

# Effect of inhomogeneities on the propagation of gravitational waves from binaries of compact objects

Shashank S. Pandey,<sup>1,\*</sup> Arnab Sarkar,<sup>1,†</sup> Amna Ali,<sup>2,‡</sup> and A. S. Majumdar<sup>1,§</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Astrophysics and Cosmology, S. N. Bose National Centre for Basic Sciences,  
JD Block, Sector III, Salt lake city, Kolkata-700106, India*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Mathematics, University of Jadavpur, Kolkata-700032, India*

(Dated: April 26, 2022)

## Abstract

We consider the propagation of gravitational waves in our late time Universe with the presence of structure. Gravitational waves emitted from distant sources have to traverse through regions that are far from smooth and homogeneous, before detection. We investigate the effect of inhomogeneities on the observables associated with the gravitational waves sources. In particular, we evaluate the impact of inhomogeneities on gravitational wave propagation employing the Buchert's framework of averaging. In context of a toy model within the above framework, it is first shown how the redshift versus distance relation gets affected through the averaging process. We then study the variation of the redshift dependent part of the observed gravitational wave amplitude for different combination of our model parameters. We show that the variation of the gravitational wave amplitude with respect to redshift can deviate significantly in comparison with that in the  $\Lambda$ CDM-model. Our result signifies the importance of local inhomogeneities on precision measurements of parameters of gravitational wave sources.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The concept of Gravitational waves exists in Einstein's theory of General Relativity since 1916 [1, 2]. These are ripples in space-time, caused by any mass (or energy) in a motion such that the concerned second mass-moment (or quadrupole moment in transverse-traceless gauge) has non-vanishing second-order time-derivative. The recent excitement in the field stems from several detections of gravitational waves from compact binary mergers since the first report by the LIGO and VIRGO scientific collaborations [3–8]. The observed parameters of gravitational waves are significant for the inference of parameters associated with the sources, such as their mass range and merger rates. Generally for the data-analysis of gravitational wave observations, it is considered that the waves propagate from the source to the detector through a homogeneous and isotropic FLRW-Universe.

The standard model of cosmology describes the Universe as a FLRW space-time, which is statistically homogeneous and isotropic at large length-scales, specifically at scales greater than 100 Mpc. However, this homogeneity does not hold at smaller scales. Various tests from cosmological observations like Sloan Digital Sky Survey [9] and the WiggleZ Dark Energy Survey [10] indicate a transition from homogeneity to inhomogeneity at smaller scales. The present observations of gravitational waves [3–8] pertain to sources which lie well within the scale at which there exists overall homogeneity in the Universe. Thereby, the investigation of the effect of inhomogeneities on propagation of gravitational wave may be of significance for precision measurements in the emerging field of gravitational wave astronomy.

In order to investigate the effects of local inhomogeneities on the dynamics at larger scales, an averaging procedure is necessary. This effect is generally termed as 'backreaction'. The concept of averaging was first introduced in general relativity in 1963 [11], but the proposed procedure was not covariant. A concrete description of the backreaction concept in this regard was given by Ellis [12]. Further, the gravitational correlation by employing metric perturbations was also studied [13]. Futamase and Sasaki did an analysis of the effect of these inhomogeneities on propagation of electromagnetic waves [14] using an approximate metric for describing an inhomogeneous Universe. Using these, they derived a general distance-redshift relation for the inhomogeneous Universe. A covariant averaging scheme of tensors via bilocal operators was provided leading to an averaged version of Einstein's equations [15, 16]. On the other hand, a formalism restricted to averaged scalars was proposed by Buchert [17, 18], which has led to several interesting applications of backreaction due to inhomogeneities [19–36].

In Buchert's formalism [17, 18, 40] the time evolution of spatial averages are not identical to those computed using the evolved spatial metric. These differences introduce the backreaction term which is geometrical in nature and quantifies deviations from the usual behavior of the Friedmann equations. Buchert's averaging technique provides a unique way of explaining the large scale expansion of space without oversimplifying the Universe by considering it homogeneous. Several works have been done attempting to explain the accelerated expansion of the late-time Universe through backreaction [19–23]. The cosmological backreaction requires special attention in the present era of precision cosmology.

Consideration of the effect of local inhomogeneities leads to certain interesting effects on the propagation of electromagnetic waves in averaged Universe. Studies investigating the motion of photons in an averaged geometry have been performed [24–26], with some employing a gauge-invariant approach for averaging on the past null-cone [27, 28]. Other works have explored light propagation in inhomoge-

---

\* shashankshekhar.pandey@bose.res.in

† arnabsarkar@bose.res.in, arnab.sarkar14@gmail.com

‡ amnaalig@gmail.com

§ archan@bose.res.in

neous Swiss-Cheese models by simulating the Hubble-diagram [30, 32, 33]. An averaged version of the null-geodesic equation has been derived [34] showing that the light propagation equation contains an effective Hubble parameter for averaged space-time, which is different from that of the homogeneous space-time.

Modified cosmological-distance versus redshift relations ensue in context of averaging over inhomogeneities [36–38]. This has certain interesting implications of detecting signals of inhomogeneity through probes of the cosmic expansion rate [39].

Gravitational waves act as complementary messengers to electromagnetic waves opening up a new window to the physics of the Universe. Both electromagnetic and gravitational waves can be used together to study the expansion history of the Universe, which is important for the understanding of current cosmic acceleration and the nature of gravity itself. Further, gravitational waves have special significance for those sources which do not emit any electromagnetic signals. The scientific insights unfolding from gravitational wave detections are required to further strengthen our understanding of multiple domain of physics, astrophysics and cosmology. In this work, our goal is to study the effect of local inhomogeneities in the Universe on the propagation of gravitational waves.

The formalism of this work is based on Buchert’s backreaction scheme [17, 18, 40]. In the context of Buchert’s averaging procedure, we employ a simplistic model of a two-partitioned Universe

*viz.*, all inhomogeneities are clubbed into overdense (wall) and underdense (void) regions. Our motivation is to investigate the change in observed amplitude of the gravitational wave signal due to averaging over various combinations of the fractions of these two types of partitions, in comparison to the case where the gravitational wave is assumed to traverse through a completely homogeneous and isotropic space-time described by the  $\Lambda$ CDM model. In the above framework we consider gravitational waves from binaries of compact objects like black holes and neutron stars in their early inspiral stage. Our analysis demonstrates that there could be a significant deviation in the gravitational wave amplitude as an effect of backreaction due to inhomogeneities present in the intervening spacetime between the source and detector.

The paper is organized as follows. A brief description of our two-partitioned model and ansatz in context of the Buchert’s backreaction formalism is presented in section II. In Section III, the modification of the redshift-distance relation due to the averaging procedure is presented. The modification of the redshift-dependent part of gravitational wave amplitude from a binary of compact objects in our model is analyzed and compared to the case in the  $\Lambda$ CDM model in section IV. Finally, we present some concluding remarks in section V.

## II. A MODEL OF BACKREACTION IN BUCHERT’S FORMALISM

In Buchert’s formalism [17, 18, 40] the Einstein’s equations are decomposed into a set of dynamical equations for scalar quantities. We can take the space-time to be foliated into flow-orthogonal hypersurfaces with an inhomogeneous 3-metric  $g_{ij}$  and the line-element

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + g_{ij}dx^i dx^j, \quad (1)$$

where  $t$  is the proper time of the hypersurfaces and  $x^i$  are the spatial coordinates in the hyper-surfaces of constant  $t$ . The spatial average of any scalar quantity  $f$  is defined on the constant-time hypersurfaces as

$$\langle f \rangle(t, \vec{x}) = \frac{\int d^3x \sqrt{g(t, \vec{x})} f(t, \vec{x})}{\int d^3x \sqrt{g(t, \vec{x})}}, \quad (2)$$

where  $\vec{x}$  denotes the position 3-vector. The averaged volume scale-factor of a compact domain  $\mathcal{D}$  is defined as

$$a_{\mathcal{D}} = \left( \frac{\int d^3x \sqrt{g(t, \vec{x})}}{\int d^3x \sqrt{g(t_0, \vec{x})}} \right)^{1/3}, \quad (3)$$

where  $t_0$  is any reference-time, which is generally chosen to be the present time. The spatial averaging and the time-evolution of any scalar quantity does not commute in this formalism, i.e.,

$$\partial_t \langle f \rangle_{\mathcal{D}} - \langle \partial_t f \rangle_{\mathcal{D}} = \langle f \theta \rangle_{\mathcal{D}} - \langle f \rangle_{\mathcal{D}} \langle \theta \rangle_{\mathcal{D}}, \quad (4)$$

where the quantity  $\theta$  denotes the time-rate of expansion of the spatial 3-metric:

$$\theta = (\sqrt{g(t, \vec{x})})^{-1} \partial_t \sqrt{g(t, \vec{x})}. \quad (5)$$

In this approach the averaged equations for volume expansion and volume acceleration for the domain  $\mathcal{D}$ , with cosmological constant  $\Lambda$  and the cosmic-fluid as an irrotational dust with density  $\rho$ , are found by averaging the Hamiltonian, Raychaudhuri and energy-conservation equations, and are given by respectively,

$$3 \frac{\ddot{a}_{\mathcal{D}}}{a_{\mathcal{D}}} = -\frac{4\pi G}{c^4} \langle \rho \rangle_{\mathcal{D}} + \mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{D}} + \Lambda, \quad (6)$$

$$3H_{\mathcal{D}}^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4} \langle \rho \rangle_{\mathcal{D}} - \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathcal{R} \rangle_{\mathcal{D}} - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{D}} + \Lambda, \quad (7)$$

$$\langle \dot{\rho} \rangle_{\mathcal{D}} + 3H_{\mathcal{D}} \langle \rho \rangle_{\mathcal{D}} = 0, \quad (8)$$

where  $\langle \mathcal{R} \rangle_{\mathcal{D}}$  is the averaged 3-Ricci scalar-curvature,  $H_{\mathcal{D}}$  is the averaged Hubble-parameter,  $\Lambda$  is the cosmological constant which has been taken as zero here for our model and  $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{D}}$  is the backreaction term which quantifies the averaged effect of the inhomogeneities in the domain  $\mathcal{D}$ , defined as

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{D}} = \frac{2}{3} (\langle \theta^2 \rangle_{\mathcal{D}} - \langle \theta \rangle_{\mathcal{D}}^2) - 2 \langle \sigma^2 \rangle_{\mathcal{D}}, \quad (9)$$

where  $\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{ij} \sigma^{ij}$  is the shear-scalar of the cosmic-fluid.  $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{D}}$  and  $\mathcal{R}$  are inter-related by the equation

$$\frac{1}{a_{\mathcal{D}}^6} \partial_t (a_{\mathcal{D}}^6 \mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{D}}) + \frac{1}{a_{\mathcal{D}}^2} \partial_t (a_{\mathcal{D}}^2 \langle \mathcal{R} \rangle_{\mathcal{D}}) = 0. \quad (10)$$

The above equation 10 signifies the fact that due to the inhomogeneities the temporal-evolution of the average 3-Ricci scalar-curvature is different from that in the case of a homogeneous FLRW-Universe.

In the above framework we consider a model where the domain  $\mathcal{D}$ , which contains the path traversed by the gravitational wave from the source to observer, can be broadly classified into two types of regions or sub-domains [25, 29, 31, 35, 36]: (i) the over-dense region or ‘Wall’ which is described by a closed and dust-only FLRW-region, and (ii) the under-dense region or ‘Void’, which is an empty (or, having negligible matter-density) FLRW-region. Note that in the

present work we will not consider any possible attenuation of the gravitational wave amplitude due to shear effects.

Our aim is to investigate the difference in the gravitational wave's observed amplitude in the two different cases, *viz.* (a) when the gravitational wave is assumed to propagate through a homogeneous and isotropic spacetime, described by the FLRW metric in  $\Lambda$ CDM model, and (b) when the gravitational wave propagates through an inhomogeneous spacetime, described by our model within the Buchert framework. The luminosity distance of compact binaries from which gravitational waves have been detected have a huge variation from the order of 50 Mpc to 5000 Mpc [41, 42]. The length-scales of the cosmic voids, which are vast spaces between large-scale structures in the Universe, containing no or negligible number of galaxies, are typically 10 to 100 Mpc [43]. So, it is quite clear that for the typical sources responsible for detections of gravitational wave events by aLIGO and VIRGO, the gravitational waves might have to traverse through one or more than one of these voids while reaching earth. Hence, the physical interpretation of our model is that all the cosmic voids in the path of propagation of the gravitational wave constitute the under-dense region and the rest of the regions rich in galaxies and other stellar matters constitute the over-dense region.

Then, according to the definitions of average quantities as given in the equations 2 and 3, the average volume scale-factor  $a_{\mathcal{D}}$  and the average Hubble-parameter for our two-partitioned model are given by respectively :

$$a_{\mathcal{D}} = \left( \frac{a_u^3 + a_o^3}{a_{u,0}^3 + a_{o,0}^3} \right)^{1/3}, \quad (11)$$

and,

$$H_{\mathcal{D}} = H_u \frac{a_u^3}{a_u^3 + a_o^3} + H_o \frac{a_o^3}{a_u^3 + a_o^3}, \quad (12)$$

where the  $a_u$  and  $a_o$  denote the volume scale-factors of the under-dense region and over-dense region respectively. The suffix '0' stand for the present time, *i.e.*,  $a_{u,0}$  and  $a_{o,0}$  denote the present values of these scale-factors.  $H_u$  and  $H_o$  denote respectively the Hubble-parameters of the under-dense and over-dense regions. The time-evolution of the scale-factors  $a_u$  and  $a_o$ , of the under-dense region and over-dense region, can be described parametrically in terms of a development-angle  $\phi$ . We choose the following ansatz which is a generalization of earlier formulations [35, 36, 44]:

$$t = t_0 \left( \frac{\phi - \text{Sin } \phi}{\phi_0 - \text{Sin } \phi_0} \right), \quad (13)$$

$$a_o = \frac{f o^{1/3}}{2} (1 - \text{Cos } \phi), \quad (14)$$

$$a_u = \frac{f u^{1/3} (\phi_0 - \text{Sin } \phi_0)}{\pi t_0} t^\beta. \quad (15)$$

In the above set of equations 13-15,  $f u$  and  $f o = 1 - f u$  are the fractions of volume of the under-dense region and over-dense region respectively at  $\phi = \pi$ .  $\phi_0$  is the development-angle parameter at the present time and  $\phi_0 = 3\pi/2$  [44]. We set the present age of the Universe  $t_0 \approx 13.8 \text{ Gy}$ .  $a_u$  can be expressed in terms of  $\phi$ , by substituting the expression of the time  $t$  from equation 13 on the RHS of the equation 15. Here, the parameter  $\beta$  is generally chosen between  $2/3$  to  $1$ , to denote any behaviour ranging from a matter dominated region, *viz.*,  $\beta = 2/3$ , up to a dark energy dominated region *viz.*,  $\beta > 1$ .




FIG. 1. Plot of the ratio of angular diameter distance  $D_A$  to the present Hubble length-scale  $D_H$  w.r.t. redshift, for the  $\Lambda$ CDM case with different combinations of the fractions  $f_u$  and  $f_o$  for  $\beta = 1$ .

### III. MODIFICATION OF REDSHIFT AND DISTANCE CALCULATION IN THE BACKREACTION FRAMEWORK

As the Buchert's backreaction formalism is based on spatially averaged quantities over the concerned domain, it is necessary to relate these spatially averaged quantities with observables in cosmology.<sup>1</sup> There are two specific schemes for relating spatial averages with observations. These are the template scheme [45–47] and the covariant scheme. In the covariant scheme proposed by S. Räsänen [26, 48], the effective redshift  $z$  and angular-diameter distance  $D_A$  are given by the equations

$$1 + z = \frac{1}{a_{\mathcal{D}}}, \quad (16)$$

$$H_{\mathcal{D}} \frac{d}{dz} \left( (1+z)^2 H_{\mathcal{D}} \frac{dD_A}{dz} \right) = -\frac{4\pi G}{c^4} \langle \rho_{\mathcal{D}} \rangle D_A. \quad (17)$$

The effective redshift is defined in terms of the averaged scale-factor  $a_{\mathcal{D}}$  of the domain  $\mathcal{D}$ . The covariant scheme can be applied provided that the spatial averages are calculated on the hypersurfaces of statistical homogeneity and isotropy, and the evolution of structure in the Universe is sufficiently slow in the time-interval of propagation of the wave from source to observer. It has been shown [36, 49] that for a model like ours, the covariant scheme is suitable for describing the relation between the effective redshift and cosmological angular diameter-distance.

In the present context, the domain  $\mathcal{D}$  on which we take the averages, encompasses the path of propagation of the gravitational wave from the source to the observer, and could arise from an arbitrary combination of the fractions  $f_u$  and  $f_o$ . We study the redshift-distance relation for different combinations

<sup>1</sup> It may be noted that a distance-redshift relation in an inhomogeneous universe was derived earlier by considering an approximate metric[14]. In our present analysis we consider a more advanced approach based on the procedure of averaging.




FIG. 2. Plot of the ratio of angular diameter distance  $D_A$  to the present Hubble length-scale  $D_H$  w.r.t. redshift, for the  $\Lambda$ CDM case with different values of the parameter  $\beta$ , with the combination of the fractions  $f_u = 0.91$  and  $f_o = 0.09$ .

of these fractions. In Fig. 1 we plot the ratio of the angular diameter distance  $D_A$  to the present Hubble-length scale ( $D_H = cH_0^{-1}$ ), given by equation 17, with the redshift for five different combinations of the fractions  $f_u$  and  $f_o$  in our model along with the plot of  $D_A/D_H$  for the  $\Lambda$ CDM model.

It can be seen from Fig. 1, that up to redshift around 1, almost all the curves are very close to that of the  $\Lambda$ CDM case, while after a certain redshift, each of these curves start deviating from the  $\Lambda$ CDM-curve. It can be ascertained that for a certain combination of  $f_u$  and  $f_o$ , this redshift-distance relation almost coincides with that of the  $\Lambda$ CDM case. By numerical calculations using *Mathematica*, we find that for the combination  $f_u = 0.845$  and  $f_o = 0.155$ , with  $\beta = 1$ , variation of the quantity  $D_A/D_H$  is almost identical to that of the  $\Lambda$ CDM model.

As expected, departure from the  $\Lambda$ CDM model increases for greater inhomogeneity, denoted by larger variation from the value of volume fractions  $f_u, f_o = 0.845, 0.155$ . Next, in Fig. 2 we plot the angular distance versus the redshift by varying the parameter  $\beta$  for the combination of fractions  $f_u = 0.91$  and  $f_o = 0.09$ . We choose these values, as this is the combination, which has been indicated by N-body simulations of structure-formations for a two-partitioned Universe at present time [40]. It is seen that conformity with the homogeneous ( $\Lambda$ CDM) model can be achieved for intermediate values of the void expansion parameter  $\beta$  ( $\sim 0.92$ ), whereas, the limiting cases of matter domination ( $\beta \sim 0.7$ ) and dark energy ( $\beta \sim 1$ ) lead to greater deviation from the  $\Lambda$ CDM case.

The change in observed redshift of a comoving source in the time interval of its observation is known as the redshift-drift. It can be given by  $\delta z = \left[ \frac{dz}{dt} \right]_{t=t_0} \delta t_0$ , where  $[dz/dt]_{t=t_0}$  is the time-rate of change of redshift and the suffix  $t = t_0$  indicates its value at the observation time which has been taken as the present time  $t_0$ . As the time scale of observation is generally much lesser than the time scale of cosmological evolution, the expression of the redshift-drift  $\delta z$  can be further simplified by applying the Taylor-series expansion, followed by neglecting the higher-order terms, as given below [36]:

$$\delta z = \delta t_0 \{ (1+z)H_0 - H_e \} = \delta t_0 (1+z) \left\{ \left[ \frac{\partial a}{\partial t} \right]_{t_0} - \left[ \frac{\partial a}{\partial t} \right]_{t_e} \right\}, \quad (18)$$




FIG. 3. Plot of drift of averaged redshift  $\delta z$  w.r.t. the redshift  $z$ , for the  $\Lambda$ CDM model and for our model with different combinations of  $f_u$  and  $f_o$  with  $\beta = 1$ . The magnified region of the curves, for range of  $\delta z$  between  $5 \times 10^{-17}$  to  $-3 \times 10^{-17}$  is shown in inset in order to ascertain the transition of  $\delta z$  from positive to negative value.

where  $H_0$  is the Hubble-parameter at the time of observation, which has been taken as the present time and  $H_e$  is the Hubble-parameter at the time of emission of the signal.

The redshift-drift has been discussed in several works [36, 37, 39, 44, 50]. The analysis appears to be the same for electromagnetic wave and gravitational wave sources, and the redshift-drift is directly proportional to the observation-time  $\delta t_0$ . However, the red-shift drift is very small for gravitational wave sources, specifically the binaries of compact objects, *viz.*, black holes and neutron stars, which have been observed by aLIGO or VIRGO ( $\delta t_0$  is of the order of fractions of seconds to few minutes). Hence, magnitude of the redshift-drift would be of very low order in these cases. However for binaries of supermassive black holes, from which the gravitational wave signal is expected to be detectable by the future gravitational wave detectors like LISA, the time of observation  $\delta t_0$  can be of much higher order and hence, the redshift-drift's magnitude should increase significantly for these type of binaries, specially at higher redshifts.

The sign of the redshift-drift indicates whether the Universe is accelerating or decelerating in the time-interval of emission and observation of the signal, while its magnitude indicates the extent of the acceleration or deceleration. The expression of redshift-drift given in the equation 18 implies that for an accelerating Universe between the emission and observation times, the redshift-drift is positive, and for a decelerating Universe between those times, it is negative. For the  $\Lambda$ CDM model, we get the acceleration from a certain time in late-Universe, from which  $\Lambda$  starts dominating over the matter, till present. So, for the  $\Lambda$ CDM model, we can get positive redshift-drift only after a certain redshift. For an inhomogeneous Universe described by Buchert's backreaction formalism, the drift of the averaged redshift can be effectively described by replacing the Hubble-parameters' values by the averaged Hubble-parameters [36]. However, as pointed out in Ref.[36], the exact calculation of redshift-drift is obtained through a more elaborate process, and the drift of the averaged red-shift may not represent the exact redshift-drift correctly.

The main motivation of our present analysis is to study the effect of inhomogeneities on parameters of gravitational

wave sources, as discussed in details in the next section. For the present, we will just provide a calculation of the drift of averaged redshift. This is in order to reemphasize the role of inhomogeneities on the propagation of various signals (electromagnetic as well as gravitational) in the universe, as computed through the backreaction formalism. In Fig. 3, we plot the drift of averaged redshift with respect to the redshift, for the  $\Lambda$ CDM model and our model with different combinations of the volume fractions  $f_u$  and  $f_o$ . By numerical calculations using *Mathematica*, we find that for the combination  $f_u = 0.768$  and  $f_o = 0.232$ , with  $\beta = 1$ , variation of the drift of averaged redshift is almost identical to that of the  $\Lambda$ CDM model.<sup>2</sup>

It may be noted from Fig. 3 that the drifts of averaged redshift are positive only upto a certain redshift for all the curves. The redshift at which the value of the drift of averaged redshift transits from positive to negative is different for each curve. This redshift of transition gradually decreases with increase in  $f_o$  or decrease in  $f_u$ .

#### IV. CHANGE IN GRAVITATIONAL WAVE OBSERVABLES IN THE BACKREACTION FRAMEWORK

The gravitational wave amplitude from a binary of compact objects of masses  $m_1$  and  $m_2$ , in early inspiral stage where Keplerian approximations are well valid, is given by (for cross( $\times$ )-polarization) [51]

$$h_{\times} = \frac{G^{5/3}(1+z)^{5/3}}{D_L c^4} \frac{m_1 m_2}{(m_1 + m_2)^{1/3}} (-4\omega^{2/3}) \text{Sin } 2\omega t, \quad (19)$$

where  $\omega$  is the observed angular frequency of the binary of compact objects and  $D_L$  is the luminosity-distance of the binary from the observer. For the plus( $+$ )-polarization, the peak of the amplitude remains identical. The amplitude of gravitational wave at the detector depends on the redshift at which it was generated, and for a constant observed-frequency, the redshift-dependent part in the gravitational wave amplitude is  $(1+z)^{5/3}/D_L$ . It can also be expressed as  $(1+z)^{2/3}/D$ , since  $D_L = (1+z)D$ , where  $D$  is the cosmological-radial distance of the source. We study the variation of the quantity  $(1+z)^{5/3}/D_L$  for the two cases: (i) a homogeneous and isotropic spacetime described by a flat FLRW metric in the  $\Lambda$ CDM model, and (ii) an inhomogeneous region described by the backreaction formalism, keeping in view that in these two cases the variations of redshift are different.

To depict the change of variation of this quantity  $(1+z)^{5/3}/D_L$  in the  $\Lambda$ CDM model of the Universe and in the inhomogeneous Universe described by our two-partitioned model based on the Buchert's backreaction formalism, we plot it w.r.t. redshift  $z$ , in Fig. 4 for these two cases<sup>3</sup>.




FIG. 4. Plot of the redshift dependent part of the gravitational wave amplitude for various combinations of the volume fractions  $f_o$  and  $f_u$ , with  $\beta = 1$ . Position of the minima in curves have been denoted by dots. The shifting of the minima points is clearly visualized.




FIG. 5. Plot of redshift dependent part of the gravitational wave amplitude w.r.t. redshift  $z$ , for the  $\Lambda$ CDM model and for our model for different values of the parameter  $\beta$ , for the combination of fractions  $f_u = 0.91$  and  $f_o = 0.09$ . Position of the minima in curves have been denoted by dots. The shifting of the minima points is clearly visualized.

For the inhomogeneous Universe, we use a set of different values of the fractions  $f_o$  and  $f_u$ , as was defined earlier for our two-partitioned model.

We see from Fig. 4 that as the source-redshift is increased, the deviation in the  $(1+z)^{5/3}/D_L$  increases among different cases considered here. By numerical calculations using *Mathematica*, we find that for the combination  $f_u = 0.845$  and  $f_o = 0.155$ , with  $\beta = 1$ , variation of the quantity  $(1+z)^{5/3}/D_L$  is almost identical to that of the  $\Lambda$ CDM model. The deviation of this term for our two-partitioned model in comparison with that of the  $\Lambda$ CDM-model increases immensely as we vary the values of  $f_u$  and  $f_o$  from the pre-

<sup>2</sup> We choose a time-interval of observation  $\delta t_0 = 30$  seconds, as for the typical binaries of compact objects, from which gravitational wave signals are detectable by aLIGO and VIRGO, the signal is observed within time-duration of the order of fractions of seconds to minutes at most.

<sup>3</sup> We have kept the upper-limit of the redshift as 5, as accepted theories of cosmology predict the beginning of the structure-

formation at the redshift 6 and without a proper structure formation this backreaction formalism can not be applied to model the Universe. For the  $\Lambda$ CDM model, we have taken the values of  $\Omega_M = 0.31$  and  $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.69$ , with negligible  $\Omega_R$ , as is supported by most of the cosmological observations.

viously mentioned value. We also note that the values of the model parameters, at which the variation of the redshift dependent part of the gravitational wave amplitude with respect to redshift in our model becomes almost identical to the  $\Lambda$ CDM-case, are different from the case for the drift of averaged redshift.

Now, we study the the variation of  $(1+z)^{5/3}/D_L$  w.r.t.  $z$  for different values of the parameter  $\beta$ , for the combination of fractions  $fu = 0.91$  and  $fo = 0.09$ . We see from the Fig. 5 that as the source-redshift is increased, the deviation in the term  $(1+z)^{5/3}/D_L$  increases for different curves shown in this graph. Again, as  $\beta$  is gradually decreased from the value 1, the deviation in the term  $(1+z)^{5/3}/D_L$  increases for different curves. Using *Mathematica*, we find that for the value of the parameter  $\beta = 0.92$ , with the combination  $fu = 0.91$  and  $fo = 0.09$ , the variation of the quantity  $(1+z)^{5/3}/D_L$  in our model is almost identical to that of the  $\Lambda$ CDM model.

Therefore, it is evident that the observed gravitational wave amplitude is subject to change in our model, in comparison to that of the  $\Lambda$ CDM model, while the amount of change depends on the effect of inhomogeneities in the domain consisting of the path of propagation of the gravitational wave signified by the model parameters  $fu$ ,  $fo$ , and  $\beta$ .

An interesting consequence of the changing variation of the redshift-dependent part of gravitational wave amplitude for our model in comparison with the  $\Lambda$ CDM-model follows from noting the fact [52] that the quantity  $(1+z)^{5/3}/D_L$  has a minimum at  $z_{min}$  given by

$$(1+z_{min}) \left[ \frac{d}{dz} \ln[D_L] \right]_{z=z_{min}} = \frac{5}{3} \quad (20)$$

We note from the Figs. 4 and 5, that the quantity  $(1+z)^{5/3}/D_L$  has different minima from that of the  $\Lambda$ CDM-model with variation of the model parameters  $fu$ ,  $fo$  and  $\beta$ . It has been argued in Ref. [52] that the equation 20 is independent of the gravitational wave detector, the model of cosmology under consideration, as well as the binary's characteristics, provided its rest-frame frequency is in the inspiral phase. However, our study clearly indicates that although the equation 20 remains the same, the solution it gives for  $z_{min}$  varies in case of our backreaction model. For the  $\Lambda$ CDM-model the value of this minimum is  $z_{min} \approx 2.63$ . We note from Fig. 4 that  $z_{min}$  decreases gradually with the increase in  $fo$  or decrease in  $fu$  for fixed  $\beta$ . Besides this shifting of the  $z_{min}$ , the minimum values of the quantity  $(1+z_{min})^{5/3}/D_L(z_{min})$  increases with increase in  $fo$  or decrease in  $fu$ . Again, we note from Fig. 5 that for the fixed combination  $fu = 0.91$  and  $fo = 0.09$ ,  $z_{min}$  decreases and the minimum value of the quantity  $(1+z_{min})^{5/3}/D_L(z_{min})$  increases if we decrease the parameter  $\beta$ . This shifting can be said to be an effect of the local inhomogeneities that have been considered in our model.

## V. CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we have studied the propagation of gravitational waves from compact binary sources through a background spacetime which due to the presence of structures in the late time Universe, may not be correctly described by the flat FLRW metric at the relevant scales. In order to take into account the effect of inhomogeneities we have employed the

Buchert's backreaction formalism [17, 18, 40] in the framework of which we consider a two-partitioned toy model. Evolution under this model is shown to lead to a modification in the redshift versus distance relation as well as in the drift of the averaged redshift in comparison with the  $\Lambda$ CDM model, in agreement with similar earlier results [36] within the backreaction framework.

Our analysis exhibits a substantial deviation in the variation of the redshift dependent part of the amplitude of gravitational waves generated from binaries of compact objects in their early inspiral stages, in the two cases, *viz.*, (i) the  $\Lambda$ CDM model, and (ii) our model based on Buchert's backreaction formalism. We have investigated the latter model for various combinations of the model parameters such as the volume fractions of overdense and underdense regions, as well as the rate of expansion of the underdense region. We find that only for very specific combinations of the above parameters, the variation of the redshift dependent part of the amplitude of gravitational wave matches with that of the  $\Lambda$ CDM case. The deviation of the variation increases with the increasing effect of inhomogeneities quantified by the volume fractions and the void expansion rate. Our results further display an interesting shift in the minima of the redshift dependent part of the gravitational wave amplitude, which is a clear consequence of the backreaction from inhomogeneities.

Before concluding, we would like to make the following comments. In the present era of precision cosmology with several upcoming probes, the determination of properties of distant sources of gravitational waves will play an important role in several domains of astrophysics and cosmology. The significance of precise determination of gravitational wave observables have spurred many recent works on ascertaining the role of effects such as primordial black hole accretion [53, 54], and various phase transitions and inflationary scenarios [55]. On the other hand, our present work investigates the role of observed structure [9, 10] in the Universe on gravitational wave propagation, using the backreaction framework [17, 18, 40] without invoking non-standard physics. Through our analysis we have found a clear signature of backreaction in the shift of the gravitational wave amplitude minima in the context of our simplified two-domain model. Our present analysis should inspire further detailed calculations using more sophisticated backreaction scenarios, such as multidomain models, in order to provide more accurate predictions of the quantitative deviation of gravitational wave observables from those obtained in  $\Lambda$ CDM cosmology.

*Acknowledgements:* The authors thank Sophie Marie Koksang for an important comment related to our work. SSP thanks the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), Govt. of India, for funding through CSIR-JRF-NET fellowship. AS thanks S. N. Bose National Centre for Basic Sciences, Salt lake city, Kolkata-700106, under Department of Science and Technology (DST), Govt. of India, for funding through institute-fellowship.

- 
- [1] A. Einstein, *Naherungsweise Integration der Feldgleichungen der Gravitation*, *Sitzungsberichte der Koniglich Preuischen Akademie der Wissenschaften (Berlin)* (1916) Seite 688-696.
- [2] A. Einstein, *ber Gravitationswellen*, *Sitzungsberichte der Koniglich Preuischen Akademie der Wissenschaften (Berlin)* (1918) Seite 154-167.
- [3] B. P. Abbott et al., *Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Black Hole Merger*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116** (2016) 061102.
- [4] LIGO-VIRGO Collaboration, *GW151226: Observation of Gravitational Waves from a 22-Solar-Mass Binary Black Hole Coalescence*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116** (2016) 241103.
- [5] LIGO-VIRGO Collaboration, *GW170104: Observation of a 50-Solar-Mass Binary Black Hole Coalescence at Redshift 0.2*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118** (2017) 221101.
- [6] LIGO-VIRGO Collaboration, *GW170814: A Three-Detector Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Black Hole Coalescence*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119** (2017) 141101.
- [7] LIGO-VIRGO Collaboration, *GW170817: Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Neutron Star Inspiral*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119** (2017) 161101.
- [8] LIGO-VIRGO Collaboration, *GW170608: Observation of a 19 Solar-mass Binary Black Hole Coalescence*, *Astrophys. J.* **851** (2017) L35.
- [9] D. W. Hogg et al., *Cosmic homogeneity demonstrated with luminous red galaxies*, *Astrophys. J.* **624** (2005) 54 [arXiv:astro-ph/0411197].
- [10] M. Scrimgeour et al., *The WiggleZ Dark Energy Survey: the transition to large-scale cosmic homogeneity*, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **425** (2012) 116 arXiv:1205.6812v2 [astro-ph.CO]
- [11] M. F. Shirokov and I. Z. Fisher, *Isotropic space with discrete gravitational field sources. on the theory of non homogeneous isotropic universe*, *Sov. Astron. J.* **6** (1963) 699 Reprinted in: *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **30** (1998) 1411.
- [12] G. F. R. Ellis, *Relativistic cosmology: its nature, aims and problems*, *Gen. Rel. Grav.*, Eds. B. Bertotti et al. (Reidel, Dordrecht) (1984) pp. 215.
- [13] T. Futamase, *Approximation scheme for constructing a clumpy universe in General Relativity*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **61** (1988) 2175.
- [14] T. Futamase and M. Sasaki, *Light propagation and the distance-redshift relation in a realistic inhomogeneous universe*, *Phys. Rev. D* **40** (1989) 2502.
- [15] R.M. Zalaletdinov, *Averaging out the Einstein equations*, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **24** (1992) 1015.
- [16] R.M. Zalaletdinov, *Towards a theory of macroscopic gravity*, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **25** (1993) 673.
- [17] T. Buchert, *On average properties of inhomogeneous fluids in general relativity I: dust cosmologies*, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **32** (2000) 105 [arXiv:gr-qc/9906015].
- [18] T. Buchert, *On average properties of inhomogeneous fluids in general relativity II: perfect fluid cosmologies*, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **33** (2001) 1381 [arXiv:gr-qc/0102049].
- [19] D. J. Schwarz, *Accelerated expansion without dark energy*, In: *On the nature of dark energy*, 18th IAP Astrophysics Colloquium, Eds. P. Brax et al. (2002) pp. 331 [arXiv:astro-ph/0209584].
- [20] S. Rasanen, *Dark energy from backreaction*, *JCAP* **0402** (2004) 003 [arXiv:astro-ph/0311257].
- [21] D. L. Wiltshire, *Dark energy without dark energy*, In: *Dark Matter in Astroparticle and Particle Physics*, Eds. H. V. Klapdor-Kleingrothaus and G.F. Lewis (2008) pp. 565 [arXiv:0712.3984].
- [22] E.W. Kolb et al., *On cosmic acceleration without dark energy*, *New J. Phys.* **8** (2006) 322 [arXiv:astro-ph/0506534].
- [23] A. Ishibashi and R. M. Wald, *Can the Acceleration of Our Universe Be Explained by the Effects of Inhomogeneities?* *Class. Quant. Grav.* **23** (2006) 235 [arXiv:gr-qc/0509108].
- [24] A.A. Coley, *Null geodesics and observational cosmology* (2008) [arXiv:0812.4565].
- [25] S. Rasanen, *Evaluating backreaction with the peak model of structure formation*, *JCAP* **0804** (2008) 026 [arXiv:0801.2692].
- [26] S. Rasanen, *Light propagation in statistically homogeneous and isotropic dust universes*, *JCAP* **0902** (2009) 011 [arXiv:0812.2872].
- [27] M. Gasperini et al., *Gauge invariant averages for the cosmological backreaction*, *JCAP* **0903** (2009) 011 [arXiv:0901.1303].
- [28] M. Gasperini et al., *Light-cone averaging in cosmology: formalism and applications*, *JCAP* **1107** (2011) 008 [arXiv:1104.1167].
- [29] N. Bose and A. S. Majumdar, *Future deceleration due to cosmic backreaction in presence of the event horizon*, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. : Letters* **418** Issue 1 (2011) L45–L48 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-3933.2011.01140.x>
- [30] P. Fleury et al., *Interpretation of the Hubble diagram in a nonhomogeneous universe* *Phys. Rev. D* **87** (2013) 123526 [arXiv:1302.5308].
- [31] N. Bose and A. S. Majumdar, *Effect of cosmic backreaction on the future evolution of an accelerating universe*, *Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **45** (2013) 1971-1987 arXiv:1203.0125 [astro-ph.CO].
- [32] P. Fleury et al., *Can all cosmological observations be accurately interpreted with a unique geometry?* *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111** (2013) 091302 [arXiv:1304.7791].
- [33] P. Fleury, *Swiss-cheese models and the Dyer-Roeder approximation* (2014) [arXiv:1402.3123]
- [34] S. Bagheri and D. J. Schwarz, *Light propagation in the averaged universe*, *JCAP* **10** (2014) 073 arXiv:1404.2185 [astro-ph.CO].
- [35] A. Ali and A. S. Majumdar, *Future evolution in a backreaction model and the analogous scalar field cosmology*, *JCAP* **01** (2017) 054 arXiv:1608.01608 [gr-qc].
- [36] S. M. Kocsbang, *Another look at redshift drift and the backreaction conjecture*, *JCAP* **10** (2019) 036 arXiv:1909.13489 [astro-ph.CO].
- [37] S. M. Kocsbang, *Observations in statistically homogeneous, locally inhomogeneous cosmological toy models without FLRW backgrounds*, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. : Letters*, **498**, Issue 1, (2020), L135-L139, <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnrasl/slaa146> arXiv:2008.07108 [astro-ph.CO]
- [38] A. Heinesen, *Multipole decomposition of the general luminosity distance Hubble law — a new framework*

- for observational cosmology, *JCAP* **05** (2021) 008 arXiv:2010.06534 [astro-ph.CO].
- [39] S. M. Kocsbang, *Searching for Signals of Inhomogeneity Using Multiple Probes of the Cosmic Expansion Rate  $H(z)$* , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126** (2021) 231101 arXiv:2105.11880v1 [astro-ph.CO]
- [40] A. Wiegand and T. Buchert, *Multi-scale cosmology and structure-emerging Dark Energy: a plausibility analysis*, *Phys. Rev. D* **82** (2010) 023523 [arXiv:1002.3912].
- [41] A. H. Nitz et al, *1-OGC: The First Open Gravitational-wave Catalog of Binary Mergers from Analysis of Public Advanced LIGO Data*, *Astrophys. J.* **872** 195 (2019).
- [42] B. P. Abbott et al, (LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration), *GWTC-1: A Gravitational-Wave Transient Catalog of Compact Binary Mergers Observed by LIGO and Virgo during the First and Second Observing Runs*, *Phy. Rev. X* **9**, 031040 (2019).
- [43] A. N. Baushev, *The central region of a void: an analytical solution*, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. :Letters* **504**, Issue 1 (2021) L56-L60 arXiv:2104.01359 [astro-ph.CO].
- [44] S. M. Kocsbang and S. Hannestad, *Redshift drift in an inhomogeneous universe: averaging and the backreaction conjecture*, *JCAP* **01** (2016) 009 arXiv:1512.05624v2 [astro-ph.CO].
- [45] J. Larena et al., *Testing backreaction effects with observations*, *Phys.Rev. D* **79** (2009) 083011 arXiv:0808.1161v2 [astro-ph]
- [46] E. Rosenthal and E. E. Flanagan, *Cosmological backreaction and spatially averaged spatial curvature*, arXiv:0809.2107v1 [gr-qc]
- [47] A. Paranjape and T. P. Singh, *Explicit Cosmological Coarse Graining via Spatial Averaging*, *Gen.Rel.Grav.* **40** (2008) 139-157 arXiv:astro-ph/0609481v4.
- [48] S. Räsänen, *Light propagation in statistically homogeneous and isotropic universes with general matter content*, *JCAP* **1003** (2010) 018 arXiv:0912.3370v2 [astro-ph.CO]
- [49] S. M. Kocsbang, *Towards statistically homogeneous and isotropic perfect fluid universes with cosmic backreaction*, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **36** (2019) 185004 arXiv:1907.08681v1 [gr-qc].
- [50] A. Heinesen, *Multipole decomposition of redshift drift: Model-independent mapping of the expansion history of the Universe*, *Phys. Rev. D* **103** 023537 (2021) arXiv:2011.10048v1 [gr-qc].
- [51] M. Maggiore, *Gravitational waves: theory and experiments*, (Oxford University Press, New York, 2008).
- [52] P. A. Rosado, P. D. Lasky, E. Thrane, X. Zhu, Ilya Mandel, and A. Sesana, *Detectability of Gravitational Waves from High-Redshift Binaries*, *Phy. Rev. Lett.* **116** (2016) 101102 arXiv:1512.04950v2 [astro-ph.CO]
- [53] J. Garcia-Bellido, *Massive Primordial Black Holes as Dark Matter and their detection with Gravitational Waves*, *J. Phys.: Conference Series* **840**, 012032 (2017).
- [54] A. Sarkar, K. R. Nayak and A. S. Majumdar, *Stochastic gravitational wave background from accreting primordial black hole binaries during early inspiral stage*, *Phys. Rev. D* **100** (2019) 103514 arXiv:1904.13261v2 [astro-ph.CO].
- [55] H.-K. Guo, K. Sinha, V. Daniel and G. White, *Phase transitions in an expanding universe: stochastic gravitational waves in standard and non-standard histories*, *JCAP* **01** (2021) 001 arXiv:2007.08537v3 [hep-ph].