

GRÖBNER FANS OF SPECHT IDEALS

HIDEFUMI OHSUGI AND KOHJI YANAGAWA

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we give the Gröbner fan and the state polytope of a Specht ideal I_λ explicitly. In particular, we show that the state polytope of I_λ for a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$ is always a generalized permutohedron, and it is a (usual) permutohedron if and only if $\lambda_{i-1} = \lambda_i > 0$ for some i .

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a polynomial ring over a field K , λ a partition of n , and $\text{Tab}(\lambda)$ the set of tableaux of shape λ . For a tableau $T \in \text{Tab}(\lambda)$, we have its Specht polynomial $f_T \in S$ (see Definition 2.1 below). The n -th symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n naturally acts on the vector space spanned by $\{f_T \mid T \in \text{Tab}(\lambda)\}$. This \mathfrak{S}_n -module is called a **Specht module**, and plays a crucial role in the representation theory of symmetric groups, especially when $\text{char}(K) = 0$. In the present paper, we study the **Specht ideal** $I_\lambda \subset S$, which is generated by $\{f_T \mid T \in \text{Tab}(\lambda)\}$. Specht ideals have been studied by several authors from several points of view (sometimes under other names). See, for example, [2, 8, 10, 15].

For an ideal $I \subset S$, a finite subset G of I is called a **universal Gröbner basis** if G is a Gröbner basis of I with respect to any monomial order. In their unpublished manuscript, Haiman and Woo found a universal Gröbner basis of I_λ , and Murai and the authors of the present paper gave a short proof of this result ([11]). See Theorem 2.2 below. In the present paper, we study the number of all possible initial ideals of I_λ . The following is a main result of this paper.

Theorem 3.3. *For a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$ of n with $\lambda_m > 0$, set $k := \min\{\lambda_{i-1} - \lambda_i \mid i = 2, 3, \dots, m\}$. Then I_λ admits exactly $n!/(k+1)!$ distinct initial ideals under all possible monomial orders of S .*

The Gröbner fan of an ideal is introduced by Mora and Robbiano [9]. Although there is a good software package **Gfan** [6] for computing Gröbner fans, the computation is very difficult in general. On the other hand, the state polytope of a homogeneous ideal is introduced by Bayer and Morrison [1]. It is a dual of a Gröbner fan and a generalization of the Newton polytope of a single homogeneous polynomial.

We give a brief introduction of these concepts. Detailed definitions will be introduced in Section 3. Given a vector $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, a partial order on the set of monomials in S is defined by $x_1^{a_1} \dots x_n^{a_n} > x_1^{b_1} \dots x_n^{b_n}$ if $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \cdot \mathbf{w} > (b_1, \dots, b_n) \cdot \mathbf{w}$. For a homogeneous ideal $I \subset S$, let $\text{in}_{\mathbf{w}}(I)$ be the ideal generated by the initial forms of polynomials in I with respect to \mathbf{w} . Clearly, $\text{in}_{\mathbf{w}}(I)$ is not a monomial ideal in general. However, for any monomial order $<$, the set $\{\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \text{in}_{\mathbf{w}}(I) = \text{in}_{<}(I)\}$ is nonempty, and forms an open convex polyhedral cone. The **Gröbner fan** $\text{GF}(I)$

of I is a polyhedral complex generated by the closures of these cones. A convex polytope $P \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is called a **state polytope** of I if $\text{GF}(I)$ is the normal fan of P . In particular, each initial ideal of I corresponds to each vertex of the state polytope of I . See, for example, [4, 16] for the details.

Theorem 3.5 and Corollary 3.7. *Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$ be a partition with $\lambda_m > 0$. Then the state polytope of I_λ is a generalized permutohedron. In particular, the state polytope is a (usual) permutohedron if and only if $\lambda_i = \lambda_{i-1}$ for some $i \leq m$.*

2. PRELIMINARIES

A **partition** of a positive integer n is a non-increasing sequence of non-negative integers $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$ with $\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_m = n$, but we identify $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$ with $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m, 0)$. Therefore we frequently assume that $\lambda_m > 0$. If λ is a partition of n , then we write $\lambda \vdash n$.

A partition $\lambda \vdash n$ is represented by its Young diagram. For example, $(4, 2, 1)$ is represented as $\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & & \\ \hline \square & & & \\ \hline \end{array}$. A (**Young**) **tableau** of shape λ is a bijective filling of the Young diagram of λ by the integers in $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. For example,

3	5	1	7
4	2		
6			

is a tableau of shape $(4, 2, 1)$. The box in the i -th row and the j -th column has the coordinates (i, j) , as in a matrix. For example, in the above tableau, the box in the $(3, 1)$ position is filled by the number 6.

Definition 2.1. The **Specht polynomial** f_T of $T \in \text{Tab}(\lambda)$ is the product of all $x_i - x_j$ such that i and j are in the same column of T and j is in a lower position than i .

For example, if T is the above tableau, then $f_T = (x_3 - x_4)(x_3 - x_6)(x_4 - x_6)(x_5 - x_2)$. In this paper, we study the Specht ideal

$$I_\lambda := \langle f_T \mid T \in \text{Tab}(\lambda) \rangle \subset S$$

of λ . If $\lambda = (n)$, then I_λ is the trivial ideal S itself. Therefore, in the rest of this paper, we assume that $\lambda_2 > 0$.

For partitions $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$ and $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_l)$ of n , we write $\mu \trianglelefteq \lambda$ if λ is larger than or equal to μ with respect to the dominance order, that is,

$$\mu_1 + \dots + \mu_k \leq \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_k \quad \text{for all } k.$$

By $\mu \triangleleft \lambda$, we mean that $\mu \trianglelefteq \lambda$ and $\mu \neq \lambda$. Now we can introduce an unpublished result of Haiman and Woo.

Theorem 2.2 (Haiman-Woo, c.f. [11]). *With the above situation,*

$$\{f_T \mid T \in \text{Tab}(\mu), \mu \vdash n, \mu \trianglelefteq \lambda\}$$

forms a universal Gröbner basis of I_λ .

While the following lemma has been used in [11], the detailed discussion is given in (the proof of) [14, Lemma 3.10]. The crucial point for this fact is that all elements of our Gröbner basis of I_λ are products of linear forms.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\text{in}_<(I_\lambda)$ be the initial ideal of I_λ with respect to a monomial order $<$ on S . Take the permutation $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ with $x_{\sigma(1)} < x_{\sigma(2)} < \cdots < x_{\sigma(n)}$. Then, for the lexicographic order \prec with $x_{\sigma(1)} \prec x_{\sigma(2)} \prec \cdots \prec x_{\sigma(n)}$, we have $\text{in}_<(I_\lambda) = \text{in}_\prec(I_\lambda)$.*

Unless otherwise specified, we fix $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ and use the lexicographic order \prec with $x_{\sigma(1)} \prec x_{\sigma(2)} \prec \cdots \prec x_{\sigma(n)}$. Therefore we simply denote $\text{in}_<(I_\lambda)$ by $\text{in}(I_\lambda)$.

If i and j are in the same column of T , we have $f_{\tau T} = -f_T$ for the transposition $\tau = (ij)$. In this sense, to consider f_T , we may assume that T is **column standard** (with respect to σ), that is, all columns are increasing from top to bottom with respect to the order $\sigma(1) \prec \sigma(2) \prec \cdots \prec \sigma(n)$. If a column standard tableau T is also row standard (i.e., all rows are increasing from left to right with respect to \prec), we say T is **standard**. Let $\text{STab}(\lambda)$ be the set of all standard tableaux of shape λ . It is a classical result that $\{f_T \mid T \in \text{STab}(\lambda)\}$ is a basis of the vector space spanned by $\{f_T \mid T \in \text{Tab}(\lambda)\}$. Hence we have $I_\lambda = \langle f_T \mid T \in \text{STab}(\lambda) \rangle$. We also remark that, if T is column standard and if the number i is in the d_i -th row of T for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then we have

$$\text{in}(f_T) = \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{d_i-1}.$$

This equation is frequently used throughout the paper.

The next result follows from Theorem 2.2 and [7, Lemma 4.3.1]. Note that the following set is still far from a minimal Gröbner basis in general.

Corollary 2.4 ([11, Remark 3.8]). *With the above situation,*

$$\{f_T \mid T \in \text{STab}(\mu), \mu \vdash n, \mu \trianglelefteq \lambda, \mu_1 = \lambda_1\}$$

forms a Gröbner basis of I_λ with respect to \prec .

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$ be a partition of n with $\lambda_m > 0$, and set $k := \min\{\lambda_{i-1} - \lambda_i \mid i = 2, 3, \dots, m\}$. If $\text{in}(f_T)$ for $T \in \text{STab}(\mu)$ with $\mu \trianglelefteq \lambda$ is a minimal generator of $\text{in}(I_\lambda)$ and $\sigma(n)$ is in the j -th row of T , then either $j = 1$ or $j \geq 2$ and $\mu_{j-1} - \mu_j \geq k$.*

Proof. Assume that $j \geq 2$ and $(0 \leq) \mu_{j-1} - \mu_j < k$. By Corollary 2.4, we have $\mu \triangleleft \lambda$ and $\mu_1 = \lambda_1$. Assume that $j = 2$. Since $\mu_2 \leq \lambda_2$, it follows that $k > \mu_1 - \mu_2 = \lambda_1 - \mu_2 \geq \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \geq k$, and this is a contradiction. Thus we have $j \geq 3$. Since $\mu_1 = \lambda_1 > \lambda_2 \geq \mu_2$ and $j - 1 \geq 2$, there exists $1 < l < j$ such that

$$\mu_{l-1} > \mu_l = \mu_{l+1} = \cdots = \mu_{j-1}.$$

Note that $\sum_{i=1}^{l-1} \mu_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} \lambda_i$. Next we will show that

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{i=1}^s \mu_i < \sum_{i=1}^s \lambda_i \text{ for all } l \leq s \leq j-1.$$

First we prove it for $l \leq s \leq j-2$ (when $l \leq j-2$). If $\sum_{i=1}^l \mu_i = \sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i$, then we have $\mu_l \geq \lambda_l$. Since $\lambda_l - \lambda_{l+1} \geq k > 0$ and $\mu_l = \mu_{l+1}$, we have $\mu_{l+1} > \lambda_{l+1}$. Hence $\sum_{i=1}^{l+1} \mu_i > \sum_{i=1}^{l+1} \lambda_i$, but it contradicts $\mu \triangleleft \lambda$. Thus we have $\sum_{i=1}^l \mu_i < \sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i$. Similarly, for $l \leq s \leq j-2$, we have $\sum_{i=1}^s \mu_i < \sum_{i=1}^s \lambda_i$. It remains to show that $\sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \mu_i < \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \lambda_i$. Assume the contrary, that is, $\sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \mu_i = \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \lambda_i$. Since $\sum_{i=1}^{j-2} \mu_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^{j-2} \lambda_i$ (unless $l = j-1$, the inequality is strict), we have $\mu_{j-1} \geq \lambda_{j-1}$. Moreover, since

$$\mu_{j-1} - \mu_j < k \leq \lambda_{j-1} - \lambda_j \leq \mu_{j-1} - \lambda_j,$$

we have $\mu_j > \lambda_j$ and hence $\sum_{i=1}^j \mu_i > \sum_{i=1}^j \lambda_i$. This is a contradiction. Summing up, we have $\sum_{i=1}^s \mu_i < \sum_{i=1}^s \lambda_i$ for all $l \leq s \leq j-1$.

Since $\sigma(n)$ is in the j -th row of $T \in \text{STab}(\mu)$, we have $\mu_j > \mu_{j+1}$. We define the partition $\nu \vdash n$ by $\nu_l = \mu_l + 1$, $\nu_j = \mu_j - 1$, and $\nu_i = \mu_i$ for $i \neq l, j$ (since $\mu_{l-1} > \mu_l$ and $\mu_j > \mu_{j+1}$, ν is actually a partition). By (2.1) above, we have $\mu \triangleleft \nu \trianglelefteq \lambda$. For the tableau T , lifting $\sigma(n)$ to the l -th row, we get a new tableau $T' \in \text{STab}(\nu)$. Then $\text{in}(f_{T'}) \in \text{in}(I_\lambda)$ strictly divides $\text{in}(f_T)$, but it contradicts the assumption that $\text{in}(f_T)$ is a minimal generator of $\text{in}(I_\lambda)$. \square

Corollary 2.6. *With the same notation as Lemma 2.5, assume that $\sigma(n)$ is in (the right most box of) the j -th row of $T \in \text{STab}(\mu)$ with $j \geq 2$ and $\text{in}(f_T)$ is a minimal generator of $\text{in}(I_\lambda)$. If the number just above $\sigma(n)$ (i.e., the one in the $(j-1, \mu_j)$ position) is $\sigma(i)$, then we have $i < n - k$.*

Proof. Since $\mu_{j-1} - \mu_j \geq k$ by the lemma, there are at least k boxes in the right of the box filled by $\sigma(i)$. These boxes are filled by $\sigma(l)$ for $i < l < n$. Since $\#\{\sigma(l) \mid i < l < n\} = n - i - 1 \geq k$, we have $i \leq n - k - 1$. \square

Definition 2.7. For a monomial $\mathbf{m} := \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{a_i} \in S$, set $\deg_i \mathbf{m} = a_i$. For a partition $\lambda \vdash n$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$, set

$$d_\lambda(i) := \sum_{T \in \text{STab}(\lambda)} \deg_i(\text{in}(f_T)).$$

Lemma 2.8. *With the above notation, we have*

$$d_\lambda(\sigma(i)) \leq d_\lambda(\sigma(i+1))$$

for all $1 \leq i < n$. Moreover, the inequality is strict if and only if there exists $T \in \text{STab}(\lambda)$ such that $\sigma(i)$ and $\sigma(i+1)$ are in the same column of T .

Proof. Take $T \in \text{STab}(\lambda)$. If $\sigma(i)$ and $\sigma(i+1)$ are in the same row of T , we have $\deg_{\sigma(i)}(\text{in}(f_T)) = \deg_{\sigma(i+1)}(\text{in}(f_T))$. Next, assume that $\sigma(i)$ and $\sigma(i+1)$ are in different rows and different columns of T . For the transposition $\tau = (\sigma(i) \ \sigma(i+1))$, we have $\tau T \in \text{STab}(\lambda)$, and

$$\deg_{\sigma(i)}(\text{in}(f_T)) + \deg_{\sigma(i+1)}(\text{in}(f_{\tau T})) = \deg_{\sigma(i+1)}(\text{in}(f_T)) + \deg_{\sigma(i)}(\text{in}(f_{\tau T})).$$

Finally, if $\sigma(i)$ and $\sigma(i+1)$ are in the same column of T , we have $\deg_{\sigma(i)}(\text{in}(f_T)) < \deg_{\sigma(i+1)}(\text{in}(f_T))$. \square

For a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m) \vdash n$ with $\lambda_1 \geq 2$, we define $\widehat{\lambda} = (\widehat{\lambda}_1, \dots, \widehat{\lambda}_l) \vdash (n-1)$ inductively as follows. Set $\widehat{\lambda}_1 = \lambda_1 - 1$, and

$$\widehat{\lambda}_i = \min \left\{ \widehat{\lambda}_{i-1}, \sum_{j=1}^i \lambda_j - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \widehat{\lambda}_j - 1 \right\}$$

for $i \geq 2$. For example, since $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 - \widehat{\lambda}_1 - 1 = \lambda_2$, we have $\widehat{\lambda}_2 = \min\{\lambda_1 - 1, \lambda_2\}$.

- If $\lambda_2 < \lambda_1$, then $\widehat{\lambda}_1 = \lambda_1 - 1$ and $\widehat{\lambda}_i = \lambda_i$ for $i \geq 2$. We can prove it by induction. Assume that $i > 2$ and $\widehat{\lambda}_k = \lambda_k$ for $2 \leq k \leq i-1$. Then $\sum_{j=1}^i \lambda_j - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \widehat{\lambda}_j - 1 = \lambda_i$. Hence we have $\widehat{\lambda}_i = \min\{\lambda_{i-1}, \lambda_i\} = \lambda_i$.
- It is easy to show that $\widehat{\lambda}_1 = \widehat{\lambda}_2 = \dots = \widehat{\lambda}_i = \lambda_1 - 1$ if $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_i$.

For $\nu \vdash (n-1)$, we define the partition $\bar{\nu}$ by $\bar{\nu}_1 = \nu_1 + 1$ and $\bar{\nu}_i = \nu_i$ for $i \geq 2$.

Lemma 2.9. *For a partition $\lambda \vdash n$, set*

$$X := \{ \nu \vdash (n-1) \mid \bar{\nu} \trianglelefteq \lambda \}.$$

Then, for $\nu \vdash (n-1)$, $\nu \in X$ if and only if $\nu \trianglelefteq \widehat{\lambda}$.

Proof. Let $k := \max\{i \mid \lambda_i = \lambda_1\}$. Consider the partition $\rho \vdash (n-1)$ defined by $\rho_k = \lambda_k - 1$ and $\rho_i = \lambda_i$ for $i \neq k$. We also define $\tau = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{s+1}) \vdash (n-1)$ by $\tau_i = \lambda_1 - 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$ and $\tau_{s+1} = r$, where s is the quotient and r is the remainder when $n-1$ is divided by $\lambda_1 - 1$. Then it is easy to see that, for $\nu \vdash (n-1)$,

$$(2.2) \quad \nu \in X \iff \nu \trianglelefteq \rho, \tau.$$

In fact, for $\nu \vdash (n-1)$, $\nu_1 \leq \lambda_1 - 1$ if and only if $\nu \trianglelefteq \tau$. So we may assume that $\nu_1 \leq \lambda_1 - 1$. We have $1 + \sum_{i=1}^j \nu_i = \sum_{i=1}^j \bar{\nu}_i$ for all j ,

$$\sum_{i=1}^j \bar{\nu}_i \leq j\lambda_1 = \sum_{i=1}^j \lambda_i = \sum_{i=1}^j \rho_i \quad \text{for } j < k,$$

and $\sum_{i=1}^j \lambda_i = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^j \rho_i$ for all $j \geq k$. So $\bar{\nu} \trianglelefteq \lambda$ if and only if $\nu \trianglelefteq \rho$.

Since $\widehat{\lambda}_1 = \lambda_1 - 1$ and

$$\sum_{j=1}^s \widehat{\lambda}_j \leq \sum_{j=1}^{s-1} \widehat{\lambda}_j + \left(\sum_{j=1}^s \lambda_j - \sum_{j=1}^{s-1} \widehat{\lambda}_j - 1 \right) = \sum_{j=1}^s \lambda_j - 1 \leq \sum_{j=1}^s \rho_j$$

for $s \geq 2$, we have $\widehat{\lambda} \trianglelefteq \rho, \tau$. Thus $\widehat{\lambda} \in X$ from (2.2).

Since the set of partitions of $n-1$ forms a lattice with respect to the dominance order, X has the maximum element $\rho \wedge \tau$. So it suffices to show that $\widehat{\lambda} = \rho \wedge \tau$. For this purpose, it suffices to show that $\widehat{\lambda}$ is a maximal element of X , equivalently, no element of X covers $\widehat{\lambda}$. By [3, Proposition 2.3], if ν covers $\widehat{\lambda}$, then there are two integers i, i' with $i < i'$ such that $\nu_i = \widehat{\lambda}_i + 1$, $\nu_{i'} = \widehat{\lambda}_{i'} - 1$, and $\nu_j = \widehat{\lambda}_j$ for all $j \neq i, i'$. If $i = 1$ (resp. $i > 1$), then $\nu_1 = \lambda_1$ (resp. $\nu \not\trianglelefteq \rho$). From (2.2), $\nu \notin X$. \square

Lemma 2.10. *For a partition $\lambda \vdash n$, we have*

$$I_{\widehat{\lambda}} = I_{\lambda} \cap K[x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n-1)}].$$

Proof. Set $S' := K[x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n-1)}]$ and $J := I_\lambda \cap S'$. Since our order \prec is a lexicographic order, it is an elimination order, that is, $\text{in}(f_T) \in S'$ implies $f_T \in S'$. By [5, Proposition 15.29],

$$\mathcal{G} = \{ f_T \mid T \in \text{STab}(\mu), \mu \vdash n, \mu \trianglelefteq \lambda, \text{in}(f_T) \in S' \}$$

is a Gröbner basis of J . Here, as the monomial order on S' , we use the restriction of \prec to S' . Take f_T for $T \in \text{STab}(\mu)$ with $\mu \vdash n$ and $\mu \trianglelefteq \lambda$. Clearly, $\text{in}(f_T) \in S'$ if and only if $\sigma(n)$ is in the first row of T . If this is the case, we have $\mu_1 > \mu_2$. Moreover, removing $\sigma(n)$ from T , we get $T' \in \text{STab}(\hat{\mu})$ satisfying $f_T = f_{T'}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G} &= \{ f_{T'} \mid T' \in \text{STab}(\hat{\mu}), \mu \vdash n, \mu \trianglelefteq \lambda, \mu_1 > \mu_2 \} \\ &= \{ f_{T'} \mid T' \in \text{STab}(\nu), \nu \vdash (n-1), \bar{\nu} \trianglelefteq \lambda \}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.9, we have

$$\mathcal{G} = \{ f_{T'} \mid T' \in \text{STab}(\nu), \nu \vdash (n-1), \nu \trianglelefteq \hat{\lambda} \}.$$

Since this is a Gröbner basis of $I_{\hat{\lambda}}$, we have $J = I_{\hat{\lambda}}$. \square

3. THE PROOFS OF THE MAIN RESULTS

The following proposition is just a special case of Theorem 3.3 below. However, for better exposition, we prove it independently. Later, in Theorem 3.3 below, we will see that the converse of the proposition also holds.

Proposition 3.1. *If a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$ of n satisfies $\lambda_{i-1} = \lambda_i > 0$ for some i , considering all monomial orders of S (equivalently, considering all $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$), the Specht ideal I_λ admits $n!$ distinct initial ideals.*

Proof. We prove the statement by induction on n . By Lemma 2.3, it suffices to show that, if $\sigma, \sigma' \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ give the same initial ideal of I_λ , then we have $\sigma = \sigma'$, in other words, we can recover a unique $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ from $\text{in}(I_\lambda)$. Let $i = \max\{j \mid \lambda_{j-1} = \lambda_j > 0\}$. Then there exists $T \in \text{STab}(\lambda)$ such that $\sigma(n)$ (resp. $\sigma(n-1)$) is in the right most box of the i -th (resp. $(i-1)$ -st) row, that is, in the (i, λ_i) (resp. $(i-1, \lambda_i)$) position. Since $\sigma(n)$ and $\sigma(n-1)$ are in the same column of T , we have $d_\lambda(\sigma(n)) > d_\lambda(\sigma(n-1))$, and hence $d_\lambda(\sigma(n)) > d_\lambda(\sigma(i))$ for all $1 \leq i < n$ by Lemma 2.8. Thus we can detect $\sigma(n)$, in other words, $\sigma(n) = \sigma'(n)$ if $\sigma, \sigma' \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ give the same initial ideal of I_λ .

As we have seen in the proof of Lemma 2.10, we have

$$\text{in}(I_{\hat{\lambda}}) = \text{in}(I_\lambda) \cap K[x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n-1)}],$$

where we use the lexicographic order with $x_{\sigma(1)} \prec x_{\sigma(2)} \prec \dots \prec x_{\sigma(n-1)}$ as the monomial order in $K[x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n-1)}]$. If $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2$, then we have $i \geq 3$ and $\hat{\lambda}_{i-1} = \lambda_{i-1} = \lambda_i = \hat{\lambda}_i$. If $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$, then $\hat{\lambda}_1 = \hat{\lambda}_2$. Hence $\hat{\lambda}$ always satisfies the assumption of the proposition. By induction hypothesis, we can detect each of $\sigma(1), \dots, \sigma(n-1)$ from $\text{in}(I_{\hat{\lambda}})$ (hence, from $\text{in}(I_\lambda)$). \square

Example 3.2. If $\lambda = (2, 2)$, I_λ admits $4!$ distinct initial ideals, and we can recover the permutation σ from $\text{in}(I_\lambda)$ by Proposition 3.1. However, it is easy to see that

$$d_\lambda(\sigma(2)) = d_\lambda(\sigma(3)) = 1,$$

in other words, $d_\lambda(-)$ does not distinguish $\sigma(2)$ from $\sigma(3)$. Hence we have to consider μ with $\mu \triangleleft \lambda$.

We are in a position to give the number of distinct initial ideals of Specht ideals.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$ be a partition of n with $\lambda_m > 0$, and set $k := \min\{\lambda_{i-1} - \lambda_i \mid i = 2, 3, \dots, m\}$. Then the Specht ideal I_λ admits exactly $n!/(k+1)!$ distinct initial ideals under all monomial orders of S .*

Proof. By Proposition 3.1, we may assume $k > 0$, and hence $\lambda_1 > \dots > \lambda_m$. Take p with $k = \lambda_{p-1} - \lambda_p$. To the Young diagram of λ , we put $\sigma(n-k)$ in the right most box of the p -th row (i.e., in the (p, λ_p) position), and $\sigma(n-k-1)$ just above it (i.e., in the $(p-1, \lambda_p)$ position). In the right of the box filled by $\sigma(n-k-1)$, there are k boxes, and we fill them by $\sigma(n-k+1), \sigma(n-k+2), \dots, \sigma(n)$ in the suitable order. Filling the remaining boxes in a suitable way, we get $T \in \text{STab}(\lambda)$ such that $\sigma(n-k-1)$ and $\sigma(n-k)$ are in the same column of T . Thus $d_\lambda(\sigma(n-k-1)) < d_\lambda(\sigma(n-k))$, and hence we can detect the sets $A_1 := \{\sigma(1), \sigma(2), \dots, \sigma(n-k-1)\}$ and $A_2 := \{\sigma(n-k), \sigma(n-k+1), \dots, \sigma(n)\}$ from $\text{in}(I_\lambda)$. Since $\sharp A_2 = k+1$, it is enough to show that, from $\text{in}(I_\lambda)$,

- (a) we cannot distinguish $\sigma(n-k), \sigma(n-k+1), \dots, \sigma(n)$ from each other, and
- (b) we can detect each of $\sigma(1), \sigma(2), \dots, \sigma(n-k-1)$.

(a) It suffices to show the following statement.

- (*) If some two elements of A_2 are in the same column of some $T \in \text{STab}(\mu)$ with $\mu \trianglelefteq \lambda$, then $\text{in}(f_T)$ is not a minimal generator of $\text{in}(I_\lambda)$.

In fact, if (*) holds, we can find a generating set of $\text{in}(I_\lambda)$ which is stable under the action of \mathfrak{S}_{A_2} by an argument similar to the proof of Lemma 2.8.

The proof of (*) is by induction on n . Assume that $\text{in}(f_T)$ with $T \in \text{STab}(\mu)$ is a minimal generator of $\text{in}(I_\lambda)$ and some two elements $\sigma(\alpha), \sigma(\beta) \in A_2$ are in the same column of T . By Corollary 2.6, we have $\sigma(n) \neq \sigma(\alpha), \sigma(\beta)$, and it implies that $k \geq 2$. Assume that $\sigma(n)$ is in the j -th row of T . Removing $\sigma(n)$ from T , we have a standard tableau T' of shape $\mu' \vdash (n-1)$ with $\mu'_j = \mu_j - 1$ and $\mu'_i = \mu_i$ for $i \neq j$. Since $\lambda_j > \lambda_{j+1}$, we can define the partition $\lambda' \vdash (n-1)$ by $\lambda'_j = \lambda_j - 1$ and $\lambda'_i = \lambda_i$ for $i \neq j$. Clearly, $\mu' \trianglelefteq \lambda'$.

Since $k' := \min\{\lambda'_{i-1} - \lambda'_i \mid i = 2, 3, \dots, m\} \geq k-1$ and

$$\sigma(\alpha), \sigma(\beta) \in A_2 \setminus \{\sigma(n)\} = \{\sigma(n-k), \dots, \sigma(n-1)\} \subset \{\sigma((n-1)-k'), \dots, \sigma(n-1)\},$$

we can apply the induction hypothesis, that is, the condition (*) for T' and $\text{in}(I_{\lambda'})$. Hence $\text{in}(f_{T'})$ is not a minimal generator of $\text{in}(I_{\lambda'})$. In other words, there exists $\nu' \vdash (n-1)$ with $\nu' \trianglelefteq \lambda'$, and $T'_1 \in \text{STab}(\nu')$ such that $\text{in}(f_{T'_1})$ strictly divides $\text{in}(f_{T'})$. Since $\sum_{i=1}^j \nu'_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^j \lambda'_i < \sum_{i=1}^j \lambda_i$, by an argument similar to the proof of Lemma 2.5, we can find $l \leq j$ such that the sequence $\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots)$ given by $\nu_l = \nu'_l + 1$ and $\nu_i = \nu'_i$ for $i \neq l$ is a partition of n satisfying $\nu \trianglelefteq \lambda$. Adding $\sigma(n)$ to the l -th row of T'_1 , we get a standard tableau $T_1 \in \text{STab}(\nu)$. Note that $\text{in}(f_T) = \text{in}(f_{T'}) \cdot x_{\sigma(n)}^{j-1}$ and $\text{in}(f_{T_1}) = \text{in}(f_{T'_1}) \cdot x_{\sigma(n)}^{l-1}$. Since $l \leq j$ and $\text{in}(f_{T'_1})$ strictly

divides $\text{in}(f_{T'})$, we have $\text{in}(f_{T_1}) (\in \text{in}(I_\lambda))$ strictly divides $\text{in}(f_T)$. This contradicts the assumption that $\text{in}(f_T)$ is a minimal generator of $\text{in}(I_\lambda)$.

(b) Set $l := \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 (\geq k)$.

Case 1: Assume that $l > k$. First, we will show that

$$(3.1) \quad d_\lambda(\sigma(n-l)) < d_\lambda(\sigma(n-l+1)) < \cdots < d_\lambda(\sigma(n-k-1)).$$

It suffices to show that, for j with $n-l < j < n-k$, there exists $T \in \text{STab}(\lambda)$ such that $\sigma(j-1)$ and $\sigma(j)$ are in the same column of T . Take p with $k = \lambda_{p-1} - \lambda_p$. Then $p \geq 3$. To the Young diagram of λ , we put $\sigma(j)$ in the right most box of the p -th row (i.e., in the (p, λ_p) position), and $\sigma(j-1)$ just above it (i.e., in the $(p-1, \lambda_p)$ position). In the right of the box filled by $\sigma(j-1)$, there are $k (= \lambda_{p-1} - \lambda_p)$ boxes, and we fill them by $\sigma(j+1), \sigma(j+2), \dots, \sigma(j+k)$ in the suitable order. Next, we fill the boxes in the first row from the right most one by $\sigma(j+k+1), \sigma(j+k+2), \dots, \sigma(n)$ in the suitable order. Since

$$\#\{\sigma(j+k+1), \sigma(j+k+2), \dots, \sigma(n)\} = n - j - k < l,$$

these numbers are contained in the ‘‘peninsula’’ part of the first row. Filling the remaining boxes in a suitable way, we get a desired standard tableau.

By (3.1), we can detect the set $\{\sigma(1), \sigma(2), \dots, \sigma(n-l)\}$ from $\text{in}(I_\lambda)$. Hence we can take the ideal $I_\lambda \cap K[x_{\sigma(1)}, x_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n-l)}]$, which equals I_μ by the repeated use of Lemma 2.10. Here μ is the partition of $(n-l)$ given by $\mu_1 = \lambda_1 - l = \lambda_2$ and $\mu_i = \lambda_i$ for $i \geq 2$. Since $\mu_1 = \mu_2$, we can detect each of $\sigma(1), \dots, \sigma(n-l)$ from $\text{in}(I_\mu)$ (hence, from $\text{in}(I_\lambda)$) by Proposition 3.1. Combining with (3.1), we can detect each of $\sigma(1), \sigma(2), \dots, \sigma(n-k-1)$ from $\text{in}(I_\lambda)$.

Case 2: Assume that $l = k$. Recall that we can detect the set $A_1 = \{\sigma(1), \sigma(2), \dots, \sigma(n-k-1)\}$. As we have seen in (a), we cannot detect $\sigma(n-k)$ from A_2 , in other words, the variables $x_{\sigma(n-k)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)}$ appear in the initial ideal $\text{in}(I_\lambda)$ in the same way. Take any $r \in A_2$, and consider

$$I_\mu = I_\lambda \cap K[x_{\sigma(1)}, x_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n-k-1)}, x_r],$$

where $\mu \vdash (n-l)$ is the partition given in Case 1. By Proposition 3.1, we can detect each of $\sigma(1), \sigma(2), \dots, \sigma(n-k-1)$ from $\text{in}(I_\lambda)$. \square

Let $\{0\} \neq I \subset K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a homogeneous ideal. Given a vector $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let $\text{in}_{\mathbf{w}}(I)$ denote the initial form ideal of I with respect to \mathbf{w} . Note that $\text{in}_{\mathbf{w}}(I)$ is not necessarily a monomial ideal. For example, if $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{0}$, then $\text{in}_{\mathbf{w}}(I) = I$. Since I is homogeneous, for any vector $\mathbf{w}_1 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, there exists a nonnegative vector $\mathbf{w}_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\text{in}_{\mathbf{w}_1}(I) = \text{in}_{\mathbf{w}_2}(I)$. Given a vector $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let

$$C[\mathbf{w}] := \{\mathbf{w}' \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \text{in}_{\mathbf{w}}(I) = \text{in}_{\mathbf{w}'}(I)\}.$$

In general, $C[\mathbf{w}]$ is a relatively open convex polyhedral cone ([16, Proposition 2.3]). A **fan** is a polyhedral complex consisting of the cones from the origin. Let

$$\text{GF}(I) := \left\{ \overline{C[\mathbf{w}]} \mid \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^n \right\},$$

where $\overline{C[\mathbf{w}]}$ is the closure of $C[\mathbf{w}]$. Then $\text{GF}(I)$ is a fan, and called the **Gröbner fan** of I . Note that $\text{GF}(I)$ is **complete**, i.e.,

$$\bigcup_{C \in \text{GF}(I)} C = \mathbb{R}^n.$$

The **normal fan** of a polytope $P \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a fan that is dual to P . A convex polytope $P \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is called a **state polytope** of I if $\text{GF}(I)$ is the normal fan of P . There is a one to one correspondence between the initial ideals of I and the vertices of the state polytope of I .

Given a vector $(u_1, \dots, u_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ let $P_n(u_1, \dots, u_n)$ be the convex hull of the set

$$\{(u_{\sigma(1)}, u_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, u_{\sigma(n)}) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n\}.$$

In particular, $\Pi_n := P_n(1, 2, \dots, n)$ is called the **permutohedron** of order n . It is known that the normal fan of the permutohedron Π_n is the **braid fan** Br_n that is the complete fan in \mathbb{R}^n given by the hyperplanes $x_i - x_j = 0$ for all $i \neq j$. Each maximal cone of Br_n is of the form

$$\{\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid w_{\sigma(1)} \leq w_{\sigma(2)} \leq \dots \leq w_{\sigma(n)}\}$$

for some $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$. See [13, Section 3.2] for details. For $0 \leq k < n$, let

$$\Pi_{n,k} := P_n(1, 2, \dots, n-k-1, n-k, \dots, n-k).$$

Then each maximal cone of the normal fan of $\Pi_{n,k}$ is of the form

$$C_{\sigma,k} := \{\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid w_{\sigma(1)} \leq w_{\sigma(2)} \leq \dots \leq w_{\sigma(n-k)}, \dots, w_{\sigma(n)}\}$$

for some $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$. A **generalized permutohedron** [12] is a polytope obtained by moving the vertices of a permutohedron while keeping the same edge directions.

Proposition 3.4 ([13]). *A polytope $P \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a generalized permutohedron if and only if the normal fan of P is refined by the braid fan Br_n .*

Since Br_n refines the normal fan of $\Pi_{n,k}$ for all $0 \leq k < n$, by Proposition 3.4, each $\Pi_{n,k}$ is a generalized permutohedron.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$ be a partition of n with $\lambda_m > 0$, and set $k = \min\{\lambda_{i-1} - \lambda_i \mid i = 2, 3, \dots, m\}$. Then the generalized permutohedron $\Pi_{n,k}$ is a state polytope of I_λ . In particular, $\text{GF}(I_\lambda)$ is the normal fan of $\Pi_{n,k}$, and refined by the braid fan Br_n .*

Proof. From Proof of Theorem 3.3, I_λ admits exactly $n!/(k+1)!$ distinct initial ideals, and monomial orders for each initial ideal correspond to the cone

$$\{\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid w_{\sigma(1)} < w_{\sigma(2)} < \dots < w_{\sigma(n-k)}, \dots, w_{\sigma(n)}\}$$

for some $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$. Since its closure is $C_{\sigma,k}$, it follows that $\text{GF}(I_\lambda)$ is the normal fan of $\Pi_{n,k}$ as desired. \square

Remark 3.6. In the situation of Theorem 3.5, the largest possible value of k is $n-2$, which occurs when $\lambda = (n-1, 1)$ (we are assuming that $\lambda \neq (n)$). In this case, the state polytope $\Pi_{n,n-2}$ is an $(n-1)$ -simplex. We also remark that the dimension of $\Pi_{n,k}$ is always $n-1$ for all $0 \leq k \leq n-2$.

Corollary 3.7. *Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$ be a partition of n with $\lambda_m > 0$. If $\lambda_{i-1} = \lambda_i > 0$ for some i , then the permutohedron Π_n of order n is a state polytope of I_λ , and $\text{GF}(I_\lambda)$ is the braid fan Br_n .*

We close this paper with a computational experiment obtained by the software `Gfan` [6]. Let $\lambda = (3, 2, 1)$ be a partition of 6. We prepare the input file `input321.txt` whose contents are started with

$Q[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6] \{x_1^2 x_2 x_4 - x_1 x_2^2 x_4 - x_1^2 x_3 x_4 + x_2^2 x_3 x_4 + x_1 x_3^2 x_4 - \dots$

and input

```
gfan_bases <input321.txt >output321.txt
```

```
gfan_bases <input321.txt | gfan_leadingterms -m >outputinitial.txt
```

to `Gfan`. Then the output shows that there are $360 = 6!/2!$ distinct initial ideals of I_λ , and each initial ideal is generated by 17 monomials. Among these 17 elements, 16 of them have degree 4, and one of them has degree 6. The element of degree 6 corresponds to the standard tableau

$\sigma(1)$	$\sigma(5)$	$\sigma(6)$
$\sigma(2)$		
$\sigma(3)$		
$\sigma(4)$		

The files `input321.txt`, `output321.txt` and `outputinitial.txt` are available at

[https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/](https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1yQF0zXZIEyUkNqTBfo0_sey01VIw3oxC?usp=drive_link)

[1yQF0zXZIEyUkNqTBfo0_sey01VIw3oxC?usp=drive_link](https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1yQF0zXZIEyUkNqTBfo0_sey01VIw3oxC?usp=drive_link)

Acknowledgments: The authors are grateful to professors Yasuhide Numata, Satoshi Murai, Ryota Okazaki, Kosuke Shibata, Akihito Wachi and Junzo Watanabe for the useful discussions at the MFO-RIMS Tandem Workshop “Symmetries on polynomial ideals and varieties”. In particular, Lemma 2.10 was given in the discussion. The first author is partially supported by KAKENHI 18H01134. The second author is partially supported by KAKENHI 22K03258.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Bayer and I. Morrison, Gröbner bases and geometric invariant theory I, *J. Symbolic Comput.* **6** (1988), 209–217.
- [2] C. Berkesch Zamaere, S. Griffeth, and S.V. Sam, Jack polynomials as fractional quantum Hall states and the Betti numbers of the $(k+1)$ -equals ideal, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **330** (2014), 415–434.
- [3] T. Brylawski, The lattice of integer partitions, *Discrete Math.* **6** (1973), 201–219.
- [4] D. A. Cox, J. Little and D. O’Shea, “Using Algebraic Geometry”, second edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 185, Springer, New York, 2005.
- [5] D. Eisenbud, “Commutative Algebra with a View Toward Algebraic Geometry”, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 150, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.

- [6] A. N. Jensen, **Gfan**, a software system for Gröbner fans and tropical varieties, Available at <http://home.imf.au.dk/jensen/software/gfan/gfan.html>
- [7] A. Lien, Symmetric ideals, master's thesis, UiT The Arctic University of Norway, 2021.
- [8] C. McDaniel and J. Watanabe, Principal radical systems, Lefschetz properties and perfection of Specht Ideals of two-rowed partitions, *Nagoya Math. J.* **247** (2022), 690–730.
- [9] T. Mora and L. Robbiano, The Gröbner fan of an ideal, *J. Symbolic Comput.* **6** (1988), 183–208.
- [10] P. Moustrou, C. Riener and H. Verdure, Symmetric ideals, Specht polynomials and solutions to symmetric systems of equations, *J. Symbolic Comput.* **107** (2021), 106–121.
- [11] H. Ohsugi, S. Murai and K. Yanagawa, A note on the reducedness and Gröbner bases of Specht ideals, *Comm. Algebra* **50** (2022), 5430–5434.
- [12] A. Postnikov, Permutohedra, associahedra, and beyond, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* (2009), no. 6, 1026–1106.
- [13] A. Postnikov, V. Reiner, L. Williams, Faces of generalized permutohedra, *Doc. Math.* **13** (2008), 207–273.
- [14] X. Ren and K. Yanagawa, Gröbner bases of radical Li-Li type ideals associated with partitions, to appear in *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* (arXiv:2210.04762).
- [15] K. Shibata and K. Yanagawa, Elementary construction of the minimal free resolution of the Specht ideal of shape $(n - d, d)$, *J. Algebra* **634** (2023), 563–584.
- [16] B. Sturmfels, “Gröbner bases and convex polytopes”, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1996.

HIDEFUMI OHSUGI, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, KWANSEI GAKUIN UNIVERSITY, SANDA, HYOGO 669-1337, JAPAN

Email address: ohsugi@kwansei.ac.jp

KOJI YANAGAWA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KANSAI UNIVERSITY, SUITA 564-8680, JAPAN.

Email address: yanagawa@kansai-u.ac.jp