# Series representation of arborified zeta values

Pierre J. Clavier<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> IRIMAS, Université de Haute Alsace,

email: pierre.clavier@uha.fr

#### Abstract

We show that any convergent (shuffle) arborified zeta values admits a series representation. As a consequence we derive elementary proofs of some results of Bradley and Zhou for Mordell-Tornheim zeta values and give explicit formula. The series representation of shuffle arborified zeta values also implies that they are conical zeta values. We characterise which conical zeta values are arborified zeta values and evaluate them as sums of multizeta values with rational coefficients.

## Contents

1	Introduction	1
	1.1 State of the art	1
	1.2 Main results and plan of the paper	2
<b>2</b>	Series representation of arborified zetas	3
	2.1 Arborified zetas	3
	2.2 Series representation of AZVs	5
	2.3 Tree zeta values	8
3	Application to Mordell-Tornheim zeta values	9
4	Applications to conical zeta values	11
	4.1 Conical zeta values	11
	4.2 From trees to cones	12
	4.3 Characterisation of tree-like cones	14
5	Computations of CZVs	16
R	eferences	17

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 State of the art

A word written in the alphabet  $\{x, y\}$  is called **convergent** if it starts with x and ends with y. For every convergent word  $w \in \mathcal{W}_{\{x,y\}}^{\text{conv}}$  the **multiple zeta value (MZV)** associated to w is given by the image of the map

$$\zeta_{\sqcup \sqcup}: \ \mathcal{W}_{\{x,y\}}^{\mathrm{conv}} \subseteq \mathcal{W}_{\{x,y\}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$
$$(\epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_k) \mapsto \int_{1 \ge t_1 \ge \cdots \ge t_k \ge 0} \prod_{i=1}^k \omega_{\epsilon_i}(t_i)$$
(1)

(with  $\omega_x(t) = dt/t$ ,  $\omega_y(t) = dt/(1-t)$ ) evaluated at w. MZVs can also be written as iterated integrals and both representations are linked by a **binarisation map**  $\mathfrak{s} : \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{N}^*} \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}_{\{x,y\}}$ . There exist many classical introduction to MZVs, see for example [1]. Many important conjectures about the relations obeyed by MZVs and their transcendentality are still an important field of research. MZVs and their generalisations have also appeared in multiple domains of Physics, for example when computing amplitudes in some quantum field or string theories, see for example [2].

There exists multiple generalisations of MZVs, for example Euler sums, Hurwitz multizetas, (multiple) polylogarithms, Shintani zetas, Witten zetas... In this paper, we start by studying one of this generalisation, namely **arborified zeta values** (AZVs) and apply our result to other generalisations, in particular **conical zeta values** (CZVs).

AZVs appeared in the work of Ecalle [3] and much later in the work of Yamamoto [4]. Their extensive study started in [5] and was completed in [6]. The renormalisation of their divergent counterparts was performed in [7]. In particular, it was shown in [6] that AZVs are rational sums of MZVs. It was also shown in the same paper that, while AZVs exists as iterated sums and iterated integrals like MZVs, these two objects are not related by the most natural generalisation of the binarisation map  $\mathfrak{s}$ .

In this paper, we also study Mordell-Tornheim zetas [8, 9, 10] and **conical zeta values** (CZVs). The later have been defined in [11] and their divergent counterparts have been renormalised in [12]. They have been recently under some investigation due in particular to their applications to string theory, see for example [13]. An important open question studied in this paper is to characterise when CZVs are rational sums of MZVs.

### 1.2 Main results and plan of the paper

Section 2 mostly concern the study of AZVs. It starts by recalling definitions of graphs theory and state results concerning (shuffle) arborified zeta values we build upon. One of the main result of this paper is Theorem 2.12, which gives an integral representation for arborified zeta values.

This main theorem justifies, still in the second section, the definition of **tree zeta values** (Definition 2.14). Theorem 2.19 is then a direct consequence of Theorem 2.12 together with the aforementioned results of [6] on arborified zeta values.

In Section 3 we present a second application of Theorem 2.12 which concerns Mordell-Tornheim zeta values. We give in Proposition 3.2 a formula for a special family of Mordell-Tornheim zeta values and give a new proof of a classical Theorem of Bradley and Zhou (Theorem 3.4). Along the way we prove a decomposition formula for Mordell-Tornheim zeta values (Equation (10)) which implies a formula for generic Mordell-Tornheim zeta values (Equation (11)).

Our main application of Theorem 2.12 concerns conical zeta values and can be found in Section 4. After recalling some classical definitions of the theory of cones and CZVs, we show (Proposition 4.8) that shuffle arborified zeta values are CZVs. This gives a formula for CZVs that can be obtained from rooted forests (Theorem 4.10). Such cones are characterised in Proposition 4.18. Putting together the results of Sections 2 and 4 we obtain the second main result of the paper, Theorem 4.19, which gives a sufficient conditions on cones for the associated CZVs to a linear combination of MZVs with rational coefficients.

The paper ends with Section 5 where evaluations of CZVs are performed in details using the methods developed in Section 4.

#### Acknownledgements

This paper stems from a discussion with Federico Zerbini, who suggested to me to look at conical zeta values. I am also very thanksful to Diego Andres Lopez Valencia and Loïc Foissy, who read and commented a first version of this paper. They both pointed out typos, mistakes and greatly improved the overall quality of this paper.

#### Notations

Through the paper, we use  $[n] := \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ . We also use  $\mathbb{N} := \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ,  $\mathbb{N}^* := \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}_+ := \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  and  $\mathbb{R}^*_+ := \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ .

## 2 Series representation of arborified zetas

#### 2.1 Arborified zetas

We start we recalling some basic definition of graph theory (see for example [14]) which will be useful in the sequel.

**Definition 2.1.** • A graph is a pair of finite sets G := (V(G), E(G)) with  $E(G) \subseteq V(G) \times V(G)$ . E(G) is the set of edges of the graph and V(G) the set of vertices of the graph.

• A path in a graph G is a finite sequence of elements of V(G):  $p = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$  such that for all  $i \in [[1, n-1]]$ ,  $(v_i, v_{i+1})$  is an edge of G. By convention, there is always a path between a vertex and itself.

We have actually defined oriented graphs since we will only work with such graphs. In particular, "graph" will always be used for "oriented graph".

In this paper, we will be chiefly concerned with rooted trees so let us introduce related vocabulary and notations that will be used in the rest of this document.

- **Definition 2.2.** For a graph G = (V(G); E(G)), let  $\leq$  be the binary relation on V(G) defined by:  $v_1 \leq v_2$  if, and only if, it exists a path from  $v_1$  to  $v_2$ . We also write  $\succeq$  the inverse relation. A **directed acyclic graph** (DAG for short) is a graph such that  $(V(G), \leq)$  is a poset.
  - A forest is a DAG tat contains no unoriented loop. A rooted forest is a forest whose connected components each have a unique minimal element. These elements are called roots. A rooted tree is a connected rooted forest.
  - Let F be a rooted forest and  $v_1, v_2$  be two vertices of F. If  $(v_1, v_2) \in E(F)^1$ , then  $v_1$  is called the **direct ancestor** of  $v_2$  and  $v_2$  a **direct descendant** of  $v_1$ . We write  $v_1 = a(v_2)$
  - If a vertex of a forest F has more than one direct descendant it is called a **branching vertex** of F. Furthermore, a vertex that is maximal for the partial order  $\leq$  is called a **leaf**.
  - Let  $\Omega$  be a set. A  $\Omega$ -decorated rooted forest is a rooted forest F together with a decoration map  $d: V(F) \mapsto \Omega$ . For a rooted forest  $(F, d_F)$  decorated by  $\Omega$  and  $\omega \in \Omega$ , we write  $V_{\omega}(F) \subseteq V(F)$  the set of vertices of F decorated by  $\omega$ .
  - Two rooted forests F and F' (resp. decorated rooted forests  $(F, d_F)$  and  $(F', d_{F'})$ ) are **isomorphic** if it exists  $f_V : V(F) \longrightarrow V(F')$  a poset isomorphism (resp. and  $d_F = d_{F'} \circ f_V$ ).

We write  $\mathcal{F}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{F}_{\Omega}$ ) the commutative algebra freely generated by isomorphism classes of rooted forests (resp. by  $\Omega$ -decorated rooted) with the product given by the concatenation of graphs. We also use  $\mathcal{T}$  and  $\mathcal{T}_{\Omega}$  for the vector spaces of isomorphism classes of rooted trees and  $\Omega$ -decorated rooted trees respectively.

As usual, we always consider isomorphism classes of rooted forests and therefore identify trees and forests with their classes. Furthermore, when there is no need to specify the decoration map we simply write F for a decorated forest (F, d).

We will here be mostly concerned by rooted forests decorated by a set of two elements  $\{x, y\}$ . For such a forest F we write  $V_x(F)$  and  $V_y(F)$  the set of vertices of F decorated by x and y respectively. The next definition characterises the rooted forest to which we will be able to attach a iterated integral. It is taken from [6].

**Definition 2.3.** A rooted forest decorated by  $\Omega = \{x, y\}$  is **convergent** if all it leaves and branching vertices are decorated by y and all its roots are decorated by  $x^2$ . We write  $\mathcal{F}_{\{x,y\}}^{\text{conv}}$  the set of convergent rooted forests decorated by  $\{x, y\}$ .

This allows us to define the first family of objects that we will study in this paper.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>which implies  $v_1 \leq v_2$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> in particular, the roots of a convergent forest cannot be branching vertices

**Definition 2.4** ([5]). Let  $(F, d_F)$  be a convergent rooted forest decorated by  $\{x, y\}$ . The **arbori-**fied zeta value associated to  $(F, d_F)$  is defined by

$$\zeta(F) := \int_{\Delta_F} \prod_{v \in V(F)} \omega_v(z_v)$$

with

$$\omega_v(z_v) = \begin{cases} \frac{dz_v}{z_v} & \text{if } d_F(v) = x\\ \frac{dz_v}{1 - z_v} & \text{if } d_F(v) = y \end{cases}$$

and  $\Delta_F \subset [0,1]^{|V(F)|}$  defined by

$$0,1]^{|V(F)|} \ni (z_{v_1}, \cdots, z_{v_{|V(F)|}}) \in \Delta_F :\iff (v_i \preceq v_j \Leftrightarrow z_{v_j} \le z_{v_i}).$$

**Remark 2.5.** The integral of arborified zeta values can converge even if F is not a convergent forest. In particular, one can relax the condition that its branching vertices are decorated by x and still have a convergent integral. This is clear from the proof of convergence of arborified zeta values made in [6, Definition-Proposition 4.8 and Lemma 4.13].

We focus on these forests for two reasons. First, these convergent rooted forests are the image of the branched binarisation map  $\mathfrak{s}^T : \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}^*} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\{x,y\}}$  (see [6, Definition A.1]). Second, for these forests, the associated arborified zeta values admit a series representation, which is one of the main result of this paper.

Notice that  $\zeta(F)$  should be written  $\zeta(F, d_F)$  to be completely rigorous. We drop the reference to the decoration map in order to simplify notations. Notice further that we inverse the partial order of vertices of the forest and the partial order on the attached integration variable to follow [6]. This is simply done to simplify notations, and the other choice was made, for example in [5].

In order to quote one result of [6] that we will use here, we need to introduce some notions from the combinatorics of words.

- **Definition 2.6.** For a set  $\Omega$ , we write  $\mathcal{W}_{\Omega}$  the linear span (over  $\mathbb{R}$ ) of words written in the alphabet  $\Omega$ , that is to say the algebra over  $\mathbb{R}$  of non-commutative polynomials with variables in  $\Omega$ . We also write  $\emptyset$  for the empty word.
  - The concatenation product  $\sqcup : \mathcal{W}_{\Omega} \times \mathcal{W}_{\Omega} \mapsto \mathcal{W}_{\Omega}$  is defined by

$$\emptyset \sqcup w = w \sqcup \emptyset = w,$$
  
$$(\omega_1 \cdots \omega_k) \sqcup (\omega'_1 \cdots \omega'_n) = (\omega_1 \cdots \omega_k \omega'_1 \cdots \omega'_n)$$

for any word w in  $\mathcal{W}_{\Omega}$  and letters  $\omega_1, \cdots, \omega_k, \omega'_1, \cdots, \omega'_n$  in  $\Omega$ .

• Let  $\Omega$  be a set. The **shuffle product** is recursively defined by

$$\begin{split} \emptyset \sqcup \sqcup w &= w \sqcup \sqcup \emptyset = w, \\ ((\omega) \sqcup w) \sqcup \sqcup ((\omega') \sqcup w') &= (\omega) \sqcup [w \sqcup \sqcup ((\omega') \sqcup w')] + (\omega') \sqcup [((\omega) \sqcup w) \sqcup \sqcup w']. \end{split}$$

extended by bilinearity to a product  $\sqcup \sqcup : \mathcal{W}_{\Omega} \times \mathcal{W}_{\Omega} \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}_{\Omega}$ .

Before we proceed further, let us recall that for any  $\omega \in \Omega$  the **grafting operator**  $B^{\omega}_{+} : \mathcal{F}_{\Omega} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\Omega}$  which is a linear operator that, to any rooted forest  $F = T_1 \cdots T_k$ , associates the decorated tree obtained from F by adding a root decorated by  $\omega$  linked to each root of  $T_i$  for i going from 1 to k

**Definition 2.7.** Let  $\Omega$  be a set, the **flattening map**  $fl : \mathcal{F}_{\Omega} \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}_{\Omega}$  from the algebra of rooted forests decorated by  $\Omega$  and the algebra of words  $\mathcal{W}_{\Omega}$  written in the alphabet  $\Omega$  is recursively defined by

$$fl(\emptyset) = \emptyset, \quad fl(F_1F_2) = fl(F_1) \sqcup fl(F_2), \quad fl(B^{\omega}_+(F)) = (\omega) \sqcup fl(F)$$

extended by linearity to a map on  $\mathcal{F}_{\Omega}$ .

**Remark 2.8.** In [5], the flattening map is called the (simple) arborification, following Ecalle in [3]. We chose instead to follow [7] and [6]. Furthermore, together with its weighted versions, it can be defined from a universal property of the algebra of rooted forests (see [6, Definition 2.14]). Since this formulation requires to define more structures, we have opted here for this more pedestrian approach in order to keep the reminders of this section within reasonable length.

The following result was shown in [6] (Lemma 4.9 and Theorem 4.15) although a version for divergent AZVs was already presented in the earlier work [7]. Recall that words written in the alphabet  $\{x, \}$  are convergent if, and only if, thew start with x and end with y.

**Theorem 2.9.** The flattening map fl maps convergent forests to convergent words and for any convergent rooted forest  $(F, d_F) \in \mathcal{F}_{\{x,y\}}^{\text{conv}}$ , the AZV  $\zeta_{\sqcup \sqcup}(F)$  is a finite linear combination of MZVs with rational coefficients given by

$$\zeta(F) = \zeta_{\sqcup \sqcup}(fl(F))$$

with  $\zeta_{\perp\perp}$  the MZV map defined in Equation (1).

#### 2.2 Series representation of AZVs

In order to prove the series representation of AZVs, we need to introduce a few more notions.

**Definition 2.10.** A segment of a rooted forest  $(F, d_F)$  decorated by  $\{x, y\}$  is a non-empty path  $s_v = (v_1, \dots, v_n = v)$  such that  $d_F(v_n) = y$ ,  $d_F(v_i) = x$  for any i in  $\{1, \dots, n-1\}$  and  $d(a(v_1)) = y$ , with  $a(v_1)$  the direct ancestor of  $v_1$ . We call the number n the length of the segment  $s_v$  and write it  $|s_v|$ .

We write  $S(F) := \{s_v | v \in V_y(F)\}$  the set of segments of a rooted forest F.

In words: a segment  $s_v$  of a rooted forest is a path in this rooted forest, which ends at the vertex v decorated by y and start just above the first ancestor of v being also decorated by y.

The set S(F) inherits a poset structure from the poset structure of V(F). We also denote this partial order relation by  $\preceq: s_v \preceq s_{v'} :\iff v \preceq v'$ . This allows us to define the depth of a segment.

**Definition 2.11.** The **depths** of the segments of a decorated rooted forest F decorated by  $\{x, y\}$  are recursively defined by:

- depth $(s_v) = 0$  if v is a leaf of F,
- depth( $s_v$ ) = max{depth( $s_{v'}$ )| $s_v \leq s_{v'}$ } + 1.

We also set  $N_F := \max\{\operatorname{depth}(s_v) | s_v \in S(F)\}$  the maximal depth of a segment of F. For any  $n \in \{0, \dots, N_F\}$  we set

$$S_n(F) := \{ s_v \in S(F) | \operatorname{depth}(s_v) = n \},\$$

we further set:

$$S^n(F) := \bigcup_{i=0}^n S_i(F)$$

for any n in  $\{0, \dots, N_F\}$ . For any such n we also write

$$||S_n(F)|| := \sum_{s_v \in S_n(F)} |s_v|$$
 and  $||S^n(F)|| := \sum_{s_v \in S^n(F)} |s_v|.$ 

Notice that depth of segments of a rooted forest are well-defined because we have taken our forests to be finite.

We are now able to prove the following

**Theorem 2.12.** For any convergent forest F the arborified zeta values admits the following series representation:

$$\zeta(F) = \sum_{\substack{n_v \ge 1\\v \in V_y(F)}} \prod_{v \in V_y(F)} \left( \sum_{\substack{v' \in V_y(F)\\v' \succeq v}} n_{v'} \right)^{-|s_v|}$$

where for  $s_v = (v_1, \cdots, v_n)$  we set  $|s_v| = n$ .

*Proof.* Let F be an convergent forest. Since the map  $F \mapsto \zeta(F)$  is an algebra morphism for the concatenation product of trees, it is enough to show that the theorem holds for F a rooted tree. Thus we can assume without loss of generality that F is a rooted tree.

Let  $N_F$  be the maximal depth of the segments of this tree. If  $N_F = 0$ , the theorem reduces to the usual series representation of a Riemann zeta.

If  $N_F \ge 1$ , since we are working with convergent integrals we can use Fubini's theorem to regroup integrations of the segment. This mean that we can write the arborified zeta associated to F as

$$\zeta(F) = \int_{\Delta_F} \prod_{n=0}^{N_F} \prod_{s_v \in S_n(F)} d\omega_{s_v}$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

where, for  $s_v = (w_1, \cdots, w_p) \in S_n(F)$  we have set

$$d\omega_{s_v} := \frac{dz_{w_1}}{z_{w_1}} \cdots \frac{dz_{w_{p-1}}}{z_{w_{p-1}}} \frac{dz_{w_p}}{1 - z_{w_p}}$$

(with an obvious abuse of notation if p = 1). Now recall that for any  $A \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ,  $p \ge 1$  and any  $Z \in [0,1]$  ( $Z \ne 1$  if p = 1) we have

$$\int_{0 \le z_p \le \dots \le z_1 \le Z} \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \dots \frac{dz_{p-1}}{z_{p-1}} \frac{dz_p}{1 - z_p} (z_p)^A = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{Z^{n+A}}{(n+A)^p}$$
(3)

(again with obvious abuses of notations when p = 1). This classical result follows from the theorem of dominated convergence and the Taylor expansion of the function  $x \mapsto (1-x)^{-1}$ .

We can now use (3) with A = 0 in (2) to integrate all the variable attached to vertices belonging to a segment of depth zero. We obtain

$$\zeta(F) = \sum_{\substack{n_v = 1, \\ v \in V_y(F) | s_v \in S_0(F)}}^{+\infty} \prod_{v \in V_y(F) | s_v \in S_0(F)} (n_v)^{-|s_v|} \int_{\Delta_F \setminus S_0(F)} \prod_{n=1}^{N_F} \prod_{s_v \in S_n(F)} d\omega_{s_v} \prod_{v \in V_y(F) | s_v \in S_1(F)} (z_v)^{\sum_{v' \in V_y(F) | s_v \in S_1(F)}} (z_v)^{\sum_{v' \in V_y(F) |$$

where  $v' \succ v$  means that v' is a descendant of v that is distinct from v and where we have set

$$[0,1]^{|V(F)|-||S_0(F)||} \ni (z_{v_1}, \cdots, z_{v_p}) \in \Delta_F \setminus S_0(F)$$
  
$$:\iff (\{v_1, \cdots, v_p\} = V(F) \setminus \{v' \in s_v | s_v \in S_0(F)\} \land (v_i \preceq v_j \Leftrightarrow z_{v_j} \le z_{v_i})).$$

Now for any  $k \in \{0, \dots, N_F - 1\}$  we set

$$[0,1]^{|V(F)|-||S^{k}(F)||} \ni (z_{v_{1}}, \cdots, z_{v_{p}}) \in \Delta_{F} \setminus S^{k}(F)$$
$$:\iff (\{v_{1}, \cdots, v_{p}\} = V(F) \setminus \{v' \in s_{v} | s_{v} \in S^{k}(F)\} \land (v_{i} \leq v_{j} \Leftrightarrow z_{v_{j}} \leq z_{v_{i}}))$$

(notice that we replaced  $S_0(F)$  by  $S^k(F)$ ). Let us prove by induction over k that for any  $k \in \{0, \dots, N_F - 1\}$  we have

$$\zeta(F) = \sum_{\substack{n_v = 1, \\ v \in V_y(F)|s_v \in S^k(F)}}^{+\infty} \prod_{\substack{v \in V_y(F)|s_v \in S^k(F) \\ v' \succeq v}} \left( \sum_{\substack{v' \in S^k(F) \\ v' \succeq v}}^{n_{v'}} n_{v'} \right)^{-|s_v|} \\ \times \underbrace{\int_{\Delta_F \setminus S^k(F)} \prod_{n=k+1}^{N_F} \prod_{s_v \in S_n(F)} d\omega_{s_v} \prod_{\substack{v \in V_y(F)|s_v \in S_{k+1}(F) \\ v \in V_y(F)|s_v \in S_{k+1}(F)}}_{=:I_{F,k}} (5)$$

First, since  $S_0(F) = S^0(F)$  and  $\Delta_F \setminus S_0(F) = \Delta_F \setminus S^0(F)$ , Equation (4) is exactly Equation (5) for k = 0. Then if  $N_F = 1$ , we have proven Equation (5) in all the cases of interests. If  $N_F \ge 2$  then let us assume that Equation (5) holds for  $k \in \{0, \dots, N_F - 2\}$ . We then have, once again

from the Taylor expansion of the function  $x \to (1-x)^{-1}$  and the dominated convergence theorem

$$\begin{split} I_{F,k} &= \int_{\Delta_F \setminus S^k(F)} \left( \prod_{n=k+2}^{N_F} \prod_{s_v \in S_n(F)} d\omega_{s_v} \right) \left( \prod_{s_v \in S_{k+1}(F)} d\omega_{s_v} \right) \prod_{v \in V_y(F) \mid s_v \in S_{k+1}(F)} (z_v)^{\sum_{v' \in V_y(F) \mid n_{v'}}} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{n_v = 0, \\ v \in V_y(F) \mid s_v \in S_{k+1}(F)}^{+\infty} \int_{\Delta_F \setminus S^k(F)} \left( \prod_{n=k+2}^{N_F} \prod_{s_v \in S_n(F)} d\omega_{s_v} \right) \\ &\times \prod_{\substack{v \in V_y(F) \mid \\ s_v = (\vec{a}, v) \in S_{k+1}(F)}} \frac{d\vec{z}_{\vec{a}}}{\vec{z}_{\vec{a}}} dz_v(z_v)^{n_v} \prod_{v \in V_y(F) \mid s_v \in S_{k+1}(F)} (z_v)^{\sum_{v' \succ v} (V_y(F) \mid n_{v'}} (z_v)^{\sum_{v' \succ v} (V_y(F) \mid n_{v'}}} \right) \end{split}$$

with the obvious notation that  $\frac{d\vec{z}_{\vec{\alpha}}}{\vec{z}_{\vec{\alpha}}}$  is a product of dz/z. We can now merge the two last products to obtain

$$I_{F,k} = \sum_{\substack{n_v = 0, \\ v \in V_y(F) | s_v \in S_{k+1}(F)}}^{+\infty} \int_{\Delta_F \setminus S^k(F)} \left( \prod_{n=k+2}^{N_F} \prod_{s_v \in S_n(F)} d\omega_{s_v} \right) \prod_{\substack{v \in V_y(F) | \\ s_v = (\vec{\alpha}, v) \in S_{k+1}(F)}} \frac{d\vec{z}_{\vec{\alpha}}}{\vec{z}_{\vec{\alpha}}} dz_v(z_v)^{\sum_{v' \succeq v} n_{v' \succeq v}}.$$

Finally integrating the variable attached to vertices belonging to segments of depth k and switching each of the summation variable by one we obtain

$$I_{F,k} = \sum_{\substack{n_v=1, \\ v \in V_y(F) | s_v \in S_{k+1}(F)}}^{+\infty} \prod_{\substack{v \in V_y(F) | s_v \in S_{k+1}(F) \\ v' \succeq v}} \left( \sum_{\substack{v' \in V_y(F) \\ v' \succeq v}}^{} n_{v'} \right)^{-|s_v|} \underbrace{\int_{\Delta_F \backslash S^{k+1}(F)} \left( \prod_{\substack{n=k+2 \ s_v \in S_n(F) \\ I_{F,k+1}}}^{N_F} \prod_{\substack{d \omega_{s_v} \\ I_{F,k+1}}}^{} d\omega_{s_v} \right)}_{I_{F,k+1}} \cdot \sum_{\substack{v \in V_y(F) \mid s_v \in S_{k+1}(F) \\ I_{F,k+1}}}^{+\infty} \sum_{\substack{n=k+2 \ s_v \in S_n(F) \\ I_{F,k+1}}}^{} d\omega_{s_v} \right)} \cdot \sum_{\substack{d \in V_y(F) \mid s_v \in S_{k+1}(F) \\ I_{F,k+1}}}^{+\infty} \sum_{\substack{d \in V_y(F) \mid s_v \in S_{k+1}(F) \\ I_{F,k+1}}}^{} \sum_{\substack{d \in V_y(F) \mid s_v \in S_{k+1}(F) \\ I_{F,k+1}}}^{+\infty} \sum_{\substack{d \in V_y(F) \mid s_v \in S_{k+1}(F) \\ I_{F,k+1}}}^{+\infty} \sum_{\substack{d \in V_y(F) \mid s_v \in S_{k+1}(F) \\ I_{F,k+1}}}^{+\infty} \sum_{\substack{d \in V_y(F) \mid s_v \in S_{k+1}(F) \\ I_{F,k+1}}}^{+\infty} \sum_{\substack{d \in V_y(F) \mid s_v \in S_{k+1}(F) \\ I_{F,k+1}}}^{+\infty} \sum_{\substack{d \in V_y(F) \mid s_v \in S_{k+1}(F) \\ I_{F,k+1}}}^{+\infty} \sum_{\substack{d \in V_y(F) \mid s_v \in S_{k+1}(F) \\ I_{F,k+1}}}^{+\infty} \sum_{\substack{d \in V_y(F) \mid s_v \in S_{k+1}(F) \\ I_{F,k+1}}}^{+\infty} \sum_{\substack{d \in V_y(F) \mid s_v \in S_{k+1}(F) \\ I_{F,k+1}}}^{+\infty} \sum_{\substack{d \in V_y(F) \mid s_v \in V_y(F) \\ I_{F,k+1}}}^{+\infty} \sum_{\substack{d \in V_y(F) \mid s_v \in S_{k+1}(F) \\ I_{F,k+1}}}^{+\infty} \sum_{\substack{d \in V_y(F) \mid s_v \in S_{k+1}(F) \\ I_{F,k+1}}}^{+\infty} \sum_{\substack{d \in V_y(F) \mid s_v \in S_{k+1}(F) \\ I_{F,k+1}}}^{+\infty} \sum_{\substack{d \in V_y(F) \mid s_v \in S_{k+1}(F) \\ I_{F,k+1}}^{+\infty} \sum_{\substack{d \in V_y(F) \mid s_v \in S_{k+1}(F) \\ I_{F,k+1}}}^{+\infty} \sum_{\substack{d \in V_y(F) \mid s_v \in S_{k+1}(F) \\ I_{F,k+1}}^{+\infty} \sum_{\substack{d \in V_y(F) \mid s_v \in S_{k+1}(F) \\ I_{F,k+1}}^{+\infty} \sum_{\substack{d \in V_y(F) \mid s_v \in S_{k+1}(F) \\ I_{F,k+1}(F) \\ I_$$

Notice that this whole computation consisted essentially into using Formula (3) for each of the segments of depth exactly k. In any case, plugging this expression for  $I_{F,k}$  back into (5) we obtain exactly the same equation with k replaced by k + 1. So, by a finite induction we have proven Equation (5) for any  $k \in \{0, \dots, N_F\}$  for any value of  $N_F \ge 1$ .

Since we have assumed F to be a connected rooted forest (i.e. a rooted tree), F has exactly one segment  $s_v$  of maximal depth  $N_F$ . Furthermore, since F is convergent, we have  $l := |s_v| \ge 2$ . Thus, after a relabelling of  $s_v$ :

$$s_v = (1, \cdots, l = v),$$

Equation (5) with  $k = N_F - 1$  reads

$$\begin{split} \zeta(F) &= \sum_{\substack{n_v = 1, \\ v \in V_y(F) | s_v \in S^{N_F - 1}(F)}}^{+\infty} \prod_{\substack{v \in s_v | s_v \in S^{N_F - 1}(F) \\ v' \in S^{N_F - 1}(F)}} \left(\sum_{\substack{v' \in S^{N_F - 1}(F) \\ v' \succeq v}} n_{v'}\right)^{-|s_v|} \\ &\times \int_{0 \leq z_1 < \dots < z_l \leq 1} \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \cdots \frac{dz_{l-1}}{z_{l-1}} \frac{dz_l}{1 - z_l} (z_l)^{-1} \frac{dz_l}{v' \neq v} \end{split}$$

Using once again Formula (3) with Z = 1,  $p = l \ge 2$  and  $A = \sum_{\substack{v' \in V_y(F) \\ v' \ne v}} n_{v'}$  we obtain the statement of the theorem once we write all the sums as one.

**Remark 2.13.** This series representation of AZVs is *not* the stuffle AZVs of [6] which were defined as iterated series. Theorem A.4 of [6] implies that these two series applied to the same forest give in general different values. Instead, this series representation of AZVs defined by iterated integrals should be seen as a new generalisation of MZVs defined as iterated series. It is not the purpose of this paper to explore their algebraic structures and we left that for further research. Instead, we will focus on relating this new generalisation to other generalised MZVs.

#### 2.3 Tree zeta values

Theorem 2.12 motivates a new generalisation of MZVs to trees. Let us first state the definition of these new iterated sums without taking care of their convergence.

**Definition 2.14.** For a,  $\mathbb{N}^*$ -decorated rooted forest F, whenever it exists, let

$$\zeta^{t}(F) := \sum_{\substack{n_{v} \ge 1\\v \in V(F)}} \prod_{\substack{v \in V(F)\\v' \ge v}} \left(\sum_{\substack{v' \in V(F)\\v' \ge v}} n_{v'}\right)^{-\alpha_{v}}$$
(6)

(with  $\alpha_v = d_F(v) \in \mathbb{N}^*$  the decoration of the vertex v) be the **tree zeta value** associated to F.  $\zeta^t$  is then extended by linearity to a map defined on a subset of  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}^*}$ .

To study the convergences of tree zeta values, let us recall some definitions of [6]

**Definition 2.15.** [6, Definition A.1] The branched binarisation map is the linear map  $\mathfrak{s}^T$ :  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}^*} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\{x,y\}}$  recursively defined by

$$\begin{cases} \mathfrak{s}^{T}(\emptyset) = \emptyset \\ \mathfrak{s}^{T}(T_{1}\cdots T_{n}) = \mathfrak{s}^{T}(T_{1})\cdots \mathfrak{s}^{T}(T_{n}) \\ \mathfrak{s}^{T}(B_{+}^{n}(F)) = (B_{+}^{x})^{\circ(n-1)} \circ B_{+}^{y}(\mathfrak{s}^{T}(F)) \end{cases}$$

and extended by linearity to a map of  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}^*}$ .

In [6], a more algebraic definition of  $\mathfrak{s}^T$  was given using a universal property of the algebra  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}^*}$ . The above definition is more pedestrian but requires less background. In order to clarify the action of  $\mathfrak{s}^T$ , let us write down some examples of the action of  $\mathfrak{s}^T$  on simple trees.

One further definition of importance is the following

**Definition 2.16.** [6, Definition 3.14] A  $\mathbb{N}^*$ -decorated tree is called **convergent** if and only if it is either empty or has its root decorated by  $n \geq 2$ . A  $\mathbb{N}^*$ -decorated forest is called **convergent** if and only if it is a disjoint union of convergent trees. We write  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}^*}^{\text{conv}}$  the set of convergent rooted forests decorated by  $\mathbb{N}^*$ .

The following trivial characteristics of the map  $\mathfrak{s}^T$  were shown in [6]:

**Lemma 2.17.** [6, Lemma A.3] The branched binarisation map  $\mathfrak{s}^T$  is a bijection and maps convergent forests to convergent forests:

$$\mathfrak{s}^T \left( \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}^*}^{\mathrm{conv}} \right) = \mathcal{F}_{\{x,y\}}^{\mathrm{conv}}.$$

The following simple yet important justifies the definition of tree zeta values.

**Proposition 2.18.** For any convergent  $\mathbb{N}^*$ -decorated rooted forest F, the tree zeta value  $\zeta^t(F)$  associated to F is convergent and is equal to the arborified zeta values associated to the convergent  $\{x, y\}$ -decorated rooted forest  $\mathfrak{s}^T(F)$ :

$$\zeta^t(F) = \zeta(\mathfrak{s}^T(F)).$$

Proof. The result follows from the simple observation that, for any convergent  $\{x, y\}$ -decorated rooted forest f the series representation of  $\zeta(f)$  given by Theorem 2.12 is precisely the tree zeta value  $\zeta^t((\mathfrak{s}^T)^{-1}(f))$ . The convergence of  $\zeta^t(F)$  for any convergent  $\mathbb{N}^*$ -decorated rooted forest F then follows from the facts that  $\mathfrak{s}^T$  is a one to one map between the two sets of convergent rooted forests and that the arborified zeta value  $\zeta(f)$  converges for any convergent  $\{x, y\}$ -decorated rooted forest f.

From there, one easily derives important properties of tree zeta values from the properties of branched zeta values.

- **Theorem 2.19.** The map  $\zeta^t : F \mapsto \zeta^t(F)$  is an algebra morphism from convergent  $\mathbb{N}^*$ -decorated rooted forests to  $\mathbb{R}$  for the concatenation product of forests.
  - For any convergent N<sup>\*</sup>-decorated rooted forests F, the tree zeta value ζ<sup>t</sup>(F) is a Q-linear combination of MZVs, given by

$$\zeta^t(F) = (\zeta_{\sqcup \sqcup} \circ fl \circ \mathfrak{s}^T)(F).$$

Proof. Both points follow directly from Proposition 2.18

- The first point follows from the fact that  $\zeta^t = \zeta \circ \mathfrak{s}^T$  together with the fact that both  $\zeta$  and  $\mathfrak{s}^T$  are algebra morphisms for the concatenation product of rooted forests.
- The second point follows from the same relation  $\zeta^t = \zeta \circ \mathfrak{s}^T$  together with Theorem 2.9 which states that  $\zeta = \zeta_{\sqcup \sqcup} \circ fl$ .

**Remark 2.20.** Notice that  $\zeta^t$  is *not* an algebra morphism for the shuffle product of trees, since  $\mathfrak{s}^T$  is not. It can also be checked on computations that it is neither an algebra morphism for any of the stuffle products of trees (see [6, Definition 5.1]). One might ask whether there is another generalisation to trees of the stuffle product of words such that  $\zeta^t$  is an algebra morphism. We left out this question for future research as we focus here on the number theoretic content of generalisations of MZVs rather than their algebraic structures.

## **3** Application to Mordell-Tornheim zeta values

A special class of Mordell-Tornheim zetas were introduced in [8] and studied (albeit not in full generality) in [9] and [10]. Later on they were further investigated in full generality in [15] and [16].

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $(s, s_1, \dots, s_r) \in \mathbb{N}^{r+1}$  be sequence of non-negative integers. The Mordell-Tornheim zeta value associated to this sequence is

$$MT(s_1, \cdots, s_r|s) := \sum_{n_1, \cdots, n_r \ge 1} \frac{1}{n_1^{s_1} \cdots n_r^{s_r} (n_1 + \dots + n_r)^s}$$
(7)

whenever this series is convergent. The integer r is called the **depth** of this Mordell-Tornheim zeta values,  $s + s_1 + \cdots + s_r$  its **weight** and  $s_1 + \cdots + s_r$  its **partial depth**.

Since the series in (7) is invariant under a permutation of the  $s_i$ , it is traditional to assume  $s_1 \leq \cdots \leq s_r$ . We will follow this convention.

Bradley and Zhou gave in [16, Theorem 2.2] a condition for the convergence of the series (7) to hold in the more general case where the  $s_i$  are complex numbers. With our convention, this condition reads: if for any  $k \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ , the inequality

$$s + \sum_{i=1}^{k} s_i > k \tag{8}$$

holds, then the series (7) converges.

The same authors also proved in [16, Theorem 1.1] that any convergent Mordell-Tornheim zeta value of weight w and depth r can be written as a linear combination with rational coefficient of MZVs of weight w and depth r.

We will show here that our Theorem 2.19 gives elementary proofs of the results of Bradley and Zhou for the case  $s_2 > 0$ . We also provide an explicit formula for Mordell-Tornheim zeta values in the case  $s_1 = 0$ .

**Proposition 3.2.** The Mordell-Tornheim zeta values associated to the sequence  $(s, s_1 = 0, s_2 > 0, \dots, s_r)$  is convergent whenever  $s \ge 2$ . In this case  $MT(s_1 = 0, \dots, s_r|s)$  can be written as a linear combination with integer coefficients of MZVs of weight  $s + s_2 + \dots + s_r$  and depth r given by

$$MT(s_1 = 0, \cdots, s_r | s) = \zeta_{\sqcup \sqcup} \left( \underbrace{(\underline{x} \cdots \underline{x}}_{s-1} y) \sqcup \left( \underbrace{(\underline{x} \cdots \underline{x}}_{s_2-1} y) \sqcup \sqcup \cdots \sqcup (\underbrace{\underline{x} \cdots \underline{x}}_{s_r-1} y) \right) \right). \tag{9}$$

**Remark 3.3.** Notice that the condition  $s \ge 2$  is equivalent to the convergence criterion (8) of Bradley and Zhou in the case  $s_1 = 0$  and  $s_2 > 0$ .

*Proof.* Observe that in the case  $s_1 = 0$  and  $s_2 > 0$  the series (7) coincide with  $\zeta^t(T)$  with  $(T, d_T)$  the decorated tree with r vertices and r - 1 leaves. Its root is decorated by s and its leaves by  $s_2, \dots, s_r$ . Thus T is a convergent tree whenever  $s \ge 2$ . In this case we then have by Theorem 2.19

$$MT(s_1 = 0, \cdots, s_r | s) = (\zeta_{\sqcup \sqcup} \circ fl \circ \mathfrak{s}^T)(T).$$

Now  $\mathfrak{s}^T(T)$  is a tree with only one branching vertex. The segment between the root and the branching vertex contains s vertices, the first s-1 being decorated by x and the last one by y. Each of r-1 the segment between the branching vertex and one leaf contain  $s_i$  vertices (with  $i \in \{2, \dots, r\}$ ) whose first  $s_i - 1$  vertices are decorated by x and the last one by y. Therefore its flattening is precisely

$$fl(\mathfrak{s}^{T}(T)) = (\underbrace{x \cdots x}_{s-1} y) \sqcup \left( (\underbrace{x \cdots x}_{s_{2}-1} y) \sqcup \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \sqcup (\underbrace{x \cdots x}_{s_{r}-1} y) \right).$$

This gives Equation (9). In particular  $MT(s_1 = 0, \dots, s_r|s)$  can be written as a linear combination with integer coefficients of MZVs of weight  $s + s_2 + \dots + s_r$  and depth r (the number of ys in each words appearing in the expression of  $MT(s_1 = 0, \dots, s_r|s)$ ).

We are now ready to prove the main result of this section.

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $s_1 \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . The Mordell-Tornheim zeta value associated with  $(s, s_1, \dots, s_r)$  is convergent whenever  $s \ge 1$ . In this case  $MT(s_1, \dots, s_r|s)$  can be written as a linear combination of MZVs of weight  $s + \sum_{i=1}^r s_i$  and depth r with integer coefficients.

**Remark 3.5.** As before, the condition  $s \ge 1$  is equivalent to the convergence criterion (8) of Bradley and Zhou in the case  $s_1 > 0$ .

*Proof.* We prove this result by induction on the partial depth  $n := s_1 + \cdots + s_r$  of  $MT(s_1, \cdots, s_r|s)$ . If n = 1, the conditions  $s_1 \ge 1$  and  $s_i \ge s_1$  for all  $i \in \{1, \cdots, r\}$  implies r = 1 and  $s_1 = 1$ . In this case the Mordell-Tornheim zeta value reduce to the usual zeta value  $\zeta(s+1)$  which is convergent if s > 0.

Now, assume the results holds for all Mordell-Tornheim zeta values of partial weight n with  $s_1 \ge 1$ . Let s and  $s_1$  be greater or equal to one and  $(s_1, \dots, s_r)$  be an increasing sequence of integers such that  $s_1 + \dots + s_r = n + 1$ . Using the decomposition into simple fraction

$$\frac{1}{n_1 \cdots n_r (n_1 + \dots + n_r)} = \frac{1}{(n_1 + \dots + n_r)^2} \sum_{\substack{i=1\\j \neq i}}^r \prod_{\substack{j=1\\j \neq i}}^r \frac{1}{n_j}$$

(which holds since  $\prod_{\substack{j=1\\j\neq i}}^r \frac{1}{n_j} = \frac{n_i}{n_1\cdots n_r})$  we obtain

$$\frac{1}{n_1^{s_1}\cdots n_r^{s_r}(n_1+\cdots+n_r)^s} = \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{n_1^{s_1}\cdots n_i^{s_i-1}\cdots n_r^{s_r}(n_1+\cdots+n_r)^{s+1}}.$$

Summing over the  $n_i$  we obtain (up to an irrelevant permutation of the  $s_i$ )

$$MT(s_1, \cdots, s_r | s) = \sum_{i=1}^r MT(s_1, \cdots, s_i - 1, \cdots, s_r | s + 1)$$

whenever the series of one of the two side converges. We examine each of the terms of the RHS and have then two cases to consider.

1.  $s_i = 1$ . Then by Proposition 3.2  $MT(s_1, \dots, s_i - 1, \dots, s_r | s+1)$  is convergent since  $s+1 \ge 2$ , and is a linear combination with integer coefficients of MZVs of depth r and weight

$$s+1+\sum_{\substack{k=1\\k\neq i}}^{r}s_k$$

2.  $s_i \ge 2$ . In this case by the induction hypothesis  $MT(s_1, \dots, s_i - 1, \dots, s_r | s + 1)$  is convergent and can be written as a linear combination of MZVs of weight  $s + \sum_{i=1}^{r} s_i$  and depth r with integer coefficients.

In any case the RHS of the equation above is convergent whenever  $s \ge 1$  and is then a finite sum of linear combinations of MZVs of weight  $s + \sum_{i=1}^{r} s_i$  and depth r with integer coefficients. This conclude the induction and proves the Theorem.

Let us further point out that the decomposition formula

$$MT(s_1, \cdots, s_r | s) = \sum_{i=1}^r MT(s_1, \cdots, s_i - 1, \cdots, s_r | s + 1)$$
(10)

which holds whenever s and  $s_1$  are both strictly positive might be of interest and was not, to the best of the author's knowledge, previously available in literature. This formula, together with Proposition 3.2 allows us to derive expressions for the Mordell-Tornheim zeta values with  $s_1 > 0$ . Indeed, iterating (10) until one of the  $s_i$  is cancelled, we obtain

$$MT(s_1, \cdots, s_r | s) = \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{p_1=0}^{s_1-1} \cdots \sum_{p_{i-1}=0}^{s_{i-1}-1} \sum_{p_{i+1}=0}^{s_{i+1}-1} \cdots \sum_{p_r=0}^{s_r-1} MT\left(q_1, \cdots, q_r | s + \sum_{j=1}^r p_j\right)$$

with in each of the terms in the RHS,  $p_i := s_i$  and  $q_j := s_j - p_j$  for  $q \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ . Each of the Mordell-Tornheim zeta values in the RHS are of the type treated by Proposition 3.2 since  $q_i = 0$ for each i in the outermost sum. Thus we obtain

$$MT(s_{1}, \cdots, s_{r}|s) =$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{p_{1}=0}^{s_{1}-1} \cdots \sum_{p_{i-1}=0}^{s_{i-1}-1} \sum_{p_{r}=0}^{s_{r}-1} \zeta_{\sqcup \sqcup} \left( \mathfrak{s} \left( s + \sum_{j=1}^{r} p_{j} \right) \sqcup \left( \mathfrak{s}(s_{1}-p_{1}) \sqcup \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \mathfrak{s}(s_{r}-p_{r}) \right) \right)$$

$$(11)$$

with in each of the terms in the RHS,  $p_i := s_i$  and the convention  $\mathfrak{s}(0) := \emptyset$ .

#### Applications to conical zeta values 4

#### Conical zeta values 4.1

Let us start by recalling some classical definition of cones [17, 18]

• Let  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  by *n* linearly independant nonzero vectors in  $\mathbb{Z}^k$ . The **cone** Definition 4.1. associated to these vectors is

$$C = \langle v_1, \cdots, v_n \rangle := \mathbb{R}^*_+ v_1 + \cdots \mathbb{R}^*_+ v_n.$$

If furthermore k = n, the cone is called **maximal**. We write C the set of maximal cones. By convention, the empty set is a maximal cone.

- A decorated cone is a pair  $(C, \vec{s})$  with  $C = \langle v_1, \cdots, v_n \rangle$  a cone and  $\vec{s} \in \mathbb{N}^n$ . We call  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{N}}$  the set of decorated maximal cones.
- For a cone *C* (resp. a decorated cone  $(C, \vec{s})$ ), write the vectors  $v_i$ s in the canonical basis  $\mathcal{B} = \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ :  $v_i = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}e_j$ . Then  $A_C := (a_{ij})_{i,j=1,\dots,n}$  is the **representing matrix** (in the basis  $\mathcal{B}$ ) of the cone *C* (resp.  $(C, \vec{s})$ ).
- A cone C (resp. a decorated cone  $(C, \vec{s})$ ) is **unimodular** if its representing matrix  $A_C$  has only 0s and 1s in its entries.

Unless specified otherwise, the cones considered in this paper will be maximal, therefore we write cones instead of maximal cones.

Remark 4.2. The definition above only covers open simplicial rational smooth cones. More general cones, or closed ones, will play no role here thus we do not introduce them. Similarly, in the case of decorated cones, one could have  $\vec{s} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . Notice that a cone is invariant under permutations of the  $v_i$ , while a decorated cone in general is only invariant under the simultaneous permutations of the  $v_i$  and the components of  $\vec{s}$ .

Conical zeta values (CZVs) were introduced in [11] as weighted sums on integers points on cones. A generalisation of these objects was introduced before in [19]. In [13] a description of CZVs in terms of matrices was given. We adopt here an intermediate definition, where the cone is encoded by a matrix but not the weight. Our definition is rigorously equivalent to the ones in [11, 19, 13] but is more suitable for our purpose.

- **Definition 4.3.** Let  $\mathcal{B} = \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  be the canonical basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $l : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  be a linear map defined by  $l(v) = \sum_{i=1}^n l_i v_i$ , with  $v_i$  the coordinates of the vector v in the canonical base:  $v = \sum_{i=1}^n v_i e_i$ . Then we say that l is **positive (with respect to**  $\mathcal{B}$ ), and we write  $l \ge 0^3$  if  $l_i \ge 0$  for any  $i \in [n]$ .
  - Let  $C = \langle v_1, \dots, v_n \rangle$  (resp.  $(C = \langle v_1, \dots, v_n \rangle, \vec{s})$  be a maximal cone (resp. decorated cone). Write the vectors  $v_i$ s in the canonical basis  $\mathcal{B}$ :  $v_i = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}e_j$  and set  $l_i : (\mathbb{R})^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the linear maps defined by  $l_i(w) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}w_j$ . Then C (resp.  $(C, \vec{s})$ ) is **positive (with** respect to  $\mathcal{B}$ ) if  $l_i \ge 0$  for any  $i \in [n]$ .
  - Let  $(C = \langle v_1, \dots, v_n \rangle, \vec{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_n))$  be a decorated (maximal) positive cone and  $l_i : (\mathbb{R})^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  the associated linear maps as before. Then the **conical zeta value** associated to  $(C, \vec{s})$  is

$$\zeta(C, \vec{s}) := \sum_{\vec{n} \in (\mathbb{N}^*)^n} \frac{1}{l_1(\vec{n})^{s_1} \cdots l_n(\vec{n})^{s_n}}$$
(12)

whenever the series converge.

We also write  $\zeta$  the map which to a cone  $(C, \vec{s})$  associate the CZVs  $\zeta(C, \vec{s})$  when it exists.

**Remark 4.4.** Notice that any unimodular cone is positive with respect to the canonical basis. This justifies that we will not require our cones to be positive since they will be unimodular.

There are many important open questions concerning CZVs. An important one is the rational relations between CZVs. It was shown in [11] that they obey a family of relations given by double subdivisions of cones which conjecturally generate all rational relations between by CZVs. Another question is the number-theoretic content of CZVs. It was shown in [19] that CZVs are evaluation of polylogarithms at N-th roots of unity. A conjecture by Dupont and Panzer (also written in [13], Conjecture 2) states that for a cone C, N is the least common multiplier of the minors of  $A_C$ . In this paper, we answer this second question in the case of tree-like cones.

### 4.2 From trees to cones

It is an easy observation to see that tree zeta values are conical zeta values. Let us start by making this statement rigorous.

Let  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  be a rooted forest with vertices  $V(F) = \{1, \dots, N\}$ . We write  $A_F = (a_{ij})_{i,j=1,\dots,N}$ the  $N \times N$  matrix<sup>4</sup> defined by

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i \leq j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, let us set

$$v_i(F) := \sum_{j=1}^N a_{ij} e_j$$

(with  $\{e_1, \cdots, e_N\}$  the canonical basis of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ).

**Lemma 4.5.** The above construction, extended by linearity, defines a map from rooted forest to cones.

$$\Phi: \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$$
  
$$F \longmapsto \langle v_1(F), \cdots, v_N(F) \rangle.$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>the more rigorous notation  $l \geq_{\mathcal{B}} 0$  is not necessary since we always work with the canonical basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

 $<sup>^4{\</sup>rm this}$  matrix is sometimes called the path matrix of F

*Proof.* We need to prove that, for any  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ , the vectors  $v_i(F)$  are linearly independent. We prove this by induction on N = |V(F)|. If N = 0, then  $F = \emptyset$  and  $\Phi(\emptyset) = \emptyset$  a cone. The case N = 1 also trivial holds.

Assume the result holds for all forests with  $k \leq N$  vertices and let F be a forest with V(F) = [N+1]. We have two cases to consider: First, if  $F = F_1F_2$  with  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  non empty, the result holds by the induction hypothesis used on  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  and the fact that the  $v_i(F_1)$  and the  $v_j(F_2)$  belong into two orthogonal subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ .

Second, iff  $F = T = B_+(\tilde{F})$ , we can assume without loss of generality that N + 1 is the root of T. Then we have  $v_{N+1}(T) = \sum_{k=1}^{N+1} e_k$  and  $v_i(T) = v_i(\tilde{F})$  for any  $i \in [N]$ . Thus

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N+1} \lambda_k v_k(T) = 0 \iff \lambda_{N+1} = 0 \land \sum_{k=1}^N \lambda_k v_k(\tilde{F}) = 0$$

since  $v_{N+1}(T)$  is the only vector with a non-zero  $e_{N+1}$  component. The result then holds from the induction hypothesis used on  $\tilde{F}$ , which conclude the proof.

**Remark 4.6.** There is of course other ways to maps rooted forests to cone. We simply present the one that respect the conical and arborified zeta maps, as we explain below.

The map  $\Phi$  can be lifted to a map  $\overline{\Phi}$  acting on decorated forests. Let  $\overline{\Phi} : \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}} \to \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{N}}$  be the map defined by  $\overline{\Phi}(F, d_F) := (\Phi(F), \vec{s}_F)$  for any decorated forest  $(F, d_F) \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}}$  with vertices  $V(F) = \{1, \dots, N\}$  and where we have set  $\vec{s}_F := (d_F(1), \dots, d_F(N))$ .

This maps  $\Phi$  and  $\overline{\Phi}$  are clearly injective (recall that F is actually an isomorphism class of rooted forests). However, they are not surjective. This justify the following definition:

**Definition 4.7.** A cone (resp. a decorated cone) is said to be a **tree-like cone** (resp. a **decorated tree-like cone**) when it lies in the image of  $\Phi : \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$  (resp.  $\overline{\Phi} : \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}} \mapsto \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{N}}$ ). We write  $\mathcal{CT}$  the set of tree-like cones.

Furthermore, if a decorated cone lies in  $\overline{\Phi}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}^*}^{\text{conv}})$  it is called a **convergent decorated treelike cone**. We write  $\mathcal{CT}_{\mathbb{N}}$  the set of decorated tree-like cone and  $\mathcal{CT}_{\mathbb{N}}^{\text{conv}}$  the set of convergent decorated tree-like cone.

Let us recall before (Definition 2.16) that a  $\mathbb{N}^*$ -decorated forest is convergent if the decorations of each of its roots are greater or equal to 2. A key result are the following simple properties of the map  $\overline{\Phi}$ .

**Proposition 4.8.** For any non-empty convergent forest  $(F, d_F) \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{N}^*}^{\text{conv}}$ , the conical zeta values  $\zeta(\overline{\Phi}(F, d_F))$  is convergent and

$$\zeta(\overline{\Phi}(F, d_F)) = \zeta^t(F, d_F).$$

*Proof.* Let  $(F, d_F)$  be any convergent forest. Up to relabelling, we can identify its vertices set V(F) with [N] for some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ :  $V(F) = \{1, \dots, N\}$ . First let us observe that, for any  $\vec{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_N) \in \mathbb{N}^N$  and  $i \in V(F)$  we have

$$l_i(F)(\vec{n}) = \sum_{\substack{j \in [N]\\j \succeq i}} n_j$$

by definition of  $l_i(F)$ , and where  $\succeq$  is the partial order of the set vertices V(F) of  $(F, d_F)$ . Then Equation (6) applied to the convergent rooted forest  $(F, d_F)$  gives

$$\zeta^{t}(F, d_{F}) = \sum_{\vec{n} \in \mathbb{N}^{N}} \prod_{i=1}^{N} \left( \sum_{\substack{j \in [N] \\ j \succeq i}} n_{j} \right)^{-a_{F}(i)} = \sum_{\vec{n} \in \mathbb{N}^{N}} \frac{1}{l_{1}(F)(\vec{n})^{d_{F}(1)} \cdots l_{N}(F)(\vec{n})^{d_{F}(N)}} = \zeta(\overline{\Phi}(F), \vec{s}_{F})$$

as claimed in the Proposition. The convergence of  $\zeta(\overline{\Phi}(F), \vec{s}_F)$  then follows from the first point of Proposition 2.18.

**Remark 4.9.** This implies in particular that shuffle AZVs are Shinanti zetas. As such, if we take the decorations of F to be complex parameters, the function  $\vec{s} \mapsto \zeta^t(F)$  admits a meromorphic continuation to  $\mathbb{C}^{|V(F)|}$  [20, 21]. The questions of analytic continuation of tree zeta values and their renormalisation lie well beyond the scope of this article, nontheless Proposition 4.8 answer, at least partially, to these questions. Proposition 4.8 allows to effortlessly show the following important result.

**Theorem 4.10.** For any convergent decorated tree-like cone  $(C, \vec{s}) = \overline{\Phi}(F, d_F)$ , the associated conical zeta values  $\zeta(C, \vec{s})$  is a rational combination of MZVs with values

$$\zeta(C, \vec{s}) = (\zeta_{\sqcup \sqcup} \circ fl \circ \mathfrak{s}^T)(F, d_F).$$

*Proof.* For any convergent decorated cone  $(C, \vec{s}) = \overline{\Phi}(F, d_F)$  the result follows from Proposition 4.8 and Theorem 2.19 applied to  $\zeta^t(F, d_F)$ .

Theorems 2.19 and 4.10, together with Proposition 4.8 and the results of [6] can be summarised as the commutativity of Figure 1 (where the CZVs, AZVs and MZVs maps are all written  $\zeta$ ).



Figure 1: CZVs, AZVs, MZVs and tree zeta values.

Theorem 4.10 answer one of the important question about CZVs for the convergent tree-like cones. Therefore it is useful to be able to characterise which cones are (convergent) tree-like. We now turn our attention to this question.

#### 4.3 Characterisation of tree-like cones

For conical zeta values, each lines of the representing matrix give one term of the denominator of Equation (12). In Equation (6) such a term correspond to a vertex. Notice that, in this Equation, if  $v_1 \leq v_2$ , the term in the series associated to  $v_1$  is

$$l_{v_1}(\vec{n}) = \sum_{\substack{v' \succeq v_1 \\ v' \neq v_2}} n_{v'} + \sum_{v' \succeq v_2} n_{v'}$$

and that the second term in the RHS is the term in Equation (6) associated to  $v_2$ . This justify the following definition.

**Definition 4.11.** For any *n*-dimensional cone *C* (resp. decorated cone  $(C, \vec{s})$ ), let  $\preceq_C$  be the relation on [n] defined by

$$i \preceq_C j \iff l_i - l_j \ge 0$$

with the linear maps  $l_i$  and the notion of positive linear maps of Definition 4.3. As before, we write  $\succeq_C$  the inverse relation.

**Lemma 4.12.** For any n-dimensional cone C (resp. decorated cone  $(C, \vec{s})$ ),  $([n], \leq_C)$  is a poset.

*Proof.* Reflexivity and transitivity are trivial. Anti-symmetry follows from the fact that C is a maximal cone, so two different lines of the representing matrix  $A_C$  have to be different. Thus  $l_i = l_j$  implies i = j for any i and j in [n].

**Remark 4.13.** Using permutations of lines and columns, one can assume that the representing matrix is block-diagonal:  $A_C = \text{diag}(A_1^C, \dots, A_p^C)$  with  $p \ge 1$ . Then the poset  $([n], \leq_C)$  has p connected component.

Thus we have defined a map

$$\Psi: \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}^{\text{fin}}$$

$$C \longmapsto ([n], \preceq_C)$$
(13)

where  $\mathcal{P}^{\text{fin}}$  is the set of finite posets. We can lift  $\Psi$  to a map  $\overline{\Psi} : \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{N}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{N}}^{\text{fin}}$  from decorated cones to decorated posets. Set  $\overline{\Psi}(C, \vec{s}) := (\Psi(C), d_C)$ , with  $d_C : [n] \mapsto \mathbb{N}$  defined by  $d_C(i) := s_i$ .

Since not every cone is a tree-like cone, and more generally, not every conical sums is indexed by a poset, we need a compatibility condition on the cone to ensure that its associated conical sum respect the poset structure associated to the cone. One finds out the right condition by observing that in (6), if  $v' \succeq v$ , then the term coming from v contains  $n_{v'}$ .

**Definition 4.14.** A *n*-dimensional cone C (resp. decorated cone  $(C, \vec{s})$ ) with representing matrix  $A_C = (a_{ij})_{i,j=1,\dots,n}$  is **poset compatible** if, for any  $i, j \in [n]$  we have

$$a_{ij} \neq 0 \implies i \preceq_C j.$$

So any *n*-dimensional poset compatible cone gives a conical zeta value whose sum is given by topological ordering of the poset  $([n], \leq_C)$ . To check that this poset is a rooted forest, we need to introduce one more object.

**Definition 4.15.** For any *n*-dimensional cone *C* (resp. decorated cone  $(C, \vec{s})$ ), its **second representing matrix**  $B_C := (b_{ij})_{i,j=1,..,n}$  is the incidence matrix of the Hasse diagram of  $([n], \preceq_C)$ . In other words,  $b_{ij} = 1$  when *j* is a direct successor of *i* and 0 otherwise:

 $b_{ij} = 1 \iff i \preceq_C j \land (\forall k \in [n], i \preceq_C k \preceq_C j \Rightarrow k \in \{i, j\}).$ 

We then have

**Lemma 4.16.** For a n-dimensional cone C (resp. decorated cone  $(C, \vec{s})$ ), the poset  $\Psi(C) = ([n], \leq_C)$  is a rooted forest if, and only if, its second representing matrix has at most one non-zero component per column.

*Proof.* An oriented graph is a rooted forest if, and only if it has no oriented cycle, no non-oriented cycle and each of its connected component has exactly one minimal element.

The first point is guarantied by Lemma 4.12. The second and third points are equivalent to asking that each vertex has at most one direct ancestor for the relation  $\leq_C$ . Since j is a direct ancestor of i if, and only if,  $b_{ij} = 1$ , we have that j has at most one direct ancestor if, and only if, it exists at most one  $i \in [n]$  such that  $b_{ij} = 1$ , thus that the j-th column of  $B_C$  has at most one non-zero entry. Since this must hold for all  $j \in [n]$ , we have the result

**Remark 4.17.** For a cone C as in Lemma 4.16, the forest  $\Psi(C)$  has p connected components (i.e. trees) if, and only if  $A_C$ , the representing matrix of C, is a p-diagonal matrix (modulo permutations of lines and columns).

Lemma 4.16 actually gives a characterisation of tree-like cones. More precisely we have

**Proposition 4.18.** A unimodular cone C (resp.  $(C, \vec{s})$  is a tree-like cone if, and only if, it is poset compatible and its second representing matrix has at most one non-zero entry in each column. Furthermore, the map  $\Psi$  (resp.  $\overline{\Psi}$ ) restricted to CT (resp.  $CT_{\mathbb{N}}$ ) is the inverse of the map  $\Phi$  (resp.  $\overline{\Phi}$ ).

*Proof.* Let C be any tree-like cone and F its preimage under  $\Phi$ . Since F is an isomorphism class, we can assume without loss of generality that V(F) = [n]. Then by construction of  $\Phi$  and  $\preceq_C$ , the later is the partial order relation on [n] = V(F). Thus  $B_C$  is the adjacency matrix of F and since  $\Psi(C)$  is by definition the poset whose adjacency matrix is  $B_C$  we obtain  $\Psi|_{\mathcal{CT}} = \Phi^{-1}$  as claimed.

We have also shown that, if C is a tree-like cone, then  $\Psi(C)$  is a rooted forest. Thus, by Lemma 4.16, C is poset compatible and  $B_C$  has at most one non-zero entry in each column. We thus have that each tree-like cone satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 4.16.

The sole thing left to be shown is the fact that, if a cone obeys the hypothesis of Lemma 4.16 then it is a tree-like cone. By Lemma 4.16 for such a cone C,  $\Psi(C) \in \mathcal{F}$ . Using the same argument as in the first point of this proof, we have that  $\Phi(\Psi(C)) = C$ . Thus  $C \in \mathcal{CT}$  by definition of tree-like cones.

The same results hold with the lifted maps  $\overline{\Phi}$  and  $\overline{\Psi}$  by definition of the lifts.

Summing up the results of the last two sections in one statement we obtain

**Theorem 4.19.** Let  $C = (C, \vec{s})$  be a decorated unimodular cone such that the hypothesis of Lemma 4.16 hold. Then, provided that  $\overline{\Psi}(C)$  is a convergent forest,  $\zeta(C, \vec{s})$  converges and is a linear combination of MZVs of weights  $||\vec{s}|| := s_1 \cdots + s_n$  with rational coefficients. They evaluate as:

$$\zeta(C, \vec{s}) = (\zeta \circ fl \circ \mathfrak{s}^T \circ \overline{\Psi})(C, \vec{s}).$$

*Proof.* This Theorem is a reformulation of Theorem 4.10 together with the results of Lemma 4.16 and Proposition 4.18.  $\hfill \Box$ 

## 5 Computations of CZVs

The results of the previous subsection give us an algorithm to compute some CZVs. The steps to follow to compute  $\zeta(C, \vec{s})$  are first to check that C is poset compatible, second to compute the associated second representing matrix of C and to check that it has at most one 1 per column. The third step is applying the branched binarisation map  $\mathfrak{s}^T$  to  $\overline{\Psi}(C, \vec{s})$ . Then one has to flatten the obtained rooted forest with the map fl. Finally applying the MZVs map  $\zeta$  give the result.

We illustrate this procedure by computing some CZVs.

**Example 5.1.** The simplest no-trivial example is:

$$\zeta(C_1, \vec{s}_1) := \sum_{p, q, r \ge 1} \frac{1}{(p+q+r)^2 q r}$$

We then have the representing and second representing matrices to be respectively:

$$A_{C_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_{C_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

One easily check from  $A_{C_1}$  that C is poset compatible and clearly  $B_C$  satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 4.16. We further have

$$\overline{\Psi}(C_1,(2,1,1)) = V_2^1$$

which is convergent. Applying the branched binarisation map  $\mathfrak{s}^T$  gives the result

$$\sum_{p,q,r\geq 1} \frac{1}{(p+q+r)^2 qr} = 2\zeta(2,1,1).$$

The exact same computation can be used, up to the flattening, for higher powers in the denominator. We readily obtain:

**Proposition 5.2.** For any (n, m, l) with  $n \ge 2$  and  $m, l \ge 1$  we have that the CZVs

$$\sum_{p,q,r\geq 1} \frac{1}{(p+q+r)^n q^m r^l}$$

are linear combination of MZVs with rational coefficients. In the case l = 1 (or equivalently m = 1) we further have

$$\sum_{p,q,r\geq 1} \frac{1}{(p+q+r)^n q^m r} = \zeta(n,1,m) + \zeta(n,m,1) + \sum_{k=1}^{m-2} \zeta(n,k+1,m-k)$$

(with the convention that the last sum is zero if  $m \leq 2$ ).

*Proof.* First observe that the cone underlying this conical sum is again  $C_1$ . So it is a linear combination of MZVs with rational coefficients from the same argument than the one of Example 5.1. To compute them we need to apply the branched binarisation map  $\mathfrak{s}^T$  and the flattening map fl to

$$\overline{\Psi}(C_1,(n,m,l)) = \bigvee_{n=1}^{m} A_n$$

In the case l = 1 we use

$$(\underbrace{x\cdots x}_{m-1 \text{ times}} y) \sqcup \sqcup (y) = (y \underbrace{x\cdots x}_{m-1 \text{ times}} y) + \sum_{k=1}^{m-2} (\underbrace{x\cdots x}_{k \text{ times}} y \underbrace{x\cdots x}_{m-1-k \text{ times}} y) + (\underbrace{x\cdots x}_{m-1 \text{ times}} yy).$$

The result then follows from the definition of the flattening map, the action of  $\zeta$  on the obtained convergent words, and Theorem 4.19.

**Remark 5.3.** The two computations above are a good illustration of an important point concerning the number-theoretic content of a CZVs. It depends in general only on the underlining cone C and not on the coefficient  $\vec{s}$ . This idea is expressed precisely in the following conjecture:

Let  $\zeta(C, \vec{s_1})$  and  $\zeta(C, \vec{s_2})$  be two convergent CZVs with the same underlining cone C. If one lies in the vector space over  $\mathbb{Q}$  freely generated by the MZVs, so does the other.

The present article gives a proof of this conjecture for the tree-like cones, and the aforementioned conjecture by Dupont, Panzer and Zerbini implies it.

The two previous computations were special cases of Mordell-Tornheim zetas. However, the approach exposed above allows to compute many more conical sums. We present two more computations without every intermediate steps.

Example 5.4.

$$\zeta(C_2, \vec{s}_2) := \sum_{p, q, r, s, t \ge 1} \frac{1}{(p+q+r+s+t)^4 (q+t)^2 r s t}$$

We have

One easily checks that  ${\cal C}$  satisfies the hypothesis of Proposition 4.18. Applying the algorithm above, one readily finds

$$\sum_{p,q,r,s,t\geq 1} \frac{1}{(p+q+r+s+t)^4(q+t)^2 r s t} = 2\zeta(4,1,1,2,1) + 6\zeta(4,1,2,1,1) + 12\zeta(4,2,1,1,1).$$

The following last example required more complicated computations that we will also not detail.

#### Example 5.5.

$$\zeta(C_3, \vec{s}_3) := \sum_{n_1, \cdots, n_7 \ge 1} \frac{1}{(n_1 + \dots + n_7)^5 (n_2 + \dots + n_7)^2 n_3 (n_4)^2 (n_5 + n_6 + n_7)^2 n_6 n_7}$$

We have

and the hypothesis of Proposition 4.18 are satisfied. After some more computations we obtain

$$\sum_{\substack{n_1, \cdots, n_7 \ge 1}} \frac{1}{(n_1 + \dots + n_7)^5 (n_2 + \dots + n_7)^2 n_3 (n_4)^2 (n_5 + n_6 + n_7)^2 n_6 n_7} = 8\zeta(5, 2, 1, 2, 2, 1, 1) + 16\zeta(5, 2, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1) + 2\zeta(5, 2, 1, 2, 1, 1, 2) + 4\zeta(5, 2, 1, 2, 1, 2, 1) + 48\zeta(5, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1) + 28\zeta(5, 2, 2, 1, 1, 2, 1) + 8\zeta(5, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 2) + 16\zeta(5, 2, 2, 1, 1, 2, 1) + 40\zeta(5, 2, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1).$$

## References

- [1] M. Waldschmidt. Lectures on Multiple Zeta Values. IMSc, 2011.
- [2] I. Todorov. Number theory meets high energy physics. Phys. of Part. and Nucl. Lett., Vol. 14:291–297, 2017.
- [3] J. Écalle. Les fonctions résurgentes I, II & III. Prépublications mathématiques d'Orsay, 1981, 1982, 1985.

- [4] S. Yamamoto. Multiple zeta-star values and multiple integrals. 2014.
- [5] D. Manchon. Arborified multiple zeta values. Proceedings of "New approaches to Multiple Zeta Values", 2013.
- [6] P. J. Clavier. Double shuffle relations for arborified zeta values. *Journal of Algebra*, Vol. 543:111–155, 2020.
- [7] P. J. Clavier, L. Guo, S. Paycha, and B. Zhang. Renormalisation and locality: Branched zeta values. *IRMA Lect. in Math. and Theor. Phys.*, Vol. 32:85–132, 2020.
- [8] L. Tornheim. Harmonic double series. American J. Math., Vol. 72:303–314, 1950.
- [9] L. J. Mordell. On the evaluation of some multiple series. J. London Math. Soc., Vol. 33:271– 368, 1958.
- [10] M. E. Hoffman. Multiple harmonic series. Pacific J. Math., Vol. 152:275–290, 1992.
- [11] L. Guo, S. Paycha, and B. Zhang. Conical zeta values and their double subdivision relations. Advances in Mathematics, Vol. 252:343–381.
- [12] L. Guo, S. Paycha, and B. Zhang. Renormalised conical zeta values. In F. Fauvet, D. Manchon, S. Marmi, and D. Sauzin, editors, *Resurgence, Physics and Numbers*, pages 299–327. Scuola Normale Superiore, 2017.
- [13] F. Zerbini. Elliptic multiple zeta values, modular graph functions and genus 1 superstring scattering amplitudes. 2017.
- [14] R. J. Wilson. Introduction to graph theory. Longman, fourth edition, 1996.
- [15] H. Tsumura. On Mordell-Tornheim zeta values. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. 133:2387–2393, 2005.
- [16] D. M. Bradley and X. Zhou. On mordell-tornheim sums and multiple zeta values. Ann. Sci. Math. Québec, Vol. 34:15–23, 2010.
- [17] W. Fulton. Introduction to Toric Varieties. Princeton University Press, 1993.
- [18] G. Ziegler. Lectures on Polytopes, volume Vol. 152 of Grad. Texts in Math. pringer-Verlag, 1994.
- [19] T. Terasoma. Rational convex cones and cyclotomic multiple zeta values. 2004.
- [20] K. Matsumoto. On mordell-tornheim and other multiple zeta functions. In D. R. Heath-Brown and B. Z. Moroz, editors, *Proceedings of the Session in Analytic Number Theory and Diophantine Equations*, number 25. Bonner Math. Schriften 360, Bonn, 2003.
- [21] D. Lopez Valencia. Pole structure of Shintani zeta functions and Newton Polytopes. In preparation, 2022.