Distance-regular Cayley graphs over dicyclic groups

Xueyi Huang^{a,b}, Kinkar Chandra Das^{b,*} and Lu Lu^c

 a School of Mathematics, East China University of Science and Technology,

Shanghai 200237, P. R. China

^bDepartment of Mathematics, Sungkyunkwan University,

Suwon 16419, Republic of Korea

 c School of Mathematics and Statistics, Central South University,

Changsha, Hunan, 410083, P. R. China

Abstract

The characterization of distance-regular Cayley graphs originated from the problem of identifying strongly regular Cayley graphs, or equivalently, regular partial difference sets. In this paper, a classification of distance-regular Cayley graphs on dicyclic groups is obtained. More specifically, it is shown that every distance-regular Cayley graph on a dicyclic group is a complete graph, a complete multipartite graph, or a non-antipodal bipartite distance-regular graph with diameter 3 satisfying some additional conditions.

Keywords: Distance-regular graph, Cayley graph, Dicyclic group

2010 MSC: 05E30, 05C25

1 Introduction

Let G be a finite group with identity 1, and let S be a subset of $G \setminus \{1\}$ such that $S = S^{-1} := \{s^{-1} \mid s \in S\}$. The Cayley graph $\operatorname{Cay}(G, S)$ is defined as the graph with vertex set G, and with an edge joining two vertices $g, h \in G$ if and only if $g^{-1}h \in S$. Here S is called the connection set of $\operatorname{Cay}(G, S)$. It is known that $\operatorname{Cay}(G, S)$ is connected if and only if $\langle S \rangle = G$, and that G acts regularly on the vertex set of $\operatorname{Cay}(G, S)$ by left

^{*}Corresponding author.

 $E\text{-}mail\ address: huangxymath@163.com\ (X. Huang), kinkardas2003@gmail.com\ (K. C. Das), lulumath@csu.edu.cn\ (L. Lu).$

multiplicity. If G is a cyclic (resp. dihedral) group, then Cay(G, S) is called a *circulant* (resp. *dihedrant*).

Let Γ be a connected graph with vertex set $V(\Gamma)$. The distance $\partial_{\Gamma}(u, v)$ between two vertices u, v of Γ is the length of a shortest path connecting them in Γ , and the diameter d_{Γ} of Γ is the maximum distance in Γ . For $v \in V(\Gamma)$, let $N_i^{\Gamma}(v)$ denote the set of vertices at distance i from v in Γ . In particular, we denote $N^{\Gamma}(v) = N_1^{\Gamma}(v)$. When Γ is clear from the context, we use $\partial(u, v)$, d, $N_i(v)$ and N(v) instead of $\partial_{\Gamma}(u, v)$, d_{Γ} , $N_i^{\Gamma}(v)$ and $N^{\Gamma}(v)$, respectively. For $u, v \in V(\Gamma)$ with $\partial(u, v) = i$ ($0 \le i \le d$), let

$$c_i(u,v) = |N_{i-1}(u) \cap N(v)|, \quad a_i(u,v) = |N_i(u) \cap N(v)|, \quad b_i(u,v) = |N_{i+1}(u) \cap N(v)|.$$

Here $c_0(u, v) = b_d(u, v) = 0$. Then Γ is called distance-regular if $c_i(u, v)$, $b_i(u, v)$ and $a_i(u, v)$ do not depend on the choice of u, v with $\partial(u, v) = i$, that is, depend only on the distance i between u and v, for all $0 \le i \le d$.

For a distance-regular graph Γ with diameter d, we denote $c_i = c_i(u, v)$, $a_i = a_i(u, v)$ and $b_i = b_i(u, v)$, where $u, v \in V(\Gamma)$ with $\partial(u, v) = i$. By definition, Γ is a regular graph with valency $k = b_0$, and $a_i + b_i + c_i = k$ for $0 \le i \le d$. The array $\{b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_{d-1}; c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_d\}$ is called the *intersection array* of Γ . In particular, $\lambda = a_1$ is the number of common neighbors between two adjacent vertices in Γ , and $\mu = c_2$ is the number of common neighbors between two vertices at distance 2 in Γ . A distance-regular graph on n vertices with valency k and diameter 2 is also called a *strongly regular graph* with parameters $(n, k, \lambda = a_1, \mu = c_2)$. A distance-regular graph is called *non-trivial* if it does not belong to any of the following classes: complete graphs, complete multipartite graphs, complete bipartite graphs without a 1-factor, and cycles.

After observing some beautiful combinatorial properties of distance-transitive graphs, Biggs introduced the concept of distance-regular graphs (see the monograph [2] from 1974). In the past several decades, distance-regular graphs played an important role in the study of design theory and coding theory, and were closely linked to some other subjects such as finite group theory, representation theory, and association schemes. For more detailed results on combinatorial or algebraic properties of distance-regular graphs, we refer the reader to [4,7], and references therein.

As an extension of the problem of characterizing strongly regular Cayley graphs (or equivalently, regular partial difference sets [13]), Miklavič and Potočnik [16] (see also [7, Problem 71]) proposed the following problem.

Problem 1.1. For a class of groups \mathcal{G} , determine all distance-regular graphs, which are Cayley graphs on a group in \mathcal{G} .

For strongly regular Cayley graphs, a classic work is that all strongly regular circulants were determined by Bridges and Mena [3], Ma [12], and partially by Marušič [14]. Also, the strongly regular Cayley graphs on $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$ were classified by Leifman and Muzychuck [11]. However, the strongly regular Cayley graphs on general groups, even for abelian groups, are far from being completely characterized.

With regard to Problem 1.1, Miklavič and Potočnik [15, 16] (almost) classified the distance-regular circulants or dihedrants. Miklavič and Šparl [17, 18] characterized the distance-regular Cayley graphs on abelian groups or generalized dihedral groups under the condition that the connection set is minimal with respect to some element. Abdollahi, van Dam and Jazaeri [1] determined the distance-regular Cayley graphs of diameter at most three with least eigenvalue -2. Very recently, van Dam and Jazaeri [5,6] determined some distance-regular Cayley graphs with small valency, and provided some characterizations for bipartite distance-regular Cayley graphs with diameter 3 or 4.

Inspired by the work of Miklavič and Šparl [15,16], in this paper, we mainly focus on the characterization of distance-regular Cayley graphs on dicyclic groups. For a positive integer n, the dicyclic group Dic_n is defined by

$$\operatorname{Dic}_n = \langle \alpha, \beta \mid \alpha^{2n} = 1, \beta^2 = \alpha^n, \beta^{-1} \alpha \beta = \alpha^{-1} \rangle.$$

Clearly, $\operatorname{Dic}_n = \langle \alpha \rangle \cup \langle \alpha \rangle \beta$ is a non-abelian group of order 4n if n > 1, and $\operatorname{Dic}_n \cong \mathbb{Z}_4$ if n = 1. Also, α^n is the unique element of order 2 in Dic_n . For simplicity, Cayley graphs on dicyclic groups are called *dicirculants*. For $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{2n}$ and $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{2n}$, we denote $j + A = \{j + i \mid i \in A\}$, $jA = \{j \cdot i \mid i \in A\}$, $-A = \{-i \mid i \in A\}$, $\alpha^A = \{\alpha^i \mid i \in A\}$ and $\alpha^A\beta = \{\alpha^i\beta \mid i \in A\}$. Then every dicirculant on 4n vertices has the form $\operatorname{Cay}(\operatorname{Dic}_n, \alpha^R \cup \alpha^T\beta)$, where R and T are subsets of \mathbb{Z}_{2n} such that $0 \notin R$, R = -R and T = n + T. For convenience, we denote $\operatorname{Dic}(n, R, T) := \operatorname{Cay}(\operatorname{Dic}_n, \alpha^R \cup \alpha^T\beta)$. The main result is as follows.

Theorem 1.1. Let Γ be a dicirculant on 4n vertices. Then Γ is distance-regular if and only if it is isomorphic to one of the following graphs:

- (i) the complete graph K_{4n} ;
- (ii) the complete multipartite graph $K_{t\times m}$ with tm=4n, which is the complement of the disjoint union of t copies of the complete graph K_m ;
- (iii) the graph $\operatorname{Dic}(n, R, T)$ for even n, where R = -R and T = n + T are non-empty subsets of $1 + 2\mathbb{Z}_{2n}$ such that $|R \cap T| < n$ and $|R \cap (i + R)| + |T \cap (i + T)| = 2|(j + R) \cap T| = 2|R \cap T|$ for all $i, j \in 2\mathbb{Z}_{2n} \setminus \{0\}$.

In particular, the graph in (iii) is a non-antipodal bipartite non-trivial distance-regular graph with diameter 3.

A subset D of a group G is called a difference set if there is an integer μ such that for every $g \in G \setminus \{1\}$ the number of $(g_1, g_2) \in D \times D$ satisfying $g_2g_1^{-1} = g$ is equal to μ . If $|D| \notin \{|G|, |G| - 1, 1, 0\}$, then D is non-trivial. In review of [16, Lemma 2.8], it is not difficult to verify that the statement in Theorem 1.1 (iii) is actually equivalent to:

(iii') the graph $\operatorname{Dic}(n, R, T)$ for even n, where R = -R and T = n + T are non-empty subsets of $1 + 2\mathbb{Z}_{2n}$ such that $\alpha^{-1+R} \cup \alpha^{-1+T}\beta$ is a non-trivial difference set in the dicyclic group $\langle \alpha^2, \beta \rangle$ of order 2n.

By Theorem 1.1, we obtain the following corollary immediately.

Corollary 1.1. Let Γ be a dicirculant on 4n vertices where n is odd. Then Γ is distance-regular if and only if it is isomorphic to the complete graph K_{4n} , or the complete multipartite graph $K_{t\times m}$, where tm=4n.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we review some notations and results about distance-regular graphs, which are powerful in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Let Γ be a graph, and let $\mathcal{B} = \{B_1, \ldots, B_r\}$ be a partition of $V(\Gamma)$. The quotient graph of Γ with respect to \mathcal{B} , denoted by $\Gamma_{\mathcal{B}}$, is the graph with vertex set \mathcal{B} , and with $B_i, B_j \ (i \neq j)$ adjacent if and only if there exists at least one edge between B_i and B_j in Γ . Moreover, we say that \mathcal{B} is an equitable partition of Γ if there are integers $b_{ij} \ (1 \leq i, j \leq r)$ such that every vertex in B_i has exactly b_{ij} neighbors in B_j .

Suppose that Γ is a distance-regular graph with diameter d. For $i \in \{1, ..., d\}$, the i-th distance graph Γ_i is the graph with vertex set $V(\Gamma)$ in which two distinct vertices are adjacent if and only if they are at distance i in Γ . We say that Γ is primitive if Γ_i is connected for all $i \in \{1, ..., d\}$, and imprimitive otherwise. Also, we say that Γ is antipodal if the relation \mathcal{R} on $V(\Gamma)$ defined by $u\mathcal{R}v \Leftrightarrow \partial(u,v) \in \{0,d\}$ is an equivalence relation. It is known that an imprimitive distance-regular graph with valency at least 3 is either bipartite, antipodal, or both [4, Theorem 4.2.1].

If Γ is a bipartite distance-regular graph, then Γ_2 has two connected components, which are called the *halved graphs* of Γ and denoted by Γ^+ and Γ^- . For convenience, we use $\frac{1}{2}\Gamma$ to represent any one of these two graphs. If Γ is an antipodal distance-regular graph, then the relation \mathcal{R} defined above leads to a partition \mathcal{B}^* of $V(\Gamma)$ into equivalence classes, called *fibres*. It is known that \mathcal{B}^* is actually an equitable partition of Γ , and all fibres of Γ share the same size. The *antipodal quotient* of Γ , denoted by $\overline{\Gamma}$, is defined as the quotient graph $\Gamma_{\mathcal{B}^*}$. Let r be the common size of fibres of Γ . Then Γ is said to be an r-fold antipodal cover of $\overline{\Gamma}$. Note that if d = 2 then Γ is a complete multipartite graph, and that if $d \geq 3$ then the edges between two distinct fibres of Γ form an empty set or a 1-factor.

Lemma 2.1. ([4, Proposition 4.2.2]) Let Γ denote an imprimitive distance-regular graph with diameter d and valency $k \geq 3$. Then the following hold.

- (i) If Γ is bipartite, then the halved graphs of Γ are non-bipartite distance-regular graphs with diameter $\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor$.
- (ii) If Γ is antipodal, then $\overline{\Gamma}$ is a distance-regular graph with diameter $\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor$.
- (iii) If Γ is antipodal, then $\overline{\Gamma}$ is not antipodal, except when $d \leq 3$ (in that case $\overline{\Gamma}$ is a complete graph), or when Γ is bipartite with d=4 (in that case $\overline{\Gamma}$ is a complete bipartite graph).

- (iv) If Γ is antipodal and has odd diameter or is not bipartite, then $\overline{\Gamma}$ is primitive.
- (v) If Γ is bipartite and has odd diameter or is not antipodal, then the halved graphs of Γ are primitive.
- (vi) If Γ has even diameter and is both bipartite and antipodal, then $\overline{\Gamma}$ is bipartite. Moreover, if $\frac{1}{2}\Gamma$ is a halved graph of Γ , then it is antipodal, and $\overline{\frac{1}{2}\Gamma}$ is primitive and isomorphic to $\frac{1}{2}\overline{\Gamma}$.

Lemma 2.2. ([9, Theorem 6.2]) Let Γ be an antipodal distance-regular graph with diameter $d \geq 3$, and let \mathcal{B} be an equitable partition of Γ with each block contained in a fibre of Γ . Assume that no block of \mathcal{B} is a single vertex, or a fibre. Then all blocks of \mathcal{B} have the same size, and the quotient graph $\Gamma_{\mathcal{B}}$ is an antipodal distance-regular graph with diameter d. Moreover, Γ and $\Gamma_{\mathcal{B}}$ have isomorphic antipodal quotients.

Lemma 2.3. ([4, p.425, p.431]) Let Γ be an r-fold antipodal distance-regular graph on n vertices with diameter d and valency k.

(i) If Γ is non-bipartite and d=3, then n=r(k+1), $k=\mu(r-1)+\lambda+1$, and Γ has the intersection array $\{k,\mu(r-1),1;1,\mu,k\}$ and the spectrum $\{k^1,\theta_1^{m_1},\theta_2^k,\theta_3^{m_3}\}$, where

$$\theta_1 = \frac{\lambda - \mu}{2} + \delta$$
, $\theta_2 = -1$, $\theta_3 = \frac{\lambda - \mu}{2} - \delta$, $\delta = \sqrt{k + \left(\frac{\lambda - \mu}{2}\right)^2}$,

and

$$m_1 = -\frac{\theta_3}{\theta_1 - \theta_3}(r - 1)(k + 1), \quad m_3 = \frac{\theta_1}{\theta_1 - \theta_3}(r - 1)(k + 1).$$

(ii) If Γ is bipartite and d=4, then $n=2r^2\mu$, $k=r\mu$, and Γ has the intersection array $\{r\mu, r\mu-1, (r-1)\mu, 1; 1, \mu, r\mu-1, r\mu\}$.

A conference graph is a strongly regular graph with parameters $(n, k = \frac{n-1}{2}, \lambda = \frac{n-5}{4}, \mu = \frac{n-1}{4})$, where $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Paley graphs, introduced by Sachs [21], and independently by Erdős and Rényi [8], form an infinite family of conference graphs. Let \mathbb{F}_q denote the finite field of order q where $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ is a prime power. The Paley graph P(q) is defined as the graph with vertex set \mathbb{F}_q in which two distinct vertices $u, v \in \mathbb{F}_q$ are adjacent if and only if u - v is a square in the multiplicative group of \mathbb{F}_q .

Lemma 2.4. ([4, p. 180]) Let Γ be a conference graph (or particularly, Paley graph). Then:

- (i) Γ has no distance-regular r-fold antipodal covers for r > 1, except for the pentagon $C_5 \cong P(5)$, which is covered by the decagon C_{10} ;
- (ii) Γ cannot be a halved graph of a bipartite distance-regular graph.

Recall that circulants are Cayley graphs on cyclic groups. In [15], Miklavič and Potočnik determined all (primitive) distance-regular circulants.

Lemma 2.5. ([15, Theorem 1.2, Corollary 3.7]) Let Γ be a circulant on n vertices. Then Γ is distance-regular if and only if it is isomorphic to one of the following graphs:

- (i) the cycle C_n ;
- (ii) the complete graph K_n ;
- (iii) the complete multipartite graph $K_{t\times m}$, where tm=n;
- (iv) the complete bipartite graph without a 1-factor $K_{m,m} mK_2$, where 2m = n, m odd;
- (v) the Paley graph P(n), where $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ is prime.

In particular, Γ is a primitive distance-regular graph if and only if $\Gamma \cong K_n$, or n is prime, and $\Gamma \cong C_n$ or P(n).

Also, Miklavič and Potočnik gave a characterization of primitive distance-regular Cayley graphs in terms of distance module and Schur ring (see [15] for the definition).

Lemma 2.6. ([15, Proposition 3.6]) Let $\Gamma = \text{Cay}(G, S)$ denote a distance-regular Cayley graph and $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{Z}}(G, S)$ its distance module. Then:

- (i) \mathcal{D} is a primitive Schur ring over G if and only if Γ is a primitive distance-regular graph;
- (ii) \mathcal{D} is the trivial Schur ring over G if and only if Γ is isomorphic to the complete graph.

Recall that $\operatorname{Dic}_n \cong \mathbb{Z}_4$ if n = 1. According to [22, Theorem 4] and [19, Theorem 3.4], we have the following result.

Lemma 2.7. For every $n \geq 1$, there are no non-trivial primitive Schur rings over the dicyclic group Dic_n .

Recall that Cayley graphs on dicyclic groups are called dicirculants. If Γ is a primitive distance-regular dicirculant on 4n vertices, then its distance module would be a primitive Schur ring over Dic_n by Lemma 2.6 (i), and hence can only be the trivial Schur ring by Lemma 2.7. Therefore, Lemma 2.6 (ii) implies the following result.

Corollary 2.1. Let Γ be a primitive distance-regular dicirculant on 4n vertices. Then Γ is isomorphic to the complete graph K_{4n} .

Let G be a transitive permutation group acting on a set X. An *imprimitivity system* for G is a partition \mathcal{B} of X which is invariant under the action of G, i.e., for every block $B \in \mathcal{B}$ and for every $g \in G$, we have $B^g = B$ or $B^g \cap B = \emptyset$.

Lemma 2.8. ([16, Lemma 2.2]) Let $\Gamma = \text{Cay}(G, S)$ denote a Cayley graph with the group G acting regularly on the vertex set of Γ by left multiplication. Suppose there exists an imprimitivity system \mathcal{B} for G. Then the block $B \in \mathcal{B}$ containing the identity $1 \in G$ is a subgroup in G. Moreover,

- (i) if B is normal in G, then $\Gamma_{\mathcal{B}} = \operatorname{Cay}(G/B, S/B)$, where $S/B = \{sB \mid s \in S \setminus B\}$;
- (ii) if there exists an abelian subgroup A in G such that G = AB, then $\Gamma_{\mathcal{B}}$ is isomorphic to a Cayley graph on the group $A/(A \cap B)$.

By Lemmas 2.1 and 2.8, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2.2. Let Γ denote a distance-regular dicirculant.

- (i) If Γ is antipodal, then the antipodal quotient $\overline{\Gamma}$ is a distance-regular circulant or a distance-regular dicirculant.
- (ii) If Γ is bipartite, then the halved graphs Γ^+ and Γ^- are distance-regular circulants or distance-regular dicirculants.

Proof. Let Γ be defined on $\mathrm{Dic}_n = \langle \alpha, \beta \mid \alpha^{2n} = 1, \beta^2 = \alpha^n, \beta^{-1}\alpha\beta = \alpha^{-1} \rangle$. First assume that Γ is antipodal. Since Dic_n acts regularly on the vertex set of Γ by left multiplication, the antipodal classes of Γ form an imprimitivity system \mathcal{B} for Dic_n . Let $B \in \mathcal{B}$ denote the antipodal class of Γ containing the identity of Dic_n. By Lemma 2.8, B is a subgroup of Dic_n. If B is a subgroup of $\langle \alpha \rangle$, then B is normal in Dic_n, and it follows from Lemma 2.8 (i) that $\overline{\Gamma} = \Gamma_{\mathcal{B}} = \text{Cay}(G/B, S/B)$, which is a dicirculant. If B is not a subgroup of $\langle \alpha \rangle$, then $\mathrm{Dic}_n = \langle \alpha \rangle B$, and Lemma 2.8 (ii) implies that $\overline{\Gamma} = \Gamma_B$ is isomorphic to a Cayley graph on the group $\langle \alpha \rangle / (\langle \alpha \rangle \cap B)$. Hence, $\overline{\Gamma}$ is a circulant. Now assume that Γ is bipartite. Let Γ^+ denote the halved graph containing the identity of Dic_n. Since the bipartition sets of Γ form an imprimitivity system for Dic_n , again by Lemma 2.8, $V(\Gamma^+)$ is a subgroup of Dic_n . It is easy to see that $V(\Gamma^+)$ acts regularly on itself by left multiplication as a subgroup of Aut(Γ^+). Since every subgroup of Dic_n is cyclic or dicyclic (cf. [20]), $V(\Gamma^+)$ is a circulant or a dicirculant. Moreover, since Γ is vertex transitive, the two halved graphs Γ^+ and Γ^- are isomorphic. Hence, Γ^- is also a circulant or a dicirculant. Note that $\overline{\Gamma}$, Γ^+ and Γ^- are distance-regular by Lemma 2.1. The result follows.

Let n be a positive integer, and let ω be a primitive n-th root of unity. Let $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Q}(\omega)$ denote the n-th cyclotomic field over the rationals. For a subset $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_n$, let $\Delta_A : \mathbb{Z}_n \to \mathbb{F}$ be the characteristic function of A, that is, $\Delta_A(z) = 1$ if $z \in A$, and $\Delta_A(z) = 0$ otherwise. In particular, if $A = \{a\}$, then we write Δ_a instead of $\Delta_{\{a\}}$. Let $\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}_n}$ be the \mathbb{F} -vector space consisting of all functions $f : \mathbb{Z}_n \to \mathbb{F}$ with the scalar multiplication and addition defined point-wise. We denote by $(\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}_n}, \cdot)$ the \mathbb{F} -algebra obtained from $\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}_n}$ by defining the multiplication point-wise, and $(\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}_n}, *)$ the \mathbb{F} -algebra obtained from $\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}_n}$ by defining the multiplication as the convolution (see [16]):

$$(f * g)(z) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n} f(i)g(z - i), \quad f, g \in \mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}_n}.$$
(1)

The Fourier transformation $\mathcal{F}: (\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}_n}, *) \to (\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}_n}, \cdot)$ is defined by

$$(\mathcal{F}f)(z) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n} f(i)\omega^{iz}, \quad f \in \mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}_n}.$$
 (2)

It is easy to verify that \mathcal{F} is an algebra isomorphism from $(\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}_n}, *)$ to $(\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}_n}, \cdot)$.

Let $\mathbb{Z}_n^* = \{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n \mid \gcd(i, n) = 1\}$ denote the multiplicative group of units in the ring \mathbb{Z}_n . Then \mathbb{Z}_n^* acts on \mathbb{Z}_n by multiplication. It is known that each orbit of this action consists of all elements of a given order in the additive group \mathbb{Z}_n . Consequently, each orbit is of the form $O_r = \{c \cdot \frac{n}{r} \in \mathbb{Z}_n \mid c \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*\}$, where r is some positive divisor of n.

The following three lemmas present some basic facts about Fourier transformation.

Lemma 2.9. ([16, Corollary 3.2]) If A is a subset of \mathbb{Z}_n and $\operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{F}\Delta_A) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$, then A is a union of some orbits of the action of \mathbb{Z}_n^* on \mathbb{Z}_n by multiplication, and $\operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{F}\Delta_A) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 2.10. ([16, Lemma 3.3]) Let r be a positive divisor of n, and let ω be a primitive n-th root of unity. If A is a subset of \mathbb{Z}_n , then

$$\mathcal{F}\Delta_A\left(\frac{n}{r}\right) = e_0 + e_1\xi + \dots + e_{r-1}\xi^{r-1},$$

where $\xi = \omega^{\frac{n}{r}}$ and $e_i = |A \cap (i + r\mathbb{Z}_n)|$ for $0 \le i \le r - 1$.

Let H be a subgroup of G. A transversal of H is a subset of G that contains exactly one element from each of the right cosets of H in G.

Lemma 2.11. ([16, Lemma 3.4]) Let r be a positive divisor of n, and let A be a transversal of the subgroup $r\mathbb{Z}_n$ in \mathbb{Z}_n . If $z = m\frac{n}{r}$ ($m \notin r\mathbb{Z}_n$) is an arbitrary element of $\frac{n}{r}\mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \{0\}$, then $\mathcal{F}\Delta_A(z) = 0$.

Lemma 2.12. ([16, Lemma 4.3]) Let p be a prime divisor of n, and let A be a transversal of the subgroup $\frac{n}{p}\mathbb{Z}_n$ in \mathbb{Z}_n . If A is a union of some orbits of the action of \mathbb{Z}_n^* on \mathbb{Z}_n by multiplication, then p = 2 or $A = p\mathbb{Z}_n$.

3 The classification of distance-regular dicirculants

The main goal of this section is to prove Theorem 1.1, which gives a classification of distance-regular dicirculants. For simplicity, we keep the following notation.

Notation. Denote by $\operatorname{Dic}_n = \langle \alpha, \beta \mid \alpha^{2n} = 1, \beta^2 = \alpha^n, \beta^{-1}\alpha\beta = \alpha^{-1} \rangle$ the dicyclic group of order 4n. Suppose that $\Gamma = \operatorname{Dic}(n, R, T) = \operatorname{Cay}(\operatorname{Dic}_n, \alpha^R \cup \alpha^T \beta)$ is a distance-regular dicirculant, where R, T are subsets of \mathbb{Z}_{2n} such that $0 \notin R$, R = -R and T = n + T. Note that $T \neq \emptyset$ because Γ is connected. Denote by k, λ, μ and d the valency, the number of common neighbors of two adjacent vertices, the number of common neighbors of two vertices at distance 2, and the diameter of Γ , respectively. For $j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, d\}$,

let $\mathcal{N}_j = N_j(1)$ denote the set of vertices at distance j from the identity vertex $1 \in \text{Dic}_n$ in Γ , and let $R_j = \{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2n} \mid \alpha^i \in \mathcal{N}_j\}$ and $T_j = \{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2n} \mid \alpha^i \beta \in \mathcal{N}_j\}$. Clearly, $R_0 = \{0\}$, $T_0 = \emptyset$, $R_1 = R$ and $T_1 = T$.

Before giving the proof of Theorem 1.1, we first set down a sequence of lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. Let $\Gamma = \text{Dic}(n, R, T)$ be a dicirculant. Then $N(\alpha^i) = \alpha^{i+R} \cup \alpha^{i+T}\beta$ and $N(\alpha^i\beta) = \alpha^{i-T} \cup \alpha^{i+R}\beta$.

Proof. By definition, we have $N(\alpha^i) = \alpha^i(\alpha^R \cup \alpha^T \beta) = \alpha^{i+R} \cup \alpha^{i+T} \beta$ and $N(\alpha^i \beta) = \alpha^i \beta(\alpha^R \cup \alpha^T \beta) = \alpha^{i-R} \beta \cup \alpha^{i-T} \beta^2 = \alpha^{i+R} \beta \cup \alpha^{i+n-T} = \alpha^{i+R} \beta \cup \alpha^{i+2n-(n+T)} = \alpha^{i-T} \cup \alpha^{i+R} \beta$ because R = -R and T = n + T.

Lemma 3.2. Let $\Gamma = \operatorname{Dic}(n, R, T)$ be a dicirculant. Then $|N(\alpha^i) \cap N(\alpha^j)| = |N(\alpha^i \beta) \cap N(\alpha^j \beta)| = |R \cap (j-i+R)| + |T \cap (j-i+T)|$, and $|N(\alpha^i) \cap N(\alpha^j \beta)| = 2|(j-i+R) \cap T|$.

Proof. Recall that R=-R and T=n+T. By Lemma 3.1, we have $|N(\alpha^i)\cap N(\alpha^j)|=|(\alpha^{i+R}\cup\alpha^{i+T}\beta)\cap(\alpha^{j+R}\cup\alpha^{j+T}\beta)|=|(i+R)\cap(j+R)|+|(i+T)\cap(j+T)|=|R\cap(j-i+R)|+|T\cap(j-i+T)|$ and $|N(\alpha^i\beta)\cap N(\alpha^j\beta)|=|(\alpha^{i+R}\beta\cup\alpha^{i-T})\cap(\alpha^{j+R}\beta\cup\alpha^{j-T})|=|(i+R)\cap(j+R)|+|(i-T)\cap(j-T)|=|R\cap(j-i+R)|+|T\cap(j-i+T)|$. Similarly, $|N(\alpha^i)\cap N(\alpha^j\beta)|=|(\alpha^{i+R}\cup\alpha^{i+T}\beta)\cap(\alpha^{j+R}\beta\cup\alpha^{j-T})|=|(i+R)\cap(j-T)|+|(j+R)\cap(i+T)|=2|(j-i+R)\cap T|$, as desired. \square

Lemma 3.3. Let $\Gamma = \text{Dic}(n, R, T)$ be a distance-regular dicirculant. Then

$$|N(\alpha^{i}\beta) \cap \alpha^{R}| = |N(\alpha^{i}\beta) \cap \alpha^{T}\beta| = \begin{cases} \frac{\lambda}{2}, & \text{if } i \in T; \\ \frac{\mu}{2}, & \text{if } i \in T_{2}. \end{cases}$$

In particular, λ is even, and μ is even whenever $T_2 \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, $N(\alpha^i\beta) \cap N(1) = N(\alpha^i\beta) \cap (\alpha^R \cup \alpha^T\beta) = (N(\alpha^i\beta) \cap \alpha^R) \cup (N(\alpha^i\beta) \cap \alpha^T\beta) = (\alpha^{i-T} \cap \alpha^R) \cup (\alpha^{i-R}\beta \cap \alpha^T\beta)$. Since $|(i-T) \cap R| = |(i-R) \cap T|$, we deduce that

$$|N(\alpha^i\beta)\cap N(1)|=2|N(\alpha^i\beta)\cap\alpha^R|=2|N(\alpha^i\beta)\cap\alpha^T\beta|.$$

Note that $|N(\alpha^i\beta) \cap N(1)| = \lambda$ if $i \in T$ and $|N(\alpha^i\beta) \cap N(1)| = \mu$ if $i \in T_2$. The result follows.

Lemma 3.4. Let $\Gamma = \text{Dic}(n, R, T)$ be a distance-regular dicirculant. Then $|N(1) \cap N(\alpha^n)| \geq |T|$. In particular, $\lambda \geq |T|$ if $n \in R$, and $\mu \geq |T|$ if $n \notin R$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.2, $|N(1) \cap N(\alpha^n)| = |R \cap (n+R)| + |T \cap (n+T)| = |R \cap (n+R)| + |T| \ge |T|$. Note that 1 and α^n are adjacent if $n \in R$, and at distance 2 if $n \notin R$. The result follows.

Let $\omega = e^{\pi \mathbf{i}/n}$ be the primitive 2n-th root of unity, and let $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Q}(\omega)$. Suppose that $(\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}_{2n}}, \cdot)$ and $(\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}_{2n}}, *)$ are \mathbb{F} -algebras defined as in Section 2, and that \mathcal{F} is the Fourier transformation from $(\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}_{2n}}, *)$ to $(\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}_{2n}}, \cdot)$ defined as in (2). We denote

$$\underline{\mathbf{r}}_{j}(z) = (\mathcal{F}\Delta_{R_{j}})(z) = \sum_{i \in R_{j}} \omega^{iz} \text{ and } \underline{\mathbf{t}}_{j}(z) = (\mathcal{F}\Delta_{T_{j}})(z) = \sum_{i \in T_{j}} \omega^{iz},$$
 (3)

where Δ_{R_j} and Δ_{T_j} are the characteristic functions of R_j and T_j , respectively. In particular, we denote $\underline{\mathbf{r}} = \underline{\mathbf{r}}_1 = \mathcal{F}\Delta_R$ and $\underline{\mathbf{t}} = \underline{\mathbf{t}}_1 = \mathcal{F}\Delta_T$. Let * be the convolution of $(\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}_{2n}}, *)$ defined as in (1). For $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{2n}$, we can verify that

$$(\Delta_A * \Delta_B)(i) = |(i - A) \cap B| = |(i - B) \cap A|, \quad i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2n}. \tag{4}$$

Lemma 3.5. Let $\Gamma = \text{Dic}(n, R, T)$ be a distance-regular dicirculant. Then $\underline{\mathbf{r}}^2 + |\underline{\mathbf{t}}|^2 = k + \lambda \underline{\mathbf{r}} + \mu \underline{\mathbf{r}}_2$ and $2\underline{\mathbf{r}}\underline{\mathbf{t}} = \lambda \underline{\mathbf{t}} + \mu \underline{\mathbf{t}}_2$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.1 and (4), for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2n}$,

$$(\Delta_R * \Delta_R)(i) + (\Delta_T * \Delta_{-T})(i) = |R \cap (i - R)| + |T \cap (i + T)|$$

$$= (k\Delta_0 + \lambda \Delta_R + \mu \Delta_{R_2})(i)$$
(5)

and

$$2(\Delta_R * \Delta_T)(i) = |R \cap (i - T)| + |T \cap (i - R)|$$

= $|R \cap (i + n - T)| + |T \cap (i - R)|$
= $(\lambda \Delta_T + \mu \Delta_{T_2})(i)$. (6)

Recall that the Fourier transformation \mathcal{F} is an algebra isomorphism from $(\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}_{2n}}, *)$ to $(\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}_{2n}}, \cdot)$. By applying \mathcal{F} on both sides of (5) and (6), we obtain $\underline{\mathbf{r}}^2 + |\underline{\mathbf{t}}|^2 = k + \lambda \underline{\mathbf{r}} + \mu \underline{\mathbf{r}}_2$ and $2\underline{\mathbf{r}}\underline{\mathbf{t}} = \lambda \underline{\mathbf{t}} + \mu \underline{\mathbf{t}}_2$, respectively.

Lemma 3.6. There is no distance-regular dicirculant isomorphic to a complete bipartite graph without a 1-factor.

Proof. Let $\Gamma = \text{Dic}(n, R, T)$ be a distance-regular dicirculant. By contradiction, assume that $\Gamma \cong K_{2n,2n} - 2nK_2$. Then the valency of Γ is equal to 2n-1. However, this is only possible when $n \in R$ because α^n is the unique element of order 2 in Dic_n . In this situation, it follows from Lemma 3.4 that $\lambda \geq |T|$. As $T \neq \emptyset$, the graph Γ contains a triangle, which is impossible.

Lemma 3.7. There are no antipodal non-bipartite distance-regular dicirculants with diameter 3.

Proof. By contradiction, assume that $\Gamma = \text{Dic}(n, R, T)$ is an antipodal non-bipartite distance-regular dicirculant of diameter 3 with the minimum order. Let k and p denote the valency and the common size of antipodal classes (or fibres) of Γ , respectively. Note that $p \geq 2$ and $k \geq 4$. In fact, if k = 2 or 3, since Γ is connected and α^n is the unique

element of order 2 in Dic_n , we have n=1 and $\Gamma\cong C_4$ or K_4 , which is impossible. According to Lemma 2.3 (i), $k+1=\frac{4n}{p}$, and Γ has the intersection array

$$\{k, \mu(p-1), 1; 1, \mu, k\} \tag{7}$$

and eigenvalues k, θ_1 , $\theta_2 = -1$, θ_3 , where

$$\theta_1 = \frac{\lambda - \mu}{2} + \delta, \quad \theta_3 = \frac{\lambda - \mu}{2} - \delta \quad \text{and} \quad \delta = \sqrt{k + \left(\frac{\lambda - \mu}{2}\right)^2}.$$
 (8)

Let $H = \mathcal{N}_3 \cup \{1\}$. Then H is an antipodal class of Γ , and |H| = p. Since Dic_n acts regularly on $V(\Gamma)$ by left multiplication, the antipodal classes of Γ form an imprimitivity system for Dic_n . By Lemma 2.8, H is a subgroup of Dic_n . If p is not prime, then H has a non-trivial subgroup K contained in $\langle \alpha \rangle$. Let \mathcal{B} denote the partition consisting of all orbits of K acting on $V(\Gamma)$ by left multiplication. As K is normal in Dic_n , the partition \mathcal{B} is also an imprimitivity system for Dic_n , and it follows from Lemma 2.8 (i) that the quotient graph $\Gamma_{\mathcal{B}}$ is a dicirculant. Observe that \mathcal{B} is an equitable partition of Γ , and each block of \mathcal{B} is contained in some fibre of Γ and is neither a single vertex nor a fibre. By Lemma 2.2, $\Gamma_{\mathcal{B}}$ is an antipodal distance-regular graph with diameter 3. If $\Gamma_{\mathcal{B}}$ is bipartite, then Γ is also bipartite, a contradiction. Hence, $\Gamma_{\mathcal{B}}$ is an antipodal nonbipartite distance-regular dicirculant of diameter 3 with smaller order than Γ , contrary to our assumption. Therefore, p is a prime number. Moreover, we assert that $\mathcal{N}_3 \subseteq \langle \alpha \rangle$. In fact, if $\mathcal{N}_3 \cap \langle \alpha \rangle \beta \neq \emptyset$, the group H would contain some element of order 4, and hence $4 \mid p$, which is impossible. Since $H = \mathcal{N}_3 \cup \{1\}$ is the subgroup of $\langle \alpha \rangle$ with order p, we have $p \mid 2n$ and $\mathcal{N}_3 = \{\alpha^{i\frac{2n}{p}} \mid i = 1, 2, ..., p - 1\}$. Hence, $R_3 = \frac{2n}{p}\mathbb{Z}_{2n} \setminus \{0\}$ and $T_3 = \emptyset$. Before going further, similarly as in [16, Lemma 4.4], we need the following claim.

Claim 1. The sets $R \cup \{0\}$ and T are transversals of the subgroup $\frac{2n}{p}\mathbb{Z}_{2n}$ in \mathbb{Z}_{2n} . In particular, $p \neq 2$ and $p \mid n$.

Proof of Claim 1. First assume that $|T \cap (\ell + \frac{2n}{p}\mathbb{Z}_{2n})| \geq 2$ for some $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{2n}$. Then there exists some $i \in \{1, \ldots, p-1\}$ such that $i\frac{2n}{p} \in T - T$. Thus $\alpha^{i\frac{2n}{p}} \in \mathcal{N}_1 \cup \mathcal{N}_2$, contrary to $\alpha^{i\frac{2n}{p}} \in \mathcal{N}_3$. Hence, $|T \cap (\ell + \frac{2n}{p}\mathbb{Z}_{2n})| \leq 1$ for all $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{2n}$. Similarly, $|(R \cup \{0\}) \cap (\ell + \frac{2n}{p}\mathbb{Z}_{2n})| \leq 1$ for all $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{2n}$. Now assume that $T \cap (\ell + \frac{2n}{p}\mathbb{Z}_{2n}) = \emptyset$ for some $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{2n}$. Then $\ell + \frac{2n}{p}\mathbb{Z}_{2n} \subseteq T_2$ due to $T_0 = T_3 = \emptyset$. Since each vertex of \mathcal{N}_2 has a neighbor in \mathcal{N}_3 , there exists some $i \in \{1, \ldots, p-1\}$ such that $\alpha^{i\frac{2n}{p}} \in \mathcal{N}_3$ is adjacent to $\alpha^{\ell + \frac{2n}{p}}\beta \in \mathcal{N}_2$. This implies that $\ell + (1-i)\frac{2n}{p} \in T$, which contradicts $T \cap (\ell + \frac{2n}{p}\mathbb{Z}_{2n}) = \emptyset$. Hence, T has non-empty intersection with every coset of $\frac{2n}{p}\mathbb{Z}_{2n}$ in \mathbb{Z}_{2n} . Similarly, $R \cup \{0\}$ has non-empty intersection with every coset of $\frac{2n}{p}\mathbb{Z}_{2n}$ in \mathbb{Z}_{2n} . Therefore, we conclude that T and $T \cap \{0\}$ are transversals of the subgroup $\frac{2n}{p}\mathbb{Z}_{2n}$ in \mathbb{Z}_{2n} . This proves the first part of the claim. For the second part, suppose to the contrary that $T \cap \mathbb{Z}_{2n}$ such that $T \cap \mathbb{Z}_{2n} \cap \mathbb{Z}_{2n}$. Then $T \cap \mathbb{Z}_{2n} \cap \mathbb{Z}_{2n} \cap \mathbb{Z}_{2n}$. As $T \cap \mathbb{Z}_{2n} \cap \mathbb{Z}_{2n} \cap \mathbb{Z}_{2n} \cap \mathbb{Z}_{2n}$ and hence $T \cap \mathbb{Z}_{2n} \cap \mathbb{Z}_{2n} \cap \mathbb{Z}_{2n}$. Which is impossible by above arguments. Therefore, $T \cap \mathbb{Z}_{2n} \cap \mathbb{Z}_{2n} \cap \mathbb{Z}_{2n} \cap \mathbb{Z}_{2n}$.

By Claim 1, $|R| = \frac{2n}{p} - 1$ and $|T| = \frac{2n}{p}$. Since $R_2 = \mathbb{Z}_{2n} \setminus (\frac{2n}{p}\mathbb{Z}_{2n} \cup R)$ and $T_2 = \mathbb{Z}_{2n} \setminus T$, we have $|R_2| = (p-1)|R|$ and $|T_2| = (p-1)|T|$. Furthermore, from (3) we obtain $\underline{\mathbf{r}}_2 = 2n\Delta_0 - p\Delta_{p\mathbb{Z}_{2n}} - \underline{\mathbf{r}}$ and $\underline{\mathbf{t}}_2 = 2n\Delta_0 - \underline{\mathbf{t}}$. Thus, by Lemma 3.5,

$$\begin{cases} \underline{\mathbf{r}}^2 + |\underline{\mathbf{t}}|^2 = k + (\lambda - \mu)\underline{\mathbf{r}} - p\mu\Delta_{p\mathbb{Z}_{2n}} + 2n\mu\Delta_0, \\ 2\underline{\mathbf{r}}\underline{\mathbf{t}} = (\lambda - \mu)\underline{\mathbf{t}} + 2n\mu\Delta_0. \end{cases}$$
(9)

Clearly, $\underline{\mathbf{r}}(0) = |R| = \frac{2n}{p} - 1$. Moreover, by Lemma 2.11 and Claim 1, we have $\underline{\mathbf{r}}(z) = -1$ for all $z \in p\mathbb{Z}_{2n} \setminus \{0\}$. Now suppose $z \notin p\mathbb{Z}_{2n}$. By (9), if $\underline{\mathbf{t}}(z) \neq 0$ then $\underline{\mathbf{r}}(z) = \frac{\lambda - \mu}{2}$, and if $\underline{\mathbf{t}}(z) = 0$ then $\underline{\mathbf{r}}(z) \in \{\theta_1, \theta_3\}$, where $\theta_1 = \frac{\lambda - \mu}{2} + \delta$ and $\theta_3 = \frac{\lambda - \mu}{2} - \delta$ are the two eigenvalues of Γ given in (8). Putting $B = \{z \in \mathbb{Z}_{2n} \mid z \notin p\mathbb{Z}_{2n}, \ \underline{\mathbf{t}}(z) = 0, \ \underline{\mathbf{r}}(z) = \theta_1\}$, $C = \{z \in \mathbb{Z}_{2n} \mid z \notin p\mathbb{Z}_{2n}, \ \underline{\mathbf{t}}(z) = 0, \ \underline{\mathbf{r}}(z) = \theta_3\}$, and $D = \mathbb{Z}_{2n} \setminus (B \cup C \cup p\mathbb{Z}_{2n})$. Then

$$\underline{\mathbf{r}}(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{2n}{p} - 1, & z = 0, \\ -1, & z \in p\mathbb{Z}_{2n} \setminus \{0\}, \\ \theta_1, & z \in B, \\ \theta_3, & z \in C, \\ \frac{\lambda - \mu}{2}, & z \in D. \end{cases}$$
(10)

If $\delta \in \mathbb{Q}$, then (10) implies that $\operatorname{Im}(\underline{\mathbf{r}}) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$. By Lemma 2.9, R is a union of some orbits of \mathbb{Z}_{2n}^* acting on \mathbb{Z}_{2n} , and so is $R \cup \{0\}$. According to Claim 1 and Lemma 2.12, we conclude that $R \cup \{0\} = p\mathbb{Z}_{2n}$, i.e., $R = p\mathbb{Z}_{2n} \setminus \{0\}$. Then, for each $i \in R$, $N(\alpha^i) \cap \alpha^{R_2} = \emptyset$, or equivalently, $N(\alpha^i) \cap \mathcal{N}_2 \subseteq \alpha^{T_2}\beta$. On the other hand, by Lemma 3.3, $|N(\alpha^i\beta) \cap \alpha^R| = \frac{\mu}{2}$ for each $i \in T_2$. By counting the edges between α^R and $\alpha^{T_2}\beta$ in two ways and by (7), we have $|R|\mu(p-1) = |T_2|\frac{\mu}{2}$. Combining this with $|R| = \frac{2n}{p} - 1$ and $|T_2| = (p-1)|T| = (p-1)\frac{2n}{p}$, we obtain n = p, and hence |R| = 1 and |T| = 2. Thus k = |R| + |T| = 3, contrary to $k \geq 4$. If $\delta \notin \mathbb{Q}$, the two eigenvalues θ_1, θ_3 of Γ must have the same multiplicity, and hence $\lambda = \mu = \frac{k-1}{p}$ by Lemma 2.3 (i). On the other hand, from Lemma 3.4 and $k + 1 = \frac{4n}{p}$, we deduce that $\lambda = \mu \geq |T| = \frac{2n}{p} = \frac{k+1}{2}$. Thus, we have p < 2, a contradiction.

Therefore, we conclude that there are no antipodal non-bipartite distance-regular dicirculants with diameter 3.

Lemma 3.8. There are no antipodal bipartite distance-regular dicirculants with diameter 4.

Proof. By contradiction, assume that $\Gamma = \text{Dic}(n, R, T)$ is an antipodal bipartite distance-regular dicirculant of diameter 4 with the minimum order. Let k and p denote the valency and the common size of antipodal classes of Γ , respectively. Note that $p \geq 2$ and $k \geq 4$. Also, by Lemma 2.3 (ii),

$$2n = p^2 \mu \quad \text{and} \quad k = p\mu. \tag{11}$$

Similarly as in the proof of Lemma 3.7, we assert that p is prime and $\mathcal{N}_4 = \{\alpha^{i\frac{2n}{p}} \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, p-1\} = \alpha^{\frac{2n}{p}\mathbb{Z}_{2n}} \setminus \{1\}$. Since the bipartition set $\mathcal{N}_0 \cup \mathcal{N}_2 \cup \mathcal{N}_4$ is a subgroup

of Dic_n with index 2, we have $\mathcal{N}_0 \cup \mathcal{N}_2 \cup \mathcal{N}_4 = \langle \alpha \rangle$, or n is even and $\mathcal{N}_0 \cup \mathcal{N}_2 \cup \mathcal{N}_4 \in \{\alpha^{2\mathbb{Z}_{2n}} \cup \alpha^{2\mathbb{Z}_{2n}} \beta, \alpha^{2\mathbb{Z}_{2n}} \cup \alpha^{1+2\mathbb{Z}_{2n}} \beta\}$. If $\mathcal{N}_0 \cup \mathcal{N}_2 \cup \mathcal{N}_4 = \langle \alpha \rangle$, then $R = \emptyset$, and by Lemma 3.4, $\mu \geq |T| = |R| + |T| = k$. Combining this with (11) yields that p = 1, a contradiction. Therefore, n is even and $\mathcal{N}_0 \cup \mathcal{N}_2 \cup \mathcal{N}_4 \in \{\alpha^{2\mathbb{Z}_{2n}} \cup \alpha^{2\mathbb{Z}_{2n}} \beta, \alpha^{2\mathbb{Z}_{2n}} \cup \alpha^{1+2\mathbb{Z}_{2n}} \beta\}$.

Observe that $\operatorname{Dic}(n,R,T)\cong\operatorname{Dic}(n,R,1+T)$. We may assume that $\mathcal{N}_0\cup\mathcal{N}_2\cup\mathcal{N}_4=\alpha^{2\mathbb{Z}_{2n}}\cup\alpha^{2\mathbb{Z}_{2n}}\beta$. Since $\mathcal{N}_0\cup\mathcal{N}_4=\alpha^{\frac{2n}{p}\mathbb{Z}_{2n}}$ and $T_0=\emptyset$, we have $T_4=\emptyset$ and $T_2=2\mathbb{Z}_{2n}$, and hence μ is even by Lemma 3.3. Furthermore, $R_2=2\mathbb{Z}_{2n}\setminus\frac{2n}{p}\mathbb{Z}_{2n}$ and $T\cup T_3=R\cup R_3=1+2\mathbb{Z}_{2n}$. Since every pair of vertices in \mathcal{N}_4 are at distance 4, the set α^{R_3} partitions into subsets α^{i+R} , $i\in R_4$, and hence $|R_3|=(p-1)|R|$. Then from (11) and $|R_3|=n-|R|$ we deduce that $|R|=\frac{p\mu}{2}$. Similarly, the set $\alpha^{T_3}\beta$ partitions into subsets $\alpha^{i+T}\beta$, $i\in R_4$, and hence $|T_3|=(p-1)|T|$, which gives that $|T|=\frac{p\mu}{2}$. As $n\notin R$, by Lemma 3.4, $\mu\geq |T|$, and hence p=2. Therefore, $|R|=|T|=\mu=\frac{n}{2}$ and k=|R|+|T|=n. Since $R_2=2\mathbb{Z}_{2n}\setminus n\mathbb{Z}_{2n}$ and $T_2=2\mathbb{Z}_{2n}$, we have $T_2=n\Delta_{n\mathbb{Z}_{2n}}-2\Delta_{2\mathbb{Z}_{2n}}$ and $T_3=n\Delta_{n\mathbb{Z}_{2n}}$. By Lemma 3.5,

$$\begin{cases}
\underline{\mathbf{r}}^2 + |\underline{\mathbf{t}}|^2 = n + \frac{n^2}{2} \Delta_{n\mathbb{Z}_{2n}} - n \Delta_{2\mathbb{Z}_{2n}}, \\
2\underline{\mathbf{r}}\underline{\mathbf{t}} = \frac{n^2}{2} \Delta_{n\mathbb{Z}_{2n}}.
\end{cases} (12)$$

Clearly, $\underline{\mathbf{r}}(0) = \underline{\mathbf{t}}(0) = \frac{n}{2}$ and $\underline{\mathbf{r}}(n) = -|\underline{\mathbf{t}}(n)| = -\frac{n}{2}$. By (12), $\underline{\mathbf{r}}(z) = \underline{\mathbf{t}}(z) = 0$ for all $z \in 2\mathbb{Z}_{2n} \setminus \{0, n\}$. Moreover, if $z \notin 2\mathbb{Z}_{2n}$, then $\underline{\mathbf{r}}(z) = 0$ or $\underline{\mathbf{t}}(z) = 0$. For the former case, $|\underline{\mathbf{t}}(z)| = \sqrt{n}$, and for the later case, $\underline{\mathbf{r}}(z) \in \{\sqrt{n}, -\sqrt{n}\}$. Putting $B = \{z \in \mathbb{Z}_{2n} \mid z \notin 2\mathbb{Z}_{2n}, \underline{\mathbf{t}}(z) = 0, \underline{\mathbf{r}}(z) = \sqrt{n}\}$, $C = \{z \in \mathbb{Z}_{2n} \mid z \notin 2\mathbb{Z}_{2n}, \underline{\mathbf{t}}(z) = 0, \underline{\mathbf{r}}(z) = -\sqrt{n}\}$, and $D = \mathbb{Z}_{2n} \setminus (2\mathbb{Z}_{2n} \cup B \cup C)$. Then we have

$$\underline{\mathbf{r}}(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2}, & z = 0, \\ -\frac{n}{2}, & z = n, \\ 0, & z \in 2\mathbb{Z}_{2n} \setminus \{0, n\}, \\ \sqrt{n}, & z \in B, \\ -\sqrt{n}, & z \in C, \\ 0, & z \in D, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad |\underline{\mathbf{t}}(z)| = \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2}, & z = 0, \\ \frac{n}{2}, & z = n, \\ 0, & z \in 2\mathbb{Z}_{2n} \setminus \{0, n\}, \\ 0, & z \in B, \\ 0, & z \in C, \\ \sqrt{n}, & z \in D. \end{cases}$$
(13)

For $E \in \{R, T\}$, let $\underline{\mathbf{e}} = \mathcal{F}\Delta_E$. Let t be a positive integer such that $2^t \mid 2n$. For each $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2^t - 1\}$, let $E_i(t) = E \cap (i + 2^t \mathbb{Z}_{2n})$, and $e_i(t) = |E_i(t)|$. Since $E \subseteq 1 + 2\mathbb{Z}_{2n}$, we see that

$$e_0(t) = e_2(t) = \dots = e_{2^t - 2}(t) = 0.$$
 (14)

Similarly as in [16, Lemma 4.5], we need the following claim.

Claim 2. For any integer $t \geq 2$, if $\underline{\mathbf{e}}(\frac{2n}{2^i}) = 0$ for all $i \in \{2, ..., t\}$, then $e_1(t) = e_3(t) = \cdots = e_{2^t-1}(t)$.

Proof of Claim 2. Let $\omega = e^{\pi \mathbf{i}/n}$ denote the primitive 2n-th root of unity. First assume that t = 2. If $\underline{\mathbf{e}}(\frac{2n}{4}) = \underline{\mathbf{e}}(\frac{n}{2}) = 0$, by Lemma 2.10 and (14), we obtain $\underline{\mathbf{e}}(\frac{n}{2}) = (e_1(2) - e_1(2))$

 $e_3(2))\omega^{\frac{n}{2}}=(e_1(2)-e_3(2))\mathbf{i}$. Hence, $e_1(2)=e_3(2)$, and the results follows. Now take $t\geq 3$, and assume that the result holds for any t' with $2\leq t'\leq t-1$. Suppose that $\underline{\mathbf{e}}(\frac{2n}{2^i})=0$ for all $i\in\{2,\ldots,t\}$. Again by Lemma 2.10,

$$0 = \underline{\mathbf{e}}\left(\frac{2n}{2^t}\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{2^{t-1}-1} (e_i(t) - e_{i+2^{t-1}}(t))\xi^i, \tag{15}$$

where $\xi = \omega^{\frac{2n}{2^t}}$. Note that the degree of the minimal polynomial for ξ over \mathbb{Q} is $\varphi(2^t) = 2^{t-1}$, where $\varphi(\cdot)$ denotes the Euler totient function. Then (15) implies that

$$e_i(t) = e_{i+2^{t-1}}(t), \text{ for all } i \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2^{t-1} - 1\}.$$
 (16)

On the other hand, by induction hypothesis, there exists some integer c such that

$$e_1(t-1) = e_3(t-1) = \dots = e_{2^{t-1}-1}(t-1) = c.$$
 (17)

Since $2^{t-1}\mathbb{Z}_{2n}$ is the disjoint union of $2^t\mathbb{Z}_{2n}$ and $2^{t-1}+2^t\mathbb{Z}_{2n}$, $E_i(t-1)$ is the disjoint union of $E_i(t)$ and $E_{i+2^{t-1}}(t)$, and hence $e_i(t-1) = e_i(t) + e_{i+2^{t-1}}(t)$. Combining this with (16) and (17), we obtain

$$e_1(t) = e_3(t) = \dots = e_{2^t - 1}(t) = \frac{c}{2},$$

and the result follows.

Recall that $4|E| = 4|R| = 4|T| = 4\mu = 2n$. By (14) and Claim 2, if $\underline{\mathbf{e}}(\frac{2n}{2^i}) = 0$ for all $i \in \{2, \dots, t\}$, then 2^{t-1} would be a divisor of |E|, and hence $2^{t+1} \mid 2n$. Let s be the largest integer such that $2^s \mid 2n$. Since $2n = 4\mu$ and μ is even, $s \geq 3$. By (13), $\underline{\mathbf{r}}(\frac{2n}{2^i}) = \underline{\mathbf{t}}(\frac{2n}{2^i}) = 0$ for all $i \in \{2, 3, \dots, s-1\}$. Moreover, since $\frac{2n}{2^s} \notin \{0, n\}$, $\underline{\mathbf{r}}(\frac{2n}{2^s}) = 0$ or $\underline{\mathbf{t}}(\frac{2n}{2^s}) = 0$. Thus we can choose suitable $E \in \{R, T\}$ such that $\underline{\mathbf{e}}(\frac{2n}{2^i}) = 0$ for all $i \in \{2, \dots, s\}$. However, this implies that $2^{s+1} \mid 2n$, contrary to the maximality of s.

Therefore, we conclude that there are no antipodal bipartite distance-regular dicirculants with diameter 4.

Now we are in a position to give the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. First of all, we show that the graphs listed in (i)–(iii) are distance-regular dicirculants. For (i): the complete graph K_{4n} is distance-regular with diameter 1, and $K_{4n} \cong \operatorname{Cay}(\operatorname{Dic}_n, \operatorname{Dic}_n \setminus \{1\})$. For (ii): the complete multipartite graph $K_{t\times m}$ (tm=4n) is distance-regular with diameter 2. Since m is a divisor of 4n, by elementary group theory, there exists a subgroup $H^{(m)}$ of order m in Dic_n (cf. [20]). Then it is easy to see that $K_{t\times m} \cong \operatorname{Cay}(\operatorname{Dic}_n, \operatorname{Dic}_n \setminus H^{(m)})$. Now consider (iii). Suppose that R=-R and T=n+T are non-empty subsets of $1+2\mathbb{Z}_{2n}$ (n is even) such that $|R\cap T|< n$ and

$$|R \cap (i+R)| + |T \cap (i+T)| = 2|(j+R) \cap T| = 2|R \cap T|$$
(18)

for all $i, j \in 2\mathbb{Z}_{2n} \setminus \{0\}$. Let $\Gamma = \mathrm{Dic}(n, R, T) = \mathrm{Cay}(\mathrm{Dic}_n, \alpha^R \cup \alpha^T \beta)$ and $H = \langle \alpha^2, \beta \rangle = \alpha^{2\mathbb{Z}_{2n}} \cup \alpha^{2\mathbb{Z}_{2n}} \beta$. Clearly, Γ is a bipartite graph where the bipartition is given by $H \cup \alpha H$. Let $i_1, i_2 \in 2\mathbb{Z}_{2n}$. Since $i_2 - i_1 \in 2\mathbb{Z}_{2n}$, by Lemma 3.2 and (18), we have $|N(\alpha^{i_1}) \cap N(\alpha^{i_2})| = |N(\alpha^{i_1}\beta) \cap N(\alpha^{i_2}\beta)| = |R \cap (i_2 - i_1 + R)| + |T \cap (i_2 - i_1 + T)| = 2|R \cap T|$ whenever $i_1 \neq i_2$. Also, $|N(\alpha^{i_1}) \cap N(\alpha^{i_2}\beta)| = 2|(i_2 - i_1 + R) \cap T| = 2|R \cap T|$. By the arbitrariness of $i_1, i_2 \in 2\mathbb{Z}_{2n}$, we assert that every pair of vertices in H have exactly $2|R \cap T|$ common neighbors in Γ . Similarly, one can check that every pair of vertices in αH have exactly $2|R \cap T|$ common neighbors in Γ . Moreover, by setting i = n in (18), we obtain $|R \cap T| = \frac{1}{2}(|R \cap (n+R)| + |T|) > 0$. Hence, every pair of vertices in H (or αH) are at distance 2 in Γ . On the other hand, Γ cannot be a complete bipartite graph because $|R \cap T| < n$, and so must be of diameter 3. Therefore, we conclude that Γ is a bipartite distance-regular graph with the intersection array $\{k, k-1, k-\mu; 1, \mu, k\}$, where k = |R| + |T| and $\mu = 2|R \cap T|$. Moreover, we claim that Γ is non-antipodal and non-trivial, since otherwise Γ would be isomorphic to $K_{2n,2n} - 2nK_2$, which is impossible by Lemma 3.6.

Conversely, suppose that $\Gamma = \text{Dic}(n, R, T)$ is a distance-regular dicirculant not isomorphic to K_{4n} or $K_{t\times m}$ (tm=4n). By Lemma 3.6, Γ is non-trivial. Furthermore, by Corollary 2.1, Γ is imprimitive. Thus it suffices to consider the following three cases.

Case A. Γ is antipodal but not bipartite.

By Lemma 2.1 and Corollary 2.2, the antipodal quotient $\overline{\Gamma}$ of Γ is a primitive distance-regular circulant or dicirculant. Then it follows from Lemma 2.5 and Corollary 2.1 that $\overline{\Gamma}$ is a complete graph, a cycle of prime order, or a Paley graph of prime order. If $\overline{\Gamma}$ is a cycle of prime order, then Γ would be a cycle of order at least 8, which is impossible because Dic_n cannot be generated by an inverse-closed subset of size two when n>1. If $\overline{\Gamma}$ is a Paley graph of prime order, by Lemma 2.4, we also deduce a contradiction. Thus $\overline{\Gamma}$ is a complete graph, and so d=2 or 3 according to Lemma 2.1. By Lemma 3.7, $d\neq 3$, whence d=2. However, complete multipartite graphs are the only antipodal distance-regular graphs with diameter 2. Therefore, there are no non-trivial distance-regular dicirculants which are antipodal but not bipartite.

Case B. Γ is antipodal and bipartite.

By Corollary 2.2, the antipodal quotient $\overline{\Gamma}$ and the halved graph $\frac{1}{2}\Gamma$ are distance-regular circulants or dicirculants. If d is odd, by Lemma 2.1, $\overline{\Gamma}$ is primitive. As in Case A, we assert that $\overline{\Gamma}$ is a complete graph. Hence, d=3. Considering that Γ is antipodal and bipartite, we obtain $\Gamma \cong K_{2n,2n}-2nK_2$, which is impossible because Γ is non-trivial. Therefore, we may assume that d is even. Then, by Lemma 2.1, $\frac{1}{2}\Gamma$ is an antipodal non-bipartite distance-regular circulant or dicirculant with diameter $d_{\frac{1}{2}\Gamma}=\frac{d}{2}$. Clearly, $d\neq 2$. Furthermore, by Lemma 3.8, $d\neq 4$. Therefore, we have $d_{\frac{1}{2}\Gamma}\geq 3$. According to the conclusion of Case A and Lemma 3.6, we assert that $\frac{1}{2}\Gamma$ is a circulant. However, by Lemma 2.5, this is impossible because $\frac{1}{2}\Gamma$ is antipodal, non-bipartite, and has diameter at least 3.

Case C. Γ is bipartite but not antipodal.

By Lemma 2.1 and Corollary 2.2, $\frac{1}{2}\Gamma$ is a primitive distance-regular circulant or dicirculant. As in Case A, $\frac{1}{2}\Gamma$ is a complete graph, a cycle of prime order, or a Paley graph of prime order. We claim that the later two cases cannot occur, since $\frac{1}{2}\Gamma$ has 2n vertices. Thus $\frac{1}{2}\Gamma \cong K_{2n}$, and d=2 or 3. If d=2, then Γ is a complete bipartite graph, contrary to our assumption. Hence, Γ is a non-antipodal bipartite non-trivial distance-regular graph with diameter 3. Recall that $\Gamma = \text{Dic}(n, R, T) = \text{Cay}(\text{Dic}_n, \alpha^R \cup \alpha^T \beta)$ where R = -R and T = n + T. Let H be the bipartition set of Γ containing the identity $1 \in \text{Dic}_n$. Note that $H = \mathcal{N}_0 \cup \mathcal{N}_2$. By Lemma 2.8, H is a subgroup of Dic_n with index 2. Observe that Dic_n has a unique subgroup of index 2, namely $\langle \alpha \rangle$, if n is odd, and has two more subgroups of index 2, namely $\langle \alpha^2, \beta \rangle$ and $\langle \alpha^2, \alpha\beta \rangle$, if n is even. Thus we only need to consider the following three situations.

Subcase C.1. $H = \langle \alpha \rangle$.

In this situation, $R = \emptyset$, and $N(1) = \alpha^T \beta$. By Lemma 3.2, $|N(1) \cap N(\alpha^i)| = |T \cap (i+T)|$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2n}$. For every $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2n} \setminus \{0\}$, since $\partial(1, \alpha^i) = 2$, we have

$$|T \cap (i+T)| = |N(1) \cap N(\alpha^i)| = \mu = |N(1) \cap N(\alpha^n)| = |T \cap (n+T)| = |T| = |N(1)|.$$

This implies that $N(1) = N(\alpha^i)$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2n} \setminus \{0\}$. Thus, Γ is a complete bipartite graph, contrary to our assumption.

Subcase C.2. *n* is even, and $H = \langle \alpha^2, \beta \rangle$.

In this situation, R and T are non-empty subsets of $1 + 2\mathbb{Z}_{2n}$. Recall that each pair of vertices in H are at distance 2 in Γ . Let $i, j \in 2\mathbb{Z}_{2n} \setminus \{0\}$. Consider the vertices 1, α^i , β and $\alpha^j\beta$ of H. By Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\mu = |N(1) \cap N(\alpha^{i})| = |R \cap (i+R)| + |T \cap (i+T)|$$

= $|N(1) \cap N(\alpha^{j}\beta)| = 2|(j+R) \cap T|$
= $|N(1) \cap N(\beta)| = 2|R \cap T|$.

Hence, we conclude that $|R \cap (i+R)| + |T \cap (i+T)| = 2|(j+R) \cap T| = 2|R \cap T|$ for all $i, j \in 2\mathbb{Z}_{2n} \setminus \{0\}$. Also note that $|R \cap T| < n$ because Γ is not a complete bipartite graph. The results follows.

Subcase C.3. *n* is even, and $H = \langle \alpha^2, \alpha\beta \rangle$.

In this situation, R and T are non-empty subsets of $1 + 2\mathbb{Z}_{2n}$ and $2\mathbb{Z}_{2n}$, respectively. Let T' = 1 + T. We see that $T' \subseteq 1 + 2\mathbb{Z}_{2n}$ and $\Gamma = \mathrm{Dic}(n, R, T) \cong \mathrm{Dic}(n, R, T') = \Gamma'$, where the corresponding graph isomorphism $f: V(\Gamma) \to V(\Gamma')$ is defined by $f(\alpha^i) = \alpha^i$ and $f(\alpha^i\beta) = \alpha^{i+1}\beta$. Let H' denote the bipartition set of Γ' containing the identity $1 \in \mathrm{Dic}_n$. It is easy to see that $H' = \langle \alpha^2, \beta \rangle$. Using Γ' instead of Γ , we reduce the situation to Subcase C.2 immediately.

We complete the proof.

Remark 3.1. Let $\Gamma = \operatorname{Dic}(n, R, T)$ denote a bipartite non-trivial distance-regular dicirculant with diameter 3, and let H denote the bipartition set of Γ containing the identity $1 \in \operatorname{Dic}_n$. In [6, Proposition 5.3], van Dam and Jazaeri proved that if n is odd or H is cyclic then Γ does not exist, which coincides with the conclusion of Theorem 1.1 according to the discussion in Case C. Moreover, when n is even and H is not cyclic, they showed that the subgraph $\operatorname{Cay}(\langle \alpha \rangle, \alpha^R)$ is actually the incidence graph of a partial geometric design with specific parameters (cf. [6, Proposition 5.4]).

4 Further research

Let A be an abelian group of order 2n with exactly one element γ of order 2. The generalized dicyclic group $\operatorname{Dic}(A,\beta)$ is defined as the group generated by A and β where $\beta^2 = \gamma$ and $\beta^{-1}\alpha\beta = \alpha^{-1}$ for all $\alpha \in A$ (see [13, p. 229] or [22, p. 392]). In particular, if A is a cyclic group of order 2n, then the generalized dicyclic group $\operatorname{Dic}(A,\beta)$ coincides with the dicyclic group Dic_n . Naturally, we propose the following problem.

Problem 4.1. Determine all distance-regular Cayley graphs on generalized dicyclic groups.

In [10], the authors determined all distance-regular Cayley graphs on generalized dicyclic groups under the condition that the connection set is minimal with respect to some element, and pointed out that complete graphs are the only primitive distance-regular Cayley graphs on generalized dicyclic groups.

Acknowledgements

X. Huang is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11901540). K. C. Das is supported by National Research Foundation funded by the Korean government (Grant No. 2021R1F1A1050646). L. Lu is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 12001544) and Natural Science Foundation of Hunan Province (Grant No. 2021JJ40707).

References

- [1] A. Abdollahi, E. R. van Dam, M. Jazaeri, Distance-regular Cayley graphs with least eigenvalue -2, Des. Codes Cryptogr. 84 (2017) 73–85.
- [2] N. Biggs, Algebraic Graph Theory, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1974.
- [3] W. G. Bridges, R. A. Mena, Rational circulants with rational spectra and cyclic strongly regular graphs, Ars Combin. 8 (1979) 143–161.
- [4] A. E. Brouwer, A. M. Cohen, A. Neumaier, Distance-regular Graphs, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, New York, 1989.

- [5] E. R. van Dam, M. Jazaeri, Distance-regular Cayley graphs with small valency, Ars Math. Contemp. 17 (2019) 203–222.
- [6] E. R. van Dam, M. Jazaeri, On bipartite distance-regular Cayley graphs with small diameter, 2021, arXiv:2109.13849.
- [7] E. R. van Dam, J. H. Koolen, H. Tanaka, Distance-regular graphs, Electron. J. Combin. (2016) #DS22.
- [8] P. Erdős, A. Rényi, Asymmetric graphs, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung. 14(3–4) (1963) 295–315.
- [9] C. D. Godsil, A. D. Hensel, Distance-regular covers of the complete graph, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 56 (1992) 205–238.
- [10] X. Huang, K. C. Das, On distance-regular Cayley graphs of generalized dicyclic groups, 2022, arXiv:2201.06911.
- [11] Y. I. Leifman, M. Muzychuk, Strongly regular Cayley graphs over the group $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$, Discrete Math. 305 (2005) 219–239.
- [12] S. L. Ma, Partial difference sets, Discrete Math. 52 (1984) 75–89.
- [13] S. L. Ma, A survey of partial difference sets, Des. Codes Cryptogr. 4 (1994) 221–261.
- [14] D. Marušič, Strong regularity and circulant graphs, Discrete Math. 78 (1989) 119–125.
- [15] Š. Miklavič, P. Potočnik, Distance-regular circulants, European J. Combin. 24 (2003) 777–784.
- [16] Š. Miklavič, P. Potočnik, Distance-regular Cayley graphs on dihedral groups, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 97 (2007) 14–33.
- [17] Š. Miklavič, P. Šparl, On distance-regular Cayley graphs on abelian groups, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 108 (2014), 102–122.
- [18] Š. Miklavič, P. Šparl, On minimal distance-regular Cayley graphs of generalized dihedral groups, Electron. J. Combin. 27(4) (2020) #P4.33.
- [19] M. Muzychuk, I. Ponomarenko, Schur rings, European J. Combin. 30 (2009) 1526– 1539.
- [20] R. Rajkumar, P. Devi, Permutability graphs of subgroups of some finite non-abelian groups, Discrete Math. Algorithms Appl. 08(03) (2016) 1650047.
- [21] H. Sachs, Über selbstkomplementäre graphen, Publ. Math. Debrecen 9 (1962) 270–288.
- [22] W. R. Scott, Solvable factorizable groups, Illinois J. Math. 1(3) (1957) 389–394.