

Numerical Approximation for Stochastic Nonlinear Fractional Diffusion Equation Driven by Rough Noise

Daxin Nie · Jing Sun · Weihua Deng

Received: date / Accepted: date

Abstract In this work, we are interested in building the fully discrete scheme for stochastic fractional diffusion equation driven by fractional Brownian sheet which is temporally and spatially fractional with Hurst parameters $H_1, H_2 \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$. We first provide the regularity of the solution. Then we employ the Wong-Zakai approximation to regularize the rough noise and discuss the convergence of the approximation. Next, the finite element and backward Euler convolution quadrature methods are used to discretize spatial and temporal operators for the obtained regularized equation, and the detailed error analyses are developed. Finally, some numerical examples are presented to confirm the theory.

Keywords stochastic fractional diffusion equation · fractional Brownian sheet · Wong-Zakai approximation · finite element method · convolution quadrature · error analysis

This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 12071195, AI and Big Data Funds under Grant No. 2019620005000775, and Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities under Grant Nos. lzujbky-2021-it26 and lzujbky-2021-kb15.

Daxin Nie
School of Mathematics and Statistics, Gansu Key Laboratory of Applied Mathematics and Complex Systems, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, P.R. China
E-mail: ndx1993@163.com

Jing Sun
School of Mathematics and Statistics, Gansu Key Laboratory of Applied Mathematics and Complex Systems, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, P.R. China
E-mail: js@lzu.edu.cn

Weihua Deng
School of Mathematics and Statistics, Gansu Key Laboratory of Applied Mathematics and Complex Systems, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, P.R. China
E-mail: dengwh@lzu.edu.cn

1 Introduction

The Brownian motion subordinated by inverse α -stable Lévy process is a powerful model for describing the subdiffusion phenomena [12]. In this paper, we are concerned with the Fokker-Planck equation (governing the probability density function of the subordinated Brownian motion) with nonlinear source term and external noises, i.e., we present and analyze the fully discrete scheme for the following stochastic nonlinear fractional diffusion equation driven by fractional Brownian sheet noise

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u(x, t) + {}_0\partial_t^{1-\alpha} Au(x, t) = f(u) + \beta \xi^{H_1, H_2}(x, t) & (x, t) \in D \times (0, T], \\ u(x, 0) = 0 & x \in D, \\ u(x, t) = 0 & (x, t) \in \partial D \times (0, T], \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where $A = -\Delta$ with a zero Dirichlet boundary condition; ${}_0\partial_t^{1-\alpha}$ with $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ is the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative defined by [26]

$${}_0\partial_t^{1-\alpha} u = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_0^t (t - \xi)^{\alpha-1} u(\xi) d\xi;$$

$f(u)$ is a nonlinear term satisfying the following assumptions

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(u)\|_{L^2(D)} &\leq C(1 + \|u\|_{L^2(D)}), \\ \|f(u) - f(v)\|_{L^2(D)} &\leq C\|u - v\|_{L^2(D)} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

with C being a positive constant; β is a non-zero constant, and without loss of generality, we take $\beta = 1$ in our analyses; ξ^{H_1, H_2} is defined by

$$\xi^{H_1, H_2}(x, t) = \frac{\partial^2 W^{H_1, H_2}(x, t)}{\partial x \partial t} \quad (3)$$

with $W^{H_1, H_2}(x, t)$ being a fractional Brownian sheet on a stochastic basis $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}, \mathbb{P})$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} [W^{H_1, H_2}(x, t) W^{H_1, H_2}(y, s)] \\ &= \frac{x^{2H_1} + y^{2H_1} - |x - y|^{2H_1}}{2} \times \frac{t^{2H_2} + s^{2H_2} - |t - s|^{2H_2}}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $(x, t), (y, s) \in D \times [0, T]$.

Here $D = (0, l)$ with l being a bounded constant and $H_1, H_2 \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ are Hurst parameters.

As we all know, fractional noises exist widely in the natural world, such as flows in porous media, the rough Hamiltonian systems, water flows in hydrology and so on [6, 11]. In recent years, there have been many discussions about numerically solving stochastic partial differential equations driven by fractional Brownian motion with Hurst parameter $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ (it can be called as ‘‘smoother noise’’) [4, 25, 29, 30]. But for the case $H \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ (called as ‘‘rough

noise”), the existing discussions seem to be few. In [10], the authors propose numerical analyses about the second-order stochastic differential equation driven by spatial fractional Gaussian noise with $H \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$; the reference [23] uses the equivalence of different fractional Sobolev spaces and the assumption $\tau < \tau^*$ (τ^* depends on the spatial discretization) to provide a unified strong convergence analysis for fractional stochastic partial differential equation driven by fractional cylinder noise with $H \in (0, 1)$; in [9], the authors propose the regularity estimates and the corresponding numerical analyses about the stochastic evolution equation driven by fractional Brownian sheet with $H_1 \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ and $H_2 = \frac{1}{2}$.

In this paper, we focus on the fractional diffusion equation driven by fractional Brownian sheet with Hurst parameters $H_1, H_2 \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ numerically, which are both rough in the temporal and spatial directions. Firstly, with the help of the obtained new estimate about stochastic integral with respect to ξ^{H_1, H_2} (for the details, see Theorem 1), we provide the regularity estimate of the solution, i.e.,

$$\mathbb{E} \|A^\sigma u(t)\|_{L^2(D)}^2 \leq C, \quad 2\sigma \in \left[0, \min \left\{ \frac{2H_2}{\alpha} + H_1 - 1, 2H_1 + 1 \right\} \right),$$

and

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{u(t) - u(t - \tau)}{\tau^\gamma} \right\|_{L^2(D)}^2 \leq C, \quad \gamma \in [0, 2H_2 + (H_1 - 1)\alpha).$$

Then the Wong-Zakai approximation [16,17] is used to regularize the fractional Brownian sheet noise ξ^{H_1, H_2} . The existing discussions [9,10,21] rely on the Green function of Eq. (1) composed of Mittag-Leffler function [27], making the convergence analysis of the Wong-Zakai approximation complicated. So, in this paper, a new approach based on approximation theory, operator theory, and the equivalence of different Sobolev spaces is built and an $\mathcal{O}(h^{\frac{4H_2}{\alpha} + 2H_1 - 2 - \epsilon} + \tau^{2H_2 - \frac{\alpha}{2} - \epsilon} h^{2H_1 - 1})$ convergence rate of Wong-Zakai approximation is obtained. Next, we use the finite element method and backward Euler convolution quadrature method to build the fully discrete scheme of Eq. (1) and introduce some new techniques to obtain an $\mathcal{O}(\tau^{2H_2 + (H_1 - 1)\alpha - \epsilon})$ convergence rates in time without assuming $\tau < \tau^*$.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we first provide some properties about stochastic integral with respect to ξ^{H_1, H_2} , and then discuss the regularity of the solution. Next, we consider the Wong-Zakai approximation of Eq. (1) and discuss its convergence in Section 3. In Section 4, we construct the numerical scheme by finite element method and backward Euler convolution quadrature method, and provide the complete error estimates. In Section 5, a variety of numerical experiments are provided to verify the proposed theoretical results. At last, we conclude the paper with some discussions. Throughout the paper, C denotes a positive constant, whose value may vary from line to line, $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the operator norms from $L^2(D)$ to $L^2(D)$, $\epsilon, \epsilon_0 > 0$ are arbitrarily small quantities, and \mathbb{E} denotes the expectation.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we begin by discussing the properties of stochastic integrals with respect to fractional Brownian sheet noise. Also, the regularity of the solution is provided.

2.1 Some properties of stochastic integrals with respect to fractional Brownian sheet noise

Let $\{\lambda_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{\phi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be the non-decreasing eigenvalues and L_2 -norm normalized eigenfunctions of operator A with a zero Dirichlet boundary condition, respectively. According to [19, 20], the eigenvalues $\{\lambda_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ satisfy the following lemma.

Lemma 1 ([19, 20]) *Let D be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^d ($d = 1, 2, 3$) and λ_k the k -th eigenvalue of the Dirichlet boundary problem for the Laplace operator $A = -\Delta$ in D . Then, for all $k \geq 1$,*

$$\lambda_k \geq \frac{C_d d}{d+2} k^{2/d} |D|^{-2/d},$$

where $C_d = (2\pi)^2 B_d^{-2/d}$, $|D|$ is the volume of D , and B_d means the volume of the unit d -dimensional ball.

Then we present some fractional Sobolev spaces, which can refer to [1, 2, 7, 13]. Introduce the operator A^q with $q \in [0, 1]$ as

$$A^q u = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^{q/2} (u, \phi_k) \phi_k$$

and define $\hat{H}^{2q}(D) = \mathbb{D}(A^q)$ with norm $\|u\|_{\hat{H}^{2q}(D)} = \|A^q u\|_{L^2(D)}$. Here $\mathbb{D}(A^q)$ denotes the domain of A^q . It is easy to verify

$$\hat{H}^0(D) = L^2(D), \quad \hat{H}^1(D) = H_0^1(D).$$

For $s \in (0, 1)$, we define the fractional Sobolev space $H^s(D)$ by

$$H^s(D) = \left\{ u \in L^2(D) : |u|_{H^s(D)}^2 = \int_D \int_D \frac{(u(x) - u(y))^2}{|x - y|^{1+2s}} dx dy < \infty \right\},$$

and its norm can be written as $\|\cdot\|_{H^s(D)} = \|\cdot\|_{L^2(D)} + |\cdot|_{H^s(D)}$.

Remark 1 According to [15], the semi-norm of $H^s(D)$ can also be defined by

$$|u|_{H^s(D)} = \|{}_0\partial_x^s u\|_{L^2(D)},$$

and combining the fractional Poincaré inequality [13, 15], we can also define the norm of $H^s(D)$ by

$$\|u\|_{H^s(D)} = \|{}_0\partial_x^s u\|_{L^2(D)},$$

where ${}_0\partial_x^s u$ is the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative.

Moreover, for $s \in (0, 1)$, another type of fractional Sobolev space [1, 2, 7] that we will use can be defined by

$$H_0^s(D) = \{u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}), u = 0 \text{ in } D^c\}$$

with the norm

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{H_0^s(D)}^2 &= \|u\|_{L^2(D)}^2 + |u|_{H_0^s(D)}^2 \\ &= \|u\|_{L^2(D)}^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))^2}{|x - y|^{1+2s}} dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2 It is well-known that $H^s(D) = H_0^s(D)$ for $s \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$; see [1, 7]. From [7], we have $\hat{H}^s(D) = H_0^s(D)$ for $s \in [0, \frac{3}{2})$.

For fractional Brownian sheet noise, we have the following Itô isometry

Lemma 2 ([5]) *Let $g_1(x, t) = g_{1,1}(x)g_{1,2}(t)$ and $g_2(x, t) = g_{2,1}(x)g_{2,2}(t)$ satisfying $g_{1,1}(x), g_{2,1}(x) \in H_0^{\frac{1-2H_1}{2}}(D)$ and $g_{1,2}(t), g_{2,2}(t) \in H_0^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}}((0, T))$. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T \int_D g_1(x, t) \xi^{H_1, H_2}(dx, dt) \int_0^T \int_D g_2(x, t) \xi^{H_1, H_2}(dx, dt) \right) \\ = (\mathcal{L}_{H_2, t} g_{1,2}(t), g_{2,2}(t))_{\mathbb{R}} (\mathcal{L}_{H_1, x} g_{1,1}(x), g_{2,1}(x))_{\mathbb{R}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_{H_1, x} u(x) = \begin{cases} 2C_{H_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^{2-2H_1}} dy & H_1 \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right), \\ u(x) & H_1 = \frac{1}{2}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_{H_2, t} u(t) = \begin{cases} 2C_{H_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{u(t) - u(r)}{|t - r|^{2-2H_2}} dr & H_2 \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right), \\ u(t) & H_2 = \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Here $C_{H_i} = \frac{1}{2}H_i(1 - 2H_i)$, $i = 1, 2$.

Moreover, we can obtain

Theorem 1 *Let $g_1(x, t) = g_{1,1}(x)g_{1,2}(t)$ and $g_2(x, t) = g_{2,1}(x)g_{2,2}(t)$ satisfying $g_{1,1}(x), g_{2,1}(x) \in H_0^{\frac{1-2H_1}{2}}(D)$ and $g_{1,2}(t), g_{2,2}(t) \in H_0^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}}((0, T))$. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T \int_D g_1(x, t) \xi^{H_1, H_2}(dx, dt) \int_0^T \int_D g_2(x, t) \xi^{H_1, H_2}(dx, dt) \right) \\ \leq C \left\| \partial_t^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} g_{1,2}(t) \right\|_{L^2((0, T))} \left\| \partial_t^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} g_{2,2}(t) \right\|_{L^2((0, T))} \\ \cdot \left\| g_{1,1}(x) \right\|_{H_0^{\frac{1-2H_1}{2}}(D)} \left\| g_{2,1}(x) \right\|_{H_0^{\frac{1-2H_1}{2}}(D)}. \end{aligned}$$

Here ${}_0\partial_t^\alpha$ is the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative when $\alpha \in (0, 1)$; and when $\alpha = 0$, it denotes an identity operator.

Proof Here, we mainly prove the case that $H_1, H_2 \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. As for the other cases, the desired results can be obtained similarly.

According to Lemma 2 and the definition of $H_0^s(D)$, after simple calculations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T \int_D g_1(x, t) \xi^{H_1, H_2}(dx, dt) \int_0^T \int_D g_2(x, t) \xi^{H_1, H_2}(dx, dt) \right) \\ &= C_{H_1} C_{H_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(g_{1,1}(x) - g_{1,1}(y))(g_{2,1}(x) - g_{2,1}(y))}{|x - y|^{2-2H_1}} dx dy \\ & \quad \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(g_{1,2}(t) - g_{1,2}(s))(g_{2,2}(t) - g_{2,2}(s))}{|t - s|^{2-2H_2}} dt ds \\ & \leq C \|g_{1,2}(t)\|_{H_0^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}}((0, T))} \|g_{2,2}(t)\|_{H_0^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}}((0, T))} \\ & \quad \cdot \|g_{1,1}(x)\|_{H_0^{\frac{1-2H_1}{2}}(D)} \|g_{2,1}(x)\|_{H_0^{\frac{1-2H_1}{2}}(D)}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining further Remarks 1 and 2, one can arrive at the desired result.

2.2 Regularity of the solution

Before building the regularity of the solution of Eq. (1), we first give the presentation of the solution. Introduce $\mathcal{G}(t, x, y)$ as

$$\mathcal{G}(t, x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{G}_k(t, x, y), \quad (4)$$

where

$$\mathcal{G}_k(t, x, y) = E_k(t) \phi_k(x) \phi_k(y) \quad (5)$$

and

$$E_k(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi\mathbf{i}} \int_{\Gamma_{\theta, \kappa}} e^{zt} z^{\alpha-1} (z^\alpha + \lambda_k)^{-1} dz. \quad (6)$$

Here $\Gamma_{\theta, \kappa}$ is defined by

$$\Gamma_{\theta, \kappa} = \{r e^{-i\theta} : r \geq \kappa\} \cup \{\kappa e^{i\psi} : |\psi| \leq \theta\} \cup \{r e^{i\theta} : r \geq \kappa\},$$

where the circular arc is oriented counterclockwise and the two rays are oriented with an increasing imaginary part and $\mathbf{i}^2 = -1$.

Thus the solution of Eq. (1) can be written as

$$u(x, t) = \int_0^t \int_D \mathcal{G}(t-s, x, y) f(u) ds dy + \int_0^t \int_D \mathcal{G}(t-s, x, y) \xi^{H_1, H_2}(dy, ds). \quad (7)$$

For the convenience of analyzing, we introduce the operator \mathcal{R} , which is defined by the Laplace transform, i.e.,

$$\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(z) = z^{\alpha-1}(z^\alpha + A)^{-1}.$$

It is easy to verify

$$\mathcal{G}_k(t, x, y) = \mathcal{R}(t)\phi_k(x)\phi_k(y)$$

and

$$\mathcal{R}(t)u(x) = \int_D \mathcal{G}(t, x, y)u(y)dy.$$

So the solution can also be written as

$$u(t) = \int_0^t \mathcal{R}(t-s)f(u(s))ds + \int_0^t \int_D \mathcal{G}(t-s, x, y)\xi^{H_1, H_2}(dy, ds). \quad (8)$$

Theorem 2 *Let $u(t)$ be the solution of Eq. (1) and $f(u)$ satisfy the assumptions (2). Assume $2H_2 + (H_1 - 1)\alpha > 0$. Then there holds*

$$\mathbb{E}\|A^\sigma u(t)\|_{L^2(D)}^2 \leq C,$$

where $2\sigma \in [0, \min\{\frac{2H_2}{\alpha} + H_1 - 1, 2H_1 + 1\})$.

Proof According to (8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\|A^\sigma u(t)\|_{L^2(D)}^2 &\leq C\mathbb{E}\left\|\int_0^t A^\sigma \mathcal{R}(t-s)f(u)ds\right\|_{L^2(D)}^2 \\ &\quad + C\mathbb{E}\left\|\int_0^t \int_D A^\sigma \mathcal{G}(t-s, x, y)\xi^{H_1, H_2}(dy, ds)\right\|_{L^2(D)}^2 \\ &\leq I + II. \end{aligned}$$

Consider I first. Using the resolvent estimate $\|(z^\alpha + A)^{-1}\| \leq C|z|^{-\alpha}$ for $z \in \Sigma_\theta$ [22], the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, and the assumptions (2), we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I &\leq C\mathbb{E}\left\|\int_{\Gamma_{\theta, \kappa}} e^{zt} A^\sigma z^{\alpha-1}(z^\alpha + A)^{-1}\tilde{f}(u)dz\right\|_{L^2(D)}^2 \\ &\leq C\left(\int_0^t (t-s)^{-\sigma\alpha}\mathbb{E}\|f(u(s))\|_{L^2(D)}^2 ds\right)^2 \\ &\leq C\int_0^t (t-s)^{-2\sigma\alpha+1-\epsilon}\mathbb{E}\|f(u(s))\|_{L^2(D)}^2 ds \\ &\leq C\left(1 + \int_0^t (t-s)^{-2\sigma\alpha+1-\epsilon}\mathbb{E}\|u\|_{L^2(D)}^2 ds\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{f}(u)$ means the Laplace transform of $f(u)$ and $-2\sigma\alpha + 1 > -1$ needs to be satisfied, i.e., $\sigma < \frac{1}{\alpha}$.

According to Theorem 1 and the definition of \mathcal{G} , one has

$$\begin{aligned} II &\leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_0^t \left| {}_0\partial_t^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} \lambda_k^\sigma E_k(t) \right|^2 \|\phi_k(y)\|_{H_0^{\frac{1-2H_1}{2}}(D)}^2 \|\phi_k(x)\|_{L^2(D)}^2 dt \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_0^t \left| \int_{\Gamma_{\theta,\kappa}} e^{zt} z^{\alpha-1+\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} \lambda_k^\sigma (z^\alpha + \lambda_k)^{-1} dz \right|^2 \\ &\quad \cdot \|\phi_k(y)\|_{H_0^{\frac{1-2H_1}{2}}(D)}^2 \|\phi_k(x)\|_{L^2(D)}^2 dt. \end{aligned}$$

By the resolvent estimate, Remark 2, Lemma 1, and simple calculations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} II &\leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_0^t \left(\int_{\Gamma_{\theta,\kappa}} |e^{zt}| |z|^{\alpha-1+\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} |\lambda_k^{\sigma+\frac{1-2H_1}{4}} (z^\alpha + \lambda_k)^{-1}| |dz| \right)^2 dt \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^{-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon} \int_0^t \left(\int_{\Gamma_{\theta,\kappa}} |e^{zt}| |z|^{\alpha-1+\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} |\lambda_k^{\sigma+\frac{1-H_1}{2}+\epsilon} (z^\alpha + \lambda_k)^{-1}| |dz| \right)^2 dt \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^{-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon} \int_0^t \left(\int_{\Gamma_{\theta,\kappa}} |e^{zt}| |z|^{(\sigma+\frac{1-H_1}{2}+\epsilon)\alpha-1+\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} |dz| \right)^2 dt, \end{aligned}$$

where we need to require $(\sigma + \frac{1-H_1}{2} + \epsilon)\alpha - 1 + \frac{1-2H_2}{2} < -\frac{1}{2}$ and $\sigma + \frac{1-2H_1}{2} < 1$, i.e., $2\sigma < \min\{\frac{2H_2}{\alpha} + H_1 - 1, 2H_1 + 1\}$. Combining the Grönwall inequality [14], the desired results can be obtained.

Theorem 3 *Let $u(t)$ be the solution of Eq. (1) and $f(u)$ satisfy the assumptions (2). Assume $2H_2 + (H_1 - 1)\alpha > 0$. Then there is*

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{u(t) - u(t-\tau)}{\tau^\gamma} \right\|_{L^2(D)}^2 \leq C, \quad (9)$$

where $\gamma \in [0, 2H_2 + (H_1 - 1)\alpha)$.

Proof According to (8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{u(t) - u(t-\tau)}{\tau^\gamma} \right\|_{L^2(D)}^2 \\ &\leq C \mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{\tau^\gamma} \left(\int_0^t \mathcal{R}(t-s) f(u) ds - \int_0^{t-\tau} \mathcal{R}(t-\tau-s) f(u) ds \right) \right\|_{L^2(D)}^2 \\ &\quad + C \mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{\tau^\gamma} \left(\int_0^t \int_D \mathcal{G}(t-s, x, y) \xi^{H_1, H_2}(dy, ds) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \int_0^{t-\tau} \int_D \mathcal{G}(t-\tau-s, x, y) \xi^{H_1, H_2}(dy, ds) \right) \right\|_{L^2(D)}^2 \\ &\leq I + II. \end{aligned}$$

As for I , there holds

$$\begin{aligned} I &\leq C\mathbb{E}\left\|\frac{1}{\tau^\gamma}\int_0^{t-\tau}(\mathcal{R}(t-s)-\mathcal{R}(t-\tau-s))f(u)ds\right\|_{L^2(D)}^2 \\ &\quad + C\mathbb{E}\left\|\frac{1}{\tau^\gamma}\int_{t-\tau}^t\mathcal{R}(t-s)f(u)ds\right\|_{L^2(D)}^2 \\ &\leq I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

By the fact $|\frac{e^{z\tau}-1}{\tau^\gamma}| < C|z|^\gamma$ with $z \in \Gamma_{\theta,\kappa}$ and $\gamma \in [0, 1]$ [18] and Theorem 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq C\left(\int_0^{t-\tau}\left\|\int_{\Gamma_{\theta,\kappa}}e^{z(t-s-\tau)}\frac{e^{z\tau}-1}{\tau^\gamma}z^{\alpha-1}(z^\alpha+A)^{-1}dz\right\|\mathbb{E}\|f(u)\|_{L^2(D)}ds\right)^2 \\ &\leq C\left(\int_0^{t-\tau}(t-\tau-s)^{-\gamma}(1+\mathbb{E}\|u\|_{L^2(D)})dr\right)^2 \\ &\leq C, \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma \in [0, 1)$. Similarly, for $\gamma \in [0, 1)$, one can obtain

$$I_2 \leq C\tau^{1-2\gamma}\int_{t-\tau}^t\mathbb{E}\|f(u)\|_{L^2(D)}^2ds \leq C.$$

For II , we can split it into the following two parts

$$\begin{aligned} II &\leq C\mathbb{E}\left\|\frac{1}{\tau^\gamma}\left(\int_0^{t-\tau}\int_D(\mathcal{G}(t-s,x,y)-\mathcal{G}(t-\tau-s,x,y))\xi^{H_1,H_2}(dy,ds)\right)\right\|_{L^2(D)}^2 \\ &\quad + C\mathbb{E}\left\|\frac{1}{\tau^\gamma}\int_{t-\tau}^t\int_D\mathcal{G}(t-s,x,y)\xi^{H_1,H_2}(dy,ds)\right\|_{L^2(D)}^2 \leq II_1 + II_2. \end{aligned}$$

Using Theorem 1 and Lemma 1 yields

$$\begin{aligned} II_1 &\leq C\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\int_0^{t-\tau}\frac{1}{\tau^{2\gamma}}\left|{}_0\partial_t^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}}(E_k(t-s)-E_k(t-\tau-s))\right|^2\|\phi_k(y)\|_{H_0^{\frac{1-2H_1}{2}}(D)}^2ds \\ &\leq C\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\int_0^{t-\tau}\left|\int_{\Gamma_{\theta,\kappa}}e^{-z(t-\tau-s)}\frac{e^{z\tau}-1}{\tau^\gamma}z^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}}\lambda_k^{\frac{1-2H_1}{4}}z^{\alpha-1}(z^\alpha+\lambda_k)^{-1}dz\right|^2ds \\ &\leq C\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\lambda_k^{-1/2-\epsilon}\int_0^{t-\tau}\left(\int_{\Gamma_{\theta,\kappa}}|e^{-z(t-\tau-s)}||z|^{\gamma+\frac{1-2H_2}{2}+\frac{1-H_1}{2}\alpha-1+\frac{\alpha\epsilon}{2}}|dz|\right)^2ds \\ &\leq C\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\lambda_k^{-1/2-\epsilon}\int_0^{t-\tau}s^{(H_1-1)\alpha+2H_2-1-2\gamma-\alpha\epsilon}ds, \end{aligned}$$

where we need to require $2\gamma < 2H_2 + (H_1 - 1)\alpha$. Similarly, for II_2 , one has

$$\begin{aligned} II_2 &\leq C \frac{1}{\tau^{2\gamma}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{t-\tau}^t \left({}_0\partial_t^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} \lambda_k^{\frac{1-2H_1}{4}} E_k(t-s) \right)^2 ds \\ &\leq C \frac{1}{\tau^{2\gamma}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^{-1/2-\epsilon} \int_{t-\tau}^t \left(\int_{\Gamma_{\theta,\kappa}} |e^{-z(t-s)}| |z|^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2} + \frac{1-H_1}{2}\alpha - 1 + \frac{\alpha\epsilon}{2}} |dz| \right)^2 ds \\ &\leq C \frac{1}{\tau^{2\gamma}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^{-1/2-\epsilon} \int_0^\tau s^{(H_1-1)\alpha + 2H_2 - 1 - \alpha\epsilon} ds, \end{aligned}$$

where we need to require $2\gamma < 2H_2 + (H_1 - 1)\alpha$. Collecting the above estimates leads to the desired result.

3 Wong-Zakai Approximation

In this section, we use the Wong-Zakai approximation [16, 17] to regularize the fractional Brownian sheet noise and provide a systematic approach to prove the convergence of the Wong-Zakai approximation.

Here, we introduce the Wong-Zakai approximation first. Let $\tau = T/M$ and $h = l/N$. Denote $I_i = (t_i, t_{i+1}]$ and $D_j = (x_j, x_{j+1}]$ with $t_i = i\tau$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, M$) and $x_j = jh$ ($j = 0, 1, \dots, N$). The Wong-Zakai approximation of $\xi^{H_1, H_2}(x, t)$ can be written as

$$\xi_R^{H_1, H_2}(x, t) = \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \left(\frac{1}{\tau h} \int_{I_i} \int_{D_j} \xi^{H_1, H_2}(dy, ds) \right) \chi_{I_i \times D_j}(t, x), \quad (10)$$

where $\chi_{I_i \times D_j}(t, x)$ is the characteristic function on $I_i \times D_j$. Then we introduce $u_R(x, t)$ as the solution of the following regularized equation, i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u_R(x, t) + {}_0\partial_t^{1-\alpha} A u_R(x, t) = f(u_R) + \xi_R^{H_1, H_2}(x, t) & (x, t) \in D \times (0, T], \\ u_R(x, 0) = 0 & x \in D, \\ u_R(x, t) = 0 & (x, t) \in \partial D \times (0, T]. \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

Simple calculations lead to

$$u_R(x, t) = \int_0^t \mathcal{R}(t-s) f(u_R) ds + \int_0^t \int_D \mathcal{G}_R(t-s, x, y) \xi^{H_1, H_2}(dy, ds). \quad (12)$$

Here, $\mathcal{G}_R(t, x, y)$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_R(t, x, y) &= \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{\chi_{I_i \times D_j}(t, y)}{\tau h} \int_{I_i} \int_{D_j} \mathcal{G}(t, x, y) dt dy \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} E_{R,k}(t) \phi_k(x) \phi_{R,k}(y), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$E_{R,k}(t) = \frac{1}{\tau} \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} \chi_{I_i}(t) \int_{I_i} E_k(t) dt, \quad \phi_{R,k}(y) = \frac{1}{h} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \chi_{I_j}(y) \int_{D_j} \phi_k(y) dt.$$

Lemma 3 *Let $E_k(t)$ be defined in (6). For $k > 0$, $\sigma \in [0, 1]$, and $\gamma \geq 0$, if $\gamma + \sigma\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$, then there exists a uniform constant C such that*

$$\|\lambda_k^\sigma E_k(t)\|_{H^\gamma((0,T))} = \|{}_0\partial_t^\gamma \lambda_k^\sigma E_k(t)\|_{L^2((0,T))} \leq C. \quad (13)$$

Proof By the definition of $E_k(t)$ and the resolvent estimate [22], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|{}_0\partial_t^\gamma \lambda_k^\sigma E_k(t)\|_{L^2((0,T))}^2 &\leq C \int_0^T \left(\int_{\Gamma_{\theta,\kappa}} |e^{zt} \lambda_k^\sigma |z|^{\gamma+\alpha-1} |(z^\alpha + \lambda_k)|^{-1} |dz| \right)^2 dt \\ &\leq C \int_0^T \left(\int_{\Gamma_{\theta,\kappa}} |e^{zt}| |z|^{\gamma+\sigma\alpha-1} |dz| \right)^2 dt \\ &\leq C \int_0^T t^{-2\gamma-2\sigma\alpha} dt, \end{aligned}$$

where C is a positive constant independent of k . To preserve the boundedness of $\|{}_0\partial_t^\gamma \lambda_k^\sigma E_k(t)\|_{L^2((0,T))}^2$, we need to require $\gamma < \frac{1}{2} - \sigma\alpha$.

Theorem 4 *Let $u_R(t)$ be the solution of (11) and $f(u)$ satisfy the assumptions (2). Assume $2H_2 + (H_1 - 1)\alpha > 0$. Then we have*

$$\mathbb{E} \|A^\sigma u_R(t)\|_{L^2(D)}^2 \leq C,$$

where $2\sigma \in [0, \min\{\frac{2H_2}{\alpha} + H_1 - 1, 2H_1 + 1\})$.

Proof Here we split $\mathbb{E} \|A^\sigma u_R(t)\|_{L^2(D)}^2$ into two parts, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \|A^\sigma u_R(t)\|_{L^2(D)}^2 &\leq C \mathbb{E} \left\| \int_0^t A^\sigma \mathcal{R}(t-s) f(u_R) ds \right\|_{L^2(D)}^2 \\ &\quad + C \mathbb{E} \left\| \int_0^t \int_D A^\sigma \mathcal{G}_R(t-s, x, y) \xi^{H_1, H_2}(dy, ds) \right\|_{L^2(D)}^2 \\ &\leq I + II. \end{aligned}$$

Similar to the proof of Theorem 2, one has

$$I \leq C \left(1 + \int_0^t (t-s)^{-2\sigma\alpha+1-\epsilon} \mathbb{E} \|u_R\|_{L^2(D)}^2 ds \right). \quad (14)$$

Using the standard approximation theory [8] and $H_1, H_2 \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| {}_0\partial_t^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} E_{R,k}(t) \right\|_{L^2((0,T))}^2 &\leq C \left\| {}_0\partial_t^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} (E_{R,k}(t) - E_k(t)) \right\|_{L^2((0,T))}^2 \\ &\quad + C \left\| {}_0\partial_t^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} E_k(t) \right\|_{L^2((0,T))}^2 \\ &\leq C \left\| {}_0\partial_t^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} E_k(t) \right\|_{L^2((0,T))}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi_{R,k}(y)\|_{H_0^{\frac{1-2H_1}{2}}(D)}^2 &\leq C \|\phi_{R,k}(y) - \phi_k(y)\|_{H_0^{\frac{1-2H_1}{2}}(D)}^2 + C \|\phi_k(y)\|_{H_0^{\frac{1-2H_1}{2}}(D)}^2 \\ &\leq C \|\phi_k(y)\|_{H_0^{\frac{1-2H_1}{2}}(D)}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Thus, combining Theorem 1, one has

$$II \leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^{2\sigma} \left\| {}_0\partial_t^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} E_k(t) \right\|_{L^2((0,T))}^2 \|\phi_k(y)\|_{H_0^{\frac{1-2H_1}{2}}(D)}^2.$$

Similar to the proof of Theorem 2, we can get

$$II \leq C$$

with $2\sigma \in [0, \min\{\frac{2H_2}{\alpha} + H_1 - 1, 2H_1 + 1\})$. Combining above estimates and using Grönwall inequality [14, 24] lead to the desired results.

Theorem 5 *Let $u(t)$ and $u_R(t)$ be the solutions of Eqs. (1) and (11), respectively. Assume $2H_2 + (H_1 - 1)\alpha > 0$. Then there exists a constant C such that*

$$\mathbb{E}\|u(t) - u_R(t)\|_{L^2(D)}^2 \leq C(h^{2\sigma+2H_1-1} + \tau^{2H_2-\frac{\alpha}{2}-\epsilon} h^{2H_1-1}),$$

where $\sigma \in (\frac{1-2H_1}{2}, \min\{\frac{2H_2}{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}, 1 + \epsilon\})$.

Proof According to (8) and (12), one can get

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}\|u(t) - u_R(t)\|_{L^2(D)}^2 \\ &\leq C \mathbb{E} \left\| \int_0^t \mathcal{R}(t-s)(f(u) - f(u_R)) ds \right\|_{L^2(D)}^2 \\ &\quad + C \mathbb{E} \left\| \int_0^t \int_D (\mathcal{G}(t-s, x, y) - \mathcal{G}_R(t-s, x, y)) \xi^{H_1, H_2}(dy, ds) \right\|_{L^2(D)}^2 \\ &\leq I + II. \end{aligned}$$

Assumptions (2) and the resolvent estimate lead to

$$\begin{aligned}
I &\leq C \mathbb{E} \left\| \int_{\Gamma_{\theta, \kappa}} e^{zt} z^{\alpha-1} (z^\alpha + A)^{-1} (\tilde{f}(u) - \tilde{f}(u_R)) dz \right\|_{L^2(D)}^2 \\
&\leq C \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^t \int_{\Gamma_{\theta, \kappa}} |e^{z(t-s)}| \|z^{\alpha-1} (z^\alpha + A)^{-1}\| |dz| \|f(u(s)) - f(u_R(s))\|_{L^2(D)} ds \right)^2 \\
&\leq C \int_0^t \mathbb{E} \|f(u) - f(u_R)\|_{L^2(D)}^2 ds \\
&\leq C \int_0^t \mathbb{E} \|u - u_R\|_{L^2(D)}^2 ds.
\end{aligned}$$

As for II , using the following fact

$$\begin{aligned}
E_k(t)\phi_k(y) - E_{R,k}(t)\phi_{R,k}(y) &= (E_k(t)\phi_k(y) - E_k(t)\phi_{R,k}(y)) \\
&\quad + (E_k(t)\phi_{R,k}(y) - E_{R,k}(t)\phi_{R,k}(y))
\end{aligned}$$

and Theorem 1, one can get

$$\begin{aligned}
II &\leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|\partial_t^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} E_k(t)\|_{L^2((0,T))}^2 \|\phi_k(y) - \phi_{R,k}(y)\|_{H_0^{\frac{1-2H_1}{2}}(D)}^2 \\
&\quad + C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|\partial_t^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} (E_k(t) - E_{R,k}(t))\|_{L^2((0,T))}^2 \|\phi_{R,k}(y)\|_{H_0^{\frac{1-2H_1}{2}}(D)}^2 \\
&\leq II_1 + II_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining Lemma 3 and standard approximation theory [8], one has

$$\begin{aligned}
II_1 &\leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|\partial_t^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} E_k(t)\|_{L^2((0,T))}^2 \|\phi_k(y) - \phi_{R,k}(y)\|_{H_0^{\frac{1-2H_1}{2}}(D)}^2 \\
&\leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^{-\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} \|\partial_t^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} \lambda_k^{\frac{1+2\epsilon}{4}} E_k(t)\|_{L^2((0,T))}^2 \|\phi_k(y) - \phi_{R,k}(y)\|_{H_0^{\frac{1-2H_1}{2}}(D)}^2 \\
&\leq Ch^{2\sigma+2H_1-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^{-\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} \|\partial_t^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} \lambda_k^{\frac{1+2\epsilon+2\sigma}{4}} E_k(t)\|_{L^2((0,T))}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where we need to require $\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1+2\epsilon+2\sigma}{4}\alpha > \frac{1-2H_2}{2}$ and $2\sigma + 2H_1 - 1 > 0$ to preserve the boundedness of II_1 , i.e., $\sigma \in (\frac{1-2H_1}{2}, \min\{\frac{2H_2}{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}, 1 + \epsilon\})$. Similarly, by the inverse estimate and projection theorem [8], we can also obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
II_2 &\leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|\partial_t^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} (E_k(t) - E_{R,k}(t))\|_{L^2((0,T))}^2 \|\phi_{R,k}(y)\|_{H_0^{\frac{1-2H_1}{2}}(D)}^2 \\
&\leq Ch^{2H_1-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^{-1/2-2\epsilon} \|\partial_t^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} \lambda_k^{1/4+\epsilon} (E_k(t) - E_{R,k}(t))\|_{L^2((0,T))}^2 \\
&\leq C\tau^{2H_2-\frac{\sigma}{2}-\epsilon_0} h^{2H_1-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the desired results can be achieved by the Grönwall inequality directly.

Remark 3 When taking $H_2 = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\alpha = 1$ in Theorem 5, there exists

$$\mathbb{E}\|u(t) - u_R(t)\|_{L^2(D)}^2 \leq C(h^{2H_1-\epsilon} + \tau^{\frac{1}{4}-\epsilon}h^{2H_1-1}),$$

which can recover the results provided in [9].

4 Numerical scheme and error analysis

In this section, we construct the numerical scheme for the above regularized equation (11). Here we use the finite element and backward Euler convolution quadrature methods to discretize the spatial and temporal operators, respectively. At the same time, we provide the corresponding error analysis.

4.1 Numerical scheme

Let \mathcal{T}_h be a shape regular quasi-uniform partition of the domain D . Introduce $X_h \subset H_0^1(D)$ as the space of continuous piecewise linear function over the \mathcal{T}_h . Define the L^2 orthogonal projection $P_h : L^2(D) \rightarrow X_h$:

$$(P_h u, v_h) = (u, v_h) \quad \forall u \in L^2(D) \quad \forall v_h \in X_h,$$

Denote A_h as $(A_h u_h, v_h) = (\nabla u_h, \nabla v_h)$ with $u_h, v_h \in X_h$. The semi-discrete Galerkin scheme for (11) can be written as: find $u_h \in X_h$ such that

$$(\partial_t u_h, v_h) + ({}_0\partial_t^{1-\alpha} A_h u_h, v_h) = (f(u_h), v_h) + (\xi_R^{H_1, H_2}, v_h)$$

with $u_h(0) = 0$. It can also be written as

$$\partial_t u_h + {}_0\partial_t^{1-\alpha} A_h u_h = P_h f(u_h) + P_h \xi_R^{H_1, H_2}. \quad (17)$$

Then we use the backward Euler convolution quadrature method to discretize the temporal derivative operator, i.e., the fully discrete scheme is

$$\frac{u_h^n - u_h^{n-1}}{\tau} + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d_i^{(1-\alpha)} A_h u_h^{n-i} = P_h f(u_h^{n-1}) + P_h \xi_{R,n}^{H_1, H_2}, \quad (18)$$

where $\xi_{R,n}^{H_1, H_2} = \xi_R^{H_1, H_2}(t_n)$ and

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} d_i^{(\alpha)} \zeta^i = (\delta_\tau(\zeta))^\alpha, \quad \delta_\tau(\zeta) = \frac{1-\zeta}{\tau}. \quad (19)$$

Next, we provide the temporal and spatial error analyses, respectively.

4.2 Spatial error analysis

Now, we suppose that $\bar{u}_h \in X_h$ is the solution of the equation

$$(\partial_t \bar{u}_h, v_h) + ({}_0\partial_t^{1-\alpha} A_h \bar{u}_h, v_h) = (f(\bar{u}_h), v_h) + (\xi_{RS}^{H_1, H_2}, v_h) \quad (20)$$

with $u_h(0) = 0$ and

$$\xi_{RS}^{H_1, H_2}(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \left(\frac{1}{h} \int_{D_j} \xi^{H_1, H_2}(dy, t) \right) \chi_{D_j}(x).$$

Then Eq. (20) can also be written as

$$\partial_t \bar{u}_h + {}_0\partial_t^{1-\alpha} A_h \bar{u}_h = P_h f(\bar{u}_h) + P_h \xi_{RS}^{H_1, H_2}.$$

Introduce the Laplace transform of the operator \mathcal{R}_h as

$$\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_h(z) = z^{\alpha-1}(z^\alpha + A_h)^{-1},$$

which leads to

$$\bar{u}_h(t) = \int_0^t \mathcal{R}_h(t-s) P_h f(\bar{u}_h(s)) ds + \int_0^t \mathcal{R}_h(t-s) P_h \xi_{RS}^{H_1, H_2}(ds). \quad (21)$$

Let

$$\mathcal{G}_{R,h}(t, x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{G}_{R,h,k}(t, x, y) \quad (22)$$

with

$$\mathcal{G}_{R,h,k}(t, x, y) = \mathcal{R}_h(t) P_h \phi_k(x) \phi_{R,k}(y). \quad (23)$$

Thus by (21), the solution \bar{u}_h can also be written as

$$\bar{u}_h(t) = \int_0^t \mathcal{R}_h(t-s) P_h f(\bar{u}_h(s)) ds + \int_0^t \int_D \mathcal{G}_{R,h}(t-s, x, y) \xi^{H_1, H_2}(dy, ds). \quad (24)$$

Then we provide the properties of $\bar{u}_h(t)$.

Theorem 6 *Let $\bar{u}_h(t)$ be the solution of Eq. (20) and $f(u)$ satisfy (2). Assume $2H_2 + (H_1 - 1)\alpha > 0$. Then we have*

$$\mathbb{E} \|\bar{u}_h(t)\|_{L^2(D)}^2 \leq C$$

and

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{\bar{u}_h(t) - \bar{u}_h(t-\tau)}{\tau^\gamma} \right\|_{L^2(D)}^2 \leq C, \quad (25)$$

where $\gamma \in (0, 2H_2 + (H_1 - 1)\alpha)$.

Proof According to (24), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\|\bar{u}_h(t)\|_{L^2(D)}^2 &\leq C\mathbb{E}\left\|\int_0^t \mathcal{R}_h(t-s)P_h f(\bar{u}_h(s))ds\right\|_{L^2(D)}^2 \\ &\quad + C\mathbb{E}\left\|\int_0^t \int_D \mathcal{G}_{R,h}(t-s,x,y)\xi^{H_1,H_2}(dy,ds)\right\|_{L^2(D)}^2 \\ &\leq I + II. \end{aligned}$$

Similar to the derivations of Theorem 2, one has

$$I \leq C \left(1 + \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\|\bar{u}_h(s)\|_{L^2(D)}^2 ds\right).$$

As for II , Theorem 2, Lemma 3, and Eq. (16) give

$$\begin{aligned} II &\leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\| {}_0\partial_t^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} E_k(t) \right\|_{L^2((0,T))}^2 \|\phi_k(y)\|_{H_0^{\frac{1-2H_1}{2}}(D)}^2 \\ &\leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we can get the first desired result by using the Grönwall inequality. As for the second estimate, using the stability of P_h , i.e., $\|P_h u\|_{L^2(D)} \leq \|u\|_{L^2(D)}$ [28] and the similar arguments in Theorem 3, we can obtain the desired result.

Theorem 7 *Let $u(t)$ and $\bar{u}_h(t)$ be the solutions of Eqs. (1) and (20), respectively. Assume that $f(u)$ satisfies (2) and $2H_2 + (H_1 - 1)\alpha > 0$. Then we have*

$$\mathbb{E}\|u(t) - \bar{u}_h(t)\|_{L^2(D)}^2 \leq Ch^{2\sigma+2H_1-1},$$

where $\sigma \in (\frac{1-2H_1}{2}, \min\{\frac{2H_2}{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}, 1 + \epsilon\})$.

Proof According to (8) and (21), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}\|u(t) - \bar{u}_h(t)\|_{L^2(D)}^2 \\ &\leq C\mathbb{E}\left\|\int_0^t \mathcal{R}(t-s)f(u(s))ds - \int_0^t \mathcal{R}_h(t-s)P_h f(\bar{u}_h(s))ds\right\|_{L^2(D)}^2 \\ &\quad + C\mathbb{E}\left\|\int_0^t \int_D (\mathcal{G}(t-s,x,y) - \mathcal{G}_{R,h}(t-s,x,y))\xi^{H_1,H_2}(dy,ds)\right\|_{L^2(D)}^2 \\ &\leq I + II. \end{aligned}$$

As for I , one has

$$\begin{aligned} I &\leq \mathbb{E}\left\|\int_0^t \mathcal{R}(t-s)f(u(s))ds - \int_0^t \mathcal{R}_h(t-s)P_h f(u(s))ds\right\|_{L^2(D)}^2 \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E}\left\|\int_0^t \mathcal{R}_h(t-s)P_h f(u(s))ds - \int_0^t \mathcal{R}_h(t-s)P_h f(\bar{u}_h(s))ds\right\|_{L^2(D)}^2 \\ &\leq I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

Using the assumptions (2), the fact $\|(\mathcal{R}(t-s) - \mathcal{R}_h(t-s)P_h)\| \leq Ch^2|z|^{\alpha-1}$, and Theorem 4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq \left(\int_0^t \|(\mathcal{R}(t-s) - \mathcal{R}_h(t-s)P_h)\| \mathbb{E} \|f(u(s))\|_{L^2(D)} ds \right)^2 \\ &\leq Ch^4 \left(\int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} (1 + \mathbb{E} \|u(s)\|_{L^2(D)}) ds \right)^2 \\ &\leq Ch^4. \end{aligned}$$

As for I_2 , the stability of P_h , the assumptions (2), and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality imply

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\leq C \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^t \|\mathcal{R}_h(t-s)\| \|f(u(s)) - f(\bar{u}_h(s))\|_{L^2(D)} ds \right)^2 \\ &\leq C \int_0^t \mathbb{E} \|u(s) - \bar{u}_h(s)\|_{L^2(D)}^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

As for II , one has

$$\begin{aligned} II &\leq C \mathbb{E} \left\| \int_0^t \int_D (\mathcal{G}(t-s, x, y) - \mathcal{G}_{Rs}(t-s, x, y)) \xi^{H_1, H_2}(dy, ds) \right\|_{L^2(D)}^2 \\ &\quad + C \mathbb{E} \left\| \int_0^t \int_D (\mathcal{G}_{Rs}(t-s, x, y) - \mathcal{G}_{R,h}(t-s, x, y)) \xi^{H_1, H_2}(dy, ds) \right\|_{L^2(D)}^2 \\ &\leq II_1 + II_2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{G}_{Rs}(t, x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{R}(t) \phi_k(x) \phi_{R,k}(y).$$

Similar to the derivations of II_1 in the proof of Theorem 5, we have

$$II_1 \leq Ch^{2\sigma+2H_1-1},$$

where $\sigma \in (\frac{1-2H_1}{2}, \min\{\frac{2H_2}{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}, 1 + \epsilon\})$. As for II_2 , the inverse estimate gives

$$\begin{aligned} II_2 &\leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\| \partial_t^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} (\mathcal{R}(t) - \mathcal{R}_h(t)P_h) \phi_k(x) \right\|_{L^2(0,t,L^2(D))}^2 \|\phi_{R,k}(y)\|_{H^{\frac{1-2H_1}{2}}(D)}^2 \\ &\leq Ch^{2H_1-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^{-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon} \left\| \partial_t^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} (\mathcal{R}(t) - \mathcal{R}_h(t)P_h) A^{\frac{1}{4}+\epsilon} \phi_k(x) \right\|_{L^2(0,t,L^2(D))}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact $\|(\mathcal{R}(t) - \mathcal{R}_h(t)P_h)A^s\| \leq Ch^{2-2s}$ for $s \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ [28] and the interpolation property [3], one can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_0^t \partial_t^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} (\mathcal{R}(t) - \mathcal{R}_h(t)P_h) A^{\frac{1}{4}+\epsilon} \phi_k(x) \right\|_{L^2(0,t;L^2(D))}^2 \\ & \leq C \int_0^t \left\| \int_{\Gamma_{\theta,\kappa}} e^{zt} z^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} z^{\alpha-1} ((z^\alpha + A)^{-1} - (z^\alpha + A_h)^{-1} P_h) A^{\frac{1}{4}+\epsilon} \phi_k(x) dz \right\|_{L^2(D)}^2 dt \\ & \leq Ch^{\frac{4H_2}{\alpha}-1-\epsilon_0} \int_0^t \left(\int_{\Gamma_{\theta,\kappa}} |e^{zt}| |z|^{-\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} |dz| \right)^2 dt \\ & \leq Ch^{\frac{4H_2}{\alpha}-1-\epsilon_0}, \end{aligned}$$

which leads to

$$II_2 \leq Ch^{\frac{4H_2}{\alpha}+2H_1-2-\epsilon}.$$

Therefore, we complete the proof.

4.3 Temporal error analysis

In this subsection, we consider the temporal error estimate, that is, the estimate of $\mathbb{E}\|u_h^n - \bar{u}_h(t_n)\|_{L^2(D)}$.

In the following, we provide the presentation of u_h^n first. Multiplying ζ^n on both sides of Eq. (18) and summing n from 1 to ∞ , we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{u_h^n - u_h^{n-1}}{\tau} \zeta^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d_i^{(1-\alpha)} A_h u_h^{n-i} \zeta^n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_h f(u_h^{n-1}) \zeta^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_h \xi_{R,n}^{H_1, H_2} \zeta^n.$$

Combining the definition of $d_i^{(1-\alpha)}$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_h^n \zeta^n &= (\delta_\tau(\zeta))^{\alpha-1} ((\delta_\tau(\zeta))^\alpha + A_h)^{-1} P_h \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(u_h^{n-1}) \zeta^n \\ &\quad + (\delta_\tau(\zeta))^{\alpha-1} ((\delta_\tau(\zeta))^\alpha + A_h)^{-1} P_h \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \xi_{R,n}^{H_1, H_2} \zeta^n. \end{aligned}$$

Using Cauchy's integral formula and doing simple calculations lead to

$$\begin{aligned} u_h^n &= \frac{\tau}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_{\theta,\kappa}^\tau} e^{zt_n} (\delta_\tau(e^{-z\tau}))^{\alpha-1} ((\delta_\tau(e^{-z\tau}))^\alpha + A_h)^{-1} P_h \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} f(u_h^{j-1}) e^{-zj\tau} dz \\ &\quad + \frac{\tau}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_{\theta,\kappa}^\tau} e^{zt_n} (\delta_\tau(e^{-z\tau}))^{\alpha-1} ((\delta_\tau(e^{-z\tau}))^\alpha + A_h)^{-1} P_h \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \xi_{R,j}^{H_1, H_2} e^{-zj\tau} dz, \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

where $\Gamma_{\theta, \kappa}^\tau = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \kappa \leq |z| \leq \frac{\pi}{\tau \sin(\theta)}, |\arg z| = \theta\} \cup \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = \kappa, |\arg z| \leq \theta\}$. According to the definition of $\xi_R^{H_1, H_2}$, we can obtain

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \xi_{R,n}^{H_1, H_2} e^{-zt_n} = \frac{z}{e^{z\tau} - 1} \tilde{\xi}_R^{H_1, H_2}.$$

Introduce $\bar{F}(t)$ as

$$\bar{F}(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & t = t_0, \\ f(u_h^{j-1}) & t \in (t_{j-1}, t_j], \end{cases}$$

and $F(t) = f(u_h(t))$. In what follows, we abbreviate $P_h F(t)$ and $P_h \bar{F}(t)$ as $F_h(t)$ and $\bar{F}_h(t)$.

Simple calculations lead to

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{F}_h(t_n) e^{-zt_n} = \frac{z}{e^{z\tau} - 1} \tilde{\bar{F}}_h(z).$$

Thus there holds

$$\begin{aligned} u_h^n &= \int_0^{t_n} \bar{\mathcal{R}}_h(t_n - s) \bar{F}_h(s) ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^{t_n} \int_D \bar{\mathcal{G}}_{R,h}(t - s, x, y) \xi^{H_1, H_2}(dy, s) ds, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\bar{\mathcal{G}}_{R,h}(t, x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \bar{\mathcal{G}}_{R,h,k}(t, x, y), \quad \bar{\mathcal{G}}_{R,h,k}(t, x, y) = \bar{\mathcal{R}}_h(t) P_h \phi_k(x) \phi_{R,k}(y), \quad (27)$$

and

$$\bar{\mathcal{R}}_h(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi\mathbf{i}} \int_{\Gamma_{\theta, \kappa}^\tau} e^{zt} (\delta_\tau(e^{-z\tau}))^{\alpha-1} ((\delta_\tau(e^{-z\tau}))^\alpha + A_h)^{-1} \frac{z\tau}{e^{z\tau} - 1} dz. \quad (28)$$

Let $\{\lambda_{k,h}, \phi_{k,h}\}_{k=1}^N$ be the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of operator A_h . Thus $\bar{\mathcal{R}}_h(t)$ can also be written as, for $u_h \in X_h$,

$$\bar{\mathcal{R}}_h(t) u_h = \sum_{k=1}^N E_{k,h}(t) (u_h, \phi_{k,h}) \phi_{k,h},$$

where

$$E_{k,h}(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi\mathbf{i}} \int_{\Gamma_{\theta, \kappa}^\tau} e^{zt} (\delta_\tau(e^{-z\tau}))^{\alpha-1} ((\delta_\tau(e^{-z\tau}))^\alpha + \lambda_{k,h})^{-1} \frac{z\tau}{e^{z\tau} - 1} dz. \quad (29)$$

Similar to the proof of Lemma 3, one can obtain

Lemma 4 Let $E_{k,h}(t)$ be defined in (29). For $k = 1, 2, \dots, N$, $\sigma \in [0, 1]$, and $\gamma \geq 0$, if $\gamma + \sigma\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$, then there exists a uniform constant C such that

$$\|\lambda_{k,h}^\sigma E_{k,h}(t)\|_{H^\gamma((0,T))} = \|\partial_t^\gamma \lambda_{k,h}^\sigma E_{k,h}(t)\|_{L^2((0,T))} \leq C. \quad (30)$$

In the rest of paper, we take $\kappa \leq \frac{\pi}{t_n \sin(\theta)}$. Then we provide the temporal error estimate.

Theorem 8 Let \bar{u}_h and u_h^n be the solution of (20) and (18), respectively. Let $f(u)$ satisfy the assumptions (2). Assume $2H_2 + (H_1 - 1)\alpha > 0$. Then we have

$$\mathbb{E}\|\bar{u}_h(t_n) - u_h^n\|_{L^2(D)}^2 \leq C\tau^{2H_2 + (H_1 - 1)\alpha - \epsilon}.$$

Proof Subtracting (26) from (24), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}\|\bar{u}_h(t_n) - u_h^n\|_{L^2(D)}^2 \\ & \leq C\mathbb{E}\left\|\int_0^{t_n} \mathcal{R}_h(t_n - s)F(s) - \bar{\mathcal{R}}_h(t_n - s)\bar{F}_h(s)ds\right\|_{L^2(D)}^2 \\ & \quad + C\mathbb{E}\left\|\int_0^{t_n} \int_D \mathcal{G}_{R,h}(t_n - s, x, y)\xi^{H_1, H_2}(dy, ds) \right. \\ & \quad \quad \left. - \int_0^{t_n} \int_D \bar{\mathcal{G}}_{R,h}(t_n - s, x, y)\xi^{H_1, H_2}(dy, s)ds\right\|_{L^2(D)}^2 \\ & \leq C\mathbb{E}\left\|\int_0^{t_n} \mathcal{R}_h(t_n - s)F(s) - \bar{\mathcal{R}}_h(t_n - s)\bar{F}_h(s)ds\right\|_{L^2(D)}^2 \\ & \quad + C\mathbb{E}\left\|\int_0^{t_n} \int_D (\mathcal{G}_{R,h}(t_n - s, x, y) - \bar{\mathcal{G}}_{R,h}(t_n - s, x, y))\xi^{H_1, H_2}(dy, ds)\right\|_{L^2(D)}^2 \\ & \quad + C\mathbb{E}\left\|\int_0^{t_n} \int_D \bar{\mathcal{G}}_{R,h}(t_n - s, x, y)(\xi^{H_1, H_2}(dy, ds) - \xi^{H_1, H_2}(dy, s)ds)\right\|_{L^2(D)}^2 \\ & \leq \vartheta_1 + \vartheta_2 + \vartheta_3. \end{aligned}$$

For ϑ_1 , one can split it into three parts, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta_1 & \leq C\mathbb{E}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^n \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \mathcal{R}_h(t_n - s)(F_h(s) - F_h(t_{i-1}))ds\right\|_{L^2(D)}^2 \\ & \quad + C\mathbb{E}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^n \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (\mathcal{R}_h(t_n - s) - \bar{\mathcal{R}}_h(t_n - s))F_h(t_{i-1})ds\right\|_{L^2(D)}^2 \\ & \quad + C\mathbb{E}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^n \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \bar{\mathcal{R}}_h(t_n - s)(F_h(t_{i-1}) - \bar{F}_h(s))ds\right\|_{L^2(D)}^2 \\ & \leq \vartheta_{1,1} + \vartheta_{1,2} + \vartheta_{1,3}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Theorem 6, one has

$$\vartheta_{1,1} \leq C\tau^{2H_2+(H_1-1)\alpha-\epsilon}.$$

We first consider the estimate of $\|\mathcal{R}_h(t_n - s) - \bar{\mathcal{R}}_h(t_n - s)\|$. According to the definitions of $\mathcal{R}_h(t_n - s)$ and $\bar{\mathcal{R}}_h(t_n - s)$, there holds

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathcal{R}_h(t_n - s) - \bar{\mathcal{R}}_h(t_n - s)\| \\ & \leq C \left\| \int_{\Gamma_{\theta,\kappa}} e^{z(t_n-s)} z^{\alpha-1} (z^\alpha + A_h)^{-1} dz \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \int_{\Gamma_{\theta,\kappa}^\tau} e^{z(t_n-s)} (\delta_\tau(e^{-z\tau}))^{\alpha-1} ((\delta_\tau(e^{-z\tau}))^\alpha + A_h)^{-1} \frac{z}{e^{z\tau} - 1} dz \right\| \\ & \leq C \left\| \int_{\Gamma_{\theta,\kappa} \setminus \Gamma_{\theta,\kappa}^\tau} e^{z(t_n-s)} z^{\alpha-1} (z^\alpha + A_h)^{-1} dz \right\| \\ & \quad + C \left\| \int_{\Gamma_{\theta,\kappa}^\tau} e^{z(t_n-s)} \right. \\ & \quad \cdot \left(z^{\alpha-1} (z^\alpha + A_h)^{-1} - (\delta_\tau(e^{-z\tau}))^{\alpha-1} ((\delta_\tau(e^{-z\tau}))^\alpha + A_h)^{-1} \frac{z}{e^{z\tau} - 1} \right) dz \left. \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

By the fact $|z - \delta_\tau(e^{-z\tau})| \leq C\tau|z|^2$ [18], there is

$$\left\| z^{\alpha-1} (z^\alpha + A_h)^{-1} - (\delta_\tau(e^{-z\tau}))^{\alpha-1} ((\delta_\tau(e^{-z\tau}))^\alpha + A_h)^{-1} \frac{z}{e^{z\tau} - 1} \right\| \leq C\tau,$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathcal{R}_h(t_n - s) - \bar{\mathcal{R}}_h(t_n - s)\| \\ & \leq C \left(\tau^{1-\epsilon} \int_{\Gamma_{\theta,\kappa} \setminus \Gamma_{\theta,\kappa}^\tau} |e^{z(t_n-s)}| |z|^\epsilon |dz| + \tau \int_{\Gamma_{\theta,\kappa}^\tau} |e^{z(t_n-s)}| |dz| \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus combining the assumptions (2) and Theorem 6 and using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, one can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta_{1,2} & \leq C\tau^{2-2\epsilon} \int_0^{t_n} (t_n - s)^{1-\epsilon} \left(\int_{\Gamma_{\theta,\kappa} \setminus \Gamma_{\theta,\kappa}^\tau} |e^{z(t_n-s)}| |z|^{-\epsilon} |dz| \right)^2 \mathbb{E} \|u(s)\|_{L^2(D)}^2 ds \\ & \quad + C\tau^2 \int_0^{t_n} (t_n - s)^{1-\epsilon} \left(\int_{\Gamma_{\theta,\kappa}^\tau} |e^{z(t_n-s)}| |dz| \right)^2 \mathbb{E} \|u(s)\|_{L^2(D)}^2 ds \\ & \leq C\tau^{2-2\epsilon} \int_0^{t_n} (t_n - s)^{\epsilon-1} ds \\ & \quad + C\tau^2 \int_0^{t_n} (t_n - s)^{1-\epsilon} \int_{\Gamma_{\theta,\kappa}^\tau} |e^{2z(t_n-s)}| |z|^{1-2\epsilon} |dz| \int_{\Gamma_{\theta,\kappa}^\tau} |z|^{2\epsilon-1} |dz| ds \\ & \leq C\tau^{2-2\epsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

As for $\vartheta_{1,3}$, we arrive at

$$\vartheta_{1,3} \leq C\tau \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|\bar{u}_h(t_i) - u_h^i\|_{L^2(D)}^2.$$

The fact $\|A_h^{s/2} P_h A^{-s/2}\| \leq C$ for $s \in [0, 1]$ [28] and Theorem 1 lead to

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta_2 &\leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_0^{t_n} \left\| {}_0\partial_t^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} (\mathcal{R}_h(s) - \bar{\mathcal{R}}_h(s)) P_h \phi_k(y) \right\|_{L^2(D)}^2 \|\phi_k\|_{H_0^{\frac{1-2H_1}{2}}(D)}^2 ds \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^{-\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} \int_0^{t_n} \left\| \lambda_k^{\frac{1-H_1}{2}+\frac{\epsilon}{2}} {}_0\partial_t^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} (\mathcal{R}_h(s) - \bar{\mathcal{R}}_h(s)) P_h \phi_k \right\|_{L^2(D)}^2 ds \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^{-\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} \int_0^{t_n} \left\| {}_0\partial_t^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} (\mathcal{R}_h(s) - \bar{\mathcal{R}}_h(s)) P_h A^{\frac{1-H_1}{2}+\frac{\epsilon}{2}} \phi_k \right\|_{L^2(D)}^2 ds \\ &\leq C \int_0^{t_n} \left\| {}_0\partial_t^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} (\mathcal{R}_h(s) - \bar{\mathcal{R}}_h(s)) A_h^{\frac{1-H_1}{2}+\frac{\epsilon}{2}} \right\|^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

Simple calculations imply

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| {}_0\partial_t^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} (\mathcal{R}_h(s) - \bar{\mathcal{R}}_h(s)) A_h^{\frac{1-H_1}{2}+\frac{\epsilon}{2}} \right\| \\ &\leq C \left\| \int_{\Gamma_{\theta,\kappa} \setminus \Gamma_{\theta,\kappa}^\tau} e^{zs} z^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} z^{\alpha-1} (z^\alpha + A_h)^{-1} A_h^{\frac{1-H_1}{2}+\frac{\epsilon}{2}} dz \right\| \\ &\quad + C \left\| \int_{\Gamma_{\theta,\kappa}^\tau} e^{zs} z^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} (z^{\alpha-1} (z^\alpha + A_h)^{-1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (\delta_\tau(e^{-z\tau}))^{\alpha-1} ((\delta_\tau(e^{-z\tau}))^\alpha + A_h)^{-1}) A_h^{\frac{1-H_1}{2}+\frac{\epsilon}{2}} dz \right\| \\ &\leq C \int_{\Gamma_{\theta,\kappa} \setminus \Gamma_{\theta,\kappa}^\tau} |e^{zs}| |z|^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}+(\frac{1-H_1}{2}+\frac{\epsilon}{2})\alpha-1} |dz| \\ &\quad + C\tau \int_{\Gamma_{\theta,\kappa}^\tau} |e^{zs}| |z|^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}+(\frac{1-H_1}{2}+\frac{\epsilon}{2})\alpha} |dz|, \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\vartheta_2 &\leq C \int_0^{t_n} \left(\int_{\Gamma_{\theta,\kappa} \setminus \Gamma_{\theta,\kappa}^\tau} |e^{zs}| |z|^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2} + (\frac{1-H_1}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2})\alpha - 1} |dz| \right)^2 ds \\
&\quad + C\tau^2 \int_0^{t_n} \left(\int_{\Gamma_{\theta,\kappa}^\tau} |e^{zs}| |z|^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2} + (\frac{1-H_1}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2})\alpha} |dz| \right)^2 ds \\
&\leq C \int_0^{t_n} \int_{\Gamma_{\theta,\kappa} \setminus \Gamma_{\theta,\kappa}^\tau} |e^{2zs}| |z|^{-\epsilon} |dz| \int_{\Gamma_{\theta,\kappa} \setminus \Gamma_{\theta,\kappa}^\tau} |z|^{1-2H_2 + (1-H_1 + \epsilon)\alpha - 2 + \epsilon} ds \\
&\quad + C\tau^2 \int_0^{t_n} \int_{\Gamma_{\theta,\kappa}^\tau} |e^{2zs}| |z|^{-\epsilon} |dz| \int_{\Gamma_{\theta,\kappa}^\tau} |z|^{1-2H_2 + (1-H_1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2})\alpha + \epsilon} |dz| ds \\
&\leq C\tau^{2H_2 + (H_1 - 1)\alpha - \epsilon_0}.
\end{aligned}$$

As for III, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\vartheta_3 &\leq C \mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_0^{t_n} \int_D \left(\bar{\mathcal{R}}_h(t_n - s) - \frac{1}{\tau} \sum_{i=1}^n \chi_{(t_{i-1}, t_i]}(s) \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \bar{\mathcal{R}}_h(t_n - r) dr \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \cdot P_h \phi_k(x) \phi_k(y) \xi^{H_1, H_2}(dy, ds) \right\|_{L^2(D)}^2 \\
&\leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_0^{t_n} \left\| {}_0\partial_s^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} \left(\bar{\mathcal{R}}_h(s) - \frac{1}{\tau} \sum_{i=1}^n \chi_{[t_{i-1}, t_i)}(s) \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \bar{\mathcal{R}}_h(r) dr \right) P_h \phi_k(x) \right\|_{L^2(D)}^2 \\
&\quad \cdot \|\phi_k(y)\|_{H^{\frac{1-2H_1}{2}}(D)}^2 ds \\
&\leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^{-\frac{1}{2} - 2\epsilon} \\
&\quad \cdot \int_0^{t_n} \left\| {}_0\partial_s^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} \left(\bar{\mathcal{R}}_h(s) - \frac{1}{\tau} \sum_{i=1}^n \chi_{[t_{i-1}, t_i)}(s) \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \bar{\mathcal{R}}_h(r) dr \right) P_h \lambda_k^{\frac{1-H_1}{2} + \epsilon} \phi_k(x) \right\|_{L^2(D)}^2 ds \\
&\leq C \int_0^{t_n} \left\| {}_0\partial_s^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} \left(\bar{\mathcal{R}}_h(s) - \frac{1}{\tau} \sum_{i=1}^n \chi_{[t_{i-1}, t_i)}(s) \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \bar{\mathcal{R}}_h(r) dr \right) A_h^{\frac{1-H_1}{2} + \epsilon} \right\|_{X_h \rightarrow X_h}^2 ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Introduce $v_h = \sum_{k=1}^N a_k \phi_{k,h}$ with $\|v_h\|_{X_h}^2 = (v_h, v_h) = \sum_{k=1}^N a_k^2$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \partial_s^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} \left(\bar{\mathcal{R}}_h(s) - \frac{1}{\tau} \sum_{i=1}^n \chi_{[t_{i-1}, t_i)}(s) \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \bar{\mathcal{R}}_h(r) dr \right) A_h^{\frac{1-H_1}{2} + \epsilon} \right\|_{X_h \rightarrow X_h}^2 \\ & \leq \sup_{v_h \in X_h, \|v_h\|_{X_h} = 1} \left\| \partial_s^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} \left(\bar{\mathcal{R}}_h(s) - \frac{1}{\tau} \sum_{i=1}^n \chi_{[t_{i-1}, t_i)}(s) \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \bar{\mathcal{R}}_h(r) dr \right) A_h^{\frac{1-H_1}{2} + \epsilon} v_h \right\|_{X_h}^2 \\ & \leq C \sup_{v_h \in X_h, \|v_h\|_{X_h} = 1} \sum_{k=1}^N a_k^2 \left| \partial_s^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} \left(E_{k,h}(s) - \frac{1}{\tau} \sum_{i=1}^n \chi_{[t_{i-1}, t_i)}(s) \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} E_{k,h}(r) dr \right) \lambda_{k,h}^{\frac{1-H_1}{2} + \epsilon} \right|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Combining Lemma 4 and the similar arguments of Theorem 5, we obtain

$$\vartheta_3 \leq C \tau^{2H_2 + (H_1 - 1)\alpha - \epsilon}.$$

After gathering the above estimates and using the discrete Grönwall inequality [28], the desired result can be reached.

5 Numerical experiments

Here we present some numerical examples to show the effectiveness of the numerical methods and confirm the theoretical results. We take m trajectories $\{\omega_j\}_{j=1}^m$ to calculate the solution. Since the exact solutions are unknown in the numerical experiments, we measure the convergence rates by

$$\text{Rate} = \frac{\ln(e_h/e_{h/2})}{\ln(2)}, \quad \text{Rate} = \frac{\ln(e_\tau/e_{\tau/2})}{\ln(2)},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} e_h &= \left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \|u_h^M(\omega_i) - u_{h/2}^M(\omega_i)\|_{L^2(D)}^2 \right)^{1/2}, \\ e_\tau &= \left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \|u_\tau(\omega_i) - u_{\tau/2}(\omega_i)\|_{L^2(D)}^2 \right)^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

with u_h^M and u_τ being the solutions at time $T = t_M$ with mesh size h and time step size τ , respectively.

Example 1 In this example, to show the temporal convergence, we take $m = 200$, $\beta = 1$, $T = 0.5$, $l = 0.5$, $f(u) = \sin(u)$, and $h = l/512$ to solve (1). The numerical results with different α , H_1 , and H_2 are given in Table 1, where the numbers in the bracket in the last column denote the theoretical rates predicted by Theorem 8. As it can be seen, there is a good agreement between the numerical convergence rates and the predicted ones.

Table 1 Temporal errors and convergence rates.

$(\alpha, H_1, H_2) \setminus T/\tau$	16	32	64	128	Rate
(0.3,0.2,0.2)	4.002E-05	4.191E-05	4.155E-05	3.478E-05	$\approx 0.0675(= 0.08)$
(0.3,0.3,0.5)	1.012E-05	8.111E-06	5.976E-06	4.188E-06	$\approx 0.4243(= 0.395)$
(0.5,0.2,0.3)	6.808E-05	5.872E-05	5.805E-05	5.069E-05	$\approx 0.1419(= 0.1)$
(0.5,0.4,0.3)	5.808E-05	5.563E-05	4.244E-05	4.497E-05	$\approx 0.1230(= 0.15)$
(0.7,0.4,0.5)	3.886E-05	3.354E-05	2.822E-05	2.126E-05	$\approx 0.2901(= 0.29)$
(0.7,0.5,0.2)	1.339E-04	1.351E-04	1.482E-04	1.268E-04	$\approx 0.0264(= 0.025)$

Example 2 To show the spatial convergence rates, we consider the numerical solution of (1) with $\beta = 10$ and $f(u) = \frac{1}{50} \sin(u)$. Here, we take $m = 100$, $T = 0.01$, $l = 0.1$, and $\tau = T/2048$. We show the corresponding errors and convergence rates with different α , H_1 , and H_2 in Table 2. All the numerical convergence rates well agree with the predicted ones stated in Theorem 7.

Table 2 Spatial errors and convergence rates.

$(\alpha, H_1, H_2) \setminus l/h$	8	16	32	64	Rate
(0.3,0.2,0.5)	1.385E-01	7.186E-02	5.079E-02	3.173E-02	$\approx 0.7087(= 0.7)$
(0.3,0.5,0.5)	3.188E-02	1.554E-02	7.991E-03	4.002E-03	$\approx 0.9979(= 1)$
(0.5,0.2,0.3)	9.038E-01	6.727E-01	4.861E-01	3.072E-01	$\approx 0.5190(= 0.4)$
(0.5,0.5,0.4)	1.533E-01	7.697E-02	4.082E-02	2.042E-02	$\approx 0.9695(= 1)$
(0.7,0.4,0.4)	4.977E-01	3.482E-01	2.396E-01	1.468E-01	$\approx 0.5871(= 0.5429)$
(0.7,0.3,0.4)	7.310E-01	5.083E-01	3.810E-01	2.546E-01	$\approx 0.5073(= 0.4429)$

6 Conclusions

Anomalous diffusions are ubiquitous in the nature world. The Brownian motion subordinated by inverse α -stable Lévy process can effectively model the subdiffusion. In this paper, we introduce its Fokker-Planck equation with nonlinear source term and external fractional noise, and put all our efforts on the numerical methods of the equation. That is, we approximate the stochastic nonlinear fractional diffusion equation driven by the fractional Brownian sheet noise with Hurst parameters $H_1, H_2 \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$. After providing the regularity of the solution and regularizing the rough noise by Wong-Zakai approximation, we build the fully discrete scheme by backward Euler convolution quadrature and finite element methods. Moreover, the complete error analyses are also developed. Finally, the numerical experiments validate the effectiveness of the designed algorithm.

References

1. Acosta, G., Bersetche, F.M., Borthagaray, J.P.: Finite element approximations for fractional evolution problems. *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.* **22**, 767–794 (2019)
2. Acosta, G., Borthagaray, J.P.: A fractional Laplace equation: Regularity of solutions and finite element approximations. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **55**, 472–495 (2017)
3. Adams, R.A., Fournier, J.J.F.: *Sobolev Spaces*, 2nd edn. Pure and Applied Mathematics. Academic Press, Amsterdam (2003)
4. Arezoomandan, M., Soheili, A.R.: Spectral collocation method for stochastic partial differential equations with fractional Brownian motion. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **389**, 113369 (2021)
5. Bardina, X., Jolis, M.: Multiple fractional integral with Hurst parameter less than $\frac{1}{2}$. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **116**, 463–479 (2006)
6. Biagini, F.: *Stochastic Calculus for Fractional Brownian Motion and Applications*. Probability and Its Applications. Springer, London (2008)
7. Bonito, A., Lei, W., Pasciak, J.E.: Numerical approximation of the integral fractional Laplacian. *Numer. Math.* **142**, 235–278 (2019)
8. Brenner, S.C., Scott, L.R.: *The Mathematical Theory of Finite Element Methods*, 3rd edn. Texts in Applied Mathematics. Springer, New York (2008)
9. Cao, Y., Hong, J., Liu, Z.: Approximating stochastic evolution equations with additive white and rough noises. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **55**, 1958–1981 (2017)
10. Cao, Y., Hong, J., Liu, Z.: Finite element approximations for second-order stochastic differential equation driven by fractional Brownian motion. *IMA J. Numer. Anal.* **38**, 184–197 (2018)
11. Comegna, A., Coppola, A., Comegna, V., Sommella, A., Vitale, C.: Use of a fractional Brownian motion model to mimic spatial horizontal variation of soil physical and hydraulic properties displaying a power-law variogram. *Procedia Environ. Sci.* **19**, 416–425 (2013)
12. Deng, W., Hou, R., Wang, W., Xu, P.: *Modeling Anomalous Diffusion: From Statistics to Mathematics*. World Scientific, Singapore (2020)
13. Di Nezza, E., Palatucci, G., Valdinoci, E.: Hitchhiker’s guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces. *Bull. Sci. Math.* **136**, 521–573 (2012)
14. Elliott, C.M., Larsson, S.: Error estimates with smooth and nonsmooth data for a finite element method for the Cahn–Hilliard equation. *Math. Comp.* **58**, 603–630 (1992)
15. Ervin, V.J., Roop, J.P.: Variational formulation for the stationary fractional advection dispersion equation. *Numer. Methods Partial Differential Equations* **22**, 558–576 (2006)
16. Eugene, W., Moshe, Z.: On the convergence of ordinary integrals to stochastic integrals. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **36**, 1560–1564 (1965)
17. Eugene, W., Moshe, Z.: On the relation between ordinary and stochastic differential equations. *Internat. J. Engrg. Sci.* **3**, 213–229 (1965)
18. Gunzburger, M., Li, B., Wang, J.: Sharp convergence rates of time discretization for stochastic time-fractional PDEs subject to additive space-time white noise. *Math. Comp.* **88**, 1715–1741 (2018)
19. Laptev, A.: Dirichlet and Neumann eigenvalue problems on domains in Euclidean spaces. *J. Funct. Anal.* **151**, 531–545 (1997)
20. Li, P., Yau, S.T.: On the Schrödinger equation and the eigenvalue problem. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **88**, 309–318 (1983)
21. Liu, Z., Qiao, Z.: Wong–Zakai approximations of stochastic Allen–Cahn equation. *Int. J. Numer. Anal. Model.* **16**, 681–694 (2019)
22. Lubich, C., Sloan, I., Thomée, V.: Nonsmooth data error estimates for approximations of an evolution equation with a positive-type memory term. *Math. Comp.* **65**, 1–17 (1996)
23. Nie, D., Deng, W.: A unified convergence analysis for the fractional diffusion equation driven by fractional Gaussian noise with Hurst index $H \in (0, 1)$. [arXiv:2104.13676](https://arxiv.org/abs/2104.13676) (2021)
24. Nie, D., Sun, J., Deng, W.: Numerical algorithm for the space-time fractional Fokker–Planck system with two internal states. *Numer. Math.* **146**, 481–511 (2020)

25. Nie, D., Sun, J., Deng, W.: Strong convergence order for the scheme of fractional diffusion equation driven by fractional Gaussian noise. arXiv:2007.14193 (2020)
26. Podlubny, I.: Fractional Differential Equations. Mathematics in Science and Engineering. Academic Press, San Diego (1999)
27. Sakamoto, K., Yamamoto, M.: Initial value/boundary value problems for fractional diffusion-wave equations and applications to some inverse problems. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **382**, 426–447 (2011)
28. Thomée, V.: Galerkin Finite Element Methods for Parabolic Problems, 2nd edn. Springer Series in Computational Mathematics. Springer, New York (2006)
29. Wang, X., Qi, R., Jiang, F.: Sharp mean-square regularity results for SPDEs with fractional noise and optimal convergence rates for the numerical approximations. *BIT* **57**, 557–585 (2017)
30. Yan, L., Yin, X.: Optimal error estimates for fractional stochastic partial differential equation with fractional Brownian motion. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B* **24**, 615–635 (2019)