

Rapid protein assignments and structures from raw NMR spectra with the deep learning technique ARTINA

Piotr Klukowski^{1*}, Roland Riek^{1*}, Peter Güntert^{1,2,3*}

¹ Laboratory of Physical Chemistry, ETH Zurich, Vladimir-Prelog-Weg 2, 8093 Zurich, Switzerland

² Institute of Biophysical Chemistry, Goethe University Frankfurt, Max-von-Laue-Str. 9, 60438 Frankfurt am Main, Germany

³ Department of Chemistry, Tokyo Metropolitan University, 1-1 Minami-Osawa, Hachioji, 192-0397 Tokyo, Japan

* e-mail: piotr.klukowski@phys.chem.ethz.ch; roland.riek@phys.chem.ethz.ch; peter.guentert@phys.chem.ethz.ch

Abstract

Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) spectroscopy is one of the major techniques in structural biology with over 11,800 protein structures deposited in the Protein Data Bank. NMR can elucidate structures and dynamics of small and medium size proteins in solution, living cells, and solids, but has been limited by the tedious data analysis process. It typically requires weeks or months of manual work of a trained expert to turn NMR measurements into a protein structure. Automation of this process is an open problem, formulated in the field over 30 years ago. Here, we present a solution to this challenge that enables the completely automated analysis of protein NMR data within hours after completing the measurements. Using only NMR spectra and the protein sequence as input, our machine learning-based method, ARTINA, delivers signal positions, resonance assignments, and structures strictly without any human intervention. Tested on a 100-protein benchmark comprising 1329 multidimensional NMR spectra, ARTINA demonstrated its ability to solve structures with 1.44 Å median RMSD to the PDB reference and to identify 91.36% correct NMR resonance assignments. ARTINA can be used by non-experts, reducing the effort for a protein assignment or structure determination by NMR essentially to the preparation of the sample and the spectra measurements.

Studying structures of proteins and ligand-protein complexes is one of the most influential endeavors in molecular biology and rational drug design. All key structure determination techniques, X-ray crystallography, electron microscopy, and NMR spectroscopy, have led to remarkable discoveries, but suffer from their respective experimental limitations. NMR can elucidate structures and dynamics of small and medium size proteins in solution¹ and even in living cells². However, the analysis of NMR spectra and the resonance assignment, which are indispensable for NMR studies, remain time-consuming even for a skilled and experienced spectroscopist. Attributed to this, the percentage of NMR protein structures in the Protein Data Bank (PDB) has decreased from a maximum of 14.6% in 2007 to 7.3% in 2021 (<https://www.rcsb.org/stats>). The problem has sparked research towards automating different tasks in NMR structure determination^{3,4}, including peak picking⁵⁻⁹, resonance assignment¹⁰⁻¹², and the identification of distance restraints^{13,14}. Several of these methods are available as webservers^{15,16}. This enabled semi-automatic^{17,18} but not yet unsupervised automation of the entire NMR structure determination process, except for a very small number of favorable proteins^{7,19}.

The advance of machine learning techniques²⁰ now offers unprecedented possibilities for reliably replacing decisions of human experts by efficient computational tools. Here, we present a method that achieves this goal for NMR assignment and structure determination. We show for a diverse set of 100 proteins that NMR resonance assignments and protein structures can be determined within hours after completing the NMR measurements. Our method, *Artificial Intelligence for NMR Applications*, ARTINA (Fig. 1), combines machine learning for tasks that are difficult to model otherwise with existing algorithms—evolutionary optimization for resonance assignment with FLYA¹², chemical shift database searches for torsion angle restraint generation with TALOS-N²¹, ambiguous distance restraints, network-anchoring and constraint combination for NOESY assignment^{14,22} and simulated annealing by torsion angle dynamics for structure calculation with CYANA²³. Machine learning is used in multiple flavors—deep residual neural networks²⁴ for visual spectrum analysis to identify peak positions (pp-ResNet) and to deconvolve overlapping signals (deconv-ResNet) in 25 different types of spectra (Supplementary Table 1), kernel density estimation (KDE) to reconstruct original peak positions in folded spectra, a deep graph neural network^{25,26} (GNN) for chemical shift estimation within the refinement of chemical shift assignments, and a gradient boosted trees²⁷ (GBT) model for the selection of structure proposals.

A major challenge in developing ARTINA was the collection and preparation of a large training data set that is required for machine learning, because, in contrast to assignments and structures, NMR spectra are generally not archived in public data repositories. Instead, we were obliged to collect from different sources and standardize complete sets of multidimensional NMR spectra for the assignment and structure determination of 100 proteins.

In the following, we describe the algorithm, training and test data, and results of ARTINA automated structure determination, which are on par with those achieved in weeks or months of human experts' labor.

Results

Benchmark dataset. One of the major obstacles for developing deep learning solutions for protein NMR spectroscopy is the lack of a large-scale standardized benchmark dataset of protein NMR spectra. To date, published manuscripts presenting the most notable methods for computational NMR, typically refer to less than 50 2D/3D/4D NMR spectra in their experimental sections. Even the well-recognized CASD-NMR competition cannot serve as a major source of training data for deep learning, since only the NOESY spectra of 10 proteins were used in the last round of the event²⁸.

To make our study possible, we established a standardized benchmark of 1329 2D/3D/4D NMR spectra, which allows 100 proteins to be recalculated using their original spectral data (Fig. 2, Supplementary Table 2). Each protein record in our dataset contains 5–20 spectra together with manually identified chemical shifts (usually depositions at the Biological Magnetic Resonance Data Bank, BMRB) and the previously determined (“ground truth”) protein structure (PDB record; Supplementary Table 3). The benchmark covers protein sizes typically studied by NMR spectroscopy with sequence lengths between 35 and 175 residues (molecular mass 4–20 kDa).

Automated protein structure determination. The accuracy of protein structure determination with ARTINA was evaluated in a 5-fold cross-validation experiment with the aforementioned benchmark dataset. Five instances of pp-ResNet and GBT were trained, each one using data from about 80% of the proteins for training and the remaining ones for testing. Since each protein was present exactly once in the test set, reported quality metrics were obtained directly in the cross-validation experiment, and no averaging between data splits was required. To deploy pp-ResNet and GBT models in our online system, we constructed an ensemble by averaging predictions of all 5 cross-validation models. The other models were trained only once using either generated data (deconv-ResNet, Supplementary Fig. 1) or BMRB depositions excluding all benchmark proteins (GNN, KDE).

In this experiment, we reproduced 100 structures in fully automated manner using only NMR spectra and the protein sequences as input. Since ARTINA has no tunable parameters and does not require any manual curation of data, each structure was calculated by a single execution of the ARTINA workflow. All benchmark datasets were analyzed by ARTINA in parallel with execution times of 4–20 h per protein.

All automatically determined structures, overlaid with the corresponding reference structures from the PDB, are visualized in Fig. 3, Supplementary Fig. 2, and Supplementary Movie 1. ARTINA was able to reproduce the reference structures with a median backbone root-mean-square deviation (RMSD) of 1.44 Å between the mean coordinates of the ARTINA structure bundle and the mean

coordinates of the corresponding reference PDB structure bundle for the backbone atoms N, C $^{\alpha}$, C' in the residue ranges determined by CYRANGE²⁹ (Fig. 4a, Supplementary Table 4). ARTINA automatically identified between 459 and 4678 distance restraints (2198 on average over 100 proteins), which corresponds to 4.25–33.20 restraints per residue (Fig. 4b). This number is mainly influenced by the extent of unstructured regions and the quality of the NOESY spectra. In agreement with earlier findings³⁰, it correlates only weakly with the backbone RMSD to reference (linear correlation coefficient –0.38). As a more expressive validation measure for the structures from ARTINA, we computed a predicted RMSD to the PDB reference structure on the basis of the RMSDs between the 10 candidate structure bundles calculated in ARTINA (see Methods, Fig. 5, Supplementary Table 5). The average deviation between actual and predicted RMSDs for the 100 proteins in this study is 0.35 Å, and their linear correlation coefficient is 0.77 (Fig. 5). In no case, the true RMSD exceeds the predicted one by more than 1 Å.

Additional structure validation scores obtained from ANSSUR³¹ (Supplementary Table 6), RPF³² (Supplementary Table 7), and consensus structure bundles³³ (Supplementary Table 8) confirm that overall the ARTINA structures and the corresponding reference PDB structures are of equivalent quality. Energy refinement of the ARTINA structures in explicit water using OPALp³⁴ (not part of the standard ARTINA workflow) does not significantly alter the agreement with the PDB reference structures (Supplementary Table 9). The benchmark data set comprises 78 protein structures determined by the Northeast Structural Genomics Consortium (NESG). On average, ARTINA yielded structures of the same accuracy for NESG targets (median RMSD to reference 1.44 Å) than for proteins from other sources (1.42 Å). Likewise, no remarkable difference was observed for proteins measured with different NMR spectrometer brands (data not shown).

On average, ARTINA correctly assigned 90.39% of the chemical shifts (Fig. 4c), as compared to the manually prepared assignments, including both “strong” (high-reliability) and “weak” (tentative) FLYA assignments¹². Backbone chemical shifts were assigned more accurately (96.03%) than side-chain ones (86.50%), which is mainly due to difficulties in assigning lysine/arginine (79.97%) and aromatic (76.87%) side-chains. Further details on the assignment accuracy for individual amino acid types in the protein cores (residues with less than 20% solvent accessibility) are given in Supplementary Table 10. Assignments for core residues, which are important for the protein structure, are generally more accurate than for the entire protein, in particular for core Ala, Cys and Asp residues, which show a median assignment accuracy of 100% over the 100 proteins. The lowest accuracies are observed for core His (83.3%), Phe (83.3%), and Arg (87.5%) residues. The three proteins with highest RMSD to reference, 2KCD, 2L82, and 2M47 (see below), show 68.2, 83.8, and 75.7% correct aromatic assignments, respectively, well below the corresponding median of 85.5%. On the other hand, the assignment accuracies for the methyl-containing residues Ala, Ile, Val are above average and reach a median of 100, 97.6, 98.6%, respectively.

The quality of automated structure determination and chemical shift assignment reflects the performance of deep learning-based visual spectrum analysis, presented qualitatively in Figs. 6–7, Supplementary Fig. 3, and Supplementary Movies 2–4. In this experiment, our models (pp-ResNet, deconv-ResNet) automatically identified 1,168,739 cross-peaks with high confidence (≥ 0.50) in the benchmark spectra. All 1329 peak lists, together with automatically determined protein structures and chemical shift lists, are available for download.

Error analysis. The largest deviations from the PDB reference structure were observed for the proteins 2KCD, 2L82, and 2M47, for which the pRMSD consistently indicated low accuracy (Fig. 5). Significant deviations are mainly due to displacements of terminal secondary structure elements (e.g., a tilted α -helix near a chain terminus), or inaccurate loop conformations (e.g., more flexible than in the PDB deposition). We investigated the origin of these discrepancies.

2KCD is a 120-residue (14.4 kDa) protein from *Staphylococcus saprophyticus* with an α - β roll architecture. Its dataset comprises 19 spectra (8 backbone, 6 side-chain, and 5 NOESY). The ARTINA structure has a backbone RMSD to PDB reference of 3.13 Å, which is caused by the displacement of the C-terminal α -helix (residues 105–109; Supplementary Fig. 4a). Excluding this 5-residue fragment decreases the RMSD to 2.40 Å (Supplementary Table 11). The positioning of this helix appears to be uncertain, since an ARTINA calculation without the 4D CC-NOESY spectrum yields a significantly lower RMSD of 1.77 Å (Supplementary Table 12).

2L82 is a *de novo* designed protein of 162 residues (19.7 kDa) with an $\alpha\beta$ 3-layer ($\alpha\beta\alpha$) sandwich architecture. Although only 9 spectra (4 backbone, 2 side-chain and 3 NOESY) are available, ARTINA correctly assigned 97.87% backbone and 81.05% side-chain chemical shifts. The primary reason for the high RMSD value of 3.55 Å is again a displacement of the C-terminal α -helix (residues 138–153). The remainder of the protein matches closely the PDB deposition (1.04 Å RMSD, Supplementary Fig. 4b).

The protein with highest RMSD to reference (4.72 Å) in our benchmark dataset is 2M47, a 163-residue (18.8 kDa) protein from *Corynebacterium glutamicum* with an α - β 2-layer sandwich architecture, for which 17 spectra (7 backbone, 7 side chain and 3 NOESY) are available. The main source of discrepancy are two α -helices spanning residues 111–157 near the C-terminus. Nevertheless, the residues contributing to the high RMSD value are distributed more extensively than in 2L82 and 2KCD just discussed. Interestingly, 2 of the 10 structure proposals calculated by ARTINA have an RMSD to reference below 2 Å (1.66 Å and 1.97 Å). In the final structure selection step, our GBT model selected the 4.72 Å RMSD structure as the first choice and 1.66 Å as the second one (Supplementary Fig. 4c). Such results imply that the automated structure determination of this protein is unstable. Since ARTINA returns the two structures selected by GBT with the highest confidence, the user can, in principle, choose the better structure based on contextual information.

In addition to these three case studies, we performed a quantitative analysis of all regular secondary structure elements and flexible loops present in our 100-protein benchmark in order to assess their impact on the backbone RMSD to reference (Supplementary Table 11). All residues in the structurally well-defined regions determined by CYRANGE²⁹ were assigned to 6 partially overlapping sets: (a) first secondary structure element, (b) last secondary structure element, (c) α -helices, (d) β -sheets, (e) α -helices and β -sheets, and (f) loops. Then, the RMSD to reference was calculated 6 times, each time with one set excluded. In total, for 66 of the 100 proteins the lowest RMSD was obtained if set (f) was excluded from RMSD calculation, and 13% benefited most from removal of the first or last secondary structure element (a or b). Moreover, for 18 out of the 19 proteins with more than 0.5 Å RMSD decrease compared to the RMSD for all well-defined residues, (a), (b) or (f) was the primary source of discrepancy. These results are consistent with our earlier statement that deviations in automatically determined protein structures are mainly caused by terminal secondary structure elements or inaccurate loop conformations.

Ablation studies. During the experiment, we captured the state of each structure determination at 9 time-points, 3 per structure determination cycle: (a) after the initial FLYA shift assignment, (b) after GNN shift refinement, and (c) after structure calculation (Fig. 1). Comparative analysis of these states allowed us to quantify the contribution of different ARTINA components to the structure determination process (Table 1).

The results show a strong benefit of the refinement cycles, as quantities reported in Table 1 consistently improve from cycle 1 to 3. The majority of benchmark proteins converge to the correct fold after the first cycle (1.56 Å median backbone RMSD to reference), which is further refined to 1.52 Å in cycle 2 and 1.44 Å in cycle 3. Additionally, within each chemical shift refinement cycle, improvements in assignment accuracy resulting from the GNN predictions are observed. This quantity also increases consistently across all refinement cycles, in particular for side-chains. Refinement cycles are particularly advantageous for large and challenging systems, such as 2LF2, 2M7U or 2B3W, which benefit substantially in cycles 2 and 3 from the presence of approximate protein fold in the chemical shift assignment step.

Impact of 4D NOESY experiments. As presented in Fig. 2, 26 out of 100 benchmark datasets contain 4D CC-NOESY spectra, which require long measurement times and were used in the manual structure determination. To quantify their impact, we performed automated structure determinations of these 26 proteins with and without the 4D CC-NOESY spectra (Supplementary Table 12).

On average, the presence of 4D CC-NOESY improves the backbone RMSD to reference by 0.15 Å (decrease from 1.88 Å to 1.73 Å) and has less than 1% impact on chemical shift assignment accuracy. However, the impact is non-uniform. For three proteins, 2KIW, 2L8V, and 2LF2, use of the

4D CC-NOESY decreased the RMSD by more than 1 Å. On the other hand, there is also one protein, 2KCD, for which the RMSD decreased by more than 1 Å by *excluding* the 4D CC-NOESY.

These results suggest that overall the amount of information stored in 2D/3D experiments is sufficient for ARTINA to reach close to optimal performance, and only modest improvement can be achieved by introducing additional information redundancy from 4D CC-NOESY spectra.

Automated chemical shift assignment. Apart from structure determination, our data analysis pipeline for protein NMR spectroscopy can address an array of problems that are nowadays approached manually or semi-manually. For instance, ARTINA can be stopped after visual spectrum analysis, returning positions and intensities of cross-peaks that can be utilized for any downstream task, not necessarily related to protein structure determination.

Alternatively, a single chemical shift refinement cycle can be performed to get automatically assigned cross-peaks from spectra and sequence. We evaluated this approach with three sets of spectra: (i) Exclusively backbone assignment spectra were used to assign N, C^α, C^β, C' and H^N shifts. With this input, ARTINA assigned 92.40% (median value) of the backbone shifts correctly. (ii) All through-bond but no NOESY spectra were used to assign the backbone and side-chain shifts. This raised the percentage of correct backbone assignments to 94.20%. (iii) The full data set including NOESY yielded 96.60% correct assignments of the backbone shifts. These three experiments were performed for the 45 benchmark proteins, for which CBCANH and CBCAcoNH, as well as either HNCA and HNcoCA or HNCO and HNcaCO experiments were available. The availability of NOESY spectra had a large impact on the side-chain assignments: 86.00% were correct for the full spectra set iii, compared to 73.70% in the absence of NOESY spectra (spectra set ii). The presence of NOESY spectra consistently improved the chemical shift assignment accuracy of all amino acid types (Supplementary Tables 13 and 14). The improvement is particularly strong for aromatic residues (Phe, 61.6 to 76.5%, Trp 52.5 to 80%, and Tyr 71.4 to 89.7%), but not limited to this group.

Discussion

The results obtained with ARTINA differ in several aspects substantially from previous approaches towards automating protein NMR analysis^{3,4,7,12,17-19,35}. First, ARTINA comprehends the entire workflow from spectra to structures rather than individual steps in it, and there are strictly no manual interventions or protein-specific parameters to be adapted. Second, the quality of the results regarding peak identification, resonance assignments, and structures have been assessed on a large and diverse set of 100 proteins; for the vast majority of which they are on par with what can be achieved by human experts. Third, the method provides a two-orders-of-magnitude leap in efficiency by providing assignments and a structure within hours of computation time rather than weeks or months of human work. This reduces the effort for a protein structure determination by NMR essentially to the preparation of the sample and the measurement of the spectra. Its implementation in the <https://nmrtist.org>

webserver (Supplementary Movie 5) encapsulates its complexity, eliminates any intermediate data and format conversions by the user, and enables the use of different types of high-performance hardware as appropriate for each of the subtasks. ARTINA is not limited to structure determination but can be used equally well for peak picking and resonance assignment in NMR studies that do not aim at a structure, such as investigations of ligand binding or dynamics.

Although ARTINA has no parameters to be optimized by the user, care should be given to the preparation of the input data, i.e., the choice, measurement, processing, and specification of the spectra. Spectrum type, axes and isotope labelling declarations must be correct, and chemical shift referencing consistent over the entire set of spectra. Slight variations of corresponding chemical shifts within the tolerances of 0.03 ppm for ^1H and 0.4 ppm for $^{13}\text{C}/^{15}\text{N}$ can be accommodated, but larger deviations, resulting, for instance, from the use of multiple samples, pH changes, protein degradation, or inaccurate referencing, can be detrimental. Where appropriate, ARTINA proposes corrections of chemical shift referencing³⁶. Furthermore, based on the large training data set, which comprises a large variety of spectral artifacts, ARTINA largely avoids misinterpreting artifacts as signals. However, with decreasing spectral quality, ARTINA, like a human expert, will progressively miss real signals.

Regarding protein size and spectrum quality, limitations of ARTINA are similar to those encountered by a trained spectroscopist. Machine-learning based visual analysis of spectra requires signals to be present and distinguishable in the spectra. ARTINA does not suffer from accidental oversight that may affect human spectra analysis. On the other hand, human experts may exploit contextual information to which the automated system currently has no access because it identifies individual signals by looking at relatively small, local excerpts of spectra.

In this paper, we used all spectra that are available from the earlier manual structure determination. For most of the 100 proteins, the spectra data set has significant redundancy regarding information for the resonance assignment. Our results indicate that one can expect to obtain good assignments and structures also from smaller sets of spectra³⁷, with concomitant savings of NMR measurement time. We plan to investigate this in a future study.

The present version of ARTINA can be enhanced in several directions. Besides improving individual models and algorithms, it is conceivable to integrate the so far independently trained collection of machine learning models, plus additional models that replace conventional algorithms, into a coherent system that is trained as a whole. Furthermore, the reliability of machine learning approaches depends strongly on the quantity and quality of training data available. While the collection of the present training data set for ARTINA was cumbersome, from now on it can be expected to expand continuously through the use of the <https://nmrtist.org> website, both quantitatively and qualitatively with regard to greater variability in terms of protein types, spectral quality, source laboratory, data processing (including non-linear sampling), etc., which can be exploited in retraining the models.

ARTINA can also be extended to use additional experimental input data, e.g., known partial assignments, stereospecific assignments, 3J couplings, residual dipolar couplings, paramagnetic data, H-bonds. Structural information, e.g., from AlphaFold³⁸, can be used in combination with reduced sets of NMR spectra for rapid structure-based assignment. Finally, the range of application of ARTINA can be generalized to small molecule-protein complexes relevant for structure-activity relationship studies in drug research, protein-protein complexes, RNA, solid state and in-cell NMR.

Overall, ARTINA stands for a paradigm change in biomolecular NMR from a time-consuming technique for specialists to a fast method open to researchers in molecular biology and medicinal chemistry. At the same time, in a larger perspective, the appearance of generally highly accurate structure predictions by AlphaFold³⁸ is revolutionizing structural biology. Nevertheless, there remains space for the experimental methods, for instance, to elucidate various states of proteins under different conditions or in dynamic exchange, or for studying protein-ligand interaction. Regarding ARTINA, one should keep in mind that its applications extend far beyond structure determination. It will accelerate virtually any biological NMR studies that require the analysis of multidimensional NMR spectra and chemical shift assignments. Protein structure determination is just one possible ARTINA application, which is both demanding in terms of the amount and quality of required experimental data and amenable to quantitative evaluation.

Methods

Spectrum benchmark collection. To collect the benchmark of NMR spectra (Fig. 2, Supplementary Table 2), we implemented a crawler software, which systematically scanned the FTP server of the BMRB data bank³⁹, identifying data files relevant to our study. Additional datasets were obtained by setting up a website for the deposition of published data (<https://nmrdb.ethz.ch>), from our collaboration network, or had been acquired internally in our laboratory. NMR data was collected from these channels either in the form of processed spectra (Sparky⁴⁰, NMRpipe⁴¹, XEASY⁴², Bruker formats), or in the form of time-domain data accompanied by depositor-supplied NMRpipe processing scripts. No additional spectra processing (e.g., baseline correction) was performed as part of this study.

The most challenging aspects of the benchmark collection process were: scarcity of data – only a small fraction of all BMRB depositions are accompanied by uploaded spectra (or time-domain data), lack of standards for NMR data depositions – each protein data set had to be prepared manually, as the original data was stored in different formats (spectra name conventions, axis label standards, spectra data format), and difficulties in correlating data files deposited in the BMRB FTP site with contextual information about the spectrum and the sample (e.g., sample characteristics, measurement conditions, instrument used).

Different approaches to 3D ^{13}C -NOESY spectra measurement had to be taken into account: (i) Two separate ^{13}C NOESY for aliphatic and aromatic signals. These were analyzed by ARTINA without

any special treatment. We used `ALI`, `ARO` tags (Supplementary Movie S5) to provide the information that only either aliphatic or aromatics shifts are expected in a given spectrum. (ii) Simultaneous NC-NOESY. These spectra were processed twice to have proper scaling of the ^{13}C and ^{15}N axes in ppm units, and cropped to extract ^{15}N -NOESY and ^{13}C -NOESY spectra. If nitrogen and carbon cross-peaks have different signs of signal amplitude, we used `POS`, `NEG` tags to provide the information that only either positive or negative signals should be analyzed. (iii) Aliphatic and aromatic signals in a single ^{13}C -NOESY spectrum. These measurements do not require any special treatment, but proper cross-peak unfolding plays a vital role in aromatic signals analysis.

Overview of the ARTINA algorithm. ARTINA uses as input only the protein sequence and a set of NMR spectra, which may contain any combination of 25 experiments currently supported by the method (Supplementary Table 1). Within 4–20 hours of computation time (depending on protein size, number of spectra, and computing hardware load), ARTINA determines: (a) cross-peak positions for each spectrum, (b) chemical shift assignments, (c) distance restraints from NOESY spectra, and (d) the protein structure. The whole process does not require any human involvement, allowing rapid protein NMR assignment and structure determination by non-experts.

The ARTINA workflow starts with *visual spectrum analysis* (Fig. 1), wherein cross-peak positions are identified in frequency-domain NMR spectra using deep residual neural networks (ResNet)²⁴. Coordinates of signals in the spectra are passed as input to the FLYA automated assignment algorithm¹², yielding initial chemical *shift assignments*. In the subsequent *chemical shift refinement* step, we bring to the workflow contextual information about thousands of protein structures solved by NMR in the past using a deep Graph Neural Network (GNN)²⁵ that was trained on BMRB/PDB depositions. Its goal is to predict expected values of yet missing chemical shifts, given the shifts that have already been confidently and unambiguously assigned by FLYA. With these GNN predictions as additional input, the cross-peak positions are reassessed in a second FLYA call, which completes the *chemical shift refinement cycle* (Fig. 1).

In the *structure refinement cycle*, 10 variants of NOESY peak lists are generated, which differ in the number of cross-peaks selected from the output of the visual spectrum analysis by varying the confidence threshold of a signal selected by ResNet between 0.05 and 0.5. Each set of NOESY peak lists is used in an independent CYANA structure calculation^{22,23}, yielding *10 intermediate structure proposals* (Fig. 1). The structure proposals are ranked in the *intermediate structure selection* step based on 96 features with a dedicated Gradient Boosted Trees (GBT) model. The selected best structure proposal is used as contextual information in a consecutive FLYA run, which closes the *structure refinement cycle*.

After the two initial steps of visual spectrum analysis and initial chemical shift assignment, ARTINA interchangeably executes refinement cycles. The chemical shift refinement cycle provides

FLYA with tighter restraints on expected chemical shifts, which helps to assign ambiguous cross-peaks. The structure refinement cycle provides information about possible through-space contacts, allowing identified cross-peaks (especially in NOESY) to be reassigned. The high-level concept behind the interchangeable execution of refinement cycles is to iteratively update the protein structure given fixed chemical shifts, and update chemical shifts given the fixed protein structure. Both refinement cycles are executed three times.

Automated visual analysis of the spectrum. We established two machine learning models for the visual analysis of multidimensional NMR spectra (see downloads in the Code availability section). In their design, we made no assumptions about the downstream task and the 2D/3D/4D experiment type. Therefore, the proposed models can be used as the starting point of our automated structure determination procedure, as well as for any other task that requires cross-peak coordinates.

The automated visual analysis starts by selecting all extrema $\mathbf{x} = \{\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N\}$, $\mathbf{x}_n \in \mathbb{N}^D$ in the NMR spectrum, which is represented as a D -dimensional regular grid storing signal intensities at discrete frequencies. We formulated the peak picking task as an object detection problem, where possible object positions are confined to \mathbf{x} . This task was addressed by training a deep residual neural network²⁴, in the following denoted as peak picking ResNet (pp-ResNet), which learns a mapping $\mathbf{x}_n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ that assigns to each signal extremum a real-valued score, which resembles its probability of being a true signal rather than an artefact.

Our network architecture is strongly linked to ResNet-18²⁴. It contains 8 residual blocks, followed by a single fully connected layer with sigmoidal activation. After weight initialization with Glorot Uniform⁴³, the architecture was trained by optimizing a binary cross-entropy loss using Adam⁴⁴ with learning rate 10^{-4} and gradient clipping of 0.5.

To establish an experimental training dataset for pp-ResNet, we normalized the 1329 spectra in our benchmark with respect to resolution (adjusting the number of data grid points per unit chemical shift (ppm) using linear interpolation) and signal amplitude (scaling the spectrum by a constant). Subsequently, 675,423 diverse 2D fragments of size $256 \times 32 \times 1$ were extracted from the normalized spectra and manually annotated, yielding 98,730 positive and 576,693 negative class training examples. During the training process, we additionally augmented this dataset by flipping spectrum fragments along the second dimension (32 pixels), stretching them by 0–30% in the first and second dimensions, and perturbing signal intensities with Gaussian noise addition.

The role of the pp-ResNet is to quickly iterate over signal extrema in the spectrum, filtering out artefacts and selecting approximate cross-peak positions for the downstream task. The relatively small network architecture (8 residual blocks) and input size of 2D 256×32 image patches make it possible to analyze large 3D ^{13}C -resolved NOESY spectra in less than 5 minutes on a high-end desktop computer. Simultaneously, the first dimension of the image patch (256 pixels) provides long-range

contextual information on the possible presence of signals aligned with the current extremum (e.g., C^α, C^β cross-peaks in an HNCACB spectrum).

Extrema classified with high confidence as true signals by pp-ResNet undergo subsequent analysis with a second deep residual neural network (deconv-ResNet). Its objective is to perform signal deconvolution, based on a 3D spectrum fragment ($64 \times 32 \times 5$ voxels) that is cropped around a signal extremum selected by pp-ResNet. This task is defined as a regression problem, where deconv-ResNet outputs a 3×3 matrix storing 3D coordinates of up to 3 deconvolved peak components, relative to the center of the input image. To ensure permutation invariance with respect to the ordering of components in the output coordinate matrix, and to allow for a variable number of 1–3 peak components, the architecture was trained with a Chamfer distance loss⁴⁵.

Since deconv-ResNet deals only with true signals and their local neighborhood, its training dataset can be conveniently generated. We established a spectrum fragment generator, based on rules reflecting the physics of NMR, which produced 110,000 synthetic training examples (Extended Data Fig. 1) having variable (a) numbers of components to deconvolve (1–3), (b) signal-to-noise ratio, (c) component shapes (Gaussian, Lorentzian, and mixed), (d) component amplitude ratios, (e) component separation, and (f) component neighborhood type (i.e., NOESY-like signal strips or HSQC-like 2D signal clusters). The deconv-ResNet model was thus trained on fully synthetic data.

Signal unaliasing. To use ResNet predictions in automated chemical shift assignment and structure calculation, detected cross-peak coordinates must be transformed from the spectrum coordinate system to their true resonance frequencies. We addressed the problem of automated signal unfolding with the classical machine learning approach to density estimation.

At first, we generated 10^5 cross-peaks associated with each experiment type supported by ARTINA (Supplementary Table 1). In this process, we used randomly selected chemical shift lists deposited in the BMRB database, excluding depositions associated with our benchmark proteins. Subsequently, we trained a Kernel Density Estimator (KDE):

$$p_e(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{N_e} \sum_{i=1}^{N_e} \kappa(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i^{(e)})$$

which captures distribution $p_e(\mathbf{x})$ of true peaks being present at position \mathbf{x} in spectrum type e , based on $N_e = 10^5$ cross-peaks coordinates $\mathbf{x}_i^{(e)}$ generated with BMRB data, and κ being the Gaussian kernel.

Unfolding a k -dimensional spectrum is defined as a discrete optimization problem, solved independently for each cross-peak position $\mathbf{x}_j^{(e)}$ observed in a spectrum of type e :

$$\mathbf{s}^* = \arg \max_{\mathbf{s}} p_e(\mathbf{x}_j^{(e)} + \mathbf{w} \circ \mathbf{s})$$

where $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^k$ is a vector storing the spectral width in each dimension (ppm units), \circ is element-wise multiplication, $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^k$ is a vector indicating how many times cross-peak is unfolded in each dimension, and $\mathbf{s}^* \in \mathbb{Z}^k$ is the optimal cross-peak unfolding.

As long as regular and folded signals do not overlap or have different signs in the spectrum, KDE can unfold the peak list regardless of spectrum dimensionality. The spectrum must not be cropped in the folded dimension, i.e., the folding sweep width must equal the width of the spectrum in the corresponding dimension.

All 2D/3D spectra in our benchmark were folded in at most one dimension and satisfy the aforementioned requirements. However, the 4D CC-NOESY spectra satisfy neither, as regular and folded peaks both overlap and have the same signal amplitude sign. This introduces ambiguity in the spectrum unfolding that prevents direct use of the KDE technique. To retrieve original signal positions, 4D CC-NOESY cross-peaks were unfolded to overlap with signals detected in 3D ^{13}C -NOESY. In consequence, 4D CC-NOESY unfolding depended on other experiments, and individual 4D cross-peaks are retained only if they are confirmed in a 3D experiment.

Chemical shift assignment. Chemical shift assignment is performed with the existing FLYA algorithm¹² that uses a genetic algorithm combined with local optimization to find an optimal matching between expected and observed peaks. FLYA uses as input the protein sequence, lists of peak positions from the available spectra, chemical shift statistics, either from the BMRB³⁹ or the Graph Neural Network (GNN) described in the next section, and, if available, the structure from the previous refinement cycle. The tolerance for the matching of peak positions and chemical shifts was set to 0.03 ppm for ^1H , and 0.4 ppm for $^{13}\text{C}/^{15}\text{N}$ shifts. Each FLYA execution comprises 20 independent runs with identical input data that differ in the random numbers used in the optimization algorithm. Nuclei for which at least 80% of the 20 runs yield, within tolerance, the same chemical shift value are classified as reliably assigned¹² and used as input for the following chemical shift refinement step.

Chemical shift refinement. We used a graph data structure to combine FLYA-assigned shifts with information from previously assigned proteins (BMRB records) and possible spatial interactions. Each node corresponds to an atom in the protein sequence, and is represented by a feature vector composed of (a) a one-hot encoded atom type code (e.g., C^α , H^β), (b) a one-hot encoded amino acid type, (c) the value of the chemical shift assigned by FLYA (only if a confident assignment is available, zero otherwise), (d) atom-specific BMRB shift statistics (mean and standard deviation), and (e) 30 chemical shift values obtained from BMRB database fragments. The latter feature is obtained by searching BMRB records for assigned 2–3-residue fragments that match the local protein sequence and have minimal mean-squared-error (MSE) to shifts confidently assigned by FLYA (non-zero values of feature (c) in the local neighborhood of the atom). The edges of the graph correspond to chemical bonds or skip connections. The latter connect the C^β atom of a given residue with C^β atoms 2, 3, and 5 residues apart

in the amino acid sequence, and have the purpose to capture possible through-space influence on the chemical shift that is typically observed in secondary structure elements.

The chemical shift refinement task is defined as a node regression problem, where an expected value of the chemical shift is predicted for each atom that lacks a confident FLYA assignment. This task is addressed with a 26-layer DeepGCN model^{25,26} that was trained on 28,400 graphs extracted from 2840 referenced BMRB records³⁹. Each training example was created by building a fully assigned graph out of a single BMRB record, and dropping chemical shift values (feature (c) above) for randomly chosen atoms that FLYA typically assigns either with low confidence or inaccurately.

Our DeepGCN model is designed specifically for *de novo* structure determination, as it uses only the protein sequence and partial shift assignments to estimate values of missing chemical shifts. Its predictions are used to guide the FLYA genetic algorithm optimization¹² by reducing its search range for assignments. The precise final chemical shift value is always determined by the position of a signal in the spectrum, rather than the model prediction alone.

Torsion angle restraints. Before each structure calculation step, torsion angle restraints for the ϕ and ψ angles of the polypeptide backbone were obtained from the current backbone chemical shifts using the program TALOS-N²¹. Restraints were only generated if TALOS-N classified the prediction as 'Good', 'Strong', or 'Generous'. Given a TALOS-N torsion angle prediction of $\phi \pm \Delta\phi$, the allowed range of the torsion angle was set to $\phi \pm \max(\Delta\phi, 10^\circ)$ for 'Good' and 'Strong' predictions, and $\phi \pm 1.5 \max(\Delta\phi, 10^\circ)$ for 'Generous' predictions.

Structure calculation and selection. Given the chemical shift assignments and NOESY cross-peak positions and intensities, the structure is calculated with CYANA²³ using the established method²² that comprises 7 cycles of NOESY cross-peak assignment and structure calculation, followed by a final structure calculation. In total, 8×100 conformers are calculated for a given input data set using 30,000 torsion angle dynamics steps per conformer. The 20 conformers with the lowest final target function value are chosen to represent the solution structure proposal. The entire combined NOESY assignment and structure calculation procedure is executed independently 10 times based on 10 variants of NOESY peak lists, which differ in the number of cross-peaks selected from the output of the visual spectrum analysis. The first set generously includes all signals selected by ResNet with confidence ≥ 0.05 . The other variants of NOESY peak lists follow the same principle with increasingly restrictive confidence thresholds of 0.1, 0.15, ..., 0.5.

The CYANA structures calculations are followed by a structure selection step, wherein the 10 intermediate structure proposals are compared pairwise by a Gradient Boosted Tree (GBT) model that uses 96 features from each structure proposal (including the CYANA target function value²³, number of long-range distance restraints, etc.; for details, see downloads in the Code availability section) to rank the structures by their expected accuracy. The best structure from the ranking is subsequently used

as contextual information for the chemical shift refinement cycle (Fig. 1), or returned as the final outcome of ARTINA. The second-best final structure is also returned for comparison.

To train GBT, we collected a set of successful and unsuccessful structure calculations with CYANA. Each training example was a tuple (s_i, r_i) , where s_i is the vector of features extracted from the CYANA structure calculation output, and r_i is the RMSD of the output structure to the PDB reference. The GBT was trained to take the features s_i and s_j of two structure calculations with CYANA as input, and to predict a binary order variable o_{ij} , such that $o_{ij} = 1$ if $r_i < r_j$, and 0 otherwise. Importantly, the deposited PDB reference structures were not used directly in the GBT model training (they are used only to calculate the RMSDs). Consequently, the GBT model is unaffected by methodology and technicalities related to PDB deposition (e.g., the structure calculation software used to calculate the deposited reference structure).

Structure accuracy estimate. As an accuracy estimate for the final ARTINA structure, a predicted RMSD to reference (pRMSD) is calculated from the ARTINA results (without knowledge of the reference PDB structure). It aims at reproducing the actual RMSD to reference, which is the RMSD between the mean coordinates of the ARTINA structure bundle and the mean coordinates of the corresponding reference PDB structure bundle for the backbone atoms N, C $^\alpha$, C $^\beta$ in the residue ranges as given in Supplementary Table 4. The predicted RMSD is given by $\text{pRMSD} = (1 - t) \times 4 \text{ \AA}$, where, in analogy to the GDT_HA value⁴⁶, t is the average fraction of the RMSDs $\leq 0.5, 1, 2, 4 \text{ \AA}$ between the mean coordinates of the best ARTINA candidate structure bundle and the mean coordinates of the structure bundles of the 9 other structure proposals. Since $t \in [0, 1]$, the pRMSD is always in the range of 0–4 Å, grouping all “bad” structures with expected RMSD to reference $\geq 4 \text{ \AA}$ at pRMSD = 4 Å.

Reporting summary. Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

Data availability

References structures: PDB Protein Data Bank (<https://www.rcsb.org/>; accession codes in Fig. 2 and Supplementary Table 3)

Spectra and reference assignments: BMRB Biological Magnetic Resonance Data Bank (<https://bmr.io/>; entry IDs in Supplementary Table 3)

Peak lists, assignments, and structures:

https://nmrtist.org/media/publications/ARTINA/ARTINA_results.zip

Source data for Figs. 2, 4, and 5 is available in Supplementary Tables 2, 4, and 5, respectively.

Code availability

The ARTINA algorithm is available as a webserver at <https://nmrtist.org>. pp-ResNet, deconv-ResNet, GNN, and GBT are available for download in binary form, together with architecture schemes, example input data, model input description, and source code that allows to read model files and make predictions (<https://github.com/PiotrKlukowski/ARTINA>, https://nmrtist.org/static/public/publications/artina/models/{ARTINA_peak_picking.zip, ARTINA_peak_deconvolution.zip, ARTINA_shift_prediction.zip, ARTINA_structure_ranking.zip}). These files provide a full technical specification of the components developed within ARTINA, and allow for their independent use in Python.

Existing software used: Python (<https://www.python.org/>), CYANA (<https://www.las.jp/>), TALOS-N (<https://spin.niddk.nih.gov/bax/software/TALOS-N>).

References

1. Wüthrich, K. NMR studies of structure and function of biological macromolecules (Nobel Lecture). *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **42**, 3340–3363 (2003).
2. Sakakibara, D. et al. Protein structure determination in living cells by in-cell NMR spectroscopy. *Nature* **458**, 102–105 (2009).
3. Guerry, P. & Herrmann, T. Advances in automated NMR protein structure determination. *Q. Rev. Biophys.* **44**, 257–309 (2011).
4. Güntert, P. Automated structure determination from NMR spectra. *Eur. Biophys. J.* **38**, 129–143 (2009).
5. Garrett, D.S., Powers, R., Gronenborn, A.M. & Clore, G.M. A common sense approach to peak picking two-, three- and four-dimensional spectra using automatic computer analysis of contour diagrams. *J. Magn. Reson.* **95**, 214–220 (1991).
6. Koradi, R., Billeter, M., Engeli, M., Güntert, P. & Wüthrich, K. Automated peak picking and peak integration in macromolecular NMR spectra using AUTOPSY. *J. Magn. Reson.* **135**, 288–297 (1998).
7. Würz, J.M. & Güntert, P. Peak picking multidimensional NMR spectra with the contour geometry based algorithm CYPICK. *J. Biomol. NMR* **67**, 63–76 (2017).
8. Klukowski, P. et al. NMRNet: a deep learning approach to automated peak picking of protein NMR spectra. *Bioinformatics* **34**, 2590–2597 (2018).
9. Li, D.W., Hansen, A.L., Yuan, C.H., Bruschweiler-Li, L. & Brüschweiler, R. DEEP picker is a deep neural network for accurate deconvolution of complex two-dimensional NMR spectra. *Nat. Commun.* **12**, 5229 (2021).

10. Bartels, C., Güntert, P., Billeter, M. & Wüthrich, K. GARANT - A general algorithm for resonance assignment of multidimensional nuclear magnetic resonance spectra. *J. Comput. Chem.* **18**, 139–149 (1997).
11. Zimmerman, D.E. et al. Automated analysis of protein NMR assignments using methods from artificial intelligence. *J. Mol. Biol.* **269**, 592–610 (1997).
12. Schmidt, E. & Güntert, P. A new algorithm for reliable and general NMR resonance assignment. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **134**, 12817–12829 (2012).
13. Linge, J.P., O'Donoghue, S.I. & Nilges, M. Automated assignment of ambiguous nuclear overhauser effects with ARIA. *Methods Enzymol.* **339**, 71–90 (2001).
14. Herrmann, T., Güntert, P. & Wüthrich, K. Protein NMR structure determination with automated NOE assignment using the new software CANDID and the torsion angle dynamics algorithm DYANA. *J. Mol. Biol.* **319**, 209–227 (2002).
15. Allain, F., Mareuil, F., Ménager, H., Nilges, M. & Bardiaux, B. ARIAweb: a server for automated NMR structure calculation. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **48**, W41–W47 (2020).
16. Lee, W. et al. I-PINE web server: an integrative probabilistic NMR assignment system for proteins. *J. Biomol. NMR* **73**, 213–222 (2019).
17. Huang, Y.P.J. et al. An integrated platform for automated analysis of protein NMR structures. *Methods Enzymol.* **394**, 111–141 (2005).
18. Kobayashi, N. et al. KUJIRA, a package of integrated modules for systematic and interactive analysis of NMR data directed to high-throughput NMR structure studies. *J. Biomol. NMR* **39**, 31–52 (2007).
19. López-Méndez, B. & Güntert, P. Automated protein structure determination from NMR spectra. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **128**, 13112–13122 (2006).
20. Murphy, K.P. Probabilistic machine learning: An introduction. (MIT Press, Cambridge, MA; 2022).
21. Shen, Y. & Bax, A. Protein backbone and sidechain torsion angles predicted from NMR chemical shifts using artificial neural networks. *J. Biomol. NMR* **56**, 227–241 (2013).
22. Güntert, P. & Buchner, L. Combined automated NOE assignment and structure calculation with CYANA. *J. Biomol. NMR* **62**, 453–471 (2015).
23. Güntert, P., Mumenthaler, C. & Wüthrich, K. Torsion angle dynamics for NMR structure calculation with the new program DYANA. *J. Mol. Biol.* **273**, 283–298 (1997).
24. Kaiming, H., Xiangyu, Z., Shaoqing, R. & Jian, S. Deep residual learning for image recognition. In *Proc. 2016 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)* 770–778 (2016).
25. Kipf, T.N. & Welling, M. Semi-supervised classification with graph convolutional networks. *arXiv*, 1609.02907 (2016).

26. Chiang, W.L. et al. Cluster-GCN: An efficient algorithm for training deep and large graph convolutional networks. In *Proc. 25th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining (KDD)* 257–266 (2019).
27. Prokhorenkova, L., Gusev, G., Vorobev, A., Dorogush, A.V. & Gulin, A. CatBoost: unbiased boosting with categorical features. In *Proc. 32nd Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems (NIPS)* (2018).
28. Rosato, A. et al. The second round of Critical Assessment of Automated Structure Determination of Proteins by NMR: CASD-NMR-2013. *J. Biomol. NMR* **62**, 413–424 (2015).
29. Kirchner, D.K. & Güntert, P. Objective identification of residue ranges for the superposition of protein structures. *BMC Bioinformatics* **12**, 170 (2011).
30. Buchner, L. & Güntert, P. Systematic evaluation of combined automated NOE assignment and structure calculation with CYANA. *J. Biomol. NMR* **62**, 81–95 (2015).
31. Fowler, N.J., Sljoka, A. & Williamson, M.P. A method for validating the accuracy of NMR protein structures. *Nat. Commun.* **11** (2020).
32. Huang, Y.J., Powers, R. & Montelione, G.T. Protein NMR recall, precision, and F-measure scores (RPF scores): Structure quality assessment measures based on information retrieval statistics. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **127**, 1665–1674 (2005).
33. Buchner, L. & Güntert, P. Increased reliability of nuclear magnetic resonance protein structures by consensus structure bundles. *Structure* **23**, 425–434 (2015).
34. Koradi, R., Billeter, M. & Güntert, P. Point-centered domain decomposition for parallel molecular dynamics simulation. *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **124**, 139–147 (2000).
35. Herrmann, T., Güntert, P. & Wüthrich, K. Protein NMR structure determination with automated NOE-identification in the NOESY spectra using the new software ATNOS. *J. Biomol. NMR* **24**, 171–189 (2002).
36. Buchner, L., Schmidt, E. & Güntert, P. Peakmatch: a simple and robust method for peak list matching. *J. Biomol. NMR* **55**, 267–277 (2013).
37. Scott, A., López-Méndez, B. & Güntert, P. Fully automated structure determinations of the Fes SH2 domain using different sets of NMR spectra. *Magn. Reson. Chem.* **44**, S83–S88 (2006).
38. Jumper, J. et al. Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. *Nature* **596**, 583–589 (2021).
39. Ulrich, E.L. et al. BioMagResBank. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **36**, D402–D408 (2008).
40. Goddard, T.D. & Kneller, D.G. (University of California, San Francisco; 2001).
41. Delaglio, F. et al. NMRPipe - A multidimensional spectral processing system based on Unix pipes. *J. Biomol. NMR* **6**, 277–293 (1995).
42. Bartels, C., Xia, T.H., Billeter, M., Güntert, P. & Wüthrich, K. The program XEASY for computer-supported NMR spectral analysis of biological macromolecules. *J. Biomol. NMR* **6**, 1–10 (1995).

43. Glorot, X. & Bengio, Y. Understanding the difficulty of training deep feedforward neural networks. *Proc. Mach. Learn. Res.* **9**, 249–256 (2010).
44. Kingma, D.P. & Ba, J. Adam: A method for stochastic optimization. *arXiv*, 1412.6980 (2015).
45. Davies, E.R. *Computer vision*. (Academic Press, London; 2018).
46. Kryshtafovych, A. et al. New tools and expanded data analysis capabilities at the protein structure prediction center. *Proteins* **69**, 19–26 (2007).

Acknowledgements

We thank Drs. Frédéric Allain, Fred Damberger, Hideo Iwai, Harindranath Kadavath, Julien Orts, and Dean Strotz for providing unpublished spectra. This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No 891690 (P.K.), and a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (P.G., 20 K06508).

Author contributions

P.K. prepared training and test data sets, designed and trained machine learning models, performed experiments described in the manuscript, and implemented ARTINA within nmrtist.org web platform. P.K. and P.G. wrote software. All authors conceived the project, analyzed the results, and wrote the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/...>

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to P.K., R.R., or P.G.




Fig. 1 Graphical abstract of the ARTINA workflow for automated NMR protein structure determination.




Fig. 2 NMR benchmark dataset content. PDB codes (or names, MH04, MDM2, KRAS4B, if PDB code unavailable) of the 100 benchmark proteins are ordered by the number of residues. The histogram shows the number of spectra for backbone assignment, side-chain assignment, and NOE measurement. Spectrum types in each data set are shown by light to dark blue circles indicating the number of individual spectra of the given type. The percentages of benchmark records that contain a given spectrum type are given at the top. Spectrum types present in less than 5% of the data sets have been omitted.




Fig. 3 100 protein structures determined automatically by ARTINA (blue) overlaid with corresponding PDB depositions (orange). The structures are aligned with the RMSD to reference range as indicated on the left and hexagonal frames color-coded by their size as indicated above. Structures with no corresponding PDB depositions are marked by an asterisk.




Fig. 4 Results of the automated structure determination of 100 proteins. a Backbone RMSD to reference. **b** Number of distance restraints per residue. **c** Chemical shift assignment accuracy. Bars represent quantity values for benchmark proteins, identified by PDB codes (or protein names). Proteins are ordered by size, which is indicated by a color-coded circle. Values in the center of each panel are 10th, 50th and 90th percentiles of values presented in the bar plot. Short/medium/long-range restraints are between residues i and j with $|i - j| \leq 1$, $2 \leq |i - j| \leq 4$, and $|i - j| \geq 5$, respectively.




Fig. 5 Actual and predicted RMSD between ARTINA and reference PDB structures. The predicted RMSD to reference (pRMSD) is calculated from the ARTINA results without knowledge of the reference PDB structure (see Methods) and, by definition, always in the range of 0–4 Å. For comparability, actual RMSD values to reference are also truncated at 4 Å (protein 2M47 with RMSD 4.47 Å). The dotted lines represent deviations of ± 1 Å between the two RMSD quantities.




Fig. 6 Commonly occurring challenges in visual spectrum analysis. A fragment of a ^{15}N -HSQC spectrum of the protein 1T0Y is shown. Initial signal positions identified by the peak picking model pp-ResNet (black dots) are deconvolved by deconv-ResNet, yielding the final coordinates used for automated assignment and structure determination (blue crosses). **a₁**, **a₂** Initial peak picking marker position is refined by the deconvolution model. **b₁**, **b₂** pp-ResNet output is deconvolved into two components. **c** The deconvolution model supports maximally 3 components per initial signal. **d** Two peak picking markers are merged by the deconvolution model. **e** Peak picking output deconvolved into 3 components.




Fig. 7 Performance of the peak picking model on a spectrum fragment with high peak overlap. A fragment of the ^{13}C -HSQC spectrum of protein 2K0M is shown. Initial signal positions identified by the peak picking model pp-ResNet (black dots) are deconvolved by deconv-ResNet, yielding the final coordinates used for automated assignment and structure determination (blue crosses).

Table 1 Quality of assignments and structures in each refinement cycle.

		Quantity	Refinement cycle		
			1	2	3
Chemical shift assignment	Initial	Backbone assignment accuracy [%]	96.12	96.19	96.34
		Side-chain assignment accuracy [%]	84.90	86.83	86.95
		All-atom assignment accuracy [%]	89.20	90.51	90.79
	Refined	Backbone assignment accuracy [%]	96.78	96.92	97.22
		Side-chain assignment accuracy [%]	86.02	87.75	88.04
		All-atom assignment accuracy [%]	90.17	91.31	91.36
Structure calculation	CYANA target function value [\AA^2]	4.53	4.61	4.03	
	Backbone RMSD to reference [\AA]	1.56	1.52	1.44	
	Heavy-atom RMSD to reference [\AA]	2.21	2.07	2.02	
	Proteins with backbone RMSD to reference $\leq 1 \text{\AA}$ [%]	17	20	26	
	Proteins with backbone RMSD to reference 1–2 \AA [%]	51	54	51	
	Proteins with backbone RMSD to reference 2–3 \AA [%]	18	17	20	
	Proteins with backbone RMSD to reference $> 3 \text{\AA}$ [%]	14	9	3	

Reported quantities (except for the RMSD distribution in the 4 bottom rows) are median values over the 100 proteins in the benchmark data set. The best metric value in each row is presented in bold. A refinement cycle is a single ARTINA iteration, composed of one execution of the chemical shift refinement cycle (comprising two FLYA¹² executions; rows initial and refined), and the structure refinement cycle (comprising 10 CYANA runs²²).

Supplementary information

Rapid protein assignments and structures from raw NMR spectra with the deep learning technique ARTINA

Piotr Klukowski^{1*}, Roland Riek^{1*}, Peter Güntert^{1,2,3*}

¹ Laboratory of Physical Chemistry, ETH Zurich, Vladimir-Prelog-Weg 2, 8093 Zurich, Switzerland

² Institute of Biophysical Chemistry, Goethe University Frankfurt, Max-von-Laue-Str. 9, 60438 Frankfurt am Main, Germany

³ Department of Chemistry, Tokyo Metropolitan University, 1-1 Minami-Osawa, Hachioji, 192-0397 Tokyo, Japan

* e-mail: piotr.klukowski@phys.chem.ethz.ch; roland.riek@phys.chem.ethz.ch;
peter.guentert@phys.chem.ethz.ch

Contents

Supplementary Fig. 1 Examples of generated spectrum fragments for deconvolution model training

Supplementary Fig. 2 Visualization of 100 protein structures determined automatically with ARTINA overlaid with corresponding PDB depositions

Supplementary Fig. 3 Robustness of peak picking to background artefacts

Supplementary Fig. 4 The three proteins with backbone RMSD > 3 Å between the automatically determined structure and the PDB deposition

Supplementary Table 1 Supported NMR spectrum types

Supplementary Table 2 Proteins and spectra used for automated structure determination experiments

Supplementary Table 3 Metadata for PDB reference structures

Supplementary Table 4 Results of automated structure determination of 100 proteins

Supplementary Table 5 Structure accuracy prediction

Supplementary Table 6 ANSURR structure evaluation scores

Supplementary Table 7 RPF structure evaluation scores

Supplementary Table 8 Consensus structure bundles

Supplementary Table 9 Results of restrained energy refinement

Supplementary Table 10 Chemical shift assignment accuracy of protein core residues

Supplementary Table 11 Quantitative analysis of sources of backbone RMSD in automatically determined structures

Supplementary Table 12 Quality metrics of 26 protein structures determined without and with 4D CC-NOESY spectra

Supplementary Table 13 Accuracy of automated chemical shift assignment using all input spectra

Supplementary Table 14 Accuracy of automated chemical shift assignment using all except NOESY-type input spectra

Supplementary Movie 1 Visualization of 100 automatically determined protein structures along with their PDB depositions


Supplementary Movie 2 Visualization of automated visual spectrum analysis

Supplementary Movie 3 Visualization of the ability of automated visual spectrum analysis to handle strong background artefacts

Supplementary Movie 4 Visualization of automated visual spectrum analysis of an HCCH-TOCSY spectrum


Supplementary Movie 5 Video tutorial presenting all steps of automated protein structure determination with ARTINA as available on the nmrtist.org website

Supplementary Fig. 1 Examples of generated spectrum fragments for deconvolution model training. Each row presents one generated spectrum fragment of size $64 \times 32 \times 5$ that was used for the deconvolution model training. Ground truth positions of signal components are marked by red crosses.




Supplementary Fig. 2 Visualization of 100 protein structures determined with ARTINA (blue) overlaid with corresponding PDB depositions (orange). Each panel contains information about PDB code, RMSD between ARTINA structure and PDB deposition, and backbone/side-chain chemical shift assignment accuracy. Well-defined and disordered regions (Supplementary Table 4) are shown in and strong and light colors, respectively.


2L9R (0.59Å; 97.93/90.44%)




2HEQ (0.60Å; 94.48/84.63%)



2LTM (0.67Å; 99.31/92.79%)




2JVD (0.71Å; 99.57/92.94%)



2K57 (0.71Å; 99.62/95.38%)




1YEZ (0.73Å; 99.06/90.95%)




2L05 (0.74Å; 99.50/91.56%)




2KCT (0.77Å; 96.59/93.42%)



2L33 (0.79Å; 98.68/91.76%)




2LA6 (0.81Å; 98.78/92.60%)




6SVC (0.83Å; 97.52/83.97%)




2LND (0.85Å; 95.52/88.24%)




2LNA (0.86Å; 99.30/90.78%)




2KL6 (0.87Å; 98.64/93.52%)



2K53 (0.88Å; 98.53/95.30%)



2KIF (0.89Å; 94.43/87.78%)




2LN3 (0.89Å; 98.37/92.52%)




2KBN (0.92Å; 97.98/88.49%)



2JT1 (0.94Å; 88.66/90.75%)




2K5V (0.94Å; 99.37/94.25%)




Supplementary Fig. 2 (continued)


1VDY (0.95Å; 95.48/88.37%)




2KPN (0.97Å; 96.95/91.16%)




2MQL (0.98Å; 83.59/70.55%)




2K3A (0.99Å; 96.79/84.40%)




1WQU (0.99Å; 98.05/86.80%)




2K50 (1.00Å; 97.39/89.74%)



2KJR (1.02Å; 99.76/91.62%)




1VEE (1.03Å; 97.81/90.30%)




2K1G (1.05Å; 97.22/92.18%)



2L3B (1.05Å; 93.97/86.19%)




2M5O (1.08Å; 92.48/90.20%)




2K52 (1.10Å; 97.17/93.54%)



2M4F (1.11Å; 90.80/81.83%)




2MB0 (1.11Å; 97.69/88.46%)




2N4B (1.14Å; 98.94/90.25%)




6SOW (1.16Å; 88.97/78.57%)




2LXU (1.19Å; 99.40/91.33%)




MDM2 (1.24Å; 98.08/84.88%)



2KK8 (1.25Å; 99.18/90.26%)




2KKL (1.26Å; 94.06/80.60%)




Supplementary Fig. 2 (continued)


2KRS (1.26Å; 98.47/96.18%)




1TOY (1.27Å; 97.27/85.04%)




2L3G (1.28Å; 98.04/93.19%)



2KD0 (1.37Å; 97.24/91.96%)




6GT7 (1.39Å; 96.93/79.31%)




1POX (1.40Å; 99.03/86.51%)




2LX7 (1.41Å; 98.54/87.84%)



2LK2 (1.42Å; 96.08/86.08%)




2LL8 (1.42Å; 98.04/91.42%)




2JRM (1.43Å; 97.21/92.14%)




2K3D (1.44Å; 98.96/89.76%)




2LEA (1.45Å; 96.17/83.33%)




2K5D (1.47Å; 99.25/92.40%)




2KKZ (1.47Å; 96.50/87.83%)




2JQN (1.52Å; 97.81/90.68%)



2LML (1.53Å; 97.44/91.71%)




2LAK (1.54Å; 92.15/78.69%)




2LVB (1.56Å; 89.27/84.11%)



2MA6 (1.56Å; 98.94/89.36%)




2MK2 (1.56Å; 99.58/91.97%)




Supplementary Fig. 2 (continued)


2L06 (1.57Å; 96.46/84.28%)




2L7Q (1.57Å; 96.11/82.62%)




MH04 (1.57Å; 98.58/88.57%)




2KIW (1.59Å; 93.99/80.94%)




2K0M (1.60Å; 96.87/92.08%)




KRAS4B (1.60Å; 98.00/76.81%)




2K75 (1.65Å; 98.77/88.36%)



2LAH (1.71Å; 93.23/85.67%)




2MDR (1.72Å; 93.17/82.89%)




2JVO (1.77Å; 96.64/76.42%)




2JN8 (1.83Å; 95.45/89.68%)




2K1S (1.83Å; 98.83/92.02%)




2KHD (1.87Å; 97.29/87.63%)




2KVO (1.87Å; 98.17/90.00%)




2RN7 (1.93Å; 94.35/81.02%)




2FB7 (1.94Å; 95.95/81.18%)



2KD1 (1.99Å; 98.31/90.36%)




6FIP (2.05Å; 97.86/79.15%)



2ERR (2.09Å; 96.36/78.40%)




2KRT (2.09Å; 97.01/82.72%)




Supplementary Fig. 2 (continued)


1SE9 (2.10Å; 88.55/82.13%)



2L1P (2.13Å; 96.29/87.04%)




2M7U (2.14Å; 85.70/73.47%)




2KFP (2.23Å; 97.39/79.51%)




2KOB (2.24Å; 88.18/82.29%)




2LRH (2.30Å; 94.91/77.45%)




2LTL (2.37Å; 96.44/90.46%)




2G0Q (2.38Å; 93.99/84.33%)



2LTA (2.39Å; 95.17/81.57%)




2LFI (2.42Å; 89.30/76.07%)




2LGH (2.43Å; 98.03/88.92%)




2JXP (2.58Å; 97.08/89.62%)




2KL5 (2.58Å; 79.41/68.59%)




2KZV (2.62Å; 95.84/81.67%)




2B3W (2.67Å; 93.39/80.09%)




2LF2 (2.68Å; 97.56/85.86%)




2L8V (2.79Å; 93.82/76.58%)




2KCD (3.13Å; 91.30/79.24%)




2L82 (3.55Å; 97.87/81.05%)




2M47 (4.72Å; 92.86/80.32%)



Supplementary Fig. 3 Robustness of peak picking to background artefacts. A fragment of four consecutive layers of a ^{13}C -resolved $[^1\text{H}, ^1\text{H}]$ -NOESY spectrum of a 20% ^{13}C -labelled protein of protein 6SOW³⁹ is shown. Final signal coordinates (after deconvolution) with classifier response > 0.5 are marked in black.



Supplementary Fig. 4 The three proteins with backbone RMSD > 3 Å between the automatically determined structure (blue) and the PDB deposition (orange). **a** Protein 2KCD. The α -helix at residues 105–109 is displaced. **b** Protein 2L82. The α -helix at residues 138–153 is displaced. **c** Protein 2M47. The main reason for the high RMSD is a displacement of the last two α -helices at residues 111–157.



Supplementary Table 1 Supported NMR spectrum types.

Spectrum category	Supported spectrum types
2D	¹³ C-HSQC, ¹⁵ N-HSQC, NOESY (homonuclear), TOCSY (homonuclear), CACO, HNHA, CBHEaro, CBHDaro
3D for backbone assignment	HNCA, CBCANH, HNcoCA, HNCO, HNcaCO, CBCAcoNH, HBHAcoNH, CBCACocaHA
3D for side-chain assignment	HCCH-TOCSY, ¹⁵ N-TOCSY, HCCH-COSY, CcoNH, HCcoNH, CCH-TOCSY
3D/4D NOESY	3D ¹³ C-resolved [¹ H, ¹ H]-NOESY, 3D ¹⁵ N-resolved [¹ H, ¹ H]-NOESY, 4D CC-NOESY

Further information about spectra can be provided to ARTINA by the use of tags. For instance, the ALI or ARO tags can be used to indicate that a ¹³C-NOESY spectrum is expected to contain only aliphatic or aromatic signals. The tags POS and NEG can be used to restrict peak picking to positive and negative signals.

Supplementary Table 2 Proteins and spectra used for automated structure determination experiments.

ID	Protein	Residues	Number of spectra			
			Backbone	Side-chain	NOESY	Total
1	6SVC	35	2	1	3	6
2	2JVD	54	6	3	2	11
3	2K57	55	6	6	2	14
4	6SOW	58	5	2	3	10
5	2LX7	60	7	7	4	18
6	2MA6	61	5	7	4	16
7	2JRM	65	5	4	3	12
8	1YEZ	68	8	5	3	16
9	2L9R	69	5	1	3	9
10	2K52	74	7	4	3	14
11	2KRS	74	5	7	4	16
12	2K53	76	4	4	3	11
13	2JT1	77	6	1	3	10
14	2JVO	77	4	4	2	10
15	2ERR	81	3	2	2	7
16	2L1P	83	4	2	3	9
17	2LN3	83	5	3	3	11
18	2HEQ	84	6	3	4	13
19	2KK8	84	5	4	3	12
20	2KD0	85	6	4	3	13
21	2LML	86	6	5	4	15
22	2K3D	87	6	2	4	12
23	2LK2	89	7	6	4	17
24	MH04	90	7	3	2	12
25	1PQX	91	4	5	3	12
26	2L33	91	4	3	3	10
27	2KZV	92	7	6	4	17
28	2KCT	94	6	6	3	15
29	2MDR	94	4	3	3	10
30	2FB7	95	5	4	2	11
31	2MB0	95	2	3	3	8
32	2L05	95	6	4	3	13
33	2KJR	95	5	4	3	12
34	2M5O	97	5	1	3	9
35	MDM2	97	3	2	2	7
36	2LNA	99	6	7	4	17
37	2LA6	99	5	2	3	10
38	6FIP	99	6	5	2	13
39	2LEA	100	4	3	3	10
40	2LL8	101	6	6	3	15
41	2KPN	103	7	4	3	14
42	2K0M	104	7	4	3	14
43	2K5V	104	8	4	3	15
44	2MQL	105	2	4	3	9
45	2K75	106	6	6	3	15
46	2LTM	107	5	3	3	11
47	2KOB	108	7	5	4	16
48	2KHD	108	7	7	3	17
49	2RN7	108	5	4	3	12
50	2LXU	108	5	5	4	14

ID	Protein	Residues	Number of spectra			
			Backbone	Side-chain	NOESY	Total
51	2KIF	108	1	1	3	5
52	2KBN	109	6	5	4	15
53	2MK2	109	7	4	3	14
54	2K50	110	8	3	3	14
55	2KL5	110	3	2	3	8
56	2LTA	110	5	2	3	10
57	2KIW	111	7	7	4	18
58	2LVB	112	4	1	3	8
59	2LND	112	5	3	3	11
60	1WQU	114	8	6	2	16
61	2KL6	114	8	5	3	16
62	6GT7	115	5	3	3	11
63	2JN8	115	7	8	3	18
64	2K5D	116	7	4	3	14
65	2KD1	118	6	4	3	13
66	2LTL	119	4	3	3	10
67	2KVO	120	7	7	4	18
68	1T0Y	120	6	5	3	14
69	2KCD	120	8	6	5	19
70	2KRT	121	7	5	3	15
71	2LFI	122	5	5	3	13
72	2JQN	122	5	3	3	11
73	2L7Q	124	6	4	3	13
74	2KFP	125	7	6	4	17
75	1SE9	126	6	3	3	12
76	2L3G	126	5	3	3	11
77	2L3B	130	7	4	4	15
78	2LRH	134	5	3	3	11
79	1VEE	134	8	5	3	16
80	2K1G	136	7	7	3	17
81	2KKZ	140	7	5	3	15
82	1VDY	140	8	7	2	17
83	2KKL	140	7	7	4	18
84	2N4B	142	6	5	4	15
85	2L8V	143	7	4	4	15
86	2LGH	144	7	6	4	17
87	2K1S	149	6	6	4	16
88	2M4F	151	8	6	2	16
89	2JXP	155	8	7	2	17
90	2L06	155	6	6	3	15
91	2LAH	160	4	3	3	10
92	2LAK	160	7	6	4	17
93	2L82	162	4	2	3	9
94	2M47	163	7	7	3	17
95	2K3A	163	8	6	3	17
96	2M7U	165	5	3	3	11
97	2B3W	168	8	7	5	20
98	KRAS4B	169	6	5	3	14
99	2G0Q	173	7	2	3	12
100	2LF2	175	7	7	4	18

Supplementary Table 3 Metadata for PDB reference structures. Extracted from PDB entries.

Protein number	1
PDB code	6SVC, doi:10.2210/pdb6SVC/pdb
BMRB code	34432, doi:10.13018/BMR34432
PDB Header	PEPTIDE BINDING PROTEIN
Protein name	PROTEIN ALLOSTERY OF WW DOMAIN AT ATOMIC RESOLUTION: APO STRUCTURE
Deposition date	18.09.2019
PDB title	PROTEIN ALLOSTERY OF THE WW DOMAIN AT ATOMIC RESOLUTION: APO STRUCTURE
PDB authors	D.STROTZ, J.ORTS, M.FRIEDMANN, P.GUNTERT, B.VOGELI, R.RIEK
Last author	RIEK
Reference	ANGEW.CHEM.INT.ED.ENGL. 59, 22132 (2020), doi:10.1002/ANIE.202008734
Reference authors	D.STROTZ, J.ORTS, H.KADAVATH, M.FRIEDMANN, D.GHOSH, S.OLSSON, C.N.CHI, A.POKHARNA, P.GUNTERT, B.VOGELI, R.RIEK
Reference title	PROTEIN ALLOSTERY AT ATOMIC RESOLUTION
Software listed	CCPNMR, CYANA, NMRDRAW, NMRPIPE
Spectrometer	BRUKER (700 MHZ)

Protein number	2
PDB code	2JVD, doi:10.2210/pdb2JVD/pdb
BMRB code	15476, doi:10.13018/BMR15476
PDB Header	STRUCTURAL GENOMICS, UNKNOWN FUNCTION
Protein name	FOLDED N-TERMINAL FRAGMENT OF UPF0291 PROTEIN YNZC FROM BACILLUS SUBTILIS
Deposition date	18.09.2007
PDB title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF THE FOLDED N-TERMINAL FRAGMENT OF UPF0291 PROTEIN YNZC FROM BACILLUS SUBTILIS. NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS TARGET SR384-1-46
PDB authors	J.M.ARAMINI, S.SHARMA, Y.J.HUANG, L.ZHAO, L.A.OWENS, K.STOKES, M.JIANG, R.XIAO, M.C.BARAN, G.V.T.SWAPNA, T.B.ACTON, G.T.MONTELIONE, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	MONTELIONE
Reference	PROTEINS 72, 526 (2008), doi:10.1002/PROT.22064
Reference authors	J.M.ARAMINI, S.SHARMA, Y.J.HUANG, G.V.SWAPNA, C.K.HO, K.SHETTY, K.CUNNINGHAM, L.C.MA, L.ZHAO, L.A.OWENS, M.JIANG, R.XIAO, J.LIU, M.C.BARAN, T.B.ACTON, B.ROST, G.T.MONTELIONE
Reference title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF THE SOS RESPONSE PROTEIN YNZC FROM BACILLUS SUBTILIS
Software listed	AUTOASSIGN, AUTOSTRUCTURE, CYANA, MOLPROBITY, NMRPIPE, PDBSTAT, PROCHECK, PSVS, SPARKY, TOPSPIN, VNMR
Spectrometer	BRUKER, VARIAN (800 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	3
PDB code	2K57, doi:10.2210/pdb2K57/pdb
BMRB code	15825, doi:10.13018/BMR15825
PDB Header	STRUCTURAL GENOMICS, UNKNOWN FUNCTION
Protein name	PUTATIVE LIPOPROTEIN FROM PSEUDOMONAS SYRINGAE GENE LOCUS PSPTO2350
Deposition date	25.06.2008
PDB title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF PUTATIVE LIPOPROTEIN FROM PSEUDOMONAS SYRINGAE GENE LOCUS PSPTO2350. NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS TARGET PSR76A
PDB authors	D.HANG, J.A.ARAMINI, P.ROSSI, D.WANG, M.JIANG, M.MAGLAQUI, R.XIAO, J.LIU, M.C.BARAN, T.B.ACTON, B.ROST, G.T.MONTELIONE, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	MONTELIONE
Reference	
Reference authors	
Reference title	
Software listed	AUTOASSIGN, CNS, CYANA, MOLMOL, MOLPROBITY, NMRPIPE, PDBSTAT, PROCHECK, PSVS, RPF(AUTOSTRUCTURE), SPARKY, TALOS, TOPSPIN
Spectrometer	BRUKER, VARIAN (800 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	4
PDB code	6SOW, doi:10.2210/pdb6SOW/pdb
BMRB code	34430, doi:10.13018/BMR34430
PDB Header	PROTEIN BINDING
Protein name	STAPHYLOCOCCAL PROTEIN A, C DOMAIN
Deposition date	30.08.2019
PDB title	NMR SOLUTION STRUCTURE OF STAPHYLOCOCCAL PROTEIN A, C DOMAIN
PDB authors	S.M.BACKLUND, H.IWAI
Last author	IWAI
Reference	MOLECULES 2, 6 (2021), doi:10.3390/MOLECULES26030747
Reference authors	H.A.HEIKKINEN, S.M.BACKLUND, H.IWAI
Reference title	NMR STRUCTURE DETERMINATIONS OF SMALL PROTEINS USING ONLY ONE FRACTIONALLY 20% 13 C- AND UNIFORMLY 100% 15 N-LABELED SAMPLE
Software listed	AMBER, CCPNMR, CYANA, PSVS, TALOS
Spectrometer	BRUKER (850 MHZ)

Protein number	5
PDB code	2LX7, doi:10.2210/pdb2LX7/pdb

BMRB code	18662, doi:10.13018/BMR18662
PDB Header	PROTEIN BINDING
Protein name	SH3 DOMAIN OF GROWTH ARREST-SPECIFIC PROTEIN 7 (GAS7) (FRAGMENT 1-60) FROM HOMO SAPIENS
Deposition date	15.08.2012
PDB title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF SH3 DOMAIN OF GROWTH ARREST-SPECIFIC PROTEIN 7 (GAS7) (FRAGMENT 1-60) FROM HOMO SAPIENS, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG) TARGET HR8574A
PDB authors	Y.YANG, T.A.RAMELOT, L.DAN, E.KOHAN, H.JANJUA, R.XIAO, T.ACTON, J.K.EVERETT, G.T.MONTELIONE, M.A.KENNEDY, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	KENNEDY
Reference	
Reference authors	
Reference title	
Software listed	AUTOASSIGN, AUTOSTRUCTURE, CNS, CYANA, NMRPIPE, PINE, PSVS, SPARKY, TALOS+, TOPSPIN, VNMRJ
Spectrometer	BRUKER, VARIAN (850 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	6
PDB code	2MA6, doi:10.2210/pdb2MA6/pdb
BMRB code	19329, doi:10.13018/BMR19329
PDB Header	LIGASE
Protein name	RING FINGER DOMAIN FROM KIP1 UBIQUITINATION-PROMOTING E3 COMPLEX PROTEIN 1 (KPC1/RNF123) FROM HOMO SAPIENS
Deposition date	28.06.2013
PDB title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF THE RING FINGER DOMAIN FROM THE KIP1 UBIQUITINATION-PROMOTING E3 COMPLEX PROTEIN 1 (KPC1/RNF123) FROM HOMO SAPIENS, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG) TARGET HR8700A
PDB authors	T.A.RAMELOT, Y.YANG, H.JANJUA, E.KOHAN, H.WANG, R.XIAO, T.B.ACTON, J.K.EVERETT, G.T.MONTELIONE, M.A.KENNEDY, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	KENNEDY
Reference	
Reference authors	
Reference title	
Software listed	AUTOSTRUCTURE, CNS, CYANA, FMCGUI, NMRPIPE, PINE, PSVS, SPARKY, TALOS+, TOPSPIN, VNMRJ
Spectrometer	BRUKER, VARIAN (850 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	7
PDB code	2JRM, doi:10.2210/pdb2JRM/pdb
BMRB code	15339, doi:10.13018/BMR15339
PDB Header	STRUCTURAL GENOMICS, UNKNOWN FUNCTION
Protein name	RIBOSOME MODULATION FACTOR VP1593 FROM VIBRIO PARAHAEMOLYTICUS
Deposition date	27.06.2007
PDB title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF RIBOSOME MODULATION FACTOR VP1593 FROM VIBRIO PARAHAEMOLYTICUS. NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS TARGET VPR55
PDB authors	Y.TANG, P.ROSSI, G.SWAPNA, H.WANG, M.JIANG, K.CUNNINGHAM, L.OWENS, L.MA, R.XIAO, J.LIU, M.C.BARAN, T.B.ACTON, B.ROST, G.T.MONTELIONE, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	MONTELIONE
Reference	
Reference authors	
Reference title	
Software listed	AUTOASSIGN, AUTOSTRUCTURE, CNS, CYANA, NMRPIPE, PDBSTAT, PSVS, SPARKY, TOPSPIN, X-PLOR
Spectrometer	BRUKER (800 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	8
PDB code	1YEZ, doi:10.2210/pdb1YEZ/pdb
BMRB code	6505, doi:10.13018/BMR6505
PDB Header	STRUCTURAL GENOMICS, UNKNOWN FUNCTION
Protein name	CONSERVED PROTEIN FROM GENE LOCUS MM1357 OF METHANOSARCINA MAZEI
Deposition date	29.12.2004
PDB title	SOLUTION STRUCTURE OF THE CONSERVED PROTEIN FROM THE GENE LOCUS MM1357 OF METHANOSARCINA MAZEI. NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS TARGET MAR30
PDB authors	P.ROSSI, J.M.ARAMINI, G.V.T.SWAPNA, Y.P.HUANG, R.XIAO, C.K.HO, L.C.MA, T.B.ACTON, G.T.MONTELIONE, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	MONTELIONE
Reference	
Reference authors	
Reference title	
Software listed	AUTOASSIGN, AUTOSTRUCTURE, NMRPIPE, SPARKY, VNMR, XWINNMR
Spectrometer	BRUKER, VARIAN (600 MHZ, 500 MHZ)

Protein number	9
PDB code	2L9R, doi:10.2210/pdb2L9R/pdb
BMRB code	17484, doi:10.13018/BMR17484
PDB Header	TRANSCRIPTION
Protein name	HOMEBOX DOMAIN OF HOMEBOX PROTEIN NKX-3.1 FROM HOMO SAPIENS

Deposition date	22.02.2011
PDB title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF HOMEBOX DOMAIN OF HOMEBOX PROTEIN NKX-3.1 FROM HOMO SAPIENS, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM TARGET HR6470A
PDB authors	G.LIU, R.XIAO, H.-W.LEE, K.HAMILTON, C.CICCOSANTI, H.B.WANG, T.B.ACTON, J.K.EVERETT, Y.J.HUANG, G.T.MONTELIONE, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	MONTELIONE
Reference	
Reference authors	
Reference title	
Software listed	AUTOASSIGN, AUTOSTRUCTURE, CNS, CYANA, NMRPIPE, TALOS+, TOPSPIN, VNMRJ, XEASY
Spectrometer	BRUKER, VARIAN (800 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	10
PDB code	2K52, doi:10.2210/pdb2K52/pdb
BMRB code	15821, doi:10.13018/BMR15821
PDB Header	STRUCTURAL GENOMICS, UNKNOWN FUNCTION
Protein name	UNCHARACTERIZED PROTEIN MJ1198 FROM METHANOCALDOCOCCLUS JANNASCHII
Deposition date	24.06.2008
PDB title	STRUCTURE OF UNCHARACTERIZED PROTEIN MJ1198 FROM METHANOCALDOCOCCLUS JANNASCHII. NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS TARGET MJR117B
PDB authors	P.ROSSI, M.MAGLAQUI, E.L.FOOTE, K.HAMILTON, C.CICCOSANTI, R.XIAO, R.NAIR, G.SWAPNA, J.K.EVERETT, T.B.ACTON, B.ROST, G.T.MONTELIONE, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	MONTELIONE
Reference	
Reference authors	
Reference title	
Software listed	AUTOASSIGN, CNS, CYANA, MOLMOL, MOLPROBITY, NMRPIPE, PROCHECK, PSVS, RPF(AUTOSTRUCTURE), SPARKY, TALOS, TOPSPIN
Spectrometer	BRUKER (800 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	11
PDB code	2KRS, doi:10.2210/pdb2KRS/pdb
BMRB code	16647, doi:10.13018/BMR16647
PDB Header	STRUCTURAL GENOMICS, UNKNOWN FUNCTION
Protein name	SH3 DOMAIN FROM CPF_0587 (FRAGMENT 415-479) FROM CLOSTRIDIUM PERFRINGENS
Deposition date	22.12.2009
PDB title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF SH3 DOMAIN FROM CPF_0587 (FRAGMENT 415-479) FROM CLOSTRIDIUM PERFRINGENS. NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG) TARGET CPR74A
PDB authors	T.A.RAMELOT, J.R.CORT, M.MAGLAQUI, C.CICCOSANTI, H.JANJUA, R.NAIR, B.ROST, T.B.ACTON, R.XIAO, J.K.EVERETT, G.T.MONTELIONE, M.A.KENNEDY, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	KENNEDY
Reference	
Reference authors	
Reference title	
Software listed	AUTOASSIGN, AUTOSTRUCTURE, CNS, NMRPIPE, PDBSTAT, PSVS, SPARKY, TOPSPIN, VNMR, X-PLOR
Spectrometer	BRUKER, VARIAN (850 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	12
PDB code	2K53, doi:10.2210/pdb2K53/pdb
BMRB code	15822, doi:10.13018/BMR15822
PDB Header	STRUCTURAL GENOMICS, UNKNOWN FUNCTION
Protein name	A3DK08 PROTEIN FROM CLOSTRIDIUM THERMOCELLUM
Deposition date	24.06.2008
PDB title	NMR SOLUTION STRUCTURE OF A3DK08 PROTEIN FROM CLOSTRIDIUM THERMOCELLUM: NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM TARGET CMR9
PDB authors	G.V.T.SWAPNA, W.HUANG, M.JIANG, E.L.FOOTE, R.XIAO, R.NAIR, J.EVERETT, T.B.ACTON, B.ROST, G.T.MONTELIONE, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	MONTELIONE
Reference	
Reference authors	
Reference title	
Software listed	AUTOASSIGN, AUTOSTRUCTURE, CNS, CYANA
Spectrometer	BRUKER, VARIAN (800 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	13
PDB code	2JT1, doi:10.2210/pdb2JT1/pdb
BMRB code	15386, doi:10.13018/BMR15386
PDB Header	TRANSCRIPTION
Protein name	PEFI (PLASMID-ENCODED FIMBRIAE REGULATORY) PROTEIN FROM SALMONELLA TYPHIMURIUM
Deposition date	17.07.2007
PDB title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF PEFI (PLASMID-ENCODED FIMBRIAE REGULATORY) PROTEIN FROM SALMONELLA TYPHIMURIUM. NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS TARGET STR82

PDB authors	J.M.ARAMINI, P.ROSSI, H.WANG, C.NWOSU, K.CUNNINGHAM, L.-C.MA, R.XIAO, J.LIU, M.C.BARAN, G.V.T.SWAPNA, T.B.ACTON, B.ROST, G.T.MONTELIONE, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	MONTELIONE
Reference	PROTEINS 79, 335 (2011), doi:10.1002/PROT.22869
Reference authors	J.M.ARAMINI, P.ROSSI, J.R.CORT, L.C.MA, R.XIAO, T.B.ACTON, G.T.MONTELIONE
Reference title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF THE PLASMID-ENCODED FIMBRIAE REGULATORY PROTEIN PEFI FROM SALMONELLA ENTERICA SEROVAR TYPHIMURIUM
Software listed	AUTOASSIGN, AUTOSTRUCTURE, CNS, CYANA, MOLMOL, MOLPROBITY, NMRPIPE, PDBSTAT, PROCHECK, PSVS, SPARKY, TOPSPIN
Spectrometer	BRUKER (800 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	14
PDB code	2JVO, doi:10.2210/pdb2JVO/pdb
BMRB code	15485, doi:10.13018/BMR15485
PDB Header	RNA BINDING PROTEIN
Protein name	SEGMENTAL ISOTOPE LABELING OF NPL3
Deposition date	24.09.2007
PDB title	SEGMENTAL ISOTOPE LABELING OF NPL3
PDB authors	L.SKRIISOVSKA, F.H.-T.ALLAIN
Last author	ALLAIN
Reference	J.MOL.BIOL. 375, 151 (2008), doi:10.1016/J.JMB.2007.09.030
Reference authors	L.SKRIISOVSKA, F.H.ALLAIN
Reference title	IMPROVED SEGMENTAL ISOTOPE LABELING METHODS FOR THE NMR STUDY OF MULTIDOMAIN OR LARGE PROTEINS: APPLICATION TO THE RMMS OF NPL3P AND HNRNP L
Software listed	ATNOS/CANDID, SPARKY, XWINNMR
Spectrometer	BRUKER (900 MHZ, 600 MHZ, 500 MHZ)

Protein number	15
PDB code	2ERR, doi:10.2210/pdb2ERR/pdb
BMRB code	6895, doi:10.13018/BMR6895
PDB Header	RNA BINDING PROTEIN
Protein name	RNA BINDING DOMAIN OF HUMAN FOX-1 IN COMPLEX WITH UGCAUGU
Deposition date	25.10.2005
PDB title	NMR STRUCTURE OF THE RNA BINDING DOMAIN OF HUMAN FOX-1 IN COMPLEX WITH UGCAUGU
PDB authors	F.H.ALLAIN, S.D.AUWETER
Last author	AUWETER
Reference	EMBO J. 25, 163 (2006), doi:10.1038/SJ.EMBOJ.7600918
Reference authors	S.D.AUWETER, R.FASAN, L.REYMOND, J.G.UNDERWOOD, D.L.BLACK, S.PITSCH, F.H.ALLAIN
Reference title	MOLECULAR BASIS OF RNA RECOGNITION BY THE HUMAN ALTERNATIVE SPLICING FACTOR FOX-1
Software listed	AMBER, CYANA
Spectrometer	BRUKER (900 MHZ, 600 MHZ, 500 MHZ)

Protein number	16
PDB code	2L1P, doi:10.2210/pdb2L1P/pdb
BMRB code	17092, doi:10.13018/BMR17092
PDB Header	DNA BINDING PROTEIN
Protein name	N-TERMINAL DOMAIN OF DNA-BINDING PROTEIN SATB1 FROM HOMO SAPIENS
Deposition date	02.08.2010
PDB title	NMR SOLUTION STRUCTURE OF THE N-TERMINAL DOMAIN OF DNA-BINDING PROTEIN SATB1 FROM HOMO SAPIENS: NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS TARGET HR4435B(179-250)
PDB authors	G.V.T.SWAPNA, A.F.MONTELIONE, R.SHASTRY, C.CICCOSANTI, H.JANJUA, R.XIAO, T.B.ACTON, J.K.EVERETT, G.T.MONTELIONE, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	MONTELIONE
Reference	
Reference authors	
Reference title	
Software listed	AUTOASSIGN, AUTOSTRUCTURE, CNS, CYANA
Spectrometer	BRUKER (800 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	17
PDB code	2LN3, doi:10.2210/pdb2LN3/pdb
BMRB code	18145, doi:10.13018/BMR18145
PDB Header	DE NOVO PROTEIN
Protein name	DE NOVO DESIGNED PROTEIN, IF3-LIKE FOLD
Deposition date	15.12.2011
PDB title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF DE NOVO DESIGNED PROTEIN, IF3-LIKE FOLD, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM TARGET OR135 (CASD TARGET)
PDB authors	G.LIU, R.KOGA, N.KOGA, R.XIAO, H.LEE, H.JANJUA, E.KOHAN, T.B.ACTON, J.K.EVERETT, D.BAKER, G.T.MONTELIONE, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	MONTELIONE
Reference	NATURE 491, 222 (2012), doi:10.1038/NATURE11600
Reference authors	N.KOGA, R.TATSUMI-KOGA, G.LIU, R.XIAO, T.B.ACTON, G.T.MONTELIONE, D.BAKER
Reference title	PRINCIPLES FOR DESIGNING IDEAL PROTEIN STRUCTURES

Software listed	AUTOASSIGN, AUTOSTRUCTURE, CNS, CYANA, NMRPIPE, REDCAT, SPARKY, TALOS+, TOPSPIN, VNMRJ, XEASY
Spectrometer	BRUKER, VARIAN (800 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	18
PDB code	2HEQ, doi:10.2210/pdb2HEQ/pdb
BMRB code	7175, doi:10.13018/BMR7175
PDB Header	STRUCTURAL GENOMICS, UNKNOWN FUNCTION
Protein name	BACILLUS SUBTILIS PROTEIN YORP
Deposition date	21.06.2006
PDB title	NMR STRUCTURE OF BACILLUS SUBTILIS PROTEIN YORP, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS TARGET SR399
PDB authors	T.A.RAMELOT, J.R.CORT, D.WANG, H.JANJUA, K.CUNNINGHAM, L.-C.MA, R.XIAO, J.LIU, M.BARAN, G.V.T.SWAPNA, T.B.ACTON, B.ROST, G.M.MONTELIONE, M.A.KENNEDY, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	KENNEDY
Reference	
Reference authors	
Reference title	
Software listed	AUTOSTRUCTURE, CNS, NMRPIPE, SPARKY, VNMR, X-PLOR NIH
Spectrometer	VARIAN (750 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	19
PDB code	2KK8, doi:10.2210/pdb2KK8/pdb
BMRB code	16355, doi:10.13018/BMR16355
PDB Header	STRUCTURAL GENOMICS, UNKNOWN FUNCTION
Protein name	A PUTATIVE UNCHARACTERIZED PROTEIN OBTAINED FROM ARABIDOPSIS THALIANA
Deposition date	16.06.2009
PDB title	NMR SOLUTION STRUCTURE OF A PUTATIVE UNCHARACTERIZED PROTEIN OBTAINED FROM ARABIDOPSIS THALIANA: NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM TARGET AR3449A
PDB authors	R.MANI, S.V.T.GURLA, R.SHASTRY, C.CICCOSANTI, E.FOOTE, M.JIANG, R.XIAO, R.NAIR, J.EVERETT, Y.HUANG, T.ACTON, B.ROST, G.T.MONTELIONE, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	MONTELIONE
Reference	
Reference authors	
Reference title	
Software listed	AUTOASSIGN, AUTOSTRUCTURE, CNS, CYANA
Spectrometer	BRUKER, VARIAN (800 MHZ, 600 MHZ, 500 MHZ)

Protein number	20
PDB code	2KD0, doi:10.2210/pdb2KD0/pdb
BMRB code	16101, doi:10.13018/BMR16101
PDB Header	SIGNALING PROTEIN
Protein name	O64736 PROTEIN FROM ARABIDOPSIS THALIANA
Deposition date	31.12.2008
PDB title	NMR SOLUTION STRUCTURE OF O64736 PROTEIN FROM ARABIDOPSIS THALIANA. NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM MEGA TARGET AR3445A
PDB authors	G.V.T.SWAPNA, R.SHASTRY, E.FOOTE, C.CICCOSANTI, M.JIANG, R.XIAO, R.NAIR, J.EVERETT, Y.HUANG, T.B.ACTON, B.ROST, G.T.MONTELIONE, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	MONTELIONE
Reference	
Reference authors	
Reference title	
Software listed	AUTOASSIGN, AUTOSTRUCTURE, CNS, CYANA, NMRPIPE, SPARKY
Spectrometer	BRUKER (800 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	21
PDB code	2LML, doi:10.2210/pdb2LML/pdb
BMRB code	16860, doi:10.13018/BMR16860
PDB Header	TRANSPORT PROTEIN
Protein name	HOLO ACYL CARRIER PROTEIN FROM GEOBACTER METALLIREDCENS REFINED WITH NH RDCS
Deposition date	05.12.2011
PDB title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF HOLO ACYL CARRIER PROTEIN FROM GEOBACTER METALLIREDCENS REFINED WITH NH RDCS, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM TARGET GMR141
PDB authors	T.A.RAMELOT, M.J.SMOLA, H.LEE, L.ZHAO, C.CICCOSANTI, E.L.FOOTE, K.HAMILTON, R.NAIR, B.ROST, G.SWAPNA, T.B.ACTON, R.XIAO, J.K.EVERETT, J.H.PRESTEGARD, G.T.MONTELIONE, M.A.KENNEDY, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	KENNEDY
Reference	BIOCHEMISTRY 50, 1442 (2011), doi:10.1021/BI101932S
Reference authors	T.A.RAMELOT, M.J.SMOLA, H.W.LEE, C.CICCOSANTI, K.HAMILTON, T.B.ACTON, R.XIAO, J.K.EVERETT, J.H.PRESTEGARD, G.T.MONTELIONE, M.A.KENNEDY
Reference title	SOLUTION STRUCTURE OF 4'-PHOSPHOPANTETHEINE - GMACP3 FROM GEOBACTER METALLIREDCENS: A SPECIALIZED ACYL CARRIER PROTEIN WITH ATYPICAL STRUCTURAL FEATURES AND A PUTATIVE ROLE IN LIPOPOLYSACCHARIDE BIOSYNTHESIS
Software listed	AUTOASSIGN, AUTOSTRUCTURE, CNS, CYANA, FMCGUI, NMRPIPE, PDBSTAT, PINE_SERVER, PSVS, SPARKY, TOPSPIN, VNMR, X-PLOR NIH
Spectrometer	BRUKER, VARIAN (850 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	22
PDB code	2K3D, doi:10.2210/pdb2K3D/pdb
BMRB code	15750, doi:10.13018/BMR15750
PDB Header	STRUCTURAL GENOMICS, UNKNOWN FUNCTION
Protein name	FOLDED 79 RESIDUE FRAGMENT OF LIN0334 FROM LISTERIA INNOCUA
Deposition date	02.05.2008
PDB title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF THE FOLDED 79 RESIDUE FRAGMENT OF LIN0334 FROM LISTERIA INNOCUA. NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM TARGET LKR15
PDB authors	T.A.RAMELOT, L.ZHAO, M.JIANG, E.L.FOOTE, R.XIAO, J.LIU, M.C.BARAN, G.V.T.SWAPNA, T.B.ACTON, B.ROST, G.T.MONTELIONE, M.A.KENNEDY, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	KENNEDY
Reference	
Reference authors	
Reference title	
Software listed	AUTOASSIGN, AUTOSTRUCTURE, NMRPIPE, PSVS, SPARKY, TOPSPIN, VNMR, X-PLOR
Spectrometer	BRUKER, VARIAN (850 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	23
PDB code	2LK2, doi:10.2210/pdb2LK2/pdb
BMRB code	17971, doi:10.13018/BMR17971
PDB Header	TRANSCRIPTION
Protein name	HOMEODOMAIN (171-248) OF HUMAN HOMEODOMAIN PROTEIN TGIF1
Deposition date	30.09.2011
PDB title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF HOMEODOMAIN (171-248) OF HUMAN HOMEODOMAIN PROTEIN TGIF1, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM TARGET HR4411B
PDB authors	Y.YANG, T.A.RAMELOT, J.R.CORT, R.SHAstry, C.CICCOSANTI, K.HAMILTON, T.B.ACTON, R.XIAO, J.K.EVERETT, G.T.MONTELIONE, M.A.KENNEDY, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	KENNEDY
Reference	
Reference authors	
Reference title	
Software listed	ASDP, AUTOASSIGN, CNS, CYANA, NMRPIPE, PDBSTAT, PINE_SERVER, PSVS, SPARKY, TOPSPIN, VNMR, X-PLOR NIH
Spectrometer	BRUKER, VARIAN (850 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	24
PDB code	(MH04)
BMRB code	
PDB Header	
Protein name	
Deposition date	
PDB title	
PDB authors	
Last author	
Reference	
Reference authors	
Reference title	
Software listed	
Spectrometer	

Protein number	25
PDB code	1PQX, doi:10.2210/pdb1PQX/pdb
BMRB code	5844, doi:10.13018/BMR5844
PDB Header	STRUCTURAL GENOMICS, UNKNOWN FUNCTION
Protein name	STAPHYLOCOCCUS AUREUS PROTEIN SAV1430
Deposition date	19.06.2003
PDB title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF STAPHYLOCOCCUS AUREUS PROTEIN SAV1430. NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM TARGET ZR18
PDB authors	M.C.BARAN, J.M.ARAMINI, R.XIAO, Y.J.HUANG, T.B.ACTON, L.SHIH, G.T.MONTELIONE, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	MONTELIONE
Reference	
Reference authors	
Reference title	
Software listed	AUTOASSIGN, AUTOPROC, AUTOSTRUCTURE, NMRPIPE, SPARKY, VNMR, X-PLOR
Spectrometer	VARIAN (600 MHZ, 500 MHZ)

Protein number	26
PDB code	2L33, doi:10.2210/pdb2L33/pdb
BMRB code	17169, doi:10.13018/BMR17169
PDB Header	TRANSCRIPTION REGULATOR
Protein name	DRBM 2 DOMAIN OF INTERLEUKIN ENHANCER- BINDING FACTOR 3 FROM HOMO SAPIENS
Deposition date	03.09.2010
PDB title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF DRBM 2 DOMAIN OF INTERLEUKIN ENHANCER- BINDING FACTOR 3 FROM HOMO SAPIENS, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM TARGET HR4527E

PDB authors	G.LIU, H.JANJUA, R.XIAO, T.B.ACTON, A.CICCOSANTI, R.B.SHASTRY, J.EVERETT, G.T.MONTELIONE, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	MONTELIONE
Reference	
Reference authors	
Reference title	
Software listed	AUTOASSIGN, AUTOSTRUCTURE, CNS, CYANA, NMRPIPE, TALOS+, TOPSPIN, VNMRJ, XEASY
Spectrometer	BRUKER, VARIAN (800 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	27
PDB code	2KZV, doi:10.2210/pdb2KZV/pdb
BMRB code	17020, doi:10.13018/BMR17020
PDB Header	STRUCTURAL GENOMICS, UNKNOWN FUNCTION
Protein name	CV_0373(175-257) PROTEIN FROM CHROMOBACTERIUM VIOLACEUM
Deposition date	25.06.2010
PDB title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF CV_0373(175-257) PROTEIN FROM CHROMOBACTERIUM VIOLACEUM, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM TARGET CVR118A
PDB authors	Y.YANG, T.A.RAMELOT, D.WANG, C.CICCOSANTI, L.MAO, H.JANJUA, T.B.ACTON, R.XIAO, J.K.EVERETT, G.T.MONTELIONE, M.A.KENNEDY, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	KENNEDY
Reference	
Reference authors	
Reference title	
Software listed	AUTOASSIGN, AUTOSTRUCTURE, CNS, CYANA, NMRPIPE, PDBSTAT, PINE, PSVS, SPARKY, TOPSPIN, VNMR, X-PLOR
Spectrometer	BRUKER, VARIAN (850 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	28
PDB code	2KCT, doi:10.2210/pdb2KCT/pdb
BMRB code	16096, doi:10.13018/BMR16096
PDB Header	CHAPERONE
Protein name	OB-FOLD DOMAIN OF HEME CHAPERONE CCME FROM DESULFOVIBRIO VULGARIS
Deposition date	29.12.2008
PDB title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF THE OB-FOLD DOMAIN OF HEME CHAPERONE CCME FROM DESULFOVIBRIO VULGARIS. NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS TARGET DVR115G
PDB authors	J.M.ARAMINI, P.ROSSI, H.LEE, A.LEMAK, H.WANG, E.L.FOOTE, M.JIANG, R.XIAO, R.NAIR, G.V.T.SWAPNA, T.B.ACTON, B.ROST, J.K.EVERETT, G.T.MONTELIONE, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	MONTELIONE
Reference	
Reference authors	
Reference title	
Software listed	AUTOASSIGN, AUTOSTRUCTURE, CNS, CYANA, NMRPIPE, PALES, PDBSTAT, PINE, PSVS, SPARKY, TOPSPIN, VNMRJ
Spectrometer	BRUKER, VARIAN (800 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	29
PDB code	2MDR, doi:10.2210/pdb2MDR/pdb
BMRB code	19502, doi:10.13018/BMR19502
PDB Header	HYDROLASE
Protein name	THIRD DOUBLE-STRANDED RNA-BINDING DOMAIN (DSRBD3) OF HUMAN ADENOSINE-DEAMINASE ADAR1
Deposition date	17.09.2013
PDB title	SOLUTION STRUCTURE OF THE THIRD DOUBLE-STRANDED RNA-BINDING DOMAIN (DSRBD3) OF HUMAN ADENOSINE-DEAMINASE ADAR1
PDB authors	P.BARRAUD, S.BANERJEE, W.I.MOHAMED, M.F.JANTSCH, F.H.ALLAIN
Last author	ALLAIN
Reference	PROC.NATL.ACAD.SCI.USA 111, E1852 (2014), doi:10.1073/PNAS.1323698111
Reference authors	P.BARRAUD, S.BANERJEE, W.I.MOHAMED, M.F.JANTSCH, F.H.ALLAIN
Reference title	A BIMODULAR NUCLEAR LOCALIZATION SIGNAL ASSEMBLED VIA AN EXTENDED DOUBLE-STRANDED RNA-BINDING DOMAIN ACTS AS AN RNA-SENSING SIGNAL FOR TRANSPORTIN 1
Software listed	ATNOS, CING, CNS, CYANA, PROCHECKNMR, SPARKY, TOPSPIN
Spectrometer	BRUKER (900 MHZ, 750 MHZ, 700 MHZ, 600 MHZ, 500 MHZ)

Protein number	30
PDB code	2FB7, doi:10.2210/pdb2FB7/pdb
BMRB code	7084, doi:10.13018/BMR7084
PDB Header	STRUCTURAL GENOMICS, UNKNOWN FUNCTION
Protein name	PROTEIN FROM ZEBRA FISH DR.13312
Deposition date	08.12.2005
PDB title	NMR SOLUTION STRUCTURE OF PROTEIN FROM ZEBRA FISH DR.13312
PDB authors	R.C.TYLER, J.SONG, J.L.MARKLEY, CENTER FOR EUKARYOTIC STRUCTURAL GENOMICS (CESG)
Last author	MARKLEY
Reference	
Reference authors	
Reference title	
Software listed	ARIA, CNS, NMRPIPE, NMRVIEW

Spectrometer	VARIAN (600 MHZ)
Protein number	31
PDB code	2MB0, doi:10.2210/pdb2MB0/pdb
BMRB code	19382, doi:10.13018/BMR19382
PDB Header	SPLICING/RNA
Protein name	HNRNP G RRM IN COMPLEX WITH RNA 5'-AUGAAA-3'
Deposition date	22.07.2013
PDB title	SOLUTION STRUCTURE OF HNRNP G RRM IN COMPLEX WITH THE RNA 5'-AUGAAA-3'
PDB authors	A.MOURSYS, F.H.-T.ALLAIN, A.CLERY
Last author	CLERY
Reference	NUCLEIC ACIDS RES. 42, 6659 (2014), doi:10.1093/NAR/GKU244
Reference authors	A.MOURSYS, F.H.ALLAIN, A.CLERY
Reference title	CHARACTERIZATION OF THE RNA RECOGNITION MODE OF HNRNP G EXTENDS ITS ROLE IN SMN2 SPLICING REGULATION
Software listed	AMBER
Spectrometer	BRUKER (900 MHZ, 700 MHZ, 600 MHZ, 500 MHZ)
Protein number	32
PDB code	2L05, doi:10.2210/pdb2L05/pdb
BMRB code	17030, doi:10.13018/BMR17030
PDB Header	TRANSFERASE
Protein name	RAS-BINDING DOMAIN OF SERINE/THREONINE- PROTEIN KINASE B-RAF FROM HOMO SAPIENS
Deposition date	30.06.2010
PDB title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF THE RAS-BINDING DOMAIN OF SERINE/THREONINE- PROTEIN KINASE B-RAF FROM HOMO SAPIENS, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM TARGET HR4694F
PDB authors	J.M.ARAMINI, H.JANJUA, C.CICCOSANTI, R.SHASTRY, Y.J.HUANG, T.B.ACTON, R.XIAO, J.K.EVERETT, G.T.MONTELIONE, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	MONTELIONE
Reference	
Reference authors	
Reference title	
Software listed	AUTOSTRUCTURE, CNS, CYANA, MOLPROBITY, NMRPIPE, PDBSTAT, PINE, PSVS, SPARKY, TALOS+, TOPSPIN
Spectrometer	BRUKER (800 MHZ, 600 MHZ)
Protein number	33
PDB code	2KJR, doi:10.2210/pdb2KJR/pdb
BMRB code	16338, doi:10.13018/BMR16338
PDB Header	CHAPERONE
Protein name	N-TERMINAL UBIQUITIN-LIKE DOMAIN FROM TUBULIN-BINDING COFACTOR B, CG11242, FROM DROSOPHILA MELANOGASTER
Deposition date	08.06.2009
PDB title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF THE N-TERMINAL UBIQUITIN-LIKE DOMAIN FROM TUBULIN-BINDING COFACTOR B, CG11242, FROM DROSOPHILA MELANOGASTER. NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM TARGET FR629A (RESIDUES 8- 92)
PDB authors	T.A.RAMELOT, J.R.CORT, R.SHASTRY, C.CICCOSANTI, M.JIANG, R.NAIR, B.ROST, G.SWAPNA, T.B.ACTON, R.XIAO, J.K.EVERETT, G.T.MONTELIONE, M.A.KENNEDY, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	KENNEDY
Reference	
Reference authors	
Reference title	
Software listed	AUTOASSIGN, AUTOSTRUCTURE, NMRPIPE, PDBSTAT, PSVS, SPARKY, TOPSPIN, VNMR, X-FLOR
Spectrometer	BRUKER, VARIAN (850 MHZ, 500 MHZ)
Protein number	34
PDB code	2M50, doi:10.2210/pdb2M50/pdb
BMRB code	19068, doi:10.13018/BMR19068
PDB Header	BIOSYNTHETIC PROTEIN
Protein name	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE CTD DOMAIN OF NFU1 IRON-SULFUR CLUSTER SCAFFOLD HOMOLOG FROM HOMO SAPIENS
Deposition date	01.03.2013
PDB title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE CTD DOMAIN OF NFU1 IRON-SULFUR CLUSTER SCAFFOLD HOMOLOG FROM HOMO SAPIENS, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG) TARGET HR2876C
PDB authors	G.LIU, R.XIAO, H.JANJUA, K.HAMILTON, R.SHASTRY, E.KOHAN, T.B.ACTON, J.K.EVERETT, K.PEDERSON, Y.J.HUANG, G.T.MONTELIONE, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG), MITOCHONDRIAL PROTEIN PARTNERSHIP (MPP)
Last author	MONTELIONE
Reference	
Reference authors	
Reference title	
Software listed	AUTOASSIGN, AUTOSTRUCTURE, CNS, CYANA, NMRPIPE, PSVS, REDCAT, SPARKY, TALOS+, TOPSPIN, VNMRJ, XEASY
Spectrometer	BRUKER, VARIAN (800 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	35
PDB code	(MDM2)
BMRB code	
PDB Header	
Protein name	
Deposition date	
PDB title	
PDB authors	
Last author	
Reference	
Reference authors	
Reference title	
Software listed	
Spectrometer	

Protein number	36
PDB code	2LNA, doi:10.2210/pdb2LNA/pdb
BMRB code	18156, doi:10.13018/BMR18156
PDB Header	HYDROLASE
Protein name	MITOCHONDRIAL INNER MEMBRANE DOMAIN (RESIDUES 164-251), FTSH_EXT, FROM PARAPLEGIN-LIKE PROTEIN AFG3L2 FROM HOMO SAPIENS
Deposition date	20.12.2011
PDB title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF THE MITOCHONDRIAL INNER MEMBRANE DOMAIN (RESIDUES 164-251), FTSH_EXT, FROM THE PARAPLEGIN-LIKE PROTEIN AFG3L2 FROM HOMO SAPIENS, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM TARGET HR6741A
PDB authors	T.A.RAMELOT, Y.YANG, H.LEE, H.JANUA, E.KOHAN, R.SHASTRY, T.B.ACTON, R.XIAO, J.K.EVERETT, J.H.PRESTEGARD, G.T.MONTELIONE, M.A.KENNEDY, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG), MITOCHONDRIAL PROTEIN PARTNERSHIP (MPP)
Last author	KENNEDY
Reference	FEBS LETT. 587, 3522 (2013), doi:10.1016/J.FEBSLET.2013.09.009
Reference authors	T.A.RAMELOT, Y.YANG, I.D.SAHU, H.W.LEE, R.XIAO, G.A.LORIGAN, G.T.MONTELIONE, M.A.KENNEDY
Reference title	NMR STRUCTURE AND MD SIMULATIONS OF THE AAA PROTEASE INTERMEMBRANE SPACE DOMAIN INDICATES PERIPHERAL MEMBRANE LOCALIZATION WITHIN THE HEXAOLIGOMER
Software listed	AUTOSTRUCTURE, CNS, CYANA, NMRPIPE, PALES, PINE, PSVS, SPARKY, TALOS+, TOPSPIN, VNMRJ, X-PLOR NIH
Spectrometer	BRUKER, VARIAN (850 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	37
PDB code	2LA6, doi:10.2210/pdb2LA6/pdb
BMRB code	17508, doi:10.13018/BMR17508
PDB Header	RNA BINDING PROTEIN
Protein name	RRM DOMAIN OF RNA-BINDING PROTEIN FUS FROM HOMO SAPIENS
Deposition date	04.03.2011
PDB title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF RRM DOMAIN OF RNA-BINDING PROTEIN FUS FROM HOMO SAPIENS, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM TARGET HR6430A
PDB authors	G.LIU, R.XIAO, H.JANJUA, C.CICCOSANTI, H.WANG, H.LEE, T.B.ACTON, J.K.EVERETT, Y.J.HUANG, G.T.MONTELIONE, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	MONTELIONE
Reference	
Reference authors	
Reference title	
Software listed	AUTOASSIGN, AUTOSTRUCTURE, CNS, CYANA, NMRPIPE, SPARKY, TALOS+, TOPSPIN, VNMRJ, XEASY
Spectrometer	BRUKER, VARIAN (800 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	38
PDB code	6FIP, doi:10.2210/pdb6FIP/pdb
BMRB code	34235, doi:10.13018/BMR34235
PDB Header	TRANSPORT PROTEIN
Protein name	PSEUDOMONAS AERUGINOSA TONB CTD
Deposition date	19.01.2018
PDB title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF PSEUDOMONAS AERUGINOSA TONB CTD
PDB authors	J.S.OEEMIG, O.H.SAMULI OLLILA, H.A.HEIKKINEN, H.IWAI
Last author	IWAI
Reference	PEERJ 6, E5412 (2018), doi:10.7717/PEERJ.5412
Reference authors	J.S.OEEMIG, O.H.S.OLLILA, H.IWAI
Reference title	NMR STRUCTURE OF THE C-TERMINAL DOMAIN OF TONB PROTEIN FROM PSEUDOMONAS AERUGINOSA
Software listed	AMBER, CCPNMR, CYANA, NMRPIPE
Spectrometer	VARIAN (800 MHZ)

Protein number	39
PDB code	2LEA, doi:10.2210/pdb2LEA/pdb
BMRB code	17705, doi:10.13018/BMR17705
PDB Header	RNA BINDING PROTEIN
Protein name	HUMAN SRSF2 (SC35) RRM
Deposition date	15.06.2011
PDB title	SOLUTION STRUCTURE OF HUMAN SRSF2 (SC35) RRM

PDB authors	G.M.DAUBNER, A.CLERY, S.JAYNE, J.STEVENIN, F.H.-T.ALLAIN
Last author	ALLAIN
Reference	EMBO J. 31, 162 (2012), doi:10.1038/EMBOJ.2011.367
Reference authors	G.M.DAUBNER, A.CLERY, S.JAYNE, J.STEVENIN, F.H.ALLAIN
Reference title	A SYN-ANTI CONFORMATIONAL DIFFERENCE ALLOWS SRSF2 TO RECOGNIZE GUANINES AND CYTOSINES EQUALLY WELL
Software listed	AMBER, CYANA, SPARKY
Spectrometer	BRUKER (900 MHZ, 700 MHZ, 600 MHZ, 500 MHZ)

Protein number	40
PDB code	2LL8, doi:10.2210/pdb2LL8/pdb
BMRB code	18032, doi:10.13018/BMR18032
PDB Header	TRANSFERASE
Protein name	SPECIALIZED HOLO-ACYL CARRIER PROTEIN RPA2022 FROM RHODOPSEUDOMONAS PALUSTRIS REFINED WITH NH RDCS
Deposition date	31.10.2011
PDB title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF THE SPECIALIZED HOLO-ACYL CARRIER PROTEIN RPA2022 FROM RHODOPSEUDOMONAS PALUSTRIS REFINED WITH NH RDCS, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM TARGET RPR324
PDB authors	T.A.RAMELOT, S.NI, P.ROSSI, Y.YANG, H.WANG, C.CICCOSANTI, M.MAGLAQUI, H.JANJUA, R.NAIR, B.ROSET, T.B.ACTON, R.XIAO, J.K.EVERETT, J.H.PRESTEGARD, G.T.MONTELIONE, M.A.KENNEDY, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	KENNEDY
Reference	BIOCHEMISTRY 51, 7239 (2012), doi:10.1021/BI300546B
Reference authors	T.A.RAMELOT, P.ROSSI, F.FOROUHAR, H.W.LEE, Y.YANG, S.NI, S.UNSER, S.LEW, J.SEETHARAMAN, R.XIAO, T.B.ACTON, J.K.EVERETT, J.H.PRESTEGARD, J.F.HUNT, G.T.MONTELIONE, M.A.KENNEDY
Reference title	STRUCTURE OF A SPECIALIZED ACYL CARRIER PROTEIN ESSENTIAL FOR LIPID A BIOSYNTHESIS WITH VERY LONG-CHAIN FATTY ACIDS IN OPEN AND CLOSED CONFORMATIONS
Software listed	AUTOASSIGN, AUTOSTRUCTURE, CNS, CYANA, FMCGUI, NMRPIPE, PDBSTAT, PINE_SERVER, PSVS, SPARKY, TOPSPIN, VNMR, X-PLOR NIH
Spectrometer	BRUKER, VARIAN (850 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	41
PDB code	2KPN, doi:10.2210/pdb2KPN/pdb
BMRB code	16561, doi:10.13018/BMR16561
PDB Header	HYDROLASE
Protein name	A BACTERIAL IG-LIKE (BIG_3) DOMAIN FROM BACILLUS CEREUS
Deposition date	16.10.2009
PDB title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF A BACTERIAL IG-LIKE (BIG_3) DOMAIN FROM BACILLUS CEREUS. NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM TARGET BCR147A
PDB authors	J.M.ARAMINI, D.WANG, C.T.CICCOSANTI, H.JANJUA, B.ROST, T.B.ACTON, R.XIAO, G.V.T.SWAPNA, J.K.EVERETT, G.T.MONTELIONE, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	MONTELIONE
Reference	
Reference authors	
Reference title	
Software listed	AUTOSTRUCTURE, CNS, CYANA, MOLPROBITY, NMRPIPE, PDBSTAT, PINE, PSVS, SPARKY, TALOS, TOPSPIN
Spectrometer	BRUKER (800 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	42
PDB code	2K0M, doi:10.2210/pdb2K0M/pdb
BMRB code	15652, doi:10.13018/BMR15652
PDB Header	STRUCTURAL GENOMICS, UNKNOWN FUNCTION
Protein name	UNCHARACTERIZED PROTEIN FROM RHODOSPIRILLUM RUBRUM GENE LOCUS RRU A0810
Deposition date	04.02.2008
PDB title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF THE UNCHARACTERIZED PROTEIN FROM RHODOSPIRILLUM RUBRUM GENE LOCUS RRU A0810. NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS TARGET RRR43
PDB authors	P.ROSSI, H.WANG, M.JIANG, E.L.FOOTE, R.XIAO, J.LIU, G.SWAPNA, T.B.ACTON, M.C.BARAN, B.ROST, G.T.MONTELIONE, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	MONTELIONE
Reference	
Reference authors	
Reference title	
Software listed	AUTOASSIGN, CNS, CYANA, MOLMOL, MOLPROBITY, NMRPIPE, PROCHECKNMR, PSVS, SPARKY, TALOS, TOPSPIN
Spectrometer	BRUKER (800 MHZ)

Protein number	43
PDB code	2K5V, doi:10.2210/pdb2K5V/pdb
BMRB code	15849, doi:10.13018/BMR15849
PDB Header	DNA BINDING PROTEIN
Protein name	SECOND OB-FOLD DOMAIN OF REPLICATION PROTEIN A FROM METHANOCOCCUS MARIPALUDIS
Deposition date	30.06.2008
PDB title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF THE SECOND OB-FOLD DOMAIN OF REPLICATION PROTEIN A FROM METHANOCOCCUS MARIPALUDIS. NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS TARGET MRR110B

PDB authors	J.M.ARAMINI, M.MAGLAQUI, M.JIANG, C.CICCOSANTI, R.XIAO, R.NAIR, J.K.EVERETT, G.VT.SWAPNA, T.B.ACTON, B.ROST, G.T.MONTELIONE, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	MONTELIONE
Reference	
Reference authors	
Reference title	
Software listed	AUTOASSIGN, AUTOSTRUCTURE, CNS, CYANA, NMRPIPE, PDBSTAT, PSVS, SPARKY, TOPSPIN
Spectrometer	BRUKER (800 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	44
PDB code	2MQL, doi:10.2210/pdb2MQL/pdb
BMRB code	25038, doi:10.13018/BMR25038
PDB Header	RNA BINDING PROTEIN
Protein name	STRUCTURAL INVESTIGATION OF HNRNP L
Deposition date	24.06.2014
PDB title	STRUCTURAL INVESTIGATION OF HNRNP L
PDB authors	M.BLATTER, F.ALLAIN
Last author	ALLAIN
Reference	J.MOL.BIOL. 427, 3001 (2015), doi:10.1016/J.JMB.2015.05.020
Reference authors	M.BLATTER, S.DUNIN-HORKAWICZ, I.GRISHINA, C.MARIS, S.THORE, T.MAIER, A.BINDEREIF, J.M.BUJNICKI, F.H.ALLAIN
Reference title	THE SIGNATURE OF THE FIVE-STRANDED VRRM FOLD DEFINED BY FUNCTIONAL, STRUCTURAL AND COMPUTATIONAL ANALYSIS OF THE HNRNP L PROTEIN
Software listed	AMBER, CYANA, SPARKY, TOPSPIN
Spectrometer	BRUKER (900 MHZ, 700 MHZ)

Protein number	45
PDB code	2K75, doi:10.2210/pdb2K75/pdb
BMRB code	15902, doi:10.13018/BMR15902
PDB Header	DNA BINDING PROTEIN
Protein name	OB DOMAIN OF TA0387 FROM THERMOPLASMA ACIDOPHILUM
Deposition date	01.08.2008
PDB title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF THE OB DOMAIN OF TA0387 FROM THERMOPLASMA ACIDOPHILUM. NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM TARGET TAR80B
PDB authors	T.A.RAMELOT, K.DING, D.LEE, M.JIANG, C.CICCOSANTI, R.XIAO, R.NAIR, J.K.EVERETT, G.SWAPNA, T.B.ACTON, B.ROST, G.T.MONTELIONE, M.A.KENNEDY, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	KENNEDY
Reference	
Reference authors	
Reference title	
Software listed	AUTOASSIGN, AUTOSTRUCTURE, NMRPIPE, PSVS, SPARKY, TOPSPIN, VNMR, X-PLOR
Spectrometer	BRUKER, VARIAN (850 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	46
PDB code	2LTM, doi:10.2210/pdb2LTM/pdb
BMRB code	18489, doi:10.13018/BMR18489
PDB Header	ELECTRON TRANSPORT
Protein name	NFU1 IRON-SULFUR CLUSTER SCAFFOLD HOMOLOG FROM HOMO SAPIENS
Deposition date	29.05.2012
PDB title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF NFU1 IRON-SULFUR CLUSTER SCAFFOLD HOMOLOG FROM HOMO SAPIENS, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG) TARGET HR2876B
PDB authors	G.LIU, R.XIAO, H.JANJUA, K.HAMILTON, R.SHASTRY, E.KOHAN, T.B.ACTON, J.K.EVERETT, H.LEE, Y.J.HUANG, G.T.MONTELIONE, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG), MITOCHONDRIAL PROTEIN PARTNERSHIP (MPP)
Last author	MONTELIONE
Reference	
Reference authors	
Reference title	
Software listed	AUTOASSIGN, AUTOSTRUCTURE, CNS, CYANA, NMRPIPE, PALES, PSVS, REDCAT, SPARKY, TALOS+, TOPSPIN, VNMRJ, XEASY
Spectrometer	BRUKER, VARIAN (800 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	47
PDB code	2KOB, doi:10.2210/pdb2KOB/pdb
BMRB code	16498, doi:10.13018/BMR16498
PDB Header	STRUCTURAL GENOMICS, UNKNOWN FUNCTION
Protein name	CLOLEP_01837 (FRAGMENT 61-160) FROM CLOSTRIDIUM LEPTUM
Deposition date	15.09.2009
PDB title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF CLOLEP_01837 (FRAGMENT 61-160) FROM CLOSTRIDIUM LEPTUM. NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM TARGET QLR8A
PDB authors	T.A.RAMELOT, D.LEE, C.CICCOSANTI, M.JIANG, R.NAIR, B.ROST, T.B.ACTON, R.XIAO, J.K.EVERETT, G.T.MONTELIONE, M.A.KENNEDY, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	KENNEDY
Reference	
Reference authors	
Reference title	

Software listed	AUTOASSIGN, AUTOSTRUCTURE, CNS, NMRPIPE, PDBSTAT, PSVS, SPARKY, TOPSPIN, VNMR, X-FLOR
Spectrometer	BRUKER, VARIAN (850 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	48
PDB code	2KHD, doi:10.2210/pdb2KHD/pdb
BMRB code	16238, doi:10.13018/BMR16238
PDB Header	STRUCTURAL GENOMICS, UNKNOWN FUNCTION
Protein name	VC A0919 FROM VIBRIO CHOLERAE
Deposition date	02.04.2009
PDB title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF VC A0919 FROM VIBRIO CHOLERAE. NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM TARGET VCR52
PDB authors	T.A.RAMELOT, J.R.CORT, H.WANG, C.CICCOSANTI, M.JIANG, J.LIU, B.ROST, G.V.T.SWAPNA, T.B.ACTON, R.XIAO, J.K.EVERETT, G.T.MONTELIONE, M.A.KENNEDY, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	KENNEDY
Reference	
Reference authors	
Reference title	
Software listed	AUTOASSIGN, AUTOSTRUCTURE, NMRPIPE, PDBSTAT, PSVS, SPARKY, TOPSPIN, VNMR, X-FLOR
Spectrometer	BRUKER, VARIAN (850 MHZ, 750 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	49
PDB code	2RN7, doi:10.2210/pdb2RN7/pdb
BMRB code	11017, doi:10.13018/BMR11017
PDB Header	UNKNOWN FUNCTION
Protein name	TNPE PROTEIN FROM SHIGELLA FLEXNERI
Deposition date	08.12.2007
PDB title	NMR SOLUTION STRUCTURE OF TNPE PROTEIN FROM SHIGELLA FLEXNERI. NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS TARGET SFR125
PDB authors	T.A.RAMELOT, J.R.CORT, A.SEMESI, M.GARCIA, A.A.YEE, C.H.ARROWSMITH, M.A.KENNEDY, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	KENNEDY
Reference	
Reference authors	
Reference title	
Software listed	AUTOSTRUCTURE, CNS, NMRPIPE, SPARKY, VNMR, X-FLOR
Spectrometer	VARIAN (750 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	50
PDB code	2LXU, doi:10.2210/pdb2LXU/pdb
BMRB code	18698, doi:10.13018/BMR18698
PDB Header	RNA BINDING PROTEIN
Protein name	EUKARYOTIC RNA RECOGNITION MOTIF, RRM1, FROM HETEROGENEOUS NUCLEAR RIBONUCLEOPROTEIN H FROM HOMO SAPIENS
Deposition date	31.08.2012
PDB title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF THE EUKARYOTIC RNA RECOGNITION MOTIF, RRM1, FROM THE HETEROGENEOUS NUCLEAR RIBONUCLEOPROTEIN H FROM HOMO SAPIENS, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG) TARGET HR8614A
PDB authors	T.A.RAMELOT, Y.YANG, K.PEDERSON, R.SHASTRY, E.KOHAN, H.JANJUA, R.XIAO, T.B.ACTON, J.K.EVERETT, J.H.PRESTEGARD, G.T.MONTELIONE, M.A.KENNEDY, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	KENNEDY
Reference	
Reference authors	
Reference title	
Software listed	AUTOSTRUCTURE, CNS, CYANA, FMCGUI, NMRPIPE, PALES, PINE, PSVS, SPARKY, TALOS+, TOPSPIN, VNMRJ
Spectrometer	BRUKER, VARIAN (800 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	51
PDB code	2KIF, doi:10.2210/pdb2KIF/pdb
BMRB code	16272, doi:10.13018/BMR16272
PDB Header	TRANSFERASE
Protein name	AN O6-METHYLGUANINE DNA METHYLTRANSFERASE FAMILY PROTEIN FROM VIBRIO PARAHAEMOLYTICUS
Deposition date	03.05.2009
PDB title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF AN O6-METHYLGUANINE DNA METHYLTRANSFERASE FAMILY PROTEIN FROM VIBRIO PARAHAEMOLYTICUS. NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM TARGET VPR247
PDB authors	J.M.ARAMINI, R.L.BELOTE, C.T.CICCOSANTI, M.JIANG, B.ROST, R.NAIR, G.V.T.SWAPNA, T.B.ACTON, R.XIAO, J.K.EVERETT, G.T.MONTELIONE, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	MONTELIONE
Reference	J.BIOL.CHEM. 285, 13736 (2010), doi:10.1074/JBC.M109.093591
Reference authors	J.M.ARAMINI, J.L.TUBBS, S.KANUGULA, P.ROSSI, A.ERTEKIN, M.MAGLAQUI, K.HAMILTON, C.T.CICCOSANTI, M.JIANG, R.XIAO, T.T.SOONG, B.ROST, T.B.ACTON, J.K.EVERETT, A.E.PEGG, J.A.TAINER, G.T.MONTELIONE

Reference title	STRUCTURAL BASIS OF O6-ALKYLGUANINE RECOGNITION BY A BACTERIAL ALKYLTRANSFERASE-LIKE DNA REPAIR PROTEIN
Software listed	AUTOASSIGN, AUTOSTRUCTURE, CNS, CYANA, MOLPROBITY, NMRPIPE, PDBSTAT, PINE, PSVS, SPARKY, TOPSPIN
Spectrometer	BRUKER (800 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	52
PDB code	2KBN, doi:10.2210/pdb2KBN/pdb
BMRB code	16051, doi:10.13018/BMR16051
PDB Header	STRUCTURAL GENOMICS, UNKNOWN FUNCTION
Protein name	OB DOMAIN (RESIDUES 67-166) OF MM0293 FROM METHANOSARCINA MAZEI
Deposition date	03.12.2008
PDB title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF THE OB DOMAIN (RESIDUES 67-166) OF MM0293 FROM METHANOSARCINA MAZEI. NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM TARGET MAR214A
PDB authors	T.A.RAMELOT, K.DING, M.MAGLIQUI, M.JIANG, C.CICCOSANTI, R.XIAO, J.LUI, J.K.EVERETT, G.SWAPNA, T.B.ACTON, B.ROST, G.T.MONTELIONE, M.A.KENNEDY, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	KENNEDY
Reference	
Reference authors	
Reference title	
Software listed	AUTOASSIGN, AUTOSTRUCTURE, CNS, CYANA, PSVS, SPARKY, TOPSPIN, X-PLOR
Spectrometer	BRUKER, VARIAN (850 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	53
PDB code	2MK2, doi:10.2210/pdb2MK2/pdb
BMRB code	19749, doi:10.13018/BMR19749
PDB Header	HYDROLASE
Protein name	N-TERMINAL DOMAIN (SH2 DOMAIN) OF HUMAN INOSITOL POLYPHOSPHATE PHOSPHATASE-LIKE PROTEIN 1 (INPPL1) (FRAGMENT 20-117)
Deposition date	23.01.2014
PDB title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF N-TERMINAL DOMAIN (SH2 DOMAIN) OF HUMAN INOSITOL POLYPHOSPHATE PHOSPHATASE-LIKE PROTEIN 1 (INPPL1) (FRAGMENT 20-117), NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM TARGET HR9134A
PDB authors	Y.YANG, T.A.RAMELOT, H.JANJUA, R.XIAO, J.K.EVERETT, G.T.MONTELIONE, M.A.KENNEDY, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	KENNEDY
Reference	
Reference authors	
Reference title	
Software listed	AUTOASSIGN, AUTOSTRUCTURE, CNS, CYANA, NMRPIPE, PALES, PINE, PSVS, REDCAT, SPARKY, TALOS+, TOPSPIN, VNMRJ, XEASY
Spectrometer	BRUKER, VARIAN (850 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	54
PDB code	2K50, doi:10.2210/pdb2K50/pdb
BMRB code	15819, doi:10.13018/BMR15819
PDB Header	STRUCTURAL GENOMICS, UNKNOWN FUNCTION
Protein name	REPLICATION FACTOR A RELATED PROTEIN FROM METHANOBACTERIUM THERMOAUTOTROPHICUM
Deposition date	23.06.2008
PDB title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF THE REPLICATION FACTOR A RELATED PROTEIN FROM METHANOBACTERIUM THERMOAUTOTROPHICUM. NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS TARGET TR91A
PDB authors	P.ROSSI, R.XIAO, M.MAGLAQUI, E.L.FOOTE, C.CICCOSANTI, G.SWAPNA, T.B.ACTON, B.ROST, J.K.EVERETT, M.JIANG, R.NAIR, G.T.MONTELIONE, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	MONTELIONE
Reference	
Reference authors	
Reference title	
Software listed	AUTOASSIGN, CNS, CYANA, MOLMOL, MOLPROBITY, NMRPIPE, PROCHECK, PSVS, RPF(AUTOSTRUCTURE), SPARKY, TALOS, TOPSPIN
Spectrometer	BRUKER (800 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	55
PDB code	2KL5, doi:10.2210/pdb2KL5/pdb
BMRB code	16384, doi:10.13018/BMR16384
PDB Header	STRUCTURAL GENOMICS, UNKNOWN FUNCTION
Protein name	PROTEIN YUTD FROM B.SUBTILIS
Deposition date	30.06.2009
PDB title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF PROTEIN YUTD FROM B.SUBTILIS, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM TARGET SR232
PDB authors	G.LIU, K.HAMILTON, R.XIAO, C.CICCOSANTI, C.J.HO, J.EVERETT, R.NAIR, T.ACTON, B.ROST, G.T.MONTELIONE, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	MONTELIONE
Reference	
Reference authors	
Reference title	
Software listed	AUTOASSIGN, AUTOSTRUCTURE, CNS, CYANA, NMRPIPE, TOPSPIN, VNMRJ, XEASY

Spectrometer	BRUKER, VARIAN (800 MHZ, 600 MHZ)
---------------------	-----------------------------------

Protein number	56
PDB code	2LTA, doi:10.2210/pdb2LTA/pdb
BMRB code	18465, doi:10.13018/BMR18465
PDB Header	DE NOVO PROTEIN
Protein name	DE NOVO DESIGNED PROTEIN, ROSSMANN 3X1 FOLD
Deposition date	15.05.2012
PDB title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF DE NOVO DESIGNED PROTEIN, ROSSMANN 3X1 FOLD, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM TARGET OR157
PDB authors	G.LIU, R.KOGA, N.KOGA, R.XIAO, K.PEDERSON, K.HAMILTON, E.KOHAN, T.B.ACTON, G.KORNHABER, J.K.EVERETT, D.BAKER, G.T.MONTELIONE, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	MONTELIONE
Reference	NATURE 491, 222 (2012), doi:10.1038/NATURE11600
Reference authors	N.KOGA, R.TATSUMI-KOGA, G.LIU, R.XIAO, T.B.ACTON, G.T.MONTELIONE, D.BAKER
Reference title	PRINCIPLES FOR DESIGNING IDEAL PROTEIN STRUCTURES
Software listed	AUTOASSIGN, AUTOSTRUCTURE, CNS, CYANA, NMRPIPE, PSVS, REDCAT, SPARKY, TALOS+, TOPSPIN, VNMRJ, XEASY
Spectrometer	BRUKER, VARIAN (800 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	57
PDB code	2KIW, doi:10.2210/pdb2KIW/pdb
BMRB code	16298, doi:10.13018/BMR16298
PDB Header	DNA BINDING PROTEIN
Protein name	DOMAIN N-TERMINAL TO INTEGRASE DOMAIN OF SH1003 FROM STAPHYLOCOCCUS HAEMOLYTICUS
Deposition date	12.05.2009
PDB title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF THE DOMAIN N-TERMINAL TO THE INTEGRASE DOMAIN OF SH1003 FROM STAPHYLOCOCCUS HAEMOLYTICUS. NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM TARGET SHR105F (64-166)
PDB authors	Y.YANG, T.A.RAMELOT, R.L.BELOTE, E.L.FOOTE, H.JANJUA, R.NAIR, B.ROST, G.SWAPNA, T.B.ACTON, R.XIAO, J.K.EVERETT, G.T.MONTELIONE, M.A.KENNEDY, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	KENNEDY
Reference	
Reference authors	
Reference title	
Software listed	AUTOASSIGN, AUTOSTRUCTURE, CNS, NMRPIPE, PDBSTAT, PSVS, SPARKY, TOPSPIN, VNMR, X-PLOR
Spectrometer	BRUKER, VARIAN (850 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	58
PDB code	2LVB, doi:10.2210/pdb2LVB/pdb
BMRB code	18561, doi:10.13018/BMR18561
PDB Header	DE NOVO PROTEIN
Protein name	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE DE NOVO DESIGNED PFK FOLD PROTEIN
Deposition date	30.06.2012
PDB title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE DE NOVO DESIGNED PFK FOLD PROTEIN, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG) TARGET OR250
PDB authors	G.LIU, N.KOGA, R.KOGA, R.XIAO, K.HAMILTON, E.KOHAN, T.B.ACTON, G.KORNHABER, J.K.EVERETT, D.BAKER, G.T.MONTELIONE, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	MONTELIONE
Reference	NATURE 491, 222 (2012), doi:10.1038/NATURE11600
Reference authors	N.KOGA, R.TATSUMI-KOGA, G.LIU, R.XIAO, T.B.ACTON, G.T.MONTELIONE, D.BAKER
Reference title	PRINCIPLES FOR DESIGNING IDEAL PROTEIN STRUCTURES
Software listed	AUTOASSIGN, AUTOSTRUCTURE, CNS, CYANA, NMRPIPE, PSVS, SPARKY, TALOS+, TOPSPIN, VNMRJ, XEASY
Spectrometer	BRUKER, VARIAN (800 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	59
PDB code	2LND, doi:10.2210/pdb2LND/pdb
BMRB code	18161, doi:10.13018/BMR18161
PDB Header	DE NOVO PROTEIN
Protein name	DE NOVO DESIGNED PROTEIN, PFK FOLD
Deposition date	23.12.2011
PDB title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF DE NOVO DESIGNED PROTEIN, PFK FOLD, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM TARGET OR134
PDB authors	G.LIU, N.KOGA, R.KOGA, R.XIAO, H.LEE, H.JANJUA, E.KOHAN, T.B.ACTON, J.K.EVERETT, D.BAKER, G.T.MONTELIONE, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	MONTELIONE
Reference	
Reference authors	
Reference title	
Software listed	AUTOASSIGN, AUTOSTRUCTURE, CNS, CYANA, NMRPIPE, PSVS, SPARKY, TALOS+, TOPSPIN, VNMRJ, XEASY
Spectrometer	BRUKER, VARIAN (800 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	60
PDB code	1WQU, doi:10.2210/pdb1WQU/pdb
BMRB code	6331, doi:10.13018/BMR6331
PDB Header	TRANSFERASE
Protein name	HUMAN FES SH2 DOMAIN
Deposition date	02.10.2004
PDB title	SOLUTION STRUCTURE OF THE HUMAN FES SH2 DOMAIN
PDB authors	A.SCOTT, D.PANTOJA-UCEDA, S.KOSHIBA, M.INOUE, T.KIGAWA, T.TERADA, M.SHIROUZU, A.TANAKA, S.SUGANO, S.YOKOYAMA, P.GUNTERT, RIKEN STRUCTURAL GENOMICS/PROTEOMICS INITIATIVE (RSGI)
Last author	GUNTERT
Reference	J.BIOMOL.NMR 31, 357 (2005), doi:10.1007/S10858-005-0946-6
Reference authors	A.SCOTT, D.PANTOJA-UCEDA, S.KOSHIBA, M.INOUE, T.KIGAWA, T.TERADA, M.SHIROUZU, A.TANAKA, S.SUGANO, S.YOKOYAMA, P.GUNTERT
Reference title	SOLUTION STRUCTURE OF THE SRC HOMOLOGY 2 DOMAIN FROM THE HUMAN FELINE SARCOMA ONCOGENE FES
Software listed	CYANA, NMRPIPE, NMRVIEW, OPALP
Spectrometer	BRUKER (800 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	61
PDB code	2KL6, doi:10.2210/pdb2KL6/pdb
BMRB code	16385, doi:10.13018/BMR16385
PDB Header	STRUCTURAL GENOMICS, UNKNOWN FUNCTION
Protein name	CARDB DOMAIN OF PF1109 FROM PYROCOCCLUS FURIOSUS
Deposition date	30.06.2009
PDB title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF THE CARDB DOMAIN OF PF1109 FROM PYROCOCCLUS FURIOSUS. NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM TARGET PFR193A
PDB authors	J.M.ARAMINI, D.LEE, C.CICCOSANTI, K.HAMILTON, R.NAIR, B.ROST, T.B.ACTON, R.XIAO, G.V.T.SWAPNA, J.K.EVERETT, G.T.MONTELIONE, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	MONTELIONE
Reference	
Reference authors	
Reference title	
Software listed	AUTOSTRUCTURE, CNS, CYANA, MOLPROBITY, NMRPIPE, PDBSTAT, PINE, PSVS, SPARKY, TALOS, TOPSPIN
Spectrometer	BRUKER (800 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	62
PDB code	6GT7, doi:10.2210/pdb6GT7/pdb
BMRB code	34287, doi:10.13018/BMR34287
PDB Header	TRANSFERASE
Protein name	FREE HELIX BUNDLE DOMAIN FROM FUNCTIONAL PRN1 PRIMASE
Deposition date	15.06.2018
PDB title	NMR STRUCTURE OF THE FREE HELIX BUNDLE DOMAIN FROM THE FUNCTIONAL PRN1 PRIMASE
PDB authors	J.BOUDET, G.LIPPS, F.ALLAIN
Last author	ALLAIN
Reference	CELL 176, 154 (2019), doi:10.1016/J.CELL.2018.11.031
Reference authors	J.BOUDET, J.C.DEVILLIER, T.WIEGAND, L.SALMON, B.H.MEIER, G.LIPPS, F.H.ALLAIN
Reference title	A SMALL HELICAL BUNDLE PREPARES PRIMER SYNTHESIS BY BINDING TWO NUCLEOTIDES THAT ENHANCE SEQUENCE-SPECIFIC RECOGNITION OF THE DNA TEMPLATE
Software listed	AMBER, CANDID, CYANA, SPARKY
Spectrometer	BRUKER (900 MHZ, 700 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	63
PDB code	2JN8, doi:10.2210/pdb2JN8/pdb
BMRB code	15089, doi:10.13018/BMR15089
PDB Header	STRUCTURAL GENOMICS, UNKNOWN FUNCTION
Protein name	Q8ZRJ2 FROM SALMONELLA TYPHIMURIUM
Deposition date	29.12.2006
PDB title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF Q8ZRJ2 FROM SALMONELLA TYPHIMURIUM. NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS TARGET STR65
PDB authors	J.M.ARAMINI, J.R.CORT, C.K.HO, K.CUNNINGHAM, L.-C.MA, R.XIAO, J.LIU, M.C.BARAN, G.V.T.SWAPNA, T.B.ACTON, B.ROST, G.T.MONTELIONE, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	MONTELIONE
Reference	
Reference authors	
Reference title	
Software listed	AUTOASSIGN, AUTOSTRUCTURE, BRUKER, NMRPIPE, PDBSTAT, PSVS, SPARKY, VNMR, X-FLOR
Spectrometer	BRUKER, VARIAN (750 MHZ, 600 MHZ, 500 MHZ)

Protein number	64
PDB code	2K5D, doi:10.2210/pdb2K5D/pdb
BMRB code	15829, doi:10.13018/BMR15829
PDB Header	STRUCTURAL GENOMICS, UNKNOWN FUNCTION
Protein name	SAG0934 FROM STREPTOCOCCUS AGALACTIAE
Deposition date	26.06.2008

PDB title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF SAG0934 FROM STREPTOCOCCUS AGALACTIAE. NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS TARGET SAR32[1-108]
PDB authors	J.M.ARAMINI, P.ROSSI, L.ZHAO, E.L.FOOTE, M.JIANG, R.XIAO, S.SHARMA, G.VT.SWAPNA, R.NAIR, J.K.EVERETT, T.B.ACTON, B.ROST, G.T.MONTELIONE, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	MONTELIONE
Reference	
Reference authors	
Reference title	
Software listed	AUTOASSIGN, AUTOSTRUCTURE, CNS, CYANA, NMRPIPE, PDBSTAT, PSVS, SPARKY, TOPSPIN
Spectrometer	BRUKER (800 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	65
PDB code	2KD1, doi:10.2210/pdb2KD1/pdb
BMRB code	16102, doi:10.13018/BMR16102
PDB Header	STRUCTURAL GENOMICS, UNKNOWN FUNCTION
Protein name	INTEGRASE-LIKE DOMAIN FROM BACILLUS CEREUS ORDERED LOCUS BC_1272
Deposition date	31.12.2008
PDB title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF THE INTEGRASE-LIKE DOMAIN FROM BACILLUS CEREUS ORDERED LOCUS BC_1272. NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM TARGET BCR268F
PDB authors	P.ROSSI, H.LEE, M.MAGLAQUI, E.L.FOOTE, W.A.BUCHWALD, M.JIANG, G.V.T.SWAPNA, R.NAIR, R.XIAO, T.B.ACTON, B.ROST, J.H.PRESTEGARD, G.T.MONTELIONE, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	MONTELIONE
Reference	
Reference authors	
Reference title	
Software listed	CNS, CYANA, MOLMOL, MOLPROBITY, NMRPIPE, PDBSTAT, PINE, PROCHECK, PSVS, SPARKY, TALOS, TOPSPIN
Spectrometer	BRUKER, VARIAN (800 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	66
PDB code	2LTL, doi:10.2210/pdb2LTL/pdb
BMRB code	18487, doi:10.13018/BMR18487
PDB Header	ELECTRON TRANSPORT
Protein name	NIFU-LIKE PROTEIN FROM SACCHAROMYCES CEREVISIAE
Deposition date	29.05.2012
PDB title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF NIFU-LIKE PROTEIN FROM SACCHAROMYCES CEREVISIAE, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG) TARGET YR313A
PDB authors	G.LIU, R.XIAO, K.HAMILTON, H.JANJUA, R.SHASTRY, E.KOHAN, T.B.ACTON, J.K.EVERETT, H.LEE, Y.J.HUANG, G.T.MONTELIONE, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG), MITOCHONDRIAL PROTEIN PARTNERSHIP (MPP)
Last author	MONTELIONE
Reference	
Reference authors	
Reference title	
Software listed	AUTOASSIGN, AUTOSTRUCTURE, CNS, CYANA, NMRPIPE, PALES, PINE, PSVS, REDCAT, SPARKY, TALOS+, TOPSPIN, VNMRJ, XEASY
Spectrometer	BRUKER, VARIAN (800 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	67
PDB code	2KVO, doi:10.2210/pdb2KVO/pdb
BMRB code	16782, doi:10.13018/BMR16782
PDB Header	PHOTOSYNTHESIS
Protein name	PHOTOSYSTEM II REACTION CENTER PSB28 PROTEIN FROM SYNECHOCYSTIS SP.(STRAIN PCC 6803)
Deposition date	22.03.2010
PDB title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF PHOTOSYSTEM II REACTION CENTER PSB28 PROTEIN FROM SYNECHOCYSTIS SP.(STRAIN PCC 6803), NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM TARGET SGR171
PDB authors	Y.YANG, T.A.RAMELOT, J.R.CORT, D.WANG, C.CICCOSANTI, K.HAMILTON, R.NAIR, B.ROST, T.B.ACTON, R.XIAO, J.K.EVERETT, G.T.MONTELIONE, M.A.KENNEDY, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	KENNEDY
Reference	PROTEINS 79, 340 (2011), doi:10.1002/PROT.22876
Reference authors	Y.YANG, T.A.RAMELOT, J.R.CORT, D.WANG, C.CICCOSANTI, K.HAMILTON, R.NAIR, B.ROST, T.B.ACTON, R.XIAO, J.K.EVERETT, G.T.MONTELIONE, M.A.KENNEDY
Reference title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF PHOTOSYSTEM II REACTION CENTER PROTEIN PSB28 FROM SYNECHOCYSTIS SP. STRAIN PCC 6803
Software listed	AUTOASSIGN, AUTOSTRUCTURE, CNS, NMRPIPE, PDBSTAT, PINE_SERVER, PSVS, SPARKY, TOPSPIN, VNMR, X-PLOR NIH
Spectrometer	BRUKER, VARIAN (850 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	68
PDB code	1T0Y, doi:10.2210/pdb1T0Y/pdb
BMRB code	6176, doi:10.13018/BMR6176
PDB Header	CHAPERONE
Protein name	A UBIQUITIN-LIKE DOMAIN FROM TUBULIN-BINDING COFACTOR B

Deposition date	13.04.2004
PDB title	SOLUTION STRUCTURE OF A UBIQUITIN-LIKE DOMAIN FROM TUBULIN-BINDING COFACTOR B
PDB authors	B.L.LYTTLE, F.C.PETERSON, S.H.QUI, M.LUO, B.F.VOLKMAN, J.L.MARKLEY, CENTER FOR EUKARYOTIC STRUCTURAL GENOMICS (CESG)
Last author	MARKLEY
Reference	J.BIOL.CHEM. 279, 46787 (2004), doi:10.1074/JBC.M409422200
Reference authors	B.L.LYTTLE, F.C.PETERSON, S.H.QIU, M.LUO, Q.ZHAO, J.L.MARKLEY, B.F.VOLKMAN
Reference title	SOLUTION STRUCTURE OF A UBIQUITIN-LIKE DOMAIN FROM TUBULIN-BINDING COFACTOR B
Software listed	CYANA, NMRPIPE, SPSCAN, X-PLOR_NIH, XEASY, XWINNMR
Spectrometer	BRUKER (600 MHZ)

Protein number	69
PDB code	2KCD, doi:10.2210/pdb2KCD/pdb
BMRB code	16072, doi:10.13018/BMR16072
PDB Header	STRUCTURAL GENOMICS, UNKNOWN FUNCTION
Protein name	SSP0047 FROM STAPHYLOCOCCUS SAPROPHYTICUS
Deposition date	19.12.2008
PDB title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF SSP0047 FROM STAPHYLOCOCCUS SAPROPHYTICUS. NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM TARGET SYR6
PDB authors	T.A.RAMELOT, K.DING, C.X.CHEN, M.JIANG, C.CICCOSANTI, R.XIAO, J.LIU, M.C.BARAN, G.SWAPNA, T.B.ACTON, B.ROST, G.T.MONTELIONE, M.A.KENNEDY, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	KENNEDY
Reference	
Reference authors	
Reference title	
Software listed	AUTOASSIGN, AUTOSTRUCTURE, NMRPIPE, PDBSTAT, PSVS, SPARKY, TOPSPIN, VNMR, X-PLOR
Spectrometer	BRUKER, VARIAN (850 MHZ, 750 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	70
PDB code	2KRT, doi:10.2210/pdb2KRT/pdb
BMRB code	16648, doi:10.13018/BMR16648
PDB Header	LIPID BINDING PROTEIN
Protein name	A CONSERVED HYPOTHETICAL MEMBRANE LIPOPROTEIN OBTAINED FROM UREAPLASMA PARVUM
Deposition date	22.12.2009
PDB title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF A CONSERVED HYPOTHETICAL MEMBRANE LIPOPROTEIN OBTAINED FROM UREAPLASMA PARVUM: NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM TARGET UUR17A (139-239)
PDB authors	R.MANI, G.SWAPNA, H.JANJUA, C.CICCOSANTI, Y.HUANG, D.PATEL, R.XIAO, T.ACTON, J.EVERETT, G.T.MONTELIONE, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	MONTELIONE
Reference	
Reference authors	
Reference title	
Software listed	AUTOASSIGN, AUTOSTRUCTURE, CNS, CYANA, PINE
Spectrometer	BRUKER, VARIAN (800 MHZ, 600 MHZ, 500 MHZ)

Protein number	71
PDB code	2LFI, doi:10.2210/pdb2LFI/pdb
BMRB code	17754, doi:10.13018/BMR17754
PDB Header	METAL BINDING PROTEIN
Protein name	A MUCBP DOMAIN (FRAGMENT 187-294) OF PROTEIN LBA1460 FROM LACTOBACILLUS ACIDOPHILUS
Deposition date	30.06.2011
PDB title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF A MUCBP DOMAIN (FRAGMENT 187-294) OF THE PROTEIN LBA1460 FROM LACTOBACILLUS ACIDOPHILUS, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM TARGET LAR80A
PDB authors	E.A.FELDMANN, T.A.RAMELOT, Y.YANG, H.LEE, C.CICCOSANTI, H.JANJUA, R.NAIR, T.B.ACTON, R.XIAO, J.K.EVERETT, J.H.PRESTEGARD, G.T.MONTELIONE, M.A.KENNEDY, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	KENNEDY
Reference	
Reference authors	
Reference title	
Software listed	AUTOSTRUCTURE, CNS, CYANA, NMRPIPE, PDBSTAT, PINE_SERVER, PSVS, SPARKY, TOPSPIN, VNMR, X-PLOR_NIH
Spectrometer	BRUKER, VARIAN (850 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	72
PDB code	2JQN, doi:10.2210/pdb2JQN/pdb
BMRB code	15281, doi:10.13018/BMR15281
PDB Header	STRUCTURAL GENOMICS
Protein name	CC0527 FROM CAULOBACTER CRESCENTUS
Deposition date	05.06.2007
PDB title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF CC0527 FROM CAULOBACTER CRESCENTUS. NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS TARGET CCR55
PDB authors	J.M.ARAMINI, P.ROSSI, H.N.B.MOSELEY, D.WANG, C.NWOSU, K.CUNNINGHAM, L.MA, R.XIAO, J.LIU, M.C.BARAN, G.V.T.SWAPNA, T.B.ACTON, B.ROST, G.T.MONTELIONE, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)

Last author	MONTELIONE
Reference	
Reference authors	
Reference title	
Software listed	AUTOASSIGN, AUTOSTRUCTURE, CNS, NMRPIPE, PDBSTAT, PSVS, SPARKY, TOPSPIN, VNMR, X-FLOR
Spectrometer	BRUKER, VARIAN (800 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	73
PDB code	2L7Q, doi:10.2210/pdb2L7Q/pdb
BMRB code	17370, doi:10.13018/BMR17370
PDB Header	STRUCTURAL GENOMICS, UNKNOWN FUNCTION
Protein name	CONJUGATE TRANSPOSON PROTEIN BVU_1572(27- 141) FROM BACTEROIDES VULGATUS
Deposition date	20.12.2010
PDB title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF CONJUGATE TRANSPOSON PROTEIN BVU_1572(27- 141) FROM BACTEROIDES VULGATUS, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM TARGET BVR155
PDB authors	Y.YANG, T.A.RAMELOT, J.R.CORT, D.WANG, C.CICCOSANTI, H.JANJUA, T.B.ACTON, R.XIAO, J.K.EVERETT, G.T.MONTELIONE, M.A.KENNEDY, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	KENNEDY
Reference	PROTEINS 80, 667 (2012), doi:10.1002/PROT.23235
Reference authors	T.A.RAMELOT, Y.YANG, R.XIAO, T.B.ACTON, J.K.EVERETT, G.T.MONTELIONE, M.A.KENNEDY
Reference title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF BT_0084, A CONJUGATIVE TRANSPOSON LIPOPROTEIN FROM BACTEROIDES THETAOTAMICRON
Software listed	AUTOASSIGN, AUTOSTRUCTURE, CNS, CYANA, NMRPIPE, PDBSTAT, PINE_SERVER, PSVS, SPARKY, TOPSPIN, VNMR, X-FLOR NIH
Spectrometer	BRUKER, VARIAN (850 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	74
PDB code	2KFP, doi:10.2210/pdb2KFP/pdb
BMRB code	16186, doi:10.13018/BMR16186
PDB Header	STRUCTURAL GENOMICS, UNKNOWN FUNCTION
Protein name	PSPTO 3016 FROM PSEUDOMONAS SYRINGAE
Deposition date	24.02.2009
PDB title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF PSPTO_3016 FROM PSEUDOMONAS SYRINGAE. NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM TARGET PSR293
PDB authors	E.A.FELDMANN, T.A.RAMELOT, L.ZHAO, K.HAMILTON, C.CICCOSANTI, R.XIAO, R.NAIR, J.K.EVERETT, G.SWAPNA, T.B.ACTON, B.ROST, G.T.MONTELIONE, M.A.KENNEDY, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	KENNEDY
Reference	J.STRUCT.FUNCT.GENOM. 13, 155 (2012), doi:10.1007/S10969-012-9140-8
Reference authors	E.A.FELDMANN, J.SEETHARAMAN, T.A.RAMELOT, S.LEW, L.ZHAO, K.HAMILTON, C.CICCOSANTI, R.XIAO, T.B.ACTON, J.K.EVERETT, L.TONG, G.T.MONTELIONE, M.A.KENNEDY
Reference title	SOLUTION NMR AND X-RAY CRYSTAL STRUCTURES OF PSEUDOMONAS SYRINGAE PSPTO 3016 FROM PROTEIN DOMAIN FAMILY PF04237 (DUF419) ADOPT A "DOUBLE WING" DNA BINDING MOTIF
Software listed	AUTOASSIGN, AUTOSTRUCTURE, NMRPIPE, PSVS, SPARKY, TOPSPIN, VNMR, X-FLOR
Spectrometer	BRUKER, VARIAN (850 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	75
PDB code	1SE9, doi:10.2210/pdb1SE9/pdb
BMRB code	6128, doi:10.13018/BMR6128
PDB Header	PLANT PROTEIN
Protein name	AT3G01050, A UBIQUITIN-FOLD PROTEIN FROM ARABIDOPSIS THALIANA
Deposition date	16.02.2004
PDB title	STRUCTURE OF AT3G01050, A UBIQUITIN-FOLD PROTEIN FROM ARABIDOPSIS THALIANA
PDB authors	B.F.VOLKMAN, B.L.LYTTLE, F.C.PETERSON, CENTER FOR EUKARYOTIC STRUCTURAL GENOMICS (CESG)
Last author	PETERSON
Reference	NAT.METHODS 1, 149 (2004), doi:10.1038/NMETH716
Reference authors	D.A.VINAROV, B.L.LYTTLE, F.C.PETERSON, E.M.TYLER, B.F.VOLKMAN, J.L.MARKLEY
Reference title	CELL-FREE PROTEIN PRODUCTION AND LABELING PROTOCOL FOR NMR-BASED STRUCTURAL PROTEOMICS
Software listed	CYANA, NMRPIPE, SPSCAN, X-FLOR NIH, XEASY, XWINNMR
Spectrometer	BRUKER (600 MHZ)

Protein number	76
PDB code	2L3G, doi:10.2210/pdb2L3G/pdb
BMRB code	17192, doi:10.13018/BMR17192
PDB Header	SIGNALING PROTEIN
Protein name	CH DOMAIN OF RHO GUANINE NUCLEOTIDE EXCHANGE FACTOR 7 FROM HOMO SAPIENS
Deposition date	13.09.2010
PDB title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF CH DOMAIN OF RHO GUANINE NUCLEOTIDE EXCHANGE FACTOR 7 FROM HOMO SAPIENS, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM TARGET HR4495E
PDB authors	G.LIU, R.XIAO, H.JANJUA, T.B.ACTON, A.CICCOSANTI, R.SHASTRY, J.EVERETT, G.T.MONTELIONE, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	MONTELIONE
Reference	

Reference authors	
Reference title	
Software listed	AUTOASSIGN, AUTOSTRUCTURE, CNS, CYANA, NMRPIPE, TALOS+, TOPSPIN, VNMRJ, XEASY
Spectrometer	BRUKER, VARIAN (800 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	77
PDB code	2L3B, doi:10.2210/pdb2L3B/pdb
BMRB code	17176, doi:10.13018/BMR17176
PDB Header	STRUCTURAL GENOMICS, UNKNOWN FUNCTION
Protein name	BT_0084 LIPOPROTEIN FROM BACTEROIDES THETAIOAOMICRON
Deposition date	10.09.2010
PDB title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF THE BT_0084 LIPOPROTEIN FROM BACTEROIDES THETAIOAOMICRON, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM TARGET BTR376
PDB authors	T.A.RAMELOT, Y.YANG, D.WANG, C.CICCOANTI, H.JANJUA, T.B.ACTON, R.XIAO, J.K.EVERETT, G.T.MONTELIONE, M.A.KENNEDY, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	KENNEDY
Reference	PROTEINS 867 2012, doi:10.1002/PROT.23235
Reference authors	T.A.RAMELOT, Y.YANG, R.XIAO, T.B.ACTON, J.K.EVERETT, G.T.MONTELIONE, M.A.KENNEDY
Reference title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF BT_0084, A CONJUGATIVE TRANSPOSON LIPOPROTEIN FROM BACTEROIDES THETAIOAOMICRON
Software listed	AUTOASSIGN, AUTOSTRUCTURE, CYANA, NMRPIPE, PDBSTAT, PSVS, SPARKY, TOPSPIN, VNMR, X-FLOR
Spectrometer	BRUKER, VARIAN (850 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	78
PDB code	2LRH, doi:10.2210/pdb2LRH/pdb
BMRB code	18372, doi:10.13018/BMR18372
PDB Header	DE NOVO PROTEIN
Protein name	DE NOVO DESIGNED PROTEIN, P-LOOP NTPASE FOLD
Deposition date	30.03.2012
PDB title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF DE NOVO DESIGNED PROTEIN, P-LOOP NTPASE FOLD, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM TARGET OR137
PDB authors	G.LIU, N.KOGA, R.KOGA, R.XIAO, H.LEE, H.JANJUA, E.KOHAN, T.B.ACTON, J.K.EVERETT, D.BAKER, G.T.MONTELIONE, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	MONTELIONE
Reference	
Reference authors	
Reference title	
Software listed	AUTOASSIGN, AUTOSTRUCTURE, CNS, CYANA, NMRPIPE, PALES, PSVS, REDCAT, SPARKY, TALOS+, TOPSPIN, VNMRJ, XEASY
Spectrometer	BRUKER, VARIAN (800 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	79
PDB code	1VEE, doi:10.2210/pdb1VEE/pdb
BMRB code	5929, doi:10.13018/BMR5929
PDB Header	STRUCTURAL GENOMICS, UNKNOWN FUNCTION
Protein name	HYPOTHETICAL RHODANESE DOMAIN AT4G01050 FROM ARABIDOPSIS THALIANA
Deposition date	30.03.2004
PDB title	NMR STRUCTURE OF THE HYPOTHETICAL RHODANESE DOMAIN AT4G01050 FROM ARABIDOPSIS THALIANA
PDB authors	D.PANTOJA-UCEDA, B.LOPEZ-MENDEZ, S.KOSHIBA, M.INOUE, T.KIGAWA, T.TERADA, M.SHIROUZU, A.TANAKA, M.SEKI, K.SHINOZAKI, S.YOKOYAMA, P.GUNTERT, RIKEN STRUCTURAL GENOMICS/PROTEOMICS INITIATIVE (RSGI)
Last author	GUNTERT
Reference	PROTEIN SCI. 14, 224 (2005), doi:10.1110/PS.041138705
Reference authors	D.PANTOJA-UCEDA, B.LOPEZ-MENDEZ, S.KOSHIBA, M.INOUE, T.KIGAWA, T.TERADA, M.SHIROUZU, A.TANAKA, M.SEKI, K.SHINOZAKI, S.YOKOYAMA, P.GUNTERT
Reference title	SOLUTION STRUCTURE OF THE RHODANESE HOMOLOGY DOMAIN AT4G01050(175-295) FROM ARABIDOPSIS THALIANA
Software listed	CYANA, OPALP
Spectrometer	BRUKER (800 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	80
PDB code	2K1G, doi:10.2210/pdb2K1G/pdb
BMRB code	15603, doi:10.13018/BMR15603
PDB Header	LIPOPROTEIN
Protein name	LIPOPROTEIN SPR FROM ESCHERICHIA COLI K12
Deposition date	03.03.2008
PDB title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF LIPOPROTEIN SPR FROM ESCHERICHIA COLI K12. NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS TARGET ER541-37-162
PDB authors	J.M.ARAMINI, P.ROSSI, L.ZHAO, M.JIANG, M.MAGLAQUI, R.XIAO, J.LIU, M.C.BARAN, G.V.T.SWAPNA, Y.J.HUANG, T.B.ACTON, B.ROST, G.T.MONTELIONE, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	MONTELIONE
Reference	BIOCHEMISTRY 47, 9715 (2008), doi:10.1021/BI8010779
Reference authors	J.M.ARAMINI, P.ROSSI, Y.J.HUANG, L.ZHAO, M.JIANG, M.MAGLAQUI, R.XIAO, J.LOCKE, R.NAIR, B.ROST, T.B.ACTON, M.INOUE, G.T.MONTELIONE

Reference title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF THE NLPC/P60 DOMAIN OF LIPOPROTEIN SPR FROM ESCHERICHIA COLI: STRUCTURAL EVIDENCE FOR A NOVEL CYSTEINE PEPTIDASE CATALYTIC TRIAD
Software listed	AUTOASSIGN, AUTOSTRUCTURE, CNS, CYANA, NMRPIPE, PDBSTAT, PSVS, SPARKY, TOPSPIN, VNMR
Spectrometer	BRUKER, VARIAN (800 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	81
PDB code	2KKZ, doi:10.2210/pdb2KKZ/pdb
BMRB code	16376, doi:10.13018/BMR16376
PDB Header	ANTIVIRAL PROTEIN
Protein name	MONOMERIC W187R MUTANT OF A/UDORN NS1 EFFECTOR DOMAIN
Deposition date	29.06.2009
PDB title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF THE MONOMERIC W187R MUTANT OF A/UDORN NS1 EFFECTOR DOMAIN. NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS TARGET OR8C[W187R]
PDB authors	J.M.ARAMINI, L.MA, H.LEE, L.ZHAO, K.CUNNINGHAM, C.CICCOSANTI, H.JANJUA, Y.FANG, R.XIAO, R.M.KRUG, G.T.MONTELIONE, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	MONTELIONE
Reference	
Reference authors	
Reference title	
Software listed	AUTOASSIGN, AUTOSTRUCTURE, CNS, CYANA, MOLPROBITY, NMRPIPE, PDBSTAT, PSVS, SPARKY, TALOS, TOPSPIN
Spectrometer	BRUKER (800 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	82
PDB code	1VDY, doi:10.2210/pdb1VDY/pdb
BMRB code	5928, doi:10.13018/BMR5928
PDB Header	STRUCTURAL GENOMICS, UNKNOWN FUNCTION
Protein name	HYPOTHETICAL ENTH-VHS DOMAIN AT3G16270 FROM ARABIDOPSIS THALIANA
Deposition date	25.03.2004
PDB title	NMR STRUCTURE OF THE HYPOTHETICAL ENTH-VHS DOMAIN AT3G16270 FROM ARABIDOPSIS THALIANA
PDB authors	B.LOPEZ-MENDEZ, D.PANTOJA-UCEDA, T.TOMIZAWA, S.KOSHIBA, T.KIGAWA, M.SHIROUZU, T.TERADA, M.INOUE, T.YABUKI, M.AOKI, E.SEKI, T.MATSUDA, H.HIROTA, M.YOSHIDA, A.TANAKA, T.OSANAI, M.SEKI, K.SHINOZAKI, S.YOKOYAMA, P.GUNTERT, RIKEN STRUCTURAL GENOMICS/PROTEOMICS INITIATIVE (RSGI)
Last author	GUNTERT
Reference	
Reference authors	
Reference title	
Software listed	CYANA, OPALP
Spectrometer	BRUKER (800 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	83
PDB code	2KKL, doi:10.2210/pdb2KKL/pdb
BMRB code	16364, doi:10.13018/BMR16364
PDB Header	STRUCTURAL GENOMICS, UNKNOWN FUNCTION
Protein name	FHA DOMAIN OF MB1858 FROM MYCOBACTERIUM BOVIS
Deposition date	25.06.2009
PDB title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF FHA DOMAIN OF MB1858 FROM MYCOBACTERIUM BOVIS. NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM TARGET MBR243C (24- 155)
PDB authors	Y.YANG, T.A.RAMELOT, D.WANG, E.L.FOOTE, M.JIANG, R.NAIR, B.ROST, G.SWAPNA, T.B.ACTON, R.XIAO, J.K.EVERETT, G.T.MONTELIONE, M.A.KENNEDY, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	KENNEDY
Reference	
Reference authors	
Reference title	
Software listed	AUTOASSIGN, AUTOSTRUCTURE, CNS, NMRPIPE, PDBSTAT, PSVS, SPARKY, TOPSPIN, VNMR, X-FLOR
Spectrometer	BRUKER, VARIAN (850 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	84
PDB code	2N4B, doi:10.2210/pdb2N4B/pdb
BMRB code	17611, doi:10.13018/BMR17611
PDB Header	STRUCTURAL GENOMICS, UNKNOWN FUNCTION
Protein name	RALSTONIA METALLIDURANS RMET 5065 DETERMINED BY COMBINING EVOLUTIONARY COUPLINGS (EC) AND SPARSE NMR DATA
Deposition date	17.06.2015
PDB title	EC-NMR STRUCTURE OF RALSTONIA METALLIDURANS RMET 5065 DETERMINED BY COMBINING EVOLUTIONARY COUPLINGS (EC) AND SPARSE NMR DATA. NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM TARGET CRR115
PDB authors	Y.TANG, Y.J.HUANG, T.A.HOPF, C.SANDER, D.MARKS, G.T.MONTELIONE, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	MONTELIONE
Reference	NAT.METHODS 12, 751 (2015), doi:10.1038/NMETH.3455
Reference authors	Y.TANG, Y.J.HUANG, T.A.HOPF, C.SANDER, D.S.MARKS, G.T.MONTELIONE

Reference title	PROTEIN STRUCTURE DETERMINATION BY COMBINING SPARSE NMR DATA WITH EVOLUTIONARY COUPLINGS
Software listed	ASDP, CYANA, EC-NMR, EVFOLD-PLM, REDUCE, ROSETTA, TALOS+
Spectrometer	

Protein number	85
PDB code	2L8V, doi:10.2210/pdb2L8V/pdb
BMRB code	17429, doi:10.13018/BMR17429
PDB Header	PHOTOSYNTHESIS
Protein name	PHYCOBILISOME LINKER POLYPEPTIDE DOMAIN OF CPCC (20-153) FROM THERMOSYNECHOCOCCUS ELONGATUS
Deposition date	26.01.2011
PDB title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF THE PHYCOBILISOME LINKER POLYPEPTIDE DOMAIN OF CPCC (20-153) FROM THERMOSYNECHOCOCCUS ELONGATUS, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM TARGET TER219A
PDB authors	T.A.RAMELOT, Y.YANG, J.R.CORT, D.LEE, C.CICCOSANTI, K.HAMILTON, T.B.ACTON, R.XIAO, J.K.EVERETT, G.T.MONTELIONE, M.A.KENNEDY, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	KENNEDY
Reference	
Reference authors	
Reference title	
Software listed	AUTOASSIGN, AUTOSTRUCTURE, CNS, CYANA, NMRPIPE, PDBSTAT, PINE_SERVER, PSVS, SPARKY, TOPSPIN, VNMR, X-PLOR NIH
Spectrometer	BRUKER, VARIAN (850 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	86
PDB code	2LGH, doi:10.2210/pdb2LGH/pdb
BMRB code	17809, doi:10.13018/BMR17809
PDB Header	STRUCTURAL GENOMICS, UNKNOWN FUNCTION
Protein name	AHSA1-LIKE PROTEIN AHA 2358 FROM AEROMONAS HYDROPHILA REFINED WITH NH RDCS
Deposition date	26.07.2011
PDB title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF THE AHSA1-LIKE PROTEIN AHA 2358 FROM AEROMONAS HYDROPHILA REFINED WITH NH RDCS, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM TARGET AHR99
PDB authors	T.A.RAMELOT, Y.YANG, H.LEE, D.WANG, C.CICCOSANTI, H.JANJUA, R.NAIR, T.B.ACTON, R.XIAO, J.K.EVERETT, J.H.PRESTEGARD, G.T.MONTELIONE, M.A.KENNEDY, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	KENNEDY
Reference	
Reference authors	
Reference title	
Software listed	AUTOASSIGN, AUTOSTRUCTURE, CNS, CYANA, NMRPIPE, PDBSTAT, PINE_SERVER, PSVS, SPARKY, TOPSPIN, VNMR, X-PLOR NIH
Spectrometer	BRUKER, VARIAN (850 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	87
PDB code	2K1S, doi:10.2210/pdb2K1S/pdb
BMRB code	15683, doi:10.13018/BMR15683
PDB Header	LIPOPROTEIN
Protein name	FOLDED C-TERMINAL FRAGMENT OF YIAD FROM ESCHERICHIA COLI
Deposition date	14.03.2008
PDB title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF THE FOLDED C-TERMINAL FRAGMENT OF YIAD FROM ESCHERICHIA COLI. NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM TARGET ER553
PDB authors	T.A.RAMELOT, L.ZHAO, K.HAMILTON, M.MAGLAQUI, R.XIAO, J.LIU, M.C.BARAN, G.SWAPNA, T.B.ACTON, B.ROST, G.T.MONTELIONE, M.A.KENNEDY, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	KENNEDY
Reference	
Reference authors	
Reference title	
Software listed	AUTOASSIGN, AUTOSTRUCTURE, CNS, NMRPIPE, PSVS, SPARKY, TOPSPIN, VNMR, X-PLOR
Spectrometer	BRUKER, VARIAN (850 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	88
PDB code	2M4F, doi:10.2210/pdb2M4F/pdb
BMRB code	19001, doi:10.13018/BMR19001
PDB Header	IMMUNE SYSTEM
Protein name	OUTER SURFACE PROTEIN E
Deposition date	05.02.2013
PDB title	SOLUTION STRUCTURE OF OUTER SURFACE PROTEIN E
PDB authors	A.BHATTACHARJEE, J.S.OEEMIG, R.KOLODZIEJCZYK, T.MERI, T.KAJANDER, H.IWAI, T.JOKIRANTA, A.GOLDMAN
Last author	GOLDMAN
Reference	J.BIOL.CHEM. 288, 18685 (2013), doi:10.1074/JBC.M113.459040
Reference authors	A.BHATTACHARJEE, J.S.OEEMIG, R.KOLODZIEJCZYK, T.MERI, T.KAJANDER, M.J.LEHTINEN, H.IWAI, T.S.JOKIRANTA, A.GOLDMAN
Reference title	STRUCTURAL BASIS FOR COMPLEMENT EVASION BY LYME DISEASE PATHOGEN BORRELIA BURGDORFERI
Software listed	AMBER, CCPNMR ANALYSIS, CING, CYANA, NMRPIPE, VNMRJ

Spectrometer	VARIAN (800 MHZ, 600 MHZ)
Protein number	89
PDB code	2JXP, doi:10.2210/pdb2JXP/pdb
BMRB code	15568, doi:10.13018/BMR15568
PDB Header	LIPOPROTEIN
Protein name	UNCHARACTERIZED LIPOPROTEIN B FROM NITROSOMONAS EUROPAEA
Deposition date	27.11.2007
PDB title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF UNCHARACTERIZED LIPOPROTEIN B FROM NITROSOMONAS EUROPAEA. NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS TARGET NER45A
PDB authors	P.ROSSI, D.WANG, H.JANJUA, L.OWENS, R.XIAO, M.C.BARAN, G.SWAPNA, T.B.ACTON, B.ROST, G.T.MONTELIONE, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	MONTELIONE
Reference	
Reference authors	
Reference title	
Software listed	AUTOASSIGN, CNS, CYANA, MOLMOL, MOLPROBITY, NMRPIPE, PROCHECK, PSVS, RPF, SPARKY, TOPSPIN, XEASY
Spectrometer	BRUKER (800 MHZ)

Protein number	90
PDB code	2L06, doi:10.2210/pdb2L06/pdb
BMRB code	17031, doi:10.13018/BMR17031
PDB Header	PROTEIN BINDING
Protein name	PBS LINKER POLYPEPTIDE DOMAIN (FRAGMENT 254-400) OF PHYCOBILISOME LINKER PROTEIN APCE FROM SYNECHOCYSTIS SP. PCC 6803
Deposition date	30.06.2010
PDB title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF THE PBS LINKER POLYPEPTIDE DOMAIN (FRAGMENT 254-400) OF PHYCOBILISOME LINKER PROTEIN APCE FROM SYNECHOCYSTIS SP. PCC 6803. NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM TARGET SGR209C
PDB authors	T.A.RAMELOT, Y.YANG, J.R.CORT, K.HAMILTON, C.CICCOSANTI, D.LEE, T.B.ACTON, R.XIAO, J.K.EVERETT, G.T.MONTELIONE, M.A.KENNEDY, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	KENNEDY
Reference	
Reference authors	
Reference title	
Software listed	AUTOASSIGN, AUTOSTRUCTURE, CYANA, NMRPIPE, PDBSTAT, PSVS, SPARKY, TOPSPIN, VNMR, X-FLOR
Spectrometer	BRUKER, VARIAN (850 MHZ, 750 MHZ, 600 MHZ, 500 MHZ)

Protein number	91
PDB code	2LAH, doi:10.2210/pdb2LAH/pdb
BMRB code	17524, doi:10.13018/BMR17524
PDB Header	CELL CYCLE, APOPTOSIS
Protein name	MITOTIC CHECKPOINT SERINE/THREONINE-PROTEIN KINASE BUB1 N-TERMINAL DOMAIN FROM HOMO SAPIENS
Deposition date	14.03.2011
PDB title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF MITOTIC CHECKPOINT SERINE/THREONINE-PROTEIN KINASE BUB1 N-TERMINAL DOMAIN FROM HOMO SAPIENS, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM TARGET HR5460A (METHODS DEVELOPMENT)
PDB authors	G.LIU, R.XIAO, H.LEE, K.HAMILTON, T.B.ACTON, C.CICCOSANTI, J.K.EVERETT, R.T.SHASTRY, Y.J.HUANG, G.T.MONTELIONE, N.NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	MONTELIONE
Reference	
Reference authors	
Reference title	
Software listed	AUTOASSIGN, AUTOSTRUCTURE, CNS, CYANA, NMRPIPE, SPARKY, TALOS+, TOPSPIN, VNMRJ, XEASY
Spectrometer	BRUKER, VARIAN (800 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	92
PDB code	2LAK, doi:10.2210/pdb2LAK/pdb
BMRB code	17530, doi:10.13018/BMR17530
PDB Header	STRUCTURE GENOMICS, UNKNOWN FUNCTION
Protein name	AHS1-LIKE PROTEIN RHE_CH02687 (1-152) FROM RHIZOBIUM ETLI
Deposition date	16.03.2011
PDB title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF THE AHS1-LIKE PROTEIN RHE_CH02687 (1-152) FROM RHIZOBIUM ETLI, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM TARGET RER242
PDB authors	Y.YANG, T.A.RAMELOT, J.R.CORT, D.WANG, C.CICCOSANTI, H.JANJUA, R.NAIR, B.ROST, T.B.ACTON, R.XIAO, J.K.EVERETT, G.T.MONTELIONE, M.A.KENNEDY, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	KENNEDY
Reference	
Reference authors	
Reference title	
Software listed	AUTOASSIGN, AUTOSTRUCTURE, CNS, CYANA, NMRPIPE, PDBSTAT, PINE_SERVER, PSVS, SPARKY, TOPSPIN, VNMR, X-FLOR NIH
Spectrometer	BRUKER, VARIAN (850 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	93
PDB code	2L82, doi:10.2210/pdb2L82/pdb
BMRB code	17390, doi:10.13018/BMR17390
PDB Header	DE NOVO PROTEIN
Protein name	DE NOVO DESIGNED PROTEIN, P-LOOP NTPASE FOLD
Deposition date	31.12.2010
PDB title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF DE NOVO DESIGNED PROTEIN, P-LOOP NTPASE FOLD, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM TARGET OR32
PDB authors	G.LIU, N.KOGA, R.KOGA, R.XIAO, K.HAMILTON, H.JANJUA, S.TONG, T.B.ACTON, J.EVERETT, D.BAKER, G.T.MONTELIONE, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	MONTELIONE
Reference	
Reference authors	
Reference title	
Software listed	AUTOASSIGN, AUTOSTRUCTURE, CNS, CYANA, NMRPIPE, PALES, PINE, REDCAT, SPARKY, TALOS+, TOPSPIN, VNMRJ, XEASY
Spectrometer	BRUKER, VARIAN (800 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	94
PDB code	2M47, doi:10.2210/pdb2M47/pdb
BMRB code	18989, doi:10.13018/BMR18989
PDB Header	STRUCTURAL GENOMICS, UNKNOWN FUNCTION
Protein name	POLYKETIDE CYC-LIKE PROTEIN CGL2372 FROM CORYNEBACTERIUM GLUTAMICUM
Deposition date	30.01.2013
PDB title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF THE POLYKETIDE_CYC-LIKE PROTEIN CGL2372 FROM CORYNEBACTERIUM GLUTAMICUM, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM TARGET CGR160
PDB authors	Y.YANG, T.A.RAMELOT, D.LEE, C.CICCOSANTI, A.SAPIN, H.JANJUA, R.NAIR, B.ROST, T.B.ACTON, R.XIAO, J.K.EVERETT, G.T.MONTELIONE, M.A.KENNEDY, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	KENNEDY
Reference	
Reference authors	
Reference title	
Software listed	AUTOASSIGN, AUTOSTRUCTURE, CNS, CYANA, NMRPIPE, PINE, PSVS, SPARKY, TALOS+, TOPSPIN, VNMRJ, XEASY
Spectrometer	BRUKER, VARIAN (850 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	95
PDB code	2K3A, doi:10.2210/pdb2K3A/pdb
BMRB code	15335, doi:10.13018/BMR15335
PDB Header	HYDROLASE
Protein name	STAPHYLOCOCCUS SAPROPHYTICUS CHAP (CYSTEINE, HISTIDINE-DEPENDENT AMIDOHYDROLASES/PEPTIDASES) DOMAIN PROTEIN
Deposition date	29.04.2008
PDB title	NMR SOLUTION STRUCTURE OF STAPHYLOCOCCUS SAPROPHYTICUS CHAP (CYSTEINE, HISTIDINE-DEPENDENT AMIDOHYDROLASES/PEPTIDASES) DOMAIN PROTEIN. NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM TARGET SYR11
PDB authors	P.ROSSI, J.M.ARAMINI, C.X.CHEN, C.NWOSU, K.C.CUNNINGHAM, L.A.OWENS, R.XIAO, J.LIU, M.C.BARAN, G.SWAPNA, T.B.ACTON, B.ROST, G.T.MONTELIONE, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	MONTELIONE
Reference	PROTEINS 74, 515 (2008), doi:10.1002/PROT.22267
Reference authors	P.ROSSI, J.M.ARAMINI, R.XIAO, C.X.CHEN, C.NWOSU, L.A.OWENS, M.MAGLAQUI, R.NAIR, M.FISCHER, T.B.ACTON, B.HONIG, B.ROST, G.T.MONTELIONE
Reference title	STRUCTURAL ELUCIDATION OF THE CYS-HIS-GLU-ASN PROTEOLYTIC RELAY IN THE SECRETED CHAP DOMAIN ENZYME FROM THE HUMAN PATHOGEN STAPHYLOCOCCUS SAPROPHYTICUS
Software listed	AUTOASSIGN, AUTOSTRUCTURE, CNS, CYANA, MOLMOL, MOLPROBITY, NMRPIPE, PDBSTAT, PROCHECK, PROSA, PSVS, SPARKY, TOPSPIN, VERIFY3D, X-PLOR
Spectrometer	BRUKER (800 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	96
PDB code	2M7U, doi:10.2210/pdb2M7U/pdb
BMRB code	19213, doi:10.13018/BMR19213
PDB Header	SIGNALING PROTEIN
Protein name	BLUE LIGHT-ABSORBING STATE OF TEPIXJ, AN ACTIVE CYANOBACTERIOCHROME DOMAIN
Deposition date	01.05.2013
PDB title	BLUE LIGHT-ABSORBING STATE OF TEPIXJ, AN ACTIVE CYANOBACTERIOCHROME DOMAIN
PDB authors	G.CORNILESCU, C.C.CORNILESCU, S.E.BURGIE, J.M.WALKER, J.L.MARKLEY, A.T.ULIJASZ, R.D.VIERSTRA
Last author	VIERSTRA
Reference	
Reference authors	
Reference title	
Software listed	NMRPIPE, PIPP, X-PLOR NIH
Spectrometer	BRUKER, VARIAN (900 MHZ, 800 MHZ, 700 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	97
PDB code	2B3W, doi:10.2210/pdb2B3W/pdb
BMRB code	6782, doi:10.13018/BMR6782
PDB Header	STRUCTURAL GENOMICS, UNKNOWN FUNCTION
Protein name	E.COLI PROTEIN YBIA
Deposition date	21.09.2005
PDB title	NMR STRUCTURE OF THE E.COLI PROTEIN YBIA, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS TARGET ET24
PDB authors	T.A.RAMELOT, J.R.CORT, R.XIAO, L.Y.SHIH, T.B.ACTON, G.T.MONTELIONE, M.A.KENNEDY, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	KENNEDY
Reference	
Reference authors	
Reference title	
Software listed	AUTOSTRUCTURE, CNS, NMRPIPE, SPARKY, VNMR, X-PLOR
Spectrometer	VARIAN (800 MHZ, 750 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Protein number	98
PDB code	(KRAS4B)
BMRB code	
PDB Header	
Protein name	
Deposition date	
PDB title	
PDB authors	
Last author	
Reference	
Reference authors	
Reference title	
Software listed	
Spectrometer	

Protein number	99
PDB code	2G0Q, doi:10.2210/pdb2G0Q/pdb
BMRB code	7007, doi:10.13018/BMR7007
PDB Header	STRUCTURAL GENOMICS, UNKNOWN FUNCTION
Protein name	AT5G39720.1 FROM ARABIDOPSIS THALIANA
Deposition date	13.02.2006
PDB title	SOLUTION STRUCTURE OF AT5G39720.1 FROM ARABIDOPSIS THALIANA
PDB authors	B.F.VOLKMAN, F.C.PETERSON, B.L.LYTTLE, CENTER FOR EUKARYOTIC STRUCTURAL GENOMICS (CESG)
Last author	LYTTLE
Reference	ACTA CRYSTALLOGR., SECT.F 62, 490 (2006), doi:10.1107/S1744309106015946
Reference authors	B.L.LYTTLE, F.C.PETERSON, E.M.TYLER, C.L.NEWMAN, D.A.VINAROV, J.L.MARKLEY, B.F.VOLKMAN
Reference title	SOLUTION STRUCTURE OF ARABIDOPSIS THALIANA PROTEIN AT5G39720.1, A MEMBER OF THE AIG2-LIKE PROTEIN FAMILY
Software listed	GARANT, NMRPIPE, SPSCAN, X-PLOR NIH, XEASY, XWINNMR
Spectrometer	BRUKER (600 MHZ)

Protein number	100
PDB code	2LF2, doi:10.2210/pdb2LF2/pdb
BMRB code	17736, doi:10.13018/BMR17736
PDB Header	STRUCTURAL GENOMICS, UNKNOWN FUNCTION
Protein name	AHSA1-LIKE PROTEIN CHU 1110 FROM CYTOPHAGA HUTCHINSONII
Deposition date	28.06.2011
PDB title	SOLUTION NMR STRUCTURE OF THE AHSA1-LIKE PROTEIN CHU_1110 FROM CYTOPHAGA HUTCHINSONII, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM TARGET CHR152
PDB authors	Y.YANG, T.A.RAMELOT, D.LEE, C.CICCOSANTI, T.B.ACTON, R.XIAO, J.K.EVERETT, G.T.MONTELIONE, M.A.KENNEDY, NORTHEAST STRUCTURAL GENOMICS CONSORTIUM (NESG)
Last author	KENNEDY
Reference	
Reference authors	
Reference title	
Software listed	AUTOASSIGN, AUTOSTRUCTURE, CNS, CYANA, NMRPIPE, PDBSTAT, PINE_SERVER, PSVS, SPARKY, TOPSPIN, VNMR, X-PLOR NIH
Spectrometer	BRUKER, VARIAN (850 MHZ, 600 MHZ)

Supplementary Table 4 Results of automated structure determination of 100 proteins.

ID	Protein	Chemical shift assignment		Ramachandran plot statistics				Structure calculation and comparison to PDB reference				
		Backbone accuracy [%]	Side-chain accuracy [%]	Most favoured [%]	Additionally allowed [%]	Generously allowed [%]	Disallowed [%]	Number of distance restraints	CYANA target function [Å ²]	Residue range for RMSD calculation	Backbone RMSD to reference [Å]	Side-chain RMSD to reference [Å]
1	6SVC	97.52	83.97	96.40	3.60	0.00	0.00	1066	1.80	7-29	0.83	1.53
2	2JVD	99.57	92.94	89.80	10.20	0.00	0.00	1182	0.30	4-37	0.71	1.29
3	2K57	99.62	95.38	88.20	11.80	0.00	0.00	1176	0.35	5-52	0.71	1.22
4	6SOW	88.97	78.57	87.70	12.30	0.00	0.00	1111	2.32	8-55	1.16	2.04
5	2LX7	98.54	87.84	91.40	8.60	0.00	0.00	484	0.32	5-59	1.41	2.02
6	2MA6	98.94	89.36	67.40	30.00	2.60	0.00	953	3.25	10-57	1.56	2.09
7	2JRM	97.21	92.14	87.30	12.60	0.00	0.10	1312	0.50	6-47	1.43	2.55
8	1YEZ	99.06	90.95	89.10	10.90	0.00	0.00	1063	0.58	15-25,29-66	0.73	1.20
9	2L9R	97.93	90.44	89.20	10.80	0.00	0.00	1322	0.37	13-56	0.59	1.18
10	2K52	97.17	93.54	91.00	9.00	0.00	0.00	2018	2.68	7-70	1.10	1.81
11	2KRS	98.47	96.18	88.00	12.00	0.00	0.00	1378	0.35	2-61	1.26	1.50
12	2K53	98.53	95.30	93.40	6.60	0.00	0.00	1183	0.60	8-28,39-66	0.88	1.29
13	2JT1	88.66	90.75	91.60	8.40	0.00	0.00	1240	0.11	5-57,66-69	0.94	1.39
14	2JVO	96.64	76.42	77.90	22.00	0.10	0.00	2263	10.44	6-71	1.77	2.49
15	2ERR	96.36	78.40	87.30	12.70	0.00	0.00	1578	13.61	2-75	2.09	3.16
16	2L1P	96.29	87.04	83.90	13.40	2.60	0.00	2075	2.10	19-78	2.13	2.94
17	2LN3	98.37	92.52	87.20	12.70	0.10	0.00	1947	1.15	6-72	0.89	1.34
18	2HEQ	94.48	84.63	80.40	17.80	1.80	0.00	1822	3.20	17-20,34-68	0.60	1.48
19	2KK8	99.18	90.26	84.40	15.60	0.00	0.00	1818	2.24	10-82	1.25	2.04
20	2K0D	97.24	91.96	85.80	14.20	0.00	0.00	2215	4.43	13-81	1.37	2.02
21	2LML	97.44	91.71	91.30	8.70	0.00	0.00	1197	0.67	3-78	1.53	1.90
22	2K3D	98.96	89.76	85.90	14.10	0.00	0.00	1922	2.00	2-81	1.44	2.13
23	2LK2	96.08	86.08	82.90	17.10	0.00	0.00	1152	0.94	14-65	1.42	1.98
24	MH04	98.58	88.57	89.00	11.00	0.00	0.00	1522	0.86	6-87	1.57	2.35
25	1PQX	99.03	86.51	81.70	16.00	2.30	0.00	1977	10.18	13-19,28-34,38-65,70-81	1.40	2.02
26	2L33	98.68	91.76	79.70	20.10	0.20	0.00	2092	1.37	19-36,46-79	0.79	1.32
27	2KZV	95.84	81.67	85.50	14.50	0.00	0.00	1440	0.41	9-80	2.62	3.13
28	2KCT	96.59	93.42	83.20	16.70	0.10	0.10	2431	4.45	11-37,44-83	0.77	1.32
29	2MDR	93.17	82.89	85.00	13.90	0.80	0.30	2307	3.06	9-89	1.72	3.04
30	2FB7	95.95	81.18	79.90	20.00	0.10	0.00	1218	1.51	20-52,74-87	1.94	2.52
31	2MB0	97.69	88.46	83.50	16.50	0.00	0.00	2701	9.63	8-41,49-85	1.11	1.81
32	2L05	99.50	91.56	85.10	14.10	0.10	0.80	2336	2.07	19-89	0.74	1.23
33	2KJR	99.76	91.62	79.90	20.10	0.00	0.00	2109	1.04	15-23,28-94	1.02	1.35
34	2M5O	92.48	90.20	77.40	22.60	0.10	0.00	2476	7.18	17-91	1.08	1.59
35	MDM2	98.08	84.88	87.40	12.30	0.30	0.00	1452	1.29	9-92	1.24	1.87
36	2LNA	99.30	90.78	78.80	19.90	1.20	0.00	2569	4.06	16-49,59-94	0.86	1.45
37	2LA6	98.78	92.60	83.60	16.40	0.00	0.00	2370	6.62	15-97	0.81	1.34
38	6FIP	97.86	79.15	85.20	14.80	0.10	0.00	2381	17.06	11-93	2.05	2.88
39	2LEA	96.17	83.33	75.40	24.50	0.10	0.00	2542	7.98	15-45,55-88	1.45	2.00
40	2LL8	98.04	91.42	89.90	10.10	0.00	0.00	2237	2.64	4-90	1.42	1.79
41	2KPN	96.95	91.16	79.80	20.00	0.20	0.00	2287	2.33	12-84	0.97	1.58
42	2K0M	96.87	92.08	88.00	12.00	0.00	0.10	1508	0.69	7-70,76-93	1.60	2.09
43	2K5V	99.37	94.25	89.20	10.80	0.00	0.00	1974	1.10	2-29,38-78,83-94	0.94	1.50
44	2MQL	83.59	70.55	72.10	25.10	2.80	0.10	2836	22.17	15-84	0.98	1.56
45	2K75	98.77	88.36	90.10	9.90	0.10	0.00	1881	0.77	3-92	1.65	1.98
46	2LTM	99.31	92.79	85.60	14.40	0.00	0.00	2696	2.68	14-99	0.67	1.46
47	2KOB	88.18	82.29	84.90	15.10	0.00	0.00	1840	2.66	3-93	2.24	2.93
48	2KHD	97.29	87.63	87.90	12.00	0.10	0.00	1059	0.04	31-97	1.87	2.22
49	2RN7	94.35	81.02	84.10	15.80	0.10	0.00	459	0.54	10-55	1.93	2.26
50	2LXU	99.40	91.33	82.90	17.10	0.00	0.00	2115	1.40	9-95	1.19	1.81

Supplementary Table 4 continued

ID	Protein	Chemical shift assignment		Ramachandran plot statistics				Structure calculation and comparison to PDB reference				
		Backbone accuracy [%]	Side-chain accuracy [%]	Most favored [%]	Additionally allowed [%]	Generously allowed [%]	Disallowed [%]	Number of distance restraints	CYANA target function [Å ²]	Residue range for RMSD calculation	Backbone RMSD to reference [Å]	Side-chain RMSD to reference [Å]
51	2KIF	94.43	87.78	81.80	18.20	0.10	0.00	3056	3.64	3-97	0.89	1.51
52	2KBN	97.98	88.49	88.80	11.20	0.00	0.00	2347	0.45	5-29,34-54,58-76,81-94	0.92	1.46
53	2MK2	99.58	91.97	85.50	14.40	0.10	0.00	2049	2.62	14-108	1.56	2.13
54	2K50	97.39	89.74	84.40	15.40	0.00	0.20	2157	0.86	10-34,42-85,92-105	1.00	1.57
55	2KL5	79.41	68.59	72.40	25.50	2.20	0.00	1878	5.90	12-53,58-66,76-86,93-99	2.58	3.38
56	2LTA	95.17	81.57	91.10	8.90	0.00	0.00	1989	0.73	4-98	2.39	2.90
57	2KIW	93.99	80.94	87.50	12.50	0.00	0.00	1964	1.10	2-81	1.59	2.08
58	2LVB	89.27	84.11	92.70	7.30	0.00	0.00	1711	0.86	3-102	1.56	2.17
59	2LND	95.52	88.24	92.90	7.10	0.00	0.00	2605	1.29	3-48,52-101	0.85	1.44
60	1WQU	98.05	86.80	79.60	20.20	0.20	0.10	3785	9.51	8-106	0.99	1.53
61	2KL6	98.64	93.52	86.00	14.00	0.00	0.00	2752	1.99	6-106	0.87	1.38
62	6GT7	96.93	79.31	89.10	10.90	0.00	0.00	3069	4.21	7-30,40-87,94-113	1.39	2.00
63	2JN8	95.45	89.68	83.00	16.80	0.30	0.00	1863	1.12	12-26,31-109	1.83	2.36
64	2K5D	99.25	92.40	75.50	24.30	0.20	0.00	2425	1.44	19-50,55-84,98-107	1.47	1.87
65	2KD1	98.31	90.36	83.60	16.30	0.00	0.10	2550	6.35	7-89	1.99	3.02
66	2LTL	96.44	90.46	89.00	11.00	0.00	0.00	1667	1.07	19-35,39-41,46-110	2.37	2.85
67	2KVO	98.17	90.00	79.20	20.80	0.00	0.00	2112	3.88	3-23,28-103	1.87	2.18
68	1TOY	97.27	85.04	76.10	23.80	0.10	0.00	2521	1.60	4-83	1.27	1.96
69	2KCD	91.30	79.24	83.40	16.60	0.00	0.00	1421	10.14	3-108	3.13	3.59
70	2KRT	97.01	82.72	81.80	18.20	0.00	0.00	1844	0.83	6-114	2.09	2.73
71	2LFI	89.30	76.07	85.90	14.10	0.00	0.00	1661	0.80	2-104	2.42	2.89
72	2JQN	97.81	90.68	88.50	11.50	0.00	0.00	1904	1.09	3-111	1.52	1.86
73	2L7Q	96.11	82.62	83.80	16.20	0.00	0.00	2125	1.74	12-37,46-101,105-114	1.57	2.15
74	2KFP	97.39	79.51	82.30	17.70	0.00	0.00	2650	8.46	3-115	2.23	2.89
75	1SE9	88.55	82.13	76.80	22.80	0.30	0.10	1507	1.85	17-84,94-101	2.10	2.59
76	2L3G	98.04	93.19	83.90	16.10	0.00	0.00	2870	2.34	13-123	1.28	1.95
77	2L3B	93.97	86.19	83.20	16.80	0.00	0.00	2185	0.81	14-38,45-113	1.05	1.63
78	2LRH	94.91	77.45	90.60	9.40	0.00	0.00	3261	5.26	3-122	2.30	2.75
79	1VEE	97.81	90.30	78.20	21.70	0.10	0.00	3627	5.14	6-123	1.03	1.41
80	2K1G	97.22	92.18	79.00	20.90	0.00	0.00	3366	14.38	5-78,83-122	1.05	1.68
81	2KKZ	96.50	87.83	82.40	16.80	0.80	0.00	2513	2.36	5-80,86-118	1.47	2.12
82	1VDY	95.48	88.37	84.60	15.40	0.00	0.00	3833	9.13	9-102,113-128	0.95	1.43
83	2KKL	94.06	80.60	71.40	28.40	0.10	0.00	2127	2.09	33-90,96-125	1.26	2.09
84	2N4B	98.94	90.25	85.00	14.50	0.60	0.00	3659	10.49	2-26,40-54,66-134	1.14	1.95
85	2L8V	93.82	76.58	85.00	15.00	0.00	0.00	1989	2.82	4-22,37-65,73-129	2.79	3.35
86	2LGH	98.03	88.92	83.50	16.40	0.00	0.00	2831	3.18	2-109,113-135	2.43	2.70
87	2K1S	98.83	92.02	86.50	13.50	0.00	0.00	2811	2.63	3-140	1.83	2.05
88	2M4F	90.80	81.83	82.30	16.90	0.80	0.00	3427	6.07	23-46,51-57,63-94,103-114,120-129,136-148	1.11	1.75
89	2JXP	97.08	89.62	86.50	13.50	0.00	0.00	2279	0.91	16-144	2.58	2.91
90	2L06	96.46	84.28	84.50	15.40	0.10	0.00	3374	13.42	15-38,45-141	1.57	2.05
91	2LAH	93.23	85.67	84.90	15.10	0.00	0.00	3573	10.34	14-25,33-158	1.71	2.22
92	2LAK	92.15	78.69	81.30	18.00	0.70	0.00	2180	4.21	10-37,68-77,93-139	1.54	1.82
93	2L82	97.87	81.05	85.40	14.40	0.20	0.00	3596	3.72	3-151	3.55	3.86
94	2M47	92.86	80.32	89.20	10.80	0.00	0.00	1930	1.46	5-25,40-56,66-156	4.72	5.42
95	2K3A	96.79	84.40	72.30	27.60	0.10	0.00	1680	0.81	57-102,108-127,138-153	0.99	1.34
96	2M7U	85.70	73.47	79.50	19.10	1.40	0.00	3243	15.64	12-151	2.14	2.96
97	2B3W	93.39	80.09	81.70	16.70	0.90	0.80	4315	23.41	16-162	2.67	3.37
98	KRAS4B	98.00	76.81	89.00	11.00	0.00	0.00	4678	10.89	4-24,37-59,66-163	1.60	2.30
99	2G0Q	93.99	84.33	79.30	20.30	0.00	0.40	3113	4.53	18-54,60-126	2.38	3.03
100	2LF2	97.56	85.86	86.10	13.80	0.10	0.00	3421	4.30	6-44,53-69,76-105,111-165	2.68	3.09

Residue ranges for RMSD calculation were determined by CYRANGE applied to the region between the first residue of the first secondary structure element and the last residue of the final secondary structure element of the reference PDB structure.

Supplementary Table 5 Structure accuracy prediction. Actual and predicted backbone RMSD between ARTINA and reference PDB structures. See Supplementary Fig. 2 for details.

ID	Protein	RMSD to reference (Å)	Predicted RMSD to reference (Å)	Difference (Å)
1	6SVC	0.83	1.67	0.84
2	2JVD	0.71	0.56	-0.15
3	2K57	0.71	1.00	0.29
4	6SOW	1.16	2.44	1.28
5	2LX7	1.41	3.22	1.81
6	2MA6	1.56	2.00	0.44
7	2JRM	1.43	1.33	-0.10
8	1YEZ	0.73	0.78	0.05
9	2L9R	0.59	0.67	0.08
10	2K52	1.10	1.00	-0.10
11	2KRS	1.26	0.78	-0.48
12	2K53	0.88	0.78	-0.10
13	2JT1	0.94	0.67	-0.27
14	2JVO	1.77	0.78	-0.99
15	2ERR	2.09	2.78	0.69
16	2L1P	2.13	1.33	-0.80
17	2LN3	0.89	0.89	-0.00
18	2HEQ	0.60	0.89	0.29
19	2KK8	1.25	1.56	0.31
20	2KDO	1.37	0.78	-0.59
21	2LML	1.53	1.11	-0.42
22	2K3D	1.44	2.00	0.56
23	2LK2	1.42	0.67	-0.75
24	MH04	1.57	2.00	0.43
25	1PQX	1.40	0.56	-0.84
26	2L33	0.79	0.67	-0.12
27	2KZV	2.62	1.89	-0.73
28	2KCT	0.77	1.33	0.56
29	2MDR	1.72	1.67	-0.05
30	2FB7	1.94	1.56	-0.38
31	2MB0	1.11	1.00	-0.11
32	2L05	0.74	0.89	0.15
33	2KJR	1.02	0.89	-0.13
34	2M5O	1.08	1.11	0.03
35	MDM2	1.24	1.11	-0.13
36	2LNA	0.86	1.00	0.14
37	2LA6	0.81	0.78	-0.03
38	6FIP	2.05	3.00	0.95
39	2LEA	1.45	0.89	-0.56
40	2LL8	1.42	1.22	-0.20
41	2KPN	0.97	1.00	0.03
42	2K0M	1.60	1.22	-0.38
43	2K5V	0.94	0.89	-0.05
44	2MQL	0.98	0.33	-0.65
45	2K75	1.65	1.11	-0.54
46	2LTM	0.67	1.00	0.33
47	2KOB	2.24	1.78	-0.46
48	2KHD	1.87	2.44	0.57
49	2RN7	1.93	2.33	0.40
50	2LXU	1.19	1.11	-0.08

ID	Protein	RMSD to reference (Å)	Predicted RMSD to reference (Å)	Difference (Å)
51	2KIF	0.89	1.00	0.11
52	2KBN	0.92	1.00	0.08
53	2MK2	1.56	1.78	0.22
54	2K50	1.00	1.00	0.00
55	2KL5	2.58	2.11	-0.47
56	2LTA	2.39	2.22	-0.17
57	2KIW	1.59	1.22	-0.37
58	2LVB	1.56	1.11	-0.45
59	2LND	0.85	1.11	0.26
60	1WQU	0.99	1.00	0.01
61	2KL6	0.87	1.33	0.46
62	6GT7	1.39	1.33	-0.06
63	2JN8	1.83	1.67	-0.16
64	2K5D	1.47	1.67	0.20
65	2KD1	1.99	2.78	0.79
66	2LTL	2.37	3.89	1.52
67	2KVO	1.87	2.22	0.35
68	1T0Y	1.27	1.00	-0.27
69	2KCD	3.13	3.00	-0.13
70	2KRT	2.09	1.89	-0.20
71	2LFI	2.42	3.11	0.69
72	2JQN	1.52	1.67	0.15
73	2L7Q	1.57	1.22	-0.35
74	2KFP	2.23	2.33	0.10
75	1SE9	2.10	2.00	-0.10
76	2L3G	1.28	2.00	0.72
77	2L3B	1.05	1.33	0.28
78	2LRH	2.30	3.00	0.70
79	1VEE	1.03	0.56	-0.47
80	2K1G	1.05	1.00	-0.05
81	2KKZ	1.47	2.00	0.53
82	1VDY	0.95	1.00	0.05
83	2KKL	1.26	1.11	-0.15
84	2N4B	1.14	1.00	-0.14
85	2L8V	2.79	2.56	-0.23
86	2LGH	2.43	2.78	0.35
87	2K1S	1.83	2.00	0.17
88	2M4F	1.11	1.33	0.22
89	2JXP	2.58	3.33	0.75
90	2L06	1.57	1.67	0.10
91	2LAH	1.71	2.44	0.73
92	2LAK	1.54	1.33	-0.21
93	2L82	3.55	2.67	-0.88
94	2M47	4.72	3.11	-1.61
95	2K3A	0.99	1.33	0.34
96	2M7U	2.14	2.56	0.42
97	2B3W	2.67	2.89	0.22
98	KRAS4B	1.60	1.22	-0.38
99	2G0Q	2.38	2.44	0.06
100	2LF2	2.68	2.33	-0.35

Supplementary Table 6 ANSURR structure evaluation scores. Correlation and RMSD scores given are the average of the corresponding scores calculated by ANSURR version 2.0.55 for the individual conformers of the structure calculated by ARTINA and the reference structure in the PDB, respectively.

ID	Protein	ARTINA		Reference	
		Correlation score (%)	RMSD score (%)	Correlation score (%)	RMSD score (%)
1	6SVC	18.6	34.6	21.4	12.2
2	2JVD	72.0	83.5	94.5	74.2
3	2K57	16.3	49.3	18.3	51.0
4	6SOW	44.7	63.2	60.6	84.5
5	2LX7	28.7	4.5	35.1	19.3
6	2MA6	21.8	2.4	61.9	70.7
7	2JRM	88.7	90.6	75.8	63.5
8	1YEZ	49.1	71.0	49.9	76.5
9	2L9R	92.0	33.0	77.4	90.9
10	2K52	4.1	7.4	23.5	81.4
11	2KRS	43.5	49.7	19.7	6.4
12	2K53	60.4	39.6	59.5	77.2
13	2JT1	77.1	24.1	93.1	71.7
14	2JVO	1.4	55.1	10.3	88.6
15	2ERR	29.4	34.9	25.8	86.7
16	2L1P	91.4	50.4	77.1	40.1
17	2LN3	64.7	89.6	56.9	85.4
18	2HEQ	82.3	63.0	91.8	69.5
19	2KK8	41.4	16.1	23.9	52.1
20	2KD0	59.1	43.1	17.1	40.5
21	2LML	36.7	37.4	73.9	87.9
22	2K3D	36.2	51.6	52.1	32.9
23	2LK2	96.7	36.7	97.7	44.1
24	MH04	51.3	24.4	18.1	25.5
25	1PQX	33.9	25.2	72.7	13.2
26	2L33	80.0	46.3	89.5	64.8
27	2KZV	89.8	45.8	64.2	11.8
28	2KCT	85.0	48.8	88.3	57.9
29	2MDR	38.6	57.4	36.0	36.1
30	2FB7	73.8	10.2	44.8	18.4
31	2MB0	49.4	52.1	43.1	56.9
32	2L05	68.9	45.1	54.9	62.5
33	2KJR	49.1	32.9	31.9	7.3
34	2M50	71.0	42.8	92.5	91.5
35	MDM2	64.3	26.0	65.1	17.3
36	2LNA	73.3	43.8	69.9	64.9
37	2LA6	45.6	31.4	76.6	79.2
38	6FIP	54.9	33.2	57.3	44.0
39	2LEA	39.1	16.1	30.8	34.1
40	2LL8	14.4	77.9	45.3	90.4
41	2KPN	92.8	26.5	65.6	33.3
42	2K0M	24.0	45.8	24.9	72.2
43	2K5V	33.7	7.6	33.2	51.4
44	2MQL	40.8	36.4	61.9	21.0
45	2K75	15.2	9.4	28.7	20.0
46	2LTM	43.5	56.1	20.7	67.1
47	2KOB	63.3	32.6	80.9	75.2
48	2KHD	79.5	19.3	77.2	23.4
49	2RN7	91.1	24.1	88.6	41.7
50	2LXU	79.4	42.2	68.9	77.7
51	2KIF	26.4	58.4	53.6	85.9
52	2KBN	44.6	20.8	54.0	15.3
53	2MK2	72.2	31.2	64.9	46.1
54	2K50	46.9	15.3	65.5	65.2
55	2KL5	68.2	20.4	31.4	66.0
56	2LTA	21.3	45.9	38.0	92.7
57	2KIW	71.4	78.2	94.2	38.9
58	2LVB	30.2	70.1	51.7	96.3
59	2LND	43.0	84.7	55.3	96.7
60	1WQU	29.7	36.7	7.1	38.3
61	2KL6	42.1	18.9	31.9	55.5
62	6GT7	14.1	76.4	27.9	67.8
63	2JN8	67.1	47.5	90.5	47.1
64	2K5D	80.2	27.0	77.9	42.9
65	2KD1	71.5	35.6	65.2	71.3
66	2LTL	61.4	8.6	78.7	60.0
67	2KVO	27.3	40.1	68.5	22.2
68	1T0Y	95.3	42.3	56.9	64.6
69	2KCD	12.6	16.1	50.2	20.0
70	2KRT	28.0	29.6	23.1	62.7
71	2LFI	22.8	3.9	56.4	75.9
72	2JQN	5.1	35.1	36.7	40.7
73	2L7Q	83.5	9.7	60.5	51.7
74	2KFP	43.5	34.5	47.2	12.9
75	1SE9	78.1	11.5	82.0	44.6
76	2L3G	68.7	32.2	74.8	76.9
77	2L3B	49.2	12.6	68.2	59.6
78	2LRH	10.3	88.2	25.1	95.1
79	1VEE	0.9	37.6	1.1	50.5
80	2K1G	35.5	64.0	77.0	73.8
81	2KKZ	74.2	23.9	71.3	91.1
82	1VDY	3.7	26.9	5.7	50.8
83	2KKL	55.1	29.9	46.8	6.5
84	2N4B	22.1	23.3	48.0	62.4
85	2L8V	31.6	31.9	23.7	39.4
86	2LGH	48.4	36.5	65.8	85.1
87	2K1S	57.1	48.9	58.2	77.1
88	2M4F	81.5	26.5	76.9	46.5
89	2JXP	48.1	12.7	52.1	46.6
90	2L06	49.8	57.0	52.9	49.7
91	2LAH	24.8	63.1	19.8	92.2
92	2LAK	59.0	11.9	47.3	6.1
93	2L82	24.4	91.0	35.8	98.5
94	2M47	13.8	8.3	36.6	60.7
95	2K3A	81.0	28.0	74.7	61.0
96	2M7U	58.8	33.2	37.1	13.6
97	2B3W	73.2	24.4	55.9	55.5
98	KRAS4B	3.8	43.9	2.8	40.8
99	2G0Q	87.6	27.6	34.2	68.4
100	2LF2	31.8	29.4	28.6	35.2

Supplementary Table 7 RPF structure evaluation scores.

ID	Protein	Recall	Precision	F-measure	DP-score
1	6SVC	0.91	0.87	0.89	0.70
2	2JVD	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.77
3	2K57	0.94	0.86	0.90	0.75
4	6SOW	0.82	0.84	0.83	0.64
5	2LX7	0.86	0.84	0.85	0.52
6	2MA6	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.55
7	2JRM	0.86	0.88	0.87	0.67
8	1YEZ	0.85	0.82	0.83	0.65
9	2L9R	0.93	0.86	0.89	0.83
10	2K52	0.91	0.82	0.86	0.75
11	2KRS	0.93	0.90	0.92	0.78
12	2K53	0.89	0.89	0.89	0.66
13	2JT1	0.92	0.88	0.90	0.72
14	2JVO	0.81	0.90	0.85	0.70
15	2ERR	0.88	0.89	0.88	0.69
16	2L1P	0.81	0.88	0.85	0.68
17	2LN3	0.92	0.88	0.90	0.74
18	2HEQ	0.73	0.69	0.71	0.55
19	2KK8	0.87	0.88	0.88	0.64
20	2KD0	0.83	0.91	0.87	0.67
21	2LML	0.94	0.89	0.91	0.68
22	2K3D	0.85	0.90	0.87	0.68
23	2LK2	0.90	0.88	0.89	0.65
24	MH04	0.85	0.88	0.86	0.60
25	1PQX	0.80	0.86	0.83	0.65
26	2L33	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.74
27	2KZV	0.85	0.87	0.86	0.65
28	2KCT	0.85	0.88	0.87	0.75
29	2MDR	0.80	0.87	0.83	0.66
30	2FB7	0.78	0.81	0.79	0.57
31	2MB0	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.83
32	2L05	0.85	0.88	0.86	0.72
33	2KJR	0.89	0.90	0.89	0.74
34	2M5O	0.84	0.88	0.86	0.68
35	MDM2	0.93	0.87	0.90	0.65
36	2LNA	0.85	0.90	0.87	0.73
37	2LA6	0.89	0.87	0.88	0.72
38	6FIP	0.67	0.79	0.73	0.51
39	2LEA	0.79	0.88	0.83	0.68
40	2LL8	0.88	0.90	0.89	0.72
41	2KPN	0.89	0.87	0.88	0.78
42	2K0M	0.94	0.85	0.89	0.73
43	2K5V	0.94	0.92	0.93	0.81
44	2MQL	0.80	0.89	0.84	0.68
45	2K75	0.91	0.85	0.88	0.69
46	2LTM	0.91	0.87	0.89	0.79
47	2KOB	0.90	0.85	0.87	0.65
48	2KHD	0.92	0.85	0.88	0.64
49	2RN7	0.92	0.67	0.78	0.63
50	2LXU	0.92	0.88	0.90	0.73
51	2KIF	0.88	0.90	0.89	0.76
52	2KBN	0.91	0.88	0.89	0.72
53	2MK2	0.87	0.88	0.87	0.70
54	2K50	0.90	0.84	0.87	0.76
55	2KL5	0.83	0.79	0.81	0.63
56	2LTA	0.86	0.79	0.82	0.70
57	2KIW	0.89	0.85	0.87	0.61
58	2LVB	0.92	0.85	0.89	0.70
59	2LND	0.81	0.85	0.83	0.66
60	1WQU	0.87	0.92	0.89	0.80
61	2KL6	0.94	0.84	0.89	0.80
62	6GT7	0.90	0.84	0.87	0.76
63	2JN8	0.88	0.84	0.86	0.65
64	2K5D	0.87	0.92	0.89	0.74
65	2KD1	0.87	0.86	0.87	0.65
66	2LTL	0.90	0.85	0.87	0.63
67	2KVO	0.85	0.81	0.83	0.61
68	1T0Y	0.87	0.85	0.86	0.76
69	2KCD	0.90	0.79	0.84	0.53
70	2KRT	0.91	0.71	0.80	0.80
71	2LFI	0.78	0.83	0.81	0.53
72	2JQN	0.94	0.84	0.88	0.77
73	2L7Q	0.88	0.82	0.85	0.69
74	2KFP	0.80	0.87	0.83	0.59
75	1SE9	0.82	0.78	0.80	0.59
76	2L3G	0.92	0.86	0.89	0.77
77	2L3B	0.81	0.83	0.82	0.67
78	2LRH	0.80	0.86	0.82	0.63
79	1VEE	0.89	0.91	0.90	0.76
80	2K1G	0.87	0.88	0.88	0.80
81	2KKZ	0.90	0.82	0.86	0.68
82	1VDY	0.89	0.92	0.90	0.82
83	2KKL	0.84	0.88	0.86	0.65
84	2N4B	0.80	0.90	0.85	0.65
85	2L8V	0.86	0.77	0.81	0.62
86	2LGH	0.87	0.90	0.89	0.70
87	2K1S	0.80	0.84	0.82	0.61
88	2M4F	0.83	0.88	0.85	0.66
89	2JXP	0.90	0.82	0.86	0.73
90	2L06	0.89	0.87	0.88	0.72
91	2LAH	0.90	0.79	0.84	0.80
92	2LAK	0.90	0.84	0.87	0.72
93	2L82	0.82	0.83	0.82	0.70
94	2M47	0.89	0.81	0.85	0.69
95	2K3A	0.88	0.77	0.82	0.70
96	2M7U	0.80	0.71	0.75	0.72
97	2B3W	0.81	0.85	0.83	0.68
98	KRAS4B	0.92	0.88	0.90	0.83
99	2G0Q	0.86	0.80	0.83	0.72
100	2LF2	0.84	0.88	0.86	0.68

Calculated by the RPF web server at <https://montelionelab.chem.rpi.edu/rpf/> running ASDP version 2.3.

Supplementary Table 8 Consensus structure bundles. NMR structure bundles calculated by ARTINA and by using consensus distance restraints with the *multnoeassign* command of CYANA. RMSD values are the average of the 20 backbone RMSDs between the individual conformers and their mean coordinates for the residue given in Supplementary Table 4.

ID	Protein	ARTINA		Consensus	
		Target function value (Å ²)	RMSD to mean (Å)	Target function value (Å ²)	RMSD to mean (Å)
1	6SVC	1.80	0.04	0.61	0.12
2	2JVD	0.30	0.14	0.03	0.33
3	2K57	0.35	0.15	0.29	0.25
4	6SOW	2.32	0.10	0.45	0.40
5	2LX7	0.32	0.80	0.32	0.95
6	2MA6	3.25	0.14	1.95	0.41
7	2JRM	0.50	0.13	0.20	0.37
8	1YEZ	0.58	0.14	0.37	0.35
9	2L9R	0.37	0.21	0.36	0.30
10	2K52	2.68	0.17	0.84	0.48
11	2KRS	0.35	0.18	0.21	0.40
12	2K53	0.60	0.38	0.42	0.33
13	2JT1	0.11	0.29	0.10	0.35
14	2JVO	10.44	0.05	3.66	0.16
15	2ERR	13.61	0.46	8.86	0.62
16	2L1P	2.10	0.08	0.27	0.25
17	2LN3	1.15	0.14	0.09	0.27
18	2HEQ	3.20	0.03	0.82	0.30
19	2KK8	2.24	0.29	0.82	0.78
20	2KD0	4.43	0.07	1.63	0.24
21	2LML	0.67	0.48	0.57	0.69
22	2K3D	2.00	0.12	0.94	0.44
23	2LK2	0.94	0.36	0.45	0.43
24	MH04	0.86	0.46	0.54	0.81
25	1PQX	10.18	0.13	8.54	0.29
26	2L33	1.37	0.16	0.16	0.30
27	2KZV	0.41	0.46	0.30	0.81
28	2KCT	4.45	0.11	2.67	0.21
29	2MDR	3.06	0.12	0.86	0.42
30	2FB7	1.51	0.29	0.37	0.69
31	2MB0	9.63	0.09	4.22	0.25
32	2L05	2.07	0.17	0.53	0.53
33	2KJR	1.04	0.15	0.39	0.45
34	2M5O	7.18	0.07	3.54	0.24
35	MDM2	1.29	0.72	0.63	1.07
36	2LNA	4.06	0.14	1.06	0.61
37	2LA6	6.62	0.11	4.89	0.19
38	6FIP	17.06	0.13	6.79	1.39
39	2LEA	7.98	0.09	1.13	0.35
40	2LL8	2.64	0.24	1.08	0.44
41	2KPN	2.33	0.14	0.72	0.56
42	2K0M	0.69	0.43	0.53	0.57
43	2K5V	1.10	0.22	0.48	0.40
44	2MQL	22.17	0.09	5.11	0.18
45	2K75	0.77	0.41	0.21	0.65
46	2LTM	2.68	0.07	0.96	0.25
47	2KOB	2.66	0.33	1.16	0.55
48	2KHD	0.04	0.48	0.01	0.73
49	2RN7	1.80	1.02	0.61	0.12
50	2LXU	0.30	0.27	0.03	0.33
51	2KIF	3.64	0.14	0.68	0.41
52	2KBN	0.45	0.22	1.58	0.42
53	2MK2	2.62	0.39	0.21	0.71
54	2K50	0.86	0.25	1.04	0.40
55	2KL5	5.90	0.44	0.26	1.12
56	2LTA	0.73	0.46	1.96	0.63
57	2KIW	1.10	0.28	0.37	0.63
58	2LVB	0.86	0.50	0.41	0.52
59	2LND	1.29	0.15	1.12	0.62
60	1WQU	9.51	0.14	0.30	0.30
61	2KL6	1.99	0.17	2.90	0.56
62	6GT7	4.21	0.13	0.63	0.36
63	2JN8	1.12	0.39	1.73	0.72
64	2K5D	1.44	0.19	0.38	0.49
65	2KD1	6.35	0.26	0.46	0.63
66	2LTL	1.07	0.77	1.99	2.42
67	2KVO	3.88	0.22	0.66	0.75
68	1T0Y	1.60	0.15	1.73	0.46
69	2KCD	10.14	0.57	0.24	1.50
70	2KRT	0.83	0.58	5.44	0.90
71	2LFI	0.80	0.73	0.30	2.02
72	2JQN	1.09	0.58	0.43	0.72
73	2L7Q	1.74	0.23	1.02	0.55
74	2KFP	8.46	0.23	0.26	0.57
75	1SE9	1.85	0.48	4.15	1.05
76	2L3G	2.34	0.21	0.70	0.63
77	2L3B	0.81	0.30	0.76	0.55
78	2LRH	5.26	0.18	0.32	0.80
79	1VEE	5.14	0.13	0.76	0.26
80	2K1G	14.38	0.12	1.90	0.29
81	2KKZ	2.36	0.35	3.50	0.86
82	1VDY	9.13	0.13	0.69	0.47
83	2KKL	2.09	0.42	4.31	0.89
84	2N4B	10.49	0.10	0.44	0.38
85	2L8V	2.82	0.80	5.82	1.27
86	2LGH	3.18	0.56	1.06	0.83
87	2K1S	2.63	0.26	1.37	0.72
88	2M4F	6.07	0.18	0.59	0.54
89	2JXP	0.91	1.28	0.86	4.17
90	2L06	13.42	0.25	0.31	0.51
91	2LAH	10.34	0.35	5.11	0.89
92	2LAK	4.21	0.38	6.29	0.81
93	2L82	3.72	0.55	2.02	0.86
94	2M47	1.46	1.59	0.56	2.39
95	2K3A	0.81	0.25	0.78	0.45
96	2M7U	15.64	0.25	0.42	0.93
97	2B3W	23.41	0.33	7.67	0.89
98	KRAS4B	10.89	0.22	7.11	0.65
99	2G0Q	4.53	0.55	1.86	1.25
100	2LF2	4.30	0.38	1.13	0.82

Supplementary Table 9 Results of restrained energy refinement. CYANA structure bundles calculated by ARTINA were energy-refined in explicit water using OPALp. Backbone RMSDs to the reference PDB structure before and after energy refinement show a standard deviation of 0.07 Å, maximal deviation of 0.16 Å, and linear correlation coefficient of 0.998.

ID	Protein	CYANA		OPALp	
		AMBER energy (kcal/mol)	RMSD to reference (Å)	AMBER energy (kcal/mol)	RMSD to reference (Å)
1	6SVC	-664	0.83	-974	0.91
2	2JVD	-1590	0.71	-2044	0.59
3	2K57	-1547	0.71	-2270	0.61
4	6SOW	-1892	1.16	-2369	1.09
5	2LX7	-1981	1.41	-2372	1.37
6	2MA6	-1200	1.56	-1740	1.40
7	2JRM	-1733	1.43	-2309	1.38
8	1YEZ	-1961	0.73	-2463	0.61
9	2L9R	-1319	0.59	-1877	0.54
10	2K52	-2597	1.10	-3242	1.06
11	2KRS	-2228	1.26	-2809	1.19
12	2K53	-2055	0.88	-2753	0.87
13	2JT1	-2064	0.94	-2792	0.87
14	2JVO	-1912	1.77	-2573	1.77
15	2ERR	-2788	2.09	-3397	2.04
16	2L1P	-2259	2.13	-2932	2.14
17	2LN3	-2501	0.89	-3284	0.82
18	2HEQ	-1952	0.60	-2780	0.57
19	2KK8	-2369	1.25	-3099	1.20
20	2KD0	-1865	1.37	-2553	1.29
21	2LML	-2331	1.53	-3095	1.44
22	2K3D	-2347	1.44	-3094	1.43
23	2LK2	-2288	1.42	-3056	1.37
24	MH04	-2942	1.57	-3645	1.56
25	1PQX	-2398	1.40	-3198	1.31
26	2L33	-2613	0.79	-3353	0.80
27	2KZV	-2599	2.62	-3276	2.60
28	2KCT	-2484	0.77	-3225	0.73
29	2MDR	-2770	1.72	-3540	1.74
30	2FB7	-2359	1.94	-3153	1.89
31	2MB0	-2934	1.11	-3905	1.03
32	2L05	-2134	0.74	-3053	0.69
33	2KJR	-2797	1.02	-3557	1.03
34	2M5O	-1612	1.08	-2526	1.04
35	MDM2	-2857	1.24	-3644	1.24
36	2LNA	-3227	0.86	-4005	0.76
37	2LA6	-2771	0.81	-3645	0.78
38	6FIP	-2719	2.05	-3637	2.04
39	2LEA	-2738	1.45	-3599	1.38
40	2LL8	-2611	1.42	-3403	1.34
41	2KPN	-2678	0.97	-3623	0.87
42	2K0M	-3002	1.60	-3776	1.52
43	2K5V	-2818	0.94	-3728	0.84
44	2MQL	-1780	0.98	-2762	0.93
45	2K75	-3142	1.65	-4036	1.57
46	2LTM	-3030	0.67	-3870	0.57
47	2KOB	-2974	2.24	-3982	2.19
48	2KHD	-2886	1.87	-3686	1.80
49	2RN7	-3214	1.93	-4240	1.92
50	2LXU	-3344	1.19	-4281	1.08
51	2KIF	-2906	0.89	-3905	0.81
52	2KBN	-3061	0.92	-3974	0.87
53	2MK2	-2936	1.56	-4013	1.49
54	2K50	-3051	1.00	-3976	0.85
55	2KL5	-3039	2.58	-4149	2.56
56	2LTA	-3214	2.39	-4298	2.36
57	2KIW	-2779	1.59	-3733	1.56
58	2LVB	-3533	1.56	-4492	1.52
59	2LND	-3512	0.85	-4359	0.81
60	1WQU	-3058	0.99	-4019	0.89
61	2KL6	-3287	0.87	-4413	0.78
62	6GT7	-3421	1.39	-4474	1.34
63	2JN8	-3285	1.83	-4290	1.77
64	2K5D	-3500	1.47	-4544	1.43
65	2KD1	-2298	1.99	-3492	1.99
66	2LTL	-3266	2.37	-4322	2.38
67	2KVO	-3111	1.87	-4156	1.76
68	1T0Y	-3261	1.27	-4412	1.23
69	2KCD	-2950	3.13	-4099	3.14
70	2KRT	-4018	2.09	-5052	2.04
71	2LFI	-3451	2.42	-4437	2.45
72	2JQN	-3560	1.52	-4547	1.48
73	2L7Q	-3272	1.57	-4451	1.45
74	2KFP	-3419	2.23	-4485	2.15
75	1SE9	-2800	2.10	-4006	2.07
76	2L3G	-4106	1.28	-5120	1.17
77	2L3B	-3838	1.05	-4904	1.01
78	2LRH	-3853	2.30	-5364	2.26
79	1VEE	-3642	1.03	-4911	0.93
80	2K1G	-4044	1.05	-5109	1.02
81	2KKZ	-3854	1.47	-5136	1.38
82	1VDY	-4235	0.95	-5516	0.87
83	2KKL	-3245	1.26	-4606	1.23
84	2N4B	-4485	1.14	-5526	1.07
85	2L8V	-4036	2.79	-5337	2.81
86	2LGH	-3831	2.43	-5001	2.39
87	2K1S	-5004	1.83	-6232	1.74
88	2M4F	-4357	1.11	-5942	1.06
89	2JXP	-4596	2.58	-5895	2.55
90	2L06	-4389	1.57	-5848	1.47
91	2LAH	-4976	1.71	-6375	1.67
92	2LAK	-4201	1.54	-5388	1.43
93	2L82	-5405	3.55	-7021	3.55
94	2M47	-3907	4.72	-5279	4.72
95	2K3A	-4339	0.99	-5567	0.92
96	2M7U	-4846	2.14	-6344	2.12
97	2B3W	-4335	2.67	-5976	2.68
98	KRAS4B	-5147	1.60	-6814	1.64
99	2G0Q	-3999	2.38	-5697	2.36
100	2LF2	-5149	2.68	-6737	2.68

Supplementary Table 10 Chemical shift assignment accuracy of protein core residues.

Chemical shift assignment accuracy (%) is reported for core residues of the given amino acid types. The penultimate column (ARO) presents the accuracy calculated for His, Phe, Tyr, and Trp shifts. Core residues are those with a solvent-accessible surface area (SASA) in the protein of up to 20% of the corresponding SASA of the isolated residue. SASAs were calculated with Biopython 1.7.9.

Protein	ALA	ARG	ASN	ASP	CYS	GLU	GLN	GLY	HIS	ILE	LEU	LYS	MET	PHE	PRO	SER	THR	TRP	TYR	VAL	ARO	RMSD	
6SVC	100.0	-	80.0	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-	69.2	-	-	-	95.2	100.0	-	100.0	92.3	-	95.6	0.83	
2JVD	100.0	-	-	-	-	97.0	93.3	-	-	100.0	100.0	94.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.71
2K57	100.0	-	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	100.0	-	-	87.5	100.0	100.0	100.0	-	85.7	100.0	86.7	0.71	
6SOW	100.0	100.0	91.7	-	-	-	93.3	-	-	95.2	88.6	-	-	78.0	92.3	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	78.0	1.16
2LX7	85.7	-	-	-	100.0	93.9	-	100.0	-	100.0	100.0	100.0	-	71.0	91.7	-	94.7	95.0	-	100.0	80.4	1.41	
2MA6	100.0	-	-	100.0	100.0	-	-	100.0	92.3	100.0	100.0	-	-	87.5	-	88.2	-	80.0	-	100.0	86.2	1.56	
2JRM	100.0	-	-	100.0	71.4	-	-	90.0	-	-	100.0	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	95.0	100.0	-	96.3	1.43	
1YEZ	100.0	-	-	100.0	-	-	-	100.0	-	98.8	92.9	-	-	87.5	100.0	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	97.7	91.3	0.73	
2L9R	100.0	88.1	100.0	-	-	100.0	97.6	-	-	100.0	97.1	94.1	100.0	84.4	-	-	100.0	85.0	-	95.5	84.6	0.59	
2K52	100.0	-	95.5	95.8	-	100.0	100.0	91.7	-	88.0	97.6	-	84.6	93.3	100.0	-	100.0	-	100.0	100.0	96.6	1.10	
2KRS	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	-	100.0	-	97.6	-	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	-	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	100.0	97.7	100.0	1.26	
2K53	100.0	-	95.0	100.0	100.0	95.0	-	100.0	-	100.0	100.0	100.0	94.4	100.0	-	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.88	
2JT1	85.7	93.8	-	100.0	-	-	86.7	100.0	80.0	90.0	95.2	-	-	-	91.7	-	77.8	95.0	100.0	83.3	93.2	0.94	
2JVO	87.5	84.6	-	-	-	90.0	-	100.0	90.9	84.6	94.9	-	50.0	70.5	27.3	95.2	100.0	-	-	94.1	73.0	1.77	
2ERR	100.0	81.8	-	71.4	-	90.0	-	88.0	83.3	93.8	94.9	-	100.0	84.2	12.5	100.0	100.0	-	-	92.0	84.0	2.09	
2L1P	92.9	-	-	-	100.0	-	92.9	50.0	-	92.9	87.1	82.4	-	93.8	-	91.7	100.0	97.5	92.9	100.0	95.7	2.13	
2LN3	100.0	93.8	-	100.0	-	100.0	-	100.0	-	100.0	93.9	92.2	100.0	81.3	-	82.4	88.9	-	-	100.0	81.3	0.89	
2HEQ	95.2	-	100.0	100.0	100.0	93.9	-	83.3	83.3	100.0	87.8	88.2	-	75.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	-	74.1	93.9	76.4	0.60	
2KK8	-	-	100.0	100.0	100.0	81.8	90.5	-	-	95.2	92.8	100.0	90.9	84.6	-	100.0	92.0	-	100.0	96.1	92.6	1.25	
2KD0	100.0	-	-	-	100.0	-	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	90.4	100.0	-	100.0	95.8	95.8	91.9	-	-	98.2	100.0	1.37	
2LML	100.0	-	100.0	87.5	-	100.0	-	100.0	85.7	100.0	100.0	100.0	-	83.3	-	100.0	76.0	60.0	100.0	100.0	81.3	1.53	
2K3D	100.0	-	82.9	100.0	-	-	71.4	100.0	-	94.2	100.0	100.0	100.0	87.1	-	97.0	96.4	-	97.6	93.9	91.1	1.44	
2LK2	92.9	62.5	-	-	100.0	90.9	-	83.3	100.0	100.0	94.5	100.0	90.9	100.0	100.0	90.6	90.0	91.9	-	100.0	94.5	1.42	
MH04	100.0	-	100.0	100.0	-	93.9	78.6	100.0	-	98.6	100.0	-	88.5	83.3	94.4	-	77.8	100.0	100.0	96.1	90.8	1.57	
1PQX	100.0	-	100.0	100.0	-	-	100.0	100.0	80.0	96.4	97.6	94.1	84.6	68.8	-	91.7	94.4	95.0	100.0	96.6	82.9	1.40	
2L33	100.0	-	95.0	-	-	100.0	-	100.0	-	-	95.4	100.0	79.2	95.3	100.0	-	100.0	-	100.0	100.0	96.4	0.79	
2KZV	97.1	-	-	100.0	-	100.0	-	91.7	88.9	92.9	85.4	-	88.5	73.9	91.7	88.2	84.2	-	92.9	97.7	79.7	2.62	
2KCT	100.0	87.5	-	100.0	-	100.0	-	97.2	-	100.0	94.0	-	-	100.0	100.0	-	95.6	-	100.0	98.7	100.0	0.77	
2MDR	96.3	-	-	100.0	-	100.0	53.8	96.0	-	100.0	92.3	100.0	-	79.5	86.4	84.6	-	-	92.3	100.0	85.5	1.72	
2FB7	100.0	-	-	100.0	-	-	-	91.7	-	90.6	90.0	92.6	-	70.0	-	93.8	94.3	-	100.0	100.0	85.0	1.94	
2MB0	97.2	-	100.0	89.5	-	100.0	100.0	96.0	-	97.4	100.0	100.0	91.7	80.8	-	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	97.4	83.3	1.11	
2L05	100.0	93.8	-	100.0	100.0	90.9	-	100.0	83.3	100.0	97.6	-	-	81.3	100.0	100.0	96.3	100.0	100.0	98.9	91.9	0.74	
2KJR	100.0	-	91.7	87.5	-	100.0	93.3	100.0	71.4	100.0	92.9	94.1	100.0	75.0	-	100.0	94.7	-	92.9	100.0	79.5	1.02	
2M50	-	-	-	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	86.7	-	99.0	90.5	100.0	76.9	81.3	-	87.5	100.0	-	92.9	97.7	86.7	1.08	
MDM2	100.0	-	100.0	83.3	0.0	-	100.0	100.0	70.0	91.5	97.8	-	100.0	64.0	100.0	100.0	95.8	-	63.1	100.0	64.0	1.24	
2LNA	-	87.5	91.7	100.0	-	100.0	93.3	100.0	-	100.0	94.6	-	-	72.5	-	-	100.0	86.8	92.9	100.0	80.1	0.86	
2LA6	100.0	-	73.8	100.0	-	90.9	-	95.8	-	99.0	100.0	-	-	89.5	100.0	95.8	100.0	-	100.0	100.0	90.8	0.81	
6FIP	100.0	-	-	93.8	-	100.0	-	100.0	-	95.9	85.7	-	84.6	76.6	91.7	87.5	100.0	60.0	69.2	94.5	72.2	2.05	
2LEA	100.0	63.6	90.0	96.4	-	100.0	-	93.1	-	92.3	93.2	68.8	51.2	96.4	88.9	90.5	97.4	-	-	98.6	96.4	1.45	
2LL8	100.0	87.5	91.7	100.0	100.0	100.0	-	-	85.7	100.0	99.0	-	-	88.9	100.0	93.8	89.3	70.0	71.4	97.7	82.9	1.42	
2KPN	96.4	-	-	100.0	-	-	93.3	100.0	91.7	100.0	97.6	-	-	100.0	100.0	-	97.8	-	93.1	99.0	94.7	0.97	
2K0M	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	87.5	100.0	100.0	100.0	90.0	96.4	98.6	94.1	96.2	75.0	-	20.0	-	63.2	92.3	100.0	77.9	1.60	
2K5V	97.1	-	91.7	100.0	-	100.0	80.0	100.0	-	96.4	100.0	94.1	-	87.5	-	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	100.0	91.3	0.94	
2MQL	91.7	-	90.0	100.0	71.4	90.0	30.8	90.0	54.5	79.0	80.0	-	85.7	53.6	63.6	76.2	-	-	70.8	89.0	60.3	0.98	
2K75	100.0	-	91.7	100.0	-	-	86.7	95.8	-	96.0	85.4	-	-	75.0	-	97.2	100.0	-	76.9	99.0	75.9	1.65	
2LTM	100.0	100.0	100.0	83.3	-	-	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	91.8	98.0	69.6	86.3	95.8	100.0	100.0	85.0	100.0	98.2	87.6	0.67	
2KOB	100.0	85.7	90.9	100.0	87.5	-	70.0	33.3	37.5	99.2	76.5	88.2	-	59.1	91.7	60.0	88.9	95.0	64.3	100.0	65.8	2.24	
2KHD	100.0	75.0	-	-	-	100.0	-	100.0	-	100.0	85.7	91.2	-	77.1	-	-	100.0	-	100.0	100.0	85.5	1.87	
2RN7	100.0	-	-	-	100.0	-	100.0	-	-	100.0	64.3	-	92.3	-	-	93.3	100.0	75.0	-	100.0	75.0	1.93	
2LXU	100.0	-	83.3	100.0	100.0	98.2	93.3	95.7	-	97.6	98.2	98.0	96.2	81.3	100.0	100.0	100.0	-	-	99.0	81.3	1.19	

Supplementary Table 10 continued

Protein	ALA	ARG	ASN	ASP	CYS	GLU	GLN	GLY	HIS	ILE	LEU	LYS	MET	PHE	PRO	SER	THR	TRP	TYR	VAL	ARO	RMSD
2KIF	100.0	96.4	90.9	100.0	-	90.0	92.9	100.0	-	91.3	95.2	90.6	-	75.6	100.0	90.5	100.0	97.4	92.3	100.0	87.7	0.89
2KBN	95.2	-	97.0	100.0	-	90.9	78.2	93.3	-	97.9	95.9	80.0	-	100.0	83.3	100.0	100.0	90.0	100.0	96.1	96.0	0.92
2MK2	100.0	93.8	-	100.0	93.8	100.0	-	94.4	71.4	96.4	95.7	-	-	94.1	100.0	94.1	100.0	100.0	92.9	100.0	91.8	1.56
2K50	100.0	85.7	100.0	96.8	-	100.0	-	86.7	85.7	96.4	93.9	100.0	76.9	100.0	79.2	88.9	94.4	90.0	-	100.0	95.1	1.00
2KL5	88.9	46.2	21.4	92.9	8.3	95.0	44.4	90.0	-	76.9	71.9	81.3	-	83.0	-	-	16.7	-	80.0	100.0	81.7	2.58
2LTA	92.9	-	-	87.5	-	100.0	100.0	-	-	97.4	91.4	87.1	-	100.0	-	68.8	100.0	70.0	100.0	95.5	88.0	2.39
2KIW	95.9	92.9	83.3	93.8	-	-	-	-	-	95.2	85.7	-	-	66.1	100.0	95.2	55.6	73.7	100.0	98.5	78.9	1.59
2LVB	100.0	-	100.0	100.0	-	90.9	100.0	61.5	-	96.9	92.9	78.8	61.5	89.6	83.3	84.4	100.0	-	92.6	97.0	90.7	1.56
2LND	94.3	-	95.2	100.0	-	77.3	89.3	63.2	-	98.0	95.2	-	69.2	87.5	100.0	94.6	94.4	-	97.4	97.0	92.0	0.85
1WQU	100.0	87.5	-	100.0	-	95.5	96.4	92.9	100.0	98.2	79.5	-	-	77.1	95.8	93.9	100.0	95.0	100.0	97.7	91.6	0.99
2KL6	100.0	75.0	93.5	100.0	-	100.0	-	100.0	100.0	100.0	83.3	94.1	-	96.9	95.8	100.0	93.1	76.9	95.3	99.4	90.5	0.87
6GT7	100.0	-	50.0	95.7	100.0	85.0	-	80.0	100.0	94.5	86.0	66.7	90.9	66.7	81.3	91.7	88.5	97.2	97.4	89.9	93.0	1.39
2JN8	97.6	93.8	100.0	75.0	-	100.0	100.0	100.0	55.6	100.0	97.1	94.1	38.5	87.5	100.0	75.0	100.0	97.5	100.0	86.4	87.5	1.83
2K5D	100.0	75.0	-	100.0	-	100.0	93.3	-	-	100.0	100.0	88.2	-	84.4	-	96.9	100.0	95.0	96.6	98.2	91.4	1.47
2KD1	100.0	93.3	81.8	-	-	90.9	-	93.3	90.9	96.8	95.8	100.0	84.6	75.0	-	84.4	100.0	85.0	79.2	97.7	82.0	1.99
2LTL	91.7	-	95.0	74.3	100.0	-	90.4	100.0	-	99.4	93.1	96.0	95.8	75.6	-	92.9	90.6	64.7	-	100.0	72.6	2.37
2KVO	100.0	-	100.0	87.5	-	100.0	71.4	96.7	-	100.0	88.1	88.2	89.2	75.7	97.2	97.5	94.7	90.0	100.0	98.5	83.9	1.87
1T0Y	100.0	-	100.0	100.0	-	90.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	97.6	89.1	94.1	100.0	92.9	-	92.3	100.0	-	97.6	96.8	97.1	1.27
2KCD	100.0	-	73.9	100.0	-	100.0	18.2	94.1	75.0	99.0	81.9	50.0	100.0	81.0	79.2	100.0	100.0	40.0	67.5	100.0	68.2	3.13
2KRT	85.7	-	85.7	87.5	-	81.8	78.6	100.0	-	93.6	77.9	90.0	100.0	90.9	25.0	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	100.0	93.5	2.09
2LFI	95.2	-	91.7	81.3	-	90.5	38.0	91.3	60.0	84.3	90.2	-	-	58.5	-	81.3	96.3	85.3	69.2	98.5	68.7	2.42
2JQN	96.4	-	-	100.0	-	100.0	76.7	95.5	90.0	100.0	87.9	94.1	-	82.5	91.7	95.8	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	90.4	1.52
2L7Q	100.0	50.0	83.3	91.7	-	95.5	70.5	100.0	-	94.6	90.2	-	100.0	85.2	91.7	91.4	94.7	60.0	88.8	100.0	84.3	1.57
2KFP	91.9	-	91.7	100.0	-	-	-	96.7	100.0	98.4	85.5	81.8	95.8	87.5	52.2	100.0	79.3	70.3	90.9	96.9	84.8	2.23
1SE9	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	-	100.0	100.0	100.0	-	82.1	94.3	74.4	90.9	75.0	75.0	93.3	100.0	100.0	100.0	92.4	87.9	2.10
2L3G	100.0	-	95.5	93.8	92.3	93.9	100.0	96.7	-	92.7	95.6	-	-	89.7	100.0	96.9	97.3	90.0	100.0	96.6	91.3	1.28
2L3B	100.0	82.4	-	100.0	100.0	90.9	75.0	100.0	-	95.2	94.7	-	100.0	89.6	83.3	79.2	93.3	-	93.5	100.0	91.6	1.05
2LRH	85.7	-	93.9	91.7	-	87.7	96.4	-	-	96.4	90.6	89.7	89.7	64.1	-	88.9	90.0	-	100.0	88.3	78.3	2.30
1VEE	100.0	77.8	100.0	100.0	-	-	100.0	95.8	-	100.0	95.7	94.1	-	88.7	89.2	89.6	96.4	100.0	98.2	100.0	94.9	1.03
2K1G	100.0	89.3	91.7	93.8	87.5	100.0	83.6	98.1	79.2	100.0	100.0	-	84.6	84.4	100.0	97.9	100.0	100.0	96.2	100.0	90.2	1.05
2KKZ	95.2	44.4	94.3	100.0	100.0	87.9	-	90.0	76.9	98.0	92.8	88.2	77.8	83.3	79.2	91.3	100.0	65.0	-	100.0	77.8	1.47
1VDY	100.0	89.5	100.0	100.0	100.0	90.9	100.0	66.7	-	97.3	84.9	92.6	92.3	88.6	100.0	89.5	89.7	-	96.4	100.0	91.7	0.95
2KVL	89.3	-	91.7	84.4	-	45.5	50.0	91.2	28.6	96.4	91.2	-	-	84.2	-	90.9	89.3	-	-	93.2	75.6	1.26
2N4B	100.0	88.2	87.5	100.0	-	97.0	93.3	100.0	80.0	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	82.3	100.0	100.0	95.7	80.0	90.5	97.0	83.0	1.14
2L8V	95.1	71.4	41.7	93.8	-	86.4	50.0	100.0	80.0	91.4	76.1	70.6	-	50.0	91.7	78.8	94.7	-	82.0	97.2	67.9	2.79
2LGH	98.4	88.2	55.9	100.0	100.0	100.0	92.0	100.0	91.7	97.6	96.3	100.0	100.0	82.5	100.0	100.0	100.0	79.1	100.0	98.9	82.8	2.43
2K1S	100.0	93.8	95.2	87.5	-	100.0	100.0	95.7	-	97.1	92.9	-	55.3	100.0	100.0	95.7	95.5	-	78.3	99.2	87.2	1.83
2M4F	100.0	-	100.0	100.0	-	92.1	-	100.0	-	100.0	97.3	97.1	92.3	70.1	-	87.5	100.0	75.0	72.7	100.0	71.8	1.11
2JXP	100.0	37.5	-	100.0	-	94.5	90.6	100.0	-	98.2	89.6	100.0	80.0	95.1	-	93.8	100.0	-	96.4	99.1	95.7	2.58
2L06	98.6	43.8	91.7	100.0	-	93.2	91.7	100.0	80.0	98.9	93.4	64.7	84.6	81.4	72.2	84.8	100.0	-	76.2	100.0	79.2	1.57
2LAH	97.2	92.3	97.5	100.0	87.5	90.0	84.6	80.0	78.6	93.6	90.5	100.0	75.0	74.3	90.9	81.8	93.8	94.3	92.3	96.7	84.2	1.71
2LAK	100.0	75.0	45.5	93.3	100.0	90.5	81.8	90.5	78.6	96.4	96.7	-	92.3	37.5	88.9	95.1	94.7	66.7	67.9	96.5	63.9	1.54
2L82	100.0	74.1	100.0	95.9	-	76.0	98.1	100.0	-	96.9	92.3	-	-	77.5	100.0	78.3	95.8	89.5	97.4	87.4	83.8	3.55
2M47	97.6	-	100.0	87.5	100.0	54.5	76.9	90.2	-	81.1	78.3	100.0	76.9	83.3	78.9	89.6	92.9	62.9	91.7	96.6	75.7	4.72
2K3A	100.0	-	97.0	-	87.5	95.5	85.7	90.0	57.1	98.2	100.0	-	100.0	-	83.3	94.6	100.0	91.7	93.5	100.0	87.3	0.99
2M7U	89.3	61.1	75.0	73.9	50.0	84.2	85.7	96.2	68.2	88.3	75.8	-	83.3	89.5	63.6	65.2	84.8	83.8	91.7	87.2	85.0	2.14
2B3W	92.8	91.1	73.9	95.5	-	75.8	75.9	82.1	80.8	100.0	84.4	79.4	72.2	77.2	95.8	87.5	81.4	71.8	53.8	96.0	71.6	2.67
KRAS4B	94.4	77.8	80.0	97.1	95.2	84.6	78.4	94.3	100.0	79.1	84.0	63.2	90.0	81.4	68.4	64.3	86.2	-	92.6	95.7	87.7	1.60
2G0Q	100.0	87.0	100.0	93.3	75.0	69.7	85.7	95.2	100.0	90.0	82.5	92.3	83.9	87.5	78.6	90.9	94.6	65.0	82.9	94.1	85.0	2.38
2L2F	100.0	87.5	83.3	100.0	87.5	86.4	93.3	95.1	100.0	99.3	89.7	-	87.5	89.7	100.0	92.0	91.8	61.2	96.2	100.0	82.4	2.68
Mean	97.7	81.8	89.2	95.7	88.4	92.8	85.4	93.6	81.3	96.1	91.6	90.3	86.6	82.2	88.3	91.0	94.2	83.6	91.4	97.5	85.2	1.55
Median	100.0	87.5	93.5	100.0	100.0	95.0	92.4	96.7	83.3	97.6	93.1	94.1	90.0	83.3	94.8	93.8	96.4	88.2	96.2	98.6	85.5	1.44

Supplementary Table 11 Quantitative analysis of sources of backbone RMSD in automatically determined structures. Each row presents backbone the RMSD to reference [Å] of an automatically determined protein structure. The quantity was calculated for 7 different residue ranges. The broadest one (**REF**) has been determined by CYRANGE²⁷ and corresponds to the values reported in Supplementary Table 4 and Fig. 4. Remaining residue ranges cover the same fragments of the sequence as REF with the exclusion of: (**A**) the first secondary structure element, (**B**) the last secondary structure element, (**C**) α -helices, (**D**) β -sheets, (**E**) α -helices and β -sheets, and (**F**) flexible loops. The last column (**G**) presents difference between REF and the minimum value of A–F. Proteins that benefit by more than 0.5 Å RMSD decrease from the exclusion of one group of residues are highlighted in green. If a residue range contains less than 10 residues, its RMSD is not calculated.

ID	Protein	REF	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
1	6SVC	0.83	0.84	0.78	0.83	-	-	0.54	0.29
2	2JVD	0.71	0.58	0.68	-	0.71	-	0.69	0.13
3	2K57	0.71	0.74	0.69	0.71	0.66	0.68	0.60	0.11
4	6SOW	1.16	0.57	1.20	-	1.16	-	1.20	0.59
5	2LX7	1.41	1.43	1.43	1.41	1.59	1.59	0.70	0.71
6	2MA6	1.56	1.56	1.51	1.54	1.44	1.37	1.38	0.19
7	2JRM	1.43	1.46	1.58	1.87	1.43	-	1.04	0.39
8	1YEZ	0.73	0.70	0.74	0.73	0.86	0.86	0.63	0.10
9	2L9R	0.59	0.56	0.60	-	0.59	-	0.6	0.03
10	2K52	1.10	1.16	1.13	1.10	1.35	1.37	0.81	0.29
11	2KRS	1.26	1.28	1.22	1.26	1.43	1.40	1.16	0.10
12	2K53	0.88	0.88	0.92	0.80	0.88	-	0.85	0.08
13	2JT1	0.94	0.86	0.95	0.74	0.98	-	0.84	0.20
14	2JVO	1.77	1.76	1.73	1.83	1.63	1.73	1.67	0.14
15	2ERR	2.09	2.13	2.14	2.27	1.97	2.44	1.89	0.20
16	2L1P	2.13	2.25	2.07	2.96	2.13	2.96	1.5	0.63
17	2LN3	0.89	0.87	0.91	0.79	0.92	0.84	0.85	0.10
18	2HEQ	0.60	0.62	0.61	0.60	0.76	0.63	0.43	0.17
19	2KK8	1.25	1.23	1.26	1.28	1.16	1.39	1.08	0.17
20	2KD0	1.37	1.42	1.40	1.15	1.57	1.37	1.30	0.22
21	2LML	1.53	1.46	1.50	1.39	1.53	1.39	1.57	0.14
22	2K3D	1.44	1.28	1.44	1.32	1.54	1.49	1.33	0.16
23	2LK2	1.42	1.49	1.36	1.21	1.42	1.21	1.13	0.29
24	MH04	1.57	1.57	1.58	1.58	1.85	1.97	0.97	0.60
25	1PQX	1.40	1.44	1.39	1.24	1.61	1.62	1.19	0.21
26	2L33	0.79	0.72	0.77	0.70	0.90	-	0.70	0.09
27	2KZV	2.62	2.82	2.70	3.07	2.84	3.33	1.98	0.64
28	2KCT	0.77	0.71	0.78	0.77	0.79	0.79	0.65	0.12
29	2MDR	1.72	1.73	1.70	1.77	1.79	1.96	1.13	0.59
30	2FB7	1.94	2.02	2.01	1.94	2.5	2.5	1.41	0.53
31	2MB0	1.11	1.14	1.11	1.04	1.18	1.06	1.08	0.07
32	2L05	0.74	0.76	0.73	0.75	0.66	0.69	0.73	0.08
33	2KJR	1.02	1.05	1.02	1.06	1.08	1.26	0.83	0.19
34	2M5O	1.08	1.12	1.08	1.02	1.13	1.26	0.93	0.15
35	MDM2	1.24	1.22	1.19	1.17	1.26	1.20	1.08	0.16
36	2LNA	0.86	0.86	0.84	0.85	0.92	1.00	0.77	0.09
37	2LA6	0.81	0.82	0.81	0.81	0.87	0.91	0.56	0.25
38	6FIP	2.05	2.07	2.08	1.98	2.37	2.29	1.72	0.33
39	2LEA	1.45	1.36	1.47	1.51	1.36	1.42	1.34	0.11
40	2LL8	1.42	1.42	1.50	1.47	1.42	1.47	1.32	0.10

Supplementary Table 11 continued

ID	Protein	REF	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
41	2KPN	0.97	0.98	1.04	0.83	1.19	0.93	0.93	0.14
42	2K0M	1.60	1.62	1.57	1.38	1.82	1.45	1.52	0.22
43	2K5V	0.94	0.95	0.85	0.94	1.18	0.93	0.92	0.09
44	2MQL	0.98	1.01	0.98	0.96	1.10	1.14	0.82	0.16
45	2K75	1.65	1.65	1.64	1.65	1.73	1.76	1.47	0.18
46	2LTM	0.67	0.68	0.65	0.66	0.72	0.69	0.62	0.05
47	2KOB	2.24	2.20	2.28	2.08	2.24	2.23	2.20	0.16
48	2KHD	1.87	1.88	1.13	1.11	1.99	0.83	1.78	1.04
49	2RN7	1.93	1.12	2.12	-	1.93	-	1.81	0.81
50	2LXU	1.19	1.21	1.24	1.29	1.22	1.45	0.96	0.23
51	2KIF	0.89	0.90	0.90	0.98	0.92	1.02	0.79	0.10
52	2KBN	0.92	0.88	0.92	0.92	1.00	0.92	0.91	0.04
53	2MK2	1.56	1.58	1.57	1.57	1.80	1.91	1.11	0.45
54	2K50	1.00	1.00	0.91	1.00	0.90	0.88	1.01	0.12
55	2KL5	2.58	2.63	2.69	2.09	3.25	2.93	2.15	0.49
56	2LTA	2.39	2.47	2.32	2.18	2.65	2.88	1.92	0.47
57	2KIW	1.59	1.63	1.56	1.69	1.59	1.86	1.47	0.12
58	2LVB	1.56	1.57	1.65	1.83	1.56	2.36	1.20	0.36
59	2LND	0.85	0.86	0.82	0.66	0.84	0.69	0.87	0.19
60	1WQU	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.96	1.04	1.04	0.89	0.10
61	2KL6	0.87	0.89	0.88	0.87	1.09	1.09	0.63	0.24
62	6GT7	1.39	1.36	1.29	1.16	1.39	1.16	1.40	0.23
63	2JN8	1.83	1.74	1.87	2.17	1.83	2.22	1.61	0.22
64	2K5D	1.47	1.49	1.54	1.47	1.77	1.77	0.96	0.51
65	2KD1	1.99	1.97	1.89	1.94	1.99	2.04	1.91	0.10
66	2LTL	2.37	2.32	2.10	2.33	2.40	2.72	2.09	0.28
67	2KVO	1.87	1.89	2.00	2.01	2.11	2.64	1.33	0.54
68	1T0Y	1.27	1.29	1.30	1.31	1.43	1.71	0.88	0.39
69	2KCD	3.13	2.98	2.40	2.40	3.61	2.75	3.22	0.73
70	2KRT	2.09	1.92	2.00	1.94	2.41	2.18	1.90	0.19
71	2LFI	2.42	2.34	2.40	2.42	2.64	2.59	2.28	0.14
72	2JQN	1.52	1.55	1.51	1.50	1.65	1.57	1.37	0.15
73	2L7Q	1.57	1.35	1.58	1.57	1.61	1.60	1.53	0.22
74	2KFP	2.23	2.29	2.24	2.21	2.44	2.56	1.71	0.52
75	1SE9	2.10	2.19	2.20	2.19	2.48	2.93	1.10	1.00
76	2L3G	1.28	1.32	1.33	1.72	1.28	1.76	0.84	0.44
77	2L3B	1.05	0.97	1.02	1.05	0.96	0.96	1.07	0.09
78	2LRH	2.3	2.35	1.45	1.50	2.57	1.85	2.25	0.85
79	1VEE	1.03	1.03	1.03	1.02	1.09	1.10	0.89	0.14
80	2K1G	1.05	1.05	1.08	1.06	1.12	1.24	0.92	0.13
81	2KKZ	1.47	1.43	1.46	1.51	1.50	1.72	1.32	0.15
82	1VDY	0.95	0.88	1.01	1.12	0.95	1.20	0.83	0.12
83	2KKL	1.26	1.29	1.28	1.26	1.50	1.50	0.85	0.41
84	2N4B	1.14	1.17	1.13	1.17	1.22	1.40	0.94	0.20
85	2L8V	2.79	2.80	2.79	2.79	2.79	2.80	2.54	0.25
86	2LGH	2.43	2.49	2.58	2.67	2.83	3.57	1.67	0.76
87	2K1S	1.83	1.76	1.87	1.81	2.00	2.29	1.56	0.27
88	2M4F	1.11	1.14	1.13	1.16	1.48	1.93	0.83	0.28
89	2JXP	2.58	2.60	2.46	2.22	3.11	2.63	2.51	0.36
90	2L06	1.57	1.58	1.58	1.74	1.57	1.79	1.43	0.14
91	2LAH	1.71	1.75	1.64	1.90	1.71	1.95	1.63	0.08
92	2LAK	1.54	1.54	1.61	1.33	1.34	1.52	1.53	0.21
93	2L82	3.55	3.61	1.04	1.08	3.97	1.24	3.83	2.51
94	2M47	4.72	4.88	4.79	3.77	4.8	4.33	4.71	0.95
95	2K3A	0.99	0.97	1.00	1.02	1.05	1.14	0.89	0.10
96	2M7U	2.14	2.19	2.18	1.96	2.31	2.27	1.92	0.22
97	2B3W	2.67	2.62	2.60	2.91	2.59	2.77	2.50	0.17
98	KRAS4B	1.60	1.61	1.61	1.57	1.67	1.58	1.56	0.04
99	2G0Q	2.38	2.44	2.48	2.50	3.08	3.67	1.14	1.24
100	2LF2	2.68	2.71	2.24	2.37	3.03	3.00	2.51	0.44

Supplementary Table 12 Quality metrics of 26 protein structures determined without and with 4D CC-NOESY spectra.

Protein	Backbone RMSD to reference [Å]		Heavy-atom RMSD to reference [Å]		Backbone chemical shift assignment accuracy [%]		Side-chain chemical shift assignment accuracy [%]	
	without CCNOESY	with CCNOESY	without CCNOESY	with CCNOESY	without CCNOESY	with CCNOESY	without CCNOESY	with CCNOESY
2B3W	2.25	2.67	3.05	3.37	95.28	93.39	81.41	80.09
2HEQ	0.50	0.60	1.27	1.48	95.30	94.48	84.03	84.63
2K1S	1.49	1.83	1.82	2.05	98.39	98.83	92.14	92.02
2K3D	1.54	1.44	2.33	2.13	98.96	98.96	88.89	89.76
2K75	1.65	1.65	2.03	1.98	98.36	98.77	88.36	88.36
2KBN	1.09	0.92	1.76	1.46	98.18	97.98	89.49	88.49
2KCD	1.77	3.13	2.48	3.59	97.22	91.30	86.86	79.24
2KFP	2.03	2.23	2.65	2.89	97.02	97.39	81.96	79.51
2KIW	2.78	1.59	3.23	2.08	94.96	93.99	79.50	80.94
2KKL	1.80	1.26	2.45	2.09	94.06	94.06	81.67	80.60
2KOB	2.27	2.24	2.77	2.93	91.18	88.18	85.05	82.29
2KRS	1.02	1.26	1.35	1.50	98.47	98.47	96.40	96.18
2KVO	2.24	1.87	2.58	2.18	98.35	98.17	90.27	90.00
2KZV	2.04	2.62	2.68	3.13	96.58	95.84	83.45	81.67
2L3B	1.23	1.05	1.74	1.63	93.79	93.97	86.31	86.19
2L8V	7.49	2.79	7.87	3.35	93.63	93.82	76.32	76.58
2LAK	1.49	1.54	1.82	1.82	92.59	92.15	80.73	78.69
2LF2	3.79	2.68	4.10	3.09	97.80	97.56	86.58	85.86
2LGH	1.54	2.43	1.99	2.70	97.43	98.03	88.44	88.92
2LK2	0.90	1.42	1.66	1.98	96.81	96.08	87.44	86.08
2LML	1.15	1.53	1.54	1.90	97.44	97.44	91.53	91.71
2LNA	0.89	0.86	1.51	1.45	98.14	99.30	91.57	90.78
2LX7	1.42	1.41	1.93	2.02	97.81	98.54	88.59	87.84
2LXU	1.64	1.19	2.33	1.81	99.20	99.40	92.77	91.33
2MA6	1.52	1.56	2.09	2.09	98.59	98.94	89.36	89.36
2N4B	1.26	1.14	2.04	1.95	98.94	98.94	89.81	90.25
Median	1.54	1.55	2.07	2.07	97.43	97.50	87.90	87.01
Mean	1.88	1.73	2.43	2.26	96.71	96.31	86.88	86.05

Rows presenting proteins with more than 1 Å difference in backbone RMSD to reference are highlighted in green (if better without 4D CC-NOESY) or red (if better with 4D CC-NOESY).

Supplementary Table 13 Accuracy of automated chemical shift assignment using all input spectra. Chemical shift assignment accuracy (%) is reported for the 45 proteins in the automated chemical shift assignment experiment (see Results section).

Protein	All shifts	Backbone	Side-chain	ALA	ARG	ASN	ASP	CYS	GLU	GLN	GLY	HIS	ILE	LEU	LYS	MET	PHE	PRO	SER	THR	TRP	TYR	VAL
2JVD	95.8	99.6	93.2	100.0	100.0	91.7	-	-	98.7	91.1	100.0	-	100.0	100.0	90.8	100.0	75.0	-	95.8	100.0	-	92.9	100.0
2K57	95.9	99.6	93.2	100.0	95.8	100.0	95.8	-	100.0	98.2	100.0	-	100.0	100.0	95.3	-	65.6	100.0	100.0	97.8	-	78.6	100.0
2LX7	93.1	98.2	89.6	100.0	93.1	-	100.0	100.0	96.4	90.0	93.2	57.1	100.0	99.1	100.0	80.0	74.5	97.2	100.0	89.5	85.0	100.0	100.0
1YEZ	94.7	99.7	91.0	100.0	93.9	-	100.0	-	93.9	85.7	100.0	-	100.0	85.7	90.0	0.0	81.7	97.2	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	97.7
2K52	92.5	95.8	90.3	100.0	87.0	97.7	95.0	-	97.7	100.0	96.7	-	91.0	87.4	91.6	91.7	82.6	91.7	100.0	100.0	-	88.1	100.0
2JT1	89.2	92.8	86.7	100.0	90.0	87.5	100.0	-	92.5	86.7	100.0	80.0	92.9	85.7	80.9	100.0	-	87.5	75.9	94.4	95.0	92.9	84.1
2HEQ	87.5	95.0	82.0	95.0	96.9	97.0	96.8	100.0	95.5	-	85.4	61.3	100.0	91.7	92.9	-	50.0	95.0	87.5	100.0	55.0	71.1	92.7
2KD0	95.2	98.6	92.7	100.0	92.9	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	97.1	100.0	83.3	100.0	97.8	90.6	87.5	71.9	95.8	95.8	94.5	-	-	100.0
2LK2	90.8	97.5	86.1	100.0	83.1	91.7	96.8	100.0	87.0	92.2	83.3	91.3	98.2	89.7	85.0	96.0	90.5	97.9	89.3	96.4	86.5	95.1	100.0
MH04	92.9	98.8	88.7	98.6	86.4	100.0	100.0	-	92.4	84.5	100.0	-	97.1	97.1	95.2	80.8	85.7	96.7	90.0	80.0	80.0	96.4	97.7
2KZV	87.2	95.4	81.3	97.6	77.1	100.0	100.0	-	95.5	90.5	91.7	67.4	92.9	86.3	86.3	78.6	76.1	91.3	89.3	89.5	71.1	92.9	100.0
2L05	94.8	99.5	91.7	100.0	91.7	87.0	100.0	100.0	98.5	93.3	100.0	83.3	100.0	100.0	94.1	93.9	87.5	83.9	100.0	97.2	77.5	100.0	100.0
6FIP	88.6	98.5	81.8	100.0	78.1	100.0	90.9	-	100.0	96.4	100.0	0.0	96.9	92.9	86.3	84.6	72.4	95.8	93.8	100.0	70.0	61.5	100.0
2KPN	92.2	96.7	88.6	97.1	81.3	63.6	97.2	-	96.0	86.7	92.3	90.9	100.0	95.2	82.4	52.4	81.3	100.0	90.6	98.3	-	89.7	98.7
2KOM	91.7	95.3	89.1	94.6	96.4	100.0	97.9	68.8	97.3	100.0	97.6	72.7	97.1	94.0	95.7	92.3	63.0	95.7	89.2	100.0	57.9	87.5	98.7
2K5V	94.2	98.9	90.8	97.1	90.6	90.0	100.0	-	91.9	86.7	98.3	-	92.0	93.7	93.6	79.2	85.4	100.0	97.2	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
2KOB	81.8	87.4	77.8	100.0	72.5	85.3	85.4	87.5	83.1	73.3	66.7	25.0	100.0	84.2	76.2	0.0	56.7	91.7	71.3	88.9	95.0	71.4	100.0
2KHD	90.6	96.9	85.6	100.0	73.7	83.3	100.0	100.0	86.7	92.0	97.1	66.7	98.5	90.5	90.2	-	66.2	100.0	96.7	100.0	-	100.0	99.0
2MK2	94.0	99.2	90.0	100.0	87.7	100.0	100.0	100.0	98.2	94.0	97.9	76.2	96.4	95.1	100.0	81.8	95.7	97.9	90.4	100.0	80.0	87.5	97.9
2K50	92.3	98.0	88.3	100.0	94.3	100.0	100.0	-	96.6	-	96.7	80.6	98.2	91.1	95.1	63.4	87.1	65.0	93.9	91.7	90.0	-	97.7
2KIW	85.5	96.3	78.2	95.2	92.8	87.5	93.8	-	92.7	90.0	100.0	67.7	98.4	83.3	69.6	68.0	69.4	75.0	92.5	82.2	68.4	94.0	94.9
1WQU	90.5	98.6	84.9	100.0	93.4	100.0	100.0	-	95.5	87.8	95.0	86.1	98.6	80.5	77.9	-	75.0	96.9	95.2	100.0	97.5	95.2	97.9
2KL6	94.1	98.1	91.3	100.0	84.4	92.4	100.0	-	93.0	86.7	97.9	83.3	96.4	92.9	97.5	85.7	72.9	100.0	100.0	97.8	89.7	87.7	98.5
6GT7	85.1	96.7	78.1	100.0	90.6	58.8	95.5	100.0	76.6	100.0	86.7	90.0	94.2	88.7	77.0	100.0	76.2	79.2	94.4	83.3	64.6	88.2	94.9
2K5D	94.8	98.5	92.1	100.0	90.4	89.6	96.6	-	100.0	93.3	97.2	-	100.0	94.4	91.6	96.4	81.3	100.0	95.8	98.4	60.0	96.5	98.0
2KVO	91.3	96.7	87.3	100.0	77.0	93.6	92.5	-	96.1	90.5	93.3	75.0	100.0	89.8	90.4	94.0	75.5	79.2	96.9	96.9	90.0	90.5	98.5
2KCD	81.2	86.7	77.6	100.0	95.2	75.9	100.0	50.0	77.0	81.2	91.3	67.5	99.2	72.7	83.5	92.0	60.7	83.3	96.9	94.9	55.0	68.0	100.0
2L7Q	86.8	94.5	81.5	100.0	64.1	82.3	96.3	-	93.2	69.2	97.9	50.0	96.4	84.5	89.7	68.0	85.5	88.3	93.0	97.3	92.5	82.9	94.5
2KFP	86.2	96.8	79.6	97.3	68.2	91.2	100.0	-	89.6	90.5	94.4	81.0	98.4	90.1	75.1	95.8	82.1	76.8	93.8	86.2	86.0	74.3	96.9
2L3B	88.8	94.0	85.0	90.5	79.3	61.1	90.9	50.0	81.8	80.6	97.6	86.7	94.6	93.3	93.1	78.6	90.0	88.1	90.3	97.8	-	90.0	98.5
1VEE	92.9	98.0	89.1	100.0	93.5	100.0	100.0	-	98.5	100.0	93.4	-	100.0	98.0	84.6	-	76.7	96.5	85.8	95.3	97.5	83.9	100.0
2K1G	89.7	95.4	85.6	100.0	92.4	87.0	97.5	25.0	90.9	86.4	92.2	70.8	97.6	85.7	95.8	63.3	72.7	100.0	91.3	98.0	82.5	90.0	100.0
2KKZ	89.7	95.2	86.0	91.5	86.9	84.3	100.0	100.0	90.9	88.9	88.7	61.5	98.9	90.3	88.2	67.1	84.0	83.0	93.7	100.0	80.0	100.0	100.0
1VDY	90.2	95.5	86.4	100.0	91.9	84.6	100.0	100.0	78.5	100.0	71.7	95.2	99.1	84.3	90.1	84.6	78.9	96.6	87.3	93.1	100.0	92.9	100.0
2KKL	82.3	90.2	76.3	95.2	66.4	83.3	76.1	-	84.4	78.0	90.8	44.9	97.6	81.8	96.1	-	66.1	90.1	86.3	89.4	-	78.6	95.1
2L8V	82.2	93.1	74.6	97.9	77.8	83.3	98.4	-	83.6	70.0	96.7	88.9	92.9	76.8	74.6	-	65.3	89.4	72.3	97.2	89.5	72.1	84.7
2LGH	90.5	96.2	86.1	98.2	79.3	58.8	100.0	100.0	98.3	93.3	97.2	74.1	85.5	100.0	96.0	94.1	80.9	100.0	98.4	97.8	73.8	78.6	100.0
2M4F	85.1	91.1	80.4	96.4	100.0	90.7	93.8	-	87.2	46.2	97.6	44.4	93.9	98.2	78.9	76.9	70.3	-	76.8	94.4	70.0	65.4	99.1
2JXP	89.5	93.9	86.1	97.6	86.7	100.0	100.0	-	72.7	89.9	82.9	64.3	98.1	89.3	89.6	77.8	87.5	86.1	92.5	100.0	-	88.1	99.2
2LAK	84.2	91.7	78.6	93.7	79.0	45.5	100.0	100.0	82.2	92.0	91.5	72.9	100.0	90.2	94.1	77.8	65.4	81.2	92.4	85.5	63.2	62.7	93.6
2M47	84.6	91.6	79.0	98.2	83.0	93.8	74.1	100.0	84.7	79.5	91.2	66.7	85.6	89.2	96.1	53.8	86.7	76.1	80.3	92.9	58.1	95.8	85.8
2K3A	86.8	94.2	80.0	89.5	87.5	84.8	96.9	87.5	87.9	69.2	83.0	63.6	88.1	90.8	63.3	76.9	87.5	91.7	89.5	88.6	90.0	91.7	98.3
2B3W	82.2	89.6	77.4	96.2	79.4	80.7	94.5	-	83.1	82.6	94.1	61.4	98.8	88.6	81.9	74.2	81.1	94.3	83.0	57.7	65.4	52.6	96.5
KRAS4B	85.2	97.7	77.5	96.3	76.2	85.0	95.2	85.7	88.5	90.3	96.4	78.4	81.6	80.6	78.7	80.0	74.3	70.7	81.5	85.6	-	89.8	96.0
2LF2	89.1	96.6	83.5	96.9	71.4	90.3	98.9	87.5	96.8	93.3	95.4	81.0	98.4	90.6	77.2	85.0	85.8	96.7	93.0	95.4	69.3	88.5	100.0
Median	89.7	96.6	86.0	100.0	87.0	90.0	98.7	100.0	92.7	90.0	96.4	72.9	98.2	90.3	90.1	80.4	76.5	94.3	92.5	96.9	80.0	89.7	98.7

Supplementary Table 14 Accuracy of automated chemical shift assignment using all except NOESY-type input spectra. Chemical shift assignment accuracy (%) is reported for the 45 proteins in the automated chemical shift assignment experiment (see Results section).

Protein	All shifts	Backbone	Side-chain	ALA	ARG	ASN	ASP	CYS	GLU	GLN	GLY	HIS	ILE	LEU	LYS	MET	PHE	PRO	SER	THR	TRP	TYR	VAL
2JVD	91.4	100.0	85.4	100.0	100.0	70.8	-	-	100.0	80.0	100.0	-	100.0	100.0	83.2	100.0	66.7	-	95.8	77.8	-	80.0	100.0
2K57	92.5	98.9	88.0	100.0	89.6	84.2	95.8	-	100.0	87.7	100.0	-	100.0	100.0	95.3	-	53.1	100.0	100.0	97.8	-	60.7	100.0
2LX7	85.8	93.8	80.4	100.0	93.1	-	100.0	87.5	83.6	65.0	84.7	85.7	100.0	99.1	100.0	100.0	57.4	94.4	100.0	89.5	55.0	85.2	100.0
1YEZ	92.3	98.7	87.5	100.0	92.7	-	100.0	-	87.8	78.6	100.0	-	100.0	85.7	90.0	100.0	69.0	97.2	95.8	100.0	-	100.0	97.7
2K52	85.4	94.1	79.7	85.7	84.8	77.3	85.0	-	96.6	67.9	86.7	-	99.1	69.5	96.4	83.3	63.0	79.2	100.0	100.0	-	61.9	100.0
2JT1	75.6	99.1	58.6	100.0	61.7	75.0	100.0	-	79.2	65.0	100.0	80.0	73.8	70.5	63.2	90.9	-	79.2	79.3	88.9	50.0	80.0	78.4
2HEQ	75.1	87.0	66.2	87.5	75.0	63.6	87.1	100.0	71.2	-	64.6	35.5	92.9	82.3	76.5	-	66.7	86.7	87.5	88.6	40.0	76.8	92.7
2KD0	92.9	97.5	89.5	100.0	92.9	90.9	100.0	100.0	100.0	91.4	90.5	66.7	100.0	92.8	91.2	95.8	62.5	95.8	94.4	94.5	-	-	100.0
2LK2	84.0	96.1	75.6	100.0	74.2	68.8	93.5	100.0	83.3	77.8	88.9	84.8	98.2	86.8	80.0	72.0	85.7	97.9	92.9	96.4	67.6	75.6	100.0
MH04	87.3	98.6	79.1	94.3	80.0	84.8	100.0	-	97.0	86.9	100.0	-	98.6	82.9	94.0	76.9	63.3	88.3	93.3	88.0	45.0	80.0	98.9
2KZV	77.0	91.0	66.9	97.6	63.5	75.8	89.1	-	90.9	52.4	83.3	69.6	89.3	66.1	79.4	92.9	60.9	95.7	81.3	78.9	57.9	78.6	93.2
2I05	81.8	95.5	73.1	100.0	82.3	60.9	100.0	100.0	90.9	53.3	86.7	66.7	97.1	87.3	77.3	87.9	75.0	46.2	91.7	100.0	55.0	78.6	100.0
6FIP	85.7	99.4	76.2	100.0	75.0	100.0	89.1	-	87.3	91.1	100.0	11.1	91.8	92.9	82.9	85.9	81.5	94.4	100.0	100.0	35.0	88.9	95.5
2KPN	87.9	96.3	81.3	97.1	87.5	86.4	95.8	-	87.9	66.7	94.9	68.2	100.0	89.3	75.9	61.9	58.3	89.6	87.5	96.6	-	76.2	97.4
2K0M	82.5	91.1	76.3	95.9	77.5	86.4	97.9	56.3	85.1	82.1	95.2	50.0	95.7	81.0	92.2	84.6	47.1	95.7	89.2	100.0	15.8	78.6	96.0
2K5V	89.5	98.5	83.1	97.1	81.3	75.0	100.0	-	88.9	66.7	93.3	-	91.1	97.6	90.9	87.5	64.6	100.0	97.2	100.0	60.0	73.2	100.0
2KOB	74.5	85.6	66.3	100.0	81.3	50.5	93.8	12.5	80.0	73.3	66.7	31.3	87.8	82.0	75.5	0.0	54.5	70.8	72.5	96.3	30.0	52.5	100.0
2KHD	83.0	92.5	75.4	100.0	71.9	69.4	100.0	93.8	80.6	80.0	88.6	62.5	58.2	88.9	89.2	-	54.9	100.0	93.3	100.0	-	69.0	96.0
2MK2	85.0	98.7	74.5	100.0	76.2	72.7	97.5	75.0	90.9	73.5	93.8	69.0	89.3	86.9	100.0	72.7	74.5	97.9	78.8	85.2	70.0	75.0	94.8
2K50	85.6	95.6	78.4	100.0	87.9	75.8	89.1	-	88.8	-	93.3	58.1	97.3	93.8	93.7	48.8	69.6	48.3	93.9	91.7	40.0	-	100.0
2KIW	78.3	95.2	67.0	95.2	90.4	66.7	93.8	-	87.3	70.0	100.0	51.6	92.1	85.7	62.9	64.0	61.3	77.8	83.6	77.8	73.7	76.2	89.9
1WQU	83.4	97.9	73.6	100.0	92.1	81.8	100.0	-	87.5	72.4	90.0	81.9	97.1	78.8	64.7	-	50.0	94.8	94.0	100.0	80.0	54.8	91.8
2KL6	85.9	95.5	79.2	100.0	53.1	73.7	90.6	-	85.2	66.7	97.9	83.3	98.2	94.6	86.6	95.2	45.8	98.3	100.0	95.6	46.2	67.9	98.0
6GT7	61.0	95.4	40.0	90.9	59.4	52.9	81.8	76.9	58.9	50.0	60.0	60.0	62.5	59.4	51.5	63.6	57.1	58.3	83.3	72.2	62.5	52.9	65.3
2K5D	88.0	96.4	81.8	100.0	86.5	68.8	90.9	-	96.6	66.7	88.9	-	100.0	86.5	88.2	64.3	68.8	97.2	95.8	100.0	75.0	63.2	98.0
2KV0	84.4	95.2	76.5	100.0	62.1	76.6	92.5	-	91.5	69.0	91.7	50.0	100.0	89.8	87.5	68.0	64.3	77.1	93.8	96.9	70.0	57.1	98.5
2KCD	76.3	85.6	70.1	100.0	81.0	60.2	88.9	62.5	86.2	61.4	91.3	65.0	99.2	67.4	80.0	100.0	50.0	80.0	93.8	94.9	60.0	61.6	100.0
2L7Q	61.1	72.9	52.7	95.2	34.4	49.4	86.3	-	66.7	32.4	91.7	50.0	81.0	64.3	62.9	68.0	43.1	86.7	83.7	78.4	5.0	25.9	96.4
2KFP	75.3	91.1	65.4	94.6	56.5	59.6	90.0	-	90.9	64.9	97.2	81.0	98.4	91.4	61.7	87.5	53.6	67.4	87.5	82.8	43.9	50.0	95.3
2L3B	68.7	83.1	57.8	90.5	51.2	50.0	78.4	25.0	69.1	46.8	92.9	53.3	94.6	59.3	70.3	71.4	68.6	78.6	83.3	97.8	-	53.0	83.1
1VEE	84.4	96.4	75.3	100.0	87.0	77.3	98.6	-	93.9	85.7	86.8	-	100.0	93.4	72.8	-	68.5	91.8	80.0	90.6	55.0	58.9	100.0
2K1G	82.6	94.6	74.1	100.0	86.4	72.5	100.0	0.0	83.1	72.7	79.2	70.8	97.6	85.7	92.4	67.3	61.0	100.0	82.6	92.0	40.0	73.8	100.0
2KKZ	71.2	83.5	62.8	83.0	76.2	60.2	78.6	100.0	70.2	75.6	79.2	30.8	81.1	61.0	71.6	52.9	59.6	50.0	82.5	79.2	70.0	85.7	97.4
1VDY	81.4	91.8	73.8	100.0	65.4	53.8	100.0	100.0	76.9	82.1	63.0	76.2	98.2	87.9	78.7	84.6	47.4	91.5	80.0	93.1	100.0	82.1	99.1
2KKL	57.8	69.4	49.0	86.7	32.9	57.1	67.0	-	72.5	49.2	80.3	30.6	50.0	48.3	88.2	-	34.7	64.8	64.4	51.1	-	100.0	64.0
2L8V	73.5	89.5	61.9	93.8	65.7	70.0	85.9	-	84.9	50.0	86.7	72.2	78.6	74.0	74.6	-	65.4	74.5	58.5	97.2	50.0	59.3	82.3
2LGH	82.0	92.6	73.7	84.7	74.1	50.0	93.1	100.0	89.2	75.2	93.1	67.2	84.3	98.1	94.0	85.3	61.8	100.0	96.9	95.5	47.6	92.9	99.1
2M4F	84.5	94.2	76.9	96.4	93.8	72.9	93.8	-	82.0	69.2	96.4	61.1	96.6	95.5	76.8	76.9	69.2	-	89.3	97.8	80.0	62.8	96.4
2JXP	79.3	86.7	73.4	96.0	78.8	63.6	80.0	-	54.0	66.1	74.3	75.0	91.6	79.4	84.3	55.6	68.8	86.1	78.8	100.0	-	71.4	95.9
2LAK	78.0	89.1	69.7	90.1	69.8	18.2	97.3	100.0	77.8	84.0	89.6	55.7	100.0	93.8	94.1	61.1	53.3	77.9	93.9	89.1	40.4	62.2	76.4
2M47	76.4	86.7	68.3	98.2	74.1	77.1	74.1	79.2	77.3	66.7	93.0	50.0	76.0	88.3	94.2	69.2	62.7	55.7	73.2	86.6	39.0	75.0	89.4
2K3A	82.8	94.5	72.1	91.0	87.5	73.3	100.0	87.5	83.8	73.1	83.0	70.5	84.5	93.9	95.9	84.6	6.3	91.7	82.4	86.2	75.0	67.8	98.3
2B3W	76.6	92.3	66.3	97.1	68.9	68.4	90.9	-	87.7	75.7	92.2	61.4	100.0	85.0	77.8	71.0	57.9	54.3	87.2	73.1	48.7	48.7	96.5
KRAS4B	66.9	89.7	55.4	92.6	52.3	55.0	76.2	95.2	73.8	54.0	96.4	70.3	59.2	77.1	55.1	80.0	60.8	31.7	57.4	73.3	-	48.1	89.3
2LF2	78.7	87.7	70.5	95.9	64.8	66.7	70.5	25.0	92.5	70.0	92.3	57.1	95.6	86.2	72.4	70.0	70.8	58.3	82.5	92.7	49.1	71.2	97.4
Median	82.5	94.2	73.7	97.6	76.2	70.0	93.3	87.5	86.2	70.0	91.7	65.0	96.6	86.5	82.9	76.9	61.6	86.7	87.5	93.1	52.5	71.4	97.4

Supplementary Movie 1 Visualization of 100 automatically determined protein structures (blue) along with their PDB depositions (orange). The displayed proteins are sorted by their RMSD to PDB reference in ascending order.

Supplementary Movie 2 Visualization of automated visual spectrum analysis. The movie presents sequentially all planes from a challenging ^{13}C -resolved $[\text{}^1\text{H}, \text{}^1\text{H}]$ -NOESY spectrum of the 20 kDa protein 2B3W. All planes were annotated automatically by ARTINA within 5 minutes and without any human involvement in the process. Model confidence about a signal being a true peak is presented by color-coding, according to the legend in the upper right corner of the movie.

Supplementary Movie 3 Visualization of the ability of automated visual spectrum analysis to handle strong background artefacts. The movie presents sequentially all planes from a noisy ^{13}C -resolved $[\text{}^1\text{H}, \text{}^1\text{H}]$ -NOESY spectrum of the 7 kDa protein 6SOW with only 20% ^{13}C labeling. All planes were annotated automatically by ARTINA within 5 min and without any human involvement in the process. The model confidence about a signal being a true peak is presented by color-coding, according to the legend in the upper right corner of the movie.

Supplementary Movie 4 Visualization of automated visual spectrum analysis. The movie presents sequentially all planes from an HCCH-TOCSY spectrum, which has been acquired on the 16 kDa protein 2LGH. All planes have been annotated automatically by ARTINA without any human involvement in the process. The spectrum analysis time was under 5 minutes. The model confidence about a signal being a true peak is presented by color-coding according to the legend in the upper right corner of the movie.

Supplementary Movie 5 Video tutorial presenting all steps of automated protein structure determination with ARTINA as available on the nmrtist.org website.