : modulo  $(1 - aq^n)(a - q^n)$ ,

$$\sum_{i+j+k \leq n-1} z_q(i) z_q(j) z_q(k) \equiv [n]^3 \frac{(b/q)^{\frac{3(n-1)}{d}} (q^2/b; q^d)_{\frac{3}{d}}}{(bq^d; q^d)_{\frac{3}{d}}}. \quad (2.8)$$

From (2.7) and (2.8), we conclude that (2.8) is true modulo  $[n](1 - aq^n)(a - q^n)$ , as desired.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $n, d$  be positive integers with  $d \geq 3$  and  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$ ,  $a$  and  $b$  indeterminates. For  $k \geq 0$ , let*

$$z_q(k) = [2dk + 1] \frac{(q, aq, q/a, q/b; q^d)_k}{(q^d, aq^d, q^d/a, bq^d; q^d)_k} b^k q^{(d-2)k}.$$

Then, we have

$$\sum_{i+j+k \leq n-1} z_q(i) z_q(j) z_q(k) \equiv [n]^3 \frac{(q, q^{d-1}; q^d)_{\frac{3}{d}}}{(aq^d, q^d/a; q^d)_{\frac{3}{d}}} \pmod{b - q^n}. \quad (2.9)$$

*Proof.* Letting  $b = q^n$  in (2.4), we get

$$\sum_{k=0}^M \hat{z}_q(k) = [n] \frac{(q, q^{d-1}; q^d)_{\frac{n-1}{d}}}{(aq^d, q^d/a; q^d)_{\frac{n-1}{d}}},$$

where

$$\hat{z}_q(k) = [2dk + 1] \frac{(q, aq, q/a, q^{1-n}; q^d)_k}{(q^d, aq^d, q^d/a, q^{d+n}, q^d)_k} q^{(n+d-2)k}.$$

Note that  $\hat{z}_q(k) = 0$  for  $(n-1)/d < k < n$ . From Lemma 2.1 with  $t = 3$ , we obtain

$$\sum_{i+j+k \leq n-1} \hat{z}_q(i) \hat{z}_q(j) \hat{z}_q(k) = [n]^3 \frac{(q, q^{d-1}; q^d)_{\frac{3}{d}}}{(aq^d, q^d/a; q^d)_{\frac{3}{d}}},$$

which means that

$$\sum_{i+j+k \leq n-1} z_q(i) z_q(j) z_q(k) \equiv [n]^3 \frac{(q, q^{d-1}; q^d)_{\frac{3}{d}}}{(aq^d, q^d/a; q^d)_{\frac{3}{d}}} \pmod{b - q^n}$$

is true.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* It is easy to see that  $[n](1 - aq^n)(a - q^n)$  and  $b - q^n$  are relatively prime polynomials. Noting the relations

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(b - q^n)(ab - 1 - a^2 + aq^n)}{(a - b)(1 - ab)} &\equiv 1 \pmod{(1 - aq^n)(a - q^n)}, \\ \frac{(1 - aq^n)(a - q^n)}{(a - b)(1 - ab)} &\equiv 1 \pmod{b - q^n}, \end{aligned}$$

and employing the Chinese remainder theorem for coprime polynomials, we derive the following result from (2.3) and (2.9): modulo  $[n](1 - aq^n)(a - q^n)(b - q^n)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i+j+k \leq n-1} z_q(i)z_q(j)z_q(k) \\ &\equiv [n]^3 \left\{ \frac{(b - q^n)(ab - 1 - a^2 + aq^n)}{(a - b)(1 - ab)} \frac{(b/q)^{\frac{3(n-1)}{d}} (q^2/b; q^d)_{\frac{n-1}{d}}}{(bq^d; q^d)_{\frac{n-1}{d}}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{(1 - aq^n)(a - q^n)}{(a - b)(1 - ab)} \frac{(q, q^{d-1}; q^d)_{\frac{n-1}{d}}}{(aq^d, q^d/a; q^d)_{\frac{n-1}{d}}} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

Taking  $b \rightarrow 1$  in (2.10), we deduce that, modulo  $\Phi_n(q)^2(1 - aq^n)(a - q^n)$ ,

$$\sum_{i+j+k \leq n-1} z_q(i)z_q(j)z_q(k) \equiv [n]^3 q^{\frac{3(1-n)}{d}} \frac{(q^2; q^d)_{\frac{n-1}{d}}}{(q^d; q^d)_{\frac{n-1}{d}}}. \quad (2.11)$$

Here we have employed the relation:

$$(1 - q^n)(1 + a^2 - a - aq^n) = (1 - a)^2 + (1 - aq^n)(a - q^n).$$

Finally, letting  $a \rightarrow 1$  in (2.11) and noting  $1 - q^n$  has the factor  $\Phi_n(q)$ , we see that the congruence (1.2) is true modulo  $\Phi_n(q)^4$ . On the other hand, our proof of (2.7) is still valid for  $a = b = 1$ , which means that (1.2) is also correct modulo  $[n]$ . Since the least common multiple of  $\Phi_n(q)^4$  and  $[n]$  is  $[n]\Phi_n(q)^3$ , we finish the proof of Theorem 1.1.  $\square$

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4

We first establish a parametric extension of Theorem 1.4 as follows.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $d$  and  $n$  be positive integers with  $d \geq 4$  and  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$ ,  $c$  an indeterminate. For  $k \geq 0$ , let*

$$c_q(k) = [2dk + 1] \frac{(q; q^d)_k^5 (cq; q^d)_k}{(q^d; q^d)_k^5 (q^d/c; q^d)_k} \left( \frac{q^{2d-3}}{c} \right)^k.$$

Then, we have, modulo  $[n]\Phi_n(q)^4$ ,

$$\sum_{j_1+j_2+j_3+j_4 \leq n-1} c_q(j_1)c_q(j_2)c_q(j_3)c_q(j_4)$$

$$\equiv [n]^4 (cq)^{\frac{4(1-n)}{d}} \frac{(cq^2; q^d)_{\frac{n-1}{d}}}{(q^d/c; q^d)_{\frac{n-1}{d}}} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-1}{d}} \frac{(q, q, cq, q^{d-1}; q^d)_k}{(q^d, q^d, q^d, cq^2; q^d)_k} q^{dk} \right)^4. \quad (3.1)$$

Clearly, Theorem 1.4 can be gotten by setting  $c = q^{d-1}$  and  $d = 4$  in Theorem 3.1.

In order to confirm Theorem 3.1, we need to prove the following parametric extension.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $d$  and  $n$  be positive integers with  $d \geq 4$  and  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$ ,  $a$ ,  $b$  and  $c$  indeterminates. For  $k \geq 0$ , let*

$$z_q(k, a, b) = [2dk + 1] \frac{(aq, q/a, bq, q/b, cq, q; q^d)_k}{(q^d/q, aq^d, q^d/b, bq^d, q^d/c, q^d; q^d)_k} \left( \frac{q^{2d-3}}{c} \right)^k.$$

Then, modulo  $[n](1 - aq^n)(a - q^n)(1 - bq^n)(b - q^n)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j_1+j_2+j_3+j_4 \leq n-1} z_q(j_1, a, b) z_q(j_2, a, b) z_q(j_3, a, b) z_q(j_4, a, b) \\ & \equiv [n]^4 (cq)^{\frac{4(1-n)}{d}} \frac{(cq^2; q^d)_{\frac{n-1}{d}}}{(q^d/c; q^d)_{\frac{n-1}{d}}} \\ & \times \left\{ \frac{(1 - bq^n)(b - q^n)(-1 - a^2 + aq^n)}{(a - b)(1 - ab)} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-1}{d}} \frac{(aq, q/a, cq, q^{d-1}; q^d)_k}{(bq^d, q^d/b, cq^2, q^d; q^d)_k} q^{dk} \right)^4 \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{(1 - aq^n)(a - q^n)(-1 - b^2 + bq^n)}{(b - a)(1 - ab)} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-1}{d}} \frac{(bq, q/b, cq, q^{d-1}; q^d)_k}{(aq^d, q^d/a, cq^2, q^d; q^d)_k} q^{dk} \right)^4 \right\}. \quad (3.2) \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* For  $n = 1$ , the result is obviously true. We now assume that  $n$  is an integer with  $n > 1$ . Setting  $r = 1$  in [19, Theorem 5.7], we have, modulo  $[n](1 - aq^n)(a - q^n)(1 - bq^n)(b - q^n)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^M z_q(k, a, b) \\ & \equiv [n] (cq)^{\frac{1-n}{d}} \frac{(cq^2; q^d)_{\frac{n-1}{d}}}{(q^d/c; q^d)_{\frac{n-1}{d}}} \\ & \times \left\{ \frac{(1 - bq^n)(b - q^n)(-1 - a^2 + aq^n)}{(a - b)(1 - ab)} \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-1}{d}} \frac{(aq, q/a, cq, q^{d-1}; q^d)_k}{(aq^d, q^d/a, cq^2, q^d; q^d)_k} q^{dk} \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{(1 - aq^n)(a - q^n)(-1 - b^2 + bq^n)}{(b - a)(1 - ab)} \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-1}{d}} \frac{(bq, q/b, cq, q^{d-1}; q^d)_k}{(aq^d, q^d/a, cq^2, q^d; q^d)_k} q^{dk} \right\}, \quad (3.3) \end{aligned}$$



where  $M = (n - 1)/d$  or  $n - 1$ . Then, from (3.3), we can deduce that

$$\sum_{k=0}^M z_q(k, a, b) \equiv 0 \pmod{[n]}. \quad (3.4)$$

Since  $(q; q^d)_k \equiv 0 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)}$  for  $(n - 1)/d < k < n$ , we deduce that  $z_q(k, a, b) \equiv 0 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)}$  for  $(n - 1)/d < k < n$ . Then, applying Lemma 2.1 with  $t = 4$ , we arrive at

$$\sum_{j_1+j_2+j_3+j_4 \leq n-1} z_q(j_1, a, b)z_q(j_2, a, b)z_q(j_3, a, b)z_q(j_4, a, b) \equiv 0 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)}. \quad (3.5)$$

Letting  $\zeta \neq 1$  be an  $n$ -th root of unity, not necessarily primitive. Namely,  $\zeta$  is a primitive  $m$ -th root of unity with  $m \mid n$  and  $m > 1$ . From (3.5), we have

$$\sum_{j_1+j_2+j_3+j_4 \leq m-1} z_\zeta(j_1, a, b)z_\zeta(j_2, a, b)z_\zeta(j_3, a, b)z_\zeta(j_4, a, b) = 0. \quad (3.6)$$

Observe that  $z_\zeta(lm + k)/z_\zeta(lm) = z_\zeta(k)$  for nonnegative integers  $l$  and  $k$  with  $0 \leq k \leq m - 1$ . Using Lemma 2.1 with  $t = 4$  again, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j_1+j_2+j_3+j_4 \leq n-1} z_\zeta(j_1, a, b)z_\zeta(j_2, a, b)z_\zeta(j_3, a, b)z_\zeta(j_4, a, b) \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j_1+j_2+j_3+j_4=r} z_\zeta(j_1, a, b)z_\zeta(j_2, a, b)z_\zeta(j_3, a, b)z_\zeta(j_4, a, b) \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{\frac{n}{m}-1} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j_1+j_2+j_3+j_4=lm+k} z_\zeta(j_1, a, b)z_\zeta(j_2, a, b)z_\zeta(j_3, a, b)z_\zeta(j_4, a, b) \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{\frac{n}{m}-1} \sum_{k_1=0}^l z_\zeta(k_1m, a, b) \sum_{k_2=0}^{l-k_1} z_\zeta(k_2m, a, b) \sum_{k_3=0}^{l-k_1-k_2} z_\zeta(k_3m, a, b)z_\zeta((l - k_1 - k_2 - k_3)m, a, b) \\ & \quad \times \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j_1+j_2+j_3+j_4=k} z_\zeta(j_1, a, b)z_\zeta(j_2, a, b)z_\zeta(j_3, a, b)z_\zeta(j_4, a, b) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

which indicates that

$$\sum_{j_1+j_2+j_3+j_4 \leq n-1} z_q(j_1, a, b)z_q(j_2, a, b)z_q(j_3, a, b)z_q(j_4, a, b) \equiv 0 \pmod{[n]} \quad (3.7)$$

is true.

Moreover, letting  $a = q^n$  or  $a = q^{-n}$  in (3.3) gives

$$\sum_{k=0}^M z_q(k, q^n, b) = \sum_{k=0}^M z_q(k, q^{-n}, b)$$

$$= [n](cq)^{\frac{1-n}{d}} \frac{(cq^2; q^d)_{\frac{n-1}{d}}}{(q^d/c; q^d)_{\frac{n-1}{d}}} \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-1}{d}} \frac{(q^{1-n}, q^{1+n}, cq, q^{d-1}; q^d)_k}{(bq^d, q^d/b, cq^2, q^d; q^d)_k} q^{dk}. \quad (3.8)$$

Noticing that  $z_q(k, q^n, b) = z_q(k, q^{-n}, b) = 0$  for  $(n-1)/d < k < n$ . Then, employing Lemma 2.1 with  $t = 4$ , we obtain, modulo  $(1 - aq^n)(a - q^n)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j_1+j_2+j_3+j_4 \leq n-1} z_q(j_1, a, b) z_q(j_2, a, b) z_q(j_3, a, b) z_q(j_4, a, b) \\ & \equiv [n]^4 (cq)^{\frac{4(1-n)}{d}} \frac{(cq^2; q^d)_{\frac{n-1}{d}}^4}{(q^d/c; q^d)_{\frac{n-1}{d}}^4} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-1}{d}} \frac{(aq, q/a, cq, q^{d-1}; q^d)_k}{(bq^d, q^d/b, cq^2, q^d; q^d)_k} q^{dk} \right)^4. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Similarly, setting  $b = q^n$  or  $b = q^{-n}$  in (3.3) and utilizing Lemma 2.1 with  $t = 4$  again, we get, modulo  $(1 - bq^n)(b - q^n)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j_1+j_2+j_3+j_4 \leq n-1} z_q(j_1, a, b) z_q(j_2, a, b) z_q(j_3, a, b) z_q(j_4, a, b) \\ & \equiv [n]^4 (cq)^{\frac{4(1-n)}{d}} \frac{(cq^2; q^d)_{\frac{n-1}{d}}^4}{(q^d/c; q^d)_{\frac{n-1}{d}}^4} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-1}{d}} \frac{(bq, q/b, cq, q^{d-1}; q^d)_k}{(aq^d, q^d/a, cq^2, q^d; q^d)_k} q^{dk} \right)^4. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Noting that the polynomials  $[n]$ ,  $(1 - aq^n)(a - q^n)$  and  $(1 - bq^n)(b - q^n)$  are relatively prime. Then, utilizing the Chinese remainder theorem for coprime polynomials and the relations:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(1 - bq^n)(b - q^n)(-1 - a^2 + aq^n)}{(a - b)(1 - ab)} \equiv 1 \pmod{(1 - aq^n)(a - q^n)}, \\ & \frac{(1 - aq^n)(a - q^n)(-1 - b^2 + bq^n)}{(b - a)(1 - ab)} \equiv 1 \pmod{(1 - bq^n)(b - q^n)}, \end{aligned}$$

we can obtain the desired result from (3.7), (3.9) and (3.10).  $\square$

Subsequently, we start to prove Theorem 3.1.

*Proof of Theorem 3.1.* Letting  $b \rightarrow 1$  in the congruence (3.2) produces, modulo  $\Phi_n(q)^3(1 - aq^n)(a - q^n)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j_1+j_2+j_3+j_4 \leq n-1} z_q(j_1, a, 1) z_q(j_2, a, 1) z_q(j_3, a, 1) z_q(j_4, a, 1) \\ & \equiv [n]^4 (cq)^{\frac{4(1-n)}{d}} \frac{(cq^2; q^d)_{\frac{n-1}{d}}^4}{(q^d/c; q^d)_{\frac{n-1}{d}}^4} \\ & \quad \times \left\{ \frac{(1 - q^n)^2(-1 - a^2 + aq^n)}{(a - 1)(1 - a)} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-1}{d}} \frac{(aq, q/a, cq, q^{d-1}; q^d)_k}{(q^d, q^d, cq^2, q^d; q^d)_k} q^{dk} \right)^4 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\left. -\frac{(1-aq^n)(a-q^n)(-2+q^n)}{(a-1)(1-a)} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-1}{d}} \frac{(q, q, cq, q^{d-1}; q^d)_k}{(aq^d, q^d/a, cq^2, q^d; q^d)_k} q^{dk} \right)^4 \right\}. \quad (3.11)$$

Next, setting  $a \rightarrow 1$  and utilizing the L'Hospital rule in (3.11), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j_1+j_2+j_3+j_4 \leq n-1} c_q(j_1)c_q(j_2)c_q(j_3)c_q(j_4) \\ & \equiv [n]^4 (cq)^{\frac{4(1-n)}{d}} \frac{(cq^2; q^d)_{\frac{n-1}{d}}}{(q^d/c; q^d)_{\frac{n-1}{d}}} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-1}{d}} \frac{(q, q, cq, q^{d-1}; q^d)_k}{(q^d, q^d, q^d, cq^2; q^d)_k} q^{dk} \right)^4 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)^5}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

On the other hand, our proof of (3.7) is still valid for  $a = b = 1$ , which means that (3.12) is also correct modulo  $[n]$ . Since the least common multiple of  $\Phi_n(q)^5$  and  $[n]$  is  $[n]\Phi_n(q)^4$ , we derive Theorem 3.1  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. El Bachraoui, On supercongruences for truncated sums of squares of basic hypergeometric series, *Ramanujan J.* **54** (2021), 415–426.
- [2] M. El Bachraoui,  $N$ -tuple sum analogues for Ramanujan-type congruences, arXiv: 2112. 00308.
- [3] B.C. Berndt and R.A. Rankin, *Ramanujan: letters and commentary*, History of Mathematics **9**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI; London Math. Soc., London (1995).
- [4] V.J.W. Guo, Further  $q$ -supercongruences from a transformation of Rahman, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **511** (2022), Art. 126062.
- [5] V.J.W. Guo and L. Li,  $q$ -Supercongruences from squares of basic hypergeometric series, arXiv: 2112. 12076.
- [6] V.J.W. Guo and M.J. Schlosser, A family of  $q$ -hypergeometric congruences modulo the fourth power of a cyclotomic polynomial, *Israel J. Math.* **240** (2020), 821–835.
- [7] V.J.W. Guo and M.J. Schlosser, A new family of  $q$ -supercongruences modulo the fourth power of a cyclotomic polynomial, *Results Math.* **75** (2020), Art. 155.
- [8] V.J.W. Guo and W. Zudilin, A  $q$ -microscope for supercongruences, *Adv. Math.* **346** (2019), 329–358.
- [9] B. He, Supercongruences on truncated hypergeometric Series, *Results Math.* **72** (2017), 303–317.
- [10] L. Li, Some  $q$ -supercongruences for truncated forms of squares of basic hypergeometric series, *J. Difference Equ. Appl.* **27** (2021), 16–25.
- [11] Y. Liu and X. Wang,  $q$ -Analogues of the (G.2) supercongruence of Van Hamme, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **51** (2021), 1329–1340.
- [12] Y. Liu and X. Wang,  $q$ -Analogues of two Ramanujan-type supercongruences, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **502** (2021), Art. 125238.
- [13] Y. Liu and X. Wang, Some  $q$ -supercongruences from a quadratic transformation by Rahman, *Results Math.* **77** (2022), Art. 44.
- [14] H. Song and C. Wang, Some  $q$ -supercongruences modulo the fifth power of a cyclotomic polynomial from squares of  $q$ -hypergeometric series, *Results Math.* **76** (2021), Art. 222.
- [15] L. Van Hamme, Some conjectures concerning partial sums of generalized hypergeometric series, in:  *$p$ -Adic Functional Analysis* (Nijmegen, 1996), Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math. **192**, Dekker, New York (1997), 223–236.
- [16] C. Wang, A new  $q$ -extension of the (H.2) congruence of Van Hamme for primes  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , *Results Math.* **76** (2021), Art. 205.

- [17] X. Wang and M. Yu, Some generalizations of a congruence by Sun and Tauraso, *Period. Math. Hungar.* (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10998-021-00432-8>.
- [18] C. Wei, A further  $q$ -analogue of Van Hamme's (H.2) supercongruence for any prime  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , *Results Math.* **76** (2021), Art. 92.
- [19] C. Wei, Some  $q$ -supercongruences modulo the fifth and sixth powers of a cyclotomic polynomial, *arXiv*: 2104.07025.
- [20] C. Xu and X. Wang, Proofs of Guo and Schlosser's two conjectures, *Period. Math. Hungar.* (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10998-022-00452-y>.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHANGHAI UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 200444, P.  
R. CHINA

*Email address:* \* Corresponding author. xiaoxiawang@shu.edu.cn (X. Wang), xchangi@shu.edu.cn (C. Xu).