

Splitting fields of mixed Cayley graphs over abelian groups

Xueyi Huang^a, Lu Lu^{b,*}, Katja Mönius^c

^aSchool of Mathematics, East China University of Science and Technology,
Shanghai 200237, P. R. China

^bSchool of Mathematics and Statistics, Central South University,
Changsha, Hunan, 410083, P. R. China

^cInstitute of Mathematics, Würzburg University, Emil-Fischer-Str. 40,
97074 Würzburg, Germany

Abstract

The splitting field $\text{SF}(\Gamma)$ of a mixed graph Γ is the smallest field extension of \mathbb{Q} which contains all eigenvalues of the Hermitian adjacency matrix of Γ . The extension degree $[\text{SF}(\Gamma) : \mathbb{Q}]$ is called the algebraic degree of Γ . In this paper, we determine the splitting fields and algebraic degrees of mixed Cayley graphs over abelian groups. This generalizes the main results of [K. Mönius, Splitting fields of spectra of circulant graphs, *J. Algebra* 594(15) (2022) 154–169] and [M. Kadyan, B. Bhattacharjya, Integral mixed Cayley graphs over abelian groups, *Electron. J. Combin.* 28(4) (2021) #P4.46].

AMS classification: 05C50, 12F05, 12F10

Keywords: Mixed Cayley graph; Integral graph; Splitting Field; Algebraic degree

1 Introduction

All graphs considered in this paper have neither multiple edges nor loops. Let $\Gamma = (V, E, \vec{E})$ be a mixed graph with vertex set V , undirected edge set E and directed edge (or arc) set \vec{E} . Here an undirected edge can be viewed as two arcs in the opposite direction. We denote an undirected edge joining two vertices u and v in Γ by uv or vu , and an arc from u to v by (u, v) . We say that Γ is *undirected* if $\vec{E} = \emptyset$. The *Hermitian adjacency matrix* of Γ , introduced by Liu and Li [16], and independently by Guo and Mohar [11], is

*Corresponding author.

E-mail address: huangxymath@163.com (X. Huang), lulumath@csu.edu.cn (L. Lu), katja.moenius@mathematik.uni-wuerzburg.de (K. Mönius).

defined as $H(\Gamma) = (h_{uv})_{u,v \in V}$, where

$$h_{uv} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } uv \in E, \\ \mathbf{i}, & \text{if } (u, v) \in \vec{E}, \\ -\mathbf{i}, & \text{if } (v, u) \in \vec{E}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here $\mathbf{i} = \sqrt{-1}$. The *Hermitian polynomial* of Γ , denoted by $p_H(\Gamma)$, is defined as the characteristic polynomial of $H(\Gamma)$. The roots of $p_H(\Gamma)$ are called the *Hermitian eigenvalues* of Γ . Since $H(\Gamma)$ is a Hermitian matrix with entries 0, 1 or $\pm\mathbf{i}$, the Hermitian polynomial $p_H(\Gamma)$ is a monic polynomial with integer coefficients, and hence every Hermitian eigenvalue of Γ is an algebraic integer contained in some algebraic extension of \mathbb{Q} . The *splitting field* of Γ , denoted by $\mathbb{SF}(\Gamma)$, is defined as the splitting field of $p_H(\Gamma)$, that is, the smallest field extension of \mathbb{Q} over which $p_H(\Gamma)$ decomposes into linear factors. The *algebraic degree* of Γ , denoted by $\deg(\Gamma)$, is the degree of the least algebraic extension of \mathbb{Q} which contains all Hermitian eigenvalues of Γ , i.e., $[\mathbb{SF}(\Gamma) : \mathbb{Q}]$. In particular, we say that Γ is *integral* if all its Hermitian eigenvalues are integers, or equivalently, $\deg(\Gamma) = 1$.

Let G be a group with identity 1, and let S be a subset of $G \setminus \{1\}$. For convenience, we partition S into two parts S_1 and S_2 such that $S_1 = S_1^{-1}$ and $S_2 \cap S_2^{-1} = \emptyset$. The *Cayley graph* $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ is defined as the graph with vertex set G , with an undirected edge connecting two vertices $g, h \in G$ if $hg^{-1} \in S_1$ (and correspondingly, $gh^{-1} \in S_1$), and with an arc from g to h if $hg^{-1} \in S_2$. Clearly, $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ is a mixed graph.

In 1974, Harary and Schwenk [12] asked for the characterization of integral (undirected) graphs, i.e., graphs with algebraic degree 1. In the past half century, this problem has aroused a great deal of interest, but is still far from resolved. For more results on integral graphs, especially integral Cayley graphs, we refer the reader to [1–3, 5–10, 13–15, 17, 21, 24, 25], and references therein. As a natural generalization of Harary and Schwenk’s problem from an algebraic or number-theoretical viewpoint, Mönius, Steuding and Stumpf [21] proposed to determine the algebraic degree of a graph. In [19, 20], Mönius determined the splitting fields and algebraic degrees of undirected Cayley graphs over cyclic groups.

In this paper, we determine the splitting fields and algebraic degrees of mixed Cayley graphs over abelian groups, which extends the main result of [20]. Furthermore, we provide a characterization of integral mixed Cayley graphs over abelian groups, which implies the main result of [14].

2 Main results

In this section, we will determine the splitting fields and algebraic degrees of mixed Cayley graphs over abelian groups. To achieve this goal, we need some notations and lemmas.

For a positive integer t , let $\zeta_t = \exp(2\pi\mathbf{i}/t)$ denote the primitive t -th root of unity, \mathbb{Z}_t denote the ring (or additive group) of integers module t , and $\mathbb{Z}_t^* = \{k \in \mathbb{Z}_t \mid \gcd(k, t) = 1\}$ denote the multiplicative group of units in the ring \mathbb{Z}_t .

From now on, we assume that G is an abelian group under addition. For convenience, we write G in the form

$$G = \mathbb{Z}_{n_1} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{n_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{n_r}, \quad (1)$$

where n_i is a prime power for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Clearly, $|G| = n_1 n_2 \cdots n_r$. Note that each $g \in G$ can be expressed as $g = (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_r)$, where $g_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{n_i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. For $g \in G$, let χ_g be the vector in $\mathbb{C}^{|G|}$ defined by

$$\chi_g(x) = \prod_{i=1}^r \zeta_{n_i}^{g_i x_i}, \quad \text{for all } x \in G.$$

It is well known that $\chi_g, g \in G$, are all irreducible characters of G . For any subset $X \subseteq G$, let

$$\chi_g(X) = \sum_{x \in X} \chi_g(x),$$

and let δ_X be the characteristic vector of X over G where $\delta_X(g) = 1$ if $g \in X$ and $\delta_X(g) = 0$ if $g \in G \setminus X$.

Based on Babai's formula for calculating the eigenvalues of Cayley color graphs (cf. [4]), Kadyan and Bhattacharjya [14] observed that the Hermitian eigenvalues of mixed Cayley graphs over abelian groups can be expressed as follows.

Lemma 1 ([4, 14]). *Let G be an abelian group (under addition) of the form (1), and let $S = A \cup B$ be a subset of $G \setminus \{0\}$ such that $A = -A$ and $B \cap (-B) = \emptyset$. Then the Hermitian eigenvalues of the mixed Cayley graph $\Gamma = \text{Cay}(G, S)$ are*

$$\gamma_g = \lambda_g + \mu_g, \quad \text{for all } g = (g_1, \dots, g_r) \in G,$$

where

$$\lambda_g = \chi_g(A) = \sum_{a \in A} \prod_{i=1}^r \zeta_{n_i}^{g_i a_i}, \quad \mu_g = \mathbf{i}(\chi_g(B) - \chi_g(-B)) = \mathbf{i} \sum_{b \in B} \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \zeta_{n_i}^{g_i b_i} - \prod_{i=1}^r \zeta_{n_i}^{-g_i b_i} \right).$$

The *exponent* of G , denoted by $\text{exp}(G)$, is the least common multiple of the orders of all elements in G . For the sake of simplicity, let

$$n = \text{exp}(G) = \text{lcm}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r).$$

By Lemma 1, the splitting field $\mathbb{SF}(\Gamma)$ of $\Gamma = \text{Cay}(G, S)$ satisfies the relation $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{SF}(\Gamma) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n, \mathbf{i}) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{4n})$. Therefore, in order to determine $\mathbb{SF}(\Gamma)$, it suffices to consider these fields between \mathbb{Q} and $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{4n})$.

Suppose that \mathbb{K} is an arbitrary field satisfying $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{K} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{4n})$. Borrowing the idea of [14, Theorem 8], we have the following result.

Lemma 2. *With reference to the notations in Lemma 1, we have $\gamma_g \in \mathbb{K}$ if and only if $\lambda_g, \mu_g \in \mathbb{K}$, for all $g \in G$.*

Proof. Let $g \in G$. Since $A = -A$, by Lemma 1,

$$\lambda_{-g} = \sum_{a \in A} \prod_{i=1}^r \zeta_{n_i}^{-g_i a_i} = \sum_{a \in -A} \prod_{i=1}^r \zeta_{n_i}^{g_i a_i} = \sum_{a \in A} \prod_{i=1}^r \zeta_{n_i}^{g_i a_i} = \lambda_g.$$

Furthermore,

$$\mu_{-g} = \mathbf{i} \sum_{b \in B} \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \zeta_{n_i}^{-g_i b_i} - \prod_{i=1}^r \zeta_{n_i}^{g_i b_i} \right) = -\mathbf{i} \sum_{b \in B} \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \zeta_{n_i}^{g_i b_i} - \prod_{i=1}^r \zeta_{n_i}^{-g_i b_i} \right) = -\mu_g.$$

Therefore,

$$\lambda_g = \frac{\gamma_g + \gamma_{-g}}{2} \text{ and } \mu_g = \frac{\gamma_g - \gamma_{-g}}{2},$$

and the results follows immediately. \square

Now consider the Galois group $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{4n})/\mathbb{Q})$, where $n = \exp(G)$. For any $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{4n})/\mathbb{Q})$, let k_σ be the element of \mathbb{Z}_{4n}^* such that $\sigma(\zeta_{4n}) = \zeta_{4n}^{k_\sigma}$. It is known that the mapping $\eta : \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{4n})/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{4n}^*$ defined by $\eta(\sigma) = k_\sigma$, for all $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{4n})/\mathbb{Q})$, is a group isomorphism. Let \mathbb{Z}_{4n}^* act on $G = \mathbb{Z}_{n_1} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{n_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{n_r}$ by setting $kg = k(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_r) = (kg_1, kg_2, \dots, kg_r)$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{4n}^*$ and $g \in G$. Let $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{4n})/\mathbb{Q})$. For any $g_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{n_i}$, we see that

$$\sigma(\zeta_{n_i}^{g_i}) = \sigma(\zeta_{4n}^{4ng_i/n_i}) = \zeta_{4n}^{\eta(\sigma) \cdot 4ng_i/n_i} = \zeta_{n_i}^{\eta(\sigma)g_i}. \quad (2)$$

Also note that

$$\sigma(\mathbf{i}) = \sigma(\zeta_4) = \sigma(\zeta_{4n}^n) = \zeta_{4n}^{\eta(\sigma) \cdot n} = \zeta_4^{\eta(\sigma)} = \mathbf{i}^{\eta(\sigma)}. \quad (3)$$

According to (2), (3) and Lemma 1, for any $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{4n})/\mathbb{Q})$ and $g \in G$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\lambda_g) &= \sigma(\chi_g(A)) = \sigma \left(\sum_{a \in A} \prod_{i=1}^r \zeta_{n_i}^{g_i a_i} \right) = \sum_{a \in A} \prod_{i=1}^r \sigma(\zeta_{n_i}^{g_i a_i}) \\ &= \sum_{a \in A} \prod_{i=1}^r \zeta_{n_i}^{\eta(\sigma)g_i a_i} = \chi_g(\eta(\sigma)A) \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\mu_g) &= \sigma(\mathbf{i}(\chi_g(B) - \chi_g(-B))) = \sigma \left(\mathbf{i} \left(\sum_{b \in B} \prod_{i=1}^r \zeta_{n_i}^{g_i b_i} - \sum_{b \in B} \prod_{i=1}^r \zeta_{n_i}^{-g_i b_i} \right) \right) \\ &= \sigma(\mathbf{i}) \left(\sum_{b \in B} \prod_{i=1}^r \sigma(\zeta_{n_i}^{g_i b_i}) - \prod_{i=1}^r \sigma(\zeta_{n_i}^{-g_i b_i}) \right) \\ &= \mathbf{i}^{\eta(\sigma)} \left(\sum_{b \in B} \prod_{i=1}^r \zeta_{n_i}^{\eta(\sigma)g_i b_i} - \prod_{i=1}^r \zeta_{n_i}^{-\eta(\sigma)g_i b_i} \right) \\ &= \mathbf{i}^{\eta(\sigma)} (\chi_g(\eta(\sigma)B) - \chi_g(-\eta(\sigma)B)), \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where $\eta(\sigma)A = \{\eta(\sigma)a \mid a \in A\}$ and $\eta(\sigma)B = \{\eta(\sigma)b \mid b \in B\}$.

Let $F = \{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{4n}^* \mid k \equiv 1 \pmod{4}\}$. Clearly, $\mathbb{Z}_{4n}^* = F \cup (-F)$. We denote

$$H = \eta(\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{4n})/\mathbb{K})), \quad H_1 = H \cap F \quad \text{and} \quad H_2 = H \cap (-F). \quad (6)$$

Then H is a subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_{4n}^* because $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{4n})/\mathbb{K})$ is a subgroup of $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{4n})/\mathbb{Q})$ and η is an isomorphism from $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{4n})/\mathbb{Q})$ to \mathbb{Z}_{4n}^* .

The following two lemmas are crucial for the proof of our main result.

Lemma 3. *With reference to the notations in Lemma 1, we have $\lambda_g \in \mathbb{K}$ for all $g \in G$ if and only if $hA = A$ for all $h \in H$, where H is given in (6).*

Proof. First we prove the sufficiency. For any $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{4n})/\mathbb{K}) \subseteq \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{4n})/\mathbb{Q})$, we have $\eta(\sigma) \in H$, and $\eta(\sigma)A = A$. Let $g \in G$. Then it follows from Lemma 1 and (4) that

$$\sigma(\lambda_g) = \chi_g(\eta(\sigma)A) = \chi_g(A) = \lambda_g.$$

By the arbitrariness of $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{4n})/\mathbb{K})$ and the fact that $\lambda_g \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{4n})$, we must have $\lambda_g \in \mathbb{K}$, and the result follows.

Now consider the necessity. Let $h \in H$. Then there exists some $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{4n})/\mathbb{K}) \subseteq \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{4n})/\mathbb{Q})$ such that $\eta(\sigma) = h$. Since $\lambda_g \in \mathbb{K}$ for all $g \in G$, again by Lemma 1 and (4), we obtain

$$\chi_g(hA) = \chi_g(\eta(\sigma)A) = \sigma(\lambda_g) = \lambda_g = \chi_g(A), \quad \text{for all } g \in G. \quad (7)$$

Let M denote the $|G| \times |G|$ matrix whose (x, y) -entry is $\chi_x(y)$ for $x, y \in G$. Then one can verify that (7) is actually equivalent to

$$M\delta_{hA} = M\delta_A,$$

where δ_{hA} and δ_A are the characteristic vectors of hA and A over G , respectively. Note that M is invertible by the orthogonal relations of irreducible characters of G (see, for example, [23]). Therefore, we conclude that $\delta_{hA} = \delta_A$, and hence $hA = A$. By the arbitrariness of $h \in H$, the result follows. \square

Lemma 4. *With reference to the notations in Lemma 1, we have $\mu_g \in \mathbb{K}$ for all $g \in G$ if and only if $h_1B = B$ for all $h_1 \in H_1$ and $h_2B = -B$ for all $h_2 \in H_2$, where H_1 and H_2 are given in (6).*

Proof. First we prove the sufficiency. Let $g \in G$ and $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{4n})/\mathbb{K}) \subseteq \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{4n})/\mathbb{Q})$. If $\eta(\sigma) \in H_1$, then $\eta(\sigma) \in F = \{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{4n}^* \mid k \equiv 1 \pmod{4}\}$ and $\eta(\sigma)B = B$. By Lemma 1 and (5), we have

$$\sigma(\mu_g) = \mathbf{i}^{\eta(\sigma)} (\chi_g(\eta(\sigma)B) - \chi_g(-\eta(\sigma)B)) = \mathbf{i} (\chi_g(B) - \chi_g(-B)) = \mu_g.$$

If $\eta(\sigma) \in H_2$, then $\eta(\sigma) \in -F = \{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{4n}^* \mid k \equiv 3 \pmod{4}\}$, $\eta(\sigma)B = -B$, and

$$\sigma(\mu_g) = \mathbf{i}^{\eta(\sigma)} (\chi_g(\eta(\sigma)B) - \chi_g(-\eta(\sigma)B)) = -\mathbf{i} (\chi_g(-B) - \chi_g(B)) = \mu_g.$$

Thus $\sigma(\mu_g) = \mu_g$ for all $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{4n})/\mathbb{K})$, and it follows that $\mu_g \in \mathbb{K}$. By the arbitrariness of $g \in G$, the result follows.

Now consider the necessity. Let $h_1 \in H_1$. Then there exists some $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{4n})/\mathbb{K}) \subseteq \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{4n})/\mathbb{Q})$ such that $h_1 = \eta(\sigma)$. Since $\mu_g \in \mathbb{K}$ for all $g \in G$, again by Lemma 1 and (5), we obtain

$$\mathbf{i}^{h_1}(\chi_g(h_1B) - \chi_g(-h_1B)) = \sigma(\mu_g) = \mu_g = \mathbf{i}(\chi_g(B) - \chi_g(-B)), \quad \text{for all } g \in G.$$

As $\mathbf{i}^{h_1} = \mathbf{i}$ due to $h_1 \in F = \{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{4n}^* \mid k \equiv 1 \pmod{4}\}$, the above condition becomes

$$\chi_g(h_1B) - \chi_g(-h_1B) = \chi_g(B) - \chi_g(-B), \quad \text{for all } g \in G. \quad (8)$$

Let M denote the $|G| \times |G|$ matrix whose (x, y) -entry is $\chi_x(y)$ for $x, y \in G$. Then (8) can be written as

$$M(\delta_{h_1B} - \delta_{-h_1B}) = M(\delta_B - \delta_{-B}),$$

where δ_{h_1B} , δ_{-h_1B} , δ_B and δ_{-B} are the characteristic vectors of h_1B , $-h_1B$, B and $-B$ over G , respectively. Similarly as in the proof of Lemma 3, M is invertible, and hence

$$\delta_{h_1B} - \delta_{-h_1B} = \delta_B - \delta_{-B}.$$

Since $|B| = |h_1B|$, $B \cap (-B) = \emptyset$ and $h_1B \cap (-h_1B) = \emptyset$, the above equality implies that $h_1B = B$. Therefore, $h_1B = B$ for all $h_1 \in H_1$. Analogically, one can prove that $h_2B = -B$ for all $h_2 \in H_2$. \square

Recall that $F = \{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{4n}^* \mid k \equiv 1 \pmod{4}\}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{4n}^* = F \cup (-F)$. We denote

$$\mathcal{H} = \{h \in \mathbb{Z}_{4n}^* \mid hA = A; hB = B \text{ if } h \in F \text{ and } hB = -B \text{ if } h \in -F\}. \quad (9)$$

Clearly, \mathcal{H} is a subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_{4n}^* , and hence $\eta^{-1}(\mathcal{H})$ is a subgroup of $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{4n})/\mathbb{Q})$.

With the help of Lemmas 1–4, we now prove the main theorem of this paper.

Theorem 1. *Let G be an abelian group (under addition) with $\exp(G) = n$, and let $S = A \cup B$ be a subset of $G \setminus \{0\}$ such that $A = -A$ and $B \cap (-B) = \emptyset$. Then the splitting field of the mixed Cayley graph $\Gamma = \text{Cay}(G, S)$ is*

$$\text{SF}(\Gamma) = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{4n})^{\eta^{-1}(\mathcal{H})} := \{x \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{4n}) \mid \sigma(x) = x \text{ for all } \sigma \in \eta^{-1}(\mathcal{H})\},$$

where \mathcal{H} is given in (9) and η is the isomorphism from $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{4n})/\mathbb{Q})$ to \mathbb{Z}_{4n}^* defined above. Furthermore, the algebraic degree of Γ is

$$\deg(\Gamma) = \varphi(4n)/|\mathcal{H}|,$$

where $\varphi(\cdot)$ is the Euler's totient function.

Proof. Let $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{4n})^{\eta^{-1}(\mathcal{H})}$. Clearly, $\eta^{-1}(\mathcal{H}) = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{4n})/\mathbb{K})$, or equivalently, $\mathcal{H} = \eta(\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{4n})/\mathbb{K}))$. According to the definition of \mathcal{H} , we have $hA = A$ for all $h \in \mathcal{H}$. By Lemma 3, $\lambda_g \in \mathbb{K}$ for all $g \in G$. Also, $h_1B = B$ for all $h_1 \in \mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{H} \cap F$ and $h_2B = -B$ for all $h_2 \in \mathcal{H}_2 = \mathcal{H} \cap (-F)$, and it follows from Lemma 4 that $\mu_g \in \mathbb{K}$ for all $g \in G$. Hence, by Lemma 2, $\gamma_g \in \mathbb{K}$ for all $g \in G$. This implies that \mathbb{K} contains all Hermitian eigenvalues of Γ , and so $\text{SF}(\Gamma) \subseteq \mathbb{K}$.

On the other hand, since $\text{SF}(\Gamma)$ contains all Hermitian eigenvalues of Γ , by Lemma 2, $\lambda_g, \mu_g \in \text{SF}(\Gamma)$ for all $g \in G$. Let $H = \eta(\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{4n})/\text{SF}(\Gamma)))$. Clearly, H is a subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_{4n}^* because $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{4n})/\text{SF}(\Gamma))$ is a subgroup of $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{4n})/\mathbb{Q})$. For any $h \in H$, according to Lemmas 3 and 4, we have $hA = A$, and $hB = B$ if $h \in F$, $hB = -B$ if $h \in -F$. Thus, H is a subgroup of \mathcal{H} , or equivalently, $\eta^{-1}(H) = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{4n})/\text{SF}(\Gamma))$ is a subgroup of $\eta^{-1}(\mathcal{H}) = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{4n})/\mathbb{K})$. Hence, $\mathbb{K} \subseteq \text{SF}(\Gamma)$.

Therefore, we may conclude that $\text{SF}(\Gamma) = \mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{4n})^{\eta^{-1}(\mathcal{H})}$. Moreover, the algebraic degree of Γ is

$$\deg(\Gamma) = [\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{4n})^{\eta^{-1}(\mathcal{H})} : \mathbb{Q}] = \frac{[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{4n}) : \mathbb{Q}]}{[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{4n}) : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{4n})^{\eta^{-1}(\mathcal{H})}]} = \frac{|\mathbb{Z}_{4n}^*|}{|\eta^{-1}(\mathcal{H})|} = \frac{\varphi(4n)}{|\mathcal{H}|},$$

where $\varphi(\cdot)$ is the Euler's totient function. \square

In the remaining part of this section, we will present some applications of Theorem 1. Before going further, we need the following powerful lemma, whose proof comes from [22].

Lemma 5 ([22]). *Let n and m be positive integers. For every $h \in \mathbb{Z}_{mn}^*$, there exists some $h' \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$ such that $h' \equiv h \pmod{n}$. Conversely, for every $h' \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$, there exists some $h \in \mathbb{Z}_{mn}^*$ such that $h \equiv h' \pmod{n}$.*

Proof. The former statement of the lemma is obvious. We only need to consider the later one. Suppose $h' \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$. For $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$, let $h_i = h' + in$. Clearly, $h_i \equiv h' \pmod{n}$. It suffices to show that there exists some $i_0 \in \{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$ such that $h_{i_0} \in \mathbb{Z}_{mn}^*$, i.e., $\gcd(h_{i_0}, mn) = 1$. Let d be the largest positive divisor of mn such that $\gcd(d, n) = 1$. If $d = 1$, then mn and n share the same prime divisors, and we can take $i_0 = 0$. Now suppose $d > 1$. Since $\gcd(d, n) = 1$, we have $d \mid m$, and hence $d \leq m$. We claim that $h_i \not\equiv h_j \pmod{d}$ for $0 \leq i < j \leq d-1$. In fact, if $h_i \equiv h_j \pmod{d}$, then $d \mid (j-i)n$, and hence $d \mid (j-i)$, contrary to $0 < j-i < d$. Since h_0, h_1, \dots, h_{d-1} are distinct (module d), there exists some $i_0 \in \{0, 1, \dots, d-1\} \subseteq \{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$ such that $h_{i_0} \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$, i.e., $\gcd(h_{i_0}, d) = 1$. Also note that $\gcd(h_{i_0}, n) = \gcd(h', n) = 1$. Therefore, $\gcd(h_{i_0}, mn) = 1$, as desired. \square

Under the assumption of Theorem 1, if B is empty, then $S = A = -A = -S$, and $\Gamma = \text{Cay}(G, S)$ would be an undirected Cayley graph. By Lemma 1, all eigenvalues of Γ are contained in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$. As above, let η' denote the group isomorphism from $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)/\mathbb{Q})$ to \mathbb{Z}_n^* such that $\sigma(\zeta_n) = \zeta_n^{\eta'(\sigma)}$ for all $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)/\mathbb{Q})$. Note that \mathbb{Z}_n^* also acts on $G = \mathbb{Z}_{n_1} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{n_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{n_r}$ by setting $kg = k(g_1, \dots, g_r) = (kg_1, \dots, kg_r)$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$ and $g \in G$.

As an application of Theorem 1, we give the splitting fields and algebraic degrees of undirected Cayley graphs over abelian groups.

Theorem 2. *Let G be an abelian group (under addition) with $\exp(G) = n$, and let S be a subset of $G \setminus \{0\}$ such that $S = -S$. Suppose that $\mathcal{H} = \{h \in \mathbb{Z}_{4n}^* \mid hS = S\}$ and $\mathcal{H}' = \{h' \in \mathbb{Z}_n^* \mid h'S = S\}$. Then the splitting field of $\Gamma = \text{Cay}(G, S)$ is*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SF}(\Gamma) &= \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{4n})^{\eta^{-1}(\mathcal{H})} := \{x \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{4n}) \mid \sigma(x) = x \text{ for all } \sigma \in \eta^{-1}(\mathcal{H})\} \\ &= \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)^{\eta'^{-1}(\mathcal{H}')} := \{x \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n) \mid \sigma'(x) = x \text{ for all } \sigma' \in \eta'^{-1}(\mathcal{H}')\}, \end{aligned}$$

where η and η' are defined above. Furthermore, the algebraic degree of Γ is

$$\deg(\Gamma) = \varphi(4n)/|\mathcal{H}| = \varphi(n)/|\mathcal{H}'|,$$

where $\varphi(\cdot)$ is the Euler's totient function.

Proof. By Theorem 1, it suffices to prove that

$$\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{4n})/\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)^{\eta'^{-1}(\mathcal{H}')}) = \eta^{-1}(\mathcal{H}). \quad (10)$$

Let $\sigma \in \eta^{-1}(\mathcal{H})$. Then $\sigma(\zeta_n) = \sigma(\zeta_{4n}^4) = \zeta_{4n}^{4\eta(\sigma)} = \zeta_n^{\eta(\sigma)}$. Since $\eta(\sigma) \in \mathbb{Z}_{4n}^*$, by Lemma 5, there exists some $k'_\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$ such that $\eta(\sigma) \equiv k'_\sigma \pmod{n}$, and hence $\zeta_n^{\eta(\sigma)} = \zeta_n^{k'_\sigma}$. This implies that $\sigma|_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)} = \sigma' := \eta'^{-1}(k'_\sigma)$. Also note that $k'_\sigma S = \eta(\sigma)S = S$ because $\eta(\sigma) \equiv k'_\sigma \pmod{n}$. Then $\sigma(x) = \sigma'(x) = x$ for all $x \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)^{\eta'^{-1}(\mathcal{H}'})$, and hence $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{4n})/\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)^{\eta'^{-1}(\mathcal{H}')})$. Hence, by the arbitrariness of $\sigma \in \eta^{-1}(\mathcal{H})$, we obtain

$$\eta^{-1}(\mathcal{H}) \leq \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{4n})/\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)^{\eta'^{-1}(\mathcal{H}')}). \quad (11)$$

Let $\mathcal{P}(G)$ denote the power set of G , i.e., the collection of all subsets of G . Let \mathbb{Z}_{4n}^* and \mathbb{Z}_n^* act on G as mentioned above. Then they act on $\mathcal{P}(G)$ naturally. By Lemma 5, it is easy to see that the actions of \mathbb{Z}_{4n}^* and \mathbb{Z}_n^* on $\mathcal{P}(G)$ share the same orbits. Let \mathcal{O}_S denote the common orbit of \mathbb{Z}_{4n}^* and \mathbb{Z}_n^* containing $S \in \mathcal{P}(G)$. Note that \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{H}' are actually the stabilizer subgroups of \mathbb{Z}_{4n}^* and \mathbb{Z}_n^* with respect to S , respectively. Therefore, by the orbit-stabilizer theorem,

$$\frac{\varphi(4n)}{|\mathcal{H}|} = \frac{|\mathbb{Z}_{4n}^*|}{|\mathcal{H}|} = |\mathcal{O}_S| = \frac{|\mathbb{Z}_n^*|}{|\mathcal{H}'|} = \frac{\varphi(n)}{|\mathcal{H}'|}. \quad (12)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{4n})/\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)^{\eta'^{-1}(\mathcal{H}')})| &= \left[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{4n}) : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)^{\eta'^{-1}(\mathcal{H}')} \right] \\ &= [\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{4n}) : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)] \cdot \left[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n) : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)^{\eta'^{-1}(\mathcal{H}')} \right] \\ &= \frac{\varphi(4n)}{\varphi(n)} |\mathcal{H}'| \\ &= |\mathcal{H}|, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where the last equality follows from (12). Combining (11) and (13), we obtain (10) immediately. Furthermore, by Theorem 1 and (12), the algebraic degree of Γ is $\deg(\Gamma) = \varphi(4n)/|\mathcal{H}| = \varphi(n)/|\mathcal{H}'|$, as desired. \square

By Theorem 2, we obtain the following result due to Mönibus [20] immediately.

Corollary 1 ([20]). *Let S be a subset of $\mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \{0\}$ such that $S = -S$, and let $\mathcal{H}' = \{h' \in \mathbb{Z}_n^* \mid h'S = S\}$. Then the splitting field of $\Gamma = \text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, S)$ is*

$$\text{SF}(\Gamma) = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)^{\eta'^{-1}(\mathcal{H}')} := \{x \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n) \mid \sigma'(x) = x \text{ for all } \sigma' \in \eta'^{-1}(\mathcal{H}')\},$$

where η' is defined above. Furthermore, the algebraic degree of Γ is

$$\deg(\Gamma) = \varphi(n)/|\mathcal{H}'|,$$

where $\varphi(\cdot)$ is the Euler's totient function.

As another application of Theorem 1, we provide a characterization for integral mixed Cayley graphs over abelian groups.

Theorem 3. *Let G be an abelian group (under addition) with $\exp(G) = n$, and let $S = A \cup B$ be a subset of $G \setminus \{0\}$ such that $A = -A$ and $B \cap (-B) = \emptyset$. Let $F = \{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{4n}^* \mid k \equiv 1 \pmod{4}\}$. Then the mixed Cayley graph $\Gamma = \text{Cay}(G, S)$ is integral if and only if $kA = A$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{4n}^*$ and $k_1B = B$ for all $k_1 \in F$, or equivalently, A is a union of some orbits of \mathbb{Z}_{4n}^* acting on G and B is a union of some orbits of F acting on G .*

Proof. If $kA = A$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{4n}^*$ and $k_1B = B$ for all $k_1 \in F$, then $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{Z}_{4n}^*$ by (9), and it follows from Theorem 1 that $\deg(\Gamma) = 1$. Conversely, if $\deg(\Gamma) = 1$, again by Theorem 1, we have $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{Z}_{4n}^*$, and the result follows. \square

Suppose that G is an abelian group (under addition) with $\exp(G) = n$. For any $g \in G$, we denote by $o(g)$ the order of g . Let $\mathcal{F}(G)$ be the family of all subgroups of G . The Boolean algebra of G , denoted by $\mathcal{B}(G)$, is the set whose elements are obtained by arbitrary finite intersections, unions, and complements of the elements in $\mathcal{F}(G)$. The minimal non-empty elements of $\mathcal{B}(G)$ are called *atoms*. Thus every element of $\mathcal{B}(G)$ is a union of some atoms. For each $g \in G$, the atom of $\mathcal{B}(G)$ containing g is of the form (cf. [3])

$$[g] = \{g' \in G \mid \langle g' \rangle = \langle g \rangle\} = \{kg \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}_{o(g)}^*\}.$$

Since $o(g) \mid n$, by Lemma 5, the atom $[g]$ can also be expressed as

$$[g] = \{kg \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}_{4n}^*\}.$$

Therefore, the condition $kA = A$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{4n}^*$ in Theorem 3 is actually equivalent to

$$A \in \mathcal{B}(G).$$

It remains to analyze the condition $k_1B = B$ for all $k_1 \in F$ in Theorem 3.

If $4 \nmid n$, we claim that the condition is equivalent to $B = \emptyset$. In fact, if $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then $2n - 1 \in F$, and hence $(2n - 1)B = B$. On the other hand, since the order of any element in B is a divisor of n , we have $(2n - 1)B = -B$. Then $B = -B$, and hence $B = \emptyset$ due to $B \cap (-B) = \emptyset$. Similarly, we can prove that $B = \emptyset$ by taking $n - 1 \in F$ when $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, and $2n - 1 \in F$ when $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

Now suppose $4 \mid n$. Let $G(4) = \{g \in G \mid o(g) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}\}$. We assert that the condition implies that $B \subseteq G(4)$. If not, there exists some $x \in B$ such that $4 \nmid o(x)$. Let ℓ be the largest positive integer such that $2^\ell \mid n$. Clearly, $\ell \geq 2$. Since $n/2^{\ell-1}$ and n share the same prime divisors, and $n/2^{\ell-1} - 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, we have $n/2^{\ell-1} - 1 \in F$. Hence, $(n/2^{\ell-1} - 1)x \in B$. On the other hand, $(n/2^{\ell-1} - 1)x = -x \in -B$ because $o(x) \mid n$ and $4 \nmid o(x)$. Therefore, $B \cap (-B) \neq \emptyset$, a contradiction. For each $g \in G(4)$, we denote

$$\llbracket g \rrbracket = \{kg \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}_{o(g)}^*, k \equiv 1 \pmod{4}\}.$$

Since $o(g) \mid 4n$ and $4 \mid n$, according to the proof of Lemma 5, we have

$$\llbracket g \rrbracket = \{kg \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}_{4n}^*, k \equiv 1 \pmod{4}\} = \{kg \mid k \in F\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{D}(G)$ denote the set of all subsets D of G such that D is the union of some $\llbracket g \rrbracket$'s with $g \in G(4)$ (cf. [14]). Then we see that the condition $k_1 B = B$ for all $k_1 \in F$ is actually equivalent to

$$B \in \mathcal{D}(G).$$

Concluding the above discussion, we obtain the following result due to Kadyan and Bhattacharjya [14].

Corollary 2 ([14]). *Let G be an abelian group (under addition) with $\exp(G) = n$, and let $S = A \cup B$ be a subset of $G \setminus \{0\}$ such that $A = -A$ and $B \cap (-B) = \emptyset$. Then the mixed Cayley graph $\Gamma = \text{Cay}(G, S)$ is integral if and only if $A \in \mathcal{B}(G)$, and $B = \emptyset$ when $4 \nmid n$, $B \in \mathcal{D}(G)$ when $4 \mid n$.*

3 Concluding remarks

In this paper, we determine the splitting fields and algebraic degrees of mixed Cayley graphs over abelian groups. As applications, we obtain the splitting fields and algebraic degrees of undirected Cayley graphs over abelian groups, and provide a characterization for integral mixed Cayley graphs over abelian groups.

In [18], Mohar introduced the *Hermitian adjacency matrix of second kind* $HS(\Gamma)$ for a mixed graph Γ , which is obtained from $H(\Gamma)$ by replacing \mathbf{i} with $e^{2\pi\mathbf{i}/6} = (1 + \sqrt{3}\mathbf{i})/2$. Let Γ be a mixed Cayley graph over an abelian group. By using the method developed in the present paper, we can also determine the splitting field of the characteristic polynomial of $HS(\Gamma)$. Moreover, we can give a sufficient and necessary condition for $HS(\Gamma)$ containing only integer eigenvalues.

Acknowledgements

L. Lu is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 12001544) and Natural Science Foundation of Hunan Province (Grant No. 2021JJ40707). X. Huang is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11901540).

References

- [1] A. Abdollahi, E. Vatandoost, Which Cayley graphs are integral?, *Electron. J. Combin.* 16 (2009) #R122.
- [2] A. Ahmady, J.P. Bell, and B. Mohar, Integral Cayley graphs and groups, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* 28(2) (2014) 685–701.
- [3] R.C. Alprin, B. L. Peterson, Integral sets and Cayley graphs of finite groups, *Electron. J. Combin.* 19 (2012) #P44.
- [4] L. Babai, Spectra of Cayley graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 27(2) (1979) 180–189.

- [5] K. Balińska, D. Cvetković, Z. Rodosavljević, S.K. Simić, and D. Stevanović, A survey on integral graphs, *Univ. Beograd. Publ. Elektrotehn. Fak., Ser. Mat.* 13 (2002) 42–65.
- [6] F.C. Bussemaker, D. Cvetković, There are exactly 13 connected, cubic, integral graphs, *Univ. Beograd. Publ. Elektrotehn. Fak., Ser. Mat. Fiz., Nos.* 544–576 (1976) 43–48.
- [7] A.E. Brouwer, Small integral trees, *Electron. J. Combin.* 15 (2008) #N1.
- [8] T. Cheng, L. Feng, and H. Huang, Integral Cayley graphs over dicyclic group, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 566 (2019) 121–137.
- [9] T. Cheng, L. Feng, G. Yu, and C. Zhang, Integral Cayley graphs over semi-dihedral groups, *Appl. Anal. Discrete Math.*, 2021, <https://doi.org/10.2298/AADM190330001C>.
- [10] M. DeVos, R. Krakovski, B. Mohar, and A.S. Ahmady, Integral Cayley multigraphs over Abelian and Hamiltonian groups, *Electron. J. Combin.* 20 (2013) #P63.
- [11] K. Guo, B. Mohar, Hermitian adjacency matrix of digraphs and mixed graphs, *J. Graph Theory* 85 (2017) 217–248.
- [12] F. Harary and A.J. Schwenk, Which graphs have integral spectra?, in *Graphs and Combinatorics*, *Lecture Notes in Math.* 406, Springer, Berlin, 1974, pp. 45–51.
- [13] J. Huang, S. Li, Integral and distance integral Cayley graphs over generalized dihedral groups, *J. Algebraic Combin.* 53 (2021) 921–943.
- [14] M. Kadyan, B. Bhattacharjya, Integral mixed Cayley graphs over abelian groups, *Electron. J. Combin.* 28(4) (2021) #P4.46.
- [15] W. Klotz, T. Sander, Integral Cayley graphs over abelian groups, *Electron. J. Combin.* 17 (2010) #R81.
- [16] J. Liu, X. Li, Hermitian-adjacency matrices and Hermitian energies of mixed graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 466 (2015) 182–207.
- [17] L. Lu, Q. Huang, X. Huang, Integral Cayley graphs over dihedral groups, *J. Algebraic Combin.* 4(47) (2018) 585–601.
- [18] B. Mohar, A new kind of Hermitian matrices for digraphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 584 (2020) 343–352.
- [19] K. Mönius, The algebraic degree of spectra of circulant graphs, *J. Number Theory* 208 (2020) 295–304.
- [20] K. Mönius, Splitting fields of spectra of circulant graphs, *J. Algebra* 594(15) (2022) 154–169.

- [21] K. Mönius, J. Steuding, and P. Stumpf, Which graphs have non-integral spectra?, *Graphs Combin.* 34(6) (2018) 1507–1518.
- [22] quasi, Extending automorphisms on cyclic subgroups, *Mathematics Stack Exchange*, <https://math.stackexchange.com/questions/2112651> (version: 2017-01-25).
- [23] J.P. Serre, *Linear representation of finite groups*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977.
- [24] W. So, Integral circulant graphs, *Discrete Math.* 306 (2005) 153–158.
- [25] L. Wang, X. Li, Integral trees with diameters 5 and 6, *Discrete Math.* 297 (2005) 128–143.