

# THE OSTROWSKI QUOTIENT OF AN ELLIPTIC CURVE

ABBAS MAAREFPARVAR

ABSTRACT. For  $K/F$  a finite Galois extension of number fields, the relative Pólya group  $\text{Po}(K/F)$  is the subgroup of the ideal class group of  $K$  generated by all the strongly ambiguous ideal classes in  $K/F$ . The notion of Ostrowski quotient  $\text{Ost}(K/F)$ , as the cokernel of the capitulation map into  $\text{Po}(K/F)$ , has been recently introduced in [18]. In this paper, using some results of González-Avilés [7], we find a new approach to define  $\text{Po}(K/F)$  and  $\text{Ost}(K/F)$  which is the main motivation for us to investigate analogous notions in the elliptic curve setting. For  $E$  an elliptic curve defined over  $F$ , we define the Ostrowski quotient  $\text{Ost}(E, K/F)$  and the coarse Ostrowski quotient  $\text{Ost}_c(E, K/F)$  of  $E$  relative to  $K/F$ , for which in the latter group we do not take into account primes of bad reduction. Our main result is a non-trivial structure theorem for the group  $\text{Ost}_c(E, K/F)$  and we analyze this theorem, in some details, for the class of curves  $E$  over quadratic extensions  $K/F$ .

**Keywords:** Tate-Shafarevich group, transgression map, localization map, Ostrowski quotient, coarse Ostrowski quotient.

**Notation.** The following notations will be used throughout this article:

For a number field  $F$ , the notations  $I(F)$ ,  $P(F)$ ,  $\text{Cl}(F)$ ,  $h_F$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_F$ ,  $U_F$  and  $\mathbb{P}_F$  denote the group of fractional ideals, group of principal fractional ideals, ideal class group, class number, ring of integers, group of units and set of all prime ideals of  $F$ , respectively. For a finite extension  $K/F$  of number fields, we use  $N_{K/F}$  to denote both the ideal norm and the element norm map from  $K$  to  $F$ . Also  $\epsilon_{K/F} : \text{Cl}(F) \rightarrow \text{Cl}(K)$  denotes the capitulation map induced by the extension homomorphism from  $I(F)$  to  $I(K)$ . For a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathbb{P}_F$ , we denote by  $e_{\mathfrak{p}(K/F)}$  the ramification index of  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $K/F$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $K$  be an algebraic number field. For a prime power  $q$ , the *Ostrowski ideal*  $\Pi_q(K)$  of  $K$  is defined as follows [14]:

$$(1.1) \quad \Pi_q(K) := \prod_{\substack{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathbb{P}_K \\ N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{p})=q}} \mathfrak{p}.$$

By convention, if  $K$  has no ideal with norm  $q$ , we set  $\Pi_q(K) = \mathcal{O}_K$ . The number field  $K$  is called a *Pólya field* if all the Ostrowski ideals  $\Pi_q(K)$  for arbitrary prime powers  $q$  are principal [22]. The notion of *Pólya-Ostrowski group* or *Pólya group* was introduced in [3, Chapter II, §3].

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11R34, 11G05.  
This research was supported by a grant from IPM.

**Definition 1.1.** The Pólya group of a number field  $K$  is the subgroup  $\text{Po}(K)$  of the class group  $\text{Cl}(K)$  generated by the classes of all the Ostrowski ideals  $\Pi_q(K)$ .

Hence  $K$  is a Pólya field if and only if  $\text{Po}(K)$  is trivial. Obviously every number field with class number one is a Pólya field, but not conversely. For instance, Zantema proved that every cyclotomic field is a Pólya field [22, Proposition 2.6]. The reader is referred to [3, 4, 11, 12, 22] for some results on Pólya fields and Pólya groups. Recently the notion of Pólya group has been relativized independently in [10, 4].

**Definition 1.2.** For  $K/F$  a finite extension of number fields, the *relative Pólya group* of  $K$  over  $F$ , is the subgroup of  $\text{Cl}(K)$  generated by the classes of the *relative Ostrowski ideals*

$$(1.2) \quad \Pi_{\mathfrak{p}^f}(K/F) := \prod_{\substack{\mathfrak{P} \in \mathbb{P}_K \\ N_{K/F}(\mathfrak{P}) = \mathfrak{p}^f}} \mathfrak{P},$$

where  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a prime ideal of  $F$ ,  $f$  is a positive integer and by convention, if  $K$  has no ideal with relative norm  $\mathfrak{p}^f$  (over  $F$ ), then  $\Pi_{\mathfrak{p}^f}(K/F) = \mathcal{O}_K$ . We denote the relative Pólya group of  $K$  over  $F$  by  $\text{Po}(K/F)$ . In particular,  $\text{Po}(K/\mathbb{Q}) = \text{Po}(K)$  and  $\text{Po}(K/K) = \text{Cl}(K)$ .

If  $K/F$  is a Galois extension with Galois group  $G$ , the ideals  $\Pi_{\mathfrak{p}^f}(K/F)$  freely generate the ambiguous ideals  $I(K)^G$  [2, Proof of Proposition 2.2]. Hence in this case,  $\text{Po}(K/F)$  coincides with the group of strongly ambiguous ideal classes of  $K/F$ . Moreover, using some results of Brumer and Rosen [2, §2], one may find the following exact sequence which is also obtained by Zantema in the absolute case [22, §3].

**Proposition 1.3.** [4, 12] *For  $K/F$  a finite Galois extension of number fields with Galois group  $G$ , the following sequence is exact:*

$$(BRZ) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{Ker}(\epsilon_{K/F}) \rightarrow H^1(G, U_K) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathbb{P}_F} \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{e_{\mathfrak{p}(K/F)} \mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \frac{\text{Po}(K/F)}{\epsilon_{K/F}(\text{Cl}(F))} \rightarrow 0.$$

**Remark 1.4.** Note that “BRZ” stands for Brumer-Rosen [2] and Zantema [22] whose cohomological results are the main tools to prove the above proposition, see [18, Remark 1.4].

As a modification of the relative Pólya group, the notion of “Ostrowski quotient” has been recently introduced in [18].

**Definition 1.5.** For  $K/F$  a finite extension of number fields, the *Ostrowski quotient*  $\text{Ost}(K/F)$  is defined as

$$(1.3) \quad \text{Ost}(K/F) := \frac{\text{Po}(K/F)}{\text{Po}(K/F) \cap \epsilon_{K/F}(\text{Cl}(F))}.$$

In particular,  $\text{Ost}(K/\mathbb{Q}) = \text{Po}(K/\mathbb{Q}) = \text{Po}(K)$  and  $\text{Ost}(K/K) = 0$ . The extension  $K/F$  is called *Ostrowski* (or  $K$  is called  $F$ -Ostrowski) whenever  $\text{Ost}(K/F)$  is trivial.

**Remark 1.6.** If  $K/F$  is Galois, then  $\epsilon_{K/F}(\text{Cl}(F)) \subseteq \text{Po}(K/F)$  [12, § 2]. Hence

$$(1.4) \quad \text{Ost}(K/F) = \frac{\text{Po}(K/F)}{\epsilon_{K/F}(\text{Cl}(F))}.$$

Some applications of (BRZ), especially generalizations of some results for Pólya fields, have been found in [12, §2]. Moreover, using (BRZ) one may find simple proofs for some classical well known results in the literature for the capitulation problem [18, §2.2.1]. For more applications of (BRZ), see [4, 12, 18].

## 2. LOCALIZATION AND TRANSGRESSION MAPS

From now on, we fix the following notations:

- $K/F$ : a finite Galois extension of number fields with Galois group  $G$ ;
- $G_F := \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$ , where  $\overline{F}$  is the algebraic closure of  $F$ ;
- $M_F$ : a complete set of places of  $F$ ;
- $M_F^\infty$ : the set of all infinite places of  $F$ ;
- $M_F^0$ : the set of all finite places of  $F$ ;
- $F_v$ : the completion of  $F$  at a place  $v \in M_F$ ;
- $w$ : a fixed place of  $K$  lying above  $v \in M_F$ ;
- $U_{K_w}$ : the group of local units in  $K_w$ ;
- $G_w := \text{Gal}(K_w/F_v)$  for a place  $v \in M_F$ ;
- $G_{F_v} := \text{Gal}(\overline{F}_v/F_v)$ , where  $\overline{F}_v$  is the algebraic closure of  $F_v$ .

Let  $S$  be a finite set of primes of  $F$  with  $M_F^\infty \subseteq S$ . In [7], González-Avilés found some interesting results on the kernel and cokernel of the  $S$ -capitulation map. Assuming  $S = M_F^\infty$ , we summarize a few results of González-Avilés which we need for the rest of the paper.

**Proposition 2.1.** [7, §2] *For  $K/F$  a finite Galois extension of number fields with Galois group  $G$ , the following sequences are exact:*

$$(2.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow P(K)^G \rightarrow I(K)^G \rightarrow \text{Cl}(K)_{\text{trans}}^G \rightarrow 0,$$

$$(2.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{Cl}(K)_{\text{trans}}^G \rightarrow \text{Cl}(K)^G \rightarrow H^1(G, P(K)) \rightarrow 0,$$

$$(2.3) \quad 0 \rightarrow H^1(G, P(K)) \rightarrow H^2(G, U_K) \rightarrow H^2(G, K^\times),$$

$$(2.4) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{Ker}(\epsilon_{K/F}) \rightarrow H^1(G, U_K) \xrightarrow{\lambda} \bigoplus_{v \in M_F^0} H^1(G_w, U_{K_w}) \rightarrow \frac{\text{Cl}(K)_{\text{trans}}^G}{\epsilon_{K/F}(\text{Cl}(F))} \rightarrow 0.$$

**Remark 2.2.** The composite  $\text{Cl}(K)^G \rightarrow H^1(G, P(K)) \hookrightarrow H^2(G, U_K)$  is called the *transgression map* which we denote it by  $\text{trans}_{K/F}$ . Also  $\text{Cl}(K)_{\text{trans}}^G$ , the kernel of  $\text{trans}_{K/F}$ , is called *the group of transgressive ambiguous classes*, see [7, §2]. It must be noted that the transgression map is defined in a more general case as  $\text{Trans}_{K/F} : H^1(G_K, U_{\overline{K}})^G \rightarrow H^2(G, U_K)$ , see [8, §3] or [21, §4] for the definition (We call both as transgression map and use different notations to avoid confusion).

Using Proposition 2.1, we find the following description of relative Pólya group and Ostrowski quotient.

**Corollary 2.3.** *For  $K/F$  a finite Galois extension of number fields with Galois group  $G$ , we have*

$$\text{Po}(K/F) = \text{Cl}(K)_{\text{trans}}^G \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Ost}(K/F) \simeq \text{Coker}(\lambda),$$

where

$$\lambda : H^1(G, U_K) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{v \in M_F^0} H^1(G_w, U_{K_w})$$

denotes the localization map as in the exact sequence (2.4).

*Proof.* Since  $I(K)^G$  is generated by all the relative Ostrowski ideals  $\Pi_{\mathfrak{p},f}(K/F)$ , see [2, proof of Proposition 2.2],  $I(K)^G/P(K)^G$  coincides with the relative Pólya group  $\text{Po}(K/F)$ . Using (BRZ) and Proposition 2.1, we conclude that  $\text{Ost}(K/F)$  is nothing else than the cokernel of the localization map  $\lambda$ .  $\square$

### 3. ON TATE-SHAFAREVICH GROUPS

Keep the same notations introduced in the previous section. Let  $E/F$  be an elliptic curve defined over  $F$ . By the *Mordell-Weil Theorem* the group of  $F$ -rational points of  $E$ , denoted by  $E(F)$ , is finitely generated. An important tool to study  $E(F)$ , is the *Tate-Shafarevich group*  $\text{III}(E/F)$ , which measures the failure of the *Hasse local-global principle* for curves that are *principal homogeneous spaces* for  $E/F$ . More formally,  $\text{III}(E/F)$  is defined to be the group of everywhere locally trivial elements of  $H^1(G_F, E(\overline{F}))$  [19, Chapter X]:

$$(3.1) \quad \text{III}(E/F) := \text{Ker} \left( H^1(G_F, E(\overline{F})) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{v \in M_F} H^1(G_{F_v}, E(\overline{F}_v)) \right).$$

The famous conjecture of Tate and Shafarevich states that  $\text{III}(E/K)$  is finite [19, Conjecture 4.13]. Though it has been shown that if the analytic rank of  $E$  is smaller than 2, then  $\text{III}(E/K)$  is finite [16, Theorem 5.12], but the general case has not yet been proved. Using the cohomological analogy between the Mordell-Weil group  $E(F)$  and the unit group  $U_F$ , Tate-Shafarevich group of  $F$  is defined similarly.

**Definition 3.1.** [17, §1] The “Tate-Shafarevich group  $\text{III}(F)$ ” of  $F$  is defined as

$$(3.2) \quad \text{III}(F) := \text{Ker} \left( H^1(G_F, U_{\overline{F}}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{v \in M_F} H^1(G_{\overline{F}_v}, U_{\overline{F}_v}) \right),$$

where for non-archimedean  $v$  (resp. archimedean  $v$ ),  $U_{\overline{F}_v}$  denotes the valuation ring in  $\overline{F}_v$  (resp.  $U_{\overline{F}_v} = \overline{F}_v^\times$ ). Note that by Hilbert’s Theorem 90,  $\text{III}(F)$  can be defined just in term of the non-archimedean places of  $F$ .

Despite Tate-Shafarevich conjecture for elliptic curves is still open, the situation for number fields is more understandable.

**Proposition 3.2.** [17, Proposition 1]  $\text{III}(F)$  is canonically isomorphic to the ideal class group of  $F$ . In particular,  $\text{III}(F)$  is finite.

Replacing  $\overline{F}$  with a finite Galois extension of  $F$ , one obtains the following notion.

**Definition 3.3.** [17, §1] Let  $K/F$  be a finite Galois extension of number fields with Galois group  $G$ . The *locally trivial cohomology group*  $H_{\text{lt}}^1(G, U_K)$  is defined as

$$(3.3) \quad H_{\text{lt}}^1(G, U_K) := \text{Ker} \left( H^1(G, U_K) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{v \in M_F} H^1(G_w, U_{K_w}) \right),$$

where for each  $v \in M_F$ ,  $w$  is a fixed place of  $K$  lying above  $v$ .

**Proposition 3.4.** [17, Corollary of Proposition 1] *Let  $K/F$  be a finite Galois extension of number fields with Galois group  $G$ . Then*

$$(3.4) \quad \text{Ker}(\epsilon_{K/F}) \simeq H_{\text{It}}^1(G, U_K),$$

where  $\epsilon_{K/F} : \text{Cl}(F) \rightarrow \text{Cl}(K)^G$  denotes the capitulation map.

**Remark 3.5.** Note that isomorphism (3.4) is also obtained from González-Avilés exact sequence (2.4).

#### 4. RELATIVE POLYA GROUP AS IMAGE OF THE RESTRICTION MAP

As before, we use the notations introduced in Section 2. So let  $K/F$  be a finite Galois extension of number fields with Galois group  $G$ . Replacing unit groups with rational points of elliptic curves, considered in Yu's paper [21], one obtains the following commutative diagram:

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(G, U_K) & \xrightarrow{\text{Inf}_{K/F}} & H^1(G_F, U_{\overline{F}}) & \xrightarrow{\text{Res}_{K/F}} & \text{Image}(\text{Res}_{K/F}) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \overline{\mathcal{F}} & & \downarrow \overline{\mathcal{G}} & & \downarrow \overline{\mathcal{H}} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{v \in M_F} H^1(G_w, U_{K_w}) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{v \in M_F} H^1(G_{F_v}, U_{\overline{F}_v}) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{v \in M_F} H^1(G_{K_w}, U_{\overline{K}_w}), \end{array}$$

where  $\text{Inf}_{K/F}$  denotes the inflation map and  $\text{Res}_{K/F} : H^1(G_F, U_{\overline{F}}) \rightarrow H^1(G_K, U_{\overline{K}})^G$  denotes the restriction map. Using the snake lemma, we find the following exact sequence:

$$(4.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{Ker}(\overline{\mathcal{F}}) \rightarrow \text{Ker}(\overline{\mathcal{G}}) \rightarrow \text{Ker}(\overline{\mathcal{H}}) \rightarrow \text{Coker}(\overline{\mathcal{F}}) \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{I}}(\text{Coker}(\overline{\mathcal{F}})) \rightarrow 0,$$

where

$$(4.3) \quad \overline{\mathcal{I}} : \text{Coker}(\overline{\mathcal{F}}) \rightarrow \text{Coker}(\overline{\mathcal{G}})$$

is the map obtained from diagram (4.1). By Propositions 3.4 and 3.2 we have  $\text{Ker}(\overline{\mathcal{F}}) \simeq \text{Ker}(\epsilon_{K/F})$  and  $\text{Ker}(\overline{\mathcal{G}}) \simeq \text{Cl}(F)$ , respectively. Hence (4.2) is equivalent to the following exact sequence:

$$(4.4) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{Ker}(\epsilon_{K/F}) \rightarrow \text{Cl}(F) \rightarrow \text{Ker}(\overline{\mathcal{H}}) \rightarrow \text{Coker}(\overline{\mathcal{F}}) \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{I}}(\text{Coker}(\overline{\mathcal{F}})) \rightarrow 0.$$

**Lemma 4.1.** *With the notations of this section, one has  $\text{Ker}(\overline{\mathcal{H}}) \simeq \text{Po}(K/F)$ .*

*Proof.* In [8, §4, Theorem 2] it is proved that  $\text{Image}(\text{Res}_{K/F}) = \text{Ker}(\text{Trans}_{K/F})$ , where  $\text{Trans}_{K/F} : H^1(G_K, U_{\overline{K}})^G \rightarrow H^2(G, U_K)$  denotes the transgression map. Hence by Proposition 3.2, we have

$$\text{Ker}(\overline{\mathcal{H}}) = \text{Ker}(\text{Trans}_{K/F}) \cap \text{Cl}(K)^G = \text{Ker}(\text{trans}_{K/F}) = \text{Cl}(K)_{\text{trans}}^G,$$

where  $\text{Cl}(K)_{\text{trans}}^G$  denotes the group of transgressive ambiguous classes, see Remark 2.2. Corollary 2.3 completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $K/F$  a finite Galois extension of number fields with Galois group  $G$ . For the localization maps*

$$\overline{\mathcal{F}} : H^1(G, U_K) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{v \in M_F} H^1(G_w, U_{K_w})$$

and

$$\overline{\mathcal{G}} : H^1(G_F, U_{\overline{F}}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{v \in M_F} H^1(G_{F_v}, U_{\overline{F}_v}),$$

the map

$$\bar{\mathcal{I}} : \text{Coker}(\bar{\mathcal{F}}) \rightarrow \text{Coker}(\bar{\mathcal{G}})$$

obtaining from diagram (4.1), is the zero map.

*Proof.* By Lemma 4.1, one can rewrite exact sequence (4.4) as

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ker}(\epsilon_{K/F}) \rightarrow \text{Cl}(F) \rightarrow \text{Po}(K/F) \rightarrow \text{Coker}(\bar{\mathcal{F}}) \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{I}}(\text{Coker}(\bar{\mathcal{F}})) \rightarrow 0.$$

Equivalently, the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \epsilon_{K/F}(\text{Cl}(F)) \rightarrow \text{Po}(K/F) \rightarrow \text{Coker}(\bar{\mathcal{F}}) \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{I}}(\text{Coker}(\bar{\mathcal{F}})) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. By Corollary 2.3 we have  $\text{Ost}(K/F) \simeq \text{Coker}(\bar{\mathcal{F}})$ , hence  $\bar{\mathcal{I}}$  must be the zero map.  $\square$

**Remark 4.3.** Note that since  $\text{Coker}(\bar{\mathcal{F}}) \simeq \frac{\text{Cl}(K)_{\text{trans}}^G}{\epsilon_{K/F}(\text{Cl}(F))}$ , Theorem 4.2 shows that every class in  $\text{Cl}(K)_{\text{trans}}^G$ , modulo  $\text{Image}(\epsilon_{K/F})$ , maps into the image of the localization map  $\bar{\mathcal{G}}$ . So one may investigate to find a “direct proof” of Theorem 4.2, which seems an interesting problem.

## 5. MAIN RESULTS

**Convention.** We keep the same notations introduced in Section 2. Throughout this section,  $E/F$  denotes an elliptic curve defined over  $F$  for which the Tate-Shafarevich groups  $\text{III}(E/F)$  and  $\text{III}(E/K)$  are finite.

We recall that the main goal of this paper is to find elliptic curve analogue of Ostrowski quotient. To achieve this, we use two analogies between number fields and elliptic curves. The first one is the cohomological analogy between unit groups of number fields and Mordell-Weil groups of elliptic curves. The other is the fact that the multiplicative group  $\mathbb{G}_{m,F}$ , i.e., the trivial one-dimensional algebraic torus over  $F$ , and elliptic curves (two very different *algebraic groups* over  $F$ ) do have some things in common. In particular, both of them admit *Néron models* over  $\mathcal{O}_F$ <sup>1</sup> (However, these models are very different from each other).

**Remark 5.1.** Note that  $\mathbb{G}_{m,F}$  is not *unique* one-dimensional algebraic torus over  $F$ . There is another one, namely the norm one  $F$ -torus associated with a quadratic Galois extension  $K/F$ , i.e., the kernel  $R_{K/F}^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{m,K}$  of the norm map (for  $F$ -tori)  $R_{K/F}\mathbb{G}_{m,K} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,F}$ , where  $R_{K,F}$  denotes Weil restriction. This  $F$ -torus  $R_{K/F}^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{m,K}$  has dimension  $[K : F] - 1 = 1$ , but is not equal to  $\mathbb{G}_{m,F}$ .

In the following, we use Corollary 2.3 to define Ostrowski quotient of  $\mathbb{G}_{m,F}$ . Then using the same approach, we define *Ostrowski quotient*  $\text{Ost}(E, K/F)$  for  $E/F$  an elliptic curve defined over  $F$ . Due to some fundamental complications in this new setting, see Remark 5.15 below, we also define the notion of “*coarse Ostrowski quotient*  $\text{Ost}_c(E, K/F)$ ” for which we do not take into account primes of bad reduction. Our main result, namely Theorem 5.18, reveals some information about the structure of  $\text{Ost}_c(E, K/F)$ . Finally, we investigate  $\text{Ost}_c(E, K/F)$  for some specific classes of elliptic curves  $E$  and some extensions  $K/F$ .

<sup>1</sup>The Néron model of  $\mathbb{G}_{m,F}$  over  $\mathcal{O}_F$  is described in [1, Example 5, p. 291]; also good, i.e., not too technical, references for Néron models of elliptic curves are [19, VII, §§1,2,5] and [20, IV, §9].

5.1. **On elliptic curve analogue of the map  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ .** As the first step, we need to investigate elliptic analog of the map  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$  as in (4.3). We begin with a special version of the well-known *Global Duality Theorem*.

**Theorem 5.2.** [21, Theorem 1] *For  $E/F$  an elliptic curve defined over  $F$ , the following sequence is exact:*

$$(5.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{III}(E/F) \rightarrow H^1(G_F, E) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{G}} \bigoplus_{v \in M_F} H^1(G_{F_v}, E) \rightarrow \widehat{E(F)}^* \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $\widehat{E(F)}$  denotes the completion of  $E(F)$  with respect to the topology defined by the subgroups of finite index, and  $\widehat{E(F)}^*$  denotes the group of continuous characters of finite order of  $\widehat{E(F)}$ , i.e.  $\widehat{E(F)}^* = \text{Hom}_{\text{cts}}(\widehat{E(F)}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ .

**Remark 5.3.** The last term in the original form of the exact sequence (5.1) appears based on the *dual* of abelian varieties [21, Theorem 1], whereas in the exceptional case the dual of an elliptic curve  $E$  will be isomorphic to  $E$  itself. More precisely, in the characteristic zero, the dual of an abelian variety  $A$  is isomorphic to the “degree zero divisor group  $\text{Pic}^0(A)$ ” of  $A$  [13, §8], and for an elliptic curves  $E$  one has  $\text{Pic}^0(E) \simeq E$  [19, Chapter III, Proposition 3.4].

Similar to number field case, as discussed in Section 4, and following Yu [21, §2], consider the commutative diagram

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(G, E(K)) & \xrightarrow{\text{Inf}_{K/F}^E} & H^1(G_F, E) & \xrightarrow{\text{Res}_{K/F}^E} & \text{Image}(\text{Res}_{K/F}^E) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \mathcal{F} & & \downarrow \mathcal{G} & & \downarrow \mathcal{H} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{v \in M_F} H^1(G_w, E(K_w)) & \xrightarrow{\eta} & \bigoplus_{v \in M_F} H^1(G_{F_v}, E) & \xrightarrow{\theta} & \bigoplus_{v \in M_F} H^1(G_{K_w}, E), \end{array}$$

where  $\text{Inf}_{K/F}^E$  denotes the inflation map and

$$(5.3) \quad \text{Res}_{K/F}^E : H^1(G_F, E) \rightarrow H^1(G_K, E)^G$$

denotes the restriction map. Using the snake lemma, we find the sequence

$$(5.4) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{Ker}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \text{III}(E/F) \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\text{Res}}_{K/F}^E} \text{Ker}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \text{Coker}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}(\text{Coker}(\mathcal{F})) \rightarrow 0,$$

is exact, where

$$\widetilde{\text{Res}}_{K/F}^E := \text{Res}_{K/F}^E |_{\text{III}(E/F)} : \text{III}(E/F) \rightarrow H^1(G_K, E)^G$$

and

$$(5.5) \quad \mathcal{I} : \text{Coker}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \text{Coker}(\mathcal{G})$$

is the map obtained from the above diagram. Let

$$(5.6) \quad \text{Trans}_{K/F}^E : H^1(G_K, E)^G \rightarrow H^2(G, E(K))$$

be the transgression map, see Remark 2.2. From [8, §4, Theorem 2] we have

$$(5.7) \quad \text{Image}(\text{Res}_{K/F}^E) = \text{Ker}(\text{Trans}_{K/F}^E).$$

**Lemma 5.4.** *With the notations of this section,*

$$(5.8) \quad \text{Image}(\widetilde{\text{Res}}_{K/F}^E) \subseteq \text{III}(E/K)^G,$$

and

$$(5.9) \quad \text{Ker}(\widetilde{\text{Res}}_{K/F}^E) \simeq \text{Ker}(\mathcal{F}).$$

*Proof.* Using exact sequence (5.4), equation (5.7) and equality

$$(5.10) \quad \text{Ker}(\mathcal{H}) = \text{III}(E/K)^G \cap \text{Ker}(\text{Trans}_{K/F}^E),$$

see [21, page 213], we obtain the containment (5.8). Exact sequence (5.4) also implies that

$$\text{Ker}(\mathcal{F}) \simeq \text{Ker}(\widetilde{\text{Res}}_{K/F}^E).$$

□

**Remark 5.5.** By Lemma (5.4), the map  $\widetilde{\text{Res}}_{K/F}^E$  can be written as

$$(5.11) \quad \widetilde{\text{Res}}_{K/F}^E : \text{III}(E/F) \rightarrow \text{III}(E/K)^G,$$

which is the analogue of the capitulation map  $\epsilon_{K/F} : \text{Cl}(F) \rightarrow \text{Cl}(K)^G$  as in [7, §2]. Moreover, the isomorphism (5.9), may be thought as the analogue of Schoof-Washington result in Proposition 3.4.

Similar to the transgression map  $\text{trans}_{K/F}$  mentioned in Remark 2.2, let

$$(5.12) \quad \text{trans}_{K/F}^E := \text{Trans}_{K/F}^E |_{\text{III}(E/K)^G} : \text{III}(E/K)^G \rightarrow H^2(G, E(K)).$$

By equation (5.10), we have

$$(5.13) \quad \text{Ker}(\mathcal{H}) = \text{Ker}(\text{trans}_{K/F}^E),$$

and exact sequence (5.4) yields

$$(5.14) \quad \text{Image}(\widetilde{\text{Res}}_{K/F}^E) \subseteq \text{Ker}(\text{trans}_{K/F}^E).$$

**Theorem 5.6.** *Let  $E/F$  be an elliptic curve defined over  $F$  and  $K/F$  a finite Galois extension of number fields with Galois group  $G$ . Then the following statements are equivalent.*

(i) *The sequence*

$$(5.15) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{Ker}(\widetilde{\text{Res}}_{K/F}^E) \rightarrow H^1(G, E(K)) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} \bigoplus_{v \in M_F} H^1(G_v, E(K_v)) \rightarrow \frac{\text{Ker}(\text{trans}_{K/F}^E)}{\text{Image}(\widetilde{\text{Res}}_{K/F}^E)} \rightarrow 0$$

*is exact.*

(ii) *The map  $\mathcal{I} : \text{Coker}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \text{Coker}(\mathcal{G})$  as in (5.5), is the zero map.*

(iii) *The localization map*

$$(5.16) \quad \mathcal{F}_0 : \widehat{H}^0(G, E(K)) \rightarrow \prod_{v \in M_F} \widehat{H}^0(G_v, E(K_v))$$

*is the zero map.*

*In particular, if  $\widehat{E}(F)$ , introduced in Theorem 5.2, is trivial then (5.15) is exact.*



*Proof.* By Lemma (5.4), we have

$$\mathrm{Ker}(\widetilde{\mathrm{Res}}_{K/F}^E) \simeq \mathrm{Ker}(\mathcal{F}).$$

Hence sequence (5.15) is exact if and only if

$$\frac{\mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{trans}_{K/F}^E)}{\mathrm{Image}(\widetilde{\mathrm{Res}}_{K/F}^E)} \simeq \mathrm{Coker}(\mathcal{F}).$$

By exact sequence (5.4) and equality (5.13), the last isomorphism holds if and only if  $\mathcal{I}(\mathrm{Coker}(\mathcal{F})) = 0$  (Thanks to Yu's computations [21, §2]). Also in [21, Lemma 5] it is proved that  $\# \mathrm{Image}(\mathcal{I}) = \# \mathrm{Image}(\mathcal{F}_0)$  which implies that the last two assertions are equivalent. Finally by Theorem (5.2), one has

$$\mathrm{Image}(\mathcal{I}) \subseteq \mathrm{Coker}(\mathcal{G}) \simeq \widehat{E(F)}^*.$$

□

**Remark 5.7.** Assume that the equivalent assertions in Theorem 5.6 hold. Then comparing (5.15) with González-Avilés exact sequence (2.4), which is equivalent to (BRZ), one may consider  $\frac{\mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{trans}_{K/F}^E)}{\mathrm{Image}(\widetilde{\mathrm{Res}}_{K/F}^E)}$  as elliptic curve analogue of the Ostrowski quotient  $\mathrm{Ost}(K/F)$ . Although, the assertions (i)–(iii) of Theorem 5.6 may not hold in general, see Example (5.20) below. The notion of Ostrowski quotient for  $E$  relative to  $K/F$ , in general case, will be defined in Section 5.3.

**Corollary 5.8.** *With the assumptions and notations of Theorem 5.6, one has*

$$\mathrm{Ker}(\mathcal{I}) \simeq \frac{\mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{trans}_{K/F}^E)}{\mathrm{Image}(\widetilde{\mathrm{Res}}_{K/F}^E)}.$$

*Proof.* Using exact sequence (5.4) and Lemma 5.4 we find the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Ker}(\widetilde{\mathrm{Res}}_{K/F}^E) \rightarrow \mathrm{III}(E/F) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{trans}_{K/F}^E) \rightarrow \mathrm{Coker}(\mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}} \mathrm{Image}(\mathcal{I}) \rightarrow 0,$$

is exact. Equivalently, we have the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Image}(\widetilde{\mathrm{Res}}_{K/F}^E) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{trans}_{K/F}^E) \rightarrow \mathrm{Coker}(\mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}} \mathrm{Image}(\mathcal{I}) \rightarrow 0,$$

and the assertion is proved. □

**Corollary 5.9.** *With the assumptions and notations of Theorem 5.6, if the norm map*

$$\begin{aligned} N_{K/F}^E : E(K) &\rightarrow E(F) \\ P &\mapsto \sum_{\sigma \in G} \sigma(P) \end{aligned}$$

*is surjective, e.g. if  $E(F) = 0$ , then*

- (1) *sequence (5.15) is exact;*
- (2) *for  $G$  cyclic,  $\mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{trans}_{K/F}^E) = \mathrm{III}(E/K)^G$ .*

*Proof.* (1) Since the norm map  $N_{K/F}^E$  is surjective, we have

$$\widehat{H}^0(G, E(K)) = \frac{E(F)}{N_{K/F}^E(E(K))} = 0.$$

Hence the localization map  $\mathcal{F}_0$  (5.16) is zero and exactness of (5.15) follows from Theorem 5.6.

(2) Since  $K/F$  is cyclic, we have

$$H^2(G, E(K)) = \widehat{H}^0(G, E(K)) = 0.$$

Therefore the transgression map  $\text{trans}_{K/F}^E$  (5.12) is the zero map.  $\square$

**Remark 5.10.** Applying the same above reasoning for the transgression map  $\text{trans}_{K/F}$ , appeared in Remark 2.2, one can obtain the number field analogue of part (2) of Corollary 5.9, i.e., if  $K/F$  is cyclic and the norm map  $N_{K/F} : U_K \rightarrow U_F$  is surjective, then  $\text{Po}(K/F) = \text{Cl}(K)^G$ . Note that by Corollary 2.3,  $\text{Po}(K/F) = \text{Ker}(\text{trans}_{K/F})$ .

**Corollary 5.11.** *With the assumptions and notations of Theorem 5.6, if sequence (5.15) is exact, then*

$$(5.17) \quad \frac{\#\text{Ker}(\text{trans}_{K/F}^E)}{\#\text{III}(E/F)} = \frac{\prod_{v \in M_F} \#H^1(G_w, E(K_w))}{\#H^1(G, E(K))}.$$

Furthermore,  $\text{trans}_{K/F}^E$  is the zero map if and only if

$$\frac{\#\text{III}(E/K)^G}{\#\text{III}(E/F)} = \frac{\prod_{v \in M_F} \#H^1(G_w, E(K_w))}{\#H^1(G, E(K))}.$$

*Proof.* Immediately follows from Theorem 5.6.  $\square$

As a generalization of the main theorem in [6], Yu obtained the following result.

**Proposition 5.12.** [21, Corollary 7] *Let  $E/F$  be an elliptic curve defined over  $F$  and  $K/F$  a finite Galois extension of number fields with Galois group  $G$ . If*

$$\widehat{H}^0(G, E(K)) = H^2(G, E(K)) = 0,$$

then

$$\frac{\#\text{III}(E/K)^G}{\#\text{III}(E/F)} = \frac{\prod_{v \in M_F} \#H^1(G_w, E(K_w))}{\#H^1(G, E(K))}.$$

**Remark 5.13.** If  $\widehat{H}^0(G, E(K)) = 0$ , then the localization map  $\mathcal{F}_0$  (5.16) is zero, so (5.15) is exact by Theorem 5.6. Also triviality of  $H^2(G, E(K))$  implies that the map  $\text{trans}_{K/F}^E$  (5.12) is the zero map. Whereas, the converse of these statements may not hold in general. Therefore, Corollary (5.11) can be thought as a generalization of Proposition (5.12) and [6, Main Theorem] (It would be a challenging task to find some examples to show that this is a *strict* generalization). Consequently, the family of elliptic curves suggested by González-Avilés [6, §1] satisfy in equivalent assertions of Theorem 5.6. In other words, for  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  an elliptic curve defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  given by a Weierstrass equation of negative discriminant and  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  a finite Galois extension for which  $E(K)$  is finite of order prime to  $[K : \mathbb{Q}]$ , (5.15) is exact. In contrast, one can find examples of elliptic curves over finite Galois extensions of number fields, for which (5.15) is not exact, see Example 5.20 below.

5.2. **Ostrowski quotient of  $\mathbb{G}_{m,F}$ .** As mentioned before, the multiplicative group  $\mathbb{G}_{m,F}$  and the elliptic curve  $E$  have Néron models over  $\mathcal{O}_F$ . Although these models are different, yet this is a key point to define the Ostrowski quotient for these two algebraic groups over  $F$ . First consider  $\mathbb{G}_{m,F}$  and denote its Néron model over  $\mathcal{O}_F$  by  $\mathcal{G}_m$ . In [1, Example 1, p.291] it is proved that the identity component of  $\mathcal{G}_m$  is  $\mathcal{G}_m^0 = \mathbb{G}_{m,\mathcal{O}_F}$ . Then the canonical sequence of  $\mathcal{O}_F$ -group schemes

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_m^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_m/\mathcal{G}_m^0 \rightarrow 0$$

is

$$(5.18) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,\mathcal{O}_F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_m \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathbb{P}_F} (i_{\mathfrak{p}})_* \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0,$$

where, for each  $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathbb{P}_F$ , the morphism  $i_{\mathfrak{p}} : \text{Spec } k(\mathfrak{p}) \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_F$  is induced by the canonical projection  $\mathcal{O}_F \rightarrow k(\mathfrak{p}) = \mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p}$ . Since  $\mathcal{G}_m^0(\mathcal{O}_F) = \mathbb{G}_{m,\mathcal{O}_F}(\mathcal{O}_F) = U_F$  and  $\mathcal{G}_m(\mathcal{O}_F) = F^\times$ , the étale  $\mathcal{O}_F$ -cohomology sequence induced by (5.18) yields the exact sequence of abelian groups

$$(5.19) \quad 0 \rightarrow U_F \rightarrow F^\times \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathbb{P}_F} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{O}_F, \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow 0.$$

The 0 at the right comes from the fact that  $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{O}_F, \mathbb{G}_m)$  injects into  $H^1(F, \mathbb{G}_m)$ , which is 0 by Hilbert's Theorem 90. Since

$$\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathbb{P}_F} \mathbb{Z} \simeq I(F) \quad \text{and} \quad H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{O}_F, \mathbb{G}_m) \simeq \text{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_F) \simeq \text{Cl}(F),$$

the last sequence is simply the well-known exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow U_F \rightarrow F^\times \rightarrow I(F) \rightarrow \text{Cl}(F) \rightarrow 0.$$

Working over  $K$  now, we similarly obtain an exact sequence of  $G$ -modules

$$(5.20) \quad 0 \rightarrow U_K \rightarrow K^\times \rightarrow I(K) \rightarrow \text{Cl}(K) \rightarrow 0.$$

The image of the middle map in (5.20) is  $P(K)$ , so we obtain the short exact sequence of  $G$ -modules

$$(5.21) \quad 0 \rightarrow U_K \rightarrow K^\times \rightarrow P(K) \rightarrow 0.$$

Taking  $G$ -cohomology of (5.21), we obtain an exact sequence of abelian groups

$$(5.22) \quad F^\times \rightarrow P(K)^G \rightarrow H^1(G, U_K) \rightarrow 0.$$

using Corollary 2.3, one is then naturally led to study the cokernel of the map

$$(5.23) \quad \lambda_{\mathcal{G}_m^0, K/F} : H^1(G, U_K) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{v \in M_F} H^1(G_v, U_{K_v}),$$

i.e., the *Ostrowski quotient of  $\mathbb{G}_{m,F}$  relative to  $K/F$* :

$$(5.24) \quad \text{Ost}(\mathbb{G}_{m,F}, K/F) = \text{Coker } \lambda_{\mathcal{G}_m^0, K/F},$$

which is, by exact sequence (2.4), equal to  $\frac{\text{Cl}(K)_{\text{trans}}^G}{\epsilon_{K/F}(\text{Cl}(F))}$ .

**5.3. Ostrowski quotient for elliptic curves.** Using elliptic curve analogue of computations in Section 5.2, one can define the notion of Ostrowski quotient for  $E$  relative to  $K/F$ . Consider an *integral* equation for  $E$ , i.e., an equation for  $E$  with coefficients in  $\mathcal{O}_F$ , and denote by  $\mathcal{E}$  the Néron model of  $E$  defined over  $\mathcal{O}_F^2$ . Then the analogue of sequence (5.18) is

$$(5.25) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{v \in M_F^0} (i_v)_* \Phi_v(E) \rightarrow 0,$$

where for each  $v \in M_F^0$ ,  $\Phi_v(E)$  is the (finite, algebraic)  $k(v)$ -group of components of  $\mathcal{E}$  at  $v$  (note that the above sum is finite since it is concentrated on the set of primes of bad reduction for  $E$ . This is contrast to the case of  $\mathbb{G}_{m,F}$ , since the sum is in (5.18) is infinite). Taking étale  $\mathcal{O}_F$ -cohomology of (5.25), we obtain the following analog of (5.19)

$$(5.26) \quad 0 \rightarrow E_0(F) \rightarrow E(F) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{v \in M_F^0} \Phi_v(E)(k(v)) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{O}_F, \mathcal{E}^0) \rightarrow \dots,$$

where  $E_0(F) := \mathcal{E}^0(\mathcal{O}_F)$  is the elliptic analog of  $U_F$ . The next term in the above sequence, namely  $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{O}_F, \mathcal{E})$ , injects into  $H^1(G_K, E)$ , but the latter group is not 0 anymore (no Hilbert 90 for an elliptic curve).

Working over  $K$  now, let  $\mathcal{E}^K$  denote the Néron model of the  $K$ -elliptic curve  $E_K$ . We similarly obtain an exact sequence of  $G$ -modules which is the elliptic analog of (5.20), namely

$$0 \rightarrow E_0(K) \rightarrow E(K) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{w \in M_K^0} \Phi_w(E_K)(k(w)) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{O}_K, (\mathcal{E}^K)^0) \rightarrow \dots,$$

where  $E_0(K) := (\mathcal{E}^K)^0(\mathcal{O}_K)$  plays the role of  $U_K$ . The analog of sequence (5.21) is then the sequence

$$(5.27) \quad 0 \rightarrow E_0(K) \rightarrow E(K) \rightarrow E(K)/E_0(K) \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus, if we view  $\bigoplus_{w \in M_K^0} \Phi_w(E_K)(k(w))$  as the analog of  $I(K)$ , then the image of  $E(K)/E_0(K)$  in  $\bigoplus_{w \in M_K^0} \Phi_w(E_K)(k(w))$  is the analog of  $P(K)$  (but note that  $E(K)/E_0(K)$  is a finite group). Taking  $G$ -cohomology of (5.27), we obtain the elliptic analog of (5.22), namely

$$E(F) \rightarrow (E(K)/E_0(K))^G \rightarrow H^1(G, E_0(K)) \rightarrow H^1(G, E(K)) \rightarrow \dots$$

Now we see that the natural analog of the map (5.23) is the map

$$(5.28) \quad \lambda_{\mathcal{E}^0, K/F} : H^1(G, E_0(K)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{v \in M_F} H^1(G_v, E_0(K_v))$$

where for  $v$  archimedean,  $E_0(K_v) := E(K_v)$  and  $H^1(G, E_0(K)) \rightarrow H^1(G_v, E_0(K_v))$  is the composition

$$H^1(G, E_0(K)) \rightarrow H^1(G, E(K)) \rightarrow H^1(G_v, E(K_v)).$$

---

<sup>2</sup>It is like a family of curves which includes, as one member of the family, the curve  $E$  viewed over  $F$  (i.e.,  $E$  with its original non-integral equation equation over  $F$ ). All but finitely many of the members of this family are elliptic curves (defined over the residue fields  $k(\mathfrak{p})$ ). The finitely many exceptions occur over the primes of bad reduction for  $E$ . Over every such bad prime  $\mathfrak{p}$ , when does not get an elliptic curve, but rather a finite union of connected linear groups of the form  $T \times \mathbb{G}_{a, k(\mathfrak{p})}^n$ , where  $T$  is a  $k(\mathfrak{p})$ -torus,  $\mathbb{G}_{a, k(\mathfrak{p})}^n$  is the additive group over  $k(\mathfrak{p})$  and  $n \geq 0$  is an integer.

The map (5.28) fits into an exact and commutative diagram of abelian groups <sup>3</sup>

$$(5.29) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \left(\frac{E(K)}{E_0(K)}\right)^G & \longrightarrow & H^1(G, E_0(K)) & \longrightarrow & H^1(G, E(K)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \lambda_{\mathcal{E}^0, K/F} & & \downarrow \lambda_{\mathcal{E}, K/F} \\ \bigoplus_{v \in M_F} \left(\frac{E(K_w)}{E_0(K_w)}\right)^{G(w)} & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{v \in M_F} H^1(G_w, E_0(K_w)) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{v \in M_F} H^1(G_w, E(K_w)), \end{array}$$

where  $G(w) = \text{Gal}(k(w)/k(v))$  if  $v \in M_F^0$  and  $G(w) = 0$  otherwise. Thus the natural elliptic analog of the group  $\text{Ost}(\mathbb{G}_{m,F}, K/F)$ , introduced as in (5.24), is the cokernel of the middle vertical map in the above diagram.

**Definition 5.14.** The group

$$(5.30) \quad \text{Ost}(E, K/F) := \text{Coker } \lambda_{\mathcal{E}^0, K/F}$$

is called the *Ostrowski quotient of  $E$  relative to  $K/F$* .

Now the canonical exact sequence (EC-BRZ)

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ker}(\lambda_{\mathcal{E}^0, K/F}) \rightarrow H^1(G, E_0(K)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{v \in M_F} H^1(G_w, E_0(K_w)) \rightarrow \text{Ost}(E, K/F) \rightarrow 0$$

can be thought as the elliptic analog of González-Avilés exact sequence (2.4) which is, by Corollary 2.3, equivalent to (BRZ). Note that “EC-BRZ” stands for the *Elliptic Curve analogue of (BRZ)*.

**Remark 5.15.** Studying the structure of  $\text{Ost}(E, K/F)$  is (much) more difficult than studying that of  $\text{Ost}(\mathbb{G}_{m,F}, K/F)$ . In the case of  $\text{Ost}(E, K/F)$  one has to take into account the primes of bad reduction for  $E$ , whereas in the case of  $\text{Ost}(\mathbb{G}_{m,F}, K/F)$  *there are no primes of bad reduction*. Indeed, if  $T$  is an algebraic torus over  $F$  with Néron model  $\mathcal{T}$  over  $\mathcal{O}_F$ , one says that  $T$  has *good reduction* at a prime  $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathbb{P}_F$  if the connected algebraic  $k(\mathfrak{p})$ -group  $\mathcal{T}_{k(\mathfrak{p})}^0$  is a  $k(\mathfrak{p})$ -torus. When  $T = \mathbb{G}_{m,F}$ , we have

$$\mathcal{T}_{k(\mathfrak{p})}^0 = (\mathcal{G}_m^0)_{k(\mathfrak{p})} = (\mathbb{G}_{m, \mathcal{O}_F})_{k(\mathfrak{p})} = \mathbb{G}_{m, k(\mathfrak{p})},$$

which is certainly a  $k(\mathfrak{p})$ -torus for any  $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathbb{P}_F$ . So  $\mathbb{G}_{m,F}$  has *good reduction everywhere over  $F$* . Consequently, the complications that arise in the study of  $\text{Ost}(\mathbb{G}_{m,F}, K/F)$  come *mainly from the primes of  $F$  that ramify in  $K$* . Further,  $T = \mathbb{G}_{m,F}$  is just *one* (very simple) 1-dimensional algebraic group with very simple reductions everywhere, whereas the class of all elliptic curves over  $F$  is an infinite family of 1-dimensional algebraic groups whose members degenerate (i.e., have bad reduction) at some primes of  $F$ . The above comments clearly show that the gulf that separates the classical case  $\text{Ost}(\mathbb{G}_{m,F}, K/F)$  from the elliptic case  $\text{Ost}(E, K/F)$  is *truly vast*.

Although  $\text{Ost}(E, K/F)$  is the “correct” analog of  $\text{Ost}(\mathbb{G}_{m,F}, K/F)$ , one should also investigate the cokernel of the right-hand vertical map in diagram (5.29), i.e., the map  $\lambda_{\mathcal{E}, K/F}$  which is the same localization map  $\mathcal{F}$  appeared in diagram (5.2).

**Definition 5.16.** The group

$$(5.31) \quad \text{Ost}_c(E, K/F) = \text{Coker } \lambda_{\mathcal{E}, K/F},$$

<sup>3</sup>The quotient groups  $E(K_w)/E_0(K_w)$  are discussed in [19, VII, §6].

is called the *coarse Ostrowski quotient of  $E$  relative to  $K/F$*  (“coarse” because it does not take into account the primes of bad reduction for  $E_K$ , in contrast to the group  $\text{Ost}(E, K/F)$ ).

**Remark 5.17.** Note that the analog of  $\text{Ost}_c(E, K/F)$  in the classical case is the *trivial group* (because of Hilbert’s Theorem 90). Also note that there exists instances where the groups  $\text{Ost}(E, K/F)$  and  $\text{Ost}_c(E, K/F)$  *coincide*. For example, if  $j(E) \in \mathbb{Z}$  (e.g.,  $E$  has complex multiplication [19, VII, Exercice 7.10]), then there exists an extension  $K/F$  as above such that  $E/K$  has good reduction at all primes of  $K$  [19, VII, Proposition 5.5], whence  $E(K) = E_0(K)$  and (therefore)  $\text{Ost}(E, K/F) = \text{Ost}_c(E, K/F)$ .

The main result of this paper is the following non-trivial structure theorem for the group  $\text{Ost}_c(E, K/F)$ .

**Theorem 5.18.** *There exists a canonical exact sequence of abelian groups*

$$(5.32) \quad 0 \rightarrow \frac{\text{Ker}(\text{trans}_{K/F}^E)}{\text{Image}(\widetilde{\text{Res}}_{K/F}^E)} \rightarrow \text{Ost}_c(E, K/F) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}} \widehat{E(F)}^*,$$

where  $\widetilde{\text{Res}}_{K/F}^E : \text{III}(E/F) \rightarrow \text{III}(E/K)^G$  and  $\text{trans}_{K/F}^E : \text{III}(E/K)^G \rightarrow H^2(G, E(K))$  denote the restriction map (5.11) and the transgression map (5.12), respectively. Also

$$\mathcal{I} : \text{Ost}_c(E, K/F) \rightarrow \text{Coker} \left( H^1(G_F, E) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{G}} \bigoplus_{v \in M_F} H^1(G_{F_v}, E) \right)$$

is the map (5.5), and  $\widehat{E(F)}^* = \text{Hom}_{\text{cts}}(\widehat{E(F)}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$  as introduced in Theorem (5.2).

*Proof.* Immediately follows from Corollary 5.8, since  $\text{Image}(\mathcal{I}) \subseteq \widehat{E(F)}^*$  by Theorem 5.2.  $\square$

**5.4. Investigating  $\text{Ost}_c(E, K/F)$  for quadratic extensions.** In this section, for the class of curves  $E$  relative to quadratic extensions  $K/F$ , we use some results of Qiu [15] and Yu [21], to find some information about the left-hand group and the image of the map  $\mathcal{I}$  in exact sequence (5.32). Then as application of Theorem 5.18, we give the structure of  $\text{Ost}_c(E, K/F)$  for some explicit examples of  $(E, K/F)$  in this family.

**Theorem 5.19.** *For a number field  $F$ , let  $E/F$  be an elliptic curve and  $K = F(\sqrt{D})$  be a quadratic extension of  $F$  with  $\text{Gal}(K/F) = \langle \sigma \rangle$ . Denote by  $E_D$  the quadratic  $D$ -twist of  $E$ . If the Tate-Shafarevich groups  $\text{III}(E/F)$ ,  $\text{III}(E_D/F)$  and  $\text{III}(E/K)$  are finite and (5.15) is exact, then*

$$(5.33) \quad \# \text{Ker}(\text{trans}_{K/F}^E) = \frac{\# \text{III}(E/K) \cdot \left( E(F) : N_{K/F}^E(E(K)) \right)}{\# \text{III}(E_D/F)},$$

where  $N_{K/F}^E(E(K)) = \{P + \sigma(P) : P \in E(K)\}$ .

*Proof.* From (5.15) we have

$$(5.34) \quad \# \text{Ker}(\text{trans}_{K/F}^E) = \frac{\# \text{III}(E/F) \cdot \prod_{v \in M_F} H^1(G_w, E(K_w))}{\# H^1(G, E(K))}.$$

On the other hand, under finiteness assumption of Tate-Shafarevich groups, Yu [21, Main Theorem] proved

$$(5.35) \quad \frac{\#\text{III}(E/F) \cdot \#\text{III}(E_D/F)}{\#\text{III}(E/K)} = \frac{\#\widehat{H}^0(G, E(K)) \cdot \#H^1(G, E(K))}{\prod_{v \in M_F} \#H^1(G_v, E(K_v))}.$$

Since  $\#\widehat{H}^0(G, E(K)) = \left( E(F) : N_{K/F}^E(E(K)) \right)$ , using equations (5.34) and (5.35) we obtain the desired equality.  $\square$

The example below shows that the exactness of (5.15), equivalently  $\text{Image}(\mathcal{I}) = 0$  by Theorem 5.6, is a necessary condition in Theorem 5.19.

**Example 5.20.** For the elliptic curve

$$E/\mathbb{Q} : y^2 = x^3 - x + 1/4$$

and the imaginary quadratic field  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$  with

$$D \in \{-7, -11, -47, -71, -83, -84, -127, -159, -164, -219, -231, \\ -263, -271, -287, -292, -303, -308, -359, -371, -404, -443, -447, -471\},$$

we have  $\text{III}(E/K) = \text{III}(E_D/\mathbb{Q}) = 0$  and  $\left( E(\mathbb{Q}) : N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}^E(E(K)) \right) = 2$ , see [15, part (2) of Theorem 4.1]. In particular,  $\text{Ker}(\text{trans}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}^E) = 0$  and equality (5.33) doesn't hold. Hence by Theorem 5.19, we conclude that for the given elliptic curve  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  and the imaginary quadratic fields  $K$ , (5.15) can not be exact. Theorem 5.6 shows that the map

$$\mathcal{I} : \text{Ost}_c(E, K/F) \rightarrow \text{Coker}(\mathcal{G})$$

is nonzero. Also since  $\text{Ker}(\text{trans}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}^E) = 0$ , by Corollary 5.8 the map  $\mathcal{I}$  is injective. Now by [21, Lemma 5], one has

$$\#\text{Ost}_c(E, K/F) = \#\text{Image}(\mathcal{I}) \leq \#\widehat{H}^0(G, E(K)) = \left( E(\mathbb{Q}) : N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}^E(E(K)) \right) = 2.$$

Consequently,

$$\text{Ost}_c(E, K/F) \simeq \text{Image}(\mathcal{I}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \text{Coker}(\mathcal{G}) \simeq \widehat{E(\mathbb{Q})}^* = \text{Hom}_{\text{cts}}(\widehat{E(\mathbb{Q})}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}),$$

where  $\widehat{E(\mathbb{Q})}$  is defined as in Theorem 5.2. Note that  $E(\mathbb{Q}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ , see [15, proof of Theorem 4.1].

**Proposition 5.21.** [15, §1–2] *Let  $E/F$  be an elliptic curve defined over  $F$ , and  $K = F(\sqrt{D})$  be a quadratic extension of  $F$  with  $G = \text{Gal}(K/F) = \langle \sigma \rangle$ . Denote by  $E_D$  the quadratic  $D$ -twist of  $E$ . Also denote by  $r_F$  and  $r_{D,F}$  the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -rank of  $E(F)$  and  $E_D(F)$ , respectively. The following assertions hold.*

$$(i) \quad \#H^1(G, E(K)) = 2^{r_{D,F} - r_F} \cdot \left( E(F) : N_{K/F}^E(E(K)) \right).$$

(ii) *Assume that  $\text{III}(E/F)$ ,  $\text{III}(E_D/F)$  and  $\text{III}(E/K)$  are finite. Also let*

$$S = \{v \in M_F^0 : v \text{ is ramified in } K \text{ or } E \text{ has bad reduction at } v\},$$

and

$$S_0 = \{v \in S : v \text{ is ramified on inertial in } K\}.$$

Then

(ii-1)

$$(5.36) \quad \frac{\#\text{III}(E/F) \cdot \#\text{III}(E_D/F)}{\#\text{III}(E/K)} = 2^{r_{D,F} - r_F - \delta(E,F,K)} \cdot (E(F) : N(E(K)))^2;$$

(ii-2)

$$(5.37) \quad \prod_{v \in M_F} \#H^1(G_w, E(K_w)) = \prod_{v \in S_0 \cup M_F^\infty} \#H^1(G_w, E(K_w)) = 2^{\delta(E,F,K)}.$$

where  $\delta(E, F, K) = \delta_\infty + \delta_f$  with

$$- \delta_\infty = \#\{v \in M_F^\infty : v \text{ is ramified in } K \text{ and } \sigma_v(\Delta(E)) > 0\},$$

$$- \delta_f = \sum_{v \in S_0} \log_2 \left( E(F_v) : N_{K_w/F_v}^E(E(K_w)) \right).$$

Here  $\Delta(E)$  denotes the discriminant of  $E$  over  $F$ ,  $\sigma_v$  denotes the real embedding of  $F$  corresponding to  $v \in M_F^\infty$ , and  $N_{K_w/F_v}^E$  denotes the local norm map.

**Corollary 5.22.** *Keep the same assumptions and notations as in Proposition 5.21. Then the sequence (5.15) can be rewritten as follows*

$$(5.38) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{Ker} \left( \widetilde{\text{Res}}_{K/F}^E \right) \rightarrow H^1(G, E(K)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{v \in S_0 \cup M_F^\infty} H^1(G_w, E(K_w)) \rightarrow \frac{\text{Ker}(\text{trans}_{K/F}^E)}{\widetilde{\text{Res}}_{K/F}^E(\text{III}(E/F))} \rightarrow 0.$$

Furthermore, if the above sequence is exact, i.e., the map  $\mathcal{I}$  (5.5) is zero, then

$$(5.39) \quad \#\text{Ker}(\text{trans}_{K/F}^E) = \frac{2^{r_F + \delta(E,F,K) - r_{D,F}} \cdot \#\text{III}(E/F)}{\left( E(F) : N_{K/F}^E(E(K)) \right)}.$$

*Proof.* The first assertion immediately follows from Proposition 5.21. Also the equality (5.39) is obtained from Theorem 5.19 and the relation (5.36).  $\square$

Using Proposition 5.21, we can find an infinite family of elliptic curves  $E$  over quadratic extensions  $K/F$  whose coarse Ostrowski quotients are trivial.

**Example 5.23.** Let  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})$  where  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$  is an odd prime number, and  $E$  be the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -curve  $y^2 = x^3 - x$ . Denote by  $E_p$  the  $p$ -twist of  $E$ , i.e.,  $E_p/\mathbb{Q} : y^2 = x^3 - p^2x$ . One can show that the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -ranks of  $E(\mathbb{Q})$  and  $E_p(\mathbb{Q})$  are zero, and

$$\left( E(\mathbb{Q}) : N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}^E(E(K)) \right) = 4,$$

see [15, proof of Theorem 3.3]. Hence by the part (i) of Proposition 5.21 we have

$$\#H^1(G, E(K)) = 4,$$

where  $G = \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ . On the one hand  $\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q}) = 0$  [15, proof of Theorem 3.3], which implies that  $\widetilde{\text{Res}}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}^E$ , as in (5.11), is the zero map. By Lemma 5.4 we find

$$(5.40) \quad H^1(G, E(K)) \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_{v \in M_{\mathbb{Q}}} H^1(G_w, E(K_w)).$$

On the other hand, since  $\delta(E, \mathbb{Q}, K) = 2$  [15, Lemma 3.2], assuming the Tate-Shafarevich groups  $\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p}))$  and  $\text{III}(E_p/\mathbb{Q})$  are finite, we can use the equality (5.37) to obtain

$$(5.41) \quad \prod_{v \in M_{\mathbb{Q}}} \#H^1(G_w, E(K_w)) = 4.$$



Using the relations (5.40) and (5.41), we conclude that  $\text{Ost}_c(E, K/F) = 0$ . Similarly one can show that  $\text{Ost}_c(E_p, K/F) = 0$ , see [15, Remark (1) after Theorem 3.3].

**Remark 5.24.** Recall that the notion of Ostrowski quotient  $\text{Ost}(K/F)$  is defined for  $K/F$  an *arbitrary (not necessarily Galois)* finite extension of number fields, see Definition 1.5. Whereas, in this paper, we investigated analogous notion of Ostrowski quotient for elliptic curves only over finite *Galois extensions* of number fields. So it remains open to study elliptic analog of Ostrowski quotient in the non-Galois case. As a point of departure, regarding Remark 5.17, one may consider those elliptic curves  $E$  over non-Galois extensions  $K/F$  for which  $E/K$  has good reductions at all primes of  $K$ . For instance, one may investigate (coarse) Ostrowski quotient of the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -curve

$$E : y^2 = x^3 + x^2 - 114x - 127$$

over the cubic extension  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{28})/\mathbb{Q}$ , since  $E/K$  has good reduction everywhere [9, §3, Example 1]. Note that this curve is labeled 196B1 in [5, p. 111], for which  $E(F)$  is finite of order  $\#E(F) = \#E(\mathbb{Q}) = [K : \mathbb{Q}] = 3$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. S. Bosch, W. Lütkebohmert, M. Raynaud, *Néron models*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, 21. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990.
2. A. Brumer, M. Rosen, *Class number and ramification in number fields*. Nagoya Math. J. **23** (1963), 97–101.
3. P. J. Cahen, J. L. Chabert *Integer-valued polynomials*. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **48**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1997.
4. J. L. Chabert, *From Pólya fields to Pólya groups (I) Galois extensions*. J. Number Theory **203** (2019), 360–375.
5. J. E. Cremona, *Algorithms for Modular Elliptic Curves*. Cambridge University Press, 1992.
6. C. D. González-Avilés, *On Tate-Shafarevich groups of abelian varieties*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **128** (2000), 953–961.
7. C. D. González-Avilés, *Capitulation, ambiguous classes and the cohomology of the units*. J. Reine Angew. Math. **613** (2007), 75–97.
8. G. Hochschild, J. P. Serre, *Cohomology of group extensions*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **74** (1953), 110–134.
9. M. Kida, *Potential good reduction of elliptic curves*. J. Symbolic Computation **34** (2002), 173–180.
10. A. Maarefparvar, *Pólya fields of small degree and low ramification*. PhD thesis (in Persian), Tarbiat Modares University, December 2017.
11. A. Maarefparvar, A. Rajaei, *Pólya  $S_3$ -extensions of  $\mathbb{Q}$* . Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **149** (2019), 1421–1433.
12. A. Maarefparvar, A. Rajaei, *Relative Pólya group and Pólya dihedral extensions of  $\mathbb{Q}$* . J. Number Theory **207** (2020), 367–384.
13. D. Mumford, *Abelian varieties*. Oxford university press, 1974.

14. A. Ostrowski, *Über ganzwertige Polynome in algebraischen Zahlkörpern*. J. Reine Angew. Math. **149** (1919), 117-124.
15. D. Qiu, *On quadratic twists of elliptic curves and some applications of a refined version of Yu's formula*. Communications in Algebra **42** (2014), 5050-5064.
16. K. Rubin, A. Silverberg, *Ranks of elliptic curves*. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **39** (2002), 455-474.
17. R. Schoof, L. C. Washington, *Visibility of ideal classes*. J. Number Theory **130** (2010), 2715-2731.
18. E. Shahoseini, A. Rajaei, A. Maarefparvar, *Ostrowski quotients for finite extensions of number fields*. Pacific Journal of Mathematics **321** (2022), 415-429. DOI: 10.2140/pjm.2022.321.415.
19. J. Silverman, *Arithmetic of elliptic curves*. Graduate Texts in Math. **106**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986.
20. J. Silverman, *Advanced topics in the Arithmetic of elliptic curves*. Graduate Texts in Math. **151**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.
21. H. Yu, *On Tate-Shafarevich groups over Galois extensions*. Israel J. Math. **141** (2004), 211-220.
22. H. Zantema, *Integer valued polynomials over a number field*. Manuscripta Math. **40** (1982), 155-203.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, INSTITUTE FOR RESEARCH IN FUNDAMENTAL SCIENCES (IPM), P.O. BOX: 19395-5746, TEHRAN, IRAN.

*Email address:* `a.marefparvar@ipm.ir`