## A mode-sum prescription for the renormalized stress energy tensor on black hole spacetimes

Peter Taylor\*

Center for Astrophysics and Relativity, School of Mathematical Sciences, Dublin City University, Glasnevin, Dublin 9, Ireland

Cormac Breen<sup>†</sup>

School of Mathematical Sciences, Technological University Dublin, Grangegorman, Dublin 7, Ireland

Adrian Ottewill<sup>‡</sup>

School of Mathematics and Statistics, University College Dublin, Belfield, Dublin 4, Ireland (Dated: May 6, 2022)

In this paper, we describe an extremely efficient method for computing the renormalized stressenergy tensor of a quantum scalar field in spherically-symmetric black hole spacetimes. The method applies to a scalar field with arbitrary field parameters. We demonstrate the utility of the method by computing the renormalized stress-energy tensor for a scalar field in the Schwarzschild black hole spacetime, applying our results to discuss the null energy condition and the semi-classical backreaction.

Introduction: The semi-classical approximation to quantum gravity has a long and fruitful history. In particular, Parker's discovery of particle production in an expanding universe [1] and Hawking's discovery that black holes radiate [2] have had a profound impact on theoretical physics. Formally, this approximation involves the propagation and backreaction of quantized fields on a classical spacetime geometry described by the semiclassical equations  $G_{ab} - \Lambda g_{ab} = 8\pi \langle T_{ab} \rangle$ , where  $g_{ab}$  is the metric of spacetime,  $G_{ab}$  is the Einstein tensor,  $\Lambda$ is the cosmological constant and  $\langle \hat{T}_{ab} \rangle$  is the (unregularized) expectation of the stress-energy tensor of a quantum field in some quantum state. An immediate difficulty arises in this framework,  $\langle \hat{T}_{ab} \rangle$  diverges everywhere and hence a regularization prescription is required. Formally, this prescription is well understood through, for example, point-separation [3]. This amounts to considering instead a bitensor  $\langle T_{ab}(x,x')\rangle$  which is evaluated at two distinct spacetime points, then we can isolate and subtract the divergent terms as  $x' \to x$ . The divergent terms are geometrical in nature, depending only on the metric and its derivatives. The semi-classical equations then become

$$G_{ab} - \Lambda g_{ab} + \alpha H_{ab}^{(1)} + \beta H_{ab}^{(2)} = 8\pi \langle \hat{T}_{ab} \rangle_{\mathrm{R}}, \qquad (1)$$

where the right-hand side is now the renormalized stressenergy tensor (RSET) and  $H_{ab}^{(1)}$ ,  $H_{ab}^{(2)}$  are geometrical terms that are quadratic in curvature. This form of the semi-classical equations illuminates the prescription for making sense of the theory, the stress-tensor is regularized by point-separation, then given physical meaning through renormalizing the constants  $\Lambda$ ,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .

While the point-separation prescription offers a formal resolution for regularizing the semi-classical theory, there remains a stubborn technical challenge with its implementation. To appreciate this challenge, we note that, working in a Euclidean spacetime, the point-split stressenergy tensor is related to a differential operator acting on the (Euclidean) Green function. In order to renormalize, we subtract from the Green function a two-point distribution known as a Hadamard parametrix [4] before taking the limit  $x' \to x$ . The parametrix is locally constructed in such a way that subtracting from the Green function results in a smooth two-point function in this limit. In 4-dimensional space-time, we may take the Hadamard parametrix to be

$$K(x, x') = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \left( \frac{\Delta^{1/2}(x, x')}{\sigma(x, x')} + V(x, x') \ln \sigma(x, x') \right)$$

where  $2\sigma(x, x')$  is the square of the geodesic distance between two nearby spacetime points x and x', and  $\Delta^{1/2}$ and V are (symmetric) geometrical biscalars.

On the other hand, the Green function G(x, x') is not locally constructed but depends on global properties of the spacetime; we typically write G(x, x') =K(x, x') + W(x, x') where W(x, x') is a non-geometrical (symmetric) biscalar that encapsulates the global properties. G(x, x') is typically expressed as a mode-sum representation where, for example, global information such as the quantum state is encoded in boundary conditions. The singular behaviour in the limit where spacetime points are taken together is manifest as the nonconvergence of this mode-sum. The technical challenge then is expressing the local Hadamard parametrix as a mode sum that can be subtracted from the Green function mode by mode, producing a sum which converges in the limit where spacetime points coincide.

The first resolution to this technical problem for a quantum field on a black hole spacetime was given in a seminal paper by Candelas and Howard [5]. Other approaches with a degree of novelty relied heavily on the essential ideas in the Candelas-Howard prescription [6-8].

Notwithstanding the ingenuity of the method, it is cumbersome and inefficient to implement. In recent years, there have been two new developments in this area. The first, known as the "pragmatic mode-sum prescription" was developed by Levi and Ori [9, 10]. The method has proven indeed to be pragmatic, both in its efficiency and its broader applicability. Of particular note is the application of the prescription to compute the RSET for a scalar field on Kerr spacetimee [11], a longstanding problem in the QFTCS community. Second is a method developed by the authors of this article known as the "extended coordinate method" [12, 13]. While this method had thus far only been developed for computing the simpler vacuum polarization in static black hole spacetimes, it is extremely efficient and applicable to arbitrary field parameters and arbitrary spacetime dimensions, an advantage over the Levi-Ori scheme. In this paper, we present the extended-coordinate method for the calculation of the RSET for an arbitrary scalar field in four dimensions (though the extension of this method to higher dimensions is straightforward). As application of our method, we present results for the RSET of a scalar field in Schwarzschild spacetime examining (a) the dependence of the energy density on the coupling (b) the semi-classical backreaction and (c) the null energy conditions on the photon sphere.

**Renormalizing the Green Function:** We consider a quantum scalar field on a static, spherically symmetric black hole spacetime. Since we will assume the field is in the Hartle-Hawking quantum state [14, 15], it is appropriate and convenient to work with the Euclideanized line element

$$ds^{2} = f(r)d\tau^{2} + dr^{2}/f(r) + r^{2}(d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta d\phi^{2}).$$
 (2)

The Green function (with r = r' for simplicity) on this black hole spacetime can be expressed as

$$G(x, x') = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2l+1) P_l(\cos\gamma) \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{in\kappa\Delta\tau} g_{nl}(r),$$
(3)

where  $\Delta x \equiv x' - x \sim \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$  is the coordinate separation,  $\gamma$  is the geodesic distance on the 2-sphere and  $\kappa$ is the surface gravity of the black hole horizon. We have also taken  $P_l(z)$  to be the Legendre polynomial and  $g_{nl} = \kappa N_{nl} p_{nl}(r) q_{nl}(r)$  is the one-dimensional radial Green function evaluated at the same radius r. The radial modes  $p_{nl}(r), q_{nl}(r)$  are solutions of the homogeneous radial equation regular on the horizon and at infinity (or the outer boundary), respectively. The normalization constant  $N_{nl}$  is determined from the Wronskian condition. In the coincidence limit  $\Delta x \to 0$  (i.e.  $\gamma \to 0$ and  $\Delta \tau \to 0$ ), the mode-sum (3) diverges.

To renormalize this mode sum, we seek to express the locally-constructed Hadamard parametrix K(x, x') as a

mode sum and subtract mode-by-mode. In [12, 13] a mode sum expression for the Hadamard parametrix was derived by first introducing the so-called extended coordinates:

$$\varpi^2 = \frac{2}{\kappa^2} (1 - \cos \kappa \Delta \tau), \quad s^2 = f(r) \, \varpi^2 + 2r^2 (1 - \cos \gamma).$$

For simplicity, the separation in the radial direction,  $\Delta r$ , is set to zero but it is important to the development that the separation in the other directions is maintained. Expressing the Hadamard parametrix in terms of these extended coordinates permits its decomposition in terms of Fourier frequency modes and multipole moments where, remarkably, the coefficients in this decomposition are expressible in closed form for any static sphericallysymmetric spacetime in arbitrary dimensions. In four dimensions, the result is

$$K(x, x') = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2l+1) P_l(\cos\gamma) \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{in\kappa\Delta\tau} k_{nl}(r) + \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \left\{ \mathcal{D}_{11}^{(-)}(r) + \left(\mathcal{T}_{10}^{(p)} + \mathcal{D}_{22}^{(-)}(r)\right) s^2 + \left(\mathcal{T}_{11}^{(p)} + \mathcal{D}_{21}^{(-)}(r)\right) \varpi^2 \right\} + O(\epsilon^{2m} \log \epsilon), \quad (4)$$

where

$$k_{nl}(r) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{i} \mathcal{D}_{ij}^{(+)}(r) \Psi_{nl}^{(+)}(i,j|r) + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{i} \mathcal{T}_{ij}^{(l)} \chi_{nl}(i,j|r) + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} T_{ij}^{(r)} \Psi_{nl}^{(+)}(i+1,j|r)$$
(5)

Here m denotes the order of the expansion, the coefficients  $\mathcal{D}_{ij}^{(\pm)}(r)$ ,  $\mathcal{T}_{ij}^{(l)}(r)$ ,  $\mathcal{T}_{ij}^{(p)}(r)$  and  $\mathcal{T}_{ij}^{(r)}(r)$  arise in the expansion of the Hadamard parametrix K(x, x') in the extended coordinates s and  $\varpi$  while the terms  $\Psi_{nl}^{(+)}(i, j|r)$ and  $\chi_{nl}(i,j|r)$  are the so-called regularization parameters that arise in expressing K(x, x') as a mode-sum. The well-known renormalization ambiguity is expressed as an arbitrary lengthscale in the regularization parameters  $\chi_{nl}(i, j|r)$ . We find that all the regularization parameters are obtainable in closed form in terms of complicated combinations of special functions. Explicit expressions for each of these are found in the supplementary material. The Hadamard parametrix expressed in this form can then be subtracted from the corresponding modesum expression for the Green function yielding a mode sum expression for the full, renormalized Green function that is convergent in the coincidence limit. Moreover the speed of convergence of this mode sum can be accelerated by subtracting a higher-order expansion of the singular field.

As a concrete example, this prescription for the vac-

uum polarization in the Hartle-Hawking state yields

$$\langle \hat{\phi}^2 \rangle_{\mathrm{R}} \equiv [W(x, x')] \equiv w(r)$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (2l+1) \mathsf{g}_{nl} + \frac{\mathcal{D}_{11}^{(-)}(r)}{8\pi^2}.$  (6)

where, as above,  $W(x, x') \equiv G(x, x') - K(x, x')$  and we have adopted the notation  $\mathbf{g}_{nl}(r) \equiv g_{nl}(r) - k_{nl}(r)$  for the renormalized modes, The modes  $\mathbf{g}_{nl}(r)$  converge like  $O(l^{-2m-3})$  for large  $\ell$ , fixed n and  $O(n^{-2m-3})$  for large n, fixed  $\ell$ . Here and throughout, we have adopted square brackets  $[\cdot]$  to denote the coincidence limit  $x' \to x$ .

Computing the RSET: The calculation of the RSET has the potential to be much trickier as the components of the RSET involve derivatives with respect to r and r' while our expansion above assumed that radial points are taken together.

The Green function describing our state may be expressed as G(x, x') = K(x, x') + W(x, x') where W(x, x') is regular near coincidence and symmetric in x and x'. Correspondingly W(x, x') has a covariant Taylor series for x' near x of the form [16]

$$W(x, x') = w(x) - \frac{1}{2}w_{;a}(x)\sigma^{;a} + \frac{1}{2}w_{ab}(x)\sigma^{;a}\sigma^{;b} + \dots$$
  
so  $[W(x, x')_{;a'}] = [W(x, x')_{;a}] = \frac{1}{2}w_{;a}(x)$ , and  
 $[W(x, x')_{;a'b'}] = [W(x, x')_{;ab}] = w_{ab}(x)$ ,  
 $[W(x, x')_{;a'b'}] = \frac{1}{2}w_{;ab}(x) - w_{ab}(x)$ ,  
 $[W(x, x')_{;a'b'}] = -[W(x, x')_{;a'b}] + [W(x, x')_{;a}]_{;b}$ ,

the last line being a special case of Synge's theorem [17].

In addition the wave equation requires [16]

$$w^{a}{}_{a} - \xi Rw - \mu^{2}w = -\frac{3}{4\pi^{2}}v_{1} \tag{7}$$

where

$$v_{1} = \frac{1}{720} R_{pqrs} R^{pqrs} - \frac{1}{720} R_{pq} R^{pq} - \frac{1}{24} (\xi - \frac{1}{5}) \Box R + \frac{1}{8} (\xi - \frac{1}{6})^{2} R^{2} + \frac{1}{4} \mu^{2} (\xi - \frac{1}{6}) R + \frac{1}{8} \mu^{4}.$$
(8)

The Hadamard renormalization prescription then yields (up to the standard renormalization ambiguity) the following definitions [16]

$$\langle \hat{\phi}^2 \rangle_{\rm R} = w(x) \tag{9}$$

and using this

$$\langle \hat{T}^a_{\xi b} \rangle_{\mathbf{R}} = -w^a{}_b - (\xi - \frac{1}{2}) \langle \hat{\phi}^2 \rangle_{\mathbf{R}}{}^{;a}{}_{;b} + (\xi - \frac{1}{4}) \Box \langle \hat{\phi}^2 \rangle_{\mathbf{R}} \delta^a{}_b + \xi R^a{}_b \langle \hat{\phi}^2 \rangle_{\mathbf{R}} - \frac{1}{8\pi^2} v_1 \delta^a{}_b.$$
 (10)

In the current context, Eq. (7) enables us to determine

$$w^{r}{}_{r} = -w^{\tau}{}_{\tau} - w^{\theta}{}_{\theta} - w^{\phi}{}_{\phi} - \xi Rw - \mu^{2}w - \frac{3}{4\pi^{2}}v_{1} \quad (11)$$

without requiring any *radial* derivatives of W or correspondingly G; we can do all calculations required with our  $\Delta r = 0$  expressions. In addition, our previous work [13] allows us to calculate  $\langle \hat{\phi}^2 \rangle_{\rm R}$  in spherically symmetric space-times with great speed and accuracy so that the required derivatives of  $\langle \hat{\phi}^2 \rangle_{\rm R}$  may be easily and accurately obtained by interpolation.

In passing we note that similarly the trace may be written as

$$\langle T^a_{\xi a}(x) \rangle_{\mathbf{R}} = 3(\xi - \frac{1}{6}) \Box \langle \hat{\phi}^2 \rangle_{\mathbf{R}} - \mu^2 \langle \hat{\phi}^2 \rangle_{\mathbf{R}} + \frac{1}{4\pi^2} v_1,$$

with the conformally invariant case yielding the standard trace anomaly.

One final remark is in order, in general W and correspondingly w and  $w_{ab}$  depend on the coupling  $\xi$  but in spacetimes with vanishing Ricci scalar (including electrovac solutions) they do not while the stress tensor (derived from the functional derivative with respect to  $g_{ab}$ ) does. However, our expression above shows that in this case they are all simply related through

$$\langle \hat{T}^a_{\xi \ b} \rangle_{\mathbf{R}} = \langle \hat{T}^a_{0 \ b} \rangle_{\mathbf{R}} - \xi \Big( \langle \hat{\phi}^2 \rangle_{\mathbf{R}}^{;a}_{;b} - \Box \langle \hat{\phi}^2 \rangle_{\mathbf{R}} \delta^a{}_b - R^a{}_b \langle \hat{\phi}^2 \rangle_{\mathbf{R}} \Big).$$

As we have already calculated  $\langle \hat{\phi}^2 \rangle_{\text{R}}$ , all that is required now is to calculate  $w^{\tau}_{\tau}$  and  $w^{\theta}_{\theta} = w^{\phi}_{\phi}$ . The simple way to do this is to compute coincidence limits of W(x, x')with mixed time derivatives and mixed angular derivatives, i.e.,

$$[g^{\tau\tau'}W_{,\tau\tau'}] = -\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2l+1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^2 \kappa^2}{f(r)} \mathsf{g}_{nl}(r) -\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \left\{ \mathcal{T}_{10}^{(p)} + \mathcal{D}_{22}^{(-)} + \frac{1}{f(r)} (\mathcal{T}_{11}^{(p)} + \mathcal{D}_{21}^{(-)}) \right\}, \quad (12) [g^{\phi\phi'}W_{,\phi\phi'}] = \frac{1}{16\pi^2 r^2} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2l+1)l(l+1) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (2-\delta_0^n) \mathsf{g}_{nl}(r) + \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \left\{ \mathcal{T}_{10}^{(p)} + \mathcal{D}_{22}^{(-)} \right\}. \quad (13)$$

Provided the expansion order m is sufficiently high, the mode sums here converge fast enough to be amenable to calculation on a standard laptop.

We have therefore adapted the extended coordinate method of Refs. [12, 13] to the RSET without any significant revisions or generalizations of the method. We illustrate its utility in the next section where we compute the RSET for a scalar field with arbitrarily coupling and mass on Schwarzschild spacetime.

Numerical Implementation in Schwarzschild: Implementing the prescription above in Schwarzschild spacetime, while efficient, is still non-trivial but can be simplified in a couple of ways.

The first is in generating the modes themselves, by far the most computationally expensive aspect of the calculation. One can reduce the amount of modes required by taking a high order expansion of the singular field. We choose to take a 6th order expansion (setting m = 6in Eq. (5) and generate 20 l and 10 n modes, yielding the RSET accurate to approximately 10-15 decimal places. The modes can be generated by numerically integrating the radial equation (see [12] for details). However, these modes may also be computed by recasting the radial equation in the confluent Heun form [18], meaning that  $p_{nl}(r)$  and  $q_{nl}(r)$  are combinations of confluent Heun functions satisfying appropriate boundary conditions. This is especially advantageous since confluent Heun functions are built in to modern software packages like Mathematica. There is a difficulty, however, that on the Euclidean spacetime, the second linearly independent confluent Heun function is of the "logarithmic" type. These are not yet implemented in Mathematica. Nevertheless, one can construct the second linearly independent solution that satisfies the appropriate boundary conditions. Let  $H(q, \alpha, \gamma, \delta, \epsilon; z)$  be the confluent Heun function analytic in the vicinity of z = 0. Then we have

$$p_{nl}(r) = z^{n/2} e^{\tilde{\omega} z} \mathsf{H}(q, \alpha, n+1, 1, -2\tilde{\omega}, -z)$$
(14)

where z = r/(2M) - 1 and

$$\tilde{\omega} = 2M\sqrt{\mu^2 + n^2\kappa^2}, \qquad \alpha = \frac{1}{4}n^2 + \tilde{\omega}^2 - (n+2)\tilde{\omega} q = \frac{1}{4}n^2 - \frac{1}{2}n + \tilde{\omega}^2 + l(l+1) - (n+1)\tilde{\omega}.$$
(15)

A second linearly independent solution is

$$Y_{nl}(r) = z^{n/2} e^{\tilde{\omega} z} \mathsf{H}(q - \alpha, -\alpha, 1, n+1, 2\tilde{\omega}, 1+z).$$
(16)

We can construct  $q_{nl}(r)$  by a linear combination of these solutions  $q_{nl}(r) = p_{nl}(r) + \beta_{nl}Y_{nl}(r)$  where the coefficients  $\beta_{nl}$  are chosen so that  $q_{nl}(r)$  satisfies the appropriate boundary conditions at infinity. In practice, we can compute the  $\beta_{nl}$  as follows. Let  $Q_{nl}^{\infty}(r)$  be an asymptotic expansion of  $q_{nl}(r)$ , then

$$\beta_{nl} \approx \left( Q_{nl}^{\infty}(r_{\infty}) - p_{nl}(r_{\infty}) \right) / Y_{nl}(r_{\infty}), \qquad (17)$$

evaluated at a large radial distance  $r_{\infty}$ . This quasianalytical method gives excellent agreement with the numerical results. We add the caveat that computing the  $\beta_{nl}$  requires tremendous working precision in the calculation since the right-hand side of (17) is a quotient of enormously large numbers.

The second practical simplification in our numerical implementation is in the computation of radial derivatives of  $\langle \hat{\phi}^2 \rangle_{\text{R}}$ . Rather than compute the radial derivatives of the individual modes and then performing the mode-sum, we simply construct a high-order interpolation function of  $\langle \hat{\phi}^2 \rangle_{\text{R}}$  that can be differentiated. The interpolation order was chosen to be sufficiently high to guarantee that derivatives of the vacuum polarization up to second order are smooth.

**Results and Discussion:** We now present some results and applications of our method in the Schwarzschild



FIG. 1:  $\langle \hat{T}^{\tau}_{\tau} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}$  for  $M\mu = \frac{1}{2}$  as a function of r and  $\xi$ . The arbitrary lengthscale has been set to M.

spacetime. In Fig. 1, we plot the  $\langle \hat{T}^{\tau}_{\tau} \rangle_{\text{R}}$  component of the RSET for a fixed field mass  $M\mu = \frac{1}{2}$ , varying the coupling.

One can use the exact numerical calculation of the RSET to solve a reduced-order version of the semiclassical equations (see [19, 20] for details of the reduction of order prescription) perturbed about the classical Schwarzschild background. This is achieved by assuming the perturbation takes the form

$$g_{tt} = \Psi^2(r) \left(1 - 2\mathcal{M}(r)/r\right), \quad g_{rr} = \left(1 - 2\mathcal{M}(r)/r\right)^{-1}.$$

Further expanding about the background metric as  $\Psi(r) = 1 + \hbar\rho(r) + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^2)$  and  $\mathcal{M}(r) = M(1 + \hbar\zeta(r)) + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^2)$ , the reduced-order equations give the following ODEs for the unknown functions  $\rho$  and  $\zeta$ :

$$2M\zeta'(r) - \Lambda r^2 = -8\pi r^2 \langle \hat{T}_t^t \rangle$$
  
(r - 2M)\(\rho'(r) = 4\pi r^2 (\langle \tilde{T}\_r^r \rangle - \langle \tilde{T}\_t^t \rangle) (18)

where  $\Lambda$  corresponds to a renormalisation of the (zero) cosmological constant and is degenerate with the choice of the renormalisation lengthscale in  $\langle \hat{T}^a_b \rangle_R$ . Using our results, we may readily solve the above equations for any given set of field parameters. In Fig. 2, we investigate the accuracy of York's approximate solution to Eqs. (18) for conformal fields [21]. We find that York's solution closely approximates the full, numerical solutions. An issue that arose during York's calculation was that the perturbation was unbounded for large r and the backreaction had to be computed in an artificial box and matched to an asymptotically flat solution. However, the backreaction induced by the large-mass approximation to the RSET does not suffer this pathology [20, 22]. What about the intermediate field masses? In Fig. 3, we plot the ratio of the metric perturbations to the background metric for various values of  $\mu$ . We see that as  $\mu$  increases, the growth of these perturbations decrease and hence the location of the outer boundary where the solution is matched to an asymptotically flat spacetime can be placed further and further away from the black hole. While the boundedness of the backreaction for large r is only strictly true in the  $\mu \to \infty$  limit, in practice, provided that  $\mu$  is much larger than the black hole temperature, one need not be concerned with the matching procedure employed by York [21], especially since one is usually interested in the backreaction near the black hole.



FIG. 2: Comparison of the exact solutions to the reduced-order semi-classical equations with York's approximate results (dashed lines) for a conformal field.

Here  $\hat{M}$  represents the quantum dressed black hole mass and  $\rho_0(r) = \rho(r) - \rho(2\hat{M}), \, \zeta_0(r) = \zeta(r) - \zeta(2\hat{M})$ 



FIG. 3: Ratio of the metric perturbation component  $\Delta g_{rr} = 2\hat{M}\zeta_0(r)/(r-2\hat{M})$ , to the background metric component  $\mathbf{g}_{rr}$ , for various field masses with  $\xi = 1/6$ .

Turning, finally, to the application of our method to the energy conditions for the RSET in the Hartle-Hawking state. One could examine energy conditions by considering the RSET projected onto timelike geodesics. However, the RSET projected onto circular and radial geodesics depends on the renormalization lenghtscale  $\ell$ and so is not physically meaningful.

On the contrary, the energy density along the null circular geodesic at r = 3M is invariant under the change of arbitrary lengthscale and so is physically meaningful. Applying our method for a range of field masses, we find that, except where the coupling is extremely negative (the semi-classical perturbations are no longer small for such large couplings), the energy density is positive and

we find no evidence the Null Energy Condition is violated, contrary to the conclusion for a massless, minimally coupled field in the Unruh state [10]. As an example, the result for  $\mu = 0$  is

$$T_{\text{null}}^{\mu=0} \simeq (1.2093 \times 10^{-6} + 6.5390 \times 10^{-12} \xi) a^2 \hbar M^{-4}$$

where a is arbitrary.

In conclusion, we have presented a very efficient modesum prescription for computing the RSET on static, spherically symmetric black hole spacetimes and applied this method to investigate the backreaction and energy conditions in Schwarzschild. Furthermore, we have shown how to express the RSET in Schwarzschild completely in terms of known functions by explicitly giving the Green function in terms of confluent Heun functions. For future work, we hope to be able to relax some of the symmetry assumptions to broaden the scheme's applicability.

- \* peter.taylor@dcu.ie
- <sup>†</sup> cormac.breen@tudublin.ie
- <sup>‡</sup> adrian.ottewill@ucd.ie
- [1] L. Parker, Phys. Rev. Lett. **21**, 562 (1968).
- [2] S. W. Hawking, Commun. Math. Phys. 43, 199 (1975).
- [3] S. M. Christensen, Phys. Rev. D 14, 2490 (1976).
- [4] S. A. Fulling, M. Sweeny, and R. M. Wald, Commun. Math. Phys. 63, 257 (1978).
- [5] K. W. Howard and P. Candelas, Phys. Rev. Lett. 53, 403 (1984).
- [6] P. R. Anderson, W. A. Hiscock, and D. A. Samuel, Phys. Rev. D 51, 4337 (1995).
- [7] A. C. Ottewill and P. Taylor, Phys. Rev. D 82, 104013 (2010).
- [8] C. Breen and A. C. Ottewill, Phys. Rev. D 85, 084029 (2012).
- [9] A. Levi and A. Ori, Phys. Rev. D **91**, 104028 (2015).
- [10] A. Levi and A. Ori, Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**, 231101 (2016).
- [11] A. Levi, E. Eilon, A. Ori, and M. van de Meent, Phys. Rev. Lett. **118**, 141102 (2017).
- [12] P. Taylor and C. Breen, Phys. Rev. D 94, 125024 (2016).
- [13] P. Taylor and C. Breen, Phys. Rev. D 96, 105020 (2017).
- [14] J. B. Hartle and S. W. Hawking, Phys. Rev. D 13, 2188 (1976).
- [15] T. Jacobson, Phys. Rev. D 50, R6031 (1994).
- [16] M. R. Brown and A. C. Ottewill, Phys. Rev. D 34, 1776 (1986).
- [17] E. Poisson, Living Reviews in Relativity 7 (2004), 10.12942/lrr-2004-6.
- [18] A. Ronveaux, *Heun's differential equation* (Oxford Science Publications, Oxford University Press, 1995).
- [19] E. E. Flanagan and R. M. Wald, Phys. Rev. D 54, 6233 (1996).
- [20] P. Taylor and C. Breen, Phys. Rev. D 103, 025006 (2021).
- [21] J. W. York, Phys. Rev. D **31**, 775 (1985).
- [22] B. E. Taylor, W. A. Hiscock, and P. R. Anderson, Phys. Rev. D 61, 084021 (2000).