

Relativistic origin of Hertz and extended Hertz equations for Maxwell theory of electromagnetism

Fei Wang^{a,1} Jin Min Yang^{b,c}

^a*Department of Physics, Zhengzhou University, No. 100 Science Avenue, ZhengZhou 450001, P. R. China*

^b*CAS Key Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, Institute of Theoretical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, P. R. China*

^c*School of Physical Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, P. R. China*

E-mail: feiwang@zzu.edu.cn, jmyang@itp.ac.cn

ABSTRACT: We show explicitly that Hertz equations and their extensions can be obtained from the non-relativistic limit of Lorentz transformation for Maxwell's equations. The explicit expression for the parameter α in the extended Hertz equations can be derived from such non-relativistic limit. We note that the Hertz equations should not be seen as a naive application of Galilean transformation to Maxwell's equations. The extended Hertz equations, which no longer preserve Galilean invariance, origin from Lorentz transformation of Maxwell's equations. Especially, the α parameter is of relativistic origin. Such equations, i.e., Hertz equations and their extensions, can be safely used when the electromagnetic field can be seen as the background. The superluminal behavior illustrated by the D'Alembert equation from the extended Hertz equations should be removed by including all of the subleading contributions in the v/c expansion. In the case that the electromagnetic field is a background field, we need not worry about the apparent superluminal behavior of the D'Alembert equation. We should note that, to properly use the the Hertz and extend Hertz equations, the explicit forms of the functions for electromagnetic fields in the Hertz and extend Hertz equations should take an alternative forms $\vec{\mathcal{E}}$ and $\vec{\mathcal{B}}$, which is just of form $\vec{E}(\Lambda^{-1}x)$ and $\vec{B}(\Lambda^{-1}x)$ (or we need to use the inverse Galilean transformed forms $\vec{E}[\vec{z} + \vec{v}z_0, z_0]$, $\vec{B}[\vec{z} + \vec{v}z_0, z_0]$ in the non-relativistic limit). Such a choice of descriptions are in general different to the ordinary one with $\vec{E}(x)$ and $\vec{B}(x)$, which can not be used in the Hertz and extended Hertz equations.

¹Corresponding author.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Non-relativistic limit of Lorentz transformation for Maxwell's equations	2
3	Discussions about the superluminal behavior	8
4	Conclusions	10
A	The Hertz equation from the integration form	11
B	The non-relativistic limit of typical terms in the Lorentz-transformed Maxwell's equations	12
C	The physical meaning of P_S term	14

1 Introduction

Maxwell's equations, which can successfully describe the classical electromagnetic phenomena, play an important role in fundamental science and practical technologies. There exist various extensions to Maxwell's equations, mostly by adding the magnetic monopole related terms in the framework of quantum field theory. In the framework of classical limit, the recent work in [1] proposes to extend Maxwell's equations with new P_S term [2] and velocity related terms to describe the electromagnetic phenomena in the slow-moving media, which cause some attentions. Formally, after redefining a new electric displacement field $D = D' + P_S$, the work of [1] can be seen to be equivalent to Hertz equations [3], which apparently satisfy Galilean transformation. Hertz equations [3], which amend the ordinary Maxwell equations by several terms, apparently preserve Galilean invariance. In practice, Hertz equations sometimes can be used in engineering. As the Maxwell's equations should satisfy Lorentz invariance, the Hertz-form Maxwell's equations seem strange. So, it is interesting to revisit Hertz equations to clarify how they can be used and if it is possible to derive them from the non-relativistic limit of Lorentz transformation for electromagnetic fields.

Hertz equations do not agree with the experimental data on the movement of dielectrics in electromagnetic fields [4]. In order to satisfy the experimental data, an additional factor $\alpha = (\mu_r \epsilon_r - 1) / \mu_r \epsilon_r$ is introduced for the terms $\nabla \times (B \times v)$ and $\nabla \times (D \times v)$ appearing in Hertz equations of $\nabla \times E$ and $\nabla \times H$, with ϵ_r and μ_r being the relative permittivity and the relative permeability of the medium, and E , H , B , D being the vectors of electric and magnetic field strengths, magnetic induction and electric displacement, respectively. Such extended Hertz equations with the parameter α , which lose Galilean invariance, can agree

well with the experimental data in practice [5]. Although the appearance of the factor α is commented in [5], its origin is not specified. So, it is interesting to figure out the origin of the α parameter from the first principle.

In this note, we derive the non-relativistic approximation for Lorentz transformation of Maxwell's equations with the alternative forms of functions for electromagnetic fields. We find that the (extended) Hertz equations can be obtained at the (next) leading order approximation and the explicit form of α can be obtained from the non-relativistic limit. We show that the appearance of the factor α , which is commented in [5] and violates Galilean transformation, is the consequence of special relativity.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. 2, we derive explicitly the form of α and the Hertz equations from the non-relativistic approximation of Lorentz transformation for Maxwell's equations. Note that the forms of functions for electromagnetic fields used in the Hertz and extended Hertz equations should take an alternative form (that is, with inverse-transformed variables for ordinary form of electromagnetic fields, not just the ordinary form of electromagnetic fields). A typical consequence of Hertz equations and the extended Hertz equations, i.e., the superluminal behavior, is discussed in Sec. 3. Sec. 4 contains our conclusions. The derivation of Hertz equations from the integration form of Faraday induction equation and some important formulas used in the low velocity approximation are given in the appendix A and B, respectively. The physical meaning of the new P_S term in [1] is given in appendix C.

2 Non-relativistic limit of Lorentz transformation for Maxwell's equations

The validity of applying special relativity (SR) to the description of electromagnetic field and its quanta has been proven to an astonishing accuracy. For example, the theoretical prediction on electron anomalous magnetic moment by QED can fit the experimental data up to 10^{-10} accuracy. So, to describe electromagnetic phenomena, it is natural to begin with special relativity. In the following, we try to derive the low velocity approximation of Lorentz transformation for Maxwell's equations to describe the relevant electromagnetic phenomena in slow-moving media.

Lorentz transformation of electromagnetic field is given by

$$F'_{\mu\nu} = \Lambda_{\mu}^{\rho} \Lambda_{\nu}^{\sigma} F_{\rho\sigma} , \quad (2.1)$$

with

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu} A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu} A_{\mu} , \quad (2.2)$$

which, in components, is given by

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B_3 & -B_2 & -E_1/c \\ -B_3 & 0 & B_1 & -E_2/c \\ B_2 & -B_1 & 0 & -E_3/c \\ E_1/c & E_2/c & E_3/c & 0 \end{pmatrix} . \quad (2.3)$$

We keep the vacuum light speed c in the expressions for later convenience.

In components, we have Lorentz transformation of electromagnetic fields as

$$\vec{E}_\perp \rightarrow \gamma(\vec{E} + \vec{v} \times \vec{B})_\perp, \quad \vec{B}_\perp \rightarrow \gamma \left(\vec{B} - \frac{\vec{v} \times \vec{E}}{c^2} \right)_\perp, \quad (2.4)$$

and

$$\vec{E}_\parallel \rightarrow \vec{E}_\parallel, \quad \vec{B}_\parallel \rightarrow \vec{B}_\parallel, \quad (2.5)$$

with

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}}. \quad (2.6)$$

From the transformation law, it is obvious that we only need to discuss the simplest case with \vec{E} and \vec{B} perpendicular to the constant velocity \vec{v} of the reference frame. The extensions to general cases are straightforward. The velocity \vec{v} is assumed to be a constant in the entire text.

We should note that whether we can use the Hertz-form Maxwell equations or not depends crucially on which form of the functions we use to describe the \vec{E}, \vec{B} fields. Note that in Maxwell's equations, if we use the $\vec{E}(x)$ and $\vec{B}(x)$ in the Σ reference frame with coordinates $\{x\}$ and the coordinates $\{x'\}$ in the Σ' reference frame, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{E}_\perp(x) &\rightarrow \vec{E}'_\perp(x') = \gamma(\vec{E}(x) + \vec{v} \times \vec{B}(x))_\perp, \\ \vec{B}_\perp(x) &\rightarrow \vec{B}'_\perp(x') = \gamma \left(\vec{B}(x) - \frac{\vec{v} \times \vec{E}(x)}{c^2} \right)_\perp, \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

in which the functions $\vec{E}(x), \vec{B}(x)$ depend on the coordinate $\{x\}$ ¹. We need to express x in terms of x' via inverse Lorentz transformation to obtain the proper form of new $\vec{E}'(x')$ and $\vec{B}'(x')$ so that \vec{E}'_\perp can be written with the x' variables as

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{E}'_\perp(x') &= \gamma(\vec{E}'(x') + \vec{v} \times \vec{B}'(x'))_\perp, \\ \vec{B}'_\perp(x') &= \gamma \left(\vec{B}'(x') - \frac{\vec{v} \times \vec{E}'(x')}{c^2} \right)_\perp. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

That is,

$$\vec{E}'(x') \equiv \vec{E}[x(x')], \quad \vec{B}'(x') \equiv \vec{B}[x(x')], \quad (2.9)$$

with $x = \Lambda^{-1}x'$ the inverse coordinate transformation and x' as the variable for functions \vec{E}', \vec{B}' . The explicit function forms of $\vec{E}(x)$ and $\vec{E}'(x')$ are different. The electromagnetic fields in the (extended) Hertz equations should take the form $\vec{E}(x)$ and $\vec{B}(x)$. In the non-relativistic approximation, we have the Galilean transformation for coordinates

$$\vec{x}' = \vec{x} - \vec{v}t, \quad t' = t. \quad (2.10)$$

¹It is just the definition of tensor $A'_\mu(x')dx'^\mu(x) = A_\nu(x)dx^\nu$.

So, the form of

$$\vec{\mathcal{E}}(x') \equiv \vec{E}[\vec{x}' + \vec{v}t', t'] , \quad \vec{\mathcal{B}}(x') \equiv \vec{B}[\vec{x}' + \vec{v}t', t'] . \quad (2.11)$$

which, after using a new notation of variable z to avoid confusion, can be written as

$$\vec{\mathcal{E}}(z) = \vec{E}[\vec{z} + \vec{v}z_0, z_0] , \quad \vec{\mathcal{B}}(z) = \vec{B}[\vec{z} + \vec{v}z_0, z_0] . \quad (2.12)$$

This is naively the (inverse) Galilean transformed form for electromagnetic fields in the non-relativistic limit.

The electromagnetic fields in Maxwell's equation at the loop-rest frame

$$\nabla' \times \vec{E}'(x') = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t'} \vec{B}'(x') , \quad (2.13)$$

can be rewritten in terms of the fields in the moving frame ²

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla' \times (\vec{\mathcal{E}}(x') + \vec{v} \times \vec{\mathcal{B}}(x')) &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial t'} \left(\vec{\mathcal{B}}(x') - \frac{\vec{v} \times \vec{\mathcal{E}}(x')}{c^2} \right) , \\ \Rightarrow \nabla \times (\vec{\mathcal{E}}(x) + \vec{v} \times \vec{\mathcal{B}}(x)) &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\vec{\mathcal{B}}(x) - \frac{\vec{v} \times \vec{\mathcal{E}}(x)}{c^2} \right) . \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

The forms of the functions $\vec{\mathcal{E}}$ and $\vec{\mathcal{B}}$ are given by eq.(2.9). Here ∇' and ∇ denote the derivative with respect to the $x' \equiv (\vec{x}', t')$ coordinates and $x \equiv (\vec{x}, t)$ coordinates, respectively. Note that the replacement in eq.(2.14) is fairly non-trivial if it is to be understood as the changing of reference frame instead of simply changing of variables. The derivative of the original x' coordinates should be recasted into the x variables. The $\vec{\mathcal{E}}$ and $\vec{\mathcal{B}}$ fields, which are given in terms of \vec{E} and \vec{B} fields with inversely Lorentz transformation coordinates, are also in the original x' coordinates. New terms from the change of the derivative cancel the terms from the change of variables for the $\vec{\mathcal{E}}$ and $\vec{\mathcal{B}}$ fields up to the order of v/c . Details of the cancellation are given in Appendix B.

If the forms $\vec{E}(x), \vec{B}(x)$ (instead of the form of the functions $\vec{\mathcal{E}}(x)$ and $\vec{\mathcal{B}}(x)$) is adopted in eq.(2.14), we just recover the Maxwell's equations. For example, we can check one of the equation in Maxwell's equations

$$\nabla \times \vec{E} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{B} . \quad (2.15)$$

In the Σ' reference (loop-rest) frame, the left-handed side changes as

$$\begin{aligned} &\gamma \nabla'_{x'} \times (\vec{E}(x) + \vec{v} \times \vec{B}(x)) \\ &= \gamma^2 \left(\nabla + \frac{\vec{v}}{c^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) \times (\vec{E}(x) + \vec{v} \times \vec{B}(x)) \\ &= \gamma^2 \left(\nabla \times \vec{E}(x) + \frac{\vec{v}}{c^2} \times \frac{\partial \vec{E}(x)}{\partial t} - (\vec{v} \cdot \nabla) \vec{B}(x) - \frac{\vec{v}}{c^2} \times (\vec{v} \times (\nabla \times \vec{E}(x))) \right) . \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

²It can be seen simply as the changing of variables. As different variables correspond to different reference frame, the changing of variables also corresponds to the transformations between different reference frames. See the deduction in appendix B for details for the second approach.

The right-handed side changes as

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial t'} \left(\vec{B}(x) - \frac{\vec{v} \times \vec{E}(x)}{c^2} \right) \\
&= -\gamma^2 \left(\vec{v} \cdot \nabla + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) \left(\vec{B}(x) - \frac{\vec{v} \times \vec{E}(x)}{c^2} \right) \\
&= \gamma^2 \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{B}(x) - (\vec{v} \cdot \nabla) \vec{B}(x) + \frac{\vec{v}}{c^2} \times \frac{\partial \vec{E}(x)}{\partial t} - \frac{\vec{v}}{c^2} \times (\nabla \times \vec{E}(x)) \right), \quad (2.17)
\end{aligned}$$

by

$$\begin{aligned}
(\vec{v} \cdot \nabla) (\vec{v} \times \vec{E}) &= \nabla \left[\vec{v} \cdot (\vec{v} \times \vec{E}) \right] - \vec{v} \times (\nabla \times (\vec{v} \times \vec{E})), \\
&= -\vec{v} \times (\nabla \times (\vec{v} \times \vec{E})), \\
&= \vec{v} \times [(\vec{v} \cdot \nabla) \vec{E}], \\
&= -\vec{v} \times [\vec{v} \times (\nabla \times \vec{E})], \quad (2.18)
\end{aligned}$$

which just reproduce the ordinary form of Maxwell's equations.

So it is important to notify which forms of functions for electromagnetic fields, \vec{E}, \vec{B} or $\vec{\mathcal{E}}(x), \vec{\mathcal{B}}(x)$, are used in the Maxwell's (or Hertz form Maxwell's) equations. That is, to proper use the Hertz and extended Hertz equations, we should use the transformed function forms for \vec{E}, \vec{B} , that is, $\vec{\mathcal{E}}(x)$ and $\vec{\mathcal{B}}(x)$ in eq.(2.9) (or the inverse Galilean transformed forms $\vec{E}[\vec{z} + \vec{v}z_0, z_0], \vec{B}[\vec{z} + \vec{v}z_0, z_0]$ in the non-relativistic limit as in eq.(2.12)). The ordinary $\vec{E}(x), \vec{B}(x)$ should be used in the ordinary forms of Maxwell's equations instead of the Hertz-form Maxwell's equations.

Unless otherwise specified, the coordinates for the fields and the derivatives take the value (\vec{x}, t) ; the functions for electromagnetic fields take the form in eq.(2.9).

From eq.(2.14), after neglecting the v/c term, we can reproduce the Hertz equation

$$\nabla \times (\vec{\mathcal{E}} + \vec{v} \times \vec{\mathcal{B}}) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{\mathcal{B}}. \quad (2.19)$$

Taking into account the v/c correction, we will have an additional term

$$\frac{\vec{v}}{c^2} \times \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{\mathcal{E}}. \quad (2.20)$$

The expression $\partial \vec{\mathcal{E}} / \partial t$ can be deduced as

$$\nabla \times \left[\vec{\mathcal{B}} - \frac{\vec{v} \times \vec{\mathcal{E}}}{c^2} \right] = \mu \vec{\mathcal{J}} + \mu \epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\vec{\mathcal{E}} + \vec{v} \times \vec{\mathcal{B}}) - \mu \rho \vec{v}, \quad (2.21)$$

from one of the Maxwell's equations in the original reference frame after the substitution with coordinates-transformed electromagnetic fields and sources

$$\nabla' \times \vec{B}'(x') = \mu \vec{J}'(x') + \mu \epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial t'} \vec{E}'(x'). \quad (2.22)$$

We have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{\mathcal{E}} = \frac{1}{\mu\epsilon} \nabla \times \left(\vec{\mathcal{B}} - \frac{\vec{v} \times \vec{\mathcal{E}}}{c^2} \right) - \frac{1}{\epsilon} \vec{\mathcal{J}} - \vec{v} \times \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{\mathcal{B}} \right) + \mu \tilde{\rho} \vec{v}. \quad (2.23)$$

Substituting back into the expressions of (2.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \times (\vec{\mathcal{E}} + \vec{v} \times \vec{\mathcal{B}}) &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{\mathcal{B}} + \frac{\vec{v}}{c^2} \times \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{\mathcal{E}} \\ &\approx -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{\mathcal{B}} + \frac{1}{\mu\epsilon c^2} \vec{v} \times (\nabla \times \vec{\mathcal{B}}) - \frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{\vec{v}}{c^2} \times \vec{\mathcal{J}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

after neglecting the v^2 terms. We also have

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{v} \times (\nabla \times \vec{\mathcal{B}}) &= \nabla(\vec{v} \cdot \vec{\mathcal{B}}) - (\vec{v} \cdot \nabla) \vec{\mathcal{B}} \\ &= -(\vec{v} \cdot \nabla) \vec{\mathcal{B}} \\ &= \nabla \times (\vec{v} \times \vec{\mathcal{B}}), \end{aligned} \quad (2.25)$$

for constant velocity \vec{v} and $\vec{v} \cdot \vec{\mathcal{B}} = 0$.

After neglecting the source $\vec{\mathcal{J}}$ term or the source vector is parallel to \vec{v} , we can arrive at the coefficient of $\nabla \times (\vec{v} \times \vec{\mathcal{B}})$ within eq.(2.24)

$$\nabla \times (\vec{\mathcal{E}} + \alpha \vec{v} \times \vec{\mathcal{B}}) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{\mathcal{B}}, \quad (2.26)$$

with

$$\alpha = 1 - \frac{1}{\epsilon\mu} \frac{1}{c^2} = 1 - \frac{\epsilon_0\mu_0}{\epsilon\mu} = \frac{\epsilon\mu - \epsilon_0\mu_0}{\epsilon\mu} \quad (2.27)$$

and the expression for light speed

$$c^2 = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0\mu_0}. \quad (2.28)$$

We see that the expression for α is just the form given in [5].

Similarly, we can substitute the Hertz equation for $\nabla \times \vec{\mathcal{E}}$ into eq.(2.21)

$$\nabla \times \left[\vec{\mathcal{B}} - \frac{\vec{v} \times \vec{\mathcal{E}}}{c^2} \right] = \mu \left(\vec{\mathcal{J}} - \vec{v} \tilde{\rho} \right) + \mu\epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\vec{\mathcal{E}} + \vec{v} \times \vec{\mathcal{B}} \right), \quad (2.29)$$

to obtain

$$\nabla \times \left[\vec{\mathcal{B}} - \frac{\vec{v} \times \vec{\mathcal{E}}}{c^2} \right] = \mu \left(\vec{\mathcal{J}} - \vec{v} \tilde{\rho} \right) + \mu\epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{\mathcal{E}} - \mu\epsilon \vec{v} \times \left[\nabla \times (\vec{\mathcal{E}} + \alpha \vec{v} \times \vec{\mathcal{B}}) \right], \quad (2.30)$$

with

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\vec{v} \times \vec{\mathcal{B}}) = \vec{v} \times \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{\mathcal{B}} = -\vec{v} \times \left[\nabla \times (\vec{\mathcal{E}} + \alpha \vec{v} \times \vec{\mathcal{B}}) \right]. \quad (2.31)$$

Again, after neglecting v^2 term, we arrive at

$$\nabla \times \left[\vec{\mathcal{B}} - \frac{\vec{v} \times \vec{\mathcal{E}}}{c^2} \right] = \mu \left(\vec{\mathcal{J}} - \vec{v} \tilde{\rho} \right) + \mu \epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{\mathcal{E}} - \mu \epsilon \vec{v} \times \left(\nabla \times \vec{\mathcal{E}} \right). \quad (2.32)$$

Using

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{v} \times \left(\nabla \times \vec{\mathcal{E}} \right) &= \nabla (\vec{v} \cdot \vec{\mathcal{E}}) - (\vec{v} \cdot \nabla) \vec{\mathcal{E}} \\ &= -(\vec{v} \cdot \nabla) \vec{\mathcal{E}} \\ &= \nabla \times \left(\vec{v} \times \vec{\mathcal{E}} \right) - (\nabla \cdot \vec{\mathcal{E}}) \vec{v}, \\ &= \nabla \times \left(\vec{v} \times \vec{\mathcal{E}} \right) - \frac{1}{\epsilon} \tilde{\rho} \vec{v}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.33)$$

we can arrive at

$$\nabla \times \left[\vec{\mathcal{B}} - \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \vec{v} \times \vec{\mathcal{E}} \right] = \mu \vec{\mathcal{J}} + \mu \epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{\mathcal{E}} - \mu \epsilon \nabla \times \left(\vec{v} \times \vec{\mathcal{E}} \right), \quad (2.34)$$

which is just the form

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \times \left[\vec{\mathcal{B}} + \mu \epsilon \alpha \vec{v} \times \vec{\mathcal{E}} \right] &= \mu \vec{\mathcal{J}} + \mu \epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{\mathcal{E}}, \\ \nabla \times \left[\vec{\mathcal{H}} + \alpha \vec{v} \times \vec{\mathcal{D}} \right] &= \vec{\mathcal{J}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{\mathcal{D}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.35)$$

with

$$\alpha \equiv \frac{\epsilon \mu - \epsilon_0 \mu_0}{\epsilon \mu}. \quad (2.36)$$

So the generalization of Hertz equations to include α can be seen as the non-relativistic limit of Lorentz-transformed Maxwell's equations, using an alternative form of functions for electromagnetic fields.

In the case of conductors with $\mu, \epsilon \rightarrow \infty$, the value of α tends to 1, which is just the case for the Hertz equations.

Similarly, we can obtain other Herz form Maxwell equations.

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot \left(\vec{\mathcal{E}} + \vec{v} \times \vec{\mathcal{B}} \right) &= \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(\tilde{\rho} - \frac{\vec{v} \cdot \vec{\mathcal{J}}}{c^2} \right) \\ \Rightarrow \nabla \cdot \vec{\mathcal{E}} - \vec{v} \cdot \left(\nabla \times \vec{\mathcal{B}} \right) &= \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(\tilde{\rho} - \frac{\vec{v} \cdot \vec{\mathcal{J}}}{c^2} \right) \\ \Rightarrow \nabla \cdot \vec{\mathcal{E}} - \vec{v} \cdot \left(\mu \vec{\mathcal{J}} + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{\mathcal{E}} + \alpha (\vec{v} \cdot \nabla) \vec{\mathcal{E}} \right) &= \frac{1}{\epsilon} \tilde{\rho} - \mu \vec{v} \cdot \vec{\mathcal{J}} \\ \Rightarrow \nabla \cdot \vec{\mathcal{E}} - \frac{\vec{v}}{c^2} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{\mathcal{E}} + \alpha (\vec{v} \cdot \nabla) \vec{\mathcal{E}} \right) &= \frac{1}{\epsilon} \tilde{\rho} \\ \Rightarrow \nabla \cdot \vec{\mathcal{E}} &= \frac{1}{\epsilon} \tilde{\rho}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.37)$$

with

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\vec{v} \cdot \vec{\mathcal{E}}) \equiv 0 \Rightarrow \vec{v} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{\mathcal{E}} = 0. \quad (2.38)$$

We can neglect the third term because it is of order v^2 . Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot \left(\vec{\mathcal{B}} - \frac{\vec{v} \times \vec{\mathcal{E}}}{c^2} \right) &= 0, \\ \Rightarrow \nabla \cdot \vec{\mathcal{B}} - \frac{\vec{v}}{c^2} \cdot (\nabla \times \vec{\mathcal{E}}) &= 0, \\ \Rightarrow \nabla \cdot \vec{\mathcal{B}} - \frac{\vec{v}}{c^2} \cdot \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{\mathcal{B}} + \alpha (\vec{v} \cdot \nabla) \vec{\mathcal{B}} \right) &= 0, \\ \Rightarrow \nabla \cdot \vec{\mathcal{B}} &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.39)$$

with

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\vec{v} \cdot \vec{\mathcal{B}}) \equiv 0 \Rightarrow \vec{v} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{\mathcal{B}} = 0,$$

after neglecting the order v^2 term.

So, it is obvious that the (extended) Hertz equations are the non-relativistic (low-velocity) limit of Lorentz transformation of Maxwell's equations, which adopt an alternative form of functions to description the same electromagnetic fields. Although the Hertz equations preserve Galilean invariance, the extend Hertz equations lose such an invariance. So they should not naively be seen as the Galilean transformation of Maxwell's equations. As the propagating electromagnetic waves are intrinsically relativistic, the non-relativistic limit should be used when the electromagnetic fields can be seen as the background.

We should emphasize again that, to properly use the the Hertz and extend Hertz equations, the explicit forms of the functions for electromagnetic fields in the Hertz and extend Hertz equations should take the alternative form $\vec{\mathcal{E}}$ and $\vec{\mathcal{B}}$ with inverse transformed coordinates (in eq.(2.9)) instead of ordinary $\vec{E}(x)$ and $\vec{B}(x)$, which are in general different (or we need to use the inverse Galilean transformed forms $\vec{E}[\vec{z} + \vec{v}z_0, z_0]$, $\vec{B}[\vec{z} + \vec{v}z_0, z_0]$, the eq.(2.12) in the non-relativistic limit).

3 Discussions about the superluminal behavior

From the previous extended Hertz equations involving α , we can deduce the D'Alembert equation for $\vec{\mathcal{E}}$ (and $\vec{\mathcal{B}}$). For simply, we consider only the plane wave solutions with

$$\vec{\mathcal{E}}(x) = \vec{\mathcal{E}}_0 \exp \left[i \left(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x} - \omega t \right) \right], \quad \vec{\mathcal{B}}(x) = \vec{\mathcal{B}}_0 \exp \left[i \left(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x} - \omega t \right) \right]. \quad (3.1)$$

The extended Hertz equations without sources can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot \vec{\mathcal{E}} &= 0, \quad \nabla \cdot \vec{\mathcal{B}} = 0, \\ \nabla \times \vec{\mathcal{B}} &= \mu\epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{\mathcal{E}} + \mu\epsilon\alpha (\vec{v} \cdot \nabla) \vec{\mathcal{E}}, \\ \nabla \times \vec{\mathcal{E}} &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{\mathcal{B}} + \alpha (\vec{v} \cdot \nabla) \vec{\mathcal{B}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Take the *curl* operation for the fourth equation, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
-\nabla^2 \vec{\mathcal{E}} &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\mu\epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{\mathcal{E}} + \mu\epsilon\alpha (\vec{v} \cdot \nabla) \vec{\mathcal{E}} \right] + \alpha \nabla \times [(\vec{v} \cdot \nabla) \vec{\mathcal{B}}] , \\
&= -\frac{1}{\tilde{c}^2} \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \vec{\mathcal{E}} + i\alpha (\vec{v} \cdot \vec{k}) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{\mathcal{E}} \right] + i\alpha (\vec{v} \cdot \vec{k}) [\nabla \times \vec{\mathcal{B}}] , \\
&= -\frac{1}{\tilde{c}^2} \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \vec{\mathcal{E}} + i\alpha (\vec{v} \cdot \vec{k}) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{\mathcal{E}} \right] + i\alpha (\vec{v} \cdot \vec{k}) \frac{1}{\tilde{c}^2} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + i\alpha (\vec{v} \cdot \vec{k}) \right] \vec{\mathcal{E}} , \\
&= -\frac{1}{\tilde{c}^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \vec{\mathcal{E}} - \frac{\alpha^2}{\tilde{c}^2} (\vec{v} \cdot \vec{k})^2 \vec{\mathcal{E}} .
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

with the light speed in the media $1/\tilde{c}^2 = \mu\epsilon$.

So the resulting D'Alembert equation can be written as

$$\left[\nabla^2 - \frac{1}{\tilde{c}^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\alpha^2}{\tilde{c}^2} (\vec{v} \cdot \vec{k})^2 \right] \vec{\mathcal{E}} = 0 . \tag{3.4}$$

We have the following discussions:

- $\vec{v} \cdot \vec{k} = 0$, that is, the direction \vec{v} is perpendicular to propagating direction \vec{k} . The D'Alembert equation takes the ordinary form with the light speed $\tilde{c}^2 = 1/(\mu\epsilon)$.
- $\vec{v} \parallel \vec{k}$. The D'Alembert equation takes the form

$$\left[\nabla^2 - \frac{1}{\tilde{c}^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\alpha^2}{\tilde{c}^2} v^2 k^2 \right] \vec{\mathcal{E}} = 0 . \tag{3.5}$$

In momentum space, we have

$$-k^2 + \frac{\omega^2}{\tilde{c}^2} - \frac{\alpha^2}{\tilde{c}^2} v^2 k^2 = 0 . \tag{3.6}$$

So

$$\left(1 + \frac{\alpha^2}{\tilde{c}^2} v^2 \right) k^2 = \frac{\omega^2}{\tilde{c}^2} . \tag{3.7}$$

We can define a new quantity, *apparent light speed* \tilde{c}' , to satisfy

$$\tilde{c}'^2 = \tilde{c}^2 + \alpha^2 v^2 , \tag{3.8}$$

so as that the ordinary relation $k^2 \tilde{c}'^2 = \omega^2$ is preserved for massless photon. It is obvious that the quantity \tilde{c}' is always larger than the light speed in the media. Especially, in the vacuum, superluminal behavior will occur.

From previous discussions, although it is not problematic for the apparent light speed \tilde{c} of the electromagnetic field to be larger than the ordinary light speed in the media, the quantity \tilde{c} can possibly exceed the ordinary vacuum light speed if this D'Alembert equation is applied for the vacuum.

One may worry that the superluminal behavior may cause inconsistency. However, we should note that the previous expressions in eq.(2.26) and eq.(2.34) are just the non-relativistic approximation of Lorentz transformations for Maxwell's equations. They should not apply to the propagation of electromagnetic wave, which is intrinsically relativistic. By going to the relativistic region, the sub-leading terms in v/c expansion should be included. The $\alpha^2 k^2 v^2$ term will be canceled by the inclusion of all the subleading contributions (in v/c expansion) to keep the vacuum light speed as a constant, which is just one of the two ansatzes of special relativity. In the case that the electromagnetic field is a background field, we need not worry about the apparent superluminal behavior illustrated by the D'Alembert equation.

4 Conclusions

The recent work of [1] on the expanded Maxwell's equations for slow-moving charged media system can be seen to be equivalent to Hertz equations after the redefinition of electric displacement D . We showed explicitly that Hertz equations and their extensions can be obtained from the non-relativistic limit of Lorentz transformation for Maxwell's equations. The explicit expression for the parameter α in the extended Hertz equations can be derived from such non-relativistic limit. We noted that the Hertz equations should not be seen as a naive application of Galilean transformation to Maxwell's equations. The extended Hertz equations, which no longer preserve Galilean invariance, origin from Lorentz transformation of Maxwell's equations. Especially, the α parameter is of relativistic origin. Such equations, i.e., Hertz equations and their extensions, can be safely used when the electromagnetic field can be seen as the background. The superluminal behavior illustrated by the D'Alembert equation from the extended Hertz equations should be removed by including all of the subleading contributions in the v/c expansion. In the case that the electromagnetic field is a background field, we need not worry about the apparent superluminal behavior of the D'Alembert equation. We should note that, to properly use the the Hertz and extend Hertz equations, the explicit forms of the functions for electromagnetic fields in the Hertz and extend Hertz equations should take alternative forms $\vec{\mathcal{E}}$ and $\vec{\mathcal{B}}$ in eq.(2.9), which is just of form $\vec{E}(\Lambda^{-1}x)$ and $\vec{B}(\Lambda^{-1}x)$ (or we need to use the inverse Galilean transformed forms $\vec{E}[\vec{z} + \vec{v}z_0, z_0]$, $\vec{B}[\vec{z} + \vec{v}z_0, z_0]$, the eq.(2.12) in the non-relativistic limit). Such a choice of descriptions are in general different to the ordinary one with $\vec{E}(x)$ and $\vec{B}(x)$, which can not be used in the Hertz and extended Hertz equations. The (extended) Hertz-form Maxwell's equations and ordinary Maxwell's equations are equivalent descriptions in the non-relativistic limit (with different sets of functions for electromagnetic fields).

We should note about the magnetic monopole extension of Maxwell's equations. Such an extension use the form of functions $\vec{E}(x)$ and $\vec{B}(x)$. If we adopt the $\vec{\mathcal{E}}$ and $\vec{\mathcal{B}}$ descriptions of the electromagnetic fields, we can also extend the (extended) Hertz equations with additional topological terms.

Besides, as the (extended) Hertz equation can be readily deduced from special relativity, the aether assumption is no-longer needed here. It is just the non-relativistic limit

description of Maxwell equations with an alternative set of functions for electromagnetic fields, although they can (approximately) preserve Galilean invariance.

A The Hertz equation from the integration form

We can derive one of the Hertz equations from the integration form of Faraday's induction law

$$\oint_{\partial S} \vec{E} \cdot d\vec{l} = -\frac{d}{dt} \int_S \vec{B} \cdot d\vec{S}. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

When the surface is moving with a velocity v , the boundary of the surface S is also moving. In three-dimensional space, the points $(x(t), y(t), z(t))$ within the surface S at time t can be related to the points (x_0, y_0, z_0) at $t = 0$ as $x_i(t) = x_0 + v_i t$. Changing the variable from (x, y, z) into $(x + v_1 t, y + v_2 t, z + v_3 t)$, the integral satisfies

$$\int_{S(t)} \vec{B}(\vec{x}, t) \cdot d\vec{S} = \int_{S(t_0)} \vec{B}(\vec{x} + \vec{v}t, t) \cdot d\vec{S}. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

In fact, this formula can be seen clearly from the definition of multi-variable integral as the surface does not change its shape. Here the surface $s(t_0)$ does not depend on the time variable t . So, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{S(t)} \vec{B} \cdot d\vec{S} &= \int_{S(t_0)} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{B}(\vec{x} + \vec{v}t, t) + \frac{\partial(x_i + v_i t)}{\partial t} \frac{\partial}{\partial(x_i + v_i t)} \vec{B}(\vec{x} + \vec{v}t, t) \right] \cdot d\vec{S} \\ &= \int_{S(t_0)} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{B}(\vec{x} + \vec{v}t, t) + (\vec{v} \cdot \nabla) \vec{B}(\vec{x} + \vec{v}t, t) \right] \cdot d\vec{S}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

In the left-handed side,

$$\begin{aligned} \oint_{\partial S} \vec{E}(\vec{x}, t) \cdot d\vec{l} &= \int_{S(t)} \nabla \times \vec{E}(\vec{x}, t) \\ &= \int_{S(t_0)} \nabla \times \vec{E}(\vec{x} + \vec{v}t, t), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

after changing variables into $(\vec{x} + \vec{v}t, t)$. As

$$\nabla \times (\vec{B} \times \vec{v}) = (\vec{v} \cdot \nabla) \vec{B}, \quad (\text{A.5})$$

for constant \vec{v} , we can arrive at the equation

$$\nabla \times \vec{E} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{B} + \nabla \times (\vec{B} \times \vec{v}), \quad (\text{A.6})$$

for moving boundaries with variables of electromagnetic fields as $(\vec{x} + \vec{v}t, t)$. The sign of \vec{v} depends on which frame we choose to use. This conclusion is consistent with our previous discussions on which forms of functions for electromagnetic fields we should choose to use in Hertz equations, say, eq.(2.12). The remaining Hertz equations can be deduced in a similar way.

We can see that the dependence on the coordinate transformation is just a mathematical technique and should not indicate the motion of the electromagnetic fields itself.

B The non-relativistic limit of typical terms in the Lorentz-transformed Maxwell's equations

In eq.(2.14), the expressions in both coordinates are not changed. It can surely be seen as the naive change of variables. It can also be seen physically by directly adopting the transformations of reference frame.

The expansion of electromagnetic fields in x' variable can be expressed in terms of x variable as

$$\vec{\mathcal{E}}(\vec{x}', t') \approx \vec{\mathcal{E}}(\vec{x} - t\vec{v}, t - \frac{\vec{v} \cdot \vec{x}}{c^2}) \approx \vec{\mathcal{E}}(\vec{x}, t) - t(\vec{v} \cdot \nabla) \vec{\mathcal{E}}(\vec{x}, t) - \frac{\vec{v} \cdot \vec{x}}{c^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{\mathcal{E}}(\vec{x}, t), \quad (\text{B.1})$$

for small v . Similarly expansion can be given for other functions in the Hertz and extended Hertz equations. We keep only the leading order of v expansion without taking into account the γ factor, which will give higher order terms of v^2/c^2 in the expansion. Firstly, we consider the transformation

$$\nabla \times \vec{\mathcal{B}}(\vec{x}, t) \rightarrow \nabla' \times \vec{\mathcal{B}}(\vec{x}', t'). \quad (\text{B.2})$$

From the Lorentz transformation law of ∂_μ , we can obtain the expressions for ∇

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla' &\rightarrow \gamma \left(\nabla + \frac{\vec{v}}{c^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right), \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t'} &\rightarrow \gamma \left(\vec{v} \cdot \nabla + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.3})$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla' \times \vec{\mathcal{E}}(\vec{x}', t') &\approx \gamma \left(\nabla + \frac{\vec{v}}{c^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) \times \left[\vec{\mathcal{E}}(\vec{x}, t) - t(\vec{v} \cdot \nabla) \vec{\mathcal{E}}(\vec{x}, t) - \frac{\vec{v} \cdot \vec{x}}{c^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{\mathcal{E}}(\vec{x}, t) \right] \\ &\approx \nabla \times \vec{\mathcal{E}}(\vec{x}, t) + \frac{\vec{v}}{c^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \times \vec{\mathcal{E}}(\vec{x}, t) - \frac{1}{c^2} \nabla \times \left[(\vec{v} \cdot \vec{x}) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{\mathcal{E}}(\vec{x}, t) \right] - t \nabla \times \left[(\vec{v} \cdot \nabla) \vec{\mathcal{E}}(\vec{x}, t) \right] \\ &\approx \nabla \times \vec{\mathcal{E}}(\vec{x}, t) + \frac{1}{\mu\epsilon} \frac{\vec{v}}{c^2} \times \left[\nabla \times \vec{\mathcal{B}}(\vec{x}, t) \right] - \frac{1}{\mu\epsilon} \frac{\vec{v}}{c^2} \times \left[\nabla \times \vec{\mathcal{B}}(\vec{x}, t) \right] - t \nabla \times \left[(\vec{v} \cdot \nabla) \vec{\mathcal{E}}(\vec{x}, t) \right] \\ &= \nabla \times \vec{\mathcal{E}}(\vec{x}, t) - t \nabla \times \left[(\vec{v} \cdot \nabla) \vec{\mathcal{E}}(\vec{x}, t) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.4})$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \times \left[(\vec{v} \cdot \vec{x}) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{\mathcal{E}}(\vec{x}, t) \right] &= \nabla(\vec{v} \cdot \vec{x}) \times \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{\mathcal{E}}(\vec{x}, t) + (\vec{v} \cdot \vec{x}) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\nabla \times \vec{\mathcal{E}}(\vec{x}, t) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\mu\epsilon} \vec{v} \times \left(\nabla \times \vec{\mathcal{B}} - \frac{1}{\mu} \vec{\mathcal{J}} \right) - (\vec{v} \cdot \vec{x}) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \vec{\mathcal{B}}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.5})$$

The term involving $\partial^2 \vec{\mathcal{B}} / \partial t^2$ is $1/c^2$ suppressed in comparison with the first term as $c^2 = 1/(\mu\epsilon)$ and $\vec{\mathcal{J}}$ always parallel to \vec{v} . So we can neglect such terms. Note that the cancelation of the $\partial \vec{\mathcal{E}} / \partial t$ terms in the second line of eq.(B.4) is automatic, which do not depend on the explicit form of $\partial \vec{\mathcal{E}} / \partial t$ in Maxwell's equations.

Up to v/c order, we have

$$\nabla' \times (\vec{v} \times \vec{\mathcal{B}}(\vec{x}', t')) \approx \nabla \times (\vec{v} \times \vec{\mathcal{B}}(\vec{x}, t)) + o(v^2/c^2). \quad (\text{B.6})$$

The right-handed side of eq.(2.14) is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t'} \vec{\mathcal{B}}(\vec{x}', t') &\approx \left(\vec{v} \cdot \nabla + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) \left[\vec{\mathcal{B}}(\vec{x}, t) - t(\vec{v} \cdot \nabla) \vec{\mathcal{B}}(\vec{x}, t) \right] \\ &\approx (\vec{v} \cdot \nabla) \vec{\mathcal{B}}(x) + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{\mathcal{B}}(x) - (\vec{v} \cdot \nabla) \vec{\mathcal{B}}(x) - t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left((\vec{v} \cdot \nabla) \vec{\mathcal{B}}(x) \right) \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{\mathcal{B}}(\vec{x}, t) - t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\nabla \times (\vec{v} \times \vec{\mathcal{B}}(x)) \right) \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{\mathcal{B}}(\vec{x}, t) - t \left(\nabla \times \left(\vec{v} \times \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{\mathcal{B}}(x) \right) \right) \\ &\approx \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{\mathcal{B}}(\vec{x}, t) + t \left(\nabla \times \left(\vec{v} \times \left(\nabla \times \vec{\mathcal{E}}(x) \right) \right) \right) \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{\mathcal{B}}(\vec{x}, t) + t \left(\nabla \times \left(\nabla(\vec{v} \cdot \vec{\mathcal{E}}) - (\vec{v} \cdot \nabla) \vec{\mathcal{E}}(x) \right) \right), \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{\mathcal{B}}(\vec{x}, t) - t \left(\nabla \times (\vec{v} \cdot \nabla) \vec{\mathcal{E}}(x) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.7})$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t'} \left[\frac{\vec{v}}{c^2} \times \vec{\mathcal{E}}(\vec{x}') \right] \approx \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\frac{\vec{v}}{c^2} \times \vec{\mathcal{E}}(\vec{x}) \right] \quad (\text{B.8})$$

up to $o(v)$.

So the Maxwell's equation

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla' \times \vec{E}'(x') &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial t'} \vec{B}'(x') \\ \Rightarrow \nabla' \times (\vec{\mathcal{E}} + \vec{v} \times \vec{\mathcal{B}})(x') &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial t'} \left(\vec{\mathcal{B}} - \frac{\vec{v} \times \vec{\mathcal{E}}}{c^2} \right) (x'), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.9})$$

can be recast into the form

$$\nabla \times \vec{\mathcal{E}}(x) + \nabla \times (\vec{v} \times \vec{\mathcal{B}}(x)) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{\mathcal{B}}(x) + \frac{\vec{v}}{c^2} \times \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\vec{\mathcal{E}}(x)). \quad (\text{B.10})$$

The extension to the formula involving $\nabla \times \vec{B}$ is straightforward.

The divergence related expression in the Maxwell's equations is transformed as

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla' \cdot \vec{\mathcal{E}}(x') &\approx \left(\nabla + \frac{\vec{v}}{c^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) \cdot \left[\vec{\mathcal{E}}(\vec{x}, t) - t(\vec{v} \cdot \nabla) \vec{\mathcal{E}}(\vec{x}, t) - \frac{\vec{v} \cdot \vec{x}}{c^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{\mathcal{E}}(\vec{x}, t) \right] \\ &\approx \nabla \cdot \vec{\mathcal{E}}(\vec{x}, t) + \frac{\vec{v}}{c^2} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{\mathcal{E}}(\vec{x}, t) - \frac{1}{c^2} [\nabla(\vec{v} \cdot \vec{x})] \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{\mathcal{E}}(\vec{x}, t) - \frac{\vec{v} \cdot \vec{x}}{c^2} \nabla \cdot \left[\frac{1}{\mu\epsilon} \nabla \times \vec{\mathcal{B}} - \frac{1}{\epsilon} \vec{\mathcal{J}} \right] \\ &\approx \nabla \cdot \vec{\mathcal{E}}(\vec{x}, t) + \frac{\vec{v}}{c^2} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{\mathcal{E}}(\vec{x}, t) - \frac{\vec{v}}{c^2} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{\mathcal{E}}(\vec{x}, t) + \frac{(\vec{v} \cdot \vec{x})}{\epsilon c^2} \nabla \cdot \vec{\mathcal{J}} \\ &\approx \nabla \cdot \vec{\mathcal{E}}(\vec{x}, t) + \frac{(\vec{v} \cdot \vec{x})}{\epsilon c^2} \nabla \cdot \vec{\mathcal{J}}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.11})$$

by using

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla \cdot \left[(\vec{v} \cdot \vec{x}) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{\mathcal{E}}(\vec{x}, t) \right] &= [\nabla(\vec{v} \cdot \vec{x})] \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{\mathcal{E}}(\vec{x}, t) + (\vec{v} \cdot \vec{x}) \nabla \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{\mathcal{E}}(\vec{x}, t) , \\ \nabla \cdot ((\vec{v} \cdot \nabla) \vec{\mathcal{E}}(\vec{x}, t)) &= -\nabla \cdot (\nabla \times (\vec{v} \times \vec{\mathcal{E}}(\vec{x}, t))) = 0.\end{aligned}\tag{B.12}$$

and

$$\nabla \cdot (\nabla \times \vec{\mathcal{B}}) = 0 ,\tag{B.13}$$

up to $o(v)$.

Obviously, the electric density changes as

$$\tilde{\rho}(x') \approx \tilde{\rho}(\vec{x}, t) - t(\vec{v} \cdot \nabla) \rho(\vec{x}, t) - \frac{\vec{v} \cdot \vec{x}}{c^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \tilde{\rho}(\vec{x}, t).\tag{B.14}$$

So, the equation

$$\nabla' \cdot \vec{\mathcal{D}}'(x') = \rho'(x') ,\tag{B.15}$$

will lead to

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{\mathcal{D}}(x) = \rho'(x) ,\tag{B.16}$$

with the application of the conservation equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rho + \nabla \cdot \vec{\mathcal{J}} = 0 .\tag{B.17}$$

C The physical meaning of P_S term

We briefly describe the meaning of P_S for one to understand better the work in [1]. In electrodynamics, the field created by free charges is denoted as \vec{E} , which causes the media to be polarized, resulting in polarization charges on surfaces of the media. The contribution made by the polarization charges can be represented by a polarization vector \vec{P} , which is responsible for the screening effect of the medium to the external electric field \vec{E} . On the other hand, for media that are moving or subject to two physics effects, piezoelectric effect and/or triboelectric effect, additional charges can be created on the media surface. Piezoelectric effect is about the crystal lattice polarization of piezoelectric materials once they are subjected to having a mechanical strain, the anions and cations in the crystal will be polarized and distributed, respectively, at the two ends of the crystal along the polarization direction. Triboelectric effect is about the electrostatic charges created on the surface of the media once they are in physical contacts. Such charges are usually created due to the movement of the media. If the surface of the medium has electrostatic charges that are produced by effects such as piezoelectric effect and/or triboelectric effect [6], an additional term is added in displacement vector \vec{D} . The charges that contribute to the term are neither free charges, not polarization induced charges, instead they are intrinsic surface bound electrostatic charges as introduced by external mechanical triggering to the

media. This term is necessary for developing the theory of mechanical to electric energy conversion. The displacement vector is first modified in [1, 2] by

$$\vec{D} = \epsilon_0 \vec{E} + \vec{P} + \vec{P}_s = \epsilon_0(1 + \chi) \vec{E} + \vec{P}_s . \quad (\text{C.1})$$

Here, the first term is due to the field created by the free charges, called external electric field; the polarization vector \vec{P} is the medium polarization caused by the existence of the external electric field \vec{E} ; and the added term \vec{P}_s is mainly due to the existence of the surface electrostatic charges and the time variation in boundary shapes. The corresponding space charge density is

$$\rho_s = -\nabla \cdot \vec{P}_s . \quad (\text{C.2})$$

The surface electrostatic charge density is $\sigma_s = \vec{n} \cdot \vec{P}_s$ and the current density contributed by the bond electrostatic charges is

$$\vec{J}_s = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} P_s . \quad (\text{C.3})$$

Acknowledgments

We are very grateful to Rong Gen Cai and Ming Yu for reading our drafts carefully and good suggestions. We thank Wei-Mou Zheng and Zhong-Lin Wang for discussions. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NNSFC) under grant Nos. 12075213, 11675147, 11821505 and 12075300, by the Key Research Project of Henan Education Department for colleges and universities under grant number 21A140025, by Peng-Huan-Wu Theoretical Physics Innovation Center (12047503), by the CAS Center for Excellence in Particle Physics (CCEPP), by the CAS Key Research Program of Frontier Sciences, and by a Key R&D Program of Ministry of Science and Technology of China under number 2017YFA0402204, and by the Key Research Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Grant NO. XDPB15.

References

- [1] Z. L. Wang, *Materials Today*, (2021), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mattod.2021.10.027>.
- [2] Z. L. Wang, *Nano Energy* 68 (2020) 104272.
- [3] H. Hertz, *Ann. Phys.* 41, 369 (1890).
- [4] W. Pauli, *Theory of Relativity*, Pergamon, London 1958, C.3.
- [5] A. Rozov, *Z. Naturforsch.* 7 (2015) 1019.
- [6] Z. L. Wang, *Report on Progress in Physics*, 84, 096502(2021).